Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.cb

2002-10

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2002-10-01 through 2002-10-31

Source text: rec.radio.cb.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 3,756

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 1

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: CB'ers on 28.225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 00:00:47 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <PA5m9.743$OP1.84350214@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 138781

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020930002757.123$TN@newsreader.com...
> >>How come you like to play NG cop reminding people how to use the usenet.
> >
> How come your daddy keeps changing your name?
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Go#40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

LOL!, pretty funny Mopar.....

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

2. Re: Cb Booster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 00:00:47 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <PA5m9.742$OP1.84350214@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 138782

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020929203636.593$KT@newsreader.com...
> thatzmejp@aol.com (UknowMeJP) wrote:
> >>ok enough with trying to bring my price down, are u too cheap to buy it
> > > or something?
> > >
> > > Thatz-me-JP
> > >
> > I was trying to be nice..I want it for one of my snow plow trucks,sorry if
> > I showed you any disrespect. :)
> >
> > --
> > God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
> >
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Go#40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

Hey Mopar, I've got a few lying around. E mail
me with what you want and I might consider donating
to the cause.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

3. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 00:00:48 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <QA5m9.744$OP1.84350214@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 138783

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020929201122.126$dz@newsreader.com...
> Anonymous User <anonymous@remailer.havenco.com> wrote:
> >>Subject: Re: watching
> >> And Ill stand oer your grave
> > Til Im sure that youre dead++
> >
> Tin soldiers and Nixon's coming..
>
we're finally on our own, this summer
I hear them coming, four dead in Ohio.

> Two throater's and Clinton's cumming..
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Go#40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

4. *NEW* TRIDENT TRX-200 SCANNER *NO GAPS*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 00:13:40 UTC
From: "Oleg M." <omhome@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <UM5m9.151463$8b1.123016@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 138784
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

NEW TRIDENT TRX-200 SCANNER *NO GAPS*
TRX-200 is a wide band 100 KHz - 2149 MHz scanner with 40 channels
band scope and is capable to receive all modes such as AM, NFM, WFM, LSB,
USB, CW with inverted audio scrambler decoder built-in.

Comes with antenna, NI-CD batteries (4), AC adapter and English manual

Please check it out!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1385327539&ssPageName=ADM
E:B:LC:US:1

5. Re: 12V power supply question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 00:24:46 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020930202446.14224.00005956@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 138785

most of them are regulated, i guess thats why

Thatz-me-JP

6. Re: What about moonrakers,can I still get'm new or what

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 01:15:40 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D98F73C.943B872C@optonline.net>
Source record: 138786

consider them good value for the money. have a star 5+5 on 6 years and still smokin'......73, jim kp920

Lou Franklin wrote:

> You can get the same type of quad beam ant. for CBs at:
>
> JO GUNN ENTERPRISES
> Rt.1 Box 32-C, Hwy. 82
> Ethelsville AL 35461
> TEL: (205) 658-2229
> FAX: (205) 658-2259
> www.jogunn.com
>
> 73,
> Lou
> **********
>
> The Last Rebel <masterelk@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >My pal and I are lookin for a company who sells them new of they still
> >do,or if anyone has a couple used ones that they can send COD tnx
>
> **********
> Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
> technical information & high-performance CB accessories!
>
> DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
> https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
> NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!
>
> Email: lou@cbcintl.com
> Web: www.cbcintl.com
> ----------
> CBC INTERNATIONAL
> P.O. Box 30655
> Tucson AZ 85751 USA
> Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
> Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

7. Re: jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 01:49:57 UTC
From: belowthirtymegs@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <44745a2a.0209301749.6b49b3cd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138787

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<nMMl9.82532$5M3.3733035@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>...
> xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:731171b862c99886c550a2ef5a39d911@xganon.com...
> > truckers are more important than hams, tawn fer jery?
>
>
> Whatever--at least I state my thoughts under my own name.

Really?

http://pub6.bravenet.com/forum/fetch.php?id=9988926&usernum=442553296

http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle/msg/281.html

http://members4.boardhost.com/NAO911/msg/690.html

8. Re: Heathkit SB200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 01:52:33 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020930215233.03297.00006584@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 138788

i have a davemade m 80 forsale, 99 bucks, let me know

Thatz-me-JP

9. info..on grounding my whip's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 01:55:52 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D9900A7.263882FC@hotmail.com>
Source record: 138790

Hi All

I have a 89 f-150 pickup with daul antenna's mounted on the side mirror's I don't think the antenna;s are grounded right ...the antenna's work but they are high when I check them for swr ...when I am checking them for swr and I grab the antenna's my swr reading will drop quite abit is this because I am acting as a better ground than the mirror's are giveing me..?? and if so.....is the best way to ground my antenna's is to run a copper wire from my mirror and then bolt the copper wire to the side of my truck for better ground.....

any ideas would be great....

zeek.....

10. Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 02:00:43 UTC
From: Ricky Parker <rdjparker@charter.net>
Message-ID: <upi0eclr540n02@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 138789

How about these Sweet 16 amps. How do they stack up to Heathkit and others. Im setting up a moonraker 4 about 50 ft in the air. I want something so I can do some serious dxing. One guy was telling me about a Thunderbolt 350 how are they. I appreciate all you guys helping me out. I'm really appreciate you letting me glean from your knowledge. rp

11. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 02:18:55 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <AE352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <jC7m9.2055$Oh.1046195@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 138791

If you want to do some 'serious DX'ing', I would highly recommend that you look into operating on Sideband. With a Moonraker 4 antenna and SSB, you would only need a FRACTION of the wattage that a Sweet 16 puts out to be 'worldwide'. I'd say maybe 300-500 watts PEP. But of course, talking beyond 155.3 miles will get the radio Nazi...er...Aaron on you case!

American Eagle 352
http://american-eagle.tripod.com

"Ricky Parker" <rdjparker@charter.net> wrote in message
news:upi0eclr540n02@corp.supernews.com...
> How about these Sweet 16 amps. How do they stack up to Heathkit and
others.
> Im setting up a moonraker 4 about 50 ft in the air. I want something so
I
> can do some serious dxing. One guy was telling me about a Thunderbolt
350
> how are they. I appreciate all you guys helping me out. I'm really
> appreciate you letting me glean from your knowledge. rp
>
>

12. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 02:30:06 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19722-3D9908AE-19@storefull-2193.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138792

The DX1600 is cool.I get 1600 pep on 14.8v all day long into my cantenna....Mark

13. Re: Yeti's magic amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 03:35:05 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9917b5.712596@news.cet.com>
Source record: 138793

In <3D98D89E.C78FDDD3@lucent.com>, "Dennis W. Ostrowski"
<dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote:

>"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
>> happens if we feed the grid to get a maximum output of 90Vp-p? Well,
>> our output power increases to (31.8 / 9000) * 31.8 = 112.5 mW RMS or
>> 450 mW PEP, which gives us a peak efficiency of 50%. Right on the
>> button, by golly!
>
> How did you get the voltage across the tube down to ZERO volts so your
>swing could go rail to rail?
>
> Must be a very special tube!

Yes it is, that's why it's called "theoretical".

> The only way to increase efficiency in a class A amplifier is to make
>the tube spend less time in it's linear region.

Which defeats the purpose of running Class A in the first place.

14. Re: TVI Filters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 03:42:15 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d99184e.865837@news.cet.com>
Source record: 138794

In <3d98906d.13520767@news.verizon.net>, yeah_right@noway.com (Scott Keller) wrote:

>On Sat, 28 Sep 2002 05:44:38 GMT, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
>(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>
>
>> Also, some base antennas are 'noisy', and a low-pass
>>filter will not eliminate noise from an antenna. You can check this by
>>trying a different radio (I have an A-99 which works fine, but if I
>>install their "ground plane" it messes with every TV within a couple
>>hundred feet). If there is nothing wrong with your system then you
>>need to check out the neighbor's system and maybe install some
>>high-pass filters.
>
>
>The low pass filters can't hurt, but if the guy is running an Antron
>or one of the end-fed dipoles such as the Antron, then the RFI is
>probably caused by feedline radiation. (On a side note, it is weird
>that the ground plane kit made the RFI worse in your case. It seems
>that it would have helped somewhat.)

If there is one constant in this universe, it's that things don't always happen according to expectations.

>In any case, to get rid of the feedline radiation, you will need to
>create an RF choke near the antenna feedpoint keep the feedline from
>radiating. You could try the cheap-and-dirty RF choke created by
>winding the coax in a 4 to 6 inch coil just below the feedpoint of the
>antenna. I would try winding the coax six to ten turns in the coil.
>This is something fairly easy to try, as long as you have a few feet
>of extra coax to work with.

The choke was there in the first place; in fact, it is better than a choke since the coax is run inside steel pipe. I don't know why adding their "ground plane" makes it worse, but it's not the feedline. My first guess (and it's only a guess) is that the attachment makes the antenna electrically asymetrical. If I was worried about it I would check into it further, but the easy solution is to leave it off.

15. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 03:47:10 UTC
From: "lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com>
Message-ID: <gd6ipu460v2j01t687i571u13tma4r9qhf@4ax.com>
Source record: 138795

On Mon, 30 Sep 2002 21:00:43 -0500, "Ricky Parker"
<rdjparker@charter.net> was blabbling:

...How about these Sweet 16 amps.

On a base. I wouldn't do it.... takes a shit load of power supply...
like 2 70 amp astrons tied together (via the regulators in the rear)
and not to mention they're dirty as all heck... and you will get the
Worked All Neighbors award...

... How do they stack up to Heathkit and others.
...Im setting up a moonraker 4 about 50 ft in the air. I want
something so I
...can do some serious dxing. One guy was telling me about a
Thunderbolt 350
...how are they. I appreciate all you guys helping me out. I'm
really
...appreciate you letting me glean from your knowledge. rp
...

1. Dx'ing is illegal, inmoral and just plain ol' a no-no on 11
meters... outside of that.... I'd get something with a tube like a
al-80B (ameritron) or a AL-1200 if you want to run 220VDC in the
shack.... the 4cx1200 is a very rugged tube, and will not go POOF, if
you screw up in tuning... you wil, however have to retune the input on
10 meters....

16. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 03:47:38 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d991a84.1432004@news.cet.com>
Source record: 138796

In <3D98D3F2.51A82AD1@lucent.com>, "Dennis W. Ostrowski"
<dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote:

>Leland was right on the money with that post!
>
> Good job Leland!

I agree, except for the part about the max theoretical efficiency of a
Class A amp being 25%.

17. re...Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 04:02:16 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0209302002.9e36363@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138797

"A.E. 352" AE352@iwon.com
>But of course, talking beyond
>155.3 miles will get the radio Nazi...er...Aaron
>on you case!

Where have I ever got on anyone's case for violating the 155.3 mile limit?

-A

18. Re: re...Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 04:13:18 UTC
From: Ricky Parker <rdjparker@charter.net>
Message-ID: <upi86vg4ndbh37@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 138798

Why are you being called the radio nazi Aaron?

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0209302002.9e36363@posting.google.com...
> "A.E. 352" AE352@iwon.com
> >But of course, talking beyond
> >155.3 miles will get the radio Nazi...er...Aaron
> >on you case!
>
> Where have I ever got on anyone's case for violating the 155.3 mile limit?
>
> -A

19. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 04:21:13 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Zo9m9.63381$1C2.3052348@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 138799

Tubes man TUBES, get them Z's
--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

20. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 04:26:59 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d992147.3162306@news.cet.com>
Source record: 138800

In <upi0eclr540n02@corp.supernews.com>, "Ricky Parker"
<rdjparker@charter.net> wrote:

>How about these Sweet 16 amps. How do they stack up to Heathkit and others.
>Im setting up a moonraker 4 about 50 ft in the air. I want something so I
>can do some serious dxing. One guy was telling me about a Thunderbolt 350
>how are they. I appreciate all you guys helping me out. I'm really
>appreciate you letting me glean from your knowledge. rp

Amps? AMPS? We don't need no stinking amps!

Skip is either there or it isn't. When it's there, all you need is a
few watts. When it isn't, even 10,000 watts isn't going to get you
there any better. If you REALLY want to get serious about DXing,
forget the amp and sink your money into some serious antenna. Another
good idea would be an audio processor, and you can even use your
computer and soundcard for that. Also, load up your coax with some
ferrite at both ends -- it really does make a difference when you have
an unbalanced feedline. And high-quality coax is a must!

21. Re: Advice needed re: BAD Neighbours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 05:04:54 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <W1am9.3375$aw.1552@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 138801

I really like the thought of that.

Dave

"That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3aed93cc.0209301540.630dbd4d@posting.google.com...
> Nah, save yourself the trouble of hotwiring it and leave it dead.
> It'll be pretty funny when the clod keeps sneaking over & filling the
> dead decoy coax with nicks & cuts, and wondering why his signal isn't
> clearing up. With any luck, he'll start thinking "maybe one of the
> other neighbors is doing it"... then just sit back and let him get
> himself into more trouble.
>
> Guy

22. Re: jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 05:12:12 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upibnlt35idud1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 138804

As long as you can spell your name correctly and put down your street address, the rest of the test is pretty easy.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message news:3D9832AC.11D73EFB@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> > BTW, I see even rock stars are becoming hams.
> >
> > KG4UYY
> > James L Bass
> > 12187 S OBT
> > Orlando FL 32837
> > USA
> >
> > Lance Bass. Nsync, is it?
> >
>
> Isn't that that teeny-bopper that got kicked out of the Russian Space Program?

23. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 05:13:27 UTC
From: "lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com>
Message-ID: <egbipukbkc5i4h1bkpatsr6484oa585vad@4ax.com>
Source record: 138802

...
...Skip is either there or it isn't. When it's there, all you need is
a
...few watts. When it isn't, even 10,000 watts isn't going to get you
...there any better. If you REALLY want to get serious about DXing,
...forget the amp and sink your money into some serious antenna.
Another
...good idea would be an audio processor, and you can even use your
...computer and soundcard for that. Also, load up your coax with some
...ferrite at both ends -- it really does make a difference when you
have
...an unbalanced feedline. And high-quality coax is a must!

Really... if you really want to get serious about DX'ing on 11 meters
get one of these...
http://www.majestic-comm.com/products/antennas/lazer5.htm\

24. Re: Advice needed re: BAD Neighbours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 05:15:37 UTC
From: "lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com>
Message-ID: <npbipusi2t4l48c399ui5mbpcano4f7ojt@4ax.com>
Source record: 138803

On Tue, 01 Oct 2002 05:04:54 GMT, "Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
was blabbling:

...I really like the thought of that.
...
...Dave
...
..."That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
...news:3aed93cc.0209301540.630dbd4d@posting.google.com...
...> Nah, save yourself the trouble of hotwiring it and leave it dead.
...> It'll be pretty funny when the clod keeps sneaking over & filling
the
...> dead decoy coax with nicks & cuts, and wondering why his signal
isn't
...> clearing up. With any luck, he'll start thinking "maybe one of
the
...> other neighbors is doing it"... then just sit back and let him
get
...> himself into more trouble.

Dead coax, hell, plug it into a nice 220 Volt line!

works everytime....

"Honest Officer, I don't know why he was back here..."

25. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 05:20:37 UTC
From: "lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com>
Message-ID: <74cipu0p658s4u15ihtr6u2vb3jkklcc9s@4ax.com>
Source record: 138805

On Tue, 01 Oct 2002 05:13:27 GMT, lil' ol' me. <themole@themole.com>
was blabbling:

.....
.....Skip is either there or it isn't. When it's there, all you need
is
...a
.....few watts. When it isn't, even 10,000 watts isn't going to get
you
.....there any better. If you REALLY want to get serious about DXing,
.....forget the amp and sink your money into some serious antenna.
...Another
.....good idea would be an audio processor, and you can even use your
.....computer and soundcard for that. Also, load up your coax with
some
.....ferrite at both ends -- it really does make a difference when
you
...have
.....an unbalanced feedline. And high-quality coax is a must!
...
...
...Really... if you really want to get serious about DX'ing on 11
meters
...get one of these...
...http://www.majestic-comm.com/products/antennas/lazer5.htm\
...

whoops, the URL was supposed to be....
http://www.majestic-comm.com/products/antennas/lazer5.htm

26. Re: info..on grounding my whip's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 12:21:10 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <Vqgm9.271642$Jo.125732@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 138806

Zeek.....if possible use "copper braid" for that, instead of solid or stranded.

<zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3D9900A7.263882FC@hotmail.com...
> Hi All
>
> I have a 89 f-150 pickup with daul antenna's mounted on the side
> mirror's I don't think the antenna;s are grounded right ...the
> antenna's work but they are high when I check them for swr ...when I am
> checking them for swr and I grab the antenna's my swr reading will drop
> quite abit is this because I am acting as a better ground than the
> mirror's are giveing me..?? and if so.....is the best way to ground my
> antenna's is to run a copper wire from my mirror and then bolt the
> copper wire to the side of my truck for better ground.....
>
> any ideas would be great....
>
> zeek.....
>

27. Re: Dumb ass Aaron/RadioBob/Radio 8/KE4ENX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 12:34:32 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210010434.738528a7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209301047.4313359b@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0209300425.2e091ac0@posting.google.com>...
> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209271820.3e90ed96@posting.google.com>...
> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209261209.1f4cb4eb@posting.google.com>...
> > > >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > > >> (snip)
> > > >> >Like I said, you don't know diddly about me.
> > > >>
> > > >> We know the essentials.
> > > >>
> > > >> -A
> > > >
> > > >You know nothing. Nothing at all. "essentials"? No way. Prove it.
> > >
> > > Gladly.
> > >
> > > -A
> > >
> > (massive snip of irrelevant trash)
> >
>
> Don't like seeing your name out there, huh, Steve? -A

Aanal Aaron: Where's your *proof*? You got none, and your repeated
posting of irrelevant garbage only proves my point. So PROVE it,
dimbulb! You can't. You know "essentially" nothing.

28. Re: MRF 477 substitute?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 12:38:12 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D999734.230A@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 138808

Bill Eitner wrote:
>
> > > > Yes, this does give a lower output power. Although the
> > > > 1969 is capable of putting out the standard power (it is
> > > > used in some small amps), the internal capacitance, gain,
> > > > etc will be different... resulting in the lower output.
> > >
> > > Correct me if I'm wrong, but a reduction of power by 1/2 is a 3 db
> > > decrease (I'm guestimating that the 1969 would output about half
> > > the power of the 477).
> >
> > Actually, it is not even as bad as that... compared to the standard
> output.
>
> You can get the stock 477 up to about 40 peak
> with simple tuning. A single 1969 or 2312 is good
> for around 25 peak in typical circuits.

That's about the same as I've seen as well.

>
> > > I'm probably going to just stick with the C1969. I could go with a
> > > 455, but then I'd have to worry about the proper fitting.
> >
> > I am not too keen on the MRF455 conversion, it is much
> > better to fit a transistor that slots straight in.
>
> I like the 455 conversion the way Uniden does it on
> the new Lincolns. It's really pretty simple. It goes
> on rear heatsink on the solder side of where the
> original transistor went. All that amounts to is
> match drilling two holes. Then a little heatsink compound
> and a couple of sheetmetal screws and it's mounted.
> Then it's just a matter of running short wires from the
> leaves on the transistor to the appropriate points on
> the board. The hardest part is removing the rear
> heatsink so it can be drilled (and tapped if you don't
> want to use sheetmetal screws). If you don't want to
> do that you can fasten it to the speaker side cover.
> Pick a spot, sand off the anti-rust coating, match-drill
> the flange holes, put a little heatsink compound on the
> spot, and mount the transistor using machine screws.
> Then as above, run short wires to the appropriate points
> on the board. With a standard 455 you'll end up with
> about 35 peak watts when the RF chain is peaked.

> With a higher gain device like a 1446 you'll get the
> full 40 peak watts along with bullet-proof reliability.

That's the way I did it a few years back in a President Jackson. 35 watts was the power output, and it ran cool as a cucumber.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

29. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 13:15:40 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <anc6u3$co3vn$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 138809

The moonraker has enough gain to provide excellent DX capability w/o the use
of a external signal amplifier.
People like you are part of the problem.....not part of the solution.
Try working within the boundaries set forth by the FCC, they specify maximum
ratings for PEP but nothing governing ERP.
Use your head man, build a high-gain system using a moonraker or two or
four.
You will have more Effective Radiated Power than you know what to do with.
A 4watt carrier into a antenna with 20db of gain will give you 400watts of
effective
radiated power.
A 25watt carrier will give you 2500watts ERP with the same antenna,
so tell me now which is better....a high-gain antenna or a highly illegal
amplifier.
Keep in mind that a amplifier also creates highly undesirable harmonics that
will
surely cause troubles with the neighbors, whereas the signal from a quality
Transmitter
fed thru some 9913 into the array would be cleaner and more understandable.
--
Mad Dog

"Ricky Parker" <rdjparker@charter.net> wrote in message
news:upi0eclr540n02@corp.supernews.com...
> How about these Sweet 16 amps. How do they stack up to Heathkit and
others.
> Im setting up a moonraker 4 about 50 ft in the air. I want something so
I
> can do some serious dxing. One guy was telling me about a Thunderbolt
350
> how are they. I appreciate all you guys helping me out. I'm really
> appreciate you letting me glean from your knowledge. rp
>
>

30. Re: info..on grounding my whip's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 13:25:57 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <anc7hb$ctkbm$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 138810

Hey Zeek, nice to see you back again.
The mirrors don't contain enough metal to provide a suitable ground plane
and running a supplemental path via braided copper probably won't suffice
because
current ALWAYS follows the path of least resistance.
I think you're pushing the envelope tryin to co-phase 1/4~ radiators on the
p/u.
The only reason they work on big trucks is the 9ft. spacing, you can't come
close
on that p/u.
Give it up and mount a 9ft. whip or KW7 in the middle behind the cab and you
will be much happier, co-phased antennas split the available power between
themselves
so if you don't p/u the 3db gain which properly co-phased antennas provide
then
you're losing the ERP battle before it even starts.
--
Mad Dog

<zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3D9900A7.263882FC@hotmail.com...
> Hi All
>
> I have a 89 f-150 pickup with daul antenna's mounted on the side
> mirror's I don't think the antenna;s are grounded right ...the
> antenna's work but they are high when I check them for swr ...when I am
> checking them for swr and I grab the antenna's my swr reading will drop
> quite abit is this because I am acting as a better ground than the
> mirror's are giveing me..?? and if so.....is the best way to ground my
> antenna's is to run a copper wire from my mirror and then bolt the
> copper wire to the side of my truck for better ground.....
>
> any ideas would be great....
>
> zeek.....
>

31. ham radio and cb mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 13:27:18 UTC
From: Daniel Hicks <w8mmq-NOSPAM@email.com>
Message-ID: <3d99a2db$0$1430$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 138811

Check out the mods at:

http://www.w8mmq.com/mods/

Over 1,200 radio mods listed

If you know of a mod that is not listed, feel free to send it to me. You will be given credit for it if you like,

Thanks
Dan W8MMQ

32. Need help buying a CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 13:42:17 UTC
From: Tom Allen <toma@allencg.com>
Message-ID: <3D99A5F1.7477E1EA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 138812

I haven't owned or used a cb radio for probably 20 years. I'm planning a long road trip in a month and was considering buying a new cb. I want the cb for road and radar information.

I'm hoping for some pointers to help me with my buying decision. I don't want to permanently install the unit in my car, I just want to use it for this one trip and will probably keep the whole thing in my trunk or in the garage. For this trip, I'll just set it on my console and plug it into my cigarette lighter.

Questions:

Do hand-held units work well from inside a car? This would be a great alternative, no antenna, sits on the passenger seat, put it away when I'm done. But do they have a range of 30 feet?

Have antennas changed over the years and gotten much smaller or are they still the 2 to 3 foot long whips they used to be with the big magnet on the base?

How about the units with the entire radio in the handset like the Cobra 75 WXST? How do these things install?

Bells and whistles are not a concern, I need volume, squelch and channel indicator.

Thanks for whatever advice or URL's you can provide.

33. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 14:07:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14896-3D99AC2F-66@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138813

VHF Radiobuff asked:
As you can see, the FCC only requires a current MAILING address, not a physical address. Hams providing a completely false address can obviously be penalized. Why are we worrying about this on the CB newsgroup in the first place?
-
Just curious, as a few hammies that are on this ng obviously have many things to hide.

34. Re: CB Editorial Site...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 14:25:10 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14895-3D99B046-129@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138816

From: coolaidcb13@hotmail.com (Cedric)
Hey everybody,
I currently have a CB website up for the local cbers in my area. I've started a CB editorial section for my web site. I know, I know... that sounds silly, but if anyone would like to post articles to the site about anything radio related, please do. Email what you want me to post to...
coolaidcb13@hotmail.com
Coolaid Kid (213)
St. Petersburg Florida
-
Just post the URL.
Ii's been awhile since I've heard you, back around the time of your local radio wars about two years ago or so. You guys still playing that game? I have an interesting set-up I wouldn't mind bringing to your neighborhood if you guys are still radio active,,,,maybe sit on the Gandy flats and key it up with y'all and make some noise for awhile. I fish the bay quite often and usually have a radio with me. You still playing the FM Pirate thing? Seems like we're losing good pirate stations left and right and getting a bunch of temporary curious Ramsey folks that don't stay with it and play bad music, or worse, poor commentary.
Take it easy down that way, neighbor.

35. Re: Cb Booster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 14:41:45 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Juim9.64756$1C2.3096948@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 138814

Wow, no takers yet... the economy must be really bad.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf

The New 1 Pill Prototype is being Built!
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020929174548.21998.00005644@mb-de.aol.com...
> Davemade M-80 brand new...what more can i say? its new and works perfect.
i
> would recommend a 20 amp pwr supply to run this. dont put more than 2
watts
> into it. has a variable pwr control knob on front along with the on/off
switch,
> i'll take $99 for it
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

36. Re: re...Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 14:45:19 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3yim9.64762$1C2.3097937@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 138815

Because, he's like the "soup nazi"... but just with linears.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf

The New 1 Pill Prototype is being Built!
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Ricky Parker" <rdjparker@charter.net> wrote in message
news:upi86vg4ndbh37@corp.supernews.com...
> Why are you being called the radio nazi Aaron?

37. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 14:48:55 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <rBim9.64766$1C2.3096869@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 138817

O lord... it would be like the whole nieghborhood massing out in the street
preparing to kill the Frankenstien monster.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf

The New 1 Pill Prototype is being Built!
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com> wrote in message
news:gd6ipu460v2j01t687i571u13tma4r9qhf@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 30 Sep 2002 21:00:43 -0500, "Ricky Parker"
> <rdjparker@charter.net> was blabbling:
>
> ...How about these Sweet 16 amps.
>
> On a base. I wouldn't do it.... takes a shit load of power supply...
> like 2 70 amp astrons tied together (via the regulators in the rear)
> and not to mention they're dirty as all heck... and you will get the
> Worked All Neighbors award...

38. Re: jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 14:55:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24562-3D99B755-100@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138819

JerryO wrote:
Whatever--at least I state my thoughts under my own name.

>Really? <

http://pub6.bravenet.com/forum/fetch.php?id=9988926&usernum=442553296
http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle/msg/281.html
http://members4.boardhost.com/NAO911/msg/690.html
-
Whaaaaa? You mean there exist *other* cb forums? <g>
Jerry, a Juno man? Say it isn't so!!

39. Re: 12V power supply question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 14:57:23 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <nJim9.64774$1C2.3098764@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 138818

Remember, you're not just paying for the parts in any electronic item... you
are also paying for development costs.

My number one recommendation for "most bang for the buck" power supply is
Astron.
http://www.astroncorp.com/linear.shtml

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf

The New 1 Pill Prototype is being Built!
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3aed93cc.0209301545.722beb62@posting.google.com...
> Why do these damn things cost so much? I see plenty of 20+ amp 12V
> transformers for under $30, but why are power supplies such a
> gyp---are the rectifiers made of solid gold? I *KNOW* it doesn't cost
> the factories that much to make power supplies...
>
> Guy

40. HV CAPACITOR BANK FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 15:28:45 UTC
From: ni4l@msn.com
Message-ID: <d4a0748e.0210010728.27d38f6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138820
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,misc.industry.electronics.marketplace

Really nice capacitor bank with board and eq resistors already
mounted.
It has the screw type filter capacitors. They are mounted on a
commercial made PC board with eq. resistors. It consist of 15 -
1500mfd @ 450vdc
computer grade capacitors in series. There is room for one more cap,
of
which I have a couple of spares 17 total caps. There are also some
extra resistors mounted on the board you could use to add the last
one.
That would give 93 mf at 7200wvdc. That is nothing to sneeze at! Most
of the HARD work is already done for you.
Great for your next high powered project. It will fit in a standard
19" rackmount chassis it is 6" tall 9 3/4" depth
Figure about 25lbs packed weight shipped from 28681 would like 100.00
+ shipping open to offers I could use 2 oil capacitors around 20mfd @
5000vdc each Ok if cap is higher.ni4l@charter.net

41. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 15:34:58 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Pgjm9.91531$5M3.4681294@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 138821

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:743-3D98AAF4-18@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...

<snip>>
S'cool, I just wanted your opinion on the situation. I don't
automatically feel that one would be "rubbish" for not providing a valid
address, but if there indeed exists a proliferation of semi-new hammies
intentionally avoiding giving their proper addys, it may bear further
discovery.

Either way, it doesn't affect me--I've lived in the same house for 16
years--same town for
35 years. I ain't goin' anywhere. Riley is welcome to drop by anytime!
<g>

Jerry

42. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 15:35:48 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Chjm9.91532$5M3.4681221@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 138822

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:14896-3D99AC2F-66@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> VHF Radiobuff asked:
> As you can see, the FCC only requires a current MAILING address, not a
> physical address. Hams providing a completely false address can
> obviously be penalized. Why are we worrying about this on the CB
> newsgroup in the first place?
> -
> Just curious, as a few hammies that are on this ng obviously have many
> things to hide.

I don't.

J
>

43. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 15:58:26 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021001115826.22102.00007801@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 138823

wow wheres doug when we need him?!?!?!? jerry made this one too easy but i'm going to leave it alone hahaha

> I ain't goin' anywhere. Riley is welcome to drop by anytime!
><g>
>
>
>Jerry
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

44. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 16:06:52 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021001120652.22102.00007805@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 138824

theres a situation called a "crowded band" that shoots that theory all to hell. your right if you can find a silent spot on the band but during any opening that would allow a few watts to be worldwide is sure as hell going to be the same opening that has the band filled wall to wall with people. your best bet running low power and working world wide is 26.000-26.300 on usb because its not crowded at all down there and its filled with europeans at the right time of day. i did enjoy working a guy in gernamy for a while the other day on 26.545 am while only running 6 watts carrier out of a barefoot 2510 so that was alot of fun but had there been any noise level at all it would not have been possible

>
>Amps? AMPS? We don't need no stinking amps!
>
>Skip is either there or it isn't. When it's there, all you need is a
>few watts. When it isn't, even 10,000 watts isn't going to get you
>there any better.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

45. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 16:09:37 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021001120937.22102.00007807@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 138825

>People like you are part of the problem.....not part of the solution.

ok mr hammie this begs for me to ask but what did you mean by "people like you"? isnt it "people like you" who drive trucks and work on ten meters the real problem?

>A 25watt carrier will give you 2500watts ERP with the same antenna,

what beam do you use with 100db gain?

>Keep in mind that a amplifier also creates highly undesirable harmonics that
>will
>surely cause troubles with the neighbors, whereas the signal from a quality
>Transmitter
>fed thru some 9913 into the array would be cleaner and more understandable.

i can run a whole lot of watts and have no problem at home or with the neighbors. keep all these broad statements to yourself jerr.... uh i mean mad dog

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

46. Tom Allen and purchasing a new CB.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 16:10:30 UTC
From: BNM <bacm@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <WNjm9.8236$m92.2045654@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 138826

The all in one from Cobra is probably a good choice from your description. As far as the antenna goes the bigger the better usually. At 27MHZ or the CB band an electrical quarter wave is 9 feet. Since this is not always practical loading a cb antenna was an alternative. I would not buy an antenna less than 3 feet long. The SWRs are usually to high. This is the standing wave ratio. It has to do with how much power is being radiated down the antenna once it goes up the antenna the first time. I could get a little more technical but see no reason. There are still many companies making good base loaded antennas, the load is near the mount of the antenna. I think Hustler makes a base loaded and center loaded antenna that is good. K40 makes a fine antenna, Wilson has the 500, 1000, 5000, and the little wil, and Antenna Specialists makes the Big Momma antenna. I believe the Wilson 5000 to be the best, but they run around 70-120 dollars. The Wilson 1000 is another good choice and I have seen them for around 50-85 dollars depending on the mount. The K40 can be had for 45-65 dollars and is a good choice if you won't be running much power into it. In a perfect world all cbs would put out the same amount of power so the antenna is very important.

Good luck and if I can be of any further help please let me know.

Ben Captain Nemo

47. Re: Here's how to stop keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 16:11:29 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021001121129.22102.00007809@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 138827

hey voobner look, he asid you and i are just alike. i think i need to puke

>In Bill's book (and in Voobner's and Nebo's as well), it harms
>*somebody*!

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

48. Re: CB'ers on 28.225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 16:16:29 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <NTjm9.1$YK4.972@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 138829

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:gQ2m9.1453$lV3.124979@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Question, why do you think trucks replaced trains for freight movement and
> delivery?

It was a question of mentality. For 90 years or so, the railroads had been used to laying down
tracks and saying "OK, here's yer freight (team track)--come and git it". Most of the managers
came from the respective armies of the Civil War during and after Reconstruction, so there was (and still is) a military mentality and atmosphere--an inflexible, hidebound way of doing things be-
cause of that thinking and partly tradition--"We always done it thataway, and that's the way we
are gonna do it. Small shipments (Railway Express) DID cause extra handling in that it had to
be unloaded, separated, put onto delivery trucks and delivered. Starting in the 1950s, the RRs
began to "run off" the small shippers in favor of bulk loads. Same way with passenger traffic; the
Class I roads wanted to handle strictly full carloads.

Times do change and many of the old heads who grew up in the militaristic atmostphere of the
steam roads retired and new people began to take charge. These people didn't start out on a
track gang or a train crew. These people came from universities, law schools, full of ideas, vim
and "vigah", ready to change things and marched right into the board rooms and office towers.
They trimmed fat, cut unprofitable routes and looked for ways to modernize and become flexible
to meet ever changing markets. The customer became "king". Customers like Ford Motor Co.
told the roads hauling their cars, you will do things THIS way or else. The roads responded with
an "Aye, aye, sir", and built cars such as the RoadRailers to meet demands for just-in-time inven-
tory. They made agreements for run-thru trains where the train remained intact with no changes
in engines or switching, only stopping long enough to change crews. Often the whole process
takes about 20 minutes--no longer than it takes a trucker to stop for a bathroom break. That is
why you will see a Union Pacific train with a Norfolk Southern engine(s), or

Conrail units--even on
regular trains. Thus, a RoadRailer (NS calls it "Triple Crown Service") can
leave a parts plant in
Atlanta at 5-6 PM, and be at a Ford plant in Michigan the next day (I'm not
sure of the exact
schedule--I've been out of the game for 2 years). The plant saves money on
warehousing, they
get their parts in time for the next couple days' run, and everybody's
happy. The "warehouse" is
the trailers since they are enroute instead of the parts sitting in some
building where the company
has to pay tax on it.

The RRs are much more flexible than in the past, and can better meet
challenges that they may not
have previously. The concept of shorter, dedicated trains--even for
vegetables and fruits--with
2 man crews, no "dead weight" cabooses combined with run-thrus can pretty
much compete with
trucks IF, say, there were a strike. One of my jobs in the early days of my
career was checking
FGE reefers in the yards to see that the temps were below 40F and that the
engines were running,
etc. Contrary to popular belief, "reefers" can get across country LOTS
faster than you think.

The reason the status quo is the way it is, that once that LCL business went
truck, momentum
and customers' memory of the way RRs acted in the past have kept it that
way. However, if
trucks just shut down and said, "Hey, get yer lettuce to South Carolina
without us", you might be
surprised at how quickly people can improvise, innovate, and come up with
solutions. That's what
makes us Americans sort of unique; we don't just sit down and resign to our
would-be fate. The
truth is that trains are MUCH more economical over the long haul, and trucks
are best at the ends.
I hope that helps.

Jerry

> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:Uk1m9.87850$5M3.4089166@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
> > news:3D987E7F.3050403@csotbs.org...
> > > The point is, Bill, that trucks will still be necessary.
> > >
> > > You brought up another point, as well, and maybe something you might
not

> > > have considered...
> > >
> > > First, warehousing in addition to the railyards, plus the sorting of
the
> > > loads to where they're going. The logistics are phenomenally complex,
> and
> > > would add greatly to the expense of shipping by rail..
> >
> > Warehousing? No more than already exists. As I said, there are
> RoadRailers
> > where
> > trailers have both road and rail wheels, easily swapped in place. They
> can
> > be hauled to
> > end point, and then delivered. They are already using these for
critical
> > 'just in time' inventory
> > control at many plants with great success, I might add.
> >
> >
> > >
> > > In other words, for time, simplicity, and cost, shipping by truck is
> still
> > > the best bet, and it will be for years and years to come.
> >
> > That is not correct. In the RoadRailer example, think about how much is
> > saved just on drivers'
> > salaries. Thirty trailers pulled by one locomotive= 30 drivers not
being
> > paid to pull them + in-
> > surance and benefits. Most of those end deliveries are made by railroad
> > drivers or pickups by
> > the consignees themselves. Sort of like the old team track concept.
Then
> > there are the savings
> > in wear and tear to roads (cars don't make those annoying "wrinkles" in
> the
> > pavement at inter-
> > sections). This is already going on on a small scale, but could be
> expanded
> > if need be.
> >
> > It isn't likely to happen, that's true, but it could be if necessary.
The
> > point is, nobody is irreplac-
> > able. Methinks truckers have an exaggerated opinion of their
> invincibility.
> >
> > jerry
> > >

> > > -SSB
> > >
> > > Bill Nelson wrote:
> > >
> > > > SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >>Sure.. .Ship by rail...
> > > > >>
> > > > >
> > > > >>How do you get the goods from the railyards to the stores, then?
> > > > >>
> > > > >
> > > > > Actually, that is where local truckers come into the picture.
> > > > >
> > > > > Mac is correct that, if the biased governmental regulations were
> > > > > eliminated, shipping most goods by rail would be less expensive.
> > > > > But it would require a massive overhaul of our rail system. It is
> > > > > totally inadequate to handle the massive amounts of material that
> > > > > are shipped around the country these days.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > 73 de KR8LR, Chris
> > > >
> > > > http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

49. Re: jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 16:18:33 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021001121833.22102.00007812@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 138828

says who? dont be mad because you do not know and nobody will tell you. hell most of you guys have never been to a keydown and just seen and heard of a dx directory online a month or so ago. theres alot about the bowl youll never know

>Just cause you say it Twisty doesn't make it so. Complete Bullshit

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

50. Re: CB'ers on 28.225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 16:18:47 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <XVjm9.4$YK4.2379@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 138830

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:iZ2m9.1465$lV3.127099@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Oh, now we understand. You have too much time on your hands.

Yeah, don't it just suck?? Work 23 years for one company, retire at 52, and
take home
the same thing I did working! It's FUN!!

Jerry

> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:hp1m9.87855$5M3.4091869@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
> > news:an8rkaras3@quark.scn.rain.com...
> > > Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > > As for the cop hassling me, I would be more than glad to go to court
> to
> > see
> > > > the ticket dropped
> > > > AND make the FOOL out of him that ole fat, Bubba, doughnut-eating
> idiot
> > is!
> > > > He will feel really
> > > > stupid when, in court, it is established that I don't HAVE a CB in
my
> > > > vehicles.
> > >
> > > If it were a local traffic court, I would do so as well. But what if
> that
> > > citation was from a place several hundred miles away? Would you still
be
> > > willing to meet an 8:30 am court time on a workday?
> >
> > I am retired; I would LOVE to take them on and show up their ignorance.
> > >
> > > I wouldn't. I would write my explanation in a letter and enclose any
> > > necessary documentation. I would then hope the judge was lenient. If
> > > you mark the ticket "no contest", then the judge probably would not
> > > even read the documentation - just set the fine to whatever is usual.
> > > If you mark it "innocent", then you would have to make a court
> > > appearance - unless it is one of the rare courts that allow the matter
> > > to be settled by correspondance.
> > >

> > > Even if you appear in court, the judge does not have to accept your
> > > license as being valid or real. How are you going to prove that
> > > it is not fake?
> >
> > By pointing them to the QRZ database, by letter from FCC. The thing is
> > my license IS valid, current, and is a Federal document. Once its
> validity
> > is
> > established (and it would be), then I would proceed to sue for damages.
It
> > is
> > THEIR responsibility to know the law they are trying to prosecute under.
> > Hams
> > are exempt from local enforcement (as to radio laws), and the local
hicks
> > must
> > honor that--like it or not.
> >
> > Jerry
> > >
> > > --
> > > Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

51. Mods for the Robyn SX-7?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 16:56:29 UTC
From: ld751@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d12c96e.0210010856.59bfc397@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138831

Does anyone have any mods or "tune-up" information for a Robyn SX-7 CB?

52. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 17:03:58 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <hjkjpugirldjs3vdsjj0h9li2br0397crg@4ax.com>
Source record: 138832

snip

>Use your head man, build a high-gain system using a moonraker or two or
>four.

"Use your head man" ....Who in their right mind would stack
two or four Moonrakers?

>You will have more Effective Radiated Power than you know what to do with.
>A 4watt carrier into a antenna with 20db of gain will give you 400watts of
>effective radiated power.
>A 25watt carrier will give you 2500watts ERP with the same antenna,
>so tell me now which is better....a high-gain antenna or a highly illegal
>amplifier.

Just what CB antenna/antennas are you using to get 20db gain? Can
you name one person that has a 20 db gain CB antenna configuration?

I can't.............but there are plenty of 20db gain amplifiers that
will accomplish the same ERP that you are suggesting. You did
suggest 400 watts as being a legit ERP didn't you?

"Use your head man" Why attempt to get an impossible 20 db gain CB
antenna when all you have to really do is to hook up a amp? No guy
wires needed.

53. FOR SALE PANEL METERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 17:24:27 UTC
From: ni4l@msn.com
Message-ID: <d4a0748e.0210010924.222bbc8e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,misc.industry.electronics.marketplace

PANEL METERS ALL VINTAGE BLACK MILITARY STYLE UNLESS NOTED

DC AMPERES 0-600 3 SCALES 4 3/4" SQUARE $10.00

DC AMPERES 0-1 SHURITE 2 1/2" SQUARE $10.00

DC AMPERES 0-50 SHURIE 2 1/2" SQUARE $10.00

DC VOLTS 0-5 SHURITE 2 1/2" SQUARE $10.00

DC VOLTS 0-50 SHURITE 2 1/2" SQUARE $10.00

DC VOLTS 0-1 SHURITE 2 1/2" SQUARE $10.00

MAIN LOAD AMPS 0-50 2 SCALES WESTON 3" SQUARE $10.00

DC MICROAMPERES 0-500 2 3/4" ROUND $10.00

AMPS 0-300 3 1/4" ROUND $10.00

HICKOK MODEL 56R 0-2 F.S.=50UA 2 3/4" ROUND $10.00

DC AMPERES SIMPSON 0-50 3" SQUARE $10.00

INPUT AMPS DC 0-200 3 SCALES 3" SQUARE $10.00

DC VOLTS 0 CENTER 50 0-250 2 SCALES DEJUA R330 3 1/2" ROUND $10.00

DC AMPERES 0-600 3 1/2" ROUND $10.00

AC MILLIAMPERES 0-10 SIMPSON 3 1/4" SQUARE $10.00

DC MICROAMPERES 0-50 SIMPSON 2 1/2" SQUARE $10.00

AC AMPERES 0-1.5 SIMPSON 2 1/2" SQUARE $10.00

NOTE ALL IN GOOD SHAPE , All deflect and work fine.
All prices include shipping.

I have pictures of the meters.

54. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 17:57:27 UTC
From: "lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com>
Message-ID: <rdojpu4c7nci00g1kvf5j9onsp3qhet4d2@4ax.com>
Source record: 138834

On Tue, 01 Oct 2002 14:48:55 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
<briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> was blabbling:

...O lord... it would be like the whole nieghborhood massing out in
the street
...preparing to kill the Frankenstien monster.

LOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!....

That's TOO Funny!

Once and a while Brian, you say some pretty funny stuff... True, But
quite funny.

55. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 19:27:11 UTC
From: Chief Bromden <1flew@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <jGmm9.349376$216.14271615@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 138835

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> VHF Radiobuff asked:
> As you can see, the FCC only requires a current MAILING address, not a
> physical address. Hams providing a completely false address can
> obviously be penalized. Why are we worrying about this on the CB
> newsgroup in the first place?

> Just curious, as a few hammies that are on this ng obviously have many
> things to hide.

Very True Twitsy, Richard Cranium is the first who comes to mind.

56. Re: jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 19:30:13 UTC
From: Chief Bromden <1flew@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <9Jmm9.405330$5r1.18828234@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 138836

"Timbo Nebo" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20021001121833.22102.00007812@mb-dd.aol.com...
> says who? dont be mad because you do not know and nobody will tell you.
hell
> most of you guys have never been to a keydown and just seen and heard of a
dx
> directory online a month or so ago. theres alot about the bowl youll never
know

Nebro, I am not mad at all how can I get mad at someones fairy tale. Point
remains
it is bullshit.

57. Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 19:39:30 UTC
From: Chief Bromden <1flew@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <SRmm9.405449$5r1.18828716@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 138837

http://www.angelfire.com/theforce/agitator/
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

58. Re: CB'ers on 28.225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 19:41:42 UTC
From: Chief Bromden <1flew@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <WTmm9.68960$li4.2897131@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 138838

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:PA5m9.743$OP1.84350214@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...

>
> LOL!, pretty funny Mopar.....
>
> Landshark

Yes you are pretty funny looking

59. Astatic Mics for Sale CHEAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 20:08:09 UTC
From: kc8fwd@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <2cc48b2a.0210011208.49487a21@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138840

Hello,
These mics are from a deceased friend of mine.The prices include
shipping via USPS to the lower 48 states only.Here is my List

D104 G stand no mic wire $17
d104 4 wire wired for cobra 4 pin chicken choker only $25
D104 with side and push bar untested $25
And a silver eagle that is took completely apart needs bottom cover
screws and mic wire $20

Will take $80 dollars if you buy all of them and throw in a small box
of parts for free with buying them all.If interested or to make offers
e-mail me at

kc8fwd@hotmail.com

Thanks Mike

60. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 20:11:35 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ancv9u$d4ual$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 138839

Yea wow, that's quite a system he built there.
He did'nt show pictures of the trailer-mounted generator he pulls behind his truck
to power the 64-pill boombox,why is that???
Another BIG radio little man syndrome hits the airwaves........
16kw of power, appreciate the break.
I'll keep an ear for him next time i run thru Holbrook.
He does'nt own Sesame St. but he's making payments on it (ROFL)
--
Mad Dog

"Chief Bromden" <1flew@xyz.com> wrote in message
news:SRmm9.405449$5r1.18828716@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/theforce/agitator/
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
>

61. Re: Yeti's magic amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 20:19:31 UTC
From: JJ <radioguyx@xgbronline.net>
Message-ID: <3D99E26A.608EA8AD@xgbronline.net>
Source record: 138842

"Dennis W. Ostrowski" wrote:

>
> The only way to increase efficiency in a class A amplifier is to make
> the tube spend less time in it's linear region.
>
> Dennis O.

Which means it is no longer class A.

62. Re: CB'ers on 28.225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 20:31:03 UTC
From: Chief Bromden <1flew@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <bCnm9.350014$216.14275653@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 138843

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news

> And you are prtty pathetic acting.

LOL, yes my acting stinks but it is better than being a pathetic putz like you, btw Lawnboy let me spell it for you "pretty"

63. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 20:49:00 UTC
From: gewgletrolz'R'retardz <gewgletrolz'r'@retardz.dogie>
Message-ID: <upk0gdpd9g561c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 138841

Chief Bromden <1flew@xyz.com> wrote in message
news:SRmm9.405449$5r1.18828716@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/theforce/agitator/
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
>

Cool!
were the hillbellys the local Anti's?

64. Re: 12V power supply question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 21:18:18 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021001171818.02053.00007252@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 138844

I would say the demand for them is the highest reason for the price.If nobody wanted them, they would be cheap.
Just my 2 cents worth...

** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...
{{:-})> <({-:}}

65. Re: CB'ers on 28.225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 21:53:53 UTC
From: Chief Bromden <1flew@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <RPom9.406537$5r1.18835520@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 138845

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021001170132.167$0s@newsreader.com...
> "Chief Bromden" <1flew@xyz.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news
> >
> > > And you are prtty pathetic acting.
> >
> >>LOL, yes my acting stinks but it is better than being a pathetic putz
> > like you, btw Lawnboy let me spell it for you "pretty"
> >
>
>
> Hey there, Georgy girl
> Swingin' down the street so fancy-free
> Nobody you meet could ever see the loneliness there - inside you
> Hey there, Georgy girl
> Why do all the boys just pass you by?
> Could it be you just don't try or is it the clothes you wear?
>
> You're always window shopping but never stopping to buy
> So shed those dowdy feathers and fly - a little bit
>
> Hey there, Georgy girl
> There's another Georgy deep inside
> Bring out all the love you hide and, oh, what a change there'd be
> The world would see a new Georgy girl.

Steve I am actually touched by that. Thank You

66. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 22:14:11 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24563-3D9A1E33-66@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138849

From: 1flew@xyz.com (Chief Bromden)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
VHF Radiobuff asked:
As you can see, the FCC only requires a current MAILING address, not a
physical address. Hams providing a completely false address can
obviously be penalized. Why are we worrying about this on the CB
newsgroup in the first place? <

Just curious, as a few hammies that are on this ng obviously have many
things to hide.
-
>Very True Twitsy, Richard Cranium is the first who comes to mind.<<

Actually, he isn't. As if we weren't already clued in, helpful "Aaron"
made us aware of the ones constantly seeking to jack off the cbers in
the group with this little gem:

"If you got rid of me, you'd still have Sparky, Leland, George, Doug,
Dave Hall, and many others to contend with. -A

The hammie community looks upon thee as blights,,,canker sores of the
community, the bottom of the feeders. Unfortunately, the above line
written by "Aaron" proved to be profoundly prophetic, as did KC8LDO
Lelnad's threats to have Dogie porn-spam the group.
Delusions of grandeur and artificially inflated self-worth is apparent
in the statement above written by "Aaron", for he feels that others must
somehow "contend" with an imaginary scenario only he can see. This
magnificent display of a defeated, deflated, and wounded ego is backed
by his naming of a posse.
The concept would be hilarious, instead it is
frightfully pathetic,..,,indicative of what plagues hammie radio.

67. Re: CB'ers on 28.225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 22:17:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24562-3D9A1EFA-149@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138852

From: 1flew@xyz.com (Chief Bromden)
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news
And you are prtty pathetic acting.

>LOL, yes my acting stinks but it is better than being a pathetic putz
like you, btw Lawnboy let me spell it for you "pretty"<

I have a Lawnboy. It was either that or a Toro. I'm quite satisfied with
it, although I never tried to power a cb with it.

68. Re: CB'ers on 28.225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 22:19:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24564-3D9A1F86-27@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138851

Hey there, Georgy girl
Swingin' down the street so fancy-free
Nobody you meet could ever see the loneliness there - inside you Hey there, Georgy girl
Why do all the boys just pass you by?
Could it be you just don't try or is it the clothes you wear?
You're always window shopping but never stopping to buy So shed those dowdy feathers and fly - a little bit
Hey there, Georgy girl
There's another Georgy deep inside
Bring out all the love you hide and, oh, what a change there'd be The world would see a new Georgy girl.

>Steve I am actually touched by that. Thank You<

What is this? AM Gold requests and dedications?

69. Re: Yeti's magic amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 22:21:41 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3D9A1FF5.AA26D628@lucent.com>
Source record: 138847

JJ wrote:
>
> "Dennis W. Ostrowski" wrote:
>
> >
> > The only way to increase efficiency in a class A amplifier is to make
> > the tube spend less time in it's linear region.
> >
> > Dennis O.
>
> Which means it is no longer class A.

Class A just means it draws plate current for the full
cycle of the input signal, it does not mean it's linear!

Regards,

Dennis O.

70. Re: Yeti's magic amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 22:25:38 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3D9A20E2.53B50654@lucent.com>
Source record: 138846

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:

>
> > How did you get the voltage across the tube down to ZERO volts so your
> >swing could go rail to rail?
> >
> > Must be a very special tube!
>
> Yes it is, that's why it's called "theoretical".

A theoretical analysis must still follow the natural laws
of physics, and
the commonly known limitations of any devices used in that
analysis must be
considered as a part of the analysis if those limitations
could possibly
have any 'significant' impact on the results.

'significant' normally being greater than 1 percent unless
otherwise agreed
upon.

Any analysis that breaks those rules goes beyond the
theoretical and into
the imaginary.

The tube in your analysis was not theoretical, it was
imaginary.

If you would have chosen a solid state device you would
have been within
the realm of the theoretical because the 1 volt or less of
saturated drop
across the transistor would not have had any 'significant'
impact on your
calculations relative to the hundreds of volts used in the
calculations.

(1 volt or less / 180 volts = .56% or less)

> > The only way to increase efficiency in a class A amplifier is to make
> >the tube spend less time in it's linear region.
>
> Which defeats the purpose of running Class A in the first place.

Yep!

Which is probably why those old push-pull circuits using
tubes biased
slightly above the knee of their conduction curve and with
screen taps
placed in exactly the right places on output transformers
(The old
Williamson 'ultra-linear' Circuit) became so darned popular
with all the
audiophiles!

Regards,

Dennis O.

71. Re: jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 22:32:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24563-3D9A228B-67@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138850

From: 1flew@xyz.com (Chief Bromden)
"Timbo Nebo" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20021001121833.22102.00007812@mb-dd.aol.com...
says who? dont be mad because you do not know and nobody will tell you.
hell most of you guys have never been to a keydown and just seen and
heard of a dx directory online a month or so ago. theres alot about the
bowl youll never know

>Nebro, I am not mad at all how can I get mad at someones fairy tale.
Point remains
it is bullshit.<<
-
Do the math, chief. How many celebs you got on hammie radio? (A few,
granted) Out of how many hammies? Now, taking the massive numero of
cbers, you suffice it to say that "chief" logic dictates only one celeb
uses it? C'mon, you can do better. Hell, I know for a fact that Arnold
has one in his hummer...he may not be a rapper, but he ain't listed as
a hammie neither....and those hummers can supply some juice!!!! John
Travolta has one on his aeroplane (no hammie either) and Burt Reynolds
(no hammie) has quite an antenna setup for residing in deed-restricted
Jupiter.

72. Re: Need help buying a CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 22:45:37 UTC
From: Trainfan1 <LMSEARING@usadatanet.net>
Message-ID: <3d9a2079_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 138848

Get a Uniden PRO-510XL or PRO-520XL. Just the features you want, and loud audio for a temp "on the car seat" install. Use a good antenna on their magnet mount - Wilson or K-40.

Rob
*
*
*

"Tom Allen" <toma@allencg.com> wrote in message news:3D99A5F1.7477E1EA@earthlink.net...
> I haven't owned or used a cb radio for probably 20 years. I'm
> planning a long road trip in a month and was considering buying
> a new cb. I want the cb for road and radar information.
>
> I'm hoping for some pointers to help me with my buying decision.
> I don't want to permanently install the unit in my car, I just
> want to use it for this one trip and will probably keep the whole
> thing in my trunk or in the garage. For this trip, I'll just
> set it on my console and plug it into my cigarette lighter.
>
> Questions:
>
> Do hand-held units work well from inside a car? This would be a
> great alternative, no antenna, sits on the passenger seat, put
> it away when I'm done. But do they have a range of 30 feet?
>
> Have antennas changed over the years and gotten much smaller or
> are they still the 2 to 3 foot long whips they used to be with
> the big magnet on the base?
>
> How about the units with the entire radio in the handset like
> the Cobra 75 WXST? How do these things install?
>
> Bells and whistles are not a concern, I need volume, squelch and
> channel indicator.
>
> Thanks for whatever advice or URL's you can provide.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

73. Re: Yeti's magic amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-01 23:28:21 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <pcqm9.78770$jG2.4313937@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 138853

Not entirely true... it depends on what output levels you want from a given system. Let's take a class A amp that is putting out 100W. It has to be biased at the proper point to acheive class A, right. Let's arbitrarily set the plate current for this scenario at 100ma. Now, let's lower the output of the amp (by changing drive) to say 1W. Now, the class A bias point far exceeds what is necessary to stay in class A for this output. The plate current can be dropped significantly in that same amplifier from the previous level and still maintain class A operation. Incidently, as you know... the efficientcy also changed radically from the bias point needed for 100W class A and 1W class A.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf

The New 1 Pill Prototype is being Built!
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> > The only way to increase efficiency in a class A amplifier is to make
> > the tube spend less time in it's linear region.
> >
> > Dennis O.

74. Profile of typical antikeyclown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 00:05:15 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210011605.2b613f65@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

The typical antikeyclown:

--was constantly picked on or bullied as a boy (almost all are white dudes)

--comes from a reasonably well-off middle-class background

--is deeply jealous of others who appear happier than he is

--has little or no sense of humor

--is most likely to endorse conservative politicians, even very unpopular ones

--is probably religious, or at least enjoys the God-man/superiority-inferiority aspect of religion

--blames his mistakes on others whom he thinks have deceived him, or hides his mistakes

--has likely made at least one attempt to join a law enforcement agency or law office, but felt devastated upon being rejected

--does not drink, smoke, or use dope, and probably never has

--obsesses about the legality of any number of issues, to the point where it interferes with his job or family life

--feels holier-than-thou when his views alienate people around him

--pretends to agree completely with other, like-minded individuals, but in fact he really wouldn't get along with them at all

--feels that too much freedom would lead to people (including himself) acting like kids in a candy store

If this doesn't describe our antikeyclowns (LOL, watch 'em try to pretend I missed by a long shot---like I'll buy THAT), go and bug Robert Ressler about it... it's HIS profiling process.

Guy Richards

75. cb 's for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 01:01:14 UTC
From: jameskeeton <jameskeeton@infinfoave.net>
Message-ID: <andgir$acof$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 138855

I have a rare find . I have a sonar f s-3923 in mint shape with a sonar hand mike.
asking 100.00 dollars plus shipping.
Also have johnson messenger two 10 channel with the orginal banana chrome
hand mike in mint shape.asking 75.00 for it plus shipping.
e-mail at jameskeeton@inforave.net

76. Re: jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 01:20:27 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021001212027.05204.00007852@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 138856

dont forget howard stern always talking about his 5 pill davemade

>
>Do the math, chief. How many celebs you got on hammie radio? (A few,
>granted) Out of how many hammies? Now, taking the massive numero of
>cbers, you suffice it to say that "chief" logic dictates only one celeb
>uses it? C'mon, you can do better. Hell, I know for a fact that Arnold
>has one in his hummer...he may not be a rapper, but he ain't listed as
>a hammie neither....and those hummers can supply some juice!!!! John
>Travolta has one on his aeroplane (no hammie either) and Burt Reynolds
>(no hammie) has quite an antenna setup for residing in deed-restricted
>Jupiter.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

77. Re: MRF 477 substitute?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 01:22:04 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <andk2i$dau8g$1@ID-7206.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 138862

> > I like the 455 conversion the way Uniden does it on
> > the new Lincolns. It's really pretty simple. It goes
> > on rear heatsink on the solder side of where the
> > original transistor went. All that amounts to is
> > match drilling two holes. Then a little heatsink compound
> > and a couple of sheetmetal screws and it's mounted.
> > Then it's just a matter of running short wires from the
> > leaves on the transistor to the appropriate points on
> > the board. The hardest part is removing the rear
> > heatsink so it can be drilled (and tapped if you don't
> > want to use sheetmetal screws). If you don't want to
> > do that you can fasten it to the speaker side cover.
> > Pick a spot, sand off the anti-rust coating, match-drill
> > the flange holes, put a little heatsink compound on the
> > spot, and mount the transistor using machine screws.
> > Then as above, run short wires to the appropriate points
> > on the board. With a standard 455 you'll end up with
> > about 35 peak watts when the RF chain is peaked.
> > With a higher gain device like a 1446 you'll get the
> > full 40 peak watts along with bullet-proof reliability.
>
> That's the way I did it a few years back in a President Jackson. 35
> watts was the power output, and it ran cool as a cucumber.

The stability is excellent too. In most cases you don't
even need a degenerative feedback loop.

> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

--

-=[Bill Eitner]=-

Link to A.M. Tutorial and rec.radio.cb FAQ:
http://www.bigradios.com/kd6tas
---

78. Re: jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 01:22:53 UTC
From: One Eyed Purple People Eater <1eye@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <NTrm9.408515$5r1.18888604@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 138857

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message

>Nebro, I am not mad at all how can I get mad at someones fairy tale.
Point remains
it is bullshit.<<
-
Do the math, chief. How many celebs you got on hammie radio?

New entry Lance Bass of Nsynch is a new ham.

>Hell, I know for a fact that Arnold has one in his hummer...

Do you think he uses it for anything other than perhaps 4 wheeling with some
frineds?

>he may not be a rapper,

No not quite

> but he ain't listed as a hammie neither....

A email to his publisist says he is no Cber either.

>and those hummers can supply some juice!!!!

So can a diesel generator or freight train your point?

>John Travolta has one on his aeroplane (no hammie either)

Did you see it or Qso with him?

> and Burt Reynolds (no hammie) has quite an antenna setup for residing in
deed-restricted Jupiter.

Well he was in Smokey and the Bandit

79. Re: CB'ers on 28.225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 01:25:07 UTC
From: One Eyed Purple People Eater <1eye@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <TVrm9.408535$5r1.18888838@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 138858

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021001191251.364

> I can't take credit for it,it's a 60's tune from the Seekers that seems to
> describe you.

Oh I heard it many times I just appreciate you taking the time to post it
for me.

80. Re: Heathkit SB200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 01:39:07 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <andk2l$dau8g$2@ID-7206.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 138863

"Ricky Parker" <rdjparker@charter.net> wrote in message
news:upfi775oonv4b7@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello All! What does the group think of these amps,

Big and kind of disappointing when it comes to output
for all the expense and trouble. An amp with four of
the 572Bs or two of the 3-500Zs is ultimately more
satisfying than the ones that have two 572Bs or one
3-500Z. The smaller amps are like starter homes; they
get you by, but you're not really satisfied.

is it usable on 11
> meters?

Yes, but it requires 100 or so peak watts of drive.
It dosn't have an RF sensing keying circuit, so you
have to deal with that too. Thirdly, it'll work a lot
better if you can supply it with 220 VAC. Also
keep in mind that those amps are old. It's best
if you can find one that has new power supply
parts and tubes. Hopefully the fans were lubed
once in awhile too.

Is it pretty clean as far as RF output is concerned?

Yes, as long as the input signal is clean and you
don't overdrive it. About 600 peak on 110 and 700
on 220 is what a good one will do cleanly.

rp

--

-=[Bill Eitner]=-

Link to A.M. Tutorial and rec.radio.cb FAQ:
http://www.bigradios.com/kd6tas
---

81. &&&&&&&&&&&&&&&&Close as I can get to Treble Clef

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 01:42:13 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <t1sm9.2444$OM4.548677@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 138860

hmmmmmmmHMMMMMMhhhhhhhhhhhhhhumdedahdoodoh humdedum meohmyo!
HMMMMMMDEHUMMMMDODEEDO! Something's fixin' to happen to somebody
about them 10 Meter "reddios", but I had to promise not to tell!
EEEEWWWWWWW!
It's so hard to keep quiet, and I just can't wait! No, it isn't Flying J
(yet). <<snicker>>

J

82. Diesle mic to uniden?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 01:42:32 UTC
From: Yann <ec932@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <casm9.12913$0i4.1411994@ursa-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 138859

Would anyone know what wires from a diesle #4-7 would go to witch pin for a
5 pin UNIDEN Grant XL?

83. Need a CB with PA, and certain dimensions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 01:52:52 UTC
From: JunkMailMan <JunkMailMan@mac.com>
Message-ID: <B9BF9EFE.24A0%JunkMailMan@mac.com>
Source record: 138861

So I'm in the market for a new mobile CB radio. I had one in my old car but it was a big bulky trucker style CB from RadioShack (TRC-446?). Now that I have a nice new sleek car I'm looking for a CB to match. Here's what I need:

1) fairly simple, cheap (but I am a sucker for bells and whistles :)
2) PA function (the higher the wattage the better :)
3) no larger than 6 15/16 inches wide by 1 1/2 inches tall

(the RadioShack mini mobile one would fit perfectly but it doesn't have PA! http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F001%5F002%5F004%5F000&product%5Fid=21%2D1703)

4) preferably black

Another question, do the adapters that allow you to use your car radio antenna work at all? My car has an antenna integrated into the rear window, is this a problem?

(like this: http://jcwhitney.com/product.jhtml?CATID=4967&BQ=jcw2)

I don't want a big ugly antenna. I won't be using the CB function a lot, and when I am it will most likely be talking to my friends around town.

Thanks for the help!

Tom

84. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 02:02:30 UTC
From: Ricky Parker <rdjparker@charter.net>
Message-ID: <upkktnlotjdodb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 138864

At least Iam not people like you who are judgemental about people I don't even know, I am only trying to learn the best way by asking a few questions.
"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message news:anc6u3$co3vn$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de...
> The moonraker has enough gain to provide excellent DX capability w/o the use
> of a external signal amplifier.
> People like you are part of the problem.....not part of the solution.
> Try working within the boundaries set forth by the FCC, they specify maximum
> ratings for PEP but nothing governing ERP.
> Use your head man, build a high-gain system using a moonraker or two or
> four.
> You will have more Effective Radiated Power than you know what to do with.
> A 4watt carrier into a antenna with 20db of gain will give you 400watts of
> effective
> radiated power.
> A 25watt carrier will give you 2500watts ERP with the same antenna,
> so tell me now which is better....a high-gain antenna or a highly illegal
> amplifier.
> Keep in mind that a amplifier also creates highly undesirable harmonics that
> will
> surely cause troubles with the neighbors, whereas the signal from a quality
> Transmitter
> fed thru some 9913 into the array would be cleaner and more understandable.
> --
> Mad Dog
>
> "Ricky Parker" <rdjparker@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:upi0eclr540n02@corp.supernews.com...
> > How about these Sweet 16 amps. How do they stack up to Heathkit and
> others.
> > Im setting up a moonraker 4 about 50 ft in the air. I want something so
> I
> > can do some serious dxing. One guy was telling me about a Thunderbolt
> 350
> > how are they. I appreciate all you guys helping me out. I'm really
> > appreciate you letting me glean from your knowledge. rp
> >
> >

>
>

85. Re: Need a CB with PA, and certain dimensions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 02:07:20 UTC
From: JunkMailMan <JunkMailMan@mac.com>
Message-ID: <B9BFA262.24AB%JunkMailMan@mac.com>
Source record: 138865

Me again. The Cobra 19DXII is nearly perfect...except its a 1/4 inch too tall. But thatï¿½s the kind of thing I'm looking for. Maybe I could trim it or something.

On 10/1/02 6:52 PM, in article B9BF9EFE.24A0%JunkMailMan@mac.com, "JunkMailMan" <JunkMailMan@mac.com> wrote:

> So I'm in the market for a new mobile CB radio. I had one in my old car but
> it was a big bulky trucker style CB from RadioShack (TRC-446?). Now that I
> have a nice new sleek car I'm looking for a CB to match. Here's what I need:
>
> 1) fairly simple, cheap (but I am a sucker for bells and whistles :)
> 2) PA function (the higher the wattage the better :)
> 3) no larger than 6 15/16 inches wide by 1 1/2 inches tall
>
> (the RadioShack mini mobile one would fit perfectly but it doesn't have PA!
> http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CT
> LG%5F001%5F002%5F004%5F000&product%5Fid=21%2D1703)
>
> 4) preferably black
>
>
> Another question, do the adapters that allow you to use your car radio
> antenna work at all? My car has an antenna integrated into the rear window,
> is this a problem?
>
> (like this: http://jcwhitney.com/product.jhtml?CATID=4967&BQ=jcw2)
>
> I don't want a big ugly antenna. I won't be using the CB function a lot, and
> when I am it will most likely be talking to my friends around town.
>
> Thanks for the help!
>
> Tom
>

86. Re: Dumb ass Aaron/RadioBob/Radio 8/KE4ENX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 02:38:58 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210011838.6ed57342@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210010434.738528a7@posting.google.com>...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209301047.4313359b@posting.google.com>...
> > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0209300425.2e091ac0@posting.google.com>...
> > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209271820.3e90ed96@posting.google.com>...
> > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > > > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209261209.1f4cb4eb@posting.google.com>...
> > > > >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > > > >> (snip)
> > > > >> >Like I said, you don't know diddly about me.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> We know the essentials.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> -A
> > > > >
> > > > >You know nothing. Nothing at all. "essentials"? No way. Prove it.
> > > >
> > > > Gladly.
> > > >
> > > > -A
> > > >
> > > (massive snip of irrelevant trash)
> > >
> >
> > Don't like seeing your name out there, huh, Steve? -A
>
> Aanal Aaron: Where's your *proof*? You got none, and your repeated
> posting of irrelevant garbage only proves my point.

If it's irrelevant, then you shouldn't mind me posting it, Steve.

-A

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

87. Re: When God made Truckers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 02:42:43 UTC
From: Ronald Gonichelis <r.gonichelis@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <D2tm9.65947$1C2.3165533@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 138867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

That was great.thanks Ron

Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:an70vv$b65u4$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de...
> When God Made Truck Drivers
>
> When the Lord was creating Truck Drivers, he was into his sixth day of
> overtime when an angel appeared and said, "You're doing a lot of fiddling
> around on this one." And the Lord said, "Have you read the spec on this
> order? A truck driver has to be able to be able to drive 10-12 hours per
> day, through any type of weather, on any type of road, know the highway
> traffic laws of 48 states and 10 provinces, be able to unload 40,000 lbs
of
> cargo after driving through the night, sleep in areas of town that police
> refuse to patrol." "He has to be able to live in his truck 24 hours a day
7
> days a week for weeks on end, offer first aid and motorist assistance and
> still meet just in time schedules, maintain an even and controlled
composure
> when all around him have gone mad." "He has to be in top physical
condition
> at all times running on black coffee and half-eaten meals. And he has to
> have six pairs of hands." The angel shook her head slowly and said, "Six
> pairs of hands... no way." "It's not the hands that are causing me
> problems," said the Lord, "it's the three pairs of eyes a driver has to
> have." "That's on the standard model?" asked the angel. The Lord nodded,
One
> pair that sees the herd of deer in the thickets 3 miles away, "Another
pair
> here in the side of his head for the blind spots that motorists love to
hide
> in, And another pair of eyes here in front that can look reassuringly at a
> bleeding victim of a drunk driver that crashed into his ICC bumper at 70
MPH
> and say, 'You'll be all right ma'am, when he knows it isn't so."
>
> "Lord," said the angel, touching his sleeve, "rest and work on this
> tomorrow." "I can't," said the Lord, "I already have a model that can
drive
> 650 miles a day, without incident and raise a family of five without ever
> seeing them, on 30 cents a mile." The angel circled the model of the truck
> driver very slowly, then she asked, "Can it think?" "You bet," said the
> Lord. "It can tell you the elements of every HAZMAT load invented; recite

> Federal Motor Carrier Regulations in its sleep; deliver, pickup, be a
> father, offer timely advice to strangers, search for missing children,
> defend a women's or children's rights, get 8 hours of good rest on the
> street and raise a family of Law respecting citizens, without ever going
> home ... and still it keeps its sense of humor. This driver also has
> phenomenal personal control. He can deal with delivery and pickup areas
> created from scenes painted in hell, coax a lumper to actually work for
his
> money, comfort an accident victim's family, and then read in the daily
paper
> how truck drivers are nothing more than killers on wheels and have no
> respect for the rights of others while using the nations highways
> Finally, the angel bent over and ran her finger across the cheek of the
> truck driver. "There's a leak," she pronounced. "I told you that you were
> trying to put too much into this model."
> "That's not a leak," said the lord, "it's a tear."
> "What's the tear for?" asked the angel.
> "It's for bottled-up emotions, for fallen comrades, for commitment to that
> funny piece of cloth called the flag, for justice, for the family without
> its father."
> "You're a genius," said the angel.
>
> The Lord looked somber, "I didn't put it there," he said.
>
>
> --
> Mad Dog
>
>

88. FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 02:56:11 UTC
From: george craig <geocraig@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <fftm9.65961$1C2.3167487@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 138868

Please review this link and post comments www.williamcooper.com/federal.htm
much to the chargrin of the ham ops they volunteer to be regulated....no
license needed...

89. Re: CB'ers on 28.225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 03:05:52 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <andnqg$s3t$2@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 138869

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote:
> Question, why do you think trucks replaced trains for freight movement and
> delivery?

Bribery of congress. The railroads did not employ enough people.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

90. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 03:20:10 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <andolu011ll@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 138873

"george craig" <geocraig@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:fftm9.65961$1C2.3167487@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Please review this link and post comments
www.williamcooper.com/federal.htm
> much to the chargrin of the ham ops they volunteer to be regulated....no
> license needed...
>

After reading only a few paragraphs I could see where that was heading.
Where exactly are these "Thousands of low power" radio stations spoken of?
As it stands currently, in order to be a legal radio station operating
within the standard broadcast bands, any station must be regulated and
licensed.
Regardless of power, it must also have a licensed technician to oversee it's
operation.
It must also meet the same stringent requirements as it's "big brother"
counterpart.

Just for the fun of it, as I held a 2nd class FCC tech license at the time,
I was the chief engineer at the local high school radio station. No bigee.
Just put in an appearance every now and then and made sure the kids were
keeping the station operating legal. Mind you, these were no dumbass kids
either. Our electronics teacher taught at college level. So all I did was
make a few routine checks.

To allow these kinds of stations to operate on a "self regulatory" position,
is like allowing airplane pilots to determine where and how they fly jumbo
jets with no one watching them at all.

Then, if we allow that, we may as well allow any radio operator to do as he
damn well pleases.
Rules are rules. They are there for a distinct purpose.
If you don't want to play by the rules, then don't play at all.

91. Got a sweet 1000k

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 03:22:56 UTC
From: ssolton <ssolton@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <HBtm9.86$Lg7.182119@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 138870

Email me for pics..make offer. 2-6lq6 with a 500z

92. Re: Advice needed re: BAD Neighbours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 03:26:30 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021001232630.22132.00006962@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 138871

leave it to chucky to think big :)

>
>Dead coax, hell, plug it into a nice 220 Volt line!
>
>works everytime....

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

93. Re: Yeti's magic amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 03:38:58 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <andqrl$qe8$2@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 138875

"bill" <bimast@sbc.net> wrote in message
news:0r5cpugs6oq23mpcdhn7s8n259g103jooe@4ax.com...
>
> oh, whats this?
>
> On Thu, 26 Sep 2002 04:47:10 GMT, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
> (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>
> >Class A theoretical limit is 50%, and that's assuming your signal
> >swings B+ to Gnd 100% of the time -- that's not possible with AM.
> >Plate-circuit efficiency for Class AB1 is in the 25 to 50% region.
> >
>
> Did you just say that you can't use AM with a 50% efficient amp?

Hi Bill.

From what I see, he is not saying that the amp is "too efficient"... just that the maximum efficiency cannot be obtained with an AM signal.

As much as I hate to do this... I have to agree.

The class "A" amp is biased to the centre of the linear part of its curve. The mamximum possible efficiency of a class "A" amp(50%) can only be achieved when the output is being driven between B+ and GND.
If you set the drive level for max swing (for 50% efficiency) with a dead carrier, any audio will take the amp beyond it's maximum (overdrive it) and so cause distortion. If you set it to give maximum swing during the peaks of the AM signal, then it will not be at it's max at any other time... so efficiency will be less than 50%.
Although the maximum possible efficiency of the amp is 50%, the actual efficiency obtained is dependant upon the level of drive and output. Any signal where the RF level varies with the modulation cannot achieve maximum efficiency without overdriving when modulated.

Now, if you want to put an FM signal through it, the output level is constant, so you could set it to give that mamimum swing (B+ to GND) on a dead carrier and obtain that "magic" 50% efficiency... although I would not recommend running it like that.

Regards,

Peter.

94. Re: info..on grounding my whip's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 03:55:27 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@casscomm.com>
Message-ID: <andqn6$aib7$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 138874

Plus you become more directional with a co-phase antenna. If that matters to you.

<zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3D9900A7.263882FC@hotmail.com...
> Hi All
>
> I have a 89 f-150 pickup with daul antenna's mounted on the side
> mirror's I don't think the antenna;s are grounded right ...the
> antenna's work but they are high when I check them for swr ...when I am
> checking them for swr and I grab the antenna's my swr reading will drop
> quite abit is this because I am acting as a better ground than the
> mirror's are giveing me..?? and if so.....is the best way to ground my
> antenna's is to run a copper wire from my mirror and then bolt the
> copper wire to the side of my truck for better ground.....
>
> any ideas would be great....
>
> zeek.....
>

95. Re: Need help buying a CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 03:59:10 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@casscomm.com>
Message-ID: <andqu6$ajh7$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 138876

You can go to radio shack and spend about $50 and get a compact radio and antenna for the purpose that you want to use it.

The handhelds aren't bad, but you will not receive nor transmit very far. An external antenna(mag mount) will get you further down the road than the handheld.

"Tom Allen" <toma@allencg.com> wrote in message news:3D99A5F1.7477E1EA@earthlink.net...
> I haven't owned or used a cb radio for probably 20 years. I'm
> planning a long road trip in a month and was considering buying
> a new cb. I want the cb for road and radar information.
>
> I'm hoping for some pointers to help me with my buying decision.
> I don't want to permanently install the unit in my car, I just
> want to use it for this one trip and will probably keep the whole
> thing in my trunk or in the garage. For this trip, I'll just
> set it on my console and plug it into my cigarette lighter.
>
> Questions:
>
> Do hand-held units work well from inside a car? This would be a
> great alternative, no antenna, sits on the passenger seat, put
> it away when I'm done. But do they have a range of 30 feet?
>
> Have antennas changed over the years and gotten much smaller or
> are they still the 2 to 3 foot long whips they used to be with
> the big magnet on the base?
>
> How about the units with the entire radio in the handset like
> the Cobra 75 WXST? How do these things install?
>
> Bells and whistles are not a concern, I need volume, squelch and
> channel indicator.
>
> Thanks for whatever advice or URL's you can provide.

96. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 04:00:55 UTC
From: george craig <geocraig@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Xbum9.66035$1C2.3175857@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 138877

John, I would advise you to carefully read the link.....federal jursdiction
is limited to interstate trade,the district of columbia guam ,puerto rico
....jurisdiction is everything.....
John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1> wrote in message
news:andolu011ll@enews1.newsguy.com...
>
> "george craig" <geocraig@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:fftm9.65961$1C2.3167487@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Please review this link and post comments
> www.williamcooper.com/federal.htm
> > much to the chargrin of the ham ops they volunteer to be
regulated....no
> > license needed...
> >
>
> After reading only a few paragraphs I could see where that was heading.
> Where exactly are these "Thousands of low power" radio stations spoken of?
> As it stands currently, in order to be a legal radio station operating
> within the standard broadcast bands, any station must be regulated and
> licensed.
> Regardless of power, it must also have a licensed technician to oversee
it's
> operation.
> It must also meet the same stringent requirements as it's "big brother"
> counterpart.
>
> Just for the fun of it, as I held a 2nd class FCC tech license at the
time,
> I was the chief engineer at the local high school radio station. No bigee.
> Just put in an appearance every now and then and made sure the kids were
> keeping the station operating legal. Mind you, these were no dumbass kids
> either. Our electronics teacher taught at college level. So all I did was
> make a few routine checks.
>
> To allow these kinds of stations to operate on a "self regulatory"
position,
> is like allowing airplane pilots to determine where and how they fly jumbo
> jets with no one watching them at all.
>
> Then, if we allow that, we may as well allow any radio operator to do as
he
> damn well pleases.
> Rules are rules. They are there for a distinct purpose.
> If you don't want to play by the rules, then don't play at all.

>
>
>
>

97. Channel Kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 05:31:44 UTC
From: shdwdrgn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e3947bf4.0210012131.36fe6a1b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138878

Does anyone know of a good place to find Channel Kits for Uniden/Cobra Radios??

Any help will be greatly appreciated

73

Shadow

98. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 05:32:07 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D9A63EC.9AD88A0E@mailcity.net>
Source record: 138879

george craig wrote:
>
> John, I would advise you to carefully read the link.....federal jursdiction
> is limited to interstate trade,the district of columbia guam ,puerto rico

BS! The pirates have been trying to spin this crap for years. It
doesn't fly.

99. Re: CB Editorial Site...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 06:26:37 UTC
From: Cedric <coolaidcb13@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <andpak$1op8$1@news1.ij.net>
Source record: 138872

Well first off the URL is...

www.geocities.com/coolaidcb13

I assume i'm not really involved in any radio wars. There are a few characters out here that I don't appreciate, but for the most part everything is calm. There've been so many CB wars here in St. Pete though, I don't know which you'd be refering to hahaha. But yea, we're still radio active, I'm not on as much as I used to be, but I still jump on there. I haven't done the pirate FM thing in years... but I did run across my old Ramsey FM-25 the other day... gotta set that up sometime again.

Seeya

Coolaid Kid (213)

> Just post the URL.
> Ii's been awhile since I've heard you, back around the time of your
> local radio wars about two years ago or so. You guys still playing that
> game? I have an interesting set-up I wouldn't mind bringing to your
> neighborhood if you guys are still radio active,,,,maybe sit on the
> Gandy flats and key it up with y'all and make some noise for awhile. I
> fish the bay quite often and usually have a radio with me. You still
> playing the FM Pirate thing? Seems like we're losing good pirate
> stations left and right and getting a bunch of temporary curious Ramsey
> folks that don't stay with it and play bad music, or worse, poor
> commentary.
> Take it easy down that way, neighbor.
>

100. Re: Dumb ass Aaron/RadioBob/Radio 8/KE4ENX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 12:21:51 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210020421.4fd86dee@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210011838.6ed57342@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210010434.738528a7@posting.google.com>...
> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209301047.4313359b@posting.google.com>...
> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0209300425.2e091ac0@posting.google.com>...
> > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209271820.3e90ed96@posting.google.com>...
> > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > > > > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209261209.1f4cb4eb@posting.google.com>...
> > > > > >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > > > > >> (snip)
> > > > > >> >Like I said, you don't know diddly about me.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> We know the essentials.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> -A
> > > > > >
> > > > > >You know nothing. Nothing at all. "essentials"? No way. Prove it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Gladly.
> > > > > >
> > > > > -A
> > > > > >
> > > > (massive snip of irrelevant trash)
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > Don't like seeing your name out there, huh, Steve? -A
> > >
> > Aanal Aaron: Where's your *proof*? You got none, and your repeated
> > posting of irrelevant garbage only proves my point.
>
> If it's irrelevant, then you shouldn't mind me posting it, Steve.
>
> -A

PROOF, Aanal Aaron, not just the same crap. You said you would
"Gladly" post PROOF. All you're doing is posting the same tired and
irrelevant garbage over and over and hoping someone will believe it.
That's just wishful thinking. WHERE'S YOUR PROOF?

101. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 13:13:20 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <QhCm9.4703$lV3.439104@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 138881

Perhaps, MR Smith, you could read the whole thing.
"John Smith" <kissmyass@127.1.1.1> wrote in message
news:andolu011ll@enews1.newsguy.com...
>
> "george craig" <geocraig@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:fftm9.65961$1C2.3167487@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Please review this link and post comments
> www.williamcooper.com/federal.htm
> > much to the chargrin of the ham ops they volunteer to be
regulated....no
> > license needed...
> >
>
> After reading only a few paragraphs I could see where that was heading.
> Where exactly are these "Thousands of low power" radio stations spoken of?
> As it stands currently, in order to be a legal radio station operating
> within the standard broadcast bands, any station must be regulated and
> licensed.
> Regardless of power, it must also have a licensed technician to oversee
it's
> operation.
> It must also meet the same stringent requirements as it's "big brother"
> counterpart.
>
> Just for the fun of it, as I held a 2nd class FCC tech license at the
time,
> I was the chief engineer at the local high school radio station. No bigee.
> Just put in an appearance every now and then and made sure the kids were
> keeping the station operating legal. Mind you, these were no dumbass kids
> either. Our electronics teacher taught at college level. So all I did was
> make a few routine checks.
>
> To allow these kinds of stations to operate on a "self regulatory"
position,
> is like allowing airplane pilots to determine where and how they fly jumbo
> jets with no one watching them at all.
>
> Then, if we allow that, we may as well allow any radio operator to do as
he
> damn well pleases.
> Rules are rules. They are there for a distinct purpose.
> If you don't want to play by the rules, then don't play at all.
>
>

>
>
>

102. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 13:16:02 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mkCm9.4710$lV3.437912@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 138882

And you jj, will explain to us how it won't fly. Be sure to provide all legal references. We are waiting jj.
"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:3D9A63EC.9AD88A0E@mailcity.net...
>
>
> george craig wrote:
> >
> > John, I would advise you to carefully read the link.....federal jursdiction
> > is limited to interstate trade,the district of columbia guam ,puerto rico
>
> BS! The pirates have been trying to spin this crap for years. It
> doesn't fly.
>

103. Re: CB Editorial Site...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 13:18:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10044-3D9AF23E-27@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138884

>Well first off the URL is...
www.geocities.com/coolaidcb13
I assume i'm not really involved in any radio wars. There are a few characters out here that I don't appreciate, but for the most part everything is calm. There've been so many CB wars here in St. Pete though, I don't know which you'd be refering to hahaha. But yea, we're still radio active, I'm not on as much as I used to be, but I still jump on there. I haven't done the pirate FM thing in years... but I did run across my old Ramsey FM-25 the other day... gotta set that up sometime again.
Seeya<<

What channel you on now? Is it still 12 or 13? (I can't remember which channel y'all were on). I'll give a listen for ya' this weekend..maybe we can wave a hand....I'll try Fri or Sat night! C'ya!

104. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 13:35:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10045-3D9AF617-6@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138883

Another underground and disgruntled nocode hammie wrote:

>To allow these kinds of stations to operate on a "self regulatory" position, is like allowing airplane pilots to determine where and how they fly jumbo jets with no one watching them at all. <<

Riiiiiiight! This analogy secures your intellect quite nicely. Another tangible (airplane crash) scenario that can and has killed thousands compared with broadcasting out of band or with a little too much power.....now try another news server.

>Then, if we<<

You were never part of the law process, nor can you have anything to do with what is allowed or permitted on the air. That negates your improper use of the word "we".

> allow that, we may as well allow any radio operator to do as he damn well pleases.<<

You have no alternative. "We" (meaning cbers and freebanders) operate as "we damn well please". Lucky for you unsettled hammies we have good operating technique and are not as full of malice as you, otherwise, people like you may never be able to use their equipment.

>Rules are rules. They are there for a distinct purpose. If you don't want to play by the rules, then don't play at all.<<

Can't have it both ways. The trouble posse that Aaron continues to call by name have all lost access to the group for breaking rules. These folks never could obey any rules..they think rules are for others to follow..thanks for reminding us of their hypocrisy and lies.

105. FCC jusrdiction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 14:24:52 UTC
From: george craig <geocraig@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <UkDm9.80149$jG2.4386848@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 138885

Check out this link www.criminalgovernment.com/docs/const_juris.html
for more info

106. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 14:50:04 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <anf13h02usm@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 138886

"george craig" <geocraig@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Xbum9.66035$1C2.3175857@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> John, I would advise you to carefully read the link.....federal
jursdiction
> is limited to interstate trade,the district of columbia guam ,puerto rico
> ....jurisdiction is everything.....

Wrong. The FCC has carte blanche authority to regulate any and all radio operations within the United States, and it's possessions, without restrictions of any kinds.
What has been stated on that site is nothing new. This crap has been floating around since the '70's at least.
As there are literally thousands of radio stations that are NOT involved in interstate trade, why do you presume that the FCC can not regulate these stations?
Before a radio station can operate legally, it has to have a license.
Even for testing purposes it has to have a license.
Which is why every radio and tv station has a call sign.
Which is why ham radio operators have call signs.

The FCC gave up on trying to control CB simply because of it's ease of use and popularity.
They literally ran out of call signs for CB'ers back in the 80's or so.
I've been working with CB radios since the mid 60's. I'm not some kid who just started with it.

107. Re: Channel Kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 15:20:31 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021002112031.01736.00007975@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 138887

Try this place...
http://www.bills2way.com/

** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...
{{:-})> <({-:}}

108. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 16:17:10 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D9AFB1A.2392322F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 138888

tools wrote:
>
> And you jj, will explain to us how it won't fly. Be sure to provide all

Because the FCC has and will continue to bust pirates, it is as
simple as that. The radio pirates have been putting this BS spin
on that for years and it doesn't work.

109. Re: Yeti's magic amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 16:25:56 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3D9B1E14.86787CA7@lucent.com>
Source record: 138889

Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> Not entirely true... it depends on what output levels you want from a given
> system. Let's take a class A amp that is putting out 100W. It has to be
> biased at the proper point to acheive class A, right. Let's arbitrarily set
> the plate current for this scenario at 100ma. Now, let's lower the output of
> the amp (by changing drive) to say 1W. Now, the class A bias point far
> exceeds what is necessary to stay in class A for this output. The plate
> current can be dropped significantly in that same amplifier from the
> previous level and still maintain class A operation. Incidently, as you
> know... the efficientcy also changed radically from the bias point needed
> for 100W class A and 1W class A.

Prof.,

Efficiency is power out over power in.

Using the 25% to 35% efficiency numbers for class A
operation:

To get 100 watts out of a class A amplifier you would need
300 to 400 watts of plate input power.

Efficiency = 100 watts output / 400 watts input = 25%

By the definition of class A = The Input power remains the
same at all times in a class A circuit

Any change from the quiescent input power level would be an
indication of non-linearity.

At 25% efficiency, the plate voltage would have to be =
400 watts / 100 MA = 4000VDC

(That would require the use of a 4-400A or similar tube to
run that high of a plate voltage with a 400 watt quiescent
plate dissipation)

Now reduce the plate current to 10MA, the input power is
4000VDC X 10MA = 40 watts

Power output was reduced to 1 watt.

Efficiency = 1 watt output / 40 watts input = 2.5%

If you take this scenario to the limit and lower your power
level to where you do not have any power out, your
efficiency will be ZERO, no matter where your quiescent bias

point (and resultant plate current) is set!

Regards,

Dennis O.

110. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 16:45:10 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <SgFm9.7095$OM4.1207505@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 138890

george craig <geocraig@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Xbum9.66035$1C2.3175857@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> John, I would advise you to carefully read the link.....federal
jursdiction
> is limited to interstate trade,the district of columbia guam ,puerto rico
> ....jurisdiction is everything.....

And they do, indeed, have jurisdiction over radio via the Communications Act
of 1934, passed by
Congress, elected by the people. If you would like to test their authority,
go ahead. It's been tried
before. It seems that those who chafe the most under Federal authority are
the ones who LEAST
deserve or have a legitimate use of radio. To throw open the gates to
anyone without standards,
rules, and guidelines of conduct would set communications back to around
1910. It is those like
Mr. Craig who advocate this who show just how stupid and ignorant of the
characteristics of radio
they truly are.

Jerry

John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1> wrote in message
> news:andolu011ll@enews1.newsguy.com...
> >
> > "george craig" <geocraig@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:fftm9.65961$1C2.3167487@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Please review this link and post comments
> > www.williamcooper.com/federal.htm
> > > much to the chargrin of the ham ops they volunteer to be
> regulated....no
> > > license needed...
> > >
> >
> > After reading only a few paragraphs I could see where that was heading.
> > Where exactly are these "Thousands of low power" radio stations spoken
of?
> > As it stands currently, in order to be a legal radio station operating
> > within the standard broadcast bands, any station must be regulated and
> > licensed.
> > Regardless of power, it must also have a licensed technician to oversee
> it's
> > operation.
> > It must also meet the same stringent requirements as it's "big brother"

> > counterpart.
> >
> > Just for the fun of it, as I held a 2nd class FCC tech license at the
> time,
> > I was the chief engineer at the local high school radio station. No
bigee.
> > Just put in an appearance every now and then and made sure the kids were
> > keeping the station operating legal. Mind you, these were no dumbass
kids
> > either. Our electronics teacher taught at college level. So all I did
was
> > make a few routine checks.
> >
> > To allow these kinds of stations to operate on a "self regulatory"
> position,
> > is like allowing airplane pilots to determine where and how they fly
jumbo
> > jets with no one watching them at all.
> >
> > Then, if we allow that, we may as well allow any radio operator to do as
> he
> > damn well pleases.
> > Rules are rules. They are there for a distinct purpose.
> > If you don't want to play by the rules, then don't play at all.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

111. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 17:08:32 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <MCFm9.7136$OM4.1211284@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 138891

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:mkCm9.4710$lV3.437912@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> And you jj, will explain to us how it won't fly. Be sure to provide all
> legal references. We are waiting jj.

Oh how desparately the unknowing will try to find a way to wiggle out of the
FCC's authority.
Thank God there IS some control, for if you had it the way you want it, even
YOU wouldn't be
able to communicate; radio would be rendered utterly useless--especially in
today's "ME" gener-
ation. It would degenerate into an electronic "Darwinism", nothing more
than howls and squeals,
racket. You can discount it all you like, but people would DIE because of
it. Yeah, I know the
spin--I've heard it before, but without those controls and the authority of
a unified code, your
enjoyment of radio would be reduced to frustration. Once controls were
removed, how long would it be before the international aviation controllers
on 6 MHZ would hear, "How 'boucha
thar, mercy me, gre't day thar, duh, yuh got an ole copy thar on this h'yar
OOOONNNNNEEE
Texas Tweety Bird, thar, gr'et day, shore 'nuff thar, git back 'ere, duh,
go'rd ahaid thar, SQUEAK"! Or a flight from France prevented from obtaining
landing instructions because of some
yokel saying "AAAAAUUUUUUU-DEEEEEEEEEEE-OOOOOOOOOOO (echo, echo,echo, echo
ad nauseum)"? The outlaw operators already want "extree channels thar",
just
how many "extree channels" would they require if there were no protections
for OTHER users of
radio? Hmmmmmmmm?

The FCC rules are valid, reasonable, and necessary. The condition the
outlaws and bandits so
eagerly want has existed before; it didn't work then, it wouldn't work now.
Why don't you try not
paying your Federal taxes? People have written garbage like on that webpage
about radio AND
how not to pay taxes before, too. Try either caper and see what happens.

73

Jerry

> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3D9A63EC.9AD88A0E@mailcity.net...

> >
> >
> > george craig wrote:
> > >
> > > John, I would advise you to carefully read the link.....federal
> jursdiction
> > > is limited to interstate trade,the district of columbia guam ,puerto
> rico
> >
> > BS! The pirates have been trying to spin this crap for years. It
> > doesn't fly.
> >
>
>

112. Re: Cobra 2000GTL Need Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 17:54:27 UTC
From: Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <upmcml944hou74@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 138892

Get yourself a sam fotofact for that radio and folow the alignment instructions.

"Dino" <dinod@deltanet.com> wrote in message news:jXKk9.1533$Rt5.162232@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I have a better idea..
>
> Why not send it to FG Best Communications in California and ask Bill Good to
> install a MOSFET front end. Only an ICOM will hear better!
>
> Dino
>
> In article <up0ut7a9tpdu85@corp.supernews.com>, onyx282002@yahoo.com says...
> >
> >
> >Hi,
> >
> > Was wondering if anyone how i might be able to turn up the recieve a
> >bit? I think someone was inside the radio and turned something? I know some
> >radio's you can turn the RF Gain up and down inside the radio was thinking
> >someone might have done that?
> >
> >Thanks,
> > Onyx282002@yahoo.com
> >
> >
>

113. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 18:10:58 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25489-3D9B36B2-69@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138897

>The FCC rules are valid, reasonable, and necessary. The condition the outlaws and bandits so
eagerly want has existed before; it didn't work then, it wouldn't work now. Why don't you try not
paying your Federal taxes? People have written garbage like on that webpage about radio AND
how not to pay taxes before, too. Try either caper and see what happens.
73
Jerry
<<

That's blind faith, Jer. Nuthin', and I mean NOTHING is reasonable, valid, or necessary concerning the DX law as it now stands.
A coin always has two sides. You can regurgitate and echo everything the feds claim concerning their reason for keeping the DX law as it is, it doesn't make it right.

To wit: One still is not allowed to use a cell phone while on an airplane. The reasons the FEDS give us are exactly the same as yours...something MAY interfere with flight navigation. Now, everyone knows this is bulllshit, even the feds. 9/11 callers from the planes disproved this myth once and for all. Roll on over to an aviational forum and ask a pilot...many of them routinely use cell phones. The pilots flying the banner and sightseeing planes on the beaches are cell equipped and have used them since their mass introduction. Are these little cessnas and piper cubs safer than commercial airliners? What really is happening is the airlines want you to use THEIR phones and pay their rates. A strong enough lobby and guess what?
Hammies were the only party lobbying against the DX rule when it was reconsidered. The hammies won and got their wish, but so what? We're still DXing and the law is still wrong.

By all your accounts, I am an "outlaw" and a "bandit", yet you know nothing of what "I" want as you allude above. I said it before and I'll say it again, I kind of like the law the way it is....the feds know who to go after and it ain't simple DXers on the freeband...it's the trouble-causing hammies and those who are a serious threat...NOT simple freebanders DXing.

As far as not paying taxes, its standard fare for large corporations, illegal immigrants and those that work under the table for whatever reason. Contrary to what you may choose to believe, the vast majority of these type get away with it. If all that didn;t pay taxes suddenly paid what they really owed, there probably would be no deficit. See, that word *if* is a killer.

114. Re: Dumb ass Aaron/RadioBob/Radio 8/KE4ENX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 18:30:55 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210021030.256b404@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210020421.4fd86dee@posting.google.com>...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210011838.6ed57342@posting.google.com>...
> > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210010434.738528a7@posting.google.com>...
> > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209301047.4313359b@posting.google.com>...
> > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0209300425.2e091ac0@posting.google.com>...
> > > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209271820.3e90ed96@posting.google.com>...
> > > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > > > > > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209261209.1f4cb4eb@posting.google.com>...
> > > > > > > >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > > > > > > >> (snip)
> > > > > > > >> >Like I said, you don't know diddly about me.
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > >> We know the essentials.
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > >> -A
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >You know nothing. Nothing at all. "essentials"? No way. Prove it.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Gladly.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > -A
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > (massive snip of irrelevant trash)
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > Don't like seeing your name out there, huh, Steve? -A
> > > >
> > > Aanal Aaron: Where's your *proof*? You got none, and your repeated
> > > posting of irrelevant garbage only proves my point.
> >
> > If it's irrelevant, then you shouldn't mind me posting it, Steve.
> >
> > -A
>
> PROOF, Aanal Aaron, not just the same crap.

If it's "crap", it shouldn't upset you to see it again.

-A

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

115. Re: Profile of typical antikeyclown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 18:34:46 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210021034.79f4578b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
news:<3aed93cc.0210011605.2b613f65@posting.google.com>...
> The typical antikeyclown:
>
> --was constantly picked on or bullied as a boy (almost all are white
> dudes)
>
> --comes from a reasonably well-off middle-class background
>
> --is deeply jealous of others who appear happier than he is
>
> --has little or no sense of humor
>
> --is most likely to endorse conservative politicians, even very
> unpopular ones
>
> --is probably religious, or at least enjoys the
> God-man/superiority-inferiority aspect of religion
>
> --blames his mistakes on others whom he thinks have deceived him, or
> hides his mistakes
>
> --has likely made at least one attempt to join a law enforcement
> agency or law office, but felt devastated upon being rejected
>
> --does not drink, smoke, or use dope, and probably never has
>
> --obsesses about the legality of any number of issues, to the point
> where it interferes with his job or family life
>
> --feels holier-than-thou when his views alienate people around him
>
> --pretends to agree completely with other, like-minded individuals,
> but in fact he really wouldn't get along with them at all
>
> --feels that too much freedom would lead to people (including himself)
> acting like kids in a candy store
>
> If this doesn't describe our antikeyclowns (LOL, watch 'em try to
> pretend I missed by a long shot---like I'll buy THAT), go and bug
> Robert Ressler about it... it's HIS profiling process.
>
> Guy Richards

Dude, you're thinking about AKC *way* too much.

-A

116. Re: Channel Kits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 18:36:59 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <f1Hm9.27651$CN2.6475@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 138895

Hay Shadow, I normally make my own but it takes a while to figure it out try Lou Franklin at cb city.
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Shadow" <shdwdrgn@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:e3947bf4.0210012131.36fe6a1b@posting.google.com...
> Does anyone know of a good place to find Channel Kits for Uniden/Cobra Radios??
>
> Any help will be greatly appreciated
>
> 73
>
> Shadow

117. Re: Diesle mic to uniden?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 18:39:42 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <O3Hm9.27675$CN2.7508@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 138896

Hay do you have a volt ohm meter ?if so i'll tell you how to use it to wire
any mike to any radio.
"Yann" <ec932@nbnet.nb.ca> wrote in message
news:casm9.12913$0i4.1411994@ursa-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca...
> Would anyone know what wires from a diesle #4-7 would go to witch pin for
a
> 5 pin UNIDEN Grant XL?
>
>

118. Re: Profile of typical antikeyclown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 18:56:25 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tjHm9.4791$OB5.446749@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 138898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

Note: Voob did not deny any of it.
"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0210021034.79f4578b@posting.google.com...
> witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
news:<3aed93cc.0210011605.2b613f65@posting.google.com>...
> > The typical antikeyclown:
> >
> > --was constantly picked on or bullied as a boy (almost all are white
> > dudes)
> >
> > --comes from a reasonably well-off middle-class background
> >
> > --is deeply jealous of others who appear happier than he is
> >
> > --has little or no sense of humor
> >
> > --is most likely to endorse conservative politicians, even very
> > unpopular ones
> >
> > --is probably religious, or at least enjoys the
> > God-man/superiority-inferiority aspect of religion
> >
> > --blames his mistakes on others whom he thinks have deceived him, or
> > hides his mistakes
> >
> > --has likely made at least one attempt to join a law enforcement
> > agency or law office, but felt devastated upon being rejected
> >
> > --does not drink, smoke, or use dope, and probably never has
> >
> > --obsesses about the legality of any number of issues, to the point
> > where it interferes with his job or family life
> >
> > --feels holier-than-thou when his views alienate people around him
> >
> > --pretends to agree completely with other, like-minded individuals,
> > but in fact he really wouldn't get along with them at all
> >
> > --feels that too much freedom would lead to people (including himself)
> > acting like kids in a candy store
> >
> > If this doesn't describe our antikeyclowns (LOL, watch 'em try to
> > pretend I missed by a long shot---like I'll buy THAT), go and bug

> > Robert Ressler about it... it's HIS profiling process.
> >
> > Guy Richards
>
>
> Dude, you're thinking about AKC *way* too much.
>
> -A
>

119. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 19:04:15 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210021104.3a594a3c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138899

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<24563-3D9A1E33-66@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> "If you got rid of me, you'd still have Sparky, Leland, George, Doug,
> Dave Hall, and many others to contend with. -A
>
> Delusions of grandeur and artificially inflated self-worth is apparent
> in the statement above written by "Aaron", for he feels that others must
> somehow "contend" with an imaginary scenario only he can see. This
> magnificent display of a defeated, deflated, and wounded ego is backed
> by his naming of a posse.

Taken out of context, you can make a factual statement sound like a
threat, delusions of grandeur, or whatever you want. But to see the
original post and discover who actually started the trouble, let's go
back to the thread where that quote appears. Wow, look who originated
the thread (an attack on me) out of nowhere. It's our old pal
Chimbuba:

From: chimbuba@optonline.net (chimbuba@optonline.net)
Subject: WHAT IF VOOBNER HAD SOMEWHERE ELSE TO GO INSTEAD OF
REC.RADIO.CB
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-02-25 06:08:20 PST
WOULD WE MISS HIM???
FOR ALL THAT HE BRINGS TO THE GROUP?
I REALLY WISH VOOBIE GOT A JOB THAT TOOK ENOUGH TIME AWAY FROM HIM SO
THAT HE WOULD ACTUALLY NEVER BE ABLE TO POST ON THIS NEWSGROUP EVER
AGAIN....

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:fbc7a2.0202251224.38503a53@posting.google.com...
> chimbuba@optonline.net wrote in message
news:<3c7a44e7.76460957@news-server.optonline.net>...
> > WOULD WE MISS HIM???
> > FOR ALL THAT HE BRINGS TO THE GROUP?
> > I REALLY WISH VOOBIE GOT A JOB THAT TOOK ENOUGH TIME AWAY FROM HIM SO
> > THAT HE WOULD ACTUALLY NEVER BE ABLE TO POST ON THIS NEWSGROUP EVER
> > AGAIN....
>
> If you got rid of me, you'd still have Sparky, Leland, George, Doug,
> Dave Hall, and many others to contend with.
>
> -A

120. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 19:07:28 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upmh1s4ihg66cc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 138900

Unfortunately, my news server was down for a couple of days and I didn't jump on one of the web-based news servers. You are 100% correct about wrong information being posted. Unfortunately, you missed the mark on your other comments. The key to efficiency is to NOT run near the middle of the load line of the active device. Unfortunately, this does occur in linear amplifiers. A class A amp (to achieve maximum output) has to be biased in the middle of the load line. This means that the maximum sine wave will run just short of rail to rail and cause the device to dissipate the same amount of power as the load (assuming no other losses, this means a 50% efficiency). Biasing the amp higher or lower will result in less maximum output (and, of course, lower maximum signal in to the device). I believe I stated that maximum efficiency (and I may not have been clear here) that one can expect would be on the order of 25% from a class A, a bit over 50% for ab1, perhaps up to 60% for ab2, 65% for class b, and 70% and higher for class C. Of course, the WAVEFORM itself can effect this. If you choose to input a SQUARE wave, you could make that class A amplifier approach 100% efficiency by simply running as close to rail to rail as possible. At maximum output, the voltage drop would approach zero across the device and current would not matter (zero volts time whatever = zero watts across the active device - a short circuit). At maximum negative input, the current would approach zero and full supply voltage would appear across the active device (an open circuit for the active device). In this case, zero amps times however many volts = zero watts and no power would be developed across the active device. This would mean, in the case of a square wave (assuming zero rise and fall times and a perfect device), 100% efficiency. This approach is used (in a way) for switchmode amplifiers. They easily exceed 90% efficiency. Oh, then you can use pulse duration modulation - which means the device is either fully on or fully off (again, in theory, no power loss across the active device), but by varying the on duration, you can effectively produce a signal whose average voltage will change across a load while still experiencing (theoretically) no loss across the device (thus, achieve linear amplification via a switchmode amplifier). Not a trivial task, however.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:cGIl9.25698$Ii4.1100353@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:up9vl7ialel159@corp.supernews.com...
> > See my other response. Yes, with a sine wave running rail to rail,
> > efficiency will hit a maximum theoretical 50%.
>

> Wrong. Maximum theoretical efficiency for class "A" is 25 percent. For
> a class "B" amplifier it is 78.5 percent. For a class "C" amplifier it
> is even higher. Its truely amazing just how much plain wrong
> information shows up on this news group, or how some people are so
> quick to believe in the myths, and other voodoo practices, that keep
> getting passed off as being correct. A quick flip through a good basic
> electronics text book on power amplifiers would have yielded the
> correct efficiency numbers I quoted above.
>
> The largest factor in the reduction in the efficiency of an amplifier,
> for RF operation at HF, below the above quoted figures is due to high
> losses in the tank circuits. If you bother to look at most high power
> RF tube amplifiers the tank circuit uses either wide flat copper
> strip, or large diameter copper tubing for the coils, sometimes even
> silver plated to reduce the skin effect resistance. For example an
> amplifier putting 1KW in to a 50 ohm load has to generate 4.472 amps
> rms of output current. A typical loaded "Q" for an output tank circuit
> would be around 12. The current circulating in the tank circuit would
> be "approximately" Q_tank*I_output, or about 53.7 amps rms. Now also
> assume the tank coil is made from about 3 feet of 3/8 inch O.D. copper
> tubing, which would be a coil of 4 turns with an I.D. of 2.5 inches.
> The coil at 28 MHz has a skin resistance of about 0.0472 ohms. The
> power loss in that coil would be 136 watts approximately. This is
> almost 14 percent of the output power. There are other sources of
> power loss in the amplifier as well.
>
> > Unfortunately, our voices
> > are not pure single tone sine waves and typical efficiencies run in
> the 25%
> > range (to remain linear).
>
> The voice waveform shape can affect the efficiency of a class "A"
> amplifier, but has little to no effect for classes "B" and "C" that I
> can find. The maximum theoretical efficiency, for classes "B" and "C",
> has everything to do with the biasing, i.e. the conduction angle the
> power device will conduct over. Since most "linear" RF amplifiers are
> designed to operate class "B", a pure grounded grid amplifier for
> example, or class "AB1" or "AB2" depending on the whether the grid is
> drawing current or not. The same arguments can be made for transistor
> amplifiers as well.
>
>
> --
> __
> __
>
> 73's de,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

>
> ARRL member
> NCI member
>
> "You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
> Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
> communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
> Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
> Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
> transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
> functions."
>
>
>

121. Re: Profile of typical antikeyclown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 19:15:24 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210021115.51e7a44a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

In <tjHm9.4791$OB5.446749@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
"tools" medtools@earthlink.net wrote
>Note: Voob did not deny any of it.

Because it's hate literature.

-A

122. Re: FCC jusrdiction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 19:17:54 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upmhlcpgrhspd6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 138902

Interesting theory. Can you prove that nothing originating in one state is received in another? BTW, I used to hear one of the 50 KW clear channel AM broadcasts out of California here in New York. Yes, I have received television stations from Canada. Interesting concept that all electromagnetic radiation stops at state boarders. This concept may go over as well as some of the folks who claimed that IRS had no legal authority to collect taxes. Some of those folks did not pass go nor collect $200.00.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"george craig" <geocraig@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:UkDm9.80149$jG2.4386848@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Check out this link www.criminalgovernment.com/docs/const_juris.html
> for more info
>
>

123. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 19:21:19 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upmhrs5t5hjl2e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 138903

I replied to your other post. I'll make this short and sweet. I can PROVE that electromagnetic radiation can propogate over state lines. Most of the folks in this newsgroup also know this. I can receive television from Canada. Unless someone can prove that electromagnetic radiation STOPS at each state's boarder, your argument holds as much water as the states' boarders hold electromagnetic radiation.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"george craig" <geocraig@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:fftm9.65961$1C2.3167487@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Please review this link and post comments
www.williamcooper.com/federal.htm
> much to the chargrin of the ham ops they volunteer to be regulated....no
> license needed...
>
>

124. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 19:24:03 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upmi0u44tno47d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 138904

George, I wonder if you have ever used CB to order a pizza (or call home to have one ordered)? Likely some have. Amateurs have. AM, FM, and television (at least here in Rochester, NY - maybe your area is different) can be received beyond the state bounderies. We can receive Canadian television stations. They carry advertising. Sounds like interstate commerce to me.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"george craig" <geocraig@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:Xbum9.66035$1C2.3175857@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> John, I would advise you to carefully read the link.....federal jursdiction
> is limited to interstate trade,the district of columbia guam ,puerto rico
> ....jurisdiction is everything.....
> John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1> wrote in message
> news:andolu011ll@enews1.newsguy.com...
> >
> > "george craig" <geocraig@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:fftm9.65961$1C2.3167487@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Please review this link and post comments
> > www.williamcooper.com/federal.htm
> > > much to the chargrin of the ham ops they volunteer to be
> regulated....no
> > > license needed...
> > >
> >
> > After reading only a few paragraphs I could see where that was heading.
> > Where exactly are these "Thousands of low power" radio stations spoken of?
> > As it stands currently, in order to be a legal radio station operating
> > within the standard broadcast bands, any station must be regulated and
> > licensed.
> > Regardless of power, it must also have a licensed technician to oversee
> it's
> > operation.
> > It must also meet the same stringent requirements as it's "big brother"
> > counterpart.
> >
> > Just for the fun of it, as I held a 2nd class FCC tech license at the
> time,
> > I was the chief engineer at the local high school radio station. No bigee.
> > Just put in an appearance every now and then and made sure the kids were

> > keeping the station operating legal. Mind you, these were no dumbass
kids
> > either. Our electronics teacher taught at college level. So all I did
was
> > make a few routine checks.
> >
> > To allow these kinds of stations to operate on a "self regulatory"
> position,
> > is like allowing airplane pilots to determine where and how they fly
jumbo
> > jets with no one watching them at all.
> >
> > Then, if we allow that, we may as well allow any radio operator to do as
> he
> > damn well pleases.
> > Rules are rules. They are there for a distinct purpose.
> > If you don't want to play by the rules, then don't play at all.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

125. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 19:47:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8731-3D9B4D45-65@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138908

From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<24563-3D9A1E33-66@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
"If you got rid of me, you'd still have Sparky, Leland, George, Doug,
Dave Hall, and many others to contend with. -A

Delusions of grandeur and artificially inflated self-worth is apparent
in the statement above written by "Aaron", for he feels that others must
somehow "contend" with an imaginary scenario only he can see. This
magnificent display of a defeated, deflated, and wounded ego is backed
by his naming of a posse.
-
Taken out of context, you can make a factual statement sound like a
threat, delusions of grandeur, or whatever you want. But to see the
original post and discover who actually started the trouble, let's go
back to the thread where that quote appears. Wow, look who originated
the thread (an attack on me) out of nowhere. It's our old pal Chimbuba:
From: chimbuba@optonline.net (chimbuba@optonline.net)
Subject: WHAT IF VOOBNER HAD SOMEWHERE ELSE TO GO INSTEAD OF
REC.RADIO.CB
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-02-25 06:08:20 PST
WOULD WE MISS HIM???
FOR ALL THAT HE BRINGS TO THE GROUP?
I REALLY WISH VOOBIE GOT A JOB THAT TOOK ENOUGH TIME AWAY FROM HIM SO
THAT HE WOULD ACTUALLY NEVER BE ABLE TO POST ON THIS NEWSGROUP EVER
AGAIN....

"Aaron H. Voobner"
If you got rid of me, you'd still have Sparky, Leland, George, Doug,
Dave Hall, and many others to contend with. -A

I agree, taken out of context anything can be misconstrued, which is
exactly what you attempt but fail to pull off here. There were no
"attacks" on you, as you just claimed, simply chimbubba "wishing" you
would go away. You are way too paranoid which usually always accompanies
delusions of grandeur, such as pointed out in your above post. Chimbubba
was merely stating his opinion in response to your off topic posts and
other nonrelated issues and bullshit you sling in general. You must have
felt threatened or cornered or guilty or something of that nature to go
grasping as far as naming a posse that you apparently and correctly
assumed shared your nature of discontent.
Note you didn't touch on the subject of Lelnad's threat to the group and
his pact with Dogie to porn-spam (which he made good on) and you also

failed to respond or address why your last "voobner" account was pulled, and wisely so. To do so would be to recognize your intolerance and hypocrisy. I'm not certain your psyche can handle any more that type battering of the ego.
It was YOUR response, it stands on its own and needs not be taken in any context except which it was written.

126. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 19:47:40 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upmjd6nfuhsf2e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 138905

I was shooting for what to expect with amps. 25% would be expected with class a. Yes, 50% is the maximum theoretical efficiency. Class ab will run well over 50% to over 60%. B should achieve 65% or so. Class C should get 70%, possibly higher. Of course, the conduction angle of that class C will effect the efficiency as well. Pretty much a silly argument over 5 or 10% - with the exception of the class A amp. I get nervous when someone claims 75% efficiency on an alleged linear amp. Likely they are overdriving the thing well into saturation and with the amp spending a lot of time a cutoff or saturation, the output ain't gonna be too clean.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d991a84.1432004@news.cet.com...
> In <3D98D3F2.51A82AD1@lucent.com>, "Dennis W. Ostrowski"
> <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote:
>
> >Leland was right on the money with that post!
> >
> > Good job Leland!
>
> I agree, except for the part about the max theoretical efficiency of a
> Class A amp being 25%.
>

127. Here ya' are, George!! (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 19:56:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8732-3D9B4F7A-24@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138906

They don't give stuff back that is illegal, George.

http://www.bayarea.com/mld/bayarea/4180087.htm

128. Whoops...gotta give credit where it's due! (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 20:06:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8731-3D9B51C4-68@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138907

Thanks, King.
From: kingofclowns@subdimension.net
To: twistedhed@webtv.net
Subject: Santa Cruz judge frees medical-marijuana patient,
returns pot
Date: Wed, Oct 2, 2002, 12:27pm (EDT-3)
This Story has been sent to you by : kingofclowns@subdimension.net

Santa Cruz judge frees medical-marijuana patient, returns pot A judge
threw out two felony charges against a Santa Cruz man after he proved he
had a doctor's recommendation to use medical marijuana and order police
to return his pot. The full article will be available on the Web for a
limited time:
http://www.bayarea.com/mld/bayarea/4180087.htm
(c) 2001 bayarea and wire service sources. All Rights Reserved.

129. Re: Here ya' are, George!! (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 20:33:17 UTC
From: One Eyed Purple People Eater <1eye@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <hKIm9.18740$bY5.77341@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 138909

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8732-3D9B4F7A-24@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> They don't give stuff back that is illegal, George.

> http://www.bayarea.com/mld/bayarea/4180087.htm

They sure do if you don't have a doctors prescription for it, just the same as you can't have a bottle of valiums without your name on the bottle. The drug valium is legal but without a precription it is not.

130. Re: Whoops...gotta give credit where it's due! (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 20:36:17 UTC
From: One Eyed Purple People Eater <1eye@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <5NIm9.427918$5r1.18997782@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 138910

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8731-3D9B51C4-68@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Thanks, King.
Santa Cruz judge frees medical-marijuana patient, returns pot A judge threw out two felony charges against a Santa Cruz man after he proved he had a doctor's recommendation to use medical marijuana and order police to return his pot. The full article will be available on the Web for a limited time:

Twisty it is no different then them taking you prozac or Valium without you having a prescription, prove you have a prescription you can get your drugs back. That doesn't mean pot is LEGAL for anyone else in Santa Cruz, unless they hold a valid prescription why are you trying to make it out like anyone can use pot there.

131. Re: Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 20:44:45 UTC
From: IC <>
Message-ID: <9mlmpu4u5hnljbuhosra4uu447044viaf7@4ax.com>
Source record: 138911

On Tue, 24 Sep 2002 11:16:35 GMT, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

...

>>I hold it off the ground because I was worried that changing weather
>>(rain, snow) would change the capacitance, if it laid on the ground.
>>I figured that once I tuned it (for my wife's favorite, but hard to
>>pull in station), I wouldn't want to fiddle with it every time the
>>weather changed.
>
>Capacitance is going to change quite a bit regardless. An antenna's
>capacitance works against ground regardless of how high you put it
>(unless it's a hertzian dipole), so your antenna needs to be retuned
>whenever the ground changes. Unless you are in the desert or on the
>ocean, you will need to change it often. But it's only two knobs, so
>it shouldn't be that hard to teach the wife how to tune the AMU.

Actually, I am in a very dry area (technically not desert, but we only
get 20 inches of rainfall per year, and most of that happens during a
period of about 2 weeks).

My wife can do amazing things with electronics (I'm being sarcastic).
Sometimes I even have to hide the remote, just to prevent her from
working herself into a red faced fury. It's so bad, she just avoids
turning knobs, and calls me when she needs something adjusted.

>
>>>I think the resistance of
>>>the wire is probably the biggest factor to consider for your
>>>application. In fact, a resonant half-wave can work worse than a
>>>shorter non-resonant antenna because of the resistance.
>>
>>I'll keep that in mind. If it doesn't perform, I'll consider cutting
>>the wire short, just to see if that improves it.
>
>You can always reconnect it if the longer one works better.
>
>But I'm having a problem with why you are running a horizontal
>antenna. AM BC signals are vertically polarized, and a 100' vertical
>will probably work better than 300' slithering down a hillside. I
>would even have to say that it will work MUCH better. A large loop
>would probably work fine, too, and you don't have to run any radials.
>

I have a large piece of land on top of a hill. However, I do live in an area with an association that would frown on 100' verticals and large loops out in the open.

When I first started this antenna project, I considered winding a loop around wooden posts attached to the side of my home. It would have been less visible than one out in the open (especially if I matched the wire color to the house). However, a long wire running down the side of the hill, hidden by the natural brush, seemed easier and less visible.

...

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

132. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 20:47:24 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upmmt7s046te9d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 138912

3 chords - wow - you should hear what they call 'music' today (rap?) ....
btw were you referring to only 3 chords (such as C, F, and G7) or 3 note
chords? Of course, in the case of live entertainment, most of the customers
are either too drunk or have a tin ear to know the difference anyhow.
Either way, too much music has gravitated to the lowest common denominator,
not unlike the amateur licenses of today.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:5350-3D984C47-803@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: themole@themole.com (lil' ol' me.)
On Sun, 29 Sep 2002 23:15:20 +0000 (UTC), Anonymous User
<anonymous@remailer.havenco.com> was blabbling:
..Subject: Re: watching
..
..Generals gathered in their masses
..Just like witches at black masses.
..evil minds that plot destruction
..sorcerors of deaths construction
..In the fields the bodies burning
..As the war machine keeps turning
..Death and Hatred to man kind
..poisoning their brainwashed mind
..OH LORD, YEAH!!!
..Black Sabbath
.."War Pigs"
..
..
Awesome tune!
Bob Dylan SUCKS!!!!!
-
Ha! I liked Dylan and Sabbath, but Dylan was THE consciousness of the
sixties. Dylan fans were usually a bit more intellectual than Sabbath
fans. While Dylan fans were for the most part actually concerned with
world change, Sabbath fans were busy playing Black Magic and referring
to 3 chord rock as "music"...these fans eventually gravitated toward
such bands as AC/DC, Judas Priest, Iron Maiden, and other nonsensical
"metal" bands.
As I said, I like them both and Ozzy WAS fun, (but never managed to
recapture the magic of the early Sabbath days) but those that can't
comprehend Dylan usually blew him off and chastised him without even
having the slightest inkling concerning his music. If he sucks,,,so does
Hendrix, the Stones, Clapton, and a host of other stars, as they ALL

copied Dylan tunes.

133. Re: Peaking a Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 20:53:40 UTC
From: IC <>
Message-ID: <0mmmpu4i2do4gas0shnsc9brro9jog95k2@4ax.com>
Source record: 138913

I don't like to post my email address on Usenet. I used to, but I
ended up getting hundreds (no exaggeration) of junk emails to that
address.

I have been corresponding with a very helpful technician at a CB shop.
He has experience with my radio, and was able to explain the process.

I peaked my radio, and managed to boost the output from 3.66W to 5.74W
(both numbers measured with the antenna as a load, not a resistor).
It works great.

Thanks to all who offered suggestions and opinions.

On Thu, 19 Sep 2002 09:15:05 -0400, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:

>I tried to e mail you, but SINCE you don't have A REAL e mail address, I
>can't help you. EVEN if it was in a book, THIS CB I would NOT recomend
>anyone doing it other than a GOOD compentant CB shop that has had experience
>with one (such as myself).!
>Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
><IC> wrote in message news:pudiou4gr5m157grl9vnocgb30e5aghq22@4ax.com...
>> Hi Dave,
>>
>> Do you have any experience with the Cobra 75WX ST? Is it like another
>> Cobra mobile unit for which there is tweaking documentation? I'm
>> willing to try peaking it myself, if it truly has the head room.
>>
>> If I do attempt it, I will be sure to take before and after power
>> meter readings, and post them here.
>>
>> --IC
>>
>> On Wed, 18 Sep 2002 22:17:25 -0400, "Dave or Debby"
>> <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Wrong advice, Ply man! I have done a number of them so far and it will
>make
>> >a difference.
>> >Dave
>> >
>> ><Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
>> >news:20020918175750.800$0N@newsreader.com...
>> >> IC <> wrote:
>> >> >>Can anyone recommend a good book for peaking a CB transmitter?

>> >> > Specifically, I have a Cobra 75WX ST.
>> >> >
>> >> > Thanks in advance.
>> >> >
>> >> Don't bother peaking it,the difference won't be noticed. Spend your
>money
>> >> on a good antenna.
>> >>
>> >> --
>> >> Go#40
>> >> A fine is a tax for doing wrong. A tax is a fine for doing well.
>>
>>
>>
>> ------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
>==----------
>> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
>=-----
>
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

134. Re: RF Disturbance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 20:56:52 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upmnevc7si6371@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 138914

Let's forget the linear for a minute and concentrate on the problem. Most consumer equipment is not well designed for use in an rf field. Filters on your equipment are unlikely to help a lot. Getting the neighbor to put filters on his equipment may be difficult. One thing you can do is locate your antenna as far away from the neighbor as possible. Changing from horizontal to vertical or vertical to horizontal polarization may help. Unfortunately, if you can't do this easily, you will have to work with your neighbor. Speaker leads close to 1/4 wavelength can cause problems. Power lines can couple rf into the device. Ferrites may help, but the best thing would be to locate someone in your area who has dealt with these types of interference problems (ps - the phone company may well sell filters that would help you with the telephone interference problem). Regardless of harmonics (and you really should NOT have any - the linear raises questions), the telephone should NOT pick up rf (but those cheap phones do, of course). The last part of the equation is the use of the linear. This can be a problem for licensed amateurs (due to the increased field strength), but leaves an unlicensed individual with his tail section hanging out a mile - so see if you can do something with the location of the antenna to reduce the problem before you approach the neighbor. Getting it up high will help.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Ricky Parker" <rdjparker@charter.net> wrote in message
news:upadq5p9alfv1e@corp.supernews.com...
> Good Evening all! Is there a way to prevent, especially when using
linear,
> bleeding into my niebhors phone and or stereo equipment. I have read
about
> the High and Low pass filters do these work, and have any of you had
> experience with this. rp
>
>

135. Re: RED CHINA loses again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 21:00:10 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upmnl5d8p7kla8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 138915

You'd be surprised how many companies are rushing to China to have their stuff assembled for 30 cents per hour or less. Even the Bose Wave Radios are assembled in Mexico (only the CD radios are manufactured in the US). Now, supply and demand is catching up. The Mexicans were earning 90 cents per hour. I've heard, but am not sure, that is now around $3.00 per hour. So ... the search goes on for cheap labor. I wonder how good a worker does when they are starving?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message news:3d9786b1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Yep, they did. Had a guy buy a 5" magnet mount last pm. Where I was (not
> my shop), there was 2 in stock. One from China, one from USA. Guess which
> one I told him to buy? Same price, and I KNOW the American made one will
> outlast the Chinese one, rust & all. SO, Don't buy ANY CB equipment made by
> OUR ENEMIES, RED CHINA ! You'll be sowwy someday!
> Dave!!
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

136. Re: FCC jusrdiction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 21:05:56 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D9B3EC9.A66C9307@mailcity.net>
Source record: 138916

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> Interesting theory. Can you prove that nothing originating in one state is
> received in another? BTW, I used to hear one of the 50 KW clear channel AM
> broadcasts out of California here in New York. Yes, I have received
> television stations from Canada. Interesting concept that all
> electromagnetic radiation stops at state boarders. This concept may go over
> as well as some of the folks who claimed that IRS had no legal authority to
> collect taxes. Some of those folks did not pass go nor collect $200.00.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

Every time the pirate radio ops get a little excited they pull out
that same old stuff and try to spin it into something it isn't.
Spin, spin, spin, is all they do, the way they wish to interpret
it is pure BS.

137. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 21:15:38 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210021315.74d34150@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138917

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote
>From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron=A0H.=A0Voobner)
>twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
>news:<24563-3D9A1E33-66@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
>"If you got rid of me, you'd still have Sparky, Leland, George, Doug,
>Dave Hall, and many others to contend with. -A
>
>
>
>Delusions of grandeur and artificially inflated self-worth is
apparent
>in the statement above written by "Aaron", for he feels that others
must
>somehow "contend" with an imaginary scenario only he can see. This
>magnificent display of a defeated, deflated, and wounded ego is
backed
>by his naming of a posse.
>-
>Taken out of context, you can make a factual statement sound like a
>threat, delusions of grandeur, or whatever you want. But to see the
>original post and discover who actually started the trouble, let's go
>back to the thread where that quote appears. Wow, look who originated
>the thread (an attack on me) out of nowhere. It's our old pal
Chimbuba:
>
>From: chimbuba@optonline.net (chimbuba@optonline.net)
>Subject: WHAT IF VOOBNER HAD SOMEWHERE ELSE TO GO INSTEAD OF
>REC.RADIO.CB
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>Date: 2002-02-25 06:08:20 PST
>WOULD WE MISS HIM???
>FOR ALL THAT HE BRINGS TO THE GROUP?
>I REALLY WISH VOOBIE GOT A JOB THAT TOOK ENOUGH TIME AWAY FROM HIM SO
>THAT HE WOULD ACTUALLY NEVER BE ABLE TO POST ON THIS NEWSGROUP EVER
>AGAIN....
>-----------
>"Aaron H. Voobner"
>If you got rid of me, you'd still have Sparky, Leland, George, Doug,
>Dave Hall, and many others to contend with. -A
>-------------------
>I agree, taken out of context anything can be misconstrued, which is
>exactly what you attempt but fail to pull off here. There were no
>"attacks" on you, as you just claimed, simply chimbubba "wishing" you
>would go away. You are way too paranoid which usually always

accompanies
>delusions of grandeur, such as pointed out in your above post.
Chimbubba
>was merely stating his opinion in response to your off topic posts
and
>other nonrelated issues and bullshit you sling in general. You must
have
>felt threatened or cornered or guilty or something of that nature to
go
>grasping as far as naming a posse that you apparently and correctly
>assumed shared your nature of discontent.
>Note you didn't touch on the subject of Lelnad's threat to the group
and
>his pact with Dogie to porn-spam (which he made good on) and you also
>failed to respond or address why your last "voobner" account was
pulled,
>and wisely so. To do so would be to recognize your intolerance and
>hypocrisy. I'm not certain your psyche can handle any more that type
>battering of the ego.
>It was YOUR response, it stands on its own and needs not be taken in
any
>context except which it was written.

Spin all you want but it isn't sticking. I stated a simple fact; i.e. if Chimbuba's wish were to come true, his problem wouldn't go away. The issue of legal vs. illegal CB has come up many times in the past and will continue to be discussed now and in the future, without my involvement. Freedom of speech means that you (Twistedhed) can advocate lawbreaking and disrespect for the government all you want, but you're prohibited from trying to silence the people who disagree with you. Thier voices will be heard, at as loud a volume as yours.

-A

138. Re: Heathkit SB200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 21:28:04 UTC
From: M&WC <decoratingit@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <ExJm9.15341$xI5.3003@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 138918

Yes but a foot switch can cure that ailment!!!!

--

"lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com> wrote in message
news:qragpu4iurpo3ilgn7p1o8mvvdh5adbvm5@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 29 Sep 2002 22:45:38 -0500, "Ricky Parker"
> <rdjparker@charter.net> was blabbling:
>
> ...Hello All! What does the group think of these amps, is it usable
> on 11
> ...meters? Is it pretty clean as far as RF output is concerned? rp
> ...
> does okay... doesn't have a Standby/operate switch.... I'd get a
> AL-80B and retune the input if it were me.
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is scanned and CERTIFIED Virus Free

Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/19/2002

139. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 22:27:43 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021002182743.15771.00006517@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 138919

why not? worked good for all the animals of the world.

>It would degenerate into an electronic "Darwinism"

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

140. Re: info..on grounding my whip's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 23:07:53 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D9B7C49.39A0F5B0@hotmail.com>
Source record: 138920

Hey Radiofun

Thanks for the reply on the email ..I am a rookies at cb's ....could you explain to me what you mean by "copper braid" I'm thinking it's a type of copper wire that I can ground to the truck and the mirror at the same time ...If I am wrong please let me know ....

Thanks .....

Zeek.....

radiofun wrote:

> Zeek.........if possible use "copper braid" for that, instead of solid or
> stranded.
>
> <zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3D9900A7.263882FC@hotmail.com...
> > Hi All
> >
> > I have a 89 f-150 pickup with daul antenna's mounted on the side
> > mirror's I don't think the antenna;s are grounded right ...the
> > antenna's work but they are high when I check them for swr ...when I am
> > checking them for swr and I grab the antenna's my swr reading will drop
> > quite abit is this because I am acting as a better ground than the
> > mirror's are giveing me..?? and if so.....is the best way to ground my
> > antenna's is to run a copper wire from my mirror and then bolt the
> > copper wire to the side of my truck for better ground.....
> >
> > any ideas would be great....
> >
> > zeek.....
> >

141. Re: info..on grounding my whip's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 23:17:58 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D9B7EA6.92A8B6ED@hotmail.com>
Source record: 138923

Hi Mad Dog

Thanks for the invite back into the room ...I didn't think I would be missed .....I read your reply and I think I get what you are saying .....but..... could you please retype the reply in real basic english.. ha ha .....sorry....I am just a rookie at cb'ing... you always have good input and I want to make it clear in my head (the square thing above my shoulders) of exactly what you are saying I should do ....I have a whip mag mount in my garage I could use .....but .....I love the look of the dual antenna....So if there is anyway I can leave the dual antenna's on ....any info...would be great .....

thanks

Zeek

Mad Dog wrote:

> Hey Zeek, nice to see you back again.
> The mirrors don't contain enough metal to provide a suitable ground plane
> and running a supplemental path via braided copper probably won't suffice
> because
> current ALWAYS follows the path of least resistance.
> I think you're pushing the envelope tryin to co-phase 1/4~ radiators on the
> p/u.
> The only reason they work on big trucks is the 9ft. spacing, you can't come
> close
> on that p/u.
> Give it up and mount a 9ft. whip or KW7 in the middle behind the cab and you
> will be much happier, co-phased antennas split the available power between
> themselves
> so if you don't p/u the 3db gain which properly co-phased antennas provide
> then
> you're losing the ERP battle before it even starts.
> --
> Mad Dog
>
> <zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3D9900A7.263882FC@hotmail.com...
> > Hi All
> >
> > I have a 89 f-150 pickup with daul antenna's mounted on the side
> > mirror's I don't think the antenna;s are grounded right ...the
> > antenna's work but they are high when I check them for swr ...when I am
> > checking them for swr and I grab the antenna's my swr reading will drop
> > quite abit is this because I am acting as a better ground than the
> > mirror's are giveing me..?? and if so.....is the best way to ground my
> > antenna's is to run a copper wire from my mirror and then bolt the

> > copper wire to the side of my truck for better ground.....
> >
> > any ideas would be great....
> >
> > zeek.....
> >

142. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 23:18:02 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021002191802.03613.00007464@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 138921

since when is wishing you would go away a threat? thats a stretch even for you voobner

>But to see the
>original post and discover who actually started the trouble, let's go
>back to the thread where that quote appears. Wow, look who originated
>the thread (an attack on me) out of nowhere. It's our old pal
>Chimbuba:
>
>From: chimbuba@optonline.net (chimbuba@optonline.net)
>Subject: WHAT IF VOOBNER HAD SOMEWHERE ELSE TO GO INSTEAD OF
>REC.RADIO.CB
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>Date: 2002-02-25 06:08:20 PST
>WOULD WE MISS HIM???
>FOR ALL THAT HE BRINGS TO THE GROUP?
>I REALLY WISH VOOBIE GOT A JOB THAT TOOK ENOUGH TIME AWAY FROM HIM SO
>THAT HE WOULD ACTUALLY NEVER BE ABLE TO POST ON THIS NEWSGROUP EVER
>AGAIN....

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

143. Re: info..on grounding my whip's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 23:23:15 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D9B7FE3.ED740515@hotmail.com>
Source record: 138924

Hey Cowboy

Thanks for the reply ....when you say more directional ...you are saying the antenna's will only work like in one direction ...instead of in all direction like a single mag mount antenna mounted on the roof of my truck ...??

thanks

Zeek

Cowboy67 wrote:

> Plus you become more directional with a co-phase antenna. If that matters to
> you.
>
> <zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3D9900A7.263882FC@hotmail.com...
> > Hi All
> >
> > I have a 89 f-150 pickup with daul antenna's mounted on the side
> > mirror's I don't think the antenna;s are grounded right ...the
> > antenna's work but they are high when I check them for swr ...when I am
> > checking them for swr and I grab the antenna's my swr reading will drop
> > quite abit is this because I am acting as a better ground than the
> > mirror's are giveing me..?? and if so.....is the best way to ground my
> > antenna's is to run a copper wire from my mirror and then bolt the
> > copper wire to the side of my truck for better ground.....
> >
> > any ideas would be great....
> >
> > zeek.....
> >

144. Re: Peaking a Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 23:24:10 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021002192410.03613.00007468@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 138922

was this over a period of years? ive never had that problem

>I don't like to post my email address on Usenet. I used to, but I
>ended up getting hundreds (no exaggeration) of junk emails to that
>address.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

145. Re: info..on grounding my whip's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 23:31:13 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021002193113.03613.00007471@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 138925

correct

>Hey Cowboy
>
>Thanks for the reply ....when you say more directional ...you are saying the
>antenna's will only work like in one direction ...instead of in all direction
>like a single mag mount antenna mounted on the roof of my truck ...??
>
>thanks
>
>Zeek

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

146. Re: Profile of typical antikeyclown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 23:50:22 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210021550.70dc5d57@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<tjHm9.4791$OB5.446749@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> Note: Voob did not deny any of it.

I agree. Probably hit too close for comfort.

BTW notice how the other antis are strangely silent...

Guy

147. Re: 12V power supply question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-02 23:57:27 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210021557.5907619b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138927

tramd300@aol.com (TRAMD300) wrote in message
news:<20021001171818.02053.00007252@mb-ci.aol.com>...
> I would say the demand for them is the highest reason for the price.If nobody
> wanted them, they would be cheap.
> Just my 2 cents worth...

Methinks Astron dumps a LOT of its profits into all the ads it runs in
CQ, AES catalog, etc. Just a hunch.

Guy Richards

148. Re: Dumb ass Aaron/RadioBob/Radio 8/KE4ENX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 00:04:57 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210021604.3d422db9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210021030.256b404@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210020421.4fd86dee@posting.google.com>...
> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210011838.6ed57342@posting.google.com>...
> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210010434.738528a7@posting.google.com>...
> > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209301047.4313359b@posting.google.com>...
> > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0209300425.2e091ac0@posting.google.com>...
> > > > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209271820.3e90ed96@posting.google.com>...
> > > > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > > > > > > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209261209.1f4cb4eb@posting.google.com>...
> > > > > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > > > > > > > (snip)
> > > > > > > > >Like I said, you don't know diddly about me.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > We know the essentials.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > -A
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >You know nothing. Nothing at all. "essentials"? No way. Prove it.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Gladly.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > -A
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > (massive snip of irrelevant trash)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > Don't like seeing your name out there, huh, Steve? -A
> > > >
> > > Aanal Aaron: Where's your *proof*? You got none, and your repeated
> > > posting of irrelevant garbage only proves my point.
> >
> > If it's irrelevant, then you shouldn't mind me posting it, Steve.
> >
> > -A
> >

> > PROOF, Aanal Aaron, not just the same crap.
>
> If it's "crap", it shouldn't upset you to see it again.
>
> -A

What a loser! Do you really think that the other readers are going to
believe in anything other than your frustration and desperation if you
keep posting that? That proves nothing.

Where's your PROOF, Aanal Aaron? You got nothing. You know nothing.
You are nothing.

Back it up, dumbulb; post your PROOF.

149. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 00:32:43 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upn43kfe1jg15b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 138929

It does raise an interesting question, however, Tim. I've seen claims that most of the hams are 'geeks'. I've only met two that would qualify as such. A lot of normal, married, folks have amateur tickets. A 12 year old kid, Jimmy, just got his ticket in another group. Sooo ... that, to me kills both the 'geek' thing as well as the 'over 50' deal (yes, families tend to reduce amateur activity a lot between the teen years and, say, 40 or so - I let my ticket expire and then simply retested in '93). Then there is the 'test' question, along with Morse code. Morse isn't necessary for the tech license (and moonbounce has been achieved too many times to count). The 5 words per minutes could be achieved within a week. So I question the validity of the 'test' as the reason a lot of folks (active in radio, but unlicensed) don't get the license. This leaves one big question in my mind. I believe you are just starting to hit the nail on the head when you mention keeping a current address with the FCC. Of course, there is a LOT more to that nail. You won't get an answer from a licensed amateur without a callsign. Granted, one can fake a callsign, but I believe you understand where I am comming from. In amateur radio, your fingerprints are all over your transmissions. My gut feeling is THAT is the real reason a lot of folks don't go for the ticket. Just my humble opinion, mind you.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

150. Re: Dumb ass Aaron/RadioBob/Radio 8/KE4ENX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 00:36:04 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210021636.5303a21b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 138930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>Date: Wed, Oct 2, 2002 5:04 PM
>Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210021604.3d422db9@posting.google.com>
>
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210021030.256b404@posting.google.com>...
>> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210020421.4fd86dee@posting.google.com>...
>> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210011838.6ed57342@posting.google.com>...
>> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210010434.738528a7@posting.google.com>...
>> > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209301047.4313359b@posting.google.com>...
>> > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0209300425.2e091ac0@posting.google.com>...
>> > > > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209271820.3e90ed96@posting.google.com>...
>> > > > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>> > > > > > > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209261209.1f4cb4eb@posting.google.com>...
>> > > > > > > >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>> > > > > > > >> (snip)
>> > > > > > > >> >Like I said, you don't know diddly about me.
>> > > > > > > >>
>> > > > > > > >> We know the essentials.
>> > > > > > > >>
>> > > > > > > >> -A
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > >You know nothing. Nothing at all. "essentials"? No
way. Prove
>it.
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > Gladly.
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > -A
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > (massive snip of irrelevant trash)
>> > > > > >
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Don't like seeing your name out there, huh, Steve? -A
>> > > >
>> > > > Aanal Aaron: Where's your *proof*? You got none, and your

repeated
>> > > > posting of irrelevant garbage only proves my point.
>> > >
>> > > If it's irrelevant, then you shouldn't mind me posting it,
Steve.
>> > >
>> > > -A
>> >
>> > PROOF, Aanal Aaron, not just the same crap.
>>
>> If it's "crap", it shouldn't upset you to see it again.
>>
>> -A
>
>What a loser! Do you really think that the other readers are going to
>believe in anything other than your frustration and desperation if
you
>keep posting that? That proves nothing.
>
>Where's your PROOF, Aanal Aaron? You got nothing. You know nothing.
>You are nothing.
>
>Back it up, dumbulb; post your PROOF.

Au contraire. You are the one that appears frustrated and desperate
Steven.

-Aaron-

--

rbcn1@hotmail.com (Radio Bob) wrote in message
news:<3d34cfcd.0202210938.7f5c6fb@posting.google.com>...
> Hi Everyone, Can't we all just get along? Apparently not. Pat Murphy
> (Steven J. Rogovich) just can't leave well enough alone.
> Be warned!!! don't a one of you dare to open your mouth and complain
> about problems at or the operation of John Cruzan's Free Radio Network
> at frn.net You will be visciously attacked and a great attempt will
> be made to run you off the face of the earth......By resident attack
> dog, internet troll and coward extrodinare...Pat Murfy. You can make
> up all fake names and create all the false email addresses you want
> Patricia but you don't hide your manner of speech or the garbage you
> spew. You only know how to throw rocks from behind shadows like the
> pathetic scared little boy that you are. Godless coward.
> Well I'm going to give you your stupid little victory and hope that
> you will be proud of yourself. John Cruzan himself suggested that I
> come here to post my messages but even you dare to defy your glorious
> leader. Well, unlike you, I have a life and don't need to have you
> crawling around chasing me around. I have lots of other things to busy
> myself with so I'll leave the Usenet all to you and your many
> alternate personalities. Richard Cranium suits you quite well and that

> other identity that you stole from a real person will soon enough be
> taken away from you by the proper authorities. Geesh, no one ever
> thought that the title of Pirate Radio's Number 1 Asshole could be
> taken away from jta but low and behold you have mangaged to do it.
> Congratulations Steve! You da man! Have ya a great life buddy! see ya
> later

From: Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: Radio Bob go away, nothing is forgiven
Newsgroups: alt.radio.pirateView: Complete Thread (6 articles) |
Original FormatDate: 2002-02-07 08:08:12 PST

Nothing boring about the FRN. It's been a very useful site since you
were forced to leave for causing trouble and slandering people. And
just sos you know, theres only 1 administrator on the FRN. The owner.
He has a couple of people acting as moderators to keep things in line.
I've lurked in the UseNet groups for years, bobbie-girl.

From: Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: More Fresh Stinky Meat-This Troll SHOULD Die
Newsgroups: alt.troll-bustersView this article only
Date: 2002-02-20 18:16:05 PST

rbcn1@hotmail.com (Radio Bob) wrote in message
news:<3d34cfcd.0202181325.659e43a7@posting.google.com>...
> Please go feed on Richard Cranium, richcranus@yahoo.com (well at least
> he's got the dickhead part right) This gormless nobrainer has
> visciously attacked me at alt.radio.pirate and has furthered the
> attack by going far out of his way to implicate real and totally
> innocent persons near my hometown area. He has established a false
> email account under the name of: Sabrina_Frederic@hotmail.com where he
> also launches spew from. He's further made a false claim against me
> and had my primary email account cancelled. I'd go after him myself
> but am new at usenet ect...and not sure what to do other than to not
> respond to tolls. Pleased to find this group where possibly some good
> sam's may reside who might be inclined to assist. If anyone needs to
> contact me by email please post message here and I'll get back to you.
> Thanks

Excuse me, "Bobbie-girl", but if the claim against you was false, why
was your account cancelled? And what makes you think I send it?

You've been on the usenet for years, bobbie-girl, and anybody can do a
search to prove that. Just search for Radio Bob and/or rbcn1.

Still jamming the ham repeaters in Cornelia, GA?

TROLL!!

---

http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&threadm=SHFQ8.6628%24v51.349481114%40newssvr21.news.prodigy.com&rnum=1&prev=/groups%3Fq%3DRe:%2BXM%2Band%2BSirius%2Bin%2Bdeep%2Bfinancial%2Bproblems!%26hl%3Den%26lr%3D%26ie%3DUTF-8%26selm%3DSHFQ8.6628%2524v51.349481114%2540newssvr21.news.prodigy.com%26rnum%3D1

Message 73 in threadFrom: Radio Roger (radiorogerthat@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: XM and Sirius in deep financial problems!
Newsgroups: alt.radio.digitalView this article onlyDate: 2002-06-26
21:16:45 PST

Hi.

Take a moment to examine the following posts via Google (check out the
"NNTP-Posting-Host").

"Russell Todd":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=217b8ae4.0201220629.6412dff4%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain

(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=217b8ae4.0206260047.37f72794%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.127 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net]

"Jeri Ireland":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=39ca9149.0204150515.6f9deee3%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=2e055d29.0202201600.2e124762%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.29 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])

"Thomas Krapper":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=4adf2202.0204170331.4d9b6086%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=4adf2202.0204290150.384b811d%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.76 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])

"RadioBob" (Woody/Woodrum/Woodbury):
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=fe0dabe1.0206211202.708dd9fc%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=fe0dabe1.0204090049.5a15e26b%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.5 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])

"Sabrina Frederic":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=23e8cbcf.0201230359.14cb7e08%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain

(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=u9rrd2fskmo9d1%40corp.supernews.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.208.124.158 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net]

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

151. Re: Profile of typical antikeyclown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 01:06:24 UTC
From: One Eyed Purple People Eater <1eye@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <kKMm9.433314$5r1.19016875@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 138931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3aed93cc.0210021550.70dc5d57@posting.google.com...
> "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<tjHm9.4791$OB5.446749@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > Note: Voob did not deny any of it.
>
> I agree. Probably hit too close for comfort.
>
> BTW notice how the other antis are strangely silent...

Yeah we don't answer troll post.

152. Re: Profile of typical antikeyclown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 01:09:43 UTC
From: Two Eyed White Cow Eater <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <rNMm9.55064$Fw2.1615803@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 138932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"One Eyed Purple People Eater" <1eye@xyz.com> wrote in message
news:kKMm9.433314$5r1.19016875@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> "That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:3aed93cc.0210021550.70dc5d57@posting.google.com...
> > "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:<tjHm9.4791$OB5.446749@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > > Note: Voob did not deny any of it.
> >
> > I agree. Probably hit too close for comfort.
> >
> > BTW notice how the other antis are strangely silent...
>
> Yeah we don't answer troll post.
>
>

Sure you do. I do it to you regularly. Sad part is you're too stupid to
realize you've been hooked.

153. Re: Whoops...gotta give credit where it's due! (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 01:11:11 UTC
From: One Eyed Purple People Eater <1eye@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <POMm9.24574$bY5.99580@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 138933

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021002185922.455$nG@newsreader.com...
> "One Eyed Purple People Eater" <1eye@xyz.com> wrote:
> >>Twisty it is no different then them taking you prozac or Valium without
> > you having a prescription,
> >
> You've used them for screen nics..did you have a script?

For a screen name no never had a script for them. you?

154. Re: Profile of typical antikeyclown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 01:49:25 UTC
From: One Eyed Purple People Eater <1eye@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <FmNm9.25118$bY5.102231@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 138935

Randy, Landshark is going to start posting all your screen nics in his posts
if you don't cut it out and if he doesn't it just proves once again how much
of a queer he really is. LOL

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Two Eyed White Cow Eater" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote in
message news:rNMm9.55064$Fw2.1615803@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "One Eyed Purple People Eater" <1eye@xyz.com> wrote in message
> news:kKMm9.433314$5r1.19016875@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> >
> > "That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
> > news:3aed93cc.0210021550.70dc5d57@posting.google.com...
> > > "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:<tjHm9.4791$OB5.446749@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > > > Note: Voob did not deny any of it.
> > >
> > > I agree. Probably hit too close for comfort.
> > >
> > > BTW notice how the other antis are strangely silent...
> >
> > Yeah we don't answer troll post.
> >
> >
>
> Sure you do. I do it to you regularly. Sad part is you're too stupid to
> realize you've been hooked.
>
>

155. hey everyone in Louisiana and Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 01:53:34 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <ol8npuorb45a339n1cde1ihvcks4h29807@4ax.com>
Source record: 138934

batten down the hatches, you've got a potentially dangerous hurricane heading your way, my thoughts and prayers are with you and your families for safety.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

156. Re: hey everyone in Louisiana and Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 01:57:02 UTC
From: Two Eyed White Cow Eater <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <OtNm9.57470$121.1625676@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 138936

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:ol8npuorb45a339n1cde1ihvcks4h29807@4ax.com...
> batten down the hatches, you've got a potentially dangerous hurricane
> heading your way, my thoughts and prayers are with you and your
> families for safety.
>
>
> 73 de Keith
> KC8TCQ
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
> Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
> American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

Thanks Keith. We were worried here in the Galveston area today, but it appears that the storm has started to make the much touted turn to the north. Louisiana is not going to have a good day tomorrow. I sincerely hope everyone in harms way has made the proper decisions. We had a cat 3 here in 1983, and it was not fun by any stretch of the imagination, and I really cannot fathom a cat 4 or higher. Prayers may very well be in order.

Randy

157. Re: Diesle mic to uniden?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 02:08:05 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021002220805.05307.00006847@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 138937

>From: "Yann" ec932@nbnet.nb.ca
>Would anyone know what wires from a >diesle #4-7 would go to witch pin for a
>5 pin UNIDEN Grant XL?
Boy you Canadians really are in need of help...so here goes...
Grant XL wiring:
Pin1=Audio
Pin2=Shield/Ground
Pin3=Receive
Pin4=Switching Wire
Pin5=Transmit
Open the mic up and see how the switch functions and where the wires go to
determine what each colored wire does. Then match it to the above list...or
visit:
http://hsc.usf.edu/~mfontain/mic.html
or
http://user.tc3net.com/shifty/micwire.html

"Got RF?"

158. Re: Peaking a Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 02:23:58 UTC
From: IC <>
Message-ID: <2ganpusf5ahc9m2vic8mo241m5p7mr12hj@4ax.com>
Source record: 138939

I believe you.

On 02 Oct 2002 22:54:17 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

>Email or not..I can fart louder than that 2+ watts gained you!

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

159. Re: Peaking a Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 02:26:21 UTC
From: IC <>
Message-ID: <5ianpu8fktrjol6rvqcm43h8o867o6oehs@4ax.com>
Source record: 138940

I should have said "hundreds per day". No, it didn't take years to
get to that point. It took about 6 months. I posted an average of 2
or 3 messages per day on various newsgroups.

On 02 Oct 2002 23:24:10 GMT, timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote:

>was this over a period of years? ive never had that problem
>
>>I don't like to post my email address on Usenet. I used to, but I
>>ended up getting hundreds (no exaggeration) of junk emails to that
>>address.
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
>http://www.TimNebo.com

------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

160. Re: hey everyone in Louisiana and Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 02:27:02 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <o1anpu45qgkl236jdfpkugpa2l5qt1sqjs@4ax.com>
Source record: 138938

On Thu, 03 Oct 2002 01:57:02 GMT, "Two Eyed White Cow Eater"
<antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote:

>
>"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
>news:ol8npuorb45a339n1cde1ihvcks4h29807@4ax.com...
>> batten down the hatches, you've got a potentially dangerous hurricane
>> heading your way, my thoughts and prayers are with you and your
>> families for safety.
>>
>>
>> 73 de Keith
>> KC8TCQ
>> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
>> Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
>> American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
>
>Thanks Keith. We were worried here in the Galveston area today, but it
>appears that the storm has started to make the much touted turn to the
>north. Louisiana is not going to have a good day tomorrow. I sincerely hope
>everyone in harms way has made the proper decisions. We had a cat 3 here in
>1983, and it was not fun by any stretch of the imagination, and I really
>cannot fathom a cat 4 or higher. Prayers may very well be in order.
>
>Randy
>

I was in FLA in the aftermath of Andrew, looked like a gigantic bomb
had gone off. Entire blocks where every house was flattened, you
couldn't even tell what street you were on. When Hugo hit, I stopped
to see a friend of mine in the carolinas, his neigbors tree had been
"relocated", right through the bottom of his new in-ground pool.\

I have no desire to live through such a storm, having seen one too
many tornados too close is bad enough, I had one cross I-75 100 yards
in front of me a few years back, and had one go over my head as a
child.

73 de Keith, stay safe
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

161. Re: hey everyone in Louisiana and Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 02:29:04 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <8oanpu0gjpt3atpal0chqu6gvginqba0np@4ax.com>
Source record: 138942

On 03 Oct 2002 01:48:02 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

>Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote:
>>>batten down the hatches, you've got a potentially dangerous hurricane
>> heading your way, my thoughts and prayers are with you and your
>> families for safety.
>>
>Hope you don't have to go there,unless it's for a rib burnoff.

I'd rather go down and listen to some of the good jazz that New
Orleans is famous for, and maybe some good cajun food and cold beer
heheh. I wonder if any of the jazz clubs have an open stage policy
where they'll let people come up on stage and jam..... that would
rock.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

162. Wilson 1000 placement and frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 02:30:57 UTC
From: Dan Lagace <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca>
Message-ID: <AZNm9.12704$Qh1.1294425@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 138945

Hey guys, I've just bought my first CB. It's a Uniden Grant LT and my antenna is a Wilson 1000. When i use it, my antenna is about 15 feet from my house, on a 5 foot ladder. Anyways, here's my problem: The guy is bought it off of boosted the output to 9.5 watts, and did a modification to give me 27.605MHz to 28.045MHz when I switch to the "bright" mode. When I switch to the "bright" mode, and to my LSB and USB, i can don't get many skips and when i do get them, it seems my transmit isn't reaching them. I'm in northern Ontario, Canada and i was wondering what frequencies most CBer's hang out on to get skips?

Regards, DL

163. Re: OT- Angels up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 02:31:17 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <dsanpukbh4hl7kgbl183b0ftl3nt05rde7@4ax.com>
Source record: 138943

On 03 Oct 2002 01:46:29 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

>4-1 in the top of the fourth..rock on!
A year ago tomorrow I left for NYC, and while I was there I had the priviledge to see the opening game of the playoffs between the yanks and the a's. I even talked to Bert on the phone, while I was up there, too bad we couldn't arrange to get together for a good steak dinner.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

164. Re: OT- Angels up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 02:51:25 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <t0cnpuo65npa12e2elbsv4b7uklgmlt3ua@4ax.com>
Source record: 138944

On 03 Oct 2002 02:32:45 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

>Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote:
>> On 03 Oct 2002 01:46:29 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>>
>> >4-1 in the top of the fourth..rock on!
>>>A year ago tomorrow I left for NYC, and while I was there I had the
>> priviledge to see the opening game of the playoffs between the yanks
>> and the a's. I even talked to Bert on the phone, while I was up there,
>> too bad we couldn't arrange to get together for a good steak dinner.
>>
>Hey,we still might. The Winter is my play time(unless it snows). Are you
>moving soon?
Not sure if I am even getting the job heheh, I sent another resume in
for a similar position in Nashville. With my luck, they'll give it to
some snot nosed punk who's fresh out of college with absolutely no
experiance whatsoever, rather than hiring someone who has learn the
best way, the school of hard knocks.

Don't take me the wrong way, I have been to collee myself, but I
learned more on my own than I did from the professors.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

165. Re: Wilson 1000 placement and frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 03:01:58 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <angbnd$drf25$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 138946

Usually they hang out below 26.965 (in the basement),
26.915....26.895....26.735
etcetra...etcetra, the real question is why ya trying run skip on Side-band.
The usual suspects use AM when the ionosphere allows the RF signal to see
itself
in the mirror........
Just do us all a favor and stay out of the ham bands until you get you're
license
--
Mad Dog

"Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message
news:AZNm9.12704$Qh1.1294425@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Hey guys, I've just bought my first CB. It's a Uniden Grant LT and my
> antenna is a Wilson 1000. When i use it, my antenna is about 15 feet from
my
> house, on a 5 foot ladder. Anyways, here's my problem: The guy is bought
it
> off of boosted the output to 9.5 watts, and did a modification to give me
> 27.605MHz to 28.045MHz when I switch to the "bright" mode. When I switch
to
> the "bright" mode, and to my LSB and USB, i can don't get many skips and
> when i do get them, it seems my transmit isn't reaching them. I'm in
> northern Ontario, Canada and i was wondering what frequencies most CBer's
> hang out on to get skips?
>
> Regards, DL
>
>
>
>

166. Re: Wilson 1000 placement and frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 03:03:28 UTC
From: Dan Lagace <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca>
Message-ID: <4sOm9.6965$Kg4.1109840@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 138948

Ok, no problem... I didn't even know i knew i needed a license to use a HAM radio. Where can I get one?

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message news:angbnd$drf25$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de...
> Usually they hang out below 26.965 (in the basement),
> 26.915....26.895....26.735
> etcetra...etcetra, the real question is why ya trying run skip on Side-band.
> The usual suspects use AM when the ionosphere allows the RF signal to see
> itself
> in the mirror........
> Just do us all a favor and stay out of the ham bands until you get you're
> license
> --
> Mad Dog
>
> "Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message
> news:AZNm9.12704$Qh1.1294425@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > Hey guys, I've just bought my first CB. It's a Uniden Grant LT and my
> > antenna is a Wilson 1000. When i use it, my antenna is about 15 feet from
> my
> > house, on a 5 foot ladder. Anyways, here's my problem: The guy is bought
> it
> > off of boosted the output to 9.5 watts, and did a modification to give me
> > 27.605MHz to 28.045MHz when I switch to the "bright" mode. When I switch
> to
> > the "bright" mode, and to my LSB and USB, i can don't get many skips and
> > when i do get them, it seems my transmit isn't reaching them. I'm in
> > northern Ontario, Canada and i was wondering what frequencies most CBer's
> > hang out on to get skips?
> >
> > Regards, DL
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

167. can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 03:03:47 UTC
From: AJ Sanderson <sjay@noospam.com>
Message-ID: <angca8$2rq$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 138947

I have 2 regulated 10 amp 13.8 v power supplies. can I combine these for 20 amp output?

168. Re: Wilson 1000 placement and frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 03:06:16 UTC
From: Dan Lagace <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca>
Message-ID: <IuOm9.6983$Kg4.1111163@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 138950

Moparholic, do you use side-band?

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021002230450.195$p0@newsreader.com...
> "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote:
> >>Usually they hang out below 26.965 (in the basement),
> > 26.915....26.895....26.735
> > etcetra...etcetra, the real question is why ya trying run skip on
> > Side-band. The usual suspects use AM when the ionosphere allows the RF
> > signal to see itself
> > in the mirror........
> > Just do us all a favor and stay out of the ham bands until you get
you're
> > license
> > --
> > Mad Dog
> >
> You stay out of a CB group,till you're a CBer again. K?
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Go#40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

169. Re: FCC jusrdiction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 03:16:39 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3D9B95A7.1892E3CE@mailcity.com>
Source record: 138949

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> >
> And WTF does it have to do with CBer's?

Ask birdbrain tools, he started the post.

170. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 03:18:48 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <sGOm9.434825$5r1.19025813@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 138951

From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Subject: Re: Update on Yeti's amp
Date: Wednesday, October 02, 2002 10:10 PM

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:upmh1s4ihg66cc@corp.supernews.com...
> Unfortunately, my news server was down for a couple of days and I
didn't
> jump on one of the web-based news servers. You are 100% correct
about wrong
> information being posted.

Thanks.

> Unfortunately, you missed the mark on your other
> comments.

Ah, no I didn't. 8-))

> The key to efficiency is to NOT run near the middle of the load
> line of the active device. Unfortunately, this does occur in linear
> amplifiers. A class A amp (to achieve maximum output) has to be
biased in
> the middle of the load line.

Correct.

> This means that the maximum sine wave will run
> just short of rail to rail and cause the device to dissipate the
same amount
> of power as the load (assuming no other losses, this means a 50%
> efficiency).

Wrong!!! Quoted from "Modern Electronic Circuit Design" by David J.
Comer, Published by - Addison Wesley 1976, pages 529-530.

****
The ac considerations are slightly different from those of the dc
case. With a given power supply voltage Vcc, the greatest peak-to-peak
voltage that can be delivered to a resistive load is equal to Vcc, and
the quiescent voltage must be chosen as Vceq = Vcc/2 for this output
voltage to occur. Minimizing the value of RL will maximize the power
delivered to the load. However, the minimum value of RL is determined
by the collector current rating, as shown in Fig 12.2. The minimum
value of RL that will not result in excessive collector current is

RL = Vcc / Imax

If the quiescent voltage is Vceq=Vcc/2, the collector current will be Icq=Imax/2, and the ac power that can be delivered to the load is

Pac = (Vpeak)^2 / (2*RL) = (Vcc)^2 / (8*RL)

The circuit efficiency of an amplifier is a measure of the effectiveness with which the stage converts the dc input power to ac output power. This efficiency is defined as

N = (Pac / Ps) * 100

where Ps is the power delivered by the dc power supply. Using this equation for the resistive load stage gives

(Vpeak)^2 / (2*RL)
N = ---------- * 100
Vcc*Icq

Both ac power and source power depend on Icq. If Icq is less than Imax/2, the peak voltage swing that can occur before cutoff is Vpeak=Icq*RL; thus the circuit efficiency becomes

Icq*RL
N = --------- * 100 for Icq less than or equal to Imax / 2
2*Vcc

The efficiency is seen to increase as Icq increases up to the point where Icq=Imax/2. Above this value of current, the peak voltage swing is limited by the saturation of the transistor. As Icq increases above Imax/2, the maximum possible voltage swing decreases and the input source power increases. Therefore we conclude that maximum efficiency occurs when Icq=Imax/2. This value of efficiency is

(Vcc)^2 / (8*RL)
Nmax = ---------- * 100
Vcc*(Imax / 2)

Since Imax = Vcc / 2

Nmax = 25 percent

We note that the quiescent collector current resulting in maximum possible efficiency is the same current that allows maximum output power. Unfortunately, this current also results in maximum transistor dissipation, as we have seen earlier. The transistor dissipation with no input signal is

Pt = (Vcc)^2 / (4*RL)

which is twice the ac power that can be delivered to the load.
****

End of Quote. The same argument can be made for a tube amplifier as well. All you need to do is replace Vcc with the Plate voltage, and Icq etc. with their plate current equivalents. The above analysis was for a common emitter amplifier, or for a tube a grounded cathode

amplifier.

> Biasing the amp higher or lower will result in less maximum
> output (and, of course, lower maximum signal in to the device).

That's covered by the quoted analysis above.

> I believe I
> stated that maximum efficiency (and I may not have been clear here)
that one
> can expect would be on the order of 25% from a class A,

It should be less since 25 percent is the very best you can do.

> a bit over 50% for
> ab1, perhaps up to 60% for ab2, 65% for class b, and 70% and higher
for
> class C.

I would tend to agree here. These numbers are close to what you would expect to get in a REAL amplifier, that is after taking in to consideration other sources of power loss. Yeti however was talking about maximum theoretical efficiency. I think that's where he goofed up. There is a BIG difference between maximum theoretical efficiency, and typical efficiency, so you can't just use one for the other.

> Of course, the WAVEFORM itself can effect this. If you choose to
> input a SQUARE wave, you could make that class A amplifier approach
100%
> efficiency by simply running as close to rail to rail as possible.

A 50 percent duty square wave is just about the only waveform that can do so, approach 100% efficiency. The reason being is the transition time from high to low, or low to high, is a very tiny fraction of the total cycle, thus the device spends very little time in the active region. I have to deal with this all the time in inverter design. We call it "switching losses".

> At maximum output, the voltage drop would approach zero across the
device and
> current would not matter (zero volts time whatever = zero watts
across the
> active device - a short circuit). At maximum negative input, the
current
> would approach zero and full supply voltage would appear across the
active
> device (an open circuit for the active device). In this case, zero
amps
> times however many volts = zero watts and no power would be
developed across
> the active device. This would mean, in the case of a square wave
(assuming
> zero rise and fall times and a perfect device), 100% efficiency.

This
> approach is used (in a way) for switchmode amplifiers. They easily
exceed
> 90% efficiency. Oh, then you can use pulse duration modulation -
which
> means the device is either fully on or fully off (again, in theory,
no power
> loss across the active device), but by varying the on duration, you
can
> effectively produce a signal whose average voltage will change
across a load
> while still experiencing (theoretically) no loss across the device
(thus,
> achieve linear amplification via a switchmode amplifier). Not a
trivial
> task, however.

You ever hear of a Buck converter? It uses PWM waveforms to step higher DC voltages to a lower value. Same Idea as you had above. We use them in a line of our RF power inverters. Typical spec's input voltage is 650 VDC stepping down to 300 VDC at 200 amps. Switching frequency is 9 or 14 Khz depending on the model. The converter provides the controlled DC source voltage I need for the phase locked MOSFET bridge, which generates the RF output power.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
depends on our willingness to take a
chance on tomorrow by risking what
we have today."

171. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 03:42:35 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <L0Pm9.435205$5r1.19026820@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 138952

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:upn43kfe1jg15b@corp.supernews.com...
> It does raise an interesting question, however, Tim. I've seen
claims that
> most of the hams are 'geeks'. I've only met two that would qualify
as such.
> A lot of normal, married, folks have amateur tickets. A 12 year old
kid,
> Jimmy, just got his ticket in another group. Sooo ... that, to me
kills
> both the 'geek' thing as well as the 'over 50' deal

So right you are Jim. Lawrence Technical University has an on campus wireless society. Anybody who has an interest in LEGAL wireless communication is welcomed, this does include CBers as well. I'm a member of the group. You don't have to have a Ham ticket either. Anyway one of the young people decided to get his Tech class license a couple of months ago. He had no way to get to any Ham Radio swaps on his own, he's about 20 and his car won't make the trip, so I took him with me to the past Findlay and Adrain swaps. He picked up a used 2m mobile rig and a few other items to get started. Keith met him at Findlay and I think Chuck may have at the Adrian swap.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

172. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 03:48:11 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upnfi4lp7qn7ad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 138954

EXCELLENT point and noted! ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021002205328.350$Gm@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> <snip>
> >>My gut feeling is THAT is the real reason a lot of
> folks don't go for the ticket. Just my humble opinion, mind you.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> >
> Well if anyone looked to this group for an Elmer,they'd likely stumble
> across a few no-codes with an attitude,and move on. I've been lucky thru
my
> radio days,cuz of the type friends I've had.
>
> My gut feeling is the snob/geek(not fair) thing has been replaced by the
> internet and cell phones.. That leaves us radio guys,so I don't understand
> why we're not all on the same side?
>
> The pissing contest in here is really wrong.
>
> <end rant> ;)
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Go#40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

173. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 03:52:37 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <9aPm9.91867$q42.3026217@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 138953

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24563-3D9A1E33-66@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>The hammie community looks upon thee as blights,,,canker sores of the
>community, the bottom of the feeders. Unfortunately, the above line
>written by "Aaron" proved to be profoundly prophetic, as did KC8LDO
>Lelnad's threats to have Dogie porn-spam the group.

It wasn't a threat. It was a prediction, which so happened to come
true. I also understand that you did in fact get that "jock strap" in
the mail, among other interesting items that you have not publicly
alluded to as YET.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
==
Subject: More Twistedhed's Blathering
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Author: twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
Date: 2001-03-26 17:20:06 PST

in 3741-3A87F5A4-23@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>nice forgery Dougie but the headers don't lie.
>Undoubtedly you don't understand how the Usenet
>works so I will explain it to you, my anonymous
>acolyte
>what do you know of my life? The jockstrap you sent
>in the mail is a trophy of your docile worship of
>me and it hangs proudly above my mantle
>I will never cease pirate CB activity as long as it irks
>you or someone like you. However, as a consolation
>and to ease your suffering, I will stay within the
>confines of the freeband for now. The saucer men tell
>me I have a good signal in the pile
====================================

174. Re: Need a CB with PA, and certain dimensions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 03:56:26 UTC
From: JunkMailMan <JunkMailMan@mac.com>
Message-ID: <B9C10D4D.26D5%JunkMailMan@mac.com>
Source record: 138955

Anyone? Please?

On 10/1/02 7:07 PM, in article B9BFA262.24AB%JunkMailMan@mac.com,
"JunkMailMan" <JunkMailMan@mac.com> wrote:

> Me again. The Cobra 19DXII is nearly perfect...except its a 1/4 inch too
> tall. But thatï¿½s the kind of thing I'm looking for. Maybe I could trim it or
> something.
>
> On 10/1/02 6:52 PM, in article B9BF9EFE.24A0%JunkMailMan@mac.com,
> "JunkMailMan" <JunkMailMan@mac.com> wrote:
>
>> So I'm in the market for a new mobile CB radio. I had one in my old car but
>> it was a big bulky trucker style CB from RadioShack (TRC-446?). Now that I
>> have a nice new sleek car I'm looking for a CB to match. Here's what I need:
>>
>> 1) fairly simple, cheap (but I am a sucker for bells and whistles :)
>> 2) PA function (the higher the wattage the better :)
>> 3) no larger than 6 15/16 inches wide by 1 1/2 inches tall
>>
>> (the RadioShack mini mobile one would fit perfectly but it doesn't have PA!
>> http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CT
>> LG%5F001%5F002%5F004%5F000&product%5Fid=21%2D1703)
>>
>> 4) preferably black
>>
>>
>> Another question, do the adapters that allow you to use your car radio
>> antenna work at all? My car has an antenna integrated into the rear window,
>> is this a problem?
>>
>> (like this: http://jcwhitney.com/product.jhtml?CATID=4967&BQ=jcw2)
>>
>> I don't want a big ugly antenna. I won't be using the CB function a lot, and
>> when I am it will most likely be talking to my friends around town.
>>
>> Thanks for the help!
>>
>> Tom
>>
>

175. Re: hey everyone in Louisiana and Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 04:00:47 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upng9nrqigc4ff@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 138956

Amen. From what I see on the weather maps, it appears to be one NASTY hurricane. It also appears that Louisiana is going to get hurt pretty badly. My prayers also are going out to the folks down in those areas.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message news:ol8npuorb45a339n1cde1ihvcks4h29807@4ax.com...
> batten down the hatches, you've got a potentially dangerous hurricane
> heading your way, my thoughts and prayers are with you and your
> families for safety.
>
>
> 73 de Keith
> KC8TCQ
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
> Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
> American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

176. Re: Wilson 1000 placement and frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 04:05:26 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <amPm9.92150$q42.3027320@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 138957

"Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message
news:4sOm9.6965$Kg4.1109840@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Ok, no problem... I didn't even know i knew i needed a license to
use a HAM
> radio. Where can I get one?

Don't let the pirate types on this news group rip you about getting a
Ham Ticket. Its your best bet to enjoy radio, and do so with out Radio
Industry Canada knocking on your door about running extra legal power
and out of band on 11m.

Check the links below for more info.

http://www.rac.ca/

http://www.qsl.net/oh2mcn/license.htm

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
depends on our willingness to take a
chance on tomorrow by risking what
we have today."

177. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 04:26:40 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <4GPm9.27357$bY5.117954@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 138958

"Ricky Parker" <rdjparker@charter.net> wrote in message
news:upkktnlotjdodb@corp.supernews.com...
> At least Iam not people like you who are judgemental about people I
don't
> even know, I am only trying to learn the best way by asking a few
questions.

Your best bet is just stay legal with your radio and power, no extra channels, and no extra legal power. If you really want to work DX so bad on HF try getting a Ham ticket. A 5 wpm morse code test, and a couple of simple written exams will give you a General Class license. With that you can LEGALLY run up to 1500 watts of power and pick what band is open to work DX. While the priate types here will recommend operating outside of the legal 40 channels that won't do you any good in another year or so because the sun spot cycle is already on it's way down. When the CB priates can't talk passed the city limits you, as a General Class Ham, you can simply drop down to the lower frequency bands to find one open. And the chance of that happening is far higher than hanging out on 11m.

Check out the links below for more info.

http://www.arrl.org/

http://www.arrl.org/hamradio.html

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

178. Re: FCC jusrdiction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 04:31:33 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upni3efjup5s4d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 138960

Mopar, the start of the thread had a URL to a site claiming the FCC had no authority to regulate the airwaves. This would imply cb, amateur, freebanders, am/fm/tv broadcasting - you name it. This does not necessarily imply they are regulating it, only that the thinking is faulty that they have no authority to regulate it (if they ever do ...)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021002183941.305$mP@newsreader.com...
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > >
> > > Interesting theory. Can you prove that nothing originating in one
> > > state is received in another? BTW, I used to hear one of the 50 KW
> > > clear channel AM broadcasts out of California here in New York. Yes,
I
> > > have received television stations from Canada. Interesting concept
> > > that all electromagnetic radiation stops at state boarders. This
> > > concept may go over as well as some of the folks who claimed that IRS
> > > had no legal authority to collect taxes. Some of those folks did not
> > > pass go nor collect $200.00.
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim
> >
> >>Every time the pirate radio ops get a little excited they pull out
> > that same old stuff and try to spin it into something it isn't.
> > Spin, spin, spin, is all they do, the way they wish to interpret
> > it is pure BS.
> >
> And WTF does it have to do with CBer's?
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Go#40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

179. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 04:34:32 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D9BC8C8.7EC8C626@icok.net>
Source record: 138959

George,
This is a serious question, do you really believe
that?
'Doc

george craig wrote:
>
> Please review this link and post comments www.williamcooper.com/federal.htm
> much to the chargrin of the ham ops they volunteer to be regulated....no
> license needed...

180. FS: 3- Pearce Simpson Guardan's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 04:54:32 UTC
From: boydl <boydl@cox.net>
Message-ID: <c4Qm9.1168$1k5.135451@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 138961

I have 3 Pearce Simpson Guardan 23 for sale. All 3 work.
Have 2 power cords and 1 hand mic. They need to be cleaned
up. They have been sitting in the closet for years. I checked
them and they all work, transmit & receive.
Also have 2 mobile brackets.

$75.00 plus shipping.

Reply to: boydL@cox.net

181. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 05:14:00 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <smQm9.93665$q42.3034995@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 138962

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021002235617.750$FY@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> >>I also understand that you did in fact get that "jock strap" in
> > the mail, among other interesting items that you have not publicly
> > alluded to as YET.
> >
> You're a real DICK,leland.

Tell that to the party that mailed it to him Mopar. Twisted seems to have a huge problem with keeping his facts straight. You know it and I know it. And if he didn't deliberately try to stir things up on this news group, by misrepresenting the facts, he may never have gotten it, the "jock strap" in the mail, in the first place. From time to time I feel like reminding him of that. If he wants to go around twisting dragon tails he can expect to get flamed every once in a while. Its part and parcel of the game he plays.

BTW if you haven't noticed I have posted very little to him in a long while, just to see what happens, well never the less he still keeps up his constant bad mouthing. Seems like all he is really interested in, as always, is causing trouble. I think he really likes to get his rocks off on the crap he stirs up on the group.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
--
"I care about truth not for truth's sake
but for my own."

Samuel Butler

182. Re: Profile of typical antikeyclown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 05:18:24 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <AqQm9.607$Ag6.17428857@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 138963

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021002215703.299$3i@newsreader.com...
> "One Eyed Purple People Eater" <1eye@xyz.com> wrote:
> >>Randy, Landshark is going to start posting all your screen nics in his
> > posts if you don't cut it out and if he doesn't it just proves once
again
> > how much of a queer he really is. LOL
> >
> WTF? Do you have to be a dick? Does it really make you feel like N8?
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Go#40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

LMAO!! Mopar, notice how I had nothing
to do with this thread, But George so wants my
attention..... LOL!!!! Troll George.

Landshark

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

183. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 06:12:35 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <angn58012e@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 138964

"AJ Sanderson" <sjay@noospam.com> wrote in message
news:angca8$2rq$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> I have 2 regulated 10 amp 13.8 v power supplies. can I combine these for
20
> amp output?

I think it would be a tad easier to buy a 20 amp supply.
What you're considering is not totally impossible to do, but would probably require more work than just hooking them together as you do with batteries. Consider the idea that the internal parts are designed for the output as they are, then you want to compound it by adding them together. You'd probably have to change everything around inside to ensure that the two units could handle the combined output.

184. Re: FCC jusrdiction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 06:21:09 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <angnla026k@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 138967

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:upmhlcpgrhspd6@corp.supernews.com...
> Interesting theory. Can you prove that nothing originating in one state
is
> received in another? BTW, I used to hear one of the 50 KW clear channel
AM
> broadcasts out of California here in New York. Yes, I have received
> television stations from Canada. Interesting concept that all
> electromagnetic radiation stops at state boarders. This concept may go
over
> as well as some of the folks who claimed that IRS had no legal authority
to
> collect taxes. Some of those folks did not pass go nor collect $200.00.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

How about WLW 700 AM broadcasting out of Cincinnati, Ohio?
They get heard in Los Angeles, California.
Then what if that little itty bitty 50 watt station in Deleware is heard in
New York City?
Oooooooops. There goes the theory that radio stations have nothing to do with
interstate commerce.
Oh, and speaking of cincinnati, a mile away from Kentucky, and 20 miles away
from Indianna.
Noo sir. 50 watt stations have no interstate commerce problems what so ever.
So quit posting this crapola link.You're only looking to be flamed on it.

185. Identify these old mono-channel walkie-talkies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 06:36:58 UTC
From: Will White <googlyelmo@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D9BE59C.C0642F8F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 138965

I found a pair of Sears-branded single channel 100 mW CB walkie talkies at a local thrift shop for $3 for the pair. They are in *beautiful* working shape, with black wrinkle metal case and chromed die-cast fronts, and even a snazzy little analog battery level indicator. Not a scratch, chip, rub, dent, or spot of rust on them!

They have also an earphone jack, off course a PTT switch, and on on-off-volume pot, and run off standard 9-V batteries. They look almost new, and of sufficiently high quality they were probably marketed back in 65 to CB hobbyists/utility users rather than to kids.

Now, while they do work fairly well (crystalled for Channel 11 as I received them). They could use an alignment, and the audio section of one of them at least needs new caps, and probably the PS needs caps as well. They each transmit and receive, but with lots of noise (no squelch circuit?), and one of the pair has low audio and a good deal of distortion, as well as picking up faintly a local AM BCB station sometimes. All the info I can furnish is as follows below:

*Labelled as Sears-brand
*Says "9 Transistor" below speaker-mike on front
*Marked on back "chassis #. 787.10001"
*Also on back ""service # 787-645000"
*Has old version of Part 15 warning on back (the one that mentions antenna length and power *input* and crystal tolerance (0.005%!)
*Manufacture Date May, 1965
*stamps both on the back and inside the chassis indicate these units were made in Japan.
*most transistors are marked "Toshiba", with a couple as 2SB56, 2SA74, 2SA92

I would be grateful to anyone who can probide me with any help or guidance in identifying these walkie-talkies, expecially in determining who the manufacturer was. I would be happy to pay reseaonable costs if anyone could provide me with a schematic, from Rider or Sams or some similar source. If you can only refer me to an expert in tracingin/IDing old CBs.

I'd like to put these back in original working condition. All advice, directions, suggestions, comments, and referrals very graciously accepted! Thanks!

--
Will White
Seattle WA
googlyelmo@earthlink.net

186. Identify these old mono-channel walkie-talkies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 06:38:01 UTC
From: Will White <googlyelmo@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D9BE5FE.DF7AF8F7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 138966

I found a pair of Sears-branded single channel 100 mW CB walkie talkies at a local thrift shop for $3 for the pair. They are in *beautiful* working shape, with black wrinkle metal case and chromed die-cast fronts, and even a snazzy little analog battery level indicator, and a very well-designed adjustable wrist strap. Not a scratch, chip, rub, dent, or spot of rust on them!

They have also an earphone jack, off course a PTT switch, and on on-off-volume pot, and run off standard 9-V batteries. They look almost new, and of sufficiently high quality they were probably marketed back in 65 to CB hobbyists/utility users rather than to kids.

Now, while they do work fairly well (crystalled for Channel 11 as I received them). They could use an alignment, and the audio section of one of them at least needs new caps, and probably the PS needs caps as well. They each transmit and receive, but with lots of noise (no squelch circuit?), and one of the pair has low audio and a good deal of distortion, as well as picking up faintly a local AM BCB station sometimes. All the info I can furnish is as follows below:

*Labelled as Sears-brand
*Says "9 Transistor" below speaker-mike on front
*Marked on back "chassis #. 787.10001"
*Also on back ""service # 787-645000"
*Has old version of Part 15 warning on back (the one that mentions antenna length and power *input* and crystal tolerance (0.005%!)
*Manufacture Date May, 1965
*stamps both on the back and inside the chassis indicate these units were made in Japan.
*most transistors are marked "Toshiba", with a couple as 2SB56, 2SA74, 2SA92

I would be grateful to anyone who can probide me with any help or guidance in identifying these walkie-talkies, expecially in determining who the manufacturer was. I would be happy to pay reseaonable costs if anyone could provide me with a schematic, from Rider or Sams or some similar source. If you can only refer me to an expert in tracingin/IDing old CBs.

I'd like to put these back in original working condition. All advice, directions, suggestions, comments, and referrals very graciously accepted! Thanks!

--
Will White
Seattle WA

googlyelmo@earthlink.net

187. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 10:47:28 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021003064728.12866.00008722@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 138968

Yes you can combine 2 10amp supplys to get 20 amps.Many people do this to run larger amps at home .They tie larger 70supplys together.

188. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 11:13:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14040-3D9C2656-184@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138971

Well if anyone looked to this group for an Elmer,they'd likely stumble across a few no-codes with an attitude,and move on. I've been lucky thru my radio days,cuz of the type friends I've had. My gut feeling is the snob/geek(not fair) thing has been replaced by the internet and cell phones.. That leaves us radio guys,so I don't understand why we're not all on the same side? The pissing contest in here is really wrong. <end rant> ;)
--
God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ -------------------- Go#40
Usenet Newsgroup Service
=====================================
I too have been lucky, Mopar, with the folks that introduced me to radio and it brings fond memories of some really good folks. You're right, most would probably move on after looking for an elmer, not only here but to any of the rec.radio forums. Again, I agree we should all be on the same side. Unfortunately, some do not believe in live and let live.

189. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 11:18:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14040-3D9C2771-185@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138970

"Aaron" tried with: >Spin all you want but it isn't sticking. <

Any "spin" came from you claiming you were attacked when you weren't.

>I stated a simple fact; i.e. if Chimbuba's wish were to come true, his problem wouldn't go away. <<

As did I,,,,that you were never attacked. Add Lelnad's threat to the mix and your last pulled addy and that makes 3 simple facts I stated.

>The issue of legal vs. illegal CB has come up many times in the past and will continue to be discussed now and in the future, without my involvement. Freedom of speech means that you (Twistedhed) can advocate lawbreaking and disrespect for the government all you want, but you're prohibited from trying to silence the people who disagree with you. Thier voices will be heard, at as loud a volume as yours. -A
<<

I have never tried to silence anyone. On the contrary and as I have said before, I want you to go nowhere, you are a constant reminder to some of us of what not to emulate, imitate, or learn from.
The issue isn't illegal vs. legal cb, it was your claiming a cb harassment posse that you are now trying to distance yourself from.

190. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 11:18:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14040-3D9C2794-186@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138972

Note how "Aaron" has to post in reply to only points which he pretends to rebuke. Although not making any valid points, he is putting forth propaganda in an attempt to discredit the truth by diluting the discussion. His failure to socially engineer his lies into the group and cover truths results in repeating the redundant behavior of constantly straying from the topic and turning it personal, as witnessed in his imagined "attack" by chimbubba for merely making a wish.

191. Re: Here ya' are, George!! (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 11:21:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14041-3D9C2834-80@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138969

From: 1eye@xyz.com (One Eyed Purple People Eater)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8732-3D9B4F7A-24@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net... They
don't give stuff back that is illegal, George.
http://www.bayarea.com/mld/bayarea/4180087.htm
-
They sure do if you don't have a doctors prescription for it, just the
same as you can't have a bottle of valiums without your name on the
bottle. The drug valium is legal but without a precription it is not.
-
Possession of valium isn't decriminalized in Santa Cruz. It's not even a
valid analogy for a myriad of reasons..

192. Re: Whoops...gotta give credit where it's due! (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 11:25:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14043-3D9C292A-14@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138973

From: 1eye@xyz.com (One Eyed Purple People Eater)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8731-3D9B51C4-68@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net... Thanks,
King.

Santa Cruz judge frees medical-marijuana patient, returns pot A judge
threw out two felony charges against a Santa Cruz man after he proved he
had a doctor's recommendation to use medical marijuana and order police
to return his pot. The full article will be available on the Web for a
limited time
:-
Twisty it is no different then them taking you prozac or Valium without
you having a prescription, prove you have a prescription you can get
your drugs back. <<
-
It's VERY different. Check your schedule of drug classifications and
penalties.

>That doesn't mean pot is LEGAL for anyone else in Santa Cruz, unless
they hold a valid prescription why are you trying to make it out like
anyone can use pot there.<<

I'm not trying to make it out like that and I never did. You are putting
words in my mouth because you were wrong with your original challenge to
my original post concerning this. I said it was decriminalized in Santa
Cruz and you claimed differently.

193. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 12:07:01 UTC
From: Newshound <newshound@spam.com>
Message-ID: <3D9C32E2.91AE70D4@spam.com>
Source record: 138974

"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:

> but you're prohibited from trying to silence the people who disagree
> with you. Thier voices will be heard, at as loud a volume as yours.
^^^^^

George, turn on your spell checker and you won't screw up like that.

194. Re: Wilson 1000 placement and frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 12:34:09 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <anhd89$dnalp$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 138975

I did'nt know i lost my CB priviledges.....

--
Mad Dog
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021002230450.195$p0@newsreader.com...
> "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote:
> >>Usually they hang out below 26.965 (in the basement),
> > 26.915....26.895....26.735
> > etcetra...etcetra, the real question is why ya trying run skip on
> > Side-band. The usual suspects use AM when the ionosphere allows the RF
> > signal to see itself
> > in the mirror........
> > Just do us all a favor and stay out of the ham bands until you get
you're
> > license
> > --
> > Mad Dog
> >
> You stay out of a CB group,till you're a CBer again. K?
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Go#40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

195. Re: Wilson 1000 placement and frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 12:38:12 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <anhdfs$dq265$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 138976

Oh, now i'm a pirate type......are you sure i'm not a stereo type?

--
Mad Dog
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:amPm9.92150$q42.3027320@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message
> news:4sOm9.6965$Kg4.1109840@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > Ok, no problem... I didn't even know i knew i needed a license to
> use a HAM
> > radio. Where can I get one?
>
> Don't let the pirate types on this news group rip you about getting a
> Ham Ticket. Its your best bet to enjoy radio, and do so with out Radio
> Industry Canada knocking on your door about running extra legal power
> and out of band on 11m.
>
> Check the links below for more info.
>
> http://www.rac.ca/
>
> http://www.qsl.net/oh2mcn/license.htm
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL Member
> NCI Member
> --
> "Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
> depends on our willingness to take a
> chance on tomorrow by risking what
> we have today."
>
>
>

196. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 13:07:15 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3d9c3fbb.66111108@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 138977

On Sat, 21 Sep 2002 23:05:49 GMT, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

>In <1iopoukrd424mrpg23iqvhncsehr2p5mr3@4ax.com>, IC <> wrote:
>
>>Given that a CB transmitter output impedance is 50 ohms, that we use
>>50 ohm coax, and that mobile antennas are rarely anywhere near 50 ohms
>>(and the impedance varies depending on the way it is installed),
>>typically how much improvement would one see by using an impedance
>>matching network at the base of a mobile antenna?
>
>Check this out:
>
>www.cet.com/~yeti/cb11.htm
>
>BTW, a few CB antennas are right on 50 ohms because they were built
>with resistors to open up the antenna's bandwidth. I have even torn
>apart one helical and found it was made with NiChrome wire. Of course
>that doesn't do much for efficiency, but the manufacturers know that
>most CBers only check SWR, not field strength.
>
So which would you say is more important Field Strength or SWR
(reflection)???

197. Re: RED CHINA loses again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 13:21:10 UTC
From: rexr@cox-internet.com
Message-ID: <3D9C4444.2B5DAC86@cox-internet.com>
Source record: 138941

How does buying American over chinese make one a "damn religious Werdo".

Just wondering.

"lil' ol' me." wrote:

> On Sun, 29 Sep 2002 19:23:32 -0400, "Dave or Debby"
> <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> was blabbling:
>
> ...Yep, they did. Had a guy buy a 5" magnet mount last pm. Where I
> was (not
> ...my shop), there was 2 in stock. One from China, one from USA.
> Guess which
> ...one I told him to buy? Same price, and I KNOW the American made
> one will
> ...outlast the Chinese one, rust & all. SO, Don't buy ANY CB
> equipment made by
> ...OUR ENEMIES, RED CHINA ! You'll be sowwy someday!
> ...Dave!!!

198. Re: Wilson 1000 placement and frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 14:12:40 UTC
From: Dan Lagace <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca>
Message-ID: <tfYm9.11735$Kg4.1202986@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 138978

Thanks

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:amPm9.92150$q42.3027320@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message
> news:4sOm9.6965$Kg4.1109840@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > Ok, no problem... I didn't even know i knew i needed a license to
> use a HAM
> > radio. Where can I get one?
>
> Don't let the pirate types on this news group rip you about getting a
> Ham Ticket. Its your best bet to enjoy radio, and do so with out Radio
> Industry Canada knocking on your door about running extra legal power
> and out of band on 11m.
>
> Check the links below for more info.
>
> http://www.rac.ca/
>
> http://www.qsl.net/oh2mcn/license.htm
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL Member
> NCI Member
> --
> "Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
> depends on our willingness to take a
> chance on tomorrow by risking what
> we have today."
>
>
>

199. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 14:26:34 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D9C5388.D3705234@icok.net>
Source record: 138980

AJ,
Sure, you can parallel two power supplies. There
are a couple of things you should be aware of when you
do that, though. The rated output of each PS should
be the same. If one supply is 'larger' than the other,
the 'smaller' of the two is going to work harder than
the other. That means that it will also fail sooner
than it should, normally. Unfortunately, when parallel
supplies like this fail, they usually take whatever is
connected to them, with them to the great power supply
graveyard. Both supplies should be connected so that
they can both be turned on at the same time. That keeps
one from 'surging' through the slower supply, something
else that's not the best thing to do to a power supply.
This isn't to say that you shouldn't combine two PS
as you want to do, just take a few precautions by adding
a protection circuit or two.
'Doc

200. Re: Peaking a Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 14:27:17 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021003102717.07570.00006986@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 138979

certain groups like the sex ones are targeted for this but not much of it happening here. ive always used my real address and have never had that problem yet

>I should have said "hundreds per day". No, it didn't take years to
>get to that point. It took about 6 months. I posted an average of 2
>or 3 messages per day on various newsgroups.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

201. Re: Profile of typical antikeyclown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 14:41:58 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <WGYm9.6549$OB5.623199@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 138981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

```
No, just lazy.
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021002191644.044$t8@newsreader.com...
> "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >>Note: Voob did not deny any of it.
> >
> Note #2..you top post 8 words. Is OE really that confusing yo you?
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Go#40 Usenet Newsgroup Service
>
```

202. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 15:31:05 UTC
From: Lenny Stover <mppd5107@the-police.com>
Message-ID: <ZoZm9.361$_86.160@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 138982

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote

> Problem is, everyone thinks everone else is 'keying' over them, and is
> using linears 100% of the time. The need to "talk over" a million
> other people using illegal linears is poor justification for the use
> of an illegal linear.

I think when somebody is chanting "Ba-ba-booyey" over and over and over, the *need* to be keyed on. I don't interfere with people conversing, but when I am giving someone directions and they key over me in the middle of my exchange of information, then IMHO, I am justified in adding some "fire to my wire."

> Are you a police officer?

Yes. And I also drive a truck. And I've been known to perform manual labor on occassion.

> I agree with the spirit of the law. And the spirit of the law in
> regard to CB is to avoid intentional interference and allow everyone a
> chance to communicate.

And there were protocols that every licensed CBer knew and that "most" practiced. Those protocols are out the window now, and CB is a free for all. Your only chance to communicate effectively is to "pump it up."

> It's become impossible to communicate BECAUSE a majority of people are
> running extra wattage and modulation.

No...it is impossible to communicate because you have too many people trying to talk at the same time.

>If the FCC let everyone decide their own wattage -- they'd
> let only SOME people (the ones with extra power) communicate -- and
> not others (the ones with low power).

The FCC did that when they dropped the licensing requirement and *anybody* with 50 bucks was able to buy a CB and dominate the airways.

> Proper radio etiquette is about observing limits too.

We have differing opinions of what comprises "proper radio etiquette" on a CB.

What if the 40
> legal channels became so polluted by high power and so unusable that
> the majority of CB'ers moved to unauthorized bands? Would you follow?

Ummmm......probably not, but then I don't anticipate that happening. What if the FCC dropped licensing requirements for "hams" and all the CBers bought export radios? Would you leave?

203. Re: RED CHINA loses again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 15:40:08 UTC
From: "lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com>
Message-ID: <d1popucsijlivt7svt63o174egmfder8dq@4ax.com>
Source record: 138983

On Thu, 03 Oct 2002 09:21:10 -0400, rexr@cox-internet.com was
blabbling:

...How does buying American over chinese make one a "damn religious
Werdo".
...
...Just wondering.
..

Well, you ever read any of idiot Dave's posts? He seems hell bend on converting or in his case shoving his brand of Christianity down peoples throat... I personally think he's a fucking nut job. and needs to get his fucking head outta his ass long enough to wake up and smell the roses... Hell, I'm all for buying american, but don't fucking tell me what fucking god to serve in the process...

204. Re: Peaking a Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 15:59:58 UTC
From: IC <>
Message-ID: <u4qopuskf3174bd3o4d4pgl2ts2q10cdj7@4ax.com>
Source record: 138984

Can't claim I never visited one of those groups; but, at least I
never posted, so that can't be the reason for all my junk email. No,
I just post on pocket PC, radio (ham, CB, and old time radio shows),
and astronomy related groups.

On 03 Oct 2002 14:27:17 GMT, timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote:

>certain groups like the sex ones are targeted for this but not much of it
>happening here. ive always used my real address and have never had that problem
>yet
>
>>I should have said "hundreds per day". No, it didn't take years to
>>get to that point. It took about 6 months. I posted an average of 2
>>or 3 messages per day on various newsgroups.
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
>http://www.TimNebo.com

------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

205. What to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 16:09:05 UTC
From: loloken <loloken@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <BYZm9.544$ue4.27012@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 138985

Just got back in the cb hobby.Texas ranger base and an a99 on the side of the house. I'm running legal 4w power and i seem to be "stuck in the mud". Can't talk to anyone without getting hammered by someone with power. I don't remember this problem back in the late 70's. I would love to stay legal but i see no other option but to purchase a linear. A beam is out as i'm lucky to have the a99 due to antenna restrictions.

206. Re: Peaking a Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 16:34:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27655-3D9C7191-203@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138987

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) Group: rec.radio.cb
Date: Wed, Oct 2, 2002, 11:24pm (EDT+4) Organization: AOL
http://www.aol.com
was this over a period of years? ive never had that problem
-
I don't like to post my email address on Usenet. I used to, but I ended
up getting hundreds (no exaggeration) of junk emails to that address.
-
Tim Nebo
http://www.TimNebo.com
-
Me neither, despite Dogies attempts. MSN finally figured something out
for me..........incredible customization and my own private spam tech!
:)
Funny how things work out.....

207. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 16:37:41 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27655-3D9C7255-205@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138986

In amateur radio, your fingerprints are all over your transmissions. My gut feeling is THAT is the real reason a lot of folks don't go for the ticket. Just my humble opinion, mind you.
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
-
And you're probably right.

208. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 16:41:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27656-3D9C7340-94@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138995

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
3 chords - wow - you should hear what they call 'music' today (rap?)
... btw were you referring to only 3 chords (such as C, F, and G7) or 3
note chords? <<

3 chords, not notes... but you're right,,some of the stuff today IS only
3 repetetive notes...I can't listen to that stuff.....rap and opera are
about the only types music that bug me....but way back in the seventies,
there were a few rappers that were getting on down b4 they were actually
labeled "rappers" and some were downright kicking azz at the
time.....Grandmaster Flash comes to mind along with a few
others.....listen to "Blowfly's Rap" sometime.....

>Of course, in the case of live entertainment, most of the customers are
either too drunk or have a tin ear to know the difference anyhow.
<<

Yup. Same with comedy. The jokes seem much funnier when everyone is
plastered.
Either way, too much music has gravitated to the lowest common
denominator, not unlike the amateur licenses of today. 73 from
Rochester, NY
Jim<<

Yea, there is some pretty crazy stuff out there. Somewhere along the
line it went way beyond Mick and the boys singing about some "Brown
Sugar".

209. Re: RED CHINA loses again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 16:46:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27656-3D9C7461-95@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138994

From: themole@themole.com (lil' ol' me.)
On Thu, 03 Oct 2002 09:21:10 -0400, rexr@cox-internet.com was blabbling:
..How does buying American over chinese make one a "damn religious
Werdo".
..
..Just wondering.
-
It doesn't. By American whenever possible.

210. Re: Wilson 1000 placement and frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 16:49:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27655-3D9C751A-211@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138991

27.555 USB is an excellent station for grabbing DX from all over the world.

211. Re: Wilson 1000 placement and frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 16:55:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27657-3D9C7685-30@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138990

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message
news:4sOm9.6965$Kg4.1109840@news20.bellglobal.com...
Ok, no problem... I didn't even know i knew i needed a license to use a
HAM radio. Where can I get one?
-
Lelnad wrote:
Don't let the pirate types on this news group rip you about getting a
Ham Ticket.
-
Who has done that? No one has done that, just you as usual, Lelnad,
trying to lay something on someone that doesn't exist. Indeed, if he
wishes to enter hammie radio, good luck to him,,,....but no sense in
half-stepping and getting 2 Big Macs, Large Fries, Apple Pie, and a
"diet" Coke......do it right and bypass the nocode technicians ticket
altogether. They can't use HF and that is where the skip is that this
gentleman seeks. 5 words per minute can be done in a week or so.

212. Re: What to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 16:55:47 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <anhsip$eed73$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 138988

You know they say neighbor, if ya can't run with the big dogs.....
--
Mad Dog

"loloken" <loloken@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:BYZm9.544$ue4.27012@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Just got back in the cb hobby.Texas ranger base and an a99 on the side of
> the house. I'm running legal 4w power and i seem to be "stuck in the mud".
> Can't talk to anyone without getting hammered by someone with power. I
don't
> remember this problem back in the late 70's. I would love to stay legal
but
> i see no other option but to purchase a linear. A beam is out as i'm lucky
> to have the a99 due to antenna restrictions.
>
>

213. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 16:59:05 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <anhsp0$e8e78$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 138989

I just call it like i see it.
--
Mad Dog

"Ricky Parker" <rdjparker@charter.net> wrote in message
news:upkktnlotjdodb@corp.supernews.com...
> At least Iam not people like you who are judgemental about people I don't
> even know, I am only trying to learn the best way by asking a few
questions.
> "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
> news:anc6u3$co3vn$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de...
> > The moonraker has enough gain to provide excellent DX capability w/o the
> use
> > of a external signal amplifier.
> > People like you are part of the problem.....not part of the solution.
> > Try working within the boundaries set forth by the FCC, they specify
> maximum
> > ratings for PEP but nothing governing ERP.
> > Use your head man, build a high-gain system using a moonraker or two or
> > four.
> > You will have more Effective Radiated Power than you know what to do
with.
> > A 4watt carrier into a antenna with 20db of gain will give you 400watts
of
> > effective
> > radiated power.
> > A 25watt carrier will give you 2500watts ERP with the same antenna,
> > so tell me now which is better....a high-gain antenna or a highly
illegal
> > amplifier.
> > Keep in mind that a amplifier also creates highly undesirable harmonics
> that
> > will
> > surely cause troubles with the neighbors, whereas the signal from a
> quality
> > Transmitter
> > fed thru some 9913 into the array would be cleaner and more
> understandable.
> > --
> > Mad Dog
> >
> > "Ricky Parker" <rdjparker@charter.net> wrote in message
> > news:upi0eclr540n02@corp.supernews.com...
> > > How about these Sweet 16 amps. How do they stack up to Heathkit and

> > others.
> > > Im setting up a moonraker 4 about 50 ft in the air. I want something
> so
> > I
> > > can do some serious dxing. One guy was telling me about a
Thunderbolt
> > 350
> > > how are they. I appreciate all you guys helping me out. I'm really
> > > appreciate you letting me glean from your knowledge. rp
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

214. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 17:05:06 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27656-3D9C78C2-99@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138992

The hammie community looks upon thee as blights,,,canker sores of the
community, the bottom of the feeders. Unfortunately, the above line
written by "Aaron" proved to be profoundly prophetic, as did KC8LDO
Lelnad's threats to have Dogie porn-spam the group.
-
It wasn't a threat. It was a prediction, which so happened to come true.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
ARRL Member
NCI Member

Well, you can call it whatever you like. Let's see.....
I claimed that reporting Dogie got him TOSSES and that it stopped his
flooding..remember this...

>"But, it did stop the flooding. That can't be
denied"......in response to KC8LDO's assertion that reporting a porn
posting flooder in rec.radio.cb did no good. <<

Which was in response to your threat below....

>"He could have stop simply because that is what he wanted to do. I'm
sure if you want to test the water he would be happy to resume it just
to prove a point.
73 de,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
ARRL member
NCI member<

You're too predictable, Lelnad. Whenever you can't control yourself and
your anger gets the best of you, you post a forge and start talking
about mens undergarments.
Nevertheless, you made the threat in a pact with Dogie and made good on
it. Get over yourself.

215. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 17:09:11 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27656-3D9C79B7-100@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138993

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
I also understand that you did in fact get that "jock strap" in
the mail, among other interesting items that you have not publicly
alluded to as YET.

>You're a real DICK,leland. <
--
God Bless America -

-He's actually a comedian..he's pretty funny when he gets upset. But one
thing stands alone......Dogie, Lelnad, Geo,,,,all talk about OT stuff
instead of cb and it all has a queer element to it. I don't hold his
homosexuality against Lelnad, but his fetish for mens underwear is a bit
out of the ordinary.

216. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 17:19:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27655-3D9C7C10-217@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138999

>Tell that to the party that mailed it to him Mopar. Twisted seems to have a huge problem with keeping his facts straight. <<

Oh? Show a post that I made that wasn't true...you cant, which is why you took to forging me. The post you keep putting forth and failing to attribute to me doesn't exist with my headers.....if it did, you would post it, but you can't even master OE yet, so that's out of the question.

>You know it and I know it. And if he didn't deliberately try to stir things up on this news group, by misrepresenting the facts, <<

Talk to "Aaron".
He named you as part of his trouble posse. You are once again, misdirecting your anger, my child.

>he may never have gotten it, the "jock strap" in the mail, in the first place. From time to time I feel like reminding him of that. <<

Go right ahead. It is quite apparent you enjoy and get your jollies over such talk.

>If he wants to go around twisting dragon tails he can expect to get flamed every once in a while. <<

You can't even do that right, "nad, or else you wouldn't have had to reduce yourself to forging and you never would have lost any acces sites to the group.

>Its part and parcel of the game he plays. <

Only the losers named in the posse by "Aaron"
play games. And harass. And insult. And spam. And threaten.....etc., etc.,

>BTW if you haven't noticed I have posted very little to him in a long while, just to see what happens, well never the less he still keeps up his constant bad mouthing. <<

You made your bed, now deal with it. That's the great thing about usenet, you can't take it back and everyone can see what type person you are. Hint: No one sees you as you think they do.

>Seems like all he is really interested in, as always, is causing trouble. I think he really likes to get his rocks off on the crap he stirs up on the group.
--
Leland C. Scott

KC8LDO<<

LMAO! Lelnad, if you had any credibility left at all whatsoever, you wouldn't be all over the board attempting but failing to post as others. Chin up, old boy. Now clean yourself off.

217. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 17:29:53 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27656-3D9C7E91-102@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138996

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Ricky Parker" <rdjparker@charter.net> wrote in message
news:upkktnlotjdodb@corp.supernews.com...
At least Iam not people like you who are judgemental about people I
don't even know, I am only trying to learn the best way by asking a few
questions.
-
>Your best bet is just stay legal with your radio and power, no extra
channels, and no extra legal power. If you really want to work DX so bad
on HF try getting a Ham ticket. A 5 wpm morse code test, and a couple of
simple written exams will give you a General Class license. With that
you can LEGALLY run up to 1500 watts of power and pick what band is open
to work DX. While the priate types here will recommend operating outside
of the legal 40 channels<<

More Lelnad Bullshit. Couple this with your past off-topic, insultive
posts that dwell on your sexual fondness for mens underwear and then
tell us why in the world would anyone want to do anything you suggest?
Besides, Lelnad, you're one of the more famous pirates in here. First
you accused me and Tim of using your call (gag). Then you began hearing
from others that heard your call on 555......you're worldwide and tree
top tall, Nad!

> that won't do you any good in another year or so because the sun spot
cycle is already on it's way down. When the CB priates can't talk passed
the city limits you, <<

And yet even more bullshit innuendo. Here 'Nad can barely contain his
hate and discontent for cbers....why when a cber can't talk past the
city limits they are automatically a pirate? Think, Nad, think before
you post.

>as a General Class Ham, you can simply drop down to the lower frequency
bands to find one open. And the chance of that happening is far higher
than hanging out on 11m. <<

If one is simply looking for an open band, you can do it without being a
General, also.

>Check out the links below for more info.
http://www.arrl.org/
http://www.arrl.org/hamradio.html <<

And find a good Elmer. I say this because I shudder at the thought of
any of the troubled no-code posse that Aaron named as trying to be
anyones elmer. They are lids.

218. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 17:31:52 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27656-3D9C7F08-103@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138997

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
A 5 wpm morse code test, and a
couple of simple written exams will give you a General Class license.
Great advice,Leland. When are you gonna follow it?
--
He has been studying for an upgrade since the summer of 2001....so he
claimed.
Some learn slower than others.

219. Re: hey everyone in Louisiana and Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 17:35:20 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27656-3D9C7FD8-104@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 138998

I'd rather go down and listen to some of the good jazz that New Orleans is famous for, and maybe some good cajun food and cold beer heheh. I wonder if any of the jazz clubs have an open stage policy where they'll let people come up on stage and jam..... that would rock.
73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
-
Heya Keith, I thought you quit drinking?
Ah, stick with it. Quitting is the easiest thing in the world to do......I've stopped smoking hundreds of times <g>. Seriously, it took many attempts to give up the cigarettes, but it was worth it when I finally accomplished it. Good luck..

220. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 17:38:29 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Ka%m9.12462$OM4.7247752@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 139000

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:27655-3D9C7255-205@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> In amateur radio, your fingerprints are all over your transmissions. My
> gut feeling is THAT is the real reason a lot of folks don't go for the
> ticket. Just my humble opinion, mind you.
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> -
> And you're probably right.

Yet, if one is operating within the rules, why does "having one's
fingerprint all over his transmissions"
matter? After all, Amateur Radio does offer those things LEGALLY that many
CBers do illegally:
DX, mo' power (but one quickly learns that it isn't really
necessary---maybe a tad on 75 Meters),
etc, and things that CB doesn't offer like moonbounce and talking to the
space station--which is why Lance Bass got his ticket. I'm not trying to
argue at all, I honestly don't see where it matters
if the FCC Chairman (Powell) 'hisself' is listening to me. I don't really
care cuz I stay within the
very wide parameters the rules allow me.

Jerry

>

221. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 17:55:04 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <gq%m9.12554$OM4.7342441@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 139001

Timbo Nebo <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20021002182743.15771.00006517@mb-cv.aol.com...
> why not? worked good for all the animals of the world.
>
> >It would degenerate into an electronic "Darwinism"
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo

Because humans have the intellectual ability to create orderly societies
that do not devour the weaker ones or foster the wants of a few over the
needs of the whole. In the case of radio, it
has proven to be an integral part of making life richer, safer, and more
convenient for us all. In order for radio to continue to provide benefits
to societies, it has to be regulated, divided up, and
"fenced", as it were, by some agency which, in the USA, happens to be FCC.
Even in this area,
people's greed often reflects "Darwinism"; IOW, nations look hungrily at
others' lands, wealth, and
way of living and covet it. Wars thru out history have been fought for
building empires. *Some* radio ops would wish to build an "empire" of
frequencies at the expense of other, even more impor-
tant radio users. Except for the "army" designed to protect the interests
of those users, radio would
be reduced to, well, what CB is already. The "warriors", having ruined what
they already had, seek
to move on to other pastures, when that is spoiled, on to others until there
is nothing left but squeals, howls, and a useless medium.

It WAS that way once, it didn't work then, and it wouldn't work now.

Jerry

>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>

222. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 18:04:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upp1o1empe8ffe@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139002

SWR in the feedline does not matter. SWR into the rig may, but that can easily be remedied.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message news:3d9c3fbb.66111108@news.optonline.net...
> On Sat, 21 Sep 2002 23:05:49 GMT, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
> (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>
> >In <1iopoukrd424mrpg23iqvhncsehr2p5mr3@4ax.com>, IC <> wrote:
> >
> >>Given that a CB transmitter output impedance is 50 ohms, that we use
> >>50 ohm coax, and that mobile antennas are rarely anywhere near 50 ohms
> >>(and the impedance varies depending on the way it is installed),
> >>typically how much improvement would one see by using an impedance
> >>matching network at the base of a mobile antenna?
> >
> >Check this out:
> >
> >www.cet.com/~yeti/cb11.htm
> >
> >BTW, a few CB antennas are right on 50 ohms because they were built
> >with resistors to open up the antenna's bandwidth. I have even torn
> >apart one helical and found it was made with NiChrome wire. Of course
> >that doesn't do much for efficiency, but the manufacturers know that
> >most CBers only check SWR, not field strength.
> >
> So which would you say is more important Field Strength or SWR
> (reflection)???
>

223. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 18:08:59 UTC
From: killakeyclown@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <86209e94.0210031008.3f951a40@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139003

"Chief Bromden" <1flew@xyz.com> wrote in message
news:<SRmm9.405449$5r1.18828716@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> http://www.angelfire.com/(deleted)
Forwarded to FCC for their review. Thank you.

224. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 18:13:00 UTC
From: killakeyclown@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <86209e94.0210031013.16fe50a7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139004

"Chief Bromden" <1flew@xyz.com> wrote in message
news:<SRmm9.405449$5r1.18828716@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> http://www.angelfire.com/(deleted)

Also reported to Angelfire for TOS violation.

225. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 18:22:51 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10044-3D9C8AFB-214@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139005

>Yet, if one is operating within the rules, why does "having one's
fingerprint all over his transmissions"
matter?<<

It doesn't in the scenario you described..

> After all, Amateur Radio does offer those things LEGALLY that many
CBers do illegally:
DX, mo' power (but one quickly learns that it isn't really
necessary---maybe a tad on 75 Meters), <<

Hell Jer, I learned that on cb not hammie radio.

>etc, and things that CB doesn't offer like moonbounce and talking to
the space station--which is why Lance Bass got his ticket. I'm not
trying to argue at all, I honestly don't see where it matters if the FCC
Chairman (Powell) 'hisself' is listening to me. I don't really care cuz
I stay within the
very wide parameters the rules allow me.
Jerry<<

And you should be commended for doing what you feel is your part and
duty. Thing is, we don't all agree on all the rules......whether it's cb
or hammie radio. Civil disobedience goes a long way sometimes....look at
the double nickle speed limits that were repealed.

226. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 18:59:54 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <Hw0n9.3838$dQ1.166175@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 139006

Field strength is more important. Adjust for max field strength and then use a transmatch (Fancy shmancy name for an tuner) to match the impedance of the feedline so the xmitter "sees" what it wants to see, an impedance of 50 Ohms. That way, the xmitter can deliver ALL of it's power to an antenna that is configured for the maximum field strength. "Won't there be losses in the feedline due to excessive SWR?" Ladder-line baby! Lower loss than ANY coax...regardless of SWR. Dat's me take on it, hope it helped. :-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

<chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3d9c3fbb.66111108@news.optonline.net...
> On Sat, 21 Sep 2002 23:05:49 GMT, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
> (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>
> >In <1iopoukrd424mrpg23iqvhncsehr2p5mr3@4ax.com>, IC <> wrote:
> >
> >>Given that a CB transmitter output impedance is 50 ohms, that we use
> >>50 ohm coax, and that mobile antennas are rarely anywhere near 50 ohms
> >>(and the impedance varies depending on the way it is installed),
> >>typically how much improvement would one see by using an impedance
> >>matching network at the base of a mobile antenna?
> >
> >Check this out:
> >
> >www.cet.com/~yeti/cb11.htm
> >
> >BTW, a few CB antennas are right on 50 ohms because they were built
> >with resistors to open up the antenna's bandwidth. I have even torn
> >apart one helical and found it was made with NiChrome wire. Of course
> >that doesn't do much for efficiency, but the manufacturers know that
> >most CBers only check SWR, not field strength.
> >
> So which would you say is more important Field Strength or SWR
> (reflection)???
>

227. Re: What to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 19:07:14 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <Cz0n9.6890$OB5.657532@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 139009

Send your radio here...

http://www.fgbestcommunications.com/

Ask to have Bill Good work on your radio. It will be CLEAN (unlike most of the hack jobs done out here) and loud. A much better performer than what came out of the factory AND much cleaner too!

Next, get a real antenna. The A-99 works...but sucks! Se here...

http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/a99/

You are much better of with a IMAX 2000 or a Maco V58. The best money you can spend is on your antenna! Since you have antenna restrictions, the IMAX 2000 will fit your needs nicely!

If you really want a GREAT antenna, get an Interceptor 10K!

http://www.a1antennas.com

You will need to tune it for 11 meters (easy to do, just follow the instructions).

After that there are only beams.

An amplifier will only make a dirty station MORE dirty! You don't need an amp. I don't run one and sound GREAT. All the locals say my signal is CLEAN AND CLEAR! This what you want!

Do it right the first time!

Dino

>Just got back in the cb hobby.Texas ranger base and an a99 on the side of
>the house. I'm running legal 4w power and i seem to be "stuck in the mud".
>Can't talk to anyone without getting hammered by someone with power. I don't
>remember this problem back in the late 70's. I would love to stay legal but
>i see no other option but to purchase a linear. A beam is out as i'm lucky
>to have the a99 due to antenna restrictions.
>
>

228. Re: Dumb ass Aaron/RadioBob/Radio 8/KE4ENX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 19:12:00 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210031111.4643bded@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210021636.5303a21b@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >Date: Wed, Oct 2, 2002 5:04 PM
> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210021604.3d422db9@posting.google.com>
> >
> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210021030.256b404@posting.google.com>...
> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210020421.4fd86dee@posting.google.com>...
> >> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210011838.6ed57342@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210010434.738528a7@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209301047.4313359b@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0209300425.2e091ac0@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209271820.3e90ed96@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >> > > > > > > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209261209.1f4cb4eb@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > > > > > > >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >> > > > > > > > >> (snip)
> >> > > > > > > > >> >Like I said, you don't know diddly about me.
> >> > > > > > > > >>
> >> > > > > > > > >> We know the essentials.
> >> > > > > > > > >>
> >> > > > > > > > >> -A
> >> > > > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > > >You know nothing. Nothing at all. "essentials"? No
> way. Prove
> it.
> >> > > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > > Gladly.
> >> > > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > > -A
> >> > > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > (massive snip of irrelevant trash)
> >> > > > > > >
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > Don't like seeing your name out there, huh, Steve? -A

> >> > > >
> >> > > > Aanal Aaron: Where's your *proof*? You got none, and your
> repeated
> >> > > > posting of irrelevant garbage only proves my point.
> >> > > >
> >> > > If it's irrelevant, then you shouldn't mind me posting it,
> Steve.
> >> > > >
> >> > > -A
> >> >
> >> > PROOF, Aanal Aaron, not just the same crap.
> >>
> >> If it's "crap", it shouldn't upset you to see it again.
> >>
> >> -A
> >
> >What a loser! Do you really think that the other readers are going to
> >believe in anything other than your frustration and desperation if
> you
> >keep posting that? That proves nothing.
> >
> >Where's your PROOF, Aanal Aaron? You got nothing. You know nothing.
> >You are nothing.
> >
> >Back it up, dumbulb; post your PROOF.
>
> Au contraire. You are the one that appears frustrated and desperate
> Steven.
>
> -Aaron-

I'm not frustrated or desperate at all, but I am waiting for your
alleged PROOF, Aanal Aaron. Don't have any? Why not just admit it?
Just have to show the world what a complete moron you are?

At the risk of sounding as repetitive as you are: You got nothing, you
know nothing, you are nothing.

229. Galaxy DX-55V 10 Meter Radio Forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 19:12:38 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021003151238.00921.00009710@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 139011

I bought this radio one year ago. It has only been used by me as a base station, has never been in a car. I had it professionally tuned up. It has Variable PWR from .5 to 8 watts and modulates to around 30. Modulation has been tuned up as well. This is a VERY loud radio and has alot of swing. I still have the Galaxy 55 Box, mounting brackets, power cord, the original stock hand mic, manuals, and whatever else it came with. I have it all. Has the freq counter, large eas to read meter and has Bands A thru F giving you 240 channels. I promise you will not be disappointed with this Galaxy. $170 OBO... Thanks for looking

Thatz-me-JP

230. Antron's new antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 19:13:08 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <8F0n9.6901$OB5.659574@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 139012

It could be only a rumor...or a drunk Texan, but ispoke with a gentleman on 425 yesterday who is "testing" a new Antron antenna. It is "34' long and covers up to the 440 band".

Now, everything is a compromise. If this antenna is slated for the 10, 6, 2M and 440 users, I'm sure it will work "OK" on those bands. But not great on any one band.

I was told that if all goes well it will be out in production in the next few years.

If they want to REALLY test an antenna, send it here...

http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/a99/
http://www.qsl.net/kg6aoh/imax2000/

or send it to me. I will put it through its paces and write a real review. Also, lets see some antenna modeling files!

Dino

231. Re: Dumb ass Aaron/RadioBob/Radio 8/KE4ENX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 19:19:00 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210031119.13031b68@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210021636.5303a21b@posting.google.com>...
>> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>> >Date: Wed, Oct 2, 2002 5:04 PM
>> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210021604.3d422db9@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210021030.256b404@posting.google.com>...
>> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210020421.4fd86dee@posting.google.com>...
>> >> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210011838.6ed57342@posting.google.com>...
>> >> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210010434.738528a7@posting.google.com>...
>> >> > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209301047.4313359b@posting.google.com>...
>> >> > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0209300425.2e091ac0@posting.google.com>...
>> >> > > > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209271820.3e90ed96@posting.google.com>...
>> >> > > > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>> >> > > > > > > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
>news:<c9ceae5b.0209261209.1f4cb4eb@posting.google.com>...
>> >> > > > > > > >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>> >> > > > > > > >> (snip)
>> >> > > > > > > >> >Like I said, you don't know diddly about me.
>> >> > > > > > > >>
>> >> > > > > > > >> We know the essentials.
>> >> > > > > > > >>
>> >> > > > > > > >> -A
>> >> > > > > > > >
>> >> > > > > > > >You know nothing. Nothing at all. "essentials"? No
>> way. Prove
>> it.
>> >> > > > > > >
>> >> > > > > > > Gladly.
>> >> > > > > > >
>> >> > > > > > > -A
>> >> > > > > > >
>> >> > > > > > (massive snip of irrelevant trash)
>> >> > > > > >
>> >> > > > >

>> >> > > > > Don't like seeing your name out there, huh, Steve? -A
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > > Aanal Aaron: Where's your *proof*? You got none, and your
>> repeated
>> >> > > > posting of irrelevant garbage only proves my point.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > If it's irrelevant, then you shouldn't mind me posting it,
>> Steve.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > -A
>> >> >
>> >> > PROOF, Aanal Aaron, not just the same crap.
>> >>
>> >> If it's "crap", it shouldn't upset you to see it again.
>> >>
>> >> -A
>> >
>> >What a loser! Do you really think that the other readers are going
to
>> >believe in anything other than your frustration and desperation if
>> you
>> >keep posting that? That proves nothing.
>> >
>> >Where's your PROOF, Aanal Aaron? You got nothing. You know
nothing.
>> >You are nothing.
>> >
>> >Back it up, dumbulb; post your PROOF.
>>
>> Au contraire. You are the one that appears frustrated and desperate
>> Steven.
>>
>> -Aaron-
>
>I'm not frustrated or desperate at all, but I am waiting for your
>alleged PROOF, Aanal Aaron. Don't have any? Why not just admit it?
>Just have to show the world what a complete moron you are?
>
>At the risk of sounding as repetitive as you are: You got nothing,
you
>know nothing, you are nothing.

I know quite a bit. That's the reason you're in this thread, Steve.

-Aaron-

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!

Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

232. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 19:20:43 UTC
From: shdwdrgn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e3947bf4.0210031120.6be92be9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139010

"Chief Bromden" <1flew@xyz.com> wrote in message
news:<SRmm9.405449$5r1.18828716@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> http://www.angelfire.com/theforce/agitator/

you want to see a guy with his head up his ass take a look at this guys Sites

http://www.geocities.com/step_pappy
http://www.geocities.com/themainpage2002/
http://www.geocities.com/ihateinbreads/

233. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 19:27:08 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D9C791C.88F886CB@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139013

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:27655-3D9C7255-205@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > In amateur radio, your fingerprints are all over your transmissions. My
> > gut feeling is THAT is the real reason a lot of folks don't go for the
> > ticket. Just my humble opinion, mind you.
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> > -
> > And you're probably right.
>
> Yet, if one is operating within the rules, why does "having one's
> fingerprint all over his transmissions"
> matter? After all, Amateur Radio does offer those things LEGALLY that many
> CBers do illegally:
> DX, mo' power (but one quickly learns that it isn't really
> necessary---maybe a tad on 75 Meters),
> etc, and things that CB doesn't offer like moonbounce and talking to the
> space station--which is why Lance Bass got his ticket. I'm not trying to
> argue at all, I honestly don't see where it matters
> if the FCC Chairman (Powell) 'hisself' is listening to me. I don't really
> care cuz I stay within the
> very wide parameters the rules allow me.
>
> Jerry
>

Those who tend to operate illegally want to stay in the dark, like
thieves who prefer the cloak of darkness to do their deeds. People
operating within the FCC rules need not be so paranoid. When I
operate I do so according to the rules, and giving my call on the
ham bands causes me no concern, I haven't done anything illegal.
To those types who seem so paranoid it must be terrible to always
wonder if you're going to eventually get caught.

234. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 20:05:50 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upp8r7kcvnnd0e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139014

Right on, Bert!

SWR exists in those matching sections to phase verticals anyways. Of course, ladder line has VERY little loss.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message news:Hw0n9.3838$dQ1.166175@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> Field strength is more important. Adjust for max field strength and then use
> a transmatch (Fancy shmancy name for an tuner) to match the impedance of the
> feedline so the xmitter "sees" what it wants to see, an impedance of 50
> Ohms. That way, the xmitter can deliver ALL of it's power to an antenna that
> is configured for the maximum field strength. "Won't there be losses in the
> feedline due to excessive SWR?" Ladder-line baby! Lower loss than ANY
> coax...regardless of SWR. Dat's me take on it, hope it helped. :-)
>
> --
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>
> <chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:3d9c3fbb.66111108@news.optonline.net...
> > On Sat, 21 Sep 2002 23:05:49 GMT, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
> > (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
> >
> > >In <1iopoukrd424mrpg23iqvhncsehr2p5mr3@4ax.com>, IC <> wrote:
> > >
> > >>Given that a CB transmitter output impedance is 50 ohms, that we use
> > >>50 ohm coax, and that mobile antennas are rarely anywhere near 50 ohms
> > >>(and the impedance varies depending on the way it is installed),
> > >>typically how much improvement would one see by using an impedance
> > >>matching network at the base of a mobile antenna?
> > >
> > >Check this out:
> > >
> > >www.cet.com/~yeti/cb11.htm
> > >
> > >BTW, a few CB antennas are right on 50 ohms because they were built
> > >with resistors to open up the antenna's bandwidth. I have even torn

> > >apart one helical and found it was made with NiChrome wire. Of course
> > >that doesn't do much for efficiency, but the manufacturers know that
> > >most CBers only check SWR, not field strength.
> > >
> > So which would you say is more important Field Strength or SWR
> > (reflection)???
> >
>
>

235. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 20:19:51 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210031219.7c147035@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139015

"Lenny Stover" mppd5107@the-police.com wrote
>"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>> Problem is, everyone thinks everone else is 'keying' over them, and
is
>> using linears 100% of the time. The need to "talk over" a million
>> other people using illegal linears is poor justification for the
use
>> of an illegal linear.
>
>I think when somebody is chanting "Ba-ba-booyey" over and over and
over,
>the
>*need* to be keyed on. I don't interfere with people conversing, but
when
>I
>am giving someone directions and they key over me in the middle of my
>exchange of information, then IMHO, I am justified in adding some
"fire
>to
>my wire."
>> Are you a police officer?
>
>Yes. And I also drive a truck. And I've been known to perform
manual labor
>on occassion.
>
>> I agree with the spirit of the law. And the spirit of the law in
>> regard to CB is to avoid intentional interference and allow
everyone a
>> chance to communicate.
>
>And there were protocols that every licensed CBer knew and that
"most"
>practiced. Those protocols are out the window now, and CB is a free
for
>all. Your only chance to communicate effectively is to "pump it up."

Who said the protocols were "out the window"? CB does not require
individual station licenses anymore, but the FCC grants operating
privileges with the understanding that you agree to operate in
accordance with the rules. And the rules still exist.

Gosh Lenny, I think you're misinformed, or just yanking our chains. I
could be wrong. Let's let your boss decide. Try this:

1. Print out the The current Code of Federal Regulations Title 47, Volume 5, Parts 80 to End. (You can find it on the official USG site, or Frank has a copy of it posted here: http://www.cet.com/~yeti/47cfr95_Subpart-D.txt)

2. Bring it to your commanding officer or superior. Tell him this is current US Code and still in force. Show him this relevant section:

Sec. 95.411 (CB Rule 11) May I use power amplifiers?

(a) You may not attach the following items (power amplifiers) to your certificated CB transmitter in any way:
(1) External radio frequency (RF) power amplifiers (sometimes called
linears or linear amplifiers); or
(2) Any other devices which, when used with a radio transmitter as a
signal source, are capable of amplifying the signal.
(b) There are no exceptions to this rule and use of a power amplifier voids your authority to operate the station.
(c) The FCC will presume you have used a linear or other external RF
power amplifier if--

[[Page 537]]

(1) It is in your possession or on your premises; and
(2) There is other evidence that you have operated your CB station with more power than allowed by CB Rule 10, Sec. 95.410.
(d) Paragraph (c) of this section does not apply if you hold a license in another radio service which allows you to operate an external
RF power amplifier.

3. Next, point out this section of Code to him:

Sec. 95.421 (CB Rule 21) What are the penalties for violating these rules?

(a) If the FCC finds that you have willfully or repeatedly violated
the Communications Act or the FCC Rules, you may have to pay as much as $10,000 for each violation, up to a total of $75,000. (See section 503(b) of the Communications Act.)
(b) If the FCC finds that you have violated any section of the Communications Act or the FCC Rules, you may be ordered to stop whatever action caused the violation. (See section 312(b) of the Communications
Act.)
(c) If a Federal court finds that you have willfully and knowingly violated any FCC Rule, you may be fined up to $500 for each day you committed the violation. (See section 502 of the Communications Act.)
(d) If a Federal court finds that you have willfully and knowingly violated any provision of the Communications Act, you may be fined up

to
$10,000 or you may be imprisoned for one year, or both. (See section
501
of the Communications Act.)

4. Tell him that the FCC enforcement division is still actively prosecuting CB rule violations. Check out www.rainreport.com/ for documentation of some recent busts.

5. Ask your boss, given all of the above, if he feels that you as a peace offiicer should be actively violating the Code of Federal Regulations Title 47, CB rules, and also going onto Internet newsgroups and advising citizens to do the same.

-Aaron-

236. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 20:42:53 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hZ1n9.7170$lV3.683549@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 139016

Hey voob, how many times did you get beat up in school? More than you want
to remember?
"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0210031219.7c147035@posting.google.com...
> "Lenny Stover" mppd5107@the-police.com wrote
> >"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote
> >
> >> Problem is, everyone thinks everone else is 'keying' over them, and
> is
> >> using linears 100% of the time. The need to "talk over" a million
> >> other people using illegal linears is poor justification for the
> use
> >> of an illegal linear.
> >
> >I think when somebody is chanting "Ba-ba-booyey" over and over and
> over,
> >the
> >*need* to be keyed on. I don't interfere with people conversing, but
> when
> >I
> >am giving someone directions and they key over me in the middle of my
> >exchange of information, then IMHO, I am justified in adding some
> "fire
> >to
> >my wire."
> >> Are you a police officer?
> >
> >Yes. And I also drive a truck. And I've been known to perform
> manual labor
> >on occassion.
> >
> >> I agree with the spirit of the law. And the spirit of the law in
> >> regard to CB is to avoid intentional interference and allow
> everyone a
> >> chance to communicate.
> >
> >And there were protocols that every licensed CBer knew and that
> "most"
> >practiced. Those protocols are out the window now, and CB is a free
> for
> >all. Your only chance to communicate effectively is to "pump it up."
>
> Who said the protocols were "out the window"? CB does not require
> individual station licenses anymore, but the FCC grants operating

> privileges with the understanding that you agree to operate in
> accordance with the rules. And the rules still exist.
>
> Gosh Lenny, I think you're misinformed, or just yanking our chains. I
> could be wrong. Let's let your boss decide. Try this:
>
> 1. Print out the The current Code of Federal Regulations Title 47,
> Volume 5, Parts 80 to End. (You can find it on the official USG site,
> or Frank has a copy of it posted here:
> http://www.cet.com/~yeti/47cfr95_Subpart-D.txt)
>
> 2. Bring it to your commanding officer or superior. Tell him this is
> current US Code and still in force. Show him this relevant section:
>
> Sec. 95.411 (CB Rule 11) May I use power amplifiers?
>
> (a) You may not attach the following items (power amplifiers) to
> your certificated CB transmitter in any way:
> (1) External radio frequency (RF) power amplifiers (sometimes
> called
> linears or linear amplifiers); or
> (2) Any other devices which, when used with a radio transmitter as
> a
> signal source, are capable of amplifying the signal.
> (b) There are no exceptions to this rule and use of a power
> amplifier voids your authority to operate the station.
> (c) The FCC will presume you have used a linear or other external
> RF
> power amplifier if--
>
> [[Page 537]]
>
> (1) It is in your possession or on your premises; and
> (2) There is other evidence that you have operated your CB station
> with more power than allowed by CB Rule 10, Sec. 95.410.
> (d) Paragraph (c) of this section does not apply if you hold a
> license in another radio service which allows you to operate an
> external
> RF power amplifier.
>
> 3. Next, point out this section of Code to him:
>
> Sec. 95.421 (CB Rule 21) What are the penalties for violating these
> rules?
>
> (a) If the FCC finds that you have willfully or repeatedly
> violated
> the Communications Act or the FCC Rules, you may have to pay as much
> as $10,000 for each violation, up to a total of $75,000. (See section
> 503(b) of the Communications Act.)

> (b) If the FCC finds that you have violated any section of the
> Communications Act or the FCC Rules, you may be ordered to stop
> whatever action caused the violation. (See section 312(b) of the
> Communications
> Act.)
> (c) If a Federal court finds that you have willfully and knowingly
> violated any FCC Rule, you may be fined up to $500 for each day you
> committed the violation. (See section 502 of the Communications Act.)
> (d) If a Federal court finds that you have willfully and knowingly
> violated any provision of the Communications Act, you may be fined up
> to
> $10,000 or you may be imprisoned for one year, or both. (See section
> 501
> of the Communications Act.)
>
> 4. Tell him that the FCC enforcement division is still actively
> prosecuting CB rule violations. Check out www.rainreport.com/ for
> documentation of some recent busts.
>
> 5. Ask your boss, given all of the above, if he feels that you as a
> peace offiicer should be actively violating the Code of Federal
> Regulations Title 47, CB rules, and also going onto Internet
> newsgroups and advising citizens to do the same.
>
> -Aaron-
>

237. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 20:44:41 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18200-3D9CAC39-18@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139023

>Those who tend to operate illegally want to stay in the dark,<<

That's pretty funny,,,,comedic even.
I used numbers on the air forever until I was baptized in here by the trouble posse that "Aaron" named.

Since they made me an object of their afflict,,,,,er, I mean affection..... I now use "Twistedhed" all over the air on the same freqs every day......people recognize it solely because of this room......that''s not exactly "staying in the dark".
Your hit and run remarks behind a free email veil as opposed to putting your comcast creepy self out there is much more an attempt to "stay in the dark" than any illegalities I may commit on the radio, such as DXing on 555 and/or throwing out 25 watts with my souped up peaked, tweaked and loud export radios.

> like thieves who prefer the cloak of darkness to do their deeds.<<

Like trolls who hide behind their free email accounts.........

> People operating within the FCC rules need not be so paranoid. When I operate I do so according to the rules, and giving my call on the ham bands causes me no concern, I haven't done anything illegal. To those types who seem so paranoid it must be terrible to always wonder if you're going to eventually get caught.<<

The only paranoids are the trouble posse. If we were paranoid, we'd change our handles/numbers as often as you do nics.
Now go wash again.

238. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 20:51:18 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D9CADC6.189728E5@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139037

who cares? wrote:

>
> Post your call sign JJ, what are you hiding,...hmmm??

Because on this group I do prefer to remain anonymous, not because of doing anything illegal, because there are nuts like you whom I had just as soon not know who I am. On the air I am legal and perfectly identifiable, unlike the illegal ops who still have to hide their true identity.
There are groups where I have used my name and call.

239. Re: Yeti's magic amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 20:53:51 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <z72n9.1488$k_2.42463@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139017

But the point is that the quiescent point doesn't have to stay the same if
the output power is reduced on a bigger amp...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf

The New 1 Pill Prototype is being Built!
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote in message
news:3D9B1E14.86787CA7@lucent.com...
> Brian Griffey wrote:
> >
> > Not entirely true... it depends on what output levels you want from a
given
> > system. Let's take a class A amp that is putting out 100W. It has to be
> > biased at the proper point to acheive class A, right. Let's arbitrarily
set
> > the plate current for this scenario at 100ma. Now, let's lower the
output of
> > the amp (by changing drive) to say 1W. Now, the class A bias point far
> > exceeds what is necessary to stay in class A for this output. The plate
> > current can be dropped significantly in that same amplifier from the
> > previous level and still maintain class A operation. Incidently, as you
> > know... the efficientcy also changed radically from the bias point
needed
> > for 100W class A and 1W class A.
>
> Prof.,
>
> Efficiency is power out over power in.
>
> Using the 25% to 35% efficiency numbers for class A
> operation:
>
> To get 100 watts out of a class A amplifier you would need
> 300 to 400 watts of plate input power.
>
> Efficiency = 100 watts output / 400 watts input = 25%
>
> By the definition of class A = The Input power remains the
> same at all times in a class A circuit

>
> Any change from the quiescent input power level would be an
> indication of non-linearity.
>
> At 25% efficiency, the plate voltage would have to be =
> 400 watts / 100 MA = 4000VDC
>
> (That would require the use of a 4-400A or similar tube to
> run that high of a plate voltage with a 400 watt quiescent
> plate dissipation)
>
> Now reduce the plate current to 10MA, the input power is
> 4000VDC X 10MA = 40 watts
>
> Power output was reduced to 1 watt.
>
> Efficiency = 1 watt output / 40 watts input = 2.5%
>
> If you take this scenario to the limit and lower your power
> level to where you do not have any power out, your
> efficiency will be ZERO, no matter where your quiescent bias
> point (and resultant plate current) is set!
>
> Regards,
>
> Dennis O.

240. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 20:55:42 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210031255.42d94a00@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139018

"tools" medtools@earthlink.net wrote
<snip>

Stover says linears are required and CB is a free for all. I disagree, period.

-A

241. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 20:58:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18198-3D9CAF59-146@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139022

"Aaron" wroted:
>Who said the protocols were "out the window"? <<

Hmmm....for starters,,,Dave Hall claimed it's a cesspoole that reflects society. You Lelnad, Frank, Georgie,,,y'all have slammed cb on numerous occcasions, going as far as laughingly saying it's useless for communication.....but we still find you nocodes here wanting to jack cbers off. Ya'll even ask about our private undergarment accessories.

>CB does not require individual station licenses anymore, but the FCC grants operating privileges with the understanding that you agree to operate in accordance with the rules. And the rules still exist.<<

And they are no longer enforced on cb as long as one does not create a nuisance, problem, safety issue or interference.

>Gosh Lenny, I think you're misinformed, <<

That's pretty funny too, since you don't think too often...you usually just post and we laugh later.

>or just yanking our chains. I could be wrong. Let's let your boss decide. <<

Another veiled threat coming from the self-proclaimed leader of the trouble posse.
You pulled the same garbage with Dennis' employer and he's still here.
And you're still whining about being impotent.

242. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 21:00:05 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pd2n9.7204$lV3.685332@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 139019

Do the big kids still give you wedgies every day?
"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0210031255.42d94a00@posting.google.com...
> "tools" medtools@earthlink.net wrote
> <snip>
>
> Stover says linears are required and CB is a free for all. I disagree,
period.
>
> -A
>

243. FS: 70's Comstat and +2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 21:04:58 UTC
From: Joe <joe.amp@NOSPAMverizon.net>
Message-ID: <_h2n9.34493$CN2.23740@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 139020

Lafayette Comstate 35 and Turner +2 desk mic
Works fine, recent NOS GE finals $40
(Long Island Queens)
Email for pix
Joe
Remove NOSPAM

244. Re: When God made Truckers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 21:06:34 UTC
From: egpowers@msn.com
Message-ID: <5fa1390b.0210031306.52aa23c0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139021

No your not bad, but this is the truth. You said your reposting it, and you are, this was posted in here about four years back, I think I have a printed copy of it floating around still, but it should be reposted from time to time, if for no other reason than to give us all a pick-me-up, becuase truth be told, it's the truth about what a truckers life is like.

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message news:<an77hu$bn6os$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>...
> Sorry for crossposting to the trucking newsgroup, my bad.......
>
> --
> Mad Dog
> "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
> news:an70vv$b65u4$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de...
> > When God Made Truck Drivers
> >
> > When the Lord was creating Truck Drivers, he was into his sixth day of
> > overtime when an angel appeared and said, "You're doing a lot of fiddling
> > around on this one." And the Lord said, "Have you read the spec on this
> > order? A truck driver has to be able to be able to drive 10-12 hours per
> > day, through any type of weather, on any type of road, know the highway
> > traffic laws of 48 states and 10 provinces, be able to unload 40,000 lbs
> of
> > cargo after driving through the night, sleep in areas of town that police
> > refuse to patrol." "He has to be able to live in his truck 24 hours a day
> 7
> > days a week for weeks on end, offer first aid and motorist assistance and
> > still meet just in time schedules, maintain an even and controlled
> composure
> > when all around him have gone mad." "He has to be in top physical
> condition
> > at all times running on black coffee and half-eaten meals. And he has to
> > have six pairs of hands." The angel shook her head slowly and said, "Six
> > pairs of hands... no way." "It's not the hands that are causing me
> > problems," said the Lord, "it's the three pairs of eyes a driver has to
> > have." "That's on the standard model?" asked the angel. The Lord nodded,
> One
> > pair that sees the herd of deer in the thickets 3 miles away, "Another
> pair
> > here in the side of his head for the blind spots that motorists love to
> hide
> > in, And another pair of eyes here in front that can look reassuringly at a
> > bleeding victim of a drunk driver that crashed into his ICC bumper at 70

> MPH
> > and say, 'You'll be all right ma'am, when he knows it isn't so."
> >
> > "Lord," said the angel, touching his sleeve, "rest and work on this
> > tomorrow." "I can't," said the Lord, "I already have a model that can
> drive
> > 650 miles a day, without incident and raise a family of five without ever
> > seeing them, on 30 cents a mile." The angel circled the model of the truck
> > driver very slowly, then she asked, "Can it think?" "You bet," said the
> > Lord. "It can tell you the elements of every HAZMAT load invented; recite
> > Federal Motor Carrier Regulations in its sleep; deliver, pickup, be a
> > father, offer timely advice to strangers, search for missing children,
> > defend a women's or children's rights, get 8 hours of good rest on the
> > street and raise a family of Law respecting citizens, without ever going
> > home ... and still it keeps its sense of humor. This driver also has
> > phenomenal personal control. He can deal with delivery and pickup areas
> > created from scenes painted in hell, coax a lumper to actually work for
> his
> > money, comfort an accident victim's family, and then read in the daily
> paper
> > how truck drivers are nothing more than killers on wheels and have no
> > respect for the rights of others while using the nations highways
> > Finally, the angel bent over and ran her finger across the cheek of the
> > truck driver. "There's a leak," she pronounced. "I told you that you were
> > trying to put too much into this model."
> > "That's not a leak," said the lord, "it's a tear."
> > "What's the tear for?" asked the angel.
> > "It's for bottled-up emotions, for fallen comrades, for commitment to that
> > funny piece of cloth called the flag, for justice, for the family without
> > its father."
> > "You're a genius," said the angel.
> >
> > The Lord looked somber, "I didn't put it there," he said.
> >
> >
> > --
> > Mad Dog
> >
> >

245. Re: What to do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 21:27:54 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <tD2n9.27595$xl5.4992@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 139024

Another you might try is the Signal Engineering Golden Rod 180. Very impressive for a non-directional. You see very few out there, but they perform well.

"loloken" <loloken@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:BYZm9.544$ue4.27012@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Just got back in the cb hobby.Texas ranger base and an a99 on the side of
> the house. I'm running legal 4w power and i seem to be "stuck in the mud".
> Can't talk to anyone without getting hammered by someone with power. I don't
> remember this problem back in the late 70's. I would love to stay legal but
> i see no other option but to purchase a linear. A beam is out as i'm lucky
> to have the a99 due to antenna restrictions.
>
>

246. Re: Here ya' are, George!! (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 21:36:29 UTC
From: One Eyed Purple People Eater <1eye@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <taudnT1QAs7DJQGgXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139025

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:14041-3D9C2834-80@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...

They sure do if you don't have a doctors prescription for it, just the same as you can't have a bottle of valiums without your name on the bottle. The drug valium is legal but without a precription it is not.
-
Possession of valium isn't decriminalized in Santa Cruz. It's not even a valid analogy for a myriad of reasons..

Possesion of valium is LEGAL in Santa Cruz, possesing it without a doctors prescription is Illegal same as marijuana, which is still Illegal if you posses it without a doctor prescription. Quite the same Twisty in both you need a docotor prescription for it to be legal. Is possesion of 20 lbs of marijuna in Santa Cruz decriminalized? If you don't have a doctors prescription

247. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 21:38:35 UTC
From: shdwdrgn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e3947bf4.0210031338.15804b3a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139026

killakeyclown@yahoo.com (KillaKeyClown) wrote in message
news:<86209e94.0210031013.16fe50a7@posting.google.com>...
> "Chief Bromden" <1flew@xyz.com> wrote in message
news:<SRmm9.405449$5r1.18828716@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > http://www.angelfire.com/(deleted)
>
> Also reported to Angelfire for TOS violation.

Who named you as police of the net and airwaves??

248. Re: Profile of typical antikeyclown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 21:39:35 UTC
From: One Eyed Purple People Eater <1eye@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <u42cnXemkb5dWACgXTWcqQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139027

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:AqQm9.607$Ag6.17428857@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...

> LMAO!! Mopar, notice how I had nothing
> to do with this thread, But George so wants my
> attention.............. LOL!!!! Troll George.
>
> Landshark

Au contraire my flaming homo, you still have nothing to do with this thread. I just want Randy to know what your liable to do so for you to not be a hypocrite and start posting all Randys' screen nic changes. Certainly your against this type of thing so I would expect you to do the same with him as you have with me otherwise you are a troll who has trolled this NG for about 5 years.

249. Re: Profile of typical antikeyclown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 21:41:21 UTC
From: One Eyed Purple People Eater <1eye@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <hZicncLSEP8cJAGgXTWcpg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139028

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> > > WTF? Do you have to be a dick? Does it really make you feel like N8?

Yes I just wanna be like you, do you think you act any differently? No I could care less what N8 does but you on the other hand.

> I swear he's a kid,and maybe not a he..

Never know do ya!

250. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 21:44:43 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fT2n9.7137$OB5.679663@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 139030

Why, he's the CHIEF, what else would you expect?
"Shadow" <shdwdrgn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e3947bf4.0210031338.15804b3a@posting.google.com...
> killakeyclown@yahoo.com (KillaKeyClown) wrote in message
news:<86209e94.0210031013.16fe50a7@posting.google.com>...
> > "Chief Bromden" <1flew@xyz.com> wrote in message
news:<SRmm9.405449$5r1.18828716@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > > http://www.angelfire.com/(deleted)
> >
> > Also reported to Angelfire for TOS violation.
>
> Who named you as police of the net and airwaves??
>

251. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 21:45:24 UTC
From: One Eyed Purple People Eater <1eye@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <UT2n9.112718$q42.3157217@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 139029

<chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3d9c3fbb.66111108@news.optonline.net...

> So which would you say is more important Field Strength or SWR
> (reflection)???

Hey theres IceCold asking his stupid antenna questions again.
Hey I thought this guy was a CB superstar why does he come here to ask such
trivial questions.
Perhaps he isn't all that he thinks he is. LOL ... IceCold NYC your just
a good buddy

252. Re: Whoops...gotta give credit where it's due! (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 22:17:07 UTC
From: One Eyed Purple People Eater <1eye@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <Dl3n9.456106$5r1.19139086@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 139031

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:14043-3D9C292A-14@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...

I'm not trying to make it out like that and I never did. You are putting words in my mouth because you were wrong with your original challenge to my original post concerning this. I said it was decriminalized in Santa Cruz and you claimed differently.

Sorry Twitsy first off the discussion started with me and tools he said it is Legal in California which it is not, so I don't care what you think you said.

Secondly please post a link or something to back up your claims that Santa Cruz has decriminalized marijuana for those without a prescription from a doctor. It is the least you can do and there should be plenty of info.

253. Re: Here ya' are, George!! (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 22:23:30 UTC
From: One Eyed Purple People Eater <1eye@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <Cr3n9.47482$bY5.254353@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 139032

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:14041-3D9C2834-80@storefull-
-
Possession of valium isn't decriminalized in Santa Cruz. It's not even a
valid analogy for a myriad of reasons..

Is possesion of a lb of hemp decriminalized? How about a qp?

254. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 22:24:28 UTC
From: One Eyed Purple People Eater <1eye@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <ws3n9.113022$q42.3158731@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 139033

douchbag the chief didn't report anyone you need to learn how to read before
you troll the NG

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:fT2n9.7137$OB5.679663@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Why, he's the CHIEF, what else would you expect?
> "Shadow" <shdwdrgn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:e3947bf4.0210031338.15804b3a@posting.google.com...
> > killakeyclown@yahoo.com (KillaKeyClown) wrote in message
> news:<86209e94.0210031013.16fe50a7@posting.google.com>...
> > > "Chief Bromden" <1flew@xyz.com> wrote in message
> news:<SRmm9.405449$5r1.18828716@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > > > http://www.angelfire.com/(deleted)
> > >
> > > Also reported to Angelfire for TOS violation.
> >
> > Who named you as police of the net and airwaves??

255. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 22:41:10 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D9CA692.8EB3D691@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139034

Twisteboy wrote:

> Since they made me an object of their afflict,,,,,er, I mean
> affection..... I now use "Twistedhed" all over the air on the same freqs
> every day......people recognize it solely because of this
> room......that''s not exactly "staying in the dark".

Why don't you use your real name on the air, using the moniker
twistedhed is hiding your true identity. Ever give your address on
the air? What do you have to hide? When I am on the air I use my
real name and with my call sign anyone can look up who I am.
Again, what do you have to hide?

> Your hit and run remarks behind a free email veil as opposed to putting
> your comcast creepy self out there is much more an attempt to "stay in
> the dark" than any illegalities I may commit on the radio, such as DXing
> on 555 and/or throwing out 25 watts with my souped up peaked, tweaked
> and loud export radios.

Says the webtv'er

>
> Like trolls who hide behind their free email accounts.........

It should be obvious to the most casual of observer how to contact
me via the free email account, not that it would do any good as it
catches all the spam so I don't have to deal with it in my regular
account.

256. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 22:48:19 UTC
From: who cares? <who-cares@apathy.net>
Message-ID: <uppiapte27ape8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139035

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D9CA692.8EB3D691@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Twisteboy wrote:
>
> > Since they made me an object of their afflict,,,,,er, I mean
> > affection..... I now use "Twistedhed" all over the air on the same freqs
> > every day......people recognize it solely because of this
> > room......that''s not exactly "staying in the dark".
>
> Why don't you use your real name on the air, using the moniker
> twistedhed is hiding your true identity. Ever give your address on
> the air? What do you have to hide? When I am on the air I use my
> real name and with my call sign anyone can look up who I am.
> Again, what do you have to hide?

Post your call sign JJ, what are you hiding,...hmmm??

257. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 23:11:32 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210031511.6455657f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139036

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<27656-3D9C7E91-102@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
> "Ricky Parker" <rdjparker@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:upkktnlotjdodb@corp.supernews.com...
> At least Iam not people like you who are judgemental about people I
> don't even know, I am only trying to learn the best way by asking a few
> questions.
> -
> >Your best bet is just stay legal with your radio and power, no extra
> channels, and no extra legal power. If you really want to work DX so bad
> on HF try getting a Ham ticket. A 5 wpm morse code test, and a couple of
> simple written exams will give you a General Class license. With that
> you can LEGALLY run up to 1500 watts of power and pick what band is open
> to work DX. While the priate types here will recommend operating outside
> of the legal 40 channels<<
>
>
>
> More Lelnad Bullshit. Couple this with your past off-topic, insultive
> posts that dwell on your sexual fondness for mens underwear and then
> tell us why in the world would anyone want to do anything you suggest?
> Besides, Lelnad, you're one of the more famous pirates in here. First
> you accused me and Tim of using your call (gag). Then you began hearing
> from others that heard your call on 555......you're worldwide and tree
> top tall, Nad!
>
>
>
>
>
> > that won't do you any good in another year or so because the sun spot
> cycle is already on it's way down. When the CB priates can't talk passed
> the city limits you, <<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> And yet even more bullshit innuendo. Here 'Nad can barely contain his
> hate and discontent for cbers....why when a cber can't talk past the
> city limits they are automatically a pirate? Think, Nad, think before
> you post.

>
>
>
>
>
> >as a General Class Ham, you can simply drop down to the lower frequency
> bands to find one open. And the chance of that happening is far higher
> than hanging out on 11m. <<
>
>
>
>
> If one is simply looking for an open band, you can do it without being a
> General, also.
>
>
>
>
> >Check out the links below for more info.
> http://www.arrl.org/
> http://www.arrl.org/hamradio.html <<
>
>
>
>
>
>
> And find a good Elmer. I say this because I shudder at the thought of
> any of the troubled no-code posse that Aaron named as trying to be
> anyones elmer. They are lids.

hey twist.....isn't mr scott the world's oldest technician class
ham? and here he is telling somebody to get their general class
license.....geez, I wonder why he doesn't do what he says?????

258. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 23:19:41 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210031519.9bab1d3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139038

"Chief Bromden" <1flew@xyz.com> wrote in message
news:<SRmm9.405449$5r1.18828716@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> http://www.angelfire.com/theforce/agitator/

hahahahahahahahaha.....funny stuff.....i certainly appreciate a
man on a mission.....no doubt about it.....

259. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 23:34:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D9CD412.E3A24EC6@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139055

who cares? wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3D9CADC6.189728E5@mailcity.net...
> >
> >
> > who cares? wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Post your call sign JJ, what are you hiding,...hmmm??
> >
> > Because on this group I do prefer to remain anonymous, not because
> > of doing anything illegal, because there are nuts like you whom I
> > had just as soon not know who I am. On the air I am legal and
> > perfectly identifiable, unlike the illegal ops who still have to
> > hide their true identity.
> > There are groups where I have used my name and call.
>
> ---
> ---
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3D9CA692.8EB3D691@mailcity.net...
>
> "Why don't you use your real name on the air, using the moniker
> twistedhed is hiding your true identity. Ever give your address on
> the air? What do you have to hide? When I am on the air I use my
> real name and with my call sign anyone can look up who I am.
> Again, what do you have to hide?"
>
> When you hypocrites, *like you JJ* post this kind of BS...
> You can expect to get called on it.
>
> Call Sign???

And you are? Mister hide behind the fake id. Talk about the pot
calling the kettle black.

260. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 23:40:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10046-3D9CD559-35@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139042

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
who cares? wrote:

"Post your call sign JJ, what are you hiding,...hmmm??"

-
>Because on this group I do prefer to remain anonymous, not because of doing anything illegal, because there are nuts like you whom I had just as soon not know who I am. <<

Let's put this in persepective, shall we?
Who is it that is always concerned with identities? Frank Gilliland and Lelnad KC8LDO head this game and win hands down. Frank posts old satellite pics of my neighborhood (long after I posted my addy for the world to see, along with directions to my doorbell) and sensed self-accomplishment. He then broke his balls trying to find out where I am employed (Smells like "Aaron" familiarity) and continued on with hazard accusations of me living in WV, being a hammie, and having Mindspring as an ISP.
Lelnad posted today that he derives some type of twisted unhealthy pleasure speaking every so often concerning his fantasy of dirty mens' undergarments. And you call us nuts because we freeband and occasionally run some extra watts on our cb? HAhahahhahaha! That's rich.

>On the air I am legal and perfectly identifiable, unlike the illegal ops who still have to hide their true identity.<<

No you're not. You're illegal as hell.

>There are groups where I have used my name and call. <<

Yea, there were,,,this one included until you shamed yourself and were driven underground.

261. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 23:42:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D9CD5F2.3D2663F2@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139056

Twistedhed wrote:

> Who uses their real name on cb?
> So that's what's bugging you. The exact same thing you are whining about
> protecting of yourself, you ask another to do the complete opposite. Cb
> is for handles and numbers.....no call signs needed OR required.
>
> >using the moniker twistedhed is hiding your true identity.<<
>
> Again, it's a handle. Surely even you can figure that out.
>
> > Ever give your address on the air?<
>
> Certainly. I have brought folks in from I-75 to my front door over the
> radio. Many, many times. Since your're one of those "nuts" concerned
> about identities and home qth's, go do your google search. My addy is
> there for all to see.
>
> > What do you have to hide?<
>
> I already told you, nothing. If I had anything to hide, I would attempt
> to hide my email and my identity. Several folks in this room know who I
> am and have even met me in person...knnow me by name.
> I DX the same time on the same freqs each day, and I take off and
> return from the same spot each weekend. Really, "JJ", if your curiosity
> concerning twistedhed has the best of you...c'mon down for a fishing
> trip. All are welcome, I am very hospitable...just don't come with
> malice in your heart....Cooter Mae has a knack for spotting trouble....I
> swear she can smell it coming a half mile away.
>
>
>
> > When I am on the air I use my real name and with my call sign anyone
> can look up who I am.
> <<
>
> I don't understand your obsessive preoccupation with identies of cbers
> unless you want to cause them trouble like Lelnad tries to. Besides,
> Lelnad claims he has my real addy, I give him permission to post it here
> to share with all, if that will make you happy. I aim to please. After
> all, he does have it, right?<g>
>
> >Again, what do you have to hide? <
>

> "Nuts" are ok for you to "hide" from, but cbers shouldn't have that
> privilege......just what one would come to expect from the source.
>
> Your hit and run remarks behind a free email veil as opposed to putting
> your comcast creepy self out there is much more an attempt to "stay in
> the dark" than any illegalities I may commit on the radio, such as DXing
> on 555 and/or throwing out 25 watts with my souped up peaked, tweaked
> and loud export radios.
>
> >Says the webtv'er <
>
> At least I don't have problems spelling and with OE. You're really
> pissed to be taking OT shots at how I access the web there, "JJ"
> Hahahah! At least it's my real email addy. See, I don;'t fear these
> "nuts:. I'm not paranoid. If I was, I certainly wouldn't have went to
> meet anyone on this board, and damn sure would have gotten anonymous b4
> coming here. Besides, I'm nuttier than any of the nuts you can possibly
> send my way, but I'm living life as I want with no regard to what others
> think about it.
>
> Like trolls who hide behind their free email accounts.........
>
> >It should be obvious to the most casual of observer how to contact me
> via the free email account, not that it would do any good as it catches
> all the spam so I don't have to deal with it in my regular account.<<
>
> Another BS excuse from where we expected it most. I have been posting
> here for years under the same real email addy and I don't have the
> problem you have. Maybe you should try webtv or AOL since your shitty
> ISP allows all that spam into your email and you still look like you are
> walking backwards with an upside down frown.

You're really funny twistie boy. When I operate on the air there
are no goofy 'handles' or made up 'numbers', just my real name and
call sign, nothing to hide, don't have to look over my shoulder
because I am not operating illegally.
Your are the one who started the rant referring to licensed hams
about 'fingerprints all over your transmissions'. So just who has
the obsession identities? I operate legally so I don't have to
worry about 'fingerprints all over my transmissions'.

262. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 23:44:36 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D9CD664.9357B9FA@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139058

Timbo Nebo wrote:
>
> you dont even really have one
>
> > What do you have to hide? When I am on the air I use my
> >real name and with my call sign anyone can look up who I am.
> >Again, what do you have to hide?
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

Have one what? A call, well like I said before timmieboy, I was operating with my ham call when your mummy was changing your poopie diapers.

263. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-03 23:58:41 UTC
From: who cares? <who-cares@apathy.net>
Message-ID: <uppmes9l8db981@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139040

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D9CADC6.189728E5@mailcity.net...
>
>
> who cares? wrote:
>
> >
> > Post your call sign JJ, what are you hiding,...hmmm??
>
> Because on this group I do prefer to remain anonymous, not because
> of doing anything illegal, because there are nuts like you whom I
> had just as soon not know who I am. On the air I am legal and
> perfectly identifiable, unlike the illegal ops who still have to
> hide their true identity.
> There are groups where I have used my name and call.

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D9CA692.8EB3D691@mailcity.net...

"Why don't you use your real name on the air, using the moniker
twistedhed is hiding your true identity. Ever give your address on
the air? What do you have to hide? When I am on the air I use my
real name and with my call sign anyone can look up who I am.
Again, what do you have to hide?"

When you hypocrites, *like you JJ* post this kind of BS...
You can expect to get called on it.

Call Sign???

264. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 00:04:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10044-3D9CDAF2-266@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139045

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twisteboy wrote:

Oh, but you got it bad, don't you "JJ"? HAHHAHAHHA!

Since they made me an object of their afflict,,,,,er, I mean affection..... I now use "Twistedhed" all over the air on the same freqs every day......people recognize it solely because of this room......that''s not exactly "staying in the dark".

>Why don't you use your real name on the air, <<

Who uses their real name on cb?
So that's what's bugging you. The exact same thing you are whining about protecting of yourself, you ask another to do the complete opposite. Cb is for handles and numbers.....no call signs needed OR required.

>using the moniker twistedhed is hiding your true identity.<<

Again, it's a handle. Surely even you can figure that out.

> Ever give your address on the air?<

Certainly. I have brought folks in from I-75 to my front door over the radio. Many, many times. Since your're one of those "nuts" concerned about identities and home qth's, go do your google search. My addy is there for all to see.

> What do you have to hide?<

I already told you, nothing. If I had anything to hide, I would attempt to hide my email and my identity. Several folks in this room know who I am and have even met me in person...knnow me by name.
I DX the same time on the same freqs each day, and I take off and return from the same spot each weekend. Really, "JJ", if your curiosity concerning twistedhed has the best of you...c'mon down for a fishing trip. All are welcome, I am very hospitable...just don't come with malice in your heart....Cooter Mae has a knack for spotting trouble....I swear she can smell it coming a half mile away.

> When I am on the air I use my real name and with my call sign anyone can look up who I am.
<<

I don't understand your obsessive preoccupation with identies of cbers unless you want to cause them trouble like Lelnad tries to. Besides, Lelnad claims he has my real addy, I give him permission to post it here to share with all, if that will make you happy. I aim to please. After

all, he does have it, right?<g>

>Again, what do you have to hide? <

"Nuts" are ok for you to "hide" from, but cbers shouldn't have that privilege......just what one would come to expect from the source.

Your hit and run remarks behind a free email veil as opposed to putting your comcast creepy self out there is much more an attempt to "stay in the dark" than any illegalities I may commit on the radio, such as DXing on 555 and/or throwing out 25 watts with my souped up peaked, tweaked and loud export radios.

>Says the webtv'er <

At least I don't have problems spelling and with OE. You're really pissed to be taking OT shots at how I access the web there, "JJ" Hahahah! At least it's my real email addy. See, I don;'t fear these "nuts:. I'm not paranoid. If I was, I certainly wouldn't have went to meet anyone on this board, and damn sure would have gotten anonymous b4 coming here. Besides, I'm nuttier than any of the nuts you can possibly send my way, but I'm living life as I want with no regard to what others think about it.

Like trolls who hide behind their free email accounts.........

>It should be obvious to the most casual of observer how to contact me via the free email account, not that it would do any good as it catches all the spam so I don't have to deal with it in my regular account.<<

Another BS excuse from where we expected it most. I have been posting here for years under the same real email addy and I don't have the problem you have. Maybe you should try webtv or AOL since your shitty ISP allows all that spam into your email and you still look like you are walking backwards with an upside down frown.

265. Re: Here ya' are, George!! (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 00:08:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10044-3D9CDBE1-268@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139044

Possession of valium isn't decriminalized in Santa Cruz. It's not even a valid analogy for a myriad of reasons.

George erred with:
>Possesion of valium is LEGAL in Santa Cruz, <

But it ain't decriminalized if you're caught with a small amount of it. Pot is.

>possesing it without a doctors prescription is Illegal same as marijuana, which is still Illegal if you posses it without a doctor prescription. <<

Never took issue with it. Regardless, it's still decriminalized for personal possession of small amounts.....valium isn't.

>Quite the same Twisty in both you need a docotor prescription for it to be legal. Is possesion of 20 lbs of marijuna in Santa Cruz decriminalized? If you don't have a doctors prescription<

(sigh) Ok,,,I'll spell it out for you. One illegal valium WILL get you in more trouble than one illegal joint. The penalties are stiffer for valium...why? it's not decriminalized.

266. Re: Whoops...gotta give credit where it's due! (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 00:09:34 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10045-3D9CDC3E-80@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139043

George again erred with:
Secondly please post a link or something to back up your claims that
Santa Cruz has decriminalized marijuana for those without a prescription
from a doctor. It is the least you can do and there should be plenty of
info.
-
There is, and you're beginning to sound like Frank. I'm not going to do
your work for you...not for free anyways.

267. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 00:09:40 UTC
From: One Eyed Purple People Eater <1eye@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <8%4n9.47879$bY5.257555@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 139041

"who cares?" <who-cares@apathy.net> wrote in message
news:uppmes9l8db981@corp.supernews.com...
>> When you hypocrites, *like you JJ* post this kind of BS...
> You can expect to get called on it.
>
> Call Sign???

This is a Cb Ng why would you want his Callsign? I think to get something
you have to give something. What are you giving?

268. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 00:12:34 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10045-3D9CDCF2-81@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139050

From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
"tools" medtools@earthlink.net wrote
<snip>

Stover says linears are required and CB is a free for all. I disagree,
period.
-A
-
You've never been right,,,period.

269. midland 77-805

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 00:37:49 UTC
From: ladas1@nac.net
Message-ID: <91a255cf.0210031637.7cce0c8d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139046

I have a near mint Midland 77-805 for sale.... used a few times and
then stored..
contact me if you are interested in purchasing complete unit... will
place on Ebay if not..
GT.lad

270. Re: info..on grounding my whip's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 00:38:30 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D9CE306.209DDE44@hotmail.com>
Source record: 139047

thanks for the tip moparholic

zeek

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>Hey Cowboy
> >
> > Thanks for the reply ....when you say more directional ...you are saying
> > the antenna's will only work like in one direction ...instead of in all
> > direction like a single mag mount antenna mounted on the roof of my truck
> > ...??
> >
> > thanks
> >
> > Zeek
> >
> Scrap the twin talkers..you need 9 feet apart for them to work. Get a 102"
> stainless whip,mount it to your bumper,run good coax and ground.
>
> Rock on.
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Go#40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

271. Re: info..on grounding my whip's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 00:39:47 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D9CE353.2910332B@hotmail.com>
Source record: 139048

thanks for the tip morparholic......

zeek.....

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>Hey Cowboy
> >
> > Thanks for the reply ....when you say more directional ...you are saying
> > the antenna's will only work like in one direction ...instead of in all
> > direction like a single mag mount antenna mounted on the roof of my truck
> > ...??
> >
> > thanks
> >
> > Zeek
> >
> Scrap the twin talkers..you need 9 feet apart for them to work. Get a 102"
> stainless whip,mount it to your bumper,run good coax and ground.
>
> Rock on.
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Go#40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

272. Still looking for an excellent condition Cherokee CM10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 00:40:11 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Lr5n9.22467$Oh.4375969@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 139049

Subject says it all, prefer the original model over the "plus".

Please don't reply to the group, email with price/cond/mods if any

273. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 00:59:10 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021003205910.21961.00006530@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 139052

everyday. how do you think we freebanders exchange qsl cards?

>Why don't you use your real name on the air, using the moniker
>twistedhed is hiding your true identity. Ever give your address on
>the air? What do you have to hide?

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

274. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 00:59:38 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021003205938.21961.00006531@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 139051

you dont even really have one

> What do you have to hide? When I am on the air I use my
>real name and with my call sign anyone can look up who I am.
>Again, what do you have to hide?

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

275. Re: When God made Truckers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 01:25:49 UTC
From: Shadow <shadow@charted.net>
Message-ID: <upprn0rodvlv3e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139053

Thank you for sharing.....

--

-._.**-***-*._.**-***-*._.**-***-*._.**-***-*._.**-***-*._.**-***-*._.**-***-*._.**-***-*.
_.**-***-*._.**-***-*._.**-***-*._.*-*

ttyl
Shadow :)

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:an70vv$b65u4$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de...
> When God Made Truck Drivers
>
> When the Lord was creating Truck Drivers, he was into his sixth day of
> overtime when an angel appeared and said, "You're doing a lot of fiddling
> around on this one." And the Lord said, "Have you read the spec on this
> order? A truck driver has to be able to be able to drive 10-12 hours per
> day, through any type of weather, on any type of road, know the highway
> traffic laws of 48 states and 10 provinces, be able to unload 40,000 lbs
of
> cargo after driving through the night, sleep in areas of town that police
> refuse to patrol." "He has to be able to live in his truck 24 hours a day
7
> days a week for weeks on end, offer first aid and motorist assistance and
> still meet just in time schedules, maintain an even and controlled
composure
> when all around him have gone mad." "He has to be in top physical
condition
> at all times running on black coffee and half-eaten meals. And he has to
> have six pairs of hands." The angel shook her head slowly and said, "Six
> pairs of hands... no way." "It's not the hands that are causing me
> problems," said the Lord, "it's the three pairs of eyes a driver has to
> have." "That's on the standard model?" asked the angel. The Lord nodded,
One
> pair that sees the herd of deer in the thickets 3 miles away, "Another
pair
> here in the side of his head for the blind spots that motorists love to
hide
> in, And another pair of eyes here in front that can look reassuringly at a
> bleeding victim of a drunk driver that crashed into his ICC bumper at 70
MPH
> and say, 'You'll be all right ma'am, when he knows it isn't so."
>
> "Lord," said the angel, touching his sleeve, "rest and work on this
> tomorrow." "I can't," said the Lord, "I already have a model that can
drive

> 650 miles a day, without incident and raise a family of five without ever
> seeing them, on 30 cents a mile." The angel circled the model of the truck
> driver very slowly, then she asked, "Can it think?" "You bet," said the
> Lord. "It can tell you the elements of every HAZMAT load invented; recite
> Federal Motor Carrier Regulations in its sleep; deliver, pickup, be a
> father, offer timely advice to strangers, search for missing children,
> defend a women's or children's rights, get 8 hours of good rest on the
> street and raise a family of Law respecting citizens, without ever going
> home ... and still it keeps its sense of humor. This driver also has
> phenomenal personal control. He can deal with delivery and pickup areas
> created from scenes painted in hell, coax a lumper to actually work for
his
> money, comfort an accident victim's family, and then read in the daily
paper
> how truck drivers are nothing more than killers on wheels and have no
> respect for the rights of others while using the nations highways
> Finally, the angel bent over and ran her finger across the cheek of the
> truck driver. "There's a leak," she pronounced. "I told you that you were
> trying to put too much into this model."
> "That's not a leak," said the lord, "it's a tear."
> "What's the tear for?" asked the angel.
> "It's for bottled-up emotions, for fallen comrades, for commitment to that
> funny piece of cloth called the flag, for justice, for the family without
> its father."
> "You're a genius," said the angel.
>
> The Lord looked somber, "I didn't put it there," he said.
>
>
> --
> Mad Dog
>
>

276. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 01:46:00 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021003214600.05246.00007580@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 139054

>From: killakeyclown@yahoo.com >(KillaKeyClown)
>Forwarded to FCC for their review. Thank >you.
Forward this dickhead....you're a pudwacking adult/juvenile degenerate!

"Got RF?"

277. Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 01:56:14 UTC
From: Bob <prosper2040@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <ez6n9.16190$%P2.748632@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 139057

Ok, This is what I'm looking to do. I want reasonably reliable two way radio communications between two cities approximately 60 miles (100km) apart. Can this be done with currently available CB's ? Someone toldme it might be possible with SSB rigs running their full legal wattage.

Thanks.

278. Re: Whoops...gotta give credit where it's due! (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 02:15:43 UTC
From: One Eyed Purple People Eater <1eye@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <VhCdnRiTI-VSZAGgXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139059

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:10045-3D9CDC3E-80@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>
> George again erred with:
> Secondly please post a link or something to back up your claims that
> Santa Cruz has decriminalized marijuana for those without a prescription
> from a doctor. It is the least you can do and there should be plenty of
> info.
> -
> There is, and you're beginning to sound like Frank. I'm not going to do
> your work for you...not for free anyways.

Well then I will just call you a liar if your not willing to stand behind
your claims
and to provided something other than your opinion , I mean how hard is it
your not doing my work your doing your own research and due diligence,
surely for you to make the claim you read it somewhere or saw a web page. I
ask you to provide the info or be labeled as not credible. Remeber this
Classic. "Just because you say it Twisty does'nt make it so". They are words
to live by your words in fact post it or don't bother to debate the subject
any longer.

279. Re: Here ya' are, George!! (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 02:21:14 UTC
From: One Eyed Purple People Eater <1eye@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <d2ydnRGRAIeHZgGgXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139060

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:10044-3D9CDBE1-268@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>
> Possession of valium isn't decriminalized in Santa Cruz. It's not even a
> valid analogy for a myriad of reasons.
>
>
> George erred with:
> >Possesion of valium is LEGAL in Santa Cruz, <
>
>
> But it ain't decriminalized if you're caught with a small amount of it.
> Pot is.

Now your saying with a small amount where were these words from you before?

>
>
>
>
> >possesing it without a doctors prescription is Illegal same as
> marijuana, which is still Illegal if you posses it without a doctor
> prescription. <<

> Never took issue with it. Regardless, it's still decriminalized for
> personal possession of small amounts.....valium isn't.

> >Quite the same Twisty in both you need a docotor prescription for it to
> be legal. Is possesion of 20 lbs of marijuna in Santa Cruz
> decriminalized? If you don't have a doctors prescription<

> (sigh) Ok,,,I'll spell it out for you. One illegal valium WILL get you
> in more trouble than one illegal joint. The penalties are stiffer for
> valium...why? it's not decriminalized.

I beg to differ, valium in an off itself are not illegal, having them in a
bogus prescription bottle or in a bottle without your name will get you in
trouble. Have one joint and you will get raise the ire of the police
marijuna is still considered illegal, except for possesing small amount in
certain states. Valium are Legal Drugs, pot is Illegal right of the get go
Valium is not, do you hear me boy!

280. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 02:21:52 UTC
From: One Eyed Purple People Eater <1eye@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <9jCdnYJRWbDdZgGgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139061

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021003214600.05246.00007580@mb-fn.aol.com...
> >From: killakeyclown@yahoo.com >(KillaKeyClown)
> >Forwarded to FCC for their review. Thank >you.
> Forward this dickhead....you're a pudwacking adult/juvenile degenerate!

Wow you have alot of class shame all of it is Low. You CB rambro

281. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 02:24:19 UTC
From: One Eyed Purple People Eater <1eye@xyz.com>
Message-ID: <156cnd-bA5slKAGgXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139062

"Timbo Nebo" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20021003205910.21961.00006530@mb-dd.aol.com...
> everyday. how do you think we freebanders exchange qsl cards?
>
Po boxes stupid with any name usa on them.

282. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 02:28:59 UTC
From: who cares? <who-cares@apathy.net>
Message-ID: <uppv8gntn31q70@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139064

```
"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D9CD412.E3A24EC6@mailcity.net...
>
>
> who cares? wrote:
> >
> > "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D9CADC6.189728E5@mailcity.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > who cares? wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Post your call sign JJ, what are you hiding,...hmmm??
> > >
> > > Because on this group I do prefer to remain anonymous, not because
> > > of doing anything illegal, because there are nuts like you whom I
> > > had just as soon not know who I am. On the air I am legal and
> > > perfectly identifiable, unlike the illegal ops who still have to
> > > hide their true identity.
> > > There are groups where I have used my name and call.
> >
>
> --------------------------------------------------------------------------
--
> > ---------------------------------------------
> >
> > "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D9CA692.8EB3D691@mailcity.net...
> >
> > "Why don't you use your real name on the air, using the moniker
> > twistedhed is hiding your true identity. Ever give your address on
> > the air? What do you have to hide? When I am on the air I use my
> > real name and with my call sign anyone can look up who I am.
> > Again, what do you have to hide?"
> >
> > When you hypocrites, *like you JJ* post this kind of BS...
> > You can expect to get called on it.
> >
> > Call Sign???
>
> And you are? Mister hide behind the fake id. Talk about the pot
> calling the kettle black.
```

Just like you too, JJ... that makes us even, don't it!?

283. Welcome Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 02:29:43 UTC
From: Peekaboo <1seeyou@peek.com>
Message-ID: <MZ-dnfDdAImKYAGgXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139063

What's up ya'll how have things been going. I see I haven't missed anything in this NG.

284. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 02:35:33 UTC
From: Two Eyed White Cow Eater <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <V77n9.68930$8o3.1747183@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139065

"One Eyed Purple People Eater" <1eye@xyz.com> wrote in message
news:9jCdnYJRWbDdZgGgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
> "OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
> news:20021003214600.05246.00007580@mb-fn.aol.com...
> > >From: killakeyclown@yahoo.com >(KillaKeyClown)
> > >Forwarded to FCC for their review. Thank >you.
> > Forward this dickhead....you're a pudwacking adult/juvenile degenerate!
>
> Wow you have alot of class shame all of it is Low. You CB rambro
>
>

You're one to talk. Quit the argument before you are made a fool of again.

285. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 02:36:03 UTC
From: Two Eyed White Cow Eater <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <n87n9.68936$8o3.1747293@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139066

```
And you need to learn to spell.

"One Eyed Purple People Eater" <1eye@xyz.com> wrote in message
news:ws3n9.113022$q42.3158731@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> douchbag the chief didn't report anyone you need to learn how to read
before
> you troll the NG
>
> "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:fT2n9.7137$OB5.679663@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Why, he's the CHIEF, what else would you expect?
> > "Shadow" <shdwdrgn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:e3947bf4.0210031338.15804b3a@posting.google.com...
> > > killakeyclown@yahoo.com (KillaKeyClown) wrote in message
> > news:<86209e94.0210031013.16fe50a7@posting.google.com>...
> > > > "Chief Bromden" <1flew@xyz.com> wrote in message
> > news:<SRmm9.405449$5r1.18828716@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > > > > > http://www.angelfire.com/(deleted)
> > > >
> > > > Also reported to Angelfire for TOS violation.
> > >
> > > Who named you as police of the net and airwaves??
>
>
>
>
```

286. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 02:52:57 UTC
From: george craig <geocraig@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <do7n9.2248$k_2.80784@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139067

Doc, most people involved in communications know little if any thing about radio law, or the legal system... jurisdiction is important to know...intrastate communications... that is communications within the state...fall under STATE jurisdiction....NOT FEDERAL....Cooper did alot of reserch on legal issues.....www.williamcooper.com/federal.htm I don't think to many people read the whole article..... or understand it.... I think its an interesting subject.....
one that needs more reasearch..that I will do..and report back

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D9BC8C8.7EC8C626@icok.net...
> George,
> This is a serious question, do you really believe
> that?
> 'Doc
>
>
> george craig wrote:
> >
> > Please review this link and post comments
www.williamcooper.com/federal.htm
> > much to the chargrin of the ham ops they volunteer to be
regulated....no
> > license needed...

287. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 03:09:11 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210031909.7ef26503@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139068

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D9A63EC.9AD88A0E@mailcity.net>...
> george craig wrote:
> >
> > John, I would advise you to carefully read the link.....federal jursdiction
> > is limited to interstate trade,the district of columbia guam ,puerto rico
>
> BS! The pirates have been trying to spin this crap for years. It
> doesn't fly.

I read the link. It leads to a bunch of anti-government/conspiracy
hocus-pocus helmed by a guy named William Cooper. And speaking of
conspiracies, why is it a steady stream of pirates and militia nuts
have been drifting into this CB NG over the past few months?

-Aaron-

288. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 03:24:05 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210031924.7139a2f3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139069

shdwdrgn@hotmail.com (Shadow) wrote in message
news:<e3947bf4.0210031120.6be92be9@posting.google.com>...
> "Chief Bromden" <1flew@xyz.com> wrote in message
news:<SRmm9.405449$5r1.18828716@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > http://www.angelfire.com/theforce/agitator/
>
> you want to see a guy with his head up his ass take a look at this guys Sites
>
> http://www.geocities.com/step_pappy
> http://www.geocities.com/themainpage2002/
> http://www.geocities.com/ihateinbreads/

so what's your point and why are you here????

289. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 03:42:10 UTC
From: george craig <geocraig@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <m68n9.2312$k_2.86167@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139070

Doc, I think that every one should get a copy of their state & federal constitution the FCC charter and a copy of blacks law dictionary and look this stuff up themselves.... FCC fact or FRAUD...prove it to your self ...I origionally posted this link www.aerorfi.org at least put a filter on your radio-george

george craig <geocraig@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:do7n9.2248$k_2.80784@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Doc, most people involved in communications know little if any thing about
> radio law, or the legal system... jurisdiction is important to
> know...intrastate communications... that is communications within the
> state...fall under STATE jurisdiction....NOT FEDERAL....Cooper did alot of
> reserch on legal issues.....www.williamcooper.com/federal.htm I don't
> think to many people read the whole article..... or understand it.... I
> think its an interesting subject.....
> one that needs more reasearch..that I will do..and report back
>
>
>
> 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D9BC8C8.7EC8C626@icok.net...
> > George,
> > This is a serious question, do you really believe
> > that?
> > 'Doc
> >
> >
> > george craig wrote:
> > >
> > > Please review this link and post comments
> www.williamcooper.com/federal.htm
> > > much to the chargrin of the ham ops they volunteer to be
> regulated....no
> > > license needed...
>
>

290. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 03:44:25 UTC
From: sir.oblivion@public.com
Message-ID: <3d9d0e99_4@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 139071

"Bob" <prosper2040@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:ez6n9.16190$%P2.748632@news20.bellglobal.com...
>
> Ok, This is what I'm looking to do. I want reasonably reliable two way
> radio communications between two cities
> approximately 60 miles (100km) apart. Can this be done with currently
> available CB's ? Someone toldme it might be possible with SSB rigs
running
> their full legal wattage.
>

Not possible, least at 60 miles. With near perfect atmospheric conditions, extremely low noise level on a totally unused channel with multi-element directional beams it's a long shot. The furthest I was able to reliably reach with the same described conditions was perhaps 30-40 miles using a 5 element Maco.

291. Re: Welcome Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 04:02:03 UTC
From: John von Gonten <shadow@shadowsofthenet.com>
Message-ID: <3D9D13DB.750AC31A@shadowsofthenet.com>
Source record: 139072

-=But is it the original Boo=-

Peekaboo wrote:

> What's up ya'll how have things been going. I see I haven't missed anything
> in this NG.

--
a8888b.
Shadow d888888b.
What Evil Lurks in the Hearts of Men? 8P"YP"Y88
only the Shadow Knows!!! 8|o||o|88
8' .88
8`._.' Y8.
d/ `8b.
.dP . Y8b.
d8:' " `::88b.
d8" `Y88b
:8P ' :888
8a. : _a88P
._/"Yaa_ : .| 88P|
\ YP" `| 8P `.
/ \._____.d| .'
##

292. Re: Welcome Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 04:07:54 UTC
From: John von Gonten <shadow@shadowsofthenet.com>
Message-ID: <3D9D153B.BDDBC2C9@shadowsofthenet.com>
Source record: 139073

-=But who is Boo=-

Peekaboo wrote:

> What's up ya'll how have things been going. I see I haven't missed anything
> in this NG.

--
a8888b.
Shadow d888888b.
What Evil Lurks in the Hearts of Men? 8P"YP"Y88
only the Shadow Knows!!! 8|o||o|88
8' .88
8`._.' Y8.
d/ `8b.
.dP . Y8b.
d8:' " `::88b.
d8" `Y88b
:8P ' :888
8a. : _a88P
._/"Yaa_ : .| 88P|
\ YP" `| 8P `.
/ \._____.d| .'

293. Re: Welcome Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 04:12:53 UTC
From: Newshound <newshound@spam.com>
Message-ID: <3D9D1545.8185A7B6@spam.com>
Source record: 139074

```
> a8888b.
> Shadow d888888b.
> What Evil Lurks in the Hearts of Men? 8P"YP"Y88
> only the Shadow Knows!!! 8|o||o|88
> 8' .88
> 8`._.' Y8.
> # d/ `8b.
> ##### #### .dP . Y8b.
> ## # d8:' " `::88b.
> ## #### #### #### #### #### #### #### d8" `Y88b
> ## # ## #### ## ## ## ## ## :8P ' :888
> ## # ## ## ## ## ## #### 8a. : _a88P
> ## #### ## ## ## ## #### ._/"Yaa_ : .| 88P|
> ## # #### ## ## ## ## ## ## \ YP" `| 8P `.
> ## ## #### # ## ## #### #### ## ## / \._____.d| .'
> ##
```

KILL THE PENGUIN! They's good eatin'!

294. Re: Welcome Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 04:14:49 UTC
From: shadow <shadow@shadowsofthenet.com>
Message-ID: <3D9D16DA.50875D5@shadowsofthenet.com>
Source record: 139075

-=But Is It the Real Boo=-
Peekaboo wrote:

> What's up ya'll how have things been going. I see I haven't missed anything
> in this NG.

--
a8888b.
Shadow d888888b.
What Evil Lurks in the Hearts of Men? 8P"YP"Y88
only the Shadow Knows!!! 8|o||o|88
8' .88
8`._.' Y8.
d/ `8b.
dP . Y8b.
d8:' " `::88b.
d8" `Y88b
:8P ' :888
8a. : _a88P
._/"Yaa_ : .| 88P|
\ YP" `| 8P `.
/ \._____.d| .'

295. WTB: TEXAS STAR ONE PILL MODULATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 04:16:29 UTC
From: reg1647@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021004001629.09072.00000357@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 139076

Please give price and condition. Thanks, Reg

296. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 04:27:45 UTC
From: Tony <prosper2040@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <gN8n9.10980$zz2.1480979@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 139077

How about with a power increase in SSB mode.?

<sir.oblivion@public.com> wrote in message news:3d9d0e99_4@nopics.sjc...
>
> "Bob" <prosper2040@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
> news:ez6n9.16190$%P2.748632@news20.bellglobal.com...
> >
> > Ok, This is what I'm looking to do. I want reasonably reliable two way
> > radio communications between two cities
> > approximately 60 miles (100km) apart. Can this be done with currently
> > available CB's ? Someone toldme it might be possible with SSB rigs
> running
> > their full legal wattage.
> >
>
> Not possible, least at 60 miles. With near perfect atmospheric conditions,
> extremely low noise level on a totally unused channel with multi-element
> directional beams it's a long shot. The furthest I was able to reliably
> reach with the same described conditions was perhaps 30-40 miles using a 5
> element Maco.
>
>

297. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 05:01:34 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Og9n9.483$Hg6.42350889@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139078

"One Eyed Purple People Eater" <1eye@xyz.com> wrote in message
news:8%4n9.47879$bY5.257555@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> "who cares?" <who-cares@apathy.net> wrote in message
> news:uppmes9l8db981@corp.supernews.com...
> >> When you hypocrites, *like you JJ* post this kind of BS...
> > > You can expect to get called on it.
> > >
> > > Call Sign???
>
> This is a Cb Ng why would you want his Callsign? I think to get something
> you have to give something. What are you giving?
>
>

But George, if this is a cb newsgroup, why are
you and the other Hitler youth from the ham groups hanging
out here?

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

298. Re: Profile of typical antikeyclown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 05:01:34 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Og9n9.484$Hg6.42350889@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139079

"One Eyed Purple People Eater" <1eye@xyz.com> wrote in message
news:u42cnXemkb5dWACgXTWcqQ@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:AqQm9.607$Ag6.17428857@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
>
> > LMAO!! Mopar, notice how I had nothing
> > to do with this thread, But George so wants my
> > attention..... LOL!!!! Troll George.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Au contraire my flaming homo, you still have nothing to do with this
thread.
> I just want Randy to know what your liable to do so for you to not be a
> hypocrite and start posting all Randys' screen nic changes. Certainly your
> against this type of thing so I would expect you to do the same with him
as
> you have with me otherwise you are a troll who has trolled this NG for
about
> 5 years.
>
>

What, because I have kept track of your 308 screen nics for the past
year and a quarter? Don't flatter yourself George,
you are nothing more than a troll, you just are too chicken to
admit it.
You still can't hold one conversation without throwing out
some sort of rude, sexual innuendo, harassing sort of comment,
can you.....

Landshark

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

George is a troll, he's just too chicken to admit it.....LOL!!!!!

299. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 05:01:34 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Og9n9.482$Hg6.42350889@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139080

"One Eyed Purple People Eater" <1eye@xyz.com> wrote in message
news:9jCdnYJRWbDdZgGgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com...
> > >From: killakeyclown@yahoo.com >(KillaKeyClown)
> > >Forwarded to FCC for their review. Thank >you.
> > Forward this dickhead....you're a pudwacking adult/juvenile degenerate!
>
> Wow you have alot of class shame all of it is Low. You CB rambro
>
>

Pot=kettle LMAO! George should be the one too
talk.

Landshark

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

300. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 06:05:48 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <anjb4j027e5@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 139081

<sir.oblivion@public.com> wrote in message news:3d9d0e99_4@nopics.sjc...
>
> "Bob" <prosper2040@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
> news:ez6n9.16190$%P2.748632@news20.bellglobal.com...
> >
> > Ok, This is what I'm looking to do. I want reasonably reliable two way
> > radio communications between two cities
> > approximately 60 miles (100km) apart. Can this be done with currently
> > available CB's ? Someone toldme it might be possible with SSB rigs
> running
> > their full legal wattage.
> >
>
> Not possible, least at 60 miles. With near perfect atmospheric conditions,
> extremely low noise level on a totally unused channel with multi-element
> directional beams it's a long shot. The furthest I was able to reliably
> reach with the same described conditions was perhaps 30-40 miles using a 5
> element Maco.

Baloney. We did that much with standard base antennas. One was merely held up on the ground by one of those giant wood cable crates. I talked mobile to base when the gal was around 50 miles away. So it's not totally impossible to do. You just need to be in an area where inherent noise is minimal and two good decent systems. I've routinely talked mobile to base alone with around 30 miles to cover.

301. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 07:09:56 UTC
From: shadow <shadow@shadowsofthenet.com>
Message-ID: <3D9D3FE6.2F18F083@shadowsofthenet.com>
Source record: 139082

Same here, I have talked mobile to base, base to base and base to mobile in the 40 to 70 mile range with good rigs, antennas that tuned flat or as close to flat as you can get, and only a little power when in the mobile. like 50 watts.

So if the systems work and the noise floor is real low, it can be done.

But the key is good equipment and fairly unpolluted bands.

John Smith wrote:

> <sir.oblivion@public.com> wrote in message news:3d9d0e99_4@nopics.sjc...
> >
> > "Bob" <prosper2040@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
> > news:ez6n9.16190$%P2.748632@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > >
> > > Ok, This is what I'm looking to do. I want reasonably reliable two way
> > > radio communications between two cities
> > > approximately 60 miles (100km) apart. Can this be done with currently
> > > available CB's ? Someone toldme it might be possible with SSB rigs
> > running
> > > their full legal wattage.
> > >
> >
> > Not possible, least at 60 miles. With near perfect atmospheric conditions,
> > extremely low noise level on a totally unused channel with multi-element
> > directional beams it's a long shot. The furthest I was able to reliably
> > reach with the same described conditions was perhaps 30-40 miles using a 5
> > element Maco.
>
> Baloney. We did that much with standard base antennas. One was merely held
> up on the ground by one of those giant wood cable crates. I talked mobile
> to base when the gal was around 50 miles away. So it's not totally
> impossible to do. You just need to be in an area where inherent noise is
> minimal and two good decent systems. I've routinely talked mobile to base
> alone with around 30 miles to cover.

302. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 07:24:27 UTC
From: F68A <f68a@free.fr>
Message-ID: <3d9d422a$0$21319$626a54ce@news.free.fr>
Source record: 139083

> How about with a power increase in SSB mode.?
>
> <sir.oblivion@public.com> wrote in message news:3d9d0e99_4@nopics.sjc...

Ho Ho Ho! Increasing power... Ho Ho Ho! Typicaly the good ol'
ShittizenBandit's way of thinking.
And what about using a real antenna well placed at a good height?
And What is <full legal power>? Say about 4 Watts PEP all over the world
including RegTP, CEPT, FCC and others, except Banana Rebiblic of Bullshit!
Well it's all to you. You've got the bills so don't make it the intelligent
way.

No seriously, to cover up 60 miles the "propagation" won't do it, the
cheapest way is to try with simple wire antennas at a good height. If
they're high enough 500 mW to 1 Watt will be sufficient even in FM or AM
mode

Ciao! 73+51+88+212+318+1010+3,1415926535

303. ARNewsline 1311 - Sept 27 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 07:31:12 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021004033112.11598.00013178@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 139084

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1311 – September 27, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1311 with a release date of Friday, September 27th 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. Unlicensed stations invade the low end of 20 meters and a tower finding in California highlight this weeks Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1311 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

INTRUDER WATCH: WORLDWIDE QRM FROM INDONESIA

Unlicensed stations allegedly operating from Indonesia are causing havoc to the D-X beacon monitoring system on 20 meters. Its interference being heard around the world as we hear from Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB:

--
The presence of Indonesian non amateur groups on the various amateur band frequencies in the 40 and 20 meter bands is causing severe concern for all the amateurs of Region 3 and the world over. While they use amateur radio frequencies for their regular communications, you will never miss the many calls for Prayers and the many jokes and Kookaburra pattern of laughing with old men and young ladies together.

The 40 meter band has several channels of 5 kHz intervals from the lower edge and these stations have their breeding grounds here. They graduate to other frequencies and move to 20 meter band, where they are on either side of the International Beacon frequency of 14100 kHz. Many of us in Region 3, cannot monitor any NCDXF/IARU beacons on this frequency.

Even though this QRM from Indonesians has been reported month after month to telecommunications authorities, so far nothing seems to be happening get them off the air.
--

If you hear this QRM, please report it to your nations Intruder Watch coordinator.
(Q-News)

**

RADIO LAW: COURT RULES CITY CANNOT RESTRICT BUSINESS ANTENNA USE

A California Court of Appeals has ruled that a city cannot regulate the type of transmissions that an FCC license holder can broadcast. It also says that a

city ordinance that prohibited a license holder from broadcasting commercially is preempted by federal law. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, says what the city of Rancho Palos Verdes was trying to write its own rules on this one:

--
Mark Abrams, WA6DPB, lives in Rancho Palos Verdes California. He is an amateur radio operator and with city approval, Abrams built a 40-foot antenna and 52-foot antenna in the backyard of his home. The city’s approval of the towers was contingent on their use being limited to non-commercial applications only. Abrams is also the owner of a business that sells and services two-way radio equipment and holds 76 licenses issued by the FCC which permitted the use of his antennas for commercial purposes.
--
Abrams: Audio Onlt at www.arnewsline.org.
--

Abrams says that he never used the two city-approved towers to receive or transmit on frequencies for commercial use. Instead, he used portable antennas mounted on tripods in his backyard and on a mobile tower trailer which he parked in his side yard.

But in 1998 and 1999, the City of Rancho Palos Verdes monitored radio transmissions from Abrams's property and detected the commercial use of frequencies. The City stated that these transmissions were in violation of an ordinance that required FCC licensees to obtain a conditional use permit from the city's planning commission to operate commercially.

--
Abrams: Audio only at www.arnewsline.org
--

The City of Rancho Palos Verdes and State of California filed an action seeking an injunction to bar Abrams from, among other things, operating his antennas for commercial purposes. Abrams answered the complaint and filed a cross-complaint of his own. It he claimed that federal law preempted the City's ordinance because it prohibited the use of frequencies allocated to him and his customers by the FCC.

--
Abrams: Audio only at www.arnewsline.org
--

The court says that he can use his antennas for commercial purposes without a city permit. It says that federal law preempts the City's ordinance banning such use because the Rancho Palos Verdes requirement that Abrams obtain a permit to transmit a commercial broadcast was preempted by federal regulation. It says that Abrams's FCC licenses enable him to operate commercially on certain frequencies and the City of Rancho Palos Verdes limitation on his rights are in conflict with federal law. And that’s good news for WA6DPB.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, reporting.
--

The Court concluded the City does hold zoning authority, but such authority is limited to the placement, construction, and modification of facilities used for commercial mobile radio services only. (ARNewsline™)

**
RADIO RULES: UPDATE ON NTIA VS. PROPOSED 5 MHZ HAM BAND

Some good news concerning the National Telecommunications and Information Administration opposition to a new Amateur service allocation at 5 Mhz. This from ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP, and General Counsel Chris Imlay, W3KD who say that they are now optimistic about reaching a resolution to issues that could otherwise block plans for the band.

The two met with representatives of the government agency to discuss the NTIA concerns. Haynie says that they came away with a feeling that the NTIA was willing to work with the ARRL to resolve concerns. Until surprise opposition surfaced the FCC appeared to have put ARRL's request for a new, domestic-only, secondary amateur allocation at 60 meters on the political fast track. (ARRL Bulletin)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the Three Rivers Amateur Radio Club repeater serving Philadelphia Pennsylvania.

(5 sec pause here)

**
RESCUE RADIO: HURRICANE ISADORE STRIKS

On Tuesday, September 24th, the FCC declared that a general communications emergency existed for Alabama, Florida, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Texas. This as hurricane Isadore approached the region.

The declaration requires amateurs not use 3.873 MHz during hours of darkness and 7.285 MHz during daylight. Both frequencies are to be protected plus or minus 3 kHz. Only amateurs taking part in the handling of emergency traffic are to use these 6 kilohertz slots.

The FCC said the communications emergency could last as long as 14 days but it actually remains in force until its rescinded. Keep an eye on the ARRL website newspages for ongoing updates on ham radio involvement in this emergency. Its in cyberspace at www.arl.org (From ARRL bulletin.)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: V-U-SAT’S MESSAGES FROM ORBIT

A new ham radio satellite to be launched by India wants to carry your message. This, according to VU2NUD who is the coordinator for Amsat-India.

VU2NUD says that V-U-SAT will carry a beacon system with the ability to play back pre recorded messages on command. They will be transmitted in F-M voice

for all the world to hear and your words could be among those it broadcasts from space. Here's how:

Think of short, meaningful and apropos messages no longer than 15 seconds in duration. It could be related to ham radio space operations, amateur satellites, famous quotes, sayings or whatever. Then send it along to VU2SBJ by e- mail to sri@ebhats.com and a copy to ham@vsnl.com. Mark clearly in the subject: Message for Beacon. Then wait to see what V-U-SAT eventually has to say. (ANS)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC SETS ASIDE LICENSE RENEWAL

The FCC has notified Scott E. Kamm, of Sioux City Iowa that it has set aside the renewal of his Technician class license grant for N0UGN. The Commission said it took the action based on recent complaints about the operation of Kamm's station and questions regarding his qualifications to be a licensee.

The FCC notes a Warning Notice by the Enforcement Bureau sent to Kamm last February 5th. The agency says that the warning was related to Kamm's alleged use of transmitting equipment on 156.300 and 174.000 MHz without a license, and deliberate interference to an Amateur repeater operating on 146.910 MHz. The letter also pointed out that Kamm's address in the database was incorrect. And subsequent to that warning notice the FCC says that information came to its attention that Kamm had apparently provided radio equipment to an unlicensed minor residing in Waterbury, Nebraska, and had instructed him on how to operate on the Marine Band and other frequencies.

In his February 11th response to the FCC Warning Notice, Kamm denied setting up radio equipment for the minor, and also stated that he had sold all of his radio equipment. But the FCC says that it has all of these complaints under review and that Kamm will be hearing from the agency again in the not to distant future. (FCC)

**

SAFETY ALERT: FLUKE METER RECALL

Test equipment manufacturer Fluke Corporation has announced a voluntary recall of certain models of its digital multimeters. This because of a delay in readings on the A-C scale above 500 volts.

According to a company release, the meters can take more than 18 seconds to reach a final reading. This could lead users to think that the voltage is lower than it actually is.

The meters involved in the recall are models 175, 177 and 179 of which 17000 were sold in the United States. All of the recalled units have a serial number below 79000000 and were sold between January and October 2001. If you have one, please call Fluke toll-free at 800-260-4819 between 8 AM and 7 PM weekdays Eastern time. More information on the recall can be found in cyberspace at www.fluke.com/rma/default.asp. (Fluke press release forwarded by WA6ILQ)

**

SAFETY ALERT: KODAK DC5000 DIGI CAMERA RECALL

A recall on a popular digital camera. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Norm Seeley, KI7UP, has the shocking details:

--
If you own a Kodak brand digital camera model D C 5000, listen up. Eastman Kodak Co. is recalling about 75,000 of these cameras because of a possible manufacturing defect that can cause electrical shock.

According to news reports, the recalled cameras bear the model number DC5000 on the lower front right-hand corner. The cameras also carry a plate on the bottom containing the Kodak product identifier code KJCAA, followed by an eight-digit serial number ranging from 01800001 to 11700825.

The cameras were sold at department, electronic, computer and camera stores as well as mail-order and Web sites from June 2000 through August 2002. Consumers can return the cameras for free inspection and repair by contacting the company at 1-888-793-2977 between 9 a.m. and 8 p.m. Monday through Friday Eastern Daylight Time.
--

Again, if you own one of these cameras, please contact Kodak at 1-888-793-2977 between 9 a.m. and 8 p.m. Monday through Friday Eastern Daylight Time. (Kodak press release)

**

HAM RADIO ON TV: ICOM JOINS “THE HUMAN RACE”

ICOM America has been named the high frequency communications provider for the television shoe The Human Race. ICOM America has already shipped an IC-706 Mark II G to Boston for use by the show. The IC-706 and additional equipment will be used during an upcoming trek along the actual travel route to be used. The donation is the result of an agreement recently reached between ICOM America's National Sales Manager Ray Novak, KC7JPA, and the shows producer Bill Desjardins, W1ZY. (THR)

**

CONFRENCES: WSWSS IN CERRITOS CA

The Western States VHF-UHF and Microwave Conference is slated for October 11, 12 and 13 at the Sheraton Cerritos Hotel Towne Center in Cerritos California. Hosted by the Western States Weak Signal Society, the conference provides VHF and UHF enthusiasts the knowledge and tools to work DX and contests on 6 meters and up. This years banquet speaker is ARRL 1st Vice-President and VHF/UHF contester Joel Harrison, W5ZN. More information is on the web at www.wswss.org. (WSWSS)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

RADIO HISTORY: PEARL HARBOR REPORTER S.K.

He wasn't a ham, but Hugh Lytle was a communicator in his own right. History remembers Lytle as the man whose teletype message provided The Associated Press and the world with the first account of Japan's sneak attack on Pearl Harbor back in 1941.

Lytle was the news services Honolulu correspondent and a reserve Army officer. He was awakened by the Army on December 7th as Japanese planes bombed the U.S. fleet. According to his son David, Lytle quickly left for his A-P office at the Honolulu Star-Bulletin, where he filed a brief account of the attack in progress. He then reported for military duty with the Army.

A few weeks ago on August 16th Hugh Lytle passed away. He was 100 years old. (Published reports)

**

HAM TRAVEL: FREE ACCOMMODATIONS FOR HAMS ON THE GO

From across the Atlantic comes word of an interesting program for hams who like to move around. The International Travel Host Exchange, or I-T-H-E is a program administered by the German national society, D.A.R.C. It provides radio amateurs with the possibility of free accommodation with other amateurs around the world in exchange for other hams offering accommodation to overseas amateurs.

Most International Travel Host Exchange members are in Europe, but there are also amateurs registered in Australia, Canada, India, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, New Zealand, Syria, Thailand and the USA. Once registered, postal or e-mail addresses are made available so that contact can be established well before any visit takes place. For more information please contact Thilo Kootz, DL9KCE by e-mail to dl9kce@darc.de.

**

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY: NEW GRAYLINE STUDY REMAILER

A new Internet newsgroup has been set up to discuss and coordinate the current research into greyline propagation by the RSGB Propagation Studies Committee. It is with Yahoo and people can subscribe by sending an empty e-mail to greyline-subscribe@yahoogroups.co.uk

Yahoogroups is also the home of the Amateur Radio Newsline e-mail list you subscribe to it by sending a similar empty e-mail to arnewsline-subscribe@yahoogroups.com. ARNewsline is one word spelled a-r-n-e-w-s-l-i-n-e.

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: RS-12/13 - S.K.

The AMSAT News Service and the ARRL Letter both report that the Russian Radiosport RS-12/13 ham satellite appears to have died. Jerry Brown, K5OE, reports he's received word from Russian sources that RS-12/13 went dark as a result of severe solar flare activity in
July and August.

At airtime, efforts are under way to restore the satellite, but hopes for success are dim, according to Brown's Russian acquaintances. RS-12/13 were integrated into the COSMOS 2123 Russian navigation satellite, launched February 5, 1991. It’s beacons have not been heard since August 20. (ANS, ARRL Letter)

**

INTERNATIONAL - AUSTRALIA: CB RULES ARE CHANGING

The Australian UHF CB equipment standard is to change. The new standard will require that CTCSS equipment include facilities to reduce interference to non CTCSS users. Required features include a 'channel busy' warning light and a 'listen before transmit' function. The changes were required by the Australian Communications Authority following changes that legalized CTCSS signaling on UHF CB channels. The A-C-A has also informed the Australian Association of Citizens Band Radio Operators that once the standards are approved by Standards Australia, they will become compulsory for equipment manufacturers. (Q-News)

**

DX

In D-X, word that KF2XN is active as KH2 slash KF2XN from Guam for at least the next month. He operates mostly SSB in his spare time. QSL as directed on the air. (RSGB)

And BI7DX is an Isands on the Air expedition that will operate from Weizhou Island throuhgh the 4th of October. Weizhou Island is located in the Gulf of Tongking in South China with team members comming from four different Chinese provences. The operation will be on SSB and CW from 40 to 10 meters, including the WARC bands. (RSGB)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: THE BIRDS ARE BACK

And finally this week, our favorite flying T-Hunt is about to start. This, as the famed radio tagged burrowing owls take flight once again. With the details, here’s Joe Moell, K0OV.

--
It's that time again -- migratory birds in the Northern Hemisphere are heading south for the winter. For the fifth year, ham radio operators and scanner fans are being asked to use their equipment to help wildlife biologists figure out the routes and final destinations of endangered Burrowing Owls. In previous

years, hams helped determine that owls hatching during summer months in the Canadian provinces of Saskatchewan and Alberta travel over central states from North Dakota to Oklahoma, ending up in southeast Texas and northern states of Mexico. They sometimes fly over 2000 miles to their winter homes.

There aren't any radio-tagged Canadian owls to listen for this fall, but the University of Arizona is asking for ham help with 53 transmittered owls from eastern Washington state. If you live in the western USA and can receive 150 MHz signals, you could help. Your scanner or extended-range hand-held ham radio transceiver, plus an outside antenna, are all you need to join in. If you have radio direction finding equipment for VHF, so much the better.

For all the frequencies and monitoring information, go to the usual place on the Web, www.homingin.com (HomingIn is one word.) If you aren't on the Web, send postal mail to my callbook address. Again, the Web site is homingin.com

Thanks in advance for your help. From southern California, this is Joe Moell K-zero-Oscar-Victor for Amateur Radio Newsline

--

Once again, more information is in cyberspace at www.homingin.com. (ARNewsline™)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

A reminder that our survey how ham radio is being used by home schoolers is still taking place. If you are a home schooling parent or a student being home schooled, please send your thoughts on the subject by e-mail to newsline@arnewsline.org. We will keep the survey going for one more week.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Jim Damron N8TMW and I’m Jeff Clark K8JAC saying 73 and we thank you for listening.
Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

304. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 10:27:29 UTC
From: Peekaboo <1seeyou@peek.com>
Message-ID: <hFqdnbKZp4mM8ACgXTWcpg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139085

"Two Eyed White Cow Eater" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote in message news:V77n9.68930$8o3.1747183@twister.austin.rr.com...
>> You're one to talk. Quit the argument before you are made a fool of again.

Go lay down you 2 bit crack whore from texas no one was talking to you

305. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 10:29:29 UTC
From: Peekaboo <1seeyou@peek.com>
Message-ID: <lsmdnZrkt9lV8ACgXTWc3g@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139086

"Two Eyed White Cow Eater" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote in message news:n87n9.68936$8o3.1747293@twister.austin.rr.com...
> And you need to learn to spell.

And you need to get off the ready Rock

306. Re: RED CHINA loses again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 10:37:42 UTC
From: rexr@cox-internet.com
Message-ID: <3D9D6F75.4FFE42AA@cox-internet.com>
Source record: 139039

He did not mention any "god" in this posting.

"lil' ol' me." wrote:

> On Thu, 03 Oct 2002 09:21:10 -0400, rexr@cox-internet.com was
> blabbling:
>
> ...How does buying American over chinese make one a "damn religious
> Werdo".
> ...
> ...Just wondering.
> ..
>
> Well, you ever read any of idiot Dave's posts? He seems hell bend on
> converting or in his case shoving his brand of Christianity down
> peoples throat... I personally think he's a fucking nut job. and needs
> to get his fucking head outta his ass long enough to wake up and smell
> the roses... Hell, I'm all for buying american, but don't fucking tell
> me what fucking god to serve in the process...

307. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 11:50:52 UTC
From: Two Eyed White Cow Eater <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <wgfn9.72275$8o3.1813154@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139087

```
<sir.oblivion@public.com> wrote in message news:3d9d0e99_4@nopics.sjc...
>
> "Bob" <prosper2040@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
> news:ez6n9.16190$%P2.748632@news20.bellglobal.com...
> >
> > Ok, This is what I'm looking to do. I want reasonably reliable two way
> > radio communications between two cities
> > approximately 60 miles (100km) apart. Can this be done with currently
> > available CB's ? Someone toldme it might be possible with SSB rigs
> running
> > their full legal wattage.
> >
>
> Not possible, least at 60 miles. With near perfect atmospheric conditions,
> extremely low noise level on a totally unused channel with multi-element
> directional beams it's a long shot. The furthest I was able to reliably
> reach with the same described conditions was perhaps 30-40 miles using a 5
> element Maco.
>
>

Then you were not doing something right.
```

308. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 12:20:51 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3d9d875c.64738584@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 139088

On Thu, 03 Oct 2002 21:45:24 GMT, "One Eyed Purple People Eater"
<1eye@xyz.com> wrote:

>
><chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
>news:3d9c3fbb.66111108@news.optonline.net...
>
>> So which would you say is more important Field Strength or SWR
>> (reflection)???
>
>Hey theres IceCold asking his stupid antenna questions again.
>Hey I thought this guy was a CB superstar why does he come here to ask such
>trivial questions.
>Perhaps he isn't all that he thinks he is. LOL ... IceCold NYC your just
>a good buddy
>
>
Obviously I am not him dopey. But thanks for the help from the other
people who actually like talking about cb stuff and not just crap.

309. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 12:53:10 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021004085310.03483.00007862@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 139089

somebody has to own the PO Box dumb ass

>Po boxes stupid with any name usa on them.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

310. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 12:55:03 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021004085503.03483.00007863@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 139090

wow were you talking between a mountain? 60 miles is no problem with two base station radios running sideband with a decently high antenna's at night when there is no noise. it wont be possible at all during the day for another couple years

>
>Not possible, least at 60 miles. With near perfect atmospheric conditions,
>extremely low noise level on a totally unused channel with multi-element
>directional beams it's a long shot. The furthest I was able to reliably
>reach with the same described conditions was perhaps 30-40 miles using a 5
>element Maco.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

311. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 12:58:07 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021004085807.03483.00007866@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 139091

with two HF ham rigs converted to 11 meters running just outside of the legal
40 channels at there usual 100 watts output and both station running horizontal
beams pointed at each other 60 miles should be able to be done loud and clearly
24/7

>
>
>
> Ok, This is what I'm looking to do. I want reasonably reliable two way
>radio communications between two cities
>approximately 60 miles (100km) apart. Can this be done with currently
>available CB's ? Someone toldme it might be possible with SSB rigs running
>their full legal wattage.
>
>Thanks.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

312. Re: Welcome Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 12:59:55 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021004085955.03483.00007867@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 139092

george who you trying to fool? we all know the real boo and where he's at

>What's up ya'll how have things been going. I see I haven't missed anything
>in this NG.
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

313. Re: Welcome Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 13:10:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19636-3D9D934D-34@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139096

From: timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo)
george who you trying to fool? we all know the real boo and where he's
at
-
Yea, Peak woudn't
welcome" himself back,,,most of us would do it for him and he knows
this.

314. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 13:17:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19636-3D9D9503-35@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139099

From: timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo)
wow were you talking between a mountain? 60 miles is no problem with two base station radios running sideband with a decently high antenna's at night when there is no noise. it wont be possible at all during the day for another couple years
-
No doubt. I talk to Lakeland on a regular basis, Orlando, Punta Gorda, fishing boats from here to Texas and the Fla straits. I have even talked to 413 over on the other coast (AM) near Daytona once, but with 100 watts at the time on a 5/8. Granted, it's flat here, but I have done way more than 60 miles from the mobile no problem on SSB on the road many times.

315. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 13:29:01 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xIgn9.8209$OB5.805169@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 139093

```
Bite me
"One Eyed Purple People Eater" <1eye@xyz.com> wrote in message
news:ws3n9.113022$q42.3158731@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> douchbag the chief didn't report anyone you need to learn how to read
before
> you troll the NG
>
> "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:fT2n9.7137$OB5.679663@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Why, he's the CHIEF, what else would you expect?
> > "Shadow" <shdwdrgn@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:e3947bf4.0210031338.15804b3a@posting.google.com...
> > > killakeyclown@yahoo.com (KillaKeyClown) wrote in message
> > news:<86209e94.0210031013.16fe50a7@posting.google.com>...
> > > > "Chief Bromden" <1flew@xyz.com> wrote in message
> > news:<SRmm9.405449$5r1.18828716@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > > > > > http://www.angelfire.com/(deleted)
> > > >
> > > > Also reported to Angelfire for TOS violation.
> > >
> > > Who named you as police of the net and airwaves??
>
>
>
>
>
```

316. Re: Dumb ass Aaron/RadioBob/Radio 8/KE4ENX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 13:31:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210040531.23b1404c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210031119.13031b68@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210021636.5303a21b@posting.google.com>...
> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >> >Date: Wed, Oct 2, 2002 5:04 PM
> >> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210021604.3d422db9@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210021030.256b404@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210020421.4fd86dee@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210011838.6ed57342@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210010434.738528a7@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209301047.4313359b@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0209300425.2e091ac0@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> > > > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209271820.3e90ed96@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> > > > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >> >> > > > > > > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
> news:<c9ceae5b.0209261209.1f4cb4eb@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> > > > > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >> >> > > > > > > > (snip)
> >> >> > > > > > > > >Like I said, you don't know diddly about me.
> >> >> > > > > > > >
> >> >> > > > > > > > We know the essentials.
> >> >> > > > > > > >
> >> >> > > > > > > > -A
> >> >> > > > > > > >
> >> >> > > > > > > > >You know nothing. Nothing at all. "essentials"? No
> >> way. Prove
> >> it.
> >> >> > > > > > >
> >> >> > > > > > > Gladly.
> >> >> > > > > > >
> >> >> > > > > > > -A
> >> >> > > > > > >
> >> >> > > > > > (massive snip of irrelevant trash)

> >> >> > > > > >
> >> >> > > > > >
> >> >> > > > > > Don't like seeing your name out there, huh, Steve? -A
> >> >> > > > >
> >> >> > > > > Aanal Aaron: Where's your *proof*? You got none, and your
> repeated
> >> >> > > > posting of irrelevant garbage only proves my point.
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > If it's irrelevant, then you shouldn't mind me posting it,
> Steve.
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > -A
> >> >> >
> >> >> > PROOF, Aanal Aaron, not just the same crap.
> >> >>
> >> >> If it's "crap", it shouldn't upset you to see it again.
> >> >>
> >> >> -A
> >> >
> >> >What a loser! Do you really think that the other readers are going
> to
> >> >believe in anything other than your frustration and desperation if
> you
> >> >keep posting that? That proves nothing.
> >> >
> >> >Where's your PROOF, Aanal Aaron? You got nothing. You know
> nothing.
> >> >You are nothing.
> >> >
> >> >Back it up, dumbulb; post your PROOF.
> >>
> >> Au contraire. You are the one that appears frustrated and desperate
> >> Steven.
> >>
> >> -Aaron-
> >
> >I'm not frustrated or desperate at all, but I am waiting for your
> >alleged PROOF, Aanal Aaron. Don't have any? Why not just admit it?
> >Just have to show the world what a complete moron you are?
> >
> >At the risk of sounding as repetitive as you are: You got nothing,
> you
> >know nothing, you are nothing.
>
> I know quite a bit. That's the reason you're in this thread, Steve.
>
> -Aaron-

No, you know nothing, dimbulb. Where's the PROOF you said you'd "Gladly" post?

317. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 13:33:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19636-3D9D98C4-37@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139098

"JJ" wroted, while sleeping behind the comcast veil:
>You're really funny twistie boy. When I operate on the air there are no goofy 'handles' or made up 'numbers', just my real name and call sign, nothing to hide, don't have to look over my shoulder because I am not operating illegally.<<

Well, all we have is your word on that. You keep bringing up hammie radio as a means to compare to illegal cb radio, yet fail to ascertain what you claim....and that's ok....I couldn't care less who you are or what you call yourself.....but if you reiterate over and over that you are a nocode hammie, it must mean something to you and saying it over and over without putting it forward is merely another troll. Handles have been around forever on cb. Bitching about players that come to the game years after the standards have been employed is akin to pissing in the wind.
It's not like everytime the mic is keyed we're illegal, "JJ".
I spend much of my time on a local LEGAL channel, I spend much more time scanniing than I do talking.
-

>Your are the one who started the rant referring to licensed hams about 'fingerprints all over your transmissions'. <<

Better go back and read who started the thread. Twasn;t I, but I do understand your juvenile obsession to "get even" after I made you aware of your errors. Sorta like Lelnad, only this incarnation isn't as rabid....yet.

>So just who has the obsession identities?<

The trouble posse...Frank Gilliland searching for pics of my house and dying to know my employer, Lelnad KC8LDO wanting my address more than he worships Jesus, speaking of his filthy obsessions with mens underwear. Shall we continue? "Aaron" (when he is Frank) threatening to contact people's employers. YOU asking for real names.....Jeez, "JJ"...it's not rocket science.

>I operate legally so I don't have to worry about 'fingerprints all over my transmissions'. <

I never worry about any of my transmissions. I have no need to. What good are electronic "fingerprints" when the feds can pinpoint my transmissions from Laurel, MD in a split second IF they really wanted to. See, that word "IF" always bites ya' in the azz!

318. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 13:35:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19636-3D9D9917-38@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139095

From: 1eye@xyz.com (One Eyed Purple People Eater)
"Timbo Nebo" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20021003205910.21961.00006530@mb-dd.aol.com...
everyday. how do you think we freebanders exchange qsl cards?

>Po boxes stupid with any name usa on them.<

(Snicker) Yea, THAT will camoflauge us from the feds.....

319. Re: ARNewsline 1311 - Sept 27 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 13:39:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19636-3D9D99F5-39@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139097

Even though this QRM from Indonesians has been reported month after
month to telecommunications authorities, so far nothing seems to be
happening get them off the air.
-
Isn't this what Tim has essentially been saying for years? The states
are a pittance of a problem compared to the rest of the word.

320. Re: FCC, fact or fraud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 13:42:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19642-3D9D9AB6-15@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139103

And speaking of conspiracies, why is it a steady stream of pirates and
militia nuts have been drifting into this CB NG over the past few
months?
-Aaron-
-
The "pirates" have always been here. Maybe you are just waking up. As
far as "militia nuts"...it appears to be another of your illusions.
If such types were to appear, I am pretty sure we would know who is
behind it. Even a skunk smells his own hole.

321. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 13:43:08 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <RYgn9.6476$GF5.127177@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 139100

"Bob" <prosper2040@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:ez6n9.16190$%P2.748632@news20.bellglobal.com...
>
> Ok, This is what I'm looking to do. I want reasonably reliable two way
> radio communications between two cities
> approximately 60 miles (100km) apart. Can this be done with currently
> available CB's ? Someone toldme it might be possible with SSB rigs
running
> their full legal wattage.
>
> Thanks.

Hi,

Yes, it's very possible...and with all legal gear too. I routinely talk with my father who lives in a large metropolitan city about 60 mi. west of my QTH. First off, you'll need directional antennas. I'd recommend that you both get MaCo M-103 3 el. Yagis. They're cheap and effective. Are they the "Cadillac" of beams? No, but at about a bill per, they're a good value. (If you can, replace the stock hardware with stainless steel.)

Next, use really good coax. I'd recommend Bury-Flex by Davis RF. It costs a few cents (literally) more, but the return, in the form of additional shielding and overall quality, is well with it. Nuff said!

Ah, the radios. Any really good sideband set will do the trick, HOWEVER, a good receiver is what you want the most. So, get on ebay (or whatever) and try to hunt down a NIB Uniden Grant XL or LT. The LT is more prevalent now and can still be had for a little over a bill. Those that have new XLs generally don't want to part with then...and for good reason. One of the best receivers in the biz. If your budget allows for it, I'd add a CBCI Channel Guard IF filter and a SP-1a speech processor. These are both legal and will GREATLY enhance your ability to hear & be heard. The Channel Guard will increase your ACR (Adjacent Channel Rejection) and defeat 99% of the "splash" associated w/urban ops. The SP-1a speech processor will increase your average modulation without exceeding 100% or overmodulating like may amplified mics can. This reduces any interference you might otherwise cause while giving your audio punch with increased "intelligibility." (i.e. Loud & clear as opposed to loud and distorted.) BTW, the Galaxy DX 2547 base station is a good choice too. (Add the same extras.)

Then the standard stuff applies. Be sure to provide a good RF ground for your setup and don't be discouraged during periods of high static, it's part of the big picture. I run a Uniden Grant XL (w/CG & SP-1a) feeding an 80-meter dipole via a tuner and my father runs a Radio Shack TRC-485 feeding a plain-Jane 1/2 wave dipole...and we both do just fine at 12 watts apiece.

He has a slight advantage as his dipole is on top of a three-story brownstone at approx. 75 ft., but it's still just a dipole. Perhaps I'll upgrade him to a 2 or 3 el. yagi, but that's another project for another day. If I can provide any additional info or if you have any questions/concerns, drop me an e-mail. (Remove the NOSPAM though.) Take care and best of luck. :-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

322. Re: Here ya' are, George!! (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 13:46:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19636-3D9D9BCE-41@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139102

George again erred with:
>I beg to differ, valium in an off itself are not illegal, having them in a bogus prescription bottle or in a bottle without your name will get you in trouble.<

Which would make the valium on YOUR possession...ILLEGAL....and a much heavier penalty than a doobie.

> Have one joint and you will get raise the ire of the police marijuna is still considered illegal, except for possesing small amount in certain states. <<

Which is what my original post claimed..that it was decriminalized in Santa Cruz. You claimed it wasn't...you were wrong.

>Valium are Legal Drugs, pot is Illegal right of the get go Valium is not, do you hear me boy!<<

Both are legal in Santa Cruz with a prescription. One illegal valium vs. one illegal joint will carry a harsher penalty. Why is that so hard for you to comprehend? Admitting an error kills you doesn't it? I base this on your obvious anger.

323. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 13:50:52 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <54hn9.6519$GF5.129623@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 139101

"Timbo Nebo" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20021004085503.03483.00007863@mb-cr.aol.com...
> wow were you talking between a mountain? 60 miles is no problem with two
base
> station radios running sideband with a decently high antenna's at night
when
> there is no noise. it wont be possible at all during the day for another
couple
> years

Right on, Tim. Re. daytime operation, that's where the CG also earns it's
keep. It provides an aditional stage of amplification while increasing ACR.
How many times have you ever tried to copy "a weak one" with a hi-po station
a channel or two away "spalshing" the bejesus out of you. I've noticed that
I've been able to pull some weak ones right out of the noise floor now.
Can'r recommend it enough. BTW, how the heck are you? :-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

> >Not possible, least at 60 miles. With near perfect atmospheric
conditions,
> >extremely low noise level on a totally unused channel with multi-element
> >directional beams it's a long shot. The furthest I was able to reliably
> >reach with the same described conditions was perhaps 30-40 miles using a
5
> >element Maco.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>

324. Re: Whoops...gotta give credit where it's due! (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 13:52:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19636-3D9D9D36-43@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139104

George angrily scribed:
>Well then I will just call you a liar if your not willing to stand behind your claims <<

Like I care what you think. Like anyone here cares what you think. Hell George, your mother is a lawyer, she can afford a dollah for you to make the call to city hall in Santa Cruz and ask your question. When you're done, come back for another dish of crow I enjoy you dining on.

>and to provided something other than your opinion , I mean how hard is it your not doing my work your doing your own research and due diligence, surely for you to make the claim you read it somewhere or saw a web page. <<

No George.You're missing posts again. I used to live in California which is how I know. I still have friends in Santa Cruz. I used to go to the Catalyst on a regular basis to do reviews on the bands..way, way long time ago.

>I ask you to provide the info or be labeled as not credible.<<

You don't have the integrity to label anybody anything.

> Remeber this Classic. "Just because you say it Twisty does'nt make it so". They are words to live by your words in fact post it or don't bother to debate the subject any longer.<<

Yea, ok,,,you know when you're wrong.

325. Re: Whoops...gotta give credit where it's due! (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 15:10:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6335-3D9DAF72-36@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139105

Heres a freebie, George. Scroll on down and read all about California's laws and DECRIMINALIZATION.

http://www.norml.org/index.cfm?wtm_view=&group_ID=4525

Thanks for the info. Marla! Peace out!!

326. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 16:50:58 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D9DC6F2.74D6@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 139106

John Smith wrote:
>
> <sir.oblivion@public.com> wrote in message news:3d9d0e99_4@nopics.sjc...
> >
> > "Bob" <prosper2040@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
> > news:ez6n9.16190$%P2.748632@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > >
> > > Ok, This is what I'm looking to do. I want reasonably reliable two way
> > > radio communications between two cities
> > > approximately 60 miles (100km) apart. Can this be done with currently
> > > available CB's ? Someone toldme it might be possible with SSB rigs
> > running
> > > their full legal wattage.
> > >
> >
> > Not possible, least at 60 miles. With near perfect atmospheric conditions,
> > extremely low noise level on a totally unused channel with multi-element
> > directional beams it's a long shot. The furthest I was able to reliably
> > reach with the same described conditions was perhaps 30-40 miles using a 5
> > element Maco.
>
> Baloney. We did that much with standard base antennas. One was merely held
> up on the ground by one of those giant wood cable crates. I talked mobile
> to base when the gal was around 50 miles away. So it's not totally
> impossible to do. You just need to be in an area where inherent noise is
> minimal and two good decent systems. I've routinely talked mobile to base
> alone with around 30 miles to cover.

You miseed a key point in his specifications: Reliable.

Anyone who has been on CB for any length of time has stories of long
contacts that took place under ideal conditions. Heck, I've talked to
stations 80 miles away, that were not moving the needle, but I could
hear because the noise was extra quiet that night.

But could you do that day in and day out? Taking into account the pesky
interference that skip causes, not to mention other sources of noise,
and the traffic of other stations? Doubtful. My experience with daily
contacts usually nets about a 20 mile limit reliably.

This is also assuming legal power, and antennas, with reasonable
terrain. YMMV if you introduce variables like top of mountains, or
higher than legal power.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

327. Antenna Suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 17:06:49 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <OXjn9.8397$GF5.205559@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 139107

Hi everybody,

The recent thread discussing impedance matching, field strength, and SWR kinda made me want to make a suggestion for some of you folks who are getting ready to erect an antenna of some kind. Why not put up a dirt cheap wire dipole? "How big?" you ask. As big as your lot will support. I run a 40-meter dipole (approx. 66 ft. long) and feed it via ladder-line through a tuner. This is not only my ham antenna, but my CB antenna too. It puts out a nice signal and I can use the tunner to allow my rig to deliver all of its power regardless of what channel I'm operating on. Funny thing is I took the tuner off-line and my SWR only rose to 1.4:1, not bad. I use a commercial 40m doublet fed with 600 ohm ladder-line. Great value at $35, IMHO.
Here's the link.

http://www.w7fg.com/ant.htm

To roll your own, go to Radio Shack and pick up a 50 ft. length of "300-Ohm Low-Loss Twin-Lead TV-Antenna Cable." It's got "20-gauge copper conductors with polyethylene-foam insulation and weather-sealed jacket." In other words, good stuff and cheap too. (I paid all of $6.) The part no. is 15-1174 and here are some reviews.

http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/1750

While you're at RS, grab their 70 ft. roll of 14 gauge stranded copper antenna wire for an additional $3. I use an external 4:1 balun or you can use the one that comes in most tuners. The reason I went external is that I prefer a current balun as opposed to the voltage balun used in most tuners. This better keeps any RF out of the shack...but at lower power levels, is usually not a problem. A good dogbone center insulator can be had at either RS or Home Depot in their electric fence dept., no biggie. If you want a good commercial balun, try here.

http://www.radioworks.com/index2.html

...or the best, go here.

http://www.baluns.com/balun.htm

Remember, use a 4:1 current balun. Now for a good affordable tuner that you can potentially grow with and not break the budget. How about...

http://www.mfjenterprises.com/products.php?prodid=MFJ-949E

That'll do it. This was my first tuner and despite not being much of a MFJ fan, I gotta say it did a great job. At $149, it's a good investment too. So run that 66 ft. dipole into the trees, (Trees make GREAT cheap towers!) run that 50 ft. length of low-loss ladder-line down to your tuner, and tune for

1:1 SWR and I'd wager you "end-fire" a better signal than any A-99, plus if you ever decide to get more RF real estate via ham radio...your antenna's already in place. Good luck now. :-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

328. Re: Dumb ass Aaron/RadioBob/Radio 8/KE4ENX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 18:45:16 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210041045.5bd4a4ad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210040531.23b1404c@posting.google.com>...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210031119.13031b68@posting.google.com>...
> > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210021636.5303a21b@posting.google.com>...
> > >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> > >> >Date: Wed, Oct 2, 2002 5:04 PM
> > >> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210021604.3d422db9@posting.google.com>
> > >> >
> > >> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210021030.256b404@posting.google.com>...
> > >> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210020421.4fd86dee@posting.google.com>...
> > >> >> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210011838.6ed57342@posting.google.com>...
> > >> >> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210010434.738528a7@posting.google.com>...
> > >> >> > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209301047.4313359b@posting.google.com>...
> > >> >> > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0209300425.2e091ac0@posting.google.com>...
> > >> >> > > > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209271820.3e90ed96@posting.google.com>...
> > >> >> > > > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > >> >> > > > > > > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
> news:<c9ceae5b.0209261209.1f4cb4eb@posting.google.com>...
> > >> >> > > > > > > >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > >> >> > > > > > > >> (snip)
> > >> >> > > > > > > >> >Like I said, you don't know diddly about me.
> > >> >> > > > > > > >>
> > >> >> > > > > > > >> We know the essentials.
> > >> >> > > > > > > >>
> > >> >> > > > > > > >> -A
> > >> >> > > > > > > >
> > >> >> > > > > > > >You know nothing. Nothing at all. "essentials"? No
> > >> way. Prove
> > >> it.
> > >> >> > > > > > >
> > >> >> > > > > > > Gladly.
> > >> >> > > > > > >
> > >> >> > > > > > > -A

> > >> >> > > > > > >
> > >> >> > > > > > (massive snip of irrelevant trash)
> > >> >> > > > > >
> > >> >> > > > >
> > >> >> > > > > Don't like seeing your name out there, huh, Steve? -A
> > >> >> > > > >
> > >> >> > > > > Aanal Aaron: Where's your *proof*? You got none, and your
> repeated
> > >> >> > > > posting of irrelevant garbage only proves my point.
> > >> >> > > >
> > >> >> > > If it's irrelevant, then you shouldn't mind me posting it,
> Steve.
> > >> >> > >
> > >> >> > -A
> > >> >> >
> > >> >> PROOF, Aanal Aaron, not just the same crap.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> If it's "crap", it shouldn't upset you to see it again.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> -A
> > >> >
> > >> >What a loser! Do you really think that the other readers are going
> to
> > >> >believe in anything other than your frustration and desperation if
> you
> > >> >keep posting that? That proves nothing.
> > >> >
> > >> >Where's your PROOF, Aanal Aaron? You got nothing. You know
> nothing.
> > >> >You are nothing.
> > >> >
> > >> >Back it up, dumbulb; post your PROOF.
> > >>
> > >> Au contraire. You are the one that appears frustrated and desperate
> > >> Steven.
> > >>
> > >> -Aaron-
> > >
> > >I'm not frustrated or desperate at all, but I am waiting for your
> > >alleged PROOF, Aanal Aaron. Don't have any? Why not just admit it?
> > >Just have to show the world what a complete moron you are?
> > >
> > >At the risk of sounding as repetitive as you are: You got nothing,
> you
> > >know nothing, you are nothing.
> >
> > I know quite a bit. That's the reason you're in this thread, Steve.
> >
> > -Aaron-
>

> No, you know nothing, dimbulb. Where's the PROOF you said you'd "Gladly" post?

Right here. It's you hating to see your name posted. It's you freaking out and protesting it's not true. It's you still here fretting in this thread long after someone who wasn't Steve would have been long gone. The proof is you, Steve.

-Aaron-

--

jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

329. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 19:34:36 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210041134.2ea4b2b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139109

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<18198-3D9CAF59-146@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
>
> Another veiled threat coming from the self-proclaimed leader of the
> trouble posse.
> You pulled the same garbage with Dennis' employer and he's still here.
> And you're still whining about being impotent.

Hi Twist. No threat, veiled or otherwise. But it does seem a tad
irresponsible for a sworn police officer to gleefully
(..."YEEE-HAWWW!"...) announce that he is operating a prohibited
transceiver illegally on the Citizens Band...proclaim that illegal
power amplifiers are necessary to communicate...and announce that CB
is no longer regulated and is a "free for all". People who are trying
to decide whether or not to operate within the law are likely reading
this newsgroup. IMO, Mr. Stover has a responsibility to see that any
information he posts here is accurate and reflects actual -not
estimated- FCC policy.

-Aaron-

330. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 19:56:59 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <SK6cnSGjL78XbwCgXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139110

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:19636-3D9D9917-38@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: 1eye@xyz.com (One Eyed Purple People Eater)
"Timbo Nebo" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20021003205910.21961.00006530@mb-dd.aol.com...
everyday. how do you think we freebanders exchange qsl cards?

>Po boxes stupid with any name usa on them.<

(Snicker) Yea, THAT will camoflauge us from the feds.....

Twitsy why would you have to hide from the Feds?

331. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 19:59:14 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <b8GdnTkfxPhPYgOgXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139111

<chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3d9d875c.64738584@news.optonline.net...
> On Thu, 03 Oct 2002 21:45:24 GMT, "One Eyed Purple People Eater"
> <1eye@xyz.com> wrote:
>
> Obviously I am not him dopey. But thanks for the help from the other
> people who actually like talking about cb stuff and not just crap.

Go back to your channel 6 toilet Bowl IcecoldNYC

332. Re: CB'ers on 28.225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 20:21:05 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D9DF831.3060309@csotbs.org>
Source record: 139112

Jerry:

I pull rail containers for a living, and believe me, there is no shortage of non-railroad drivers pulling them. The fact of the matter is, a truck driver is STILL a necessity, regardless.

As for warehousing, apparently you don't realize that there are not nearly enough rail containers to handle the amount of traffic you're talking about putting on the rail system. Not to mention the fact that there aren't nearly enough RAILS to move all the freight.

Add in the time factor. What about perishable goods such as Produce? Sure, refrigerated rail containers exist, but do you really want lettuce that's been in a rail container for two weeks, or lettuce that's been in a truck for three days?

The fact of the matter is that if the trucks stop, so does this nation. The rail system can't handle the amount of goods we ship and consume in this country.

From one HAM to another, the same arguments you're using against truck drivers can be used against HAM radio.. HAMs aren't necessary because the police can handle all the emergency traffic necessary, all the time, on time, every time. Right?

I don't think so either, but please take a step back and look at it.

-SSB

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
> news:3D987E7F.3050403@csotbs.org...
>
>>The point is, Bill, that trucks will still be necessary.
>>
>>You brought up another point, as well, and maybe something you might not
>>have considered...
>>
>>First, warehousing in addition to the railyards, plus the sorting of the
>>loads to where they're going. The logistics are phenomenally complex, and
>>would add greatly to the expense of shipping by rail..
>>
>
> Warehousing? No more than already exists. As I said, there are RoadRailers
> where
> trailers have both road and rail wheels, easily swapped in place. They can

> be hauled to
> end point, and then delivered. They are already using these for critical
> 'just in time' inventory
> control at many plants with great success, I might add.
>
>
>
>>In other words, for time, simplicity, and cost, shipping by truck is still
>>the best bet, and it will be for years and years to come.
>>
>
> That is not correct. In the RoadRailer example, think about how much is
> saved just on drivers'
> salaries. Thirty trailers pulled by one locomotive= 30 drivers not being
> paid to pull them + in-
> surance and benefits. Most of those end deliveries are made by railroad
> drivers or pickups by
> the consignees themselves. Sort of like the old team track concept. Then
> there are the savings
> in wear and tear to roads (cars don't make those annoying "wrinkles" in the
> pavement at inter-
> sections). This is already going on on a small scale, but could be expanded
> if need be.
>
> It isn't likely to happen, that's true, but it could be if necessary. The
> point is, nobody is irreplac-
> able. Methinks truckers have an exaggerated opinion of their invincibility.
>
> jerry
>
>>-SSB
>>
>>Bill Nelson wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>Sure.. .Ship by rail...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>How do you get the goods from the railyards to the stores, then?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>Actually, that is where local truckers come into the picture.
>>>
>>>Mac is correct that, if the biased governmental regulations were
>>>eliminated, shipping most goods by rail would be less expensive.
>>>But it would require a massive overhaul of our rail system. It is
>>>totally inadequate to handle the massive amounts of material that

>>>are shipped around the country these days.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>--
>>73 de KR8LR, Chris
>>
>>http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.
>>
>>
>
>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

333. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 20:50:16 UTC
From: mick <liggy1950@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <qann9.2064$YB1.148321@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 139127

It may be posible i would sugest you ground the antenas to your house water pipes as this helps on rx as we all know the beter the ground plane the better and clearer the rx. I would also say put a 50 watt burner on both rigs as the standerd 4 watts is not suficent for the distance required unless its ssb and the atmasferics are right i.e midle of summer during sun down.
Or the only outher alternative would be to buy two uhf transmiters like the council and taxi firms use

334. Channel 22- Crew, in Richmond, Virginia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 20:56:41 UTC
From: ****** <nohooch@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <Bgnn9.803$NV.7880@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 139114

New Post about Channel 22
(just to make "Crossfire"(Texas) happy, (poor bay-bee),I'll refer to them as "duck-pluckers" for now on.)

-

Uh-oh.
I seen me an FCC van sittin' near Forest Hill Ave.

Look likes theys gonna bust somebody's ass.

Did you know, that channel 22-Crew be on "good behavior" when "Uncle Charlie" pays a visit? Just like clockwork!
Linears shut off
Everybody gets "quiet"
Someone in da FCC calls them up and tells the ring-leader that the FCC comin' to town.

When the van leave Richmond, the phone call is made to da ring leader lettin know that the FCC left.

Who be the ring-leader on Channel 22?
Who in da list belows is da ring leader?

Cannon-ball
604
555
spiderman
big boss man
1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 ,7, 8 9, 10 (the 22 club)
Undertaker,
grey-ghost

335. Re: Antenna Suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 21:45:23 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <anl1ts$f6mo9$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 139115

Thanx Bert,great suggestion........
--
Mad Dog

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:OXjn9.8397$GF5.205559@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> Hi everybody,
>
> The recent thread discussing impedance matching, field strength, and SWR
> kinda made me want to make a suggestion for some of you folks who are
> getting ready to erect an antenna of some kind. Why not put up a dirt
cheap
> wire dipole? "How big?" you ask. As big as your lot will support. I run a
> 40-meter dipole (approx. 66 ft. long) and feed it via ladder-line through
a
> tuner. This is not only my ham antenna, but my CB antenna too. It puts out
a
> nice signal and I can use the tunner to allow my rig to deliver all of its
> power regardless of what channel I'm operating on. Funny thing is I took
the
> tuner off-line and my SWR only rose to 1.4:1, not bad. I use a commercial
> 40m doublet fed with 600 ohm ladder-line. Great value at $35, IMHO.
> Here's the link.
>
> http://www.w7fg.com/ant.htm
>
> To roll your own, go to Radio Shack and pick up a 50 ft. length of
"300-Ohm
> Low-Loss Twin-Lead TV-Antenna Cable." It's got "20-gauge copper conductors
> with polyethylene-foam insulation and weather-sealed jacket." In other
> words, good stuff and cheap too. (I paid all of $6.) The part no. is
15-1174
> and here are some reviews.
>
> http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/1750
>
> While you're at RS, grab their 70 ft. roll of 14 gauge stranded copper
> antenna wire for an additional $3. I use an external 4:1 balun or you can
> use the one that comes in most tuners. The reason I went external is that
I
> prefer a current balun as opposed to the voltage balun used in most
tuners.
> This better keeps any RF out of the shack...but at lower power levels, is
> usually not a problem. A good dogbone center insulator can be had at

either
> RS or Home Depot in their electric fence dept., no biggie. If you want a
> good commercial balun, try here.
>
> http://www.radioworks.com/index2.html
>
> ...or the best, go here.
>
> http://www.baluns.com/balun.htm
>
> Remember, use a 4:1 current balun. Now for a good affordable tuner that
you
> can potentially grow with and not break the budget. How about...
>
> http://www.mfjenterprises.com/products.php?prodid=MFJ-949E
>
> That'll do it. This was my first tuner and despite not being much of a MFJ
> fan, I gotta say it did a great job. At $149, it's a good investment too.
So
> run that 66 ft. dipole into the trees, (Trees make GREAT cheap towers!)
run
> that 50 ft. length of low-loss ladder-line down to your tuner, and tune
for
> 1:1 SWR and I'd wager you "end-fire" a better signal than any A-99, plus
if
> you ever decide to get more RF real estate via ham radio...your antenna's
> already in place. Good luck now. :-)
>
> --
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>
>

336. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 21:49:55 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <anl26c$f7rhd$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 139116

```
You missed a key point yourself......"reasonably reliable".

--
Mad Dog
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D9DC6F2.74D6@worldlynx.net...
> John Smith wrote:
> >
> > <sir.oblivion@public.com> wrote in message news:3d9d0e99_4@nopics.sjc...
> > >
> > > "Bob" <prosper2040@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
> > > news:ez6n9.16190$%P2.748632@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > >
> > > > Ok, This is what I'm looking to do. I want reasonably reliable two
way
> > > > radio communications between two cities
> > > > approximately 60 miles (100km) apart. Can this be done with
currently
> > > > available CB's ? Someone toldme it might be possible with SSB rigs
> > > running
> > > > their full legal wattage.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Not possible, least at 60 miles. With near perfect atmospheric
conditions,
> > > extremely low noise level on a totally unused channel with
multi-element
> > > directional beams it's a long shot. The furthest I was able to
reliably
> > > reach with the same described conditions was perhaps 30-40 miles using
a 5
> > > element Maco.
> >
> > Baloney. We did that much with standard base antennas. One was merely
held
> > up on the ground by one of those giant wood cable crates. I talked
mobile
> > to base when the gal was around 50 miles away. So it's not totally
> > impossible to do. You just need to be in an area where inherent noise is
> > minimal and two good decent systems. I've routinely talked mobile to
base
> > alone with around 30 miles to cover.
>
>
```

> You miseed a key point in his specifications: Reliable.
>
> Anyone who has been on CB for any length of time has stories of long
> contacts that took place under ideal conditions. Heck, I've talked to
> stations 80 miles away, that were not moving the needle, but I could
> hear because the noise was extra quiet that night.
>
> But could you do that day in and day out? Taking into account the pesky
> interference that skip causes, not to mention other sources of noise,
> and the traffic of other stations? Doubtful. My experience with daily
> contacts usually nets about a 20 mile limit reliably.
>
> This is also assuming legal power, and antennas, with reasonable
> terrain. YMMV if you introduce variables like top of mountains, or
> higher than legal power.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

337. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 21:50:54 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D9E0D3E.77982A6@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139128

Twisteboy wrote:

>
> .....but if you reiterate over and over that you
> are a nocode hammie,

You really have a problem with reading comprehension twistie, I
will
t y p e t h i s v e r y s l o w l y s o m a y b e y o u
c a n g r a s p i t.

I have never claimed to be a nocode licensee, I took my General
and Advanced class test in front of an FCC examiner, long before
VECs and nocode were ever thought of.

338. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 21:56:29 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28700-3D9E0E8D-93@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139117

From: legalizeit@hempseed.com (Fred Flintstoned)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:19636-3D9D9917-38@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
1eye@xyz.com (One Eyed Purple People Eater) "Timbo Nebo"
<timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20021003205910.21961.00006530@mb-dd.aol.com... everyday. how do you
think we freebanders exchange qsl cards?

>Po boxes stupid with any name usa on them.<

(Snicker) Yea, THAT will camoflauge us from the feds.....

>Twitsy why would you have to hide from the Feds?<

I wouldn't....hence the snicker at your belief that a PO box could
somehow shield the box renter from federal authorities.

339. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 23:06:34 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28702-3D9E1EFA-24@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139120

From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<18198-3D9CAF59-146@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
Another veiled threat coming from the self-proclaimed leader of the
trouble posse.
You pulled the same garbage with Dennis' employer and he's still here.
And you're still whining about being impotent.
-
>Hi Twist. <

Well, since we're dealing with several "aarons", I guess I can reply to
"this" Arron since he doesn't seem as pissed offf or rabid as the
others. Hello and good day.

>No threat, veiled or otherwise. But it does seem a tad irresponsible
for a sworn police officer to gleefully (..."YEEE-HAWWW!"...) announce
that he is operating a prohibited transceiver illegally on the Citizens
Band..<<

Irresponsibility was never a crime.

>.proclaim that illegal power amplifiers are necessary to
communicate...and announce that CB is no longer regulated and is a "free
for all".<<

Well, amps do help,,especially during the day when all the skip is
running, but I'd rather find a nice quiet off channel on the freeband
than run a buncha power. To me, and in my certainly humbled opinion, it
is the lesser of the 2 evils.

> People who are trying to decide whether or not to operate within the
law are likely reading this newsgroup. <<

Then they can see that the feds don't give a rats azz about low power
freebanding above the legal 40 but below 10 meter (especially 555) as
long as there are no safety, interference, etc., legitimate complaints.
Hell, even running amps (to a point) seems relevantly harmless unless
you're in a very populated area, otherwise the feds would make it a
priority..

>IMO, Mr. Stover has a responsibility to see that any information he
posts here is accurate and reflects actual -not estimated- FCC policy.
-Aaron-<<

I can appreciate your position while disagreeing at the same time
without prejudice. I believe it was merely an interpretation,,,,one that
is somewhat accurate of the current state of cb. Many of us know from

experience what to expect from the FCC, IE: which behavior causes problems and what type action the feds will take. Most cbers know that the heavy hand of enforcement by the FCC is not what certain types on this board would like it to be, despite the false and oft reiterated claims to the contrary.

340. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 23:11:05 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9e1d48.2408021@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139118

In <angca8$2rq$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>, "AJ Sanderson"
<sjay@noospam.com> wrote:

>I have 2 regulated 10 amp 13.8 v power supplies. can I combine these for 20
>amp output?

Yes, but not directly. Use each supply with a power resistor so the
current will be shared by both supplies equally. This will also
prevent one supply trying to regulate the other supply (which could
burn out one or both supplies very quickly). It doesn't take much
resistance on each supply, less than 0.1 ohm. You can get this just by
using a longer power supply line.

One good way to get that resistance and make it useful is to add a RF
choke to the output of each power supply. You can make one yourself
just by winding several feet of wire around a large bolt.

341. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 23:11:18 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9e2013.3122486@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139119

In <sGOm9.434825$5r1.19025813@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>, "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

<snip>
>Wrong!!! Quoted from "Modern Electronic Circuit Design" by David J.
>Comer, Published by - Addison Wesley 1976, pages 529-530.
>
>***
>The ac considerations are slightly different from those of the dc
>case. With a given power supply voltage Vcc, the greatest peak-to-peak
>voltage that can be delivered to a resistive load is equal to Vcc, and
>the quiescent voltage must be chosen as Vceq = Vcc/2 for this output
>voltage to occur. Minimizing the value of RL will maximize the power
>delivered to the load. However, the minimum value of RL is determined
>by the collector current rating, as shown in Fig 12.2. The minimum
>value of RL that will not result in excessive collector current is
>
>RL = Vcc / Imax
>
>If the quiescent voltage is Vceq=Vcc/2, the collector current will be
>Icq=Imax/2, and the ac power that can be delivered to the load is
>
>Pac = (Vpeak)^2 / (2*RL) = (Vcc)^2 / (8*RL)
>
>The circuit efficiency of an amplifier is a measure of the
>effectiveness with which the stage converts the dc input power to ac
>output power. This efficiency is defined as
>
>N = (Pac / Ps) * 100
>
>where Ps is the power delivered by the dc power supply. Using this
>equation for the resistive load stage gives
>
> (Vpeak)^2 / (2*RL)
>N = ------------------------ * 100
> Vcc*Icq
>
>Both ac power and source power depend on Icq. If Icq is less than
>Imax/2, the peak voltage swing that can occur before cutoff is
>Vpeak=Icq*RL; thus the circuit efficiency becomes
>
> Icq*RL
>N = --------- * 100 for Icq less than or equal to Imax / 2
> 2*Vcc

Whoa! What happened with the denominator?

>The efficiency is seen to increase as Icq increases up to the point
>where Icq=Imax/2. Above this value of current, the peak voltage swing
>is limited by the saturation of the transistor. As Icq increases above
>Imax/2, the maximum possible voltage swing decreases and the input
>source power increases. Therefore we conclude that maximum efficiency
>occurs when Icq=Imax/2. This value of efficiency is
>
> (Vcc)^2 / (8*RL)
>Nmax = -------------------- * 100
> Vcc*(Imax / 2)
>
>Since Imax = Vcc / 2

Wrong. Imax = (Vcc / 2) / RL

>Nmax = 25 percent

Try again. Take your Nmax equation and drop in some correct figures:

Vcc = 180 volts
RL = 100 ohms
Therefore, Imax = (180 / 2) / 100 = 0.9 amps; and

(180)^2 / (8*100) 40.5 watts
Nmax = ----------------- = ---------- = 50%
180 * (0.9 / 2) 81 watts

342. Re: Antenna Suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 23:21:57 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28702-3D9E2295-26@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139124

>That'll do it. This was my first tuner and despite not being much of a MFJ fan, I gotta say it did a great job. At $149, it's a good investment too. So run that 66 ft. dipole into the trees, (Trees make GREAT cheap towers!) run that 50 ft. length of low-loss ladder-line down to your tuner, and tune for 1:1 SWR and I'd wager you "end-fire" a better signal than any A-99, plus if you ever decide to get more RF real estate via ham radio...your antenna's already in place. Good luck now. :-)
--
73 de Bert
WA2SI
<<

Did you have a bad experience with an MFJ product, Bert? I ask only because I became interested in such things over the past few years and have no real experience with any other tuner to compare it to.
There is a fella up the coast from me that has his A-99 at the top of a giant pine tree and just recently another reg on the group threw one way up sky high in a near cloud touching tree and it took either a crane or one big azz cherry picker to do it. Them trees are great towers...I do it all the time with bungee cords when camping.

343. Re: Antenna Suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 23:24:05 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <pqpn9.8885$OB5.884443@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 139121

Great suggestion Bert! Just remember, everything is a compromise. If you never plan on talking anywhere else other than 11 meters, its best to get an antenna built for that band.

I know my Interceptor 10K will outperform your wire anyday (and you know it too)...

BUT

...it is not for everyone and I can't use it on 40 (like you).

Now maybe they could cut the wire for 11 meters, run it with open feeder line direct to the tuner than they won't need a balun.

If they want to feed it with coax, then they will need the 4:1 balun.

Dino

>Hi everybody,
>
>The recent thread discussing impedance matching, field strength, and SWR
>kinda made me want to make a suggestion for some of you folks who are
>getting ready to erect an antenna of some kind. Why not put up a dirt cheap
>wire dipole? "How big?" you ask. As big as your lot will support. I run a
>40-meter dipole (approx. 66 ft. long) and feed it via ladder-line through a
>tuner. This is not only my ham antenna, but my CB antenna too. It puts out a
>nice signal and I can use the tunner to allow my rig to deliver all of its
>power regardless of what channel I'm operating on. Funny thing is I took the
>tuner off-line and my SWR only rose to 1.4:1, not bad. I use a commercial
>40m doublet fed with 600 ohm ladder-line. Great value at $35, IMHO.
>Here's the link.
>
>http://www.w7fg.com/ant.htm
>
>To roll your own, go to Radio Shack and pick up a 50 ft. length of "300-Ohm
>Low-Loss Twin-Lead TV-Antenna Cable." It's got "20-gauge copper conductors
>with polyethylene-foam insulation and weather-sealed jacket." In other
>words, good stuff and cheap too. (I paid all of $6.) The part no. is 15-1174
>and here are some reviews.
>
>http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/1750
>
>While you're at RS, grab their 70 ft. roll of 14 gauge stranded copper
>antenna wire for an additional $3. I use an external 4:1 balun or you can
>use the one that comes in most tuners. The reason I went external is that I
>prefer a current balun as opposed to the voltage balun used in most tuners.

>This better keeps any RF out of the shack...but at lower power levels, is
>usually not a problem. A good dogbone center insulator can be had at either
>RS or Home Depot in their electric fence dept., no biggie. If you want a
>good commercial balun, try here.
>
>http://www.radioworks.com/index2.html
>
>...or the best, go here.
>
>http://www.baluns.com/balun.htm
>
>Remember, use a 4:1 current balun. Now for a good affordable tuner that you
>can potentially grow with and not break the budget. How about...
>
>http://www.mfjenterprises.com/products.php?prodid=MFJ-949E
>
>That'll do it. This was my first tuner and despite not being much of a MFJ
>fan, I gotta say it did a great job. At $149, it's a good investment too. So
>run that 66 ft. dipole into the trees, (Trees make GREAT cheap towers!) run
>that 50 ft. length of low-loss ladder-line down to your tuner, and tune for
>1:1 SWR and I'd wager you "end-fire" a better signal than any A-99, plus if
>you ever decide to get more RF real estate via ham radio...your antenna's
>already in place. Good luck now. :-)
>
>--
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI
>
>

344. Re: Antenna Suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 23:27:05 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <dtpn9.8892$OB5.884443@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 139122

Whooops! I meant to say 1:1 balun for coax to wire cut to frequency.

Dino

>Hi everybody,
>
>The recent thread discussing impedance matching, field strength, and SWR
>kinda made me want to make a suggestion for some of you folks who are
>getting ready to erect an antenna of some kind. Why not put up a dirt cheap
>wire dipole? "How big?" you ask. As big as your lot will support. I run a
>40-meter dipole (approx. 66 ft. long) and feed it via ladder-line through a
>tuner. This is not only my ham antenna, but my CB antenna too. It puts out a
>nice signal and I can use the tunner to allow my rig to deliver all of its
>power regardless of what channel I'm operating on. Funny thing is I took the
>tuner off-line and my SWR only rose to 1.4:1, not bad. I use a commercial
>40m doublet fed with 600 ohm ladder-line. Great value at $35, IMHO.
>Here's the link.
>
>http://www.w7fg.com/ant.htm
>
>To roll your own, go to Radio Shack and pick up a 50 ft. length of "300-Ohm
>Low-Loss Twin-Lead TV-Antenna Cable." It's got "20-gauge copper conductors
>with polyethylene-foam insulation and weather-sealed jacket." In other
>words, good stuff and cheap too. (I paid all of $6.) The part no. is 15-1174
>and here are some reviews.
>
>http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/1750
>
>While you're at RS, grab their 70 ft. roll of 14 gauge stranded copper
>antenna wire for an additional $3. I use an external 4:1 balun or you can
>use the one that comes in most tuners. The reason I went external is that I
>prefer a current balun as opposed to the voltage balun used in most tuners.
>This better keeps any RF out of the shack...but at lower power levels, is
>usually not a problem. A good dogbone center insulator can be had at either
>RS or Home Depot in their electric fence dept., no biggie. If you want a
>good commercial balun, try here.
>
>http://www.radioworks.com/index2.html
>
>...or the best, go here.
>
>http://www.baluns.com/balun.htm
>
>Remember, use a 4:1 current balun. Now for a good affordable tuner that you
>can potentially grow with and not break the budget. How about...

>
>http://www.mfjenterprises.com/products.php?prodid=MFJ-949E
>
>That'll do it. This was my first tuner and despite not being much of a MFJ
>fan, I gotta say it did a great job. At $149, it's a good investment too. So
>run that 66 ft. dipole into the trees, (Trees make GREAT cheap towers!) run
>that 50 ft. length of low-loss ladder-line down to your tuner, and tune for
>1:1 SWR and I'd wager you "end-fire" a better signal than any A-99, plus if
>you ever decide to get more RF real estate via ham radio...your antenna's
>already in place. Good luck now. :-)
>
>--
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI
>
>

345. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 23:30:19 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D9E248B.B67AADF6@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139136

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> In <OLpn9.72937$8o3.1893604@twister.austin.rr.com>, "Two Eyed White
> Cow Eater" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >> One good way to get that resistance and make it useful is to add a RF
> >> choke to the output of each power supply. You can make one yourself
> >> just by winding several feet of wire around a large bolt.
> >>
> >
> >And you'll have a wonderful electromagnet, but not much more.
>
> You will have a little bit of resistance which will balance the load
> of the two power supplies and prevent them from trying to regulate
> each other, and the inductance will help to keep RF out of the house
> wiring. But I suppose that your vague and unqualified remark of "not
> much more" might be accurate if you were expecting a marching band and
> fireworks.

Isolate the two power supply outputs from each other with diodes.

346. Re: Where's Clyde #1? Re: ALL CB'ers ARE CRETINS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 23:30:28 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <anlftm$4nb$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 139132

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020929204223.246$uu@newsreader.com...
>
> ..He's the master of radio keyboard..wonder if he's ever used a
> transmitter?

I doubt if he can fit it into his tight schedule. He has far too much
"akc" work to do... no time for playing about with things like radios.

Regards,

Peter.

347. Re: MRF 477 substitute?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 23:30:35 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <anlfts$4nb$4@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 139133

"Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote in message
news:anal11$cp0aq$2@ID-7206.news.dfncis.de...
>
> You can get the stock 477 up to about 40 peak
> with simple tuning.

Yes, they do turn up easily, as do the Rangers, Emperors, etc.

What's that grumbling noise from the "akc" camp... something
about "typical keyclown mentality" ;~)

> A single 1969 or 2312 is good
> for around 25 peak in typical circuits.

I have known the 1969 used in small amps but, with the Lincoln
being designed to use the 477, I have known sets to put out
about 15 to 17 Watts with the 1969.

> > I am not too keen on the MRF455 conversion, it is much
> > better to fit a transistor that slots straight in.
>
> I like the 455 conversion the way Uniden does it on
> the new Lincolns. It's really pretty simple.

I haven't seen the new Lincolns. Have they started using
the MRF455 on the new models straight out the factory?

I do not think that this is something that should be attempted
by beginners. There are issues regarding correct mounting for
maximum heat transfer. Some of these transistors contain
beryllium oxide (to improve heat transfer)... beryllium can
cause lung disease if inhaled. Care should be taken not
to break the transistor.

A modification sheet I have here gives details of fitting an
MRF455, but also suggests that the 477 can be replaced
with an MRF497.
It's probably no easier or cheaper to obtain, but do you
have the spec on that one?

Regards,

Peter.

348. Re: Pointer to rec.radio.cb FAQ (New Site)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 23:30:37 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <anlftu$4nb$5@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 139134

"Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote in message
news:anal0v$cp0aq$1@ID-7206.news.dfncis.de...
> > Thanks for that, Bill.
> > I will update my links page... has the old URL gone?
>
> Yes. Conk decided to discontinue their free service
> but I was never notified. So, one day I tried to bring
> up the site to make sure everything was okay and
> it was all gone. I had backup files, but they weren't
> the latest version. Conk had an interface that made
> it easy to edit the files while at their site, so I got
> lazy when it came to downloading backups. So, I
> had to do some editing after we (TollFree and I) got
> the site up, but it's all there (except for The Darkside
> which I discontinued due a lack of time and interest)
> and correct now.

I don't like those on-line site editors or uploaders. I prefer to do
the design off-line, then upload with an FTP program.

On this side of the "pond", it seems quite common for ISPs to close your
account, with the loss of your site, if you do not connect through their
servers regular. Much of the Internet was paid for by advertising, but the
bubble has burst. Some advertisers are becoming wise to the fact that
Internet advertising is not always cost-effective.
So many sites have flashing banners and graphic links, you end up ignoring
them. I never click a banner or flashing advert, mostly I do not even
notice them now.

The service providers have to find other ways to make their money.
Bravenet introduced premium services, which are paid for but better than the
standard free services. Some other sites force you to go to their sponsor
before you can see what you asked for. Bravenet also use this, when you
request one of their services, you must visit their "sponsor" to activate
the service.

In the UK, ISPs are going under or being bought out by Tiscali.
One of the problems for them is the "unmetered" accounts. Customers are
going more for these, so they have to provide them or lose customers. The
telephone company (BT) provide the lines and modems for the ISPs... so
control the prices. The amount they charge for a modem ensures that the
ISPs cannot compete on price unless they have a high contention ratio and
so provide a crap service.

The Internet is not the big money spinner that it was. I wouldn't be
surprised if there are some big changes in the next couple of years... At

the rate they are going, Tiscali may end up owning every ISP in the UK. So, how are Tiscali doing so well? Simple, they are completely happy to provide the worst service in the UK. They do not need to "win" new customers... they just keep buying them.

Regards,

Peter.

349. Re: Dumb ass Aaron/RadioBob/Radio 8/KE4ENX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 23:35:48 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210041535.713a7c36@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210041045.5bd4a4ad@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210040531.23b1404c@posting.google.com>...
> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210031119.13031b68@posting.google.com>...
> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210021636.5303a21b@posting.google.com>...
> > > >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> > > >> >Date: Wed, Oct 2, 2002 5:04 PM
> > > >> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210021604.3d422db9@posting.google.com>
> > > >> >
> > > >> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210021030.256b404@posting.google.com>...
> > > >> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210020421.4fd86dee@posting.google.com>...
> > > >> >> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210011838.6ed57342@posting.google.com>...
> > > >> >> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210010434.738528a7@posting.google.com>...
> > > >> >> > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209301047.4313359b@posting.google.com>...
> > > >> >> > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0209300425.2e091ac0@posting.google.com>...
> > > >> >> > > > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209271820.3e90ed96@posting.google.com>...
> > > >> >> > > > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > > >> >> > > > > > > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
> news:<c9ceae5b.0209261209.1f4cb4eb@posting.google.com>...
> > > >> >> > > > > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > > >> >> > > > > > > > (snip)
> > > >> >> > > > > > > > >Like I said, you don't know diddly about me.
> > > >> >> > > > > > > > >
> > > >> >> > > > > > > > We know the essentials.
> > > >> >> > > > > > > > >
> > > >> >> > > > > > > > >-A
> > > >> >> > > > > > > > >
> > > >> >> > > > > > > > >You know nothing. Nothing at all. "essentials"? No
> > > >> way. Prove
> > > >> it.
> > > >> >> > > > > > > > >
> > > >> >> > > > > > > > Gladly.

> > > >> >> > > > > > >
> > > >> >> > > > > > > -A
> > > >> >> > > > > > >
> > > >> >> > > > > > (massive snip of irrelevant trash)
> > > >> >> > > > > >
> > > >> >> > > > >
> > > >> >> > > > > Don't like seeing your name out there, huh, Steve? -A
> > > >> >> > > > >
> > > >> >> > > > Aanal Aaron: Where's your *proof*? You got none, and your
> repeated
> > > >> >> > > > posting of irrelevant garbage only proves my point.
> > > >> >> > > >
> > > >> >> > > If it's irrelevant, then you shouldn't mind me posting it,
> Steve.
> > > >> >> > >
> > > >> >> > > -A
> > > >> >> >
> > > >> >> > PROOF, Aanal Aaron, not just the same crap.
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> If it's "crap", it shouldn't upset you to see it again.
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> -A
> > > >> >
> > > >> >What a loser! Do you really think that the other readers are going
> to
> > > >> >believe in anything other than your frustration and desperation if
> you
> > > >> >keep posting that? That proves nothing.
> > > >> >
> > > >> >Where's your PROOF, Aanal Aaron? You got nothing. You know
> nothing.
> > > >> >You are nothing.
> > > >> >
> > > >> >Back it up, dumbulb; post your PROOF.
> > > >>
> > > >> Au contraire. You are the one that appears frustrated and desperate
> > > >> Steven.
> > > >>
> > > >> -Aaron-
> > > >
> > > >I'm not frustrated or desperate at all, but I am waiting for your
> > > >alleged PROOF, Aanal Aaron. Don't have any? Why not just admit it?
> > > >Just have to show the world what a complete moron you are?
> > > >
> > > >At the risk of sounding as repetitive as you are: You got nothing,
> you
> > > >know nothing, you are nothing.
> > >
> > > I know quite a bit. That's the reason you're in this thread, Steve.
> > >

> > > -Aaron-
> >
> > No, you know nothing, dimbulb. Where's the PROOF you said you'd "Gladly" post?
>
> Right here. It's you hating to see your name posted. It's you freaking
> out and protesting it's not true. It's you still here fretting in this
> thread long after someone who wasn't Steve would have been long gone.
> The proof is you, Steve.
>
> -Aaron-

"Fretting"? Do you even know what the word means? To you it's a way to deflect the original question. YOU may be "fretting", but I'm not. I'm just waiting for the PROOF you said you would "Gladly" post and cannot. Mere repetition is not proof, dimbulb; so where is it?

And why should I leave? You haven't, and you've been proven to be a complete nincompoop. You didn't know that ten meter radios were legal for licensed amateurs to use on ten meters. You didn't know that Novice and Tech+ license holders could use part of ten meters. You claimed that all ten meter radios were somehow "illegal". You claim to be pro-Rules, yet you encouraged a guy to get his new amp modified for CB. And all can be found in the Google Archives, so don't try to deny it. You have proved only that you have no clue what you're talking about.

So, as the old BK commercial used to go, "Where's the beef?" Where's your PROOF, Aanal Aaron? Not repetition this time; PROOF.

350. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-04 23:46:54 UTC
From: Two Eyed White Cow Eater <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <OLpn9.72937$8o3.1893604@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139125

```
<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d9e1d48.2408021@news.cet.com...
> In <angca8$2rq$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>, "AJ Sanderson"
> <sjay@noospam.com> wrote:
>
> >I have 2 regulated 10 amp 13.8 v power supplies. can I combine these for
20
> >amp output?
>
> Yes, but not directly. Use each supply with a power resistor so the
> current will be shared by both supplies equally. This will also
> prevent one supply trying to regulate the other supply (which could
> burn out one or both supplies very quickly). It doesn't take much
> resistance on each supply, less than 0.1 ohm. You can get this just by
> using a longer power supply line.
>
> One good way to get that resistance and make it useful is to add a RF
> choke to the output of each power supply. You can make one yourself
> just by winding several feet of wire around a large bolt.
>

And you'll have a wonderful electromagnet, but not much more.
```

351. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 00:04:51 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210041604.9f9a340@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139126

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote
>From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron=A0H.=A0Voobner)
>twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
>news:<18198-3D9CAF59-146@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
>Another veiled threat coming from the self-proclaimed leader of the
>trouble posse.
>You pulled the same garbage with Dennis' employer and he's still
here.
>And you're still whining about being impotent.
>-
>>Hi Twist. <
>
>
>
>Well, since we're dealing with several "aarons", I guess I can reply
to
>"this" Arron since he doesn't seem as pissed offf or rabid as the
>others. Hello and good day.

Corrections:

1.There's only one Aaaron, and you're talking to him.
2. The only rabidity and pissed-offedness in this thread is coming
from you.

>>No threat, veiled or otherwise. But it does seem a tad irresponsible
>for a sworn police officer to gleefully (..."YEEE-HAWWW!"...)
announce
>that he is operating a prohibited transceiver illegally on the
Citizens
>Band..<<
>
>
>
>
>
>Irresponsibility was never a crime.
>
>

I don't know about you, but I'd want to know the police officers hired
in my town were selected on the basis of their ability to act
responsibly.

>>.proclaim that illegal power amplifiers are necessary to
>communicate...and announce that CB is no longer regulated and is a

"free
>for all".<<
>
>
>
>Well, amps do help,,especially during the day when all the skip is
>running, but I'd rather find a nice quiet off channel on the freeband
>than run a buncha power. To me, and in my certainly humbled opinion,
it
>is the lesser of the 2 evils.

That's your opinion and you're entitled to it. Supporting illegal
operation has some built-in disadvantages though. It labels you as
irresponsible and unlawful. These are not traits that people in
general find trustworthy.

>
>
>
>
>
>> People who are trying to decide whether or not to operate within
the
>law are likely reading this newsgroup. <<
>
>
>
>Then they can see that the feds don't give a rats azz about low power
>freebanding above the legal 40 but below 10 meter (especially 555) as
>long as there are no safety, interference, etc., legitimate
complaints.

If they looked closer they'd see that several amateurs have indeed
been busted for "freebanding" and running illegal power on the legal
40 in the last year (case files available in the FCC enforcement
logs). Truck stops are being cited for selling non-type-accepted CB
gear. eBay auctions for illegal CB amps continue to be stopped.
Operating in open defiance of FCC rules whether you're a ham or not
doesn't make sense, except perhaps to antisocials and misfits.

>Hell, even running amps (to a point) seems relevantly harmless
unless
>you're in a very populated area, otherwise the feds would make it a
>priority..

With cutbacks in funding, it's a given that the FCC can't make
enforcement in ANY radio service a "priority". They can't be
everywhere at once. However that doesn't automatically signal that the
entire spectrum is "a free for all".

>
>

>
>
>
>>IMO, Mr. Stover has a responsibility to see that any information he
>posts here is accurate and reflects actual -not estimated- FCC
policy.
>-Aaron-<<
>
>
>
>I can appreciate your position while disagreeing at the same time
>without prejudice. I believe it was merely an interpretation,,,,one
that
>is somewhat accurate of the current state of cb.

But his interpretation is not accurate as to the current state of Federal Regulations governing CB. It is in fact, harmfully erroneous. For example, there have been no statements issued by the FCC to the effect that the old RF power rules may be ignored due to increasing use of the band. If anything, CB sales have declined since the 1970's boom. Finally, current Title 47 CFR states that external CB amplifiers are prohibited - and there are no exceptions to this rule, (not even for police, fire, and public service).

> Many of us know from
>experience what to expect from the FCC, IE: which behavior causes
>problems and what type action the feds will take. Most cbers know
that
>the heavy hand of enforcement by the FCC is not what certain types on
>this board would like it to be, despite the false and oft reiterated
>claims to the contrary.

As I said before, the FCC can't be everywhere at once. No one is disputing that you'll probably be able to commit outrageous rule violations and get away with it. But you have to wonder what kind of person enjoys the fact that he can "get away with" breaking rules and federal laws that have been enacted for the good of all. I certainly wouldn't want this kind of person to be a police officer in my town.

-Aaron-

352. Re: Dumb ass Aaron/RadioBob/Radio 8/KE4ENX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 00:29:25 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210041629.31dd8aaf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>Date: Fri, Oct 4, 2002 4:35 PM
>Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210041535.713a7c36@posting.google.com>
>
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210041045.5bd4a4ad@posting.google.com>...
>> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210040531.23b1404c@posting.google.com>...
>> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210031119.13031b68@posting.google.com>...
>> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>> > > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210021636.5303a21b@posting.google.com>...
>> > > >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>> > > >> >Date: Wed, Oct 2, 2002 5:04 PM
>> > > >> >Message-id:
<c5ecbb69.0210021604.3d422db9@posting.google.com>
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210021030.256b404@posting.google.com>...
>> > > >> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210020421.4fd86dee@posting.google.com>...
>> > > >> >> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210011838.6ed57342@posting.google.com>...
>> > > >> >> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
>news:<c5ecbb69.0210010434.738528a7@posting.google.com>...
>> > > >> >> > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
>news:<c9ceae5b.0209301047.4313359b@posting.google.com>...
>> > > >> >> > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
>news:<c5ecbb69.0209300425.2e091ac0@posting.google.com>...
>> > > >> >> > > > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
>news:<c9ceae5b.0209271820.3e90ed96@posting.google.com>...
>> > > >> >> > > > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>> > > >> >> > > > > > > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote
in
>message
>> news:<c9ceae5b.0209261209.1f4cb4eb@posting.google.com>...
>> > > >> >> > > > > > > >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
wrote
>> > > >> >> > > > > > > >> (snip)
>> > > >> >> > > > > > > >> >Like I said, you don't know diddly about
me.
>

>> > > >> >> > > > > > > > >>
>> > > >> >> > > > > > > > >> We know the essentials.
>> > > >> >> > > > > > > > >>
>> > > >> >> > > > > > > > >> -A
>> > > >> >> > > > > > > > >
>> > > >> >> > > > > > > > >You know nothing. Nothing at all.
"essentials"?
>No
>> > > >> way. Prove
>> > > >> it.
>> > > >> >> > > > > > > >
>> > > >> >> > > > > > > > Gladly.
>> > > >> >> > > > > > > >
>> > > >> >> > > > > > > > -A
>> > > >> >> > > > > > > >
>> > > >> >> > > > > > > > (massive snip of irrelevant trash)
>> > > >> >> > > > > > >
>> > > >> >> > > > > >
>> > > >> >> > > > > > Don't like seeing your name out there, huh,
Steve?
> -A
>> > > >> >> > > > >
>> > > >> >> > > > > Aanal Aaron: Where's your *proof*? You got none,
and your
>> repeated
>> > > >> >> > > > > posting of irrelevant garbage only proves my point.
>> > > >> >> > > >
>> > > >> >> > > > If it's irrelevant, then you shouldn't mind me
posting it,
>> Steve.
>> > > >> >> > >
>> > > >> >> > > -A
>> > > >> >> >
>> > > >> >> > PROOF, Aanal Aaron, not just the same crap.
>> > > >> >>
>> > > >> >> If it's "crap", it shouldn't upset you to see it again.
>> > > >> >>
>> > > >> >> -A
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> >What a loser! Do you really think that the other readers
are going
>> to
>> > > >> >believe in anything other than your frustration and
desperation
>if
>> you
>> > > >> >keep posting that? That proves nothing.
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> >Where's your PROOF, Aanal Aaron? You got nothing. You know
>> nothing.

>> > > >> >You are nothing.
>> > > >> >
>> > > >> >Back it up, dumbulb; post your PROOF.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Au contraire. You are the one that appears frustrated and
desperate
>> > > >> Steven.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> -Aaron-
>> > > >
>> > > >I'm not frustrated or desperate at all, but I am waiting for
your
>> > > >alleged PROOF, Aanal Aaron. Don't have any? Why not just admit
it?
>> > > >Just have to show the world what a complete moron you are?
>> > > >
>> > > >At the risk of sounding as repetitive as you are: You got
nothing,
>> you
>> > > >know nothing, you are nothing.
>> > >
>> > > I know quite a bit. That's the reason you're in this thread,
Steve.
>
>> > >
>> > > -Aaron-
>> >
>> > No, you know nothing, dimbulb. Where's the PROOF you said you'd
"Gladly"
>post?
>>
>> Right here. It's you hating to see your name posted. It's you
freaking
>> out and protesting it's not true. It's you still here fretting in
this
>> thread long after someone who wasn't Steve would have been long
gone.
>> The proof is you, Steve.
>>
>> -Aaron-
>
>"Fretting"? Do you even know what the word means? To you it's a way
to
>deflect the original question. YOU may be "fretting", but I'm not.
I'm
>just waiting for the PROOF you said you would "Gladly" post and
>cannot. Mere repetition is not proof, dimbulb; so where is it?
>
>And why should I leave? You haven't, and you've been proven to be a
>complete nincompoop. You didn't know that ten meter radios were legal

>for licensed amateurs to use on ten meters. You didn't know that
>Novice and Tech+ license holders could use part of ten meters. You
>claimed that all ten meter radios were somehow "illegal". You claim
to
>be pro-Rules, yet you encouraged a guy to get his new amp modified
for
>CB. And all can be found in the Google Archives, so don't try to deny
>it. You have proved only that you have no clue what you're talking
>about.
>
>So, as the old BK commercial used to go, "Where's the beef?" Where's
>your PROOF, Aanal Aaron? Not repetition this time; PROOF.

Must be rough Steve, I'm not following instructions or responding to trolling. You'll gave to try a different tack. Hey, what happened to all those threats you made to Frank about posting Parr's family details? You sure bailed out of that campaign in a hurry. As you'll do here soon.

-Aaron-

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

353. Re: WTB: TEXAS STAR ONE PILL MODULATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 00:48:29 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021004204829.29550.00009410@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 139130

There is a guy selling a "like new" Dave Made M-80 for 99 bucks around here someplace. That would be your best bet.
Train

>Subject: WTB: TEXAS STAR ONE PILL MODULATOR
>From: reg1647@aol.com (Reg1647)
>Date: 10/4/02 12:16 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021004001629.09072.00000357@mb-ba.aol.com>
>
>Please give price and condition. Thanks, Reg
>

354. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 01:31:34 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9e3e69.10888441@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139131

In <OLpn9.72937$8o3.1893604@twister.austin.rr.com>, "Two Eyed White Cow Eater" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote:

<snip>
>> One good way to get that resistance and make it useful is to add a RF
>> choke to the output of each power supply. You can make one yourself
>> just by winding several feet of wire around a large bolt.
>>
>
>And you'll have a wonderful electromagnet, but not much more.

You will have a little bit of resistance which will balance the load of the two power supplies and prevent them from trying to regulate each other, and the inductance will help to keep RF out of the house wiring. But I suppose that your vague and unqualified remark of "not much more" might be accurate if you were expecting a marching band and fireworks.

355. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 01:42:57 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9e429e.11965466@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139135

In <ez6n9.16190$%P2.748632@news20.bellglobal.com>, "Bob"
<prosper2040@sympatico.ca> wrote:

>
> Ok, This is what I'm looking to do. I want reasonably reliable two way
>radio communications between two cities
>approximately 60 miles (100km) apart. Can this be done with currently
>available CB's ? Someone toldme it might be possible with SSB rigs running
>their full legal wattage.
>
>Thanks.

Get your ham license and use the 160 meter band. I listen to people
regularly talking 60 miles and more, and a couple of them are running
only 5 - 10 watts AM (one is a friend at work).

356. RCI 2995dx yahoogroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 01:50:16 UTC
From: njdxer <njdxer@netzero.netnojunk>
Message-ID: <3d9e45c4$1_8@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 139137

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/rci2995dx

357. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 02:04:21 UTC
From: Two Eyed White Cow Eater <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <FMrn9.67451$Fw2.2036290@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139138

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d9e3e69.10888441@news.cet.com...
> In <OLpn9.72937$8o3.1893604@twister.austin.rr.com>, "Two Eyed White
> Cow Eater" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >> One good way to get that resistance and make it useful is to add a RF
> >> choke to the output of each power supply. You can make one yourself
> >> just by winding several feet of wire around a large bolt.
> >>
> >
> >And you'll have a wonderful electromagnet, but not much more.
>
> You will have a little bit of resistance which will balance the load
> of the two power supplies and prevent them from trying to regulate
> each other, and the inductance will help to keep RF out of the house
> wiring.

The transformer in the power supply will keep the RF out of the house
wiring. Why add an electromagnet to a power supply? It really is not
required to keep the supplies from trying to regulate each other. All the
regulating is done to the overvoltage supplied by the diode bridge. It does
not work backward into the individual supplies. No marching band or
fireworks are needed.

358. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 02:12:04 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D9E4A73.6A449355@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139151

Twistedhed wrote:

>
> Your *behavior* dictates it. You reiterate this hammie stuff of yours
> overand over so it must mean the world to you that you are indeed
> recognized as not just a no-code. To us, you are a nocode unless you put
> forward somethng you asked of us....your call. Now, b4 you come on half
> cocked, I'm not the least concerned who or what you are, but since it is
> so imperative for you to distinguish yourself from the nocodeds, I
> merely thought you would like to provide the opportunity to share and
> spread your good will.

Sorry twistie, I really don't care what I am to "us", who ever
"us" is, and I don't owe you are anyone else my call.

359. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 02:12:43 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9e475e.13182219@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139139

In <3D9E248B.B67AADF6@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
wrote:

<snip>
>Isolate the two power supply outputs from each other with diodes.

A pair of high-current rectifiers vs. a few extra feet of wire: Which
is easier? Which is cheaper? Also, diodes won't balance the load,
which means one PS is still going to run hotter and harder than the
other. And diodes will probably have a greater voltage drop than the
resistors (0.7 volts vs. a few extra feet of wire).

360. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 02:16:46 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D9E4B77.822651E@icok.net>
Source record: 139140

Bob,
The 'catch', is asking for 'reasonably reliable'
communications. I think I'd look for something else
besides CB. With reasonably sized antennas at a fairly
reasonable height, you 'might' have halfway reliable
communications at 60 miles, but don't count on it. You
would be much better off with a cell phone, or even by
computer. There's nothing reliable with CB radio.
'Doc

361. Re: Antenna Suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 02:16:50 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t%rn9.9396$GF5.464900@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 139141

"Dino" <noemail@here.com> wrote in message
news:pqpn9.8885$OB5.884443@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Great suggestion Bert! Just remember, everything is a compromise. If you
never
> plan on talking anywhere else other than 11 meters, its best to get an
antenna
> built for that band.

Actually, that's the best part. The length of wire doesn't have to be exactly a 40m dipole or 80m dipole. The more wire you put up in the air, the greater the gain. (ARRL Antenna Handbook) The tuner allows you to talk ANYWHERE btween 10m and 80m. The tuner is just allowing the xmitter to deliver ALL its power to said wire. The longer the wire, the greater the gain. (In the form of a greater end-fired lobe with a lower angle of radiation.)

> I know my Interceptor 10K will outperform your wire anyday (and you know
it
> too)...

Let's see, the I-10 is a 5/8 (0.625l) wave omni...based on it's kissing cousin, the End Fed Zepp, (0.64l) old as the hills. A variant is called the Extended Double Zepp, which is essentially a 1.28l dipole fed with ladder-line and a tuner. (Add a matching stub if you have no tuner.) As the length of the wires are increased, so is the gain...though it is no longer omnidirectional. A 40m dipole is approx. 3.64l on 11m...substantially longer than any 5/8 wave omni with much better gain in the dir'n of it's major lobes. The tuner allows me to deliver the full 12 watts and the ladder-line provides a low-loss path to all that airborne copper. :-D The losses in the tuner are relatively negligible. Oh yeah!

> BUT
>
> ...it is not for everyone and I can't use it on 40 (like you).

I understand it's a real piece of quality work.

> Now maybe they could cut the wire for 11 meters, run it with open feeder
line
> direct to the tuner than they won't need a balun.

Yeah, but if you cut each leg at 0.64l rather than 0.5l and use a small (approx. 5 ft.) piece of ladder-line as a matching section to coax, you'll have a Extended Double Zepp...or essentially a dipole made with approx. two 5/8 wave elements horizontally opposed. Better choice, IMHO, and MUCH cheaper. (Can be built for under $20!)

> If they want to feed it with coax, then they will need the 4:1 balun.

1:1.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

> Dino
>
> >Hi everybody,
> >
> >The recent thread discussing impedance matching, field strength, and SWR
> >kinda made me want to make a suggestion for some of you folks who are
> >getting ready to erect an antenna of some kind. Why not put up a dirt
cheap
> >wire dipole? "How big?" you ask. As big as your lot will support. I run a
> >40-meter dipole (approx. 66 ft. long) and feed it via ladder-line through
a
> >tuner. This is not only my ham antenna, but my CB antenna too. It puts
out a
> >nice signal and I can use the tunner to allow my rig to deliver all of
its
> >power regardless of what channel I'm operating on. Funny thing is I took
the
> >tuner off-line and my SWR only rose to 1.4:1, not bad. I use a commercial
> >40m doublet fed with 600 ohm ladder-line. Great value at $35, IMHO.
> >Here's the link.
> >
> >http://www.w7fg.com/ant.htm
> >
> >To roll your own, go to Radio Shack and pick up a 50 ft. length of
"300-Ohm
> >Low-Loss Twin-Lead TV-Antenna Cable." It's got "20-gauge copper
conductors
> >with polyethylene-foam insulation and weather-sealed jacket." In other
> >words, good stuff and cheap too. (I paid all of $6.) The part no. is
15-1174
> >and here are some reviews.
> >
> >http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/1750
> >
> >While you're at RS, grab their 70 ft. roll of 14 gauge stranded copper
> >antenna wire for an additional $3. I use an external 4:1 balun or you can
> >use the one that comes in most tuners. The reason I went external is that
I
> >prefer a current balun as opposed to the voltage balun used in most
tuners.
> >This better keeps any RF out of the shack...but at lower power levels, is
> >usually not a problem. A good dogbone center insulator can be had at
either
> >RS or Home Depot in their electric fence dept., no biggie. If you want a

> >good commercial balun, try here.
> >
> >http://www.radioworks.com/index2.html
> >
> >...or the best, go here.
> >
> >http://www.baluns.com/balun.htm
> >
> >Remember, use a 4:1 current balun. Now for a good affordable tuner that
you
> >can potentially grow with and not break the budget. How about...
> >
> >http://www.mfjenterprises.com/products.php?prodid=MFJ-949E
> >
> >That'll do it. This was my first tuner and despite not being much of a
MFJ
> >fan, I gotta say it did a great job. At $149, it's a good investment too.
So
> >run that 66 ft. dipole into the trees, (Trees make GREAT cheap towers!)
run
> >that 50 ft. length of low-loss ladder-line down to your tuner, and tune
for
> >1:1 SWR and I'd wager you "end-fire" a better signal than any A-99, plus
if
> >you ever decide to get more RF real estate via ham radio...your antenna's
> >already in place. Good luck now. :-)
> >
> >--
> >73 de Bert
> >WA2SI
> >
> >
>

362. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 02:17:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28700-3D9E4B9D-129@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139144

From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron=A0H.=A0Voobner)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<18198-3D9CAF59-146@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
Another veiled threat coming from the self-proclaimed leader of the
trouble posse.
You pulled the same garbage with Dennis' employer and he's still here.
And you're still whining about being impotent.
-
>Hi Twist. <

Well, since we're dealing with several "aarons", I guess I can reply to
"this" Arron since he doesn't seem as pissed offf or rabid as the
others. Hello and good day.

>Corrections: <

.....opinions.

>1.There's only one Aaaron, and you're talking to him.<<

Then use the voobner@yahoo addy you were using before with the same
path.
Until then, just initate the OE and wordwrap mistakes. Or don't.

>2. The only rabidity and pissed-offedness in this thread is coming from
you. <<

Never has anyone pissed me off in this group.
Perplexed, yes, confused, yes,,,,even fooled and taken advantage of, but
never has anyone, certainly not you, managed to piss me off.

>No threat, veiled or otherwise. But it does seem a tad irresponsible
for a sworn police officer to gleefully (..."YEEE-HAWWW!"...)
announce
that he is operating a prohibited transceiver illegally on the
Citizens
Band..<<

Why the hell would that piss you off to the point you felt to comment on
it? I don't go frothing at the mouth like you're doing now when JerryO
comes in here gloating when a cber gets popped. Hell, if we wanted, us
ignunt and lowly cbahs could glote ever dayz az dem hammyz getz ders on
da regler bassis by da fedz!.

Irresponsibility was never a crime.

>I don't know about you, but I'd want to know the police officers hired in my town were selected on the basis of their ability to act responsibly. <<

Some of us don't judge what they do in their off time short of a "real" crime,,...it is clear the majority do not believe the malarkey you attempt but fail to set forth as being priorities.

>.proclaim that illegal power amplifiers are necessary to communicate...and announce that CB is no longer regulated and is a "free for all".<<

Well, amps do help,,especially during the day when all the skip is running, but I'd rather find a nice quiet off channel on the freeband than run a buncha power. To me, and in my certainly humbled opinion, it is the lesser of the 2 evils.
That's your opinion and you're entitled to it.

>Supporting illegal operation has some built-in disadvantages though.<<<

If I choose to do something it does not mean I encourage another to do so. Learn the difference.

> It labels you as irresponsible and unlawful. <<

Your lables are in the extreme minority and of no real consequence....hence you being underground. Let us imagine only for a moment that not true.....your word among these pages is,,,well,,,,,a bit more than compromised...again...it leads back to your attempts at being underground.

>These are not traits that people in general find trustworthy. <<

I agree. Most people do not agree with you that people that drive 56 in a 55 are criminals or irresponsible, or untrustworthy.
Ditto for freebanding where we do and for DX.

>People who are trying to decide whether or not to operate within the law are likely reading this newsgroup. <<

Then they can see that the feds don't give a rats azz about low power freebanding above the legal 40 but below 10 meter (especially 555) as long as there are no safety, interference, etc., legitimate complaints.

>If they looked closer they'd see that several amateurs have indeed been busted for "freebanding" and running illegal power on the legal 40 in the last year (case files available in the FCC enforcement logs). <<

We're cbers, not amateurs.
Several out of millions of cbers are odds most can live with. More people get struck by lightning each year than cbers get busted. Ditto for hitting the lottery. I think we can all live with those astronomical odds.

>Truck stops are being cited for selling non-type-accepted CB gear. <<

Most of us here don't buy from truckstops.
Even you know this.

>eBay auctions for illegal CB amps continue to be stopped.<<

And sold anyway as the bidders all have the sellers email addy. Old news. You're impotent.....

> Operating in open defiance of FCC rules
whether you're a ham or not doesn't make sense, except perhaps to antisocials and misfits.<<

Maybe not to those who do what they're told and have never learned to think outside the box..
Hell, even running amps (to a point) seems relevantly harmless unless you're in a very populated area, otherwise the feds would make it a priority..

>With cutbacks in funding, it's a given that the FCC can't make enforcement in ANY radio service a "priority". <<

BS! They been busting the worst of the worst of amateurs slowly, but it's getting done ever since 'ol suthin' bo Riley got hisself a cush job.

>They can't be everywhere at once. However that doesn't automatically signal that the entire spectrum is "a free for all". <<

We have went from talking of cb being a free for all until your last sentence where you conveniently attempted to insert your disinformation by substituting "spectrum" for cb. No argument there, never was, except with your self trying to convince your battered ego that others fail to see your BS.

>IMO, Mr. Stover has a responsibility to see that any information he posts here is accurate and reflects actual -not estimated- FCC policy. -Aaron-<<

I can appreciate your position while disagreeing at the same time without prejudice. I believe it was merely an interpretation,,,,one that is somewhat accurate of the current state of cb.

>But his interpretation is not accurate as to the current state of Federal Regulations governing CB.<<

If you work for the FCC, please state your rank and department..I don't need your name. Otherwise, his interpretation is just as valid as yours. Moreso, even.

> It is in fact, harmfully erroneous. For example, there have been no statements issued by the FCC to the effect that the old RF power rules may be ignored due to increasing use of the band. If anything, CB sales have declined since the 1970's boom. <<

Not true and I won't try to argue that with you. There are several dealers that can tell you they have had banner sales since the last 11

meter skip cycle. Maybe not in as short as time, but nonetheless.

>Finally, current Title 47 CFR states that external CB amplifiers are prohibited - and there are no exceptions to this rule, (not even for police, fire, and public service).
<<

Ok. But you are wrong. If there is a true life or death emergency, I can hook up the nearest Davemade, Noname, Skullcracka, Cookie, Texas Star, Palomar, etc.....or whatever the hell I want to make my emergency known.

Many of us know from
experience what to expect from the FCC, IE: which behavior causes problems and what type action the feds will take. Most cbers know that the heavy hand of enforcement by the FCC is not what certain types on this board would like it to be, despite the false and oft reiterated claims to the contrary.

>As I said before, the FCC can't be everywhere at once. No one is disputing that you'll probably be able to commit outrageous rule violations and get away with it.<<

The majority present, as demonstrated, do not agree with your assessment of what constitutes "outrageous rule violations" and luckily that term is to be found nowhere among your dead sacred cb scrolls,,er,,rules.

> But you have to wonder what kind of person enjoys the fact that he can "get away with" breaking rules and federal laws that have been enacted for the good of all.<<<

Actually, this is the first time I have entertained the thought of experiencing an emotion due to the breaking of any rules since what I do is such a mundane and trivial thing I. I have better things to do than dwellon my psyche.
I would question the morality of someone who has named a troubled posse of nocodes, one who has spammed the group, and ones who are interested in personal lives of cbers who happen to be complete strangers on the internet.

> I certainly wouldn't want this kind of person to be a police officer in my town.
-Aaron- <<

Which town is that? Ah, never mind.

363. Re: Antenna Suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 02:22:31 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <N4sn9.9443$GF5.468734@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 139142

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:28702-3D9E2295-26@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >That'll do it. This was my first tuner and despite not being much of a
> MFJ fan, I gotta say it did a great job. At $149, it's a good investment
> too. So run that 66 ft. dipole into the trees, (Trees make GREAT cheap
> towers!) run that 50 ft. length of low-loss ladder-line down to your
> tuner, and tune for 1:1 SWR and I'd wager you "end-fire" a better signal
> than any A-99, plus if you ever decide to get more RF real estate via
> ham radio...your antenna's already in place. Good luck now. :-)
> --
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> <<
>
>
>
> Did you have a bad experience with an MFJ product, Bert?

Nope, I just got a "cheesy" feel from the look of their products. (Very
irrational, I know.) The tuner had always served me well though.

I ask only
> because I became interested in such things over the past few years and
> have no real experience with any other tuner to compare it to.

My baby...built like a Sherman tank.

http://www.palstarinc.com/at1500.htm

> There is a fella up the coast from me that has his A-99 at the top of a
> giant pine tree and just recently another reg on the group threw one way
> up sky high in a near cloud touching tree and it took either a crane or
> one big azz cherry picker to do it. Them trees are great towers...I do
> it all the time with bungee cords when camping.

Sure are. My 40m wire is only about 35 ft. up and still does a great job.

- -
73 de Bert
WA2SI

364. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 02:36:09 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9e4ac3.14050364@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139143

In <FMrn9.67451$Fw2.2036290@twister.austin.rr.com>, "Two Eyed White Cow Eater" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote:

<snip>
>> You will have a little bit of resistance which will balance the load
>> of the two power supplies and prevent them from trying to regulate
>> each other, and the inductance will help to keep RF out of the house
>> wiring.
>
>The transformer in the power supply will keep the RF out of the house
>wiring.

For the most part.

> Why add an electromagnet to a power supply? It really is not
>required to keep the supplies from trying to regulate each other.

Maybe you missed the part about the resistance of the wire...

> All the
>regulating is done to the overvoltage supplied by the diode bridge.

huh?

> It does
>not work backward into the individual supplies. No marching band or
>fireworks are needed.

The method current equalization I described is done all the time, and if you have a stereo in your trailer then you are renting-to-own a working example of this common practice.

A voltage regulator works by sampling the output voltage and adjusting the current accordingly. If the voltage is too high then the current is squeezed off; if the voltage is too low the valves are opened up. Now when you parallel two regulators you are never going to get the voltages to match exactly. The result is that the regulator on one supply is going to try to pull the voltage up while the other one is trying to pull it down. Unless you have enough resistance between the two regulators to limit the current flow between the two supplies, all you are going to do (under a small or no-load) is pump a whole lot of current from one regulator into the other. And as the load increases, the regulator with the lower voltage is going to run a lot hotter.

The commonly used solution to this problem is the use of resistors to equalize the current. The only thing I added was the idea of using a choke for the resistance.

365. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 02:37:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28700-3D9E5084-134@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139145

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twisteboy wrote:
....but if you reiterate over and over that you are a nocode hammie,

>You really have a problem with reading comprehension twistie, I will t y p e t h i s v e r y s l o w l y s o m a y b e y o u c a n g r a s p i t.
I have never claimed to be a nocode licensee, I took my General and Advanced class test in front of an FCC examiner, long before VECs and nocode were ever thought of.<<

Your *behavior* dictates it. You reiterate this hammie stuff of yours overand over so it must mean the world to you that you are indeed recognized as not just a no-code. To us, you are a nocode unless you put forward somethng you asked of us....your call. Now, b4 you come on half cocked, I'm not the least concerned who or what you are, but since it is so imperative for you to distinguish yourself from the nocodeds, I merely thought you would like to provide the opportunity to share and spread your good will.

I tend to give folks the benefit of the doubt,,,,,,,,,thinking most people can actually tell the difference between doing something to an unparticipating or unwilling other vs. doing something to yourself. Let us set aside for the moment these socialist protests coming from the trouble posse named by "Aaron" (Frank Gilliland, Lelnad KC8LDO, Dave Hall, George Busch). Dave Hall has set forth many times the view that "we are part of society , therefore we must conform to that society". If he was honest with those posts, this proves him a socialist beyond a SHADOW of doubt. The scary part is he thinks this socialism approach correct without realizing the philosophy he is espousing.
Our great country is not, was not, and never will be an experiment toward socialism.

  We are free to be INDEPENDENT...to experiment with our independence. I use the term "experiment" because compared to the rest of the world, we are a baby country, the new kid on the block. It is a tragedy that some of our government officials have decided to end
  the liberties of our country and slide backwards and downwards toward totalitarianism.

Their propaganda has succeeded to the point
of brainwashing folks like Dave Hall and his socialist/commie heathen friends to where they spread this poisonous and venemous philosophy. "Aaron" in his current carnation is highly efficient here. If he wasn;t such a...a....you know what I mean.....he would make a great ad or PR man.....I probably could even help him find employment.

This Orwellian society Dave Hall aspires for has no more right to pick a citizen's intoxicant than they do to pick your religion or you do to tell us how to act on cb.

To Dave Hall and the others who have mentioned that we need heed all societal acceptances....
until you in the minority can understand that to actually be free requires that we be unfettered by each other concerning our self-directed activities, whatever you profess concerning "conforming to society" is reprehensible and not at all realistic. You don't own me, my body or my mind. I never allowed another to supercede my own will and never shall, but you are welcome to foolishly spend your life wailing about my kind of freedom loving individuals who think for ourselves and do not need told or directed what to do or how to do it.

We have been down this road before and you still are having problems comprehending this aspect. I don't believe you understand what freedom really entails.

It's quite simplistic, really. I just laugh every time some anti-idiot tries to void my views and my actions.

I was going to ask Dave Hall why I should subjugate my views over what I choose
in favor of his,,,,why should he get to tell others how to live, but dealing with brainwashed, unscrubbed socialists is pointless. This is America and his kind can be found under many rocks, should one turn enough of them over.

  Can this uniformity that Dave Hall aspires for actually work?
Let's see,,,millions have been imprisoned, died, etc., over the drug war, even innocents. Have we slowed narcotic trafficking? No, not at all. Are the streets safer? No, they're worse. Are drugs harder to get? No, they're easier.

(Illicit) Drugs are an intentional revenue generating scourge perpetuated by the government.
Another analogy that can be drawn was the introduction of Christianity. Way back when, people were burnt at the stake, threatened, blackballed, imprisoned, family members tortured, etc. Let us see how far our civilization has moved toward what "society" says was correct. What was the fallout of that coercion?
Did they eliminate other religions? No, other religions prospered and proliferated, by not conforming to "society". Salem alleged witchcraft was their bane, not unlike how Dave Hall claims those who do not conform to all of societies rules and laws are criminals.

If "aaron's" named trouble posse takes issue with the above, it's no surprise. The GIST of the message was written by none other than Thomas Jefferson. I'm done with my rant and homage to Jefferson. Ya'll have a super weekend. I need to get some baitfish, *IF*
the wake of the storm will allow it.

366. Re: Antenna Suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 02:51:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28700-3D9E53C5-135@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139146

Did you have a bad experience with an MFJ product, Bert?

>Nope, I just got a "cheesy" feel from the look of their products. (Very irrational, I know.) <<

To hell with that. Everyone has a gut instinct and I go with mine every time. It doesn't let me down too often.

>The tuner had always served me well though. <

I ask only
because I became interested in such things over the past few years and have no real experience with any other tuner to compare it to.

>My baby...built like a Sherman tank.
http://www.palstarinc.com/at1500.htm
<<

What can I say besides, "Yeehaaa!"

There is a fella up the coast from me that has his A-99 at the top of a giant pine tree and just recently another reg on the group threw one way up sky high in a near cloud touching tree and it took either a crane or one big azz cherry picker to do it. Them trees are great towers...I do it all the time with bungee cords when camping.

>Sure are. My 40m wire is only about 35 ft. up and still does a great job.
- -
73 de Bert
WA2SI
<<

I haven't played with any wires in awhile and I have some very tall trees around me in all directions.

367. Re: OT- A bad day at work!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 03:26:26 UTC
From: Me <me@anynet.net>
Message-ID: <3D9E5BE2.E024B974@anynet.net>
Source record: 139152

Keith Hosman wrote:
>
> The next time you have a bad day at work...think of this guy.
>
> Rob is a commercial saturation diver for Global Divers in Louisiana.
> He performs underwater repairs on offshore drilling rigs.
>
> Below is an e-mail he sent to his sister. She then sent it to
> Laughline, who was sponsoring a "worst job experience" contest.
> Needless to say, she won.
>
> ---
>
> Just another note from your bottom-dwelling brother.
>
> Last week I had bad day at the office. I know you've been feeling down
> lately at work, so I thought I would share my dilemma with you to make
> you realize it's not so bad after all.
>
> Before I can tell you what happened to me, I first must bore you with
> a few technicalities of my job. As you know, my office lies at the
> bottom of the sea. I wear a suit to the office.
>
> It's a wetsuit.
>
> This time of year, the water is quite cool.
>
> So what we do to keep warm is this: We have a diesel powered
> industrial water heater. This $20,000 piece of s#*! sucks the water
> out of the sea. It heats it to a delightful temperature. It then
> pumps it down to the diver through a garden hose, which is taped to
> the air hose.
>
>
>
> Now this sounds like a damn good plan, and I've used it several times
> with no complaints. What I do, when I get to the bottom and start
> working, is I take the hose and stuff it down the back of my wetsuit.
> This floods my whole suit with warm water. It's like working in a
> Jacuzzi.
>
> Everything was going well until all of a sudden, my ass started to
> itch.
>

>
>
> So, of course, I scratched it.
>
>
>
> This only made things worse.
>
> Within a few seconds my ass started to burn. I pulled the hose out
> from my back, but the damage was done.
>
>
>
> In agony, I realized what had happened.
>
> The hot water machine had sucked up a jellyfish and pumped it into my
> suit.
>
>
>
> Now since I don't have any hair on my back, the jellyfish couldn't
> stick to it.
>
> However, the crack of my ass was not as fortunate.
>
> When I scratched what I thought was an itch, I was actually grinding
> the jellyfish into my ass.
>
> I informed the dive supervisor of my dilemma over the communicator.
>
> His instructions were unclear due to the fact that he, along with 5
> other divers, were all laughing hysterically.
>
> Needless to say I aborted the dive.
>
> I was instructed to make 3 agonizing in-water decompression stops
> totaling 35 minutes before I could reach the surface to begin my
> chamber dry decompression.
>
>
>
> When I arrived at the surface, I was wearing nothing but my brass
> helmet. As I climbed out of the water, the medic, with tears of
> laughter running down his face, handed me a tube of cream and told me
> to rub it on my ass as soon as I got in the chamber.
>
>
>
> The cream put the fire out, but I couldn't shit for 2 days because my
> asshole was swollen shut.

>
> So, next time you're having a bad day at work, think about how much
> worse it would be if you had a jellyfish shoved up your ass.

Never heard of anyone wearing a brass diving helmet with a wet
suit. Funny made up story though.

368. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 03:32:40 UTC
From: Two Eyed White Cow Eater <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <s3tn9.86698$121.2018564@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139147

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d9e4ac3.14050364@news.cet.com...
> In <FMrn9.67451$Fw2.2036290@twister.austin.rr.com>, "Two Eyed White
> Cow Eater" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >> You will have a little bit of resistance which will balance the load
> >> of the two power supplies and prevent them from trying to regulate
> >> each other, and the inductance will help to keep RF out of the house
> >> wiring.
> >
> >The transformer in the power supply will keep the RF out of the house
> >wiring.
>
> For the most part.
>
> > Why add an electromagnet to a power supply? It really is not
> >required to keep the supplies from trying to regulate each other.
>
> Maybe you missed the part about the resistance of the wire...
>
> > All the
> >regulating is done to the overvoltage supplied by the diode bridge.
>
> huh?
>
> > It does
> >not work backward into the individual supplies. No marching band or
> >fireworks are needed.
>
> The method current equalization I described is done all the time, and
> if you have a stereo in your trailer then you are renting-to-own a
> working example of this common practice.
>
> A voltage regulator works by sampling the output voltage and adjusting
> the current accordingly. If the voltage is too high then the current
> is squeezed off; if the voltage is too low the valves are opened up.
> Now when you parallel two regulators you are never going to get the
> voltages to match exactly. The result is that the regulator on one
> supply is going to try to pull the voltage up while the other one is
> trying to pull it down. Unless you have enough resistance between the
> two regulators to limit the current flow between the two supplies, all
> you are going to do (under a small or no-load) is pump a whole lot of
> current from one regulator into the other. And as the load increases,
> the regulator with the lower voltage is going to run a lot hotter.

>
> The commonly used solution to this problem is the use of resistors to
> equalize the current. The only thing I added was the idea of using a
> choke for the resistance.
>

You really don't know much about power supplies, do you? At least not in the commonly available models.

369. FS: Antennas and More CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 03:35:14 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <S5tn9.3493$ue4.199084@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139148

Plans on how to make your own base and mobile antennas, from quarter waves
to beams, quads, and MORE. (These files alone will pay for itself)

UNDERSTANDING coax, swr's, line loss, co-phasing, grounding and MORE. (you
could go to library, copy and forget where you put it..)

CD also includes tuning AND modifications on just about every cb as well as
most 10 meter radios. (for educational purposes) golderscrewdriver is what
$39.95?

Mic wiring to all popular cbs, D104, Sedelata, Turner, standard and of
course MORE. (heck, wanna know whats IN a D-104?)

PLL convertions, adding talk-back, swing kits, roger beeps and MORE
(go to your local shop and ask them to do it, what? more money?)

Packed with pictures, how toos, parts and MORE.

How bout takin a radio and electronics course? FREE
Now includes NTE's cross reference 10.0 program to find over 14,000 parts
!!!! FREE
Schematics, figs, how too's, plus a lot of Amplifier stuff, programs that'll
help you BUILD your own stuff..
need I say MORE?

email for more info, over 130 megs of info in easy to understand format.

Organized for EASY reference.
$20.00

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

George Kinzer

Those who want to be successful, will find a way,
those that don't, will find an excuse!

370. Re: Channel 22- Crew, in Richmond, Virginia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 03:41:58 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <actn9.3509$ue4.199935@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139149

there is no "ring leader" on the radio, they dont give a damn about the FCC,
plane and simple--but one who will know
or call would be Cannonball .

If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

�.���)) -:�:-
�.�� .����))
George Kinzer
((��.�� ..��
-:�:- ((��
�.�

Those who want to be successful, will find a way,
those that don't, will find an excuse!

371. OT- A bad day at work!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 04:19:01 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <e0qspuog0ha6g1mnq73aq71nkm191hd7kk@4ax.com>
Source record: 139150

The next time you have a bad day at work...think of this guy.

Rob is a commercial saturation diver for Global Divers in Louisiana.
He performs underwater repairs on offshore drilling rigs.

Below is an e-mail he sent to his sister. She then sent it to
Laughline, who was sponsoring a "worst job experience" contest.
Needless to say, she won.

_____

Just another note from your bottom-dwelling brother.

Last week I had bad day at the office. I know you've been feeling down
lately at work, so I thought I would share my dilemma with you to make
you realize it's not so bad after all.

Before I can tell you what happened to me, I first must bore you with
a few technicalities of my job. As you know, my office lies at the
bottom of the sea. I wear a suit to the office.

It's a wetsuit.

This time of year, the water is quite cool.

So what we do to keep warm is this: We have a diesel powered
industrial water heater. This $20,000 piece of s#!*! sucks the water
out of the sea. It heats it to a delightful temperature. It then
pumps it down to the diver through a garden hose, which is taped to
the air hose.

Now this sounds like a damn good plan, and I've used it several times
with no complaints. What I do, when I get to the bottom and start
working, is I take the hose and stuff it down the back of my wetsuit.
This floods my whole suit with warm water. It's like working in a
Jacuzzi.

Everything was going well until all of a sudden, my ass started to
itch.

So, of course, I scratched it.

This only made things worse.

Within a few seconds my ass started to burn. I pulled the hose out
from my back, but the damage was done.

In agony, I realized what had happened.

The hot water machine had sucked up a jellyfish and pumped it into my suit.

Now since I don't have any hair on my back, the jellyfish couldn't stick to it.

However, the crack of my ass was not as fortunate.

When I scratched what I thought was an itch, I was actually grinding the jellyfish into my ass.

I informed the dive supervisor of my dilemma over the communicator.

His instructions were unclear due to the fact that he, along with 5 other divers, were all laughing hysterically.

Needless to say I aborted the dive.

I was instructed to make 3 agonizing in-water decompression stops totaling 35 minutes before I could reach the surface to begin my chamber dry decompression.

When I arrived at the surface, I was wearing nothing but my brass helmet. As I climbed out of the water, the medic, with tears of laughter running down his face, handed me a tube of cream and told me to rub it on my ass as soon as I got in the chamber.

The cream put the fire out, but I couldn't shit for 2 days because my asshole was swollen shut.

So, next time you're having a bad day at work, think about how much worse it would be if you had a jellyfish shoved up your ass.

KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

372. Re: Peaking a Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 06:22:11 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <anm1o2blu2@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 139153

> On 02 Oct 2002 22:54:17 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> >Email or not..I can fart louder than that 2+ watts gained you!

<IC> wrote in message news:2ganpusf5ahc9m2vic8mo241m5p7mr12hj@4ax.com...
> I believe you.

So, you've heard him do his "party piece" :~)

But, he has a point... 2Watts extra will not be noticed.
Now, what was that about a "nice warm glow" in the shack... lmao.

What, me... taunt the Trolls with talk of glowing objects... never.

Regards,

Peter.

373. Re: Peaking a Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 06:22:17 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <anm1o4blu3@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 139154

<IC> wrote in message news:0mmmpu4i2do4gas0shnsc9brro9jog95k2@4ax.com...
> I don't like to post my email address on Usenet. I used to, but I
> ended up getting hundreds (no exaggeration) of junk emails to that
> address.
....
> On 02 Oct 2002 23:24:10 GMT, timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote:
>
> >was this over a period of years? ive never had that problem
....
> On 03 Oct 2002 14:27:17 GMT, timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote:
>
> certain groups like the sex ones are targeted for this but not much of it
> happening here. ive always used my real address and have never had that
problem
> yet
....

I NEVER post to sex groups, yet my mailbox started filling with Spam. It started when my old ISP (Supanet) started automatically putting the senders full e-mail address in the headers... whether you wanted it there or not. Some Spamming organisations work on the assumption that, if you leave this info in your header, you actually want spam.
After I complained, Supanet removed the additional header... but the damage was done.

Yes, certain types of business do send more Spam than most... Loans, Sex, something called "HGH". But, you do not need to use that type of site to get their attention.

Addresses are harvested from several sources:
Newsgroup messages.
Web pages.
Sign-ups.
Other on-line forms.

There are "Spiders" crawling the Net, looking for e-mail addresses in web documents. If you have a web site, never put your e-mail address on it in a way that can be read by Spiders.
Some of the "free" services on the Net are funded by selling e-mail lists, just like many companies do with "snail mail". When you give your e-mail address to a website, they may put it in a list and pass it on. They may even pass on any personal details you give them. Some ISPs may even do this to boost their income.

To tackle this problem, I use "SMB"... a FREE program that filters mail on the server.

It can filter by sender, subject or addressee.
I have it set to delete ALL mail that does not match my "accept"
criterior... absolutely NO Spam gets through.

http://www.netcomuk.co.uk/~kempston/smb/
http://www.kempston.demon.co.uk/smb/

Regards,

Peter.

374. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 06:55:32 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9e89d6.30197929@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139155

In <s3tn9.86698$121.2018564@twister.austin.rr.com>, "Two Eyed White
Cow Eater" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote:

<snip>
>> The commonly used solution to this problem is the use of resistors to
>> equalize the current. The only thing I added was the idea of using a
>> choke for the resistance.
>>
>
>You really don't know much about power supplies, do you? At least not in the
>commonly available models.

Well then why don't you enlighten everyone as to just how much you
know about voltage regulation?

375. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 07:04:02 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210042304.4da4c894@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139157

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<28700-3D9E4B9D-129@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote
> From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron=A0H.=A0Voobner)
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
> news:<18198-3D9CAF59-146@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> Another veiled threat coming from the self-proclaimed leader of the
> trouble posse.
> You pulled the same garbage with Dennis' employer and he's still here.
> And you're still whining about being impotent.
> -
> >Hi Twist. <
>
>
>
> Well, since we're dealing with several "aarons", I guess I can reply to
> "this" Arron since he doesn't seem as pissed offf or rabid as the
> others. Hello and good day.
>
>
>
>
> >Corrections: <
>
>
>
>
> .....opinions.
>
>
>
>
>
> >1.There's only one Aaaron, and you're talking to him.<<
>
>
>
>
> Then use the voobner@yahoo addy you were using before with
> the same path.

Can do. But first I'd like to see you use this addy and path again:

From: Twstedhead@webtv.net (Twstedhead@webtv.net)
Subject: Re: CBer's to be fined
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 1999/10/06

Everyone knows amps on 11 meters are illegal. So what? So we have the holier-than-thou schmoes out there trying to convince us that we ought to change our ways. Look, I,ll make it real simple 4 u self appointed cops and monitors or whatever the hell u refer to yourselves as. We know
the risks, if we take the chance, most of us are ready to deal with the
consequences should the heat come down. I have respect for MOST hams,but I achieve the same results at a fraction of the cost. If I bleed a
neighbor, all they have to do is knock on my door. We will work it out.
I have been in the same area for quite some years and have no problems.
If I am not hurting anyone, why the hell should you care WHAT I do? The
bottom line is you shouldn't. Get a life. You guys that bitch about us freebanders and "peaked" radios have way too much time on your hands.
I don't need to run anymore than 30 watts where I am located and I talk
all over the world......yes.. on the freeband. Nothng pleases me more than one of you cb rambos to come in to my conversation and start preaching about rules. God, I love to squash you suckers.

Gettin' WAY-UP from the sandpile

> Until then, just initate the OE and wordwrap mistakes. Or don't.

You lost me on that one.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >2. The only rabidity and pissed-offedness in this thread is coming from
> you. <<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Never has anyone pissed me off in this group.
> Perplexed, yes, confused, yes,,,,even fooled and taken advantage of, but

> never has anyone, certainly not you, managed to piss me off.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >No threat, veiled or otherwise. But it does seem a tad irresponsible
> for a sworn police officer to gleefully (..."YEEE-HAWWW!"...)
> announce
> that he is operating a prohibited transceiver illegally on the
> Citizens
> Band..<<
>
>
>
>
>
> Why the hell would that piss you off to the point you felt to comment on
> it? I don't go frothing at the mouth like you're doing now when JerryO
> comes in here gloating when a cber gets popped. Hell, if we wanted, us
> ignunt and lowly cbahs could glote ever dayz az dem hammyz getz ders on
> da regler bassis by da fedz!.

If hams are getting popped for tainting 11 meters, then CB'ers should
get popped for trespassing on 10. One good turn deserves another.
Turnabout is fair play. What's good for the goose....well, you get it,
right?

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Irresponsibility was never a crime.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >I don't know about you, but I'd want to know the police officers hired
> in my town were selected on the basis of their ability to act
> responsibly. <<
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Some of us don't judge what they do in their off time short of a "real"
> crime,,...it is clear the majority do not believe the malarkey you
> attempt but fail to set forth as being priorities.

I am not judging. I am quoting Title 47 US Code Of Federal
Regulations. It's not marlarkey, Twist. It's the law.

>
>
>
>
>
>
> >.proclaim that illegal power amplifiers are necessary to
> communicate...and announce that CB is no longer regulated and is a "free
> for all".<<
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Well, amps do help,,especially during the day when all the skip is
> running, but I'd rather find a nice quiet off channel on the freeband
> than run a buncha power. To me, and in my certainly humbled opinion, it
> is the lesser of the 2 evils.
> That's your opinion and you're entitled to it.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >Supporting illegal operation has some built-in disadvantages though.<<<
>
>
>
>
>
>
> If I choose to do something it does not mean I encourage another to do
> so. Learn the difference.

So you're NOT encouraging people to freeband? Or run amps? Wow. Cool!
Let's hear about it.

>
>
>
>
>
>
> > It labels you as irresponsible and unlawful. <<
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Your lables are in the extreme minority and of no real
> consequence....hence you being underground. Let us imagine only for a
> moment that not true.....your word among these pages
> is,,,well,,,,,a bit more than compromised...again...it leads back to
> your attempts at being underground.

When you willfully and repeatedly disobey US Code Of Federal
Regulations Title 47 you do commit a crime and you are a criminal.
That ain't my "lable", it's the government's. Take it up with them.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >These are not traits that people in general find trustworthy. <<
>
>
>
>
>
>
> I agree. Most people do not agree with you that people that drive 56 in
> a 55 are criminals or irresponsible, or untrustworthy.
> Ditto for freebanding where we do and for DX.

Tut tut, now, I have never said that people driving 56 in a 55 are
criminals. Neither have I ever said that if you disobey one law you
disobey them all. However any fool can see the difference between a
$10,000 fine (FCC NAL) and a $100 fine (speeding ticket). Well, maybe
not ANY fool. There is one I know who can't...

>
>
>

>
>
>
>
> >People who are trying to decide whether or not to operate within the
> law are likely reading this newsgroup. <<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Then they can see that the feds don't give a rats azz about low power
> freebanding above the legal 40 but below 10 meter (especially 555) as
> long as there are no safety, interference, etc., legitimate complaints.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >If they looked closer they'd see that several amateurs have indeed been
> busted for "freebanding" and running illegal power on the legal 40 in
> the last year (case files available in the FCC enforcement logs). <<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> We're cbers, not amateurs.
> Several out of millions of cbers are odds most can live with. More
> people get struck by lightning each year than cbers get busted. Ditto
> for hitting the lottery. I think we can all live with those astronomical
> odds.

Making a habit of "getting away with" breaking rules is antisocial.
And it's a crappy thing to build a hobby around, too.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
> >Truck stops are being cited for selling non-type-accepted CB gear. <<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Most of us here don't buy from truckstops.
> Even you know this.

No I didn't. But FYI, Internet CB stores have been busted too.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >eBay auctions for illegal CB amps continue to be stopped.<<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> And sold anyway as the bidders all have the sellers email addy. Old
> news. You're impotent........

I don't understand why you'd be so proud of "getting around the law".
Unless you're a criminal.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > Operating in open defiance of FCC rules
> whether you're a ham or not doesn't make sense, except perhaps to
> antisocials and misfits.<<
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
> Maybe not to those who do what they're told and have never learned to
> think outside the box..

Let me get this right: "doing what your told" (i.e. being a good
citizen) is a bad thing and disobeying the law is merely "thinking
outside the box". Are you so out of touch with reality that you
actually believe this dopeyness?

> Hell, even running amps (to a point) seems relevantly harmless unless
> you're in a very populated area, otherwise the feds would make it a
> priority..
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >With cutbacks in funding, it's a given that the FCC can't make
> enforcement in ANY radio service a "priority". <<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> BS! They been busting the worst of the worst of amateurs slowly, but
> it's getting done ever since 'ol suthin' bo Riley got hisself a cush
> job.

One man in the entire FCC organization has been assigned to amateur
enforcement. Doesn't sound like a "priority" to me. But if you say
so....

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >They can't be everywhere at once. However that doesn't automatically
> signal that the entire spectrum is "a free for all". <<

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> We have went from talking of cb being a free for all until your last
> sentence where you conveniently attempted to insert your disinformation
> by substituting "spectrum" for cb. No argument there, never was, except
> with your self trying to convince your battered ego that others fail to
> see your BS.

Wrong, I was making a comparative assumption. Read carefully:

IF

CB + no enforcement = free for all.

THEN

Other Parts Of Spectrum + no enforcement = free for all.

Of course my comparitive assumption merely serves to illustrate the
ludicrousness of the initial assumption. Lack of FCC enforcement in
ANY portion of the spectrum does NOT signal that portion of the
spectrum is a "free for all".

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >IMO, Mr. Stover has a responsibility to see that any information he
> posts here is accurate and reflects actual -not estimated- FCC policy.
> -Aaron-<<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> I can appreciate your position while disagreeing at the same time
> without prejudice. I believe it was merely an interpretation,,,,one that
> is somewhat accurate of the current state of cb.
>
>

>
>
>
>
> >But his interpretation is not accurate as to the current state of
> Federal Regulations governing CB.<<
>
>
>
>
>
>
> If you work for the FCC, please state your rank and department..I don't
> need your name. Otherwise, his interpretation is just as valid as yours.
> Moreso, even.

There's no interpretation on my part. I don't need to interpret. I can
quote the actual law. And the actual law contradicts what Mr. Stover
says. External amplifiers ARE illegal on CB. FCC rules ARE still
enforced. Don't take my word for it. Read US CFR Title 47.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > It is in fact, harmfully erroneous. For example, there have been no
> statements issued by the FCC to the effect that the old RF power rules
> may be ignored due to increasing use of the band. If anything, CB sales
> have declined since the 1970's boom. <<
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Not true and I won't try to argue that with you. There are several
> dealers that can tell you they have had banner sales since the last 11
> meter skip cycle. Maybe not in as short as time, but nonetheless.

I don't have the annual sales figures of CB equipment handy. Neither
do you. But I'm willing to bet there is quite a difference in CB sales
between 1976 (the year "Convoy" came out) and this year. Which means
there are less CB'ers on the air now that there were then.

>
>
>
>

>
>
> >Finally, current Title 47 CFR states that external CB amplifiers are
> prohibited - and there are no exceptions to this rule, (not even for
> police, fire, and public service).
> <<
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Ok. But you are wrong. If there is a true life or death emergency, I can
> hook up the nearest Davemade, Noname, Skullcracka, Cookie, Texas Star,
> Palomar, etc.....or whatever the hell I want to make my emergency known.

Twist, we are not talking about "true life and death emergency". We
are talking about Lennie Stover telling a motorist which exit to take
to find the gas station. And he doesn't require a Skullcracka or an
illegal Galaxy DX99V to do it with.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Many of us know from
> experience what to expect from the FCC, IE: which behavior causes
> problems and what type action the feds will take. Most cbers know that
> the heavy hand of enforcement by the FCC is not what certain types on
> this board would like it to be, despite the false and oft reiterated
> claims to the contrary.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >As I said before, the FCC can't be everywhere at once. No one is
> disputing that you'll probably be able to commit outrageous rule
> violations and get away with it.<<
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
> The majority present, as demonstrated, do not agree with your assessment
> of what constitutes "outrageous rule violations" and luckily that term
> is to be found nowhere among your dead sacred cb scrolls,,er,,rules.

Complain, cry, bitch and moan all you want, it's your right. But the
US Government does not share your opinion that the Code Of Federal
Regulations are "dead sacred scrolls".

>
>
>
>
>
>
> > But you have to wonder what kind of person enjoys the fact that he can
> "get away with" breaking rules and federal laws that have been enacted
> for the good of all.<<<
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Actually, this is the first time I have entertained the thought of
> experiencing an emotion due to the breaking of any rules since what I do
> is such a mundane and trivial thing I. I have better things to do than
> dwellon my psyche.
> I would question the morality of someone who has named a troubled posse
> of nocodes, one who has spammed the group, and ones who are interested
> in personal lives of cbers who happen to be complete strangers on the
> internet.

We're talking about illegal amplifier use on CB. Want to shift the
subject to newsgroup behavior and etiquette? We can go there. I still
have copies of your old "1mangang" posts. We can discuss those. Let me
know.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > I certainly wouldn't want this kind of person to be a police officer
> in my town.
> -Aaron- <<
>
>
>

>
>
>
> Which town is that? Ah, never mind.

Why? Coming over to watch The Sopranos with me? Ahh, forgedaboudit.

-Aaron-

376. Anybody familiar ?? Cobra XL-450

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 07:04:47 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <ri3tpuk0fdd7p13t0qtism96gfj9cgu9l5@4ax.com>
Source record: 139158

Cobra XL-450

anybody familiar with this amp,what I need to know is if it is a high drive or if it's your standard 4 watt driver - if it is how much drive will it take? I know it's close to the old Magnum 2000's but that's all the info I have on it....

377. Re: RED CHINA loses again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 07:37:16 UTC
From: rexr@cox-internet.com
Message-ID: <3D9E96AC.F647B7BE@cox-internet.com>
Source record: 139113

> Do you think that this strike on the West coast will help promote some
> American made items. I hope so.

378. Re: Dumb ass Aaron/RadioBob/Radio 8/KE4ENX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 08:18:59 UTC
From: BIAS COMMS <biascomms@NOSPAMblueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Tfxn9.6275$Q31.40724524@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 139159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

Will these cross-posting morons please go and abuse each other by e-mail?

--
BIAS COMMS

Everything gets easier with practice except getting up in the morning

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0209271615.5c0330a8@posting.google.com...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209261209.1f4cb4eb@posting.google.com>...
> > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > (snip)
> > >Like I said, you don't know diddly about me.
> >
> > We know the essentials.
> >
> > -A
>
> You know nothing. Nothing at all. "essentials"? No way. Prove it.

379. Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 08:54:04 UTC
From: jason <hubs3@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <anm2ontuv1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 139156

i have just purchased a used scope and have heard they could be used to test cb's and antenas, my only problem is, i dont know how, can anyone give me any info or point me towards a website that can??

Thanks Very Much

Jason

380. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 10:21:10 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9e9b1f.34622303@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139160

Yeah, I goofed again. So I'll just elaborate on the theory and quit making a mockery of mathematics:

Just for the hell of it, let's forget about the load for a minute and think about the power dissipated by the active device. At rail-to-rail output, the AC voltage is the same across both the load and the active device, or Vcc. Also, the current is constant at Icq through both the load and the active device. Therefore there should be an equal power across the active device as the load. But you have a problem there, because if the power across the load is only 25% of the input power and the power dissipated by the active device is equal to that of the load, then the active device must also dissipate 25% of the power. That's only 50% of the input power -- where did the other 50% go?

This is a major cause of confusion. There are two different kinds of power here: AC power and DC power. With no signal there is only DC power, and if the load is purely resistive the power will be dissipated equally by the load and the active device. When an AC signal is being amplified, the power supply current does not change, the average voltage and current do not change across either device, and therefore the power dissipated does not increase. What happens is that DC power is converted to AC power by the amplifier, yet the two components are still dissipating equal amounts of power.

So the real issue is how much DC power can be converted to AC power. With a Class A amplifier, no more than one-half of the DC can be converted to AC (50% efficiency), and this occurs when the output is a square wave.

NOW is the time for a little math. Given: Vcc = 180 volts and RL = 100 ohms. For a square wave:

Vrms = Vpeak = 90 volts
Ipeak = 180 / 100 = 1.8 amps
Icq = Ipeak / 2 = 0.9 amps
Irms = Ipeak - Icq = 1.8 - 0.9 = 0.9 amps
Pin = Vcc * Icq = 180 * 0.9 = 162 watts
Pout = Vrms * Irms = 90 * 0.9 = 81 watts

For a sine wave:

Vrms = 0.707(Vpeak) = 63.63 volts
Ipeak = 180 / 100 = 1.8 amps
Icq = Ipeak / 2 = 0.9 amps
Irms = 0.707(Ipeak - Icq) = 0.6363 amps
Pin = Vcc * Icq = 180 * 0.9 = 162 watts

Pout = Vrms * Irms = 40.5 watts

So a Class A amp can convert no more than 50% of it's input power into a square wave (maximum theoretical efficiency), but no more than 25% into a sine.

Now THAT should clear up this whole discussion!

381. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 11:01:28 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9ec686.45733630@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139161

One additional note: Maximum sine-wave efficiency can be increased to above 25% by the use of an inductive load (or tank) with low or negligible DC resistance. Max is still limited to 50%.

382. WILSON 1000 base setup?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 12:32:33 UTC
From: HORN <gtrkid@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <BZAn9.47213$Pz.37216@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 139162

I thought Dan in Canada had an interesting idea with the 5 foot ladder.. but
im thinking higher up. Should i fabricate some kind of ground plane.. or
will the steel mast work? any suggestions?

383. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 12:33:44 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210050433.2b47bf43@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139163

timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote in message
news:<20021004085503.03483.00007863@mb-cr.aol.com>...
> wow were you talking between a mountain? 60 miles is no problem with two base
> station radios running sideband with a decently high antenna's at night when
> there is no noise. it wont be possible at all during the day for another couple
> years
>
> >
> >Not possible, least at 60 miles. With near perfect atmospheric conditions,
> >extremely low noise level on a totally unused channel with multi-element
> >directional beams it's a long shot. The furthest I was able to reliably
> >reach with the same described conditions was perhaps 30-40 miles using a 5
> >element Maco.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

hey nebout.......who is the trollop on your web page???? you know,
the one with the tat on her tit.....rogo d????

384. FS RCI2950/RCI 2995DX and More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 12:44:32 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021005084432.29095.00000161@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 139164

RCI 2950 150.00
RCI2995DX new in box converted 600.00
Pro 2053 scanner 140.00
Pro 2039 scanner 90.00
Pro 2002 scanner 45.00

email can be picked up in NEW JERSEY or You ship

385. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 13:16:06 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021005091606.03581.00008865@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 139165

thats great if you live on a farm

>
>
>Get your ham license and use the 160 meter band. I listen to people
>regularly talking 60 miles and more, and a couple of them are running
>only 5 - 10 watts AM (one is a friend at work).
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

386. Re: Anybody familiar ?? Cobra XL-450

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 13:18:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021005091818.03581.00008866@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 139166

its a good 4 pill from mexico. if you want to sound crystal clear get you about
a 2-3 watt key into it from a radio that doesnt swing like crazy and youll
sound like broadcast

>
>
>Cobra XL-450
>
>anybody familiar with this amp,what I need to know is if it is a high
>drive or if it's your standard 4 watt driver - if it is how much
>drive will it take? I know it's close to the old Magnum 2000's but
>that's all the info I have on it....
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

387. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 13:18:55 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021005091855.03581.00008868@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 139167

my fiancee fewl

>hey nebout.....who is the trollop on your web page???? you know,
>the one with the tat on her tit.....rogo d????

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

388. Re: Where's Clyde #1? Re: ALL CB'ers ARE CRETINS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 14:30:37 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <CD6dnbSkpP3_mT2gXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139168

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:anlftm$4nb$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20020929204223.246$uu@newsreader.com...
> >
> > ..He's the master of radio keyboard..wonder if he's ever used a
> > transmitter?
>
>
> I doubt if he can fit it into his tight schedule. He has far too much
> "akc" work to do... no time for playing about with things like radios.

> Regards,

> Peter.

lol who cares what you think Peter Player.

389. Re: RF Disturbance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 14:37:24 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <EOCn9.30036$OO4.1803531@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 139169

First of all you don't want a High-pass filter. You need a low-pass with a cutoff at about 32MHz. I use to have one made by Radio Shack in the late 70's and it worked great. It most likely won't keep you out of telephones or stereos.The reason with phones is that the copper wire that is used makes a great antenna and the phones are so badly built that they will pick up all most anything. With stereos it is all the junk that is hooked up before the amp and all the exposed wires. The best for both is Ferrite chokes on the cables. The trouble is that you have to go into the neighbors house and put them in. R/S does make a phone filter that plugs into the wall jack and the phone cord plugs into it. I use one to keep the RF out of my modem. Without it the CB and other things get into the computer but with it no trouble. Give it a try.

"Ricky Parker" <rdjparker@charter.net> wrote in message
news:upadq5p9alfv1e@corp.supernews.com...
> Good Evening all! Is there a way to prevent, especially when using
linear,
> bleeding into my niebhors phone and or stereo equipment. I have read
about
> the High and Low pass filters do these work, and have any of you had
> experience with this. rp
>
>

390. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 15:04:12 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D9EFF6C.2A31D50@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139180

Twistieboy wrote:
>
> "JJ" wrote:
> Sorry twistie, I really don't care what I am to "us", who ever "us" is,
> and I don't owe you are anyone else my call.
> -
> "Us" would be the folks you repeatedly and continually try to convince
> that you're something other than a nocode.

I know I am not a nocode licensee (and I do not think less of anyone who holds a nocode license than any other licensee, it is great entry class license into ham radio), and it is of the smallest concern to me whether you or others believe it or not. Your have a call twistie? Care to post it? Maybe you can't even pass the test for a nocode license.

391. Allan R Fallas of British Columbia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 15:08:18 UTC
From: gw@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <235a74db.0210050708.77cd6620@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139170

This guy is a major fraud and it would be in your best interest to not deal with him in any way , shape or form. He is a complete ripoff and does real harm to the ham radio community. Somehow he retains a ham radio advanced license. God knows why.

392. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 15:40:20 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8456-3D9F07E4-244@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139174

>1.There's only one Aaaron, and you're talking to him.<<

Then use the voobner@yahoo addy you were using before with the same path.

>Can do. But first I'd like<<

Etiquette dictates first question gets fist response. Do you have any etiquette?

> to see you use this addy and path again:
From: Twstedhead@webtv.net (Twstedhead@webtv.net)
Subject: Re: CBer's to be fined
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 1999/10/06
Everyone knows amps on 11 meters are illegal. So what? So we have the holier-than-thou schmoes out there trying to convince us that we ought to change our ways. Look, I,ll make it real simple 4 u self appointed cops and monitors or whatever the hell u refer to yourselves as. We know the risks, if we take the chance, most of us are ready to deal with the consequences should the heat come down. I have respect for MOST hams,but I achieve the same results at a fraction of the cost. If I bleed a neighbor, all they have to do is knock on my door. We will work it out. I have been in the same area for quite some years and have no problems. If I am not hurting anyone, why the hell should you care WHAT I do? The bottom line is you shouldn't. Get a life. You guys that bitch about us freebanders and "peaked" radios have way too much time on your hands. I don't need to run anymore than 30 watts where I am located and I talk all over the world......yes.. on the freeband. Nothng pleases me more than one of you cb rambos to come in to my conversation and start preaching about rules. God, I love to squash you suckers.
Gettin'
WAY-UP from the sandpile
<<

You lost me on that one. Besides, I still like to squash hammies that come causing trouble on the freeband. I put Lelnad KC8LDO so far back out with his little amp that had no azz on 555 a few months ago when he tried to jam me. He was PISSED!

Until then, just initate the OE and wordwrap mistakes. Or don't.

>You lost me on that one. <<

Then toss it out your Windows.

>2. The only rabidity and pissed-offedness in this thread is coming from you. <<

Never has anyone pissed me off in this group. Perplexed, yes, confused, yes,,,,even fooled and taken advantage of, but never has anyone, certainly not you, managed to piss me off.

>No threat, veiled or otherwise. But it does seem a tad irresponsible<<

Irresponsibility isn't a crime and it is merely you humble opinion anyway.

>for a sworn police officer to gleefully (..."YEEE-HAWWW!"...) announce
that he is operating a prohibited transceiver illegally on the Citizens Band..<<

Why the hell would that piss you off to the point you felt to comment on it? I don't go frothing at the mouth like you're doing now when JerryO comes in here gloating when a cber gets popped. Hell, if we wanted, us ignunt and lowly cbahs could glote ever dayz az dem hammyz getz ders on da regler bassis by da fedz!.

>If hams are getting popped for tainting 11 meters, then CB'ers should get popped for trespassing on 10. One good turn deserves another. Turnabout is fair play. What's good for the goose....well, you get it, right?<<

Not only do I get it, I wholeheartedly agree.

>I don't know about you, but I'd want to know the police officers hired in my town were selected on the basis of their ability to act responsibly. <<

Some of us don't judge what they do in their off time short of a "real" crime,,...it is clear the majority do not believe the malarkey you attempt but fail to set forth as being priorities.

>I am not judging. I am quoting Title 47 US Code Of Federal Regulations. It's not marlarkey, Twist. It's the law. <<

Some laws are outdated and obsolete, such as the one on the books that says you can't hang laundry on a Sunday within 250 feet of a church in certain parts of Kansas. There exist a ton of such laws still on the books.

>.proclaim that illegal power amplifiers are necessary to communicate...and announce that CB is no longer regulated and is a "free for all".<<

Well, amps do help,,especially during the day when all the skip is running, but I'd rather find a nice quiet off channel on the freeband than run a buncha power. To me, and in my certainly humbled opinion, it is the lesser of the 2 evils.

>That's your opinion and you're entitled to it.
Supporting illegal operation has some built-in disadvantages though.<<<

If I choose to do something it does not mean I encourage another to do so. Learn the difference.

>So you're NOT encouraging people to freeband? Or run amps? Wow. Cool! Let's hear about it.<<

Whats to hear? I'm nobody's mother and tell no one what they should or shoudn't do unless their question dictates it..

>It labels you as irresponsible and unlawful. <<

Your lables are in the extreme minority and of no real consequence....hence you being underground. Let us imagine only for a moment that not true.....your word among these pages is,,,well,,,,,a bit more than compromised...again...it leads back to your attempts at being underground.

>When you willfully and repeatedly disobey US Code Of Federal Regulations Title 47 you do commit a crime and you are a criminal. That ain't my "lable", it's the government's. Take it up with them.<<

I have never sen the governement use the term "criminal" concerning freebanders or folks that run a hundred watts or so.
Even if they did, the government is usually wrong.

>These are not traits that people in general find trustworthy. <<

I agree. Most people do not agree with you that people that drive 56 in a 55 are criminals or irresponsible, or untrustworthy. Ditto for freebanding where we do and for DX.

>Tut tut, now, I have never said that people driving 56 in a 55 are criminals.<<

But you did. Driving 56 in a 55 is technically breaking the law, and you just claimed that makes one a criminal.

> Neither have I ever said that if you disobey one law you disobey them all. However any fool can see the difference between a $10,000 fine (FCC NAL) and a $100 fine (speeding ticket). Well, maybe not ANY fool. There is one I know who can't...<<

Maybe to that one person, 100 dollar fine is no different to his pocketbook than a 10,000 dollar fine. I have a wealthy friend who routinely speeds even when he sees the signs in some states that announce the HIGH fines for each mile over the posted limit...he says, "I can afford it" and hammers down. You think there are no wealthy cbers? A few come to mind right off the bat. Matter of fact, there are several well to do cbers here. Big businesses routinely pay fines as a part of doing business, a wealthy cber may have no problem paying to play...BUT, as I taught Dave Hall, more folks get hit by lightning and win the lottery thancbers receiving NALS. The odds you and your party

set forth concerning cbers getting into hot water are bogus.

>People who are trying to decide whether or not to operate within the law are likely reading this newsgroup. <<

Then they can see that the feds don't give a rats azz about low power freebanding above the legal 40 but below 10 meter (especially 555) as long as there are no safety, interference, etc., legitimate complaints.

>If they looked closer they'd see that several amateurs have indeed been busted for "freebanding" and running illegal power on the legal 40 in the last year (case files available in the FCC enforcement logs). <<

We're cbers, not amateurs.
Several out of millions of cbers are odds most can live with. More people get struck by lightning each year than cbers get busted. Ditto for hitting the lottery. I think we can all live with those astronomical odds.

>Making a habit of "getting away with" breaking rules is antisocial.<<

See the education I gave Dave Hall concerning his socialism he attempts to breed and spread among us. Besides, being antisocial is not a negative thing like you are trying to stereotype it into being. There is nothing wrong with a loner who wishes to live alone on a mountaintop or farm or what have you....only your opinion.

> And it's a crappy thing to build a hobby around, too. Truck stops are being cited for selling non-type-accepted CB gear. <<

Most of us here don't buy from truckstops. Even you know this.

>No I didn't. <<<

Then you're missing posts again.

>But FYI, Internet CB stores have
been busted too.
eBay auctions for illegal CB amps continue to be stopped.<<

And sold anyway as the bidders all have the sellers email addy. Old news. You're impotent.....

>I don't understand why you'd be so proud of "getting around the law". Unless you're a criminal.<<

Again, your failure at putting words in anothers mouth illustrates your motives.
What most are proud of, is getting around you attempting to stop a seller, you're not the law.

>Operating in open defiance of FCC rules
whether you're a ham or not doesn't make sense, except perhaps to antisocials and misfits.<<

Maybe not to those who do what they're told and have never learned to think outside the box..

>Let me get this right: "doing what your told" (i.e. being a good citizen) <<

Another error of yours. Equating blindly doing what you're told with making a good citizen is so far removed from reality that..well.it's not unexpected coming from you.

>is a bad thing and disobeying the law is merely "thinking outside the box". <<

You made the link between thinking out of the box and an illegal act...not I.

>Are you so out of touch with reality that you actually believe this dopeyness? <<

Along with the majority of freedom loving socialist-haters in America, a resounding yes.

Hell, even running amps (to a point) seems relevantly harmless unless you're in a very populated area, otherwise the feds would make it a priority..

>With cutbacks in funding, it's a given that the FCC can't make enforcement in ANY radio service a "priority". <<

BS! They been busting the worst of the worst of amateurs slowly, but it's getting done ever since 'ol suthin' bo Riley got hisself a cush job.

>One man in the entire FCC organization has been assigned to amateur enforcement. <<

Yea, and he been bustin' the hell out of 'em, huh?

>Doesn't sound like a "priority" to me. But if you say so.... <<

Not me. The FCC reports say so. Take it up with them.

>They can't be everywhere at once. However that doesn't automatically signal that the entire spectrum is "a free for all". <<

We have went from talking of cb being a free for all until your last sentence where you conveniently attempted to insert your disinformation by substituting "spectrum" for cb. No argument there, never was, except with your self trying to convince your battered ego that others fail to see your BS.

>Wrong, I was making a comparative assumption. Read carefully:
IF
CB + no enforcement = free for all.
<<

Proven. The "IF" word means nothing.

>THEN
Other Parts Of Spectrum + no enforcement = free for all. <<

Clearly a subjective opinion on your behalf,,,,,,an opinion can be
neither right oe wrong.

>Of course my comparitive assumption merely serves to illustrate the
ludicrousness of the initial assumption. <<

I agree. YOUR initial assumption that cbers are in danger of the FCC for
simple freebanding and running a bit of power is bunk, with very few
exceptions..

>Lack of FCC enforcement in ANY portion of the spectrum does NOT signal
that portion of the spectrum is a "free for all".
IMO, Mr. Stover has a responsibility to see that any information he
posts here is accurate and reflects actual -not estimated- FCC policy.
-Aaron-<<

I can appreciate your position while disagreeing at the same time
without prejudice. I believe it was merely an interpretation,,,,one that
is somewhat accurate of the current state of cb.

>But his interpretation is not accurate as to the current state of
Federal Regulations governing CB.<<

If you work for the FCC, please state your rank and department..I don't
need your name. Otherwise, his interpretation is just as valid as yours.
Moreso, even.

>There's no interpretation on my part. I don't need to interpret. I can
quote the actual law.<<

I can quote several obscure laws that are no longer or only selectively
enforced. There is no point to you doing so redundantly.

> And the actual law contradicts what Mr. Stover says.<<

And actual enforcement against cbers
contradicts what you say.

> External amplifiers ARE illegal on CB. FCC rules ARE still enforced.<<

What, by that one man you mentioned? Make up your mind.
And they are NOT enforced for the majority of simple freebanders and
cbers
causing no problems. I know this upsets you greatly, but those are the
cold facts.

> Don't take my word for it. Read US CFR Title 47.<<

Don't take my word for it, listen in on 555 and send ol' Riley all the
tapes want. The proof is in the pudding.

>It is in fact, harmfully erroneous. For example, there have been no
statements issued by the FCC to the effect that the old RF power rules

may be ignored due to increasing use of the band. If anything, CB sales have declined since the 1970's boom. <<

Not true and I won't try to argue that with you. There are several dealers that can tell you they have had banner sales since the last 11 meter skip cycle. Maybe not in as short as time, but nonetheless.

>I don't have the annual sales figures of CB equipment handy. Neither do you. But I'm willing to bet there is quite a difference in CB sales between 1976 (the year "Convoy" came out) and this year.<<

Maybe. Now if you would have said a year or two ago, I may be willing to take that bet. The skip cycle and the 70s nostalgia kick and the swell of population since 76 may have made the difference. In any event, it's speculative on both parts.

> Which means there are less CB'ers on the air now that there were then.<<

Nah, there are more. There are way more truckers and they all have cbs.

>Finally, current Title 47 CFR states that external CB amplifiers are prohibited - and there are no exceptions to this rule, (not even for police, fire, and public service).
<<

Ok. But you are wrong. If there is a true life or death emergency, I can hook up the nearest Davemade, Noname, Skullcracka, Cookie, Texas Star, Palomar, etc.....or whatever the hell I want to make my emergency known.

>Twist, we are not talking about "true life and death emergency". We are talking about Lennie Stover telling a motorist which exit to take to find the gas station. <<

Nowhere did you mention that in your reply to me until now. As a matter of fact, you said there were NO exceptions, when in fact I just gave you the most obvious.

>And he doesn't require a Skullcracka or an illegal Galaxy DX99V to do it with. <<

During the day, depending on how far away he is, he may.

Many of us know from
experience what to expect from the FCC, IE: which behavior causes problems and what type action the feds will take. Most cbers know that the heavy hand of enforcement by the FCC is not what certain types on this board would like it to be, despite the false and oft reiterated claims to the contrary.

>As I said before, the FCC can't be everywhere at once. No one is disputing that you'll probably be able to commit outrageous rule violations and get away with it.<<

The majority present, as demonstrated, do not agree with your assessment of what constitutes "outrageous rule violations" and luckily that term is to be found nowhere among your dead sacred cb scrolls,,er,,rules.

>Complain, cry, bitch and moan all you want, it's your right.<<

No one here cries, bitches or moans except (of course) you and your named trouble posse. Everyone knows that.

> But the US Government does not share your opinion that the Code Of Federal Regulations are "dead sacred scrolls". <<

Nor do they share your skewered view that freebanding lowpower DXing cbers are criminals. If they did, you just made the case that the guv-mint is derelict in enforcement, which you clearly try to set forth the opposite.

>But you have to wonder what kind of person enjoys the fact that he can "get away with" breaking rules and federal laws that have been enacted for the good of all.<<<

Actually, this is the first time I have entertained the thought of experiencing an emotion due to the breaking of any rules since what I do is such a mundane and trivial thing I. I have better things to do than dwellon my psyche.
I would question the morality of someone who has named a troubled posse of nocodes, one who has spammed the group, and ones who are interested in personal lives of cbers who happen to be complete strangers on the internet.

>We're talking about illegal amplifier use on CB. Want to shift the subject to newsgroup behavior and etiquette? <<

You already did at the beginning of this post.
Your ego was once again bruised and damaged enough to cause you to go frantically running to the archives and attempt a change of discussion as soon as you realized you were again in over your head..

>We can go there.<<

Not "WE"....YOU. You already did. See aboe.

> I still have copies of your old "1mangang" posts. We can discuss those. Let me know. <<

I can discuss anything. You, on the other hand, have a malady that affects you holding a topic and refraining from insult, lies, deliberate misinformation and obsessions for personal information on cbers and usenet strangers.

>I certainly wouldn't want this kind of person to be a police officer in my town.
-Aaron- <<

Which town is that? Ah, never mind.

>Why? Coming over to watch The Sopranos with me? Ahh, forgedaboudit.
-Aaron- <<

Nah. So far it sucks. Besides, I'm doing single late night trips this weekend...the days are still screwed up from that damn storm.

393. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 15:45:58 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8456-3D9F0936-245@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139173

"JJ" wrote:
Sorry twistie, I really don't care what I am to "us", who ever "us" is,
and I don't owe you are anyone else my call.
-
"Us" would be the folks you repeatedly and continually try to convince
that you're something other than a nocode.

394. Re: Where's Clyde #1? Re: ALL CB'ers ARE CRETINS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 15:48:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8457-3D9F09B2-106@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139175

From: legalizeit@hempseed.com (Fred Flintstoned)
"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:anlftm$4nb$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020929204223.246$uu@newsreader.com...
.He's the master of radio keyboard..wonder if he's ever used a
transmitter?

I doubt if he can fit it into his tight schedule. He has far too much
"akc" work to do... no time for playing about with things like radios.
Regards,
Peter.
-
lol who cares what you think Peter Player.
-
Everyone except the antis named in Aaron's trouble posse.

395. Re: Where's Clyde #1? Re: ALL CB'ers ARE CRETINS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 15:50:21 UTC
From: "Mr. Slate" <who-cares@apathy.net>
Message-ID: <upu2j0g5h7t6c3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139171

```
"Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote in message
news:CD6dnbSkpP3_mT2gXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
> news:anlftm$4nb$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020929204223.246$uu@newsreader.com...
> > >
> > > ..He's the master of radio keyboard..wonder if he's ever used a
> > > transmitter?
> >
> >
> > I doubt if he can fit it into his tight schedule. He has far too much
> > "akc" work to do... no time for playing about with things like radios.
>
> > Regards,
>
> > Peter.
>
> lol who cares what you think Peter Player.
>
>

Fred (boy george), your docked a days pay for
trolling on the job! yabba dabba yadi yada....
Mr. Slate
```

396. Re: Antenna Suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 15:51:18 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <1XDn9.14821$GF5.739023@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 139172

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:28700-3D9E53C5-135@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I haven't played with any wires in awhile and I have some very tall
> trees around me in all directions.

I gotta tell you, there's a definite sense of satisfaction that comes from building a good wire antenna and having it work really well. There are purist who insist on actually building the baluns themselves, which is cool for them. (...and actually pretty easy.) I prefer the commercial stuff though. But if you really wanted to, you could put up a wire that'd come close to a two element yagi for practically pennies. I might do this for my brother-in-law w an Extended Double Zepp for his CB station. Since we only use channels 36 through 40, I'll trim it for channel 38 and hoist it high. (His trees are about 100 ft. tall with good supporting limbs, free and clear, at approx. 70 ft., not bad.) Take it easy. :-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

397. Re: Dumb ass Aaron/RadioBob/Radio 8/KE4ENX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 16:23:29 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210050823.25d649f7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210041629.31dd8aaf@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >Date: Fri, Oct 4, 2002 4:35 PM
> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210041535.713a7c36@posting.google.com>
> >
> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210041045.5bd4a4ad@posting.google.com>...
> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210040531.23b1404c@posting.google.com>...
> >> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210031119.13031b68@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >> > > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210021636.5303a21b@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >> > > >> >Date: Wed, Oct 2, 2002 5:04 PM
> >> > > >> >Message-id:
> <c5ecbb69.0210021604.3d422db9@posting.google.com>
> >> > > >> >
> >> > > >> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210021030.256b404@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > >> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210020421.4fd86dee@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > >> >> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210011838.6ed57342@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > >> >> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
> news:<c5ecbb69.0210010434.738528a7@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > >> >> > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
> news:<c9ceae5b.0209301047.4313359b@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > >> >> > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
> news:<c5ecbb69.0209300425.2e091ac0@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
> news:<c9ceae5b.0209271820.3e90ed96@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote
> in
> message
> news:<c9ceae5b.0209261209.1f4cb4eb@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > > >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> wrote
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > > >> (snip)
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > > >> >Like I said, you don't know diddly about

> me.
>
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > > > >>
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > > > >> We know the essentials.
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > > > >>
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > > > >> -A
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > > > >
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > > > >You know nothing. Nothing at all.
> "essentials"?
> No
> >> > > >> way. Prove
> >> > > >> it.
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > > >
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > > > Gladly.
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > > >
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > > > -A
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > > >
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > > > (massive snip of irrelevant trash)
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > >
> >> > > >> >> > > > > >
> >> > > >> >> > > > > > Don't like seeing your name out there, huh,
> Steve?
> -A
> >> > > >> >> > > >
> >> > > >> >> > > > Aanal Aaron: Where's your *proof*? You got none,
> and your
> repeated
> >> > > >> >> > > > posting of irrelevant garbage only proves my point.
> >> > > >> >> > >
> >> > > >> >> > > If it's irrelevant, then you shouldn't mind me
> posting it,
> Steve.
> >> > > >> >> > >
> >> > > >> >> > > -A
> >> > > >> >> >
> >> > > >> >> > PROOF, Aanal Aaron, not just the same crap.
> >> > > >> >>
> >> > > >> >> If it's "crap", it shouldn't upset you to see it again.
> >> > > >> >>
> >> > > >> >> -A
> >> > > >> >
> >> > > >> >What a loser! Do you really think that the other readers
> are going
> to
> >> > > >> >believe in anything other than your frustration and
> desperation
> if
> you
> >> > > >> >keep posting that? That proves nothing.
> >> > > >> >

> >> > > >> >Where's your PROOF, Aanal Aaron? You got nothing. You know
> nothing.
> >> > > >> >You are nothing.
> >> > > >> >
> >> > > >> >Back it up, dumbulb; post your PROOF.
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> Au contraire. You are the one that appears frustrated and
> desperate
> >> > > >> Steven.
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> -Aaron-
> >> > > >
> >> > > >I'm not frustrated or desperate at all, but I am waiting for
> your
> >> > > >alleged PROOF, Aanal Aaron. Don't have any? Why not just admit
> it?
> >> > > >Just have to show the world what a complete moron you are?
> >> > > >
> >> > > >At the risk of sounding as repetitive as you are: You got
> nothing,
> you
> >> > > >know nothing, you are nothing.
> >> > >
> >> > > I know quite a bit. That's the reason you're in this thread,
> Steve.
>
> >> > >
> >> > > -Aaron-
> >> >
> >> > No, you know nothing, dimbulb. Where's the PROOF you said you'd
> "Gladly"
> post?
> >>
> >> Right here. It's you hating to see your name posted. It's you
> freaking
> >> out and protesting it's not true. It's you still here fretting in
> this
> >> thread long after someone who wasn't Steve would have been long
> gone.
> >> The proof is you, Steve.
> >>
> >> -Aaron-
> >
> >"Fretting"? Do you even know what the word means? To you it's a way
> to
> >deflect the original question. YOU may be "fretting", but I'm not.
> I'm
> >just waiting for the PROOF you said you would "Gladly" post and
> >cannot. Mere repetition is not proof, dimbulb; so where is it?
> >

> >And why should I leave? You haven't, and you've been proven to be a
> >complete nincompoop. You didn't know that ten meter radios were legal
> >for licensed amateurs to use on ten meters. You didn't know that
> >Novice and Tech+ license holders could use part of ten meters. You
> >claimed that all ten meter radios were somehow "illegal". You claim
> to
> >be pro-Rules, yet you encouraged a guy to get his new amp modified
> for
> >CB. And all can be found in the Google Archives, so don't try to deny
> >it. You have proved only that you have no clue what you're talking
> >about.
> >
> >So, as the old BK commercial used to go, "Where's the beef?" Where's
> >your PROOF, Aanal Aaron? Not repetition this time; PROOF.
>
>
>
>
> Must be rough Steve, I'm not following instructions or responding to
> trolling. You'll gave to try a different tack. Hey, what happened to
> all those threats you made to Frank about posting Parr's family
> details? You sure bailed out of that campaign in a hurry. As you'll do
> here soon.
>
> -Aaron-

"Instructions?" YOU said you would "Gladly" post the proof, Aanal Aaron. Who's giving "instructions"? And YOU are the one doing the TROLLing and SPAMming of the newsgroup with lame repetitions of the same arroneous personal information.

I never "threatened" Frank, as you full well know since YOU posted the message with all the DNSs and related ISPs. What a true maroon you have proven yourself to be. You're nothing but an annonymous coward and TROLL.

So, when do you post the PROOF you offered to "Gladly" post? You haven't done it yet and you cannot, can you?

398. Re: Anybody familiar ?? Cobra XL-450

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 17:07:49 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ann61e$fgeo8$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 139178

http://www.cbworldinformer.com/200112/cobra_xl450.htm
you're welcome......

--
Mad Dog
"rugerp85 @adelphia.net>" <rugerp85<remove> wrote in message
news:ri3tpuk0fdd7p13t0qtism96gfj9cgu9l5@4ax.com...
> Cobra XL-450
>
> anybody familiar with this amp,what I need to know is if it is a high
> drive or if it's your standard 4 watt driver - if it is how much
> drive will it take? I know it's close to the old Magnum 2000's but
> that's all the info I have on it....

399. Re: midland cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 17:10:22 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ann667$fgtfa$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 139179

Last i heard "Doc" gave you the pin-outs so buy a $10.oo heating iron
and some rosin-core......

--
Mad Dog
"jason" <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ann3qd$v71$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> Hate to keep bothering yall, but i went out and got a mic that is supposed
> to fit most midland cbs and im still having about the same problem with my
> Midland Model 13-898B. It seems to be backwards, when i key the mic, the
> standing wave meter goes dead and i can hear static and transmissions,
when
> i let it go, it seems to be transmitting. I took the cover off and just
> looked, there is one pin that is not even used one wire goes to others and
2
> wires go to one pin, the connections seem to be sound. Maybe does this
> thing require a special base station mic? Like i say, i hate to keep
> bothering you folks with questions but im trying to get this thing up and
> running.
>
> Thanks For Any Info,
>
> Jason
>
>

400. Re: WILSON 1000 base setup?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 17:26:31 UTC
From: Stephen Pike <spikey911usa@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vZKcnZTWKKxeRwOgXTWc2Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139181

You must have a ground plane or your swr will be through the roof.

"HORN" <gtrkid@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:BZAn9.47213$Pz.37216@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> I thought Dan in Canada had an interesting idea with the 5 foot ladder..
but
> im thinking higher up. Should i fabricate some kind of ground plane.. or
> will the steel mast work? any suggestions?
>
>

401. Larson NMO27 headaches....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 18:05:25 UTC
From: JasonG <jgoldring@99istop.com>
Message-ID: <3d9f2985$1@news.eol.ca>
Source record: 139182

I have a Larsen NMO27 antenna on a TMB mount (L-Bracket screwed to
the trunk gutter). I cut the whip according to the tuning sheet and I can't
seem to get the darn thing below 2.5:1 SWR. It also seems to be really
narrow-banded. From 1 to 40 I go from 2.5 to 3.5

Anyone else have similar problems?
Is their an easy fix or am I missing something here?

(SWR measurements done with a Daiwa CN801 meter & a stock Prostar 400 radio
with a brand new Larsen Coil, Mount & NMO cable assembly)

Thanks,
Jay.

--

I hate spam. Please take away the
ninety-nine from my address when
replying. Thanks!
---

402. midland cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 18:18:05 UTC
From: jason <hubs3@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ann3qd$v71$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 139177

Hate to keep bothering yall, but i went out and got a mic that is supposed to fit most midland cbs and im still having about the same problem with my Midland Model 13-898B. It seems to be backwards, when i key the mic, the standing wave meter goes dead and i can hear static and transmissions, when i let it go, it seems to be transmitting. I took the cover off and just looked, there is one pin that is not even used one wire goes to others and 2 wires go to one pin, the connections seem to be sound. Maybe does this thing require a special base station mic? Like i say, i hate to keep bothering you folks with questions but im trying to get this thing up and running.

Thanks For Any Info,

Jason

403. Re: Where's Clyde #1? Re: ALL CB'ers ARE CRETINS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 18:38:06 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <nbGcnUZizc8QrAKgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139183

```
--

"Mr. Slate" <who-cares@apathy.net> wrote in message
news:upu2j0g5h7t6c3@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote in message
> news:CD6dnbSkpP3_mT2gXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com...
> >
> > "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
> > news:anlftm$4nb$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020929204223.246$uu@newsreader.com...
> > > >
> > > > ..He's the master of radio keyboard..wonder if he's ever used a
> > > > transmitter?
> > >
> > >
> > > I doubt if he can fit it into his tight schedule. He has far too much
> > > "akc" work to do... no time for playing about with things like radios.
> >
> > > Regards,
> >
> > > Peter.
> >
> > lol who cares what you think Peter Player.
> >
> >
>
> Fred (boy george), your docked a days pay for
> trolling on the job! yabba dabba yadi yada....
> Mr. Slate
>
>

jicon_101
```

404. Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 18:56:23 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <m3dupuk2nkn6ohcrge4c9d9fke6bdro420@4ax.com>
Source record: 139184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of all American mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.

Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.

* *
In case you didn't already know...

Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

For product questions or additional details
on what we offer, please email us directly at:

info@cbcintl.com

73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"
****
CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

405. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 19:11:04 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9f3782.502618@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139185

In <20021005091606.03581.00008865@mb-cr.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
(Timbo Nebo) wrote:

>thats great if you live on a farm

You mean 160 meters won't work anywhere but on a farm? Why is that,
Timmy? Haven't you ever heard of anyone running 160 meters mobile?
Check this out:

www.cet.com/~yeti/160amtx1.gif
www.cet.com/~yeti/160amtx2.gif
www.cet.com/~yeti/160amtx3.gif

Go smoke your dope, Timmy.

406. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 19:16:21 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9f39a4.1048573@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139186

In <anm2ontuv1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "jason"
<hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote:

>i have just purchased a used scope and have heard they could be used to test
>cb's and antenas, my only problem is, i dont know how, can anyone give me
>any info or point me towards a website that can??
>
>Thanks Very Much
>
>Jason

Radio Shack used to have a very easy-to-read primer called "Realistic
Guide to Oscilloscopes" by Middleton. If they don't have it any more I
would suggest going to your local public library and finding something
similar.

407. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 19:40:39 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9f3da2.2069848@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139188

In <3d9c3fbb.66111108@news.optonline.net>, chimbuba@optonline.net wrote:

<snip>
>So which would you say is more important Field Strength or SWR
>(reflection)???

Field strength, for the simple reason that it's a direct measurement of how much RF you are putting out. A tuned FSM is even better because it measures signal strength only on the desired frequency.

408. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 19:52:30 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210051152.4293e363@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210040531.23b1404c@posting.google.com>...
(snip)

Stil here, Steve?

-Aaron-

Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: Radio Bob go away, nothing is forgiven
Newsgroups: alt.radio.pirateView: Complete Thread (6 articles) |
Original FormatDate: 2002-02-07 08:08:12 PST

Nothing boring about the FRN. It's been a very useful site since you
were forced to leave for causing trouble and slandering people. And
just sos you know, theres only 1 administrator on the FRN. The owner.
He has a couple of people acting as moderators to keep things in line.
I've lurked in the UseNet groups for years, bobbie-girl.

From: Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: More Fresh Stinky Meat-This Troll SHOULD Die
Newsgroups: alt.troll-bustersView this article only
Date: 2002-02-20 18:16:05 PST

rbcn1@hotmail.com (Radio Bob) wrote in message
news:<3d34cfcd.0202181325.659e43a7@posting.google.com>...
> Please go feed on Richard Cranium, richcranus@yahoo.com (well at least
> he's got the dickhead part right) This gormless nobrainer has
> visciously attacked me at alt.radio.pirate and has furthered the
> attack by going far out of his way to implicate real and totally
> innocent persons near my hometown area. He has established a false
> email account under the name of: Sabrina_Frederic@hotmail.com where he
> also launches spew from. He's further made a false claim against me
> and had my primary email account cancelled. I'd go after him myself
> but am new at usenet ect...and not sure what to do other than to not
> respond to tolls. Pleased to find this group where possibly some good
> sam's may reside who might be inclined to assist. If anyone needs to
> contact me by email please post message here and I'll get back to you.
> Thanks

Excuse me, "Bobbie-girl", but if the claim against you was false, why
was your account cancelled? And what makes you think I send it?

You've been on the usenet for years, bobbie-girl, and anybody can do a
search to prove that. Just search for Radio Bob and/or rbcn1.

Still jamming the ham repeaters in Cornelia, GA?

TROLL!!

---

http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&threadm=SHFQ8.6628%24v51.349481114%40newssvr21.news.prodigy.com&rnum=1&prev=/groups%3Fq%3DRe:%2BXM%2Band%2BSirius%2Bin%2Bdeep%2Bfinancial%2Bproblems!%26hl%3Den%26lr%3D%26ie%3DUTF-8%26selm%3DSHFQ8.6628%2524v51.349481114%2540newssvr21.news.prodigy.com%26rnum%3D1

Message 73 in threadFrom: Radio Roger (radiorogerthat@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: XM and Sirius in deep financial problems!
Newsgroups: alt.radio.digitalView this article onlyDate: 2002-06-26
21:16:45 PST

Hi.

Take a moment to examine the following posts via Google (check out the
"NNTP-Posting-Host").

"Russell Todd":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=217b8ae4.0201220629.6412dff4%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain

(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=217b8ae4.0206260047.37f72794%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.127 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net]

"Jeri Ireland":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=39ca9149.0204150515.6f9deee3%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=2e055d29.0202201600.2e124762%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.29 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])

"Thomas Krapper":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=4adf2202.0204170331.4d9b6086%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=4adf2202.0204290150.384b811d%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.76 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])

"RadioBob" (Woody/Woodrum/Woodbury):
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=fe0dabe1.0206211202.708dd9fc%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=fe0dabe1.0204090049.5a15e26b%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.5 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])

"Sabrina Frederic":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=23e8cbcf.0201230359.14cb7e08%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=u9rrd2fskmo9d1%40corp.supernews.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.208.124.158 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net]

Lots of people joining the XM Radio debate from Virginia dialup, huh?
"Sabrina" your wife, "Russell"? Or the name you go by when trolling
for net sex?

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired
for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the
operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of
a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by
the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at
the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so
inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain
about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to
follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard
Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to
debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR

VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

409. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 20:08:19 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9f4092.2822650@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139191

In <9mlmpu4u5hnljbuhosra4uu447044viaf7@4ax.com>, IC <> wrote:

>On Tue, 24 Sep 2002 11:16:35 GMT, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
>(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>
>...
>
>>>I hold it off the ground because I was worried that changing weather
>>>(rain, snow) would change the capacitance, if it laid on the ground.
>>>I figured that once I tuned it (for my wife's favorite, but hard to
>>>pull in station), I wouldn't want to fiddle with it every time the
>>>weather changed.
>>
>>Capacitance is going to change quite a bit regardless. An antenna's
>>capacitance works against ground regardless of how high you put it
>>(unless it's a hertzian dipole), so your antenna needs to be retuned
>>whenever the ground changes. Unless you are in the desert or on the
>>ocean, you will need to change it often. But it's only two knobs, so
>>it shouldn't be that hard to teach the wife how to tune the AMU.
>
>Actually, I am in a very dry area (technically not desert, but we only
>get 20 inches of rainfall per year, and most of that happens during a
>period of about 2 weeks).

It changes.

>My wife can do amazing things with electronics (I'm being sarcastic).
>Sometimes I even have to hide the remote, just to prevent her from
>working herself into a red faced fury. It's so bad, she just avoids
>turning knobs, and calls me when she needs something adjusted.

Is she capable of tuning in her favorite station on the radio?

>>>>I think the resistance of
>>>>the wire is probably the biggest factor to consider for your
>>>>application. In fact, a resonant half-wave can work worse than a
>>>>shorter non-resonant antenna because of the resistance.
>>>
>>>I'll keep that in mind. If it doesn't perform, I'll consider cutting
>>>the wire short, just to see if that improves it.
>>
>>You can always reconnect it if the longer one works better.
>>
>>But I'm having a problem with why you are running a horizontal
>>antenna. AM BC signals are vertically polarized, and a 100' vertical
>>will probably work better than 300' slithering down a hillside. I

>>would even have to say that it will work MUCH better. A large loop
>>would probably work fine, too, and you don't have to run any radials.
>>
>
>I have a large piece of land on top of a hill. However, I do live in
>an area with an association that would frown on 100' verticals and
>large loops out in the open.

Do they have restrictions on flagpoles? And who said anything about
"large loops out in the open"? Are you planning on DXing submarines?
You can wind a very effective AM BC loop around a picture or window
frame. I have a neighbor that makes things called "dream-catchers"
that would be ideal for an inside loop. And you can't beat the
one-knob tuning.

>When I first started this antenna project, I considered winding a loop
>around wooden posts attached to the side of my home. It would have
>been less visible than one out in the open (especially if I matched
>the wire color to the house). However, a long wire running down the
>side of the hill, hidden by the natural brush, seemed easier and less
>visible.

Try the loop.

410. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 20:08:48 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021005160848.15322.00006972@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 139190

sure i have its just about as effective as using a walkie talkie on 11 meters
and its also the noisiest ham band of all

>ats great if you live on a farm
>
>You mean 160 meters won't work anywhere but on a farm? Why is that,
>Timmy? Haven't you ever heard of anyone running 160 meters mobile?

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

411. Re: Wilson 1000 placement and frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 20:11:59 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <Z_acnfmgYL8P2gKgXTWcqA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139192

"Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message
news:tfYm9.11735$Kg4.1202986@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Thanks

Your welcomed.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

412. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 20:21:06 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <lo6dnSRnNrMu1AKgXTWcqA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139193

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021003010626.391$gH@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> >>A 5 wpm morse code test, and a
> > couple of simple written exams will give you a General Class
license.
> >
> Great advice,Leland. When are you gonna follow it?

I don't care about chasing DX on HF, unlike the gentlemen I was
responding too, so I don't need the additional operational privileges
right now. Even the late Richard finally gave up needling me about it
when he realized I'm not kidding. Some day maybe you'll wise up too.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"We learn in time that it is not subjects which
are controversial, but the manner in which
we communicate about them and the
elements of personal blame we
add to them in anger."

413. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 20:30:18 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9f49ce.5186293@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139194

In <20021005160848.15322.00006972@mb-mn.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
(Timbo Nebo) wrote:

>sure i have its just about as effective as using a walkie talkie on 11 meters
>and its also the noisiest ham band of all

Since when are CB walkie-talkies reliable for 50 miles? Didn't you
even read the article? And aren't you the one that keeps complaining
about how the CB is so noisy? How is that any different than the noise
on 160 meters, except for the excellent ground-wave propogation?

414. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 20:58:37 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210051258.10128846@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139195

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote
(contradictions and nonsense snipped)

>Title 47 US Code Of Federal Regulations.
It's not marlarkey, Twist. It's the law. <<
>
>
>
>Some laws are outdated and obsolete, such as the one on
>the books that
>says you can't hang laundry on a Sunday within 250 feet of a church
in
>certain parts of Kansas. There exist a ton of such laws still on the
>books.

Outdated? Drop "Rabbit Ears" a postcard and ask him which outdated laws are responsible for his current problems. Or ask Frank Kluz, of Lancaster, OH who got a $5,000 NAL in August from the Detroit, MI District Office for using a CB linear which outdated laws took a bite out of his bank account. Or cruise thru a list of folks whose wallets are lighter thanks to these "outdated laws":

http://www.fcc.gov/eb/Public_Notices/Welcome.html

No, there are not FCC agents on every street corner. Yes, in many cases you'll be able to "get away" with violating CB rules and not get caught. But when you foster the idea that people should not obey federal regulations concerning CB because they are obsolete and outdated -- that's misinformation, plain and simple.

This thread started with my response to Lennie' Stover's post . I challenged his excuse that "we need linears to walk on people using linears". You stepped in, and within a few exchanges have taken us into the "Twistedhed Zone" where laws are obsolete because you say so. Oh well, I should have known better, LOL.

-Aaron-

415. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 20:59:41 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <9Pqcnaitrr0jzwKgXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139196

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d9e2013.3122486@news.cet.com...
> In <sGOm9.434825$5r1.19025813@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>, "Leland
C.
> Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >Wrong!!! Quoted from "Modern Electronic Circuit Design" by David J.
> >Comer, Published by - Addison Wesley 1976, pages 529-530.
> >
> >**********
> >The ac considerations are slightly different from those of the dc
> >case. With a given power supply voltage Vcc, the greatest
peak-to-peak
> >voltage that can be delivered to a resistive load is equal to Vcc,
and
> >the quiescent voltage must be chosen as Vceq = Vcc/2 for this
output
> >voltage to occur. Minimizing the value of RL will maximize the
power
> >delivered to the load. However, the minimum value of RL is
determined
> >by the collector current rating, as shown in Fig 12.2. The minimum
> >value of RL that will not result in excessive collector current is
> >
> >RL = Vcc / Imax
> >
> >If the quiescent voltage is Vceq=Vcc/2, the collector current will
be
> >Icq=Imax/2, and the ac power that can be delivered to the load is
> >
> >Pac = (Vpeak)^2 / (2*RL) = (Vcc)^2 / (8*RL)
> >
> >The circuit efficiency of an amplifier is a measure of the
> >effectiveness with which the stage converts the dc input power to
ac
> >output power. This efficiency is defined as
> >
> >N = (Pac / Ps) * 100
> >
> >where Ps is the power delivered by the dc power supply. Using this
> >equation for the resistive load stage gives
> >
> > (Vpeak)^2 / (2*RL)

> >N = ------------------------ * 100
> > Vcc*Icq
> >
> >Both ac power and source power depend on Icq. If Icq is less than
> >Imax/2, the peak voltage swing that can occur before cutoff is
> >Vpeak=Icq*RL; thus the circuit efficiency becomes
> >
> > Icq*RL
> >N = --------- * 100 for Icq less than or equal to Imax
/ 2
> > 2*Vcc
>
> Whoa! What happened with the denominator?

Work out the alagbra Yeti. You can do that can't you? If not I'll do
it for you. Substitute the term "Icq*RL" for the variable "Vpeak" in
the first equation for the stage efficency as below:

(Vpeak)^2 / (2*RL)
N = ------------------------ * 100 Original equation
Vcc*Icq

(Icq*RL)^2 / (2*RL)
N = ------------------------ * 100 Step #1 Substituting terms
Vcc*Icq

(Icq)^2 * (RL)^2 / (2*RL)
N = ------------------------ * 100 Step #2
Vcc*Icq

Icq * (RL)^2 / (2*RL)
N = ------------------------ * 100 Step #3 Canceling out Icq term
in the top and bottom
Vcc

Icq * RL / 2
N = ------------------------ * 100 Step #4 Canceling out RL term in
the top division
Vcc

Icq * RL / 2 Icq * RL 1
N = ------------------------ * 100 = ------------ * --------- Step
#5 Rearrange fractions
Vcc 2 Vcc

Icq * RL
N = ------------- * 100 Step #5 Now just simplifiy by multipling out.
2 * Vcc

>
> >The efficiency is seen to increase as Icq increases up to the point

> >where Icq=Imax/2. Above this value of current, the peak voltage
swing
> >is limited by the saturation of the transistor. As Icq increases
above
> >Imax/2, the maximum possible voltage swing decreases and the input
> >source power increases. Therefore we conclude that maximum
efficiency
> >occurs when Icq=Imax/2. This value of efficiency is
> >
> > (Vcc)^2 / (8*RL)
> >Nmax = ------------------- * 100
> > Vcc*(Imax / 2)
> >
> >Since Imax = Vcc / 2
>
> Wrong. Imax = (Vcc / 2) / RL

That's a typepo my part. You do understand that the maximinum current
has to be as shown below I hope. It's simple Ohm's law. I'm suprised
you got it wrong too, unless that was also a typepo on your part. The
correct line should be :

Since Imax = Vcc/RL.

>
> >Nmax = 25 percent
>
> Try again. Take your Nmax equation and drop in some correct figures:
>
> Vcc = 180 volts
> RL = 100 ohms
> Therefore, Imax = (180 / 2) / 100 = 0.9 amps; and
>
> (180)^2 / (8*100) 40.5 watts
> Nmax = ---------------- = ---------- = 50%
> 180 * (0.9 / 2) 81 watts
>

OK.

Vcc = 180 volts
RL = 100 ohms

Therefore, Imax = (180/100) = 1.80 amps, and

(180)^2 / (8*100) 40.5 watts
Nmax = ------------------- = ------------- = 25% as I stated before.
180 * (1.80 / 2) 162 watts

When the corrected value for Imax is used the efficiency is as I
stated. The common mistake people make is forgetting how to handle the

current question.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

416. Re: Anybody familiar ?? Cobra XL-450

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 21:10:58 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <lalupu4igc97d17nl3o5t7tvjn95urtgef@4ax.com>
Source record: 139197

TNX!!

On 05 Oct 2002 13:18:18 GMT, timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote:

>its a good 4 pill from mexico. if you want to sound crystal clear get you about
>a 2-3 watt key into it from a radio that doesnt swing like crazy and youll
>sound like broadcast
>
>
>
>>
>>
>>Cobra XL-450
>>
>>anybody familiar with this amp,what I need to know is if it is a high
>>drive or if it's your standard 4 watt driver - if it is how much
>>drive will it take? I know it's close to the old Magnum 2000's but
>>that's all the info I have on it....
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
>http://www.TimNebo.com

417. Re: Anybody familiar ?? Cobra XL-450

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 21:11:59 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <kclupuomqqoab70jsups54sqqtrgat247v@4ax.com>
Source record: 139198

appreciated

On Sat, 5 Oct 2002 13:07:49 -0400, "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net>
wrote:

>http://www.cbworldinformer.com/200112/cobra_xl450.htm
>you're welcome......

418. Re: Chimney mounts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 21:15:39 UTC
From: Spell Checker <SC@webster.com>
Message-ID: <3D9F567B.39536F57@webster.com>
Source record: 139199

Do you mean "MAST" and "POLE" for "mass" and "poll"?

> John Medica - System administrator wrote:
>
> Installed 10 ft. mass /w Smoking Gunn II
>
> Durham radio (www.durhamradio.ca) sells chimney mounts #9067 $29
> Available with 18 ft stainless steel straps. Use up to 1 1/2 mass
> Bad mistake!
>
> At 30 khm winds - mounts gave in and then the poll.
>
> --
> John Medica
> System Administrator
> Listening-Post
> Toronto Ontario Canada
>
> Moderator Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
> http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg

419. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 21:24:33 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <g8udnYRin-APxQKgXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139200

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d9e9b1f.34622303@news.cet.com...
> Yeah, I goofed again. So I'll just elaborate on the theory and quit
> making a mockery of mathematics:

There is NO mockery of mathematics. A simple typo on my part has been
fixed in another post. The results are as I claim, 25 percent.

> NOW is the time for a little math. Given: Vcc = 180 volts and RL =
100
> ohms. For a square wave:

We are NOT talking about a SQUARE WAVE Yeti. If we were talking about
a sinewave then:

> Vrms = Vpeak = 90 volts

Oops Vrms = Vpeak/sqrt(2). I though they covered this stuff in High
School electronics?

Vrms = 90/sqrt(2)

> Ipeak = 180 / 100 = 1.8 amps

Should be Imax, but I'll let it go.

> Icq = Ipeak / 2 = 0.9 amps
> Irms = Ipeak - Icq = 1.8 - 0.9 = 0.9 amps

Oops noway are you getting away with this voodoo! Irms =
Ipeak/sqrt(2).

Irms = 0.9/sqrt(2)

> Pin = Vcc * Icq = 180 * 0.9 = 162 watts

OK

> Pout = Vrms * Irms = 90 * 0.9 = 81 watts

No, Pout = (90/sqrt(2)) * (0.9/sqrt(2) = 40.5 watts

>
> For a sine wave:

Now you decided to talk about sinewaves instead of square waves? Make
up your mind.

>
> Vrms = 0.707(Vpeak) = 63.63 volts

> Ipeak = 180 / 100 = 1.8 amps
> Icq = Ipeak / 2 = 0.9 amps
> Irms = 0.707(Ipeak - Icq) = 0.6363 amps
> Pin = Vcc * Icq = 180 * 0.9 = 162 watts
> Pout = Vrms * Irms = 40.5 watts
>
> So a Class A amp can convert no more than 50% of it's input power
into
> a square wave (maximum theoretical efficiency)

You have not shown anything in the way of a proof. And your number is wrong anyway.

>, but no more than 25%
> into a sine.
>
> Now THAT should clear up this whole discussion!

The whole discussion was about sine waves. All you did was confirm my statement about the amplifier is no more than 25% efficient with a sine wave. If you want to talk about square waves the efficiency can be over 90 percent. That analysis is based on the EXACT "square wave" in question because of the speed with which it transitions from one state to the other, and also IF the amplifier is driven in to cut off or saturation.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

420. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 21:25:38 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <4IKdnZN0coFOxQKgXTWc3A@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139201

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d9ec686.45733630@news.cet.com...
> One additional note: Maximum sine-wave efficiency can be increased
to
> above 25% by the use of an inductive load (or tank) with low or
> negligible DC resistance. Max is still limited to 50%.

Ah, no once again.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

>

421. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 21:26:21 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210051326.28702c36@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139202

"jason" <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<anm2ontuv1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>...
> i have just purchased a used scope and have heard they could be used to test
> cb's and antenas, my only problem is, i dont know how, can anyone give me
> any info or point me towards a website that can??
>
> Thanks Very Much
>
> Jason

http://website.lineone.net/~colin_mccord/Radio/oscilloscope.htm

-A

422. Re: Larson NMO27 headaches....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 21:35:46 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <SWIn9.4694$k_2.312528@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139203

sounds like grounding problems

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

George Kinzer

Those who want to be successful, will find a way,
those that don't, will find an excuse!

423. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 21:37:18 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9f5837.8875715@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139204

In <9Pqcnaitrr0jzwKgXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

<snip>
>> Whoa! What happened with the denominator?
>
>--
>Work out the alagbra Yeti. You can do that can't you? If not I'll do
>it for you. Substitute the term "Icq*RL" for the variable "Vpeak" in
>the first equation for the stage efficency as below:
>
> (Vpeak)^2 / (2*RL)
>N = ----------------------- * 100 Original equation
> Vcc*Icq
>
> (Icq*RL)^2 / (2*RL)
>N = ----------------------- * 100 Step #1 Substituting terms
> Vcc*Icq
>

Icq * RL = Vcq, not Vpeak. Vpeak = (Ipeak - Icq) * RL

<snip>
>--
>That's a typepo my part. You do understand that the maximinum current
>has to be as shown below I hope. It's simple Ohm's law. I'm suprised
>you got it wrong too, unless that was also a typepo on your part. The
>correct line should be :
>
>Since Imax = Vcc/RL.
>--

Mine wasn't a typo, it was a mistake because I missed that your power
equation was for power of a sine wave. I cleared that up in my other
post.

424. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 21:45:13 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <J3Jn9.4703$k_2.312693@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139205

sounds like your a hit and miss, hookem up as is, both pluses, both negs -
monitor voltages, enjoy..
guys, with these being of equal voltage and amps...wheres the problem except
your egos.
USE fuses in your output wires, not going to blow up anything...

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

George Kinzer

Those who want to be successful, will find a way,
those that don't, will find an excuse!

425. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 22:13:32 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9f5f26.10649902@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139206

In <g8udnYRin-APxQKgXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
>news:3d9e9b1f.34622303@news.cet.com...
>> Yeah, I goofed again. So I'll just elaborate on the theory and quit
>> making a mockery of mathematics:
>
>There is NO mockery of mathematics. A simple typo on my part has been
>fixed in another post. The results are as I claim, 25 percent.

Relax and understand that I was admitting my mathematical error.

>> NOW is the time for a little math. Given: Vcc = 180 volts and RL =
>100
>> ohms. For a square wave:
>
>We are NOT talking about a SQUARE WAVE Yeti. If we were talking about
>a sinewave then:

Maybe you didn't read the whole post before you started your comments,
and didn't see that I address a sine wave in the next section. But you
still could have edited it afterwards.

>> Vrms = Vpeak = 90 volts
>
>Oops Vrms = Vpeak/sqrt(2). I though they covered this stuff in High
>School electronics?

For a square wave, Vpeak = Vrms, and they DID cover that in High
School electronics. Maybe you were sick at home that day...

>> Ipeak = 180 / 100 = 1.8 amps
>
>Should be Imax, but I'll let it go.

Agreed.

>> Icq = Ipeak / 2 = 0.9 amps
>> Irms = Ipeak - Icq = 1.8 - 0.9 = 0.9 amps
>
>Oops noway are you getting away with this voodoo! Irms =
>Ipeak/sqrt(2).

Again, this is a square wave, not a sine wave.

>> Pin = Vcc * Icq = 180 * 0.9 = 162 watts
>
>OK
>
>> Pout = Vrms * Irms = 90 * 0.9 = 81 watts
>
>No, Pout = (90/sqrt(2)) * (0.9/sqrt(2) = 40.5 watts

If it were a sine wave that would be true, as I have already
explained.

>> For a sine wave:
>
>Now you decided to talk about sinewaves instead of square waves? Make
>up your mind.

....

>> Vrms = 0.707(Vpeak) = 63.63 volts
>> Ipeak = 180 / 100 = 1.8 amps
>> Icq = Ipeak / 2 = 0.9 amps
>> Irms = 0.707(Ipeak - Icq) = 0.6363 amps
>> Pin = Vcc * Icq = 180 * 0.9 = 162 watts
>> Pout = Vrms * Irms = 40.5 watts
>>
>> So a Class A amp can convert no more than 50% of it's input power
>into
>> a square wave (maximum theoretical efficiency)
>
>You have not shown anything in the way of a proof. And your number is
>wrong anyway.

Which one? The one for the square wave or for the sine wave? Well, it
can't be wrong for the sine wave because you came up with the exact
same number. And the number was correct for a square wave before you
turned it into a sine wave problem.

>>, but no more than 25%
>> into a sine.
>>
>> Now THAT should clear up this whole discussion!
>
>The whole discussion was about sine waves.

The whole discussion was about the maximum theoretical efficiency of a
Class A amplifier.

> All you did was confirm my
>statement about the amplifier is no more than 25% efficient with a
>sine wave. If you want to talk about square waves the efficiency can
>be over 90 percent. That analysis is based on the EXACT "square wave"
>in question because of the speed with which it transitions from one
>state to the other, and also IF the amplifier is driven in to cut off
>or saturation.

I would sure like to see your numbers on how you can get >90%
efficiency from a square wave, especially when I have already posted
the correct numbers and it comes out to 50% max. I would also like
your comments on my verbose explanation which you snipped.

426. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 22:15:23 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9f642d.11936850@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139207

>Icq * RL = Vcq, not Vpeak. Vpeak = (Ipeak - Icq) * RL

I'll correct this for proper terminology:

Vpeak = (Imax - Icq) * RL

427. Need Short(ish) Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 22:17:57 UTC
From: John Shirley <esthermofet@msn.com.spamsucks>
Message-ID: <KBJn9.95$Rb4.2935@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 139208

What's the shortest antenna that will fit into a standard 3/8"-24 threaded mount?

I've got a good Firestik on the center of the roof of my truck, but I need to keep removing it to fit into a garage... and the 2' FSII is four bloody inches too tall. I thought about just going without an antenna on it whenever using that garage, but I'm worried that I'll forget that it's been removed and then key up and fry the radio.

Anyone have any super short GP antenna recommendations?

Thanks.

428. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 22:18:06 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9f6513.12167205@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139209

In <c9ceae5b.0210051326.28702c36@posting.google.com>,
ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:

>"jason" <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<anm2ontuv1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>...
>> i have just purchased a used scope and have heard they could be used to test
>> cb's and antenas, my only problem is, i dont know how, can anyone give me
>> any info or point me towards a website that can??
>>
>> Thanks Very Much
>>
>> Jason
>
>http://website.lineone.net/~colin_mccord/Radio/oscilloscope.htm
>
>-A

Good site!

429. Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 22:21:00 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <kepupughq0glhbpkil8lhah6af2fg4kudk@4ax.com>
Source record: 139210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of all American mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.

Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.

* *
In case you didn't already know...

Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

For product questions or additional details
on what we offer, please email us directly at:

info@cbcintl.com

73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"
****
CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

430. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 22:30:18 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9f664c.12479838@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139211

In <J3Jn9.4703$k_2.312693@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "George Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>sounds like your a hit and miss, hookem up as is, both pluses, both negs -
>monitor voltages, enjoy..
>guys, with these being of equal voltage and amps...wheres the problem except
>your egos.

If I have an ego (and I do), it comes from plenty of experience in the matter. I suggest you try an experiment: Parallel two regulated power supplies, put them under a variable load and monitor the current at the load. I can guarantee you that one of these supplies will pop it's breaker before the load draws even half the expected maximum current.

>USE fuses in your output wires, not going to blow up anything...

...except a lot of fuses.

431. Schematic needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 22:35:30 UTC
From: Mike Scott <buzzards@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <2aHn9.16442$GF5.827105@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 139187

Hi everyone, I just aquired an International Crystal Executive ctz-100 that is inop. I need a schematic for this unit. Any ideas?
Thank you.

432. Re: Need Short(ish) Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 22:35:53 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9f685d.13009700@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139212

In <KBJn9.95$Rb4.2935@eagle.america.net>, "John Shirley"
<esthermofet@msn.com.spamsucks> wrote:

>What's the shortest antenna that will fit into a standard 3/8"-24 threaded
>mount?
>
>I've got a good Firestik on the center of the roof of my truck, but I need
>to keep removing it to fit into a garage... and the 2' FSII is four bloody
>inches too tall. I thought about just going without an antenna on it
>whenever using that garage, but I'm worried that I'll forget that it's been
>removed and then key up and fry the radio.
>
>Anyone have any super short GP antenna recommendations?
>
>Thanks.

I suppose you have already tried a spring. There are quick-disconnect
and folding attachments available. You still need to get out of the
truck, but it's easier than screwing it in and out all the time. I
would recommend this over a shorter antenna.

433. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 22:54:50 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9f6d78.14315871@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139213

```
Corrected for terminology:

Given: Vcc = 180 volts and RL = 100 ohms.

For a square wave:

Vrms = Vpeak = 90 volts
Imax = 180 / 100 = 1.8 amps
Icq = (Vcc / 2) / RL = 0.9 amps
Irms = Imax - Icq = 1.8 - 0.9 = 0.9 amps
Pin = Vcc * Icq = 180 * 0.9 = 162 watts
Pout = Vrms * Irms = 90 * 0.9 = 81 watts

For a sine wave:

Vrms = 0.707(Vpeak) = 63.63 volts
Imax = 180 / 100 = 1.8 amps
Icq = (Vcc / 2) / RL = 0.9 amps
Irms = 0.707(Imax - Icq) = 0.6363 amps
Pin = Vcc * Icq = 180 * 0.9 = 162 watts
Pout = Vrms * Irms = 40.5 watts
```

434. Re: Chimney mounts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 23:01:48 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3D9F6F5C.9C98E5C3@cwis.net>
Source record: 139214

John,
For a chimney mount, two straps/brackets are the
minimum you should use. You also want to take care
about how much mast you use to hold up the antenna.
Chemnies(?) were never built to withstand the 'side or
twisting' forces you'll have with a very tall mast.
Good luck...
'Doc

435. Re: midland cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 23:15:22 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <eoKn9.3925$Ag4.3671@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 139215

Hello Jason , ok take the wires on pins 3+4 and reverse them on the mike plug , if that doesn't well then your crap out of luck

--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"jason" <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:ann3qd$v71$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> Hate to keep bothering yall, but i went out and got a mic that is supposed
> to fit most midland cbs and im still having about the same problem with my
> Midland Model 13-898B. It seems to be backwards, when i key the mic, the
> standing wave meter goes dead and i can hear static and transmissions, when
> i let it go, it seems to be transmitting. I took the cover off and just
> looked, there is one pin that is not even used one wire goes to others and 2
> wires go to one pin, the connections seem to be sound. Maybe does this
> thing require a special base station mic? Like i say, i hate to keep
> bothering you folks with questions but im trying to get this thing up and
> running.
>
> Thanks For Any Info,
>
> Jason
>
>

436. Re: Larson NMO27 headaches....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 23:17:00 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <MpKn9.3926$Ag4.1348@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 139216

Hay the trunklide my not be grounded to the rest of the car
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"JasonG" <jgoldring@99istop.com> wrote in message
news:3d9f2985$1@news.eol.ca...
> I have a Larsen NMO27 antenna on a TMB mount (L-Bracket screwed to
> the trunk gutter). I cut the whip according to the tuning sheet and I
can't
> seem to get the darn thing below 2.5:1 SWR. It also seems to be really
> narrow-banded. From 1 to 40 I go from 2.5 to 3.5
>
> Anyone else have similar problems?
> Is their an easy fix or am I missing something here?
>
> (SWR measurements done with a Daiwa CN801 meter & a stock Prostar 400
radio
> with a brand new Larsen Coil, Mount & NMO cable assembly)
>
> Thanks,
> Jay.
>
>
>
> --
> ---
> I hate spam. Please take away the
> ninety-nine from my address when
> replying. Thanks!
> ---
>
>

437. Re: Allan R Fallas of British Columbia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 23:17:48 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <wqKn9.3927$Ag4.1297@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 139217

Hay $ can buy anything
"gw" <gw@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:235a74db.0210050708.77cd6620@posting.google.com...
> This guy is a major fraud and it would be in your best interest to not
> deal with him in any way , shape or form. He is a complete ripoff and
> does real harm to the ham radio community. Somehow he retains a ham
> radio advanced license. God knows why.

438. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 23:19:17 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <VrKn9.3928$Ag4.1147@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 139218

Hay Jason are you in western ny?
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"jason" <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:anm2ontuv1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> i have just purchased a used scope and have heard they could be used to
test
> cb's and antenas, my only problem is, i dont know how, can anyone give me
> any info or point me towards a website that can??
>
> Thanks Very Much
>
> Jason
>
>

439. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 23:29:26 UTC
From: "Alan S." <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns929EA7BF8EA96rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 139219

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in
news:3d9f664c.12479838@news.cet.com:

> In <J3Jn9.4703$k_2.312693@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "George
> Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>>sounds like your a hit and miss, hookem up as is, both pluses, both
>>negs - monitor voltages, enjoy..
>>guys, with these being of equal voltage and amps...wheres the problem
>>except your egos.
>
> If I have an ego (and I do), it comes from plenty of experience in the
> matter. I suggest you try an experiment: Parallel two regulated power
> supplies, put them under a variable load and monitor the current at
> the load. I can guarantee you that one of these supplies will pop it's
> breaker before the load draws even half the expected maximum current.
>
>>USE fuses in your output wires, not going to blow up anything...
>
> ...except a lot of fuses.
>

I've got to say this: Though alot of people hate the constant bickering
and long technical threads, I've come to enjoy them. I've gained alot of
knowledge in the last few months reading this newsgroup. The fact that
there are some here who take the time to share their knowledge and
experiences with others is fantastic. I'm hard pressed to think of any
other time I've been able to gain so much free of charge.

Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

440. Re: Need Short(ish) Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-05 23:50:40 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9f7a63.17623345@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139220

In <0utupugsb09o1bn7jsmmr3ru8poh84ptni@4ax.com>, kwthom@munged.com
wrote:

<snip>
>>I suppose you have already tried a spring. There are quick-disconnect
>>and folding attachments available. You still need to get out of the
>>truck, but it's easier than screwing it in and out all the time. I
>>would recommend this over a shorter antenna.
>
>I'd have to echo this; but if the existing setup already has a spring;
>you'll really have some matching issues with a QD connection.

Got a hacksaw?

441. Re: Larson NMO27 headaches....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 00:07:57 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <x9Ln9.51646$xI5.10705@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 139221

Jay..........the trunk is metallic, isn't it? I used the Larsen with great results, but I had it mounted permanently.

Bill

"JasonG" <jgoldring@99istop.com> wrote in message news:3d9f2985$1@news.eol.ca...
> I have a Larsen NMO27 antenna on a TMB mount (L-Bracket screwed to
> the trunk gutter). I cut the whip according to the tuning sheet and I can't
> seem to get the darn thing below 2.5:1 SWR. It also seems to be really
> narrow-banded. From 1 to 40 I go from 2.5 to 3.5
>
> Anyone else have similar problems?
> Is their an easy fix or am I missing something here?
>
> (SWR measurements done with a Daiwa CN801 meter & a stock Prostar 400 radio
> with a brand new Larsen Coil, Mount & NMO cable assembly)
>
> Thanks,
> Jay.
>
>
>
> --
> ---
> I hate spam. Please take away the
> ninety-nine from my address when
> replying. Thanks!
> ---
>
>

442. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 00:27:53 UTC
From: BuschWhacker <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <dsLn9.80409$8o3.2082500@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0210051152.4293e363@posting.google.com...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210040531.23b1404c@posting.google.com>...
> (snip)
>
> Stil here, Steve?
>
> -Aaron-
>
>

You're not trolling, are you Aaron? Nah, no way. I won't believe it! LOL!!!!

> ---
>
> Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
> Subject: Re: Radio Bob go away, nothing is forgiven
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.pirateView: Complete Thread (6 articles) |
> Original FormatDate: 2002-02-07 08:08:12 PST
>
> Nothing boring about the FRN. It's been a very useful site since you
> were forced to leave for causing trouble and slandering people. And
> just sos you know, theres only 1 administrator on the FRN. The owner.
> He has a couple of people acting as moderators to keep things in line.
> I've lurked in the UseNet groups for years, bobbie-girl.
>
> ---

> From: Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
> Subject: Re: More Fresh Stinky Meat-This Troll SHOULD Die
> Newsgroups: alt.troll-bustersView this article only
> Date: 2002-02-20 18:16:05 PST
>
> rbcn1@hotmail.com (Radio Bob) wrote in message
news:<3d34cfcd.0202181325.659e43a7@posting.google.com>...
> > Please go feed on Richard Cranium, richcranus@yahoo.com (well at least
> > he's got the dickhead part right) This gormless nobrainer has
> > visciously attacked me at alt.radio.pirate and has furthered the
> > attack by going far out of his way to implicate real and totally
> > innocent persons near my hometown area. He has established a false
> > email account under the name of: Sabrina_Frederic@hotmail.com where he
> > also launches spew from. He's further made a false claim against me
> > and had my primary email account cancelled. I'd go after him myself

> > but am new at usenet ect...and not sure what to do other than to not
> > respond to tolls. Pleased to find this group where possibly some good
> > sam's may reside who might be inclined to assist. If anyone needs to
> > contact me by email please post message here and I'll get back to you.
> > Thanks
>
> Excuse me, "Bobbie-girl", but if the claim against you was false, why
> was your account cancelled? And what makes you think I send it?
>
> You've been on the usenet for years, bobbie-girl, and anybody can do a
> search to prove that. Just search for Radio Bob and/or rbcn1.
>
> Still jamming the ham repeaters in Cornelia, GA?
>
> TROLL!!
>
> ---

>
>
>
>
http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&threadm=SHFQ8.6628%24v51.
349481114%40newssvr21.news.prodigy.com&rnum=1&prev=/groups%3Fq%3DRe:%2BXM%2B
and%2BSirius%2Bin%2Bdeep%2Bfinancial%2Bproblems!%26hl%3Den%26lr%3D%26ie%3DUT
F-8%26selm%3DSHFQ8.6628%2524v51.349481114%2540newssvr21.news.prodigy.com%26r
num%3D1
>
>
> Message 73 in threadFrom: Radio Roger (radiorogerthat@yahoo.com)
> Subject: Re: XM and Sirius in deep financial problems!
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.digitalView this article onlyDate: 2002-06-26
> 21:16:45 PST
>
> Hi.
>
> Take a moment to examine the following posts via Google (check out the
> "NNTP-Posting-Host").
>
> "Russell Todd":
>
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=217b8ae4.0201220629.6412dff4%40posting.
google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
>
> (NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])
>
>
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=217b8ae4.0206260047.37f72794%40posting.
google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
> (NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.127 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net]

>
>
> "Jeri Ireland":
>
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=39ca9149.0204150515.6f9deee3%40posting.
google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
> (NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])
>
>
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=2e055d29.0202201600.2e124762%40posting.
google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
> (NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.29 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])
>
>
> "Thomas Krapper":
>
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=4adf2202.0204170331.4d9b6086%40posting.
google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
> (NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])
>
>
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=4adf2202.0204290150.384b811d%40posting.
google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
> (NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.76 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])
>
>
> "RadioBob" (Woody/Woodrum/Woodbury):
>
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=fe0dabe1.0206211202.708dd9fc%40posting.
google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
> (NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])
>
>
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=fe0dabe1.0204090049.5a15e26b%40posting.
google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
> (NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.5 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])
>
>
> "Sabrina Frederic":
>
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=23e8cbcf.0201230359.14cb7e08%40posting.
google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
> (NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])
>
>
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=u9rrd2fskmo9d1%40corp.supernews.com&oe=
UTF8&output=gplain
> (NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.208.124.158 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net]
>
>

> Lots of people joining the XM Radio debate from Virginia dialup, huh?
> "Sabrina" your wife, "Russell"? Or the name you go by when trolling
> for net sex?
> --
>
>
>
> From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
> Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
> "troll" not w-w!
> Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
> Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST
>
> Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
> afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
> badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
> in the first place to attack me.
>
> This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
> Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
> alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
> with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
> to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired
> for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the
> operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of
> a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by
> the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at
> the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so
> inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain
> about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to
> follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.
>
> Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard
> Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to
> debate anyone using his real name.
>
> --
>
> QRZ LISTING:
>
> KD4CXJ
> STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
> 1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
> VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
> USA

443. For twithed the pink muffin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 00:56:49 UTC
From: anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b12afcb0.0210051656.2c18a599@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139224

From: Twithead@webtv.net (Twithead@webtv.net)
Subject: Re: CBer's to be fined
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 1999/10/06
Everyone knows keyclown sissies on 11 meters are queens. So what? So we have our
superiors out there trying to convince us that we ought to change our ways and go hetero. Look, I,ll make it real simple 4 u mean hammies who know more about reality than I will ever comprehend. We know the risks, if we take the chance, most of us are ready to deal with the consequences should the AIDS set in. I have lust for MOST hams,but I achieve gay sex contacts on illegal CB channels
at a fraction of the intelligence it takes to be even a no coder. If I appeal to a fellow gayboy, all they have to do is knock on my door. We will work it out and have us a good ole time together, just like I do with my wife Dweebo.
I have been in the same area for quite some years and have no problems being as gay as I want. If I am sucking anyone who wants it, why the hell should you care WHAT I do? The bottom line is I am queer and you aint. Get a life. You guys that bitch about us gaybanders and "peaked" radios have way too much intelligence for me to comprehend. I don't need to slurp anymore than 30 guys where I am located and I get invites on 555 from all over the world......yes.. on the queerband. Nothng makes me quiver in lust more than one of you hammies to come in to my conversation and start
preaching about rules. God, I love to suck you squashers.

Gettin'
WAY-DOWN ON DWEEBO from the sandpile

444. Sound Bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 00:59:58 UTC
From: Scott <scott@ovis.net>
Message-ID: <iWLn9.49900$FO4.10768@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 139225

Does anyone know of a website I can go to that will let me download sound bytes? You know like "Tarzan, I feel good, The good, bad and the ugly" and so on?

Scott

445. No one lost any access, keyqueens...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 01:00:07 UTC
From: anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b12afcb0.0210051700.38df28b0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139226

You sissies better get together, cuddle up and tape up the hamsters,
cuz nobody lost anything...

Even if someone did, ISP's are like gay keyclowns...a dime a dozen.

446. Re: Antenna Suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 01:05:55 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upv35qssgc7m4e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139227

Bert, I had a wire antenna that wasn't beautiful - it varied in height from 20 to 50 feet high. It was several hundred feet of 8 gauge wire and there was no feedline. The antenna simply entered my bedroom on the 2nd floor and was fed against ground (several 8 foot ground rods in different places, plus the hot water heat pipe in the bedroom). I didn't have rf problems and the thing got out beautifully. Across the country on 160. Washington DC from Rochester NY at 1:00 PM on 160 (btw, power was limited by FCC regs to 25 watts at night and, I think, 75 watts during the daytime). Worldwide on 15 and 20. South Carolina running milliwatts (yes, the net heard me down there in STANDBY - spotting only. No final, no driver.). It was fun.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:1XDn9.14821$GF5.739023@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:28700-3D9E53C5-135@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > I haven't played with any wires in awhile and I have some very tall
> > trees around me in all directions.
>
> I gotta tell you, there's a definite sense of satisfaction that comes from
> building a good wire antenna and having it work really well. There are
> purist who insist on actually building the baluns themselves, which is
cool
> for them. (...and actually pretty easy.) I prefer the commercial stuff
> though. But if you really wanted to, you could put up a wire that'd come
> close to a two element yagi for practically pennies. I might do this for
my
> brother-in-law w an Extended Double Zepp for his CB station. Since we only
> use channels 36 through 40, I'll trim it for channel 38 and hoist it high.
> (His trees are about 100 ft. tall with good supporting limbs, free and
> clear, at approx. 70 ft., not bad.) Take it easy. :-)
>
> --
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>
>

447. Galaxy DX-55V Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 01:09:36 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021005210936.28531.00000125@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 139228

I bought this radio one year ago. It has only been used by me as a base station, has never been in a car. I had it professionally tuned up. It has Variable PWR from .5 to 8 watts and modulates to around 30. Modulation has been tuned up as well. This is a VERY loud radio and has alot of swing. I still have the Galaxy 55 Box, mounting brackets, power cord, the original stock hand mic, manuals, and whatever else it came with. I have it all. Has the freq counter, large eas to read meter and has Bands A thru F giving you 240 channels. I promise you will not be disappointed with this Galaxy. $170 OBO... Thanks for looking

Thatz-me-JP

448. Re: Larson NMO27 headaches....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 01:13:43 UTC
From: Wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <upv0j827fgm474@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139222

counnterpoise.....
carl / dee
[[
"JasonG" <jgoldring@99istop.com> wrote in message
news:3d9f2985$1@news.eol.ca...
> I have a Larsen NMO27 antenna on a TMB mount (L-Bracket screwed to
> the trunk gutter). I cut the whip according to the tuning sheet and I
can't
> seem to get the darn thing below 2.5:1 SWR. It also seems to be really
> narrow-banded. From 1 to 40 I go from 2.5 to 3.5
>
> Anyone else have similar problems?
> Is their an easy fix or am I missing something here?
>
> (SWR measurements done with a Daiwa CN801 meter & a stock Prostar 400
radio
> with a brand new Larsen Coil, Mount & NMO cable assembly)
>
> Thanks,
> Jay.
>
>
>
> --
> ---
> I hate spam. Please take away the
> ninety-nine from my address when
> replying. Thanks!
> ---
>
>

449. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 01:17:20 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210051717.37591f83@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"BuschWhacker" antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com wrote
>You're not trolling, are you Aaron? Nah, no way. I won't
>believe it! LOL!!!!

Hi Randy. Is trolling a troll considered trolling? :)

-A

--

> Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard
> Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to
> debate anyone using his real name.
>
> --
>
> QRZ LISTING:
>
> KD4CXJ
> STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
> 1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
> VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
> USA

450. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 01:41:15 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <upv5841g26iuce@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139230

Bob, there is some erroneous information in the follow-ups to your query. While it is true that, under proper conditions, you can talk for thousands of miles, this relies upon F layer propogation. No good for close distances. Sporadic E can reflect perhaps as close as 100 miles (and that IS sporadic, not reliable). 70 miles calls for one of two modes. Despite rumors to the contrary, ground wave does not exist at 27 MHz. The two methods of communication are: 1) line of site and 2) scatter. Scatter will require considerable amounts of power (illegal and if done on a continuing basis - ESPECIALLY from your home - may cause neighbors to complain. Not good). Line of site will work well at 12 watts pep, however you will need an area with few CBers around (I hope you are up in Alaska). Let's face it, with 70 miles between you and the person you want to talk with, a 100 mw walkie talkie half a mile away will wipe out your communications. Also, there is a problem of antenna height. Assuming you are on level ground (or over water) with no obstructions between stations, you each need a 35 mile range to radio horizon. This will call for an antenna height of (35 * 35)/2 = 1225/2 = 612.5 feet. Yep, if both antennas are 610 plus feet high, you will have communications. If you are lucky and one of you is sitting on top of a 2400 foot hill (like my former neighbor), then it is easy. With no obstructions, that 2400 foot hill has a 70 mile radio range. Of course, you still have the problem of other people on the channel. Depending upon what you want it for, you might be better off using a UHF licensed service or MURS. With a VHF or UHF licensed service and a high gain antenna, you may well make that 60 mile range via scatter - and you won't have interference. Otherwise, the only reliable way (and scatter is reliable as long as you aren't on the fringe) would be low frequency and using true ground wave. That is not available.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Bob" <prosper2040@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:ez6n9.16190$%P2.748632@news20.bellglobal.com...
>
> Ok, This is what I'm looking to do. I want reasonably reliable two way
> radio communications between two cities
> approximately 60 miles (100km) apart. Can this be done with currently
> available CB's ? Someone toldme it might be possible with SSB rigs
running
> their full legal wattage.
>
> Thanks.
>
>
>

451. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 02:13:29 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <ADudnWc-55gNOj2gXTWcpw@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139232

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d9f5f26.10649902@news.cet.com...
> >There is NO mockery of mathematics. A simple typo on my part has
been
> >fixed in another post. The results are as I claim, 25 percent.
>
> Relax and understand that I was admitting my mathematical error.

OK.

>
> >> NOW is the time for a little math. Given: Vcc = 180 volts and RL
=
> >100
> >> ohms. For a square wave:
> >
> >We are NOT talking about a SQUARE WAVE Yeti. If we were talking
about
> >a sinewave then:
>
> Maybe you didn't read the whole post before you started your
comments,
> and didn't see that I address a sine wave in the next section. But
you
> still could have edited it afterwards.

You're right, I just read and commented as I went along. There was never a mention that I remember in your prior posts that we were discussing square waves. The assumption all along, at least on my part, was sine waves.

> >You have not shown anything in the way of a proof. And your number
is
> >wrong anyway.
>
> Which one?

For a square wave.

> The one for the square wave or for the sine wave? Well, it
> can't be wrong for the sine wave because you came up with the exact
> same number.

That's right, 25 percent.

>And the number was correct for a square wave before you
> turned it into a sine wave problem.

See my comment above.

>
> >>, but no more than 25%
> >> into a sine.
> >>
> >> Now THAT should clear up this whole discussion!
> >
> >The whole discussion was about sine waves.
>
> The whole discussion was about the maximum theoretical efficiency of
a
> Class A amplifier.
>
> > All you did was confirm my
> >statement about the amplifier is no more than 25% efficient with a
> >sine wave. If you want to talk about square waves the efficiency
can
> >be over 90 percent. That analysis is based on the EXACT "square
wave"
> >in question because of the speed with which it transitions from one
> >state to the other, and also IF the amplifier is driven in to cut
off
> >or saturation.
>
> I would sure like to see your numbers on how you can get >90%
> efficiency from a square wave, especially when I have already posted
> the correct numbers and it comes out to 50% max.

A quick and simple explanation is all that is required. For a power device in saturation the voltage drop should be zero in the IDEAL case. Thus the power loss in the power device has to be zero since Vce = 0 and Pdevice = Vce * Icollector = 0 watts. For the power device in cut off Icollector = 0 and then again Pdevice = Vce * Icollector = 0 watts. For a PERFECT square wave the transition from high to low, or low to high, takes zero time, thus NO power is ever lost during the transition periods. Since there is only two possible states, and neither one results in power loss, the Max theatrical efficiency is 100%, no power was ever lost in the power device. Note It is generally considered that an amplifier operating with square waves only is not considered a "linear" amplifier any more, the classification for such "switch mode" amplifiers is called Class "D".

> I would also like
> your comments on my verbose explanation which you snipped.

The comments you get is what you see here. The theory has been very well established for nearly 80 to 90 years regarding the efficiency of the three main amplifier classes "A", "B", and "C". I also strongly suggest that you get a reputable text book on electronics that takes you though the development of the figures for the Max possible efficiency for the three classes.

For example:

Applied Electronics
A Publication of the Technology Press Massachusetts Institute of
Technology and
John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 1943
pages 423 to 429, "Maximum Power Output for Small-Amplitude Linear
Operation"

Electronics
Aldert Van Der Ziel
Published by Allyn and Bacon, Inc. 1966
pages 300 to 302, "Chapter 15 - Power Amplifiers"

Be careful about how the efficiency is being defined! Some authors
like to use collector, or plate conversion efficiency, while others
use total efficiency. Perhaps that is where the misunderstanding is
coming from?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

452. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 02:14:03 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <L%Mn9.5108$k_2.337649@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139231

monitor voltages....what part of this message did you not understand?
--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

�.��� ��)) -:�:-
�.�� .����))
George Kinzer
((��.�� ..��
-:�:- ((��
�.�

Those who want to be successful, will find a way,
those that don't, will find an excuse!

453. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 02:29:10 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <HbudnViGOqqTxwKgXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139233

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d9f5837.8875715@news.cet.com...
> In <9Pqcnaitrr0jzwKgXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
> <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >> Whoa! What happened with the denominator?
> >
> >---------------------------------------
> >Work out the alagbra Yeti. You can do that can't you? If not I'll
do
> >it for you. Substitute the term "Icq*RL" for the variable "Vpeak"
in
> >the first equation for the stage efficency as below:
> >
> > (Vpeak)^2 / (2*RL)
> >N = ----------------------- * 100 Original equation
> > Vcc*Icq
> >
> > (Icq*RL)^2 / (2*RL)
> >N = ----------------------- * 100 Step #1 Substituting terms
> > Vcc*Icq
> >
>
> Icq * RL = Vcq, not Vpeak. Vpeak = (Ipeak - Icq) * RL

Now if you stop and think for a second Yeti you will notice that Icq
<is in the middle of the load line>. So for the "ac componet ONLY" the
magnitude of Vpeak = Icq * RL, for the negative half wave of the sine,
OR Vpeak = (Ipeak - Icq) * RL, as you have it for the positive half
wave of the sine. But also note that the magnitude of (Ipeak - Icq) =
Icq for that case as well. So the original substition for the
MAGNITUDE of Vpeak is correct as I had typed it, Vpeak = Icq * RL.
There is nothing wrong with the math here. It sure would help if there
was a diagram to look at. That would help clear up some of the
confusion trying to keep a picture in one's mind as to what is
happening. Try drawing it out. That may help.

>
> <snip>
> >---
> >That's a typepo my part. You do understand that the maximinum
current
> >has to be as shown below I hope. It's simple Ohm's law. I'm
suprised

> >you got it wrong too, unless that was also a typepo on your part.
The
> >correct line should be :
> >
> >Since Imax = Vcc/RL.
> >---
>
> Mine wasn't a typo, it was a mistake because I missed that your
power
> equation was for power of a sine wave. I cleared that up in my other
> post.

OK. Lets just keep the discussion to sine waves. Makes it easy for
everybody to stay on the same page. 8-))

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

454. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 02:36:09 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9f99fd.1721624@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139235

In <upv5841g26iuce@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

<snip>
>...Despite
>rumors to the contrary, ground wave does not exist at 27 MHz.

Seems you have a beef.

Fact: Ground waves exist at all RF frequencies. The difference is that higher frequencies are absorbed much more easily than lower freqs. That limits the usable range of a ground wave for ANY frequency (RF power being equal). If that usable range is less than line-of-sight, it does NOT mean the ground-wave doesn't exist, just that it doesn't propogate very well. It also depends on the type of ground, some soils being much more lossy than others; such as sand as compared to heavily minerized soil. And who knows what was dumped at that superfund site near his house!

FYI, I tend to lump 'scatter' in with 'ground-wave' propogation, because for all PRACTICAL purposes it's the same thing -- radio propogation along the surface of the earth. And I'm not the only one that does it, because I hear the same thing while listening to hams on the air. Ok, so it's not politically correct. Regardless...,

If you are going to jump my shit about it while defending Timmy's claims of 50 kW mobiles, at least make sure that what he's hearing 60 miles away is actually scatter and not ground-waves. Because if I remember correctly (what I recently learned about scatter while studying for my license), it is usually a signal that tends to fade and distort quite a bit according to the phase interactions of the many reflections and refractions. And that doesn't sound like what Timmy was describing at all -- he claimed a loud, clear signal.

So unless you have some authoritative reference that says ground-waves don't exist at 27 MHz (which contradicts just about every textbook on electromagnetics ever written), I will stand by my conclusion that what Timmy was hearing was ground-wave propogation.

455. Re: Need Short(ish) Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 02:37:26 UTC
From: John Shirley <esthermofet@msn.com.spamsucks>
Message-ID: <0pNn9.131$Rb4.4681@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 139234

>
> I suppose you have already tried a spring. There are quick-disconnect

<insert dumb look here>

Nope, haven't tried a spring yet... or the quick disconnect. I've kinda considered both, but I'm worried about how it'll change the tuning--won't that increase the length of the antenna and cause problems with tuning?

> and folding attachments available. You still need to get out of the
> truck, but it's easier than screwing it in and out all the time. I
> would recommend this over a shorter antenna.
>

456. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 02:43:29 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <h4udnU_ZXpGVOQKgXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139237

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d9f6d78.14315871@news.cet.com...
> Corrected for terminology:
>
> Given: Vcc = 180 volts and RL = 100 ohms.
>
> For a square wave:
>
> Vrms = Vpeak = 90 volts
> Imax = 180 / 100 = 1.8 amps
> Icq = (Vcc / 2) / RL = 0.9 amps
> Irms = Imax - Icq = 1.8 - 0.9 = 0.9 amps
> Pin = Vcc * Icq = 180 * 0.9 = 162 watts
> Pout = Vrms * Irms = 90 * 0.9 = 81 watts

You know for a square wave the power device is either in cut off or in saturation so there is, theoretically, no power loss in the device, except at zero signal conditions. Thus Pin = Pout and N = 100 %, which agrees with the figures I see for switch mode amplifiers.

>
> For a sine wave:
>
> Vrms = 0.707(Vpeak) = 63.63 volts
> Imax = 180 / 100 = 1.8 amps
> Icq = (Vcc / 2) / RL = 0.9 amps
> Irms = 0.707(Imax - Icq) = 0.6363 amps
> Pin = Vcc * Icq = 180 * 0.9 = 162 watts
> Pout = Vrms * Irms = 40.5 watts

40.5
N = ------- * 100 = 25 percent
162

Ah, that looks much better for a sine wave. Just for your info using a Class "A" amplifier with a square wave input, and driving it to either cutoff or saturation, is not considered linear operation. Most people refer to a "switch mode" amplifier such as that as Class "D" now. This could also be another point of confusion. If one is going to use an amplifier like that, for square waves, then there is no point in biasing it for Class "A".

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"We cannot tell what may happen to us in the
strange medley of life. But we can decide
what happens in us - how we take it,
what we do with it - and that is what
really counts in the end."

Joseph Fort Newton

457. Re: Wilson 1000 placement and frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 02:46:30 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <h4udnU7ZXpGVOQKgXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139236

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:anhdfs$dq265$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de...
> Oh, now i'm a pirate type......are you sure i'm not a stereo type?

Only if there are two of you. 8-))

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

458. Re: Sound Bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 02:51:24 UTC
From: "Alan S." <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns929EC9F5F5953rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 139238

"Scott" <scott@ovis.net> wrote in news:iWLn9.49900$FO4.10768@sccrnsc03:

> Does anyone know of a website I can go to that will let me download
> sound bytes? You know like "Tarzan, I feel good, The good, bad and the
> ugly" and so on?
>
> Scott
>
>
>

Any of the peer to peer file sharing services will do. Kazaa is very
popular, and WinMX is my personal favorite.

http://www.kazaa.com
http://www.winmx.com

--

459. Re: Need Short(ish) Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 02:53:26 UTC
From: "Alan S." <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns929ECA4E6172Arrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 139239

"John Shirley" <esthermofet@msn.com.spamsucks> wrote in news:0pNn9.131
$Rb4.4681@eagle.america.net:

>>
>> I suppose you have already tried a spring. There are quick-disconnect
>
> <insert dumb look here>
>
> Nope, haven't tried a spring yet... or the quick disconnect. I've kinda
> considered both, but I'm worried about how it'll change the tuning--won't
> that increase the length of the antenna and cause problems with tuning?
>
A spring will probably change the tuning. Cut the antenna down with a
hacksaw....carefully. Just a little bit at a time.
--

460. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 02:57:33 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9fa275.3890008@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139240

In <ADudnWc-55gNOj2gXTWcpw@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

<snip>
>> I would sure like to see your numbers on how you can get >90%
>> efficiency from a square wave, especially when I have already posted
>> the correct numbers and it comes out to 50% max.
>
>A quick and simple explanation is all that is required. For a power
>device in saturation the voltage drop should be zero in the IDEAL
>case. Thus the power loss in the power device has to be zero since Vce
>= 0 and Pdevice = Vce * Icollector = 0 watts. For the power device in
>cut off Icollector = 0 and then again Pdevice = Vce * Icollector = 0
>watts. For a PERFECT square wave the transition from high to low, or
>low to high, takes zero time, thus NO power is ever lost during the
>transition periods. Since there is only two possible states, and
>neither one results in power loss, the Max theatrical efficiency is
>100%, no power was ever lost in the power device.

Again you are forgetting the difference between DC power and AC power.
As a DC amplifier, a Class A amp can be 100% efficient (theoretical,
of course). But AC power is still sitting on top of a DC component,
which takes 50% or more of the input power. The result is that max
efficiency for a square wave is still only 50%.

> Note It is generally
>considered that an amplifier operating with square waves only is not
>considered a "linear" amplifier any more, the classification for such
>"switch mode" amplifiers is called Class "D".

Class D amplifiers use PWM at a freq higher than the signal being
amplified and then the output is LP filtered. These can be linear and
usable for audio amps. Class E amplifiers use square waves at the
desired frequency and the output is BP filtered into sine waves. They
are only good for a single freq.

>> I would also like
>> your comments on my verbose explanation which you snipped.
>
>The comments you get is what you see here. The theory has been very
>well established for nearly 80 to 90 years regarding the efficiency of
>the three main amplifier classes "A", "B", and "C". I also strongly
>suggest that you get a reputable text book on electronics that takes
>you though the development of the figures for the Max possible
>efficiency for the three classes.

I have many. I usually just check my favorites but I just checked a few others, and they are all consistant with what I have said. I believe yours say the same thing, the difference being in our interpretations of this conversation. For example, the Comer reference you cited uses a power equation (Pac) for sine-waves only. It is not applicable to square, triangle, or even audio signals. I can understand this, as the conversation was oriented towards a Class A amp being used as a linear amplifier for RF (typically sinusoidal).

I would be interested if one of your references had a specific reference to the efficiency for a Class B push-pull.

<snip>
>Be careful about how the efficiency is being defined! Some authors
>like to use collector, or plate conversion efficiency, while others
>use total efficiency. Perhaps that is where the misunderstanding is
>coming from?

Plate conversion efficiency is AC to DC power applied at the plate, which is not the efficiency of the amplifier. So no, I have no misunderstanding there.

461. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 03:00:32 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <me9vpu4t24gam889hirqvohmm6l53hrs14@4ax.com>
Source record: 139241

On Thu, 3 Oct 2002 21:56:14 -0400, "Bob" <prosper2040@sympatico.ca>
wrote:

>
> Ok, This is what I'm looking to do. I want reasonably reliable two way
>radio communications between two cities
>approximately 60 miles (100km) apart. Can this be done with currently
>available CB's ? Someone toldme it might be possible with SSB rigs running
>their full legal wattage.
>
>Thanks.
>
>
The most important factor in determining reliability is the ambient
background noise. It must be held low. The ONLY way to do this
is through the use of a unused channel. Even better would be a
unused channel and slid down in frequency about 5 kc's. All this is
illegal, but who cares? SSB will most likely be a must too.

Other than the use of illegal clear channels any full size high
mounted antenna will do.

462. Re: Need Short(ish) Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 03:00:43 UTC
From: z26915@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021005230043.28531.00000126@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 139242

predator 10k flatside might be short enough.

463. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 03:05:09 UTC
From: "Alan S." <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns929ECC4A68B31rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 139244

"George Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote in news:L%Mn9.5108$k_
2.337649@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net:

>
> monitor voltages....what part of this message did you not understand?
>
That wasn't directed at me, was it?
--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

464. Re: Hey there, Mr. Blue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 03:05:23 UTC
From: z26915@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021005230523.28531.00000127@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 139243

hey Twist just a couple of days ago "Baby Blue" was born.So Tony may not have as much time for Dx'NG.
See ya

465. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 03:14:56 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9fa6d4.5009489@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139245

In <HbudnViGOqqTxwKgXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
>news:3d9f5837.8875715@news.cet.com...
>> In <9Pqcnaitrr0jzwKgXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
>> <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >> Whoa! What happened with the denominator?
>> >
>> >--
>> >Work out the alagbra Yeti. You can do that can't you? If not I'll
>do
>> >it for you. Substitute the term "Icq*RL" for the variable "Vpeak"
>in
>> >the first equation for the stage efficency as below:
>> >
>> > (Vpeak)^2 / (2*RL)
>> >N = ---------------------- * 100 Original equation
>> > Vcc*Icq
>> >
>> > (Icq*RL)^2 / (2*RL)
>> >N = ---------------------- * 100 Step #1 Substituting terms
>> > Vcc*Icq
>> >
>>
>> Icq * RL = Vcq, not Vpeak. Vpeak = (Ipeak - Icq) * RL
>
>Now if you stop and think for a second Yeti you will notice that Icq
><is in the middle of the load line>. So for the "ac componet ONLY" the
>magnitude of Vpeak = Icq * RL, for the negative half wave of the sine,
>OR Vpeak = (Ipeak - Icq) * RL, as you have it for the positive half
>wave of the sine.

Not quite the same, as the equation does not define the bottom half of
the sine as dropping to ground. Since we are assuming that the output
is swinging rail-to-rail, it's the same difference in this case.

> But also note that the magnitude of (Ipeak - Icq) =
>Icq for that case as well. So the original substition for the
>MAGNITUDE of Vpeak is correct as I had typed it, Vpeak = Icq * RL.

Again, they are equal because we are assuming full Vcc swing on the output. If that were not the case the two numbers could be very different.

>There is nothing wrong with the math here. It sure would help if there
>was a diagram to look at. That would help clear up some of the
>confusion trying to keep a picture in one's mind as to what is
>happening. Try drawing it out. That may help.

That's why I corrected my equations to reflect Imax instead of Ipeak.

>>
>> <snip>
>> >---
>> >That's a typepo my part. You do understand that the maximinum
>current
>> >has to be as shown below I hope. It's simple Ohm's law. I'm
>suprised
>> >you got it wrong too, unless that was also a typepo on your part.
>The
>> >correct line should be :
>> >
>> >Since Imax = Vcc/RL.
>> >---
>>
>> Mine wasn't a typo, it was a mistake because I missed that your
>power
>> equation was for power of a sine wave. I cleared that up in my other
>> post.
>
>OK. Lets just keep the discussion to sine waves. Makes it easy for
>everybody to stay on the same page. 8-))

Alrighty. Max efficiency of a Class A amp --when the signal is limited to a sine-wave-- is 25%; which, disregarding my poor math skills lately, is what I have already agreed upon.

466. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 03:30:38 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9faad2.6030545@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139246

In <h4udnU_ZXpGVOQKgXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
>news:3d9f6d78.14315871@news.cet.com...
>> Corrected for terminology:
>>
>> Given: Vcc = 180 volts and RL = 100 ohms.
>>
>> For a square wave:
>>
>> Vrms = Vpeak = 90 volts
>> Imax = 180 / 100 = 1.8 amps
>> Icq = (Vcc / 2) / RL = 0.9 amps
>> Irms = Imax - Icq = 1.8 - 0.9 = 0.9 amps
>> Pin = Vcc * Icq = 180 * 0.9 = 162 watts
>> Pout = Vrms * Irms = 90 * 0.9 = 81 watts
>
>You know for a square wave the power device is either in cut off or in
>saturation so there is, theoretically, no power loss in the device,
>except at zero signal conditions. Thus Pin = Pout and N = 100 %, which
>agrees with the figures I see for switch mode amplifiers.

AC power is still 50% of input power. The difference is that RL is
dissipating the full DC power as well as the AC power. At cutoff,
V(RL) = 0 volts and I(RL) = 0 amps, but at saturation V(RL) = Vcc and
I(RL) = Imax. All the power is being dissipated by RL, half as AC and
half as DC power.

>>
>> For a sine wave:
>>
>> Vrms = 0.707(Vpeak) = 63.63 volts
>> Imax = 180 / 100 = 1.8 amps
>> Icq = (Vcc / 2) / RL = 0.9 amps
>> Irms = 0.707(Imax - Icq) = 0.6363 amps
>> Pin = Vcc * Icq = 180 * 0.9 = 162 watts
>> Pout = Vrms * Irms = 40.5 watts
>
> 40.5
>N = ------- * 100 = 25 percent
> 162
>
>Ah, that looks much better for a sine wave. Just for your info using a

>Class "A" amplifier with a square wave input, and driving it to either
>cutoff or saturation, is not considered linear operation.

No kidding ;-)

> Most people
>refer to a "switch mode" amplifier such as that as Class "D" now. This
>could also be another point of confusion. If one is going to use an
>amplifier like that, for square waves, then there is no point in
>biasing it for Class "A".

I think I said the very same thing a few days ago. But I must amend my
comment because sometimes a square wave is exactly what you want. But
not for an RF power amp.

467. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 03:38:20 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210051938.1a464b42@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139247

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d9f6513.12167205@news.cet.com>...
> In <c9ceae5b.0210051326.28702c36@posting.google.com>,
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
>
> >"jason" <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<anm2ontuv1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>...
> >> i have just purchased a used scope and have heard they could be used to test
> >> cb's and antenas, my only problem is, i dont know how, can anyone give me
> >> any info or point me towards a website that can??
> >>
> >> Thanks Very Much
> >>
> >> Jason
> >
> >http://website.lineone.net/~colin_mccord/Radio/oscilloscope.htm
> >
> >-A
>
> Good site!

Kinda British tho. By the way, I accidentally deleted your last email
so you might want to resend it. Thanks. -A

468. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 03:43:17 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9fafa2.7263282@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139248

In <Xns929ECC4A68B31rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>, "Alan S."
<rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote:

>"George Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote in news:L%Mn9.5108$k_
>2.337649@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net:
>
>>
>> monitor voltages....what part of this message did you not understand?
>>
>That wasn't directed at me, was it?

I think it was in reply to my comments.

469. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 03:45:58 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d9fb157.7699551@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139249

In <L%Mn9.5108$k_2.337649@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "George Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>monitor voltages....what part of this message did you not understand?

What good is monitoring the voltages when the voltages are the same? You need to be monitoring the currents. And even if you do that, you are just going to see one supply working it's nuts off while the other one is kicking back with a cold brew (but isn't that the way it always happens?) The point is to prevent that from happening. I provided a solution. There are other solutions, such as modifying one regulator to track with the other, but it would be cheaper and easier to just buy a new 20A power supply. Or, just add a little resistance on the output of each supply. Too easy. It's so easy, in fact,...

I am really wondering why some people are having such a hard time understanding this. Haven't I explained both the problem and the solution several times, and in several different ways? Did I not describe a simple experiment that can be used to demonstrate the effect? So what's the problem?

470. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 03:47:36 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D9FB258.A1C83BEF@qnet.com>
Source record: 139250

Hello Jason:

Think of the Oscilloscope as a fancy Volt meter, because thats what it is.

Two major controls, the Sweep and the Voltage controls. The sweep will adjust the time the sweep is sent across the screen, letting you see different frequency signals. The Voltage control will allow you to set how high the signal will be seen on the scope's screen.

Connect the scopes leads to the speaker leads of a radio and play around with the settings, you will get a feel for the scopes controls, and get to see real time AC wave forms.

Heres a neat trick that most don't know about. The scope can display your RF Transmitted Signal. You don't need a scope with a 27 MHz sweep rate, just the audio frequencies will do, say 500 to 2000 Cycles Per Second sweep time. By wrapping a short piece of wire around the coax, and connecting to the scope input leads, you should be able to see the transmitted signal. You may have to adjust the voltage control to its min setting. But this will display your transmitted signal. You will see the RF envelopes and carrier. Now you can turn up the modulation and see what happens to the signal.

You will in time get the hand of using a scope. I know a lot of guys who have a separate receiver set up so that the receivers IF signal is displayed on the scope, monitoring all the local traffic. Whos over modulated and whos not. Whos running a amp, and whos not, whos signal look like crap and who is clean.

Give it try, and good luck.

Jay in the Mojave

Credentials:
Rock n Roll fan
CB radio enthusiast
Owner 1966 Ford F250 4x4 wipe out the ecology bitchen truck (no smog crap)
Once boy friend to Dinah down at the fillin station
Read a few books on electronics and antennas once.

jason wrote:
>
> i have just purchased a used scope and have heard they could be used to test
> cb's and antenas, my only problem is, i dont know how, can anyone give me
> any info or point me towards a website that can??

>
> Thanks Very Much
>
> Jason

471. Re: Larson NMO27 headaches....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 04:19:42 UTC
From: JasonG <jgoldring@99istop.com>
Message-ID: <1033877920.336455@ip195.istop.com>
Source record: 139251

Yup, trunk is metallic and grounded to the body of the car properly. The antenna beacket itself is attached to the car body, not the trunk. Just a simple "L" bracket with three stainless screws attaching it right to the body of the car.

I wondered about the ground and the connector. I checked the resistance from the L-Brack to the connector at the back of the radio and it was very low & acceptable...about 0.4 ohms. I also made sure that I did not have a short in the PL-259 (we have all run across those "scraggly" little braid hairs). The connector seemed fine. I even doubted the meter but it appeared to work fine on my base.

I will admit that the surroundings could have a role in this so I drove the car to the mall parking at around 12:30 at night. Nothing was near the car, not for at least 400 feet in every direction. SWR was still the same.

Still stumped.....

Jason.
"radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:x9Ln9.51646$xI5.10705@sccrnsc02...
> Jay..........the trunk is metallic, isn't it? I used the Larsen with
great
> results, but I had it mounted permanently.
>
> Bill
>
>
>
>
> "JasonG" <jgoldring@99istop.com> wrote in message
> news:3d9f2985$1@news.eol.ca...
> > I have a Larsen NMO27 antenna on a TMB mount (L-Bracket screwed to
> > the trunk gutter). I cut the whip according to the tuning sheet and I
> can't
> > seem to get the darn thing below 2.5:1 SWR. It also seems to be really
> > narrow-banded. From 1 to 40 I go from 2.5 to 3.5
> >
> > Anyone else have similar problems?
> > Is their an easy fix or am I missing something here?
> >
> > (SWR measurements done with a Daiwa CN801 meter & a stock Prostar 400
> radio
> > with a brand new Larsen Coil, Mount & NMO cable assembly)
> >

> > Thanks,
> > Jay.
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > ---
> > I hate spam. Please take away the
> > ninety-nine from my address when
> > replying. Thanks!
> > ---
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.393 / Virus Database: 223 - Release Date: 10/1/2002

472. Re: Where's Clyde #1? Re: ALL CB'ers ARE CRETINS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 04:49:51 UTC
From: "Mr. Slate" <who-cares@apathy.net>
Message-ID: <upvg8grsk11929@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139252

```
"Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote in message
news:nbGcnUZizc8QrAKgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
>
> --
>
> "Mr. Slate" <who-cares@apathy.net> wrote in message
> news:upu2j0g5h7t6c3@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote in message
> > news:CD6dnbSkpP3_mT2gXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com...
> > >
> > > "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
> > > news:anlftm$4nb$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20020929204223.246$uu@newsreader.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > ..He's the master of radio keyboard..wonder if he's ever used a
> > > > > transmitter?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > I doubt if he can fit it into his tight schedule. He has far too
much
> > > > "akc" work to do... no time for playing about with things like
radios.
> > >
> > > > Regards,
> > >
> > > > Peter.
> > >
> > > lol who cares what you think Peter Player.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Fred (boy george), your docked a days pay for
> > trolling on the job! yabba dabba yadi yada....
> > Mr. Slate
> >
> >
>
> jicon_101
>
>
```

Never heard of a jicon_101,...what are they? :)

473. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 05:01:21 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3D9FC3A1.5380FB@cwis.net>
Source record: 139253

Way to go, George. Nothing like giving bad advice,
is there? Sticking your head in the sand doesn't make
the potential problems go away. It does mean buying a
lot of fuses, though. Along with plagarized CD's, do
you also sell equipment insurance??
'Doc

474. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 05:08:46 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3D9FC55E.67999F6@cwis.net>
Source record: 139254

Alan,
The problem is in deciding who knows what, about
whatever the subject is. People don't seem to
recognize that you don't 'get something for nothing',
this power supply thing is a good example of that.
If it were as easy as just hooking up two PS's to get
double the output of either, wouldn't it be nice! Too
bad it doesn't work that way...
'Doc

475. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 05:15:41 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3D9FC6FD.CA7023F@cwis.net>
Source record: 139255

Two Eyed White Cow Eater,
You got part of that right, but your aiming at the
wrong target. Turn that gun around and you'll be a lot
closer to the right target. In other words, you might
want to review what you 'think' you know about power
supplies and regulation.
'Doc

476. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 05:28:35 UTC
From: "Alan S." <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns929EE495A205Frrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 139256

'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in news:3D9FC55E.67999F6@cwis.net:

> Alan,
> The problem is in deciding who knows what, about
> whatever the subject is. People don't seem to
> recognize that you don't 'get something for nothing',
> this power supply thing is a good example of that.
> If it were as easy as just hooking up two PS's to get
> double the output of either, wouldn't it be nice! Too
> bad it doesn't work that way...
> 'Doc
>

I agree. The reader has to make a decision as to who he thinks is correct. For the most part, I don't think it's that difficult. I just read the thread and make a judgement call. The cool part about the bickering is that it gives each party a chance to elaborate further. They will either demonstrate their electronic prowess, or be found wrong. Either way, I - the eager student - get a great education :) I guess I'm a debate junkie, or something.

It was a great question, I never thought of running two power supplies before. I've got a 20amp Samlex and haven't to date needed any more than that. Someday I will, I'm sure.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

477. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 06:30:43 UTC
From: Joe <joe.amp@NOSPAMverizon.net>
Message-ID: <nMQn9.4009$Ag4.1711@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 139257

> say 500 to 2000 Cycles Per Second sweep time.

Jay check that out your scope may be marked in milliseconds ? (2-20 in CPS
to see a voice audio envelope).
-Joe

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3D9FB258.A1C83BEF@qnet.com...
> Hello Jason:
>
> Think of the Oscilloscope as a fancy Volt meter, because thats what it
> is.
>
> Two major controls, the Sweep and the Voltage controls. The sweep will
> adjust the time the sweep is sent across the screen, letting you see
> different frequency signals. The Voltage control will allow you to set
> how high the signal will be seen on the scope's screen.
>
> Connect the scopes leads to the speaker leads of a radio and play around
> with the settings, you will get a feel for the scopes controls, and get
> to see real time AC wave forms.
>
> Heres a neat trick that most don't know about. The scope can display
> your RF Transmitted Signal. You don't need a scope with a 27 MHz sweep
> rate, just the audio frequencies will do, say 500 to 2000 Cycles Per
> Second sweep time. By wrapping a short piece of wire around the coax,
> and connecting to the scope input leads, you should be able to see the
> transmitted signal. You may have to adjust the voltage control to its
> min setting. But this will display your transmitted signal. You will see
> the RF envelopes and carrier. Now you can turn up the modulation and see
> what happens to the signal.
>
> You will in time get the hand of using a scope. I know a lot of guys
> who have a separate receiver set up so that the receivers IF signal is
> displayed on the scope, monitoring all the local traffic. Whos over
> modulated and whos not. Whos running a amp, and whos not, whos signal
> look like crap and who is clean.
>
>
> Give it try, and good luck.
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
> Credentials:
> Rock n Roll fan

> CB radio enthusiast
> Owner 1966 Ford F250 4x4 wipe out the ecology bitchen truck (no smog
> crap)
> Once boy friend to Dinah down at the fillin station
> Read a few books on electronics and antennas once.
>
>
> jason wrote:
> >
> > i have just purchased a used scope and have heard they could be used to
test
> > cb's and antenas, my only problem is, i dont know how, can anyone give
me
> > any info or point me towards a website that can??
> >
> > Thanks Very Much
> >
> > Jason

478. Re: Allan R Fallas of British Columbia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 08:01:45 UTC
From: Steve Gale <sgale@kingston.net>
Message-ID: <uq15b7hnrruo86@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139416

you should forward your complaint to the RCMP and the RAC of Canada they are always interested in people who abuse their radio liscence and commit cross border fraud...dont think because he is in canada that somehow protects him from reprecussions

"gw" <gw@webhideout.com> wrote in message news:235a74db.0210050708.77cd6620@posting.google.com...
> This guy is a major fraud and it would be in your best interest to not
> deal with him in any way , shape or form. He is a complete ripoff and
> does real harm to the ham radio community. Somehow he retains a ham
> radio advanced license. God knows why.

479. Re: Antenna Suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 08:52:15 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021006045215.03581.00009077@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 139261

hi jim, that does sound like alot of fun indeed. i once worked a friend in KY under the same situation but while using a antron99. it truely is amazing what can be done with a wide open band.

> South Carolina running milliwatts (yes, the net heard me down there
>in STANDBY - spotting only. No final, no driver.). It was fun.
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

480. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 09:44:52 UTC
From: jason <hubs3@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <anoqc4$4h5$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 139258

Naw im down south in central alabama, and thanks for your help,

Jason

Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:VrKn9.3928$Ag4.1147@nwrddc03.gnilink.net...
> Hay Jason are you in western ny?
> --
> Best Regards!
> - Doc -
> http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/
>
> "jason" <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:anm2ontuv1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> > i have just purchased a used scope and have heard they could be used to
> test
> > cb's and antenas, my only problem is, i dont know how, can anyone give
me
> > any info or point me towards a website that can??
> >
> > Thanks Very Much
> >
> > Jason
> >
> >
>
>

481. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 09:46:06 UTC
From: jason <hubs3@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <anoq68$8ec$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 139259

thanks very much for the info, thats a big help

Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3D9FB258.A1C83BEF@qnet.com...
> Hello Jason:
>
> Think of the Oscilloscope as a fancy Volt meter, because thats what it
> is.
>
> Two major controls, the Sweep and the Voltage controls. The sweep will
> adjust the time the sweep is sent across the screen, letting you see
> different frequency signals. The Voltage control will allow you to set
> how high the signal will be seen on the scope's screen.
>
> Connect the scopes leads to the speaker leads of a radio and play around
> with the settings, you will get a feel for the scopes controls, and get
> to see real time AC wave forms.
>
> Heres a neat trick that most don't know about. The scope can display
> your RF Transmitted Signal. You don't need a scope with a 27 MHz sweep
> rate, just the audio frequencies will do, say 500 to 2000 Cycles Per
> Second sweep time. By wrapping a short piece of wire around the coax,
> and connecting to the scope input leads, you should be able to see the
> transmitted signal. You may have to adjust the voltage control to its
> min setting. But this will display your transmitted signal. You will see
> the RF envelopes and carrier. Now you can turn up the modulation and see
> what happens to the signal.
>
> You will in time get the hand of using a scope. I know a lot of guys
> who have a separate receiver set up so that the receivers IF signal is
> displayed on the scope, monitoring all the local traffic. Whos over
> modulated and whos not. Whos running a amp, and whos not, whos signal
> look like crap and who is clean.
>
>
> Give it try, and good luck.
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
> Credentials:
> Rock n Roll fan
> CB radio enthusiast
> Owner 1966 Ford F250 4x4 wipe out the ecology bitchen truck (no smog
> crap)

> Once boy friend to Dinah down at the fillin station
> Read a few books on electronics and antennas once.
>
>
> jason wrote:
> >
> > i have just purchased a used scope and have heard they could be used to
test
> > cb's and antenas, my only problem is, i dont know how, can anyone give
me
> > any info or point me towards a website that can??
> >
> > Thanks Very Much
> >
> > Jason

482. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 09:47:13 UTC
From: jason <hubs3@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <anoq8b$96g$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 139260

Thanks for the site, very informative, i apreciate it

Aaron H. Voobner <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0210051326.28702c36@posting.google.com...
> "jason" <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<anm2ontuv1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > i have just purchased a used scope and have heard they could be used to
test
> > cb's and antenas, my only problem is, i dont know how, can anyone give
me
> > any info or point me towards a website that can??
> >
> > Thanks Very Much
> >
> > Jason
>
> http://website.lineone.net/~colin_mccord/Radio/oscilloscope.htm
>
> -A

483. president george issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 11:23:55 UTC
From: browen <wim_debruyn@pandora.be>
Message-ID: <f3Vn9.148459$8o4.21802@afrodite.telenet-ops.be>
Source record: 139262

hi,

I recently saw patch for my george, it was about soldering a resistor of 560 ohm over the uniden IC in the control-panel.

They were also talking about some key-combination that came with it. I was supposed to press the power-key off and on, keep pa+dimmer pressed down, release it back press M1....etc...

Thing is...that the panel-light should stayed on before pressing M1...he just switches off, and i have to give in my key-code.

I am now working in AM/FM/USB/LSB 40 channel mode, but it seems that i cannot get to the 240 channels they promised me.

anyone who has a clue ?

484. HY-gain HG-37ss Tower For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 11:54:22 UTC
From: wb4una@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021006075422.07848.00009853@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 139263

this is a 38'..2 section .free standing..10sq load..tilt over tower. it is like new condition..comes with the gin pole for tilting it over..one man operation..list for well over 1600.00 will sell it for 800.00 ..i will meet someone within 100 miles of columbia,sc..
Thanks for reading..randy

ARS: WB4UNA
Randy Chavis
247 Goff Court
West Columbia
S.C. 29172

485. Re: Randy is a Ball Whacker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 12:06:24 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <4HVn9.84611$8o3.2194913@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote in message
news:TtScnUlSv_DfGwKgXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
> Randy Chapwoman better known as
>
> "BallWhacker" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> trolled with this
> message news:dsLn9.80409
>
>

Need lessons on quoting, Georgie?

486. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 12:10:19 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <LKVn9.5418$k_2.382775@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139265

huh???
You must have heard that... on the air.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf

The New 1 Pill Prototype is being Built!
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"jason" <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:anm2ontuv1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> i have just purchased a used scope and have heard they could be used to
test
> cb's and antenas, my only problem is, i dont know how, can anyone give me
> any info or point me towards a website that can??
>
> Thanks Very Much
>
> Jason
>
>

487. Re: Anybody familiar ?? Cobra XL-450

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 12:12:28 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <MMVn9.5419$k_2.383784@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139266

Hey maaaann, it's got yur name on it...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf

The New 1 Pill Prototype is being Built!
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> its a good 4 pill from mexico. if you want to sound crystal clear get you
about
> a 2-3 watt key into it from a radio that doesnt swing like crazy and youll
> sound like broadcast

488. Re: Allan R Fallas of British Columbia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 12:13:31 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <LNVn9.5420$k_2.383552@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139267

A bad ham?????
No, couldn't be!
lol

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf

The New 1 Pill Prototype is being Built!
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"gw" <gw@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:235a74db.0210050708.77cd6620@posting.google.com...
> This guy is a major fraud and it would be in your best interest to not
> deal with him in any way , shape or form. He is a complete ripoff and
> does real harm to the ham radio community. Somehow he retains a ham
> radio advanced license. God knows why.

489. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 12:16:27 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <vQVn9.5422$k_2.384066@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139268

Not exactly... can a Voltmeter work in the time/frequency domain?
It's quite a bit more than a Voltmeter...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf

The New 1 Pill Prototype is being Built!
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> Think of the Oscilloscope as a fancy Volt meter, because thats what it
> is.

490. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 12:20:17 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5UVn9.5425$k_2.381095@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139269

Nonsense, there are no "switch mode" amplifiers that are 100% efficient!
Maybe close... but certainly not 100%

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf

The New 1 Pill Prototype is being Built!
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> You know for a square wave the power device is either in cut off or in
> saturation so there is, theoretically, no power loss in the device,
> except at zero signal conditions. Thus Pin = Pout and N = 100 %, which
> agrees with the figures I see for switch mode amplifiers.

491. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 12:47:18 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DA030D6.6CA5910@qnet.com>
Source record: 139270

Hello Joe:

Good point! You are right.

Jay in the Mojave

Joe wrote:
>
> > say 500 to 2000 Cycles Per Second sweep time.
>
> Jay check that out your scope may be marked in milliseconds ? (2-20 in CPS
> to see a voice audio envelope).
> -Joe
>
> "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3D9FB258.A1C83BEF@qnet.com...
> > Hello Jason:
> >
> > Think of the Oscilloscope as a fancy Volt meter, because thats what it
> > is.
> >
> > Two major controls, the Sweep and the Voltage controls. The sweep will
> > adjust the time the sweep is sent across the screen, letting you see
> > different frequency signals. The Voltage control will allow you to set
> > how high the signal will be seen on the scope's screen.
> >
> > Connect the scopes leads to the speaker leads of a radio and play around
> > with the settings, you will get a feel for the scopes controls, and get
> > to see real time AC wave forms.
> >
> > Heres a neat trick that most don't know about. The scope can display
> > your RF Transmitted Signal. You don't need a scope with a 27 MHz sweep
> > rate, just the audio frequencies will do, say 500 to 2000 Cycles Per
> > Second sweep time. By wrapping a short piece of wire around the coax,
> > and connecting to the scope input leads, you should be able to see the
> > transmitted signal. You may have to adjust the voltage control to its
> > min setting. But this will display your transmitted signal. You will see
> > the RF envelopes and carrier. Now you can turn up the modulation and see
> > what happens to the signal.
> >
> > You will in time get the hand of using a scope. I know a lot of guys
> > who have a separate receiver set up so that the receivers IF signal is
> > displayed on the scope, monitoring all the local traffic. Whos over
> > modulated and whos not. Whos running a amp, and whos not, whos signal
> > look like crap and who is clean.

> >
> >
> > Give it try, and good luck.
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave
> >
> > Credentials:
> > Rock n Roll fan
> > CB radio enthusiast
> > Owner 1966 Ford F250 4x4 wipe out the ecology bitchen truck (no smog
> > crap)
> > Once boy friend to Dinah down at the fillin station
> > Read a few books on electronics and antennas once.
> >
> >
> > jason wrote:
> > >
> > > i have just purchased a used scope and have heard they could be used to
> test
> > > cb's and antenas, my only problem is, i dont know how, can anyone give
> me
> > > any info or point me towards a website that can??
> > >
> > > Thanks Very Much
> > >
> > > Jason

492. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 12:55:26 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DA032BE.9B90BA61@qnet.com>
Source record: 139271

Hello Brian:

No I am gonna have to rule on your reply here as being technically incorrect as usually. Based on a incomplete and whinney explanation, and lacking any real help to the orginal poster here.

"time/frequency domain" Yeah right the new guy knows all about this.

Jay in the Mojave

Credentials:
Rock n Roll fan
CB radio enthusiast
Owner 1966 Ford F250 4x4 wipe out the ecology bitchen truck (no smog crap)
Once boy friend to Dinah down at the fillin station
Read a few books on electronics and antennas once.

Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> Not exactly... can a Voltmeter work in the time/frequency domain?
> It's quite a bit more than a Voltmeter...
>

>
> > Think of the Oscilloscope as a fancy Volt meter, because thats what it
> > is.

493. Re: Sound Bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 13:41:52 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021006094152.11944.00006712@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 139272

>Subject: Sound Bytes
>From: "Scott" scott@ovis.net
>Date: 10/5/02 8:59 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <iWLn9.49900$FO4.10768@sccrnsc03>
>
>Does anyone know of a website I can go to that will let me download sound
>bytes? You know like "Tarzan, I feel good, The good, bad and the ugly" and
>so on?
>
>Scott
>
I'd go right to Fred.....He knows them best!

http://www.cheetah-electronics.com/products.htm

Train

494. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 14:10:31 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23751-3DA04457-380@storefull-2192.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139275

Here's my real world experience,not book knowledge;bought 2 Todd Eng.
75A switchers.Connected +to+ and -to-.Have used almost every day for the
past 2 yrs. no problemo!Not sure if I'd parallel 2 unlike manufacturers
or current outputs!Maybe question the manufacturer???....Mark

495. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 14:19:04 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <sDXn9.5512$k_2.393249@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139273

Well, if you think that an oscilloscope doesn't work the time/frequency domain... then you just better mosey on back to the filling ststaion and get back to work. If the "new guy" doesn't know this... then why didn't you tell him! Talk about half-ass replies...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1pill.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3DA032BE.9B90BA61@qnet.com...
> Hello Brian:
>
> No I am gonna have to rule on your reply here as being technically
> incorrect as usually. Based on a incomplete and whinney explanation, and
> lacking any real help to the orginal poster here.
>
> "time/frequency domain" Yeah right the new guy knows all about this.
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
> Credentials:
> Rock n Roll fan
> CB radio enthusiast
> Owner 1966 Ford F250 4x4 wipe out the ecology bitchen truck (no smog
> crap)
> Once boy friend to Dinah down at the fillin station
> Read a few books on electronics and antennas once.

496. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 14:38:36 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DA04AEC.5284FDE@qnet.com>
Source record: 139274

Hello Brian:

My my but the truth hurts uh.

I never said the scope doesn't work in time domain function. You throw that in there as a arguementative slur to make you appear to look somewhat correct.

You forgot about the voltage function also, but I am gonna let that slide.

Using those fancy words without a good down to earth explanation to a new guy is the same as lying when some information is purposely left out.

How many blow hearts use fancy 50 cent words to impress someone. Some of the technical writting use such wording, and there books end up as a Drill Press Stop.

Ok your on here Brian, lets hear a good explanation of the use of "Time Domain" function in a Oscilloscope. We want the new guy to fully understand what "Time Domian" is.....

Jay in the Mojave

Credentials:
Rock n Roll fan
CB radio enthusiast
Owner 1966 Ford F250 4x4 wipe out the ecology bitchen truck (no smog crap)
Once boy friend to Dinah down at the fillin station
Read a few books on electronics and antennas once.

Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> Well, if you think that an oscilloscope doesn't work the time/frequency
> domain... then you just better mosey on back to the filling ststaion and get
> back to work. If the "new guy" doesn't know this... then why didn't you tell
> him! Talk about half-ass replies...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1pill.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

>
> "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3DA032BE.9B90BA61@qnet.com...
> > Hello Brian:
> >
> > No I am gonna have to rule on your reply here as being technically
> > incorrect as usually. Based on a incomplete and whinney explanation, and
> > lacking any real help to the orginal poster here.
> >
> > "time/frequency domain" Yeah right the new guy knows all about this.
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave
> >
> > Credentials:
> > Rock n Roll fan
> > CB radio enthusiast
> > Owner 1966 Ford F250 4x4 wipe out the ecology bitchen truck (no smog
> > crap)
> > Once boy friend to Dinah down at the fillin station
> > Read a few books on electronics and antennas once.

497. Re: Sound Bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 15:29:08 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <8FYn9.58229$Pz.51784@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 139276

There are tons of them out there. Here are a few:

http://slonet.org/~rloomis/

http://www.coolarchive.com/sounds.cfm

http://www.wunclub.com/sounds/index.html

http://www.findsounds.com/

"Scott" <scott@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:iWLn9.49900$FO4.10768@sccrnsc03...
> Does anyone know of a website I can go to that will let me download sound
> bytes? You know like "Tarzan, I feel good, The good, bad and the ugly" and
> so on?
>
> Scott
>
>

498. Re: hey everyone in Louisiana and Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 16:41:44 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uq0q0ftae6rsb3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139277

Twist, anyone who enjoys jazz, cajun food, and a few tall cool ones is good people in my book!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:27656-3D9C7FD8-104@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I'd rather go down and listen to some of the good jazz that New Orleans
> is famous for, and maybe some good cajun food and cold beer heheh. I
> wonder if any of the jazz clubs have an open stage policy where they'll
> let people come up on stage and jam..... that would rock.
> 73 de Keith
> KC8TCQ
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
> Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
> American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
> -
> Heya Keith, I thought you quit drinking?
> Ah, stick with it. Quitting is the easiest thing in the world to
> do......I've stopped smoking hundreds of times <g>. Seriously, it took
> many attempts to give up the cigarettes, but it was worth it when I
> finally accomplished it. Good luck..
>

499. Re: Need Short(ish) Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 16:46:03 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DA068CB.C16AF466@cwis.net>
Source record: 139278

John,
When you shorten an antenna a ridiculous amount, you
get ridiculous performance. There's just no way around
that fact. I would have to say that before shortening
your antenna any more, I'd have to think about changing
it's mounting place, or where you park your truck. Once
you have shortened an antenna by about 50-60%, you start
to be able to hear the difference (loss). When you get
to somewhere around 80%, you're almost better off using
a dummy load...
'Doc

500. Re: Larson NMO27 headaches....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 16:58:17 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3DA06BA9.6060400@csotbs.org>
Source record: 139279

0.4 ohms is indeed a low resistance, however, DC resistance and AC
impedance are two different things, and are affected by different
"components", and measured differently.

Try running a ground strap from your "L bracket" direct to the frame of
your car and see what happens.

-SSB

JasonG wrote:

> Yup, trunk is metallic and grounded to the body of the car properly. The
> antenna beacket itself is attached to the car body, not the trunk. Just a
> simple "L" bracket with three stainless screws attaching it right to the
> body of the car.
>
> I wondered about the ground and the connector. I checked the resistance from
> the L-Brack to the connector at the back of the radio and it was very low &
> acceptable...about 0.4 ohms. I also made sure that I did not have a short in
> the PL-259 (we have all run across those "scraggly" little braid hairs). The
> connector seemed fine. I even doubted the meter but it appeared to work fine
> on my base.
>
> I will admit that the surroundings could have a role in this so I drove the
> car to the mall parking at around 12:30 at night. Nothing was near the car,
> not for at least 400 feet in every direction. SWR was still the same.
>
> Still stumped.....
>
> Jason.
> "radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:x9Ln9.51646$xI5.10705@sccrnsc02...
>
>>Jay..........the trunk is metallic, isn't it? I used the Larsen with
>>
> great
>
>>results, but I had it mounted permanently.
>>
>>Bill
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>"JasonG" <jgoldring@99istop.com> wrote in message

>>news:3d9f2985$1@news.eol.ca...
>>
>>>I have a Larsen NMO27 antenna on a TMB mount (L-Bracket screwed to
>>>the trunk gutter). I cut the whip according to the tuning sheet and I
>>>
>>can't
>>
>>>seem to get the darn thing below 2.5:1 SWR. It also seems to be really
>>>narrow-banded. From 1 to 40 I go from 2.5 to 3.5
>>>
>>>Anyone else have similar problems?
>>>Is their an easy fix or am I missing something here?
>>>
>>>(SWR measurements done with a Daiwa CN801 meter & a stock Prostar 400
>>>
>>radio
>>
>>>with a brand new Larsen Coil, Mount & NMO cable assembly)
>>>
>>>Thanks,
>>>Jay.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>--
>>>---
>>>I hate spam. Please take away the
>>>ninety-nine from my address when
>>>replying. Thanks!
>>>---
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.393 / Virus Database: 223 - Release Date: 10/1/2002
>
>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

501. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 17:14:29 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uq0rtt4ecohe67@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139280

You are correct that ground wave does exist to a point, however, that point is virtually gone much above 4 or 5 MHz. Light is also an electro-magnetic wave. If you and I take flashlights and see how far away we can get over flat ground at night, it is doubtfull we will see each other's flashlight at a distance much over 3 or 4 miles away (depending upon how high we hold that flashlight). Now, we both move 8 miles away. Of course, we still can't see the flashlight. At this time, we both switch to 1,000,000 candlepower searchlights and point them towards the sky. Hey, we can see each other's light! Not the searchlight itself, rather, we see the beam. That beam could contain information such as voice and we could receive it. That is scatter. True ground wave is caused by 'knife edge' diffraction. I used to use fresnel zone calculations in designing UHF television translators. What causes this is an electromagnetic wave passing over a sharp edge tends to get bent a bit. Now, what is a sharp edge? At UHF it could be a building or mountain top. At much lower frequencies (say the commercial broadcast band), the curvature of the earth seems like a sharp edge. Since you want a quote (and you might read up on this - there is a lot of misinformation about ground wave), I've bothered to look it up. Here is a quote from page 21.3 of the 2002 Radio Amateur's Handbook: "A ground wave is the result of a special form of diffraction that primarily affects longer-wavelength vertically polarized radio waves. It is most apparent in the 80 and 160 meter amateur bands. ..... The term ground wave is often mistakenly applied to any short-distance communication, but the actual mechanism is unique to the longer wave bands." As far as scatter, there are a number of mechanisms, but unless you are really on the fringe of the signal area (starting to have a small amount of volume of sky useful for scattering that is mutually observable), it is a very reliable means of communication. Those 30 and 50 watt VHF and UHF amateur mobil installations are often not true line of site to a repeater and they still can use the repeater very well. 70 miles on 27 MHz is NOT ground wave, guaranteed. It IS scatter. BTW, I used to work Washington, DC from Rochester NY in the middle of the afternoon. D layer absorption would prohibit any reflected skywave. That WAS groundwave. It was also 1.8 MHz where true groundwave does exist. Those flashlights (and even the searchlights) couldn't possibly cover that distance. Also, BTW, I was running 65 watts.
The key to the whole argument is over what constitutes a knife-edge. For the earth to act as such, the wavelength must be fairly long. Ground wave is pretty much gone above perhaps 5 MHz.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d9f99fd.1721624@news.cet.com...
> In <upv5841g26iuce@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
> <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>
> <snip>
> >...Despite
> >rumors to the contrary, ground wave does not exist at 27 MHz.
>
> Seems you have a beef.
>
> Fact: Ground waves exist at all RF frequencies. The difference is that
> higher frequencies are absorbed much more easily than lower freqs.
> That limits the usable range of a ground wave for ANY frequency (RF
> power being equal). If that usable range is less than line-of-sight,
> it does NOT mean the ground-wave doesn't exist, just that it doesn't
> propogate very well. It also depends on the type of ground, some soils
> being much more lossy than others; such as sand as compared to heavily
> minerized soil. And who knows what was dumped at that superfund site
> near his house!
>
> FYI, I tend to lump 'scatter' in with 'ground-wave' propogation,
> because for all PRACTICAL purposes it's the same thing -- radio
> propogation along the surface of the earth. And I'm not the only one
> that does it, because I hear the same thing while listening to hams on
> the air. Ok, so it's not politically correct. Regardless...,
>
> If you are going to jump my shit about it while defending Timmy's
> claims of 50 kW mobiles, at least make sure that what he's hearing 60
> miles away is actually scatter and not ground-waves. Because if I
> remember correctly (what I recently learned about scatter while
> studying for my license), it is usually a signal that tends to fade
> and distort quite a bit according to the phase interactions of the
> many reflections and refractions. And that doesn't sound like what
> Timmy was describing at all -- he claimed a loud, clear signal.
>
> So unless you have some authoritative reference that says ground-waves
> don't exist at 27 MHz (which contradicts just about every textbook on
> electromagnetics ever written), I will stand by my conclusion that
> what Timmy was hearing was ground-wave propogation.
>

502. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 17:48:03 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uq0tsqo08pjsae@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139282

I read your post a second time - the distorting and fading scatter almost sounds like auroral propogation. Normal scatter depends upon a mutually visible portion of sky that allows the waves to be 'scattered'. Maximum distance via scatter (depending somewhat on local elevation at both sites) is perhaps a bit over 200 miles.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

503. Re: HY-gain HG-37ss Tower For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 17:48:43 UTC
From: wb4una@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021006134843.24535.00010244@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 139281

TOWER IS SOLD..

THANKS

ARS: WB4UNA
Randy Chavis
247 Goff Court
West Columbia
S.C. 29172

504. Re: Chimney mounts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 17:51:49 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021006135149.05562.00002715@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 139283

Chimney mounts? Don't use 'em. Period.

As someone else mentioned, a chimney is not designed to withstand the side stress of an antenna in the wind. You risk loosening the mortar between the bricks, especially with an older chimney. If this happens, having the antenna fall will be the least of your worries. New chimneys are expensive.

A 3 or 5-foot roof tripod is comparable in price and is a much better choice of mounting method.

505. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 18:09:13 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <WW-dnaz9ednX4T2gXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139284

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d9fa275.3890008@news.cet.com...
> In <ADudnWc-55gNOj2gXTWcpw@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
> <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >> I would sure like to see your numbers on how you can get >90%
> >> efficiency from a square wave, especially when I have already
posted
> >> the correct numbers and it comes out to 50% max.
> >
> >A quick and simple explanation is all that is required. For a power
> >device in saturation the voltage drop should be zero in the IDEAL
> >case. Thus the power loss in the power device has to be zero since
Vce
> >= 0 and Pdevice = Vce * Icollector = 0 watts. For the power device
in
> >cut off Icollector = 0 and then again Pdevice = Vce * Icollector =
0
> >watts. For a PERFECT square wave the transition from high to low,
or
> >low to high, takes zero time, thus NO power is ever lost during the
> >transition periods. Since there is only two possible states, and
> >neither one results in power loss, the Max theatrical efficiency is
> >100%, no power was ever lost in the power device.

I should add here that several lines of power inverters the company, I
work for, manufactures works just like the above discussion in regards
to the power device. I'm well aquatinted with the concept.

>
> Again you are forgetting the difference between DC power and AC
power.
> As a DC amplifier, a Class A amp can be 100% efficient (theoretical,
> of course). But AC power is still sitting on top of a DC component,
> which takes 50% or more of the input power. The result is that max
> efficiency for a square wave is still only 50%.

Using square waves there is NO DC componet to the power. The power
device is either fully turned on, or turned off, i.e its working as an
electronic switch under those conditions, a square wave drive. There
is simply no in between. When using other wave forms the device spends
a significant amount of time in its active region, which is where the
power loss in the device comes from. I hope you have also noticed that
in the analysis a perfect power device was assumed, i.e. that Vce

saturation = zero volts.

If you still don't believe me then just replace the power device with a switch. You still get the same wave form output by operating the switch at the right time, i.e. a square wave. Then try to convince yourself there is still a big power loss in the switch. Sort of hard to do right?

>
> > Note It is generally
> >considered that an amplifier operating with square waves only is not
> >considered a "linear" amplifier any more, the classification for such
> >"switch mode" amplifiers is called Class "D".
>
> Class D amplifiers use PWM at a freq higher than the signal being
> amplified and then the output is LP filtered. These can be linear and
> usable for audio amps. Class E amplifiers use square waves at the
> desired frequency and the output is BP filtered into sine waves. They
> are only good for a single freq.
>
> >> I would also like
> >> your comments on my verbose explanation which you snipped.
> > >
> > >The comments you get is what you see here. The theory has been very
> > >well established for nearly 80 to 90 years regarding the efficiency of
> > >the three main amplifier classes "A", "B", and "C". I also strongly
> > >suggest that you get a reputable text book on electronics that takes
> > >you though the development of the figures for the Max possible
> > >efficiency for the three classes.
>
> I have many. I usually just check my favorites but I just checked a
> few others, and they are all consistant with what I have said. I
> believe yours say the same thing, the difference being in our
> interpretations of this conversation. For example, the Comer reference
> you cited uses a power equation (Pac) for sine-waves only. It is not
> applicable to square, triangle, or even audio signals.

It can be done since any wave form with a finite number of discontinuities can be represented as an infinite series as a sum of sine waves of different frequencies and phase angles. That is the concept of the Fourier series, and the Fourier Transform, both of which are used in DSP work and circuit analysis when dealing with non sinusoidal wave forms. The analysis gets very messy, and the results seem much less obvious. I wrote a number of MathCad worksheets that

use up to 512 to 1024 frequency componets, using the Fourier
Transform, when doing the calculations for the design for several of
the company's line of power inverters where I work. These worksheets
have been in use for several years and nobody has found an error in
them yet.

> I can
> understand this, as the conversation was oriented towards a Class A
> amp being used as a linear amplifier for RF (typically sinusoidal).
>
> I would be interested if one of your references had a specific
> reference to the efficiency for a Class B push-pull.

All of the numbers I see are 78.5 percent, i.e. the exact calculated
value is Pi/4 for a sine wave of course.

>
> <snip>
> >Be careful about how the efficiency is being defined! Some authors
> >like to use collector, or plate conversion efficiency, while others
> >use total efficiency. Perhaps that is where the misunderstanding is
> >coming from?
>
> Plate conversion efficiency is AC to DC power applied at the plate,
> which is not the efficiency of the amplifier. So no, I have no
> misunderstanding there.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

>

506. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 18:12:21 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <vM-dnd7HDPnJFjygXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139286

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:5UVn9.5425$k_2.381095@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Nonsense, there are no "switch mode" amplifiers that are 100%
efficient!
> Maybe close... but certainly not 100%

Yes that is true. The point was the max efficiency is 100 % under
Ideal conditions.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

507. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 18:14:06 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210061014.639a88b5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210051717.37591f83@posting.google.com>...
> "BuschWhacker" antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com wrote
> >You're not trolling, are you Aaron? Nah, no way. I won't
> >believe it! LOL!!!!
>
> Hi Randy. Is trolling a troll considered trolling? :)
>
> -A

But you're not trolling a troll, Aanal Aaron; you're just trolling!
"Business as usual" for you.

BTW, you said you would "Gladly" post proof, Aaron. Where is it? You
haven't posted it yet. Maybe because you have no proof? Lamer!

508. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 18:25:07 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210061025.4f8c6ef6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139287

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209301115.1cc6efe5@posting.google.com>...
> "Lenny Stover" <mppd5107@the-police.com> wrote in message
news:<npZl9.1353$hQ6.922@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote
> >
> > > i.e. "laws be damned"?
> >
> > I've also run more wattage than is legally allowed for a number of years. I
> > don't however, violate CB etiquette, use profanity, intentionally key on
> > others unless they are attempting to key over my communications, etc.
>
> Problem is, everyone thinks everone else is 'keying' over them, and is
> using linears 100% of the time. The need to "talk over" a million
> other people using illegal linears is poor justification for the use
> of an illegal linear.

Aanother unsupportable Aanal Aaron Aassumption. Most CBers do NOT use
amps. Can you prove otherwise, Aanal Aaron?

> >
> > Until *very* recently, I used the CB primarily to provide information and
> > respond to requests for assistance on Channel 9. Nothing is more
> > infuriating than attempting to give somebody directions and then to be keyed
> > on by some idiot chanting the same thing over, and over, and over, and over,
> > and over.....
>
> And he's probably using an amp because he thinks he needs it to "get
> over" interference.

Maybe, maybe not. Can you prove it Aanal Aaron?

> >With a flip of a switch, I could get the travelling
> > directions to the person requesting them.
> >One thing that they taught us in
> > the police academy
>
> Are you a police officer?
>
> > was that there is a difference between the "letter of the
> > law" and the "spirit of the law."
>
> I agree with the spirit of the law. And the spirit of the law in
> regard to CB is to avoid intentional interference and allow everyone a
> chance to communicate. That was why power limits were set. Put everone

> on an equal footing. No one station with "more watts" than any other.

BS. You agree with the "letter of the law" as your statement shows,
Aanal Aaron. The "spirit of the law" doesn't say nobody can use more
than 4 watts.

> > When the laws limiting CB to low wattage
> > were enacted, a license was required to operate a CB and radio traffic was
> > minimal compared to now. Today, it is almost impossible to communicate on a
> > CB in a major metropolitan area (read suburban Chicago) without a little
> > extra wattage and modulation.
>
> It's become impossible to communicate BECAUSE a majority of people are
> running extra wattage and modulation.

Prove it, Aanal Aaron. You can't. It has become difficult (but not
"impossible") to communicate on CB simply because of the sheer numbers
of operators trying to use the "legal 40".

Surely you can see that the
> wattage limit was enacted to allow everyone an equal chance to
> communicate. The spirit of the law is *equal access to communications
> for all*. If the FCC let everyone decide their own wattage -- they'd
> let only SOME people (the ones with extra power) communicate -- and
> not others (the ones with low power).

Once again you trumpet the "letter of the law", not the "spirit of the
law". Can't you grasp the difference? (rhetorical question; obviously
you cannot)

> As I previously stated, as long as proper
> > radio etiquitte is adhered to, I don't have a problem with it.
>
> Proper radio etiquette is about observing limits too. What if the 40
> legal channels became so polluted by high power and so unusable that
> the majority of CB'ers moved to unauthorized bands? Would you follow?
>
> -A

Why would it matter to you, who is allegedly a no-code Tech and cannot
legally use HF anyhow?

509. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 18:30:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210061030.1e68e97b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139288

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210031255.42d94a00@posting.google.com>...
> "tools" medtools@earthlink.net wrote
> <snip>
>
> Stover says linears are required

Precisely WHERE did he say that? I don't find that statement in any of
his posts. He said something about a "few extra watts", but nothing
about linears. Just another deliberate trolling misinterpretation from
our favorite TROLL, Aanal Aaron H. Voobnuts!

and CB is a free for all. I disagree, period.
>
> -A

But you have said it many times, Aanal Aaron. Now you disagree with
yourself? ;)

510. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 18:33:39 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da07992.448242@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139289

In <uq0rtt4ecohe67@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>You are correct that ground wave does exist to a point, however, that point
>is virtually gone much above 4 or 5 MHz.

Again, it depends on the ground.

> Light is also an electro-magnetic
>wave. If you and I take flashlights and see how far away we can get over
>flat ground at night, it is doubtfull we will see each other's flashlight at
>a distance much over 3 or 4 miles away (depending upon how high we hold that
>flashlight). Now, we both move 8 miles away. Of course, we still can't see
>the flashlight. At this time, we both switch to 1,000,000 candlepower
>searchlights and point them towards the sky. Hey, we can see each other's
>light! Not the searchlight itself, rather, we see the beam. That beam
>could contain information such as voice and we could receive it. That is
>scatter.

Now I really think optical EM doesn't have enough of a ground wave to
carry it 3 or 4 miles, unless your definition of ground-wave includes
scatter and line-of-sight.

> True ground wave is caused by 'knife edge' diffraction. I used to
>use fresnel zone calculations in designing UHF television translators. What
>causes this is an electromagnetic wave passing over a sharp edge tends to
>get bent a bit. Now, what is a sharp edge? At UHF it could be a building
>or mountain top. At much lower frequencies (say the commercial broadcast
>band), the curvature of the earth seems like a sharp edge. Since you want a
>quote (and you might read up on this - there is a lot of misinformation
>about ground wave), I've bothered to look it up. Here is a quote from page
>21.3 of the 2002 Radio Amateur's Handbook: "A ground wave is the result of a
>special form of diffraction that primarily affects longer-wavelength
>vertically polarized radio waves.

True ground-wave propogation is a refraction (not diffraction) caused
by the difference in propogation velocities of the ground below and
the space (air) above. Therefore, all that is required for a ground
wave is some degree of ground penetration. 27MHz does penetrate the
ground to some extent, and 160 meter penetrates much better.

> It is most apparent in the 80 and 160
>meter amateur bands. ..... The term ground wave is often mistakenly applied
>to any short-distance communication,

Yes it is...

> but the actual mechanism is unique to
>the longer wave bands."

For all practical purposes that's true.

> As far as scatter, there are a number of
>mechanisms, but unless you are really on the fringe of the signal area
>(starting to have a small amount of volume of sky useful for scattering that
>is mutually observable), it is a very reliable means of communication.
>Those 30 and 50 watt VHF and UHF amateur mobil installations are often not
>true line of site to a repeater and they still can use the repeater very
>well. 70 miles on 27 MHz is NOT ground wave, guaranteed. It IS scatter.

I think you have some confusion between scatter and air refraction.
Scatter is caused when a signal is reflected off of many objects at
the same time in many different directions. Air refraction is similar
to a ground wave in that when it passes through mediums of different
densities the wave will "change it's direction of travel". That's why
you get some really funky propogation when there is an inversion
layer, or when there is heavy fog or smog hanging on the ground. You
could almost call it a 'bent line-of-sight' propogation, but the
mechanism is the same as ground wave propogation. Either way, you
can't get scatter from the air unless the air is filled with objects
larger than the wavelength.

>BTW, I used to work Washington, DC from Rochester NY in the middle of the
>afternoon. D layer absorption would prohibit any reflected skywave. That
>WAS groundwave. It was also 1.8 MHz where true groundwave does exist.
>Those flashlights (and even the searchlights) couldn't possibly cover that
>distance. Also, BTW, I was running 65 watts.
>The key to the whole argument is over what constitutes a knife-edge. For
>the earth to act as such, the wavelength must be fairly long. Ground wave
>is pretty much gone above perhaps 5 MHz.

It's not "the earth" so much as it is the characteristics of the
ground. If the ground is nice and flat (no trees, hills, large rocks,
ditches, etc) a ground wave works pretty well even on higher
frequencies. This is obvious to any truckers that have ever driven
though the plains of the midwest -- you can talk well beyond
line-of-sight. You won't experience that in DC where there are too
many objects that will absorb or diffuse a ground wave of shorter
wavelength.

BTW, how much skywave reflection are you getting normally at 1.8MHz?

511. Re: Where's Clyde #1? Re: ALL CB'ers ARE CRETINS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 18:39:13 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210061039.2e4ac7a1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139290

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d908037.1159356@news.cet.com>...
>
> Doesn't that get your dander up, Clyde #1? So why aren't you jumping
> on this guy's post like you do Voobner's? Could it be that you are
> just a nameless, spineless, brainless hypocrite?

Nope. You just described YOURSELF, Jack.

512. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 18:39:51 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021006143951.22102.00009048@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 139291

since when is he a ham???? i wanna see that claim. last i knew the only txr he used was a marine radio at his house which is illegal

>Why would it matter to you, who is allegedly a no-code Tech and cannot
>legally use HF anyhow?
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

513. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 18:41:15 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da08243.2673803@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139292

In <uq0tsqo08pjsae@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>I read your post a second time - the distorting and fading scatter almost
>sounds like auroral propogation.

"Auroral propogation" is a form of scatter: the waves are scattered
off the aurora. But "urban scatter" sounds much different -- it is
much more chaotic. Actually, I never paid much attention to the
difference until recently.

> Normal scatter depends upon a mutually
>visible portion of sky that allows the waves to be 'scattered'.

...huh?

> Maximum
>distance via scatter (depending somewhat on local elevation at both sites)
>is perhaps a bit over 200 miles.

I don't think I would put a number on that. Scatter is really a
function of chaos, and the variables are too numerous to assign any
kind of limitations.

514. Re: Need Short(ish) Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 18:42:11 UTC
From: John Shirley <esthermofet@msn.com.spamsucks>
Message-ID: <xx%n9.190$Rb4.11484@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 139293

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DA068CB.C16AF466@cwis.net...
> John,
> When you shorten an antenna a ridiculous amount, you
> get ridiculous performance. There's just no way around
> that fact. I would have to say that before shortening
> your antenna any more, I'd have to think about changing
> it's mounting place, or where you park your truck. Once
> you have shortened an antenna by about 50-60%, you start
> to be able to hear the difference (loss). When you get
> to somewhere around 80%, you're almost better off using
> a dummy load...
> 'Doc

Dummy load would be a good choice, I suppose. But I figured I'd run two antennas--with quick-disconnects on both. That way, it'll still be usable in a pinch... maybe one of those cheapo Radio Shack rubber duckie things would be good enough... then I'll slap the good Firestik on for trips and such.

Thanks all for the tips.

515. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 18:45:46 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da083f6.3108919@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139294

In <23751-3DA04457-380@storefull-2192.public.lawson.webtv.net>,
SHITPANTS@webtv.net (Mark Roehlke) wrote:

>Here's my real world experience,not book knowledge;bought 2 Todd Eng.
>75A switchers.Connected +to+ and -to-.Have used almost every day for the
>past 2 yrs. no problemo!Not sure if I'd parallel 2 unlike manufacturers
>or current outputs!Maybe question the manufacturer???....Mark

That's because switching power supplies already have some resistance
on the output -- the filter choke. If that wasn't there you would get
a hash in your radio that would make it unusable. BTW, switchers
usually require a minimum load, something along the lines of 10% of
maximum. Combine that with the built-in output resistance and there
won't be any problem.

516. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 18:51:58 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021006145158.22102.00009049@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 139295

how come?

> BTW, switchers
>usually require a minimum load, something along the lines of 10% of
>maximum.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

517. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 18:54:11 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uq11ori18n3686@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139296

One doesn't get skywave reflection on 160 during the daytime due to D layer absorption. 80 just might get a little very high angle reflection. 40 meters is the lowest amateur band that will penetrate the D layer near midday at some reasonable angle to allow you to work more distant stations. At night, it was fine anywhere in the US. I never really looked for DX. Back to the ground wave; as I stated, what is meant by true ground wave is that knife edge effect, which requires a reasonably sharp edge in comparison with the wavelength involved. There is confusion on the subject. Scatter also comes in various forms. Something on the order of 70 miles would be fine for decent scatter signals and not depend upon any other atmospheric involvement. Obviously, depending upon frequency, other enhancements can come into play, but not generally at 27 MHz. At VHF or UHF, tropo effects can give you a WHALE of a signal, but not at 27 MHz. 70 miles is short for sporadic e, plus it isn't available 24/7. As far as hills and such, you need a mutually visable section of sky that is suitable for scatter. If you have a mountain between you and another vehicle, scatter won't help. True ground wave doesn't have much problem with buildings and such any more than you would have a problem receiving am radio inside a building. The wavelength is going to be fairly long. It is a very specific (and very useful) mode. I agree that ground type will affect it; but what the truckers are experiencing is NOT true ground wave. Most of what folks call ground wave are, in actuality, various forms of scatter.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da07992.448242@news.cet.com...
> In <uq0rtt4ecohe67@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
> <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>
> >You are correct that ground wave does exist to a point, however, that
point
> >is virtually gone much above 4 or 5 MHz.
>
> Again, it depends on the ground.
>
> > Light is also an electro-magnetic
> >wave. If you and I take flashlights and see how far away we can get over
> >flat ground at night, it is doubtfull we will see each other's flashlight
at
> >a distance much over 3 or 4 miles away (depending upon how high we hold
that
> >flashlight). Now, we both move 8 miles away. Of course, we still can't
see
> >the flashlight. At this time, we both switch to 1,000,000 candlepower

> >searchlights and point them towards the sky. Hey, we can see each
other's
> >light! Not the searchlight itself, rather, we see the beam. That beam
> >could contain information such as voice and we could receive it. That is
> >scatter.
>
> Now I really think optical EM doesn't have enough of a ground wave to
> carry it 3 or 4 miles, unless your definition of ground-wave includes
> scatter and line-of-sight.
>
> > True ground wave is caused by 'knife edge' diffraction. I used to
> >use fresnel zone calculations in designing UHF television translators.
What
> >causes this is an electromagnetic wave passing over a sharp edge tends to
> >get bent a bit. Now, what is a sharp edge? At UHF it could be a
building
> >or mountain top. At much lower frequencies (say the commercial broadcast
> >band), the curvature of the earth seems like a sharp edge. Since you
want a
> >quote (and you might read up on this - there is a lot of misinformation
> >about ground wave), I've bothered to look it up. Here is a quote from
page
> >21.3 of the 2002 Radio Amateur's Handbook: "A ground wave is the result
of a
> >special form of diffraction that primarily affects longer-wavelength
> >vertically polarized radio waves.
>
> True ground-wave propogation is a refraction (not diffraction) caused
> by the difference in propogation velocities of the ground below and
> the space (air) above. Therefore, all that is required for a ground
> wave is some degree of ground penetration. 27MHz does penetrate the
> ground to some extent, and 160 meter penetrates much better.
>
> > It is most apparent in the 80 and 160
> >meter amateur bands. ..... The term ground wave is often mistakenly
applied
> >to any short-distance communication,
>
> Yes it is...
>
> > but the actual mechanism is unique to
> >the longer wave bands."
>
> For all practical purposes that's true.
>
> > As far as scatter, there are a number of
> >mechanisms, but unless you are really on the fringe of the signal area
> >(starting to have a small amount of volume of sky useful for scattering
that
> >is mutually observable), it is a very reliable means of communication.

> >Those 30 and 50 watt VHF and UHF amateur mobil installations are often
not
> >true line of site to a repeater and they still can use the repeater very
> >well. 70 miles on 27 MHz is NOT ground wave, guaranteed. It IS scatter.
>
> I think you have some confusion between scatter and air refraction.
> Scatter is caused when a signal is reflected off of many objects at
> the same time in many different directions. Air refraction is similar
> to a ground wave in that when it passes through mediums of different
> densities the wave will "change it's direction of travel". That's why
> you get some really funky propogation when there is an inversion
> layer, or when there is heavy fog or smog hanging on the ground. You
> could almost call it a 'bent line-of-sight' propogation, but the
> mechanism is the same as ground wave propogation. Either way, you
> can't get scatter from the air unless the air is filled with objects
> larger than the wavelength.
>
> >BTW, I used to work Washington, DC from Rochester NY in the middle of the
> >afternoon. D layer absorption would prohibit any reflected skywave.
That
> >WAS groundwave. It was also 1.8 MHz where true groundwave does exist.
> >Those flashlights (and even the searchlights) couldn't possibly cover
that
> >distance. Also, BTW, I was running 65 watts.
> >The key to the whole argument is over what constitutes a knife-edge. For
> >the earth to act as such, the wavelength must be fairly long. Ground
wave
> >is pretty much gone above perhaps 5 MHz.
>
> It's not "the earth" so much as it is the characteristics of the
> ground. If the ground is nice and flat (no trees, hills, large rocks,
> ditches, etc) a ground wave works pretty well even on higher
> frequencies. This is obvious to any truckers that have ever driven
> though the plains of the midwest -- you can talk well beyond
> line-of-sight. You won't experience that in DC where there are too
> many objects that will absorb or diffuse a ground wave of shorter
> wavelength.
>
> BTW, how much skywave reflection are you getting normally at 1.8MHz?
>

518. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 18:57:09 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da0851f.3405350@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139397

In <WW-dnaz9ednX4T2gXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

<snip>
>I should add here that several lines of power inverters the company, I
>work for, manufactures works just like the above discussion in regards
>to the power device. I'm well aquatinted with the concept.

Then you should already know that the amplifiers used in power
supplies are actually DC amplifiers, not AC amps, regardless of the
fact that they are using square waves. The output is DC after
filtering off the square waves.

>>
>> Again you are forgetting the difference between DC power and AC
>power.
>> As a DC amplifier, a Class A amp can be 100% efficient (theoretical,
>> of course). But AC power is still sitting on top of a DC component,
>> which takes 50% or more of the input power. The result is that max
>> efficiency for a square wave is still only 50%.
>
>Using square waves there is NO DC componet to the power. The power
>device is either fully turned on, or turned off, i.e its working as an
>electronic switch under those conditions, a square wave drive. There
>is simply no in between. When using other wave forms the device spends
>a significant amount of time in its active region, which is where the
>power loss in the device comes from. I hope you have also noticed that
>in the analysis a perfect power device was assumed, i.e. that Vce
>saturation = zero volts.

Huh? A square wave is DC with a switched amplitude!

>If you still don't believe me then just replace the power device with
>a switch. You still get the same wave form output by operating the
>switch at the right time, i.e. a square wave. Then try to convince
>yourself there is still a big power loss in the switch. Sort of hard
>to do right?

Of course there is no power loss in the switch, I never said there
was. But what are you switching? DC! The center of your square wave
rests on a DC potential, therefore it has a DC component. When you
change the duty cycle, you change the AVERAGE DC of the square wave!
That's how switching power supplies work! Don't tell me you didn't
know that....

<snip>
>> believe yours say the same thing, the difference being in our
>> interpretations of this conversation. For example, the Comer
>reference
>> you cited uses a power equation (Pac) for sine-waves only. It is not
>> applicable to square, triangle, or even audio signals.
>
>It can be done since any wave form with a finite number of
>discontinuities can be represented as an infinite series as a sum of
>sine waves of different frequencies and phase angles. That is the
>concept of the Fourier series, and the Fourier Transform, both of
>which are used in DSP work and circuit analysis when dealing with non
>sinusoidal wave forms. The analysis gets very messy, and the results
>seem much less obvious. I wrote a number of MathCad worksheets that
>use up to 512 to 1024 frequency componets, using the Fourier
>Transform, when doing the calculations for the design for several of
>the company's line of power inverters where I work. These worksheets
>have been in use for several years and nobody has found an error in
>them yet.

But your explanation didn't include Fourier transforms, it included
only a power equation for a sine wave.

>> I can
>> understand this, as the conversation was oriented towards a Class A
>> amp being used as a linear amplifier for RF (typically sinusoidal).
>>
>> I would be interested if one of your references had a specific
>> reference to the efficiency for a Class B push-pull.
>
>All of the numbers I see are 78.5 percent, i.e. the exact calculated
>value is Pi/4 for a sine wave of course.

That's what mine say as well. Why should they differ on Class A
efficiency?

519. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:03:35 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da087d0.4095208@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139398

In <c5ecbb69.0210061014.639a88b5@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210051717.37591f83@posting.google.com>...
>> "BuschWhacker" antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com wrote
>> >You're not trolling, are you Aaron? Nah, no way. I won't
>> >believe it! LOL!!!!
>>
>> Hi Randy. Is trolling a troll considered trolling? :)
>>
>> -A
>
>But you're not trolling a troll, Aanal Aaron; you're just trolling!
>"Business as usual" for you.
>
>BTW, you said you would "Gladly" post proof, Aaron. Where is it? You
>haven't posted it yet. Maybe because you have no proof? Lamer!

Why are you still here, Clyde? And still begging for proof when you
still have a lot of your own bullshit to back up? Go play in another
newsgroup, you have been thoroughly spanked in this one!

520. Re: Need Short(ish) Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:07:55 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da0893b.4457383@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139399

In <xx%n9.190$Rb4.11484@eagle.america.net>, "John Shirley"
<esthermofet@msn.com.spamsucks> wrote:

>"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DA068CB.C16AF466@cwis.net...
>> John,
>> When you shorten an antenna a ridiculous amount, you
>> get ridiculous performance. There's just no way around
>> that fact. I would have to say that before shortening
>> your antenna any more, I'd have to think about changing
>> it's mounting place, or where you park your truck. Once
>> you have shortened an antenna by about 50-60%, you start
>> to be able to hear the difference (loss). When you get
>> to somewhere around 80%, you're almost better off using
>> a dummy load...
>> 'Doc
>
>Dummy load would be a good choice, I suppose. But I figured I'd run two
>antennas--with quick-disconnects on both. That way, it'll still be usable
>in a pinch... maybe one of those cheapo Radio Shack rubber duckie things
>would be good enough...

...for about fifty yards on a clear day...

> then I'll slap the good Firestik on for trips and
>such.

For long trips, forget the firestick and get yourself a 102" whip.
After all, when you don't have to worry about headspace, why
compromise?

521. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:12:28 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <sjudnf1SRZGDFj2gXTWc3g@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139400

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d9faad2.6030545@news.cet.com...
> In <h4udnU_ZXpGVOQKgXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
> <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> >
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
> >news:3d9f6d78.14315871@news.cet.com...
> >> Corrected for terminology:
> >>
> >> Given: Vcc = 180 volts and RL = 100 ohms.
> >>
> >> For a square wave:
> >>
> >> Vrms = Vpeak = 90 volts
> >> Imax = 180 / 100 = 1.8 amps
> >> Icq = (Vcc / 2) / RL = 0.9 amps
> >> Irms = Imax - Icq = 1.8 - 0.9 = 0.9 amps
> >> Pin = Vcc * Icq = 180 * 0.9 = 162 watts
> >> Pout = Vrms * Irms = 90 * 0.9 = 81 watts
> >
> >You know for a square wave the power device is either in cut off or
in
> >saturation so there is, theoretically, no power loss in the device,
> >except at zero signal conditions. Thus Pin = Pout and N = 100 %,
which
> >agrees with the figures I see for switch mode amplifiers.
>
> AC power is still 50% of input power. The difference is that RL is
> dissipating the full DC power as well as the AC power. At cutoff,
> V(RL) = 0 volts and I(RL) = 0 amps, but at saturation V(RL) = Vcc
and
> I(RL) = Imax. All the power is being dissipated by RL, half as AC
and
> half as DC power.

It can't be under square wave drive, and where the output is a square
wave. You can prove that easy enough by replacing the power device
with a switch. When the drive square wave goes high the switch turns
on, and when the drive square wave goes low the switch turns off. You
still get the EXACT same waveform across the load, but don't tell me
half the power goes in to heating the switch. Also since the power
device is either fully turned on, or off, it makes no difference where
the power device is biased since it NEVER operates there. A perfect

square wave jumps between its two states in zero time!

>
> >>
> >> For a sine wave:
> >>
> >> Vrms = 0.707(Vpeak) = 63.63 volts
> >> Imax = 180 / 100 = 1.8 amps
> >> Icq = (Vcc / 2) / RL = 0.9 amps
> >> Irms = 0.707(Imax - Icq) = 0.6363 amps
> >> Pin = Vcc * Icq = 180 * 0.9 = 162 watts
> >> Pout = Vrms * Irms = 40.5 watts
> >
> > 40.5
> >N = ------- * 100 = 25 percent
> > 162
> >
> >Ah, that looks much better for a sine wave. Just for your info
using a
> >Class "A" amplifier with a square wave input, and driving it to
either
> >cutoff or saturation, is not considered linear operation.
>
> No kidding ;-)
>
> > Most people
> >refer to a "switch mode" amplifier such as that as Class "D" now.
This
> >could also be another point of confusion. If one is going to use an
> >amplifier like that, for square waves, then there is no point in
> >biasing it for Class "A".
>
> I think I said the very same thing a few days ago. But I must amend
my
> comment because sometimes a square wave is exactly what you want.
But
> not for an RF power amp.

There is in fact an amplifier that some guys built using switching
type waveforms, it is a Class "E" amplifier.

http://www.its.caltech.edu/%7Emmic/reshpubindex/scott.html
http://www.delphion.com/details?pn10=US05187580
http://infoeng.ee.ic.ac.uk/www_section/students/thomaschan/ClassEPA.ht
ml
http://www.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electronic_amplifier
http://www.alan.melia.btinternet.co.uk/classepa.htm
http://argesim.tuwien.ac.at/comparisons/c3/evaluation/c3evalprog.html

The PDF paper below maybe a bit over some people's heads with the math
etc. however it is worth looking over to learn how a class "E"

amplifier works.

http://www.netway.com/~stevec/ham/sokal2corrected.pdf

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"We cannot tell what may happen to us in the
strange medley of life. But we can decide
what happens in us - how we take it,
what we do with it - and that is what
really counts in the end."

Joseph Fort Newton

522. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:22:08 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <-B-cnSIBp7T9ED2gXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139401

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d9fa6d4.5009489@news.cet.com...
> >Now if you stop and think for a second Yeti you will notice that
Icq
> ><is in the middle of the load line>. So for the "ac componet ONLY"
the
> >magnitude of Vpeak = Icq * RL, for the negative half wave of the
sine,
> >OR Vpeak = (Ipeak - Icq) * RL, as you have it for the positive half
> >wave of the sine.
>
> Not quite the same, as the equation does not define the bottom half
of
> the sine as dropping to ground. Since we are assuming that the
output
> is swinging rail-to-rail, it's the same difference in this case.

Its a given, since if you don't then it is no longer a sine wave.

>
> > But also note that the magnitude of (Ipeak - Icq) =
> >Icq for that case as well. So the original substition for the
> >MAGNITUDE of Vpeak is correct as I had typed it, Vpeak = Icq * RL.
>
> Again, they are equal because we are assuming full Vcc swing on the
> output. If that were not the case the two numbers could be very
> different.

Can't be if the waveform is to remain a sine wave. and I'm assuming a
sine wave for the analysis. The upper and lower halves must be equal.
That's why the term replacement can be made as it is.

>
> >There is nothing wrong with the math here. It sure would help if
there
> >was a diagram to look at. That would help clear up some of the
> >confusion trying to keep a picture in one's mind as to what is
> >happening. Try drawing it out. That may help.
>
> That's why I corrected my equations to reflect Imax instead of
Ipeak.
>
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> >----------

> >> >That's a typepo my part. You do understand that the maximinum
> >current
> >> >has to be as shown below I hope. It's simple Ohm's law. I'm
> >suprised
> >> >you got it wrong too, unless that was also a typepo on your
part.
> >The
> >> >correct line should be :
> >> >
> >> >Since Imax = Vcc/RL.
> >> >----------
> >>
> >> Mine wasn't a typo, it was a mistake because I missed that your
> >power
> >> equation was for power of a sine wave. I cleared that up in my
other
> >> post.
> >>
> >OK. Lets just keep the discussion to sine waves. Makes it easy for
> >everybody to stay on the same page. 8-))
>
> Alrighty. Max efficiency of a Class A amp --when the signal is
limited
> to a sine-wave-- is 25%; which, disregarding my poor math skills
> lately, is what I have already agreed upon.

OK. I'll also agree the efficiency will change based on the waveform
as well. Sine waves are nice test signals to use, and they are also
very easy to use to analyze circuit functions.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

523. Re: Where's Clyde #1? Re: ALL CB'ers ARE CRETINS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:30:43 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da08f31.5983309@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139403

In <c5ecbb69.0210061039.2e4ac7a1@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d908037.1159356@news.cet.com>...
>>
>> Doesn't that get your dander up, Clyde #1? So why aren't you jumping
>> on this guy's post like you do Voobner's? Could it be that you are
>> just a nameless, spineless, brainless hypocrite?
>
>Nope. You just described YOURSELF, Jack.

I stand in awe of such wit. Regardless, you failed to answer the
question.

524. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:32:24 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <cd0o9.89164$8o3.2282415@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0210051717.37591f83@posting.google.com...
> "BuschWhacker" antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com wrote
> >You're not trolling, are you Aaron? Nah, no way. I won't
> >believe it! LOL!!!!
>
> Hi Randy. Is trolling a troll considered trolling? :)
>
> -A

Hi Aaron. Did you think I was trying to hide? Duh! Surely you're not that
stupid.

525. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:33:36 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <ke0o9.89176$8o3.2282515@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139404

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da087d0.4095208@news.cet.com...
> In <c5ecbb69.0210061014.639a88b5@posting.google.com>,
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>
> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210051717.37591f83@posting.google.com>...
> >> "BuschWhacker" antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com wrote
> >> >You're not trolling, are you Aaron? Nah, no way. I won't
> >> >believe it! LOL!!!!
> >>
> >> Hi Randy. Is trolling a troll considered trolling? :)
> >>
> >> -A
> >
> >But you're not trolling a troll, Aanal Aaron; you're just trolling!
> >"Business as usual" for you.
> >
> >BTW, you said you would "Gladly" post proof, Aaron. Where is it? You
> >haven't posted it yet. Maybe because you have no proof? Lamer!
>
> Why are you still here, Clyde? And still begging for proof when you
> still have a lot of your own bullshit to back up? Go play in another
> newsgroup, you have been thoroughly spanked in this one!
>

Sparkles butts in again.....sigh.

526. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:35:22 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da08fae.6108209@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139405

In <20021006143951.22102.00009048@mb-dd.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
(Timbo Nebo) wrote:

>since when is he a ham???? i wanna see that claim. last i knew the only txr he
>used was a marine radio at his house which is illegal

Haven't we been through this a long time ago? First, he never said he
used it. Second, even if he does use it, it's not illegal to use a
marine radio on shore if the communication is over water. In fact it's
done all the time. You can't lock through the Colombia River without
talking to shore, and I'll bet that they have marine radios on shore
just for that purpose, don'tcha think Timmy? Duh...

527. Re: Larson NMO27 headaches....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:37:36 UTC
From: Mike <"km6ab(no spam)"@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3DA09105.BF7E38C4@pacbell.net>
Source record: 139406

The low band Larson antennas are a little picky about the ground, especially when the base of the antenna is elevated as in your situation. Even though the bracket is mounted to the body, the majority of the trunk lid sits on a rubber seal and is somewhat isolated from the point where the bracket is attached. You might try a trunk lip mount that grounds the antenna base to the mass of the trunk lid and use thick ground straps to bridge the trunk hinges to the car body.

528. Lelnad VS Seti

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:38:24 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021006153824.10593.00008014@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 139407

take this thread back to alt.nerd.boring

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

529. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:41:56 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021006154156.10593.00008015@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 139408

your right frank, just like i dont use this amp thats always sitting around

>
>Haven't we been through this a long time ago? First, he never said he
>used it.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

530. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:42:47 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da090b3.6369652@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139410

In <-B-cnSIBp7T9ED2gXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
>news:3d9fa6d4.5009489@news.cet.com...
>> >Now if you stop and think for a second Yeti you will notice that
>Icq
>> ><is in the middle of the load line>. So for the "ac componet ONLY"
>the
>> >magnitude of Vpeak = Icq * RL, for the negative half wave of the
>sine,
>> >OR Vpeak = (Ipeak - Icq) * RL, as you have it for the positive half
>> >wave of the sine.
>>
>> Not quite the same, as the equation does not define the bottom half
>of
>> the sine as dropping to ground. Since we are assuming that the
>output
>> is swinging rail-to-rail, it's the same difference in this case.
>
>Its a given, since if you don't then it is no longer a sine wave.

What I meant was that the signal may not be rail-to-rail, and if
that's the case then Vcq may not be Vcc/2, etc. I suppose I should
just stick to the discussion where the signal is zero to max.

<snip>

>> Alrighty. Max efficiency of a Class A amp --when the signal is
>limited
>> to a sine-wave-- is 25%; which, disregarding my poor math skills
>> lately, is what I have already agreed upon.
>
>OK. I'll also agree the efficiency will change based on the waveform
>as well. Sine waves are nice test signals to use, and they are also
>very easy to use to analyze circuit functions.

For linear amplifiers (audio, not RF) I prefer square waves because
when looking at the scope the peaks are more well-defined. They also
tend to show ringing, residual capacitance, and a host of other
distortions you can't see with a sine wave. But, of course, that's off
the topic....;-)

531. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:43:40 UTC
From: killakeyclown@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <86209e94.0210061143.43f10f43@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139409

shdwdrgn@hotmail.com (Shadow) wrote in message
news:<e3947bf4.0210031120.6be92be9@posting.google.com>...
> you want to see a guy with his head up his ass take a look at this guys Sites
>
> http://www.geocities.com/step_pappy
> http://www.geocities.com/themainpage2002/
> http://www.geocities.com/ihateinbreads/

Hmm.. those last two can't be found... maybe the ISP's got a wiff of
their content...

532. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:48:37 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da0926b.6809931@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139411

In <sjudnf1SRZGDFj2gXTWc3g@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

<snip>
>> AC power is still 50% of input power. The difference is that RL is
>> dissipating the full DC power as well as the AC power. At cutoff,
>> V(RL) = 0 volts and I(RL) = 0 amps, but at saturation V(RL) = Vcc
>and
>> I(RL) = Imax. All the power is being dissipated by RL, half as AC
>and
>> half as DC power.
>
>It can't be under square wave drive, and where the output is a square
>wave. You can prove that easy enough by replacing the power device
>with a switch. When the drive square wave goes high the switch turns
>on, and when the drive square wave goes low the switch turns off. You
>still get the EXACT same waveform across the load, but don't tell me
>half the power goes in to heating the switch.

I didn't say that at all. Read my comment again: "The difference is
that RL is dissipating the full DC power AS WELL AS the AC power."

> Also since the power
>device is either fully turned on, or off, it makes no difference where
>the power device is biased since it NEVER operates there. A perfect
>square wave jumps between its two states in zero time!

You are absolutely correct. However, that does not exclude the
possibility that the amp can be biased for Class A.

<snip>
>> I think I said the very same thing a few days ago. But I must amend
>my
>> comment because sometimes a square wave is exactly what you want.
>But
>> not for an RF power amp.
>
>There is in fact an amplifier that some guys built using switching
>type waveforms, it is a Class "E" amplifier.

I should have said "linear". I am familiar with Class E amplifiers, as
I have already described them in another post in this thread. Good
links, however:

>http://www.its.caltech.edu/%7Emmic/reshpubindex/scott.html
>http://www.delphion.com/details?pn10=US05187580

>http://infoeng.ee.ic.ac.uk/www_section/students/thomaschan/ClassEPA.ht
>ml
>http://www.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electronic_amplifier
>http://www.alan.melia.btinternet.co.uk/classepa.htm
>http://argesim.tuwien.ac.at/comparisons/c3/evaluation/c3evalprog.html
>
>
>The PDF paper below maybe a bit over some people's heads with the math
>etc. however it is worth looking over to learn how a class "E"
>amplifier works.
>
>http://www.netway.com/~stevec/ham/sokal2corrected.pdf

533. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:51:24 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da093b7.7141348@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139412

In <uq11ori18n3686@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

<snip>
>Most of what folks call
>ground wave are, in actuality, various forms of scatter.

True. And sometimes what some people assume to be scatter (because
they don't believe ground waves can exist above 10MHz) may be, in
actuality, a ground wave.

534. Re: Lelnad VS Seti

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:52:45 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da09460.7310792@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139415

In <20021006153824.10593.00008014@mb-fx.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
(Timbo Nebo) wrote:

>take this thread back to alt.nerd.boring

Sure, just as soon as you take your discussions about illegal
operation to alt.radio.pirate.

535. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:54:02 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021006155402.10593.00008021@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 139413

>Haven't we been through this a long time ago?

you wernt even around back then wee you

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

536. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:54:47 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021006155447.10593.00008023@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 139414

what bridge does voobner operate from his home???

>You can't lock through the Colombia River without
>talking to shore, and I'll bet that they have marine radios on shore
>just for that purpose, don'tcha think Timmy? Duh...

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

537. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:57:00 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da09540.7534721@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139418

In <ke0o9.89176$8o3.2282515@twister.austin.rr.com>, "Busch'sMaster"
<antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da087d0.4095208@news.cet.com...
>> In <c5ecbb69.0210061014.639a88b5@posting.google.com>,
>> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>>
>> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
>news:<c9ceae5b.0210051717.37591f83@posting.google.com>...
>> >> "BuschWhacker" antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com wrote
>> >> >You're not trolling, are you Aaron? Nah, no way. I won't
>> >> >believe it! LOL!!!!
>> >>
>> >> Hi Randy. Is trolling a troll considered trolling? :)
>> >>
>> >> -A
>> >
>> >But you're not trolling a troll, Aanal Aaron; you're just trolling!
>> >"Business as usual" for you.
>> >
>> >BTW, you said you would "Gladly" post proof, Aaron. Where is it? You
>> >haven't posted it yet. Maybe because you have no proof? Lamer!
>>
>> Why are you still here, Clyde? And still begging for proof when you
>> still have a lot of your own bullshit to back up? Go play in another
>> newsgroup, you have been thoroughly spanked in this one!
>>
>
>Sparkles butts in again.....sigh.

As did you. What's your point? And when are you going to enlighten the
group with your wisdom regarding modern power supplies?

538. Electronics Boring - Try New Legal Hallucinogen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 19:57:53 UTC
From: Salvia@BOXFROG.COM
Message-ID: <71a13612.0210061157.10a5de5e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139417

Salvia Divinorum has been compared to LSD by a recent ABC news article
http://abcnews.go.com/sections/us/DailyNews/divinorum020401.html

The http://www.iamshaman.com web site devoted to just Salvia Divinorum
and also has a online forum which has over 300 members who enjoy
discussing this exciting e
ffb
ntheogen. If you are interested in
buying some Salvia for yourself (as a smudge only) please come on over
and check out the IamShaman Salvia Divinorum store at
http://www.iamshaman.com/store.htm

Nothing sold at iamshaman is for human consumption so please don't
email them or call with questions regarding dosage levels. Even though
their products are not sold for human consumption (WINK) if someone
was foolish enough to either smoke his enhanced Salvia Divinorum products
or use his high Tincture you would not have anything to worry about
because they use the highest standards and infuse only 99% pure Salvinorin A
(the concentrated hallucinogen) from Salvia Divinorum into their enhanced
leaf and use pure Salvinorin for their tincture products too.

Products from iamshaman.com are free of any kind of contaminate.
What you will get is just Salvia Divinorum enhanced product - nothing
more or less but the very best. I think you will find the prices in the
salvia store to be better than anywhere else. They extract their leaf
with great love and care and put this into everything they sell.
Individuals who wish to remain anonymous and live in the Chicago area
can purchase their products with cash by appointment only, as they
do not have a walk in store and are primarily an internet business.

If you are just interested in joining the iamshaman forum to learn more
about Salvia before you buy, you can apply via a link on the main web
page at http://www.iamshaman.com - In order to join this group you must
agree that you are not a part of any kind of law enforcement organization.

Salvia Divinorum -is legal- but only if it is not sold for human
consumption. If you buy Salvia from IamShaman.com do not ask dosage
questions or indicate that you intend on using it as a drug.

This post was NOT made by iamshaman or anyone associated with that
business, group, or web site.

539. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 20:01:10 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da09623.7761836@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139419

In <20021006154156.10593.00008015@mb-fx.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
(Timbo Nebo) wrote:

>your right frank, just like i dont use this amp thats always sitting around

That may very well be. I have lots of equipment sitting around that I
don't use. Interested in doing a little trading?

540. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 20:02:38 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da096d5.7939848@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139421

In <20021006145158.22102.00009049@mb-dd.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote:

>how come?
>
>> BTW, switchers
>>usually require a minimum load, something along the lines of 10% of
>>maximum.

The nature of the power supply is to amplify current. If there is no current, the voltage cannot be regulated. This isn't too much of a problem with linear supplies because they just sit there at max voltage until a load is applied.

But a switching supply works differently. It switches (at a fixed frequency) between full power and no power, and then filtered to average the voltage. If there is no load then it would never need to pulse to full power except once. But it can't do that, it must switch in full power regularly, even if for a very short time per pulse, in order to maintain a smooth output voltage. So that power must be either used or bled off, or the voltage will quickly climb to that of the supply input (which can be very high -- the supply in your computer runs off 120VAC and outputs 5VDC). So operation below minimum load will either damage the power supply or whatever it's connected to. It therefore requires a minimum load which is determined by the shortest time for the 'on' pulses.

If you didn't follow that, Leland could probably explain it better than I did.

541. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 20:06:39 UTC
From: Spell Checker <SC@webster.com>
Message-ID: <3DA097CF.F700D23F@webster.com>
Source record: 139420

The third troll bridge south on the Hershey Highway.

Timbo Nebo wrote:

> what bridge does voobner operate from his home???

542. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 20:08:13 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210061208.541e4852@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139422

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
(classic trolling snipped)

Hi Steve. Told you you'd abandon the other thread. Trolling in this one now?

--
From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

543. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 20:15:47 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021006161547.15697.00007783@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 139423

maybe so, what ya got?

>That may very well be. I have lots of equipment sitting around that I
>don't use. Interested in doing a little trading?

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

544. Re: Lelnad VS Seti

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 20:16:47 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021006161647.15697.00007786@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 139425

if i thought it would get away from the likes of you and voobner then i would
but he's even the top poster in there lately

>Sure, just as soon as you take your discussions about illegal
>operation to alt.radio.pirate.
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

545. Re: Where's Clyde #1? Re: ALL CB'ers ARE CRETINS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 20:16:56 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210061216.731fa013@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139424

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote
>Date: Sun, Oct 6, 2002 12:30 PM
>Message-id: <3da08f31.5983309@news.cet.com>
>
>In <c5ecbb69.0210061039.2e4ac7a1@posting.google.com>,
>richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>
>>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d908037.1159356@news.cet.com>...
>>>
>>> Doesn't that get your dander up, Clyde #1? So why aren't you
jumping
>>> on this guy's post like you do Voobner's? Could it be that you are
>>> just a nameless, spineless, brainless hypocrite?
>>
>>Nope. You just described YOURSELF, Jack.
>
>I stand in awe of such wit. Regardless, you failed to answer the
>question.

If Steve's bothering you, just post this. It works like spraying RAID
on a bug. -A

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired
for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the
operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of
a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by
the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at
the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so

inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

546. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 20:17:57 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da0994d.8571265@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139427

In <20021006155447.10593.00008023@mb-fx.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote:

>what bridge does voobner operate from his home???

A better question would be what other kinds of ship-to-shore communications are legal. An even better question would be if he actually uses the radio. But you should probably ask him that question, since I have no idea if he even uses it (he didn't say one way or the other, a detail which you obviously don't remember).

547. Re: Electronics Boring - Try New Legal Hallucinogen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 20:18:51 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021006161851.15697.00007787@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 139426

this guy could be a cb linear salesman

>Nothing sold at iamshaman is for human consumption so please don't
>email them or call with questions regarding dosage levels. Even though
>their products are not sold for human consumption (WINK) if someone
>was foolish enough to either smoke his enhanced Salvia Divinorum products
>or use his high Tincture you would not have anything to worry about
>because they use the highest standards and infuse only 99% pure Salvinorin A
>(the concentrated hallucinogen) from Salvia Divinorum into their enhanced
>leaf and use pure Salvinorin for their tincture products too.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

548. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 20:20:01 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da09a7e.8876648@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139429

In <20021006155402.10593.00008021@mb-fx.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote:

>>Haven't we been through this a long time ago?
>
>you wernt even around back then wee you

You have already proven that smoking dope can lead to memory loss, so there's no need to demonstrate any further.

549. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 20:21:43 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021006162143.15697.00007790@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 139428

i followed it fine, no need for nad. just wondering if some swtching supplies have a built in load? i would leave mine on while the radio was off which meant it had no load alot of the time and never noticed a problem. that wasnt good?

>
>But a switching supply works differently. It switches (at a fixed
>frequency) between full power and no power, and then filtered to
>average the voltage. If there is no load then it would never need to
>pulse to full power except once. But it can't do that, it must switch
>in full power regularly, even if for a very short time per pulse, in
>order to maintain a smooth output voltage. So that power must be
>either used or bled off, or the voltage will quickly climb to that of
>the supply input (which can be very high -- the supply in your
>computer runs off 120VAC and outputs 5VDC). So operation below minimum
>load will either damage the power supply or whatever it's connected
>to. It therefore requires a minimum load which is determined by the
>shortest time for the 'on' pulses.
>
>If you didn't follow that, Leland could probably explain it better
>than I did.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

550. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 20:24:32 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021006162432.15697.00007792@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 139430

being hooked up implies usage, hell voobie wont even say where he lives much
less what water he's near

>
>A better question would be what other kinds of ship-to-shore
>communications are legal. An even better question would be if he
>actually uses the radio. But you should probably ask him that
>question, since I have no idea if he even uses it (he didn't say one
>way or the other, a detail which you obviously don't remember).
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

551. Re: Electronics Boring - Try New Legal Hallucinogen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 20:24:52 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da09ba3.9169454@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139431

In <71a13612.0210061157.10a5de5e@posting.google.com>,
Salvia@BOXFROG.COM (Salvia Divinorum) wrote:

<snip>
>This post was NOT made by iamshaman or anyone associated with that
>business, group, or web site.

yeah. right. sure.

FWIW, if you want really cool hallucinations, just stay awake for
three days in a row. Doesn't cost a penny.

552. Re: Lelnad VS Seti

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 20:28:56 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da09c4d.9339392@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139432

In <20021006161647.15697.00007786@mb-cv.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
(Timbo Nebo) wrote:

>if i thought it would get away from the likes of you and voobner then i would
>but he's even the top poster in there lately

Then try alt.pirate.radio, alt.radio.cb.skip, or
alt.hemp.recreational.

553. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 20:50:59 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da09d42.9584302@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139433

In <20021006161547.15697.00007783@mb-cv.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote:

>maybe so, what ya got?

Depends on what you like. If you are into tubes I have that Hallicrafter's CB-3A, modified with crystal sockets on the front panel. For test equipment I still have my Hickok 121 cardmatic, but shipping might be a bit too expensive. On the bench there are a couple low-end sig-gens and an older single-trace O-scope. I also have piles of old CB radios, take your pick. For serious DXing I have an Ameco PT-2 preamplifier (manual is on the web). In consumer electronics, I just picked up a nice Technics SA-GX670 surround-sound receiver (110 watts x 2 or 80 watts x 4), and I still have that portable JVC AM/FM/PB/TV. Or maybe you are into antique broadcast radios -- I would have to compile a list for all of those. Or maybe you would like something educational...

I really don't think I have anything you would be interested in, Timmy. Oh...wait! Silly me, I almost forgot you like illegal stuff. Well, I still have that FM modulator, crystal controlled to 105.3 MHz. Intersted in pirate TV? I also have a TV modulator for Ch. 11. How about that?

554. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 20:55:01 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da0a260.10894263@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139434

In <20021006162143.15697.00007790@mb-cv.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
(Timbo Nebo) wrote:

>i followed it fine, no need for nad. just wondering if some swtching supplies
>have a built in load? i would leave mine on while the radio was off which meant
>it had no load alot of the time and never noticed a problem. that wasnt good?

Not really. You are right, some switchers have a built-in load, but
that's not always a good idea because that internal load may not be
intended for continuous no-load operation. It doesn't hurt to switch
off the supply when you are not using it; after all, it doesn't take
any warm-up time.

555. Re: Lelnad VS Seti

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 21:08:05 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021006170805.15697.00007799@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 139435

sounds cool, i wish aol would carry it. am i missing something or is it just more of this BS?

>alt.radio.cb.skip,

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

556. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 21:10:47 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021006171047.15697.00007800@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 139436

>Depends on what you like. If you are into tubes I have that

yeah i like tubes, mostly 572b's and up

>Well, I still have that FM modulator, crystal controlled to 105.3 MHz.
>Intersted in pirate TV? I also have a TV modulator for Ch. 11. How
>about that?

well 11 is occupied here in houston but i think 105.3 might be clear :)

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

557. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 21:33:25 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <ZFidnSBbCtu7MT2gXTWc3Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139437

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210061025.4f8c6ef6@posting.google.com...

> Aanother unsupportable Aanal Aaron Aassumption. Most CBers do NOT use
> amps. Can you prove otherwise, Aanal Aaron?

Can you prove he is wrong? Dick head?

> Maybe, maybe not. Can you prove it Aanal Aaron?

Can you prove he is wrong, Dick Head?

> Prove it, Aanal Aaron. You can't. It has become difficult (but not
> "impossible") to communicate on CB simply because of the sheer numbers
> of operators trying to use the "legal 40".

Complete Bullshit, there are less Cb operators now then at any time ever
before, Can you prove otherwise?

558. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 21:34:08 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <2VKdnbnkK8bPMT2gXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139438

"Timbo Nebo" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20021006143951.22102.00009048@mb-dd.aol.com...
> since when is he a ham????

Who Richard Cranuim I don't htink he is a ham either.

559. Re: Electronics Boring - Try New Legal Hallucinogen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 21:46:40 UTC
From: "Alan S." <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns929F964CDD161rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 139439

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in news:3da09ba3.9169454
@news.cet.com:

> In <71a13612.0210061157.10a5de5e@posting.google.com>,
> Salvia@BOXFROG.COM (Salvia Divinorum) wrote:
>
> <snip>
>>This post was NOT made by iamshaman or anyone associated with that
>>business, group, or web site.
>
> yeah. right. sure.
>
> FWIW, if you want really cool hallucinations, just stay awake for
> three days in a row. Doesn't cost a penny.
>

I once drove from the Central Valley of California to Gillette, Wyonimg
and back over a 3 day weekend. It took me 26 hours to get there. I
visited with friends I hadn't seen since I was in the 2nd grade for 6
hours, then turned around and came back. Ont the way back, I routinely
slammed on by brakes to avoid hitting those big gizzly bears on I-80
through the salt flats :)

It's a great story, and funny as hell to tell. I was an idiot, and would
never do something that stupid again.

Cost? Gas money, coffee, and ephedrine. Oh, and I probably took a year
off of my life :)

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

560. Re: Lelnad VS Seti

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 21:56:16 UTC
From: "Alan S." <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns929F97EC76478rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 139440

timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote in news:20021006153824.10593.00008014
@mb-fx.aol.com:

> take this thread back to alt.nerd.boring
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>

I don't know, I'm diggin' it. Of course, I've got a streak of geek in me.
:)

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

561. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 22:50:33 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021006185033.15711.00007684@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 139441

i dont know who he is but i know voobner has admitted to not being one unless he's recently licensed

>
>Who Richard Cranuim I don't htink he is a ham either.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

562. SD Jones what you know about this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 22:54:02 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021006185402.15711.00007686@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 139442

http://galvestondailynews.com/specialmissing.lasso

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

563. Re: president george issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 23:46:42 UTC
From: Tazanator <tazanator1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <CX3o9.60815$Pz.64566@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 139443

Never held of the solding thingie but there is a key code for "international use" key code is done before power is pressed ... just follow the instructions (unless you already ruined it...)

"browen" <wim_debruyn@pandora.be> wrote in message news:f3Vn9.148459$8o4.21802@afrodite.telenet-ops.be...
> hi,
>
> I recently saw patch for my george, it was about soldering a resistor
> of 560 ohm over the uniden IC in the control-panel.
>
> They were also talking about some key-combination that came with it. I was
> supposed to press the power-key off and on, keep pa+dimmer pressed down,
> release it back press M1....etc...
>
> Thing is...that the panel-light should stayed on before pressing M1...he
> just switches off, and i have to give in my key-code.
>
> I am now working in AM/FM/USB/LSB 40 channel mode, but it seems that i
> cannot get to the 240 channels they promised me.
>
> anyone who has a clue ?
>
>
>
>

564. Re: GE 3-5871A Channel Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 23:49:48 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@casscomm.com>
Message-ID: <ao88fi$anaj$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 139821

try http://www.cbtricks.com you can buy expo kits from there.

"DJ Jiles" <dj@fixitup.cc> wrote in message
news:80Gp9.119$xj2.226181@news1.atlantic.net...
> I am looking for a upper and lower channel mod for a GE 3-5871A Base. Any
> help is appreciated.
>
> DJ
>
> --
>
>

565. Obedience school...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-06 23:59:01 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <974o9.111581$121.2421715@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139444

Speak George. Now roll over. Sit. Stay.

Good boy! (pat on George's head)

Now you can go back to licking your butt.

566. Re: Obedience school...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 00:26:39 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <dBudnQIOKqpdST2gXTWc3A@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139445

Chapwoman who's licking who's butt here, seems your the dog chasing after me
you even enamoured enough to use my name again as part of your screen name
you have even taken to changing your name daily as some sort of tribute to
me. So I will ask again my drug doing friend who is licking who's butt here.
Get off you hands and knees I know when i am licked.

"Busch'sMaster" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote in message
news:974o9.111581$121.2421715@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> Speak George. Now roll over. Sit. Stay.
>
>
> Good boy! (pat on George's head)
>
> Now you can go back to licking your butt.
>
>

567. schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 00:29:30 UTC
From: Chad <vze2mxmv@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Kz4o9.17853$Mw4.10785@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 139446

does anyone have a schematic on how to build a linear?
thanks

568. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 00:29:41 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Vz4o9.13220$lV3.1257866@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 139297

The FCC thinks if you have it, you use it. Holds up in court too.
<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da0994d.8571265@news.cet.com...
> In <20021006155447.10593.00008023@mb-fx.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
> (Timbo Nebo) wrote:
>
> >what bridge does voobner operate from his home???
>
> A better question would be what other kinds of ship-to-shore
> communications are legal. An even better question would be if he
> actually uses the radio. But you should probably ask him that
> question, since I have no idea if he even uses it (he didn't say one
> way or the other, a detail which you obviously don't remember).
>
>

569. Re: Obedience school...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 00:38:11 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <TH4o9.90893$8o3.2344756@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139298

Top posting isn't cool, but oh well. Anyway, glad to see you are so obedient, George. I knew you would be.

Good Boy!

"Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote in message news:dBudnQIOKqpdST2gXTWc3A@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
> Chapwoman who's licking who's butt here, seems your the dog chasing after me
> you even enamoured enough to use my name again as part of your screen name
> you have even taken to changing your name daily as some sort of tribute to
> me. So I will ask again my drug doing friend who is licking who's butt here.
> Get off you hands and knees I know when i am licked.
>
>
>
> "Busch'sMaster" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote in message
> news:974o9.111581$121.2421715@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > Speak George. Now roll over. Sit. Stay.
> >
> >
> > Good boy! (pat on George's head)
> >
> > Now you can go back to licking your butt.
> >
> >
>
>

570. Re: For twithed the pink muffin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 00:45:29 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210061645.f8ec540@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139299

anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<b12afcb0.0210051656.2c18a599@posting.google.com>...
> From: Twithead@webtv.net (Twithead@webtv.net)
> Subject: Re: CBer's to be fined
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Date: 1999/10/06
> Everyone knows keyclown sissies on 11 meters are queens. So what? So
> we have our
> superiors out there trying to convince us that we ought to change our
> ways and go hetero. Look, I,ll make it real simple 4 u mean hammies
> who know more about reality than I will ever comprehend. We know the
> risks, if we take the chance, most of us are ready to deal with the
> consequences should the AIDS set in. I have lust for MOST hams,but I
> achieve gay sex contacts on illegal CB channels
> at a fraction of the intelligence it takes to be even a no coder. If I
> appeal to a fellow gayboy, all they have to do is knock on my door. We
> will work it out and have us a good ole time together, just like I do
> with my wife Dweebo.
> I have been in the same area for quite some years and have no problems
> being as gay as I want. If I am sucking anyone who wants it, why the
> hell should you care WHAT I do? The bottom line is I am queer and you
> aint. Get a life. You guys that bitch about us gaybanders and "peaked"
> radios have way too much intelligence for me to comprehend. I don't
> need to slurp anymore than 30 guys where I am located and I get
> invites on 555 from all over the world......yes.. on the queerband.
> Nothng makes me quiver in lust more than one of you hammies to come in
> to my conversation and start
> preaching about rules. God, I love to suck you squashers.
>
> Gettin'
> WAY-DOWN ON DWEEBO from the sandpile

Ahhhh yes, another forge from Doug (Doggie-style) Adairierre, N8WWM.
So go suck somebody, Doggie. Nobody cares.

571. Re: Lelnad VS Seti

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 00:45:40 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da0d90f.24893657@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139300

In <20021006170805.15697.00007799@mb-cv.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
(Timbo Nebo) wrote:

>sounds cool, i wish aol would carry it. am i missing something or is it just
>more of this BS?

If you are using AOL then yes, you are missing something -- the rest
of the internet.

572. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 00:47:59 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da0d955.24963961@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139301

In <20021006171047.15697.00007800@mb-cv.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote:

>>Depends on what you like. If you are into tubes I have that
>
>yeah i like tubes, mostly 572b's and up

Overpriced and overrated. If you want old tubes for RF, check out the 807 and 812.

573. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 01:17:44 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <Yg5o9.65674$DN4.9434@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 139302

Heres one.

http://www.qsl.net/wb8erj/813mainsch.htm

"Chad" <vze2mxmv@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:Kz4o9.17853$Mw4.10785@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
>
> does anyone have a schematic on how to build a linear?
> thanks
>
>

574. Re: Where's Clyde #1? Re: ALL CB'ers ARE CRETINS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 01:27:17 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DA0E2F5.FEE3BC8@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139308

Richard Craniumless wrote:

> Because you're such a dimwit?

Speaking of dimwits, found the 10 meter band yet dickie?

575. Re: Schematic needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 01:52:08 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <n.gianakopoulos@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <cN5o9.6294$k_2.464468@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139303

I had one of those units around 30 years ago. If that is the one with the tunable receiver, and 5 or so Tx channels, that is a very hot unit. One of the best receivers I've seen in years. I remember it having a crystal filter at the 1st I.F. and a low 2nd I.F. of around 262kHz. Good luck!

Pete

Mike Scott <buzzards@optonline.net> wrote in message news:2aHn9.16442$GF5.827105@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> Hi everyone, I just aquired an International Crystal Executive ctz-100 that
> is inop. I need a schematic for this unit. Any ideas?
> Thank you.
>
>

576. Re: Obedience school...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 02:11:01 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <_66cnWD3yuz7Oj2gXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139304

Watch as I say Jump.. Chapwoman will reply with how high.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Busch'sMaster" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote in message
news:TH4o9.90893$8o3.2344756@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Top posting isn't cool, but oh well. Anyway, glad to see you are so
> obedient, George. I knew you would be.
>
> Good Boy!
>
>
>
> "Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote in message
> news:dBudnQIOKqpdST2gXTWc3A@News.GigaNews.Com...
> >
> > Chapwoman who's licking who's butt here, seems your the dog chasing
after
> me
> > you even enamoured enough to use my name again as part of your screen
name
> > you have even taken to changing your name daily as some sort of tribute
to
> > me. So I will ask again my drug doing friend who is licking who's butt
> here.
> > Get off you hands and knees I know when i am licked.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Busch'sMaster" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote in message
> > news:974o9.111581$121.2421715@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > >
> > > Speak George. Now roll over. Sit. Stay.
> > >
> > >
> > > Good boy! (pat on George's head)
> > >
> > > Now you can go back to licking your butt.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>

577. Re: Where's Clyde #1? Re: ALL CB'ers ARE CRETINS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 02:54:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210061854.39a0a314@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139305

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210061216.731fa013@posting.google.com>...
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote
> >Date: Sun, Oct 6, 2002 12:30 PM
> >Message-id: <3da08f31.5983309@news.cet.com>
> >
> >In <c5ecbb69.0210061039.2e4ac7a1@posting.google.com>,
> >richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> >
> >>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d908037.1159356@news.cet.com>...
> >>>
> >>> Doesn't that get your dander up, Clyde #1? So why aren't you
> jumping
> >>> on this guy's post like you do Voobner's? Could it be that you are
> >>> just a nameless, spineless, brainless hypocrite?
> >>
> >>Nope. You just described YOURSELF, Jack.
> >
> >I stand in awe of such wit. Regardless, you failed to answer the
> >question.
>
>
> If Steve's bothering you, just post this. It works like spraying RAID
> on a bug. -A

Hasn't worked yet. In fact, I note that YOU left the other thread, not
me.
So, where's the PROOF Aanal Aaron? You still haven't posted it;
repetition is not proof. All you're doing is showing how frustrated
and desperate you really are!

578. Re: Where's Clyde #1? Re: ALL CB'ers ARE CRETINS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 02:55:16 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210061855.48c3e0af@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139306

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3da08f31.5983309@news.cet.com>...
> In <c5ecbb69.0210061039.2e4ac7a1@posting.google.com>,
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>
> >yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d908037.1159356@news.cet.com>...
> >>
> >> Doesn't that get your dander up, Clyde #1? So why aren't you jumping
> >> on this guy's post like you do Voobner's? Could it be that you are
> >> just a nameless, spineless, brainless hypocrite?
> >
> >Nope. You just described YOURSELF, Jack.
>
> I stand in awe of such wit.

Because you're such a dimwit?

Regardless, you failed to answer the
> question.

What question? You mean your rhetorical TROLL?

579. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 03:02:10 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210061902.1ffd6a60@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139307

>ichcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>Date: Sun, Oct 6, 2002 7:54 PM
>Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210061854.39a0a314@posting.google.com>
>
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210061216.731fa013@posting.google.com>...
>> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote
>> >Date: Sun, Oct 6, 2002 12:30 PM
>> >Message-id: <3da08f31.5983309@news.cet.com>
>> >
>> >In <c5ecbb69.0210061039.2e4ac7a1@posting.google.com>,
>> >richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>> >
>> >>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d908037.1159356@news.cet.com>...
>> >>>
>> >>> Doesn't that get your dander up, Clyde #1? So why aren't you
>> jumping
>> >>> on this guy's post like you do Voobner's? Could it be that you
are
>> >>> just a nameless, spineless, brainless hypocrite?
>> >>
>> >>Nope. You just described YOURSELF, Jack.
>> >
>> >I stand in awe of such wit. Regardless, you failed to answer the
>> >question.
>>
>>
>> If Steve's bothering you, just post this. It works like spraying
RAID
>> on a bug. -A
>
>Hasn't worked yet.

Some pests require extra spraying. -A

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there

in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

580. Like New Galaxy DX-949 with D104 and rare maco echo box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 03:32:32 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <trv1quoamqga0to7d5es3a7ll3bs08j6hr@4ax.com>
Source record: 139309

I have a Like New Galaxy DX-949 with D104 and rare maco echo box,949 is in the box converted for extra frequencies.also will let a D104 636L go with it and a super rare Maco Echo Box in MINT condition.Best offer or trade for other radios or scanners,take paypal also with all positive feedback.make offer

581. Re: Check out this CBer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 03:34:27 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7h7o9.65843$PP.98785@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 139310

"KillaKeyClown" <killakeyclown@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:86209e94.0210061143.43f10f43@posting.google.com...
> shdwdrgn@hotmail.com (Shadow) wrote in message
news:<e3947bf4.0210031120.6be92be9@posting.google.com>...
> > you want to see a guy with his head up his ass take a look at this guys
Sites
> >
> > http://www.geocities.com/step_pappy
> > http://www.geocities.com/themainpage2002/
> > http://www.geocities.com/ihateinbreads/
>
> Hmm.. those last two can't be found... maybe the ISP's got a wiff of
> their content...

Still works for me. Wouldn't matter if their ISP knew or not. Those pages
are hosted on Geocities. It is Geocities who would close the pages, if the
ISP used that as an excuse to cancel the account the web page would still be
up and could be maintained from ANY computer provided you knew the user/pass
for the site.

Dave

582. Re: Where's Clyde #1? Re: ALL CB'ers ARE CRETINS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 03:36:55 UTC
From: who cares? <who-cares@apathy.net>
Message-ID: <uq20bmm2go39e4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139311

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DA0E2F5.FEE3BC8@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Richard Craniumless wrote:
>
> > Because you're such a dimwit?
>
> Speaking of dimwits, found the 10 meter band yet dickie?

Little Johnny 1 note speaks,... repeats himself yet again!
(senile?)

583. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 03:55:31 UTC
From: Spell Checker <SC@webster.com>
Message-ID: <3DA105B3.A0B88D9E@webster.com>
Source record: 139312

And if you want to understand how it works, go to

http://www.communication-concepts.com/appnotes.htm

To understand the big picture of AM and how wattage
and dead key are so misleading, go to

http://www.bigradios.com/kd6tas

radiofun wrote:
>
> Heres one.
>
> http://www.qsl.net/wb8erj/813mainsch.htm
>
> "Chad" <vze2mxmv@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:Kz4o9.17853$Mw4.10785@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> >
> > does anyone have a schematic on how to build a linear?
> > thanks
> >
> >

584. Re: can two regulated power supplies be combined for more current?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 04:38:16 UTC
From: AJ Sanderson <sjay@noospam.com>
Message-ID: <anr331$m21$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 139313

Wow! I never expected such a response. Anyway, I have decided not to parallel the two supplies I have. If I need more current, I'll use a larger unit.

AJ

585. CLASSICS OF TYRANNY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 04:56:10 UTC
From: george craig <geocraig@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Kt8o9.6438$k_2.484855@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139314

go here http://freedom.orlingrabbe.com/lfetimes/communist_manifesto.htm

586. Adair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 05:30:39 UTC
From: keyclownbusters@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021007013039.02248.00012937@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 139315

Doug sux nutz. Doug sux nutz. Doug sux nutz.

587. Re: Adair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 05:35:25 UTC
From: coaxlengthpolice@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021007013525.01103.00014843@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 139316

>Subject: Adair
>From: keyclownbusters@aol.com (Keyclown Busters)
>Date: 10/7/2002 12:30 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021007013039.02248.00012937@mb-mu.aol.com>
>
>Doug sux nutz. Doug sux nutz. Doug sux nutz.
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yes, and he has a really soft tongue and supple lips. I'm so glad he's mine.

588. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 06:55:03 UTC
From: "Alan S." <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns929FF32EE3D8Drrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 139317

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in news:3da0d955.24963961
@news.cet.com:

> In <20021006171047.15697.00007800@mb-cv.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
> (Timbo Nebo) wrote:
>
>>>Depends on what you like. If you are into tubes I have that
>>
>>yeah i like tubes, mostly 572b's and up
>
> Overpriced and overrated. If you want old tubes for RF, check out the
> 807 and 812.
>
>

OK, I've been reading alot of posts lately that mention tubes. The numbers
(like 807 or 812) are like Greek to me. Would anybody care to point me in
the direction of something that would help me decipher what's what?
Honestly, I don't know how you guys remember all those numbers <g>

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

589. FS: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 10:36:52 UTC
From: galaxyelc@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021007063652.25185.00009930@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 139318

excellent condition,original owner,has NOT been "Golden Screwdrivered" to death!! 40 watts out,about a year old,used briefly in mobile,about a month,rest of the time used in house,comes with manual,bracket,mic,dc cord,..$169 PLus half of shipping...Thanks...KA8UWR

590. Radioshack TRC-1015

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 10:58:02 UTC
From: brian cleverly <brian.cleverly@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <3DA168BA.29728D12@btinternet.com>
Source record: 139319

Can anyone help? I have the above, bought 2 years ago from my local
Tandy Store in Brighton, UK, who I believe are no more. I am trying to
get a
12v battery pack for it, have looked on the Radioshack site, but no
luck. Does anyone know where I could get a battery for this Rig?

591. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 10:59:41 UTC
From: Chad <vze2mxmv@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <xOdo9.21017$Mw4.8763@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 139320

thanks all!!! greatly appreciate it

"Spell Checker" <SC@webster.com> wrote in message
news:3DA105B3.A0B88D9E@webster.com...
> And if you want to understand how it works, go to
>
> http://www.communication-concepts.com/appnotes.htm
>
> To understand the big picture of AM and how wattage
> and dead key are so misleading, go to
>
> http://www.bigradios.com/kd6tas
>
> radiofun wrote:
> >
> > Heres one.
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/wb8erj/813mainsch.htm
> >
> > "Chad" <vze2mxmv@verizon.net> wrote in message
> > news:Kz4o9.17853$Mw4.10785@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> > >
> > > does anyone have a schematic on how to build a linear?
> > > thanks
> > >
> > >

592. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 11:38:12 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DA17224.2DBB@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 139321

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> Twisteboy wrote:
> ....but if you reiterate over and over that you are a nocode hammie,
>
>
> >You really have a problem with reading comprehension twistie, I will
> t y p e t h i s v e r y s l o w l y s o m a y b e y o u
> c a n g r a s p i t.
> I have never claimed to be a nocode licensee, I took my General and
> Advanced class test in front of an FCC examiner, long before VECs and
> nocode were ever thought of.<<
>
> Your *behavior* dictates it. You reiterate this hammie stuff of yours
> overand over so it must mean the world to you that you are indeed
> recognized as not just a no-code. To us, you are a nocode unless you put
> forward somethng you asked of us....your call. Now, b4 you come on half
> cocked, I'm not the least concerned who or what you are, but since it is
> so imperative for you to distinguish yourself from the nocodeds, I
> merely thought you would like to provide the opportunity to share and
> spread your good will.
>
> I tend to give folks the benefit of the doubt,,,,,,,,,,thinking most
> people can actually tell the difference between doing something to an
> unparticipating or unwilling other vs. doing something to yourself. Let
> us set aside for the moment these socialist protests coming from the
> trouble posse named by "Aaron" (Frank Gilliland, Lelnad KC8LDO, Dave
> Hall, George Busch). Dave Hall has set forth many times the view that
> "we are part of society , therefore we must conform to that society". If
> he was honest with those posts, this proves him a socialist beyond a
> SHADOW of doubt. The scary part is he thinks this socialism approach
> correct without realizing the philosophy he is espousing.
> Our great country is not, was not, and never will be an experiment
> toward socialism.
>
> We are free to be INDEPENDENT...to experiment with our
> independence. I use the term "experiment" because compared to the rest
> of the world, we are a baby country, the new kid on the block. It is a
> tragedy that some of our government officials have decided to end
> the liberties of our country and slide backwards and downwards
> toward totalitarianism.
>
> Their propaganda has succeeded to the point

> of brainwashing folks like Dave Hall and his socialist/commie heathen
> friends to where they spread this poisonous and venemous philosophy.
> "Aaron" in his current carnation is highly efficient here. If he wasn;t
> such a...a....you know what I mean........he would make a great ad or PR
> man.....I probably could even help him find employment.
>
> This Orwellian society Dave Hall aspires for has no more right to pick a
> citizen's intoxicant than they do to pick your religion or you do to
> tell us how to act on cb.
>
>
> To Dave Hall and the others who have mentioned that we need heed all
> societal acceptances....
> until you in the minority can understand that to actually be free
> requires that we be unfettered by each other concerning our
> self-directed activities, whatever you profess concerning "conforming to
> society" is reprehensible and not at all realistic. You don't own me, my
> body or my mind. I never allowed another to supercede my own will and
> never shall, but you are welcome to foolishly spend your life wailing
> about my kind of freedom loving individuals who think for ourselves and
> do not need told or directed what to do or how to do it.
>
> We have been down this road before and you still are having problems
> comprehending this aspect. I don't believe you understand what freedom
> really entails.
>
> It's quite simplistic, really. I just laugh every time some anti-idiot
> tries to void my views and my actions.
>
> I was going to ask Dave Hall why I should subjugate my views over what I
> choose
> in favor of his,,,,why should he get to tell others how to live, but
> dealing with brainwashed, unscrubbed socialists is pointless. This is
> America and his kind can be found under many rocks, should one turn
> enough of them over.
>
> Can this uniformity that Dave Hall aspires for actually work?
> Let's see,,,millions have been imprisoned, died, etc., over the drug
> war, even innocents. Have we slowed narcotic trafficking? No, not at
> all. Are the streets safer? No, they're worse. Are drugs harder to get?
> No, they're easier.
>
> (Illicit) Drugs are an intentional revenue generating scourge
> perpetuated by the government.
> Another analogy that can be drawn was the introduction of Christianity.
> Way back when, people were burnt at the stake, threatened, blackballed,
> imprisoned, family members tortured, etc. Let us see how far our
> civilization has moved toward what "society" says was correct. What was
> the fallout of that coercion?
> Did they eliminate other religions? No, other religions prospered and

> proliferated, by not conforming to "society". Salem alleged witchcraft
> was their bane, not unlike how Dave Hall claims those who do not conform
> to all of societies rules and laws are criminals.
>
> If "aaron's" named trouble posse takes issue with the above, it's no
> surprise. The GIST of the message was written by none other than Thomas
> Jefferson. I'm done with my rant and homage to Jefferson. Ya'll have a
> super weekend. I need to get some baitfish, *IF*
> the wake of the storm will allow it.

I must've really upset you. Good. For someone to remember these thoughts gives them something for which to reflect against.

I am not even close to a socialist. I am the most freedom loving conservative, free market, capitalist that there ever was. But absolute freedom is anarchy. As much as I might like to do what I want all the time, I am not living alone on a desert island. I have to share this land with other people, so I have to respect their rights as well. Such is the basis for the laws that govern us.

What you failed to understand was that for society to function, there must be laws. Laws remind those of us who have morals, and give us a platform to use against those who don't.

No one said that everyone has to wear their hair a certain way, and go to the bathroom on even hours, and all drive the same car etc. But there are some BASIC laws that need to be followed, if society is to continue to remain civilized. This in spite of the fact that we're breeding new generations that do not understand this concept and continually challenge and defy anything that they don't personally agree with, and who seem to have the misbegotten notion that they can selectively ignore any and all laws that they don't agree with, and who whine incessently when caught and punished for their indescretions, or even when their lack of civility is pointed out to them by those who live to a higher standard.

If we, as a society, allow people to ignore certain laws, then what message does that send about our ability to enforce the remaining laws, and about our resolve to maintain a (somewhat) orderly society?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

593. Re: Lelnad VS Seti

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 11:57:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21321-3DA176B1-183@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139323

From: timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo)
sounds cool, i wish aol would carry it. am i missing something or is it
just more of this BS?
alt.radio.cb.skip,
Tim Nebo
http://www.TimNebo.com
-
You aint missin' nuthin'! There are a total of like 16 posts there since
September 26.

594. Re: hey everyone in Louisiana and Texas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 12:01:34 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21320-3DA1779E-494@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139324

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
Twist, anyone who enjoys jazz, cajun food, and a few tall cool ones is
good people in my book!
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
-
Keith does plenty of good for folks, that is obvious by what he is
involved in. I wasn't judging him anyway whatsoever for drinking..I just
remember a post not too long ago where he said he quit drinking in the
aftermath of 9/11. I know what it's like to relapse,,,cigarettes were my
problem and I smoked like a chimney for 21 years before putting them
down for good.

595. Re: Hey there, Mr. Blue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 12:03:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21323-3DA17820-26@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139325

From: z26915@aol.com (Z26915)
hey Twist just a couple of days ago "Baby Blue" was born.So Tony may not
have as much time for Dx'NG.
See ya
-
Hey, well alrighty then, a new generation of Aussie DXers coming
up....good show! Thanks for the info and catch ya' in space!

596. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 12:13:43 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210070413.3e806d92@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139322

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210061902.1ffd6a60@posting.google.com>...
> >ichcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >Date: Sun, Oct 6, 2002 7:54 PM
> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210061854.39a0a314@posting.google.com>
> >
> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210061216.731fa013@posting.google.com>...
> >> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote
> >> >Date: Sun, Oct 6, 2002 12:30 PM
> >> >Message-id: <3da08f31.5983309@news.cet.com>
> >> >
> >> >In <c5ecbb69.0210061039.2e4ac7a1@posting.google.com>,
> >> >richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d908037.1159356@news.cet.com>...
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Doesn't that get your dander up, Clyde #1? So why aren't you
> jumping
> >> >>> on this guy's post like you do Voobner's? Could it be that you
> are
> >> >>> just a nameless, spineless, brainless hypocrite?
> >> >>
> >> >>Nope. You just described YOURSELF, Jack.
> >> >
> >> >I stand in awe of such wit. Regardless, you failed to answer the
> >> >question.
> >>
> >>
> >> If Steve's bothering you, just post this. It works like spraying
> RAID
> >> on a bug. -A
> >
> >Hasn't worked yet.
>
> Some pests require extra spraying. -A

Hasn't worked and it won't work, Aanal Aaron, because YOU are the only
real "pest" in this newsgroup, and also because you're smearing an
innocent person (who doesn't happen to be me as you, yourself,
proved). You can't stand it that I won't follow your instructions and
"go away"! Don't worry, I'll be right here to correct your deliberate
misquotes, counter your TROLLing, and make you even more frustrated

and desperate than you already are. Pathetic little boy, for sure.

597. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 12:19:08 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210070419.5ca90097@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Busch'sMaster" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote in message
news:<cd0o9.89164$8o3.2282415@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0210051717.37591f83@posting.google.com...
> > "BuschWhacker" antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com wrote
> > >You're not trolling, are you Aaron? Nah, no way. I won't
> > >believe it! LOL!!!!
> >
> > Hi Randy. Is trolling a troll considered trolling? :)
> >
> > -A
>
> Hi Aaron. Did you think I was trying to hide? Duh! Surely you're not that
> stupid.

Obviously he is that stupid! He offered to "Gladly" post proof that I
was "Steve", but he can't because he doesn't have any such proof. But
he will not simply admit the fact, either. He's never been correct
about anything but won't stop posting his deliberate
misinterpretations and inaccurate statements.

But since he's just a TROLL and he's not trolling a troll, he's also a
Liar First Class. Too bad he can't post anything logical or correct;
he might actually have some value as a human being if he could.

598. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 12:22:14 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3da17c22.120378995@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 139327

On Fri, 4 Oct 2002 15:59:14 -0400, "Fred Flintstoned"
<legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote:

>
><chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
>news:3d9d875c.64738584@news.optonline.net...
>> On Thu, 03 Oct 2002 21:45:24 GMT, "One Eyed Purple People Eater"
>> <1eye@xyz.com> wrote:
>>
>> Obviously I am not him dopey. But thanks for the help from the other
>> people who actually like talking about cb stuff and not just crap.
>
>
>Go back to your channel 6 toilet Bowl IcecoldNYC
>
>
Actually I cant talk on that channel but I am pretty good on channel
28. Also wouldn't people from channel 6 know the answers to these
questions dopey? I am not ice and sorry you will have to live with
that.

599. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 12:24:51 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8454-3DA17D13-437@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139328

Dave Hall, with semi-sticky palms scribed:
>I must've really upset you.<<

You're incorrect, again. I just enjoy educating commies and socialists
and if I can't do that, I just point it out for all to see.

> Good. <<

Well, of course if you were able
to upset me that would be "good" for you. It illustrates your troll
status perfectly and
shows your true colors immensely. Too bad you failed.

>For someone to remember these thoughts gives them something for which
to reflect against.<<

Oh, and I do...most socialists, commies and would-be-dictators are
remembered quite well. Don't worry Hall, your socialist attitude and
shitty disposition will be reflected on as long as you are present.

>I am not even close to a socialist. <<

You apparently have no clue what one entails because the fluff you
spread is the perfectly parallel with the socialism.

>I am the most freedom loving conservative, free market, capitalist that
there ever was. But absolute freedom is anarchy. <<

Your opinion means nothing in contrast to Thomas Jeffersons. The
affliction of delusion manifests once again from you........

>As much as I might like to do what I want all the time, <<

Here is where you are wrong,,,again. No one here except YOU has claimed
they want to do what they want ALL the time besisdes you?
We selectively disregard certain rules. You admitting you would LIKE to
do whatever you want ALL the time echoes a much more criminal prone mind
than anyone you hurl stones at.

>I am not living alone on a desert island. I have to share this land
with other people, so I have to respect their rights as well. <<

Then buy a house and get out of that apartment so you have your own
property you don't have to share.

>Such is the basis for the laws that govern us. <

Says you.

>What you failed to understand was that for society to function, there must be laws. <<

Never took issue with that so we can just snip you're rant....you're preaching to the choir and I never claimed that laws must not exist, only that certain laws are bad, outdated, and serve no funcion and that we shouldn't blindly follow all of them.

>Laws remind those of us who have morals,<<

So do the actions of one who attempts to takes pleasure in "Upsetting" another.
Keep going Hall, your foot is already being digested a small ways past your esophagus.

> and give us a platform to use against those who don't. <<

But you don't qualify as an "us", as your extreme views are in the minority here. 'US" can only be construed as who "Aaron" referred to when namin his trouble posse. Yourself, George, Frank Gilliand and KC8LDO Lelnad.

>No one said that everyone has to wear their hair a certain way, and go to the bathroom on even hours, and all drive the same car etc. But there are some BASIC laws that need to be followed, if society is to continue to remain civilized. <<

Yup, well DX isn't a "basic" law and labeling those who are do so criminals
is a lesson of absurdity from your grey matter.

>This in spite of the fact that we're breeding new generations that do not understand this concept and continually challenge and defy anything that they don't personally agree with, and who seem to have the misbegotten notion that they can selectively ignore any and all laws that they don't agree with, and who whine incessently when caught and punished for their indescretions, or even when their lack of civility is pointed out to them by those who live to a higher standard. <<

Hey, you just described yourself to a "T",along with the other troubled posse members. I never brought anythign to you rabid hammies door, y'all brought it to mind and cry like a little baby when it's dished back your way.

>If we, as a society,<<

You are not a part of society at large. At least of the majority views.

> allow people to ignore certain laws, then what message does that send about our ability to enforce the remaining laws, and about our resolve to maintain a (somewhat) orderly society?
Dave
"Sandbagger"<<

You don't have a choice in
"allowing"" anything another does. This is what greatly upsets you. Get
over it and your socialistic ways.

600. Re: Adair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 13:56:48 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <xtecnWLPk-c9DzygXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139329

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownbusters@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021007013039.02248.00012937@mb-mu.aol.com...
> Doug sux nutz. Doug sux nutz. Doug sux nutz.

Impressive Randy Chapwoman

601. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 14:04:33 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <vq6cnRXjjYM4Az-gXTWcpw@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139330

<chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3da17c22.120378995@news.optonline.net...
> Actually I cant talk on that channel but I am pretty good on channel
> 28. Also wouldn't people from channel 6 know the answers to these
> questions dopey?
IceCold,just because you talk on channel 6 doesn't mean you know the first
thing about radio. you black guys don't make rf techs anyhow.

602. Re: KD4CXJ &#65533;

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 14:06:10 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <EB2cncQFi5NOCTygXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139331

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

>Don't worry, I'll be right here to correct your deliberate misquotes,
counter your TROLLing, and make you even more frustrated and desperate than
you already are. Pathetic little boy, for sure.

Wow sounds like you need to get a life if thats the only reason your here
DickHead.

603. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 14:25:54 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <eZOdnW8dJo46Pj-gXTWcpw@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139332

<chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3da17c22.120378995@news.optonline.net...
>> Actually I cant talk on that channel but I am pretty good on channel
> 28. Also wouldn't people from channel 6 know the answers to these
> questions dopey? I am not ice and sorry you will have to live with
> that.

BrandNew=chimbuba=IceColdNYC

From: brandnew@hotmail.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: TO ALL THE HATERS.... LIGHTEN UP & LAUGH A LITTLE!
Reply-To: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3c9223fa.1382973@news-server.optonline.net>
References: <20020312193715.18681.00000380@mb-ba.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 64
Date: Fri, 15 Mar 2002 16:41:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.185.140.148
X-Trace: news02.optonline.net 1016210474 24.185.140.148 (Fri, 15 Mar 2002
11:41:14 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 15 Mar 2002 11:41:14 EST
Organization: Optimum Online

604. OT- Supreme Court Ruling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 14:40:10 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <01ho9.648$j83.97332@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 139334

The Supreme Court has ruled that there cannot be a nativity
scene in Washington, DC, this Christmas.

This isn't for any religious constitutional reason, they simply
can't find three wisemen and a virgin in the nations capitol.

However, they had no problen finding finding enough asses to fill the
stable.

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

605. OT- New Truck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 14:41:28 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <e2ho9.649$j83.97425@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 139333

One day, Jimmy Joe was walking down Main Street when
he saw his buddy Bubba driving a brand new pickup.
Bubba pulled up to him with a wide grin.

"Bubba, where'd you get that truck?!?"

"Bobby Sue gave it to me" Bubba replied.
"She gave it to you? I knew she was kinda sweet on ya,
but a new truck?"

"Well, Jimmy Joe, let me tell you what happened. We
were driving out on County Road 6, in the middle of
nowhere. Bobby Sue pulled off the road, put
the truck in 4-wheel drive, and headed into the woods.
She parked the truck, got out, threw off all her
clothes and said 'Bubba, take whatever you want'.

So I took the truck!"

"Bubba, you're a smart man!. Them clothes woulda never
fit you".

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

606. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 15:11:50 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021007111150.22102.00009192@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 139335

thats franks attempt of trying to sound like he always knows better. the 572b's
come a dime a dozen and theres no shortage of good amps that run them. the
tubes he mentions might be good for a homebrewer but not for ready buying

>
>OK, I've been reading alot of posts lately that mention tubes. The numbers
>(like 807 or 812) are like Greek to me. Would anybody care to point me in
>the direction of something that would help me decipher what's what?
>Honestly, I don't know how you guys remember all those numbers <g>
>
>--
>Alan Strawinski
>http://alan.strawinski.net
>rrcb@strawinski.net
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

607. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 15:14:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24834-3DA1A4D9-569@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139338

From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote everything that showed "Aaron" is mistaken.
Title 47 US Code Of Federal Regulations.
It's not marlarkey, Twist. It's the law. <<

Some laws are outdated and obsolete, such as the one on the books that says you can't hang laundry on a Sunday within 250 feet of a church in certain parts of Kansas. There exist a ton of such laws still on the books.

>Outdated?<<

Yea. You think one should still be arrested for hanging laundry on a Suncday near a church?

>Drop "Rabbit Ears" a postcard and ask him which outdated laws are responsible for his current problems. <<

"Rabbit Ears" wasn't merely talking DX or using lowpower on the freeband between channel cb 40 and 10 meter, either.

>Or ask Frank Kluz, of Lancaster, OH who got a $5,000 NAL in August from the Detroit, MI District Office for using a CB linear which outdated laws took a bite out of his bank account. Or cruise thru a list of folks whose wallets are lighter thanks to these "outdated laws": http://www.fcc.gov/eb/Public_Notices/Welcome.html
<

You post the exceptions, not the rule like you would prefer us to believe.
I remind you to check and recalculate the odds...you have a better chance of getting struck by lightning than the average freebander or low power user does of getting an NAL, regardless the smoke and propaganda you try to blow here. Cold hard facts and your opinion concerning the few idiots that deserved to get popped mean nothing to the vast majority of cbers.

>No, there are not FCC agents on every street corner. Yes, in many cases you'll be able to "get away" with violating CB rules and not get caught. But when you foster the idea that people should not obey federal regulations concerning CB because they are obsolete and outdated -- that's misinformation, plain and simple.<<

Who fostered the idea that another should disobey? I certainly didn't, but you claiming I did is definite misinformation, plain and simple.

>This thread started with my response to Lennie' Stover's post . I challenged his excuse that "we need linears to walk on people using linears". You stepped in, and within a few exchanges have taken us into the "Twistedhed Zone" where laws are obsolete because you say so. Oh well, I should have known better, LOL.
-Aaron-
<<

Yup, but you didn't...hence the loss of your voobner@yahoo addy. There are a lot of things you should know better of but are still learning. BTW, I like the "Twistedhed Zone" thing. May I have your permission to use it on the air? Maybe I'll shorten it to the "Twisted Zone"....yea,,,,that has a better ring to it....you are now entering the "Twisted Zone". Sounds much better than "Twistedhed Zone". See, I can always improve on what you set forth :)

608. Re: Sweet 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 15:24:51 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24835-3DA1A743-253@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139339

I don't care about chasing DX on HF, unlike the gentlemen I was
responding too, so I don't need the additional operational privileges
right now. Even the late Richard finally gave up needling me about it
when he realized I'm not kidding. Some day maybe you'll wise up too.
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
ARRL Member
NCI Member
-
>You're permitted to be wrong as frequently as you wish, that's quite
alright. Dick had a sense of humor and he was only messing with you,
Nad....he didn't really hate you like you think he did. My favorite all
time quote of his:
From: Richard McCollum (rmccoll@radiks.net)
Subject: Re: ATTN HAMMIES....THANK YOU!!
Groups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-02-05 11:23:27 PST
"TJ1072" <tj1072@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010205132028.27520.00001614@ng-cg1.aol.com...
I really am enjoying the ham bands. I really like making long
distance contacts. The best thing is I didnt even have to take the test
thanks to you guys putting your callsigns on your license plates!!!!
WOOOOOOHOOOOO!!!
<
Call sign plates are available, usually only for a one-time set-up
charge above a regular plate, in every state in the union. Glad you
appreciate them.
Drop me an e-mail and I will be happy to give you my DXCC (Century Club)
needs in case you work something really rare in my name so I can QSL.
That funny character is a zero btw.
Dick NØBK
-

BTW, Lelnad, is your license plate number still your call sign? Ah,
never mind.

609. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 15:33:31 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24834-3DA1A94B-574@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139341

A pissed off "JJ" harriedly wrote:
Twistieboy wrote:
"JJ" wrote:
Sorry twistie, I really don't care what I am to "us", who ever "us" is,
and I don't owe you are anyone else my call.
-
"Us" would be the folks you repeatedly and continually try to convince
that you're something other than a nocode.
-
I know I am not a nocode licensee (and I do not think less of anyone who
holds a nocode license than any other licensee, it is great entry class
license into ham radio), and it is of the smallest concern to me whether
you or others believe it or not. Your have a call twistie? Care to post
it?<<

Please tell "us" that your shared affliction for the searching of
personal information on usenet cbers who are strangers to you is not
behind that request.

>Maybe you can't even pass the test for a nocode license. <<

Maybe.
Maybe you can't either. You HAVE stated you are not a nocode.

610. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 15:33:42 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210070733.4916d11a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139336

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>Date: Mon, Oct 7, 2002 5:19 AM
>Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210070419.5ca90097@posting.google.com>
>
>"Busch'sMaster" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote in message
>news:<cd0o9.89164$8o3.2282415@twister.austin.rr.com>...
>> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:c9ceae5b.0210051717.37591f83@posting.google.com...
>> > "BuschWhacker" antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com wrote
>> > >You're not trolling, are you Aaron? Nah, no way. I won't
>> > >believe it! LOL!!!!
>> >
>> > Hi Randy. Is trolling a troll considered trolling? :)
>> >
>> > -A
>>
>> Hi Aaron. Did you think I was trying to hide? Duh! Surely you're
not that
>> stupid.
>
>Obviously he is that stupid! He offered to "Gladly" post proof that I
>was "Steve", but he can't because
(snip)

You're only prolonging your own embarassment, Steve.

-Aaron-

rbcn1@hotmail.com (Radio Bob) wrote in message
news:<3d34cfcd.0202210938.7f5c6fb@posting.google.com>...
> Hi Everyone, Can't we all just get along? Apparently not. Pat Murphy
> (Steven J. Rogovich) just can't leave well enough alone.
> Be warned!!! don't a one of you dare to open your mouth and complain
> about problems at or the operation of John Cruzan's Free Radio Network
> at frn.net You will be visciously attacked and a great attempt will
> be made to run you off the face of the earth......By resident attack
> dog, internet troll and coward extrodinare...Pat Murfy. You can make
> up all fake names and create all the false email addresses you want
> Patricia but you don't hide your manner of speech or the garbage you
> spew. You only know how to throw rocks from behind shadows like the
> pathetic scared little boy that you are. Godless coward.
> Well I'm going to give you your stupid little victory and hope that
> you will be proud of yourself. John Cruzan himself suggested that I
> come here to post my messages but even you dare to defy your glorious
> leader. Well, unlike you, I have a life and don't need to have you

> crawling around chasing me around. I have lots of other things to busy
> myself with so I'll leave the Usenet all to you and your many
> alternate personalities. Richard Cranium suits you quite well and that
> other identity that you stole from a real person will soon enough be
> taken away from you by the proper authorities. Geesh, no one ever
> thought that the title of Pirate Radio's Number 1 Asshole could be
> taken away from jta but low and behold you have mangaged to do it.
> Congratulations Steve! You da man! Have ya a great life buddy! see ya
> later

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

611. Re: Antenna Suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 15:37:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24834-3DA1AA2D-576@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139340

From: wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM (Bert Craig)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:28700-3D9E53C5-135@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net... I
haven't played with any wires in awhile and I have some very tall trees
around me in all directions.

>I gotta tell you, there's a definite sense of satisfaction that comes
from building a good wire antenna and having it work really well. <<

Oh, I've strung some wire here and there a bit. Whether they worked well
or not is a matter of opinion :)

>There are purist who insist on actually building the baluns themselves,
which is cool for them. (...and actually pretty easy.) I prefer the
commercial stuff though. But if you really wanted to, you could put up a
wire that'd come close to a two element yagi for practically pennies. I
might do this for my brother-in-law w an Extended Double Zepp for his CB
station.
<<

Last wire I put up was a super big "V" over my house.

>Since we only use channels 36 through 40, I'll trim it for channel 38
and hoist it high. (His trees are about 100 ft. tall with good
supporting limbs, free and clear, at approx. 70 ft., not bad.) Take it
easy. :-)
--
73 de Bert
WA2SI
<<

Someone mentioned slingshotting it awhile back,,,may have been
Mopar...that sounds pretty fun, too!

612. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 15:37:41 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210070737.780c6d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>Hasn't worked and it won't work, Aanal Aaron, because
(snip)

It's working even as we speak.

-A

rbcn1@hotmail.com (Radio Bob) wrote in message
news:<3d34cfcd.0202210938.7f5c6fb@posting.google.com>...
> Hi Everyone, Can't we all just get along? Apparently not. Pat Murphy
> (Steven J. Rogovich) just can't leave well enough alone.
> Be warned!!! don't a one of you dare to open your mouth and complain
> about problems at or the operation of John Cruzan's Free Radio Network
> at frn.net You will be visciously attacked and a great attempt will
> be made to run you off the face of the earth......By resident attack
> dog, internet troll and coward extrodinare...Pat Murfy. You can make
> up all fake names and create all the false email addresses you want
> Patricia but you don't hide your manner of speech or the garbage you
> spew. You only know how to throw rocks from behind shadows like the
> pathetic scared little boy that you are. Godless coward.
> Well I'm going to give you your stupid little victory and hope that
> you will be proud of yourself. John Cruzan himself suggested that I
> come here to post my messages but even you dare to defy your glorious
> leader. Well, unlike you, I have a life and don't need to have you
> crawling around chasing me around. I have lots of other things to busy
> myself with so I'll leave the Usenet all to you and your many
> alternate personalities. Richard Cranium suits you quite well and that
> other identity that you stole from a real person will soon enough be
> taken away from you by the proper authorities. Geesh, no one ever
> thought that the title of Pirate Radio's Number 1 Asshole could be
> taken away from jta but low and behold you have mangaged to do it.
> Congratulations Steve! You da man! Have ya a great life buddy! see ya
> later

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there

in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

613. SWR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 15:58:44 UTC
From: Dan Lagace <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca>
Message-ID: <Paio9.3289$9f2.343620@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 139342

What is SWR? If i need to fidle with it, how can I with a wilson 1000 and uniden grant lt setup?

Regards, DL

614. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 15:58:44 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DA1AF34.4D816946@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139354

Twisteieboy wrote:

>
> Please tell "us" that your shared affliction for the searching of
> personal information on usenet cbers who are strangers to you is not
> behind that request.

Listen to the pot call the kettle black, you are the one who keeps insisting I post my callsign to prove something to you, so you go first...name, address? Hummm, just as I thought. I really don't care to know any personal information about you or anyone else here, you seem to be the one who demands that information.

>
> >Maybe you can't even pass the test for a nocode license. <<

You HAVE stated you are not a nocode.

Exactly, I hold an Advanced class, and you?

I know you are trying to be a wit twistie, keep trying you're half way there.

615. Re: KD4CXJ ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 16:10:41 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <5mio9.907$%q7.76089537@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139343

"Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote in message
news:EB2cncQFi5NOCTygXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
>
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> >Don't worry, I'll be right here to correct your deliberate misquotes,
> counter your TROLLing, and make you even more frustrated and desperate
than
> you already are. Pathetic little boy, for sure.
>
>
> Wow sounds like you need to get a life if thats the only reason your here
> DickHead.
>
>

Boy, some should talk.............

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

616. Re: Adair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 16:10:41 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <5mio9.908$%q7.76089537@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139344

"Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote in message
news:xtecnWLPk-c9DzygXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
> "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownbusters@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021007013039.02248.00012937@mb-mu.aol.com...
> > Doug sux nutz. Doug sux nutz. Doug sux nutz.
>
> Impressive Randy Chapwoman
>
>

George, when did Randy get AOL?, Hell, you might
have posted it just to get attention again. LOL!!!

Landshark

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

617. Re: Adair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 16:18:13 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <XNCcnbVzENxYLjygXTWcqw@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139345

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:5mio9.908$%q7.76089537@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> George, when did Randy get AOL?, Hell, you might
> have posted it just to get attention again. LOL!!!
>
> Landshark

How would it get Randy's attention? Unless he was of course keyclownbusters... While your at it ask him when he got AOL not me in fact check the archive this will answer your own question. He has never made it a secret your just trying to make something out of it that isn't.

618. Re: KD4CXJ ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 16:21:18 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <XzqdnWjR9oHiKTygXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139346

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:5mio9.907$%q7.76089537@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Boy, some should talk.............
>
> Landshark

Exactly landwhale what is your purpose in being here ? To troll my posts so
it seems the archives will certainly bear this to be true. Wanna see them?

619. Re: Not a threat -- a promise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 16:24:23 UTC
From: Wilson <Wilson@wilsonmail.com>
Message-ID: <07d3qu4lv9k0k0acgntds7atdmnk0pjm84@4ax.com>
Source record: 139347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

On Tue, 24 Sep 2002 11:13:47 GMT, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

>In <fe0dabe1.0209240039.3262e5b8@posting.google.com>,
>wmparr30563@yahoo.com (RadioBob/Radio8) wrote:
>
>>Woodbury McCrea Parr 174 Red Fox Hollow Rd, Cornelia GA 39531
>>706-776-1290
>>Ham Callsign KE4ENX - Expires 8/24/03 (chances of renewal .01%)
>>Uses P.O. Box 193 Mt. Airy, GA 30563 and his wife Patricia use P.O.
>>Box 1551
>>
>>More to come if he keeps it up. You'll love what the EX has to say
>>about his many personal problems. Everyone in Decatur is talking
>>about it.
>>
>>Ex Wife from Decatur, GA says Woodbury has a very serious MASTURBATION
>>PROBLEM, whereby Woodbury would rather maturbate than have a woman.
>>Also known to be fixatged no MUSCLE MAGAZINES while whacking his
>>doodle. Bet you're whacking it right now reading this, eh Woodbury.
>>
>>Spank on asshole, there is so much more. We'll just keep posting the
>>truth while you post lies.
>
>LOL!

If your not him, why would you care? If someone acused me of being
someone else, I wouldn't through a fit like you are. You are
protesting way to much.

620. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 16:44:05 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210070844.2b9d111b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139348

timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote
>thats franks attempt of trying to sound like he always knows better.
the
>572b's
>come a dime a dozen and theres no shortage of good amps that run
them. the
>tubes he mentions might be good for a homebrewer but not for ready
buying
>
>>
>>OK, I've been reading alot of posts lately that mention tubes. The
numbers
>
>>(like 807 or 812) are like Greek to me. Would anybody care to point
me
>in
>>the direction of something that would help me decipher what's what?
>>Honestly, I don't know how you guys remember all those numbers <g>
>>
>>--
>>Alan Strawinski
>>http://alan.strawinski.net
>>rrcb@strawinski.net

The 807 and 572 (beam power tubes) are just a tiny part of the big
picture. What Tim can't tell you is that vacuum tubes are used for
things besides RF amplifiers. Since "CB knowledge" begins and ends
with RF amplifiers, you'll always get a rather limited view of the
universe in rec.radio.cb. It's like knowing only the first verse of a
song. For example, you'll never hear of the famous 12AX7, the
ubiquitous 6AQ5, or the workhorse 6V6 found in audio and oscillator
stages of stuff from superhet receivers to mike preamps and CW rigs;
or rectifiers like the 5Z3 and 5R4, and voltage regulators like the
OA2 and OB2. So if you want more than just part of the picture, seek
higher ground, go on eBay and grab a 1960's copy of the ARRL Radio
Amateurs Handbook to get a snapshot of the classic tubes applied to
practical circuits, or poke around on sites like
http://www.ominous-valve.com/tubes1.html and
http://www.vacuumtube.com/

-A

621. Looking for a CB Base with antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 16:58:19 UTC
From: Pat <synapse.web@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <P2jo9.461$lw5.38759@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 139349
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.cb.skip,rec.radio.cb

Hi,

I already experiment the skip communication, using a CB Base (Cobra) with an antenna (Lantron) and I am interested to equipped myself to repeat the experience. That is why I am looking for a CB Base with an antenna. If you have such equipment to
sell or to give, you can contact me via e-mail.

Pat
plevesque@optimuminformatique.com

622. interesting site...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 17:05:02 UTC
From: "lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com>
Message-ID: <1jf3quo06qvi33hrpv9nedpp40i3eti1ca@4ax.com>
Source record: 139350

Not alot of it is in english.... but alot of interesting pictures....
I guess there is truth to the story that Sommerkamp was responsible
for getting Yaesu into europe and other countries...

http://www.sommerkamp-collection.de/index.html

73 to all

623. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 17:29:36 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210070929.2e9693ed@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139352

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>Who fostered the idea that another should disobey? I certainly didn't.

Maybe you should re-read your freebanding article in SPORADIC WAVES. ROTFLMAO!

-A

624. Re: Wilson 1000 placement and frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 17:32:29 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ansg7m$h72r5$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 139351

```
Hi, welcome to Lynn's lounge.....
How many for dinner ?
3 for smoking, me, myself and i (LOL)
I'm feeling schizophrenic today.
73's to ya good neighbor !

--
Mad Dog
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:h4udnU7ZXpGVOQKgXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
> "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
> news:anhdfs$dq265$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de...
> > Oh, now i'm a pirate type......are you sure i'm not a stereo type?
>
> Only if there are two of you. 8-))
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>
```

625. FS. 50 amp pyramid pwr sply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 17:41:05 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1034012749.644542@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 139353

I have a 50 amp pyramid power supply for sale .
$125.00 You ship
email Me at dogyham@hotmail.com

626. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 17:55:54 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DA1CAAA.5E90BC6B@qnet.com>
Source record: 139355

Hello Jason:

"Time Domain" is fancy word used around oscillosopes, and other type of test equipement, such as the The Time Domain Reflectometer, or simply called a TDR. The TDR is a piece of test equipement that tests Coax Cables. It sends a pulse out and listens for it, and displays it in time that is calibrated in Feet or inches, real hard stuff. I can show you how to use a TDR in 15 Minuites, then you can walk around saying "Time Domain Reflectometer" all day.

Becasue the Oscilloscopes has a trace that displays the time as compared to the voltage seen by the Oscilloscope, it displays voltage relative to or compared to time. The Time Domin, big deal.

You could also say the the Oscilloscope will display a Voltage Amptitude Domain, if your in to long winded and fancy words.

A lot of guys get tripped up with all the fancy words and such. If you listen to Rock n Roll, and like Girls, you'll see thru all it.

Jay in the Mojave

Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> Well, if you think that an oscilloscope doesn't work the time/frequency
> domain... then you just better mosey on back to the filling ststaion and get
> back to work. If the "new guy" doesn't know this... then why didn't you tell
> him! Talk about half-ass replies...

> "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3DA032BE.9B90BA61@qnet.com...
> > Hello Brian:
> >
> > No I am gonna have to rule on your reply here as being technically
> > incorrect as usually. Based on a incomplete and whinney explanation, and
> > lacking any real help to the orginal poster here.
> >
> > "time/frequency domain" Yeah right the new guy knows all about this.
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave
> >
> > Credentials:
> > Rock n Roll fan
> > CB radio enthusiast
> > Owner 1966 Ford F250 4x4 wipe out the ecology bitchen truck (no smog
> > crap)

> > Once boy friend to Dinah down at the fillin station
> > Read a few books on electronics and antennas once.

627. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 18:40:40 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021007144040.15366.00007580@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 139356

hey voobner, since your here what bridge do you operate?

>
>The 807 and 572 (beam power tubes) are just a tiny part of the big
>picture. What Tim can't tell you is that vacuum tubes are used for
>things besides RF amplifiers. Since "CB knowledge" begins and ends
>with RF amplifiers, you'll always get a rather limited view of the
>universe in rec.radio.cb. It's like knowing only the first verse of a
>song. For example, you'll never hear of the famous 12AX7, the
>ubiquitous 6AQ5, or the workhorse 6V6 found in audio and oscillator
>stages of stuff from superhet receivers to mike preamps and CW rigs;
>or rectifiers like the 5Z3 and 5R4, and voltage regulators like the
>OA2 and OB2. So if you want more than just part of the picture, seek
>higher ground, go on eBay and grab a 1960's copy of the ARRL Radio
>Amateurs Handbook to get a snapshot of the classic tubes applied to
>practical circuits, or poke around on sites like
>http://www.ominous-valve.com/tubes1.html and
>http://www.vacuumtube.com/
>
>-A
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

628. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 18:45:12 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021007144512.15366.00007581@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 139357

alot of these big word wierdos on here dont like either jay. they make me wonder....

> If you
>listen to Rock n Roll, and like Girls, you'll see thru all it.
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

629. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 19:13:31 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210071113.46fae2fb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139358

timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote
>hey voobner, since your here what bridge do you operate?

None. What drug are you on today?

-A

630. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 19:15:09 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20021007151509.15366.00007583@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 139360

does me giving you the benefit of the doubt for having a marine radio in your home mean i'm on drugs? guess your an illegal and a troll too

>imnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote
>>hey voobner, since your here what bridge do you operate?
>
>None. What drug are you on today?
>
>-A

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

631. Re: SWR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 19:22:02 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ansml3$h7276$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 139359

http://www.firestik.com
Check out the tech help section.......

--
Mad Dog
"Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message
news:Paio9.3289$9f2.343620@news20.bellglobal.com...
> What is SWR? If i need to fidle with it, how can I with a wilson 1000 and
> uniden grant lt setup?
>
> Regards, DL
>
>

632. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 19:28:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21321-3DA1E05A-204@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139363

From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
Who fostered the idea that another should disobey? I certainly didn't.

>Maybe you should re-read your freebanding article in SPORADIC WAVES.
ROTFLMAO!
  -A
<<

And who put it forth here among us?
I certainly didn't.
Among many other things that you need to learn, grasshopper, is the
difference is between objective and subjective poit of views..

633. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 19:39:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21320-3DA1E306-539@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139362

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
"JJ" , no longer even bothering to attempt his anger, pleaded with:
>Twisteieboy wrote: <

Please tell "us" that your shared affliction for the searching of personal information on usenet cbers who are strangers to you is not behind that request.

>Listen to the pot call the kettle black, you are the one who keeps insisting I post my callsign to prove something to you, so you go first...name, address?<<

Better reread your threads and remember who you're replying to......it wasn't me who asked for your call, and it certainly isn't me that "keeps insisting" you prove anything. As far as I'm concerned, you're unbelieveable anyway.

> Hummm, just as I thought. I really don't care to know any personal information about you or anyone else here, you seem to be the one who demands that information. <<

No, it's not as you thought at all. You're so upset, you have taken to outright lying like Lelnad KC8LDO without thinking what you're posting and who you're posting it to., merely claiming I did something when in fact, twasn;'t I at all. See, you don't even rate the curiosity factor with me, which is why once again, only osers like yourself enjoy playing the hide and seek game of "guess who I am?" because Frankly, no one else cares about identities except the trouble posse named by "Arron", of Lelnad KC8LDO, Dogie, FraNK, And Geogre and Dave Hall.

>Maybe you can't even pass the test for a nocode license. <<

You HAVE stated you are not a nocode.

>Exactly, I hold an Advanced class, and you? <

You said you don't care. Can't make up your mind from line to line let alone post to post. Par for the course.

>I know you are trying to be a wit twistie, keep trying you're half way there. <

Gee, you're so clever....and right on time with the insults....but it doesn't detract from you being consistently wrong.

634. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 19:41:49 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da1e37a.2376439@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139361

In <20021007111150.22102.00009192@mb-dd.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
(Timbo Nebo) wrote:

>thats franks attempt of trying to sound like he always knows better. the 572b's
>come a dime a dozen

Hardly. If you check a few sources like I have you will find the
Chinese 572B's going for an average of around $40 each, while you can
still find NOS 811A's and 812A's for less than $10 a pop. The
disparity is because of the popularity of 572B's with audiophiles.
Same deal with the 300B's. The 807's are almost literally a dime a
dozen, and in it's different configurations has been a very popular RF
tube over the years, much more popular than the 572B.

> and theres no shortage of good amps that run them. the
>tubes he mentions might be good for a homebrewer but not for ready buying

I'm not sure what you mean by that, but like I said, there has been
plenty of RF equipment manufactured that uses the 807 and the 812.
FYI, the 812 is the RF variation of the 811, another tube popular with
audiophiles.

635. Re: interesting site...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 19:51:23 UTC
From: Dan Lagace <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca>
Message-ID: <WAlo9.1282$ZO1.349335@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 139364

what does "73 to all" mean?

"lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com> wrote in message
news:1jf3quo06qvi33hrpv9nedpp40i3eti1ca@4ax.com...
> Not alot of it is in english.... but alot of interesting pictures....
> I guess there is truth to the story that Sommerkamp was responsible
> for getting Yaesu into europe and other countries...
>
> http://www.sommerkamp-collection.de/index.html
>
> 73 to all
>
>

636. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 20:04:35 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <H9GcncRhnM1OdTygXTWc3g@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139365

wow Jay is getting all tripped out about the Professor LOL

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3DA1CAAA.5E90BC6B@qnet.com...
> Hello Jason:
>
> "Time Domain" is fancy word used around oscillosopes, and other type of
> test equipement, such as the The Time Domain Reflectometer, or simply
> called a TDR. The TDR is a piece of test equipement that tests Coax
> Cables. It sends a pulse out and listens for it, and displays it in time
> that is calibrated in Feet or inches, real hard stuff. I can show you
> how to use a TDR in 15 Minuites, then you can walk around saying "Time
> Domain Reflectometer" all day.
>
> Becasue the Oscilloscopes has a trace that displays the time as compared
> to the voltage seen by the Oscilloscope, it displays voltage relative to
> or compared to time. The Time Domin, big deal.
>
> You could also say the the Oscilloscope will display a Voltage Amptitude
> Domain, if your in to long winded and fancy words.
>
> A lot of guys get tripped up with all the fancy words and such. If you
> listen to Rock n Roll, and like Girls, you'll see thru all it.
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
>
>
>
> Brian Griffey wrote:
> >
> > Well, if you think that an oscilloscope doesn't work the time/frequency
> > domain... then you just better mosey on back to the filling ststaion and
get
> > back to work. If the "new guy" doesn't know this... then why didn't you
tell
> > him! Talk about half-ass replies...
>
> > "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> > news:3DA032BE.9B90BA61@qnet.com...
> > > Hello Brian:
> > >

> > > No I am gonna have to rule on your reply here as being technically
> > > incorrect as usually. Based on a incomplete and whinney explanation,
and
> > > lacking any real help to the orginal poster here.
> > >
> > > "time/frequency domain" Yeah right the new guy knows all about this.
> > >
> > > Jay in the Mojave
> > >
> > > Credentials:
> > > Rock n Roll fan
> > > CB radio enthusiast
> > > Owner 1966 Ford F250 4x4 wipe out the ecology bitchen truck (no smog
> > > crap)
> > > Once boy friend to Dinah down at the fillin station
> > > Read a few books on electronics and antennas once.

637. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 20:09:24 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <XiydnTOZacBpdDygXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139366

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21321-3DA1E05A-204@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
Who fostered the idea that another should disobey? I certainly didn't.

>Maybe you should re-read your freebanding article in SPORADIC WAVES.
ROTFLMAO!
-A
<<

>And who put it forth here among us?

Who cares who put it before us it is out there, you wrote.

lect .

>I certainly didn't.

Does that really matter you wrote it and put it out with the intention
others would see it, now they have and again you de

638. Re: interesting site...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 20:18:34 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <anspv3$hd0oj$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 139367

```
Best Wishes or a derivative of that........

--
Mad Dog
"Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message
news:WAlo9.1282$ZO1.349335@news20.bellglobal.com...
> what does "73 to all" mean?
>
> "lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com> wrote in message
> news:1jf3quo06qvi33hrpv9nedpp40i3eti1ca@4ax.com...
> > Not alot of it is in english.... but alot of interesting pictures....
> > I guess there is truth to the story that Sommerkamp was responsible
> > for getting Yaesu into europe and other countries...
> >
> > http://www.sommerkamp-collection.de/index.html
> >
> > 73 to all
> >
> >
>
>
```

639. Re: interesting site...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 20:22:23 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021007162223.23914.00007207@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 139368

>From: "Dan Lagace" >anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca
>what does "73 to all" mean?
It means anyone who responds gets $73!
Lil' ol' me...I prefer CASH!
On the serious side...73's is CB lingo for
Best Wishes...just watch out for Lil' ol' me if he starts throwing 88's at ya!
The KISS of death!!!!!!!!
DX RULES.....333 Springfield MO

"Got RF?"

640. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 20:24:34 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210071224.6cf6977c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139369

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote
>From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron=A0H.=A0Voobner)
>twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>Who fostered the idea that another should disobey? I certainly
didn't.
(snip)
>Among many other things that you need to learn, grasshopper, is the
>difference is between objective and subjective poit of views..

What POV are these? -A

From: Twistedhed (twistedhed@webtv.net)
Subject: DX Report (Freeband Fun)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-10-15 08:16:40 PST
The freeband frequencies between 25.000 and 27.995 yield some great
DX. Below is a minor sampling of what one can expect.
(snip)

From: Twistedhed (twistedhed@webtv.net)
Subject: Re: CB Still Alive and Kicking?
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-12-17 15:46:49 PST
From: b1wwgh99@pop6.sympatico.ca (Chris_S)
>What's the state of CB these days?
(snip)
"Hi Chris, and welcome back. Jump back in, the DX is running heavy and
the radios are easier to get since back then..so is a little smoke, or
fire for your wire, just in case. The linears have become bigger and
better.
-----

641. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 20:58:03 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <vzmo9.7604$k_2.556404@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139370

Well, I would boil it down like this...
The scope is capable of not only measuring voltage levels... but measuring those levels over a time period... and having the ability to look at a voltage level that is occurring in a very small slice of time. This is why the scope is infinitely more valuable than a simple voltmeter that is taking its Voltage readings and averaging them over a very long time period by comparison. The scope has the ability to view instantaneous voltage readings. As you know... this ability is what makes the scope a useful tool when viewing fast changing or erratic waveforms.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1pill.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> Ok your on here Brian, lets hear a good explanation of the use of "Time
> Domain" function in a Oscilloscope. We want the new guy to fully
> understand what "Time Domian" is.....................

642. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 21:02:42 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <SDmo9.7614$k_2.556532@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139371

This is good in a way.. it means that he's thinking.
That's more than I can say about a number of them out there...lol

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1pill.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote in message
news:H9GcncRhnM1OdTygXTWc3g@News.GigaNews.Com...
> wow Jay is getting all tripped out about the Professor LOL

643. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 21:11:51 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8455-3DA1F897-192@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139374

From: legalizeit@hempseed.com (Fred Flintstoned)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21321-3DA1E05A-204@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote: Who fostered the idea that another should disobey? I certainly
didn't.
Maybe you should re-read your freebanding article in SPORADIC WAVES.
ROTFLMAO!
-A
<<

And who put it forth here among us?

>Who cares who put it before us it is out there,>

It matters only as a point, which I will make commonplace in a moment.

>lect <

?

I certainly didn't.

>Does that really matter you wrote it and put it out with the intention
others would see it, now they have and again you de<<

I dunno what problems you are having today but they appear to be greater
than you are able to manage a grip on. Perhaps you should reread the
original article intact and complete and be so kind as to illustrate the
passage you feel is my advocation to others to break the law.
While you're at it, how was the crow concerning the Santa Cruz issue?
Did you chew it or just swallow it whole like Lelnad does?

644. Re: SWR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 21:12:03 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <DMmo9.7650$k_2.557156@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139372

SWR has to do with the match between the radio and the antenna via the coaxial cable. You will need an SWR meter to make the adjustment. If you don't have one "built-in" to the radio that's in your system, you will have to obtain one. They are relatively inexpensive (around $20-30) ore than likely can be purchased locally...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1pill.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message
news:Paio9.3289$9f2.343620@news20.bellglobal.com...
> What is SWR? If i need to fidle with it, how can I with a wilson 1000 and
> uniden grant lt setup?
>
> Regards, DL

645. Re: RCI-2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 21:13:18 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ONmo9.7658$k_2.557609@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139373

lol...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1pill.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Galaxyelc" <galaxyelc@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021007063652.25185.00009930@mb-fw.aol.com...
> excellent condition,original owner,has NOT been "Golden Screwdrivered" to
> death!!

646. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 21:16:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8454-3DA1F9B3-510@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139375

What POV are these? -A

From: Twistedhed (twistedhed@webtv.net)
Subject: DX Report (Freeband Fun)
 Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-10-15 08:16:40 PST
The freeband frequencies between 25.000 and 27.995 yield some great DX.
Below is a minor sampling of what one can expect. (snip)

From: Twistedhed (twistedhed@webtv.net)
Subject: Re: CB Still Alive and Kicking?
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-12-17 15:46:49 PST
From: b1wwgh99@pop6.sympatico.ca (Chris_S)
What's the state of CB these days?
(snip)
"Hi Chris, and welcome back. Jump back in, the DX is running heavy and
the radios are easier to get since back then..so is a little smoke, or
fire for your wire, just in case. The linears have become bigger and
better.

They are replies to posts, as was noted in my previous post as the
exception. Missing posts again? I know the rest of us aren't, or we
would have saw the post from that voobner@yahoo.com account you said you
would provide.
Another lie from you and this is the best you can do at another
attempted failed redirect? A post by me giving my personal opinion is
just that. Now about that yahoo addy you claimed you would post
from.....

647. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 22:16:26 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da20720.305987@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139376

In <SDmo9.7614$k_2.556532@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>This is good in a way.. it means that he's thinking.
>That's more than I can say about a number of them out there...lol

Yeah, especially those people that say stupid things about amplifiers, such as, "Linearity is not required for AM".

648. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 22:22:37 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210071422.63abb3a0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139377

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
>twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>Who fostered the idea that another should disobey? I certainly
didn't.
(snip)
>>Maybe you should re-read your freebanding article in
>>SPORADIC WAVES.
(snip)
>Among many other things that you need to learn, grasshopper, is the
>difference is between objective and subjective poit of views..
(snip)
>>What POV are these?
(snip)
>They are replies to posts, as was noted in my previous post as the
>exception.

I sense a rapid descent into The Twistedhed Zone. Within three
exchanges, you've managed to turn another thread into meaningless
hallucinogenic babble. Oh well, I can't say Dave Hall didn't warn me
:)

-Aaron-

649. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 22:40:20 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021007184020.10377.00009295@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 139378

to do it as the text book says is proper frankie your right but its a davemade world not a sciencebook world

>
>Yeah, especially those people that say stupid things about amplifiers,
>such as, "Linearity is not required for AM".

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

650. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 22:54:06 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8454-3DA2108E-528@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139381

Well, "Arron",,let's see why you clipped the rest of the post you quoted...could it be that my answer was in proper context to a fellow freebander and you merely tried but failed to make it look as if I was encouraging one to do so? But of course.....unedited and in it's entirety, the post appears below in it's original context...but you spend way too much time in the archives trying to dig up "something" (chuckle) on me....sorta like Nad did for so long, huh?

From: b1wwgh99@pop6.sympatico.ca (Chris_S)
What's the state of CB these days? I haven't been into it since the early 90s when I had a Uniden HR2510 and an Antron vertical. Worked lots of European freebanders back then.
But what's it like these days? My scanner tells me many truckers have switched to 2 meters. Has this plus cellphones and FRS really put a dent in the CB population or not?
thanks,
Chris
-
"Hi Chris, and welcome back. Jump back in, the DX is running heavy and the radios are easier to get since back then..so is a little smoke, or fire for your wire, just in case. The linears have become bigger and better. Seeing as you have been a freebander in the past, no sense in mentioning legalities since you are already aware of them...BUT...please do not become upset with the jumping up and down of the nocodes that I own here...,you will recognize them by the constant attention lavished upon me by them.... I have this effect on losers like that when I speak of the freeband..all the blood rushes to their ass and they attempt to form sentences from their rectum...KC8LDO, Lelnad C. Scott appointed himself head of my fan club and tattooed his forehead with a "T" as a tribute to me...he also forges me as a last desperate attempt to get attention because no one pays him attention anymore. On often occasions he resorts to attacks from anonymous email addys whenever one speaks of cb in a manner not consistent with his views...IE: freeband, linears, even other hams that question him. He also lies. About everything,..cb enforcement included. He is our resident rat, along with N8WWM....Watch him.
Now that you were properly indoctrinated to the ways and means of this here group, I would be glad to point you in the direction of anything that may assist you in your return to cb should you care to do so. If you wish to skip the crying and howling of the dogs concerning legalities, email me if i can be of any assistance. Most all of the regs in here are friendly happy, helpful folk.
A killfile will do wonders for you once the dogs try to hump your leg.
If you are in the need for a radio or other gear, lots of techs and

dealers hang here and can take good care of you. Merry Christmas.
--

And it's all accurate and still pertinent. And it's the "Twisted Zone",
not "Twistedhed".
That pulled yahoo addy has you sore, eh?

651. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 22:56:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8457-3DA21113-21@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139380

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <SDmo9.7614$k_2.556532@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Brian
Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
This is good in a way.. it means that he's thinking. That's more than I
can say about a number of them out there...lol
-
Yeah, especially those people that say stupid things about amplifiers,
such as, "Linearity is not required for AM".
--
Or voodoo comments concerning ohm meters.

652. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 23:07:59 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <jtoo9.121146$121.2630499@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139379

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8455-3DA1F897-192@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: legalizeit@hempseed.com (Fred Flintstoned)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21321-3DA1E05A-204@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote: Who fostered the idea that another should disobey? I certainly
didn't.
Maybe you should re-read your freebanding article in SPORADIC WAVES.
ROTFLMAO!
-A
<<

And who put it forth here among us?

>Who cares who put it before us it is out there,>

It matters only as a point, which I will make commonplace in a moment.

>lect <

?

I certainly didn't.

>Does that really matter you wrote it and put it out with the intention
others would see it, now they have and again you de<<

I dunno what problems you are having today but they appear to be greater
than you are able to manage a grip on.

George was grounded from the puter and Mom came in and caught him mid
sentence. He just hit the send button and yanked the cord outta the wall
right before she caught him. Then again it could be that A.D.H.D. thing he
has yet to get a handle on.

Perhaps you should reread the
original article intact and complete and be so kind as to illustrate the
passage you feel is my advocation to others to break the law.
While you're at it, how was the crow concerning the Santa Cruz issue?
Did you chew it or just swallow it whole like Lelnad does?

653. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 23:19:28 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <4Eoo9.121161$121.2632743@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139382

"Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote in message
news:vq6cnRXjjYM4Az-gXTWcpw@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
>
> <chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:3da17c22.120378995@news.optonline.net...
> > Actually I cant talk on that channel but I am pretty good on channel
> > 28. Also wouldn't people from channel 6 know the answers to these
> > questions dopey?
> IceCold,just because you talk on channel 6 doesn't mean you know the first
> thing about radio.

Ah....now the little boy spews racist crap! Class George, real class.

Better get off the computer before Mom catches you again.

you black guys don't make rf techs anyhow.
>
>

654. Re: Adair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-07 23:50:25 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <55po9.99375$8o3.2553750@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139383

"Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote in message
news:xtecnWLPk-c9DzygXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
> "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownbusters@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021007013039.02248.00012937@mb-mu.aol.com...
> > Doug sux nutz. Doug sux nutz. Doug sux nutz.
>
> Impressive Randy Chapwoman
>
>

Your ADHD flaring again, George?

Don't let Mom catch you at the PC.

655. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 00:08:34 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DA22202.9ABB126D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139395

Twisteboy wrote:

>
> Better reread your threads and remember who you're replying to......it
> wasn't me who asked for your call, and it certainly isn't me that "keeps
> insisting" you prove anything. As far as I'm concerned, you're
> unbelieveable anyway.

Gee twistie, you reading comprehension and retention is getting
worse. Better reread YOUR threads twistieboy. In your posting of
Fri, 4 Oct 2002 22:37:56 -0400 (EDT) in message id of
<28700-3D9E5084-134@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
you blathered:

> Your *behavior* dictates it. You reiterate this hammie stuff of yours
> overand over so it must mean the world to you that you are indeed
> recognized as not just a no-code. To us, you are a nocode unless you
> put forward somethng you asked of us....your call.

Still say you never asked for my call? Now who's lying? I haven't
asked you to prove anything because I know you haven't anything
worthy to prove, just an appliance cb operator who hides behind
his 'handle'. I only ask you for a possible call, name or address
after you first asked for my call, before that I never asked you
proof of anything.
By the way there is no semicolon in wasn't.

> See, you don't even rate the curiosity factor
> with me,

Really? Boy to be so uninterested you sure keep coming back with
the retorts.

656. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 00:15:26 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotbox.com>
Message-ID: <3DA2239E.3F1DD1C3@hotbox.com>
Source record: 139396

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Well, "Arron",,let's see why you clipped the rest of the post you
> quoted...could it be that my answer was in proper context to a fellow
> freebander and you merely tried but failed to make it look as if I was
> encouraging one to do so? But of course......unedited and in it's
> entirety, the post appears below in it's original context...but you
> spend way too much time in the archives trying to dig up "something"
> (chuckle) on me....sorta like Nad did for so long, huh?

Twisthead is just another illegal 'freebander', not even worthy to
be called a cber.

657. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 00:30:06 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210071630.903c7c2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139384

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote
>Well, "Arron",,let's see why you clipped the rest of the post you
>quoted...

Because it was a tantrum where you blame Doug and Leland Scott for all your problems. It made you look like a certified loony. I figured I did you a favor by snipping it. Oh well.

>could it be that my answer was in proper context to a fellow
>freebander and you merely tried but failed to make it look as if I
was
>encouraging one to do so?

You asked for an example of you encouraging someone to break the rules. So now it doesn't count because it was a "fellow freebander"? OMG. LOL. Twist, you are a precious commodity, you make me laugh so hard.

>That pulled yahoo addy has you sore, eh?

If wishes could come true, huh, Twist? I've never been pulled. That's because I've never posted anything to be pulled for. Maybe you're thinking of "Special Delivery Jones". Two of his google accounts were pulled for threats.

>And it's the "Twisted Zone", not "Twistedhed".

More like the "Twilight Zone". But I'm done visiting now. Buh bye.

-A

658. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 00:46:50 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210071646.244d31ad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139385

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210061208.541e4852@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> (classic trolling snipped)
>
> Hi Steve. Told you you'd abandon the other thread. Trolling in this
> one now?

Just following you around and making sure you don't mislead people. I
note YOU abandoned the other thread, coward.

Where's your PROOF?? Har de har.

659. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 00:47:59 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210071647.1f8bfdbd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139386

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3da08fae.6108209@news.cet.com>...
> In <20021006143951.22102.00009048@mb-dd.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
> (Timbo Nebo) wrote:
>
> >since when is he a ham???? i wanna see that claim. last i knew the only txr he
> >used was a marine radio at his house which is illegal
>
> Haven't we been through this a long time ago? First, he never said he
> used it. Second, even if he does use it, it's not illegal to use a
> marine radio on shore if the communication is over water. In fact it's
> done all the time. You can't lock through the Colombia River without
> talking to shore, and I'll bet that they have marine radios on shore
> just for that purpose, don'tcha think Timmy? Duh...

So you're answering for Aanal Aaron aagain, Frankie? Why don't you let
him answer for himself?

660. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 00:49:50 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210071649.51beb37@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139387

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3da0994d.8571265@news.cet.com>...
> In <20021006155447.10593.00008023@mb-fx.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
> (Timbo Nebo) wrote:
>
> >what bridge does voobner operate from his home???
>
> A better question would be what other kinds of ship-to-shore
> communications are legal. An even better question would be if he
> actually uses the radio. But you should probably ask him that
> question, since I have no idea if he even uses it (he didn't say one
> way or the other, a detail which you obviously don't remember).

And as you know quite well, Aanal Aaron won't aanswer aany questions
aat aall. So that's a cop out as usual, Frankie.

661. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 00:51:48 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210071651.5902d4e5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139388

"Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote in message
news:<ZFidnSBbCtu7MT2gXTWc3Q@News.GigaNews.Com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0210061025.4f8c6ef6@posting.google.com...
>
> > Aanother unsupportable Aanal Aaron Aassumption. Most CBers do NOT use
> > amps. Can you prove otherwise, Aanal Aaron?
>
> Can you prove he is wrong? Dick head?
>

No need. If he expects people to believe him, it's up to him to prove
he's correct.

>
> > Maybe, maybe not. Can you prove it Aanal Aaron?
>
> Can you prove he is wrong, Dick Head?
>

No need. If he expects people to believe him, it's up to him to prove
he's correct.

>
> > Prove it, Aanal Aaron. You can't. It has become difficult (but not
> > "impossible") to communicate on CB simply because of the sheer numbers
> > of operators trying to use the "legal 40".
>
> Complete Bullshit, there are less Cb operators now then at any time ever
> before, Can you prove otherwise?

No need. Can you prove me wrong? I doubt it. You couldn't prove your
way out of a wet paper bag, Georgie-girl.

662. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 00:52:45 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210071652.3667d2a5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139389

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3da08fae.6108209@news.cet.com>...
>> In <20021006143951.22102.00009048@mb-dd.aol.com>,
timnebo@aol.com187
>> (Timbo Nebo) wrote:
>>
>> >since when is he a ham???? i wanna see that claim. last i knew the
only
>txr he
>> >used was a marine radio at his house which is illegal
>>
>> Haven't we been through this a long time ago? First, he never said
he
>> used it. Second, even if he does use it, it's not illegal to use a
>> marine radio on shore if the communication is over water. In fact
it's
>> done all the time. You can't lock through the Colombia River
without
>> talking to shore, and I'll bet that they have marine radios on
shore
>> just for that purpose, don'tcha think Timmy? Duh...
>
>So you're answering for Aanal Aaron aagain, Frankie? Why don't you
let
>him answer for himself?

-Aaron- <presses button on can of RAID>

---
rbcn1@hotmail.com (Radio Bob) wrote in message
news:<3d34cfcd.0202210938.7f5c6fb@posting.google.com>...
> Hi Everyone, Can't we all just get along? Apparently not. Pat Murphy
> (Steven J. Rogovich) just can't leave well enough alone.
> Be warned!!! don't a one of you dare to open your mouth and complain
> about problems at or the operation of John Cruzan's Free Radio Network
> at frn.net You will be visciously attacked and a great attempt will
> be made to run you off the face of the earth......By resident attack
> dog, internet troll and coward extrodinare...Pat Murfy. You can make
> up all fake names and create all the false email addresses you want
> Patricia but you don't hide your manner of speech or the garbage you
> spew. You only know how to throw rocks from behind shadows like the
> pathetic scared little boy that you are. Godless coward.
> Well I'm going to give you your stupid little victory and hope that
> you will be proud of yourself. John Cruzan himself suggested that I

> come here to post my messages but even you dare to defy your glorious
> leader. Well, unlike you, I have a life and don't need to have you
> crawling around chasing me around. I have lots of other things to busy
> myself with so I'll leave the Usenet all to you and your many
> alternate personalities. Richard Cranium suits you quite well and that
> other identity that you stole from a real person will soon enough be
> taken away from you by the proper authorities. Geesh, no one ever
> thought that the title of Pirate Radio's Number 1 Asshole could be
> taken away from jta but low and behold you have mangaged to do it.
> Congratulations Steve! You da man! Have ya a great life buddy! see ya
> later

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

663. Keyclowns insult, Their Masters the Anti-Boys step into action...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 00:53:05 UTC
From: anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b12afcb0.0210071653.7bf22211@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139390

Funny...well, since you like humor so much...get a laugh off this!

UNIDEN HR2510 - 10meter TRANSCIVER $110.00 9d 19h 22m
Invalid Item

The item you requested (1387014494) is invalid or no longer in our
database. Please check the number and try again. If this message persists,
the item has expired and is no longer available.

--
Ranger RCI 2950 10 Meter Radio *USED* $51.00 4d 10h 49m
Invalid Item

The item you requested (1386941290) is invalid or no longer in our
database. Please check the number and try again. If this message persists,
the item has expired and is no longer available.

keyclownbusters@aol.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<20021007013039.02248.00012937@mb-mu.aol.com>...
> Doug sux nutz. Doug sux nutz. Doug sux nutz.

664. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 00:53:43 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210071653.6ce03a96@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139391

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210061902.1ffd6a60@posting.google.com>...
> >ichcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >Date: Sun, Oct 6, 2002 7:54 PM
> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210061854.39a0a314@posting.google.com>
> >
> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210061216.731fa013@posting.google.com>...
> >> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote
> >> >Date: Sun, Oct 6, 2002 12:30 PM
> >> >Message-id: <3da08f31.5983309@news.cet.com>
> >> >
> >> >In <c5ecbb69.0210061039.2e4ac7a1@posting.google.com>,
> >> >richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d908037.1159356@news.cet.com>...
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Doesn't that get your dander up, Clyde #1? So why aren't you
> jumping
> >> >>> on this guy's post like you do Voobner's? Could it be that you
> are
> >> >>> just a nameless, spineless, brainless hypocrite?
> >> >>
> >> >>Nope. You just described YOURSELF, Jack.
> >> >
> >> >I stand in awe of such wit. Regardless, you failed to answer the
> >> >question.
> >>
> >>
> >> If Steve's bothering you, just post this. It works like spraying
> RAID
> >> on a bug. -A
> >
> >Hasn't worked yet.
>
> Some pests require extra spraying. -A

Hasn't worked yet, Aanal Aaron, and it won't work. Where's your PROOF?
Repetition is not proof, Aanal Aaron. Post it or go away, coward.

665. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 00:58:49 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210071658.2c3ca70b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

```
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>Date: Mon, Oct 7, 2002 5:53 PM
>Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210071653.6ce03a96@posting.google.com>
>
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210061902.1ffd6a60@posting.google.com>...
>> >ichcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>> >Date: Sun, Oct 6, 2002 7:54 PM
>> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210061854.39a0a314@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210061216.731fa013@posting.google.com>...
>> >> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote
>> >> >Date: Sun, Oct 6, 2002 12:30 PM
>> >> >Message-id: <3da08f31.5983309@news.cet.com>
>> >> >
>> >> >In <c5ecbb69.0210061039.2e4ac7a1@posting.google.com>,
>> >> >richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d908037.1159356@news.cet.com>...
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> Doesn't that get your dander up, Clyde #1? So why aren't you
>> jumping
>> >> >>> on this guy's post like you do Voobner's? Could it be that
you
>> are
>> >> >>> just a nameless, spineless, brainless hypocrite?
>> >> >>
>> >> >>Nope. You just described YOURSELF, Jack.
>> >> >
>> >> >I stand in awe of such wit. Regardless, you failed to answer
the
>> >> >question.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> If Steve's bothering you, just post this. It works like spraying
>> RAID
>> >> on a bug. -A
>> >
>> >Hasn't worked yet.
>>
>> Some pests require extra spraying. -A
```

>
>Hasn't worked yet, Aanal Aaron, and it won't work. Where's your
PROOF?
>Repetition is not
(snip)

Of course it's working. Ever notice when you spray a bug with RAID, it wiggles around for a while and may even make noises, but eventually it croaks.

-Aaron-

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

666. Re: Antenna Suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 01:15:41 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <awqo9.36852$GF5.2213912@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 139394

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24834-3DA1AA2D-576@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Someone mentioned slingshotting it awhile back,,,may have been
Mopar...that sounds pretty fun, too!

Sure is. That's what I use...a genuine pocket-rocket with a small bass
sinker to propel the first line (monofilament) up over the desired limb.
That line then is used to haul a slightly heavier twine up and over, which
in turn hauls up the final Dacron support rope. Sounds more fun than it
really is.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

667. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 01:18:06 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <inqo9.84549$Fw2.2705284@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139393

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210071647.1f8bfdbd@posting.google.com...
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3da08fae.6108209@news.cet.com>...
> > In <20021006143951.22102.00009048@mb-dd.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
> > (Timbo Nebo) wrote:
> >
> > >since when is he a ham???? i wanna see that claim. last i knew the only
txr he
> > >used was a marine radio at his house which is illegal
> >
> > Haven't we been through this a long time ago? First, he never said he
> > used it. Second, even if he does use it, it's not illegal to use a
> > marine radio on shore if the communication is over water. In fact it's
> > done all the time. You can't lock through the Colombia River without
> > talking to shore, and I'll bet that they have marine radios on shore
> > just for that purpose, don'tcha think Timmy? Duh...
>
> So you're answering for Aanal Aaron aagain, Frankie? Why don't you let
> him answer for himself?

He is.

668. Re: SWR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 02:30:24 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@casscomm.com>
Message-ID: <antfva$3u34$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 139447

standing wave ratio (SWR): The ratio of the amplitude of a standing wave at an anti-node (minimum) to the amplitude at an adjacent node (maximum). (188)
Note 1: The standing wave ratio (SWR) in a uniform transmission line is given by
SWR= 1 + p / 1 - p
where p is the reflection coefficient.

Note 2: Reflections occur as a result of discontinuities, such as an imperfection in an otherwise uniform transmission line, or when a transmission line is terminated with other than its characteristic impedance. Basically, Kinks in your cable could cause HI swr, Breaks in your cable, and your antenna not tuned correctly.

You should be able to adjust the SWR by the tuning set screw in the antenna. Do not cut your cable. That will not work.

Check your SWR's at the Low end of your frq, Mid and top end of your frq try to adjust for the lowest at all frq's.

Buy an external SWR/power meter. They tend to work better than the internal radio meters.

"Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message news:Paio9.3289$9f2.343620@news20.bellglobal.com...
> What is SWR? If i need to fidle with it, how can I with a wilson 1000 and
> uniden grant lt setup?
>
> Regards, DL
>
>

669. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 04:19:28 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <k1to9.8594$k_2.609437@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139448

got a bunch of them, order CD

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

�.��� ��)) -:�:-
�.�� .����))
George Kinzer
((��.�� ..��
-:�:- ((��
�.�

Those who want to be successful, will find a way,
those that don't, will find an excuse!

670. Re: Advice needed re: BAD Neighbours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 05:51:38 UTC
From: The Masked Marvel <edrabaNOSPAM@uncg.edu>
Message-ID: <Knuo9.21622$bi2.811512@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 139449

There's no such thing as a local Fed.

8-Damien

> >
> > > btw did the poster even say he was using an amp at all?
> >
> > He said he was "running some juice" and didn't want to "attract the
> > attention of the local feds". I guess you could argue he was talking
> > about lemonade, LOL.
> >
> > -Aaron-

671. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 05:55:30 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da27266.351355@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139450

In <20021007184020.10377.00009295@mb-fx.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote:

>to do it as the text book says is proper frankie your right but its a davemade
>world not a sciencebook world

It's a civilized world, Timmy, and it's becoming more and more civilized all the time. If you don't start catching up pretty soon you are going to be left behind.

672. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 06:04:42 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da2744e.839473@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139451

In <c5ecbb69.0210071647.1f8bfdbd@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3da08fae.6108209@news.cet.com>...
>> In <20021006143951.22102.00009048@mb-dd.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
>> (Timbo Nebo) wrote:
>>
>> >since when is he a ham???? i wanna see that claim. last i knew the only txr he
>> >used was a marine radio at his house which is illegal
>>
>> Haven't we been through this a long time ago? First, he never said he
>> used it. Second, even if he does use it, it's not illegal to use a
>> marine radio on shore if the communication is over water. In fact it's
>> done all the time. You can't lock through the Colombia River without
>> talking to shore, and I'll bet that they have marine radios on shore
>> just for that purpose, don'tcha think Timmy? Duh...
>
>So you're answering for Aanal Aaron aagain, Frankie? Why don't you let
>him answer for himself?

He can and does. But it seems that YOU can't read his replies -- case
in point: Where, in any post, did he say that "all 10 meter radios are
illegal"? And if you troll him because you think he is anti-CB, then
why did you totally ignore "Bob" that was CLEARLY anti-CB? Still don't
have answers for those simple questions, Clyde? I didn't think so.

BTW, who am I this week, Clyde?

673. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 06:07:12 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da27581.1145625@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139453

In <c5ecbb69.0210071649.51beb37@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3da0994d.8571265@news.cet.com>...
>> In <20021006155447.10593.00008023@mb-fx.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
>> (Timbo Nebo) wrote:
>>
>> >what bridge does voobner operate from his home???
>>
>> A better question would be what other kinds of ship-to-shore
>> communications are legal. An even better question would be if he
>> actually uses the radio. But you should probably ask him that
>> question, since I have no idea if he even uses it (he didn't say one
>> way or the other, a detail which you obviously don't remember).
>
>And as you know quite well, Aanal Aaron won't aanswer aany questions
>aat aall. So that's a cop out as usual, Frankie.

You won't answer any of MY questions so quit whining.

674. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 06:09:38 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <CEuo9.35620$OO4.2431271@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 139452

Chad why don't you just go down to your local Ham Radio toy store and pick up a copy of the ARRL Handbook for Radio Amateurs, (a must have for all radio enthusiasts). They have a entire section on building amps and the power supplies needed to run them including schematics. This book also gives out good antenna projects, building antenna tuners, pre-amps and everything else to do with radio. They have a new edition out every year. The one I have here is the 1995 edition and it sold for around $30.00 US. A better investment then some CD ROM.

Good Luck and Keep it Clean

"Chad" <vze2mxmv@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:Kz4o9.17853$Mw4.10785@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
>
> does anyone have a schematic on how to build a linear?
> thanks
>
>

675. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 06:13:37 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da27630.1321165@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139454

In <20021006162432.15697.00007792@mb-cv.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote:

>being hooked up implies usage,

Yes it does. I have my Swan hooked up and I use it daily. It's an excellent receiver. But I don't transmit with it -- yet.

> hell voobie wont even say where he lives much
>less what water he's near

Try this: "Hey Voob, do you live near a navigable waterway?" Or this: "Hey Voob, do you own a boat?" Of course it's just easier to jump to the conclusion that he operates the radio illegally, isn't it? I suppose when you are stoned all the time you need to keep things simple and not clutter your brain with logic and reason...

676. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 06:20:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021008022001.22102.00009356@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 139455

you like repeating yourself dont you? i dont know if he's ever said it but i know he's sure implied it before. so what?

>Where, in any post, did he say that "all 10 meter radios are
>illegal"?

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

677. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 06:20:52 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da27882.1915289@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139457

In <inqo9.84549$Fw2.2705284@twister.austin.rr.com>, "Busch'sMaster"
<antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote:

<snip>
>> So you're answering for Aanal Aaron aagain, Frankie? Why don't you let
>> him answer for himself?
>
>He is.

You're gonna have to find a new alias, Randy. BTW, I saw you on TV
today in a commercial with Donald Trump. Looks like you have been
working out!

<<*-PLONK-*>>

678. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 06:23:25 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da279a6.2206996@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139458

In <CEuo9.35620$OO4.2431271@news1.telusplanet.net>, "WG"
<nojunk@mail.com> wrote:

>Chad why don't you just go down to your local Ham Radio toy store and pick
>up a copy of the ARRL Handbook for Radio Amateurs, (a must have for all
>radio enthusiasts). They have a entire section on building amps and the
>power supplies needed to run them including schematics. This book also gives
>out good antenna projects, building antenna tuners, pre-amps and everything
>else to do with radio. They have a new edition out every year. The one I
>have here is the 1995 edition and it sold for around $30.00 US. A better
>investment then some CD ROM.

The local public library has all that and more -- for free!

679. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 06:24:27 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021008022427.22102.00009357@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 139456

yes its his own tactic used against him. whats the problem? ps owning a boat doesnt give you the right to illegally use a marine radio. i own to boats explain to me what rf rights it gives me

>Try this: "Hey Voob, do you live near a navigable waterway?" Or this:
>"Hey Voob, do you own a boat?" Of course it's just easier to jump to
>the conclusion that he operates the radio illegally, isn't it? I
>suppose when you are stoned all the time you need to keep things
>simple and not clutter your brain with logic and reason...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

680. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 06:28:35 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da27a71.2410218@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139459

In <20021008022001.22102.00009356@mb-dd.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote:

>you like repeating yourself dont you? i dont know if he's ever said it but i >know he's sure implied it before. so what?

Then I'll ask you: Where? Show me a quote. Because I haven't seen any post by him that expresses OR implies anything of the sort. So if he really did and I missed that post, please quote it.

>>Where, in any post, did he say that "all 10 meter radios are >>illegal"?
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
>http://www.TimNebo.com
>

681. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 06:37:31 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021008023731.22102.00009358@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 139460

frank, aaron was here before you. there was alot you missed my friend. but i never said he did say it, did i? i said he's implied it for sure and MAY have said it. dont put this bullshit on me like you have this other mystery fellow. the only way you can be sure what aaron has or hasnt said since day one is if you are him.

>Then I'll ask you: Where? Show me a quote. Because I haven't seen any
>post by him that expresses OR implies anything of the sort. So if he
>really did and I missed that post, please quote it.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

682. Are you a REAL man?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 06:38:33 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <antv1cnfs2@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 139461

OFFICIAL MALE SENSITIVITY TEST:

1. In the company of females, intercourse should be referred to as:
A. Lovemaking.
B. Screwing.
C. Taking the pigskin bus to tuna town.

2. You should make love to a woman for the first time only after you've both shared:
A. Your views about what you expect from a sexual relationship.
B. Your blood-test results.
C. Five tequila slammers.

3. You time your orgasm so that:
A. Your partner climaxes first.
B. You both climax simultaneously.
C. You don't miss the football

4. Passionate, spontaneous sex on the kitchen floor is:
A. Healthy, creative love-play.
B. Not the sort of thing your wife/girlfriend would agree to.
C. Not the sort of thing your wife/girlfriend needs to ever find out about.

5. Spending the whole night cuddling a woman you've just had sex with is:
A. The best part of the experience.
B. The second best part of the experience.
C. $100 extra.

6. Your wife/girlfriend says she's gained five pounds in the last month. You tell her that it is:
A. Of no influence on your affectionate feelings for her.
B. Not a problem, she can join your gym.
C. A conservative estimate.

7. You think today's sensitive, caring man is:
A. A myth.
B. An oxymoron.
C. A moron.

8. Foreplay is to sex as:
A. An appetizer is to entree.
B. Primer is to paint.
C. A long line is to an amusement park ride.

9. Which of the following are you most likely to find yourself saying at the end of a relationship?

A. "I hope we can still be friends."
B. "I'm not in right now, please leave a message at the beep."
C. "Welcome to Dumpsville. Population, YOU."

10. A woman who is uncomfortable watching you masturbate:
A. Probably needs a little more time before she can cope with that sort of intimacy.
B. Is uptight and a waste of time.
C. Shouldn't have sat next to you on the bus in the first place.

Evaluating Results:
If you answered "A" more than 7 times, check your pants to make sure you really ARE a man.
If you answered "B" more than 7 times, check into therapy. You're a little confused.
If you answered "C" more than 7 times, "YOU DA MAN!"

Regards,

Peter.

683. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 06:38:35 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <antv1enfs3@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 139462

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3DA04AEC.5284FDE@qnet.com...
> Hello Brian:
>
> <fighting snipped>
>
>
> We want the new guy to fully understand what "Time Domian" is.

OK, lets have a go at a fairly simple explaination...

A volt meter is used to see a "snapshot" of the voltage at one instant
in time.
An oscilloscope will show that voltage over a period of time.

By watching the voltage over a set period of time, you can tell the
approximate frequency (not as accurate as a digital counter), peak voltage,
modulation on a carrier, and you can identify a type of distortion.
An example of this use could be if an audio amp is distorting. By putting a
sine wave into the amp and looking at the waveform out, you can often
identify the type of distortion, which can help you to work out what the
fault is. You can also use a square wave as a quick test of audio frequency
response.
If a circuit is putting no signal out, you can trace back to see where the
signal disappears.

The horizontal axis represents time, with the length of time represented
being adjusted with the "Timebase" control. The control is marked in
Milliseconds and Microseconds.
The vertical axis represent voltage level. As with a voltmeter, the voltage
represented by full deflection can be adjusted for different voltage ranges.
This is the "Volts/CM" control.

Usually, there is also an option to switch the timebase off and use an
external signal for the horizontal also. In this state, both horizontal and
vertical represtent voltage... each being for one of the two signals you are
putting in. This is great for comparing the frequency and phase of two
signals.

So, the line you see shows you what the voltage has done over the period of
time set by the timebase control.

Regards,

Peter.

684. Re: Where's Clyde #1? Re: ALL CB'ers ARE CRETINS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 06:38:37 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <antv1fnfs4@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 139463

"Mr. Slate" <who-cares@apathy.net> wrote in message
news:upu2j0g5h7t6c3@corp.supernews.com...
>
> Fred (boy george), your docked a days pay for
> trolling on the job! yabba dabba yadi yada....
> Mr. Slate

I see he changed his header again... craving my attention.

Oops, I just went and killfiled more of his new names. He
isn't even worth my time reading his messages.

Regards,

Peter.

685. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 06:59:31 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210072259.25fc6fb9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139464

timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote
>you like repeating yourself dont you? i dont know if he's ever said
it but
>i
>know he's sure implied it before. so what?
>
>>Where, in any post, did he say that "all
>>10 meter radios are illegal"?

Gosh Tim, "what Aaron Voobner said" weighs heavily on your mind (or what's left of it) lately, eh? OK, pay attention, because here it is from the horse's mouth. For the record, I don't think all 10 meter radios are illegal and certainly have never said or implied this. Without a doubt, the ones on the FCC hit list are illegal to import (even by licensed hams), and they can't legally be used on CB (by hams or CBers). Everyone knows that licensed amateurs can use non-type accepted gear as long as it is used legally on ham bands. Everyone also knows that hams are not the target market for phony "10 meter" roger-beep, echo-miked, rebel flagged radios. Sleazy manufacturers have hijacked the phrase "10 meter radio" in order to attempt to sidestep the CB type-acceptance regulations and get these rigs into the hands of freeloaders like you. So your sudden interest in the technicalities of legal 10 meter radio usage and what I said or didn't say regarding it comes off as nothing less than sheer desperation. You might as well be trying to discredit me on the basis of spelling errors. Waht the hecke, heres a bunch of them for youe; have funn. :
)

-A

686. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 07:28:56 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da2888c.6020603@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139465

In <20021008022427.22102.00009357@mb-dd.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote:

>yes its his own tactic used against him. whats the problem? ps owning a boat
>doesnt give you the right to illegally use a marine radio. i own to boats
>explain to me what rf rights it gives me

Let's see now, don't you think that the use of a marine radio is more likely to be legal if it's used for it's intended purpose? <sound of me hitting myself on the head with a boot> What was I thinking? Of COURSE you wouldn't think THAT!!!

687. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 07:36:55 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da28949.6210369@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139466

In <20021008023731.22102.00009358@mb-dd.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote:

>frank, aaron was here before you. there was alot you missed my friend.

If you check the archives, I was here before him.

> but i
>never said he did say it, did i? i said he's implied it for sure and MAY have
>said it.

Well then where did he imply it?

> dont put this bullshit on me like you have this other mystery fellow.

Nobody forced you to take Clyde's position, Timmy. Don't blame anyone else for your statements except yourself.

>the only way you can be sure what aaron has or hasnt said since day one is if
>you are him.

Try here:

http://groups.google.com/

I suggest using 'advanced search' and that should make your search a little easier. No longer do you have any reason to avoid the question: Where did Voobner imply that all 10 meter radios are illegal?

688. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 12:44:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24834-3DA2D340-729@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139471

From: mrradio@hotbox.com (Sir Circumference)
>Twistedhed wrote:<
Well, "Arron",,let's see why you clipped the rest of the post you quoted...could it be that my answer was in proper context to a fellow freebander and you merely tried but failed to make it look as if I was encouraging one to do so? But of course.....unedited and in it's entirety, the post appears below in it's original context...but you spend way too much time in the archives trying to dig up "something" (chuckle) on me....sorta like Nad did for so long, huh?

>Twisthead is just another illegal 'freebander', not even worthy to be called a cber.
<<
-
And you're merely another nocode just pissed off at cbers cause we have a better time on radio than you.

689. Need some vintage CB resto parts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 12:55:13 UTC
From: k5dh@raytheon.com
Message-ID: <RAAo9.1463$Bd4.11440@dfw-service2.ext.raytheon.com>
Source record: 139467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.cb

Looking for the following vintage CB resto parts:

- S/RF meter for Sonar Model G
- Top cover for Lafayette Comstat 23, 25, or 25a
- Mid 1960s Lafayette ceramic hand mic (the little one)

Thanks and 73,
Dean K5DH

690. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 12:56:29 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24835-3DA2D5FD-345@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139474

From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote
Well, "Arron",,let's see why you clipped the rest of the post you quoted...

>Because it was a tantrum where you blame Doug and Leland Scott for all your problems. >>

Mmmmmmph....you're missing posts agin...I have no problems...everything is just right!
What was posted was all true. Lelnad forges and lies and, well, everyone knows all about your posse of trouble consisting of Frank Gilliland, Lelnad KC8LDO the forger and obessive collector of mens undergarments, Dave Hall the socialistic commie, and Dogie who is pissed off cause he can't find any gay cbers.

>It made you look like a certified loony. I figured I did you a favor by snipping it. <

"Figuring" and "thinking" by you should be carefully selected, maybe even ran by a freebander for permission before you post, this way you won't continue to libel your troubled nocode friends anymore.

>Oh well. <

S'ok. As I demonstrated yesterday, you are still in the learning process.

could it be that my answer was in proper context to a fellow freebander and you merely tried but failed to make it look as if I was encouraging one to do so?

>You asked for an example of you encouraging someone to break the rules. So now it doesn't count because it was a "fellow freebander"? <<

I encouraged no one. I merely stated my opinion. We know you have difficulties telling the difference.

>OMG. <

Yes?

>LOL. Twist, you are a precious commodity, you make me laugh so hard.<<

I aim to please.

That pulled yahoo addy has you sore, eh?

>If wishes could come true, huh, Twist? <

If that were true, we would all be weraing the same uniform and Dave Hall would be the exalted dictator with you his ministry of foolishness and Dogie the jester and LElnad the knight that is in drag.

>I've never been pulled. <

You're the one that said you would post from there..we're still waiting. A quick change of the subject didn't make us forget your promise.

>That's because I've never posted anything to be pulled for. Maybe you're thinking of "Special Delivery Jones". Two of his google accounts were pulled for threats.<<

No, I',m speaking of voobner@yahoo.com

And it's the "Twisted Zone", not "Twistedhed".

>More like the "Twilight Zone". But I'm done visiting now. Buh bye. -A<<

Yea, I figured as much, as that usually happens whenever you get your tail slammed in the door.

691. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 13:01:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24834-3DA2D71A-737@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139472

yeti@cet.com (relegated back to supernews for crimes against the
internet) whined with:
Try this: "Hey Voob, do you live near a navigable waterway?" Or this:
"Hey Voob, do you own a boat?" Of course it's just easier to jump to the
conclusion that he operates the radio illegally, isn't it? I suppose
when you are stoned all the time you need to keep things simple and not
clutter your brain with logic and reason...
-
Try this.
How do freebanders or pirates affect your "work" as you claimed?
Oh, never mind.

692. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 13:04:12 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210080504.41866658@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139468

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210072259.25fc6fb9@posting.google.com>...
> timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote
> >you like repeating yourself dont you? i dont know if he's ever said
> it but
> >i
> >know he's sure implied it before. so what?
> >
> >>Where, in any post, did he say that "all
> >>10 meter radios are illegal"?
>
> Gosh Tim, "what Aaron Voobner said" weighs heavily on your mind (or
> what's left of it) lately, eh? OK, pay attention, because here it is
> from the horse's mouth. For the record, I don't think all 10 meter
> radios are illegal and certainly have never said or implied this.
> Without a doubt, the ones on the FCC hit list are illegal to import
> (even by licensed hams), and they can't legally be used on CB (by hams
> or CBers). Everyone knows that licensed amateurs can use non-type
> accepted gear as long as it is used legally on ham bands. Everyone
> also knows that hams are not the target market for phony "10 meter"
> roger-beep, echo-miked, rebel flagged radios. Sleazy manufacturers
> have hijacked the phrase "10 meter radio" in order to attempt to
> sidestep the CB type-acceptance regulations and get these rigs into
> the hands of freeloaders like you. So your sudden interest in the
> technicalities of legal 10 meter radio usage and what I said or didn't
> say regarding it comes off as nothing less than sheer desperation. You
> might as well be trying to discredit me on the basis of spelling
> errors. Waht the hecke, heres a bunch of them for youe; have funn. :
>)
>
> -A

You lie like a rug, Aanal Aaron. As usual. Or do you call it
"selective recall" so you won't embarass yourself?

Try looking through the excessively long thread about "Legal? ... at
eButt". You said and/or implied many times that ten meter radios were
illegal before you were drowned out by people who knew better. One,
Tom Sevart N2UHC, practically had to hit you over the head by
repeating that licensed amateurs could use any radio they want in the
ham bands, something you vehemently disagreed with.

The entire point of that thread was you crowing that the FCC had
declared all those ten meter radios somehow "illegal", and did you
ever crow. Until you were proven wrong.

You did NOT know that hams were exempt from type acceptance. You did NOT know that Novices and Tech+ license holders had 10 meter priviledges. There are so many things you didn't know that I cannot list them all. Nobody has to try to discredit you; YOU have totally discredited yourself.

693. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 13:04:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24834-3DA2D7D1-738@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139473

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <20021008022001.22102.00009356@mb-dd.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420
(Smoke Stack) wrote:
you like repeating yourself dont you? i dont know if he's ever said it
but i know he's sure implied it before. so what?
-
Then I'll ask you: Where? Show me a quote. Because I haven't seen any
post by him that expresses OR implies anything of the sort. So if he
really did and I missed that post, please quote it.
--
You said RF piracy affects your work and ignored several who asked you
to elaborate yet you ask of others something you refuse yourself.
Hypocrisy at it's finest hour.

694. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 13:07:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210080507.d9f20d1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139469

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210071652.3667d2a5@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3da08fae.6108209@news.cet.com>...
> >> In <20021006143951.22102.00009048@mb-dd.aol.com>,
> timnebo@aol.com187
> >> (Timbo Nebo) wrote:
> >>
> >> >since when is he a ham???? i wanna see that claim. last i knew the
> only
> txr he
> >> >used was a marine radio at his house which is illegal
> >>
> >> Haven't we been through this a long time ago? First, he never said
> he
> >> used it. Second, even if he does use it, it's not illegal to use a
> >> marine radio on shore if the communication is over water. In fact
> it's
> >> done all the time. You can't lock through the Colombia River
> without
> >> talking to shore, and I'll bet that they have marine radios on
> shore
> >> just for that purpose, don'tcha think Timmy? Duh...
> >
> >So you're answering for Aanal Aaron aagain, Frankie? Why don't you
> let
> >him answer for himself?
>
>
> -Aaron- <presses button on can of RAID and sprays himself in the face>

So, where's your alleged PROOF, Aanal Aaron? You got none, so you
continue to resort to lame repetition. Put up or shut up, dimbulb. I'm
still here and I'm still waiting.

695. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 13:10:51 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210080510.6cb4faf6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210071658.2c3ca70b@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >Date: Mon, Oct 7, 2002 5:53 PM
> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210071653.6ce03a96@posting.google.com>
> >
> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210061902.1ffd6a60@posting.google.com>...
> >> >ichcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >> >Date: Sun, Oct 6, 2002 7:54 PM
> >> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210061854.39a0a314@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210061216.731fa013@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote
> >> >> >Date: Sun, Oct 6, 2002 12:30 PM
> >> >> >Message-id: <3da08f31.5983309@news.cet.com>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >In <c5ecbb69.0210061039.2e4ac7a1@posting.google.com>,
> >> >> >richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> >>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d908037.1159356@news.cet.com>...
> >> >> >>>
> >> >> >>> Doesn't that get your dander up, Clyde #1? So why aren't you
> jumping
> >> >> >>> on this guy's post like you do Voobner's? Could it be that
> you
> are
> >> >> >>> just a nameless, spineless, brainless hypocrite?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>Nope. You just described YOURSELF, Jack.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >I stand in awe of such wit. Regardless, you failed to answer
> the
> >> >> >question.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> If Steve's bothering you, just post this. It works like spraying
> RAID
> >> >> on a bug. -A
> >> >
> >> >Hasn't worked yet.

> >>
> >> Some pests require extra spraying. -A
> >
> >Hasn't worked yet, Aanal Aaron, and it won't work. Where's your
> PROOF?
> >Repetition is not
> (snip)
>
>
>
> Of course it's working. Ever notice when you spray a bug with RAID, it
> wiggles around for a while and may even make noises, but eventually it
> croaks.
>
> -Aaron-

But it isn't working, Aanal Aaron. And YOU will be the one that croaks if you continue to smear an innocent person. It's fascinating to watch you squirm and grasp at straws! One professional troublemaker and TROLL (Aanal Aaron) quoting another professional troublemaker and TROLL (Woodbury M. Parr/Radio Bob) as if either knew anything. Har! As Carly Simon once sang, "You're so lame!"

696. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 13:16:22 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210080516.6eed3add@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210070737.780c6d2@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >Hasn't worked and it won't work, Aanal Aaron, because
> (snip)
>
> It's working even as we speak.
>
> -A

Certainly hasn't and isn't, since you cannot post one iota of PROOF,
Aanal Aaron. You don't have any proof, and repetition is not proof of
anything except your frustration and desperation. Lamer! You think if
you post it enough times I'll go away? Hell no! I want to see your
PROOF, dimbulb. You got none.

697. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 13:21:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24834-3DA2DBF3-742@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139478

>Gosh Tim, "what Aaron Voobner said" weighs heavily on your mind (or what's left of it) lately, eh? OK, pay attention, because here it is from the horse's mouth. For the record, I don't think all 10 meter radios are illegal and certainly have never said or implied this. Without a doubt, the ones on the FCC hit list are illegal to import (even by licensed hams), and they can't legally be used on CB (by hams or CBers). Everyone knows that licensed amateurs can use non-type accepted gear as long as it is used legally on ham bands. Everyone also knows that hams are not the target market for phony "10 meter" roger-beep, echo-miked, rebel flagged radios.
<<

There is no such thing as "phony" ten meter radios. Either they are capable of 10 meter or not. Whether they have your favorite noise toys or not doesn't make them any less legal for a hammie, except our troubled pet nocodes, who are the ones doing all the crying over them. Ironic considering you can't even use HF and probably never will.

>Sleazy manufacturers have hijacked the phrase "10 meter radio" in order to attempt to sidestep the CB type-acceptance regulations and get these rigs into the hands of freeloaders like you. <<

Your tactics I would call sleazy, as you have no authority (something that pains you greatly) so it must be replaced with insults. You are doing a bit better since I taught you that an insult-free post carries much more weight than your usual rant. It has also kept you out of the kill-file to a degree. It's taken quite a while, but you have acquired knowledge from us lowly cbers. You have exhibited a conformity to a certain etiquette among these pages, as having your addys pulled for noncompliance pains you almost as much as being ignored.

What you refer to is merely big business savy marketing. When you buy a burger at Burger King, it's not the same vaseline covered-looking burger you see on your TV. Separation of reality from make-believe is possible, but you need to get out more to hone those non-skills you are attempting to learn.

>So your sudden interest in the technicalities of legal 10 meter radio usage and what I said or didn't say regarding it comes off as nothing less than sheer desperation. <<

Ummmm, I would say no. A semi-reasonable and lucid person would call your daily swimming in the archives "sheer desperation". In case you need reminded, your tactic of combing the archives and posting old posts from whoever it is that disagrees with you or shines a light on your

funhouse of hypocrisy is outdated and passe.
You're still impotent.

>You might as well be trying to discredit me on the basis of spelling errors. Waht the hecke, heres a bunch of them for youe; have funn. :
-A <

Not to worry, my tiny cb hating friend....no one ever had to discredit you. You did that all by your lonesome by inventing your imaginary playmate when your reputation was forever trashed as a hammie. Pointing fingers blaming others for their problems and conjuring up imaginary friends are signature symptoms among children who can't cope with reality or who have suffered a great trauma. Don't take my word for it, ask the doc.

698. Re: Oscilloscopes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 13:30:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24835-3DA2DDF9-348@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139479

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <20021007184020.10377.00009295@mb-fx.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420
(Smoke Stack) wrote:
to do it as the text book says is proper frankie your right but its a
davemade world not a sciencebook world
-
It's a civilized world, Timmy, and it's becoming more and more civilized
all the time. If you don't start catching up pretty soon you are going
to be left behind.
--
Tell your bullshit to our boys in the middle east. Tell the 82nd
Airborne that just finished several small search and destroy missions in
Afghanistan and Pakistan how civilized the world is becoming when
anti-Amercian sentiment is growing leaps and bounds
each day around the world.

699. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 13:33:52 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <dO-cncn_Y4dfQz-gXTWc3Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139476

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> > > Prove it, Aanal Aaron. You can't. It has become difficult (but not
> > > "impossible") to communicate on CB simply because of the sheer numbers
> > > of operators trying to use the "legal 40".

If you expect people to believe you, it's up to you to prove your correct.

700. Re: MARYLAND, HAM, CB'ers!! REACT MEMBERS. HELP CATCH THE COWARD SN...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 13:34:05 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24834-3DA2DECD-745@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139480

From: jeffrogerson@cogeco.ca (Jeff Rogerson)
By the power of Greyskull!!

-
By Crom and Mitra!!!

701. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 13:39:52 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <yJqcnUFdUta3fT-gXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139477

"Busch'sMaster" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote in message
news:4Eoo9.121161$121.2632743@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Ah....now the little boy spews racist crap! Class George, real class.

Go back to the crack pipe Randy saying " you black guys don't make rf techs
anyhow." makes someone a racist LOL
what if I said you chinese guys don't make good basketball players am I
still a racists lol must be a potent batch of hallucigens
you got the last go round.

702. Re: Hey Jer, ask Riley....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 13:49:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24835-3DA2E27C-353@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139481

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twisteboy wrote:
Better reread your threads and remember who you're replying to......it wasn't me who asked for your call, and it certainly isn't me that "keeps insisting" you prove anything. As far as I'm concerned, you're unbelieveable anyway.

>Gee twistie, you reading comprehension and retention is getting worse. Better reread YOUR threads twistieboy. In your posting of Fri, 4 Oct 2002 22:37:56 -0400 (EDT) in message id of <28700-3D9E5084-134@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net> you blathered:

Your *behavior* dictates it. You reiterate this hammie stuff of yours overand over so it must mean the world to you that you are indeed recognized as not just a no-code. To us, you are a nocode unless you put forward somethng you asked of us....your call.

>Still say you never asked for my call? Now who's lying? I haven't asked you to prove anything because I know you haven't anything worthy to prove, <<

Last post you asked for my call.
AS I have always maintained, I have never asked anyones call...UNLESS they have asked it of me...ditto with personal information. It's jst like I always maintained, you can dish it out but fail to take your own medicine. You asked, I merely returned YOUR question and apparently took issue with it, going as far as defending your invalid reasons for speaking so much of your hammie status but failing to produce. S'ok, really,,,you know where you reside among us.

>just an appliance cb operator who hides behind his 'handle'. I only ask you for a possible call, name or address after you first asked for my call, before that I never asked you proof of anything.<<

I didn't ask for "proof" merely asked you what you have posed to others. Hypocrisy works well with you. Did Lelnad share the crow? <g>
How about George? Tis funny ya' know....I have this image of your trouble posse sitting around a really small tin table dining on stuffed a-la crow, bibs and all..sorta like the beginning of really great things to come.

>By the way there is no semicolon in wasn't. <

Well, dayummmmmm! Maybe you can gain employment working at home or something...nah, on the other hand.....

See, you don't even rate the curiosity factor with me,

>Really? Boy to be so uninterested you sure keep coming back with the retorts. <<

Missing posts? I explained this before. I am so polite that when one addresses me, I will be so thoughtful as to respond, as long as the person who is attempting the dialogue is semi-skilled with communication. Again, ironic that our small contingency of troubled licensed nocode hammies lack the simple skill of communication. For you, to whom it is ever so important to make the rest of the world aware that you are indeed above nocode status, it isn't the irony that's troubling...it's tragic, as your posts are filled with the same type insults directed toward cbers as comes from the troubled posse "Aaron" named as Lelnad KC8LDO, Dogie N8WWM, Dave Hall-socialistic commie, and Franky Gilliland who doesn't know where he is. Oh yea, and George, who seems a tad younger than his aspirants-to-be-like-us he looks up to.

703. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 14:18:09 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <BOBo9.53199$qB3.2489724@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 139482

Yes the local library does but what he is talking about will take a few months to find the parts. Then the assembly and the trick is retuning the coils that should take another month and going to different parts of the book to find out how. If time is money then it is cheaper to have the book as reference by paying for it.

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da279a6.2206996@news.cet.com...
> In <CEuo9.35620$OO4.2431271@news1.telusplanet.net>, "WG"
> <nojunk@mail.com> wrote:
>
> >Chad why don't you just go down to your local Ham Radio toy store and pick
> >up a copy of the ARRL Handbook for Radio Amateurs, (a must have for all
> >radio enthusiasts). They have a entire section on building amps and the
> >power supplies needed to run them including schematics. This book also gives
> >out good antenna projects, building antenna tuners, pre-amps and everything
> >else to do with radio. They have a new edition out every year. The one I
> >have here is the 1995 edition and it sold for around $30.00 US. A better
> >investment then some CD ROM.
>
> The local public library has all that and more -- for free!
>

704. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 14:23:30 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021008102330.02869.00000023@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 139484

he does it all the time, look how many ten meter radio auctions he's cancelled. btw i dont do the google thing, never have, never will. the last thing i wanna spend my day doing is looking thru old voobner post when i cant even make it to the end of most of them. his are as dry and boring as yours.

>Well then where did he imply it?

>Nobody forced you to take Clyde's position, Timmy. Don't blame anyone
>else for your statements except yourself.
>

>Try here:
>
>http://groups.google.com/
>
>I suggest using 'advanced search' and that should make your search a
>little easier. No longer do you have any reason to avoid the question:
>Where did Voobner imply that all 10 meter radios are illegal?

ps who's clyde?

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

705. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 14:23:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021008102357.02869.00000024@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 139483

under what name or email frankie??????

>>frank, aaron was here before you. there was alot you missed my friend.
>
>If you check the archives, I was here before him.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

706. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 14:27:06 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021008102706.02869.00000026@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 139485

its intended is being able to have a marine base station just to talk from your boat to your house? thats new to me frankie. i better put up a vhf antenna right now then

>
>Let's see now, don't you think that the use of a marine radio is more
>likely to be legal if it's used for it's intended purpose? <sound of
>me hitting myself on the head with a boot> What was I thinking? Of
>COURSE you wouldn't think THAT!!!
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

707. navy tv10 tube tester for sale or swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 14:29:00 UTC
From: MIT <we300b@electrojunk.com>
Message-ID: <MYBo9.1431$KG7.469876856@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.cb

very good working condition tv10 tube tester for trade or sell.this type reads in direct mmo and tests western electric types as well 2 to 4 digit types
michael

708. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 14:32:54 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021008103254.02869.00000027@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 139487

>
>I suggest using 'advanced search' and that should make your search a
>little easier. No longer do you have any reason to avoid the question:
>Where did Voobner imply that all 10 meter radios are illegal?
>

look frankie, some other guy did it for me already. that was convenient

>>>You lie like a rug, Aanal Aaron. As usual. Or do you call it
"selective recall" so you won't embarass yourself?

Try looking through the excessively long thread about "Legal? ... at
eButt". You said and/or implied many times that ten meter radios were
illegal before you were drowned out by people who knew better. One,
Tom Sevart N2UHC, practically had to hit you over the head by
repeating that licensed amateurs could use any radio they want in the
ham bands, something you vehemently disagreed with.

The entire point of that thread was you crowing that the FCC had
declared all those ten meter radios somehow "illegal", and did you
ever crow. Until you were proven wrong.

You did NOT know that hams were exempt from type acceptance. You did
NOT know that Novices and Tech+ license holders had 10 meter
priviledges. There are so many things you didn't know that I cannot
list them all. Nobody has to try to discredit you; YOU have totally
discredited yourself.
<<<

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

709. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 14:38:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021008103800.02869.00000028@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 139488

i got two words for you geo "Yao Ming" :-)

>
>Go back to the crack pipe Randy saying " you black guys don't make rf techs
>anyhow." makes someone a racist LOL
>what if I said you chinese guys don't make good basketball players am I
>still a racists lol must be a potent batch of hallucigens
>you got the last go round.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

710. I will be down for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 14:59:18 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <4ks5qugau108bpucuq8m9240leaulacns8@4ax.com>
Source record: 139489

I have this cold/flu whatever thats kicking my a$$ I can't breathe,
sleep, or anything. I'll be back when I am better.

73 de Keith

KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

711. Like New Galaxy DX-949 with D104 and rare maco echo box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 15:18:21 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <dpt5quosn21e4su148cku92k050tnvp4cl@4ax.com>
Source record: 139490

I have a Like New Galaxy DX-949 with D104 and rare maco echo box,949 is in the box converted for extra frequencies.also will let a D104 636L go with it and a super rare Maco Echo Box in MINT condition.Best offer or trade for other radios or scanners,take paypal also with all positive feedback.make offer

712. Texas Star DX-400v MINT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 15:20:49 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <5qt5quk9i9god2ndfjaehjc2r4et8gkfvi@4ax.com>
Source record: 139491

Excellent shape works and looks as new......trade for cash,scanner or possibly radios,e-mail with offers .paypal accepted with all positive feedbacks here...thanks

713. hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 15:27:13 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021008112713.03036.00000063@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 139492

whats the answer to this one? i know your the math man around here

0.7(3x+6)=1.1-(x-2)

thanks

714. Re: Where's Clyde #1? Re: ALL CB'ers ARE CRETINS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 15:31:45 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <BTCo9.1240$Fw6.102780160@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139493

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:antv1fnfs4@news5.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "Mr. Slate" <who-cares@apathy.net> wrote in message
> news:upu2j0g5h7t6c3@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > Fred (boy george), your docked a days pay for
> > trolling on the job! yabba dabba yadi yada....
> > Mr. Slate
>
> I see he changed his header again... craving my attention.
>
> Oops, I just went and killfiled more of his new names. He
> isn't even worth my time reading his messages.
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter.
>
>

No Brain, not your attention, everyone's. He's an
egotistic troll that wants to be seen, meaningless life
most likely.

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

715. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 15:31:45 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <BTCo9.1241$Fw6.102780160@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210080510.6cb4faf6@posting.google.com...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com

Excessive trolling from both snipped

> As Carly Simon once sang, "You're so lame!"

Actually it "you're so vain"

Landshark

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

716. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 15:31:46 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <CTCo9.1243$Fw6.102780160@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139494

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da27a71.2410218@news.cet.com...
> In <20021008022001.22102.00009356@mb-dd.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420
> (Smoke Stack) wrote:
>
> >you like repeating yourself dont you? i dont know if he's ever said it
but i
> >know he's sure implied it before. so what?
>
> Then I'll ask you: Where? Show me a quote. Because I haven't seen any
> post by him that expresses OR implies anything of the sort. So if he
> really did and I missed that post, please quote it.

Since Tim doesn't have the time, here you go Frank:

http://groups.google.com/groups?q=g:thl2113610620d&dq=&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe
=UTF-8&selm=c9ceae5b.0208071329.21f10b89%40posting.google.com&rnum=4

Landshark

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

717. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 15:31:46 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <CTCo9.1242$Fw6.102780160@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139496

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da28949.6210369@news.cet.com...
> In <20021008023731.22102.00009358@mb-dd.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420
> (Smoke Stack) wrote:
>
> >frank, aaron was here before you. there was alot you missed my friend.
>
> If you check the archives, I was here before him.
>
> > but i
> >never said he did say it, did i? i said he's implied it for sure and MAY
have
> >said it.
>
> Well then where did he imply it?
>
> > dont put this bullshit on me like you have this other mystery fellow.
>
> Nobody forced you to take Clyde's position, Timmy. Don't blame anyone
> else for your statements except yourself.
>
> >the only way you can be sure what aaron has or hasnt said since day one
is if
> >you are him.
>
> Try here:
>
> http://groups.google.com/
>
> I suggest using 'advanced search' and that should make your search a
> little easier. No longer do you have any reason to avoid the question:
> Where did Voobner imply that all 10 meter radios are illegal?
>

It's kind of tough to keep track of him, he's
apparently removing his older posts, but here's what
you asked for.

http://groups.google.com/groups?q=g:thl2113610620d&dq=&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe
=UTF-8&selm=c9ceae5b.0208071329.21f10b89%40posting.google.com&rnum=4

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow

held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

718. Re: Like New Galaxy DX-949 with D104 and rare maco echo box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 15:44:47 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3DA2FD6F.6000500@csotbs.org>
Source record: 139497

a d104 636L? Hrm.. Last I checked the D104 and the 636L were different microphone models.

Sounds a bit fishy to me.

-SSB

rugerp85

> I have a Like New Galaxy DX-949 with D104 and rare maco echo box,949
> is in the box converted for extra frequencies.also will let a D104
> 636L go with it and a super rare Maco Echo Box in MINT condition.Best
> offer or trade for other radios or scanners,take paypal also with all
> positive feedback.make offer
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

719. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 16:03:33 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021008120333.03205.00000077@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 139498

nevermind she already got it

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

From Twistedhed to Yeti: You said RF piracy affects your work and ignored several who asked you to elaborate yet you ask of others something you refuse yourself. Hypocrisy at it's finest hour.

720. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 17:57:14 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotbox.com>
Message-ID: <3DA31C7A.D55B801B@hotbox.com>
Source record: 139512

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: mrradio@hotbox.com (Sir Circumference)
> >Twistedhed wrote:<
> Well, "Arron",,let's see why you clipped the rest of the post you
> quoted...could it be that my answer was in proper context to a fellow
> freebander and you merely tried but failed to make it look as if I was
> encouraging one to do so? But of course......unedited and in it's
> entirety, the post appears below in it's original context...but you
> spend way too much time in the archives trying to dig up "something"
> (chuckle) on me....sorta like Nad did for so long, huh?
>
> >Twisthead is just another illegal 'freebander', not even worthy to be
> called a cber.
> <<
> -
> And you're merely another nocode just pissed off at cbers cause we have
> a better time on radio than you.

You seem to be really hung up on this nocode thing, whatsa matter,
you can't pass the nocode test twister? How do you know you have
more fun on the radio than hams? After all, they are operating
legally and don't have to look over their shoulder like you non-cb
illegal operators do.

721. MB8719 and pll info??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 18:08:49 UTC
From: AJ Sanderson <sjay@noospam.com>
Message-ID: <anv6v4$vj2$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 139499

Seeking some knowledge about the nearly obselete MB8719 and Uniden Grant pll circuitry.... how low can the frequency be taken if the VCO coil is retuned/modified for lower frequencies? The reason I'm asking is because I'd like to use the Grant synthesizer in another application that requires 20 Mhz input (as opposed to the normal 34+ Mhz of the circuit normally). How would such a drop in frequency affect the following components: MB8719, uhic007, TR30 crystal oscillator since the crystal will be changed to 6.7 Mhz, and the low pass filter between TR20 and pin 17 of MB8719?

I realize these questions are pretty technical, but I am going to use just the synth output of this radio in another application and I'm wondering if the modification of frequency is possible.

Thanks,
AJ

722. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 18:54:25 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3da32965.11585747@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 139500

On Mon, 7 Oct 2002 10:04:33 -0400, "Fred Flintstoned"
<legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote:

>
>
><chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
>news:3da17c22.120378995@news.optonline.net...
>> Actually I cant talk on that channel but I am pretty good on channel
>> 28. Also wouldn't people from channel 6 know the answers to these
>> questions dopey?
>IceCold,just because you talk on channel 6 doesn't mean you know the first
>thing about radio. you black guys don't make rf techs anyhow.
>
>
Again you will have to leave this bullcrap alone. The last time you
asked to suck black cock I told you I could not help you at that but I
could get you some white cock. I am not black so white cock is all I
can give you. And you misread the above post. I repeat I cannot talk
on channel 6 but, I do quite well on 28.
Chim Bubba is not ice dopey.

723. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 18:57:57 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3da32a3b.11800270@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 139501

On Mon, 7 Oct 2002 10:25:54 -0400, "Fred Flintstoned"
<legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote:

>
><chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
>news:3da17c22.120378995@news.optonline.net...
>>> Actually I cant talk on that channel but I am pretty good on channel
>> 28. Also wouldn't people from channel 6 know the answers to these
>> questions dopey? I am not ice and sorry you will have to live with
>> that.
>
>BrandNew=chimbuba=IceColdNYC
>
>From: brandnew@hotmail.com
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>Subject: Re: TO ALL THE HATERS.... LIGHTEN UP & LAUGH A LITTLE!
>Reply-To: chimbuba@optonline.net
>Message-ID: <3c9223fa.1382973@news-server.optonline.net>
>References: <20020312193715.18681.00000380@mb-ba.aol.com>
>X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
>Lines: 64
>Date: Fri, 15 Mar 2002 16:41:14 GMT
>NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.185.140.148
>X-Trace: news02.optonline.net 1016210474 24.185.140.148 (Fri, 15 Mar 2002
>11:41:14 EST)
>NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 15 Mar 2002 11:41:14 EST
>Organization: Optimum Online
>
>
>
Again you said this before. You are really obsessed with this iceman.
I am not ice. Never have been. That is all I have to say. What the
hell is the connection between the 3 you mention? Shit you even called
me bryan vanderbuilt. Who the hell is that?

724. Re: Texas Star DX-400v MINT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 19:22:15 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021008152215.14704.00000072@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 139502

I'll trade a Galaxy 55 mobile for it

Thatz-me-JP

725. Re: SWR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 19:30:04 UTC
From: Dan Lagace <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca>
Message-ID: <VmGo9.6428$9f2.646169@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 139504

Ok, thanks

(you didn't cite your source!
http://www.its.bldrdoc.gov/fs-1037/dir-034/_5084.htm)

"Cowboy67" <cowboy67@casscomm.com> wrote in message
news:antfva$3u34$1@news3.infoave.net...
> standing wave ratio (SWR): The ratio of the amplitude of a standing wave
at
> an anti-node (minimum) to the amplitude at an adjacent node (maximum).
(188)
> Note 1: The standing wave ratio (SWR) in a uniform transmission line is
> given by
> SWR= 1 + p / 1 - p
> where p is the reflection coefficient.
>
> Note 2: Reflections occur as a result of discontinuities, such as an
> imperfection in an otherwise uniform transmission line, or when a
> transmission line is terminated with other than its characteristic
> impedance. Basically, Kinks in your cable could cause HI swr, Breaks in
your
> cable, and your antenna not tuned correctly.
>
> You should be able to adjust the SWR by the tuning set screw in the
antenna.
> Do not cut your cable. That will not work.
>
> Check your SWR's at the Low end of your frq, Mid and top end of your frq
try
> to adjust for the lowest at all frq's.
>
> Buy an external SWR/power meter. They tend to work better than the
internal
> radio meters.
>
>
>
>
> "Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message
> news:Paio9.3289$9f2.343620@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > What is SWR? If i need to fidle with it, how can I with a wilson 1000
and
> > uniden grant lt setup?
> >
> > Regards, DL

> >
> >
>
>

726. Re: SWR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 19:31:44 UTC
From: Dan Lagace <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca>
Message-ID: <toGo9.6442$9f2.646349@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 139506

My Uniden Grant LT has an SWR knob. The manual doesn't say much about playing with it. Should I have to adjust my SWR to 1:1 everytime i change channels?

Thanks

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:DMmo9.7650$k_2.557156@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> SWR has to do with the match between the radio and the antenna via the
> coaxial cable. You will need an SWR meter to make the adjustment. If you
> don't have one "built-in" to the radio that's in your system, you will have
> to obtain one. They are relatively inexpensive (around $20-30) ore than
> likely can be purchased locally...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1pill.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message
> news:Paio9.3289$9f2.343620@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > What is SWR? If i need to fidle with it, how can I with a wilson 1000 and
> > uniden grant lt setup?
> >
> > Regards, DL
>
>
>

727. Re: interesting site...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 19:32:40 UTC
From: Dan Lagace <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca>
Message-ID: <lpGo9.6449$9f2.646459@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 139507

Heh.. Where can I get a list of these abreviations?

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021007162223.23914.00007207@mb-ft.aol.com...
> >From: "Dan Lagace" >anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca
> >what does "73 to all" mean?
> It means anyone who responds gets $73!
> Lil' ol' me...I prefer CASH!
> On the serious side...73's is CB lingo for
> Best Wishes...just watch out for Lil' ol' me if he starts throwing 88's at
ya!
> The KISS of death!!!!!!!!
> DX RULES.....333 Springfield MO
>
>
> "Got RF?"

728. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 19:32:55 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210081132.5ee5c3e0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139503

timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote in message
news:<20021008103254.02869.00000027@mb-cr.aol.com>...
> >
> >I suggest using 'advanced search' and that should make your search a
> >little easier. No longer do you have any reason to avoid the question:
> >Where did Voobner imply that all 10 meter radios are illegal?
> >
>
> look frankie, some other guy did it for me already. that was convenient
>
> >>>You lie like a rug, Aanal Aaron. As usual. Or do you call it
> "selective recall" so you won't embarass yourself?
>
> Try looking through the excessively long thread about "Legal? ... at
> eButt". You said and/or implied many times that ten meter radios were
> illegal before you were drowned out by people who knew better. One,
> Tom Sevart N2UHC, practically had to hit you over the head by
> repeating that licensed amateurs could use any radio they want in the
> ham bands, something you vehemently disagreed with.
>
> The entire point of that thread was you crowing that the FCC had
> declared all those ten meter radios somehow "illegal", and did you
> ever crow. Until you were proven wrong.
>
> You did NOT know that hams were exempt from type acceptance. You >did NOT know that
Novices and Tech+ license holders had 10 meter
> priviledges. There are so many things you didn't know that I cannot
> list them all. Nobody has to try to discredit you; YOU have totally
> discredited yourself.
> <<<
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo

Don't celebrate yet, Tim. Steve Rogovich aka Cranium doesn't have the answers, he just stopped by here to troll. If you read the 10 meter thread you see it's nothing more than the same old RRCB circus. Anyone who reads this newsgroup knows the drill by heart. When outlaws are told something is illegal, they immediately begin citing loopholes ("Oh yeah? It ain't illegal if...."). Of course, everyone knows the loopholes don't apply to the outlaw CB'ers. But they hope that a debate about loopholes will take the spolight off outlaw CB.

-Aaron-

729. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 19:39:05 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da331b9.585390@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139505

In <20021008102706.02869.00000026@mb-cr.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote:

>its intended is being able to have a marine base station just to talk from your >boat to your house?

Ever hear of "ship to shore" communication?

> thats new to me frankie.

I'm not suprised. And did you ever consider the possiblity that the picture of the radio was taken IN A BOAT? Or maybe he powered up the radio just for the picture? Oh, that's right, having it connected implies use, therefore in every picture ever taken where the radio is shown powered, the radio must be transmitting. Right, Timmy?

> i better put up a vhf antenna
>right now then

You probably should -- get some fresh air and exercise, and purge some of that THC that's clogging up your thought processes.

730. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 19:44:02 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210081144.311232b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210080516.6eed3add@posting.google.com>...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210070737.780c6d2@posting.google.com>...
> > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > >Hasn't worked and it won't work, Aanal Aaron, because
> > (snip)
> >
> > It's working even as we speak.
> >
> > -A
>
> Certainly hasn't and isn't, since
(snip)

Daily fumigation.

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired
for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the
operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of
a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by
the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at
the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so
inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain
about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to
follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

731. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 19:45:40 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da33474.1284530@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139509

In <20021008102330.02869.00000023@mb-cr.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote:

>he does it all the time, look how many ten meter radio auctions he's cancelled.

1. How many of those 10 meter radios were psuedo-CBs?
2. How many auctions for legitimate 10 meter radios have been cancelled?
3. How do you know it was him that cancelled said auctions?

>btw i dont do the google thing, never have, never will. the last thing i wanna
>spend my day doing is looking thru old voobner post when i cant even make it to
>the end of most of them. his are as dry and boring as yours.

Excuses, excuses.

<snip>
>ps who's clyde?

You're kidding, right? When I respond to a post by the anonymous troll named Richard Cranium and call him Clyde, you can't figure out who it is I'm talking to? How much weed do you smoke each day? Do you even have an hour or two of sobriety each month? For crying out loud, Timmy, THINK!!!

732. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 19:52:40 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210081152.299bc865@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.digital,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.broadcasting

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210080510.6cb4faf6@posting.google.com>...
(snip)

Rec.radio.cb is in the process of fumigating this troll, please
reclaim him. Thank you. -A

--

Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: Radio Bob go away, nothing is forgiven
Newsgroups: alt.radio.pirateView: Complete Thread (6 articles) |
Original FormatDate: 2002-02-07 08:08:12 PST

Nothing boring about the FRN. It's been a very useful site since you
were forced to leave for causing trouble and slandering people. And
just sos you know, theres only 1 administrator on the FRN. The owner.
He has a couple of people acting as moderators to keep things in line.
I've lurked in the UseNet groups for years, bobbie-girl.

From: Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: More Fresh Stinky Meat-This Troll SHOULD Die
Newsgroups: alt.troll-bustersView this article only
Date: 2002-02-20 18:16:05 PST

rbcn1@hotmail.com (Radio Bob) wrote in message
news:<3d34cfcd.0202181325.659e43a7@posting.google.com>...
> Please go feed on Richard Cranium, richcranus@yahoo.com (well at least
> he's got the dickhead part right) This gormless nobrainer has
> visciously attacked me at alt.radio.pirate and has furthered the
> attack by going far out of his way to implicate real and totally
> innocent persons near my hometown area. He has established a false
> email account under the name of: Sabrina_Frederic@hotmail.com where he
> also launches spew from. He's further made a false claim against me
> and had my primary email account cancelled. I'd go after him myself
> but am new at usenet ect...and not sure what to do other than to not
> respond to tolls. Pleased to find this group where possibly some good
> sam's may reside who might be inclined to assist. If anyone needs to
> contact me by email please post message here and I'll get back to you.
> Thanks

Excuse me, "Bobbie-girl", but if the claim against you was false, why
was your account cancelled? And what makes you think I send it?

You've been on the usenet for years, bobbie-girl, and anybody can do a
search to prove that. Just search for Radio Bob and/or rbcn1.

Still jamming the ham repeaters in Cornelia, GA?

TROLL!!

---

http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&threadm=SHFQ8.6628%24v51.349481114%40newssvr21.news.prodigy.com&rnum=1&prev=/groups%3Fq%3DRe:%2BXM%2Band%2BSirius%2Bin%2Bdeep%2Bfinancial%2Bproblems!%26hl%3Den%26lr%3D%26ie%3DUTF-8%26selm%3DSHFQ8.6628%2524v51.349481114%2540newssvr21.news.prodigy.com%26rnum%3D1

Message 73 in threadFrom: Radio Roger (radiorogerthat@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: XM and Sirius in deep financial problems!
Newsgroups: alt.radio.digital
Date: 2002-06-26 21:16:45 PST

Hi.

Take a moment to examine the following posts via Google (check out the
"NNTP-Posting-Host").

"Russell Todd":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=217b8ae4.0201220629.6412dff4%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain

(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=217b8ae4.0206260047.37f72794%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.127 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net]

"Jeri Ireland":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=39ca9149.0204150515.6f9deee3%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=2e055d29.0202201600.2e124762%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.29 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])

"Thomas Krapper":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=4adf2202.0204170331.4d9b6086%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=4adf2202.0204290150.384b811d%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.76 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])

"RadioBob" (Woody/Woodrum/Woodbury):
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=fe0dabe1.0206211202.708dd9fc%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=fe0dabe1.0204090049.5a15e26b%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.5 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])

"Sabrina Frederic":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=23e8cbcf.0201230359.14cb7e08%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=u9rrd2fskmo9d1%40corp.supernews.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.208.124.158 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net]
---
rbcn1@hotmail.com (Radio Bob) wrote in message
news:<3d34cfcd.0202210938.7f5c6fb@posting.google.com>...
> Hi Everyone, Can't we all just get along? Apparently not. Pat Murphy
> (Steven J. Rogovich) just can't leave well enough alone.
> Be warned!!! don't a one of you dare to open your mouth and complain
> about problems at or the operation of John Cruzan's Free Radio Network
> at frn.net You will be visciously attacked and a great attempt will
> be made to run you off the face of the earth......By resident attack
> dog, internet troll and coward extrodinare...Pat Murfy. You can make
> up all fake names and create all the false email addresses you want
> Patricia but you don't hide your manner of speech or the garbage you
> spew. You only know how to throw rocks from behind shadows like the
> pathetic scared little boy that you are. Godless coward.
> Well I'm going to give you your stupid little victory and hope that
> you will be proud of yourself. John Cruzan himself suggested that I
> come here to post my messages but even you dare to defy your glorious
> leader. Well, unlike you, I have a life and don't need to have you
> crawling around chasing me around. I have lots of other things to busy
> myself with so I'll leave the Usenet all to you and your many
> alternate personalities. Richard Cranium suits you quite well and that
> other identity that you stole from a real person will soon enough be
> taken away from you by the proper authorities. Geesh, no one ever
> thought that the title of Pirate Radio's Number 1 Asshole could be
> taken away from jta but low and behold you have mangaged to do it.
> Congratulations Steve! You da man! Have ya a great life buddy! see ya
> later

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

733. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 19:53:03 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da33611.1697676@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139511

In <20021008103254.02869.00000027@mb-cr.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote:

>>
>>I suggest using 'advanced search' and that should make your search a
>>little easier. No longer do you have any reason to avoid the question:
>>Where did Voobner imply that all 10 meter radios are illegal?
>>
>
>look frankie, some other guy did it for me already. that was convenient
>
>>>>You lie like a rug, Aanal Aaron. As usual. Or do you call it
>"selective recall" so you won't embarass yourself?
>
>Try looking through the excessively long thread about "Legal? ... at
>eButt". You said and/or implied many times that ten meter radios were
>illegal before you were drowned out by people who knew better. One,
>Tom Sevart N2UHC, practically had to hit you over the head by
>repeating that licensed amateurs could use any radio they want in the
>ham bands, something you vehemently disagreed with.
>
>The entire point of that thread was you crowing that the FCC had
>declared all those ten meter radios somehow "illegal", and did you
>ever crow. Until you were proven wrong.
>
>You did NOT know that hams were exempt from type acceptance. You did
>NOT know that Novices and Tech+ license holders had 10 meter
>priviledges. There are so many things you didn't know that I cannot
>list them all. Nobody has to try to discredit you; YOU have totally
>discredited yourself.
><<<

Can you say "circular argument"? The quote you are using is from Clyde, the same moron that made the claim for which you are defending. I understand that once you latch onto an idea, regardless of the facts, that you just can't let go of it (Seti? You still think I'm N7VCF?). And I also understand that those ideas are never your own, probably because you have never had an independant thought in your life. But for once take a crack at the qualitative reasoning process without someone holding your hand. If that's impossible (and suspect it is), then post a quote by Voobner (not Clyde, Richard Cranium, Randy, or anyone else) where he implies that all 10 meter radios are illegal.

734. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 20:03:47 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da3385c.2284275@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139513

In <CTCo9.1242$Fw6.102780160@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da28949.6210369@news.cet.com...
>> In <20021008023731.22102.00009358@mb-dd.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420
>> (Smoke Stack) wrote:
>>
>> >frank, aaron was here before you. there was alot you missed my friend.
>>
>> If you check the archives, I was here before him.
>>
>> > but i
>> >never said he did say it, did i? i said he's implied it for sure and MAY
>have
>> >said it.
>>
>> Well then where did he imply it?
>>
>> > dont put this bullshit on me like you have this other mystery fellow.
>>
>> Nobody forced you to take Clyde's position, Timmy. Don't blame anyone
>> else for your statements except yourself.
>>
>> >the only way you can be sure what aaron has or hasnt said since day one
>is if
>> >you are him.
>>
>> Try here:
>>
>> http://groups.google.com/
>>
>> I suggest using 'advanced search' and that should make your search a
>> little easier. No longer do you have any reason to avoid the question:
>> Where did Voobner imply that all 10 meter radios are illegal?
>>
>
> It's kind of tough to keep track of him, he's
>apparently removing his older posts, but here's what
>you asked for.
>
>
>http://groups.google.com/groups?q=g:thl2113610620d&dq=&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe
>=UTF-8&selm=c9ceae5b.0208071329.21f10b89%40posting.google.com&rnum=4

>
> Landshark

Do you mean this?

"10 meters is a ham band. Calling an "expanded" CB radio a 10 meter radio is a phoney ploy to sell them illegally, hence the term 'phoney 10 meter radios'."

Or this?

"If you're trying to make a case that these phoney 10 meter radios are being widely used as CW rigs by Novices and Tech Pluses, that is unproveable and highly unlikely. And everyone reading this (even the keyclowns) know it."

Or this?

"The law states that phoney 10 meter radios are illegal. There is evidence that they are being used illegally. They will continue to be banned from eBay, and I and others will continue to support enforcement of the law."

I don't see any implication that "all 10 meter radios are illegal", do you?

>--
>If you are implying that people, who
>hold ham licenses, should be somehow
>held to a higher moral standard than
>the "common man", then that would
>be faulty logic. A ham license is only
>a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
>"badge of morality".

Who said it was?

735. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 20:09:29 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da33aad.2877081@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139514

In <BOBo9.53199$qB3.2489724@news0.telusplanet.net>, "WG"
<nojunk@mail.com> wrote:

>Yes the local library does but what he is talking about will take a few
>months to find the parts. Then the assembly and the trick is retuning the
>coils that should take another month and going to different parts of the
>book to find out how. If time is money then it is cheaper to have the book
>as reference by paying for it.

I wasn't contradicting you, but most libraries have copy machines.

A few months to find the parts? Just how big of an amp are -you-
talking about?

736. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 20:19:32 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6334-3DA33DD4-784@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139518

Not bad, but still amateurish (no pun intended). Luckily foks like Tim and I are able to shed truth on your misinformation.

> If you read the 10 meter thread you see it's nothing more than the same old RRCB circus. <

You're just upset because your presence has disrupted nothing especially those you attempt most.

>Anyone who reads this newsgroup knows the drill by heart.<

Agreed. The terms "Lelnad KC8LDO, Franky Gilliland, Dave Hall, and Dogie Adair N8WWM have become synonymous with trouble or the "drill" as you now refer it.

> When outlaws are told something is illegal, they immediately begin citing loopholes ("Oh yeah?<<

And whenever it is pointed out you lie, you immediately attempt a failed redirect..still waiting on the yahoo post from voobner you promised. Besides, why tell "outlaws" anything when it was your stated intent to reach the "fence sitters"?
Ah never mind, we know why.

> It ain't illegal if...."). Of course, everyone knows the loopholes don't apply to the outlaw CB'ers. But they hope that a debate about loopholes will take the spolight off outlaw CB.
-Aaron-
-
<<

LMAO! There is no spotlight on illegal cb..
But I'll humor ya' ex-"voobner@yahoo.com",....If one does indeed exist, how might one get under this imaginary "spotlight" in order to flaunt what one does to lying problematic nocodes like yourself that refer to cbers who DX as criminals? I'm curious, as my daily DX and usual freebanding for the last twenty- some-years, including the times I have ran wattage, has failed to produce this "light" you speak of.
Same goes for all the members of both DX clubs I belong to. Same goes for the majority of cbers in here. Same goes for the majority of cbers across the US. Same goes for the majority of US cbers who DX, freeband, and run amps. Hahaha...nice try..but there is no "spotlight on illegal cb" coming from anywhere but your imagination, which we know is a bit warped, but nonetheless quite entertaining. As long as someone is watching over you, you won't cut yourself on those scissors you keep trying to poke in your eye.

737. Re: MB8719 and pll info??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 20:20:50 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da33c3e.3278308@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139515

In <anv6v4$vj2$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "AJ Sanderson"
<sjay@noospam.com> wrote:

>Seeking some knowledge about the nearly obselete MB8719 and Uniden Grant pll
>circuitry.... how low can the frequency be taken if the VCO coil is
>retuned/modified for lower frequencies? The reason I'm asking is because
>I'd like to use the Grant synthesizer in another application that requires
>20 Mhz input (as opposed to the normal 34+ Mhz of the circuit normally).
>How would such a drop in frequency affect the following components: MB8719,
>uhic007, TR30 crystal oscillator since the crystal will be changed to 6.7
>Mhz, and the low pass filter between TR20 and pin 17 of MB8719?
>
>I realize these questions are pretty technical, but I am going to use just
>the synth output of this radio in another application and I'm wondering if
>the modification of frequency is possible.

The PLL should work fine, but the VCO won't. It wasn't designed for
that kind of range. You could swap components, but you will need to
swap components for just about every other stage as well.

The cheapest way to get a PLL sig-gen is to either buy one or build it
from scratch. The latter isn't hard or expensive. The last page on the
datasheet for the MAX038 has a synth that will go from nearly DC to
over 16 MHz. Just about any parallel-input PLL can be substituted for
the MC145151, and every MAX038 I have tested can go well above 30MHz.

738. Re: SWR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 20:27:11 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da33e6c.3836511@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139516

In <toGo9.6442$9f2.646349@news20.bellglobal.com>, "Dan Lagace"
<anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote:

>My Uniden Grant LT has an SWR knob. The manual doesn't say much about
>playing with it. Should I have to adjust my SWR to 1:1 everytime i change
>channels?

...uh... Your SWR knob is to adjust the -meter- to read SWR, it
doesn't adjust the SWR.

You should have a switch that says something like 'Cal' and 'SWR'.
Flip it to 'Cal', key the mic, and adjust the SWR knob for full-scale
on the meter. Unkey and flip the switch back to 'SWR'. Key up and the
meter will show your SWR. Then adjust your antenna for the lowest SWR
on channel 20 and leave it there. If your SWR is below 2 (or 2:1) at
it's lowest, you are doing fine.

739. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 20:38:05 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotbox.net>
Message-ID: <3DA3422D.C096AA88@hotbox.net>
Source record: 139533

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: mrradio@hotbox.com (Sir Circumference)
> >You seem to be really hung up on this nocode thing, whatsa matter,<<
>
> You're asking the wrong party. They brought it to my door.

You didn't have to open it but you did.

>
> >you can't pass the nocode test twister?<<
>
> If I were entering hammie radio today, I wouldn't give the nocode test a
> second look. Why be half-a-ham to hang out here?

Oh wow, wait a minute, you accuse the hams of degrading the cbers
and here you are degrading the nocode ham as only a half a ham.
Boy, you sure talk out of both side of your mouth don't you. A
nocode ham is just as much as a ham as any other license class, at
least he holds a license and can operate legally, something you
can't say. Too bad you can't pass the test.

>
> >How do you know you have more fun on the radio than hams? <<
>
> Look at us fun lovin' bunch in here and take it in context to you
> hammies posts, especially the few nocodes and the trouble posse named by
> "Arron"...Dave Hall, Lelnad KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, and George Busch.

You have fun breaking FCC rules? What a strange way of life.

>
> >After all, they are operating legally and don't have to look over their
> shoulder like you non-cb illegal operators do.<<
>
> I operate cb daily. I DX daily. I've done so mostly for over 20 years,
> give or take a stale period or two. Never have I "looked over my
> shoulder". No need. What is your implication for such a profound remark?

The hams can operate with a clear conscience that they hold a
license which gives them the privilege to operate on the ham
bands, unlike you who operates illegally, any way you want to spin
it, you are an illegal operator operating in violation of FCC
rules. Does that make you proud? I guess if you can't pass the
test that is the best you can do.

740. Re: I will be down for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 20:41:03 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021008164103.23021.00000081@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 139517

well, send us one more joke for us before u go! i real funny one too!

Thatz-me-JP

741. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 20:44:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6334-3DA343C7-786@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139519

From: mrradio@hotbox.com (Sir Circumference)
>You seem to be really hung up on this nocode thing, whatsa matter,<<

You're asking the wrong party. They brought it to my door.

>you can't pass the nocode test twister?<<

If I were entering hammie radio today, I wouldn't give the nocode test a second look. Why be half-a-ham to hang out here?

>How do you know you have more fun on the radio than hams? <<

Look at us fun lovin' bunch in here and take it in context to you hammies posts, especially the few nocodes and the trouble posse named by "Arron"...Dave Hall, Lelnad KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, and George Busch.

>After all, they are operating legally and don't have to look over their shoulder like you non-cb illegal operators do.<<

I operate cb daily. I DX daily. I've done so mostly for over 20 years, give or take a stale period or two. Never have I "looked over my shoulder". No need. What is your implication for such a profound remark?

742. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 20:46:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6334-3DA3441A-787@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139520

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <20021008102706.02869.00000026@mb-cr.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420
(Smoke Stack) wrote:
its intended is being able to have a marine base station just to talk
from your boat to your house?
-
Ever hear of "ship to shore" communication?
thats new to me frankie.
--
>I'm not suprised. And did you ever consider the possiblity that the
picture of the radio was taken IN A BOAT? <<

It wasn't.

743. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 21:19:16 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <WZudneWst7NI1j6gXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139521

<chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3da32965.11585747@news.optonline.net...
> Again you will have to leave this bullcrap alone. The last time you
> asked to suck black cock I told you I could not help you at that but I
> could get you some white cock. I am not black so white cock is all I
> can give you. And you misread the above post. I repeat I cannot talk
> on channel 6 but, I do quite well on 28.
> Chim Bubba is not ice dopey.

Mr. Vanderpool/ IcecoldNYC if you say it enough times perhaps you will
belive yourself.

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

744. Re: SWR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 21:21:31 UTC
From: Dan Lagace <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca>
Message-ID: <n%Ho9.19488$zU5.800183@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 139523

Wilson 1000's are adjustable? If so, know any sites that can help me with
it?

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da33e6c.3836511@news.cet.com...
> In <toGo9.6442$9f2.646349@news20.bellglobal.com>, "Dan Lagace"
> <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote:
>
> >My Uniden Grant LT has an SWR knob. The manual doesn't say much about
> >playing with it. Should I have to adjust my SWR to 1:1 everytime i change
> >channels?
>
> ...uh... Your SWR knob is to adjust the -meter- to read SWR, it
> doesn't adjust the SWR.
>
> You should have a switch that says something like 'Cal' and 'SWR'.
> Flip it to 'Cal', key the mic, and adjust the SWR knob for full-scale
> on the meter. Unkey and flip the switch back to 'SWR'. Key up and the
> meter will show your SWR. Then adjust your antenna for the lowest SWR
> on channel 20 and leave it there. If your SWR is below 2 (or 2:1) at
> it's lowest, you are doing fine.
>

745. Can't you guys...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 21:25:20 UTC
From: M&WC <decoratingit@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <43Io9.14566$YR.27457@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 139522

Just feel the love in this room.....

--

Outgoing mail is scanned and CERTIFIED Virus Free

Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/19/2002

746. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 21:33:08 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <HWadnSXgUtuL0j6gXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139524

<chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3da32a3b.11800270@news.optonline.net...
> Again you said this before. You are really obsessed with this iceman.
> I am not ice. Never have been. That is all I have to say. What the
> hell is the connection between the 3 you mention? Shit you even called
> me bryan vanderbuilt. Who the hell is that?

Obsession not at all Icecold, just obsessed with guys who lie about who they
are when have been caught red handed the connection is you are all one in
the same are you really that people are that stupid to htink you can say
your not him when there is undeniable proof. LOL you need to stay out of the
NY knicks ng
Icecold=bobba fett=brandspankinnew=shutemdownmobile=Icecoldnyc LOL

747. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 21:38:29 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <SducnWXCZv7LzT6gXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139525

"Smoke Stack" <timnebo@aol.com420> wrote in message
news:20021008103800.02869.00000028@mb-cr.aol.com...
> i got two words for you geo "Yao Ming" :-)

Tim, I got a few words for you "Lay off the weed"... who cares about yao ming, I said chinese guys don't make good basketball players, you throw some stupid gook name out there like every chinese guys is michael jordan now and they can all play basketball.

> >
> >Go back to the crack pipe Randy saying " you black guys don't make rf techs
> >anyhow." makes someone a racist LOL
> >what if I said you chinese guys don't make good basketball players am I
> >still a racists lol must be a potent batch of hallucigens
> >you got the last go round.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>

748. Re: Where's Clyde #1? Re: ALL CB'ers ARE CRETINS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 21:40:40 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <ji-dndEwTblHzT6gXTWc3g@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139526

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:BTCo9.1240$Fw6.102780160@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> No Brain, not your attention, everyone's. He's an
> egotistic troll that wants to be seen, meaningless life
> most likely.
>
> Landshark

hey first time you have been anywhere near correct landshark, peter beater should know I could care less if he ever takes another breath of air lol he thinks I changed names to get his attention LOL What a laugher LOL I had to read that 2x to make sure he said it.

749. Re: I will be down for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 21:45:06 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <BIIo9.11766$0o4.3879@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 139527

Don't know you Keith, but hope you get feeling better.

Bill

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:4ks5qugau108bpucuq8m9240leaulacns8@4ax.com...
> I have this cold/flu whatever thats kicking my a$$ I can't breathe,
> sleep, or anything. I'll be back when I am better.
>
> 73 de Keith
>
> KC8TCQ
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
> Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
> American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

750. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 21:57:01 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <NwIo9.1375$9s.109962531@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139528

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da3385c.2284275@news.cet.com...
> Do you mean this?
>
> "10 meters is a ham band. Calling an "expanded" CB radio a 10 meter
> radio is a phoney ploy to sell them illegally, hence the term 'phoney
> 10 meter radios'."
>
> Or this?
>
> "If you're trying to make a case that these phoney 10 meter radios are
> being widely used as CW rigs by Novices and Tech Pluses, that is
> unproveable and highly unlikely. And everyone reading this (even the
> keyclowns) know it."
>
> Or this?
>
> "The law states that phoney 10 meter radios are illegal. There is
> evidence that they are being used illegally. They will continue to be
> banned from eBay, and I and others will continue to support
> enforcement of the law."
>
> I don't see any implication that "all 10 meter radios are illegal", do
> you?
>

Nice editing Frank, ego getting in the way again?

" "Export" radios and "10 meter" radios are names used to try and slip them
by the FCC. Bottom line is these illegal transceivers are being marketed to
CB'ers not hams, they are not type-accepted for CB, and they are illegal to
sell and use."

> >--
> >If you are implying that people, who
> >hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> >held to a higher moral standard than
> >the "common man", then that would
> >be faulty logic. A ham license is only
> >a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
> >"badge of morality".
>
> Who said it was?
>

I believe this was a quote from Dave Hall, I thought
he said it quite well.

751. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 22:07:54 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3DA3573A.58E7B64B@lucent.com>
Source record: 139529

Smoke Stack wrote:
>
> whats the answer to this one? i know your the math man around here
>
> 0.7(3x+6)=1.1-(x-2)
>
> thanks

x = - (1.1 / 3.1)

or

x = -.3548388

Dennis O.

752. Re: Can't you guys...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 22:15:28 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021008181528.22971.00000162@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 139530

Why does some idiot got to say something to get like 50 REPLIES???, your a dumbass!!!!

Thatz-me-JP

753. 3 rigs for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 22:31:59 UTC
From: boydl <boydl@cox.net>
Message-ID: <z1Jo9.348$r83.168568@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 139531

I have 3 Pearce Simpson Guardan 23 for sale. All 3 work.
Have 2 power cords and 1 hand mic. They need to be cleaned
up. They have been sitting in the closet for years. I checked
them and they all work, transmit & receive.
Also have 2 mobile brackets.

$75.00 plus shipping.

Reply to: boydL@cox.net

754. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 22:37:34 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210081437.1b6376d7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139532

"Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. wrote
>Nice editing Frank, ego getting in the way again?
>
>" "Export" radios and "10 meter" radios are names used to try and
slip
> them by the FCC. Bottom line is these illegal transceivers are being
> marketed to CB'ers not hams, they are not type-accepted for CB, and
> they are illegal to sell and use."

Deconstruct and reinterpret what I've written if you like. You're out
of other options.

:)

-Aaron-

755. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 22:43:20 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <ccJo9.124158$121.2867966@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DA31F34.C659FD6D@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Richard Cranium wrote:
> >
> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210071658.2c3ca70b@posting.google.com>...
> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> > > >Date: Mon, Oct 7, 2002 5:53 PM
> > > >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210071653.6ce03a96@posting.google.com>
> > > >
> > > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210061902.1ffd6a60@posting.google.com>...
> > > >> >ichcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> > > >> >Date: Sun, Oct 6, 2002 7:54 PM
> > > >> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210061854.39a0a314@posting.google.com>
> > > >> >
> > > >> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210061216.731fa013@posting.google.com>...
> > > >> >> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote
> > > >> >> >Date: Sun, Oct 6, 2002 12:30 PM
> > > >> >> >Message-id: <3da08f31.5983309@news.cet.com>
> > > >> >> >
> > > >> >> >In <c5ecbb69.0210061039.2e4ac7a1@posting.google.com>,
> > > >> >> >richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> > > >> >> >
> > > >> >> >>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d908037.1159356@news.cet.com>...
> > > >> >> >>>
> > > >> >> >>> Doesn't that get your dander up, Clyde #1? So why aren't you
> > > jumping
> > > >> >> >>> on this guy's post like you do Voobner's? Could it be that
> > > you
> > > are
> > > >> >> >>> just a nameless, spineless, brainless hypocrite?
> > > >> >> >>
> > > >> >> >>Nope. You just described YOURSELF, Jack.
> > > >> >> >
> > > >> >> >I stand in awe of such wit. Regardless, you failed to answer
> > > the
> > > >> >> >question.
> > > >> >>

> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> If Steve's bothering you, just post this. It works like spraying
> > > RAID
> > > >> >> on a bug. -A
> > > >> >
> > > >> >Hasn't worked yet.
> > > >>
> > > >> Some pests require extra spraying. -A
> > > >
> > > >Hasn't worked yet, Aanal Aaron, and it won't work. Where's your
> > > PROOF?
> > > >Repetition is not
> > > (snip)
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Of course it's working. Ever notice when you spray a bug with RAID, it
> > > wiggles around for a while and may even make noises, but eventually it
> > > croaks.
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> >
> > But it isn't working, Aanal Aaron. And YOU will be the one that croaks
> > if you continue to smear an innocent person. It's fascinating to watch
> > you squirm and grasp at straws! One professional troublemaker and
> > TROLL (Aanal Aaron) quoting another professional troublemaker and
> > TROLL (Woodbury M. Parr/Radio Bob) as if either knew anything. Har! As
> > Carly Simon once sang, "You're so lame!"
>

This is the part where another alter-ego comes to the rescue:

> You seem to put a lot of effort in denying it richie. Most folks
> would just ignore something that is untrue after a while, but your
> constant desperate attempts at denial makes one wonder.

756. Re: Can't you guys...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 22:44:12 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DA35FBC.320F7AD7@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139543

M&WC wrote:
>
> Just feel the love in this room.....................
>

There is a lot of cyber butt kicking here, but if we all met down
at the corner cafe without knowing who's who, we would probably
find out we get along face to face rather well.

757. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 22:50:50 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <ejJo9.89170$Fw2.2935389@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139535

"Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote in message
news:yJqcnUFdUta3fT-gXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
>
>
> "Busch'sMaster" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote in message
> news:4Eoo9.121161$121.2632743@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > Ah....now the little boy spews racist crap! Class George, real class.
>
>
>
> Go back to the crack pipe Randy saying " you black guys don't make rf
techs
> anyhow." makes someone a racist LOL
> what if I said you chinese guys don't make good basketball players am I
> still a racists lol must be a potent batch of hallucigens
> you got the last go round.
>
>
>

Obviously George, you are too stupid to even comprehend what you wrote. In today's business world, what you wrote could garner anyone in hearing range a very large sum of money. Yes George, it is racist. No wonder you are unemployed.

Now, get off the puter 'fore Mommy catches you.

758. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 22:50:50 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <ejJo9.89171$Fw2.2935389@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139536

"Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote in message
news:SducnWXCZv7LzT6gXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
>
> "Smoke Stack" <timnebo@aol.com420> wrote in message
> news:20021008103800.02869.00000028@mb-cr.aol.com...
> > i got two words for you geo "Yao Ming" :-)
>
>
> Tim, I got a few words for you "Lay off the weed"... who cares about yao
> ming, I said chinese guys don't make good basketball players, you throw
some
> stupid gook

Wow! That doesn't sound racist, does it?

name out there like every chinese guys is michael jordan now and
> they can all play basketball.
>

759. Re: Can't you guys...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 22:53:43 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <XlJo9.89188$Fw2.2935982@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139537

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021008182746.722$pb@newsreader.com...
> thatzmejp@aol.com (UknowMeJP) wrote:
> >>Why does some idiot got to say something to get like 50 REPLIES???, your
> > > a dumbass!!!!
> > >
> > > Thatz-me-JP
> > >
> > Is English your second language?
> >
> > --

Maybe his fifth, but no higher. <g>

760. Re: Antenna Impedance Matching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 23:03:15 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <TuJo9.89214$Fw2.2938045@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139538

"Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote in message
news:yJqcnUFdUta3fT-gXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
>
>
> "Busch'sMaster" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote in message
> news:4Eoo9.121161$121.2632743@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > Ah....now the little boy spews racist crap! Class George, real class.
>
>
>
> Go back to the crack pipe Randy saying " you black guys don't make rf
techs
> anyhow." makes someone a racist LOL
> what if I said you chinese guys don't make good basketball players am I
> still a racists lol must be a potent batch of hallucigens
> you got the last go round.
>

Really George, tell us what grade you're in. By the above post, I'm thinking
5th, 6th tops.

Is illiteracy that much of a problem in the rust belt? Or, is it the result
of inbreeding? Do tell.

761. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 23:40:26 UTC
From: Chad <vze2mxmv@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <K1Ko9.36864$Mw4.29971@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 139539

thanks for the great idea, I'll have to check it out

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:CEuo9.35620$OO4.2431271@news1.telusplanet.net...
> Chad why don't you just go down to your local Ham Radio toy store and pick
> up a copy of the ARRL Handbook for Radio Amateurs, (a must have for all
> radio enthusiasts). They have a entire section on building amps and the
> power supplies needed to run them including schematics. This book also
gives
> out good antenna projects, building antenna tuners, pre-amps and
everything
> else to do with radio. They have a new edition out every year. The one I
> have here is the 1995 edition and it sold for around $30.00 US. A better
> investment then some CD ROM.
>
> Good Luck and Keep it Clean
>
> "Chad" <vze2mxmv@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:Kz4o9.17853$Mw4.10785@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> >
> > does anyone have a schematic on how to build a linear?
> > thanks
> >
> >
>
>

762. F.S. Amp Kit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 23:53:31 UTC
From: W S <w9bbb@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3da36fd5$0$1419$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 139540

Hi all,
Started to put a 140 watt two pill amp brd into chassis.All is needed to
finish is a heatsink.3 watt drv output 145 watts.$100 with instruction
manual.WS

763. FS antique CB's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 23:55:34 UTC
From: W S <w9bbb@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3da37050$0$1420$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 139541

Hi all,
Got two 5-channels Johnson Vikings Tubes CB's.Both working and some Xtals
are in them.One pwr cord though.$60 for both.You ship.WS

764. F.S. Antique International Crystal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-08 23:57:57 UTC
From: W S <w9bbb@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3da370df$0$1429$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 139542

Hi all,
Got a Executive 12-channel tube CB in good condition.Working OK.Got mic,pwr cord and 12 channels installed.$50.00 you ship.WS

765. Galaxy DX-55V Forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 00:53:44 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021008205344.28589.00000170@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 139544

I bought this radio one year ago. It has only been used by me as a base station, has never been in a car. I had it professionally tuned up. It has Variable PWR from .5 to 8 watts and modulates to around 30. Modulation has been tuned up as well. This is a VERY loud radio and has alot of swing. I still have the Galaxy 55 Box, mounting brackets, power cord, the original stock hand mic, manuals, and whatever else it came with. I have it all. Has the freq counter, large eas to read meter and has Bands A thru F giving you 240 channels. I promise you will not be disappointed with this Galaxy. $170 OBO... Thanks for looking

Thatz-me-JP

766. twistworld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 01:10:15 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <97296da205f1fdcbc1b5da423b57e122@xganon.com>
Source record: 139545

http://web.archive.org/web/20021008174238re_/http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/twistworld.jpg

---
This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
---

767. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 01:26:18 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@casscomm.com>
Message-ID: <ao00ms$5k5s$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 139647

I have some schematics for a Siltronix SLM-100 mobile hf

"Chad" <vze2mxmv@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:Kz4o9.17853$Mw4.10785@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
>
> does anyone have a schematic on how to build a linear?
> thanks
>
>

768. Robt Bradley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 01:27:41 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <0eb2840f3babec81bc77c62622845ac8@xganon.com>
Source record: 139546

Bradley, Robt
803 Shore Dr E
OLDSMAR, FL 34677
813-855-7941

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
---

769. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 01:32:34 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da385a0.948389@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139648

In <NwIo9.1375$9s.109962531@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da3385c.2284275@news.cet.com...
>> Do you mean this?
>>
>> "10 meters is a ham band. Calling an "expanded" CB radio a 10 meter
>> radio is a phoney ploy to sell them illegally, hence the term 'phoney
>> 10 meter radios'."
>>
>> Or this?
>>
>> "If you're trying to make a case that these phoney 10 meter radios are
>> being widely used as CW rigs by Novices and Tech Pluses, that is
>> unproveable and highly unlikely. And everyone reading this (even the
>> keyclowns) know it."
>>
>> Or this?
>>
>> "The law states that phoney 10 meter radios are illegal. There is
>> evidence that they are being used illegally. They will continue to be
>> banned from eBay, and I and others will continue to support
>> enforcement of the law."
>>
>> I don't see any implication that "all 10 meter radios are illegal", do
>> you?
>>
>
>Nice editing Frank, ego getting in the way again?
>
>" "Export" radios and "10 meter" radios are names used to try and slip them
>by the FCC. Bottom line is these illegal transceivers are being marketed to
>CB'ers not hams, they are not type-accepted for CB, and they are illegal to
>sell and use."

You are pulling one paragraph out of the context of the post. I knew
you would do that, and that's why I posted the other paragraphs -- to
keep it in context. Also note the phrase "'10 meter' radios" and how
'10 meters' was in quotation marks, IMPLYING that they are not true 10
meter radios. By your logic, you could pull the phrase "these illegal
transceivers" out of context and claim that his implication was that
all cell-phones are illegal. But that wouldn't be any more true than
to claim he implied that "all 10 meter radios are illegal".

Nice try, sharkie. Try again.

>> >--
>> >If you are implying that people, who
>> >hold ham licenses, should be somehow
>> >held to a higher moral standard than
>> >the "common man", then that would
>> >be faulty logic. A ham license is only
>> >a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
>> >"badge of morality".
>>
>> Who said it was?
>>
>
>I believe this was a quote from Dave Hall, I thought
>he said it quite well.

Dave Hall said that a ham license is a "badge of morality"? I think
I'll need to see the quote for that one, too. Or is that another
"implied" statement?

770. Astron 20 Amp PWR Supply Forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 01:43:47 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021008214347.11020.00000182@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 139649

"Like new" works very fine. Has a voltage adjuster inside to control your voltage. Very Clean. $55 plus shipping costs

Thatz-me-JP

771. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 01:49:24 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da3886d.1665216@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139650

In <20021008120333.03205.00000077@mb-cr.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420
(Smoke Stack) wrote:

>nevermind she already got it
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
>http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>From Twistedhed to Yeti: You said RF piracy affects your work and ignored
>several who asked you to elaborate yet you ask of others something you refuse
>yourself. Hypocrisy at it's finest hour.

See if she or you can figure this one out:

Given: a = b

a^2 = ba , multiply both sides by a

a^2 - b^2 = ba - b^2 , subtract b^2 from both sides

(a + b)(a - b) = b(a - b) , factor

(a + b)(a - b) b(a - b)
-------------- = -------- , divide both sides by (a - b)
(a - b) (a - b)

a + b = b

b + b = b , substitute b for a (since they are equal)

2b = b

2b b
-- = - , divide both sides by b
b b

2 = 1

(And nobody help him out!)

772. While I'm on the subject of math...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 02:08:13 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da38d03.2839292@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139651

Let's take a look at power supplies and power amps. A 20 amp power supply should supply the full 20 amps at the rated voltage. (I say that because many don't.) So at 13.6 volts it should provide 20 amps, which translates to 272 watts. Ok? Let's drop a couple amps for use by the exciter, so we have about 245 watts left. Now we know that peak AM power requires four times RMS watts. Which means a 20 amp supply is good for 245 / 4 = 61.25 watts deadkey. That's assuming the amp is operating at 100% efficiency; which, of course, ain't gonna happen. So even if the amp is working at a realistic AB P-P efficiency of 50%, if your deadkey is much more than 30 watts you are going to be clipping the supply on the peaks and causing harmonics!

Think about that next time you are trying to match an amp to a power supply!

773. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 02:12:49 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <BgMo9.101816$8o3.2825124@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139652

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da3886d.1665216@news.cet.com...
> In <20021008120333.03205.00000077@mb-cr.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420
> (Smoke Stack) wrote:
>
> >nevermind she already got it
> >
> >
> >
> > Tim Nebo
> >
> >http://www.TimNebo.com
> >
> >From Twistedhed to Yeti: You said RF piracy affects your work and ignored
> >several who asked you to elaborate yet you ask of others something you
refuse
> >yourself. Hypocrisy at it's finest hour.
>
> See if she or you can figure this one out:
>
> Given: a = b
>
> a^2 = ba , multiply both sides by a
>
> a^2 - b^2 = ba - b^2 , subtract b^2 from both sides
>
> (a + b)(a - b) = b(a - b) , factor
>
> (a + b)(a - b) b(a - b)
> -------------- = -------- , divide both sides by (a - b)
> (a - b) (a - b)
>
> a + b = b
>
> b + b = b , substitute b for a (since they are equal)
>
> 2b = b
>
> 2b b
> -- = - , divide both sides by b
> b b
>
> 2 = 1
>
> (And nobody help him out!)

>

Did Dennis give you the answer?

774. Hey Gunslinger...nice DX tonight!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 02:14:07 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021008221407.00231.00000162@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 139653

Sounding good down here in Springfield MO
333 Mobile.....

"Got RF?"

775. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 02:58:29 UTC
From: Lenny Stover <mppd5107@the-police.com>
Message-ID: <pXMo9.1515$Mv5.475667673@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139654

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote

> Gosh Lenny, I think you're misinformed, or just yanking our chains. I
> could be wrong. Let's let your boss decide.

Since I have a damned good relationship with my boss, I could do as you ask and then quote him for you when he tells me to tell you to "pound sand." The reason that he would tell you this, as anyone with a slight knowledge of law enforcement will tell you, is that we *do not* enforce federal regulations. I have an amplifier mounted in my squad car, and the Chief is fully aware of it. He has more important things to concern himself with than whether sone "ham" is upset because I am using extra wattage on a CB.

> (d) Paragraph (c) of this section does not apply if you hold a
> license in another radio service which allows you to operate an
> external
> RF power amplifier.

Hmm...I wonder if the license for our squad radios would qualify us for this exemption?

776. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 03:03:04 UTC
From: Lenny Stover <mppd5107@the-police.com>
Message-ID: <I%Mo9.1519$Jq5.475369603@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139655

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote

<lecture snipped>

> IMO, Mr. Stover has a responsibility to see that any
> information he posts here is accurate and reflects actual >-not
> estimated- FCC policy.

All information that I have posted here *is* factual, and at no time did I *ever* quote FCC policy nor elude to FCC policy. Feel free to scan back up the thread.

Whatsamatter? You upset because a CBer is into your "sandbox?"

777. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 03:07:05 UTC
From: Lenny Stover <mppd5107@the-police.com>
Message-ID: <t3No9.1522$pz5.475886732@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139657

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote

>Well, amps do help,,especially during the day when all >the skip is
>running, but I'd rather find a nice quiet off channel on the >freeband
>than run a buncha power. To me, and in my certainly >humbled opinion, it
>is the lesser of the 2 evils.

A valid point, however, requests for local information and
accident/DUI/reckless driving reports *usually* occur on Channel 19. You
still gotta put some "fire to the wire" around here just to get them to
switch to anothert channel.

778. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 03:15:30 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <mbNo9.126453$121.2942042@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139658

"Lenny Stover" <mppd5107@the-police.com> wrote in message
news:pXMo9.1515$Mv5.475667673@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > Gosh Lenny, I think you're misinformed, or just yanking our chains. I
> > could be wrong. Let's let your boss decide.
>
> Since I have a damned good relationship with my boss, I could do as you
ask
> and then quote him for you when he tells me to tell you to "pound sand."
> The reason that he would tell you this, as anyone with a slight knowledge
of
> law enforcement will tell you, is that we *do not* enforce federal
> regulations. I have an amplifier mounted in my squad car, and the Chief
is
> fully aware of it. He has more important things to concern himself with
> than whether sone "ham" is upset because I am using extra wattage on a CB.
>
>
> > (d) Paragraph (c) of this section does not apply if you hold a
> > license in another radio service which allows you to operate an
> > external
> > RF power amplifier.
>
> Hmm...I wonder if the license for our squad radios would qualify us for
this
> exemption?
>
>
>

Dammit! I have to kick myself for this, but no, it does not. CB radio service is quite clear about this. No matter who, or whom you think you are, external amps are illegal in the CB radio service. Law enforcement is not excluded. You might as well have "extra channels" too. I mean hell, if you're gonna be illegal, why half-step?

Now, the above being said, I don't condone, nor condemn what you do with your CB. I am just providing information. Disregard with impunity what Aaron, Yeti, Dave Hall, Doug Adair(Keyclown Busters) and other's(George doesn't even rate as sane) have to say about legality. Their opinions don't matter in the real world nearly as much as they do in their minds. They are simply radio-cop wannabe's.

-Randy

779. (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 04:15:23 UTC
From: Anonymous User <anonymous@remailer.havenco.com>
Message-ID: <10a04baff773ed08fe773f52e1234907@remailer.havenco.com>
Source record: 139834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Subject: ohio record sight

http://www.courtclerk.org

780. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 04:33:19 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <jkOo9.1946$Mu2.208376168@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139659

"Lenny Stover" <mppd5107@the-police.com> wrote in message
news:pXMo9.1515$Mv5.475667673@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > Gosh Lenny, I think you're misinformed, or just yanking our chains. I
> > could be wrong. Let's let your boss decide.
>
> Since I have a damned good relationship with my boss, I could do as you
ask
> and then quote him for you when he tells me to tell you to "pound sand."
> The reason that he would tell you this, as anyone with a slight knowledge
of
> law enforcement will tell you, is that we *do not* enforce federal
> regulations. I have an amplifier mounted in my squad car, and the Chief
is
> fully aware of it. He has more important things to concern himself with
> than whether sone "ham" is upset because I am using extra wattage on a CB.
>
>
> > (d) Paragraph (c) of this section does not apply if you hold a
> > license in another radio service which allows you to operate an
> > external
> > RF power amplifier.
>
> Hmm...I wonder if the license for our squad radios would qualify us for
this
> exemption?
>
>
>

Ah oh, lots of whining from the antis forth coming........

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

781. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 04:33:19 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <jkOo9.1947$Mu2.208376168@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139660

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da385a0.948389@news.cet.com...
> In <NwIo9.1375$9s.109962531@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>
> >
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3da3385c.2284275@news.cet.com...
> >> Do you mean this?
> >>
> >> "10 meters is a ham band. Calling an "expanded" CB radio a 10 meter
> >> radio is a phoney ploy to sell them illegally, hence the term 'phoney
> >> 10 meter radios'."
> >>
> >> Or this?
> >>
> >> "If you're trying to make a case that these phoney 10 meter radios are
> >> being widely used as CW rigs by Novices and Tech Pluses, that is
> >> unproveable and highly unlikely. And everyone reading this (even the
> >> keyclowns) know it."
> >>
> >> Or this?
> >>
> >> "The law states that phoney 10 meter radios are illegal. There is
> >> evidence that they are being used illegally. They will continue to be
> >> banned from eBay, and I and others will continue to support
> >> enforcement of the law."
> >>
> >> I don't see any implication that "all 10 meter radios are illegal", do
> >> you?
> >>
> >
> >Nice editing Frank, ego getting in the way again?
> >
> >" "Export" radios and "10 meter" radios are names used to try and slip
them
> >by the FCC. Bottom line is these illegal transceivers are being marketed
to
> >CB'ers not hams, they are not type-accepted for CB, and they are illegal
to
> >sell and use."
>

Oh, please Frank, that's so un original. Aaron made that statement, don't
try to defend him.

> You are pulling one paragraph out of the context of the post. I knew
> you would do that, and that's why I posted the other paragraphs -- to
> keep it in context.

LOL! oh, ok Frank. Again, defend Aaron, for some strange reason.

> Also note the phrase "'10 meter' radios" and how
> '10 meters' was in quotation marks, IMPLYING that they are not true 10
> meter radios. By your logic, you could pull the phrase "these illegal
> transceivers" out of context and claim that his implication was that
> all cell-phones are illegal.

But all scanners that pick up those signals are ilegal, but I
don't see you or Aaron or George crying about that, do I.

>But that wouldn't be any more true than
> to claim he implied that "all 10 meter radios are illegal".
>
> Nice try, sharkie. Try again.
>
> >> >--
> >> >If you are implying that people, who
> >> >hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> >> >held to a higher moral standard than
> >> >the "common man", then that would
> >> >be faulty logic. A ham license is only
> >> >a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
> >> >"badge of morality".
> >>
> >> Who said it was?
> >>
> >
> >I believe this was a quote from Dave Hall, I thought
> >he said it quite well.
>
> Dave Hall said that a ham license is a "badge of morality"? I think
> I'll need to see the quote for that one, too. Or is that another
> "implied" statement?
>

Then as you so asked, I must see the original post
to believe that.

Landshark

--
Try sending your complaints to these concerning
Keyclownbusters (anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com IE:
Doug Adair N8WWM):

help@uu.net

abuse-mail@wcom.com

netadmin@ao.wcom.net

groups-abuse@google.com

abuse@yahoo.com

Consumer Affairs
WorldCom
1200 South Hayes Street, 11th fl
Arlington, VA 22202
800-695-4405

782. cb base station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 04:46:25 UTC
From: Neill Burkett <stryper2000@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uq7d23r97o7bab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139661

what is the least expensive new cb base station i can get that has all 40 channels, also what kind of range can you get w/ one if you use an inside antenna.

783. Johnson Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 04:57:43 UTC
From: jason <hubs3@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ao06dr$c2t$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 139656

I have just recived a Johnson Viking Messenger radio, the guy who gave it to me called it a "Black Face" if that means anything. It apears to be a five channel radio with a permennatly attached mic, i assume its crystal controlled, can you add channels to it or can they be swapped out for other channels?? Also wondering if anyone might happen to have the power hook up pin configuration, it seems to be missing the plug for all that in the back.

By the way, thanks to everyone on the help with the midland cb with the mic problem, i finally got it working, and thanks to everyone for the info on the scope, im learning how to use it, should be a big help,

Thanks Again,
Jason

784. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 05:15:52 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210082115.2fbe22f9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139662

"Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. wrote
>LOL! oh, ok Frank. Again, defend Aaron, for some strange reason.

Look closer Landshark, he isn't defending me. Neither is Randy. They are merely separating truth from BS. No doubt they would do the same for you provided you start dealing with the facts.

-Aaron-

785. Re: While I'm on the subject of math...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 05:27:45 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021009012745.27916.00007574@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 139663

sounds right to me, we always called it 20 amps per 2879 to be safe.

>
>
>Let's take a look at power supplies and power amps. A 20 amp power
>supply should supply the full 20 amps at the rated voltage. (I say
>that because many don't.) So at 13.6 volts it should provide 20 amps,
>which translates to 272 watts. Ok? Let's drop a couple amps for use by
>the exciter, so we have about 245 watts left. Now we know that peak AM
>power requires four times RMS watts. Which means a 20 amp supply is
>good for 245 / 4 = 61.25 watts deadkey. That's assuming the amp is
>operating at 100% efficiency; which, of course, ain't gonna happen. So
>even if the amp is working at a realistic AB P-P efficiency of 50%, if
>your deadkey is much more than 30 watts you are going to be clipping
>the supply on the peaks and causing harmonics!
>
>Think about that next time you are trying to match an amp to a power
>supply!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

786. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 05:32:24 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021009013224.27916.00007575@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 139664

>Ever hear of "ship to shore" communication?

yes its done thru the marine operator.

>I'm not suprised. And did you ever consider the possiblity that the
>picture of the radio was taken IN A BOAT?

he said it was his home

>Oh, that's right, having it connected
>implies use

to the fcc it does

787. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 05:33:45 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021009013345.27916.00007576@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 139665

i'm just wondering why. where did the clyde from? thats like me calling you bob
for no real reason other than calling you bob.

>You're kidding, right? When I respond to a post by the anonymous troll
>named Richard Cranium and call him Clyde, you can't figure out who it
>is I'm talking to?

788. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 05:39:20 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021009013920.27916.00007577@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 139666

>>>The law states that phoney 10 meter radios are illegal. <<<

since all of the ten meter only radios being sold today and even the old ones such as the 2510's and 2600's which were dedicated real ten meter radios are on ebays ban list voobner considers them "phoney 10 meter radios" and therefor illegal. if thats not considering all ten meter radios illegal then frankie tell us which ten meter radio wouldnt fall under this category or i'll keep assuming he was reffering to all ten meter radios and using the term "phoney" as an insult not a description

>I don't see any implication that "all 10 meter radios are illegal", do
>you?
>

789. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 05:42:27 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uq7gglhesu5cb2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139667

Who knows? Maybe -1.645161 or something ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Smoke Stack" <timnebo@aol.com420> wrote in message
news:20021008112713.03036.00000063@mb-cr.aol.com...
> whats the answer to this one? i know your the math man around here
>
> 0.7(3x+6)=1.1-(x-2)
>
> thanks
>
>

790. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 06:24:35 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da3caf9.13532641@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139668

In <20021009013920.27916.00007577@mb-df.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote:

>>>>The law states that phoney 10 meter radios are illegal. <<<
>
>since all of the ten meter only radios being sold today and even the old ones
>such as the 2510's and 2600's which were dedicated real ten meter radios are on
>ebays ban list voobner considers them "phoney 10 meter radios" and therefor
>illegal. if thats not considering all ten meter radios illegal then frankie
>tell us which ten meter radio wouldnt fall under this category or i'll keep
>assuming he was reffering to all ten meter radios and using the term "phoney"
>as an insult not a description

How about any radio that isn't on the FCC's ban list? There are really quite a few. I don't expect you to know much about them, since you only seem to be interested in the illegal rigs.

791. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 06:31:25 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da3cb84.13671381@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139669

In <jkOo9.1947$Mu2.208376168@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

<snip>
>Oh, please Frank, that's so un original. Aaron made that statement, don't
>try to defend him.

Are you defending Cranium by trying to support his assertions? Of course not. And I'm not defending Voobner. I'm asking a simple question: Where did Voobner say that "all 10 meter radios are illegal", but the only answer anyone can provide is a paragraph pulled out of context under the premise that he 'implied' such a statement. Pretty weak, sharkie.

>> You are pulling one paragraph out of the context of the post. I knew
>> you would do that, and that's why I posted the other paragraphs -- to
>> keep it in context.
>
>LOL! oh, ok Frank. Again, defend Aaron, for some strange reason.
>
>> Also note the phrase "'10 meter' radios" and how
>> '10 meters' was in quotation marks, IMPLYING that they are not true 10
>> meter radios. By your logic, you could pull the phrase "these illegal
>> transceivers" out of context and claim that his implication was that
>> all cell-phones are illegal.
>
>But all scanners that pick up those signals are ilegal, but I
>don't see you or Aaron or George crying about that, do I.

Since when are 10 meter scanners illegal?

>>But that wouldn't be any more true than
>> to claim he implied that "all 10 meter radios are illegal".
>>
>> Nice try, sharkie. Try again.
>>
>> >> >--
>> >> >If you are implying that people, who
>> >> >hold ham licenses, should be somehow
>> >> >held to a higher moral standard than
>> >> >the "common man", then that would
>> >> >be faulty logic. A ham license is only
>> >> >a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
>> >> >"badge of morality".
>> >>
>> >> Who said it was?

>> >>
>> >
>> >I believe this was a quote from Dave Hall, I thought
>> >he said it quite well.
>>
>> Dave Hall said that a ham license is a "badge of morality"? I think
>> I'll need to see the quote for that one, too. Or is that another
>> "implied" statement?
>>
>
> Then as you so asked, I must see the original post
>to believe that.
>
> Landshark

I need to see it too. It was your claim, so where's the post? Maybe you can do the same thing you did with Voobner: dig through his posts and find something you can pull out of context in order to support your statement.

792. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 06:36:56 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da3cd53.14133960@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139670

In <20021008112713.03036.00000063@mb-cr.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote:

>whats the answer to this one? i know your the math man around here
>
>0.7(3x+6)=1.1-(x-2)
>
>thanks

Alright, since nobody else seems capable of 1st year algebra:

0.7(3x + 6) = 1.1 - (x - 2)

2.1x + 4.2 = 1.1 - x + 2 (don't forget to distribute the negative sign into the term (x - 2))

2.1x + 4.2 = 3.1 - x

1.1x + 4.2 = 3.1

1.1x = -1.1

x = -1

793. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 06:46:23 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210082246.5caa3339@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139671

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote
>In <jkOo9.1947$Mu2.208376168@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
><S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>
><snip>
>>Oh, please Frank, that's so un original. Aaron made that statement,
don't
>>try to defend him.
>
>Are you defending Cranium by trying to support his assertions? Of
>course not. And I'm not defending Voobner. I'm asking a simple
>question: Where did Voobner say that "all 10 meter radios are
>illegal", but the only answer anyone can provide is a paragraph
pulled
>out of context under the premise that he 'implied' such a statement.
>Pretty weak, sharkie.
>
>>> You are pulling one paragraph out of the context of the post. I
knew
>>> you would do that, and that's why I posted the other paragraphs --
to
>>> keep it in context.
>>
>>LOL! oh, ok Frank. Again, defend Aaron, for some strange reason.
>>
>>> Also note the phrase "'10 meter' radios" and how
>>> '10 meters' was in quotation marks, IMPLYING that they are not
true 10
>>> meter radios. By your logic, you could pull the phrase "these
illegal
>>> transceivers" out of context and claim that his implication was
that
>>> all cell-phones are illegal.
>>
>>But all scanners that pick up those signals are ilegal, but I
>>don't see you or Aaron or George crying about that, do I.
>
>Since when are 10 meter scanners illegal?
>
>>>But that wouldn't be any more true than
>>> to claim he implied that "all 10 meter radios are illegal".
>>>
>>> Nice try, sharkie. Try again.
>>>

>>> >> >--
>>> >> >If you are implying that people, who
>>> >> >hold ham licenses, should be somehow
>>> >> >held to a higher moral standard than
>>> >> >the "common man", then that would
>>> >> >be faulty logic. A ham license is only
>>> >> >a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
>>> >> >"badge of morality".
>>> >>
>>> >> Who said it was?
>>> >>
>>> >
>>> >I believe this was a quote from Dave Hall, I thought
>>> >he said it quite well.
>>>
>>> Dave Hall said that a ham license is a "badge of morality"? I
think
>>> I'll need to see the quote for that one, too. Or is that another
>>> "implied" statement?
>>>
>>
>> Then as you so asked, I must see the original post
>>to believe that.
>>
>> Landshark
>
>I need to see it too. It was your claim, so where's the post? Maybe
>you can do the same thing you did with Voobner: dig through his posts
>and find something you can pull out of context in order to support
>your statement.
>

I see people are debating things I wrote, taking phrases out of
context, reinterpreting them, and then deconstructing the
reinterpretations.Holy Cripes, you'd think I was Wittgenstein or
something. If Tim had put this kind of effort into his academic
studies he could've made something of himself :)

-Aaron-

794. Re: Welcome Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 07:02:51 UTC
From: -=PEAKABOO=- <donewidagroup@uscrf.com>
Message-ID: <vwQo9.2217$lq6.561740865@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139672

I would love to come and join the group but it is obvious it is nothing like it used to be. I have always "read the mail" but now I am back to planning a family camping trip with a good game of golf on Monday. I sure miss the way it used to be.

-=PEAKABOO=-
"Trying not to choke,
On that 10 ohm smoke"

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:19636-3D9D934D-34@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo)
george who you trying to fool? we all know the real boo and where he's at
-
Yea, Peak woudn't
welcome" himself back,,,most of us would do it for him and he knows this.

795. Info needed to ID set of 60's single channel walkie-talkies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 07:17:43 UTC
From: Will White <googlyelmo@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DA3D82F.B4BED955@earthlink.net>
Source record: 139673

I just picked up a pair of working and cosmetically very, very fine Sears single-channel 100mW CB walkie talkies for $3.00 at a thrift store. Apart from the nomenclature on the back of the case, which indicates a mgr. date of 1965, it is a 9-Transistor model and "Chassis #787.10001" There is not much to go on in IDing this pair. They do work, on Channel 11, though with slightly distorted and weak audio. Solid metal, black wrinkle finish back and chrome and enamel front and a cute little analog battery indicator (they use, suprise, 9V batteries!), took me 15 minutes to find even one small scratch in the finish of *ONE* of them! Beautiful! And they are SOLID! A good whole pound-plus, each! We
sure made things well and to last once!

I looked through a lot of books and old old catalogs, and this set looks and specs out very, very close to the Hallicrafters CB-11 walkies in the Dachis Hallicrafters book. Principle difference is that the speaker-mic bzel on my pair are circular, inset into a texturized square. But same colors, meter, handstrap, ant., PTT, same number of transistors, RF power, power source, and some more. You think this might be it? My copy (first edition, not the current second edition which has been expanded) of the Dachis Hallicrafters book says, a page or three ahead or after the section on the CB-11, that Hallicrafters did in fact make CB's, including walkies, for Sears, Roebuck, during the late 50's and 60's. The CB-11 dates to 1964, while my Sears units are stamped as being manufactured in 1965. Hmmmmm. . . .

I'd like to find a Rider's or Sam's sheet on these, or some other information that will let me align the pair and make and R&R of parts as

neccesary and still possible avail. wise. Anyone have this, or know who can supply
it, or where I can find it on the web?

--
Will White
Seattle WA US
(KD7BFX)

796. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 07:22:31 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <XOQo9.38271$OO4.2684495@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 139674

I am looking at a nice 1500 watt Linear Amp using 3-500z tubes and a floor stand power supply running 80 through 10 meters. The one on page 13.31 of the 1995 edition.
I find the book to be a great resource book and find my-self going back to it all the time for formulas or projects. Driving to a library every time I needed to look up something would drive me crazier then I already am. You don't need to have one each year but one semi-current, (80s or 90s) would do. I value my time at $23.00 per hr so one trip to the local library and the book is paid for.
If all of the wannibe radio heads on this NG had one book at their disposal then most of the questions and about 1/2 of the posts would not exist.

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da33aad.2877081@news.cet.com...
> In <BOBo9.53199$qB3.2489724@news0.telusplanet.net>, "WG"
> <nojunk@mail.com> wrote:
>
> >Yes the local library does but what he is talking about will take a few
> >months to find the parts. Then the assembly and the trick is retuning the
> >coils that should take another month and going to different parts of the
> >book to find out how. If time is money then it is cheaper to have the
book
> >as reference by paying for it.
>
> I wasn't contradicting you, but most libraries have copy machines.
>
> A few months to find the parts? Just how big of an amp are -you-
> talking about?
>

797. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 07:25:53 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da3d991.17268090@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139675

In <c9ceae5b.0210082246.5caa3339@posting.google.com>,
ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:

<snip>
>I see people are debating things I wrote, taking phrases out of
>context, reinterpreting them, and then deconstructing the
>reinterpretations.Holy Cripes, you'd think I was Wittgenstein or
>something. If Tim had put this kind of effort into his academic
>studies he could've made something of himself :)

Yeah, but I think there are already enough lawyers and politicians.

798. Re: While I'm on the subject of math...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 07:29:18 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da3da33.17430723@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139676

Aw, come on! Don't any of you tech-wannabe's want to challenge such an obviously erroneous statement? Toll Free? Eitner? Maybe even Randy?

In <3da38d03.2839292@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

>
>Let's take a look at power supplies and power amps. A 20 amp power
>supply should supply the full 20 amps at the rated voltage. (I say
>that because many don't.) So at 13.6 volts it should provide 20 amps,
>which translates to 272 watts. Ok? Let's drop a couple amps for use by
>the exciter, so we have about 245 watts left. Now we know that peak AM
>power requires four times RMS watts. Which means a 20 amp supply is
>good for 245 / 4 = 61.25 watts deadkey. That's assuming the amp is
>operating at 100% efficiency; which, of course, ain't gonna happen. So
>even if the amp is working at a realistic AB P-P efficiency of 50%, if
>your deadkey is much more than 30 watts you are going to be clipping
>the supply on the peaks and causing harmonics!
>
>Think about that next time you are trying to match an amp to a power
>supply!
>

799. Re: cb base station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 07:38:55 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <j2Ro9.38407$OO4.2686700@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 139677

I don't know of any CB makers that make anything less then 40 CH now. So unless you buy second hand you will get the big 40. If you pay over $250.00 US new for a 40-SSB you are getting ripped-off. I noticed that Cobra has stopped making base CB and so to has Uniden, (I think). So good luck finding them. As for range with an inside antenna don't even bother. 5 miles and you will sound like crap. A car mag-mount on a metal balcony railing will do a little better but still not worth the effort or expense.

"Neill Burkett" <stryper2000@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:uq7d23r97o7bab@corp.supernews.com...
> what is the least expensive new cb base station i can get that has all 40
> channels, also what kind of range can you get w/ one if you use an inside
> antenna.
>
>
>

800. Re: Info needed to ID set of 60's single channel walkie-talkies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 07:45:56 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da3dc8c.18031493@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139678

In <3DA3D82F.B4BED955@earthlink.net>, Will White
<googlyelmo@earthlink.net> wrote:

>I just picked up a pair of working and cosmetically very, very fine
>Sears single-channel 100mW CB walkie talkies for $3.00 at a thrift
>store. Apart from the nomenclature on the back of the case, which
>indicates a mgr. date of 1965, it is a 9-Transistor model and "Chassis
>#787.10001" There is not much to go on in IDing this pair. They do
>work, on Channel 11, though with slightly distorted and weak
>audio. Solid metal, black wrinkle finish back and chrome and enamel
>front and a cute little analog battery indicator (they use, suprise, 9V
>batteries!), took me 15 minutes to find even one small scratch in the
>finish of *ONE* of them! Beautiful! And they are SOLID! A good whole
>pound-plus, each! We
>sure made things well and to last once!
>
>I looked through a lot of books and old old catalogs, and this set looks
>and specs out very, very close to the Hallicrafters CB-11 walkies in the
>Dachis Hallicrafters book. Principle difference is that the speaker-mic
>bzel on my pair are circular, inset into a texturized square. But same
>colors, meter, handstrap, ant., PTT, same number of transistors, RF
>power, power source, and some more. You think this might be it? My copy
>(first edition, not the current second edition which has been expanded)
>of the Dachis Hallicrafters book says, a page or three ahead or after
>the section on the CB-11, that Hallicrafters did in fact make CB's,
>including walkies, for Sears, Roebuck, during the late 50's and 60's.
>The CB-11 dates to 1964, while my Sears units are stamped as being
>manufactured in 1965. Hmmmmm. . . .
>
>I'd like to find a Rider's or Sam's sheet on these, or some other
>information that will let me align the pair and make and R&R of parts as
>
>neccesary and still possible avail. wise. Anyone have this, or know who
>can supply
>it, or where I can find it on the web?

I couldn't tell you where to find info on these. You might call around
to some TV repair shops that have been around a while, one of them
might have an original service manual stuck in their file drawers.

What I -can- tell you is that the only thing you will probably need to
do is replace the crystals. By now I'm sure they have drifted well off
freq. If you want to align them anyway, a single channel radio isn't
that hard to tune up. Just use quality tools so you don't crack the
ferrite cores. And don't mess with the output circuit, cause those are

usually matched with the antenna at the factory and they can be a bitch to retune if you screw it up.

801. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 07:55:36 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da3dede.18625506@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139679

In <XOQo9.38271$OO4.2684495@news1.telusplanet.net>, "WG"
<nojunk@mail.com> wrote:

>I am looking at a nice 1500 watt Linear Amp using 3-500z tubes and a floor
>stand power supply running 80 through 10 meters. The one on page 13.31 of
>the 1995 edition.

Yeah, that might take a while to find some decent parts.

>I find the book to be a great resource book and find my-self going back to
>it all the time for formulas or projects. Driving to a library every time I
>needed to look up something would drive me crazier then I already am.

Personally, I find it somewhat relaxing.

> You
>don't need to have one each year but one semi-current, (80s or 90s) would
>do. I value my time at $23.00 per hr so one trip to the local library and
>the book is paid for.

They are all "current" -- a radio that could be built in the 1930's
can still be built in 2002. And it will work just about the same.

>If all of the wannibe radio heads on this NG had one book at their disposal
>then most of the questions and about 1/2 of the posts would not exist.

You are assuming that they can read and comprehend the stuff, and also
assuming that they can tear themselves away from the internet's
technical voodoo guru's long enough to read a legitimate book. Then
there is the problem with someone actually reading a book (those
things made with paper and ink) instead of a boob tube.

But then again, if those people didn't exist, I wouldn't have much fun
in this newsgroup ;-)

802. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 10:27:56 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210090227.66018c0c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139680

"Lenny Stover" <mppd5107@the-police.com> wrote in message
news:<pXMo9.1515$Mv5.475667673@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > Gosh Lenny, I think you're misinformed, or just yanking our chains. I
> > could be wrong. Let's let your boss decide.
>
> Since I have a damned good relationship with my boss, I could do
> as you ask
> and then quote him for you when he tells me to tell you to "pound sand."
> The reason that he would tell you this, as anyone with a
> slight knowledge of
> law enforcement will tell you, is that we *do not* enforce federal
> regulations.

No one was asking you to *enforce* federal regulations, Len. Yeesh.
You know the difference between "enforcing" and "breaking",
right?...as in "breaking" federal regulations and then publicizing it
in a Usenet newsgroup where everyone can read about it.

> I have an amplifier mounted in my squad car, and the Chief is
> fully aware of it. He has more important things to concern himself with
> than whether sone "ham" is upset because I am using extra wattage
> on a CB.

IMO, maybe he should be concerned when one of his officers is on the
Internet looking for advice on how to modify his contraband Galaxy 99V
with the intention of using it illegally on CB. Or arguing that it is
OK to ignore FCC rules regarding external amplifers.

>
> > (d) Paragraph (c) of this section does not apply if you hold a
> > license in another radio service which allows you to operate an
> > external
> > RF power amplifier.
>
> Hmm...I wonder if the license for our squad radios would qualify
> us for this exemption?

You'd deliberately use your department's FCC license as a smokescreen
to get away with breaking Part 95 CB rules? That would be mucho
dishonest, Len. You know the difference between right and wrong don't
you? Of course you do. Maybe you just got off the track here. Read
through that whole section of Part 95 slowly.

A license in another radio service does not *authorize* use of an external amplifier in the CB Radio Service. It only dis-applies Paragraph (c), which reads:

"The FCC will presume you have used a linear or other external RF power amplifier if--
(1) It is in your possession or on your premises; and
(2) There is other evidence that you have operated your CB station with more power than allowed by CB Rule 10, Sec. 95.410."

Meaning, for example, if an FCC inspector looks over your squad car and finds, for instance, an external linear that is unattached or wired to a radio transmitter which is used in a service where external amps are authorized and for which you hold a valid license, you'll be given a free pass. If he finds the linear attached by coax to the output of a CB radio, it's pretty clear what's it's being used for, and unless he's blind, deaf, and dumb, you'll be found in violation of Part 95 and the amplifier may be confiscated.

As always, don't take my word for it, I'm not an FCC attorney, and neither is anyone else in this CB newsgroup. Instead, do the smart thing. I urge you to look over US CFR Title 47, Part 95. Anyone who reads it will quickly ascertain both the spirit and letter of the law with regards to CB radio. Type-accepted radios used at authorized power levels are required in order to ensure equal access by all users. That's why it was called the "Citizens Band" and not the "Big-Radios-Keying-Over-Small Radios-Band", and that's precisely why the regulations were written. Excuses about crowded channels and lack of vigorous FCC enforcement do not give people the right to ignore the rules. Hope this clears things up.

-Aaron-

803. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 10:44:19 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <7MTo9.57069$bj5.3782680@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 139681

I do know that my 1976 hand-book is great but only 1/2 the content of the 1995 book.

The book does start with the basics and work its way up from that so most, (not all) should understand it. I think that most of the guys on this NG would be scared to go into a Ham toy store and find out that their is a real radio world out there.

I do know what you mean about fun but I was and still am a Crappy Bander.

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da3dede.18625506@news.cet.com...
> In <XOQo9.38271$OO4.2684495@news1.telusplanet.net>, "WG"
> <nojunk@mail.com> wrote:
>
> >I am looking at a nice 1500 watt Linear Amp using 3-500z tubes and a floor
> >stand power supply running 80 through 10 meters. The one on page 13.31 of
> >the 1995 edition.
>
> Yeah, that might take a while to find some decent parts.
>
> >I find the book to be a great resource book and find my-self going back to
> >it all the time for formulas or projects. Driving to a library every time I
> >needed to look up something would drive me crazier then I already am.
>
> Personally, I find it somewhat relaxing.
>
> > You
> >don't need to have one each year but one semi-current, (80s or 90s) would
> >do. I value my time at $23.00 per hr so one trip to the local library and
> >the book is paid for.
>
> They are all "current" -- a radio that could be built in the 1930's
> can still be built in 2002. And it will work just about the same.
>
> >If all of the wannibe radio heads on this NG had one book at their disposal
> >then most of the questions and about 1/2 of the posts would not exist.
>
> You are assuming that they can read and comprehend the stuff, and also
> assuming that they can tear themselves away from the internet's
> technical voodoo guru's long enough to read a legitimate book. Then
> there is the problem with someone actually reading a book (those

> things made with paper and ink) instead of a boob tube.
>
> But then again, if those people didn't exist, I wouldn't have much fun
> in this newsgroup ;-)
>

804. Re: Robt Bradley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 10:50:42 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <lEmdnWPyTYuZlzmgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139682

Hello Robt, now that were on a first name basis. Damn ya think old twitsy would have gave his name alot sooner what does he have to hide?

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message news:0eb2840f3babec81bc77c62622845ac8@xganon.com...
> Bradley, Robt
> 803 Shore Dr E
> OLDSMAR, FL 34677
> 813-855-7941
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>
>

805. Re: Welcome Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 10:56:56 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <NeqcnXfzPfNbkTmgXTWcpw@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139683

"-=PEAKABOO=-" <donewidagroup@uscrf.com> wrote in message
news:vwQo9.2217$lq6.561740865@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> I would love to come and join the group but it is obvious it is nothing
like
> it used to be.

How is that the open talking about whos amp is bigger or who's amp is better or who built who's amp. I am sure you like it on those moderated boards just fine Peek, never the less you were always a decent guy and didn't seem like the group of hypocrites who remained on that note stop in any time your in the neighborhood.

I have always "read the mail" but now I am back to planning a
> family camping trip with a good game of golf on Monday. I sure miss the
way
> it used to be.
>
> -=PEAKABOO=-
> "Trying not to choke,
> On that 10 ohm smoke"
>
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:19636-3D9D934D-34@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo)
> george who you trying to fool? we all know the real boo and where he's
> at
> -
> Yea, Peak woudn't
> welcome" himself back,,,most of us would do it for him and he knows
> this.
>
>
>
>

806. Re: While I'm on the subject of math...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 11:39:02 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DA41556.70C190E5@cwis.net>
Source record: 139684

"Yeti",
Which erronious statement, there were several. Starting
with, "A 20 amp power supply should supply the full 20 amps
at the rated voltage.". Most power supplies are ICS rated,
meaning it will deliver the rated current for short periods
of time, not continuously. If you want 20 amps continuously,
get a 25 or 30 amp power supply at least.
Is that enough, or do you want more?
'Doc

807. Re: cb base station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 12:14:26 UTC
From: reg1647@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021009081426.23219.00000847@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 139685

Get you a Uniden Washington

808. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 12:47:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14900-3DA42570-1@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139687

From: mrradio@hotbox.com (Sir Circumference)
You seem to be really hung up on this nocode thing, whatsa matter,<<

You're asking the wrong party. You malcontents brought it to my door.

>You didn't have to open it but you did. <

I always open my door when someone knocks. I also answer my telephone. I return phone calls, hold doors open for ladies, and always give blind respect unless something less is hurled my way.

>you can't pass the nocode test twister?<<

If I were entering hammie radio today, I wouldn't give the nocode test a second look. Why be half-a-ham to hang out here?

>Oh wow, wait a minute, you accuse the hams of degrading the cbers and here you are degrading the nocode ham as only a half a ham.<<<

I have never accused the hammies of degrading cbers, only *certain" hammies. You know, the ones that "Arron" mentioned are the ones I refer,,,....Dave Hall, Lelnad KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, Dogie Adair N8WWM, George Busch...

>Boy, you sure talk out of both side of your mouth don't you.<<

No, actually, "Aaron" named that trouble posse,,,,,take it up with him, but youdid see him for what he is...just get your quotes straight and you'll be fine..

>A nocode ham is just as much as a ham as any other license class,<<

If that were true the same privileges would be extended him.

> at
least he holds a license and can operate legally, something you can't say.<

Sure I can. I can honestly say that each and every time I turn on my radio it experiences what you refer to as "legal use".

>Too bad you can't pass the test.
<

Yea...too bad you're not in the loop and feel I couldn't manage it. Or couldn't. Which was it?

>How do you know you have more fun on the radio than hams? <<

Look at us fun lovin' bunch in here and take it in context to you hammies posts, especially the few nocodes and the trouble posse named by "Arron"...Dave Hall, Lelnad KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, and George Busch.

>You have fun breaking FCC rules? <<

Reread what I said,,,,now tell us, kind sir,,,what FCC rules am I having fun breaking in here?

>What a strange way of life. <

No stranger than the sociology and history lesson I gave you concerning Santa Cruz that lets you lay claim to the fact that you now know something you didn't before. Admitting you were wrong about something greaty upsets you. Were you raised this way or was this learned behavior you mimed from "Aaron's" announced posse of trouble-causing hammies of Lelnad KC8LDO, Frank Gillland, Dave Hall, N8WWM Dogie Adair? AT least we now know why Hall is so socialistic....look who he chooses to hang with....all nocodes who have attempted to perpetuate their sexual hangups, socialistic and commie ways among a forum of cb loving folks. THAT, is a strange way of life.

>After all, they are operating legally and don't have to look over their shoulder like you non-cb illegal operators do.<<

I operate cb daily. I DX daily. I've done so mostly for over 20 years, give or take a stale period or two. Never have I "looked over my shoulder". No need. What is your implication for such a profound remark?

>The hams can operate with a clear conscience that they hold a license<<

You equate a license with a clear conscience? Hoo-boy! Dave Hall feels it worthy of at least a merit badge, I can see where your mistakes are commonplace and originate. If you lay with dogs, you're bound to stink if you lay there long enough.

>which gives them the privilege to operate on the ham bands, <<

Why does one need a clear conscience to operate on the hammie bands? You're out of line, mister.

>unlike you who operates illegally, any way you want to spin it, you are an illegal operator operating in violation of FCC rules. <<

Yep, DX certainly is in violation of FCC rules. And you can't tell the difference between real life and these cartoon pages, evidenced by you accusing me of breaking FCC rules in this room. Now that takes a real IQ.

>Does that make you proud? I guess if you can't pass the test that is the best you can do.<<

You should listen up and read between the lines more often. Your "guessing", as has been suggested before, achieves the opposite effect of that which you so desire.

809. Re: Robt Bradley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 13:00:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14899-3DA4286C-1@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139686

From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com> Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address above. It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software. Please report problems or inappropriate use to the remailer administrator at <abuse@xganon.com>. Subject: Robt Bradley Group: rec.radio.cb Mail-To-News-Contact: abuse@dizum.com Organization: mail2news@dizum.com Bradley, Robt
803 Shore Dr E
OLDSMAR, FL 34677
813-855-7941

Wow! Frank is pissed over that voobner@yahoo addy being yanked. LMAO! So much that he's back to searching my neighbors, eh? Oh well, it doesn't take away from the fact that he lied and it was pointed out again.
If someone is interested in my personal info,,all they have to do is ask,,,in a sincere manner, from their real email addy, with their call intact. I'm a polite guy, what can I say?

810. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 13:11:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14897-3DA42AFB-6@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139689

I see people are debating things I wrote, taking phrases out of context, reinterpreting them, and then deconstructing the reinterpretations.Holy Cripes, you'd think I was Wittgenstein or something. If Tim had put this kind of effort into his academic studies he could've made something of himself :)
-Aaron-
-
He already did. Tim is such a presence here that he had a direct hand in driving you underground, and he's like, what?.....half or a third your age? From what I see on his webpage, it looks like Tim has had more women and good times than you did your life. Is this the reason you're so encompassed with him? Is he living your dream?
If you look carefully, no one is debating you. They are merely pointing out your errors as usual while laughing at your vanity that stems from your erroneous thinking that you actually put something forward worth debate or consideration.

811. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 13:21:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14898-3DA42D77-5@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139690

>As always, don't take my word for it, <

As always, no danger of that by anyone.

>I'm not an FCC attorney, and neither is anyone else in this CB newsgroup. <

There's that "mindreading" gift that Brain pointed out you claimed to have.

>Instead, do the smart thing. <

Killfile you? Nah, it's mucho more fun to watch you lose an addy then try to lie away why it's gone.

>I urge you to look over US CFR Title 47, Part 95. Anyone who reads it will quickly ascertain both the spirit and letter of the law with regards to CB radio. <<

Watch that word "ascertain", Frank took me to task for using it once, but we now see he looked up it's meaning and even managed to somewhat incorporate it into a semi-coherent sentence structure. Rock on, Frank.

>Type-accepted radios used at authorized power levels are required in order to ensure equal access by all users. That's why it was called the "Citizens Band" and not the "Big-Radios-Keying-Over-Small Radios-Band", and that's precisely why the regulations were written. Excuses about crowded channels and lack of vigorous FCC enforcement do not give people the right to ignore the rules. <

These "excuses" you refer to only appear when you go crying and begging for a reason *why* the rest of society doesn't practice your views and behavior instead of exercising their own.
It's because you are in the radical extreme minority and
i-m-p-o-t-e-n-t.

>Hope this clears things up.
-Aaron-<

There was never any doubt. Mr. Stover has informed you quite properly and effectively. As always, you don't like what you're hearing.

812. Re: cb base station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 13:34:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14900-3DA4304A-3@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139688

From: stryper2000@hotmail.com (Neill Burkett)
what is the least expensive new cb base station i can get that has all
40 channels, also what kind of range can you get w/ one if you use an
inside antenna.
-
Not sure what the exact "least" expensive base is, but you can get a low
cost mobile and power supply probably cheaper than a base and still run
it as a base. Best "bang for the buck" would arguably be the Washington
for a base station.
An inside antenna would suck to the *th degree, depending on what floor
you are on and comparing it to an outside antenna. Any way to disguise
it outside? How about trying a wire or dipole antenna? There is a hammie
or 2 on here that have experience disguising their antennas in order to
get around apartment restrictions...maybe one can offer an alternative
suggestion.

813. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 13:40:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14897-3DA431C3-10@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139691

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <20021008112713.03036.00000063@mb-cr.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420
(Smoke Stack) wrote:
whats the answer to this one? i know your the math man around here
0.7(3x+6)=1.1-(x-2)
thanks
--
Alright, since nobody else seems capable of 1st year algebra:
-
Gotta love how Frank views the rest of the group.

814. Re: MARYLAND, HAM, CB'ers!! REACT MEMBERS. HELP CATCH THE COWARD SN...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 13:49:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14898-3DA433F7-8@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139692

From: nojunk@mail.com (WG)
>Wrong, I have had many fire arms but have no need for them now once I got through my teens.<<

Tis a shame. There is quite a satisfaction that comes from killing your own hog or deer and making enough sausage or beef jerky to go around. It also helps on the dog food bills.

> My dad was a 9mm pistol marksman and taught me how to shoot everything from a 32 cal. Browning semi auto to a 300 magnum H and H with a good tight grouping. Like my drill instructor taught us real men kill hand to hand only cowards, little boys and Americans kill with guns. <<

Really? C'mon down here. I''m spring for the room and invite all my friends to watch you kill a razorback hand to hand. I've seen piglets take fingers....I wanna see you take down an adult boar.

>I chuck out more bread daily then I could eat in a lifetime. So wrong again. The point I tried to make is that you want guns in your life so when one does what it was made for don't cry. A sniper rifle has but 1 purpose to kill an other human at a distance.<<

Why enter the word "sniper" to the equation? You were talking of the need for guns, not snipers.

815. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 14:34:40 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021009103440.04929.00000249@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 139693

frankie, which ten meter radios are not on the list????? there are no serious ten meter radios being made today, they all have beeps and echos or were originally made for cb anyways so they all fall under his "phoney" catergory which to me means hie thinks there all illegal since thats what he said.

>
>How about any radio that isn't on the FCC's ban list? There are really
>quite a few. I don't expect you to know much about them, since you
>only seem to be interested in the illegal rigs.
>

816. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 14:38:08 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021009103808.04929.00000250@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 139694

then we have you who believes there was never any wrong info in a book

>You are assuming that they can read and comprehend the stuff, and also
>assuming that they can tear themselves away from the internet's
>technical voodoo guru's long enough to read a legitimate book. Then
>there is the problem with someone actually reading a book (those
>things made with paper and ink) instead of a boob tube.

817. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 14:39:09 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021009103909.04929.00000251@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 139695

half the guys here are running whats in those ham stores already. welcome to
the real world of cb.

> I think that most of the guys on this NG
>would be scared to go into a Ham toy store and find out that their is a real
>radio world out there.

818. Re: MARYLAND, HAM, CB'ers!! REACT MEMBERS. HELP CATCH THE COWARD SNIPER!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 14:48:31 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021009104831.04929.00000252@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 139696

i bet your real happy up there in canada with your rights eroding away. after all you guys are in the process of making guns illegal anyways. who would you guys have called during the cold war had the ruskies crossed the straight and came on down to the queens canada? the same people who you would call now if something happened and it'll be the same people you'll always call to protect you fake neighbors to the north. nobody likes our guns until they need them. in canada when all guns to the law abiding citizens are totally gone and only the police and criminals have them wont you feel a little mismatched if either decide they wrongly want to bother you??? i dont trust either to knowingly have a blind advantage over me.

>Isn't that the American way? If people don't look, talk and share your same
>thoughts then shoot them dead. That is why all of you have to have guns
>isn't it. If you are going to teach your kids young to shoot then maybe you
>should also teach them to doge bullets or at least not be such good targets.

819. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 15:04:53 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210090704.3e8a582e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210081144.311232b@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210080516.6eed3add@posting.google.com>...
> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210070737.780c6d2@posting.google.com>...
> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > > >Hasn't worked and it won't work, Aanal Aaron, because
> > > (snip)
> > >
> > > It's working even as we speak.
> > >
> > > -A
> >
> > Certainly hasn't and isn't, since
> (snip)
>
> Daily fumigation.

Heaven knows you need to be fumigated. Repetitious hearsay is not
PROOF. You got none, Aanal Aaron. If you did, you'd be thrilled to
post it. It ain't working, you poor, frustrated little boy.

820. Re: Where's Clyde #1? Re: ALL CB'ers ARE CRETINS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 15:07:19 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210090707.542c0712@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139598

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3da08f31.5983309@news.cet.com>...
> In <c5ecbb69.0210061039.2e4ac7a1@posting.google.com>,
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>
> >yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d908037.1159356@news.cet.com>...
> >>
> >> Doesn't that get your dander up, Clyde #1? So why aren't you jumping
> >> on this guy's post like you do Voobner's? Could it be that you are
> >> just a nameless, spineless, brainless hypocrite?
> >
> >Nope. You just described YOURSELF, Jack.
>
> I stand in awe of such wit. Regardless, you failed to answer the
> question.

You should be! You, Aanal Aaron, and Doug (Doggie-style) Adairierre
are the famous Wit Brothers: You are Dim, Aaron it Nit, and Doggie is
Half.

What question? You didn't ask anything that seriously requires an
answer, TROLL.

821. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 15:49:11 UTC
From: Lenny Stover <mppd5107@the-police.com>
Message-ID: <XdYo9.1767$oM1.1326@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139599

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote

>I agree. Most people do not agree with you that people >that drive 56 in
>a 55 are criminals or irresponsible, or untrustworthy.

Uh oh...this is scaring me. I just typed the same thing, kinda, a few
moments ago.

Guess it's true...great minds think alike. <g>

822. Re: Johnson Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 15:53:44 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DA45108.75F048E7@qnet.com>
Source record: 139600

Hello Jason:

That old Black Face Johnson radio was quit the thing back in the 1960's and 70's. Some guys would put on a mic plug on the front or just on the mic cord.

There always semm to mic troubles with these radios. But they where great radios.

S-meters where added sometimes, but usually not.

Then Johnson came out with the white face, I believe it was a 12 channel radio. Yeah if you had a Black Face or a White Face Johnson, and a one tube Shrew linear Amplifier with a 5/8 ground plane you was somebody all right.

I think that they had a Vibrator 12 VDC power supply, that made a buzzing tpye noise, when run off 12 volts. One of the old locals had a old high current battery charger that would run his black face, sounded great, if ya know what I mean.

Here are a few of the great CB radio web sites showing the older neat O CB Radios:

http://www.retrocom.com/index.html
http://members.aol.com/radiokbob/index.htm
http://members.tripod.com/craig328/
http://www.cbgazette.com/gaz2.html

Enjoy, thats a oldie but goodie.

Jay in the Mojave

jason wrote:
>
> I have just recived a Johnson Viking Messenger radio, the guy who gave it to
> me called it a "Black Face" if that means anything. It apears to be a five
> channel radio with a permennatly attached mic, i assume its crystal
> controlled, can you add channels to it or can they be swapped out for other
> channels?? Also wondering if anyone might happen to have the power hook up
> pin configuration, it seems to be missing the plug for all that in the back.
>
> By the way, thanks to everyone on the help with the midland cb with the mic
> problem, i finally got it working, and thanks to everyone for the info on
> the scope, im learning how to use it, should be a big help,
>
> Thanks Again,

> Jason

823. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 15:54:23 UTC
From: Lenny Stover <mppd5107@the-police.com>
Message-ID: <PiYo9.1768$GW1.1144@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139601

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote
> This thread started with my response to Lennie' Stover's post . I
> challenged his excuse that "we need linears to walk on people using
> linears".

Where did I say that? I have to go back through the entire thread now to try to find that statement. I sure as hell don't remember saying that. I do recall saying that I needed a linear because of the number of people attempting to talk at the same time in the Chicago metro area.

If I scroll back through the thread and find a statement like you quoted, I'll apologize. Until then, stop trying to put words in my mouth to fit your twisted agenda!!!!

824. TWO COMPLAINTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 15:56:04 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <jmYo9.822$j83.131133@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 139602

TWO COMPLAINTS
A man was carrying two babies, one in each arm, while waiting for a train.

Along came this woman, and seeing the two cute babies, started asking the man, "Aren't they cute, what are their names?"

The man gave the lady an angry look and replied, "I don't know.

"The lady asked again, "Which is the boy and which is the girl?"

The man looking angrier than before replied, "I don'tknow."

The woman then started to scold the man, "What kind of a father are you?"

The man replied, "I am not their father, I am just a condom salesman and these are two complaints that I am taking back to my company."

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

825. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 16:46:04 UTC
From: Ken <dallastopcat@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3da45c18.85196105@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 139603

On Wed, 09 Oct 2002 06:36:56 GMT, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

>In <20021008112713.03036.00000063@mb-cr.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420
>(Smoke Stack) wrote:
>
>>whats the answer to this one? i know your the math man around here
>>
>>0.7(3x+6)=1.1-(x-2)
>>
>>thanks
>
>Alright, since nobody else seems capable of 1st year algebra:
>
>0.7(3x + 6) = 1.1 - (x - 2)
>
>2.1x + 4.2 = 1.1 - x + 2 (don't forget to distribute the negative
>sign into the term (x - 2))
>,
>2.1x + 4.2 = 3.1 - x
>
>1.1x + 4.2 = 3.1
>
>1.1x = -1.1
>
>x = -1

Maybe you should go back and take 1st year algebra, then you just
might come up with the right answer.

826. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 17:05:47 UTC
From: Ken <dallastopcat@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3da76117.86474864@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 139604

On Wed, 9 Oct 2002 09:40:19 -0400 (EDT), twistedhed@webtv.net
(Twistedhed) wrote:

>From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
>In <20021008112713.03036.00000063@mb-cr.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420
>(Smoke Stack) wrote:
>whats the answer to this one? i know your the math man around here
>0.7(3x+6)=1.1-(x-2)
>thanks
>--
>Alright, since nobody else seems capable of 1st year algebra:
>-
>Gotta love how Frank views the rest of the group.

Especially when he acts like a smart ass and ends up looking like a
dumb ass when he answered incorrectly. Dennis has the correct answer.

827. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 17:07:10 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uq8okgdpnalb31@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139606

I can't believe this ...
> >
> >0.7(3x + 6) = 1.1 - (x - 2)
> >
> >2.1x + 4.2 = 1.1 - x + 2 (don't forget to distribute the negative
> >sign into the term (x - 2))
> >,
> >2.1x + 4.2 = 3.1 - x
*** right here we have to ADD x to both sides of the equation
** 3.1x + 4.1 = 3.1
Then subtract 4.1 from both sides
** 3.1x = -1
********* I'll leave the division for others ****
> >1.1x + 4.2 = 3.1
> >
> >1.1x = -1.1
> >
> >x = -1
>
> Maybe you should go back and take 1st year algebra, then you just
> might come up with the right answer.
>
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
(yes, that was eighth grade math)

828. Re: Hey Gunslinger...nice DX tonight!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 17:07:13 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021009130713.20270.00000229@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 139605

333, listen to me on channel 26.915 or channel 16 tonite around 7 eastern time.....223 in GA

Thatz-me-JP

829. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 17:36:37 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3DA46925.B84D036F@lucent.com>
Source record: 139607

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> In <20021008112713.03036.00000063@mb-cr.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420
> (Smoke Stack) wrote:
>
> >whats the answer to this one? i know your the math man around here
> >
> >0.7(3x+6)=1.1-(x-2)
> >
> >thanks
>
> Alright, since nobody else seems capable of 1st year algebra:
>
> 0.7(3x + 6) = 1.1 - (x - 2)
>
> 2.1x + 4.2 = 1.1 - x + 2 (don't forget to distribute the negative
> sign into the term (x - 2))
>
> 2.1x + 4.2 = 3.1 - x

Correct up to here.....
>
> 1.1x + 4.2 = 3.1

The line above is where you made your error.

To get rid of the -x term from the right side you need to
ADD an x term to both sides of the equation.

You added it on the right side and subtracted it on the
left side, which broke the rules.....

That line should have looked like this: 3.1X + 4.2 = 3.1

Subtracting 4.2 from both sides we get:

3.1x = 3.1 - 4.2

3.1x = -1.1

x = -1.1 / 3.1

x = -0.3548387

If you don't believe me, substitute the value of x=-1.1 in
your original equation and solve it.

Then try it with my value of x.

If I make a mistake somewhere, I can't find it, and when I
substitute my derived value for x the equation balances...

I believe your solution below is incorrect.........

>
> 1.1x = -1.1
>
> x = -1

If I use x = -1 in your equation, the results would be that
+2.1 = +4.1, and we know that cannot be correct.

See below:

if x = -1 then 3x = -3

Substituting for x to test validity of answer:

0.7(-3+6) = 1.1 -(-1-2)
0.7(+3) = 1.1 + 1 + 2
+2.1 = +4.1

+2.1 IS NOT EQUAL TO +4.1 , therefore the derived value for
X was NOT correct.

Regards,

Dennis O.

830. Re: I will be down for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 17:54:27 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3DA46D53.9753E71F@lucent.com>
Source record: 139608

Keith Hosman wrote:
>
> I have this cold/flu whatever thats kicking my a$$ I can't breathe,
> sleep, or anything. I'll be back when I am better.

See a doctor quick!

We have a lot of folks dying of that West Nile Virus in
Illinois around where I live!

They had similar symptoms and thought it was just a cold or
the flu.

Regards,

Dennis O.

831. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 18:00:25 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021009140025.15173.00000266@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 139610

awe shit frank, feeling kinda stupid now??????????????

>>
>> >whats the answer to this one? i know your the math man around here
>> >
>> >0.7(3x+6)=1.1-(x-2)
>> >
>> >thanks
>>
>> Alright, since nobody else seems capable of 1st year algebra:
>>
>> 0.7(3x + 6) = 1.1 - (x - 2)
>>
>> 2.1x + 4.2 = 1.1 - x + 2 (don't forget to distribute the negative
>> sign into the term (x - 2))
>>
>> 2.1x + 4.2 = 3.1 - x
>
>Correct up to here........
>>
>> 1.1x + 4.2 = 3.1
>
> The line above is where you made your error.
>
> To get rid of the -x term from the right side you need to
>ADD an x term to both sides of the equation.
>
> You added it on the right side and subtracted it on the
>left side, which broke the rules.....
>
> That line should have looked like this: 3.1X + 4.2 = 3.1
>
> Subtracting 4.2 from both sides we get:
>
> 3.1x = 3.1 - 4.2
>
> 3.1x = -1.1
>
> x = -1.1 / 3.1
>
> x = -0.3548387
>
> If you don't believe me, substitute the value of x=-1.1 in
>your original equation and solve it.

>
> Then try it with my value of x.
>
> If I make a mistake somewhere, I can't find it, and when I
>substitute my derived value for x the equation balances...
>
> I believe your solution below is incorrect.........
>
>>
>> 1.1x = -1.1
>>
>> x = -1
>
>If I use x = -1 in your equation, the results would be that
>+2.1 = +4.1, and we know that cannot be correct.
>
>See below:
>
> if x = -1 then 3x = -3
>
> Substituting for x to test validity of answer:
>
> 0.7(-3+6) = 1.1 -(-1-2)
> 0.7(+3) = 1.1 + 1 + 2
> +2.1 = +4.1
>
>+2.1 IS NOT EQUAL TO +4.1 , therefore the derived value for
>X was NOT correct.
>
>Regards,
>
>Dennis O.
>
>
>
>
>
>

832. Interesting visit to my site hmmmmmm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 18:01:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021009140101.15173.00000267@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 139609

```
Referrer: Direct Hit
08 Oct 2002 / 08:51:59 AM gatekeeper.fcc.gov
192.104.54.1 Windows 2000 Explorer 5.5
```

833. Pride 100 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 18:11:28 UTC
From: ni4l@msn.com
Message-ID: <d4a0748e.0210091011.59759f94@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139611

FS Pride 100 mobile amp in great shape.
AM/SSB, preamp, Says Amateur Radio Amplifier 10-80 meters
75.00 shipped to your door.
Thanks

834. Re: schematic for homebrew amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 18:18:49 UTC
From: ni4l@msn.com
Message-ID: <d4a0748e.0210091018.185919d9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139612

http://www.nd2x.net/base-1.html
http://wb0nni.dakotamade.com/index.html
http://www.kvindesland.no/yl2qm/amplifier.htm
http://www.kvindesland.no/yl2qm/amplifier.htm

"Chad" <vze2mxmv@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:<Kz4o9.17853$Mw4.10785@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>...
> does anyone have a schematic on how to build a linear?
> thanks

835. Re: Interesting visit to my site hmmmmmm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 18:19:35 UTC
From: "Alan S." <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92A2733698D03rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 139613

timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote in
news:20021009140101.15173.00000267@mb-fy.aol.com:

> Referrer: Direct Hit
> 08 Oct 2002 / 08:51:59 AM gatekeeper.fcc.gov
> 192.104.54.1 Windows 2000 Explorer 5.5
>
They're probably just reading the NG and decided to check your site out.
Of course, they haven't checked MY site out yet. Not that it matters,
really. I don't have anything usefull up there yet anyway.

Interesting, indeed. Who knew the fcc was still running IE 5.5?

Hey FCC dude (or dudette)!!! Tell your MIS department to upgrade your
browser. Better yet, tell 'em that Mozilla (http://www.mozilla.org) works
better and you would like that. Viewing illegal amp and freebanding sites
hosted on Geocities and such is more productive with a browser that kills
pop-ups. (That's what you're looking for, right?) You're welcome, FCC
dude (or dudette).

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

836. Re: Interesting visit to my site hmmmmmm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 18:26:00 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da47458.496357@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139614

In <Xns92A2733698D03rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>, "Alan S."
<rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote:

>timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote in
>news:20021009140101.15173.00000267@mb-fy.aol.com:
>
>> Referrer: Direct Hit
>> 08 Oct 2002 / 08:51:59 AM gatekeeper.fcc.gov
>> 192.104.54.1 Windows 2000 Explorer 5.5
>>
>They're probably just reading the NG and decided to check your site out.
>Of course, they haven't checked MY site out yet. Not that it matters,
>really. I don't have anything usefull up there yet anyway.
>
>Interesting, indeed. Who knew the fcc was still running IE 5.5?
>
>Hey FCC dude (or dudette)!!! Tell your MIS department to upgrade your
>browser. Better yet, tell 'em that Mozilla (http://www.mozilla.org) works
>better and you would like that. Viewing illegal amp and freebanding sites
>hosted on Geocities and such is more productive with a browser that kills
>pop-ups. (That's what you're looking for, right?) You're welcome, FCC
>dude (or dudette).

That's interesting. I use IE5 in Win3.11, and I can turn off scripts,
Java, cookies, and just about everything else. You can do that with
Mozilla, too?

837. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 18:30:01 UTC
From: ni4l@msn.com
Message-ID: <d4a0748e.0210091030.5e0fd4de@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139615

http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/gu84b.html
http://www.vcnet.com/measures/Building_ugly_amplifiers.html
http://www.translate.ru/rus/url/tran_url.php?direction=re&template=General&autotranslate=true&url=http://hamradio.online.ru/
http://www.ac6v.com/homebrew.htm

"Chad" <vze2mxmv@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:<Kz4o9.17853$Mw4.10785@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>...
> does anyone have a schematic on how to build a linear?
> thanks

838. Re: Johnson Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 18:38:54 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da47575.781417@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139616

In <ao06dr$c2t$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "jason"
<hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote:

>I have just recived a Johnson Viking Messenger radio, the guy who gave it to
>me called it a "Black Face" if that means anything. It apears to be a five
>channel radio with a permennatly attached mic, i assume its crystal
>controlled, can you add channels to it or can they be swapped out for other
>channels?? Also wondering if anyone might happen to have the power hook up
>pin configuration, it seems to be missing the plug for all that in the back.

The "Black Face" is the Messenger II, while the "White Face" is the
original Messenger. But the Black Face has ten channels. Other than
that they aren't much different, and crystals for one can be used in
the other. TX crystals are the same freq as the TX freq, and RX
crystals are 455 kHz above TX freq.

The pins on the power socket on the power cord for AC:
Short pin 4 to 5;
Short pin 8 to 9;
AC to pins 3 and 6 thru two 2A fuses.

For DC (12.6V):
Short pin 1 to 2;
Short pin 5 to 7;
Positive to pin 6 thru 9A fuse;
Ground to pin 9.

839. Re: While I'm on the subject of math...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 18:42:36 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da477f1.1416515@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139617

In <3DA41556.70C190E5@cwis.net>, 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote:

>"Yeti",
> Which erronious statement, there were several. Starting
>with, "A 20 amp power supply should supply the full 20 amps
>at the rated voltage.". Most power supplies are ICS rated,
>meaning it will deliver the rated current for short periods
>of time, not continuously. If you want 20 amps continuously,
>get a 25 or 30 amp power supply at least.
> Is that enough, or do you want more?
> 'Doc

Actually, I was trying to draw out Toll Free or Eitner so they can
explain the difference between 'bird watts' and -real- power, but I
guess they are too chicken.

840. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 18:44:18 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da478df.1655055@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139618

In <20021009140025.15173.00000266@mb-fy.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote:

>awe shit frank, feeling kinda stupid now??????????????

Smarter than you. LOL!

841. Re: Johnson Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 18:46:01 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12234-3DA47969-77@storefull-2191.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139629

Right on Jay,If memory serves me correctly you could flip flop the transmit and receive crystals and talk below ch1,Ahh nostalgia!!Fun radios,may still be able to get crystals at the Radio Shack???...Mark

842. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 18:46:24 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <%E_o9.64836$OM4.36457133@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 139620

Smoke Stack <timnebo@aol.com420> wrote in message
news:20021009103440.04929.00000249@mb-cs.aol.com...
> frankie, which ten meter radios are not on the list????? there are no
serious
> ten meter radios being made today, they all have beeps and echos or were
> originally made for cb anyways so they all fall under his "phoney"
catergory
> which to me means hie thinks there all illegal since thats what he said.

What about the Radio Shack HTX10? I don't believe it is on the "list" as it
has no "channel"
numbers nor does it beep and squeak or echo. Isn't one or more of those
things what causes
a radio to get on the "bad" list? It may be out of production now, but I
understand it also gave
pseudo-technicians fits trying to modify it. My local Shack had quite a few
HTX10s that were
returned clearly butchered by CB "techs" trying to get it onto 11 Meters.

73

Jerry
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> >How about any radio that isn't on the FCC's ban list? There are really
> >quite a few. I don't expect you to know much about them, since you
> >only seem to be interested in the illegal rigs.
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

843. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 18:46:34 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da47952.1769848@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139619

In <3DA46925.B84D036F@lucent.com>, "Dennis W. Ostrowski"
<dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote:

<snip>
>>
>> 1.1x + 4.2 = 3.1
>
> The line above is where you made your error.

Yes it is. Maybe you can help Tim with that other problem I posted in
this thread.

844. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 18:50:47 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da47a31.1993173@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139621

In <7MTo9.57069$bj5.3782680@news2.telusplanet.net>, "WG"
<nojunk@mail.com> wrote:

>I do know that my 1976 hand-book is great but only 1/2 the content of the
>1995 book.
>
>The book does start with the basics and work its way up from that so most,
>(not all) should understand it. I think that most of the guys on this NG
>would be scared to go into a Ham toy store and find out that their is a real
>radio world out there.

I think you are right.

>I do know what you mean about fun but I was and still am a Crappy Bander.

Hey, I'm a CBer! I'm just a CBer with crappy math skills!

845. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 18:52:22 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da47aa2.2106099@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139622

In <20021009103808.04929.00000250@mb-cs.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote:

>then we have you who believes there was never any wrong info in a book

And you who believes there was never any wrong info ever stated by an illegal operator.

846. Re: Pride 100 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 18:57:00 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da47b6f.2310969@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139623

In <d4a0748e.0210091011.59759f94@posting.google.com>, ni4l@msn.com (NI4L) wrote:

>FS Pride 100 mobile amp in great shape.

Probably the worst noise-maker ever produced, guaranteed to interfere with even CABLE TV!

847. Re: While I'm on the subject of math...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 18:58:42 UTC
From: Kevin Muenzler <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3da47a4e$0$959$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 139624

This also doesn't take into account that many good amps are class AB which
are only about 25% efficient anyway.

Realistically a 20 amp supply will comfortably run a 100 watt radio.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DA41556.70C190E5@cwis.net...
> "Yeti",
> Which erronious statement, there were several. Starting
> with, "A 20 amp power supply should supply the full 20 amps
> at the rated voltage.". Most power supplies are ICS rated,
> meaning it will deliver the rated current for short periods
> of time, not continuously. If you want 20 amps continuously,
> get a 25 or 30 amp power supply at least.
> Is that enough, or do you want more?
> 'Doc

848. Re: TWO COMPLAINTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 18:59:52 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <C2%o9.835$j83.132838@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 139625

My apologies I forgot to include OT in the subject line

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:jmYo9.822$j83.131133@cletus.bright.net...
> TWO COMPLAINTS
> A man was carrying two babies, one in each arm, while waiting for a train.
>
> Along came this woman, and seeing the two cute babies, started asking the
> man, "Aren't they cute, what are their names?"
>
> The man gave the lady an angry look and replied, "I don't know.
>
> "The lady asked again, "Which is the boy and which is the girl?"
>
> The man looking angrier than before replied, "I don'tknow."
>
> The woman then started to scold the man, "What kind of a father are you?"
>
> The man replied, "I am not their father, I am just a condom salesman and
> these are two complaints that I am taking back to my company."
>
>
>
> --
> KC8TCQ
> American Red Cross - Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
> Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
> Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
> Cross
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
>
>

849. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 19:00:36 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <kS_o9.65010$OM4.36513354@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 139627

Lenny Stover <mppd5107@the-police.com> wrote in message
news:I%Mo9.1519$Jq5.475369603@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> <lecture snipped>
>
> > IMO, Mr. Stover has a responsibility to see that any
> > information he posts here is accurate and reflects actual >-not
> > estimated- FCC policy.
>
> All information that I have posted here *is* factual, and at no time did I
> *ever* quote FCC policy nor elude to FCC policy. Feel free to scan back
up
> the thread.
>
> Whatsamatter? You upset because a CBer is into your "sandbox?"

I don't think THAT many people care what is done on the 40 channels. But if
you use your
CB and amp up on 10 meters without the required license, then you are,
indeed, in "my sandbox".
And if I found that you were operating there, and I have no reason to think
so, I would then forward the info to Mr. Hollingsworth. LEO or no, then you
could find yourself in some difficulty.
That is where the rub is when it comes to hams' objections to Galaxy radios.
Again, I can't care
about what is done down on the 40 IF it doesn't interfere with me. I DO
care about 10 meters, tho. If you think they won't do anything about
unlicensed operators, that is mistaken. Some CBers
have found out that then even go after them on the regular 40--just not all
that many right now.

However, I notice that at least one Amateur has been appointed to the Senate
Committee on
Telecommunications by Billy Tauzin. This might be the beginning of some
changes, and an answer to the 10 Meter intrusion thing.

73

Jerry
>
>
>

850. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 19:02:29 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da47d1a.2737736@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139626

In <20021009103440.04929.00000249@mb-cs.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote:

>frankie, which ten meter radios are not on the list?????

That sounds like a really good question for Voobner, doesn't it?

851. Re: Interesting visit to my site hmmmmmm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 19:10:03 UTC
From: "Alan S." <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92A27BC2CADA9rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 139628

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in
news:3da47458.496357@news.cet.com:

> In <Xns92A2733698D03rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>, "Alan S."
> <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote:
>
>>timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote in
>>news:20021009140101.15173.00000267@mb-fy.aol.com:
>>
>>> Referrer: Direct Hit
>>> 08 Oct 2002 / 08:51:59 AM gatekeeper.fcc.gov
>>> 192.104.54.1 Windows 2000 Explorer 5.5
>>>
>>They're probably just reading the NG and decided to check your site
>>out. Of course, they haven't checked MY site out yet. Not that it
>>matters, really. I don't have anything usefull up there yet anyway.
>>
>>Interesting, indeed. Who knew the fcc was still running IE 5.5?
>>
>>Hey FCC dude (or dudette)!!! Tell your MIS department to upgrade your
>>browser. Better yet, tell 'em that Mozilla (http://www.mozilla.org)
>>works better and you would like that. Viewing illegal amp and
>>freebanding sites hosted on Geocities and such is more productive with
>>a browser that kills pop-ups. (That's what you're looking for, right?)
>>You're welcome, FCC dude (or dudette).
>
> That's interesting. I use IE5 in Win3.11, and I can turn off scripts,
> Java, cookies, and just about everything else. You can do that with
> Mozilla, too?
>
>

This is grossly off topic, so move on if you don't want to read my
computer ramblings...

Sure you can disable Java, but then nothing else that uses Java will
work. Also, some authors (such as myself) like to display images and such
in a pop up window. Disabling Javascript would prevent that (and lots of
other neat things like image rollovers). Mozilla can be configured to
only allow USER INITIATED popups (meaning YOU clicked something). Popups
that occur on page-load are killed. It works REALLY well.

Most people disable Javascript to avoid things that web authors do to
piss of their site visitors. The problem is, there is alot of really
usefull and cool things that can be done with Javascript. Why disable it

if you don't need to? Mozilla disables many of the more irritating functions in JS. For example, I hate it when web authors dick with my status bar. I like to see where I'm going before I follow a link, thank you. Mozilla can be configured to prevent sites from changing your status bar.

Better still, Mozilla is 100% standards compliant. Check out their site for a demo. IE is not. Here's one I noticed a little bit ago: IE screws up the colors in PNG graphic files. It doesn't display them accurately. I was going to use PNG's on my site. They looked great in Mozilla, but not in IE. Since most of my visitors use IE, I chose to not use them.

Now and then, some stupid web author designs a site that can ONLY be viewed correctly in IE. I RARELY ever have to use IE, but it happens occasionally. So I always keep IE installed and updated.

Hey, You can skin the user interface of Mozilla, as well.
Customize...Customize...Customize...
--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

852. Re: While I'm on the subject of math...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 19:44:53 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uq91sbbaot2t8e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139630

Class AB amps are closer to 60% efficient ... I believe you are thinking of
class A amplifiers.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kevin Muenzler" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3da47a4e$0$959$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> This also doesn't take into account that many good amps are class AB which
> are only about 25% efficient anyway.
>
> Realistically a 20 amp supply will comfortably run a 100 watt radio.
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE
>
> "'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DA41556.70C190E5@cwis.net...
> > "Yeti",
> > Which erronious statement, there were several. Starting
> > with, "A 20 amp power supply should supply the full 20 amps
> > at the rated voltage.". Most power supplies are ICS rated,
> > meaning it will deliver the rated current for short periods
> > of time, not continuously. If you want 20 amps continuously,
> > get a 25 or 30 amp power supply at least.
> > Is that enough, or do you want more?
> > 'Doc
>
>

853. Re: Johnson Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 20:30:15 UTC
From: rparz@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6a4c1ec2.0210091230.1857216a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139631

The radio face, should be black then. In that case it is most likely a Viking Messenger II. If the front is chrome, or bare metal, it is a "white face" Messenger I. I want to think that the white face had five selectable crystal controlled channels while the black face had 10 and a 23 channel VFO. I could be mistaken on that though. You can add and remove channes as you like. Accoding to Dennis #12 (an old Johnson Factory Sevice Tech) you're best bet is to use the regular walkie-talkie type crystals. You do need to fabricate a plug, or extensions to get them to fit however. The Johnson crystals in the big "cans" can be difficult to find, be costly, and tend to be slightly off frequency. You should be pleased after you fabricate something. I use some rebarb wire, which is stiff, soldered it to the leads of the crystal and stuck it in and it sounds fine. As far as the power connector goes, I'm not sure. You can get those radios off E-bay for a decent price. I picked up a working whiteface for $35. You can get a non-working one for cheaper than that, and cannabalize it for parts.

I'm not an expert on this by any means, so the info I gave you may not be 100% accurate. I am however a hobbiest and this is my honest opinion.

Good luck, and enjoy your old Johnson. There is nothing like them.

-Ryan
Rparz@hotmail.com
10-51 -=- South Side Chicago

"jason" <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:<ao06dr$c2t$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>...
> I have just recived a Johnson Viking Messenger radio, the guy who gave it to
> me called it a "Black Face" if that means anything. It apears to be a five
> channel radio with a permennatly attached mic, i assume its crystal
> controlled, can you add channels to it or can they be swapped out for other
> channels?? Also wondering if anyone might happen to have the power hook up
> pin configuration, it seems to be missing the plug for all that in the back.
>
> By the way, thanks to everyone on the help with the midland cb with the mic
> problem, i finally got it working, and thanks to everyone for the info on
> the scope, im learning how to use it, should be a big help,
>
> Thanks Again,
> Jason

854. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 20:57:26 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210091257.25c1161b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>Date: Wed, Oct 9, 2002 8:04 AM
>Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210090704.3e8a582e@posting.google.com>
>
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210081144.311232b@posting.google.com>...
>> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210080516.6eed3add@posting.google.com>...
>> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210070737.780c6d2@posting.google.com>...
>> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>> > > >Hasn't worked and it won't work, Aanal Aaron, because
>> > > (snip)
>> > >
>> > > It's working even as we speak.
>> > >
>> > > -A
>> >
>> > Certainly hasn't and isn't, since
>> (snip)
>>
>> Daily fumigation.
>
>Heaven knows you n
(snip)

Time for the afternoon spray, Steve.

-A
--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

855. Re: Hey Gunslinger...nice DX tonight!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 21:49:05 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021009174905.08693.00000364@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 139633

>From: thatzmejp@aol.com >(UknowMeJP)
>listen to me on channel 26.915 or >channel 16 tonite around 7 eastern
>time.....223 in GA
I'll have my ear to the speaker JP!
333 Springfield Missouri Mobile

"Got RF?"

856. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 22:02:43 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210091402.50f24b44@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139634

Lenny Stover" mppd5107@the-police.com wrote:
>"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote
>> This thread started with my response to Lennie' Stover's post . I
>> challenged his excuse that "we need linears to walk on people using
>> linears".
>
>Where did I say that? I have to go back through the entire thread
now to
>try to find that statement. I sure as hell don't remember saying
that.
>I do recall saying that I needed a linear because of the number of
>people attempting to talk at the same time in the Chicago metro area.

My apologies for paraphrasing you, Len. Trying to summarize where you stand on this issue is difficult. I've already made my own POV extremely clear, so perhaps it is best that you clarify yours.

-Do you think all CB'ers should use linears, or just cops, and why?
-Do you think FCC CB rules should be ignored or obeyed, and why?
-Do you feel only certain rules should be obeyed? Which ones? How would the FCC enforce selective compliance to CB rules?
-Do you think selective compliance to the CB rules in everybody's best interest?
-If selective compliance is in everybody's best interest, why haven't the CB rules (US CFR Title 47 Part 95) been changed to reflect selective compliance? Should they be?

It's ironic but some time ago I defended police officers in this NG during a discussion about local LEO's ability to enforce FCC regulations viz a viz HR2346 (a House Bill that allows communities to authorize local PD's to enforce FCC CB rules). Quite a few regulars in this NG argued that the average police officer was too dumb (their words not mine) to be able to see the difference between a legal radio setup and an illegal one. I argued that cops are highly adaptable and trainable, as evidenced by mobile computer terminals, radar, drug testing, and other technologies that were successfully introduced to the field.

>Until then, stop trying to put words in my mouth to fit
>your twisted agenda!!!!

Asking you to clarify what you meant isn't "twisted". BTW, the famous "twisted agenda" is owned by Twistedhed, you know, the guy of whom you said:

>Guess it's true...great minds think alike. <g>

For the record, I don't believe all minds should think alike. That would suck. And as far as my "sandbox" goes, I don't own one, and I don't own this newsgroup. I enjoy open discussion with people of all persuasions. I happen to think diversity of opinion is great. That's what America is all about. We certainly couldn't be having this kind of public discussion in Iran.

With this in mind, note that there is a large contingent of regulars in this NG who believe that "bad citizens have more fun", certain laws are only suggestions, and if a crime is victimless, then it is trivial. It's their right to express these opinions, but I happen to disagree with them. Should I be quiet and keep my disagreements to myself? Nope. Freedom of Speech guarantees us both the right to sound off. You are going to hear my thoughts on the subject as well as theirs. This is as it should be, or don't you agree?

-Aaron-

857. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 22:20:57 UTC
From: who cares? <who-carez@apathy.net>
Message-ID: <uq9av1m2i4li0d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139636

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0210091402.50f24b44@posting.google.com...
> Lenny Stover" mppd5107@the-police.com wrote:
> >"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote
> >> This thread started with my response to Lennie' Stover's post . I
> >> challenged his excuse that "we need linears to walk on people using
> >> linears".
> >
> >Where did I say that? I have to go back through the entire thread
> now to
> >try to find that statement. I sure as hell don't remember saying
> that.
> >I do recall saying that I needed a linear because of the number of
> >people attempting to talk at the same time in the Chicago metro area.
>
> My apologies for paraphrasing you, Len. Trying to summarize where you
> stand on this issue is difficult. I've already made my own POV
> extremely clear, so perhaps it is best that you clarify yours.
>
> -Do you think all CB'ers should use linears, or just cops, and why?
> -Do you think FCC CB rules should be ignored or obeyed, and why?
> -Do you feel only certain rules should be obeyed? Which ones? How
> would the FCC enforce selective compliance to CB rules?
> -Do you think selective compliance to the CB rules in everybody's best
> interest?
> -If selective compliance is in everybody's best interest, why haven't
> the CB rules (US CFR Title 47 Part 95) been changed to reflect
> selective compliance? Should they be?
>
> It's ironic but some time ago I defended police officers in this NG
> during a discussion about local LEO's ability to enforce FCC
> regulations viz a viz HR2346 (a House Bill that allows communities to
> authorize local PD's to enforce FCC CB rules). Quite a few regulars in
> this NG argued that the average police officer was too dumb (their
> words not mine) to be able to see the difference between a legal radio
> setup and an illegal one. I argued that cops are highly adaptable and
> trainable, as evidenced by mobile computer terminals, radar, drug
> testing, and other technologies that were successfully introduced to
> the field.
>
> >Until then, stop trying to put words in my mouth to fit
> >your twisted agenda!!!!
>
> Asking you to clarify what you meant isn't "twisted". BTW, the famous

> "twisted agenda" is owned by Twistedhed, you know, the guy of whom you
> said:
>
> >Guess it's true...great minds think alike. <g>
>
> For the record, I don't believe all minds should think alike. That
> would suck. And as far as my "sandbox" goes, I don't own one, and I
> don't own this newsgroup. I enjoy open discussion with people of all
> persuasions. I happen to think diversity of opinion is great. That's
> what America is all about. We certainly couldn't be having this kind
> of public discussion in Iran.
>
> With this in mind, note that there is a large contingent of regulars
> in this NG who believe that "bad citizens have more fun", certain laws
> are only suggestions, and if a crime is victimless, then it is
> trivial. It's their right to express these opinions, but I happen to
> disagree with them. Should I be quiet and keep my disagreements to
> myself? Nope. Freedom of Speech guarantees us both the right to sound
> off. You are going to hear my thoughts on the subject as well as
> theirs. This is as it should be, or don't you agree?
>
> -Aaron-

Yawn....

858. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 22:21:11 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210091421.2ea85ddb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139635

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210081132.5ee5c3e0@posting.google.com>...
> timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote in message
news:<20021008103254.02869.00000027@mb-cr.aol.com>...
> > >
> > >I suggest using 'advanced search' and that should make your search a
> > >little easier. No longer do you have any reason to avoid the question:
> > >Where did Voobner imply that all 10 meter radios are illegal?
> > >
> >
> > look frankie, some other guy did it for me already. that was convenient
> >
> > >>>You lie like a rug, Aanal Aaron. As usual. Or do you call it
> > "selective recall" so you won't embarass yourself?
> >
> > Try looking through the excessively long thread about "Legal? ... at
> > eButt". You said and/or implied many times that ten meter radios were
> > illegal before you were drowned out by people who knew better. One,
> > Tom Sevart N2UHC, practically had to hit you over the head by
> > repeating that licensed amateurs could use any radio they want in the
> > ham bands, something you vehemently disagreed with.
> >
> > The entire point of that thread was you crowing that the FCC had
> > declared all those ten meter radios somehow "illegal", and did you
> > ever crow. Until you were proven wrong.
> >
> > You did NOT know that hams were exempt from type acceptance. You >did NOT know that
Novices and Tech+ license holders had 10 meter
> > priviledges. There are so many things you didn't know that I cannot
> > list them all. Nobody has to try to discredit you; YOU have totally
> > discredited yourself.
> > <<<
> >
> >
> >
> > Tim Nebo
>
>
>
> Don't celebrate yet, Tim. Steve Rogovich aka Cranium doesn't have the
> answers, he just stopped by here to troll. If you read the 10 meter
> thread you see it's nothing more than the same old RRCB circus. Anyone
> who reads this newsgroup knows the drill by heart. When outlaws are
> told something is illegal, they immediately begin citing loopholes

> ("Oh yeah? It ain't illegal if...."). Of course, everyone knows the
> loopholes don't apply to the outlaw CB'ers. But they hope that a
> debate about loopholes will take the spolight off outlaw CB.
>
> -Aaron-

I didn't stop by here to troll, Aanal Aaron, but you LIVE here to troll. And where's the proof you offered to "Gladly" post that I'm somehow Steve? You actually posted the proof that I'm not Steve, but you'll never acknowledge it.

Where are your answers, TROLL?? You ain't got any.

YOU made the statement that "all 10 meter radios are illegal". Prove that they are, Aanal Aaron. You couldn't, you didn't, and you can't now. You are nothing but a low-class, hearsay posting liar and troll, and you proved it yourself.

The 10 meter thread proved that you have no clue whatsoever; you claimed that hams were not excempt from FCC type-acceptance requirements, you claimed that a letter from the FCC to the Customs Service somehow made all ten-meter radios "illegal", and you did not know that Novices and Tech+ license-holders had 10-meter priviledges. You have NO credibility, you never had any credibility, and you will never have any credibility Aanal Aaron.

You don't even hold a license (and if you do you're afraid to post your callsign), so you have no basis for saying anyone is an "outlaw". You are nothing but a moron; the Nit Wit of the Famous Wit Brothers. Everyone is laughing at you and will continue to do so until you wise up and find something else to do with your pathetic life.

859. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 22:26:07 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210091426.46347aa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139637

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3da33611.1697676@news.cet.com>...
> In <20021008103254.02869.00000027@mb-cr.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420
> (Smoke Stack) wrote:
>
> >>
> >>I suggest using 'advanced search' and that should make your search a
> >>little easier. No longer do you have any reason to avoid the question:
> >>Where did Voobner imply that all 10 meter radios are illegal?
> >>
> >
> >look frankie, some other guy did it for me already. that was convenient
> >
> >>>>You lie like a rug, Aanal Aaron. As usual. Or do you call it
> >"selective recall" so you won't embarass yourself?
> >
> >Try looking through the excessively long thread about "Legal? ... at
> >eButt". You said and/or implied many times that ten meter radios were
> >illegal before you were drowned out by people who knew better. One,
> >Tom Sevart N2UHC, practically had to hit you over the head by
> >repeating that licensed amateurs could use any radio they want in the
> >ham bands, something you vehemently disagreed with.
> >
> >The entire point of that thread was you crowing that the FCC had
> >declared all those ten meter radios somehow "illegal", and did you
> >ever crow. Until you were proven wrong.
> >
> >You did NOT know that hams were exempt from type acceptance. You did
> >NOT know that Novices and Tech+ license holders had 10 meter
> >priviledges. There are so many things you didn't know that I cannot
> >list them all. Nobody has to try to discredit you; YOU have totally
> >discredited yourself.
> ><<<
>
> Can you say "circular argument"?

Sure can, Frankie - and that's all you know.

The quote you are using is from
> Clyde, the same moron that made the claim for which you are defending.

Nope, the reference has been posted. And if you weren't so lazy (or
stupid) you could have found it yourself.

> I understand that once you latch onto an idea, regardless of the
> facts, that you just can't let go of it (Seti? You still think I'm
> N7VCF?). And I also understand that those ideas are never your own,
> probably because you have never had an independant thought in your
> life. But for once take a crack at the qualitative reasoning process
> without someone holding your hand. If that's impossible (and suspect
> it is), then post a quote by Voobner (not Clyde, Richard Cranium,
> Randy, or anyone else) where he implies that all 10 meter radios are
> illegal.

Why would ANYBODY with any intelligence quote Voobnutz? He's never
been right about anything in his entire life.

Look it up, Jack. Voobie-baby said it. All you have to do it LOOK FOR
IT. Don't whine about it, look for it. You can do it. If you can't,
you really are a dimbulb. Why should everyone else have to do your
homework for you?

860. Re: cb base station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 22:29:21 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <552p9.18125$OB5.1787302@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 139638

This was a stupid reply!

Call Bills 2 Way http://www.bills2way.com/ and ask for a Galaxy DX-2547 Base "CB" or the Texas Ranger TR-696FSSB

These are CB radios and will work good for you.

Dino

>I don't know of any CB makers that make anything less then 40 CH now. So
>unless you buy second hand you will get the big 40. If you pay over $250.00
>US new for a 40-SSB you are getting ripped-off. I noticed that Cobra has
>stopped making base CB and so to has Uniden, (I think). So good luck finding
>them. As for range with an inside antenna don't even bother. 5 miles and you
>will sound like crap. A car mag-mount on a metal balcony railing will do a
>little better but still not worth the effort or expense.
>
>"Neill Burkett" <stryper2000@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:uq7d23r97o7bab@corp.supernews.com...
>> what is the least expensive new cb base station i can get that has all 40
>> channels, also what kind of range can you get w/ one if you use an inside
>> antenna.
>>
>>
>>
>
>

861. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 22:42:47 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <s_idna9CtJJ1LTmgXTWcpw@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139639

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> You don't even hold a license (and if you do you're afraid to post
> your callsign), so you have no basis for saying anyone is an "outlaw".

You are the same Dick Head you don't even hold a license and if you do
you're afraid to post your callsign.

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

862. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 22:43:41 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <WUSdnf0wMrSCLDmgXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139640

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> Look it up, Jack. Voobie-baby said it. All you have to do it LOOK FOR
> IT. Don't whine about it, look for it. You can do it. If you can't,
> you really are a dimbulb. Why should everyone else have to do your
> homework for you?

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

863. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 23:18:13 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <SXSdncWbwr6rJDmgXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139641

From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Subject: Re: Update on Yeti's amp
Date: Tuesday, October 08, 2002 3:45 PM

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3da0851f.3405350@news.cet.com...
> In <WW-dnaz9ednX4T2gXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
> <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >I should add here that several lines of power inverters the
company, I
> >work for, manufactures works just like the above discussion in
regards
> >to the power device. I'm well aquatinted with the concept.
>
> Then you should already know that the amplifiers used in power
> supplies are actually DC amplifiers,

They are not "DC amplifiers" they are power converters. In an
amplifier we are concerned with reproducing the input waveform at the
output at either increased amplitude and or power. Power converters on
the other hand use everything from sine waves, square waves etc. to do
the power conversion, and don't have an input waveform to amplify. For
example the inverters I was commenting about above, they use a square
wave of voltage while the current is a sine wave!

> >Using square waves there is NO DC componet to the power. The power
> >device is either fully turned on, or turned off, i.e its working as
an
> >electronic switch under those conditions, a square wave drive.
There
> >is simply no in between. When using other wave forms the device
spends
> >a significant amount of time in its active region, which is where
the
> >power loss in the device comes from. I hope you have also noticed
that
> >in the analysis a perfect power device was assumed, i.e. that Vce
> >saturation = zero volts.
>
> Huh? A square wave is DC with a switched amplitude!

If the drive amplitude switches between +V and -V there is NO DC
component. This is no different than a sine wave switching between

+169.71 volts and -169.71 volts, i.e. 169.71 = 120 * sqrt(2). Put a DC voltmeter across the 120 volt line in your house it should read zero. It will read zero across a square wave with the same voltage values too.

>
> >If you still don't believe me then just replace the power device with
> >a switch. You still get the same wave form output by operating the
> >switch at the right time, i.e. a square wave. Then try to convince
> >yourself there is still a big power loss in the switch. Sort of hard
> >to do right?
>
> Of course there is no power loss in the switch, I never said there
> was. But what are you switching? DC! The center of your square wave
> rests on a DC potential, therefore it has a DC component.

Unless I "AC couple" the stage. 8-))

> When you
> change the duty cycle, you change the AVERAGE DC of the square wave!
> That's how switching power supplies work! Don't tell me you didn't
> know that....

Once you start switching it, DC, around its no longer just DC. That's how those neat little power converters work. Takes 12 VDC input, and generates 120 volts rms AC output. If you get any significant amount of DC out of one of those you may end up wrecking the appliance connected to it. Particularity the ones with a transformer input!

If you change the duty cycle of a square wave it is no longer a square wave. In fact high performance so called "servo amplifiers", and they are NOT technically amplifiers either, operate by varying the duty cycle of a high frequency square wave. As long as the duty cycle is exactly 50 percent, where the waveform switches between +V and -V supplies, the DC component is zero. By varying the duty cycle one way or the other you can generate a net positive or negative DC component to form in the spectrum of the resulting waveform. Using the huge inductance of the DC motor armature winding as a crude low pass filter the only component that remains is the DC one. This causes the motor to spin one way or the other depending on the net DC component.

In variable AC motor drives a set of electronic switches are driven in such a manner that the resulting rectangular waveform has a lower frequency AC component after filtering. No DC component is generated because the duty cycled is varied in a sinusoidal manner about the zero line. By using three sets of such switches, where the phase angle between the sinusoidal modulation of the duty cycle is 120 degrees, you can generate 3 phase power at a variable frequency and voltage level. Coupling the above outputs to a 3 phase induction motor allows one to change it's speed by changing the modulation frequency (thus

effective line voltage frequency), and voltage thus motor torque, by
adjusting the modulation "depth". Changing direction only requires
reversing the phase angle of two of the outputs.

>
> <snip>
> >> believe yours say the same thing, the difference being in our
> >> interpretations of this conversation. For example, the Comer
> >reference
> >> you cited uses a power equation (Pac) for sine-waves only. It is
not
> >> applicable to square, triangle, or even audio signals.
> >
> >It can be done since any wave form with a finite number of
> >discontinuities can be represented as an infinite series as a sum
of
> >sine waves of different frequencies and phase angles. That is the
> >concept of the Fourier series, and the Fourier Transform, both of
> >which are used in DSP work and circuit analysis when dealing with
non
> >sinusoidal wave forms. The analysis gets very messy, and the
results
> >seem much less obvious. I wrote a number of MathCad worksheets that
> >use up to 512 to 1024 frequency componets, using the Fourier
> >Transform, when doing the calculations for the design for several
of
> >the company's line of power inverters where I work. These
worksheets
> >have been in use for several years and nobody has found an error in
> >them yet.
>
> But your explanation didn't include Fourier transforms, it included
> only a power equation for a sine wave.

True. However all of the equations for reactance, power etc have been
developed for a sine waveform. It turns out sine waves are very easy
to use for AC circuit analysis. Through the use of the Fourier
transform a signal can be analyzed using the techniques for sine wave
analysis except one has to apply it to every harmonic term present in
the NON-sinusoidal waveform. This is the basis of all non-sinusoidal
signal analysis used in circuit design work. It also makes the math
rather messy except for some well define non-sinusoidal waveforms,
where the terms can be represent as an infinite sumation series, and
the coefficients are a simple function of the order of the term. For
the general case the analysis is done typically by computer, which can
easily handle the 100's of terms required to get accurate results.

>
> >> I can
> >> understand this, as the conversation was oriented towards a Class
A

> >> amp being used as a linear amplifier for RF (typically
sinusoidal).
> >>
> >> I would be interested if one of your references had a specific
> >> reference to the efficiency for a Class B push-pull.
> >
> >All of the numbers I see are 78.5 percent, i.e. the exact
calculated
> >value is Pi/4 for a sine wave of course.
>
> That's what mine say as well. Why should they differ on Class A
> efficiency?

I think it depends on just what kind of amplifier they analyze. For an amplifier with a purely resistive load (at all times and the simplest case to work with) only for the signal component. I have assumed a resistive load at all times. Consider for a minute an amplifier using a "tuned", i.e. a parallel circuit of a capacitor, inductor, and resistor load. It should be apparent that at no signal condition the DC bias power wasted in the load should be near zero, unlike the purely resistive load case, since the load is shorted out by the normally much lower in resistance inductor. For this case the DC load line does not match the AC load line. That makes the efficency analysis more "interesting" and likely different.

When getting in to discussions like this it is VERY important that everybody fully understands the exact circuit typography in question.

--

__
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LD0

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction functions."

864. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 23:21:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2706-3DA4B9EE-89@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139643

>My apologies for paraphrasing you, Len. Trying to summarize where you stand on this issue is difficult.
<<

Which is why you fail at it so often. It's not up to you to "summarize" where another stands on any issue. You are usually way off the mark, such as you are here.

> I've already made my own POV extremely clear, so perhaps it is best that you clarify yours.
<<

Why should he clarify anything? Just because you don't like his answers? You're the only one having a comprehension problem with this fella, in case you haven't noticed.

>-Do you think all CB'ers should use linears, or just cops, and why? -Do you think FCC CB rules should be ignored or obeyed, and why? -Do you feel only certain rules should be obeyed? Which ones? How would the FCC enforce selective compliance to CB rules? -Do you think selective compliance to the CB rules in everybody's best interest? <<

Certainly. The FCC reiterates this stance with only selective enforcement.

>-If selective compliance is in everybody's best interest, why haven't the CB rules (US CFR Title 47 Part 95) been changed to reflect selective compliance? <<

"Cause the feds rarely admit wrongdoings, no matter how trivial.

>Should they be? <

Nah, the laws are just fine the way they are, the squeaky wheel gets the grease and some of the hammies are loud.

>It's ironic but some time ago I defended police officers in this NG during a discussion about local LEO's ability to enforce FCC regulations viz a viz HR2346 (a House Bill that allows communities to authorize local PD's to enforce FCC CB rules). Quite a few regulars in this NG argued that the average police officer was too dumb (their words not mine) to be able to see the difference between a legal radio setup and an illegal one. I argued that cops are highly adaptable and trainable, as evidenced by mobile computer terminals, radar, drug testing, and other technologies that were successfully introduced to the field.
<<

Not quite. I have claimed in the past that MOST cops couldn't care any less concerning cb infractions. I was right then and I'm right now.

-Until then, stop trying to put words in my mouth to fit your twisted agenda!!!! -

>Asking you to clarify what you meant isn't "twisted". <<

But you didn't ask, you ASSumed and put it forward as fact, when in fact it was bullshit what you attributed to him.

>BTW, the famous "twisted agenda" is owned by Twistedhed, you know, the guy of whom you said: <

-Guess it's true...great minds think alike. <g>

>For the record, I don't believe all minds should think alike.<

Neither do I, but I think "great" minds tend to parallel each other in several ways. The irony of the above line written by you apparently was also lost on you as well.

> That would suck. <

Even when it comes to selective enforcement? Or should we all blindly go and adhere strictly to the letter of the law, ...as Dave Hall has claimed..a rulebreaker is a lawbreaker is a criminal, eh? Throw that woman in Wichita in the state pen for hanging her laundry on a Sunday outdoors too close to a church...no matter the law is no good,,,,it;s there...*we-must-follow-law".

>And as far as my "sandbox" goes, I don't own one, and I don't own this newsgroup. I enjoy open discussion with people of all persuasions. I happen to think diversity of opinion is great.
<

You also happen to think diversified email addys to post with are great. You also are a hypocrite, as you feel it is ok to break your ISP agreements and TOS agreements with the internet, hence you losing the voobner@yahoo addy. You practice selective compliance all the time, but need someone subjective enough to point it out.

>That's what America is all about. <

So now America is what some tight azzed underground nocode claims it is? Yuh, that's brilliant.

>We certainly couldn't be having this kind of public discussion in Iran.
<

Another attempted but failed redirect for being admonished by a newbie, no less, for putting words in anothers mouth.....tsk tsk! He pegged you immediately, junior!

>With this in mind, note that there is a large contingent of regulars in this NG who believe that "bad citizens have more fun", <<

Underground Arron took it upon himself to try some failed propaganda by inserting "large contingency" of regs because of one (1) who used that as a sig busts him out on a regular basis. He's just taking a pot shot at him here.

>certain laws are only suggestions, and if a crime is victimless, then it is trivial. It's their right to express these opinions, but I happen to disagree with them. Should I be quiet and keep my disagreements to myself? Nope. <<

We don't want you to go anywhere Aaron. We enjoy having you as the group's jester.
You brighten my day.

>Freedom of Speech guarantees us both the right to sound off. You are going to hear my thoughts on the subject as well as theirs. This is as it should be, or don't you agree?
-Aaron-<<

Oh, absolutely, but why is it when someone gives an opinion that disagrees with yours, you threaten to see "How their boss would feel?" Never mind, we know the answer to that.
Go clean yourself off.

865. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-09 23:39:31 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3DA4BE33.78C9996B@lucent.com>
Source record: 139642

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:

> See if she or you can figure this one out:
>
> Given: a = b
>
> a^2 = ba , multiply both sides by a
>
> a^2 - b^2 = ba - b^2 , subtract b^2 from both sides
>
> (a + b)(a - b) = b(a - b) , factor
>
> (a + b)(a - b) b(a - b)
> -------------- = -------- , divide both sides by (a - b)
> (a - b) (a - b)
>

I'm not sure, but I think this is a trick problem because:

If a = b, then a-b would equal ZERO, and you cannot divide
anything by ZERO and expect to get a valid result.

Regards,

Dennis O.

866. Re: Johnson Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 00:00:49 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <Rq3p9.29634$7I6.92939@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 139644

You can get crystals here: www.kenselectronics.com

"jason" <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ao06dr$c2t$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> I have just recived a Johnson Viking Messenger radio, the guy who gave it
to
> me called it a "Black Face" if that means anything. It apears to be a
five
> channel radio with a permennatly attached mic, i assume its crystal
> controlled, can you add channels to it or can they be swapped out for
other
> channels?? Also wondering if anyone might happen to have the power hook
up
> pin configuration, it seems to be missing the plug for all that in the
back.
>
> By the way, thanks to everyone on the help with the midland cb with the
mic
> problem, i finally got it working, and thanks to everyone for the info on
> the scope, im learning how to use it, should be a big help,
>
> Thanks Again,
> Jason
>
>

867. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 00:10:59 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210091610.3743ef3d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139645

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote
>Sorry,here's the page link:
>
>http://wb0nni.dakotamade.com/rfamp2a.html
>

This same guy has written an EXCELLENT essay. Check it out:

http://wb0nni.dakotamade.com/whyham.html

"WHY HAM RADIO?

To start with, it might be helpful to state that this is not a critique of CB Radio. Despite what you may have read or heard, the Citizens Radio Service was created by the FCC to permit the average Joe an opportunity to use two-way radio to conduct personal and business communication over relatively short distances. If that's all you want to do with it, than CB will likely be the ticket for you.

Personally, I toyed with a CB walkie-talkie in my teens only long enough to begin worrying whether the knock on the door was the FCC coming to take me away. For me, radio was something I wanted to learn about - that I wanted to get inside of and tinker with. I wanted to fool with dreaded electro-magnetic waves and see what I could do with them. I knew that just turning knobs and talking into a microphone would never cut it. So, fearful of what my mother would say when the FCC showed up at the door, I gave the CB walkie-talkie away. It wasn't until several years later that I rediscovered radio and was fortunate enough to be drawn in the right direction.

One of the things that appealed to me when I began seriously looking at radio was the ability to communicate with radio operators world-wide. The thought of talking to another radio operator in Asia, for example, appealed to me - as did the possibility of receiving written confirmation of the contact. The problem I had with CB at the time, was that more than one of the operators I visited lied to the guy on the other end of the radio about where they were located. They joked about it afterward, which made me wonder whether CB was for me. When I finally had a chance to meet some hams, the experience was altogether different.

These were people that strictly operated on the right side of the law - in every aspect of their lives. That seemed important to me. Not only did they understand radio theory, but were able to use their knowledge to benefit the community at large. Many had been ship board operators, others were volunteer dispatchers and licensed radio

technicians. Still others had been radio operators in the service - one of which had served as a code breaker in the Army during WWII. When I thought about the kind of people that I would prefer to communicate with world-wide, it was this group that I settled on.

This is not to say that there aren't bad hams - there are! However, those that misrepresent themselves and violate not only FCC regulations, but the bonds of common courtesy, don't last long. Ham radio is something that gets into your blood and has enough facets to keep you going for a life time. After you've worked all States, you might decide to fool with antenna designs. And then there's the tinkering part - actually getting inside and making things better (or ocassionally worse). There is experimentation - working other stations by bouncing your signal off the moon. And, finally, when you get ready to seriously pursue DX, erect a tower and beam and assemble an amplifier, you'll never have to fear that the knock on the door is anyone other than the postman with another load of QSL cards from overseas.

Best 73s - Bob WB0NNI"

868. Re: schematic for homebrew amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 00:16:15 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210091616.435f844c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139646

ni4l@msn.com (NI4L) wrote in message
news:<d4a0748e.0210091018.185919d9@posting.google.com>...
> http://www.nd2x.net/base-1.html
> http://wb0nni.dakotamade.com/index.html
> http://www.kvindesland.no/yl2qm/amplifier.htm
> http://www.kvindesland.no/yl2qm/amplifier.htm
>
>
> "Chad" <vze2mxmv@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:<Kz4o9.17853$Mw4.10785@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>...
> > does anyone have a schematic on how to build a linear?
> > thanks

thanks guy we are always looking for info on how to build powerful
linears so we can key up and screw frank's tele.....................

869. Re: Profile of typical antikeyclown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 00:18:17 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210091618.104534ba@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"One Eyed Purple People Eater" <1eye@xyz.com> wrote in message
news:<kKMm9.433314$5r1.19016875@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> Yeah we don't answer troll post.

You just did, dimbulb.

Guy

870. Re: Interesting visit to my site hmmmmmm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 00:44:13 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da4ccb0.585720@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139548

In <Xns92A27BC2CADA9rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>, "Alan S."
<rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote:

<snip>
>This is grossly off topic, so move on if you don't want to read my
>computer ramblings...

Don't worry about it. Everything is on topic here, just as long as
it's something you can talk about with a CB radio !!!

>Sure you can disable Java, but then nothing else that uses Java will
>work. Also, some authors (such as myself) like to display images and such
>in a pop up window. Disabling Javascript would prevent that (and lots of
>other neat things like image rollovers). Mozilla can be configured to
>only allow USER INITIATED popups (meaning YOU clicked something). Popups
>that occur on page-load are killed. It works REALLY well.
>
>Most people disable Javascript to avoid things that web authors do to
>piss of their site visitors.

Which is exactly why I leave mine off.

> The problem is, there is alot of really
>usefull and cool things that can be done with Javascript. Why disable it
>if you don't need to? Mozilla disables many of the more irritating
>functions in JS. For example, I hate it when web authors dick with my
>status bar. I like to see where I'm going before I follow a link, thank
>you. Mozilla can be configured to prevent sites from changing your status
>bar.

It's got to be better than JAVA: Enable/Disable.

>Better still, Mozilla is 100% standards compliant. Check out their site
>for a demo. IE is not. Here's one I noticed a little bit ago: IE screws
>up the colors in PNG graphic files. It doesn't display them accurately. I
>was going to use PNG's on my site. They looked great in Mozilla, but not
>in IE. Since most of my visitors use IE, I chose to not use them.
>
>Now and then, some stupid web author designs a site that can ONLY be
>viewed correctly in IE. I RARELY ever have to use IE, but it happens
>occasionally. So I always keep IE installed and updated.
>
>Hey, You can skin the user interface of Mozilla, as well.
>Customize...Customize...Customize...

Thanks for the info, I will check it out!

871. Re: While I'm on the subject of math...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 00:46:14 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021009204614.09726.00000464@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 139549

or that alot fo the cb amps are class c and running over 50%

>This also doesn't take into account that many good amps are class AB which
>are only about 25% efficient anyway.

872. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 00:48:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021009204800.09726.00000465@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 139550

well my fiancee got it before anybody so she'll be proud to know shes smarter than a bunch of dumb cb'ers lol

>Smarter than you. LOL!

873. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 00:49:25 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021009204925.09726.00000466@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 139551

you answer for him any other time might as well now

>
>That sounds like a really good question for Voobner, doesn't it?

874. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 00:49:58 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da4ce2f.968437@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139552

In <3DA4BE33.78C9996B@lucent.com>, "Dennis W. Ostrowski"
<dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote:

>"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
>> See if she or you can figure this one out:
>>
>> Given: a = b
>>
>> a^2 = ba , multiply both sides by a
>>
>> a^2 - b^2 = ba - b^2 , subtract b^2 from both sides
>>
>> (a + b)(a - b) = b(a - b) , factor
>>
>> (a + b)(a - b) b(a - b)
>> -------------- = -------- , divide both sides by (a - b)
>> (a - b) (a - b)
>>
>
> I'm not sure, but I think this is a trick problem because:
>
> If a = b, then a-b would equal ZERO, and you cannot divide
>anything by ZERO and expect to get a valid result.

Bingo! Neat trick tho, huh? I remember that problem from when my
algebra teacher pulled it on us (lately it seems like that's the ONLY
thing I remember from algebra...#:-/~

875. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 00:57:08 UTC
From: Spell Checker <SC@webster.com>
Message-ID: <3DA4D064.3E569309@webster.com>
Source record: 139553

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> "Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote:
> >>If you expect people to believe you,
> it's up you to prove your correct.
>
> Richard Cranium
> >
> Was it your or you're?

HEY! That's my job!!!

876. Re: While I'm on the subject of math...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 01:02:02 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uq9kf1jr78v9fc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139555

No one in his right mind would run a class C amplifier for anything other than fm, cw, or frequency shift keying. They are NOT linear and can cause a lot of problems. Class ab will give you up to 60%; class B can get up to perhaps 65% in normal use. Class C can easily go WAY over 70% efficiency. Switchmode amps (square wave output) run over 90%.

73 from rochester, NY
Jim

877. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 01:02:53 UTC
From: Spell Checker <SC@webster.com>
Message-ID: <3DA4D1BD.92774172@webster.com>
Source record: 139554

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:

> For
> example the inverters I was commenting about above, they use a square
> wave of voltage while the current is a sine wave!

Is that the "modified sine wave" that comes from an APC?

878. FS: Rohn 25 Package Deal!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 01:06:57 UTC
From: Headhamster <headhamster@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Ro4p9.18474$OB5.1816787@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 139556
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

I have 60 feet of Rohn 25 available in North Jersey. This is a package deal which includes:

One top section with rotor plate and guy brackets
Five regular sections
One short roof mount base with foot plates
One ground mount base plate
One house bracket
And, Three philystran guy cables with attached hardware.

This is pickup only as I no longer have a truck to transport it in.

I will take $350 for the whole package or possibly trade for something of equal value.

73, de Rich

879. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 01:11:32 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Hh4p9.1354$d21.106745@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 139557

I don't hate CBers at all, and until I learned of the sneaky birds on
28.085, I didn't say anything.
I only got involved after that. Hehe, you'll notice (in my defense) that I
rarely comment on other
matters (amps, etc) Hey, I've been pretty quiet lately just a-readin' the
mail, as it were. I only get
"irate" when that 10 Meter thing comes up. LOL! I don't get too upset over
what happens down
on the 40; after all, if it isn't bothering me, why should I get involved?
It is for the Feds to decide.
When I find someone else's cows in my pasture, I grab my "broom" and go shoo
'em out! LOL
Once in awhile, you'll notice I WILL make a corny joke. <<G>>
73

Jerry
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021009192727.868$Eg@newsreader.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > Lenny Stover <mppd5107@the-police.com> wrote in message
> > news:I%Mo9.1519$Jq5.475369603@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote
> > >
> > > <lecture snipped>
> > >
> > > > IMO, Mr. Stover has a responsibility to see that any
> > > > information he posts here is accurate and reflects actual >-not
> > > > estimated- FCC policy.
> > >
> > > All information that I have posted here *is* factual, and at no time
> > > did I *ever* quote FCC policy nor elude to FCC policy. Feel free to
> > > scan back
> > up
> > > the thread.
> > >
> > > Whatsamatter? You upset because a CBer is into your "sandbox?"
> >
> >>I don't think THAT many people care what is done on the 40 channels.
But
> > if you use your
> > CB and amp up on 10 meters without the required license, then you are,
> > indeed, in "my sandbox".
> > And if I found that you were operating there, and I have no reason to

> > think so, I would then forward the info to Mr. Hollingsworth. LEO or
no,
> > then you could find yourself in some difficulty.
> > That is where the rub is when it comes to hams' objections to Galaxy
> > radios. Again, I can't care
> > about what is done down on the 40 IF it doesn't interfere with me. I DO
> > care about 10 meters, tho. If you think they won't do anything about
> > unlicensed operators, that is mistaken. Some CBers
> > have found out that then even go after them on the regular 40--just not
> > all that many right now.
> >
> > However, I notice that at least one Amateur has been appointed to the
> > Senate Committee on
> > Telecommunications by Billy Tauzin. This might be the beginning of some
> > changes, and an answer to the 10 Meter intrusion thing.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Jerry
> >
> Rock on,Jerry. I don't blame you for being pissed about assholes straying
> into the ten meter band. You seem like you're real focused on doing your
> part to stop it,and I say more power to you.
>
> Just try not to hate all CBer's,as much as you do them. ;)
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

880. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 01:39:02 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da4cf38.1234109@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139558

In <SXSdncWbwr6rJDmgXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

>From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
>Subject: Re: Update on Yeti's amp
>Date: Tuesday, October 08, 2002 3:45 PM
>
>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
>news:3da0851f.3405350@news.cet.com...
>> In <WW-dnaz9ednX4T2gXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
>> <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >I should add here that several lines of power inverters the
>company, I
>> >work for, manufactures works just like the above discussion in
>regards
>> >to the power device. I'm well aquatinted with the concept.
>>
>> Then you should already know that the amplifiers used in power
>> supplies are actually DC amplifiers,
>
>They are not "DC amplifiers" they are power converters. In an
>amplifier we are concerned with reproducing the input waveform at the
>output at either increased amplitude and or power. Power converters on
>the other hand use everything from sine waves, square waves etc. to do
>the power conversion, and don't have an input waveform to amplify. For
>example the inverters I was commenting about above, they use a square
>wave of voltage while the current is a sine wave!

Well, you lost me there. How in the heck to they get square voltage
with sine current on the same line? Are we talking an anti-sine load
or something?

>> >Using square waves there is NO DC componet to the power. The power
>> >device is either fully turned on, or turned off, i.e its working as
>an
>> >electronic switch under those conditions, a square wave drive.
>There
>> >is simply no in between. When using other wave forms the device
>spends
>> >a significant amount of time in its active region, which is where
>the
>> >power loss in the device comes from. I hope you have also noticed

>that
>> >in the analysis a perfect power device was assumed, i.e. that Vce
>> >saturation = zero volts.
>>
>> Huh? A square wave is DC with a switched amplitude!
>
>If the drive amplitude switches between +V and -V there is NO DC
>component.

That depends if the area under the curve is equal above ground as it
is below ground. If not, then it has a DC component. A Class A amp,
therefore, has a DC component -- even if it's a square wave -- because
there is no circumstance where a Class A amplifier is going to swing
below ground (well, except maybe with an inductive load, but that's a
different story altogether...)

> This is no different than a sine wave switching between
>+169.71 volts and -169.71 volts, i.e. 169.71 = 120 * sqrt(2). Put a DC
>voltmeter across the 120 volt line in your house it should read zero.
>It will read zero across a square wave with the same voltage values
>too.

Not if it's coming off a Class A amplifier.

>>
>> >If you still don't believe me then just replace the power device
>with
>> >a switch. You still get the same wave form output by operating the
>> >switch at the right time, i.e. a square wave. Then try to convince
>> >yourself there is still a big power loss in the switch. Sort of
>hard
>> >to do right?
>>
>> Of course there is no power loss in the switch, I never said there
>> was. But what are you switching? DC! The center of your square wave
>> rests on a DC potential, therefore it has a DC component.
>
>Unless I "AC couple" the stage. 8-))

Using a "DC blocking" capacitor? Hmmm...

>> When you
>> change the duty cycle, you change the AVERAGE DC of the square wave!
>> That's how switching power supplies work! Don't tell me you didn't
>> know that....
>
>Once you start switching it, DC, around its no longer just DC. That's
>how those neat little power converters work. Takes 12 VDC input, and
>generates 120 volts rms AC output. If you get any significant amount
>of DC out of one of those you may end up wrecking the appliance
>connected to it. Particularity the ones with a transformer input!

I did miss your statement earlier about power 'inverters', but again it depends on the method of inversion. A transformer powered from a Class A amp must dissipate the DC power as heat. If the method is cap/diode multiplication, you should be able to get all the power to the load (ideally). Same with inductive backwash inverters.

But if all you are doing is filtering the square wave for DC output, then the amp is just a DC amplifier.

>If you change the duty cycle of a square wave it is no longer a square
>wave.

Hmmm...

> In fact high performance so called "servo amplifiers", and they
>are NOT technically amplifiers either, operate by varying the duty
>cycle of a high frequency square wave.

Since the duty cycle of a square wave can be changed, then they are no longer square waves, are they?

> As long as the duty cycle is
>exactly 50 percent, where the waveform switches between +V and -V
>supplies, the DC component is zero. By varying the duty cycle one way
>or the other you can generate a net positive or negative DC component
>to form in the spectrum of the resulting waveform. Using the huge
>inductance of the DC motor armature winding as a crude low pass filter
>the only component that remains is the DC one. This causes the motor
>to spin one way or the other depending on the net DC component.

Whoa, hold on there! Duty cycle is only partly responsible for the DC component of a square wave. You can have a square wave that hovers between +10 and +20 volts DC and if the duty cycle is 50% then the DC component is +15 volts DC. So if a Class A amp puts out a square wave from zero to Vcc with a 50% duty cycle, then the DC component of the output will be Vcc/2.

>In variable AC motor drives a set of electronic switches are driven in
>such a manner that the resulting rectangular waveform has a lower
>frequency AC component after filtering. No DC component is generated
>because the duty cycled is varied in a sinusoidal manner about the
>zero line.

What you are doing there is not changing the duty cycle of a square (or rectangular) wave, you are pulsing alternate and equal positive and negative potentials with equal pusle widths. That requires a pulse-width modulator, and is not the same as varying the duty cycle of a square wave. Actually, the operation is more like the triac control in a light dimmer, except the gate is replaced by your motor-driven switches (but not always).

> By using three sets of such switches, where the phase angle
>between the sinusoidal modulation of the duty cycle is 120 degrees,
>you can generate 3 phase power at a variable frequency and voltage

>level. Coupling the above outputs to a 3 phase induction motor allows
>one to change it's speed by changing the modulation frequency (thus
>effective line voltage frequency), and voltage thus motor torque, by
>adjusting the modulation "depth". Changing direction only requires
>reversing the phase angle of two of the outputs.

SAA except for a three-phase application.

>>
>> <snip>
>> >> believe yours say the same thing, the difference being in our
>> >> interpretations of this conversation. For example, the Comer
>> >reference
>> >> you cited uses a power equation (Pac) for sine-waves only. It is
>not
>> >> applicable to square, triangle, or even audio signals.
>> >
>> >It can be done since any wave form with a finite number of
>> >discontinuities can be represented as an infinite series as a sum
>of
>> >sine waves of different frequencies and phase angles. That is the
>> >concept of the Fourier series, and the Fourier Transform, both of
>> >which are used in DSP work and circuit analysis when dealing with
>non
>> >sinusoidal wave forms. The analysis gets very messy, and the
>results
>> >seem much less obvious. I wrote a number of MathCad worksheets that
>> >use up to 512 to 1024 frequency componets, using the Fourier
>> >Transform, when doing the calculations for the design for several
>of
>> >the company's line of power inverters where I work. These
>worksheets
>> >have been in use for several years and nobody has found an error in
>> >them yet.
>>
>> But your explanation didn't include Fourier transforms, it included
>> only a power equation for a sine wave.
>
>True. However all of the equations for reactance, power etc have been
>developed for a sine waveform. It turns out sine waves are very easy
>to use for AC circuit analysis. Through the use of the Fourier
>transform a signal can be analyzed using the techniques for sine wave
>analysis except one has to apply it to every harmonic term present in
>the NON-sinusoidal waveform. This is the basis of all non-sinusoidal
>signal analysis used in circuit design work. It also makes the math
>rather messy except for some well define non-sinusoidal waveforms,
>where the terms can be represent as an infinite sumation series, and
>the coefficients are a simple function of the order of the term. For
>the general case the analysis is done typically by computer, which can
>easily handle the 100's of terms required to get accurate results.

My math sucks lately so I won't go there. But when the signal is the theoretical square wave then Vpeak = Vrms, and under no circumstances can Vrms of a symmetrical waveform exceed Vpeak. Which is why max efficiency for a Class A amp can only be achieved with a square wave.

>>
>> >> I can
>> >> understand this, as the conversation was oriented towards a Class
>A
>> >> amp being used as a linear amplifier for RF (typically
>sinusoidal).
>> >>
>> >> I would be interested if one of your references had a specific
>> >> reference to the efficiency for a Class B push-pull.
>> >
>> >All of the numbers I see are 78.5 percent, i.e. the exact
>calculated
>> >value is Pi/4 for a sine wave of course.
>>
>> That's what mine say as well. Why should they differ on Class A
>> efficiency?
>
>I think it depends on just what kind of amplifier they analyze. For an
>amplifier with a purely resistive load (at all times and the simplest
>case to work with) only for the signal component. I have assumed a
>resistive load at all times. Consider for a minute an amplifier using
>a "tuned", i.e. a parallel circuit of a capacitor, inductor, and
>resistor load. It should be apparent that at no signal condition the
>DC bias power wasted in the load should be near zero,

That depends on the DC resistance of the circuit. It's not necessarily "near zero", and many tanks have resistance added in order to lower the Q of the circuit. Case in point: grid swamping resistors.

> unlike the
>purely resistive load case, since the load is shorted out by the
>normally much lower in resistance inductor. For this case the DC load
>line does not match the AC load line. That makes the efficency
>analysis more "interesting" and likely different.

True story. However, when the DC resistance IS negligible, the DC resistance of the active device becomes the current-limiting factor, and therefore the primary means of DC power dissipation.

>When getting in to discussions like this it is VERY important that
>everybody fully understands the exact circuit typography in question.

Agreed.

881. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 01:44:14 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da4da9e.4151451@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139559

In <c5ecbb69.0210091426.46347aa@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

<snip>
>Why would ANYBODY with any intelligence quote Voobnutz?

To prove that they are not just full of shit?

>Look it up, Jack. Voobie-baby said it. All you have to do it LOOK FOR
>IT. Don't whine about it, look for it. You can do it. If you can't,
>you really are a dimbulb. Why should everyone else have to do your
>homework for you?

You have used that lame excuse too many times before. Try a new one.

882. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 01:46:20 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da4dba2.4411905@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139560

In <20021009204925.09726.00000466@mb-fs.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote:

>you answer for him any other time might as well now

I don't answer for him. This is an open forum, Timmy. I can add my comments wherever I please. Now why do you keep asking -me- the questions you should be asking Voobner?

883. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 01:51:32 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da4dd04.4765567@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139561

In <20021009204800.09726.00000465@mb-fs.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote:

>well my fiancee got it before anybody so she'll be proud to know shes smarter
>than a bunch of dumb cb'ers lol

Good for her, and shame on you! ;-)

884. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 01:57:33 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <cXSdnQ2QQ-cQQzmgXTWc2Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139563

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021009190903.066$LX@newsreader.com...
> "Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote:
> >>If you expect people to believe you,
> it's up you to prove your correct.
>
> Richard Cranium
> >
> Was it your or you're?

Ask Richard Cranium it is cut and pasted from his post.

885. USCBOA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 02:02:50 UTC
From: Tony Tymes <icehopper@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ed5p9.19018$lV3.1828198@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 139564

Anyone know what happened to them, did they just plain fall apart or what, since never seen any of them on Firetalk when it was around and never could get ahold of any of the officers via email (some didnt even work)

KC8EWU

886. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 02:05:13 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <tf5p9.129125$121.3155183@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139565

```
"Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote in message
news:cXSdnQ2QQ-cQQzmgXTWc2Q@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021009190903.066$LX@newsreader.com...
> > "Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote:
> > >>If you expect people to believe you,
> > it's up you to prove your correct.
> >
> > Richard Cranium
> > >
> > Was it your or you're?
>
>
> Ask Richard Cranium it is cut and pasted from his post.
>
>

As our resident loony-bird has no clue.
```

887. Re: TWO COMPLAINTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 02:10:19 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <n8o9qu4kja7i9s91ooqhm9clsr37pcatqn@4ax.com>
Source record: 139562

On 09 Oct 2002 23:29:01 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

>"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote:
>>>My apologies I forgot to include OT in the subject line
>>
>Too much cold medicine? <g>

heh yeah something like that. While not quite 100%, I am feeling much
better, I stayed in bed all day tuesday and most of today.

KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

888. Re: While I'm on the subject of math...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 02:14:34 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021009221434.27233.00000395@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 139567

doesnt stop it from being done all the time. davemades, xforces, and alot of
others are class c. theyve been making class c cb amps since at least the 70's

>
>
>
>No one in his right mind would run a class C amplifier for anything other
>than fm, cw, or frequency shift keying. They are NOT linear and can cause a
>lot of problems. Class ab will give you up to 60%; class B can get up to
>perhaps 65% in normal use. Class C can easily go WAY over 70% efficiency.
>Switchmode amps (square wave output) run over 90%.
>
>73 from rochester, NY
>Jim
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

889. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 02:15:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021009221523.27233.00000396@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 139566

because your the only one that claims what he did and didnt say all the time

>
>I don't answer for him. This is an open forum, Timmy. I can add my
>comments wherever I please. Now why do you keep asking -me- the
>questions you should be asking Voobner?
>
>
>

890. Re: USCBOA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 02:23:54 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@casscomm.com>
Message-ID: <ao2ojq$7bt2$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 139568

I got ahold of someone a few months back. Seems they got too busy to keep up with things. They were looking for help, and I offered, but never got a reply.

"Tony Tymes" <icehopper@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:ed5p9.19018$lV3.1828198@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Anyone know what happened to them, did they just plain fall apart or what,
> since never seen any of them on Firetalk when it was around and never could
> get ahold of any of the officers via email (some didnt even work)
>
> KC8EWU
>
>

891. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 02:39:12 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da4e635.7119158@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139569

In <20021009221523.27233.00000396@mb-mj.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420 (Smoke Stack) wrote:

>because your the only one that claims what he did and didnt say all the time

That's bullshit, Timmy. It's Cranium's claim that that Voobner said "all 10 meter radios are illegal" (notice the quotes), and that has been the rally-cry of his whining campain against Voobner for the past couple months. But I can't find any post where Voobner said such a thing. Can you? If you can, post it. If you can't then quit backing up Cranium's lies.

892. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 02:53:08 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da4eab3.8268464@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139570

In <jkOo9.1947$Mu2.208376168@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

<snip>
>> >> >--
>> >> >If you are implying that people, who
>> >> >hold ham licenses, should be somehow
>> >> >held to a higher moral standard than
>> >> >the "common man", then that would
>> >> >be faulty logic. A ham license is only
>> >> >a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
>> >> >"badge of morality".
>> >>
>> >> Who said it was?
>> >>
>> >
>> >I believe this was a quote from Dave Hall, I thought
>> >he said it quite well.
>>
>> Dave Hall said that a ham license is a "badge of morality"? I think
>> I'll need to see the quote for that one, too. Or is that another
>> "implied" statement?
>>
>
> Then as you so asked, I must see the original post
>to believe that.

I misunderstood your non-answer, and for that I apologize. I'll rephrase my question: Who claims that a ham license is a "badge of morality"?

893. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 03:29:04 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <4u6p9.2307$YP1.236350822@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139571

yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
> In <jkOo9.1947$Mu2.208376168@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>
> <snip>
>
>>>>>>--
>>>>>>If you are implying that people, who
>>>>>>hold ham licenses, should be somehow
>>>>>>held to a higher moral standard than
>>>>>>the "common man", then that would
>>>>>>be faulty logic. A ham license is only
>>>>>>a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
>>>>>>"badge of morality".
>>>>>
>>>>>Who said it was?
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I believe this was a quote from Dave Hall, I thought
>>>>he said it quite well.
>>>
>>>Dave Hall said that a ham license is a "badge of morality"? I think
>>>I'll need to see the quote for that one, too. Or is that another
>>>"implied" statement?
>>>
>>
>> Then as you so asked, I must see the original post
>>to believe that.
>
>
> I misunderstood your non-answer, and for that I apologize. I'll
> rephrase my question: Who claims that a ham license is a "badge of
> morality"?
>

Why Frank, I thought he said it quite
well, are you asking me what I think?

Landshark

894. More Neat-O old CB web sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 04:09:12 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DA4FD68.F3BFDC77@qnet.com>
Source record: 139572

Hello All, and Randy:

Heres even a few more.

http://www.browninglabsinc.com/id27.htm
http://www.retrocom.com/index.html
http://members.aol.com/radiokbob/index.htm
http://members.tripod.com/craig328/
http://www.cbgazette.com/gaz2.html
http://www.cbtricks.com/
http://www.browninglabsinc.com/index.htm
http://www.justradios.com/cbschematics.html

What did I miss??????

Jay in the Mojave

895. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 05:11:47 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da50ada.200750@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139573

In <4u6p9.2307$YP1.236350822@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, Landshark
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Neti.> wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>> In <jkOo9.1947$Mu2.208376168@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
>> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>>
>>>>>>>--
>>>>>>>If you are implying that people, who
>>>>>>>hold ham licenses, should be somehow
>>>>>>>held to a higher moral standard than
>>>>>>>the "common man", then that would
>>>>>>>be faulty logic. A ham license is only
>>>>>>>a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
>>>>>>>"badge of morality".
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Who said it was?
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I believe this was a quote from Dave Hall, I thought
>>>>>he said it quite well.
>>>>
>>>>Dave Hall said that a ham license is a "badge of morality"? I think
>>>>I'll need to see the quote for that one, too. Or is that another
>>>>"implied" statement?
>>>>
>>>
>>> Then as you so asked, I must see the original post
>>>to believe that.
>>
>>
>> I misunderstood your non-answer, and for that I apologize. I'll
>> rephrase my question: Who claims that a ham license is a "badge of
>> morality"?
>>
>
>Why Frank, I thought he said it quite
>well, are you asking me what I think?

I guess I have to simplify it even further so you can understand the
question:

Q: Who (what person or persons) believe(s) that an amateur radio license is equivalent to a "badge of morality"?

Now was that simple enough? Or are you going to pull a Twistedhed and act like you didn't understand the question for the third time?

896. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 05:52:32 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da513af.2461903@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139575

In <NwIo9.1375$9s.109962531@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da3385c.2284275@news.cet.com...
>> Do you mean this?
>>
>> "10 meters is a ham band. Calling an "expanded" CB radio a 10 meter
>> radio is a phoney ploy to sell them illegally, hence the term 'phoney
>> 10 meter radios'."
>>
>> Or this?
>>
>> "If you're trying to make a case that these phoney 10 meter radios are
>> being widely used as CW rigs by Novices and Tech Pluses, that is
>> unproveable and highly unlikely. And everyone reading this (even the
>> keyclowns) know it."
>>
>> Or this?
>>
>> "The law states that phoney 10 meter radios are illegal. There is
>> evidence that they are being used illegally. They will continue to be
>> banned from eBay, and I and others will continue to support
>> enforcement of the law."
>>
>> I don't see any implication that "all 10 meter radios are illegal", do
>> you?
>>
>
>Nice editing Frank, ego getting in the way again?
>
>" "Export" radios and "10 meter" radios are names used to try and slip them
>by the FCC. Bottom line is these illegal transceivers are being marketed to
>CB'ers not hams, they are not type-accepted for CB, and they are illegal to
>sell and use."
>
>
>> >--
>> >If you are implying that people, who
>> >hold ham licenses, should be somehow
>> >held to a higher moral standard than
>> >the "common man", then that would
>> >be faulty logic. A ham license is only
>> >a "permit" to use radio, it is not a

>> >"badge of morality".
>>
>> Who said it was?
>>
>
>I believe this was a quote from Dave Hall, I thought
>he said it quite well.

Guess what, sharkie? Someone found the post from which you quote:

============================
From: Dave Hall (nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net)
Subject: Re: Hey Dennis
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-03-07 05:27:30 PST

Dennis W. Ostrowski wrote:
>
> Listed below are a few (8) names of the original manufacturers of the 'made
> only for CB', (11 meter - 3 watt drive), amplifiers.
>
> Can you guess how many of those businesses were started and run by
licensed ham
> operators?
>
> Apollo
> Black Cat
> Contex
> D&A
> Echo
> Firebird
> Galaxy
> Hygain
>
> I just picked the first 8 letters of the alphabet, and only one amplifier
> manufacturer per letter...
>
> There are many, many, more names that could be added to the list but I
don't
> have a lot of time right now so those will have to suffice for my example.
>
> If you guessed that EVERY ONE of them was started and run by a licensed ham
> operator, you would be correct!
>

Hi Dennis,

You know, the fact that these companies were started by people that
had
ham licenses should not be all that surprising.

Before the age of computers, people played with RF as their "high
tech"
outlet. Those that were in the position to "know RF", also tended to
have ham licenses, as a ham license was the ticket to play in the RF
sandbox, as it were, for those who were technically inclined. Once
apon
a time, Ham Radio was THE high tech hobby. Today, of course, this is
no
longer true.

If you are implying that people, who hold ham licenses, should be
somehow held to a higher moral standard than the "common man", then
that
would be faulty logic. A ham license is only a "permit" to use radio,
it
is not a "badge of morality".

I truly believe that "every man has his price", and even though what
these supposed hams did was legal, at the time, they were corrupted
by
the lure of making big money, from hordes of CBers who had little
reservations about breaking the law, and were more than willing to
spend
their hard earned cash on anything that glowed in the dark, and made
their signal stronger.

Perhaps many of these "hams" tried to comfort their own conciences by
telling themselves that they, themselves, were not the ones operating
illegally, and that it's ultimately the end user's responsibility to
abide by the law, and in fact, until the tighter regulations on the
manufacture of amplifiers were enacted, this was pretty much a
legitimate claim.

Today, there's no getting around it. If you make an amp that works on
11
meters, without extensive modifications, it's illegal. This bothers
some
people, and make little to no difference to others. I guess it all
depends on your "price".

Dave
"Sandbagger"

It looks like you are making a hobby out of misrepresentation. You go
right ahead, sharkie, and whenever you misquote someone in that manner
I'll be there to provide the context that you selectively omit.

897. The DX has been hot around the Great Lakes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 05:52:35 UTC
From: allen1571@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021010015235.28094.00000143@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 139574

I live in the Great Lakes(michigan) and for the past couple of days the Dx has been rollin on 26.915..I have talked all over the midwest and south but just can't seem to hit the west or east coast..Once in a blue moon i might hit california but the coditions have to be just right. Cant figure it out....lol...But I guess my Galaxy 88 and my chicken wire(ground plane) must be doin sumthin right..But anyway if anyone wants to holler fer the Great Lakes, i'm usually on between noon and midnight my time...Just holler for 015 around the Great Lakes and we'll see what we can do. 73's and PEACE!!!!

015 on my chicken wire around the Great Lakes

898. Re: While I'm on the subject of math...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 05:56:39 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DA51697.3564B367@cwis.net>
Source record: 139576

"Yeti",
Okay, I'll be quiet then. 'Bird watts', that's
about the same thing as 'Para Dynamic watts', isn't it?
'Doc

899. Re: While I'm on the subject of math...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 05:59:59 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DA5175F.7E86B0D3@cwis.net>
Source record: 139577

Smoke Stack,
You're right, it doesn't stop them. It also doesn't
change the fact that 90% of them sound terrible. Just
depends on if you want quality or quantity, I guess...
'Doc

900. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 11:13:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1886-3DA560E1-56@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139579

Frank Gililand (yeti@cet.com)wrote:
>I guess I have to simplify it even further so you can understand the
question:
Q: Who (what person or persons) believe(s) that an amateur radio
license is equivalent to a "badge of morality"?
Now was that simple enough? Or are you going to pull a Twistedhed<<

Bless you, my child!

901. Re: Hey Twist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 11:18:45 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1892-3DA56215-30@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139578

Got it. I'l get back with you later today!

902. Re: While I'm on the subject of math...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 12:26:14 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DA571E6.D4F@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 139580

Smoke Stack wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >No one in his right mind would run a class C amplifier for anything other
> >than fm, cw, or frequency shift keying. They are NOT linear and can cause a
> >lot of problems. Class ab will give you up to 60%; class B can get up to
> >perhaps 65% in normal use. Class C can easily go WAY over 70% efficiency.
> >Switchmode amps (square wave output) run over 90%.
> >
> >73 from rochester, NY
> >Jim
> >
> >
>
> doesnt stop it from being done all the time. davemades, xforces, and alot of
> others are class c. theyve been making class c cb amps since at least the 70's

And herein lies the crux of the problem......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

903. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 12:45:37 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DA57671.2BAF@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 139581

Landshark wrote:
>
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
> > In <jkOo9.1947$Mu2.208376168@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
> > <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
> >
> > <snip>
> >
> >>>>>>--
> >>>>>>If you are implying that people, who
> >>>>>>hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> >>>>>>held to a higher moral standard than
> >>>>>>the "common man", then that would
> >>>>>>be faulty logic. A ham license is only
> >>>>>>a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
> >>>>>>"badge of morality".
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Who said it was?
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>I believe this was a quote from Dave Hall, I thought
> >>>>he said it quite well.
> >>>
> >>>Dave Hall said that a ham license is a "badge of morality"? I think
> >>>I'll need to see the quote for that one, too. Or is that another
> >>>"implied" statement?
> >>>
> >>
> >> Then as you so asked, I must see the original post
> >>to believe that.
> >
> >
> > I misunderstood your non-answer, and for that I apologize. I'll
> > rephrase my question: Who claims that a ham license is a "badge of
> > morality"?
> >
>
> Why Frank, I thought he said it quite
> well, are you asking me what I think?
>
> Landshark

The answer is that I never used the phrase "badge of morality" to
describe a ham license. That term came about as a twisted paraphrase of

an interpreted meaning, from a party who's a few fries short of a complete happy meal upstairs, and an associated meaning that I never attempted to convey.

A ham license is a permit to operate ham radio. It is also a testament that the holder passed certain tests, and therefore has a certain degree of understanding of radio principles and operating rules and regulations. It is also further implied that the holder will abide by those rules as set forth by the FCC, and apply good engineering practice when building and modifying any gear or accessories that would be commonly used in a ham station.

Since one must apply a certain amount of effort to obtain a ham license (The degree of effort depends on your aptitude in radio), there is a certain amount of value associated with it, from those who hold similar licenses, and a further amount of respect from others who have also worked to achieve something, and recognize the dedication and resolve to accomplish a set goal.

There are a few malcontents, on this newsgroup, that have no respect for a ham license or for the effort and accomplishment that is involved. Considering that these same people have no respect for radio rules at all, or any societal law that they also do not agree with, it should be no surprise. Much of this disassociation with goals and accomplishments, says volumes about their own ineptitude at accomplishing anything truly significant in their own lives. It's much easier to denigrate anothers' accomplishments, than to come to terms with your own failures.

There is a word that describes these people, and their associated behavior: Sociopath.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

904. Re: Johnson Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 13:07:24 UTC
From: hillbilly3302 <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <gYep9.573$UA4.531159@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 139582

if its just a 5 channel then its a White Face.... the Black Face was a 12 channel and had tunable rcve...

drc

"jason" <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:ao06dr$c2t$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> I have just recived a Johnson Viking Messenger radio, the guy who gave it to
> me called it a "Black Face" if that means anything. It apears to be a five
> channel radio with a permennatly attached mic, i assume its crystal
> controlled, can you add channels to it or can they be swapped out for other
> channels?? Also wondering if anyone might happen to have the power hook up
> pin configuration, it seems to be missing the plug for all that in the back.
>
> By the way, thanks to everyone on the help with the midland cb with the mic
> problem, i finally got it working, and thanks to everyone for the info on
> the scope, im learning how to use it, should be a big help,
>
> Thanks Again,
> Jason
>
>

905. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 15:08:52 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021010110852.24379.00000659@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 139583

sounds like another paranoid nut if you ask me.

>
>Personally, I toyed with a CB walkie-talkie in my teens only long
>enough to begin worrying whether the knock on the door was the FCC
>coming to take me away. For me, radio was something I wanted to learn
>about - that I wanted to get inside of and tinker with. I wanted to
>fool with dreaded electro-magnetic waves and see what I could do with
>them. I knew that just turning knobs and talking into a microphone
>would never cut it. So, fearful of what my mother would say when the
>FCC showed up at the door, I gave the CB walkie-talkie away. It wasn't
>until several years later that I rediscovered radio and was fortunate
>enough to be drawn in the right direction.

906. Re: While I'm on the subject of math...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 15:14:15 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021010111415.24379.00000663@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 139584

he could never accept that is pure cb slang for an rms reading of a watt. it appears he never read it in a book and now cant conprehend it.

>"Yeti",
> Okay, I'll be quiet then. 'Bird watts', that's
>about the same thing as 'Para Dynamic watts', isn't it?
> 'Doc
>

907. Re: While I'm on the subject of math...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 15:15:02 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021010111502.24379.00000665@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 139585

yes alot of them do sound bad but it is still happening and it still works

>
>
>Smoke Stack,
> You're right, it doesn't stop them. It also doesn't
>change the fact that 90% of them sound terrible. Just
>depends on if you want quality or quantity, I guess...
> 'Doc
>
>
>
>
>
>

908. OT Please help do your part

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 15:23:45 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021010112345.24173.00000538@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 139586

> If you go to the Cigna web site and click on the pink ribbon, Cigna will
> donate $1.00 to fight breast cancer. Only good the month of October - pass
> it on!
>
> http://www.cignafoundation.org/
>

909. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 15:37:10 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <G8hp9.2753$T65.248665378@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139587

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da513af.2461903@news.cet.com...
> Guess what, sharkie? Someone found the post from which you quote:
>

What a surprise? "someone"? Please Frank, everyone
knows that you, Aaron & George are the three amigos
of google.

> ============================
> From: Dave Hall (nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net)
> Subject: Re: Hey Dennis
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Date: 2002-03-07 05:27:30 PST
>
> Dennis W. Ostrowski wrote:
> >
> > Listed below are a few (8) names of the original manufacturers of the
'made
> > only for CB', (11 meter - 3 watt drive), amplifiers.
> >
> > Can you guess how many of those businesses were started and run by
> licensed ham
> > operators?
> >
> > Apollo
> > Black Cat
> > Contex
> > D&A
> > Echo
> > Firebird
> > Galaxy
> > Hygain
> >
> > I just picked the first 8 letters of the alphabet, and only one
amplifier
> > manufacturer per letter...
> >
> > There are many, many, more names that could be added to the list but I
> don't
> > have a lot of time right now so those will have to suffice for my
example.
> >
> > If you guessed that EVERY ONE of them was started and run by a licensed
ham
> > operator, you would be correct!

> >
>
> Hi Dennis,
>
> You know, the fact that these companies were started by people that
> had
> ham licenses should not be all that surprising.
>
> Before the age of computers, people played with RF as their "high
> tech"
> outlet. Those that were in the position to "know RF", also tended to
> have ham licenses, as a ham license was the ticket to play in the RF
> sandbox, as it were, for those who were technically inclined. Once
> apon
> a time, Ham Radio was THE high tech hobby. Today, of course, this is
> no
> longer true.
>
> If you are implying that people, who hold ham licenses, should be
> somehow held to a higher moral standard than the "common man", then
> that
> would be faulty logic. A ham license is only a "permit" to use radio,
> it
> is not a "badge of morality".
>
> I truly believe that "every man has his price", and even though what
> these supposed hams did was legal, at the time, they were corrupted
> by
> the lure of making big money, from hordes of CBers who had little
> reservations about breaking the law, and were more than willing to
> spend
> their hard earned cash on anything that glowed in the dark, and made
> their signal stronger.
>
> Perhaps many of these "hams" tried to comfort their own conciences by
> telling themselves that they, themselves, were not the ones operating
> illegally, and that it's ultimately the end user's responsibility to
> abide by the law, and in fact, until the tighter regulations on the
> manufacture of amplifiers were enacted, this was pretty much a
> legitimate claim.
>
> Today, there's no getting around it. If you make an amp that works on
> 11
> meters, without extensive modifications, it's illegal. This bothers
> some
> people, and make little to no difference to others. I guess it all
> depends on your "price".
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

>
> ---
>
>
> It looks like you are making a hobby out of misrepresentation. You go
> right ahead, sharkie, and whenever you misquote someone in that manner
> I'll be there to provide the context that you selectively omit.
>

What the Heck are you talking about? "misquote" I took an
entire paragraph, not one sentences or a word, so that was by
no means a "misquote" Again, your ego is getting in the way
of you're vision. I guess if I pull up that post you made offering
to build an amp for someone on the cb, I would be taking that out of
context? Right?
Boy you sure are arguing a lot over nothing, Gheesh.
Almost just for the sake of arguing.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

910. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 15:37:11 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <H8hp9.2754$he5.249131359@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139588

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DA57671.2BAF@worldlynx.net...
>>
> The answer is that I never used the phrase "badge of morality" to
> describe a ham license. That term came about as a twisted paraphrase of
> an interpreted meaning, from a party who's a few fries short of a
> complete happy meal upstairs, and an associated meaning that I never
> attempted to convey.
>
> A ham license is a permit to operate ham radio. It is also a testament
> that the holder passed certain tests, and therefore has a certain degree
> of understanding of radio principles and operating rules and
> regulations. It is also further implied that the holder will abide by
> those rules as set forth by the FCC, and apply good engineering practice
> when building and modifying any gear or accessories that would be
> commonly used in a ham station.
>
> Since one must apply a certain amount of effort to obtain a ham license
> (The degree of effort depends on your aptitude in radio), there is a
> certain amount of value associated with it, from those who hold similar
> licenses, and a further amount of respect from others who have also
> worked to achieve something, and recognize the dedication and resolve to
> accomplish a set goal.
>
> There are a few malcontents, on this newsgroup, that have no respect for
> a ham license or for the effort and accomplishment that is involved.
> Considering that these same people have no respect for radio rules at
> all, or any societal law that they also do not agree with, it should be
> no surprise. Much of this disassociation with goals and accomplishments,
> says volumes about their own ineptitude at accomplishing anything truly
> significant in their own lives. It's much easier to denigrate anothers'
> accomplishments, than to come to terms with your own failures.
>
> There is a word that describes these people, and their associated
> behavior: Sociopath.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

Well Dave I never said that just because one holds a
ticket doesn't mean that either. But you did type it and I thought
it was very well said. Just because one holds a license doesn't give you the
right to harass others on what they are doing on

other bands that you don't even operate on. Nor does it give
you the red badge of courage to think that anyone that
operates, talks, uses, owns amps, export radios is an immoral
corrupt person, as some out here would like you to believe.

Landshark

PS, this was not meant to be directed at you, it is towards
those who act like that, you have not.
--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

911. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 15:37:11 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <H8hp9.2755$he5.249131359@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139589

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da50ada.200750@news.cet.com...
> I guess I have to simplify it even further so you can understand the
> question:
>
> Q: Who (what person or persons) believe(s) that an amateur radio
> license is equivalent to a "badge of morality"?
>
> Now was that simple enough? Or are you going to pull a Twistedhed and
> act like you didn't understand the question for the third time?
>

Frank Frank Frank, you are now acting like a
pompous ass, don't get so po'd. If you can't and have not
seen it, it's not my fault. I have seen some that come on this group spewing
laws, regs and such, but by their own admissions
they don't even use a cb, just because they now have a license. I thought it
was a very well said paragraph, I'm not
sure why all of a sudden you are trying to nit pick everyone's
post word by word, looking for some sort of argument.

Landshark

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

912. Re: While I'm on the subject of math...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 15:53:31 UTC
From: Kevin Muenzler <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3da5a062$0$951$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 139590

This is true! But much of the energy is producing noise and heat and not
any useful signal.

Still, a 20 amp regulated power supply will handle a 100 watt radio.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Smoke Stack" <timnebo@aol.com420> wrote in message
news:20021009204614.09726.00000464@mb-fs.aol.com...
> or that alot fo the cb amps are class c and running over 50%
>
> >This also doesn't take into account that many good amps are class AB
which
> >are only about 25% efficient anyway.

913. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 16:16:15 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DA5A7CF.2ED7@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 139591

Landshark wrote:

> > Hi Dennis,
> >
> > You know, the fact that these companies were started by people that
> > had
> > ham licenses should not be all that surprising.
> >
> > Before the age of computers, people played with RF as their "high
> > tech"
> > outlet. Those that were in the position to "know RF", also tended to
> > have ham licenses, as a ham license was the ticket to play in the RF
> > sandbox, as it were, for those who were technically inclined. Once
> > apon
> > a time, Ham Radio was THE high tech hobby. Today, of course, this is
> > no
> > longer true.
> >
> > If you are implying that people, who hold ham licenses, should be
> > somehow held to a higher moral standard than the "common man", then
> > that
> > would be faulty logic. A ham license is only a "permit" to use radio,
> > it
> > is not a "badge of morality".
> >

>
> What the Heck are you talking about? "misquote" I took an
> entire paragraph, not one sentences or a word, so that was by
> no means a "misquote" Again, your ego is getting in the way
> of you're vision. I guess if I pull up that post you made offering
> to build an amp for someone on the cb, I would be taking that out of
> context? Right?
> Boy you sure are arguing a lot over nothing, Gheesh.
> Almost just for the sake of arguing.
>
> Landshark
>
> --
> If you are implying that people, who
> hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> held to a higher moral standard than
> the "common man", then that would
> be faulty logic. A ham license is only
> a "permit" to use radio, it is not a

> "badge of morality".

Wow! I actually did make that comment, (not that I can remember every exchange I've made on here for the last 8 or 9 years) but from the context of the quote, it is plainly obvious that this happened at the time when there were people on here that accused some of us of believing that the ham ticket was somehow a "badge of morality", simply because we understand the responsibilities that go along with the privileges granted.

I also find it amusing, and if I weren't somewhat humble, a significant boost to my ego, that so many people have taken to using some of my passages as quotes. I guess despite so many protests to the contrary, maybe the truth isn't so hard to ignore......

What's that old saying about imitation being the highest form of flattery?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

914. Re: While I'm on the subject of math...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 16:29:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DA5AAF1-198@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139593

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Smoke Stack wrote:
No one in his right mind would run a class C amplifier for anything
other than fm, cw, or frequency shift keying. They are NOT linear and
can cause a lot of problems. Class ab will give you up to 60%; class B
can get up to perhaps 65% in normal use. Class C can easily go WAY over
70% efficiency. Switchmode amps (square wave output) run over 90%.
73 from rochester, NY
Jim
-
doesnt stop it from being done all the time. davemades, xforces, and
alot of others are class c. theyve been making class c cb amps since at
least the 70's
--
>And herein lies the crux of the problem......
Dave
"Sandbagger"
>>

There is no "problem" that you alllude to and never was.
There may exist some isolated incidents deemed a "problem" and they are
usually dealt with by the FCC in a swift manner..
If a "problem" exists, it continues to be you and your other cohorts,
thoughtfully named by "Arron", (Yourself, N8WWM Dogie Adair, KC8LDO,
Lelnad, Frank Gilliland, and
Georgie) but I tend to think of y'all more as cb jesters. Remember, it
was YOU that claimed you took pleasure in "upsetting" another. (Don't
you just LOVE usenet?) To any normal and person with morals, this would
be seen as troubling, maybe even a "problem." You really should clean
your own back yard before you attempt to spitshine someone elses.

915. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 16:35:47 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DA5AC62.7FED@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 139592

```
Landshark wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3DA57671.2BAF@worldlynx.net...
> >>
> > The answer is that I never used the phrase "badge of morality" to
> > describe a ham license. That term came about as a twisted paraphrase of
> > an interpreted meaning, from a party who's a few fries short of a
> > complete happy meal upstairs, and an associated meaning that I never
> > attempted to convey.
> >
> > A ham license is a permit to operate ham radio. It is also a testament
> > that the holder passed certain tests, and therefore has a certain degree
> > of understanding of radio principles and operating rules and
> > regulations. It is also further implied that the holder will abide by
> > those rules as set forth by the FCC, and apply good engineering practice
> > when building and modifying any gear or accessories that would be
> > commonly used in a ham station.
> >
> > Since one must apply a certain amount of effort to obtain a ham license
> > (The degree of effort depends on your aptitude in radio), there is a
> > certain amount of value associated with it, from those who hold similar
> > licenses, and a further amount of respect from others who have also
> > worked to achieve something, and recognize the dedication and resolve to
> > accomplish a set goal.
> >
> > There are a few malcontents, on this newsgroup, that have no respect for
> > a ham license or for the effort and accomplishment that is involved.
> > Considering that these same people have no respect for radio rules at
> > all, or any societal law that they also do not agree with, it should be
> > no surprise. Much of this disassociation with goals and accomplishments,
> > says volumes about their own ineptitude at accomplishing anything truly
> > significant in their own lives. It's much easier to denigrate anothers'
> > accomplishments, than to come to terms with your own failures.
> >
> > There is a word that describes these people, and their associated
> > behavior: Sociopath.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
>
> Well Dave I never said that just because one holds a
> ticket doesn't mean that either. But you did type it and I thought
```

> it was very well said.

Imagine my surprise when I realized that I DID make that comment. But I agree with myself nonetheless. ;)

> Just because one holds a license doesn't give you the
> right to harass others on what they are doing on
> other bands that you don't even operate on.

Harrass, no. Express an objective opinion, yes. And as far as operating on bands not under the privileges of a ham license, it should be fairly evident that many hams are also CBers, and share a concern about the direction with which the band is heading.
It might be easy to think that once hams "upgrade" that they need not concern themselves with CB any more, but I can assure you that this is often not the case. Otherwise, why waste time here?

> Nor does it give
> you the red badge of courage to think that anyone that
> operates, talks, uses, owns amps, export radios is an immoral
> corrupt person, as some out here would like you to believe.

Some people would say the same thing about drug dealers, or pimps, or any other "vice" crime. Whether or not you consider the law serious enough to follow is a choice you make. But breaking the law invites you to enter the fine institution of the criminal justice system.

License or not, if you break the law, you are technically a criminal. While many would seek to trivilize certain laws such as traffic laws, or radio regulations, the logic of it is still valid. If being tagged as a lawbreaker, makes no difference in how you perceive yourself in the grand scheme of things, then fine. Otherwise, when people spin, fight, twist, and attempt to justify the various reasons why they should not be looked at as criminals, that tells me that deep down inside, it does bother them to some degree, and these spin tactics are done to appease their own consciences, and to a lesser extent, an attempt to soften their image in the eyes of other people. People who deliberately disobey rules and regulations, do have questionable moral standards. Otherwise they would follow the rules. For those who have deep convictions that certain rules are inherently wrong and should be changed, they have a constitutional process, that can be followed to have the laws changed. Mearly breaking them in some sort of "protest" earns no respect, but making the effort to accomplish your goal will earn tremendous respect. But that effort is way too hard for most of these individuals. They want everything easy. That's why they operate CB illegally, and don't have ham licenses OR take the steps to have the laws changed.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

916. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 16:49:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DA5AF9A-212@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139594

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
The answer is that I never used the phrase "badge of morality" to describe a ham license. That term came about as a twisted paraphrase of an interpreted meaning, from a party who's a few fries short of a complete happy meal upstairs, and an associated meaning that I never attempted to convey.
A ham license is a permit to operate ham radio. It is also a testament that the holder passed certain tests, and therefore has a certain degree of understanding of radio principles and operating rules and regulations. It is also further implied that the holder will abide by those rules as set forth by the FCC, and apply good engineering practice when building and modifying any gear or accessories that would be commonly used in a ham station.
Since one must apply a certain amount of effort to obtain a ham license (The degree of effort depends on your aptitude in radio), there is a certain amount of value associated with it, from those who hold similar licenses, <

You were ok until here. Plenty of upper classman feel the nocode tech entry level was a sever "dumbing down" of amateur radio and has contributed to the trash to be found on hammie bands. If you wish my opinion, ask it, but these are the facts.

>and a further amount of respect from others who have also worked to achieve something, and recognize the dedication and resolve to accomplish a set goal.<

Here we go again.
To many, the nocode license is no accomplishent, Dave. It's a way to get wet without actually swimming. The sense of accomplishment one feels over an individual achievement varies. I think it's funny as hell it galls you to no end that you don't automatically get the respect you seem to think is entitled you due to you holding a license......no matter what class you hold, it does not ENTITLE you to respect. This is something you and your cohorts named by "Arron" have never learned and it is a very valuable piece of life advice....respect is earned, not demanded.

>There are a few malcontents, on this newsgroup, that have no respect for a ham license or for the effort and accomplishment that is involved. Considering that these same people have no respect for radio rules at all, <<

You couldn't name 2, let alone a "few" that fits that bullshit you're slinging.

>or any societal law that they also do not agree with, it should be no surprise. Much of this disassociation with goals and accomplishments, says volumes about their own ineptitude at accomplishing anything truly significant in their own lives. <<

And it really says something about one who has no good in their life and can only achieve it by admittedly upsetting another. Or insulting them. But good for you, Dave, because since I have been on you about staying pertinent and pointing out your insult after non-deserved insult in so many of your old posts, I must admit, you have been watching yourself, and with a moderate degree of success. Congratulations. Now work on the admitted pleasure you derive from making others upset and you will be an honorary human again. Don't give up the fight.

>It's much easier to denigrate anothers' accomplishments, than to come to terms with your own failures.
<<

Yea, that has been your history here.

>There is a word that describes these people, and their associated behavior: Sociopath.
Dave
"Sandbagger"<<

And one that describes you and the other angels named by "aaron" as his posse of hate ....socialists.

917. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 16:59:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17691-3DA5B1EB-26@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139595

>Wow! I actually did make that comment, <

Yea, and you have insulted as often as I have claimed you do, too. And lied.

>(not that I can remember every exchange I've made on here for the last 8 or 9 years) but from the context of the quote, it is plainly obvious that this happened at the time when there were people on here that accused some of us of believing that the ham ticket was somehow a "badge of morality", simply because we understand the responsibilities that go along with the privileges granted.
<<

Oh bullshit. It was because you were out here
talking up the ticket and no one would bow down and kiss your azz because you think you are "entitled" to respect because of it. It's what got Dogies clock cleaned when he came in here. Y'all thought a cbers forum would be easy pickins' and us lowlives would somehow "look up to you". As you found out, you were sadly mistaken, yet still the ticket remains to be a topic by you, as if somehow you are going to try to demand more respect for it again.

>I also find it amusing, and if I weren't somewhat humble, a significant boost to my ego, that so many people have taken to using some of my passages as quotes. <<

Hell, I feel your
pain. Go to google and look up "malcontents" and see who you stole that from. There's more.

>I guess despite so many protests to the contrary, maybe the truth isn't so hard to ignore..... <<

Nah, it's not. As "Aaron" said, if he left, we'fd still have you, Lelnad KC8LDO, Dogie Adair N8WWM, and Frank Gilliland to carry on his hammie bullshit. Your posts talking about respect and this and that for the license are almost identical to the nonpoint he tried to make in here a few years ago before we drove him mad.

>What's that old saying about imitation being the highest form of flattery?
Dave
"Sandbagger"<<

Stop it, you're making me blush, and I'm a modest kind of guy. But thanks for the warm fuzzy. I almost forgot how many times I was forged by your close pals of Lelnad and Dogie.....so was Tim.

918. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 17:20:20 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <NrudneVF7qhLKzigXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139596

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021009223747.253$PF@newsreader.com...
> Are you sure?

What that you and Randy Crackman are Trolling sure I am sure.

919. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 17:23:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DA5B78E-220@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139697

From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote
Sorry,here's the page link:
http://wb0nni.dakotamade.com/rfamp2a.html

This same guy has written an EXCELLENT essay. Check it out:
--
It would have been better posted in a hammie forum, but since you posted here, let's commence and shred the bullshit as it applies to cb, shall we?

>http://wb0nni.dakotamade.com/whyham.html
"WHY HAM RADIO?
To start with, it might be helpful to state that this is not a critique of CB Radio. Despite what you may have read or heard, the Citizens Radio Service was created by the FCC to permit the average Joe an opportunity to use two-way radio to conduct personal and business communication over relatively short distances. If that's all you want to do with it, than CB will likely be the ticket for you.
Personally, I toyed with a CB walkie-talkie in my teens only long enough to begin worrying whether the knock on the door was the FCC coming to take me away. <

For toying with a cb walkie-talkie? Were you f'n with the cops?
Emergency freqs?
C'mon, now.

>For me, radio was something I wanted to learn about - that I wanted to get inside of and tinker with. I wanted to fool with dreaded electro-magnetic waves and see what I could do with them. I knew that just turning knobs and talking into a microphone would never cut it. So, fearful of what my mother would say when the FCC showed up at the door, I gave the CB walkie-talkie away. <

Aw, go on.

>It wasn't until several years later that I rediscovered radio and was fortunate enough to be drawn in the right direction.<

As opposed to WHAT? The "wrong" direction?
Can't say this post wasn't biased from jump.

>One of the things that appealed to me when I began seriously looking at radio was the ability to communicate with radio operators world-wide. The thought of talking to another radio operator in Asia, for example, appealed to me - as did the possibility of receiving written

confirmation of the contact. The problem I had with CB at the time, was that more than one of the operators I visited lied to the guy on the other end of the radio about where they were located. <<

If you're receiveing written confirmation of the contact, then tell me how they managed that Slovenia stamp and PO cancel from...oh, say Ohio? <g>

>They joked about it afterward, which made me wonder whether CB was for me. <<

Correct. Those with no sense of humor, proceed directly to hammie radio, do not stop at cb.

>When I finally had a chance to meet some hams, the experience was altogether different.
These were people that strictly operated on the right side of the law<

Again, I ask you, as opposed to WHAT?
The WRONG side of the law?

>- in every aspect of their lives. That seemed important to me. Not only did they understand radio theory, but were able to use their knowledge to benefit the community at large. Many had been ship board operators, others were volunteer dispatchers and licensed radio technicians. Still others had been radio operators in the service - one of which had served as a code breaker in the Army during WWII. When I thought about the kind of people that I would prefer to communicate with world-wide, it was this group that I settled on.
<<

That's great, but it doesn't merit your obvious slant. Paranoia did that stemming from a childhood walkie-talkie. (Voices...I hear voices)

>This is not to say that there aren't bad hams - there are! However, those that misrepresent themselves and violate not only FCC regulations, but the bonds of common courtesy, don't last long. <<

Doesn't this go both ways? Do you not feel these hammies that violate FCC law break all other laws, as you seem to believe that since a hammie claims he obeys the FCC, he must be honest when he tells you he obeys all other laws.

>Ham radio is something that gets into your blood <<

Or under your skin, thanks to the likes of "Aaros' named hate crew of KC8LDO Lelnad, N8WWM Dogie Adair,
Frank Gilliland, and Dave Hall.

>and has enough facets to keep you going for a life time. After you've worked all States, you might decide to fool with antenna designs. <<

Or do it again with less power. Or in other modes. Or try every year to get all 50. I know it's been done on eleven a whole bucnha times this

cycle.

>And then there's the tinkering part - actually getting inside and making things better (or ocassionally worse). There is experimentation - working other stations by bouncing your signal off the moon. And, finally, when you get ready to seriously pursue DX, erect a tower and beam and assemble an amplifier, you'll never have to fear that the knock on the door is anyone other than the postman with another load of QSL cards from overseas.
Best 73s - Bob WB0NNI"<<

Thing is, there is a common misconception among our resident pissed off hammies that such a fear exists among cbers. It doesn't, but I can appreciate the fact that so many hammies seem desperate, even exasperated to spread this bullshit. Listen to 27.555 any day of the week, any time of day, and tell me these guys seem the slightest bit "fearful". No, it's more of the same,,,always coming from a hammie that "cbers are fearful". The gist of his message was anticb, originating from one of the hammie four we would expect it most.

920. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 17:48:13 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <x3jp9.2850$Nx1.167135576@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139698

Dave Hall wrote:

>>
>> Well Dave I never said that just because one holds a
>>ticket doesn't mean that either. But you did type it and I thought
>>it was very well said.
>
>
> Imagine my surprise when I realized that I DID make that comment. But I
> agree with myself nonetheless. ;)
>

OK, no problem there.

>
>
>
>
>>Just because one holds a license doesn't give you the
>>right to harass others on what they are doing on
>>other bands that you don't even operate on.
>
>
> Harrass, no. Express an objective opinion, yes. And as far as operating
> on bands not under the privileges of a ham license, it should be fairly
> evident that many hams are also CBers, and share a concern about the
> direction with which the band is heading.

True, some do operate on the cb and as such express those opinions. But
there are some that have and still do nothing but complain about
"illegals" and they themselves have said that they don't use the cb band.

> It might be easy to think that once hams "upgrade" that they need not
> concern themselves with CB any more, but I can assure you that this is
> often not the case. Otherwise, why waste time here?
>
>

Trolling value :^) why else when they keep doing there best to
harass, accuse, foul language, sexual innuendoï¿½s, e mail viruses and
such, troll value.

>
>
>>Nor does it give
>>you the red badge of courage to think that anyone that

>>operates, talks, uses, owns amps, export radios is an immoral
>>corrupt person, as some out here would like you to believe.
>
>
> Some people would say the same thing about drug dealers, or pimps, or
> any other "vice" crime. Whether or not you consider the law serious
> enough to follow is a choice you make. But breaking the law invites you
> to enter the fine institution of the criminal justice system.
>

Correct, again same argument. Speeding, jay walking all are laws that
are broken everyday by thousands of people, are those people that have
admitted to those crimes harassed to no end by people? Hell look at
littering, it carries a fine in some states as high as $2,000.00
dollars, has it stopped people? No. Here's another one thatï¿½s a killer,
copy a video tape, CD, DvD the fines are as much as $250,000.00, and
people do it all the time, so where's the throngs of posse members
doing to them, what others are doing here?

> License or not, if you break the law, you are technically a criminal.
> While many would seek to trivilize certain laws such as traffic laws, or
> radio regulations, the logic of it is still valid. If being tagged as a
> lawbreaker, makes no difference in how you perceive yourself in the
> grand scheme of things, then fine. Otherwise, when people spin, fight,
> twist, and attempt to justify the various reasons why they should not be
> looked at as criminals, that tells me that deep down inside, it does
> bother them to some degree, and these spin tactics are done to appease
> their own consciences, and to a lesser extent, an attempt to soften
> their image in the eyes of other people.

OK, thatï¿½s fine, but to brand your mother a criminal for copying a movie,
then giving it to others to view, why? Does that make her any less of a
mother to you? Does she now look like a "hardcore" thug now? No, of
course not.

> People who deliberately disobey
> rules and regulations, do have questionable moral standards. Otherwise
> they would follow the rules. For those who have deep convictions that
> certain rules are inherently wrong and should be changed, they have a
> constitutional process, that can be followed to have the laws changed.
> Mearly breaking them in some sort of "protest" earns no respect, but
> making the effort to accomplish your goal will earn tremendous respect.
> But that effort is way too hard for most of these individuals. They want
> everything easy. That's why they operate CB illegally, and don't have
> ham licenses OR take the steps to have the laws changed.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

Well, thatï¿½s your opinion and what you believe in, good for you. I for
one think just because someone uses an amp, export radio, freebands,

isn't an immoral person anymore than again, someone that copies videotapes or litters. If you do those things, you take a chance and with that chance is a possibility of getting caught and fined.
Well this has been fun, but I'm not going to sit here all day like others, have a good one.....

Landshark

921. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 17:55:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27190-3DA5BF2A-205@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139700

>Imagine my surprise when I realized that I DID make that comment. But I agree with myself nonetheless. ;) <

You're easily entertained, Dave. Google can surprise you all day, if you want. Oh, Fraaaaaank.....

"Just because one holds a license doesn't give you the right to harass others on what they are doing on other bands that you don't even operate on."

>Harrass, no. Express an objective opinion, yes.<

Bullshit. Your license gives your opinion no more validity than anyone elses.

> And as far as operating on bands not under the privileges of a ham license, it should be fairly evident that many hams are also CBers, and share a concern about the direction with which the band is heading. <

Well, there is some logic.

>It might be easy to think that once hams "upgrade" that they need not concern themselves with CB any more, but I can assure you that this is often not the case. <

Wrong, Whatever problem you have with cb is personal. In fact, the majority of hammies do not share the hard on the-anti-4 have for cb.

>Otherwise, why waste time here?<

You answered your own question...you derive pleasure from making another upset.

"Nor does it give
you the red badge of courage to think that anyone that operates, talks, uses, owns amps, export radios is an immoral corrupt person, as some out here would like you to believe. "

>Some people would say the same thing about drug dealers, or pimps, or any other "vice" crime. <

Nah, only you hatemongers. Lelnad
says freebanders should be shot in the head, you call anyone who doesn't agree with a law a criminal, and here you are reeeeeeeeally reaching.....

>Whether or not you consider the law serious enough to follow is a choice you make. But breaking the law invites you to enter the fine institution of the criminal justice system. <

Such as going 56 in a 55 MPH zone, I know, you already said as much.

>License or not, if you break the law, you are technically a criminal.<

And here is where your radical minority view manifests.
You speak of "society" quite often, but know not what the majority believe. It is quite apparent the majority of law abiding citizens do not believe that flicking a butt out the window or driving 56 in a 55, or double-parking for a moment, or stopping in a no stopping zone, or parking in a reserved spot "just for a sec" makes one a criminal.

> While many would seek to trivilize certain laws such as traffic laws, or radio regulations, the logic of it is still valid. <<

But the analogy isn't.
Speeding can kill, DX can't, yet you minimize the subject quite well when speaking of it in context to radio protocol violations.

>If being tagged as a lawbreaker, makes no difference in how you perceive yourself in the grand scheme of things, then fine. <

Well, consider who is doing the "tagging".
You are correct, Hall..it makes no difference.

>Otherwise, when people spin, fight, twist, and attempt to justify the various reasons why they should not be looked at as criminals, that tells me that deep down inside, it does bother them to some degree, and these spin tactics are done to appease their own consciences, and to a lesser extent, an attempt to soften their image in the eyes of other people. <

Yup, you're right...like those that derive their delight from insulting or inflicting malice on another.
And then there are those of us who don't give a damn what others think of us.

>People who deliberately disobey rules and regulations, do have questionable moral standards. <

You're about as qualified to make that statement as I am to say that those who take pleasure in anothers discomfort have severe psychological problems.
Scratch that, no you're not.

>Otherwise they would follow the rules. <

"-must-do-as-told-must-do-as-told"
Is that what was being repeated while you were assaulted as a child by a grandfather who should have been genuinely loving? No wonder you are the way you are. Dave, seriously man, talk to a counselor or someone about that. Just the way you relegated that tale, the words you used describing your grandfather "slapping you upside the head" leaves little doubt as t your deep rooted hostilities. It's a good thing you have this forum to vent, otherwise, well.....

>For those who have deep convictions that certain rules are inherently wrong and should be changed, they have a constitutional process, that can be followed to have the laws changed.
Mearly breaking them in some sort of "protest" earns no respect, <

Now that is irony in all it's finest.

>but making the effort to accomplish your goal will earn tremendous respect. <

Dave, who are you preaching to here? Who do you feel needs to earn this respect that eludes you so? Most cbers couldn't give a damn whether you respect them or not.
We're out to DX and have a good time, not play Miss Manners with some disgruntled hammie that has emotional problems.

>But that effort is way too hard for most of these individuals. They want everything easy. That's why they operate CB illegally, and don't have ham licenses OR take the steps to have the laws changed.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
<<

Why bother? No one rallying for a law change were responsible for the double nickel speed limit being repealed. Non-compliance was.

922. What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 17:56:55 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <%9jp9.5793$CE4.353258@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 139699

This is something that all radio operators could heed and make radio a better hobby for all of us

"Good Amateur Practice is a hard thing to define. I'd have to say it's operating with the realization that frequencies are shared, that there's going to be occasional interference and that's no reason to become hateful and paranoid. You have to realize that more people listen than ever before, especially since the events on 11 September, and our rights end where another person's begins."

"It means giving a little ground even if you have a right not to, in order to help preserve Amateur Radio and not cause it to get a bad name or hasten the day when it becomes obsolete."

"It means not using that one Kenwood rig on 6kHz bandwidth when there are lots of people on the band."

"It means not acting like an idiot just because you were stepped on. It means being aware that we all love Amateur Radio, and why damage it just to save face?"

"It means cutting a net or a contester a break even if you don't have to and even if you have no interest whatsoever in nets or contesting."

"It means being nice, because one thing we don't need more of are idiots in the radio world. Now this doesn't touch on a lot of technical issues such as using 1,500 watts when your signal report received is 20 over 9."

"It just means a lot of things that can't be qualified, such as respecting a band plan because it makes it possible for every mode to have a chance."

"It means operating so that if a neighbor, niece or nephew or news reporter hears you, that person is impressed with Amateur Radio."

"It means realizing that every right carries responsibilities, and just because you may have a right to do certain things doesn't mean it's right to do them in every circumstance."

"It means just because you haven't done a creative thing in Amateur Radio in 25 years, and have been on the same frequency every morning for 135 years shooting the breeze with Harry, who's 200 miles away, doesn't mean you own the frequency."

"It also means NOT operating so whoever hears you becomes sorry as hell they ever got into Amateur Radio in the first place."

Riley Hollingsworth

Jerry

923. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 18:05:19 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DA5C15F.2EE9BB33@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139703

Twistedhed wrote:
>That term came about as a twisted paraphrase of
> an interpreted meaning, from a party who's a few fries short of a
> complete happy meal upstairs

LOL, is that anything like not having all the numbers in your zip
code?

924. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 18:22:16 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DA5C558.5F569B0@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139704

Twistedhed wrote:

>
> As opposed to WHAT? The "wrong" direction?
> Can't say this post wasn't biased from jump.

The right direction to legal ham radio operation as opposed to
illegal cb or 'freeband' operation maybe?

> >When I finally had a chance to meet some hams, the experience was
> >altogether different.
> >These were people that strictly operated on the right side of the > >law
>

> Again, I ask you, as opposed to WHAT?
> The WRONG side of the law?

Spin it any way you want but operating illegally on cb or the so
called 'freebands' is on the wrong side of the law.

> >in every aspect of their lives. That seemed important to me. Not only
> >did they understand radio theory, but were able to use their knowledge
> >to benefit the community at large. Many had been ship board operators,
> >others were volunteer dispatchers and licensed radio technicians. Still
> >others had been radio operators in the service - one of which had served
> >as a code breaker in the Army during WWII. When I thought about the kind
> >of people that I would prefer to communicate with world-wide, it was
> >this group that I settled on.

As opposed to the ones who only understand cb myth, run modded
illegal radios overdriving splatter box leenars, operating on
frequencies they do legally have the right to use, trying to 'walk
all over' each other and spouting endless foul language. You made
the right choice. This is not to slam the many of those who do
operate legally on cb.

> >Ham radio is something that gets into your blood <<

> Or under your skin, thanks to the likes of "Aaros' named hate crew of
> KC8LDO Lelnad, N8WWM Dogie Adair,
> Frank Gilliland, and Dave Hall.

Or under your skin if you could never make the grade to become a
ham maybe?

925. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 18:23:54 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <_Ajp9.40126$YR.73405@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 139701

Amen.....to that!

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:%9jp9.5793$CE4.353258@news.bellsouth.net...
> This is something that all radio operators could heed and make radio a
> better hobby for all of us
>
>
>
>
> "Good Amateur Practice is a hard thing to define. I'd have to say it's
> operating with the realization that frequencies are shared, that there's
> going to be occasional interference and that's no reason to become hateful
> and paranoid. You have to realize that more people listen than ever
before,
> especially since the events on 11 September, and our rights end where
> another person's begins."
>
> "It means giving a little ground even if you have a right not to, in order
> to help preserve Amateur Radio and not cause it to get a bad name or
hasten
> the day when it becomes obsolete."
>
> "It means not using that one Kenwood rig on 6kHz bandwidth when there are
> lots of people on the band."
>
> "It means not acting like an idiot just because you were stepped on. It
> means being aware that we all love Amateur Radio, and why damage it just
to
> save face?"
>
> "It means cutting a net or a contester a break even if you don't have to
and
> even if you have no interest whatsoever in nets or contesting."
>
> "It means being nice, because one thing we don't need more of are idiots
in
> the radio world. Now this doesn't touch on a lot of technical issues such
> as using 1,500 watts when your signal report received is 20 over 9."
>
> "It just means a lot of things that can't be qualified, such as respecting
a
> band plan because it makes it possible for every mode to have a chance."
>

> "It means operating so that if a neighbor, niece or nephew or news
reporter
> hears you, that person is impressed with Amateur Radio."
>
> "It means realizing that every right carries responsibilities, and just
> because you may have a right to do certain things doesn't mean it's right
to
> do them in every circumstance."
>
> "It means just because you haven't done a creative thing in Amateur Radio
in
> 25 years, and have been on the same frequency every morning for 135 years
> shooting the breeze with Harry, who's 200 miles away, doesn't mean you own
> the frequency."
>
> "It also means NOT operating so whoever hears you becomes sorry as hell
they
> ever got into Amateur Radio in the first place."
>
> Riley Hollingsworth
>
>
> Jerry
>
>
>

926. SEARS ROADTALKER MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 18:48:11 UTC
From: JIMBOW65@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24006-3DA5CB6B-69@storefull-2371.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139702

hi looking for mods for sears road talker
model# 934.38310700 base AM/SSB.
readly looking for pots for meters, audio, ssb, sq, cal, freqs setting
am/ssb, AM power, SSB power, The guy i bought it from turn all the pots
wide open. the moron. Thanks for your time.

927. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 20:36:06 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021010163606.01443.00000451@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 139705

we're there favorites :-)))

>
>Stop it, you're making me blush, and I'm a modest kind of guy. But
>thanks for the warm fuzzy. I almost forgot how many times I was forged
>by your close pals of Lelnad and Dogie.....so was Tim.
>

928. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 20:43:47 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210101243.88d27d0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139706

Dave Hall wrote...
> That term came about as a twisted paraphrase of
> an interpreted meaning, from a party who's a few fries short of a
> complete happy meal upstairs

That's why we let Twistedhed rant. There is no better advertisement for legal CB. Anyone drawn in by his "CB rules are trivial" campaign soon gets put off by his shell-game conversational maneuvers and the dishonesty and nihilism that goes along with them.

-Aaron-

929. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 20:47:34 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021010164734.01443.00000452@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 139707

jerry, i think you must have meant to post this to rec.hamradio.politics not this cb newsgroup cuzz its irrelevant here

>
>
>"Good Amateur Practice is a hard thing to define. I'd have to say it's
>operating with the realization that frequencies are shared, that there's
>going to be occasional interference and that's no reason to become hateful
>and paranoid. You have to realize that more people listen than ever before,
>especially since the events on 11 September, and our rights end where
>another person's begins."
>
>"It means giving a little ground even if you have a right not to, in order
>to help preserve Amateur Radio and not cause it to get a bad name or hasten
>the day when it becomes obsolete."
>
>"It means not using that one Kenwood rig on 6kHz bandwidth when there are
>lots of people on the band."
>
>"It means not acting like an idiot just because you were stepped on. It
>means being aware that we all love Amateur Radio, and why damage it just to
>save face?"
>
>"It means cutting a net or a contester a break even if you don't have to and
>even if you have no interest whatsoever in nets or contesting."
>
>"It means being nice, because one thing we don't need more of are idiots in
>the radio world. Now this doesn't touch on a lot of technical issues such
>as using 1,500 watts when your signal report received is 20 over 9."
>
>"It just means a lot of things that can't be qualified, such as respecting a
>band plan because it makes it possible for every mode to have a chance."
>
>"It means operating so that if a neighbor, niece or nephew or news reporter
>hears you, that person is impressed with Amateur Radio."
>
>"It means realizing that every right carries responsibilities, and just
>because you may have a right to do certain things doesn't mean it's right to
>do them in every circumstance."
>
>"It means just because you haven't done a creative thing in Amateur Radio in
>25 years, and have been on the same frequency every morning for 135 years
>shooting the breeze with Harry, who's 200 miles away, doesn't mean you own
>the frequency."

>
>"It also means NOT operating so whoever hears you becomes sorry as hell they
>ever got into Amateur Radio in the first place."
>
>Riley Hollingsworth
>
>
>Jerry
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

930. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 21:13:27 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <X3mp9.16016$ue4.920543@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139708

huh????

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1pill.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> > 1.1x + 4.2 = 3.1
>
> The line above is where you made your error.
>
> To get rid of the -x term from the right side you need to
> ADD an x term to both sides of the equation.

931. Re: hey frank or whoever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 21:30:06 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3DA5F15E.D06F2639@lucent.com>
Source record: 139709

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:

> Bingo! Neat trick tho, huh? I remember that problem from when my
> algebra teacher pulled it on us (lately it seems like that's the ONLY
> thing I remember from algebra...#:-/~

If you don't use it, you lose it!

Just takes some prectice and a reference book or two.....

Regards,

Dennis O.

932. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 21:37:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2706-3DA5F30E-270@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139710

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed didn't write:
That term came about as a twisted paraphrase of an interpreted meaning,
from a party who's a few fries short of a complete happy meal upstairs
-
LOL, is that anything like not having all the numbers in your zip code?
--
I dunno, but if it's anything like your quoting skills, it's worth a
"LOL".

933. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 21:41:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2706-3DA5F405-272@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139712

From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
Dave Hall wrote...
That term came about as a twisted paraphrase of an interpreted meaning,
from a party who's a few fries short of a complete happy meal upstairs
-
>That's why we let Twistedhed rant. There is no better advertisement for
legal CB. <

You just honed in on why I don't want you to go anywhere.
You're the epitome of what plagues hammie radio and are reminded by all
almost daily.

>
Anyone drawn in by his "CB rules are trivial" campaign <<

This is why you are underground. You lie. I disregard the DX rule and
the freeband rule and suddenly it's "all cb rules". You lie. I am on
record as a staunch supporter of cbers staying clear of hammie channels.
You lie.

>soon gets put off by his shell-game conversational maneuvers and the
dishonesty and nihilism that goes along with them.
-Aaron-
<<

The truth sets me free. It got you TOSSED..hahahahahah!

934. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 21:53:39 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2706-3DA5F6E3-277@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139711

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
As opposed to WHAT? The "wrong" direction?
Can't say this post wasn't biased from jump.

>The right direction to legal ham radio operation as opposed to illegal cb or 'freeband' operation maybe? <

Maybe. Just wanted to make certain his introductory was false. Thanks for confirming.
But you will not, that was never mentioned.

"When I finally had a chance to meet some hams, the experience was altogether different.
These were people that strictly operated on the right side of the >
>law"

Again, I ask you, as opposed to WHAT?
The WRONG side of the law?

>
Spin it any way you want but operating illegally on cb or the so called 'freebands' is on the wrong side of the law.<

What spin? I want to know what he was opposing it to. He never said. How did you interpret a question as "spin".?

"in every aspect of their lives. That seemed important to me. Not only did they understand radio theory, but were able to use their knowledge to benefit the community at large. Many had been ship board operators, others were volunteer dispatchers and licensed radio technicians. Still others had been radio operators in the service - one of which had served as a code breaker in the Army during WWII. When I thought about the kind of people that I would prefer to communicate with world-wide, it was this group that I settled on.
As opposed to the ones who only understand cb myth, run modded illegal radios overdriving splatter box leenars, operating on frequencies they do legally have the right to use, trying to 'walk all over' each other and spouting endless foul language. You made the right choice. This is not to slam the many of those who do operate legally on cb.
Ham radio is something that gets into your blood <<

Or under your skin, thanks to the likes of "Aaros' named hate crew of KC8LDO Lelnad, N8WWM Dogie Adair,
Frank Gilliland, and Dave Hall.

>
Or under your skin if you could never make the grade to become a ham
maybe?
<

Maybe. You'll never know. But just to set the record straight, I love
certain aspects of hammie radio, I just take issue with the downfall
aspect of it which people like yourself and not unlike "Aarons" hate
posse contribute to.
But you can always ask Dave Hall. I received a few emails that obviously
weren't orignally meant for my eyes...he's a real, um......character,
but he is on record as spying on cbers and several of his lies were
categorized and giftwrapped for me quite nicely. Appears Frank isn't
the only hammie that knows how to use google, only this one is obviously
tired of the myths and lies from some of these hammie cretins..

935. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 22:24:19 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DA5FE13.EEEC7BC7@cwis.net>
Source record: 139713

Smoke Stack,
I think that if you changed the references to 'Amateur
Radio', to 'CB radio', it would definately be relevant here,
and a very good idea. What Mr. Hollingsworth had to say
just makes good sense no matter if he was refering to ham
radio, ~or~ CB radio. Think about it...
'Doc

936. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 22:31:59 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <y2np9.6652$d21.2087468@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 139714

Smoke Stack <timnebo@aol.com420> wrote in message
news:20021010164734.01443.00000452@mb-mb.aol.com...
> jerry, i think you must have meant to post this to rec.hamradio.politics
not
> this cb newsgroup cuzz its irrelevant here
>

Why?

Those principles existed on both ham AND CB in years past. If you are
telling me that CBers are
incapable of applying those principles, you are full of it. Irrelevant?
Maybe, that is, if you subscribe
to the anything goes, electronic Darwinism, BIG "Reddio" mentality over
civil sharing of a valuable
resource.

J

> >
> >
> >"Good Amateur Practice is a hard thing to define. I'd have to say it's
> >operating with the realization that frequencies are shared, that there's
> >going to be occasional interference and that's no reason to become
hateful
> >and paranoid. You have to realize that more people listen than ever
before,
> >especially since the events on 11 September, and our rights end where
> >another person's begins."
> >
> >"It means giving a little ground even if you have a right not to, in
order
> >to help preserve Amateur Radio and not cause it to get a bad name or
hasten
> >the day when it becomes obsolete."
> >
> >"It means not using that one Kenwood rig on 6kHz bandwidth when there are
> >lots of people on the band."
> >
> >"It means not acting like an idiot just because you were stepped on. It
> >means being aware that we all love Amateur Radio, and why damage it just
to
> >save face?"
> >
> >"It means cutting a net or a contester a break even if you don't have to

and
> >even if you have no interest whatsoever in nets or contesting."
> >
> >"It means being nice, because one thing we don't need more of are idiots
in
> >the radio world. Now this doesn't touch on a lot of technical issues
such
> >as using 1,500 watts when your signal report received is 20 over 9."
> >
> >"It just means a lot of things that can't be qualified, such as
respecting a
> >band plan because it makes it possible for every mode to have a chance."
> >
> >"It means operating so that if a neighbor, niece or nephew or news
reporter
> >hears you, that person is impressed with Amateur Radio."
> >
> >"It means realizing that every right carries responsibilities, and just
> >because you may have a right to do certain things doesn't mean it's right
to
> >do them in every circumstance."
> >
> >"It means just because you haven't done a creative thing in Amateur Radio
in
> >25 years, and have been on the same frequency every morning for 135 years
> >shooting the breeze with Harry, who's 200 miles away, doesn't mean you
own
> >the frequency."
> >
> >"It also means NOT operating so whoever hears you becomes sorry as hell
they
> >ever got into Amateur Radio in the first place."
> >
> >Riley Hollingsworth
> >
> >
> >Jerry
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

>
>
>

937. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 22:49:37 UTC
From: killakeyclown@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <86209e94.0210101449.124e2c0e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139715

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<%9jp9.5793$CE4.353258@news.bellsouth.net>...
> This is something that all radio operators could heed and make radio a
> better hobby for all of us
>
>
>
>
> "Good Amateur Practice is a hard thing to define. I'd have to say it's

Well, it's a good thing we're on CB, and not amateur radio! :)

938. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 22:56:14 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210101456.6c8a0d0c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) spewed in stupid message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210091257.25c1161b@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >Date: Wed, Oct 9, 2002 8:04 AM
> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210090704.3e8a582e@posting.google.com>
> >
> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210081144.311232b@posting.google.com>...
> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210080516.6eed3add@posting.google.com>...
> >> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210070737.780c6d2@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >> > > >Hasn't worked and it won't work, Aanal Aaron, because
> >> > > (snip)
> >> > >
> >> > > It's working even as we speak.
> >> > >
> >> > > -A
> >> >
> >> > Certainly hasn't and isn't, since
> >> (snip)
> >>
> >> Daily fumigation.
> >
> >Heaven knows you n
> (snip)
>
> Time for the afternoon spray, Steve.
>
> -A

What's the matter, coward; still no PROOF? Lame repetitious hearsay
hasn't proved anything and won't prove anything. Where's the PROOF you
liar?

939. Next big "break/keydown"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 22:56:16 UTC
From: killakeyclown@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <86209e94.0210101456.756b7c86@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139717

When and where is the next big "break/keydown"? I haven't called the cops to report illicit drug activity in a while and need something to report. It's funny to see the cops pull up to all these illegal pot heads keying up their biiiiiiig radid-e-o's. Maybe it'll be at one of those departments that I mailed the latest FCC information to in regards to enforcing FCC rules. :)

940. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 22:57:51 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210101457.530e69ad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139718

"Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote in message
news:<dO-cncn_Y4dfQz-gXTWc3Q@News.GigaNews.Com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > > > Prove it, Aanal Aaron. You can't. It has become difficult (but not
> > > > "impossible") to communicate on CB simply because of the sheer numbers
> > > > of operators trying to use the "legal 40".
>
>
>
> If you expect people to believe you, it's up to you to prove your correct.

You're the one that claimed there were fewer CBers than ever. You
prove it, lamer-Georgie-girl.

941. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 23:00:42 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210101500.4c07f4cb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

```
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) spewed in stupid message
>news:<c9ceae5b.0210091257.25c1161b@posting.google.com>...
>> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>> >Date: Wed, Oct 9, 2002 8:04 AM
>> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210090704.3e8a582e@posting.google.com>
>> >
>> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210081144.311232b@posting.google.com>...
>> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210080516.6eed3add@posting.google.com>...
>> >> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210070737.780c6d2@posting.google.com>...
>> >> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>> >> > > >Hasn't worked and it won't work, Aanal Aaron, because
>> >> > > (snip)
>> >> > >
>> >> > > It's working even as we speak.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > -A
>> >> >
>> >> > Certainly hasn't and isn't, since
>> >> (snip)
>> >>
>> >> Daily fumigation.
>> >
>> >Heaven knows you n
>> (snip)
>>
>> Time for the afternoon spray, Steve.
>>
>> -A
>
>What's the matter, coward; still n
(snip)

Open wide, Steve.

-A

------------------------------------------------------------------------

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
```

"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

942. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 23:15:28 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da609b7.650421@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139722

In <H8hp9.2755$he5.249131359@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da50ada.200750@news.cet.com...
>> I guess I have to simplify it even further so you can understand the
>> question:
>>
>> Q: Who (what person or persons) believe(s) that an amateur radio
>> license is equivalent to a "badge of morality"?
>>
>> Now was that simple enough? Or are you going to pull a Twistedhed and
>> act like you didn't understand the question for the third time?
>>
>
> Frank Frank Frank, you are now acting like a
>pompous ass, don't get so po'd. If you can't and have not
>seen it, it's not my fault. I have seen some that come on this group spewing
>laws, regs and such, but by their own admissions
>they don't even use a cb, just because they now have a license. I thought it
>was a very well said paragraph, I'm not
>sure why all of a sudden you are trying to nit pick everyone's
>post word by word, looking for some sort of argument.

Not everyone's post, sharkie. Just the posts where someone takes a
quote out of context and tries to spin it in a different direction.

Now, do I need to repeat the question a fourth time?

943. Re: Next big "break/keydown"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 23:16:52 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <ETnp9.109397$8o3.3150190@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139720

"KillaKeyClown" <killakeyclown@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:86209e94.0210101456.756b7c86@posting.google.com...
> When and where is the next big "break/keydown"? I haven't called the
> cops to report illicit drug activity in a while and need something to
> report. It's funny to see the cops pull up to all these illegal pot
> heads keying up their biiiiiiig radid-e-o's. Maybe it'll be at one of
> those departments that I mailed the latest FCC information to in
> regards to enforcing FCC rules. :)

ARMY SIGNAL COMMAND (ARMY-DOM)
AFSN-N (ANSOC)
FT HUACHUCA, AZ 85613-5000

Domain Name: ARMY.MIL
PLA: DIR USANETA FT HUACHUCA AZ//AFSN-N//

Technical Contact:
Jones, Linda H. [DNS Admin.] (LJ163)
(520) 538-6840 (DSN) 879-6840 (FAX)(520) 533-1232
DOMAIN-REQUEST@AIMS7.ARMY.MIL, JONESL@HQASC.ARMY.MIL
Administrative Contact:
Castro, Gil C., CONUS TNOSC [DNS Admin/GS] (GCC27)
(520) 538-1245 (DSN) 879-1245 (FAX)(520) 538-6809
DOMAIN-REQUEST@AIMS7.ARMY.MIL

Record last updated on 19-Jul-1999.

Domain servers in listed order:

NS01.ARMY.MIL 140.153.43.44
NS02.ARMY.MIL 192.82.113.7
NS03.ARMY.MIL 130.114.200.6

Network IP address lookup:

whois whois.arin.net 192.172.8.11:

OrgName: USAISC-CECOM
OrgID: USAISC-15

NetRange: 192.172.8.0 - 192.172.8.255
CIDR: 192.172.8.0/24
NetName: MONMOUTH-3A
NetHandle: NET-192-172-8-0-1
Parent: NET-192-0-0-0-0
NetType: Direct Assignment
NameServer: NS01.ARMY.MIL

NameServer: NS02.ARMY.MIL
NameServer: NS03.ARMY.MIL
Comment:
RegDate: 1992-06-09
Updated: 2000-03-22

TechHandle: GC264-ARIN
TechName: Castro, Gil
TechPhone: +1-520-538-1245
TechEmail: DOMAIN-REQUEST@aims7.army.mil

944. Re: Next big "break/keydown"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 23:17:34 UTC
From: "lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com>
Message-ID: <ci2cqu4gs9p4vaubmicltia5arrjidchp1@4ax.com>
Source record: 139721

Yeah, and you might get your *ASS* shot too... nigga's don't like
white boys fucking with thier fun.

food for thought.

On 10 Oct 2002 15:56:16 -0700, killakeyclown@yahoo.com (KillaKeyClown)
was blabbling:

...When and where is the next big "break/keydown"? I haven't called
the
...cops to report illicit drug activity in a while and need something
to
...report. It's funny to see the cops pull up to all these illegal pot
...heads keying up their biiiiiiig radid-e-o's. Maybe it'll be at one
of
...those departments that I mailed the latest FCC information to in
...regards to enforcing FCC rules. :)

945. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 23:21:09 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da60a4c.799488@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139724

In <3DA57671.2BAF@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
<nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

>The answer is that I never used the phrase "badge of morality" to
>describe a ham license. That term came about as a twisted paraphrase of
>an interpreted meaning, from a party who's a few fries short of a
>complete happy meal upstairs,

You wouldn't be talking about Randy, would you?

> and an associated meaning that I never
>attempted to convey.

It's too bad that these people are not capable of any original
thoughts and must revert to deceptive misrepresentations using other
people's words.

>A ham license is a permit to operate ham radio. It is also a testament
>that the holder passed certain tests, and therefore has a certain degree
>of understanding of radio principles and operating rules and
>regulations. It is also further implied that the holder will abide by
>those rules as set forth by the FCC, and apply good engineering practice
>when building and modifying any gear or accessories that would be
>commonly used in a ham station.
>
>Since one must apply a certain amount of effort to obtain a ham license
>(The degree of effort depends on your aptitude in radio), there is a
>certain amount of value associated with it, from those who hold similar
>licenses, and a further amount of respect from others who have also
>worked to achieve something, and recognize the dedication and resolve to
>accomplish a set goal.
>
>There are a few malcontents, on this newsgroup, that have no respect for
>a ham license or for the effort and accomplishment that is involved.
>Considering that these same people have no respect for radio rules at
>all, or any societal law that they also do not agree with, it should be
>no surprise. Much of this disassociation with goals and accomplishments,
>says volumes about their own ineptitude at accomplishing anything truly
>significant in their own lives. It's much easier to denigrate anothers'
>accomplishments, than to come to terms with your own failures.
>
>There is a word that describes these people, and their associated
>behavior: Sociopath.

Well said. I wonder what phrase they are going to pull out of THAT one
and distort it to their favor. Time will tell.

946. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 23:21:39 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <X_Ccna6hztAZljugXTWc3A@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139723

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210101457.530e69ad@posting.google.com...

> You're the one that claimed there were fewer CBers than ever. You
> prove it, lamer-Georgie-girl.

LOL As usual nothing heard from Dickhead. PROOF DICKHEAD we want proof your
good at changing the subject Ill give you that.

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

947. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 23:42:56 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da60be5.1208404@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139725

In <x3jp9.2850$Nx1.167135576@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>, Landshark
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Neti.> wrote:

<snip>
> > Harrass, no. Express an objective opinion, yes. And as far as operating
> > on bands not under the privileges of a ham license, it should be fairly
> > evident that many hams are also CBers, and share a concern about the
> > direction with which the band is heading.
>
>
>True, some do operate on the cb and as such express those opinions. But
>there are some that have and still do nothing but complain about
>"illegals" and they themselves have said that they don't use the cb band.

Check this out, sharkie:

"Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion,
or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of
speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to
assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances."

There are two parts that should jump out at you. First, the freedom of
speech. If someone wants to complain about the current state of the
Citizen's Band, that's their right, and they don't need to be a CBer
to do so.

The second part is the right to "petition the Government for a redress
of grievances", which means that if you don't like a law you have the
legal means to change that law. If all the pirates and bootleggers
were to take even half the money and energy they spend on illegal
operation and use it to change the law legally then I'm sure the law
would change. But they don't, which demonstrates the character of
these illegal ops -- they are nothing but spoiled brats that have no
ambition beyond taking what they want, even if by criminal misconduct.

> > It might be easy to think that once hams "upgrade" that they need not
> > concern themselves with CB any more, but I can assure you that this is
> > often not the case. Otherwise, why waste time here?
> >
> >
>
>Trolling value :^) why else when they keep doing there best to
>harass, accuse, foul language, sexual innuendo's, e mail viruses and
>such, troll value.
>
> >

> >
> >>Nor does it give
> >>you the red badge of courage to think that anyone that
> >>operates, talks, uses, owns amps, export radios is an immoral
> >>corrupt person, as some out here would like you to believe.
> >
> >
> > Some people would say the same thing about drug dealers, or pimps, or
> > any other "vice" crime. Whether or not you consider the law serious
> > enough to follow is a choice you make. But breaking the law invites you
> > to enter the fine institution of the criminal justice system.
> >
>
>Correct, again same argument. Speeding, jay walking all are laws that
>are broken everyday by thousands of people, are those people that have
>admitted to those crimes harassed to no end by people? Hell look at
>littering, it carries a fine in some states as high as $2,000.00
>dollars, has it stopped people? No.

Yes. In case you haven't been paying attention over the past 20 years, the amount of litter being casually tossed into our environment has been drastically reduced, mainly because of such stiff penalties.

But your argument is still lame, because people don't make a hobby out of littering and jaywalking, and they certainly don't spend hundreds and thousands of dollars of equipment for the sole purpose of doing so.

> Here's another one that's a killer,
>copy a video tape, CD, DvD the fines are as much as $250,000.00, and
>people do it all the time, so where's the throngs of posse members
>doing to them, what others are doing here?

People do it for their own personal use, which is legal. Have you been living in a cave?

<snip>
>Well, that's your opinion and what you believe in, good for you. I for
>one think just because someone uses an amp, export radio, freebands,
>isn't an immoral person anymore than again, someone that copies
>videotapes or litters. If you do those things, you take a chance and
>with that chance is a possibility of getting caught and fined.

If that's your grounds for morality, consider that the MD sniper is taking the chance that he won't get caught. Does that make his actions not immoral? Go soak your head, sharkie.

948. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-10 23:49:41 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da610a5.2424169@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139726

In <G8hp9.2753$T65.248665378@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da513af.2461903@news.cet.com...
>> Guess what, sharkie? Someone found the post from which you quote:
>>
>
>What a surprise? "someone"? Please Frank, everyone
>knows that you, Aaron & George are the three amigos
>of google.

How does that detract from the fact that you are quoting other people
out of context?

<snip>
>>
>> It looks like you are making a hobby out of misrepresentation. You go
>> right ahead, sharkie, and whenever you misquote someone in that manner
>> I'll be there to provide the context that you selectively omit.
>>
>
> What the Heck are you talking about? "misquote" I took an
>entire paragraph, not one sentences or a word, so that was by
>no means a "misquote"

How naive do you think I am, sharkie? In the context of his post the
paragraph means something completely different than when you, a
defender of illegal operation and "bad citizens", use it for your sig.
That's misquoting.

> Again, your ego is getting in the way
>of you're vision. I guess if I pull up that post you made offering
>to build an amp for someone on the cb, I would be taking that out of
>context? Right?
> Boy you sure are arguing a lot over nothing, Gheesh.
>Almost just for the sake of arguing.

You weak deceptions demonstrate that you are seriously underestimating
the intelligence of the people that read this newsgroup.

949. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 00:02:36 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com420
Message-ID: <20021010200236.04929.00000598@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 139727

well he didnt and no cb'er is worried about a reporter listening in

>
>
>
>
>Smoke Stack,
> I think that if you changed the references to 'Amateur
>Radio', to 'CB radio', it would definately be relevant here,
>and a very good idea. What Mr. Hollingsworth had to say
>just makes good sense no matter if he was refering to ham
>radio, ~or~ CB radio. Think about it...
> 'Doc
>
>
>
>
>
>

950. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 00:32:46 UTC
From: Fred Flintstoned <legalizeit@hempseed.com>
Message-ID: <JLecnYcEhuCtgTugXTWc3A@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139728

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:G8hp9.2753$T65.248665378@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> What a surprise? "someone"? Please Frank, everyone
> knows that you, Aaron & George are the three amigos
> of google.

Still can't get me off of your mind huh, talk about the 3 amigos of google ...LOL Mr. Beck you hve spent your fair share there searching thru posts I have made and also trying to figure out which names I have used ... So now you try and act like you never use google please don't make me laugh you skirt wearing freak.

951. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 01:18:55 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da62606.7897885@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139729

In <JLecnYcEhuCtgTugXTWc3A@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Fred Flintstoned"
<legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote:

>"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
>news:G8hp9.2753$T65.248665378@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>> What a surprise? "someone"? Please Frank, everyone
>> knows that you, Aaron & George are the three amigos
>> of google.
>
>Still can't get me off of your mind huh, talk about the 3 amigos of google
>...LOL Mr. Beck you hve spent your fair share there searching thru posts I
>have made and also trying to figure out which names I have used ... So now
>you try and act like you never use google please don't make me laugh you
>skirt wearing freak.

Thanks for pointing that out, Fred.

Hey sharkie, I used google for a couple months and now I'm an "amigo
of google"? And even when I used it my ISP was in my headers. Matter
of fact, my ISP has been in ALL my headers. So how does that make me
an "amigo of google", sharkie?

952. Re: The DX has been hot around the Great Lakes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 01:38:58 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210101738.32ccc07e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139730

allen1571@aol.com (Allen1571) wrote in message
news:<20021010015235.28094.00000143@mb-fi.aol.com>...
> I live in the Great Lakes(michigan) and for the past couple of days the Dx has
> been rollin on 26.915..I have talked all over the midwest and south but just
> can't seem to hit the west or east coast..Once in a blue moon i might hit
> california but the coditions have to be just right.

This is because the Rockies are little more than a high wall which <30
MHz signals can have a pretty tough time going over. I know this
because AA6FW sez so, and I proved him right with a SW rcvr... I could
get the Pacific Rim nations very easily from my QTH in L.A., but never
got DX from back east, Europe, Africa, Brazil, or Mideast unless the
xmtr was cranking out a LOT of power (still haven't picked up India).
OTOH Pacific is said to be pretty tough for SWLs on east coast to pick
up... maybe the Appalachians have the same effect as the Rockies.

BTW >155 mile QSO on CB is (*gasp!*) a form of lawfucking and
therefore sacrilegious, but I've done it anyways, and had some pretty
friendly chats with CBers in TX and NC. Then came ham ticket and 6m...

Guy Richards

953. Re: The DX has been hot around the Great Lakes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 01:44:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021010214423.04929.00000636@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 139731

i'm pretty sure that one comes via the pole

> (still haven't picked up India).

954. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 01:49:26 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210101749.66c23585@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

> you cannot post one iota of PROOF,
> Aanal Aaron.

He doesn't need it. Accusation was proof enough for the Spanish
Inquisition, and it's proof enough for him.

> I want to see your
> PROOF, dimbulb. You got none.

Temper, temper!!! Aaron will call down the WRATH of the MOST HOLY U.S.
GOVERNMENT to rain fire and brimstone down upon us! We heathen
lawfuckers will BURN!!! (so he says, ROFLMAO)

Guy

955. Re: Advice needed re: BAD Neighbours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 01:56:16 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210101756.3a79b0aa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139733

"The Masked Marvel" <edrabaNOSPAM@uncg.edu> wrote in message
news:<Knuo9.21622$bi2.811512@twister.southeast.rr.com>...
> There's no such thing as a local Fed.

Profane not the name of the Most Holy U.S. Government... or Aaron will
pout and turn purple with rage!!! (LOL)

Guy

956. NorthStar Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 03:21:20 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021010232120.00601.00000679@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 139734

I need the Transmit mod and the modulation mod for a NorthStar NS-3000. I have a whole book of different radios, but i think mine are alittle outdated and the new NorthStar's are not in mine. Please let me know if u find anything, thanks alot

Thatz-me-JP

957. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 04:04:46 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <y5sp9.4234$AT4.180027629@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139736

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da60be5.1208404@news.cet.com...
> In <x3jp9.2850$Nx1.167135576@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>, Landshark
> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Neti.> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> > > Harrass, no. Express an objective opinion, yes. And as far as
operating
> > > on bands not under the privileges of a ham license, it should be
fairly
> > > evident that many hams are also CBers, and share a concern about the
> > > direction with which the band is heading.
> >
> >
> >True, some do operate on the cb and as such express those opinions. But
> >there are some that have and still do nothing but complain about
> >"illegals" and they themselves have said that they don't use the cb band.
>
> Check this out, sharkie:
>
> "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion,
> or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of
> speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to
> assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances."
>
> There are two parts that should jump out at you. First, the freedom of
> speech. If someone wants to complain about the current state of the
> Citizen's Band, that's their right, and they don't need to be a CBer
> to do so.
>
> The second part is the right to "petition the Government for a redress
> of grievances", which means that if you don't like a law you have the
> legal means to change that law. If all the pirates and bootleggers
> were to take even half the money and energy they spend on illegal
> operation and use it to change the law legally then I'm sure the law
> would change. But they don't, which demonstrates the character of
> these illegal ops -- they are nothing but spoiled brats that have no
> ambition beyond taking what they want, even if by criminal misconduct.
>
> > > It might be easy to think that once hams "upgrade" that they need not
> > > concern themselves with CB any more, but I can assure you that this is
> > > often not the case. Otherwise, why waste time here?
> > >
> > >
> >

> >Trolling value :^) why else when they keep doing there best to
> >harass, accuse, foul language, sexual innuendo's, e mail viruses and
> >such, troll value.
> >
> > >
> > >
> > >>Nor does it give
> > >>you the red badge of courage to think that anyone that
> > >>operates, talks, uses, owns amps, export radios is an immoral
> > >>corrupt person, as some out here would like you to believe.
> > >
> > >
> > > Some people would say the same thing about drug dealers, or pimps, or
> > > any other "vice" crime. Whether or not you consider the law serious
> > > enough to follow is a choice you make. But breaking the law invites
you
> > > to enter the fine institution of the criminal justice system.
> > >
> >
> >Correct, again same argument. Speeding, jay walking all are laws that
> >are broken everyday by thousands of people, are those people that have
> >admitted to those crimes harassed to no end by people? Hell look at
> >littering, it carries a fine in some states as high as $2,000.00
> >dollars, has it stopped people? No.
>
> Yes. In case you haven't been paying attention over the past 20 years,
> the amount of litter being casually tossed into our environment has
> been drastically reduced, mainly because of such stiff penalties.
>
> But your argument is still lame, because people don't make a hobby out
> of littering and jaywalking, and they certainly don't spend hundreds
> and thousands of dollars of equipment for the sole purpose of doing
> so.
>
> > Here's another one that's a killer,
> >copy a video tape, CD, DvD the fines are as much as $250,000.00, and
> >people do it all the time, so where's the throngs of posse members
> >doing to them, what others are doing here?
>
> People do it for their own personal use, which is legal. Have you been
> living in a cave?
>
> <snip>
> >Well, that's your opinion and what you believe in, good for you. I for
> >one think just because someone uses an amp, export radio, freebands,
> >isn't an immoral person anymore than again, someone that copies
> >videotapes or litters. If you do those things, you take a chance and
> >with that chance is a possibility of getting caught and fined.
>
> If that's your grounds for morality, consider that the MD sniper is

> taking the chance that he won't get caught. Does that make his actions
> not immoral? Go soak your head, sharkie.
>

You know what Frank, go ahead and try to put some
sort of sinister spin on everything I or as you want to say
"Illegal" write, I'm not going to give you satisfaction. You are
some sort of BS of taking each word, sentence, paragraph
and saying we're "misquoting" misrepresenting", BS. You should
talk about moralities, smoking, litter and God knows what else
you do but are afraid to say.
Back in the kill file again for you.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

958. Is a 10-12 meter rig considered a CB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 04:43:53 UTC
From: CBnoobie <cbnoobe@cb.com>
Message-ID: <uGsp9.20014$ZO1.1182677@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 139737

Found this base station, but the specs show 25 - 150 watts, is this considered a CB?, does the operatorhave to be licensed?. If this is not a CB but a ham radio, why is the frequency coverage so limited compared to other HF rigs, I don't get it.

http://www.rfwiz.com/Ranger/RCI-2985DX.htm

Puzzled.

959. Re Johnson Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 05:38:40 UTC
From: jason <hubs3@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ao5himvln1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 139735

Thanks for the info, hope to get it transmiting soon, just to clarify, it is a 5 channel with a chrome face, so it must be the earlier "White Face" model.

Thanks Again,
Jason

960. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 05:49:02 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da66620.608788@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139738

In <y5sp9.4234$AT4.180027629@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

<snip>
>"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

I rest my case.

961. Re: Re Johnson Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 05:54:02 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da66655.661681@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139739

In <ao5himvln1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "jason"
<hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote:

>Thanks for the info, hope to get it transmiting soon, just to clarify, it is
>a 5 channel with a chrome face, so it must be the earlier "White Face"
>model.
>
>Thanks Again,
>Jason

BTW, if I remember correctly, some of those radios used 6 volts
instead of 12, and some may have had a positive ground. Better check
that before you hook it up to your car battery. Also, I don't know if
the white-face had a tone-alert or car-tel socket, but if they do and
you need the pinouts, just drop me an email. Or you can scout around
for a Sams Photofact for this radio, it is in the CB radio series #2
(CB-2).

962. Re: Electronics Boring - Try New Legal Hallucinogen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 06:12:47 UTC
From: BuySalvia@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <12ee0937.0210102212.7853c60b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139740

Salvia Divinorum has been compared to LSD by a recent ABC News article at the following link http://abcnews.go.com/sections/us/DailyNews/divinorum020401.html

You can legally purchase this sacred herb from various online sources. My favorite is at http://www.iamshaman.com which has both an online store for dried Salvia Divinorum leaf, or super enhanced extra strength leaf which is many times more powerful than just regular leaf due to special extraction techniques that iamshaman.com uses to increase the amount of the hallucinogenic compound known as Salvinorum A back onto regular leaf with many times the concentration of just regular leaf.

The www.iamshaman.com web site is devoted to only Salvia Divinorum and Salvia Divinorum alone. They also have a forum community comprised of over 300 members which are very excited about this sacred plant. Some reports, as the link to the ABC News article above have compared Salvia Divinorum to LSD but in truth it is NOT a new LSD and unlike LSD is so many ways that this kind of comparison is ridiculous, with the exception of the intense visionary experiences reported by those who have smoked or chewed the leaf of this plant.

The owner of the iamshaman.com and Salvia store does not allow the sale of this unique and sacred herb for human use or as a consumable in any way shape or form. Iamshaman strictly forbids its sale for such use and before purchasing this item from them you must promise that you are not going to use it this way. Regardless many individuals have used their products this way and continue to do so, including many of the iamshaman.com online forum members. IamShaman cannot restrict how people use this plant or enhanced products after they receive them and are not responsible for such use. Please do not email them asking about dosage levels etc because Salvia Divinorum cannot be sold for human consumption as this is illegal by both FDA and DEA rules and regulations.

If you are searching for more information about Salvia Divinorum and Salvinorin A my suggestion is to join the iamshaman forum to learn more about this sacred plant used in Shamanistic rituals. The iamshaman forum is a closed forum and cannot be seen by anyone unless they are a approved member of the forum. Law enforcement agencies or individuals who enforce FDA or DEA regulations have not been allowed to join and all individuals applying to join that forum are asked to confirm that they do not work for such agencies. You must be at least 19 years old to either purchase Salvia Divinorum or join the forum. (Age restriction may change without notice.)

This post was NOT made by the owner or administrator of www.iamshaman.com or anyone associated with this group in any way and has been posted without the consent or permission of iamshaman.com. I am not the owner of IamShaman nor have I been asked to make this post for iamshaman.com. I am just a guy who wants to see this information available to as many people as I can get it to. The best place to start is at www.iamshaman.com. Anything written here should be independently verified for accuracy as the forum rules may change without my knowledge.

963. Re: Electronics Boring - Try New Legal Hallucinogen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 06:22:29 UTC
From: BuySalvia@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <12ee0937.0210102222.645f9a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139741

Salvia Divinorum has been compared to LSD by a recent ABC News article at the following link http://abcnews.go.com/sections/us/DailyNews/divinorum020401.html

You can legally purchase this sacred herb from various online sources. My favorite is at http://www.iamshaman.com which has both an online store for dried Salvia Divinorum leaf, or super enhanced extra strength leaf which is many times more powerful than just regular leaf due to special extraction techniques that iamshaman.com uses to increase the amount of the hallucinogenic compound known as Salvinorum A back onto regular leaf with many times the concentration of just regular leaf.

The www.iamshaman.com web site is devoted to only Salvia Divinorum and Salvia Divinorum alone. They also have a forum community comprised of over 300 members which are very excited about this sacred plant. Some reports, as the link to the ABC News article above have compared Salvia Divinorum to LSD but in truth it is NOT a new LSD and unlike LSD is so many ways that this kind of comparison is ridiculous, with the exception of the intense visionary experiences reported by those who have smoked or chewed the leaf of this plant.

The owner of the iamshaman.com and Salvia store does not allow the sale of this unique and sacred herb for human use or as a consumable in any way shape or form. Iamshaman strictly forbids its sale for such use and before purchasing this item from them you must promise that you are not going to use it this way. Regardless many individuals have used their products this way and continue to do so, including many of the iamshaman.com online forum members. IamShaman cannot restrict how people use this plant or enhanced products after they receive them and are not responsible for such use. Please do not email them asking about dosage levels etc because Salvia Divinorum cannot be sold for human consumption as this is illegal by both FDA and DEA rules and regulations.

If you are searching for more information about Salvia Divinorum and Salvinorin A my suggestion is to join the iamshaman forum to learn more about this sacred plant used in Shamanistic rituals. The iamshaman forum is a closed forum and cannot be seen by anyone unless they are a approved member of the forum. Law enforcement agencies or individuals who enforce FDA or DEA regulations have not been allowed to join and all individuals applying to join that forum are asked to confirm that they do not work for such agencies. You must be at least 19 years old to either purchase Salvia Divinorum or join the forum. (Age restriction may change without notice.)

This post was NOT made by the owner or administrator of www.iamshaman.com or anyone associated with this group in any way and has been posted without the consent or permission of iamshaman.com. I am not the owner of IamShaman nor have I been asked to make this post for iamshaman.com. I am just a guy who wants to see this information available to as many people as I can get it to. The best place to start is at www.iamshaman.com. Anything written here should be independently verified for accuracy as the forum rules may change without my knowledge.

964. Re: Is a 10-12 meter rig considered a CB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 06:25:23 UTC
From: Will White <googlyelmo@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DA66EB8.46ABA942@earthlink.net>
Source record: 139742

Because it is a rig that is marketed towards a certain segment of the CB community that wants to (illegally) run high power. You will also see linear amplifiers is the 100-500 watt range that purport to be amateur, but are sold as (and without extensive modification will not function below 25 MHz or so) "10-meter amplifiers"most often iin the 2-300W range (why? All the true ham amps on the market today have outputs of at *least* 500W ,usually the solid state ones for running mobile, and most in the 600-1200 range, because of the convenience of using the tubes that happen to achieve that output (and of course the 'legal limit' amps, that do a full 1500, though there are a few, wink wink, that mention that their power supplies are capable of much, much more!) Same principle at work.

There are many makes and models, though the RCI is the better quality electronically. They are often sold with the tag, "Export 10-meter radio" or something similar, but the intention is clear. Yet, some licensed ham operators do use them (There is a group known as !)-X or "Ten'Ten" that is devoted to the 10-meter band. There are sevotees of many individual bands, like 6-meters and 160-meters ("topband") and there have always been radio manufacturers marketing to these groups.

The kicker of course is that these radios are very easily modified to cover CB channels 1-40, usually by clipping or unsoldering a single wire. Your questions are right on the mark, and though some hams use 10-meter only radios, my experience is that they are usually mobiles, in places where there are 10-meter FM repeaters, or else homebrew or Clegg/Gonset bases for 10 AM (which can be found around/above 29 MHz, usually).

One word of caution. Whether you choose to run "overpower" on CB or not (and I truly do not care as long as the signal stays IN THE CB BAND!), the FCC has announced its intentions of stopping the sales and marketing of the above amps and radios. That means if you buy one today, chances are that the warannty will be worthless, and future help with repairs and spare parts will not be there. From following the FCC Compliance Bureau's moves and whims for the last several years (Since they began the long road back to Ham/CB/Part 15 enforcement) it is evident from the press releases and public statements that the crackdown should begin sometime early next Spring, so be careful how you spend your money.

CBnoobie wrote:

> Found this base station, but the specs show 25 - 150 watts, is this
> considered a CB?, does the operatorhave to be licensed?. If this is
> not a CB but a ham radio, why is the frequency coverage so limited compared
> to other HF rigs, I don't get it.
>
> http://www.rfwiz.com/Ranger/RCI-2985DX.htm

>
> Puzzled.

--
Will White, KD7BFX
Seattle WA US
King County, Grid CN87tq
ITU Zone 6, CQ Zone 3
****
"The wireless telegraph is not difficult to understand.
The ordinary telegraph is like a very long cat.
You pull the tail in New York, and it meows in Los Angeles.
The wireless is the same, only without the cat."
- Albert Einstein
****

965. advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 06:36:13 UTC
From: Raffi <RaffiA@socal.rr.com>
Message-ID: <xjup9.73138$X9.13671555@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 139743

Hi, I just started with the CB, and I was wondering what a good antenna would be, I'm mounting it on my '94 Jeep Wrangler, any advice

966. Re: advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 06:48:39 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da673d4.4117068@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139744

In <xjup9.73138$X9.13671555@twister.socal.rr.com>, "Raffi"
<RaffiA@socal.rr.com> wrote:

>Hi, I just started with the CB, and I was wondering what a good antenna
>would be, I'm mounting it on my '94 Jeep Wrangler, any advice

Don't compromise, get a 102" whip and mount it on the rear bumper.
It's the best you can get, and it's also cheaper than most other
antennas. Tie it down towards the front while city driving.

967. Re: Is a 10-12 meter rig considered a CB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 07:34:03 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DA67EEB.B419EA9C@cwis.net>
Source record: 139745

CBnoobie,
No, it isn't a 'CB' radio. It's a radio marketed
as a 'ham' radio, but in actual fact designed to be
converted to 'CB' radio use. It's a ploy to get around
the regulations.
'Doc

968. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 07:35:56 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DA67F5C.75FD44B5@cwis.net>
Source record: 139746

Smoke Stack,
You're right, most 'CB'ers aren't worried about a reporter
listening in to what they say on the radio. That's a shame,
and a comment on 'CB'ers.
'Doc

969. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 11:39:29 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DA6B871.5085@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 139758

JJ wrote:
>
> Twistedhed wrote:
> >That term came about as a twisted paraphrase of
> > > an interpreted meaning, from a party who's a few fries short of a
> > > complete happy meal upstairs
>
> LOL, is that anything like not having all the numbers in your zip
> code?

Yea, also like:

"Not the brightest bulb in the pack"
"Not the sharpest knife in the drawer"
"A few taco's short of a combination platter"
"The lights are on, but no one's home"
"The elevator doesn't go to the top floor"
"Your basic ID-10-T interface"
"His trolley is off the track"
"Not playing with a full deck"
"Not firing on all 8 cylinders"

Dave
"Sandbagger"

970. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 11:43:39 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DA6B96B.1E1F@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 139755

yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>
> In <3DA57671.2BAF@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
> <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
>
> >The answer is that I never used the phrase "badge of morality" to
> >describe a ham license. That term came about as a twisted paraphrase of
> >an interpreted meaning, from a party who's a few fries short of a
> >complete happy meal upstairs,
>
> You wouldn't be talking about Randy, would you?

I honestly don't remember who exactly. It's just not that important to
me.

>
> > and an associated meaning that I never
> >attempted to convey.
>
> It's too bad that these people are not capable of any original
> thoughts and must revert to deceptive misrepresentations using other
> people's words.

Obfuscation is a common tool, to attempt to discredit a valid point. The
key to defending against this is to not allow the subject to be changed.

>
> >A ham license is a permit to operate ham radio. It is also a testament
> >that the holder passed certain tests, and therefore has a certain degree
> >of understanding of radio principles and operating rules and
> >regulations. It is also further implied that the holder will abide by
> >those rules as set forth by the FCC, and apply good engineering practice
> >when building and modifying any gear or accessories that would be
> >commonly used in a ham station.
> >
> >Since one must apply a certain amount of effort to obtain a ham license
> >(The degree of effort depends on your aptitude in radio), there is a
> >certain amount of value associated with it, from those who hold similar
> >licenses, and a further amount of respect from others who have also
> >worked to achieve something, and recognize the dedication and resolve to
> >accomplish a set goal.
> >
> >There are a few malcontents, on this newsgroup, that have no respect for
> >a ham license or for the effort and accomplishment that is involved.
> >Considering that these same people have no respect for radio rules at

> >all, or any societal law that they also do not agree with, it should be
> >no surprise. Much of this disassociation with goals and accomplishments,
> >says volumes about their own ineptitude at accomplishing anything truly
> >significant in their own lives. It's much easier to denigrate anothers'
> >accomplishments, than to come to terms with your own failures.
> >
> >There is a word that describes these people, and their associated
> >behavior: Sociopath.
>
> Well said. I wonder what phrase they are going to pull out of THAT one
> and distort it to their favor. Time will tell.

I'm waiting to see this added to the growing list of "Sandbagger quotes", that seem to be popping up with alarming regularity as of late......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

971. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 11:53:14 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DA6BBAA.262C@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 139757

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> >Wow! I actually did make that comment, <
>
> Yea, and you have insulted as often as I have claimed you do, too. And
> lied.

> Hell, I feel your
> pain. Go to google and look up "malcontents" and see who you stole that
> from. There's more.

Are you somehow implying that you have exclusive rights to common English vernacular? Using the same term that you might have used during one of your brief periods of lucidity, is certainly a much different situation than quoting an assembled paragraph.

Your lack of comprehension is exceeded only by your absurdity, and is as true to form as ever.

>
> Nah, it's not. As "Aaron" said, if he left, we'fd still have you, Lelnad
> KC8LDO, Dogie Adair N8WWM, and Frank Gilliland to carry on his hammie
> bullshit. Your posts talking about respect and this and that for the
> license are almost identical to the nonpoint he tried to make in here a
> few years ago before we drove him mad.
>

And you're still as predictable as ever. You're too easy. Shooting fish in a barrel would be more challenging.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

972. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 12:03:48 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DA6BE24.236A@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 139756

Twistedhed wrote:

> But you can always ask Dave Hall. I received a few emails that obviously
> weren't orignally meant for my eyes...he's a real, um......character,
> but he is on record as spying on cbers and several of his lies were
> categorized and giftwrapped for me quite nicely. Appears Frank isn't
> the only hammie that knows how to use google, only this one is obviously
> tired of the myths and lies from some of these hammie cretins..

Spying on Cbers? That's rich! Why would I expend the effort? You really
are off the deep end. You now resort to inventing stories. When that
line between fantasy and reality becomes blurred, the men in the white
coats aren't far behind......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

973. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 12:19:31 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210110419.c2a2c4c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139747

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210101500.4c07f4cb@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) spewed in stupid message
> >news:<c9ceae5b.0210091257.25c1161b@posting.google.com>...
> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >> >Date: Wed, Oct 9, 2002 8:04 AM
> >> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210090704.3e8a582e@posting.google.com>
> >> >
> >> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210081144.311232b@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210080516.6eed3add@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210070737.780c6d2@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >> >> > > >Hasn't worked and it won't work, Aanal Aaron, because
> >> >> > > (snip)
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > It's working even as we speak.
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > -A
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Certainly hasn't and isn't, since
> >> >> (snip)
> >> >>
> >> >> Daily fumigation.
> >> >
> >> >Heaven knows you n
> >> (snip)
> >>
> >> Time for the afternoon spray, Steve.
> >>
> >> -A
> >
> >What's the matter, coward; still n
> (snip)
>
>
> Open wide, Steve.
>
> -A

It's time for YOU to open wide, Aanal Aaron, and post the alleged PROOF you offered to "Gladly" post. Funny how it was YOU who posted the conclusive proof that I could not be "Steve". Even funnier how you continue to disavow that information. It shows your complete lack of intelligent reasoning.

When you can PROVE otherwise, feel free to post it. Otherwise all you're doing is wasting your time and everyone else's space. And everybody knows that, even George and Frank. Time to put up or shut up, Aanal Aaron.

974. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 12:21:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DA6C240.5242@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 139753

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
>
> Dave Hall wrote...
> > That term came about as a twisted paraphrase of
> > an interpreted meaning, from a party who's a few fries short of a
> > complete happy meal upstairs
>
> That's why we let Twistedhed rant. There is no better advertisement
> for legal CB. Anyone drawn in by his "CB rules are trivial" campaign
> soon gets put off by his shell-game conversational maneuvers and the
> dishonesty and nihilism that goes along with them.
>

I'm still waiting to hear from the so-called "majority" of Cbers that he claims agrees with him.......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

975. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 12:24:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210110424.41d35e0e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139748

"Fred Flintstoned" <legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote in message
news:<X_Ccna6hztAZljugXTWc3A@News.GigaNews.Com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0210101457.530e69ad@posting.google.com...
>
> > You're the one that claimed there were fewer CBers than ever. You
> > prove it, lamer-Georgie-girl.
>
> LOL As usual nothing heard from Dickhead. PROOF DICKHEAD we want proof your
> good at changing the subject Ill give you that.

Har de har! As usual, nothing from Georgie-girl except bovine
excrement. YOU said there were fewer Cbers than ever. PROVE IT. No
re-directs, just PROOF.

"I don't have to prove nothing."
-George Busch WA3MOJ

976. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 12:26:36 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021011082636.22507.00000950@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 139749

it's true

>
><snip>
>>"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
>
>I rest my case.
>
>
>

977. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 12:27:35 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210110427.1a47a7c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139750

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3da4e635.7119158@news.cet.com>...
> In <20021009221523.27233.00000396@mb-mj.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420
> (Smoke Stack) wrote:
>
> >because your the only one that claims what he did and didnt say all the time
>
> That's bullshit, Timmy. It's Cranium's claim that that Voobner said
> "all 10 meter radios are illegal" (notice the quotes), and that has
> been the rally-cry of his whining campain against Voobner for the past
> couple months. But I can't find any post where Voobner said such a
> thing. Can you? If you can, post it. If you can't then quit backing up
> Cranium's lies.

You haven't looked very hard, have you? But we didn't expect you
would; you're a proven lazy. If you bothered to look you'd prove Aanal
Aaron wrong, and you cannot do that can you? The thread was listed.
Look it up.

You haven't proven me wrong yet(i), Frankie. And why are you backing
up Aanal Aaron's lies?

978. Re: Is a 10-12 meter rig considered a CB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 12:27:35 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021011082735.22507.00000951@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 139751

well done troll but i give it a 5+

>
>
>Found this base station, but the specs show 25 - 150 watts, is this
>considered a CB?, does the operatorhave to be licensed?. If this is
>not a CB but a ham radio, why is the frequency coverage so limited compared
>to other HF rigs, I don't get it.
>
>
>http://www.rfwiz.com/Ranger/RCI-2985DX.htm
>
>Puzzled.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

979. Re: Is a 10-12 meter rig considered a CB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 12:32:06 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021011083206.22507.00000952@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 139752

what it means is the FCC is propobly being pressured by the big three radio manufacurers to send more bussiness there way. with the export rigs gone we will be forced to buy full band HF rigs instead to work the freeband

>the FCC has
>announced its intentions of stopping the sales and marketing of the above
>amps
>and radios. That means if you buy one today, chances are that the warannty
>will be worthless, and future help with repairs and spare parts will not be
>there.

980. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 12:33:40 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210110433.16482904@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139754

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3da610a5.2424169@news.cet.com>...
> In <G8hp9.2753$T65.248665378@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>
> >
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da513af.2461903@news.cet.com...
> >> Guess what, sharkie? Someone found the post from which you quote:
> >>
> >
> >What a surprise? "someone"? Please Frank, everyone
> >knows that you, Aaron & George are the three amigos
> >of google.
>
> How does that detract from the fact that you are quoting other people
> out of context?
>
> <snip>
> >>
> >> It looks like you are making a hobby out of misrepresentation. You go
> >> right ahead, sharkie, and whenever you misquote someone in that manner
> >> I'll be there to provide the context that you selectively omit.
> >>
> >
> > What the Heck are you talking about? "misquote" I took an
> >entire paragraph, not one sentences or a word, so that was by
> >no means a "misquote"
>
> How naive do you think I am, sharkie? In the context of his post the
> paragraph means something completely different than when you, a
> defender of illegal operation and "bad citizens", use it for your sig.
> That's misquoting.

Nope. That's word-for-word quoting. An entire paragraph, even; more
than necessary.

> > Again, your ego is getting in the way
> >of you're vision. I guess if I pull up that post you made offering
> >to build an amp for someone on the cb, I would be taking that out of
> >context? Right?
> > Boy you sure are arguing a lot over nothing, Gheesh.
> >Almost just for the sake of arguing.
>
> You weak deceptions demonstrate that you are seriously underestimating
> the intelligence of the people that read this newsgroup.

Well, golleeeee. You do it all the time, Frankie! But it's only unacceptable when someone else does it? Since when do you dictate what's acceptable and what isn't? A so-called "legal CBer" when "all CBers are cretins"?

Nice try. You lose. Again.

981. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 12:35:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021011083500.22507.00000955@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 139759

no were just not paranoid about our spectrum being sold out from under us like you guys have to be. big boy do you worry what a reporter would think if he seen you at the all you can eat buffet?

>
>
>
>
>Smoke Stack,
> You're right, most 'CB'ers aren't worried about a reporter
>listening in to what they say on the radio. That's a shame,
>and a comment on 'CB'ers.
> 'Doc
>
>
>
>
>
>

982. Aaron H Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 12:36:09 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021011083609.22507.00000957@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 139760

aaron is it really true you offered to "gladly post" proof about that cranium guy being somebody you thought he was??? because i think i missed the post where you had proof. please fill me in.

983. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 12:40:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021011084023.22507.00000959@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 139761

>I'm waiting to see this added to the growing list of "Sandbagger
>quotes", that seem to be popping up with alarming regularity as of
>late......
>

kewl dave, is it ok if i quote you too?

"I scratch my ass all day" - Sandbagger Dave

984. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 13:00:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DA6CB71-347@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139762

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
Wow! I actually did make that comment, <

Yea, and you have insulted as often as I have claimed you do, too. And lied.
Hell, I feel your
pain. Go to google and look up "malcontents" and see who you stole that from. There's more.

>

Are you somehow implying that you have exclusive rights to common English vernacular?
<

Nooooooope! I'm claiming that since I arrived your behavior has improved and so has your grammar, tremendously. Oh yea, and that you borrow liberally from my *vernacular*. I was agreeing that the imitation is the sincerest form of flattery but you're too far gone and rabid to even realize when someone agrees with you.

>
Using the same term that you might have used during one of your brief periods of lucidity, is certainly a much different situation than quoting an assembled paragraph.
<

So you failed to check and shot your mouth off anyway. You really should check and you would see it's much more than a simple paragraph or two that you fail to comprehend.

>
Your lack of comprehension is exceeded only by your absurdity, and is as true to form as ever.
<

And you are right on schedule....attack the person whose view you don't agree with. Get personal, hurl some insults....that has been your history among us.

Nah, it's not. As "Aaron" said, if he left, we'fd still have you, Lelnad KC8LDO, Dogie Adair N8WWM, and Frank Gilliland to carry on his hammie bullshit. Your posts talking about respect and this and that for the license are almost identical to the nonpoint he tried to make in here a few years ago before we drove him mad.

>
And you're still as predictable as ever. You're too easy.
Shooting fish in a barrel would be more challenging.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
<

And you're still a liar and a hypocrite. How much do you want shared with the group, Hall? I don't hold grudges, but if you want to wage another of your little adolescent games, bring it on.
Do I have your permission to post some of your private emails that obviously weren't meant for me?
Maybe you should stick to shooting fish in a barrel. They are defenseless creatures and can't point out your whopper lies concerning all kinds of things, but I can. How much do you want revealed? Getting personal is way off topic, but wre can take your spineless insults to email or you can continue to manifest your juvenile obsession with opposing views by using this board as your personal insult toy.
Appears you aren't the most liked upperclassmen among your hammie peers....but then again, your REAL peers are Lelnad KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, and Dogie Adair N8WWM, just like "Arron" claimed, and we all know "Aaron" doesn't lie, right?

985. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 13:11:58 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DA6CE1E-349@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139764

I'm still waiting to hear from the so-called "majority" of Cbers that he claims agrees with him.......
Dave
"Sandbagger"
-
And I'm still waiting for "Aaron" to post from voobner@yahoo and still waiting for Frank to tell us how RF pirates affect his work.
Oh, to answer your question (as I am polite and do not run nor hide from anything posed by you, even your trouble of posse named by "arron" that consists of yourself, Lelnad KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, and Dogie Adair N8WWM. All troubled hammies in a cb forum.....(LOL!).......no one agrees with you that minor rule infractions makes one a criminal except your posse of hate and discontent (You, Frank, Dogie, and Lelnad), that makes YOUR view in the minority. You must be missing posts again...try another server, as many have agreed with me concerning what makes a criminal, not you, and have said as much, even a licensed LEO. Once again, your views are in the radical minority and man, let me tell you, someone (an upperclassman) out there has it in for you 'cause your info contradicts itself all over the place. You must behave the same to hammies as you do here. I'm still waiting for an answer from you as to how much of your hypocrisy you want shared concerning your outright lies about cb and its operators?

986. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 13:16:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DA6CF14-351@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139768

Shark wrote:
>
Well, golleeeee. You do it all the time, Frankie! But it's only
unacceptable when someone else does it? Since when do you dictate what's
acceptable and what isn't?
<

Since he threatened to take his ball and go home when he tried to start
his own group and no one would follow, not even one (snicker).
He's been pissed and trying to make everyone pay ever since because "we
can't see that he would make a great dictator, er, moderator.

987. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 13:18:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DA6CFBE-354@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139769

Mopar wrote:
>
Don't hold your breath,the majority of CBers have never even heard of
this newsgroup.
--
<
Nope!
But the majority here have spoken and they know where the trouble
originates. Add to that, most present do not share Hall's radical views
of what constitutes a criminal and well, there you have it...a majority.

988. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 13:23:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17690-3DA6D0CC-144@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139765

From: w5lz@cwis.net ('Doc)
Smoke Stack,
      You're right, most 'CB'ers aren't worried about a reporter listening in to what they say on the radio. That's a shame, and a comment on 'CB'ers.
                                                'Doc
-
That's about as accurate as saying no one on two meter worries about the media. Must be a comment about nocodes and all who perch there, eh? Thing is Doc, despite what these cretins claim, cb isn't newsworthy to the general public and neither is hammie radio. This greatly pains certain hammies, evidenced by the damaged egos (IE: Hall not getting respect by virtue of a license, Dogie having his hairs cut for the same thing, Lelnad always defending his nocode status, Frank always in drag).

989. Re: Is a 10-12 meter rig considered a CB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 13:25:22 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DA6D142-355@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139766

From: timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie)
well done troll but i give it a 5+
-

Hahahha! It's
getting too deep in here for certain hammies.....the shills have to
be placed as an attempted distraction!

990. Re: Is a 10-12 meter rig considered a CB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 13:27:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DA6D1D5-356@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139767

From: timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie)
>
what it means is the FCC is propobly being pressured by the big three
radio manufacurers to send more bussiness there way. with the export
rigs gone we will be forced to buy full band HF rigs instead to work the
freeband
the FCC has
announced its intentions of stopping the sales and marketing of the
above amps
and radios.
<

That means if you buy one today, chances are that the warannty will be
worthless, and future help with repairs and spare parts will not be
there.
-

I didn't know the FCC was marketing amps and radios. <g>
Don't you just love it when a plan comes together?

991. Rec.radio.cb get ready

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 13:38:14 UTC
From: dougadair@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021011093814.22376.00000905@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 139763

it's about to start all over again.....

992. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 13:42:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DA6D543-357@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139771

Spying on Cbers? That's rich! Why would I expend the effort? You really are off the deep end. You now resort to inventing stories. When that line between fantasy and reality becomes blurred, the men in the white coats aren't far behind.....
Dave
"Sandbagger"
-

Here's dave talking about the "identity game" preferred by Frank, Lelnad, and himself.

>
This "Identity Paranoia" seem very prevelant on the CB band. I've always chalked it up to the fact that most people run illegally in one respect or another, and have a need to "hide behind the mike", to make any law enforcement action that much tougher.
The real irony is that despite the steps that people take to "hide" themselves, a clever "sandbagger", can gather tons of information from just listening to conversations. I've listened to people, and just from their casual comments, I figured out where they worked, how many kids they have, how old they are, the general area that they live in (And simple DFing would narrow it down from there), they type of cars they drive, the make and models of their radio gear (And whether it's illegal) and so on. You don't have to announce your name and address for people, intent on finding you, to track you down. Dave
"Sandbagger"
<<

Here we have our little angel putting forth that it's the cbers wishing to play the identity game, but admits it's HIM figuring out all this personal stuff on people. I mean, why exert such useless energy? Never mind, you denied doing it.
Hell, this has been Frank and Nads favorite game on this board for years....why just recently Frank Gililand was posting satelllite pics of my neighborhood, and the other day he posted one of my neighbors phone numbers and address. Oh yea, it's the cbers that want this stuff.....yet here Dave Hall meticulously and methodically tells of his exact personal experiences and admits what he has "figured out" about people.
Oh yea, this "real irony" you speak of, Dave, is lost on you.
And just for the record, Hall, I am not "going" off the deep end, nor am I suffering from insanity. I am thoroughly enjoying every minute of it and have always been in the deep end. You're just a s-l-o-w learner.
There's more, Dave, but as I suggested, you should take your little self-waged firefight and grudge you have with me to email, as there is

nothing to gained by posting your personal bullshit except to show those that may be "sitting on the fence" or "newbies" <g> how you lie and are not to be trusted, but that's alright with me if that's what you really want.
But I don't think you will cease your personal activites of troll, as the other loathsomes named with you by your friend "Aaron" of Frank Gilliland, Dogie Adair N8WWM, and Lelnad KC8LDO have all been told this before when they begin personal attacks and they refuse to honor ng etiquette also. "Aaron" was right on with that post naming you four...birds of a feather and dogs of the same smell.

993. Re: Is a 10-12 meter rig considered a CB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 13:51:20 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uqdlu29lod4nc2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139770

Puzzled? They spell it out for you right at the top of the "ad"...

RANGER
Ranger Communications
amateur
base
transceivers

I don't see the word CB anywhere in that, do you?

Didn't think so...
PH

"CBnoobie" <cbnoobe@cb.com> wrote in message
news:uGsp9.20014$ZO1.1182677@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Found this base station, but the specs show 25 - 150 watts, is this
> considered a CB?, does the operatorhave to be licensed?. If this is
> not a CB but a ham radio, why is the frequency coverage so limited
compared
> to other HF rigs, I don't get it.
>
>
> http://www.rfwiz.com/Ranger/RCI-2985DX.htm
>
> Puzzled.
>
>
>

994. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 14:11:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DA6DBF8-360@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139773

Or how about this little hypocrisy, Dave?
-
>
"I agree with your assessment and attitude WRT the CB radio situation.
Human nature, such that it is, tells us that if you give someone an
attitude, they will likely not pay much attention to what it is you're
trying to say, good or bad. "
<

Self-contradictory. You claimed it gave you pleasure just the other day.
You're on such a downhill spiral that even us lowly cbers feel sorry
for.

>
"This also illustrates my point about "intent" of the law vs. the
"letter" of the law.
I, personally, don't have a problem with someone who keeps a low
profile, runs equipment that is clean, and at modest power levels, and
is considerate of the rights of other operators.If that means that same
operator occasionally escapes to a "freeband" channel or bumps up the
power a few watts to maintain contact with their base/mobile, over
adverse conditions, then so be it."
<

That's Dave Hall...hypocrite galore.
Whatsamatter Dave, having problems deciding just exactly how you feel
about these criminals or do you change your mind to suit the thread
you're trolling? Of course, you can always claim you didn't remebber it,
or you forgot about it.

>
"That being said, I do not support protesting the 155 mile limit by
deliberately engaging in skip talking. Talking skip is the primary casue
of the noise levels and interference that hamper the ability of locals
to communicate with their respective friends and family. It goes with
"respecting the rights of other operators". Skip talkers are not being
considerate (or even cognizant in many cases) of the potential
interference"
<

There is that magic word..."potential"...sorta like the word "if", eh?
<g>

>
that their activity can cause to people that are not even in the same
area.

Since the intended purpose of CB radio was short distance LOCAL communications, the 155 mile limit is reasonable in that vein, even if the frequency band is not always conducive to only local communications. I've always favored moving the CB band to somewhere in the VHF spectrum, where skip would be a rarity, and that whole piece of the equation would be effectively removed."
<

And since things aren't as you like them you figured you would just come in here and lie like hell, contradict yourself, and spread calamity while getting your tongue cut a few times by those that you mistakenly thought you were above.

>
"As for recruiting CBers to the ham radio fraternity, my attitude is this: Most CBers, that are serious enough about radio that they are running on the freeband, are undoubtedly aware of the existance of ham radio. If they already know about it, they are either interested in it or not. If not, it most likely has to do with preconceived notions about ham "attitude" (Stuffed shirts)." <

Hahahahahahaha! Dave can't grasp the concept...hahahah!

>
"I make an effort to try to enlighten the person,"
<

With lies, deliberate disinformation and plain old bullshit.

>
"but if he's set in his ways, I let it drop".
<

You wouldn't still be trying to hump my leg if that post had an ounce of integrity. But as you have shown quite well, none of your posts do.

>
"If they want more information, I leave the door open and will gladly help if and when they decide that they want to make the plunge. Frankly with the current license requirements, I can't understand why more people don't move to ham radio. "
<

That's right...I just said that...you can't grasp the concept. This ignorance isn't shared by all.

>
It's not that difficult,
<

Neither is philately, can younot comprehend why one doesn't take that up as well?

>
" and there are enough diversity in operators,
that almost anyone can find "kindred" spirits on one band or another.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
<

You have demonstrated you're about as far removed from "kindred spirit"
as one can be when comparing yourself to the majority of this group.
It's the concept thing again, Dave. You can not grasp it, no matter how
many times you redundantly reiterate *your* conjured reasons aloud to
yourself. It simply is something you have demonstrated a propensity for
not comprehending.

995. dx cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 14:43:43 UTC
From: savounna@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021011104343.24287.00000748@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 139772

73 51 de1415 center france op jean

996. dx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 14:52:51 UTC
From: savounna@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021011105251.24287.00000749@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 139774

73 51 de1415 center france

997. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 15:06:17 UTC
From: Chapman the Crackman <johhnyappleseed@hemp.com>
Message-ID: <Y_-dnQFtS5d3dTugXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139775

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> Why don't you answer this question?

WWTK

998. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 15:11:57 UTC
From: Chapman the Crackman <johhnyappleseed@hemp.com>
Message-ID: <lWmdnZ0MSKygdzugXTWcow@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139776

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> Har de har! As usual, nothing from Georgie-girl except bovine
> excrement. YOU said there were fewer Cbers than ever. PROVE IT. No
> re-directs, just PROOF.

You made the initail claim DickHead It is up to you to show Proof. Just the same as you ask Aaron, when you provide proof to back up your wild claims perhaps then and only then I will do the same. Why should I have to disapprove your wild claims. In fact Ill give you a scenario in which you are trying to purport. " I say there are at least 1000 pink elephants in the Usa. prove me wrong" LOL Dickhead you ask aaron for proof yet you won't even post proof when your asked How can you expect him to do prove anythuing when you have been proven time and time again not to be credible at all. Remember this statement it goes directly to the heart of the matter.

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

999. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 15:39:29 UTC
From: Chapman the Crackman <johhnyappleseed@hemp.com>
Message-ID: <pGqdnZnMpsAsbTugXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139777

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021011110956.471$SN@newsreader.com...
> "Chapman the Crackman" <johhnyappleseed@hemp.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > Why don't you answer this question?
> >
> >>WWTK
> >
> The one you snipped,jackass.
>
> "Are you George Busch,or are you related to him? (no flame,just for
> conformations' sake)"

Ill say it again WWTK

Do you know what that means? Ill help you
Who Wants to Know

1000. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 15:52:32 UTC
From: Chapman the Crackman <johhnyappleseed@hemp.com>
Message-ID: <7xSdnQLjUsBdbjugXTWcpg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139778

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021011114203.023$xU@newsreader.com...
> I do.

Sorry who is Ido?

1001. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 16:04:07 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021011120407.09520.00000590@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 139779

Tim Nebo does

>
>Ill say it again WWTK
>
>Do you know what that means? Ill help you
>Who Wants to Know
>

1002. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 16:04:49 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <AECp9.2571$CN2.178680890@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139780

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021011114203.023$xU@newsreader.com...
> "George the Crackman" <johhnyappleseed@hemp.com> > "Are you George
Busch,or are you related to him? (no flame,just for
> conformations' sake)"
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

Why bother Mopar, you know it's him. He has never
given an honest answer once here, so why believe him anyway.

Landshark

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

1003. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 16:08:34 UTC
From: daddys little boy <nancysadouchebag@georgebusch.com>
Message-ID: <tqtdqugfnf3ur6ecbo3hnc31m027nvcbah@4ax.com>
Source record: 139781

On 11 Oct 2002 16:04:07 GMT, timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote:

George is a usless peice of crap dont bother with him

>Tim Nebo does
>
>>
>>Ill say it again WWTK
>>
>>Do you know what that means? Ill help you
>>Who Wants to Know
>>
>
>
>

1004. Re: advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 16:16:45 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <NPCp9.4417$5t.193337637@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139782

"Raffi" <RaffiA@socal.rr.com> wrote in message
news:xjup9.73138$X9.13671555@twister.socal.rr.com...
> Hi, I just started with the CB, and I was wondering what a good antenna
> would be, I'm mounting it on my '94 Jeep Wrangler, any advice
>
>

You could go with a 102" whip, it works well and
is cheap in price. Also you could go with a Firestick, Quadwound or anyone
of those types of antennas. The
problem with a 102" is that if you are off 4X4, it will
be smacking every low tree limb, branch or whatever
low object is around. The wound antennas, while not
giving you quite as good as performance, will be a lot
lower, thus not hitting low objects.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1005. Re: Is a 10-12 meter rig considered a CB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 17:25:03 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <5PDp9.11695$CE4.955542@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 139783

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021011083206.22507.00000952@mb-mr.aol.com...
> what it means is the FCC is propobly being pressured by the big three
radio
> manufacurers to send more bussiness there way. with the export rigs gone
we
> will be forced to buy full band HF rigs instead to work the freeband

What it means is, people are sick and tired of unlicensed operators stealing
frequencies,
operating anywhere they want, and ignoring the law of the land. With the
appointment of
a ham to the Committee on Telecommunications under Billy Tauzin, perhaps
this is the first
action towards getting some badly needed things done. FCC has already
admitted they made
a mistake by ignoring CB for all those years. Perhaps Mr. Walden and Mr.
Tauzin can get
some funds directed towards getting rid of that kind of interference to
licensed services.

Jerry

>
> >the FCC has
> >announced its intentions of stopping the sales and marketing of the above
> >amps
> >and radios. That means if you buy one today, chances are that the
warannty
> >will be worthless, and future help with repairs and spare parts will not
be
> >there.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1006. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 17:47:53 UTC
From: Chapman the Crackman <johhnyappleseed@hemp.com>
Message-ID: <wgKdnWGqv7h6kzqgXTWcow@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139784

"daddys little boy" <nancysadouchebag@georgebusch.com> wrote in message
news:tqtdqugfnf3ur6ecbo3hnc31m027nvcbah@4ax.com...
> On 11 Oct 2002 16:04:07 GMT, timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote:
>
> George is a usless peice of crap dont bother with him

Don't get your panties in an uproar old Pink Muffin Mark Beck

1007. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 17:49:36 UTC
From: Chapman the Crackman <johhnyappleseed@hemp.com>
Message-ID: <2qucnVK5JMqskjqgXTWcqw@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139785

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021011120855.237$zr@newsreader.com...
> I'm going to email the real George Busch,just to let him know this jackoff
> is masquerading as him..

LOL And your masquerading as a man.

1008. Re: Is a 10-12 meter rig considered a CB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 17:56:29 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021011135629.09405.00000831@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 139786

if thats the case then it looked more like a plea for help from riley. myabe he thinks his job will be in danger?

>> what it means is the FCC is propobly being pressured by the big three
>radio
>> manufacurers to send more bussiness there way. with the export rigs gone
>we
>> will be forced to buy full band HF rigs instead to work the freeband
>
>
>What it means is, people are sick and tired of unlicensed operators stealing
>frequencies,
>operating anywhere they want, and ignoring the law of the land. With the
>appointment of
>a ham to the Committee on Telecommunications under Billy Tauzin, perhaps
>this is the first
>action towards getting some badly needed things done. FCC has already
>admitted they made
>a mistake by ignoring CB for all those years. Perhaps Mr. Walden and Mr.
>Tauzin can get
>some funds directed towards getting rid of that kind of interference to
>licensed services.
>
>Jerry
>
>
>
>
>>
>> >the FCC has
>> >announced its intentions of stopping the sales and marketing of the above
>> >amps
>> >and radios. That means if you buy one today, chances are that the
>warannty
>> >will be worthless, and future help with repairs and spare parts will not
>be
>> >there.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1009. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 18:02:52 UTC
From: daddys little boy <nancysadouchebag@georgebusch.com>
Message-ID: <lh4equ8q1aht45d7cbssl3vr86hs47gtgv@4ax.com>
Source record: 139787

On Fri, 11 Oct 2002 13:49:36 -0400, "Chapman the Crackman"
<johhnyappleseed@hemp.com> wrote:

you think your a man so what bitch

>
><Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
>news:20021011120855.237$zr@newsreader.com...
>> I'm going to email the real George Busch,just to let him know this jackoff
>> is masquerading as him..
>
>LOL And your masquerading as a man.
>

1010. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 18:10:11 UTC
From: * RADIOSERVICE 39 * <cbradioservice@poczta.gazeta.pl>
Message-ID: <ao7456$3uq$1@news.gazeta.pl>
Source record: 139788

It's quite true! 60 miles is possible. For the best performance you
should use horizontal dipoles as antennas (dipole = 2 x 262 cm = 2
x 103 inches, minimum height = 200 inches above the ground). I use
this antennas for 80 miles (between cities Lodz and Warsaw) and they
are working OK. Mode - SSB. What rig are you going to use?
Best regards,
Chris,
Poland.--
-= RADIOSERVICE 39 =-
radio39@wp.pl
radioservice39@poczta.onet.pl
cbradioservice@poczta.onet.pl
GG # 2184191
ICQ # 146776296
+48 603 360 445

U&#65533;ytkownik "Bob" <prosper2040@sympatico.ca> napisa&#65533; w wiadomo&#65533;ci
news:ez6n9.16190$%P2.748632@news20.bellglobal.com...
>
> Ok, This is what I'm looking to do. I want reasonably reliable
two way
> radio communications between two cities
> approximately 60 miles (100km) apart. Can this be done with
currently
> available CB's ? Someone toldme it might be possible with SSB
rigs running
> their full legal wattage.
>
> Thanks.
>
>
>

--
Serwis Usenet w portalu Gazeta.pl -> http://www.gazeta.pl/usenet/

1011. george is cracking up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 18:12:03 UTC
From: daddys little boy <nancysadouchebag@georgebusch.com>
Message-ID: <bv4equk2f771edf5rdf1i3gg53kd1nohmq@4ax.com>
Source record: 139789

think what you want little man but you havent got a fcking clue on who
i am keep fishing nowstfu you anal aaronia moron

1012. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 18:18:09 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <BBEp9.4436$S01.195500057@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139790

"* RADIOSERVICE 39 *" <cbradioservice@poczta.gazeta.pl> wrote in message
news:ao7456$3uq$1@news.gazeta.pl...
> It's quite true! 60 miles is possible. For the best performance you
> should use horizontal dipoles as antennas (dipole = 2 x 262 cm = 2
> x 103 inches, minimum height = 200 inches above the ground). I use
> this antennas for 80 miles (between cities Lodz and Warsaw) and they
> are working OK. Mode - SSB. What rig are you going to use?
> Best regards,
> Chris,
> Poland.--
> -= RADIOSERVICE 39 =-
> radio39@wp.pl
> radioservice39@poczta.onet.pl
> cbradioservice@poczta.onet.pl
> GG # 2184191
> ICQ # 146776296
> +48 603 360 445
> ----------
> U�ytkownik "Bob" <prosper2040@sympatico.ca> napisa� w wiadomo�ci
> news:ez6n9.16190$%P2.748632@news20.bellglobal.com...
> >
> > Ok, This is what I'm looking to do. I want reasonably reliable
> two way
> > radio communications between two cities
> > approximately 60 miles (100km) apart. Can this be done with
> currently
> > available CB's ? Someone toldme it might be possible with SSB
> rigs running
> > their full legal wattage.
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> --
> Serwis Usenet w portalu Gazeta.pl -> http://www.gazeta.pl/usenet/

Good Info

Landshark

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

1013. Re: Is a 10-12 meter rig considered a CB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 18:28:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DA7183B.3ADB84F0@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139800

CBnoobie wrote:
>
> Found this base station, but the specs show 25 - 150 watts, is this
> considered a CB?, does the operatorhave to be licensed?. If this is
> not a CB but a ham radio, why is the frequency coverage so limited compared
> to other HF rigs, I don't get it.
>
> http://www.rfwiz.com/Ranger/RCI-2985DX.htm
>
> Puzzled.

No, this is not a cb rig and is illegal to transmitt with unless
you hold an FCC Amateur (Ham) Radio Operator's License.

1014. Re: Is a 10-12 meter rig considered a CB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 18:38:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1885-3DA71AB8-366@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139792

FCC has already admitted they made
a mistake by ignoring CB for all those years. Jerry
-

Where?

1015. Re: george is cracking up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 18:45:28 UTC
From: Chapman the Crackman <johhnyappleseed@hemp.com>
Message-ID: <V4WcnY_XB9jVgTqgXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139791

<nancysadouchebag@georgebusch.com> wrote in message
news:bv4equk2f771edf5rdf1i3gg53kd1nohmq@4ax.com...
> think what you want little man but you havent got a fcking clue on who
> i am keep fishing nowstfu you anal aaronia moron

little man LOL well the ip doesnt lie LOL what ever you say snatch block

1016. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 18:47:23 UTC
From: Chapman the Crackman <johhnyappleseed@hemp.com>
Message-ID: <E9ucnfySi-MmgTqgXTWc3Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139793

"daddys little boy" <nancysadouchebag@georgebusch.com> wrote in message
news:lh4equ8q1aht45d7cbssl3vr86hs47gtgv@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 11 Oct 2002 13:49:36 -0400, "Chapman the Crackman"
> <johhnyappleseed@hemp.com> wrote:
>
> you think your a man so what bitch

Bend over Ill show you how much of a man I am chapwoman

1017. Re: george is cracking up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 18:49:45 UTC
From: Chapman the Crackman <johhnyappleseed@hemp.com>
Message-ID: <qrSdneHlz9nUgDqgXTWcow@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139794

"daddys little boy" <nancysadouchebag@georgebusch.com> wrote in message
news:bv4equk2f771edf5rdf1i3gg53kd1nohmq@4ax.com...
> think what you want little man but you havent got a fcking clue on who
> i am keep fishing nowstfu you anal aaronia moron

Oh I have more than a clue Chapwoman

1018. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 18:58:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1886-3DA71F59-149@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139795

"Aaron" wroted:
It's ironic but some time ago I defended police officers in this NG
during a discussion about local LEO's ability to enforce FCC regulations
viz a viz HR2346 (a House Bill that allows communities to authorize
local PD's to enforce FCC CB rules). Quite a few regulars in this NG
argued that the average police officer was too dumb (their words not
mine) to be able to see the difference between a legal radio setup and
an illegal one.
<
--

My apologies, "Aaron". I didn;'t think this true, as I merely thought
you were trying to smear someone....cbers in particular. Hell, it
happened so long ago, who could remember? Compliments one of your hammie
peers (not pals). Someone apparently doesn't like an upperclassman like
Dave Hall messing around with cbers giving the rank and file hammies a
bad image when they are trying their damndest to further hammie radio.
This one surprised even me, as their are a few whopper ironies in the
post:
From: Dave Hall <"dhall"@gic.gi.com$>
Subject: Re: To Dave "Sandbagger"
Date: 1997/03/14
Message-ID: <5gc3tb$knn@cnn.gic.gi.com>#1/1
References: <01bc2eaf$bae5ad20$0d26c0cc@default>
Organization: General Instruments Communications Division
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Patrick wrote:
I definatly agree with your posting on the cheap electronics like tv's
and cellular phones that have little or no tvi filters. I also believe
in creating a clean running system. Between these two things, I believe
we (> CB / Ham / Amatuer radio operators could live in harmony
with the general
public.......Later.........Patrick
<//>

The thing that scares me is the turning over of radio enforcement to
local police agencies.
Police are not trained in RF or radio theory, and as such, cannot make
intelligent decisions regarding who's at fault. I guess is that they
would tend to follow the "squeaky wheel" theory, and vote in favor of
the loud complaining neighbors over your legal right to operate a radio
station.
I operate both CB and ham stations, and have the legal right to run 1500
watts if I choose. Currently I have nothing that exceeds 180 watts, but
if my neighbors were to start complaining, I don't want/need the hassle

of trying to defend my hobby to someone that has NO idea of what they are dealing with, and then having to deal with being labeled as a criminal because I tried to stand up for my rights to my hobby. Right now people are yelling "yea! we need some enforcement to clean up the bands and get rid of all the illegals". But what heppens when LEGAL operators start getting the gestapo treatment by the local "fuzz"? The FCC is still the only fair bet on radio related complaints. Maybe they need more money to enforce the rules, but I'd rather have them than the cops telling me the what-for......
Dave
N3CVJ
"Sandbagger"

1019. Re: Question for the CB EXPERTS in the Group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 19:19:46 UTC
From: * RADIOSERVICE 39 * <cbradioservice@poczta.gazeta.pl>
Message-ID: <ao78jb$gu6$1@news.gazeta.pl>
Source record: 139796

It's not impossible ...
Best regards,
Chris,
Poland
--
-= RADIOSERVICE 39 =-
radio39@wp.pl
radioservice39@poczta.onet.pl
cbradioservice@poczta.onet.pl
GG # 2184191
ICQ # 146776296
+48 603 360 445

U&#65533;ytkownik "Tony" <prosper2040@sympatico.ca> napisa&#65533; w wiadomo&#65533;ci
news:gN8n9.10980$zz2.1480979@news20.bellglobal.com...
> How about with a power increase in SSB mode.?

--
Serwis Usenet w portalu Gazeta.pl -> http://www.gazeta.pl/usenet/

1020. Re: MRF 477 substitute?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 19:44:40 UTC
From: * RADIOSERVICE 39 * <cbradioservice@poczta.gazeta.pl>
Message-ID: <ao79nfjan1@news.gazeta.pl>
Source record: 139797

My response below ... (*)
--
-= RADIOSERVICE 39 =-
radio39@wp.pl
radioservice39@poczta.onet.pl
cbradioservice@poczta.onet.pl
GG # 2184191
ICQ # 146776296
+48 603 360 445

_____

U&#65533;ytkownik "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> napisa&#65533;
w wiadomo&#65533;ci news:anlfts$4nb$4@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote in message
> news:anal11$cp0aq$2@ID-7206.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> > You can get the stock 477 up to about 40 peak
> > with simple tuning.
* up to 60 W PEP with good cooling and good working ALC

> > A single 1969 or 2312 is good
> > for around 25 peak in typical circuits.
* don't use 2SC1969 ! it's only 18WPEP
2SC2312 is better and more safe
the best substitute of MRF477 is MRF455 (another case, but working
in the same electrical conditions)
>
> I have known the 1969 used in small amps but, with the Lincoln
> being designed to use the 477, I have known sets to put out
> about 15 to 17 Watts with the 1969.
* You must remember about ALC ! it takes 2W at least ! than you
have only 13W PEP and it makes only 4 - 5 W AM with good modulation.
>
> > > I am not too keen on the MRF455 conversion, it is much
> > > better to fit a transistor that slots straight in.
> >
> > I like the 455 conversion the way Uniden does it on
> > the new Lincolns. It's really pretty simple.
* yes, it's pretty simple, but radios made now a days are much
worse, than radios made in early 90'ties.
>
> I haven't seen the new Lincolns. Have they started using
> the MRF455 on the new models straight out the factory?
* this factory is in France, worse factory than Philippines. They
are making labels of Philippines, but it's french production

>
>
> A modification sheet I have here gives details of fitting an
> MRF455, but also suggests that the 477 can be replaced
> with an MRF497.
* or MRF454 as well

Best regards,
Chris,
Poland

--
Serwis Usenet w portalu Gazeta.pl -> http://www.gazeta.pl/usenet/

1021. Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 20:07:37 UTC
From: Eric Kanack <alpine@twmi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dcGp9.47355$kF.7655708@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 139799

Galaxy DX-959 (New In Box) $175
Galaxy DX-88 HL (New, No Box, Radio Only) $250
Connex 3300-HP (New, No Box, Radio Only) $175
Superstar 3900G (New, No Box, Radio Only) $175
RCI Ranger 2950 (Used) $150
Solarcon HP-5000 Watt Meter (New In Box) $80

I will accept Paypal or US Postal service money orders only. I am Paypal verified and can assure you that the transaction will be 100% valid

1022. GE 3-5871A Channel Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 20:15:37 UTC
From: DJ Jiles <dj@fixitup.cc>
Message-ID: <80Gp9.119$xj2.226181@news1.atlantic.net>
Source record: 139798

I am looking for a upper and lower channel mod for a GE 3-5871A Base. Any help is appreciated.

DJ

--

1023. Re: Uniden Weather Channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 20:45:13 UTC
From: TSH <jimbob@world.com>
Message-ID: <tLGp9.17718$ue4.1076630@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139801

Thanks for all the responses. Just an FYI, the weather channel does work
with the Lil Wil. thanks again.
"TSH" <jimbob@world.com> wrote in message
news:NBMl9.74853$jG2.4069423@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I asked this question once before and I got two different answers so I am
> asking again. I am buying a Uniden Bearcat with weather channel. I have
a
> 102" whip on one vehicle and a Wilson Little Wil on another. Will both
of
> these antennas receive the weather broadcast or do I need something else?
> Thanks.
>
>

1024. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 20:48:04 UTC
From: "lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com>
Message-ID: <25eequ00kr4jrtvvp1dbqqsenf3h38rnha@4ax.com>
Source record: 139802

```
11 20 ms 30 ms 30 ms bb1-atm-P0-1.atdn.net [66.185.147.209]
12 30 ms 50 ms 30 ms bb1-cha-P6-0.atdn.net [66.185.152.183]
13 40 ms 50 ms 41 ms bb1-vie-P10-0.atdn.net [66.185.152.29]
14 40 ms 40 ms 40 ms bb2-vie-P11-0.atdn.net [66.185.152.207]
15 50 ms 50 ms 60 ms bb2-chi-P6-0.atdn.net [66.185.152.214]
16 50 ms 50 ms 61 ms pop2-chi-P0-1.atdn.net [66.185.148.69]
17 60 ms 60 ms 60 ms RR-DET.atdn.net [66.185.141.98]
18 60 ms 60 ms 70 ms pos1-0.lvnami1-ubr1.twmi.rr.com
[24.169.224.74]

19 * * * Request timed out.
20 * * * Request timed out.
21 * * * Request timed out.
22 * * * Request timed out.
23 * * * Request timed out.
24 * * * Request timed out.
25 * * * Request timed out.
26 * * * Request timed out.
27 * * * Request timed out.
28 * * * Request timed out.
29 * * * Request timed out.
30 * * * Request timed out.

race complete.

Hmmm... I wonder if the Network Admin at Road runner in Tiwas Michigan
knows your selling Equipment not type certified for CB use?

The Mole.

On Fri, 11 Oct 2002 20:07:37 GMT, "Eric Kanack" <alpine@twmi.rr.com>
was blabbling:

...Galaxy DX-959 (New In Box) $175
...Galaxy DX-88 HL (New, No Box, Radio Only) $250
...Connex 3300-HP (New, No Box, Radio Only) $175
...Superstar 3900G (New, No Box, Radio Only) $175
...RCI Ranger 2950 (Used)
$150
...Solarcon HP-5000 Watt Meter (New In Box) $80
...
...
...I will accept Paypal or US Postal service money orders only. I am
Paypal
...verified and can assure you that the transaction will be 100% valid
...
```

1025. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 21:08:41 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <t5Hp9.22759$OB5.2132133@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 139803

Uhhhh, seems to me that the poster did not say anything about CBs. He said
export radios.
"lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com> wrote in message
news:25eequ00kr4jrtvvp1dbqqsenf3h38rnha@4ax.com...
> 11 20 ms 30 ms 30 ms bb1-atm-P0-1.atdn.net [66.185.147.209]
> 12 30 ms 50 ms 30 ms bb1-cha-P6-0.atdn.net [66.185.152.183]
> 13 40 ms 50 ms 41 ms bb1-vie-P10-0.atdn.net [66.185.152.29]
> 14 40 ms 40 ms 40 ms bb2-vie-P11-0.atdn.net [66.185.152.207]
> 15 50 ms 50 ms 60 ms bb2-chi-P6-0.atdn.net [66.185.152.214]
> 16 50 ms 50 ms 61 ms pop2-chi-P0-1.atdn.net [66.185.148.69]
> 17 60 ms 60 ms 60 ms RR-DET.atdn.net [66.185.141.98]
> 18 60 ms 60 ms 70 ms pos1-0.lvnami1-ubr1.twmi.rr.com
> [24.169.224.74]
>
> 19 * * * Request timed out.
> 20 * * * Request timed out.
> 21 * * * Request timed out.
> 22 * * * Request timed out.
> 23 * * * Request timed out.
> 24 * * * Request timed out.
> 25 * * * Request timed out.
> 26 * * * Request timed out.
> 27 * * * Request timed out.
> 28 * * * Request timed out.
> 29 * * * Request timed out.
> 30 * * * Request timed out.
>
> race complete.
>
>
> Hmmm... I wonder if the Network Admin at Road runner in Tiwas Michigan
> knows your selling Equipment not type certified for CB use?
>
> The Mole.
>
>
>
> On Fri, 11 Oct 2002 20:07:37 GMT, "Eric Kanack" <alpine@twmi.rr.com>
> was blabbling:
>
> ...Galaxy DX-959 (New In Box) $175
> ...Galaxy DX-88 HL (New, No Box, Radio Only) $250
> ...Connex 3300-HP (New, No Box, Radio Only) $175
> ...Superstar 3900G (New, No Box, Radio Only) $175

> ...RCI Ranger 2950 (Used)
> $150
> ...Solarcon HP-5000 Watt Meter (New In Box) $80
> ...
> ...
> ...I will accept Paypal or US Postal service money orders only. I am
> Paypal
> ...verified and can assure you that the transaction will be 100% valid
> ...
>
>

1026. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 21:11:32 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da73dae.722648@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139804

In <c5ecbb69.0210110433.16482904@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

<snip>
>> You weak deceptions demonstrate that you are seriously underestimating
>> the intelligence of the people that read this newsgroup.
>
>Well, golleeeee. You do it all the time, Frankie! But it's only
>unacceptable when someone else does it? Since when do you dictate
>what's acceptable and what isn't? A so-called "legal CBer" when "all
>CBers are cretins"?

Where did I say that "all CBers are cretins"? Matter of fact, where
did ANYONE say that "all CBers are cretins" except yourself?

>Nice try. You lose. Again.

Keep telling yourself that.

1027. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 21:35:56 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da73e95.954266@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139805

In <c5ecbb69.0210110427.1a47a7c@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3da4e635.7119158@news.cet.com>...
>> In <20021009221523.27233.00000396@mb-mj.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com420
>> (Smoke Stack) wrote:
>>
>> >because your the only one that claims what he did and didnt say all the time
>>
>> That's bullshit, Timmy. It's Cranium's claim that that Voobner said
>> "all 10 meter radios are illegal" (notice the quotes), and that has
>> been the rally-cry of his whining campain against Voobner for the past
>> couple months. But I can't find any post where Voobner said such a
>> thing. Can you? If you can, post it. If you can't then quit backing up
>> Cranium's lies.
>
>You haven't looked very hard, have you? But we didn't expect you
>would; you're a proven lazy. If you bothered to look you'd prove Aanal
>Aaron wrong, and you cannot do that can you? The thread was listed.
>Look it up.

Still using the same old lame excuse. Maybe you should check the
want-ads in the Sunday newspaper -- someone might have some excuses
for sale.

>You haven't proven me wrong yet(i), Frankie. And why are you backing
>up Aanal Aaron's lies?

You haven't proven your argument. You ask me to prove that he DIDN'T
say such a thing, but I can't prove a negative, therefore the burden
of proof is yours. So far you haven't been able to back up even one of
your claims, so I don't suspect you will ever back this one up.
Regardless, is this the post that is causing you so much grief?

========================
From: Aaron H. Voobner (ahvoobner@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt
View: Complete Thread (285 articles)
Original Format
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 12:59:54 PST

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208060449.1df53a44@posting.google.com>...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message

news:<c9ceae5b.0208051145.dd61ed0@posting.google.com>...
> > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> > >So, now YOU make the "law" Aanal Aaron? I don't think so!
> > >
> > >The Notice you posted simply states that further "importing and
> > >marketing" of new radios is prohibited. NOWHERE does it say
> > >that all the existing radios, brought in before the Notice, are
> > >suddenly "illegal". They couldn't (and didn't) do that with
> > >cell-capable scanners and they can't (and won't) do that with
> > >10 metre radios. Simply put, they cannot make a change like that
> > >retroactive.
> > >
> > >
> > >I make no lame excuses. YOU should check your "facts"
> > >before making an @$$ of yourself.
> >
> > Richard, the phoney "10 meter radios" are illegal to sell, period. I
> > have posted a number of actual FCC-issued documents to prove my point.
> > You have posted nothing but ridiculous excuses and made-up loopholes
> > with nothing at all to back them up. It's pretty clear to anyone
> > reading this that you are a potential source of "CB myths".
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> Sorry, but you still must have your brain on the chair as a hemeroid
> cushion. You have posted a FCC Notice which you do not
> understand, and
> are trying to tell everyone how You're Right and everyone else is
> wrong.
> Existing ten meter radios are perfectly legal to own, use, and
> even sell to another party.

Wrong. There's something called "type acceptance" for CB equipment.
The phoney 10 meter radios (old or new) are not type accepted for use
on CB. That means they are illegal for use. (Once again you are
responsible for generating another "CB myth". What's next? You'll be
telling people to disconnect their coax and put it in a mason jar
during lightning storms?)

>The ONLY thing the Notice states is that
> they can no longer be "imported and marketed" as of the effective date
> of the Notice. Try reading it yourself. If you don't understand the
> legalese gibberish (and I will agree that the lawyers deliberately
> make it gobble-de-gook), then ask someone for clarification. Maybe
> there's a knowledgeable lawyer in your area who would interpret it for
> you (for a small fee, of course)?
> I make up nothing.

Then post your proof. A statute. A rule. A notice. But you haven't.
All you have done is to speculate that, "the law doesn't say anything
about X, so X is OK".

> But I do remember a similar Notice about
> cell-capable and cell-restorable scanners - which did NOT make every
> previously existing scanner "illegal", just like this one does NOT
> make previously existing ten meter radios "illegal".

Terrific, you found a loophole for sleazy pirate ops to buy and sell
old phoney 10 meter equipment made before 1978. But, if the radios are
not type accepted, they are illegal for unlicensed use, and ilegal for
use on CB. Can't use 'em. Can't operate 'em. Might as well junk 'em.

> I have also given you information on how you can check your "facts",
> something you are afraid to do because it would prove you wrong.
> Contact someone at the FCC and ask for clarification of this Notice.
> Do it and you can appologize later. Don't do it and you've proven that
> you haven't a clue and are guilty of wishful thinking, at the very
> least. While you're at it, call the FCC's Interference Complaint line
> and see what they have to say about the causes of interference (hint:
> usually it's cheap consumer electronics!).

It can be. Um, what does that have to do with the sale of phoney 10
meter radios?

> Until you do some research
> I would suggest you find something constructive to do with your time.

I have posted factual documents from the FCC. These are 100% accurate.
They would not be posted if they were inaccurate. If you have an
official statement (or even an unofficial one) from the FCC that
contradicts anything I've posted, then by all means show it. Otherwise
you're wasting your breath with tales of what you imagine you hear on
the phone.

> There is nothing "phoney" about a ten meter radio. It's designed for
> (gasp) ten meters! Whadyano!

You know as well as I do that "10 meter" is a phrase used by sleazy
dealers to sell illegal gear to CBers. There is no legitimate amateur
demand for these contraband radios. It's generally acknowledged
(except by sleazebag CB tech's) that the phoney "10 meter"radios with
roger beeps, channelization, and rebel flags, do not appeal to the
legal amateur market. They are used by illegal outlaw freebanders and
dumb truckers, often on 10 meters.

> Again, where is your righteous indignation about all those amateur HF
> rigs that can be "widebanded" so easily? Every one of them can be
> modified for 11 meters, too. No comment? Loser!

First, it must be emphasized that use of this type of amateur
equipment on unauthorized frequencies, including using it on the C.B.
radio channels or other non-amateur frequencies in the 24 to 32 MHz
range, is illegal, and doing so will subject the user to the same
penalties as mentioned above.
That being said, there are legitimate reasons for some amateur
equipment to be used on certain non-amateur frequencies, such as on

military M.A.R.S. (Military Affiliate Radio System) and C.A.P. (Civil Air Patrol) frequencies. MARS and CAP radio stations are only authorized to operate on specific assigned (non-amateur) frequencies. With just a few exceptions, most of the assigned MARS and CAP frequencies do not fall within the 24 to 32 MHz frequency range. Although some models of amateur equipment (manufactured by companies such as Alinco, Icom, Kenwood, Ten-Tec, Yaesu, etc.) may be capable of operating on the C.B. radio channels, they do not display the assigned C.B. channel number, nor are they designed to deliberately facilitate operation on the C.B. channels.

> I have created no CB myths, but you ARE one. At least I have a ham
> license. And you? Nope. You have no reason to live except to spew your
> bovine excrement all over these groups. Loser! Where's the guy with
> the 2x4? WHAP!!

Keep spouting violence. We'll see where you end up.

-Aaron-

==========

Keep whining, Clyde. And just out of curiousity, why don't you make threats anymore? They were a great source of amusement!

1028. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 21:48:35 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210111348.70654957@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139806

```
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210101500.4c07f4cb@posting.google.com>...
>> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) spewed in stupid message
>> >news:<c9ceae5b.0210091257.25c1161b@posting.google.com>...
>> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>> >> >Date: Wed, Oct 9, 2002 8:04 AM
>> >> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210090704.3e8a582e@posting.google.com>
>> >> >
>> >> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210081144.311232b@posting.google.com>...
>> >> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210080516.6eed3add@posting.google.com>...
>> >> >> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210070737.780c6d2@posting.google.com>...
>> >> >> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>> >> >> > > >Hasn't worked and it won't work, Aanal Aaron, because
>> >> >> > > (snip)
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > > It's working even as we speak.
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > > -A
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Certainly hasn't and isn't, since
>> >> >> (snip)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Daily fumigation.
>> >> >
>> >> >Heaven knows you n
>> >> (snip)
>> >>
>> >> Time for the afternoon spray, Steve.
>> >>
>> >> -A
>> >
>> >What's the matter, coward; still n
>> (snip)
>>
>>
>> Open wide, Steve.
>>
>> -A
>
```

>It's time for YOU to open wide, Aanal Aaron, and post the alleged
>PROOF you offered to "Gladly" post. Funny how
(snip)

Your reaction is proof enough for me Steve.

-A

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

1029. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 22:01:43 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210111401.412624ea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139807

"Chapman the Crackman" <johhnyappleseed@hemp.com> wrote in message
news:<lWmdnZ0MSKygdzugXTWcow@News.GigaNews.Com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > Har de har! As usual, nothing from Georgie-girl except bovine
> > excrement. YOU said there were fewer Cbers than ever. PROVE IT. No
> > re-directs, just PROOF.
>
> You made the initail claim DickHead It is up to you to show Proof. Just the
> same as you ask Aaron, when you provide proof to back up your wild claims
> perhaps then and only then I will do the same. Why should I have to
> disapprove your wild claims. In fact Ill give you a scenario in which you
> are trying to purport. " I say there are at least 1000 pink elephants in the
> Usa. prove me wrong" LOL Dickhead you ask aaron for proof yet you won't even
> post proof when your asked How can you expect him to do prove anythuing when
> you have been proven time and time again not to be credible at all. Remember
> this statement it goes directly to the heart of the matter.

Negatory, good buddy Georgie-girl WA3MOJ. YOU made the claim that
there were "fewer CBers now than ever before". Prove it. And Aanal
Aaron has posted NO proof of his claim that I am somehow "Steve", even
when you have posted that you know I'm not Steve. Maybe you're
challengeing the wrong person? (Of course, Aanal Aaron H. Voobnutz
isn't a real person.) And even YOU made fun of him when he claimed he
was "studying for his Extra" by saying he had to have a ham license
first! I wonder what caused you to change your position? Maybe Aanal
Aaron bending over for you?

1030. Re: Rec.radio.cb get ready

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 22:03:42 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210111403.41a27351@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139808

dougadair@aol.com (Doug Adair) wrote in message
news:<20021011093814.22376.00000905@mb-mr.aol.com>...
> it's about to start all over again.....................

What is? Your trolling, Doug "Doggie-style" Adairierre?

1031. Re: Aaron H Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 22:05:47 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210111405.2e843749@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139809

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021011083609.22507.00000957@mb-mr.aol.com>...
> aaron is it really true you offered to "gladly post" proof about that cranium
> guy being somebody you thought he was??? because i think i missed the post
> where you had proof. please fill me in.

Yes, he said he would post it "Gladly". But he hasn't because he
doesn't have any proof. In fact, he posted a bunch of DNS numbers and
their associates ISP names which proves I'm NOT "Steve". But he'll
never acknowledge it because he'd have to admit he doesn't have a
clue.

Mind you, we already know he doesn't have a clue about much of
anything!

1032. Re: Aaron H Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 22:06:37 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210111406.c1f7ef5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139810

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021011083609.22507.00000957@mb-mr.aol.com>...
> aaron is it really true you offered to "gladly post" proof about that cranium
> guy being somebody

Yes.

> you thought he was???

You got your tenses mixed up there. "Know he is" would be accurate.

> because i think i
> missed the post
> where you had proof.

I'll copy it right below for you.

> please fill me in.

It's really not complicated, Tim. Steve asked for my proof. I "gladly"
posted it. Steve doesn't like seeing it. So he's whining, as usual.

-Aaron-

From: Aaron H. Voobner (ahvoobner@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: Dumb ass Aaron/RadioBob/Radio 8/KE4ENX
View: Complete Thread (118 articles)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.pirate
Date: 2002-09-27 19:20:49 PST
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209261209.1f4cb4eb@posting.google.com>...
>> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>> (snip)
>> >Like I said, you don't know diddly about me.
>>
>> We know the essentials.
>>
>> -A
>
>You know nothing. Nothing at all. "essentials"? No way. Prove it.

Gladly.

-A

--

Search Result 2From: Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: Radio Bob go away, nothing is forgiven
Newsgroups: alt.radio.pirateView: Complete Thread (6 articles) |
Original FormatDate: 2002-02-07 08:08:12 PST

Nothing boring about the FRN. It's been a very useful site since you
were forced to leave for causing trouble and slandering people. And
just sos you know, theres only 1 administrator on the FRN. The owner.
He has a couple of people acting as moderators to keep things in line.
I've lurked in the UseNet groups for years, bobbie-girl.

From: Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: More Fresh Stinky Meat-This Troll SHOULD Die
Newsgroups: alt.troll-bustersView this article only
Date: 2002-02-20 18:16:05 PST

rbcn1@hotmail.com (Radio Bob) wrote in message
news:<3d34cfcd.0202181325.659e43a7@posting.google.com>...
> Please go feed on Richard Cranium, richcranus@yahoo.com (well at least
> he's got the dickhead part right) This gormless nobrainer has
> visciously attacked me at alt.radio.pirate and has furthered the
> attack by going far out of his way to implicate real and totally
> innocent persons near my hometown area. He has established a false
> email account under the name of: Sabrina_Frederic@hotmail.com where he
> also launches spew from. He's further made a false claim against me
> and had my primary email account cancelled. I'd go after him myself
> but am new at usenet ect...and not sure what to do other than to not
> respond to tolls. Pleased to find this group where possibly some good
> sam's may reside who might be inclined to assist. If anyone needs to
> contact me by email please post message here and I'll get back to you.
> Thanks

Excuse me, "Bobbie-girl", but if the claim against you was false, why
was your account cancelled? And what makes you think I send it?

You've been on the usenet for years, bobbie-girl, and anybody can do a
search to prove that. Just search for Radio Bob and/or rbcn1.

Still jamming the ham repeaters in Cornelia, GA?

TROLL!!

---

http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&threadm=SHFQ8.6628%24v51.349481114%40ne
wssvr21.news.prodigy.com&rnum=1&prev=/groups%3Fq%3DRe:%2BXM%2Band%2BSirius%2Bin%2Bdeep%2Bf
inancial%2Bproblems!%26hl%3Den%26lr%3D%26ie%3DUTF-8%26selm%3DSHFQ8.6628%2524v51.349481114%
2540newssvr21.news.prodigy.com%26rnum%3D1

Message 73 in threadFrom: Radio Roger (radiorogerthat@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: XM and Sirius in deep financial problems!
Newsgroups: alt.radio.digitalView this article onlyDate: 2002-06-26

21:16:45 PST

Hi.

Take a moment to examine the following posts via Google (check out the
"NNTP-Posting-Host").

"Russell Todd":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=217b8ae4.0201220629.6412dff4%40posting.google.com&oe=
UTF8&output=gplain

(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=217b8ae4.0206260047.37f72794%40posting.google.com&oe=
UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.127 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net]

"Jeri Ireland":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=39ca9149.0204150515.6f9deee3%40posting.google.com&oe=
UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=2e055d29.0202201600.2e124762%40posting.google.com&oe=
UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.29 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])

"Thomas Krapper":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=4adf2202.0204170331.4d9b6086%40posting.google.com&oe=
UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=4adf2202.0204290150.384b811d%40posting.google.com&oe=
UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.76 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])

"RadioBob" (Woody/Woodrum/Woodbury):
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=fe0dabe1.0206211202.708dd9fc%40posting.google.com&oe=
UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=fe0dabe1.0204090049.5a15e26b%40posting.google.com&oe=
UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.5 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])

"Sabrina Frederic":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=23e8cbcf.0201230359.14cb7e08%40posting.google.com&oe=
UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=u9rrd2fskmo9d1%40corp.supernews.com&oe=UTF8&output=gp
lain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.208.124.158 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net]

Lots of people joining the XM Radio debate from Virginia dialup, huh?
"Sabrina" your wife, "Russell"? Or the name you go by when trolling

for net sex?

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA
Post a follow-up to this message

Googleï¿½Home - Advertiseï¿½withï¿½Us - Searchï¿½Solutions - Language Tools - Jobs,ï¿½Press,ï¿½&ï¿½Help
ï¿½2002 Google

1033. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 22:11:51 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210111411.4709bd93@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139811

killakeyclown@yahoo.com (KillaKeyClown) wrote in message
news:<86209e94.0210101449.124e2c0e@posting.google.com>...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<%9jp9.5793$CE4.353258@news.bellsouth.net>...
> > This is something that all radio operators could heed and make radio a
> > better hobby for all of us
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "Good Amateur Practice is a hard thing to define. I'd have to say it's
>
> Well, it's a good thing we're on CB, and not amateur radio! :)

It's not hard to define at all. It would be stopping Aanal Aaron H.
Voobner (a true phoney) from posting his bovine excrement on a regular
basis, since it isn't relevant, and because he has no license (or, at
best a no-code Tech license) and cannot use HF at all, legally, so has
no Right or reason to complain about "intrusions" on 10 meters or
anywhere else.

How about it, Jerry? Rein him in? He undermines everything you try to
do for the benefit of amateur radio. And YOU have some right to
complain.

1034. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 22:16:45 UTC
From: Chapman the Crackman <johhnyappleseed@hemp.com>
Message-ID: <ZKGdnYZkloVR0DqgXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139812

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> YOU made the claim that
> there were "fewer CBers now than ever before".

Yes this was in direct response to you claiming there were 30-40 million, at the time I asked you to prove it you still haven't then I said there are fewer now than before. So why do you want me to prove what I say and you don't have to? Mine was in direct rebuttal to your claim the burden of proof squarley rests with you, so you prove once again you are not credible and are only a troll making wild claims that cannot be backed up. So I will ask you to provide proof to back up your claim.
--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

Prove it. And Aanal
> Aaron has posted NO proof of his claim that I am somehow "Steve", even
> when you have posted that you know I'm not Steve.

Of course your not in Virgina your in Ny Rochester area a blind man can see that

1035. Re: Is a 10-12 meter rig considered a CB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 22:32:37 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021011183237.15315.00009470@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 139813

Sounds like a troll post to get JJ,Jerry ox and the rest of the girls whining!
If they ever get rid of export radios you just buy an older ham radio like a
757 and flip the switch inside.Now you have all the channels you ever wanted.

1036. Re: Aaron H Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 23:42:58 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da761e1.130776@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139814

In <c5ecbb69.0210111405.2e843749@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

>timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021011083609.22507.00000957@mb-mr.aol.com>...
>> aaron is it really true you offered to "gladly post" proof about that cranium
>> guy being somebody you thought he was??? because i think i missed the post
>> where you had proof. please fill me in.
>
>Yes, he said he would post it "Gladly". But he hasn't because he
>doesn't have any proof. In fact, he posted a bunch of DNS numbers and
>their associates ISP names which proves I'm NOT "Steve". But he'll
>never acknowledge it because he'd have to admit he doesn't have a
>clue.
>
>Mind you, we already know he doesn't have a clue about much of
>anything!

Who am I this week, Clyde? Jon Avery? LOL!

1037. Re: george is cracking up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-11 23:55:04 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <sxJp9.132375$121.3496564@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139815

"Chapman the Crackman" <johhnyappleseed@hemp.com> wrote in message
news:qrSdneHlz9nUgDqgXTWcow@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
>
> "daddys little boy" <nancysadouchebag@georgebusch.com> wrote in message
> news:bv4equk2f771edf5rdf1i3gg53kd1nohmq@4ax.com...
> > think what you want little man but you havent got a fcking clue on who
> > i am keep fishing nowstfu you anal aaronia moron
>
> Oh I have more than a clue Chapwoman
>
>

No, you are really clueless, again.

1038. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 00:02:49 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <JEJp9.132495$121.3497629@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139816

"Chapman the Crackman" <johhnyappleseed@hemp.com> wrote in message
news:E9ucnfySi-MmgTqgXTWc3Q@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
>
> "daddys little boy" <nancysadouchebag@georgebusch.com> wrote in message
> news:lh4equ8q1aht45d7cbssl3vr86hs47gtgv@4ax.com...
> > On Fri, 11 Oct 2002 13:49:36 -0400, "Chapman the Crackman"
> > <johhnyappleseed@hemp.com> wrote:
> >
> > you think your a man so what bitch
>
> Bend over Ill show you how much of a man I am chapwoman
>
>

I knew you were kinda strange, but I had no clue until now how strange you
really are.

By the way, I didn't write it. Apparently, you have more fans than you
realize. Maybe they didn't like your little racist comments.

1039. Re: Is a 10-12 meter rig considered a CB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 00:06:09 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uqepuarsktvf75@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139817

The key here is what other radios do they offer for sale? I see UHF (was VHF in there too?) business type radios, a general coverage HF radio (with optional encryption capability), another HF radio (with 'channels'), as well as the one radio you mentioned. That one radio you mentioned is the only one they had on that site (although, admittedly, I didn't check thoroughly) that could loosely be called an 'amateur radio'. Yes, you would need a license for use on 10 or 12 meters. As others have pointed out, that is not likely the intended audience, however. First, only two bands which won't be terribly useful in a couple of years, plus the fact for less than twice that price you could get a much more capable radio with many more bands plus four times the power output. I did note a 'cb' selection button on the site. Although allegedly an amateur radio, with only that one radio being of ANY use to a licensed amateur, guess what market that radio is aimed at.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

1040. Re: Is a 10-12 meter rig considered a CB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 00:34:22 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210111634.7c7793c5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139818

Is a 10-12 meter rig a CB? Definitely not.

-Aaron-

1041. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 02:35:04 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <sTLp9.2740$t%5.191086732@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139819

"lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com> wrote in message
news:25eequ00kr4jrtvvp1dbqqsenf3h38rnha@4ax.com...
>

Way to go Chuck, again shows what you
really don't know. The header say "Export radios &
Misc for sale" Go ahead and send it into the admin,
he'll probably need a good laugh.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

1042. Re: GE 3-5871A Channel Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 03:56:55 UTC
From: sir.oblivion@public.com
Message-ID: <3da79d89$1_1@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 139820

"DJ Jiles" <dj@fixitup.cc> wrote in message
news:80Gp9.119$xj2.226181@news1.atlantic.net...
> I am looking for a upper and lower channel mod for a GE 3-5871A Base. Any
> help is appreciated.
>
> DJ

If it's any help the GE 3-5871A came with a Mitsubishi M58472P chip which can be modified by changing the loop mixing crystal. The radio shared the same board as many Teaberry's from that era. Output was determined by L903, L905 and VR6. I don't have any further information readily available.

1043. Re: Rec.radio.cb get ready

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 05:49:39 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <a26cc42e6f4be534727ffdff5b1df3df@xganon.com>
Source record: 139822

http://web.archive.org/web/20021008174238re_/http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/twistworld.jpg

---
This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

1044. Like New Galaxy DX-949 with D104 and rare maco echo box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 07:09:48 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <0kifqu4cdknft4i9vhqkopvqk0f4e5k9dd@4ax.com>
Source record: 139823

I have a Like New Galaxy DX-949 ,949 is in the box converted for extra frequencies.also will let an Astatic 636L go with it and a super rare Maco Echo Box in MINT condition.Best offer or trade for other radios or scanners,take paypal also with all positive feedback.make offer

1045. Texas Star DX-400v MINT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 07:09:59 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <0mifqucpnqvu1e5u0sav1gvg3ptceu9al1@4ax.com>
Source record: 139824

Excellent shape works and looks as new......trade for cash,scanner or possibly radios,e-mail with offers .paypal accepted with all positive feedbacks here...thanks

1046. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 09:58:59 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021012055859.08164.00000855@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 139825

voobner there is a thread by the name of Aaron H Voobner where your needed.
dont ignore it.

>Subject: Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

1047. The PMR446 Users Forum...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 10:58:22 UTC
From: JRW <jrw@0spam.gmx.co.uk>
Message-ID: <kfTp9.742$Lm1.81026@stones>
Source record: 139827

...is now back on-line in full working order!

http://go.to/pmr446

John

1048. Re: Aaron H Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 11:24:54 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210120324.62c00e21@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139828

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210111406.c1f7ef5@posting.google.com>...
> timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021011083609.22507.00000957@mb-mr.aol.com>...
> > aaron is it really true you offered to "gladly post" proof about that cranium
> > guy being somebody
>
> Yes.
>
> > you thought he was???
>
> You got your tenses mixed up there. "Know he is" would be accurate.
>
> > because i think i
> > missed the post
> > where you had proof.
>
> I'll copy it right below for you.

You haven't yet.

> > please fill me in.
>
> It's really not complicated, Tim. Steve asked for my proof. I "gladly"
> posted it. Steve doesn't like seeing it. So he's whining, as usual.

You whine all the time, Aanal Aaron, about so-called "illegal" 10
meter radios that you can't use anyhow. Want some cheese with your
whine?

> -Aaron-
>
>
>
> -------
> From: Aaron H. Voobner (ahvoobner@yahoo.com)
> Subject: Re: Dumb ass Aaron/RadioBob/Radio 8/KE4ENX
> View: Complete Thread (118 articles)
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.pirate
> Date: 2002-09-27 19:20:49 PST
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0209261209.1f4cb4eb@posting.google.com>...
> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >> (snip)

> >> >Like I said, you don't know diddly about me.
> >>
> >> We know the essentials.
> >>
> >> -A
> >
> >You know nothing. Nothing at all. "essentials"? No way. Prove it.
>
> Gladly.
>
> -A

So where is it, Aanal Aaron? You haven't posted anything about me yet. All you do is a lame repetitious post of hearsay that you didn't even bother to verify.

Again, your list of DNS numbers and their associated ISPs proves that I'm not "Steve". Try understanding what you post before you spam the newsgroup, Aanal Aaron.

Where's the PROOF, lamer?

1049. Re: Aaron H Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 11:26:04 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210120326.47bdd4da@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139829

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3da761e1.130776@news.cet.com>...
> In <c5ecbb69.0210111405.2e843749@posting.google.com>,
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>
> >timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021011083609.22507.00000957@mb-mr.aol.com>...
> >> aaron is it really true you offered to "gladly post" proof about that cranium
> >> guy being somebody you thought he was??? because i think i missed the post
> >> where you had proof. please fill me in.
> >
> >Yes, he said he would post it "Gladly". But he hasn't because he
> >doesn't have any proof. In fact, he posted a bunch of DNS numbers and
> >their associates ISP names which proves I'm NOT "Steve". But he'll
> >never acknowledge it because he'd have to admit he doesn't have a
> >clue.
> >
> >Mind you, we already know he doesn't have a clue about much of
> >anything!
>
> Who am I this week, Clyde? Jon Avery? LOL!

Who cares, Jack? You're nothing but a troll, something you admitted yourself.

1050. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 11:27:45 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210120327.20a51d56@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139830

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210111348.70654957@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210101500.4c07f4cb@posting.google.com>...
> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) spewed in stupid message
> >> >news:<c9ceae5b.0210091257.25c1161b@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >> >> >Date: Wed, Oct 9, 2002 8:04 AM
> >> >> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210090704.3e8a582e@posting.google.com>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210081144.311232b@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210080516.6eed3add@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> >> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210070737.780c6d2@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> >> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >> >> >> > > >Hasn't worked and it won't work, Aanal Aaron, because
> >> >> >> > > (snip)
> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> > > It's working even as we speak.
> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> > > -A
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Certainly hasn't and isn't, since
> >> >> >> (snip)
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Daily fumigation.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Heaven knows you n
> >> >> (snip)
> >> >>
> >> >> Time for the afternoon spray, Steve.
> >> >>
> >> >> -A
> >> >
> >> >What's the matter, coward; still n
> >> (snip)
> >>
> >>
> >> Open wide, Steve.
> >>

> >> -A
> >
> >It's time for YOU to open wide, Aanal Aaron, and post the alleged
> >PROOF you offered to "Gladly" post. Funny how
> (snip)
>
>
> Your reaction is proof enough for me Steve.
>
> -A

Oh really? Har de har. Shows how mentally challenged you really are.
Have you ever actually operated a radio, Aanal Aaron?

Where's the beef?

1051. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 11:29:39 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210120329.69a69f43@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
news:<3aed93cc.0210101749.66c23585@posting.google.com>...
> > you cannot post one iota of PROOF,
> > Aanal Aaron.
>
> He doesn't need it. Accusation was proof enough for the Spanish
> Inquisition, and it's proof enough for him.

Aanal Aaron is miles from being a Spanish Inquisition. He's just a
pain in everyone's butt. Sorta like a pesky mosquito.

> > I want to see your
> > PROOF, dimbulb. You got none.
>
> Temper, temper!!! Aaron will call down the WRATH of the MOST HOLY U.S.
> GOVERNMENT to rain fire and brimstone down upon us! We heathen
> lawfuckers will BURN!!! (so he says, ROFLMAO)
>
> Guy

No "temper" problem. I'm just waiting for the Liar to post the "proof"
he said he would post. Aand Aanal Aaron couldn't call down the wrath
of his Mommy if he tried!

1052. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 12:03:57 UTC
From: Spell Checker <SC@webster.com>
Message-ID: <3DA80FAD.42280825@webster.com>
Source record: 139832

> Where's the beef?

Between Wendy's buns.

1053. a few things to think about

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 12:15:20 UTC
From: ruralreader <sylinen@somtel.net>
Message-ID: <yhUp9.2071$014.49097@reggie.win.bright.net>
Source record: 139833

I was at work, not my standard 40 hrs. but, my own company,
so I could make some extra cash that is not over-taxed by
the 'Rules Nazi's" in D.C.(you know, our rules means your gold
is now ours!), and the local TV station did its ID etc...
5.4 megawatts of power?
Yeah I know theres a need because of bandwidth and fade.
but talk about a key-down....
If you had a box big enough to hold an elephant, you could
cook him...whole (in about an hour and half).
[Sauce? what goes good with elephant?]

I like SSB, don't get me wrong [good for skip],
but how about FM for local? all that AM QRM QRN etc...
go to 50 or 100 watts and FM, and squelch out the noise.

Antennas
If the thickness of a radiating element has an effect on bandwith,
why not tubing instead of wire?
so which has better RF qualities: red brass plumbing or
steel electrical condiut?
or even foil coated PVC.

Cheep delta loop: coat hangers [for hams]
I must hook up my MFJ 259b to one to see just where
it tunes to.

New Vehicles:
Plastic,more and more.
you wonder why, at least Some radio mfgr. are making their
products with a remote head (face plate) nowhere to mount anything
anymore.
I own a '95 P/U with a bench seat and stick-shift, which leaves only
the roof to mount to. [a no-go] It should go under the dash, but
it is all plastic and the shift lever is right in the way.
Solution: rip out bench seat, go with buckets and console.
not the best,but ok. Makes you want to beat auto engineers
with a drive shaft. Remember when you bought a Dodge,
you could get a CB built into the dash? (shure it was a junk box,
but a great place saver!)

Cell phones,PDA's,and laptops now, why isn't there room?
do engineers ride in the new vehicles before they go to production?
If you grew up making models and modifing them,become rich!
take those talents and fix this (after-market of course!)

1054. Re: Re Johnson Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 12:36:41 UTC
From: jason <hubs3@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ao8ueb$735$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 139826

Thanks for the info agian, it dosent have any other sockets, only the power connection and the attena hook up, ive been looking on several websites, everything seems to indicate that its a 12 volt, but im going to order the manual on it first, just to be sure, id hate to burn it up,

Thanks for info,
Jason

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da66655.661681@news.cet.com...
> In <ao5himvln1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "jason"
> <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
> >Thanks for the info, hope to get it transmiting soon, just to clarify, it
is
> >a 5 channel with a chrome face, so it must be the earlier "White Face"
> >model.
> >
> >Thanks Again,
> >Jason
>
> BTW, if I remember correctly, some of those radios used 6 volts
> instead of 12, and some may have had a positive ground. Better check
> that before you hook it up to your car battery. Also, I don't know if
> the white-face had a tone-alert or car-tel socket, but if they do and
> you need the pinouts, just drop me an email. Or you can scout around
> for a Sams Photofact for this radio, it is in the CB radio series #2
> (CB-2).
>

1055. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 14:02:04 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <homdnRx7L_TAtjWgXTWcpg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139835

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210120327.20a51d56@posting.google.com...
> Oh really? Har de har. Shows how mentally challenged you really are.
> Have you ever actually operated a radio, Aanal Aaron?
>
> Where's the beef?

If I had to take a guess i would say it is down your throat.

1056. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 14:14:03 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DA82E2B.19CF3AF3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139840

Richard Cranium wrote:

>
> Oh really? Har de har. Shows how mentally challenged you really are.
> Have you ever actually operated a radio, Aanal Aaron?
>
> Where's the beef?

Talk about mentally challenged, you found the 10 meter band yet
dickie?

1057. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 14:40:37 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021012104037.09580.00000665@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 139836

Either way.....The Sys. Admin. will get a chuckle out of it. I'm sure
he/she could use a good laugh to start the day off.
Train

>Subject: Re: Export radios & Misc for sale
>From: BobC bobC@comcast.netSKI
>Date: 10/11/02 11:59 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Xns92A4F402E680Dt@216.166.71.239>
>
>"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in news:sTLp9.2740$t%
>5.191086732@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:
>
>>
>> "lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com> wrote in message
>> news:25eequ00kr4jrtvvp1dbqqsenf3h38rnha@4ax.com...
>>>
>>
>> Way to go Chuck, again shows what you
>> really don't know. The header say "Export radios &
>> Misc for sale" Go ahead and send it into the admin,
>> he'll probably need a good laugh.
>>
>> Landshark
>
>Well then he can send it in for OT posting this is a CB NG not an export
>Radio NG, and he did not include the normal FS, also this is not a swap
>NG either, take your pick.
>
>
>
>
>>
>> --
>> If you are implying that people, who
>> hold ham licenses, should be somehow
>> held to a higher moral standard than
>> the "common man", then that would
>> be faulty logic. A ham license is only
>> a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
>> "badge of morality".
>>

1058. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 15:05:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021012110500.11388.00001010@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 139837

anyway you look at it wont shit happen so who cares?

>Well then he can send it in for OT posting this is a CB NG not an export
>Radio NG, and he did not include the normal FS, also this is not a swap
>NG either, take your pick.

1059. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 15:07:14 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021012110714.11388.00001011@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 139838

sure he has. he's got an illegal marine radio at his home next to his scanner

>Have you ever actually operated a radio, Aanal Aaron?
>
>Where's the beef?

1060. Re: MB8719 and pll info??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 15:38:03 UTC
From: AJ Sanderson <sjay@noospam.com>
Message-ID: <ao9fkc$1h6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 139839

>The PLL should work fine, but the VCO won't.

From my personal experimentation since the post, I find that it will. However, the VCO coil has to be re-resonated to the new range.

It >wasn't designed for
>that kind of range. You could swap components, but >you will need to
>swap components for just about every other stage as >well.

Yes, a couple of the other coils had to be modified as well.

>The cheapest way to get a PLL sig-gen is to either buy >one or build it
>from scratch. The latter isn't hard or expensive. The last >page on the
>datasheet for the MAX038 has a synth that will go from >nearly DC to
>over 16 MHz. Just about any parallel-input PLL can be >substituted for
>the MC145151, and every MAX038 I have tested can >go well above 30MHz.

I was able to access some data sheets for the MC145151. An interesting design. I especially liked the circuit examples provided. I wonder why I can't seem to find any circuit examples for the 8719? I know its an old chip, but there must be a universal, workable PLL example circuit somewhere for it, or even the data sheet for that matter. However, a websearch has turned out a no-no.

AJ

1061. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 16:34:23 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <jaYp9.61$8j7.2827326@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139841

"BobC" <bobC@comcast.netSKI> wrote in message
news:Xns92A4F402E680Dt@216.166.71.239...
> Well then he can send it in for OT posting this is a CB NG not an export
> Radio NG, and he did not include the normal FS, also this is not a swap
> NG either, take your pick.

Why Bob, when has "OT" mattered in this group?
Also, he did include "for sale" in his subject line.

Landshark

"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

1062. Looking to tune in my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 16:48:54 UTC
From: Tony <nospamtvien@peoplepc.comnospam>
Message-ID: <uqgkjg8jv4538d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139842

Does anyone know where in NE IL or SE WI I can get a radio tuned in?
Phonebooks have nothing and the Internet was just that more helpless.

Thanks

1063. Full mailbox...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 17:00:18 UTC
From: Spell Checker <SC@webster.com>
Message-ID: <3DA85522.A5021B71@webster.com>
Source record: 139843

tim@timnebo.com is full!

This message was created automatically by mail delivery software
(Exim).

A message that you sent could not be delivered to one or more of its
recipients. This is a permanent error. The following address(es)
failed:

tim@timnebo.com
mailbox is full (MTA-imposed quota exceeded while writing to file
tmp/1034441855.8712.mx.mailix.net):
retry timeout exceeded

1064. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 18:02:53 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210121002.2ccd1b92@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139844

>richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
news:<3aed93cc.0210101749.66c23585@posting.google.com>...
>> > you cannot post
(snip)

What's the big deal? If this isn't accurate then just ignore it,
Steve.

-Aaron-

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired
for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the
operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of
a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by
the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at
the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so
inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain
about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to
follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard
Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to
debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

1065. FS- AMPS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 18:04:49 UTC
From: dclark506@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021012140449.20940.00001129@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 139845

These 3 amps are in excellent condition. Prices do not include shipping

Texas Star V-MOD 1 pill with variable output control and rec preamp $75

No Name 5lb Maul 1 driving 4 pills, auto SSB, rec amp, external jacks for on/off and rec amp. This amp is like new $300

Thunderbolt 305 Tube Base Amp 1 driving 3 6LQ6's, rec amp, SSB switch, standby, Excellent shape, Tubes are all good producing 400-500 watts pep with 2-4 watts input. $300

1066. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 18:45:39 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uqgrhvhu6rr085@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139846

You had to run a Trace-Route for that? Aren't you just the idiot of the hour! All you had to do was look at his email address for Christ sake! Could have figured it without a problem! Get a clue; you are "clueless!"

"lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com> wrote in message news:25eequ00kr4jrtvvp1dbqqsenf3h38rnha@4ax.com...
> 17 60 ms 60 ms 60 ms RR-DET.atdn.net [66.185.141.98]
> 18 60 ms 60 ms 70 ms pos1-0.lvnami1-ubr1.twmi.rr.com
> [24.169.224.74]
>
> Hmmm... I wonder if the Network Admin at Road runner in Tiwas Michigan
> knows your selling Equipment not type certified for CB use?
>
> The Mole-ron (moron).
>
>
>
> On Fri, 11 Oct 2002 20:07:37 GMT, "Eric Kanack" <alpine@twmi.rr.com>
> was blabbling:

1067. Re: Full mailbox...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 18:48:34 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021012144834.24036.00000960@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 139897

probably because thats not my email. my email address has always been
timnebo@aol.com

what do you need?

>
>
>
>tim@timnebo.com is full!
>
>This message was created automatically by mail delivery software
>(Exim).
>
>A message that you sent could not be delivered to one or more of its
>recipients. This is a permanent error. The following address(es)
>failed:
>
> tim@timnebo.com
> mailbox is full (MTA-imposed quota exceeded while writing to file
>tmp/1034441855.8712.mx.mailix.net):
> retry timeout exceeded
>
>
>
>
>
>

1068. FS: Galaxy DX55V

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 19:33:16 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021012153316.10753.00000908@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 139898

I bought this radio one year ago. It has only been used by me as a base station, has never been in a car. I had it professionally tuned up. It has Variable PWR from .5 to 8 watts and modulates to around 30. Modulation has been tuned up as well. This is a VERY loud radio and has alot of swing. I still have the Galaxy 55 Box, mounting brackets, power cord, the original stock hand mic, manuals, and whatever else it came with. I have it all. Has the freq counter, large eas to read meter and has Bands A thru F giving you 240 channels. I promise you will not be disappointed with this Galaxy. $170 ... Thanks for looking

Thatz-me-JP

1069. FS: Astron 20 AMP Pwr Supply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 19:34:05 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021012153405.10753.00000909@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 139899

"Like new" works very fine. Has a voltage adjuster inside to control your voltage. Very Clean. $55 plus shipping costs ...Thanks for looking

Thatz-me-JP

1070. Northstar NS-3000 Mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 19:34:43 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021012153443.10753.00000911@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 139900

I need the Transmit mod and the modulation mod for a NorthStar NS-3000. I have a whole book of different radios, but i think mine are alittle outdated and the new NorthStar's are not in mine. Please let me know if u find anything, thanks

Thatz-me-JP

1071. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 19:59:50 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <LgOdnV_g8Ieh4jWgXTWcqw@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139901

"Spell Checker" <SC@webster.com> wrote in message
news:3DA4D1BD.92774172@webster.com...
>
>
> "Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> > For
> > example the inverters I was commenting about above, they use a
square
> > wave of voltage while the current is a sine wave!
>
> Is that the "modified sine wave" that comes from an APC?

Nope. What they mean is the APC unit generates a rectangular waveform output. It's NOT a square wave. The width and amplitude of each half of the rectangular waveform is designed so that it reads about the same voltage as a sine wave does on a meter. Also the exact waveform is designed so that your "typical" rectifier circuits end up with approximately the same output voltage as they would have with a true sine wave input.

The negative point about these UPS supplies has to do with consumer equipment that uses line transformers in their power supplies. The rectangular waveform generated by these UPS supplies are rich in high frequency harmonics. The transformers used in your typical consumer goods are only designed to handle frequencies between 50 and 60 hertz. The frequency limiting factor is the thickness of the iron laminations used to make the core. The higher the frequency the transformer is designed to work with the thinner the laminations must become. If the laminations are too thick for the frequency they heat up from eddy current effects. Now with the high frequency content of the voltage waveform, out of those APC supplies and similar units, have a tendency to heat up the input line transformers. In some cases they have out right failed. That's why you may hear people recommending you use a UPS supply with a "true sine wave output". They cost more, but that is due to the fact that generating a good quality sine waveform is harder to do than a rectangular waveform.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LD0

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up. "

1072. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 20:01:19 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <SQOdnWfGO9gS4jWgXTWc3g@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139902

```
----- Original Message -----
From: <yeti@REMOVEcet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Sent: Wednesday, October 09, 2002 9:39 PM
Subject: Re: Update on Yeti's amp

> In <SXSdncWbwr6rJDmgXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
> <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> >From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
> >Subject: Re: Update on Yeti's amp
> >Date: Tuesday, October 08, 2002 3:45 PM
> >
> >
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
> >news:3da0851f.3405350@news.cet.com...
> >> In <WW-dnaz9ednX4T2gXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
> >> <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> >I should add here that several lines of power inverters the
> >company, I
> >> >work for, manufactures works just like the above discussion in
> >regards
> >> >to the power device. I'm well aquatinted with the concept.
> >>
> >> Then you should already know that the amplifiers used in power
> >> supplies are actually DC amplifiers,
> >>
> >They are not "DC amplifiers" they are power converters. In an
> >amplifier we are concerned with reproducing the input waveform at
the
> >output at either increased amplitude and or power. Power converters
on
> >the other hand use everything from sine waves, square waves etc. to
do
> >the power conversion, and don't have an input waveform to amplify.
For
> >example the inverters I was commenting about above, they use a
square
> >wave of voltage while the current is a sine wave!
>
> Well, you lost me there. How in the heck to they get square voltage
> with sine current on the same line? Are we talking an anti-sine load
```

> or something?

Ah, no, but it is rather simple to explain. The inverter bridge
generates a square wave of voltage, switching between +V and -V
supplies. The output of the bridge is connected to a series tuned
load, which is tuned for the frequency of the square wave being
generated. The series tuned load acts like a "filter", which lets only
current at the resonate frequency flow through the circuit if the "Q"
is high enough. Thus mainly the frequency component in the spectrum of
the square wave, which matches the load frequency, cause any current
to flow. The series tuned circuit look like a high impedance at all
other frequencies that are present in the square wave's frequency
spectrum. Since the frequency components, in the voltage square wave,
we are talking about are sine waves the current resulting from just
one component will also be a sine wave.

>
> >> >Using square waves there is NO DC componet to the power. The
power
> >> >device is either fully turned on, or turned off, i.e its working
as
> >an
> >> >electronic switch under those conditions, a square wave drive.
> >There
> >> >is simply no in between. When using other wave forms the device
> >spends
> >> >a significant amount of time in its active region, which is
where
> >the
> >> >power loss in the device comes from. I hope you have also
noticed
> >that
> >> >in the analysis a perfect power device was assumed, i.e. that
Vce
> >> >saturation = zero volts.
> >>
> >> Huh? A square wave is DC with a switched amplitude!
> >
> >If the drive amplitude switches between +V and -V there is NO DC
> >component.
>
> That depends if the area under the curve is equal above ground as it
> is below ground. If not, then it has a DC component.

It also is no longer a square wave either. A square wave has to have a
50 percent duty cycle, i.e. must be symmetrical about it's center.

> A Class A amp,
> therefore, has a DC component -- even if it's a square wave --
because
> there is no circumstance where a Class A amplifier is going to swing

> below ground (well, except maybe with an inductive load, but that's
a
> different story altogether...)

Yes the signal on the collector, or plate, will have a DC offset. Most of the time the amplifier stages are AC coupled so the resulting output signal has no DC component. If you look at the open end of the coupling camacitor you will indeed see the square wave swinging below ground.

>
> > This is no different than a sine wave switching between
> >+169.71 volts and -169.71 volts, i.e. 169.71 = 120 * sqrt(2). Put a
DC
> >voltmeter across the 120 volt line in your house it should read
zero.
> >It will read zero across a square wave with the same voltage values
> >too.
>
> Not if it's coming off a Class A amplifier.
>
> >>
> >> >If you still don't believe me then just replace the power device
> >with
> >> >a switch. You still get the same wave form output by operating
the
> >> >switch at the right time, i.e. a square wave. Then try to
convince
> >> >yourself there is still a big power loss in the switch. Sort of
> >hard
> >> >to do right?
> >>
> >> Of course there is no power loss in the switch, I never said
there
> >> was. But what are you switching? DC! The center of your square
wave
> >> rests on a DC potential, therefore it has a DC component.
> >
> >Unless I "AC couple" the stage. 8-))
>
> Using a "DC blocking" capacitor? Hmmm...

Capacitors don't pass DC unless they are shorted! 8-((

>
> >> When you
> >> change the duty cycle, you change the AVERAGE DC of the square
wave!
> >> That's how switching power supplies work! Don't tell me you
didn't
> >> know that....

> >
> >Once you start switching it, DC, around its no longer just DC.
That's
> >how those neat little power converters work. Takes 12 VDC input,
and
> >generates 120 volts rms AC output. If you get any significant
amount
> >of DC out of one of those you may end up wrecking the appliance
> >connected to it. Particularity the ones with a transformer input!
>
> I did miss your statement earlier about power 'inverters', but again
> it depends on the method of inversion. A transformer powered from a
> Class A amp must dissipate the DC power as heat. If the method is
> cap/diode multiplication, you should be able to get all the power to
> the load (ideally). Same with inductive backwash inverters.

Consider the no-signal condition with a class "A" transformer coupled stage. Normally the transformer's primary, which is connected between the transistor's collector and supply, is going to be much lower in resistance than the reflected load impedance under signal conditions. Thus little power is wasted in the transformer's windings.

For example lets take a transformer with a 1 to 1 turns ratio, just to keep the math simple. Let the load on the secondary be an 8 ohm speaker. The transformer primary winding's resistance will be only a faction of an ohm. However when a signal is present the primary will now see the low primary winding's resistance PLUS the 8 ohms due to the load impedance. Since DC is NOT coupled through a transformer the bias current does not cause any losses in the load impedance, just in the very low transformer primary resistance.

The case, where the transformer's primary resistance is much lower than the reflected load impedance, is normal. If this were not true then the transformer could not efficiently couple power on it's primary to it's secondary winding. Most power transformers are around about 95 to 98 percent efficient.

>
> But if all you are doing is filtering the square wave for DC output,
> then the amp is just a DC amplifier.
>
> >If you change the duty cycle of a square wave it is no longer a
square
> >wave.
>
> Hmmm...
>
> > In fact high performance so called "servo amplifiers", and they
> >are NOT technically amplifiers either, operate by varying the duty
> >cycle of a high frequency square wave.
>

> Since the duty cycle of a square wave can be changed, then they are
no
> longer square waves, are they?

True. Read what I wrote above a bit more carfully - "by varying the
DUTY CYCLE of a high frequency square wave."

>
> > As long as the duty cycle is
> >exactly 50 percent, where the waveform switches between +V and -V
> >supplies, the DC component is zero. By varying the duty cycle one
way
> >or the other you can generate a net positive or negative DC
component
> >to form in the spectrum of the resulting waveform. Using the huge
> >inductance of the DC motor armature winding as a crude low pass
filter
> >the only component that remains is the DC one. This causes the
motor
> >to spin one way or the other depending on the net DC component.
>
> Whoa, hold on there! Duty cycle is only partly responsible for the
DC
> component of a square wave. You can have a square wave that hovers
> between +10 and +20 volts DC and if the duty cycle is 50% then the
DC
> component is +15 volts DC. So if a Class A amp puts out a square
wave
> from zero to Vcc with a 50% duty cycle, then the DC component of the
> output will be Vcc/2.

Yes the square wave on the collector load will have that offset. Now
if you couple that square wave to another stage using a capacitor the
DC offset is gone since capacitors don't pass DC.

>
> >In variable AC motor drives a set of electronic switches are driven
in
> >such a manner that the resulting rectangular waveform has a lower
> >frequency AC component after filtering. No DC component is
generated
> >because the duty cycled is varied in a sinusoidal manner about the
> >zero line.
>
> What you are doing there is not changing the duty cycle of a square
> (or rectangular) wave,

Yes I am.

> you are pulsing alternate and equal positive
> and negative potentials with equal pusle widths.

Which is a square wave.

> That requires a
> pulse-width modulator, and is not the same as varying the duty cycle
> of a square wave.

Samething, different terminology. Pulse width modulation would be the more accurate term to use however few on this news group would understand exactly what it meant so I used a term that, I hope, would be more familiar to people.

> Actually, the operation is more like the triac
> control in a light dimmer, except the gate is replaced by your
> motor-driven switches (but not always).

Ah, no, its rather different.

<snip>

> >True. However all of the equations for reactance, power etc have
been
> >developed for a sine waveform. It turns out sine waves are very
easy
> >to use for AC circuit analysis. Through the use of the Fourier
> >transform a signal can be analyzed using the techniques for sine
wave
> >analysis except one has to apply it to every harmonic term present
in
> >the NON-sinusoidal waveform. This is the basis of all
non-sinusoidal
> >signal analysis used in circuit design work. It also makes the math
> >rather messy except for some well define non-sinusoidal waveforms,
> >where the terms can be represent as an infinite sumation series,
and
> >the coefficients are a simple function of the order of the term.
For
> >the general case the analysis is done typically by computer, which
can
> >easily handle the 100's of terms required to get accurate results.
>
> My math sucks lately so I won't go there. But when the signal is the
> theoretical square wave then Vpeak = Vrms, and under no
circumstances
> can Vrms of a symmetrical waveform exceed Vpeak. Which is why max
> efficiency for a Class A amp can only be achieved with a square
wave.

Then the transistor would be switching between saturation and cutoff. You could do the same thing with another circuit that doesn't even look like an amplifier. I don't know of anybody wasting a class "A" amplifier like this when other circuits work just as well with fewer parts. And yes the efficiency would be high, but then we are no longer really talking about linear operation either.

>
> >>
> >> >> I can
> >> >> understand this, as the conversation was oriented towards a
Class
> >A
> >> >> amp being used as a linear amplifier for RF (typically
> >sinusoidal).
> >> >>
> >> >> I would be interested if one of your references had a specific
> >> >> reference to the efficiency for a Class B push-pull.
> >> >
> >> >All of the numbers I see are 78.5 percent, i.e. the exact
> >calculated
> >> >value is Pi/4 for a sine wave of course.
> >>
> >> That's what mine say as well. Why should they differ on Class A
> >> efficiency?
> >
> >I think it depends on just what kind of amplifier they analyze. For
an
> >amplifier with a purely resistive load (at all times and the
simplest
> >case to work with) only for the signal component. I have assumed a
> >resistive load at all times. Consider for a minute an amplifier
using
> >a "tuned", i.e. a parallel circuit of a capacitor, inductor, and
> >resistor load. It should be apparent that at no signal condition
the
> >DC bias power wasted in the load should be near zero,
>
> That depends on the DC resistance of the circuit. It's not
necessarily
> "near zero", and many tanks have resistance added in order to lower
> the Q of the circuit. Case in point: grid swamping resistors.

And if you look closer you will see that the resistors are across
points where you would expect to find the inductors. Now where are you
going to find a "resistor" that has less DC resistance that a couple
of turns of 14 gage wire? You see my point?

>
> > unlike the
> >purely resistive load case, since the load is shorted out by the
> >normally much lower in resistance inductor. For this case the DC
load
> >line does not match the AC load line. That makes the efficency
> >analysis more "interesting" and likely different.
>
> True story. However, when the DC resistance IS negligible, the DC
> resistance of the active device becomes the current-limiting factor,
> and therefore the primary means of DC power dissipation.

Yes, you're right, it would.

>
> >When getting in to discussions like this it is VERY important that
> >everybody fully understands the exact circuit typography in
question.
>
> Agreed.
Leland C. Scott
KC8LD0

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up. "

1073. Re: Aaron H Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 20:08:48 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da88114.339930@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139903

In <c5ecbb69.0210120326.47bdd4da@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3da761e1.130776@news.cet.com>...
>> In <c5ecbb69.0210111405.2e843749@posting.google.com>,
>> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>>
>> >timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021011083609.22507.00000957@mb-mr.aol.com>...
>> >> aaron is it really true you offered to "gladly post" proof about that cranium
>> >> guy being somebody you thought he was??? because i think i missed the post
>> >> where you had proof. please fill me in.
>> >
>> >Yes, he said he would post it "Gladly". But he hasn't because he
>> >doesn't have any proof. In fact, he posted a bunch of DNS numbers and
>> >their associates ISP names which proves I'm NOT "Steve". But he'll
>> >never acknowledge it because he'd have to admit he doesn't have a
>> >clue.
>> >
>> >Mind you, we already know he doesn't have a clue about much of
>> >anything!
>>
>> Who am I this week, Clyde? Jon Avery? LOL!
>
>Who cares, Jack? You're nothing but a troll, something you admitted yourself.

You care, Clyde! Or else you wouldn't have been so eager to post that
info on Jon Avery!

1074. Re: Re Johnson Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 20:10:53 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da88183.451648@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139904

In <ao8ueb$735$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "jason"
<hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote:

>Thanks for the info agian, it dosent have any other sockets, only the power
>connection and the attena hook up, ive been looking on several websites,
>everything seems to indicate that its a 12 volt, but im going to order the
>manual on it first, just to be sure, id hate to burn it up,
>
>Thanks for info,
>Jason

Check the vibrator, it might be marked with the proper voltage.

1075. Amplifier Square Wave Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 20:13:50 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <VLWcnWhvH6sPHzWgXTWcow@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139905

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3da090b3.6369652@news.cet.com...
>
> For linear amplifiers (audio, not RF) I prefer square waves because
> when looking at the scope the peaks are more well-defined. They also
> tend to show ringing, residual capacitance, and a host of other
> distortions you can't see with a sine wave. But, of course, that's
off
> the topic....;-)

I've heard may people do the same. The rich harmonic content of a
square wave gives somebody with a lot of experience a clue to the
frequency response of an amplifier by looking at the output waveform.
But you really have to know what to look for.

Have you ever tried using a sweep function generator to do the same
thing? You put the frequency sweep voltage on the X-channel, and the
response from the amplifier on the Y-channel. Then you of course have
to have the scope in the X-Y mode. I would like to find a good used
high frequency function generator, around 10 MHz or more, to use the
sweep function for checking plug-in or home brewed IF filters.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"It is difficult to make a man miserable while
he feels worthy of himself and claims
kindred to the great God who made
him."

Abraham Lincoln

1076. Re: MB8719 and pll info??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 20:18:17 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da88202.577975@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139906

In <ao9fkc$1h6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "AJ Sanderson"
<sjay@noospam.com> wrote:

>>The PLL should work fine, but the VCO won't.
>
>From my personal experimentation since the post, I find that it will.
>However, the VCO coil has to be re-resonated to the new range.
>
> It >wasn't designed for
>>that kind of range. You could swap components, but >you will need to
>>swap components for just about every other stage as >well.
>
>Yes, a couple of the other coils had to be modified as well.
>
>>The cheapest way to get a PLL sig-gen is to either buy >one or build it
>>from scratch. The latter isn't hard or expensive. The last >page on the
>>datasheet for the MAX038 has a synth that will go from >nearly DC to
>>over 16 MHz. Just about any parallel-input PLL can be >substituted for
>>the MC145151, and every MAX038 I have tested can >go well above 30MHz.
>
>I was able to access some data sheets for the MC145151. An interesting
>design. I especially liked the circuit examples provided. I wonder why I
>can't seem to find any circuit examples for the 8719? I know its an old
>chip, but there must be a universal, workable PLL example circuit somewhere
>for it, or even the data sheet for that matter. However, a websearch has
>turned out a no-no.

The MC145151 is a general-purpose PLL, but the MB8719 was designed for
specific applications. You will find that most of the PLL's found in
CB radios were designed only for CB radios, and are difficult to put
into service for any other function. For the same reason, the VCO's
used with these specialized PLL's also have limited capabilities.
That's why I suggested the MAX038.

http://www.maxim-ic.com/

1077. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 20:29:16 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <NoucnTYUzuC3GzWgXTWc3Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139907

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021011083500.22507.00000955@mb-mr.aol.com...
> no were just not paranoid about our spectrum being sold out from
under us

You should be! With more companies looking for spectrum to use for
their new digital wireless service they would like to offer. Nobody's
spectrum is safe anymore.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

1078. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 20:39:40 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <I16dnUYfUrI0FTWgXTWcqA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139908

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210111411.4709bd93@posting.google.com...
> killakeyclown@yahoo.com (KillaKeyClown) wrote in message
news:<86209e94.0210101449.124e2c0e@posting.google.com>...
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<%9jp9.5793$CE4.353258@news.bellsouth.net>...
> > > This is something that all radio operators could heed and make
radio a
> > > better hobby for all of us
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Good Amateur Practice is a hard thing to define. I'd have to
say it's
> >
> > Well, it's a good thing we're on CB, and not amateur radio! :)
>
> It's not hard to define at all. It would be stopping Aanal Aaron H.
> Voobner (a true phoney) from posting his bovine excrement on a
regular
> basis, since it isn't relevant, and because he has no license (or,
at
> best a no-code Tech license) and cannot use HF at all,

Anybody can use HF. All it costs is $49.95 to get a cheap AM CB rig at
Rat Shack, and another $19.95 for the antenna.

> legally, so has
> no Right or reason to complain about "intrusions" on 10 meters or
> anywhere else.

By your logic above, since your not a female ;-)), you can't voice
your opinion about abortion nor even vote on those issues. Since you
can't get pregnant you don't have any business telling a female what
she can and can't do with her own body, but I'll bet you do it any
way.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb

technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

1079. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 20:44:12 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021012164412.09717.00001097@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 139909

Aaron is the only "proof" you have that old post from usenet you keep repeating??? that doesnt look like hard facts to me at all. surely somebody as proper as yourself means actual proof if thats what you said you would eagerly show. correct?

1080. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 20:46:51 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021012164651.09717.00001099@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 139910

lelnad, the charicteristics of the hf band and the sheer unstopable usage of 11 meters around the world makes us pretty safe. its your vhf and uhf that fall under the "local" or "regional" status that you guys need to worry about

>
>You should be! With more companies looking for spectrum to use for
>their new digital wireless service they would like to offer. Nobody's
>spectrum is safe anymore.
>
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
>Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
>"I am a
> bomb
> technician
>if you see me
>running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1081. Re: MB8719 and pll info??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 21:09:47 UTC
From: AJ Sanderson <sjay@noospam.com>
Message-ID: <aoa32e$q2u$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 139911

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da88202.577975@news.cet.com...
> In <ao9fkc$1h6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "AJ Sanderson"
> The MC145151 is a general-purpose PLL, but the MB8719 was designed for
> specific applications. You will find that most of the PLL's found in
> CB radios were designed only for CB radios, and are difficult to put
> into service for any other function. For the same reason, the VCO's
> used with these specialized PLL's also have limited capabilities.
> That's why I suggested the MAX038.
>
> http://www.maxim-ic.com/

Now that's an IC I didn't get a chance to check out yet, but will. You know, I have a circuit diagram around here somewhere that uses the MC145106, a popular CB Pll IC, in another application where the frequency out is between 1-3 Mhz. I guess at least some of the MC series are a lot more flexable.

AJ

1082. Re: Aaron H Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 22:03:44 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210121403.588fc544@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139912

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
>Aaron is the only "proof" you have that old post
>from usenet you keep repeating??? that doesnt look
>like hard facts to me at all.

If you're convinced it's not factual then you should have no trouble ignoring it.

-Aaron-

1083. Re: FS- AMPS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 22:10:44 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210121410.510f66fd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139913

dclark506@aol.com (Dclark506) wrote in message
news:<20021012140449.20940.00001129@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> These 3 amps are in excellent condition. Prices do not include shipping
>
> Texas Star V-MOD 1 pill with variable output control and rec preamp $75
>
> No Name 5lb Maul 1 driving 4 pills, auto SSB, rec amp, external jacks for
> on/off and rec amp. This amp is like new $300
>
> Thunderbolt 305 Tube Base Amp 1 driving 3 6LQ6's, rec amp, SSB switch,
> standby, Excellent shape, Tubes are all good producing 400-500 watts pep with
> 2-4 watts input. $300

what is this??? the mole used to be a cb amp salesman. and now he is
a texas sized fink and a rat for the man.....wow!!! what is this
world coming to???? well, i never.....

1084. Re: Amplifier Square Wave Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 22:16:48 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da89f4d.8077435@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139914

In <VLWcnWhvH6sPHzWgXTWcow@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
>news:3da090b3.6369652@news.cet.com...
>>
>> For linear amplifiers (audio, not RF) I prefer square waves because
>> when looking at the scope the peaks are more well-defined. They also
>> tend to show ringing, residual capacitance, and a host of other
>> distortions you can't see with a sine wave. But, of course, that's
>off
>> the topic....;-)
>
>I've heard may people do the same. The rich harmonic content of a
>square wave gives somebody with a lot of experience a clue to the
>frequency response of an amplifier by looking at the output waveform.
>But you really have to know what to look for.

No kidding. Unless you have the trigger function fully mastered, you
might completely miss a repetitive leading-edge spike, even if you
have already filtered out the ringing.

>Have you ever tried using a sweep function generator to do the same
>thing? You put the frequency sweep voltage on the X-channel, and the
>response from the amplifier on the Y-channel. Then you of course have
>to have the scope in the X-Y mode.

I don't normally do that. If the distortion analyzer tells me
something is amiss, I'll put it on the scope and feed it with square
waves sweeping through the full spectrum. This way I can tell if there
is a transformer that is self-resonant, or if feedback is causing
oscillation or ringing at one or more frequencies. This should be done
dual-trace, comparing input to output, as I'm sure you are already
aware.

> I would like to find a good used
>high frequency function generator, around 10 MHz or more, to use the
>sweep function for checking plug-in or home brewed IF filters.

In another thread I mention the MAX038. Check it out.

1085. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 22:16:55 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da89f53.8082543@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139915

In <SQOdnWfGO9gS4jWgXTWc3g@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

<snip>
>> Well, you lost me there. How in the heck to they get square voltage
>> with sine current on the same line? Are we talking an anti-sine load
>> or something?
>
>Ah, no, but it is rather simple to explain. The inverter bridge
>generates a square wave of voltage, switching between +V and -V
>supplies. The output of the bridge is connected to a series tuned
>load, which is tuned for the frequency of the square wave being
>generated. The series tuned load acts like a "filter", which lets only
>current at the resonate frequency flow through the circuit if the "Q"
>is high enough. Thus mainly the frequency component in the spectrum of
>the square wave, which matches the load frequency, cause any current
>to flow. The series tuned circuit look like a high impedance at all
>other frequencies that are present in the square wave's frequency
>spectrum. Since the frequency components, in the voltage square wave,
>we are talking about are sine waves the current resulting from just
>one component will also be a sine wave.

Then you are just filtering the square-wave, making it a Class E amp.
The output of the filter is still going to be sine in both voltage and
current.

<snip>
>> That depends if the area under the curve is equal above ground as it
>> is below ground. If not, then it has a DC component.
>
>It also is no longer a square wave either. A square wave has to have a
>50 percent duty cycle, i.e. must be symmetrical about it's center.
>
>> A Class A amp,
>> therefore, has a DC component -- even if it's a square wave --
>because
>> there is no circumstance where a Class A amplifier is going to swing
>> below ground (well, except maybe with an inductive load, but that's
>a
>> different story altogether...)
>
>Yes the signal on the collector, or plate, will have a DC offset. Most
>of the time the amplifier stages are AC coupled so the resulting
>output signal has no DC component. If you look at the open end of the
>coupling camacitor you will indeed see the square wave swinging below

>ground.

That's what I said. And that DC voltage means there is DC current, and
therefore DC power that must be dissipated. In a Class A amplifier,
that DC power is at least half of the input power. It cannot be any
less.

>> >Unless I "AC couple" the stage. 8-))
>>
>> Using a "DC blocking" capacitor? Hmmm...
>
>Capacitors don't pass DC unless they are shorted! 8-((

Now you are catching on!

>> I did miss your statement earlier about power 'inverters', but again
>> it depends on the method of inversion. A transformer powered from a
>> Class A amp must dissipate the DC power as heat. If the method is
>> cap/diode multiplication, you should be able to get all the power to
>> the load (ideally). Same with inductive backwash inverters.
>
>Consider the no-signal condition with a class "A" transformer coupled
>stage. Normally the transformer's primary, which is connected between
>the transistor's collector and supply, is going to be much lower in
>resistance than the reflected load impedance under signal conditions.
>Thus little power is wasted in the transformer's windings.
>
>For example lets take a transformer with a 1 to 1 turns ratio, just to
>keep the math simple. Let the load on the secondary be an 8 ohm
>speaker. The transformer primary winding's resistance will be only a
>faction of an ohm. However when a signal is present the primary will
>now see the low primary winding's resistance PLUS the 8 ohms due to
>the load impedance. Since DC is NOT coupled through a transformer the
>bias current does not cause any losses in the load impedance, just in
>the very low transformer primary resistance.
>
>The case, where the transformer's primary resistance is much lower
>than the reflected load impedance, is normal. If this were not true
>then the transformer could not efficiently couple power on it's
>primary to it's secondary winding. Most power transformers are around
>about 95 to 98 percent efficient.

Most power AND audio transformers are 90+ percent efficient at
coupling the AC power. You agree that a Class A amp (sine wave) can be
no more than 25% efficient. That means 25% of the power is the AC
power that is coupled to the load. The other 75% MUST be dissipated.
Whatever isn't lost in the transformer WILL dissipate from the
transistor/tube, even if it's the majority of the DC power.

>> >If you change the duty cycle of a square wave it is no longer a
>square
>> >wave.

...later...

>> Whoa, hold on there! Duty cycle is only partly responsible for the
>DC
>> component of a square wave. You can have a square wave that hovers
>> between +10 and +20 volts DC and if the duty cycle is 50% then the
>DC
>> component is +15 volts DC. So if a Class A amp puts out a square
>wave
>> from zero to Vcc with a 50% duty cycle, then the DC component of the
>> output will be Vcc/2.
>
>Yes the square wave on the collector load will have that offset. Now
>if you couple that square wave to another stage using a capacitor the
>DC offset is gone since capacitors don't pass DC.

That's true, but the DC power doesn't disappear when you add a cap. If it is prevented from being dissipated by the load then it MUST be dissipated by the source (the amplifier).

>> >In variable AC motor drives a set of electronic switches are driven
>in
>> >such a manner that the resulting rectangular waveform has a lower
>> >frequency AC component after filtering. No DC component is
>generated
>> >because the duty cycled is varied in a sinusoidal manner about the
>> >zero line.
>>
>> What you are doing there is not changing the duty cycle of a square
>> (or rectangular) wave,
>
>Yes I am.
>
>> you are pulsing alternate and equal positive
>> and negative potentials with equal pusle widths.
>
>Which is a square wave.

No it isn't. Mental picture: Go one second at ground potential, then pulse positive for, say, 50 ms. Go another full second at ground, then pulse negative for 50 ms. Continue. That's not a square wave.

And you are confusing your own terminology. First you say that "A square wave has to have a 50 percent duty cycle, i.e. must be symmetrical about it's center", and "If you change the duty cycle of a square wave it is no longer a square wave", but then you say that even though you change the duty cycle it's still a square wave. Pick one definition and stick to it, will ya?

>> That requires a
>> pulse-width modulator, and is not the same as varying the duty cycle
>> of a square wave.
>

>Samething, different terminology.

No it isn't, as I stated above and below.

> Pulse width modulation would be the
>more accurate term to use however few on this news group would
>understand exactly what it meant so I used a term that, I hope, would
>be more familiar to people.

When you vary the duty cycle of a square wave, you are not stopping at
ground potential. Also, when you shorten the positive cycle you
necessarily lengthen the negative cycle by the same amount.
Pulse-width modulation does not necessarily change the length of the
negative cycle. However, pulse-position modulation DOES, and THAT'S
another story...

>> Actually, the operation is more like the triac
>> control in a light dimmer, except the gate is replaced by your
>> motor-driven switches (but not always).
>
>Ah, no, its rather different.

Not much. It's just more primitive.

><snip>
>
>> >True. However all of the equations for reactance, power etc have
>been
>> >developed for a sine waveform. It turns out sine waves are very
>easy
>> >to use for AC circuit analysis. Through the use of the Fourier
>> >transform a signal can be analyzed using the techniques for sine
>wave
>> >analysis except one has to apply it to every harmonic term present
>in
>> >the NON-sinusoidal waveform. This is the basis of all
>non-sinusoidal
>> >signal analysis used in circuit design work. It also makes the math
>> >rather messy except for some well define non-sinusoidal waveforms,
>> >where the terms can be represent as an infinite sumation series,
>and
>> >the coefficients are a simple function of the order of the term.
>For
>> >the general case the analysis is done typically by computer, which
>can
>> >easily handle the 100's of terms required to get accurate results.
>>
>> My math sucks lately so I won't go there. But when the signal is the
>> theoretical square wave then Vpeak = Vrms, and under no
>circumstances
>> can Vrms of a symmetrical waveform exceed Vpeak. Which is why max
>> efficiency for a Class A amp can only be achieved with a square

>wave.
>
>Then the transistor would be switching between saturation and cutoff.

Absolutely true. But if you are suggesting that the transistor is not capable of power dissipation because it is saturated or cutoff then you are wrong. If both the transistor and the load have negligible resistance when the transistor is in saturation, then the power supply would drop to almost zero and you would have almost infinite current through the transistor and load. Obviously, that doesn't happen (unless something or someone is faulty).

If the load is resistive, then the load is going to be dissipating a considerable part of the power. However, if the load has negligible DC resistance, then the DC power must be dissipated elsewhere. But you are forgetting that any AC coupling is not going to be purely resistive. So while the active device is switching between cutoff and saturation, that doesn't mean the current or voltage is going to follow suit. For example, AC coupling using an output transformer: When the transistor saturates, the voltage across the transformer begins at maximum but the current lags the voltage (apply time constant of Rtotal and L, etc). So the current isn't following a square wave. That's how part of the DC power gets converted to AC power. Now remember you still have DC power that isn't converted to AC power, and MUST be dissipated by the total DC resistance of the circuit. And that DC resistance is Vcc divided by the sum of the DC current of the transformer plus the average DC current of the transistor. Don't forget that the current doesn't follow a square wave, but lags the voltage according to a time constant. And if the current isn't following a square wave, then the resistance of the saturated transistor is not constant, or even zero, but variable throughout it's time under saturation. If it has resistance, it dissipates power.

>You could do the same thing with another circuit that doesn't even
>look like an amplifier. I don't know of anybody wasting a class "A"
>amplifier like this when other circuits work just as well with fewer
>parts. And yes the efficiency would be high, but then we are no longer
>really talking about linear operation either.

It depends on whether you are using the amp as an AC or DC amplifier. As a DC amplifier it can be very efficient, as is proven daily by the use of switching power supplies.

>> >I think it depends on just what kind of amplifier they analyze. For
>an
>> >amplifier with a purely resistive load (at all times and the
>simplest
>> >case to work with) only for the signal component. I have assumed a
>> >resistive load at all times. Consider for a minute an amplifier
>using
>> >a "tuned", i.e. a parallel circuit of a capacitor, inductor, and

>> >resistor load. It should be apparent that at no signal condition
>the
>> >DC bias power wasted in the load should be near zero,
>>
>> That depends on the DC resistance of the circuit. It's not
>necessarily
>> "near zero", and many tanks have resistance added in order to lower
>> the Q of the circuit. Case in point: grid swamping resistors.
>
>And if you look closer you will see that the resistors are across
>points where you would expect to find the inductors. Now where are you
>going to find a "resistor" that has less DC resistance that a couple
>of turns of 14 gage wire? You see my point?

Actually, I misread your original comment, that DC bias power wasted
in a LCR parallel load with no signal would be zero, as it would be
with any other type of load. The power lost to DC bias is complete
BECAUSE there is no signal.

1086. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 22:24:00 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210121424.1132f266@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139916

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
>lelnad, the charicteristics of the hf band and the sheer
>unstopable usage of 11 meters around the world makes
>us pretty safe. its your vhf and uhf that fall under the "local"
>or "regional" status that you guys need to worry about

"Sheer unstoppable usage"? That seems to imply that CB'ers around the world would defy their governments and operate on 11 meters even if the 27 mhz CB service were dissolved and the spectrum were reallocated. You're probably right. But it wouldn't be something to be proud of.

-Aaron-

1087. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 22:27:33 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da89fb7.8183495@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139917

In <20021012164412.09717.00001097@mb-fs.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote:

>Aaron is the only "proof" you have that old post from usenet you keep >repeating??? that doesnt look like hard facts to me at all. surely somebody as >proper as yourself means actual proof if thats what you said you would eagerly >show. correct?

If you are going to defend Cranium, why don't you answer some of the questions he refuses to answer? Like, what was his standard of 'proof' that I am Jon Avery? Or his claim that nobody abuses the 10 meter band? How about explaining why he keeps whining about Voobner under the premise that Voobner hates CBers, but when someone ELSE posts something hateful about CBers he ignores it? And you STILL haven't found the quote that supports Cranium's claim that Voobner said, "all 10 meter radios are illegal". Where is that post, Timmy?

You say want 'proof'? No you don't. You want another reason to whine about Voobner.

1088. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 22:34:27 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da8a20c.8779871@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139918

In <20021012164651.09717.00001099@mb-fs.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote:

>lelnad, the charicteristics of the hf band and the sheer unstopable usage of 11 >meters around the world makes us pretty safe. its your vhf and uhf that fall >under the "local" or "regional" status that you guys need to worry about

It's far from safe. Pager services can work even on low HF. Commercial beacons and tracking systems can also work on 11m, as could remote control appliances. Or CB could easily be eliminated to expand the legitimate 27MHz commercial services. That's not a far-out possibility as the VHF hi and lo bands are filling up fast. And if there is enough money behind such a change, you can bet that the FCC will get off it's lazy ass and enforce the band.

1089. Re: MB8719 and pll info??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 22:43:36 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da8a3e5.9253489@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139919

In <aoa32e$q2u$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "AJ Sanderson"
<sjay@noospam.com> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da88202.577975@news.cet.com...
>> In <ao9fkc$1h6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "AJ Sanderson"
>> The MC145151 is a general-purpose PLL, but the MB8719 was designed for
>> specific applications. You will find that most of the PLL's found in
>> CB radios were designed only for CB radios, and are difficult to put
>> into service for any other function. For the same reason, the VCO's
>> used with these specialized PLL's also have limited capabilities.
>> That's why I suggested the MAX038.
>>
>> http://www.maxim-ic.com/
>
>Now that's an IC I didn't get a chance to check out yet, but will. You
>know, I have a circuit diagram around here somewhere that uses the MC145106,
>a popular CB Pll IC, in another application where the frequency out is
>between 1-3 Mhz. I guess at least some of the MC series are a lot more
>flexable.

Flexability depends on how much money and effort you want to spend. If
you want to use the MB8719 as a code practice oscillator you could
probably make it work. But I can think of a lot of better and cheaper
ways to accomplish the same task.

1090. Re: SWR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 23:06:32 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <YV1q9.19106$ue4.1214918@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 139920

The SWR adjestment is normally made... center band... just once for a given system.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1pill.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message
news:toGo9.6442$9f2.646349@news20.bellglobal.com...
> My Uniden Grant LT has an SWR knob. The manual doesn't say much about
> playing with it. Should I have to adjust my SWR to 1:1 everytime i change
> channels?
>
> Thanks
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:DMmo9.7650$k_2.557156@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > SWR has to do with the match between the radio and the antenna via the
> > coaxial cable. You will need an SWR meter to make the adjustment. If you
> > don't have one "built-in" to the radio that's in your system, you will
> have
> > to obtain one. They are relatively inexpensive (around $20-30) ore than
> > likely can be purchased locally...
> >
> > --
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > Brian Griffey
> > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
> > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1pill.pdf
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >
> >
> > "Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message
> > news:Paio9.3289$9f2.343620@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > What is SWR? If i need to fidle with it, how can I with a wilson 1000
> and
> > > uniden grant lt setup?
> > >
> > > Regards, DL
> >

> >
> >
>
>

1091. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 23:31:44 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <T8Sdnb0dieBALTWgXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139921

While you are it have him prove there are 30-40 million Cbers across the USA

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium
<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da89fb7.8183495@news.cet.com...
> In <20021012164412.09717.00001097@mb-fs.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com357
> (Uncle Charlie) wrote:
>
> >Aaron is the only "proof" you have that old post from usenet you keep
> >repeating??? that doesnt look like hard facts to me at all. surely
somebody as
> >proper as yourself means actual proof if thats what you said you would
eagerly
> >show. correct?
>
> If you are going to defend Cranium, why don't you answer some of the
> questions he refuses to answer? Like, what was his standard of 'proof'
> that I am Jon Avery? Or his claim that nobody abuses the 10 meter
> band? How about explaining why he keeps whining about Voobner under
> the premise that Voobner hates CBers, but when someone ELSE posts
> something hateful about CBers he ignores it? And you STILL haven't
> found the quote that supports Cranium's claim that Voobner said, "all
> 10 meter radios are illegal". Where is that post, Timmy?
>
> You say want 'proof'? No you don't. You want another reason to whine
> about Voobner.
>

1092. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-12 23:35:34 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <zjadnSOXkfNWLDWgXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139922

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:I16dnUYfUrI0FTWgXTWcqA@News.GigaNews.Com...
> > legally, so has
> > no Right or reason to complain about "intrusions" on 10 meters or
> > anywhere else.
>
> By your logic above, since your not a female ;-)), you can't voice
> your opinion about abortion nor even vote on those issues. Since you
> can't get pregnant you don't have any business telling a female what
> she can and can't do with her own body, but I'll bet you do it any
> way.

Leland great point lets see nebro try and weasel his way out of that one.
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>

1093. one stop electronics.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 00:29:52 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210121629.40338c59@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139923

has anyone ever bought anything from one stop electronics????? i was thinking about buying a texas star sweet 16 from them soon....

1094. Re: MB8719 and pll info??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 00:36:59 UTC
From: AJ Sanderson <sjay@noospam.com>
Message-ID: <aoaf6vkb1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 139924

> Flexability depends on how much money and effort you want to spend. If
> you want to use the MB8719 as a code practice oscillator you could
> probably make it work. But I can think of a lot of better and cheaper
> ways to accomplish the same task.

That's true. BTW, I did get a chance to check out the MAX038 site and I'm impressed, especially with the workable PLL circuit example they provide.

AJ

1095. Re: Looking to tune in my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 00:46:40 UTC
From: ruralreader <sylinen@somtel.net>
Message-ID: <Yh3q9.2086$014.49179@reggie.win.bright.net>
Source record: 139925

In IL, there was a shop near the "76" (now TA) west of Elgin,IL
Not in the TA ,but across the road,can't rember the name.
"Tony" <nospamtvien@peoplepc.comnospam> wrote in message
news:uqgkjg8jv4538d@corp.supernews.com...
> Does anyone know where in NE IL or SE WI I can get a radio tuned in?
> Phonebooks have nothing and the Internet was just that more helpless.
>
> Thanks
>
>

1096. Re: Looking to tune in my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 00:51:24 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <aoag350205l@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 139930

Hampshire Il.
Mile Marker 35 I-90.
Go to the Clark station, the CB shop is in the basement of it.

"ruralreader" <sylinen@somtel.net> wrote in message
news:Yh3q9.2086$014.49179@reggie.win.bright.net...
> In IL, there was a shop near the "76" (now TA) west of Elgin,IL
> Not in the TA ,but across the road,can't rember the name.
> "Tony" <nospamtvien@peoplepc.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:uqgkjg8jv4538d@corp.supernews.com...
> > Does anyone know where in NE IL or SE WI I can get a radio tuned in?
> > Phonebooks have nothing and the Internet was just that more helpless.
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> >
>
>

1097. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 01:05:53 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da8c6ab.220084@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139926

In <T8Sdnb0dieBALTWgXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Ole Shit"
<oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote:

>While you are it have him prove there are 30-40 million Cbers across the USA

Didn't Jay come up with a figure like 12 million CBers in the world,
or something like that? Of course that was based on his "marketing"
information that he won't disclose...

1098. Re: Is a 10-12 meter rig considered a CB???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 01:07:07 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <aoah0n02165@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 139931

"CBnoobie" <cbnoobe@cb.com> wrote in message
news:uGsp9.20014$ZO1.1182677@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Found this base station, but the specs show 25 - 150 watts, is this
> considered a CB?, does the operatorhave to be licensed?. If this is
> not a CB but a ham radio, why is the frequency coverage so limited
compared
> to other HF rigs, I don't get it.
>
>
> http://www.rfwiz.com/Ranger/RCI-2985DX.htm
>
> Puzzled.
>

To be a proper CB radio it must follow precise specs as laid out by the FCC.
Otherwise, it is an amateur radio.
Having an output of 25 watts makes it illegal to operate on 11 meters.
As the specs show that it covers 10 AND 12 meters, this does not mean to say
that it also covers 11 meters.
Knowing Ranger's reputation, I would venture a guess and say a good
technician could convert it to work on 11 meters quite easily.

Yes. You would need a ham radio license to operate the radio. BEFORE you use
it.

1099. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 01:09:30 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da8c729.346302@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139927

In <I16dnUYfUrI0FTWgXTWcqA@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

<snip>
>> legally, so has
>> no Right or reason to complain about "intrusions" on 10 meters or
>> anywhere else.
>
>By your logic above, since your not a female ;-)), you can't voice
>your opinion about abortion nor even vote on those issues. Since you
>can't get pregnant you don't have any business telling a female what
>she can and can't do with her own body, but I'll bet you do it any
>way.

Since he claims that he's not a CBer, and that rarely exercises his
[alleged] ham priviledges, by his own "logic" he has no business
complaining about ANYTHING in this newsgroup!

1100. Re: MB8719 and pll info??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 01:14:15 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da8c7d9.521512@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139928

In <aoaf6vkb1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "AJ Sanderson"
<sjay@noospam.com> wrote:

>> Flexability depends on how much money and effort you want to spend. If
>> you want to use the MB8719 as a code practice oscillator you could
>> probably make it work. But I can think of a lot of better and cheaper
>> ways to accomplish the same task.
>
>That's true. BTW, I did get a chance to check out the MAX038 site and I'm
>impressed, especially with the workable PLL circuit example they provide.

Cool, huh? I built one and it works great. Sometimes you need a cap
across the output because there can be bumps in the sine wave. But I
don't think that would be an issue if the thing was built properly on
a PC board and everything well bypassed, instead of thrown together on
a protoboard like I did it...;-)

1101. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 01:23:40 UTC
From: ruralreader <sylinen@somtel.net>
Message-ID: <FQ3q9.2088$014.49165@reggie.win.bright.net>
Source record: 139929

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da8a20c.8779871@news.cet.com...
> In <20021012164651.09717.00001099@mb-fs.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com357
> (Uncle Charlie) wrote:
>
> >lelnad, the charicteristics of the hf band and the sheer unstopable usage
of 11
> >meters around the world makes us pretty safe. its your vhf and uhf that
fall
> >under the "local" or "regional" status that you guys need to worry about
>
> It's far from safe. Pager services can work even on low HF. Commercial
> beacons and tracking systems can also work on 11m, as could remote
> control appliances. Or CB could easily be eliminated to expand the
> legitimate 27MHz commercial services. That's not a far-out possibility
> as the VHF hi and lo bands are filling up fast. And if there is enough
> money behind such a change, you can bet that the FCC will get off it's
> lazy ass and enforce the band.
>

Pager services can work even on low HF. [it can,but the services wanted by
"normal" users need bandwith (marketing hype from mfg.s)]

Or CB could easily be eliminated to expand the
legitimate 27MHz commercial services. [yes, they might,but then
again 220-222MHz ? who knows? not much of a success.(see:UPS)]

That's not a far-out possibility
as the VHF hi and lo bands are filling up fast. [Why do you think thoose
FAT analog TV band(s) were sold?]

You will see more digital modes and trunking. buying bandwith from Uncle
"fatcat" Sam is bit pricy now that the economy has tanked.
With anti-cellphone laws for use in cars, you might see audio file downloads
[narrowFM pulse] to replay later.

and...

for all that licenced use, try monitoring and see if there really is use?
Might just be "camping" like internet domain names.

the move on freq. migh be on the 1GHz and up.

1102. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 01:38:08 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210121738.2d434a22@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Anonymous User <anonymous@remailer.havenco.com> wrote in message
news:<10a04baff773ed08fe773f52e1234907@remailer.havenco.com>...
> Subject: ohio record sight

Lots of records there. Lots of people in Ohio. What's the point
Sharkey? (Who else mis-spells "site" as "sight"?)

-A

1103. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 01:39:09 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da8cdb0.1760346@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139933

In <FQ3q9.2088$014.49165@reggie.win.bright.net>, "ruralreader"
<sylinen@somtel.net> wrote:

>and...
>
>for all that licenced use, try monitoring and see if there really is use?
>Might just be "camping" like internet domain names.
>
>the move on freq. migh be on the 1GHz and up.

Do you think that all these licensees are just "camping"?

26.030

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
CORP OF SEVENTH DAY ADVENT OF W PR KB96046 Active PR RP Mobile
K L R A INC KC5244 Active RP Mobile
CB RADIO INC KE5823 Active RP Mobile
LEE AND ASSOCIATES INC KE9960 Active RP Mobile
CORNBELT BROADCASTING CO KEK709 Active IL RP Base
BURLEY BROADCASTERS INC KF4641 Active RP Mobile
COMMUNITY COMMUNICATIONS INC KFO547 Active FL RP Base
COMMUNITY COMMUNICATIONS INC KFO547 Active FL RP Mobile
WMGS INC KFZ715 Active OH RP Base
MAILLET MEDIA INC KG7603 Active OH RP Mobile
CORNBELT BROADCASTING CO KG9843 Active RP Mobile
MATTOON BROADCASTING COMPANY KH2043 Active RP Mobile
MATTOON BROADCASTING COMPANY KH2045 Active RP Mobile
MATTOON BROADCASTING COMPANY KH2046 Active RP Mobile
WMGS INC KJ4789 Active RP Mobile
CITICASTERS CO KMK429 Active RP Base
KQED INC KN2570 Active RP Mobile
KQED INC KN4398 Active RP Mobile
KERSHAW BROADCASTING CORP KN6847 Active RP Mobile
TULANE EDUCATIONAL FUND KO4439 Active RP Mobile
Entercom Portland License, LLC KPM556 Active OR RP Base
HARDIN COUNTY BROADCASTING CO INC KQB579 Active RP Base
HARDIN COUNTY BROADCASTING CO INC KQB579 Active RP Mobile
FAMILY RADIO INC KSJ786 Active WI RP Base
FAMILY RADIO INC KSJ786 Active WI RP Mobile
JOHNSON COMMUNICATIONS INC KTO787 Active OH RP Base
NEW NORTHWEST BROADCASTERS II INC KW6084 Active RP Mobile

26.070

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
CEDAR RAPIDS TELEVISION CO KA2088 Active RP Mobile
RADIO VERMONT INC KC7524 Active RP Mobile
RADIO VERMONT INC KCE368 Active VT RP Base
1590 BROADCASTING CORP KCF788 Active NH RP Base
1590 BROADCASTING CORP KCF788 Active NH RP Mobile
CITADEL BROADCASTING COMPANY KCI428 Active ME RP Base
1590 BROADCASTING CORP KD5912 Active RP Mobile
GRAPEVINE OF WYOMING LIC SUB LLC KFV588 Active WY RP Base
JEFFERSON STANDARD BCSTG CO KIQ465 Active NC RP Base
CEDAR RAPIDS TELEVISION CO KJF270 Active RP Base
CEDAR RAPIDS TELEVISION CO KJF270 Active IA RP Mobile
PROVIDENT BROADCASTING COMPANY KJG607 Active GA RP Base
STATE OF OREGON ACTING BY & THROUGH KJR902 Active OR RP Base
NEWS PRESS PUBLISHING CO KML640 Active CA RP Base
SAN JOAQUIN BROADCASTING CO KMM701 Active CA RP Base
SAN JOAQUIN BROADCASTING CO KMM702 Active CA RP Base
KCRA HEARST ARGYLE TELEVISION INC KMM776 Active CA RP Base
AK MEDIA GROUP INC KOG847 Active WA RP Base
AK MEDIA GROUP INC KOG848 Active WA RP Base
RADIO CLEVELAND INC KP2454 Active RP Mobile
THE ORIGINAL COMPANY INC KP6137 Active RP Mobile
THE ORIGINAL COMPANY INC KP6138 Active RP Mobile
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA KPE521 Active NC RP Base
HUBBARD BROADCASTING INC KPF364 Active MN RP Base
HUBBARD BROADCASTING INC KPF364 Active MN RP Mobile
AK MEDIA GROUP INC KRS411 Active WA RP Base
RADIO CLEVELAND INC KSO848 Active MS RP Base
THE ORIGINAL COMPANY INC KUZ367 Active AL RP Base
THE ELK CAMERON BROADCASTING CO KV4928 Active RP Mobile
THE ELK CAMERON BROADCASTING CO KV4929 Active RP Mobile
PLATINUM BROADCASTING CO INC KXB268 Active RP Base
PLATINUM BROADCASTING CO INC KXB268 Active RP Mobile
THE ELK CAMERON BROADCASTING CO KZH808 Active RP Base
THE ELK CAMERON BROADCASTING CO KZH808 Active RP Mobile
RADIO CLEVELAND INC KZH865 Active MS RP Base
TRI-STATE PUBLIC TELEPLEX, INC WQA913 Active IN RP Base
TRI-STATE PUBLIC TELEPLEX, INC WQA913 Active IN RP Mobile

26.090

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
CITICASTERS CO KAJ318 Active IA RP Base
JACOR BROADCASTING OF COLORADO INC KB99696 Active CO RP Mobile
MAINE PUBLIC BROADCASTING CORP KCU312 Active ME RP Base
BROWNING SKIDMORE BROADCASTING INC KDF620 Active MO RP Base
SIERRA BROADCASTING CORPORATION KEH416 Active RP Base
SIERRA BROADCASTING CORPORATION KEH416 Active RP Mobile
M G M BROADCASTING CORP KF2192 Active RP Mobile
MAINE PUBLIC BROADCASTING CORP KF9744 Active RP Mobile
KWSO INC KFL965 Active WA RP Base
AMFM RADIO LICENSES LLC KFV996 Active AL RP Base

CAPSTAR TX LTD PARTNERSHIP KFZ719 Active WI RP Base
CAPSTAR TX LTD PARTNERSHIP KFZ719 Active WI RP Mobile
VICTORY CHRISTIAN CENTER INC KGT648 Active NC RP Base
ANDERSON BROADCASTING CO KJI970 Active ND RP Base
KOAT HEARST ARGYLE TELEVISION INC KKR362 Active NM RP Base
THE MOODY BIBLE INSTITUTE OF CHICAGO KLI399 Active WA RP Base
CENTRAL CAL COMMUNICATIONS CORP KMH550 Active CA RP Base
CENTRAL CAL COMMUNICATIONS CORP KMH551 Active CA RP Base
CENTRAL CAL COMMUNICATIONS CORP KMJ515 Active CA RP Base
PACIFIC COAST COMMUNICATIONS LLC KMM677 Active CA RP Base
BONNEVILLE INTERNATIONAL CORP KOH770 Active UT RP Base
CUMULUS LICENSING CORPORATION KP9532 Active RP Mobile
CUMULUS LICENSING CORPORATION KP9533 Active RP Mobile
CITICASTERS COMPANY KQK742 Active OH RP Base
TRI-STATE PUBLIC TELEPLEX, INC WQA913 Active IN RP Base
TRI-STATE PUBLIC TELEPLEX, INC WQA913 Active IN RP Mobile

26.100

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
MCGRAW-HILL BROADCASTING COMPANY, INC. BLN00751 Active CO LP Mobile
POST NEWSWEEK STATIONS FLA INC BLP00001 Active LP Mobile
ANTELOPE BROADCASTING CO BLP00111 Active LP Mobile
CARTER PUBLICATIONS INC BLP00120 Active LP Mobile
CLOVIS BROADCASTERS INC BLP00125 Active LP Mobile
COPPER BROADCASTING CO BLP00130 Active LP Mobile
CORINTHIAN TELEVISION CORP BLP00131 Active LP Mobile
CORNHUSKER TV CORP BLP00132 Active LP Mobile
DEVRIES ADRIAN BLP00134 Active LP Mobile
EL MUNDO BROADCASTING CORP BLP00138 Active LP Mobile
FIELD COMMUNICATIONS CORP BLP00141 Active LP Mobile
KXTV INC BLP00147 Active CA LP Mobile
Griffin Entities, L.L.C. BLP00148 Active OK LP Mobile
HEARST CORP BLP00150 Active LP Mobile
HUGH HOLDER ENTERPRISES BLP00152 Active LP Mobile
INDIANA BCG CORP BLP00153 Active LP Mobile
IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TEC BLP00155 Active LP Mobile
JAMES BROADCASTING CO INC BLP00157 Active LP Mobile
JOURNAL CO BLP00158 Active LP Mobile
GUY GANNETT COMMUNICATIONS BLP00160 Active LP Mobile
KBMY BCG CO BLP00162 Active LP Mobile
KCMC INC BLP00164 Active LP Mobile
KCOP TELEVISION INC BLP00165 Active LP Mobile
KDB BCG CO BLP00166 Active LP Mobile
KELA CORP BLP00167 Active LP Mobile
Entercom Seattle News License, LLC BLP00171 Active WA LP Mobile
KLIX CORP BLP00172 Active LP Mobile
KOTV, INC. BLP00176 Active OK LP Mobile
KWRO INC BLP00179 Active LP Mobile
LOUISIANA TV BCG CORP BLP00183 Active LP Mobile
MARION BROADCASTING CO BLP00184 Active LP Mobile

MAYAGUEZ RADIO CORP BLP00186 Active LP Mobile
MIAMI VALLEY BROADCASTING CORP BLP00190 Active LP Mobile
MIDCONTINENT BROADCASTING CO BLP00191 Active LP Mobile
MIDWEST TELEVISION INC BLP00192 Active LP Mobile
NORTHWEST PUBLICATION BLP00200 Active LP Mobile
OHIO UNIVERSITY BLP00202 Active LP Mobile
REAMS BROADCASTING CORP BLP00214 Active LP Mobile
REGENTS OF THE UNIV SYSTEM OF GA FOR & O BLP00215 Active LP Mobile
SANGAMON BCG CO BLP00218 Active LP Mobile
SARKES TARZIAN INC BLP00221 Active LP Mobile
SHENANDOAH VALLEY BCG INC BLP00223 Active LP Mobile
SHOW ME BROADCASTING CO BLP00224 Active LP Mobile
STORER BCG CO BLP00230 Active LP Mobile
TV WIS INC BLP00237 Active LP Mobile
VETERANS BROADCASTING CO BLP00239 Active LP Mobile
WDMG INC BLP00243 Active LP Mobile
WEBER COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT BLP00245 Active LP Mobile
WGN CONTINENTAL BROADCASTING CO BLP00251 Active LP Mobile
WIIC TV CORP BLP00254 Active LP Mobile
WKOX INC BLP00257 Active LP Mobile
WKY TELEVISION SYSTEM INC BLP00258 Active LP Mobile
WPIX INC BLP00260 Active LP Mobile
WSM INC BLP00261 Active LP Mobile
XYZ TELEVISION INC BLP00266 Active LP Mobile
KARK TV INC BLP00411 Active LP Mobile
FREEDOM BROADCASTING OF NEW YORK INC BLP00427 Active NY LP Mobile
KGO TELEVISION, INC. BLP00428 Active CA LP Mobile
COMBINED COMMUNICATIONS CORP OF OK BLP00434 Active MI LP Mobile
CENTRAL NY NEWS INC BLP00440 Active NY LP Mobile
Emmis Radio License Corporation BLP00447 Active AZ LP Mobile
POST-NEWSWEEK STATIONS BLP00450 Active LP Mobile
McGraw-Hill Broadcasting Company, Inc. BLP00455 Active CA LP Mobile
NEXSTAR B/CING OF WICHITA FALLS LP BLP00464 Active TX LP Mobile
GATEWAY COMMUNICATIONS, INC. BLP00469 Active LP Mobile
FOX TELEVISION STATIONS INC BLP00539 Active LP Mobile
FOX TELEVISION STATIONS INC BLP00540 Active LP Mobile
NEXSTAR BROADCASTING OF CHAMPAIGN, LLC BLP00544 Active IL LP Mobile
KTRK TELEVISION INC BLP00548 Active LP Mobile
CLAY BROADCASTING CORPORATION BLP00549 Active NC LP Mobile
MICHIANA TELECASTING CORP BLP00556 Active IN LP Mobile
MIDWEST TELEVISION INC. BLP00605 Active CA LP Mobile
KING BROADCASTING COMPANY BLP00606 Active ID LP Mobile
INDIANA BROADCASTING CORPORATION BLP00609 Active IN LP Mobile
Fox Television Stations, Inc. BLP00614 Active LP Mobile
Fox Television Stations, Inc. BLP00617 Active LP Mobile
ABC INC BLP00633 Active NC LP Mobile
Viacom International Inc. BLP00636 Active FL LP Mobile
CHARLOTTESVILLE B/C CORP BLP00638 Active LP Mobile
WVEC TELEVISION INC. BLP00643 Active VA LP Mobile
WLVI INC BLP00644 Active LP Mobile

WYFF Hearst-Argyle Television, Inc. BLP00650 Active SC LP Mobile
HUBBARD BROADCASTING, INC. BLP00675 Active NY LP Mobile
FLINT LICENSE SUBSIDIARY CORP BLP00677 Active MI LP Mobile
PACIFIC AND SOUTHERN COMPANY INC BLP00681 Active LP Mobile
FORT MYERS BROADCASTING CO BLP00696 Active LP Mobile
LANDMARK TV OF TENNESSEE INC BLP00697 Active TN LP Mobile
NOBLE BROADCAST LICENSES INC BLP00699 Active OH LP Mobile
OHIO / OKLAHOMA HEARST-ARGYLE TELEVISION, Inc BLP00700 Active OK LP Mobile
WSOC TELEVISION INC BLP00701 Active NC LP Mobile
MEREDITH CORPORATION BLP00715 Active MO LP Mobile
POST-NEWSWEEK STATIONS FLORIDA INC BLP00723 Active LP Mobile
WAND TELEVISION, INC BLP00732 Active IL LP Mobile
QUORUM OF AMARILLO LICENSE, LLC BLP00748 Active LP Mobile
QUORUM OF AMARILLO LICENSE, LLC BLP00752 Active LP Mobile
MULTIMEDIA HOLDINGS CORPORATION DBA C/O GANNETT CO INC BLP00759 Active AZ LP Mobile
WPIX INC BLP00760 Active NY LP Mobile
COSMOS BROADCASTING CORPORATION BLP00768 Active OH LP Mobile
CEDAR RAPIDS TELEVISION COMPANY BLP00770 Active IA LP Mobile
Orlando Hearst-Argyle Television, Inc. BLP00772 Active FL LP Mobile
Infinity Radio of Charlotte License Inc. BLP00778 Active NC LP Mobile
DES MOINES HEARST-ARGYLE TELEVISION, Inc BLP00779 Active IA LP Mobile
JEFFERSON PILOT COMM. CO. OF VA BLP00815 Active VA LP Mobile
MEREDITH CORPORATION BLP00822 Active LP Mobile
POST NEWSWEEK STATIONS FLORIDA INC. BLP00826 Active FL LP Mobile
WBNS-TV, INC BLP00828 Active OH LP Mobile
HARRISBURG TELEVISION INC BLP00833 Active PA LP Mobile
BOSTON UNIVERSITY COMMUNICATIONS INC BLP00835 Active MA LP Mobile
SMITH BROADCASTING OF SANTA BARBARA LIMITED BLP00837 Active CA LP Mobile
FOX TELEVISON STATIONS INC BLP00840 Active TX LP Mobile
GANNETT PACIFIC CORPORATION BLP00846 Active TN LP Mobile
Emmis Television License Corporation BLP00848 Active NE LP Mobile
KXII LICENSEE CORPORATION BLP00849 Active TX LP Mobile
WLOS LICENSEE INC BLP00855 Active LP Mobile
Hearst-Argyle Properties, Inc. BLP00858 Active NH LP Mobile
WLOS LICENSEE INC BLP00864 Active NC LP Mobile
RAYCOM AMERICA INC BLP00867 Active GA LP Mobile
OUTLET BROADCASTING INC BLP00868 Active RI LP Mobile
WCNC-TV, Inc. BLP00878 Active NC LP Mobile
WFTV-TV HOLDINGS INC BLP00893 Active FL LP Mobile
CAPITOL BROADCASTING COMPANY, INC. BLP00895 Active NC LP Mobile
COSMOS BROADCASTING CORPORATION BLP00896 Active AR LP Mobile
FOX TELEVISION STATIONS INC BLP00903 Active TN LP Mobile
CITICASTERS CO BLP00909 Active AZ LP Mobile
RIVER CITY LICENSE PARTNERSHIP II BLP00912 Active OH LP Mobile
WLTV LICENSE PARTNERSHIP GP BLP00921 Active FL LP Mobile

KRBE CO. BLP00935 Active TX LP Mobile
WLKY HEARST-ARGYLE TELEVISION, Inc BLP00945 Active KY LP Mobile
SCRIPPS HOWARD BROADCASTING COMPANY BLP00946 Active AZ LP Mobile
KLOS FM RADIO INC BLP00958 Active LP Mobile
WLEX-TV INC BLP00961 Active KY LP Mobile
THE CHRONICLE PUBLISHING COMPANY BLP00963 Active NE LP Mobile
FOX TELEVISION STATIONS INC BLP00972 Active NC LP Mobile
WXII HEARST ARGYLE TELEVISION INC BLP00974 Active LP Mobile
WDBJ TELEVISION, INC. BLP00975 Active VA LP Mobile
RAYCOM AMERICA INC BLP00977 Active TN LP Mobile
Emmis Television License Corporation BLP00980 Active OR LP Mobile
KELLY BROADCASTING CO BLP00981 Active LP Mobile
COSMOS BROADCASTING CORPORATION BLP00985 Active SC LP Mobile
MEREDITH CORPORATION BLP01056 Active MI LP Mobile
WTVG INC BLP01072 Active OH LP Mobile
MIAMI VALLEY BROADCASTING CORP BLP01082 Active OH LP Mobile
TELEMUNDO OF FLORIDA LICENSE CORPORATION BLP01085 Active FL LP
Mobile
AMFM RADIO LICENSES, LLC BLP01099 Active PA LP Mobile
Tribune Television Company (KDAF) BLP01107 Active TX LP Mobile
UNITED TELEVISION INC BLP01131 Active MN LP Mobile
GREATER MICHIGAN RADIO INC BLP01133 Active MI LP Mobile
CLEAR CHANNEL B/CING LICENSES INC BLP01146 Active PA LP Mobile
WKBN BROADCASTING CORPORATION BLP01149 Active OH LP Mobile
MAX TELEVISION OF DAYTON LP BLP01152 Active OH LP Mobile
CITICASTERS CO BLP01161 Active MD LP Mobile
AMERICAN BROADCASTING COMPANIES INC BLP01166 Active NY LP Mobile
Tribune Television New Orleans, Inc. BLP01168 Active LA LP Mobile
FOX TELEVISION STATIONS INC BLP01190 Active IL LP Mobile
CABLEVISION INDUSTRIES BLP01191 Active NY LP Mobile
BENEDEK LICENSE CORPORATION BLP01193 Active CA LP Mobile
POST NEWSWEEK STATIONS ORLANDO BLP01196 Active FL LP Mobile
WKRN LP BLP01197 Active TN LP Mobile
FOX TELEVISION STATIONS INC BLP01206 Active IL LP Mobile
WIVB BROADCASTING LLC BLP01209 Active NY LP Mobile
MEDIA GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS, INC. BLP01210 Active KY LP Mobile
SCRIPPS HOWARD BROADCASTING COMPANY BLP01232 Active LP Mobile
KHOU-TV, LP BLP01237 Active LP Mobile
JEFFERSON PILOT COMMUNICATIONS CO BLP01270 Active LP Mobile
BROADCAST MANAGEMENT SERVICES INC BLP01271 Active LP Mobile
KOTV INC BLP01289 Active LP Mobile
KING BROADCASTING COMPANY BLP01300 Active LP Mobile
AMERICAN CITIES BROADCASTING LP BLP01307 Active LP Mobile
WSBT INC BLP01313 Active LP Mobile
Infinity Radio Inc. BLP01315 Active LP Mobile
SCI SACRAMENTO LICENSEE INC BLP01317 Active LP Mobile
POST NEWSWEEK STATIONS MICHIGAN INC BLP01339 Active LP Mobile
Benedek License Corporation BLP01344 Active LP Mobile
WGAL HEARST-ARGYLE TELEVISION INC BLP01352 Active LP Mobile
CITICASTERS CO BLP01354 Active LP Mobile

CLEAR CHANNEL RADIO LICENSES INC BLP01372 Active LP Mobile
THE TRUSTEES OF INDIANA UNIV BLP01378 Active LP Mobile
NYT Holdings, Inc. BLP01379 Active LP Mobile
COSMOS BROADCASTING CORPORATION BLP01380 Active LP Mobile
THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY BLP01386 Active LP Mobile
WTKR-TV, INC. BLP01389 Active LP Mobile
WTNH BROADCASTING INC BLP01394 Active LP Mobile
KTVK INC BLP01395 Active LP Mobile
COMCORP OF EL PASO LICENSE CORP BLP01400 Active LP Mobile
GANNETT GEORGIA LP BLP01401 Active LP Mobile
NBC STATIONS MANAGEMENT INC BLP01407 Active LP Mobile
Emmis Television License Corporation BLP01413 Active LP Mobile
WBRC LICENSE INC BLP01415 Active LP Mobile
BOARD OF TRUSTEES UNIV OF CINCINNATI BLP01418 Active LP Mobile
CITADEL BROADCASTING COMPANY BLP01428 Active LP Mobile
NATIONAL BROADCASTING CO INC BLP01429 Active LP Mobile
Griffin Entities, L.L.C. BLP01456 Active LP Mobile
MULTIMEDIA HOLDINGS CORPORATION BLP01491 Active LP Mobile
COMMUNITY EDUCATIONAL TV INC BLP01505 Active TX LP Mobile
WFMJ TELEVISION INC BLP01511 Active OH LP Mobile
GANNETT RIVER STATES PUBLISHING CORP BLP0527 Active FL LP Mobile
KTUL LLC BLQ112 Active LP Mobile
KTLA Inc. BLQ184 Active LP Mobile
KSEE LICENSE INC BLQ319 Active LP Mobile
THE MARYLAND PUBLIC BROADCASTING COM BLQ326 Active LP Mobile
ABC HOLDING COMPANY INC BLQ515 Active CA LP Mobile
KTAL TV INC BLQ74 Active LP Mobile
AMERICAN BROADCASTING COMPANIES INC BLQ801106 Active LP Mobile
WREG-TV, L.L.C. BPL00709 Active TN LP Mobile
CANNAN COMMUNICATIONS, INC BPL00752 Active TX LP Mobile
BENEDEK LICENSE CORPORATION KDW873 Active RP Mobile
CHEROKEE BROADCASTING COMPANY KE6070 Active RP Mobile
KSAZ LICENSE, INC. WPOV623 Active AZ RP
KSLA, LLC WPSJ687 Active LA LP Mobile

26.110

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
CEDAR RAPIDS TELEVISION CO KA2088 Active RP Mobile
APPALACHIAN BCG CORP KA4632 Active RP Mobile
MERIDIAN COMMUNICATIONS LLC KA74788 Active NC RP Mobile
NEBRASKA RURAL RADIO ASSOCIATION KAD996 Active NE RP Base
ELCOM OF SOUTH DAKOTA INC KAO633 Active SD RP Base
ELCOM OF SOUTH DAKOTA INC KAO634 Active SD RP Base
CORP OF SEVENTH DAY ADVENT OF W PR KB96046 Active PR RP Mobile
WMVG INC KC4690 Active RP Mobile
NEW JERSEY PUBLIC BC AUTHORITY KC4842 Active RP Mobile
RH COMMUNICATIONS INC KC5109 Active MI RP Mobile
WRIT INC KC6034 Active RP Mobile
HEARST ARGYLE STATIONS INC KC6594 Active RP Mobile
PERMIAN BASIN RADIO CORP KC6674 Active RP Mobile

WRIT INC KC6704 Active RP Mobile
BOARD REGENTS OF UNIV OF WI SYSTEM KC7051 Active RP Mobile
1590 BROADCASTING CORP KCF788 Active NH RP Base
1590 BROADCASTING CORP KCF788 Active NH RP Mobile
CITADEL BROADCASTING COMPANY KCI428 Active ME RP Base
1590 BROADCASTING CORP KD5912 Active RP Mobile
WLUC INC KE4627 Active RP Mobile
CBS BROADCASTING INC. KE5980 Active RP Mobile
GLENDIVE BROADCASTING CORP KE6739 Active RP Mobile
HEARST ARGYLE STATIONS INC KEE579 Active NY RP Base
HEARST ARGYLE STATIONS INC KEE580 Active NY RP Base
SMITH TELEVISION OF NEW YORK INC KEH545 Active RP Base
SMITH TELEVISION OF NEW YORK INC KEH545 Active NY RP Base
SMITH TELEVISION OF NEW YORK INC KEH545 Active NY RP Mobile
ATLANTIC TELECTG CORP KF3541 Active RP Mobile
Emmis Television License Corporation KFI872 Active RP Base
Emmis Television License Corporation KFI873 Active RP Base
Emmis Television License Corporation KFI873 Active IN RP Base
RUST CRAFT BROADCASTING CO KG4895 Active RP Mobile
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA KGJ804 Active NC RP Base
ECRP HAWAII LLC KGK955 Active HI RP Base
LA CROSSE RADIO INC KH8423 Active RP Mobile
MID ATLANTIC NETWORK INC KIC286 Active VA RP Base
MID ATLANTIC NETWORK INC KIC409 Active VA RP Base
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA KII836 Active NC RP Base
CHASE BROADCASTING INC KIL707 Active GA RP Base
CHASE BROADCASTING INC KIL707 Active GA RP Mobile
NASTY 1570 SPORTS LLC KIT695 Active WV RP Base
NASTY 1570 SPORTS LLC KIT695 Active WV RP Mobile
FLA WEST COAST EDUCATION TV INC KIY474 Active FL RP Base
SMITH BCING GROUP OF ALASKA LP KJ5766 Active RP Mobile
CEDAR RAPIDS TELEVISION CO KJF270 Active IA RP Base
CEDAR RAPIDS TELEVISION CO KJF270 Active IA RP Mobile
DOWDY PARTNERS KJG605 Active OH RP Base
DOWDY PARTNERS KJG606 Active OH RP Base
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA KJL532 Active NC RP Base
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA KJL533 Active NC RP Base
STATE OF OREGON ACTING BY & THROUGH KJR902 Active OR RP Base
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA KJU389 Active NC RP Base
PIONEER BROADCASTING CORPORATION KJX502 Active MI RP Base
WILLIS BROADCASTING CORPORATION KKN674 Active PA RP Base
COLUMBIA BROADCASTING SYSTEM INC KL8278 Active RP Mobile
R M BROADCASTING LLC KM5043 Active RP Mobile
MID ATLANTIC NETWORK INC KM7463 Active RP Mobile
MID ATLANTIC NETWORK INC KM7464 Active RP Mobile
MID ATLANTIC NETWORK INC KM7467 Active RP Mobile
RETLAW B/CING OF FRESNO LLC KME929 Active CA RP Base
RETLAW B/CING OF FRESNO LLC KME930 Active CA RP Base
KOVR BROADCASTING CO KMF282 Active CA RP Base
SCI SACRAMENTO LICENSEE INC KMG415 Active CA RP Base

SCI SACRAMENTO LICENSEE INC KMG416 Active CA RP Base
SCI SACRAMENTO LICENSEE INC KMK282 Active CA RP Base
NEWS PRESS PUBLISHING CO KML640 Active CA RP Base
SAN JOAQUIN BROADCASTING CO KMM701 Active CA RP Base
SAN JOAQUIN BROADCASTING CO KMM702 Active CA RP Base
Emmis Radio License Corporation KOG312 Active AZ RP Base
AK MEDIA GROUP INC KOG847 Active WA RP Base
AK MEDIA GROUP INC KOG848 Active WA RP Base
KUTV Holdings, Inc. KOH949 Active UT RP Base
THE ORIGINAL COMPANY INC KP6137 Active RP Mobile
THE ORIGINAL COMPANY INC KP6138 Active RP Mobile
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA KPE521 Active NC RP Base
HUBBARD BROADCASTING INC KPF364 Active MN RP Base
HUBBARD BROADCASTING INC KPF364 Active MN RP Mobile
JACOR BROADCASTING CORPORATION KPK406 Active OH RP Base
R M BROADCASTING LLC KQS278 Active CA RP Base
BATHYSPHERE BROADCASTING LIMITED PAR KQS283 Active CA RP Base
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA KRJ314 Active NC RP Base
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA KRJ315 Active NC RP Base
AK MEDIA GROUP INC KRS411 Active WA RP Base
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA KRS612 Active NC RP Base
WRIT INC KSD954 Active WI RP Base
STATE OF WISC EDUC COMM BOARD KSF764 Active WI RP Base
STATE OF WISC EDUC COMM BOARD KSF765 Active WI RP Base
STATE OF WISCONSIN EDUCATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS BOARD KSG486 Active
WI RP Base
STATE OF WISC EDUC COMM BOARD KSI791 Active WI RP Base
CALIFORNIA LUTHERAN UNIVERSITY KSO244 Active MO RP Base
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KU6770 Active RP Mobile
NEW JERSEY PUBLIC BROADCASTING AUTHORITY KUS215 Active RP Base
NEW JERSEY PUBLIC BROADCASTING AUTHORITY KUS215 Active NJ RP Mobile
NEW JERSEY PUBLIC BROADCASTING AUTHORITY KVT926 Active NJ RP Base
NEW JERSEY PUBLIC BROADCASTING AUTHORITY KVT926 Active NJ RP Mobile
NEW JERSEY PUBLIC BROADCASTING AUTHORITY KVT927 Active NJ RP Base
NEW JERSEY PUBLIC BROADCASTING AUTHORITY KVT927 Active NJ RP Mobile
PLATINUM BROADCASTING CO INC KXB268 Active RP Base
PLATINUM BROADCASTING CO INC KXB268 Active RP Mobile
BROADCAST MANAGEMENT SERVICES INC KXF971 Active RP Mobile
BROADCAST MANAGEMENT SERVICES INC KXF971 Active CA RP Base
TRI-STATE PUBLIC TELEPLEX, INC WQA913 Active IN RP Base
TRI-STATE PUBLIC TELEPLEX, INC WQA913 Active IN RP Mobile

26.130

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
TRIBUNE PUBLISHING CO KA2149 Active RP Mobile
ELI LILLY AND CO KA2849 Active RP Mobile
RKO GENERAL INC KA4610 Active RP Mobile
HARVEY RADIO LABORATORIES INC KA5627 Active RP Mobile
GOLDEN WEST BROADCASTERS KA5793 Active RP Mobile
GOLDEN WEST BROADCASTERS KA5794 Active RP Mobile

GOLDEN WEST BROADCASTERS KA5795 Active RP Mobile
TRIBUNE PUBLISHING CO KA8149 Active RP Mobile
KGGF KUSN INC KAH288 Active KS RP Base
KGGF KUSN INC KAH289 Active KS RP Base
PAPPAS TELECASTING OF CENTRAL NE KAJ251 Active NE RP Base
PAPPAS TELECASTING OF CENTRAL NE KAJ251 Active NE RP Mobile
Emmis Television License Corporation of Wichita KAK449 Active KS RP
Base
Emmis Television License Corporation of Wichita KAK449 Active KS RP
Mobile
KTTC TELEVISION INC KAV326 Active MN RP Base
KTLA Inc. KB8890 Active RP Mobile
JACOR BROADCASTING OF COLORADO INC KB99696 Active CO RP Mobile
GOLDEN WEST BROADCASTERS KC2874 Active RP Mobile
ALABAMA EDUCATIONAL TELEVISION COMMISSIO KC5550 Active RP Mobile
ALABAMA EDUCATIONAL TELEVISION COMMISSIO KC5551 Active RP Mobile
BI-STATES CO KC8970 Active RP Mobile
GREAT WESTERN BROADCASTING CORP KC9296 Active RP Mobile
MAINE PUBLIC BROADCASTING CORP KCU312 Active ME RP Base
SPOKANE TELEVISION INC KD2809 Active WA RP Mobile
TIME-LIFE BROADCAST INC KD3528 Active RP Mobile
K95.5 INC KD7012 Active RP Mobile
GOLDEN WEST BROADCASTERS KD7326 Active RP Mobile
BROWNING SKIDMORE BROADCASTING INC KDF620 Active MO RP Base
EAGLE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. KE6757 Active MT RP Mobile
TIME-LIFE BROADCAST INC KE7804 Active RP Mobile
CLEAR CHANNEL BROADCASTING LICENSES KE9144 Active RP Mobile
WMHT EDUCATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS KEK400 Active NY RP Base
M G M BROADCASTING CORP KF2192 Active RP Mobile
WKOY INC KF9460 Active RP Mobile
MAINE PUBLIC BROADCASTING CORP KF9744 Active RP Mobile
EAGLE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. KFI660 Active MT RP Base
KWSO INC KFL965 Active WA RP Base
630 RADIO INCORPORATED KFZ941 Active RP Base
630 RADIO INCORPORATED KFZ941 Active MN RP Mobile
WMHT EDUCATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS KG8338 Active RP Mobile
WMHT EDUCATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS KG8339 Active NY RP Mobile
ALABAMA EDUCATIONAL TELEVISION COMMISSIO KG9820 Active RP Mobile
KTVB INC KGK749 Active OR RP Base
VICTORY CHRISTIAN CENTER INC KGT648 Active NC RP Base
Benedek License Corporation KIB523 Active VA RP Base
Benedek License Corporation KIB523 Active VA RP Mobile
BENEDEK LICENSE CORPORATION KIH523 Active VA RP Base
ALABAMA EDUCATIONAL TV COMMISSION KII670 Active RP Mobile
ALABAMA EDUCATIONAL TV COMMISSION KII670 Active AL RP Base
ALABAMA EDUCATIONAL TV COMMISSION KIK878 Active AL RP Base
ALABAMA EDUCATIONAL TV COMMISSION KIK878 Active AL RP Mobile
CAPITAL CITIES COMM INC KIL299 Active NC RP Base
ALABAMA EDUCATIONAL TELEVISION COMMISSION KIY807 Active AL RP Base
TRIBUNE PUBLISHING CO KJ6229 Active RP Mobile

PALOUSE COUNTRY INC KK5090 Active RP Mobile
CITICASTERS COMPANY KK7128 Active RP Mobile
SOUTH DAKOTA BRD OF DIR FOR ED TE KL6751 Active RP Mobile
REGENT LICENSEE OF EL PASO INC KLC959 Active TX RP Base
REGENT LICENSEE OF EL PASO INC KLC960 Active TX RP Base
SOUTH DAKOTA BRD OF DIR FOR ED TE KLN993 Active SD RP Base
SOUTH DAKOTA BRD OF DIR FOR ED TE KLN994 Active SD RP Base
COLINS BROADCASTING COMPANY KLZ906 Active NE RP Base
SOUTH DAKOTA BRD OF DIR FOR ED TE KM3449 Active RP Mobile
Infinity Radio License Inc. KMD556 Active CA RP Base
Infinity Radio License Inc. KMD557 Active CA RP Base
CBS RADIO LICENSE INC KME532 Active CA RP Base
CBS RADIO LICENSE INC KME533 Active CA RP Base
McGraw-Hill Broadcasting Company, Inc. KME697 Active RP Mobile
PACIFIC COAST COMMUNICATIONS LLC KMM677 Active CA RP Base
THE WIRELESS WORKS INC KN8057 Active RP Mobile
SPOKANE TELEVISION INC KOF523 Active WA RP Base
EAGLE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. KOH215 Active MT RP Base
BONNEVILLE INTERNATIONAL CORP KOH770 Active UT RP Base
KING BROADCASTING COMPANY KOI696 Active ID RP Base
KING BROADCASTING COMPANY KOI697 Active ID RP Base
PALOUSE COUNTRY INC KOP285 Active WA RP Base
K95.5 INC KOP392 Active WA RP Base
CUMULUS LICENSING CORPORATION KP9532 Active RP Mobile
CUMULUS LICENSING CORPORATION KP9533 Active RP Mobile
PERSONALITY STATIONS INC KQG942 Active RP Base
PERSONALITY STATIONS INC KQG942 Active WV RP Mobile
WTCM RADIO INC KQK740 Active MI RP Base
WTCM RADIO INC KQK740 Active MI RP Mobile
CITICASTERS COMPANY KQK742 Active OH RP Base
THE WIRELESS WORKS INC KRY205 Active NY RP Base
K SIX TELEVISION INC KS8530 Active RP Mobile
MIRAGE MEDIA LLC KVK603 Active CA RP Base
MIRAGE MEDIA LLC KVK607 Active CA RP Base
BIG SKY RADIO INC KW2574 Active RP Mobile
SHERMAN BROADCASTING CORP WHE971 Active PR RP Base
SHERMAN BROADCASTING CORP WHE971 Active PR RP Mobile
TRI-STATE PUBLIC TELEPLEX, INC WQA913 Active IN RP Base
TRI-STATE PUBLIC TELEPLEX, INC WQA913 Active IN RP Mobile

26.150

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
CAPSTAR ROYALTY II CORPORATION BLP00831 Active LP Mobile
NATIONAL BROADCASTING CO INC KA4690 Active RP Mobile
HIRSCH ENTERPRISES INC KA7476 Active RP Mobile
WEST BEND BROADCASTING CO KA9207 Active RP Mobile
PALMETTO BROADCASTING COMPANY INC KA9791 Active RP Mobile
AREAWIDE COMMUNICATIONS INC KAL280 Active MN RP Base
MONTEREY LICENSES LLC KAL518 Active NE RP Base
MONTEREY LICENSES LLC KAL518 Active NE RP Mobile

Group W Television Stations Inc. KC23115 Active CO RP Mobile
POTTSVILLE BROADCASTING CO KC2618 Active RP Mobile
KNOXVILLE RA TEL INC KC4506 Active RP Mobile
NORTHEAST GEORGIA BCSTG CO KC6996 Active RP Mobile
PREMIER RADIO GROUP KC7407 Active RP Mobile
CLEAR CHANNEL RADIO LICENSES INC KC7767 Active RP Mobile
NOALMARK BROADCASTING CORPORATION KC8644 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KD2216 Active RP Mobile
AREAWIDE COMMUNICATIONS INC KD3474 Active RP Mobile
AREAWIDE COMMUNICATIONS INC KD3889 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KD7964 Active RP Mobile
KLAS INC A NEVADA CORPORATION KE2815 Active RP Mobile
NOALMARK BROADCASTING CORPORATION KE2889 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4349 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4350 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4351 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4352 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4353 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4354 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4355 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4356 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4357 Active RP Mobile
OREGON PUBLIC BROADCASTING KE4894 Active RP Mobile
FOLKWAYS BROADCASTING CO INC KE7129 Active RP Mobile
CONNRIVER BROADCASTING LLC KF2516 Active RP Mobile
NOALMARK BROADCASTING CORPORATION KF5475 Active RP Mobile
NOALMARK BROADCASTING CORPORATION KF5476 Active RP Mobile
MIA ENTERPRISES INC KF6934 Active RP Mobile
ANNA BCG CO KF8443 Active RP Mobile
CHANCELLOR MEDIA/SHAMROCK B/C INC KGB258 Active PA RP Base
CHANCELLOR MEDIA/SHAMROCK B/C INC KGB258 Active PA RP Mobile
VICTORY CHRISTIAN CENTER INC KGT648 Active NC RP Base
ANN BROADCASTING CO KH5343 Active RP Mobile
CLEAR CHANNEL RADIO LICENSES INC KIB694 Active VA RP Base
JWJ PROPERTIES INC KIJ777 Active GA RP Base
JWJ PROPERTIES INC KIJ777 Active GA RP Mobile
COFFEE COUNTY BROADCASTING INC KIY476 Active TN RP Base
COFFEE COUNTY BROADCASTING INC KIY476 Active TN RP Mobile
KELA CORPORATION KJD740 Active WA RP Base
KELA CORPORATION KJD740 Active WA RP Mobile
NOALMARK BROADCASTING CORPORATION KKG695 Active AR RP Base
CONNRIVER BROADCASTING LLC KL8858 Active RP Mobile
CONNRIVER BROADCASTING LLC KL8859 Active RP Mobile
CONNRIVER BROADCASTING LLC KL8860 Active RP Mobile
GREAT CIRCLE BROADCASTING COMPANY KLL760 Active PA RP Base
NOALMARK BROADCASTING CORPORATION KM3457 Active RP Mobile
WDOC INC KM8074 Active RP Mobile
WDOC INC KM8075 Active RP Mobile
WDOC INC KM8076 Active RP Mobile
FOX TELEVISION STATIONS INC KMD405 Active RP Base

FOX TELEVISION STATIONS INC KMD405 Active CA RP Mobile
RADIO ONE LICENSES INC KO3314 Active RP Mobile
KLAS INC A NEVADA CORPORATION KOM480 Active NV RP Base
DUBOIS COUNTY BROADCASTING INC KP8228 Active RP Mobile
SEVEN THIRTY BROADCASTERS INC KQB686 Active PA RP Base
LAKE MICHIGAN BROADCASTING INC KQG981 Active MI RP Base
LAKE MICHIGAN BROADCASTING INC KQG981 Active MI RP Mobile
WDOC INC KRA308 Active KY RP Base
JACOR LICENSEE OF KANSAS CITY INC KRC561 Active OH RP Base
JACOR LICENSEE OF KANSAS CITY INC KRC561 Active OH RP Mobile
AREAWIDE COMMUNICATIONS INC KSG693 Active WI RP Base
DUBOIS COUNTY BROADCASTING INC KTG984 Active IN RP Base
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KU6770 Active RP Mobile
GOODSTAR BC OF KANSAS LIC LLC KXF924 Active RP Base
GOODSTAR BC OF KANSAS LIC LLC KXF924 Active RP Mobile
BROADCAST MANAGEMENT SERVICES INC KXF971 Active RP Mobile
BROADCAST MANAGEMENT SERVICES INC KXF971 Active CA RP Base
BROADCASTING MANAGEMENT SERVICES IN KXF974 Active CA RP Base

26.170

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
RKO GENERAL INC KA4610 Active RP Mobile
HURON SHORE BROADCASTERS CORP KC5442 Active RP Mobile
MID AMERICAN BROADCASTING CORP KC6908 Active RP Mobile
JACKSON RADIO WORKS INC KC8620 Active RP Mobile
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KD6661 Active RP Mobile
WILLIAMS CY BCG SYSTEMS INC KD9419 Active RP Mobile
RODGERS BROADCASTING CORPORATION KG4632 Active RP Mobile
RODGERS BROADCASTING CORPORATION KG4632 Active IN RP Mobile
RODGERS BROADCASTING CORPORATION KG4633 Active RP Mobile
RODGERS BROADCASTING CORPORATION KG4633 Active IN RP Mobile
LAWCO FM, LTD KG7613 Active RP Mobile
ASSOCIATED BROADCASTING CORPORATION KGZ552 Active SC RP Base
PALOUSE COUNTRY INC KK5090 Active RP Mobile
CAPITOL BCG CORP KK5104 Active RP Mobile
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KM5372 Active RP Mobile
QUEENB RADIO INC KOK513 Active RP Base
QUEENB RADIO INC KOK513 Active ID RP Mobile
PALOUSE COUNTRY INC KOP285 Active WA RP Base
JACKSON RADIO WORKS INC KQI814 Active RP Base
CORSICANA MEDIA INC KRF588 Active TX RP Base
CORSICANA MEDIA INC KRF588 Active TX RP Mobile
WFM INCORPORATED KSQ964 Active MS RP Base
JAICOA B/CG CORP WWA366 Active PR RP Base

26.190

Licensee Callsign State Service Station Class RF Power Frequency
Frequency Type ER Power
University of Rhode Island WB2XHK NY XC FX 26190 K 40

University of Rhode Island WB2XHK RI XC FX 26190 K 40
NEWS EXAMINER CO KA2045 Active RP Mobile
NEWS EXAMINER CO KA3497 Active RP Mobile
BONNEVILLE INTERNATIONAL CORP KA5553 Active RP Mobile
RKO GENERAL INC KA5617 Active RP Mobile
AROOSTOOK BROADCASTING CORP KA8526 Active RP Mobile
JACOR BROADCASTING OF COLORADO INC KB99696 Active CO RP Mobile
CLEAR CHANNEL RADIO LICENSES INC KC5538 Active MI RP Mobile
PROVIDENT BROADCASTING COMPANY KCI457 Active GA RP Base
PROVIDENT BROADCASTING COMPANY KCI457 Active GA RP Mobile
WESTERN NEB BCG CO INC KCR627 Active NE RP Base
KING BROADCASTING CO KD4173 Active RP Mobile
CLEAR CHANNEL RADIO LICENSES INC KE2029 Active RP Mobile
CLEAR CHANNEL RADIO LICENSES INC KE2030 Active RP Mobile
KING BROADCASTING CO KE2487 Active RP Mobile
KING BROADCASTING CO KE2488 Active RP Mobile
UNIVERSAL COMMUNICATIONS CORP KE4373 Active RP Mobile
UNIVERSAL COMMUNICATIONS CORP KE4374 Active RP Mobile
SCHOOL DISTRICT OF ROYAL OAK MCH KE6250 Active RP Mobile
KING BROADCASTING CO KF2848 Active RP Mobile
KING BROADCASTING CO KF4126 Active RP Mobile
STARR MOUNTAIN BROADCASTING CO KF5914 Active RP Mobile
WESTERN NEB BCG CO INC KF8984 Active RP Mobile
T/R INC KG8236 Active RP Mobile
T/R INC KG8237 Active RP Mobile
CLEAR CHANNEL RADIO LICENSES INC KJ2459 Active RP Mobile
GARDEN CITY BROADCASTING INC DIP KLL764 Active TX RP Base
BLUE MOUNTAIN BROADCASTING COMPANY KLW702 Active RP Base
BLUE MOUNTAIN BROADCASTING COMPANY KLW702 Active OR RP Mobile
BONNEVILLE HOLDING R JOHNSON KOH710 Active UT RP Base
BONNEVILLE HOLDING R JOHNSON KOH710 Active UT RP Mobile
HUBBARD BROADCASTING INC KPF364 Active MN RP Base
HUBBARD BROADCASTING INC KPF364 Active MN RP Mobile
WGBH EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATION KQ8962 Active RP Mobile
WGBH EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATION KQ8964 Active RP Mobile

26.210

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
ELI LILLY AND CO KA2849 Active RP Mobile
CBS INC KA4887 Active RP Mobile
WOOSTER REPUBLICAN PRINTING CO KA5698 Active RP Mobile
W C B S INCORPORATED KA6900 Active RP Mobile
WHARTON COUNTY BROADCASTING CO INC KA7352 Active RP Mobile
FRONTIER BROADCASTING CO KA7680 Active RP Mobile
K S E M INC KA8105 Active RP Mobile
SOUTHERN IDAHO BC & TELEV CO KA8703 Active RP Mobile
NEW NORTHWEST BROADCASTERS II INC KA9652 Active RP Mobile
JACOR LICENSEE OF LOUISVILLE INC KC6393 Active RP Mobile
KTVW INC KC6483 Active RP Mobile
MID AMERICA RADIO GROUP INC KC7641 Active RP Mobile

CITADEL BROADCASTING COMPANY KC8387 Active RP Mobile
JASPER BROADCASTING SERVICE KCK222 Active TX RP Base
EASTERN BROADCASTING CORP KD4704 Active RP Mobile
EMMIS 106.5 FM RADIO LIC CORP OF S KD6997 Active RP Mobile
RADIO COMMUNICATIONS INC KD9223 Active RP Mobile
BEDFORD BROADCASTING CORPORATION KD9397 Active RP Mobile
GREAT NORTHERN RADIO LLC KDT200 Active VT RP Base
GREAT NORTHERN RADIO LLC KDT200 Active VT RP Mobile
NEW NORTHWEST BROADCASTERS II INC KDW552 Active OR RP Base
MOUNTAIN BROADCASTING SERVICE INC KE3541 Active RP Mobile
HARVEST BROADCASTING COMPANY INC KE4457 Active RP Mobile
GEORGE HARM STATION KE4458 Active RP Mobile
THE DERRICK PUBLISHING COMPANY KEF941 Active NY RP Base
THE DERRICK PUBLISHING COMPANY KEF941 Active NY RP Mobile
JACOR LICENSEE OF LOUISVILLE INC KEX983 Active OR RP Base
RADIO ACQUISITION CORP KF3362 Active RP Mobile
BEN HILL BCG CORP KF4997 Active RP Mobile
EVENING NEWS ASSOC KF6642 Active RP Mobile
GROOM JOHN I AND HAGERMAN JAMES KF6916 Active RP Mobile
MID AMERICA RADIO GROUP INC KG4189 Active RP Mobile
TORRINGTON BROADCASTING CO INC KG8973 Active RP Mobile
EVENING NEWS ASSN KH8756 Active RP Mobile
APPALACHIAN BROADCASTING KIS603 Active SC RP Base
APPALACHIAN BROADCASTING KIS603 Active SC RP Mobile
CONTEMPORY MEDIA INC KJG583 Active RP Base
RODGERS BROADCASTING CORP KJJ986 Active IN RP Base
WCNB INC KK5162 Active RP Mobile
CITADEL BROADCASTING COMPANY KKQ781 Active LA RP Base
JOHNSON, ROBERT H KLM550 Active AL RP Base
BAR B BROADCASTING INC KLN688 Active TX RP Base
BAR B BROADCASTING INC KLN688 Active TX RP Mobile
EASTERN BCG CORP KSJ791 Active IN RP Base
MID AMERICA RADIO GROUP INC KSJ866 Active IN RP Base
PAMAL BROADCASTING LTD KV8085 Active RP Mobile
PAMAL BROADCASTING LTD KV8089 Active RP Mobile
WKDJ INC WHE730 Active KY RP Base
WKDJ INC WHE730 Active KY RP Mobile

26.230

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
INFINITY RADIO LICENSE INC. KA5583 Active RP Mobile
OBERLE EDWARD J KA7617 Active RP Mobile
LEAD BELT BROADCASTING CO KA7752 Active RP Mobile
K L R A INC KC5244 Active RP Mobile
HUNTER BCG INC KCH691 Active VT RP Base
KWPM INC KCR526 Active MO RP Base
KXEO RADIO INC KCY541 Active MO RP Base
KXEO RADIO INC KCY541 Active MO RP Mobile
CENTRAL BROADCASTING CORP KD3277 Active RP Mobile
Emmis Television License Corporation KD3810 Active RP Mobile

RADIO STATION KALM KD4084 Active RP Mobile
RADIO STATION KALM KD4085 Active RP Mobile
RADIO STATION KALM KD4086 Active RP Mobile
RADIO STATION KALM KD4087 Active RP Mobile
CENTRAL BROADCASTING CORP KD7329 Active RP Mobile
GREEN BAY BROADCASTING CO KE4750 Active RP Mobile
CB RADIO INC KE5823 Active RP Mobile
MARIETTA B/CG CO INC KE7700 Active RP Mobile
MARIETTA B/CG CO INC KE7702 Active RP Mobile
LEE AND ASSOCIATES INC KE9960 Active RP Mobile
CORNBELT BROADCASTING CO KEK709 Active IL RP Base
BURLEY BROADCASTERS INC KF4641 Active RP Mobile
CENTRAL BCG CO KF8365 Active RP Mobile
U S BROADCASTING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KF8383 Active RP Mobile
MISSOURI OZARKS RADIO NETWORK INC KF8397 Active RP Mobile
TROY B/CG CORP KF8829 Active RP Mobile
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KF8970 Active RP Mobile
TATTNALL COUNTY BROADCASTING COMPANY KFK709 Active GA RP Base
TATTNALL COUNTY BROADCASTING COMPANY KFK709 Active GA RP Mobile
CENTRAL BROADCASTING CO KG9742 Active RP Mobile
CORNBELT BROADCASTING CO KG9843 Active RP Mobile
MATTOON BROADCASTING COMPANY KH2043 Active RP Mobile
MATTOON BROADCASTING COMPANY KH2045 Active RP Mobile
MATTOON BROADCASTING COMPANY KH2046 Active RP Mobile
WHVN INC KIM697 Active NC RP Base
CONCORD KANNAPOLIS COMM INC KIN994 Active NC RP Base
CONCORD KANNAPOLIS COMM INC KIN994 Active NC RP Mobile
RADIO PERRY INC KIY477 Active GA RP Base
RADIO PERRY INC KIY477 Active GA RP Mobile
NORMAN COMMUNICATIONS INCORPORATED KIY647 Active NC RP Base
NORMAN COMMUNICATIONS INCORPORATED KIY647 Active NC RP Mobile
JBF COMMUNICATIONS INC KIY654 Active NC RP Base
JBF COMMUNICATIONS INC KIY654 Active NC RP Mobile
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KIY694 Active KY RP Base
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KIY694 Active KY RP Mobile
U S BROADCASTING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KIY701 Active GA RP Base
SUPERIOR BROADCASTING INCORPORATED KL9315 Active RP Mobile
SUPERIOR BROADCASTING INCORPORATED KL9316 Active RP Mobile
SUPERIOR BROADCASTING INCORPORATED KLV846 Active NE RP Base
MAGNUM COMMUNICATIONS INC KLZ884 Active VA RP Base
MAGNUM COMMUNICATIONS INC KLZ884 Active VA RP Mobile
HBN COMMUNICATIONS INC KM8750 Active RP Mobile
KQED INC KN2570 Active RP Mobile
KQED INC KN4398 Active RP Mobile
KERSHAW BROADCASTING CORP KN6847 Active RP Mobile
TULANE EDUCATIONAL FUND KO4439 Active RP Mobile
HARDIN COUNTY BROADCASTING CO INC KQB579 Active RP Base
HARDIN COUNTY BROADCASTING CO INC KQB579 Active RP Mobile
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KQS285 Active RP Base
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KQS285 Active VA RP Mobile

HBN COMMUNICATIONS INC KRC560 Active WV RP Base
AMERICAN GENERAL MEDIA CORP KS2053 Active NV RP Mobile
FAMILY RADIO INC KSJ786 Active WI RP Base
FAMILY RADIO INC KSJ786 Active WI RP Mobile
JOHNSON COMMUNICATIONS INC KTO787 Active OH RP Base

26.250

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
NATIONAL BROADCASTING CO INC KA4690 Active RP Mobile
HIRSCH ENTERPRISES INC KA7476 Active RP Mobile
PALMETTO BROADCASTING COMPANY INC KA9791 Active RP Mobile
AREAWIDE COMMUNICATIONS INC KAL280 Active MN RP Base
MONTEREY LICENSES LLC KAL518 Active NE RP Base
MONTEREY LICENSES LLC KAL518 Active NE RP Mobile
FAMILY RADIO INC KB6895 Active RP Mobile
POTTSVILLE BROADCASTING CO KC2618 Active RP Mobile
KNOXVILLE RA TEL INC KC4506 Active RP Mobile
KGLX KFMQ KFXR LLC KC6756 Active RP Mobile
LARSON WYNN INC KC6757 Active RP Mobile
PREMIER RADIO GROUP KC7407 Active RP Mobile
CLEAR CHANNEL RADIO LICENSES INC KC7767 Active RP Mobile
KGLX KFMQ KFXR LLC KC8420 Active RP Mobile
NOALMARK BROADCASTING CORPORATION KC8644 Active RP Mobile
SEA COMM INC KCI436 Active PA RP Base
RICHARDSON BCG CO KD2216 Active RP Mobile
AREAWIDE COMMUNICATIONS INC KD3474 Active RP Mobile
AREAWIDE COMMUNICATIONS INC KD3889 Active RP Mobile
WHAV BROADCASTING CO INC KD4526 Active RP Mobile
WHAV BROADCASTING CO INC KD4527 Active RP Mobile
PIONEER MERCANTILE COMPANY KD7955 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KD7964 Active RP Mobile
KLAS INC A NEVADA CORPORATION KE2815 Active RP Mobile
NOALMARK BROADCASTING CORPORATION KE2889 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4349 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4350 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4351 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4352 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4353 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4354 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4355 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4356 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4357 Active RP Mobile
CONNRIVER BROADCASTING LLC KF2516 Active RP Mobile
NOALMARK BROADCASTING CORPORATION KF5475 Active RP Mobile
NOALMARK BROADCASTING CORPORATION KF5476 Active RP Mobile
CHANCELLOR MEDIA/SHAMROCK B/C INC KGB258 Active PA RP Base
CHANCELLOR MEDIA/SHAMROCK B/C INC KGB258 Active PA RP Mobile
NEXSTAR BCING OF THE MIDWEST INC KGJ814 Active MO RP Base
VICTORY CHRISTIAN CENTER INC KGT648 Active NC RP Base
SEA COMM INC KH6161 Active RP Mobile

SEA COMM INC KH6162 Active RP Mobile
WGBA INC KH9991 Active RP Mobile
CLEAR CHANNEL RADIO LICENSES INC KIB694 Active VA RP Base
ALPHA BROADCASTING CORPORATION KIL314 Active SC RP Base
NEXSTAR BCING OF THE MIDWEST INC KJ5467 Active MO RP Mobile
NEXSTAR BCING OF THE MIDWEST INC KJ5469 Active RP Mobile
KELA CORPORATION KJD740 Active WA RP Base
KELA CORPORATION KJD740 Active WA RP Mobile
ARROW COMMUNICATIONS OF NY INC KJT467 Active NY RP Base
CLEAR CHANNEL B/CING LICENSES INC KJU388 Active RP Base
CLEAR CHANNEL B/CING LICENSES INC KJU388 Active RI RP Mobile
BELLEVUE RADIO INC KL7861 Active RP Mobile
CONNRIVER BROADCASTING LLC KL8858 Active RP Mobile
CONNRIVER BROADCASTING LLC KL8859 Active RP Mobile
CONNRIVER BROADCASTING LLC KL8860 Active RP Mobile
GREAT CIRCLE BROADCASTING COMPANY KLL760 Active PA RP Base
NOALMARK BROADCASTING CORPORATION KM3457 Active RP Mobile
WDOC INC KM8074 Active RP Mobile
WDOC INC KM8075 Active RP Mobile
WDOC INC KM8076 Active RP Mobile
FOX TELEVISION STATIONS INC KMD405 Active RP Base
FOX TELEVISION STATIONS INC KMD405 Active CA RP Mobile
RADIO ONE LICENSES INC KO3314 Active RP Mobile
KLAS INC A NEVADA CORPORATION KOM480 Active NV RP Base
CLAYTON VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL KP2135 Active RP Mobile
DUBOIS COUNTY BROADCASTING INC KP8228 Active RP Mobile
CITICASTERS CO KPF231 Active OH RP Base
CITICASTERS CO KPF231 Active OH RP Mobile
WDOC INC KRA308 Active KY RP Base
AREAWIDE COMMUNICATIONS INC KSG693 Active WI RP Base
SOUTHERN BROADCAST GROUP LLC KT4199 Active GA RP Mobile
DUBOIS COUNTY BROADCASTING INC KTG984 Active IN RP Base
LARSON WYNN INC KTT300 Active OR RP Base
CHEROKEE BROADCASTING CO INC KTY918 Active GA RP Base
CHEROKEE BROADCASTING CO INC KTY918 Active GA RP Mobile
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KU6770 Active RP Mobile
BUCKLEY BROADCASTING CORPORATION KVY904 Active RP Base
BUCKLEY BROADCASTING CORPORATION KVY904 Active CT RP Mobile
CUMULUS LICENSING CORP KXB269 Active MS RP Base
CUMULUS LICENSING CORP KXB269 Active MS RP Mobile
GOODSTAR BC OF KANSAS LIC LLC KXF924 Active RP Base
GOODSTAR BC OF KANSAS LIC LLC KXF924 Active RP Mobile
BROADCAST MANAGEMENT SERVICES INC KXF971 Active RP Mobile
BROADCAST MANAGEMENT SERVICES INC KXF971 Active CA RP Base
BROADCASTING MANAGEMENT SERVICES IN KXF974 Active CA RP Base
R & S BROADCASTING WPKM481 Active WV RP Base

26.270

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
RKO GENERAL INC KA4610 Active RP Mobile

DEXTER BROADCASTING COMPANY KAJ729 Active MO RP Base
HURON SHORE BROADCASTERS CORP KC5442 Active RP Mobile
MID AMERICAN BROADCASTING CORP KC6908 Active RP Mobile
JACKSON RADIO WORKS INC KC8620 Active RP Mobile
DEXTER BROADCASTING COMPANY KD3191 Active RP Mobile
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KD6661 Active RP Mobile
MOUNTAIN SIGNALS INC KD9384 Active RP Mobile
MOUNTAIN SIGNALS INC KE7566 Active RP Mobile
STREETSBORO CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT KEH365 Active RP Base
STREETSBORO CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT KEH365 Active RP Mobile
PACIFICA FOUNDATION KJR909 Active CA RP Base
PACIFICA FOUNDATION KJR909 Active CA RP Mobile
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KJT985 Active VA RP Base
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KM5372 Active RP Mobile
PALOUSE COUNTRY INC KOP285 Active WA RP Base
PACIFICA FOUNDATION INC KP2578 Active RP Mobile
PACIFICA FOUNDATION INC KP2678 Active CA RP Mobile
NORTHER RADIO NETWORK CORPORATION KQE576 Active MI RP Base
JACKSON RADIO WORKS INC KQI814 Active RP Base
TWIN-CITIES BROADCASTING CORP KSJ794 Active IL RP Base
TWIN-CITIES BROADCASTING CORP KSJ794 Active IL RP Mobile
CONNOISSEUR COMM OF EVANSVILLE LP KV4883 Active RP Mobile
CONNOISSEUR COMM OF EVANSVILLE LP KV4884 Active RP Mobile
BUCKLEY BROADCASTING CORPORATION KVY904 Active RP Base
BUCKLEY BROADCASTING CORPORATION KVY904 Active CT RP Mobile
CONNOISSEUR COMM OC EVANSVILLE LP KXZ915 Active IN RP Base
CONNOISSEUR COMM OC EVANSVILLE LP KXZ915 Active IN RP Mobile
JAICOA B/CG CORP WWA366 Active PR RP Base

26.290

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
BONNEVILLE INTERNATIONAL CORP KA5553 Active RP Mobile
RKO GENERAL INC KA5617 Active RP Mobile
AROOSTOOK BROADCASTING CORP KA8526 Active RP Mobile
JACOR BROADCASTING OF COLORADO INC KB99696 Active CO RP Mobile
KTBS INC KC4861 Active RP Mobile
CENTRAL VIRGINIA B/CG CO INC KC8767 Active RP Mobile
PROVIDENT BROADCASTING COMPANY KCI457 Active GA RP Base
PROVIDENT BROADCASTING COMPANY KCI457 Active GA RP Mobile
WESTERN NEB BCG CO INC KCR627 Active NE RP Base
KING BROADCASTING CO KD4173 Active RP Mobile
KING BROADCASTING CO KE2487 Active RP Mobile
KING BROADCASTING CO KE2488 Active RP Mobile
UNIVERSAL COMMUNICATIONS CORP KE4373 Active RP Mobile
UNIVERSAL COMMUNICATIONS CORP KE4374 Active RP Mobile
SCHOOL DISTRICT OF ROYAL OAK MCH KE6250 Active RP Mobile
KIMTRON INC KEG224 Active NY RP Base
KING BROADCASTING CO KF2848 Active RP Mobile
KING BROADCASTING CO KF4126 Active RP Mobile
WESTERN NEB BCG CO INC KF8984 Active RP Mobile

KTBS INC KLB726 Active RP Base
KTBS INC KLB726 Active RP Mobile
GARDEN CITY BROADCASTING INC DIP KLL764 Active TX RP Base
BONNEVILLE HOLDING R JOHNSON KOH710 Active UT RP Base
BONNEVILLE HOLDING R JOHNSON KOH710 Active UT RP Mobile
HUBBARD BROADCASTING INC KPF364 Active MN RP Base
HUBBARD BROADCASTING INC KPF364 Active MN RP Mobile
JACOR BROADCASTING CORPORATION KPG266 Active TX RP Base
JACOR BROADCASTING CORPORATION KPG266 Active TX RP Mobile
WGBH EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATION KQ8964 Active RP Mobile
AMERICAN GENERAL MEDIA CORP KS2053 Active NV RP Mobile

26.310

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
CBS INC KA4887 Active RP Mobile
WOOSTER REPUBLICAN PRINTING CO KA5698 Active RP Mobile
FRONTIER BROADCASTING CO KA7680 Active RP Mobile
KTVW INC KC6483 Active RP Mobile
BOOTH AMERICAN CO KC9304 Active RP Mobile
CLEAR CHANNEL RADIO LICENSES INC KCE693 Active RP Base
CLEAR CHANNEL RADIO LICENSES INC KCE693 Active CT RP Mobile
WSBA LICO INC KD6881 Active RP Mobile
BAY STATE BCG CO KD9721 Active RP Mobile
CBC OF MARION COUNTY INC KE2981 Active RP Mobile
INFINITY BROADCASTING CORPORATION KE4375 Active RP Mobile
TAR HEEL BROADCASTING SYSTEM INC KE4562 Active RP Mobile
PINNACLE SOUTHEAST INC KE4563 Active NC RP Mobile
GREAT SCOTT BROADCASTING KEC447 Active RP Base
GREAT SCOTT BROADCASTING KEC447 Active RP Mobile
RADIO HARLAN INC KF2370 Active RP Mobile
RADIO HARLAN INC KF2371 Active RP Mobile
RADIO HARLAN INC KF2372 Active RP Mobile
EVENING NEWS ASSOC KF6642 Active RP Mobile
RADIO HARLAN INC KF7318 Active RP Mobile
DICK BG COMPANY OF TENNESSEE INC KFL860 Active TN RP Base
TAR HEEL BROADCASTING SYSTEM INC KFO542 Active NC RP Base
TAR HEEL BROADCASTING SYSTEM INC KFO543 Active NC RP Base
RADIO HARLAN INC KG3480 Active RP Mobile
WQAM LICENSE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KH5344 Active FL RP Mobile
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KH8678 Active RP Mobile
DICK BG COMPANY OF TENNESSEE INC KH8679 Active RP Mobile
DICK BG COMPANY OF TENNESSEE INC KH8680 Active RP Mobile
DICK BG COMPANY OF TENNESSEE INC KH8681 Active RP Mobile
DICK BG COMPANY OF TENNESSEE INC KH8682 Active RP Mobile
EVENING NEWS ASSN KH8756 Active RP Mobile
LEBANON-SPRINGFIELD BROADCASTING CO KIU403 Active KY RP Base
CLEAR CHANNEL BROADCASTING LICENSES INC KIY490 Active SC RP Base
CLEAR CHANNEL BROADCASTING LICENSES INC KIY490 Active SC RP Mobile
BIG CITY RADIO CHI LLC KJL325 Active VA RP Base
BIG CITY RADIO CHI LLC KJL325 Active VA RP Mobile

MESSA ENTERTAINMENT INC KKZ472 Active RP Base
MESSA ENTERTAINMENT INC KKZ472 Active TX RP Mobile
JOHNSON, ROBERT H KLM550 Active AL RP Base
JACOR BROADCASTING CORPORATION KPG266 Active TX RP Base
JACOR BROADCASTING CORPORATION KPG266 Active TX RP Mobile
PAMAL BROADCASTING LTD KV8085 Active RP Mobile
PAMAL BROADCASTING LTD KV8089 Active RP Mobile

26.330

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
W S M INC KA4743 Active RP Mobile
LEAD BELT BROADCASTING CO KA7752 Active RP Mobile
EVAN ALASKA MISSIONARY FELLOWSHIP KAN783 Active RP Base
EVAN ALASKA MISSIONARY FELLOWSHIP KAN783 Active RP Mobile
K L R A INC KC5244 Active RP Mobile
HUNTER BCG INC KCH691 Active VT RP Base
KWPM INC KCR526 Active MO RP Base
RADIO STATION KALM KD4084 Active RP Mobile
RADIO STATION KALM KD4085 Active RP Mobile
RADIO STATION KALM KD4086 Active RP Mobile
RADIO STATION KALM KD4087 Active RP Mobile
CB RADIO INC KE5823 Active RP Mobile
PATHFINDER COMMUNICATIONS CORP KE7446 Active RP Mobile
MARIETTA B/CG CO INC KE7700 Active RP Mobile
MARIETTA B/CG CO INC KE7702 Active RP Mobile
LEE AND ASSOCIATES INC KE9960 Active RP Mobile
CORNBELT BROADCASTING CO KEK709 Active IL RP Base
RADIO WISE INCORPORATED KEV808 Active RP Base
RADIO WISE INCORPORATED KEV808 Active VA RP Mobile
MISSOURI OZARKS RADIO NETWORK INC KF8397 Active RP Mobile
TROY B/CG CORP KF8829 Active RP Mobile
CUMULUS LICENSING CORP KFS571 Active TX RP Base
CUMULUS LICENSING CORP KFS571 Active TX RP Mobile
CORNBELT BROADCASTING CO KG9843 Active RP Mobile
ANDERSON BROADCASTING CO KGT645 Active ND RP Base
ANDERSON BROADCASTING CO KGT645 Active ND RP Mobile
MATTOON BROADCASTING COMPANY KH2043 Active RP Mobile
MATTOON BROADCASTING COMPANY KH2045 Active RP Mobile
MATTOON BROADCASTING COMPANY KH2046 Active RP Mobile
RADIO WISE INC KH4670 Active RP Mobile
RADIO WISE INC KH4671 Active RP Mobile
RADIO WISE INC KH4672 Active RP Mobile
RADIO WISE INC KH4673 Active RP Mobile
RADIO WISE INC KH4674 Active RP Mobile
CAROLINA MEDIA GROUP INC KIY848 Active NC RP Base
CHRISTIAN BROADCASTERS INC KJ3348 Active RP Mobile
SUPERIOR BROADCASTING INCORPORATED KL9315 Active RP Mobile
SUPERIOR BROADCASTING INCORPORATED KL9316 Active RP Mobile
SUPERIOR BROADCASTING INCORPORATED KLV846 Active NE RP Base
CITICASTERS CO KMM786 Active CA RP Base

TREASURE VALLEY BROADCASTING CO KN2430 Active RP Mobile
KQED INC KN2570 Active RP Mobile
KQED INC KN4398 Active RP Mobile
KERSHAW BROADCASTING CORP KN6847 Active RP Mobile
TULANE EDUCATIONAL FUND KO4439 Active RP Mobile
EVANGELISTIC ALASKA MISSIONARY FELLOWSHIP KO5087 Active RP Mobile
WITKO BROADCASTING LLC KO5238 Active RP Mobile
RADIO COMMNS BD GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KP6124 Active RP Mobile
RADIO COMMNS BD GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KP6125 Active RP Mobile
RADIO COMM BRD GEORGIA INST TECHNOLOGY KP9545 Active RP Mobile
HARDIN COUNTY BROADCASTING CO INC KQB579 Active RP Base
HARDIN COUNTY BROADCASTING CO INC KQB579 Active RP Mobile
TREASURE VALLEY BROADCASTING CO KRH521 Active ID RP Base
COPPER COUNTRY ENTERPRISES INC KRR806 Active MI RP Base
FAMILY RADIO INC KSJ786 Active WI RP Base
FAMILY RADIO INC KSJ786 Active WI RP Mobile
CORPORATION FOR GENERAL TRADE KSJ870 Active RP Base
JOHNSON COMMUNICATIONS INC KTO787 Active OH RP Base
EVANGELISTIC ALASKA MISSIONARY FELLOWSHIP KTT306 Active AK RP Base

26.350

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
NATIONAL BROADCASTING CO INC KA4690 Active RP Mobile
HIRSCH ENTERPRISES INC KA7476 Active RP Mobile
CITICASTERS CO KA88980 Active RP Mobile
PALMETTO BROADCASTING COMPANY INC KA9791 Active RP Mobile
POTTSVILLE BROADCASTING CO KC2618 Active RP Mobile
JOURNAL BROADCAST CORPORATION KC2790 Active RP Mobile
KNOXVILLE RA TEL INC KC4506 Active RP Mobile
PREMIER RADIO GROUP KC7407 Active RP Mobile
CLEAR CHANNEL RADIO LICENSES INC KC7767 Active RP Mobile
NOALMARK BROADCASTING CORPORATION KC8644 Active RP Mobile
SEA COMM INC KCI436 Active PA RP Base
RICHARDSON BCG CO KD2216 Active RP Mobile
LAKE HURON BROADCASTING CORP KD4576 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KD7964 Active RP Mobile
KLAS INC A NEVADA CORPORATION KE2815 Active RP Mobile
NOALMARK BROADCASTING CORPORATION KE2889 Active RP Mobile
SUMMIT ENTERPRISES INC KE3803 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4349 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4350 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4351 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4352 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4353 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4354 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4355 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4356 Active RP Mobile
RICHARDSON BCG CO KE4357 Active RP Mobile

FOLKWAYS BROADCASTING CO INC KE7129 Active RP Mobile
OWATONNA BROADCASTING CO KE7497 Active RP Mobile
NOALMARK BROADCASTING CORPORATION KF5475 Active RP Mobile
NOALMARK BROADCASTING CORPORATION KF5476 Active RP Mobile
CHANCELLOR MEDIA/SHAMROCK B/C INC KGB258 Active PA RP Base
CHANCELLOR MEDIA/SHAMROCK B/C INC KGB258 Active PA RP Mobile
VICTORY CHRISTIAN CENTER INC KGT648 Active NC RP Base
SEA COMM INC KH6161 Active RP Mobile
SEA COMM INC KH6162 Active RP Mobile
CLEAR CHANNEL RADIO LICENSES INC KIB694 Active VA RP Base
EDWIN KEITH JOHNSON KIS338 Active NC RP Base
EDWIN KEITH JOHNSON KIS338 Active NC RP Mobile
KELA CORPORATION KJD740 Active WA RP Base
KELA CORPORATION KJD740 Active WA RP Mobile
BELLEVUE RADIO INC KL7861 Active RP Mobile
GREAT CIRCLE BROADCASTING COMPANY KLL760 Active PA RP Base
DAME BROADCASTING LLC KM2992 Active RP Mobile
NOALMARK BROADCASTING CORPORATION KM3457 Active RP Mobile
FOX TELEVISION STATIONS INC KMD405 Active RP Base
RADIO ONE LICENSES INC KO3314 Active RP Mobile
JOAN AND KENNETH WRIGHT KO5776 Active RP Mobile
KLAS INC A NEVADA CORPORATION KOM480 Active NV RP Base
GATEWAY COMMUNICATIONS INC KRY202 Active WV RP Base
GATEWAY COMMUNICATIONS INC KRY202 Active WV RP Mobile
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KU6770 Active RP Mobile
THE ELK CAMERON BROADCASTING CO KV4928 Active RP Mobile
THE ELK CAMERON BROADCASTING CO KV4929 Active RP Mobile
CUMULUS LICENSING CORP KXB269 Active MS RP Base
CUMULUS LICENSING CORP KXB269 Active MS RP Mobile
GOODSTAR BC OF KANSAS LIC LLC KXF924 Active RP Base
GOODSTAR BC OF KANSAS LIC LLC KXF924 Active RP Mobile
BROADCAST MANAGEMENT SERVICES INC KXF971 Active RP Mobile
BROADCAST MANAGEMENT SERVICES INC KXF971 Active CA RP Base
BROADCASTING MANAGEMENT SERVICES IN KXF974 Active CA RP Base
THE ELK CAMERON BROADCASTING CO KZH808 Active RP Base
THE ELK CAMERON BROADCASTING CO KZH808 Active RP Mobile
R & S BROADCASTING WPKM481 Active WV RP Base

26.370

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
RKO GENERAL INC KA4610 Active RP Mobile
DEXTER BROADCASTING COMPANY KAJ729 Active MO RP Base
HURON SHORE BROADCASTERS CORP KC5442 Active RP Mobile
MID AMERICAN BROADCASTING CORP KC6908 Active RP Mobile
JACKSON RADIO WORKS INC KC8620 Active RP Mobile
NORTHEAST RADIO INC KCG492 Active RP Base
NORTHEAST RADIO INC KCG492 Active MA RP Mobile
DEXTER BROADCASTING COMPANY KD3191 Active RP Mobile
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KD6661 Active RP Mobile
NORTHEAST RADIO INC KGI238 Active MA RP Base

HMH BROADCASTING INC KIN678 Active KY RP Base
WHOO RADIO INC KJD739 Active FL RP Base
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KJT985 Active VA RP Base
WHOO RADIO INC KK3768 Active RP Mobile
PALOUSE COUNTRY INC KK5090 Active RP Mobile
WHOO RADIO INC KK6038 Active RP Mobile
WHOO RADIO INC KK7969 Active RP Mobile
UNO RADIO OF PONCE INC KK8672 Active RP Mobile
DE LA HUNT BROADCASTING CORP KLC638 Active MN RP Base
DE LA HUNT BROADCASTING CORP KLC638 Active MN RP Mobile
DELAHUNT BROADCASTING CORPORATION KLF868 Active MN RP Base
DELAHUNT BROADCASTING CORPORATION KLF868 Active MN RP Mobile
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KM5372 Active RP Mobile
PALOUSE COUNTRY INC KOP285 Active WA RP Base
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KP4205 Active RP Mobile
CLEAR CHANNEL BROADCASTING LICENSES, INC KPH788 Active FL RP Base
CLEAR CHANNEL BROADCASTING LICENSES, INC KPH788 Active FL RP Mobile
CUMULUS LICENSING CORP KQ4414 Active KY RP Mobile
Westwood One Radio Networks, Inc. KQ5608 Active RP Mobile
NORTHER RADIO NETWORK CORPORATION KQE576 Active MI RP Base
JACKSON RADIO WORKS INC KQI814 Active RP Base
WFM INCORPORATED KSQ964 Active MS RP Base

26.390

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
BONNEVILLE INTERNATIONAL CORP KA5553 Active RP Mobile
RKO GENERAL INC KA5617 Active RP Mobile
STAUFFER PUBLICATIONS KAL869 Active MO RP Base
JACOR BROADCASTING OF COLORADO INC KB99696 Active CO RP Mobile
BOARD REGENTS OF UNIV OF WI SYSTEM KC7051 Active RP Mobile
PROVIDENT BROADCASTING COMPANY KCI457 Active GA RP Base
PROVIDENT BROADCASTING COMPANY KCI457 Active GA RP Mobile
WESTERN NEB BCG CO INC KCR627 Active NE RP Base
KING BROADCASTING CO KD4173 Active RP Mobile
KING BROADCASTING CO KE2487 Active RP Mobile
KING BROADCASTING CO KE2488 Active RP Mobile
UNIVERSAL COMMUNICATIONS CORP KE4373 Active RP Mobile
UNIVERSAL COMMUNICATIONS CORP KE4374 Active RP Mobile
SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF ROYAL OAK KE4888 Active RP Mobile
KING BROADCASTING CO KF2848 Active RP Mobile
KING BROADCASTING CO KF4126 Active RP Mobile
DOUGLASS COMMUNICATIONS, LLC KF5734 Active VA RP Mobile
WESTERN NEB BCG CO INC KF8984 Active RP Mobile
THE FORWARD ASSOCIATION INC KH7569 Active RP Mobile
GLADES MEDIA COMPANY KIY655 Active VA RP Base
GARDEN CITY BROADCASTING INC DIP KLL764 Active TX RP Base
BONNEVILLE HOLDING R JOHNSON KOH710 Active UT RP Base
BONNEVILLE HOLDING R JOHNSON KOH710 Active UT RP Mobile
VIACOM INC KP3973 Active RP Mobile
HUBBARD BROADCASTING INC KPF364 Active MN RP Base

HUBBARD BROADCASTING INC KPF364 Active MN RP Mobile
STATE OF WISC EDUC COMM BOARD KSF764 Active WI RP Base
STATE OF WISC EDUC COMM BOARD KSF765 Active WI RP Base
STATE OF WISCONSIN EDUCATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS BOARD KSG486 Active
WI RP Base
STATE OF WISC EDUC COMM BOARD KSI791 Active WI RP Base
PAMAL BROADCASTING LTD KV6004 Active RP Mobile

26.410

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
CBS INC KA4887 Active RP Mobile
WOOSTER REPUBLICAN PRINTING CO KA5698 Active RP Mobile
FRONTIER BROADCASTING CO KA7680 Active RP Mobile
KTVW INC KC6483 Active RP Mobile
VIRGINIA KENTUCKY BROADCASTING CO INC KD2737 Active RP Mobile
KIRX INC KD3686 Active RP Mobile
WSBA LICO INC KD6881 Active RP Mobile
BEDFORD BROADCASTING CORPORATION KD9397 Active RP Mobile
BAY STATE BCG CO KD9721 Active RP Mobile
HARVEST BROADCASTING COMPANY INC KE4457 Active RP Mobile
GEORGE HARM STATION KE4458 Active RP Mobile
RADIO CLAREMONT INC KE9074 Active RP Mobile
THE DERRICK PUBLISHING COMPANY KEF941 Active NY RP Base
THE DERRICK PUBLISHING COMPANY KEF941 Active NY RP Mobile
RADIO ACQUISITION CORP KF3362 Active RP Mobile
BEN HILL BCG CORP KF4997 Active RP Mobile
EVENING NEWS ASSOC KF6642 Active RP Mobile
GROOM JOHN I AND HAGERMAN JAMES KF6916 Active RP Mobile
DICK BG COMPANY OF TENNESSEE INC KFL860 Active TN RP Base
WYTI INC KFZ928 Active VA RP Base
TORRINGTON BROADCASTING CO INC KG8973 Active RP Mobile
WQAM LICENSE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KH5344 Active FL RP Mobile
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KH8678 Active RP Mobile
DICK BG COMPANY OF TENNESSEE INC KH8679 Active RP Mobile
DICK BG COMPANY OF TENNESSEE INC KH8680 Active RP Mobile
DICK BG COMPANY OF TENNESSEE INC KH8681 Active RP Mobile
DICK BG COMPANY OF TENNESSEE INC KH8682 Active RP Mobile
EVENING NEWS ASSN KH8756 Active RP Mobile
RODGERS BROADCASTING CORP KJJ986 Active IN RP Base
BIG CITY RADIO CHI LLC KJL325 Active VA RP Base
BIG CITY RADIO CHI LLC KJL325 Active VA RP Mobile
WFSP INC KJZ401 Active RP Base
WFSP INC KJZ401 Active WV RP Mobile
WCNB INC KK5162 Active RP Mobile
BIG CHIEF BROADCASTING CO OF FAYETTEVILL KK7971 Active RP Mobile
JOHNSON, ROBERT H KLM550 Active AL RP Base
VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INST & STATE UNIV KP9570 Active RP Mobile
BOOTH AMERICAN CO KQG332 Active MI RP Base
BENEDEK LICENSE CORPORATION KQH368 Active RP Base
BENEDEK LICENSE CORPORATION KQH368 Active WV RP Mobile

VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INST & STATE UNIVERSITY KTK817 Active VA RP
Base
LIMA COMMUNICATIONS CORP WPLP548 Active OH RP Mobile Relay

26.430

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
LEAD BELT BROADCASTING CO KA7752 Active RP Mobile
K L R A INC KC5244 Active RP Mobile
KWPM INC KCR526 Active MO RP Base
Emmis Television License Corporation KD3810 Active RP Mobile
RADIO STATION KALM KD4084 Active RP Mobile
RADIO STATION KALM KD4085 Active RP Mobile
RADIO STATION KALM KD4086 Active RP Mobile
RADIO STATION KALM KD4087 Active RP Mobile
KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY KD9850 Active RP Mobile
JEFFERSON PILOT COMMUNICATIONS CO KE9079 Active RP Mobile
JEFFERSON PILOT COMMUNICATIONS CO KE9080 Active RP Mobile
LEE AND ASSOCIATES INC KE9960 Active RP Mobile
CORNBELT BROADCASTING CO KEK709 Active IL RP Base
MISSOURI OZARKS RADIO NETWORK INC KF8397 Active RP Mobile
COMMUNITY COMMUNICATIONS INC KFO547 Active FL RP Base
COMMUNITY COMMUNICATIONS INC KFO547 Active FL RP Mobile
CORNBELT BROADCASTING CO KG9843 Active RP Mobile
BURROUGHS, JOHN KGG421 Active NM RP Base
J THOMAS DEVELOPMENT OF NM INC KGO421 Active NM RP Base
ANDERSON BROADCASTING CO KGT645 Active ND RP Base
ANDERSON BROADCASTING CO KGT645 Active ND RP Mobile
MATTOON BROADCASTING COMPANY KH2043 Active RP Mobile
MATTOON BROADCASTING COMPANY KH2045 Active RP Mobile
MATTOON BROADCASTING COMPANY KH2046 Active RP Mobile
EWING FAYETTE C KH6165 Active RP Mobile
JEFFERSON PILOT COMMUNICATIONS CO KIY501 Active GA RP Base
CHRISTIAN BROADCASTERS INC KJ3348 Active RP Mobile
POST-NEWSWEEK STATION FLA INC KJ6874 Active RP Mobile
OLIVE E SISK KK9143 Active RP Mobile
TRUSTEES OF PHILLIPS ACADEMY KL4054 Active RP Mobile
HBN COMMUNICATIONS INC KM8750 Active RP Mobile
CITICASTERS CO KMK429 Active RP Base
CITICASTERS CO KMK429 Active RP Mobile
TREASURE VALLEY BROADCASTING CO KN2430 Active RP Mobile
KQED INC KN2570 Active RP Mobile
KQED INC KN4398 Active RP Mobile
KERSHAW BROADCASTING CORP KN6847 Active RP Mobile
AK MEDIA GROUP INC KN9529 Active RP Mobile
AK MEDIA GROUP INC KN9530 Active RP Mobile
AK MEDIA GROUP INC KN9531 Active RP Mobile
AK MEDIA GROUP INC KN9532 Active RP Mobile
TRUSTEES OF PHILLIPS ACADEMY KO3440 Active RP Mobile
TULANE EDUCATIONAL FUND KO4439 Active RP Mobile
WITKO BROADCASTING LLC KO5238 Active RP Mobile

WQIZ INC KOI327 Active SC RP Base
SLONE RADIO LLC KOM822 Active RP Mobile
SLONE RADIO LLC KOM822 Active AZ RP Base
RADIO COMMNS BD GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KP6124 Active RP Mobile
RADIO COMMNS BD GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KP6125 Active RP Mobile
RADIO COMM BRD GEORGIA INST TECHNOLOGY KP9545 Active RP Mobile
HARDIN COUNTY BROADCASTING CO INC KQB579 Active RP Base
HARDIN COUNTY BROADCASTING CO INC KQB579 Active RP Mobile
HBN COMMUNICATIONS INC KRC560 Active WV RP Base
TREASURE VALLEY BROADCASTING CO KRH521 Active ID RP Base
JOURNAL BROADCAST CORPORATION KRX608 Active RP Base
PRAIRIELAND BROADCASTERS KSJ778 Active IL RP Base
FAMILY RADIO INC KSJ786 Active WI RP Base
FAMILY RADIO INC KSJ786 Active WI RP Mobile
AK MEDIA GROUP INC KSM712 Active AK RP Base
JOHNSON COMMUNICATIONS INC KTO787 Active OH RP Base
BD OF TRUST OF EASTERN MENNONTIE UNIV KTU817 Active RP Base
BD OF TRUST OF EASTERN MENNONTIE UNIV KTU817 Active RP Mobile
AMERICAN GENERAL MEDIA CORP KUH576 Active CA RP Base
CLEAR CHANNEL RADIO LICENSES INC KUZ355 Active MI RP Base
CLEAR CHANNEL RADIO LICENSES INC KUZ355 Active MI RP Mobile
KELLY COMMUNICATIONS INC KY2967 Active OH RP Mobile

26.450

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
NEWS EXAMINER CO KA2045 Active RP Mobile
APPALACHIAN BCG CORP KA4632 Active RP Mobile
NEBRASKA RURAL RADIO ASSOCIATION KAD996 Active NE RP Base
WAVY BROADCASTING LLC KB55956 Active VA RP Mobile
TIFTON BROADCASTING CORP KC3473 Active RP Mobile
NEW JERSEY PUBLIC BC AUTHORITY KC4842 Active RP Mobile
HURON SHORE BROADCASTERS CORP KC5442 Active RP Mobile
SANDAB COMMUNICATION LTD PNR/SHP II KC5508 Active MA RP Mobile
CITICASTERS CO KC5643 Active RP Mobile
MT MANSFIELD TELEVISION INC KCD734 Active VT RP Base
MT MANSFIELD TELEVISION INC KCD746 Active VT RP Base
HHGD BROADCASTING COMPANY INC KD3187 Active RP Mobile
EMMIS 106.5 FM RADIO LIC CORP OF S KD6997 Active RP Mobile
HHGD BROADCASTING COMPANY INC KD7330 Active RP Mobile
CENTRAL COAST TELEVISION KDW673 Active CA RP Base
CREST BROADCASTING CO INC KE3175 Active RP Mobile
GEORGIA PUBLIC TELECOMM COMMISSION KE4494 Active GA RP Mobile
CBS BROADCASTING INC. KE5980 Active RP Mobile
JACOR BROADCASTING CORPORATION KE7025 Active RP Mobile
IW LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY KEH247 Active RP Base
IW LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY KEH247 Active MI RP Mobile
CITICASTERS CO KEK707 Active ID RP Base
BURGESS BROADCASTING CORPORATION KES487 Active RP Base

BURGESS BROADCASTING CORPORATION KES487 Active GA RP Mobile
MT MANSFIELD TELEVISION INC KF4554 Active RP Mobile
ANDERSON WFBC TV LICENSEE INC KF6265 Active RP Mobile
RADIO NEWBURYPORT LLC KFK937 Active MA RP Base
RADIO NEWBURYPORT LLC KFK937 Active MA RP Mobile
MT MANSFIELD TELEVISION INC KG3260 Active RP Mobile
GATEWAY COMMUNICATIONS INC KGI348 Active PA RP Base
GATEWAY COMMUNICATIONS INC KGI348 Active PA RP Mobile
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA KGJ804 Active NC RP Base
LA CROSSE RADIO INC KH8423 Active RP Mobile
SHENANDOAH LIFE STATIONS INC KIB465 Active VA RP Base
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA KII836 Active NC RP Base
COOSA BROADCASTING CO INC KIV742 Active GA RP Base
GEORGIA PUBLIC TELECOMM COMMISSION KIW273 Active GA RP Base
FLA WEST COAST EDUCATION TV INC KIY474 Active FL RP Base
ROCKBRIDGE BROADCASTING CORP KJ7550 Active RP Mobile
ROCKBRIDGE BROADCASTING CORP KJ7551 Active RP Mobile
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA KJL532 Active NC RP Base
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA KJL533 Active NC RP Base
STATE OF OREGON ACTING BY & THROUGH KJR902 Active OR RP Base
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA KJU389 Active NC RP Base
BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF S. ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY KJX505 Active IL RP
Base
BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF S ILL UNIVERSITY KJX506 Active IL RP Base
MT MANSFIELD TELEVISION INC KK2880 Active RP Mobile
COMMUNICATIONS PROPERTIES INC KK8667 Active RP Mobile
WILLIS BROADCASTING CORPORATION KKN674 Active PA RP Base
CITICASTERS CO KL4403 Active RP Mobile
JACOR BROADCASTING CORPORATION KLV240 Active OH RP Base
RETLAW B/CING OF FRESNO LLC KME929 Active CA RP Base
RETLAW B/CING OF FRESNO LLC KME930 Active CA RP Base
KOVR BROADCASTING CO KMF282 Active CA RP Base
SCI SACRAMENTO LICENSEE INC KMG415 Active CA RP Base
SCI SACRAMENTO LICENSEE INC KMG416 Active CA RP Base
CATAMOUNT BCING OF CHICO REDDING INC KMH347 Active CA RP Base
SCI SACRAMENTO LICENSEE INC KMK282 Active CA RP Base
NEWS PRESS PUBLISHING CO KML640 Active CA RP Base
SAN JOAQUIN BROADCASTING CO KMM701 Active CA RP Base
SAN JOAQUIN BROADCASTING CO KMM702 Active CA RP Base
JACOR BROADCASTING CORPORATION KO4454 Active RP Mobile
JACOR BROADCASTING CORPORATION KO4455 Active RP Mobile
JACOR BROADCASTING CORPORATION KO4456 Active RP Mobile
JACOR BROADCASTING CORPORATION KO4457 Active RP Mobile
JACOR BROADCASTING CORPORATION KO4458 Active RP Mobile
Emmis Radio License Corporation KOG312 Active AZ RP Base
KUTV Holdings, Inc. KOH949 Active UT RP Base
CITICASTERS CO KOI299 Active ID RP Base
CITICASTERS CO KOI300 Active ID RP Base
STEVENS GROUP INC KOI460 Active NV RP Base
STEVENS GROUP INC KOI461 Active NV RP Base

CITICASTERS CO KOI472 Active ID RP Base
RADIO CLEVELAND INC KP2454 Active RP Mobile
THE ORIGINAL COMPANY INC KP6137 Active RP Mobile
THE ORIGINAL COMPANY INC KP6138 Active RP Mobile
WJIT CROADCASTING CORP KP7116 Active RP Mobile
WJIT BROADCASTING CORP KP7117 Active RP Mobile
WJIT BROADCASTING CORP KP7119 Active RP Mobile
THE TIMES SOUTHWEST BCING INC KP8235 Active AR RP Mobile
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA KPE521 Active NC RP Base
HUBBARD BROADCASTING INC KPF364 Active MN RP Base
HUBBARD BROADCASTING INC KPF364 Active MN RP Mobile
GATEWAY COMMUNICATIONS INC KPH858 Active PA RP Base
GATEWAY COMMUNICATIONS INC KPH858 Active PA RP Mobile
JACOR BROADCASTING CORPORATION KPK406 Active OH RP Base
GATEWAY COMMUNICATIONS, INC. KPK851 Active PA RP Base
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA KRJ314 Active NC RP Base
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA KRJ315 Active NC RP Base
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA KRS612 Active NC RP Base
SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY KSJ838 Active IL RP Base
SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY KSJ839 Active IL RP Base
CALIFORNIA LUTHERAN UNIVERSITY KSO244 Active MO RP Base
RADIO CLEVELAND INC KSO848 Active MS RP Base
WJIT BROADCASTING CORP KTE764 Active PR RP Base
FRED H BAKER SR KTG986 Active OK RP Base
THE TIMES SOUTHWEST BCING INC KTG987 Active AR RP Base
JACOR BROADCASTING CORPORATION KTS285 Active OH RP Base
STEPHENS GROUP INC KU2457 Active RP Mobile
BROWNFIELD BROADCASTING LP KU4722 Active TX RP Mobile
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KU6770 Active RP Mobile
Emmis Television License Corporation KUA360 Active HI RP Base
RUNNYMEDE INC KUN345 Active OH RP Base
RUNNYMEDE INC KUN346 Active OH RP Base
NEW JERSEY PUBLIC BROADCASTING AUTHORITY KUS215 Active RP Base
NEW JERSEY PUBLIC BROADCASTING AUTHORITY KUS215 Active NJ RP Mobile
NEW JERSEY PUBLIC BROADCASTING AUTHORITY KVT926 Active NJ RP Base
NEW JERSEY PUBLIC BROADCASTING AUTHORITY KVT926 Active NJ RP Mobile
NEW JERSEY PUBLIC BROADCASTING AUTHORITY KVT927 Active NJ RP Base
NEW JERSEY PUBLIC BROADCASTING AUTHORITY KVT927 Active NJ RP Mobile
MURRAY STATE UNIVERSITY KVY779 Active GA RP Base
STEPHENS GROUP INC KXF928 Active NV RP Base
BROADCAST MANAGEMENT SERVICES INC KXF971 Active RP Mobile
BROADCAST MANAGEMENT SERVICES INC KXF971 Active CA RP Base
TRI-STATE PUBLIC TELEPLEX, INC WQA913 Active IN RP Base
TRI-STATE PUBLIC TELEPLEX, INC WQA913 Active IN RP Mobile

26.470

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
TRIBUNE PUBLISHING CO KA2149 Active RP Mobile
ELI LILLY AND CO KA2849 Active RP Mobile
RKO GENERAL INC KA4610 Active RP Mobile

GOLDEN WEST BROADCASTERS KA5793 Active RP Mobile
GOLDEN WEST BROADCASTERS KA5794 Active RP Mobile
GOLDEN WEST BROADCASTERS KA5795 Active RP Mobile
TRIBUNE PUBLISHING CO KA8149 Active RP Mobile
SELMA SMITHFIELD BROADCASTING CO DBA KA8156 Active RP Mobile
CITICASTERS CO KAJ318 Active IA RP Base
DEXTER BROADCASTING COMPANY KAJ729 Active MO RP Base
KTTC TELEVISION INC KAV326 Active MN RP Base
KTLA Inc. KB8890 Active RP Mobile
JACOR BROADCASTING OF COLORADO INC KB99696 Active CO RP Mobile
GOLDEN WEST BROADCASTERS KC2874 Active RP Mobile
ALABAMA EDUCATIONAL TELEVISION COMMISSIO KC5550 Active RP Mobile
ALABAMA EDUCATIONAL TELEVISION COMMISSIO KC5551 Active RP Mobile
PRINCETON BROADCASTING CO INC KC5996 Active RP Mobile
PRINCETON BCG CO KC5997 Active RP Mobile
PRINCETON BROADCASTING CO INC KC5998 Active RP Mobile
PRINCETON BROADCASTING CO INC KC5999 Active RP Mobile
CLEAR CHANNEL RADIO LICENSES INC KD2321 Active RP Mobile
DEXTER BROADCASTING COMPANY KD3191 Active RP Mobile
K95.5 INC KD7012 Active RP Mobile
NORTH CAROLINA TELEVISION INC KD7117 Active RP Mobile
GOLDEN WEST BROADCASTERS KD7326 Active RP Mobile
CLEAR CHANNEL RADIO LICENSES INC KD8264 Active RP Mobile
BROWNING SKIDMORE BROADCASTING INC KDF620 Active MO RP Base
ALABAMA EDUCATIONAL TV COMMISSION KE8816 Active RP Mobile
CLEAR CHANNEL BROADCASTING LICENSES KE9144 Active RP Mobile
SIERRA BROADCASTING CORPORATION KEH393 Active CA RP Base
SIERRA BROADCASTING CORPORATION KEH394 Active CA RP Base
CARMINE, DAVID A KEH440 Active RP Base
CARMINE, DAVID A KEH440 Active MI RP Mobile
YOUNG BROADCASTING OF ALBANY INC KEI611 Active RP Base
YOUNG BROADCASTING OF ALBANY INC KEI611 Active RP Mobile
YOUNG BROADCASTING OF ALBANY INC KEI611 Active NY RP Base
YOUNG BROADCASTING OF ALBANY INC KEI611 Active NY RP Mobile
PEMBROOK PINES ELMIRA LIMITED KEK323 Active NY RP Base
M G M BROADCASTING CORP KF2192 Active RP Mobile
PEMBROOK PINES ELMIRA LIMITED KF5655 Active RP Mobile
MALVERN ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION KF9177 Active RP Mobile
MALVERN ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION KF9178 Active RP Mobile
MALVERN ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION KF9179 Active RP Mobile
MALVERN ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION KF9180 Active RP Mobile
NORTH CAROLINA TELEVISION INC KF9290 Active RP Mobile
WKOY INC KF9460 Active RP Mobile
TAR HEEL BROADCASTING SYSTEM INC KFO542 Active NC RP Base
TAR HEEL BROADCASTING SYSTEM INC KFO543 Active NC RP Base
630 RADIO INCORPORATED KFZ941 Active RP Base
630 RADIO INCORPORATED KFZ941 Active MN RP Mobile
CUMBERLAND COMMUNICATIONS INC KG2635 Active RP Mobile
NORTH CAROLINA TELEVISION INC KG2979 Active RP Mobile
POOLE BROADCASTING CO KG5230 Active RP Mobile

POOLE BROADCASTING CO KG5232 Active RP Mobile
THOMASON BCG INC KG6525 Active RP Mobile
ALABAMA EDUCATIONAL TELEVISION COMMISSIO KG9820 Active RP Mobile
MARTIN SR, WILBUR J KGK223 Active MS RP Base
VICTORY CHRISTIAN CENTER INC KGT648 Active NC RP Base
THOMASON BCG INC KH4421 Active RP Mobile
ALABAMA EDUCATIONAL TELEVISION COMMISSIO KII668 Active AL RP Base
ALABAMA EDUCATIONAL TELEVISION COMMISSIO KII669 Active AL RP Base
ALABAMA EDUCATIONAL TV COMMISSION KII670 Active RP Mobile
ALABAMA EDUCATIONAL TV COMMISSION KII670 Active AL RP Base
Infinity Radio Inc. KIJ222 Active NC RP Base
CLEAR CHANNEL RADIO LICENSES INC KIJ223 Active NC RP Base
NORTH CAROLINA TV INC KIJ814 Active NC RP Base
ALABAMA EDUCATIONAL TV COMMISSION KIK878 Active AL RP Base
ALABAMA EDUCATIONAL TV COMMISSION KIK878 Active AL RP Mobile
RAYCOM AMERICA INC KIN542 Active NC RP Base
CUMBERLAND COMMUNICATIONS INC KIY738 Active KY RP Base
ALABAMA EDUCATIONAL TELEVISION COMMISSION KIY807 Active AL RP Base
J L BREWER BROADCASTING OF CLEVELAND KIY815 Active TN RP Base
J L BREWER BROADCASTING OF CLEVELAND KIY815 Active TN RP Mobile
NEW HANOVER BROADCASTING CO KIY838 Active NC RP Base
BRIDGES BROADCASTING SERVICE KJ5232 Active RP Mobile
TRIBUNE PUBLISHING CO KJ6229 Active RP Mobile
Z SPANISH MEDIA LICENSING COMPANY LLC KJI336 Active CA RP Base
Z SPANISH MEDIA LICENSING COMPANY LLC KJI337 Active CA RP Base
RADFORD COMMUNICATIONS INC KJN620 Active NC RP Base
MARTIN BROADCASTING COMPANY KJX809 Active MS RP Base
PALOUSE COUNTRY INC KK5090 Active RP Mobile
CAPSTAR TX LIMITED PARTNERSHIP KK5523 Active CA RP Mobile
CITICASTERS COMPANY KK7128 Active RP Mobile
LCG HOLDINGS LLC KKN756 Active RP Base
LCG HOLDINGS LLC KKN756 Active RP Mobile
CIVIC LICENSE HOLDING CO INC KKZ471 Active TX RP Base
MARTIN BROADCASTING COMPANY KL2916 Active RP Mobile
TRUSTEES OF PHILLIPS ACADEMY KL4054 Active RP Mobile
MALVERN ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION KLB654 Active AR RP Base
BRIDGES BROADCASTING SERVICE KLB805 Active AR RP Base
CAPSTAR ROYALTY II CORPORATION KLC636 Active TX RP Base
CAPSTAR ROYALTY II CORPORATION KLC636 Active TX RP Mobile
ALBANY BROADCASTING CO INC KLZ891 Active NY RP Base
ALBANY BROADCASTING CO INC KM2979 Active RP Mobile
CBS RADIO LICENSE INC KME532 Active CA RP Base
CBS RADIO LICENSE INC KME533 Active CA RP Base
PACIFIC COAST COMMUNICATIONS LLC KMM677 Active CA RP Base
MM&K OF ALVA INC KN6317 Active RP Mobile
LEE ENTERPRISES INCORPORATED KN6979 Active RP Mobile
DFWU INC KN8049 Active RP Mobile
THE WIRELESS WORKS INC KN8057 Active RP Mobile
TRUSTEES OF PHILLIPS ACADEMY KO3440 Active RP Mobile
SPOKANE TELEVISION INC KOF523 Active WA RP Base

CATAMOUNT IDAHO LICENSE LLC KOH249 Active ID RP Base
Emmis Television License Corporation KOH250 Active ID RP Base
BONNEVILLE INTERNATIONAL CORP KOH770 Active UT RP Base
PALOUSE COUNTRY INC KOP285 Active WA RP Base
K95.5 INC KOP392 Active WA RP Base
CUMULUS LICENSING CORPORATION KP9532 Active RP Mobile
CUMULUS LICENSING CORPORATION KP9533 Active RP Mobile
OZARKS PUBLIC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC KPK271 Active MO RP Base
OZARKS PUBLIC TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC KPK271 Active MO RP Mobile
CHRISTIAN TELEVISION NETWORK INC KQG537 Active WV RP Base
CHRISTIAN TELEVISION NETWORK INC KQG538 Active WV RP Base
WISMER BROADCASTING INC KQK501 Active MI RP Base
WISMER BROADCASTING INC KQK501 Active MI RP Mobile
WTCM RADIO INC KQK740 Active MI RP Base
WTCM RADIO INC KQK740 Active MI RP Mobile
CITICASTERS COMPANY KQK742 Active OH RP Base
ANCHOR BROADCASTING COMPANY KQV452 Active OH RP Base
NEW WAVE CORPORATION KR5554 Active RP Mobile
ALBANY BROADCASTING CO INC KRE941 Active NY RP Base
JOURNAL BROADCAST CORPORATION KRX608 Active RP Base
THE WIRELESS WORKS INC KRY205 Active NY RP Base
PRINCETON BROADCASTING CO INC KSD968 Active IN RP Base
WHFB BCST ASSOCIATES LTD PARTNERSHIP KUH568 Active RP Base
REITEN TELEVISION INC KZH822 Active RP Base
REITEN TELEVISION INC KZH822 Active ND RP Mobile
TRI-STATE PUBLIC TELEPLEX, INC WQA913 Active IN RP Base
TRI-STATE PUBLIC TELEPLEX, INC WQA913 Active IN RP Mobile

27.430

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
WICHITA GENERAL HOSPITAL KNCP518 Active TX IG Base
RAYTHEON AIRCRAFT COMPANY KTL955 Active IG Mobile
RAYTHEON AIRCRAFT COMPANY KTL955 Active KS IG Base
FELTON, RICHARD A KVJ823 Active IG Mobile
FELTON, RICHARD A KVJ823 Active ID IG Base
C 6 SCHOOL DIST WNND847 Active MO IG Base
C 6 SCHOOL DIST WNND847 Active MO IG Mobile
FRIENDS OF THE MOUNTAIN WPGU796 Active VA IG Base
FRIENDS OF THE MOUNTAIN WPGU796 Active VA IG Mobile
SUNY COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY WPLU855 Active NY IG Mobile
JAMES R POWELL INC DBA MCDONALDS WPLW433 Active CA IG Mobile
BIGGER, SCOTT WPMY658 Active ID IK Private Carrier (profit)
BIGGER, SCOTT WPMY658 Active WA IK Private Carrier (profit)
FRANKLIN, ANDREW M WPOE651 Active IL IG Base
FRANKLIN, ANDREW M WPOE651 Active IL IG Mobile
VAN PATTEN, KENNETH J WPRA803 Active FL IG Base
VAN PATTEN, KENNETH J WPRA803 Active FL IG Mobile

27.450

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
CARRIER CORPORATION KA96591 Active IG Mobile
DETROIT REGIONAL YACHT RACING ASSOCIATION KE6313 Active IG Mobile
ZISKIND, SANFORD B KJJ480 Active CA IG Base
ZISKIND, SANFORD B KJJ480 Active CA IG Mobile
FISHER, WALTER W KLM824 Active IL IG Base
FISHER, WALTER W KLM824 Active IL IG Mobile
M & M ELECTRIC COMPANY KNIB783 Active IL IG Base
M & M ELECTRIC COMPANY KNIB783 Active IL IG Mobile
CHRISTOPHER BROTHERS PLUMBING & HEATING KRY459 Active IG Mobile
CHRISTOPHER BROTHERS PLUMBING & HEATING KRY459 Active NJ IG Base
PAN AM LINES CAR SERVICE CORP WPBR502 Active NY IG Base
PAN AM LINES CAR SERVICE CORP WPBR502 Active NY IG Mobile
COMSONICS INC WPMU659 Active IG Mobile
COMSONICS INC WPMU659 Active AK IG Mobile
COMSONICS INC WPMU659 Active HI IG Mobile
COMSONICS INC WPMU659 Active PR IG Mobile
HORIZON MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS INC WPNP855 Active IG Base -
Itinerant
HORIZON MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS INC WPNP855 Active IG Mobile -
Itinerant
UTILICON WPOD822 Active GA IG Mobile
MOUNTAINEER APPLIANCE AND REPAIR WPPS513 Active NC IG Base
MOUNTAINEER APPLIANCE AND REPAIR WPPS513 Active NC IG Mobile
CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT OF WHITE PLAINS WZM464 Active NY IG Base
CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT OF WHITE PLAINS WZM464 Active NY IG Mobile

27.470

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
FARNSWORTH, IRA C KJM457 Active VT IG Base
FARNSWORTH, IRA C KJM457 Active VT IG Mobile
BROCHT, JAMES C KNFZ294 Active IG Mobile
BROCHT, JAMES C KNFZ294 Active PA IG Base
R & R AUTO ELECTRIC CO INC KUY920 Active IG Mobile
R & R AUTO ELECTRIC CO INC KUY920 Active MD IG Base
MANERS, BETTY J KWV712 Active NC IG Base
MANERS, BETTY J KWV712 Active NC IG Mobile
A W SNOW COMMUNICATIONS INC WPGA753 Active CA IG Base
A W SNOW COMMUNICATIONS INC WPGA753 Active CA IG Mobile
A W SNOW COMMUNICATIONS INC WPGA753 Active OK IG Base
A W SNOW COMMUNICATIONS INC WPGA753 Active OK IG Mobile
A W SNOW COMMUNICATIONS INC WPGA753 Active OR IG Base
A W SNOW COMMUNICATIONS INC WPGA753 Active OR IG Mobile
KINDSVATER REFRIGERATION WPLG892 Active KS IG Mobile
COMSONICS INC WPMU659 Active IG Mobile
COMSONICS INC WPMU659 Active AK IG Mobile
COMSONICS INC WPMU659 Active HI IG Mobile
COMSONICS INC WPMU659 Active PR IG Mobile
RIVER HILL COAL CO INC WRW347 Active PA IG Base
RIVER HILL COAL CO INC WRW347 Active PA IG Mobile
BASTROP MOTEL ENTERPRISES INC WXM387 Active IG Mobile

BASTROP MOTEL ENTERPRISES INC WXM387 Active LA IG Base
RBR SNOW CONTRACTORS INC WXV393 Active IG Mobile
RBR SNOW CONTRACTORS INC WXV393 Active NY IG Base
GYSI, CHUCK WYH960 Active IG Mobile
GYSI, CHUCK WYH960 Active IA IG Base

27.490

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
THE BOEING COMPANY KA73925 Active IG Mobile - Itinerant
LAWRENCE BEHR ASSOCIATES INC KB72639 Active IG Mobile - Itinerant
EVERHART, RAEFORD KJY949 Active IG Base - Temporary
EVERHART, RAEFORD KJY949 Active IG Mobile
REICH, DALE E KNAC650 Active IG Base - Itinerant
REICH, DALE E KNAC650 Active IG Mobile - Itinerant
DAMERON, GEORGE D KOG600 Active IG Base - Itinerant
DAMERON, GEORGE D KOG600 Active IG Mobile - Itinerant
FLAHARTY, C L KQE485 Active IG Base - Itinerant
FLAHARTY, C L KQE485 Active IG Mobile - Itinerant
DOBSON POWER LINE CONSTRUCTION CO INC WNCT848 Active KY IG Base -
Temporary
DOBSON POWER LINE CONSTRUCTION CO INC WNCT848 Active KY IG Mobile
COLORADO SEARCH & RESCUE BOARD WNID964 Active IG Base - Itinerant
COLORADO SEARCH & RESCUE BOARD WNID964 Active IG Mobile - Itinerant
RIEBE, DAVID S DBA ELECTRONIC ENTERPRISES WNJK635 Active IK Mobile
- Itinerant
RICE, SCOTT C WPAU566 Active IG Mobile - Itinerant
SHORTT, LANCE A WPBR350 Active IG Base - Itinerant Interconnect
SHORTT, LANCE A WPBR350 Active IG Mobile - Itinerant
A W SNOW COMMUNICATIONS INC WPGA753 Active IG Base - Itinerant
A W SNOW COMMUNICATIONS INC WPGA753 Active IG Mobile - Itinerant
FERRALL ELECTRIC CO DBA R & F COMMUNICATIONS WPHE878 Active IG
Mobile - Itinerant
NORTHEAST IOWA C B INC WPIV660 Active IA IG Mobile - Itinerant
TRIMBLE NAVIGATION LTD WPKE538 Active IG Mobile - Itinerant
BEARCOM LP WPKN776 Active IG Private Carrier Mobile Op (profit) -
Itinerant
COMSONICS INC WPMU659 Active AK IG Mobile - Itinerant
COMSONICS INC WPMU659 Active HI IG Mobile - Itinerant
COMSONICS INC WPMU659 Active PR IG Mobile - Itinerant
COMSONICS INC WPMU659 Active US IG Mobile - Itinerant
ALPHA TO OMEGA COMMUNICATIONS DBA A T O COMMUNICATIONS WPMW958
Active IG Mobile - Itinerant
MOORE, TOM O WPNY759 Active IG Base - Itinerant
MOORE, TOM O WPNY759 Active IG Mobile - Itinerant
BROADCAST SPORTS INC WPOY273 Active IG Mobile - Itinerant
WIRELESS VIDEO INC WPPB612 Active IG Mobile - Itinerant
BOWLING PROPRIETORS ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA INC WPPD945 Active IG
Mobile - Itinerant
CLEAR CALL COMMUNICATIONS WPPH286 Active IG Mobile - Itinerant
GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER COMPANY WPPH287 Active IG Mobile - Itinerant

DAVIE, PAUL WPPH618 Active IG Mobile - Itinerant
GYSI, CHUCK WYH960 Active IG Base - Itinerant
GYSI, CHUCK WYH960 Active IG Mobile - Itinerant

27.510

Licensee Name Callsign / File Num Status State Service Station Class
NEBRASKA, STATE OF KB32378 Active NE PW Mobile
GENERAL MOTORS RESEARCH CORPORATION KC5820 Active IG Mobile
GENERAL ELECTRIC RADIO SERVICES CORPORATION KC9205 Active IG Mobile
PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY KK7019 Active PA IG Mobile
MORROW GRAVEL CO KM3867 Active IG Mobile
EXXON COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY KQ9674 Active IG Mobile

And that's not including the government allocations! Better think
again...

1104. FS/T TEN-TEC 705 MICROPHONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 01:51:34 UTC
From: ni4l@msn.com
Message-ID: <d4a0748e.0210121751.5a7f7188@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

FS/T TEN-TEC 705 MICROPHONE

I have a like new Ten-Tec 705 mic has a 2 tone SSB Pulser to tune your amp installed. Does not have the Goofy Beer Can foam head I put a Brand new Black Round Bullet style foam Ball on the head. I hate Those Grey beer can foam heads!. I think i still have it here if you want it though.
I put a Brand new 12 foot Heavy Duty Motorola High Way Patrol type Coiled cord on it Also. So you can move around in the shack. It is currently wired for a Kenwood TS-930.
I would like to eithier sell it or trade it for maybe a Kenwood mic or a Shure 526T. Or sell out right for 80.00 + shipping. paypal check etc.

1105. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 01:58:40 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210121758.5c327ea2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139935

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com)
"ruralreader" wrote:
>>and...
>>
>>for all that licenced use, try monitoring and see if there really is
use?
>Might just be "camping" like internet domain names.
>>
>>the move on freq. migh be on the 1GHz and up.
>
>Do you think that all these licensees are just "camping"?
>
>26.030
>
>Licensee Name
>CORP OF SEVENTH DAY ADVENT OF
> Callsign
>W PR KB96046
>Status
>Active
(snip)

Wow, a ton of active licensed users listed. And not one of them are
"freebanders". Sure blows hell out of the excuse that it's a
"victimless crime"...

-A

1106. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 02:11:39 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3da8d4f3.3619832@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139936

In <c9ceae5b.0210121758.5c327ea2@posting.google.com>,
ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com)
>"ruralreader" wrote:
>>>and...
>>>
>>>for all that licenced use, try monitoring and see if there really is
>use?
>>Might just be "camping" like internet domain names.
>>>
>>>the move on freq. migh be on the 1GHz and up.
>>
>>Do you think that all these licensees are just "camping"?
>>
>>26.030
>>
>>Licensee Name
>>CORP OF SEVENTH DAY ADVENT OF
>> Callsign
>>W PR KB96046
>>Status
>>Active
>(snip)
>
>Wow, a ton of active licensed users listed. And not one of them are
>"freebanders". Sure blows hell out of the excuse that it's a
>"victimless crime"...

And I didn't even list all of them. Anyone that wants a list of even
more licensees can go to FCC's search page and compile their own list.
But yes, it does kinda demonstrate that these freqs are not abandoned.
Blows the hell out of Twisty's excuses, doesn't it? LOL!

1107. Re: one stop electronics.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 02:19:07 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou
Message-ID: <20021012221907.19745.00001298@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 139937

if you buy a 8 pill amp get a Dave Made or X-Force ....8 pill texas stars and dave mades pull about 150 to 170 anps ..but go with the 8 pill dave made it will do more watts

(Better Then You!!!)

1108. if u like girls, please respond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 02:27:37 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021012222737.12510.00001066@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 139938

if u dont respond, that means we know who are the gay ppl in here.....if ur a girl, please state that......Thanks

Thatz-me-JP

1109. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 02:36:22 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210121836.1223a7ce@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210120329.69a69f43@posting.google.com>...
> Aanal Aaron is miles from being a Spanish Inquisition. He's just a
> pain in everyone's butt. Sorta like a pesky mosquito.

Agreed... I'm sure Uncle Sam would be REAL proud to have wannabes like
Aaron along for the ride, oh yeah... he's probably upset because he
couldn't pass the bar exam and the police depts don't want him either.
>
> No "temper" problem. I'm just waiting for the Liar to post the "proof"
> he said he would post.

Ehh, he'll fabricate something... just like he thinks I'm guilty of
all these horrendous jamming incidents. But little does he know that I
haven't even transmitted on CB or 6m in 5 years!!! Doesn't mean he'll
try to put together a bogus tape of "me", the day he decides to get
personal.

> Aand Aanal Aaron couldn't call down the wrath
> of his Mommy if he tried!

LOL---that old broad's probably 6 feet in the ground. Her son probably
drove her to it.

Guy

1110. Re: SWR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 02:55:18 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@casscomm.com>
Message-ID: <aoan70$c8c9$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 139940

No, not really, You want to make the SWR's as even throughout the band as possible. that is why you should check it, on CB, at channel 1 - 20 - & 40

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:YV1q9.19106$ue4.1214918@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> The SWR adjestment is normally made... center band... just once for a given
> system.
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1pill.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message
> news:toGo9.6442$9f2.646349@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > My Uniden Grant LT has an SWR knob. The manual doesn't say much about
> > playing with it. Should I have to adjust my SWR to 1:1 everytime i change
> > channels?
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:DMmo9.7650$k_2.557156@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > SWR has to do with the match between the radio and the antenna via the
> > > coaxial cable. You will need an SWR meter to make the adjustment. If you
> > > don't have one "built-in" to the radio that's in your system, you will
> > have
> > > to obtain one. They are relatively inexpensive (around $20-30) ore than
> > > likely can be purchased locally...
> > >
> > > --
> > > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > > Brian Griffey
> > > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> > > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
> > > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1pill.pdf

> > > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > >
> > >
> > > "Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message
> > > news:Paio9.3289$9f2.343620@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > What is SWR? If i need to fidle with it, how can I with a wilson
1000
> > and
> > > > uniden grant lt setup?
> > > >
> > > > Regards, DL
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1111. Re: one stop electronics.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 02:56:07 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <jKmcne6fsplQfTWgXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139941

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0210121629.40338c59@posting.google.com...
> has anyone ever bought anything from one stop electronics????? i was
> thinking about buying a texas star sweet 16 from them soon....

LOL, Pinhead I thought you guys knew who all the players are, that is the
latest front for Xforce amps remember Frank Chicago 937 also CarriageCarWash
well he is the CEO"wink wink" of them.

1112. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 02:58:50 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <4nWdnS-0o8n1fDWgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139942

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da8c6ab.220084@news.cet.com...
> In <T8Sdnb0dieBALTWgXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Ole Shit"
> <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote:
>
> >While you are it have him prove there are 30-40 million Cbers across the
USA
>
> Didn't Jay come up with a figure like 12 million CBers in the world,
> or something like that? Of course that was based on his "marketing"
> information that he won't disclose...

So what your saying is neither of them gave any proof for either claim yet Dick Head try's to push everyone for proof of thier claims. I am just asking him to provide proof for his claim is it that hard I mean hell he made the statement not me.

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

1113. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 03:00:31 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <yNqcnYYARJhQfDWgXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139943

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021012211712.142$xH@newsreader.com...

> Are you a female,shitty?

WWTK

1114. Re: Looking to tune in my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 03:09:30 UTC
From: Tony <nospamtvien@peoplepc.comnospam>
Message-ID: <uqhovdfqcr4dcf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139944

I know of the truckstops at that exit, do you know if the guy is good?

"ruralreader" <sylinen@somtel.net> wrote in message
news:Yh3q9.2086$014.49179@reggie.win.bright.net...
> In IL, there was a shop near the "76" (now TA) west of Elgin,IL
> Not in the TA ,but across the road,can't rember the name.
> "Tony" <nospamtvien@peoplepc.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:uqgkjg8jv4538d@corp.supernews.com...
> > Does anyone know where in NE IL or SE WI I can get a radio tuned in?
> > Phonebooks have nothing and the Internet was just that more helpless.
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> >
>
>

1115. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 04:01:19 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DA8F00F.3EF744C4@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139847

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> In <FQ3q9.2088$014.49165@reggie.win.bright.net>, "ruralreader"
> <sylinen@somtel.net> wrote:
>
> >and...
> >
> >for all that licenced use, try monitoring and see if there really is use?
> >Might just be "camping" like internet domain names.
> >
> >the move on freq. migh be on the 1GHz and up.
>
> Do you think that all these licensees are just "camping"?
>
> 26.030

Naw yeti, you must be mistaken, twistie and timmyboy say these
'freeband' frequencies are abandoned and unused, so no harm in
them using those frequencies even though they are not licensed to
do so. You trying to stir up trouble?

1116. Re: SWR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 04:07:56 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DA8F19C.16521906@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139848

Cowboy67 wrote:
>
> No, not really, You want to make the SWR's as even throughout the band as
> possible. that is why you should check it, on CB, at channel 1 - 20 - & 40

I am not familiar with the wilson antenna, but if it is like most mobile antennas it isn't very broadbanded. So the best you can do is pick one end of the band or the other, or middle, where ever you are most likely to transmit and adjust the swr (swr is singular, not swr's plural) for best performance there. You aren't likely to get it even over the entire 40 channels. By setting it at channel 20 that will be the best compromise for the entire band.

1117. SHARP CB MOBLE RADIO....MAKE ME AN OFFER!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 04:39:21 UTC
From: NETSALES <NETSALES@NOSPAMMYEMAIL.COM>
Message-ID: <0yKxiMncCHA.330@sql1.thrunet.com>
Source record: 139945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

SHARP CB MOBLE CB RADIO FORSALE

MAKE ME AN OFFER!!!

CLICK TO:

http://www.ioffer.com/viewItem.do?itemID=227207

1118. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 05:42:29 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210122142.6744e73a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139946

witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote
>richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210120329.69a69f43@posting.google.com>...
>> Aanal Aaron is miles from being a Spanish Inquisition. He's just a
>> pain in everyone's butt. Sorta like a pesky mosquito.
>
>Agreed... I'm sure Uncle Sam would be REAL proud to have
>wannabes like
>Aaron along for the ride, oh yeah... he's probably upset because he
>couldn't pass the bar exam and the police depts don't want him
either.
>>
>> No "temper" problem. I'm just waiting for the Liar to post the
"proof"
>> he said he would post.
>
>Ehh, he'll fabricate something... just like he thinks I'm guilty of
>all these horrendous jamming incidents. But little does he know that
I
>haven't even transmitted on CB or 6m in 5 years!!! Doesn't mean he'll
>try to put together a bogus tape of "me", the day he decides to get
>personal.
>
>> Aand Aanal Aaron couldn't call down the wrath
>> of his Mommy if he tried!
>
>LOL---that old broad's probably 6 feet in the ground. Her son
probably
>drove her to it.
>
>Guy
>
>
>

Seeing as I don't respond to your posts, it's pretty clear who's doing the "fabricating" here, Guy. But if it makes you happy, go right on imagining that I'm accusing you of jamming, making bogus tapes of you, etc. whatever.

-Aaron-

1119. Re: Looking to tune in my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 06:03:41 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <aob2cm02ouj@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 139851

sorry I don't know. never had anything done by him.
he's always closed when I'm there.
which is usually in the middle of the night.
you could also try up around the racine wisconsin area.
there's a petro and a pilot truckstop there. I'm not sure, but I believe one
of them has a cb shop nearby.
also try right around russell road at the state line.

"Tony" <nospamtvien@peoplepc.comnospam> wrote in message
news:uqhovdfqcr4dcf@corp.supernews.com...
> I know of the truckstops at that exit, do you know if the guy is good?
>
>
> "ruralreader" <sylinen@somtel.net> wrote in message
> news:Yh3q9.2086$014.49179@reggie.win.bright.net...
> > In IL, there was a shop near the "76" (now TA) west of Elgin,IL
> > Not in the TA ,but across the road,can't rember the name.
> > "Tony" <nospamtvien@peoplepc.comnospam> wrote in message
> > news:uqgkjg8jv4538d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Does anyone know where in NE IL or SE WI I can get a radio tuned in?
> > > Phonebooks have nothing and the Internet was just that more helpless.
> > >
> > > Thanks
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1120. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 06:14:23 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <3b8q9.246$KA3.15514877@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139849

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021012211712.142$xH@newsreader.com...
> "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote:
> >>Leland great point lets see nebro try and weasel his way out of that
one.
> >
> Are you a female,shitty?
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

You know, I wonder if ComCast has something in
their TOS concerning racism? I figure since George has been
posting racist comments as of late, it's only fitting.

Landshark

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

1121. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 06:14:23 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <3b8q9.245$KA3.15514877@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0210121738.2d434a22@posting.google.com...
> Anonymous User <anonymous@remailer.havenco.com> wrote in message
news:<10a04baff773ed08fe773f52e1234907@remailer.havenco.com>...
> > Subject: ohio record sight
>
> Lots of records there. Lots of people in Ohio. What's the point
> Sharkey? (Who else mis-spells "site" as "sight"?)
>
> -A

LOL!, whatever you want to think Aaronia. I'm sure
George and the other Hitler youth will jump in for the BS.
You guys are getting paranoid, you are seeing people that aren't even there.
I guess your eye sight is failing.....LMAO!!!!

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1122. 949 sold !! Thanks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 06:56:26 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <u66iqu8bijqg5vnv93sea2hodopr9oksse@4ax.com>
Source record: 139852

Thanks for the replies on the DX-949,it has been sold....still have a
MINT DX-400v for sale or possible trades.....

1123. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 07:35:39 UTC
From: Eric Kanack <alpine@twmi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <fn9q9.52232$kF.8445322@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 139853

What a jackass
You are about the damned biggest fool on this NG, asside from the other 2
wannnabe FCC field officer clowns on here

1124. Re: if u like girls, please respond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 08:02:34 UTC
From: Omega9 <omega9@s.ub.di.mes.ion.com>
Message-ID: <Hf84itBaiSq9Ew8v@dsl.pipex.com>
Source record: 139990

Kill author rule added. If only it was literally :)

In message <20021012222737.12510.00001066@mb-fg.aol.com>, UknowMeJP
<thatzmejp@aol.com> writes
>if u dont respond, that means we know who are the gay ppl in here.....if ur a
>girl, please state that......Thanks
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

--
Jonathan

1125. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 08:38:33 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DA93109.ED317CD@cwis.net>
Source record: 139854

Uncle Charlie,
I'm not particularly worried about part of the ham spectrum being sold out from underneath me. Which doesn't have anything that I can see to do with good operating practices. I think your demonstrated attitude is fairly common on the CB band, unfortunately. It just shows a lack of foresight. Good operating practices are not easy to 'do'. It requires a little self discipline, will power, and some consideration of others. What goes around, comes around, and that's what you see these days. I think that's a shame, and a sad comment about CB'ers and hams.
'Doc

1126. Re: Looking to tune in my radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 09:19:31 UTC
From: Tony <nospamtvien@peoplepc.comnospam>
Message-ID: <uqiel46ralba48@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139855

I was near the one at Russell Rd.. they don't have one. I thought about the Petro at Hwy 20, exit 335, but I cannot recall. And I seriously doubt the Pilot does. Thanks anyhow.

"John Smith" <kissmyass@127.1.1.1> wrote in message news:aob2cm02ouj@enews1.newsguy.com...
> sorry I don't know. never had anything done by him.
> he's always closed when I'm there.
> which is usually in the middle of the night.
> you could also try up around the racine wisconsin area.
> there's a petro and a pilot truckstop there. I'm not sure, but I believe one
> of them has a cb shop nearby.
> also try right around russell road at the state line.

1127. Re: SHARP CB MOBiLE RADIO....MAKE ME AN OFFER!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 11:44:07 UTC
From: Spell Checker <SC@webster.com>
Message-ID: <3DA95C87.61AAEB33@webster.com>
Source record: 139856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Typical exchange for a 23 channel radio:

YOU: "Wanna buy a radio?"

ME: "Hummm, 23 channels. It ain't worth nothin'"

YOU: "Here, want it?"

ME: "OK. I'll put it in my parts bin."

1128. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 12:05:16 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <6P2cnfFz8qbh_DSgXTWcow@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139859

Don't break the Law and you won't have to worry about wanna bees it is that simple.

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium
"Eric Kanack" <alpine@twmi.rr.com> wrote in message
news:fn9q9.52232$kF.8445322@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> What a jackass
> You are about the damned biggest fool on this NG, asside from the other 2
> wannnabe FCC field officer clowns on here
>
>

1129. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 12:09:08 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <cricnSKoeLH8_zSgXTWc3Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139860

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:3b8q9.246$KA3.15514877@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>> You know, I wonder if ComCast has something in
> their TOS concerning racism? I figure since George has been
> posting racist comments as of late, it's only fitting.
>
> Landshark

I have ? Please post them for all of us to enjoy you skirt wearing freak. Otherwise shut the phuqq up you stupid ass the only way you think you can stop someone who beats you into a pulp is cry to there iSP well good luck fuck face. Post the racist posts and Ill send em to the civil liberties union to look at free of charge.

1130. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 12:10:05 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <dISdnZGnedUH_zSgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139857

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021013072756.326$g0@newsreader.com...
> I doubt comcast gives a rats ass about usenet,they farm it out to
giganews.

They also don't give a rats ass about skirt wearing Transexuals who cry when
there spanked.

1131. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 12:11:25 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <-0ydnQGvsu13_zSgXTWcqA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:3b8q9.245$KA3.15514877@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> LOL!, whatever you want to think Aaronia. I'm sure
> George and the other Hitler youth will jump in for the BS.
> You guys are getting paranoid, you are seeing people that aren't even
there.
> I guess your eye sight is failing.....LMAO!!!!
>
> Landshark

The transexual homo has me on his mind with every post again leave me out of
your fantasys queer boy.

1132. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 12:17:49 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3DA9646D.6040204@csotbs.org>
Source record: 139861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Is that like a double negative?

If so, wouldn't a transsexual homo be a straight person?

-SSB

Ole Shit wrote:

> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:3b8q9.245$KA3.15514877@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
>> LOL!, whatever you want to think Aaronia. I'm sure
>>George and the other Hitler youth will jump in for the BS.
>>You guys are getting paranoid, you are seeing people that aren't even
>>
> there.
>
>>I guess your eye sight is failing.....LMAO!!!!
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>
> The transexual homo has me on his mind with every post again leave me out of
> your fantasys queer boy.
>
>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

1133. Re: Amplifier Square Wave Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 12:22:59 UTC
From: sabbaghi@irib.com
Message-ID: <ac4679c0.0210130422.7105f842@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139862

i need the other technique for linearity in power amplifier for DVB
transmitter and DAB transmitter
can you help me?

1134. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 15:47:52 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <IAgq9.354$Am6.22459677@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139865

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021013084707.645$KY@newsreader.com...
> "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021013072756.326$g0@newsreader.com...
> > > I doubt comcast gives a rats ass about usenet,they farm it out to
> > giganews.
> >
> >>They also don't give a rats ass about skirt wearing Transexuals who cry
> > > when there spanked.
> >
> Why,do you cry when you're spanked,geogrie girl?
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

Just like the R Cranium threads, it must be right, otherwise
he wouldn't be reacting so much about it.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1135. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 15:47:53 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <JAgq9.357$Am6.22459677@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
"SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
news:3DA9646D.6040204@csotbs.org...
> Is that like a double negative?
>
> If so, wouldn't a transsexual homo be a straight person?
>
> -SSB
>
> Ole Shit wrote:
>
> > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> > news:3b8q9.245$KA3.15514877@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> >> LOL!, whatever you want to think Aaronia. I'm sure
> >>George and the other Hitler youth will jump in for the BS.
> >>You guys are getting paranoid, you are seeing people that aren't even
> >>
> > there.
> >
> >>I guess your eye sight is failing...........LMAO!!!!
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> >
> > The transexual homo has me on his mind with every post again leave me
out of
> > your fantasys queer boy.
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> --
> 73 de KR8LR, Chris
>

Chris, when talking about him, it's always a double
negative LOL!!!!! Did I not tell you watch for the other
Hitler youth, LMAO!!!! Obviously his "site" is pretty poor
too. More obscene, harassing, racist type of talk from
one of our resident trolls.

Landshark
```

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

1136. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 15:47:53 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <JAgq9.356$Am6.22459677@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139864

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021013072756.326$g0@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021012211712.142$xH@newsreader.com...
> > > "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote:
> > > >>Leland great point lets see nebro try and weasel his way out of that
> > one.
> > > >
> > > Are you a female,shitty?
> > >
> > > --
> > > God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
> > >
> > > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > > Usenet Newsgroup Service
> >
> >> You know, I wonder if ComCast has something in
> > their TOS concerning racism? I figure since George has been
> > posting racist comments as of late, it's only fitting.
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> I doubt comcast gives a rats ass about usenet,they farm it out to
giganews.
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

Ah your probably right, but what the hay, I figured
since he wants to start making racist.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1137. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 16:00:24 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DA99898.21FAC9DA@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

SideBand wrote:
>
> Is that like a double negative?
>
> If so, wouldn't a transsexual homo be a straight person?
>
> -SSB

If a lesbian who thinks she is a man, and a gay guy who thinks he
is a woman, get married and be happy?

1138. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 16:14:37 UTC
From: Lenny Stover <mppd5107@the-police.com>
Message-ID: <NZgq9.171$tW4.10545374@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139866

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote

Everyone
> also knows that hams are not the target market for phony "10 meter"
> roger-beep, echo-miked, rebel flagged radios.

Hmmm.....do you have a problem with "rebel flags?" Be careful where you venture, dimwit, cause you just might get me fired up.

DEO VINDICE !!!!!!!!!!!!!!

1139. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 16:25:44 UTC
From: Lenny Stover <mppd5107@the-police.com>
Message-ID: <c8hq9.177$425.11026705@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 139867

"Lenny Stover" <mppd5107@the-police.com> wrote

> Whatsamatter? You upset because a CBer is into your "sandbox?"

And this will be my final comment in this NG.

I regret that this thread brought out the "no-code nazis" to dictate federal regulations to the group. I simply had a problem that I needed resolved, and a great number of you were kind enough to help me resolve that problem.

My sincere appreciation to those that provided info relative to my initial post. The mod worked great and I am enjoying the radio.

Just one thing in case the question is ever posed to the group again, the wire to cut wasn't green, it was red.

Ozark333, I'll "holler" at ya whenever I am in the Springfield area.

Thanks again for all the info, folks. See ya.

1140. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 16:57:20 UTC
From: george is a bonehead <nancysadouchebag@georgebusch.com>
Message-ID: <799jquc6ta4o0g9ehr9sg7he2evu5qeub6@4ax.com>
Source record: 139868

anal aaron always has a problem with anyone that even talks about
using somethng "he" thinks is ilegal. Ask richard cranium, he'll tell
you all about anal aaron. I have always respected the rebel flag
with the history good or bad behind it

On Sun, 13 Oct 2002 16:14:37 GMT, "Lenny Stover"
<mppd5107@the-police.com> wrote:

>
>"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>Everyone
>> also knows that hams are not the target market for phony "10 meter"
>> roger-beep, echo-miked, rebel flagged radios.
>
>Hmmm.....do you have a problem with "rebel flags?" Be careful where you
>venture, dimwit, cause you just might get me fired up.
>
>DEO VINDICE !!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>

1141. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 16:59:39 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210130859.579a3f01@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139869

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210122142.6744e73a@posting.google.com>...
> witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote
> >richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210120329.69a69f43@posting.google.com>...
> >> Aanal Aaron is miles from being a Spanish Inquisition. He's just a
> >> pain in everyone's butt. Sorta like a pesky mosquito.
> >
> >Agreed... I'm sure Uncle Sam would be REAL proud to have
> >wannabes like
> >Aaron along for the ride, oh yeah... he's probably upset because he
> >couldn't pass the bar exam and the police depts don't want him
> either.
> >>
> >> No "temper" problem. I'm just waiting for the Liar to post the
> "proof"
> >> he said he would post.
> >
> >Ehh, he'll fabricate something... just like he thinks I'm guilty of
> >all these horrendous jamming incidents. But little does he know that
> I
> >haven't even transmitted on CB or 6m in 5 years!!! Doesn't mean he'll
> >try to put together a bogus tape of "me", the day he decides to get
> >personal.
> >
> >> Aand Aanal Aaron couldn't call down the wrath
> >> of his Mommy if he tried!
> >
> >LOL---that old broad's probably 6 feet in the ground. Her son
> probably
> >drove her to it.
> >
> >Guy
> >
> >
> >
>
> Seeing as I don't respond to your posts, it's pretty clear who's doing
> the "fabricating" here, Guy. But if it makes you happy, go right on
> imagining that I'm accusing you of jamming, making bogus tapes of you,
> etc. whatever.
>
> -Aaron-

Funny as heck, Aanal Aaron. What do you call it if not a response? Geez, you lie like a rug! All you've ever done is fabricate, so we know who's doing the fabricating. His name is (allegedly) Aanal Aaron H. Voobner, except that there's not a single Voobner in the entire US of A so it's just another fabrication.

1142. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 17:04:02 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210130904.62435ff2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
news:<3aed93cc.0210121836.1223a7ce@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210120329.69a69f43@posting.google.com>...
> > Aanal Aaron is miles from being a Spanish Inquisition. He's just a
> > pain in everyone's butt. Sorta like a pesky mosquito.
>
> Agreed... I'm sure Uncle Sam would be REAL proud to have wannabes like
> Aaron along for the ride, oh yeah... he's probably upset because he
> couldn't pass the bar exam and the police depts don't want him either.

And nobody at the FCC will listen to him whine.

> > No "temper" problem. I'm just waiting for the Liar to post the "proof"
> > he said he would post.
>
> Ehh, he'll fabricate something... just like he thinks I'm guilty of
> all these horrendous jamming incidents. But little does he know that I
> haven't even transmitted on CB or 6m in 5 years!!! Doesn't mean he'll
> try to put together a bogus tape of "me", the day he decides to get
> personal.

Aanal Aaron has proved that he believes whatever he wants to believe,
whether he himself has posted proof to the contrary or what. Sorta
like Georgie-girl Busch: He doesn't have to prove anything, he just
has to say it to make it true! ROTFLMAO!

> > Aand Aanal Aaron couldn't call down the wrath
> > of his Mommy if he tried!
>
> LOL---that old broad's probably 6 feet in the ground. Her son probably
> drove her to it.
>
> Guy

You mean he didn't leave her in the crawl-space? ;)

1143. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 17:11:30 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210130911.6b057bd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139871

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:<I16dnUYfUrI0FTWgXTWcqA@News.GigaNews.Com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0210111411.4709bd93@posting.google.com...
> > killakeyclown@yahoo.com (KillaKeyClown) wrote in message
> news:<86209e94.0210101449.124e2c0e@posting.google.com>...
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:<%9jp9.5793$CE4.353258@news.bellsouth.net>...
> > > > This is something that all radio operators could heed and make
> radio a
> > > > better hobby for all of us
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Good Amateur Practice is a hard thing to define. I'd have to
> say it's
> > >
> > > Well, it's a good thing we're on CB, and not amateur radio! :)
> >
> > It's not hard to define at all. It would be stopping Aanal Aaron H.
> > Voobner (a true phoney) from posting his bovine excrement on a
> regular
> > basis, since it isn't relevant, and because he has no license (or,
> at
> > best a no-code Tech license) and cannot use HF at all,
>
> Anybody can use HF. All it costs is $49.95 to get a cheap AM CB rig at
> Rat Shack, and another $19.95 for the antenna.
>
> > legally, so has
> > no Right or reason to complain about "intrusions" on 10 meters or
> > anywhere else.
>
> By your logic above, since your not a female ;-)), you can't voice
> your opinion about abortion nor even vote on those issues. Since you
> can't get pregnant you don't have any business telling a female what
> she can and can't do with her own body, but I'll bet you do it any
> way.
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

You probably want to assume that but there's no evidence of it, is there? Where do you come up with your "logic"?

1144. Re: Aaron H Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 17:13:49 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210130913.51281746@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139872

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210121403.588fc544@posting.google.com>...
> timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
> >Aaron is the only "proof" you have that old post
> >from usenet you keep repeating??? that doesnt look
> >like hard facts to me at all.
>
> If you're convinced it's not factual then you should have no trouble ignoring it.
>
> -Aaron-

I'm not "convinced", Aanal Aaron; I KNOW it's not factual. You mean
you're giving up? No proof? But you promised!

1145. Re: Aaron H Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 17:31:43 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <P86cnTxcTNNmMDSgXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139873

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210130913.51281746@posting.google.com...
> I'm not "convinced", Aanal Aaron; I KNOW it's not factual. You mean
> you're giving up? No proof? But you promised!

Question for you DickHead

How many Cbers are there in the USA ?

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

1146. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 17:34:13 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <nbScnfmNYYUMMzSgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139874

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:IAgq9.354$Am6.22459677@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> Just like the R Cranium threads, it must be right, otherwise
> he wouldn't be reacting so much about it.
>
> Landshark

What must be right that he offers no proof to back his comments? Thats as far as I am involved with rcranium snapperhead. Wow are you desperate keep reaching for something Sharkie or better yet keep making things up.

1147. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 17:36:11 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <_WudndICb7gsMjSgXTWc3Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139875

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:JAgq9.356$Am6.22459677@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> Ah your probably right, but what the hay, I figured
> since he wants to start making racist.
>
> Landshark

LOL I have? are you Richard Cranium? your sure make claims without ever
posting proof. What leads you to believe I am a rascist I am waiting, again.

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

1148. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 17:39:00 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <_Wudnc0Cb7hfMjSgXTWc3Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139876

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021013084707.645$KY@newsreader.com...
> Why,do you cry when you're spanked,geogrie girl?
>

Spanked WTF are you talking? You 2 queers make comments about comcast and I
am supposed to be spanked. All kiddin aside you really are a jerkoff Steve.
Why are you calling me george I thought I wasn't him..

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

1149. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 17:41:51 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <OamdnRxy7OT6LTSgXTWcoQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139877

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210130859.579a3f01@posting.google.com...

> Funny as heck, DickHead What do you call it if not a response?
> Geez, you lie like a rug! All you've ever done is fabricate, so we
> know who's doing the fabricating. His name is (allegedly a ham) Richard
Cranium, except that there's not a single Cranium in the entire US
> of A so it's just another fabrication.

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

That is unless your name is Richard Cranium

Richard Cranium

1150. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 17:49:14 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <CLGdnSXhms6sLzSgXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210130904.62435ff2@posting.google.com...
> Aanal Aaron has proved that he believes whatever he wants to believe,
> whether he himself has posted proof to the contrary or what. Sorta
> like Georgie-girl Busch: He doesn't have to prove anything, he just
> has to say it to make it true!

LOL you have been taking notes from Twistie I see, you make comments can't back them up now you try and turn it around LOL. No the post are out there and they don't lie as you do. You have made a few specific "wild" claims and have yet to offer any proof. Yet you want proof from others ie, aaron ? Your a flat out Joke Dick Head as well as a lying Troll Which you were correctly labeled long before you got to this NG and deservedly so.

Here are a few question you have left unanswered which are in direct rebutal to claims you have made.We want proof you demand proof from other the ball is in your court or will you be a hypocrite as usual?

Did you find the 10 meter band?
How many Cber's are in the USA?
If your not afraid to post or be anonymous whats your callsign?

1151. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 17:50:44 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <3dmcnXQOTeq58TSgXTWc2Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139879

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> Why,do you cry when you're spanked,geogrie girl?

The only spanking that is going on is you and your monkey, there good Buddy

1152. Re: SEARS ROADTALKER MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 18:11:35 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021013141135.03557.00001248@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 139881

http://hsc.usf.edu/~mfontain/radio_mods.html

http://hitmanpa118.hypermart.net/mods.htm

"Got RF?"

1153. Re: Looking for a CB Base with antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 19:12:37 UTC
From: Barry Fisher <kc8pwl@core.com>
Message-ID: <3da9c5ad$0$17651$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 139882
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.cb.skip,rec.radio.cb

Maybe it would be smart to get your Ham Ticket , FM is better then AM , get
a 10 dollar book at radio shack and study and get your license and talk
around the world after getting your code,
just a thought .. 11 meters is out of date

kc8pwl@core.com

"Pat" <synapse.web@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:P2jo9.461$Iw5.38759@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Hi,
>
> I already experiment the skip communication, using a CB Base (Cobra) with
an
> antenna (Lantron) and I am interested to equipped myself to repeat the
> experience. That is why I am looking for a CB Base with an antenna. If you
> have such equipment to
> sell or to give, you can contact me via e-mail.
>
> Pat
> plevesque@optimuminformatique.com
>
>

1154. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 19:29:30 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tQjq9.30014$oq6.9749@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 139883

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210130859.579a3f01@posting.google.com...
> Funny as heck, Aanal Aaron. What do you call it if not a response?

You're grasping at straws now. Slobbering with the anticipation of flaming Aaron.

> Geez, you lie like a rug! All you've ever done is fabricate, so we
> know who's doing the fabricating.

Aaron isn't the only one on this news group who has been caught in a lie.

>His name is (allegedly) Aaron H. Voobner, except that there's not a
>single Voobner in the entire US of A so it's just another fabrication.

How do you know there are ***NO*** persons in the USA by the name Aaron Voobner? I'm guessing you did a simple online search? Used an online people directory? Again this comment you made can be summed up thusly: "You're grasping at straws now. Slobbering with the anticipation of flaming Aaron."

Do any of the points you made mean ANYTHING? This is very weak. Richard, I think you can do better.

Dave

1155. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 19:47:45 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <o8ecnWluved3UDSgXTWcqA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139884

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021012164651.09717.00001099@mb-fs.aol.com...
> lelnad, the charicteristics of the hf band and the sheer unstopable
usage of 11
> meters around the world makes us pretty safe. its your vhf and uhf
that fall
> under the "local" or "regional" status that you guys need to worry
about

You should really be paying more attention. The FCC created the FRS service to give the kiddy CBer's some place to hang out and not cause trouble over more than a mile or so. Then they created the MURS channels for those who have a real need for license free local communications over a 5 to 10 mile range fixed or mobile. Or for a few extra bucks for a GMRS license which allows the use of more power and repeaters for city wide coverage. That takes care of the needs for most users of the band. It's not to far fetched to see the day when the FCC pulls the plug on domestic 11m action. Since they are going to pull the plug on analog TV in a few more years, like they switched from low band to mid band VHF for domestic FM broadcasting some long years back, pulling the plug on 11m can happen real easy. All it takes is somebody with a real need for the spectrum, cash in hand, and then just tune in to any of the 40 CB channels for an example of what a sewer pit 11m has turned in to over the years for the final push off the cliff.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

1156. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 20:06:34 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210131206.1b960ef6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139885

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210122142.6744e73a@posting.google.com>...
>> witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote
>> >richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210120329.69a69f43@posting.google.com>...
>> >> Aanal Aaron is miles from being a Spanish Inquisition. He's just
a
>> >> pain in everyone's butt. Sorta like a pesky mosquito.
>> >
>> >Agreed... I'm sure Uncle Sam would be REAL proud to have
>> >wannabes like
>> >Aaron along for the ride, oh yeah... he's probably upset because
he
>> >couldn't pass the bar exam and the police depts don't want him
>> either.
>> >>
>> >> No "temper" problem. I'm just waiting for the Liar to post the
>> "proof"
>> >> he said he would post.
>> >
>> >Ehh, he'll fabricate something... just like he thinks I'm guilty
of
>> >all these horrendous jamming incidents. But little does he know
that
>> I
>> >haven't even transmitted on CB or 6m in 5 years!!! Doesn't mean
he'll
>> >try to put together a bogus tape of "me", the day he decides to
get
>> >personal.
>> >
>> >> Aand Aanal Aaron couldn't call down the wrath
>> >> of his Mommy if he tried!
>> >
>> >LOL---that old broad's probably 6 feet in the ground. Her son
>> probably
>> >drove her to it.
>> >
>> >Guy
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>

>> Seeing as I don't respond to your posts, it's pretty clear who's
doing
>> the "fabricating" here, Guy. But if it makes you happy, go right on
>> imagining that I'm accusing you of jamming, making bogus tapes of
you,
>> etc. whatever.
>>
>> -Aaron-
>
>Funny as heck, Aanal Aaron. What do you
(snip)

Here ya go, Steve.

-Aaron-

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired
for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the
operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of
a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by
the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at
the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so
inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain
about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to
follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard
Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to
debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR

VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

1157. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 20:08:36 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210131208.89dc8bc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210120329.69a69f43@posting.google.com>...
> witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
news:<3aed93cc.0210101749.66c23585@posting.google.com>...
> > > you cannot post one iota of PROOF,
> > > Aanal Aaron.
> >
> > He doesn't need it. Accusation was proof enough for the Spanish
> > Inquisition, and it's proof enough for him.
>
> Aanal Aaron is miles from being a Spanish Inquisition. He's just a
> pain in everyone's butt. Sorta like a pesky mosquito.
>
> > > I want to see your
> > > PROOF, dimbulb. You got none.
> >
> > Temper, temper!!! Aaron will call down the WRATH of the MOST HOLY U.S.
> > GOVERNMENT to rain fire and brimstone down upon us! We heathen
> > lawfuckers will BURN!!! (so he says, ROFLMAO)
> >
> > Guy
>
> No "temper" problem
(snip)

Guy, meet Steve.

-Aaron-

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED

to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

1158. Re: Aaron H Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 20:23:47 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210131223.10b45482@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139887

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message news:...
> >timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
> >Aaron is the only "proof" you have that old post
> >from usenet you keep repeating??? that doesnt look
> >like hard facts to me at all.
>
> >If you're convinced it's not factual then you should have no trouble
> >ignoring it.
> >
> >-Aaron-
>
>I'm not "convinced", Aanal Aaron; I KNOW it's not factual.
>You mean you're giving up? No proof? But you p
(snip)

You really don't like seeing this, do you, Steve?

-Aaron-

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

1159. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 20:24:31 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <3Ekq9.144538$121.3805462@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139888

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:o8ecnWluved3UDSgXTWcqA@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
> "Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021012164651.09717.00001099@mb-fs.aol.com...
> > lelnad, the charicteristics of the hf band and the sheer unstopable
> usage of 11
> > meters around the world makes us pretty safe. its your vhf and uhf
> that fall
> > under the "local" or "regional" status that you guys need to worry
> about
>
> You should really be paying more attention. The FCC created the FRS
> service to give the kiddy CBer's some place to hang out and not cause
> trouble over more than a mile or so. Then they created the MURS
> channels for those who have a real need for license free local
> communications over a 5 to 10 mile range fixed or mobile. Or for a few
> extra bucks for a GMRS license which allows the use of more power and
> repeaters for city wide coverage. That takes care of the needs for
> most users of the band. It's not to far fetched to see the day when
> the FCC pulls the plug on domestic 11m action. Since they are going to
> pull the plug on analog TV in a few more years, like they switched
> from low band to mid band VHF for domestic FM broadcasting some long
> years back, pulling the plug on 11m can happen real easy. All it takes
> is somebody with a real need for the spectrum, cash in hand, and then
> just tune in to any of the 40 CB channels for an example of what a
> sewer pit 11m has turned in to over the years for the final push off
> the cliff.
>

But because it lies in the HF band, it would require the blessing of the
ITU.

1160. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 20:42:58 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <z5-dnV4SzstIRzSgXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139889

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021013135210.396$4T@newsreader.com...
> "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021013084707.645$KY@newsreader.com...
> > > Why,do you cry when you're spanked,geogrie girl?
> > >
> >
> >> Spanked WTF are you talking?

I assumed you asked me why I cry when I am spanked, I didn't see the comma.
But it depends on If I got spanked with the leather belt or the old soup
spoon when I was a kid.

> >>You 2 queers make comments about comcast and
> > I am supposed to be spanked.

> You're the one who brought up spanking,and you call me a queer? Ask daddy
> for some help.

All I said was "They also don't give a rats ass about skirt wearing
Transexuals who cry when there spanked."
I don't know what your trying to twist it into perhaps thats why you X-No
Archive your posts perhaps you have some skeletons in your closet like
sharkie. Who's your daddy?

> You should know,you're the spanking queer.

I am? That's you and landshark smooch smooch remember your the queer and
the monkey spanker

> >>Why are you calling me george I thought I wasn't him..

> Would you rather be called shit,or one of your other 300+ screen names?

You can call me whatever you like I just thought it was funny since you said
I wasn't george... Who's George? btw you don't seem to have a problem with
Randy changing his name all the time or Nebro or the rest of the anonymous
trolls how come ?.

1161. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 20:45:58 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <WQOdnc8fo7MVRjSgXTWc3g@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:JAgq9.357>
Chris, when talking about him, it's always a double
> negative LOL!!!!! Did I not tell you watch for the other
> Hitler youth, LMAO!!!! Obviously his "site" is pretty poor
> too. More obscene, harassing, racist type of talk from
> one of our resident trolls.
>
> Landshark

Landwhale how is my post rascist? I think I caught on I am gay bashing
right? your against that aren't you flame boy it makes sense now.

1162. The new virsion of the 510xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 20:59:04 UTC
From: James Ford <jimbert@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uqjnnqj83suj52@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139891

Has anyone seen the tune up for the new version of this radio. Thanks for the help. Jim

1163. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 21:07:06 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DA9E07A.E38C075F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> Always knew where it was, Georgie-girl, but still haven't heard any so
> called "intruders". You must be enjoying thoser mushrooms!

Try getting a 10 meter receiver that actually works. Been hearing
plenty of illegal truckers on 28.085 and that is with just a 50 ft
wire antenna strung out in the tree. "OH!!" says dickie as he
slaps his forehead, "an antenna of course!!!, why didn't I think
of that?"

1164. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 21:22:07 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <bPOdnUUJv8woADSgXTWc2Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139892

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3da89f53.8082543@news.cet.com...
> In <SQOdnWfGO9gS4jWgXTWc3g@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
> <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >> Well, you lost me there. How in the heck to they get square
voltage
> >> with sine current on the same line? Are we talking an anti-sine
load
> >> or something?
> >
> >Ah, no, but it is rather simple to explain. The inverter bridge
> >generates a square wave of voltage, switching between +V and -V
> >supplies. The output of the bridge is connected to a series tuned
> >load, which is tuned for the frequency of the square wave being
> >generated. The series tuned load acts like a "filter", which lets
only
> >current at the resonate frequency flow through the circuit if the
"Q"
> >is high enough. Thus mainly the frequency component in the spectrum
of
> >the square wave, which matches the load frequency, cause any
current
> >to flow. The series tuned circuit look like a high impedance at all
> >other frequencies that are present in the square wave's frequency
> >spectrum. Since the frequency components, in the voltage square
wave,
> >we are talking about are sine waves the current resulting from just
> >one component will also be a sine wave.
>
> Then you are just filtering the square-wave, making it a Class E
amp.
> The output of the filter is still going to be sine in both voltage
and
> current.

It's not an amplifier since there is NO input waveform to amplify. The
common name for the circuit is a 'series resonate "H" bridge'
inverter. The square wave is generate by the switching of the power
devices, and has nothing to do with any kind of input waveform.

>
> <snip>
> >> That depends if the area under the curve is equal above ground as

it
> >> is below ground. If not, then it has a DC component.
> >
> >It also is no longer a square wave either. A square wave has to
have a
> >50 percent duty cycle, i.e. must be symmetrical about it's center.
> >
> >> A Class A amp,
> >> therefore, has a DC component -- even if it's a square wave --
> >because
> >> there is no circumstance where a Class A amplifier is going to
swing
> >> below ground (well, except maybe with an inductive load, but
that's
> >a
> >> different story altogether...)
> >
> >Yes the signal on the collector, or plate, will have a DC offset.
Most
> >of the time the amplifier stages are AC coupled so the resulting
> >output signal has no DC component. If you look at the open end of
the
> >coupling camacitor you will indeed see the square wave swinging
below
> >ground.
>
> That's what I said. And that DC voltage means there is DC current,
and
> therefore DC power that must be dissipated. In a Class A amplifier,
> that DC power is at least half of the input power. It cannot be any
> less.

There is no "DC bias power" being wasted since the amplifier never
comes close to operating there. That just goes right back to the
electronic switch example I discussed before.

>
>
> >> >Unless I "AC couple" the stage. 8-))
> >>
> >> Using a "DC blocking" capacitor? Hmmm...
> >
> >Capacitors don't pass DC unless they are shorted! 8-((
>
> Now you are catching on!
>
>
> >> I did miss your statement earlier about power 'inverters', but
again
> >> it depends on the method of inversion. A transformer powered from
a

> >> Class A amp must dissipate the DC power as heat. If the method is
> >> cap/diode multiplication, you should be able to get all the power
to
> >> the load (ideally). Same with inductive backwash inverters.
> >
> >Consider the no-signal condition with a class "A" transformer
coupled
> >stage. Normally the transformer's primary, which is connected
between
> >the transistor's collector and supply, is going to be much lower in
> >resistance than the reflected load impedance under signal
conditions.
> >Thus little power is wasted in the transformer's windings.
> >
> >For example lets take a transformer with a 1 to 1 turns ratio, just
to
> >keep the math simple. Let the load on the secondary be an 8 ohm
> >speaker. The transformer primary winding's resistance will be only
a
> >faction of an ohm. However when a signal is present the primary
will
> >now see the low primary winding's resistance PLUS the 8 ohms due to
> >the load impedance. Since DC is NOT coupled through a transformer
the
> >bias current does not cause any losses in the load impedance, just
in
> >the very low transformer primary resistance.
> >
> >The case, where the transformer's primary resistance is much lower
> >than the reflected load impedance, is normal. If this were not true
> >then the transformer could not efficiently couple power on it's
> >primary to it's secondary winding. Most power transformers are
around
> >about 95 to 98 percent efficient.
>
> Most power AND audio transformers are 90+ percent efficient at
> coupling the AC power. You agree that a Class A amp (sine wave) can
be
> no more than 25% efficient.

Possible with a different circuit topology.

>That means 25% of the power is the AC
> power that is coupled to the load. The other 75% MUST be dissipated.
> Whatever isn't lost in the transformer WILL dissipate from the
> transistor/tube, even if it's the majority of the DC power.

Ah no I don't. Remember the comment I made about circuit topology? In
the case above the AC load line, and the DC load line are not the
same, thus the analysis is going to be different from the case where
the DC bias power is also dissipated in the load. Since in the

transformer coupled case the load is isolated from the collector, and assuming in the ideal transformer case zero winding resistance, there can be NO bias power wasted in the transformer, theoretically of course.

>
>
> >> >If you change the duty cycle of a square wave it is no longer a
> >square
> >> >wave.
>
> ...later...
>
> >> Whoa, hold on there! Duty cycle is only partly responsible for
the
> >DC
> >> component of a square wave. You can have a square wave that
hovers
> >> between +10 and +20 volts DC and if the duty cycle is 50% then
the
> >DC
> >> component is +15 volts DC. So if a Class A amp puts out a square
> >wave
> >> from zero to Vcc with a 50% duty cycle, then the DC component of
the
> >> output will be Vcc/2.
> >
> >Yes the square wave on the collector load will have that offset.
Now
> >if you couple that square wave to another stage using a capacitor
the
> >DC offset is gone since capacitors don't pass DC.
>
> That's true, but the DC power doesn't disappear when you add a cap.
If
> it is prevented from being dissipated by the load then it MUST be
> dissipated by the source (the amplifier).

You keep missing the point. If the square wave switches between it's two states in zero time, just when do you think the amplifier is operating near it's bias point? It never does. It's either in cut off or in full saturation.

>
> >> >In variable AC motor drives a set of electronic switches are
driven
> >in
> >> >such a manner that the resulting rectangular waveform has a
lower
> >> >frequency AC component after filtering. No DC component is
> >generated

> >> >because the duty cycled is varied in a sinusoidal manner about
the
> >> >zero line.
> >>
> >> What you are doing there is not changing the duty cycle of a
square
> >> (or rectangular) wave,
> >
> >Yes I am.
> >
> >> you are pulsing alternate and equal positive
> >> and negative potentials with equal pusle widths.
> >
> >Which is a square wave.
>
> No it isn't. Mental picture: Go one second at ground potential, then
> pulse positive for, say, 50 ms. Go another full second at ground,
then
> pulse negative for 50 ms. Continue. That's not a square wave.

That's correct because you have three levels, +v, ground, and -v. A
square wave has only two levels. I never mentioned that the waveform
had any level other than +v and -v.

>
> And you are confusing your own terminology. First you say that "A
> square wave has to have a 50 percent duty cycle, i.e. must be
> symmetrical about it's center", and "If you change the duty cycle of
a
> square wave it is no longer a square wave",

Correct.

> but then you say that even
> though you change the duty cycle it's still a square wave. Pick one
> definition and stick to it, will ya?

Read a bit more carefully. I wrote about changing the duty cycle of a
square wave. I did not call the result a square wave. In fact what I
did write was "that the resulting rectangular waveform", which is not
the same thing if you remember what the definition of a rectangle is
from geometry.

>
> >> That requires a
> >> pulse-width modulator, and is not the same as varying the duty
cycle
> >> of a square wave.
> >
> >Samething, different terminology.
>
> No it isn't, as I stated above and below.

>
> > Pulse width modulation would be the
> >more accurate term to use however few on this news group would
> >understand exactly what it meant so I used a term that, I hope,
would
> >be more familiar to people.
>
> When you vary the duty cycle of a square wave, you are not stopping
at
> ground potential.

That's modifying the amplitude of the square wave. If I told you that I was going to use something at a 50 percent duty cycle are you going to tell me that you expect I'm going to run it at half setting, or only run it about half the time? Duty cycle when I mention it means a time variation, not an amplitude variation. So my usage above is correct as it stands. I've never had somebody mention a change in duty cycle when they meant a change in the amplitude of some quantify.

> Also, when you shorten the positive cycle you
> necessarily lengthen the negative cycle by the same amount.

Not always. It depends on the method used. For example some schemes use a fix length pulse repeated at a varying frequency. You end up with a variable duty cycle waveform, or as you would prefer a PWM type waveform, but varying in frequency. Try it using a pulse generator sometime. Or. Make a one-shot, then trigger the one-shot using a variable frequency signal source connected to the trigger input of the one-shot, then look at the one-shot output as you vary the trigger frequency on a scope.

> Pulse-width modulation does not necessarily change the length of the
> negative cycle. However, pulse-position modulation DOES, and THAT'S
> another story...

Save that for another thread topic. 8-)

>
> >> Actually, the operation is more like the triac
> >> control in a light dimmer, except the gate is replaced by your
> >> motor-driven switches (but not always).
> >
> >Ah, no, its rather different.
>
> Not much. It's just more primitive.

It is more complex because either the exact switching points have to be pre calculated and stored in logic, or calculated on the fly using a microprocessor. Take a look some time at those variable speed AC motor controllers. It didn't surprise me to see several DSP processors in there to do all of the duty cycle control required. With a simple light dimmer you're just triggering the SCR's gate at a variable time

delay from the zero crossing of the line voltage.

>
> ><snip>
> >
> >> >True. However all of the equations for reactance, power etc have
> >been
> >> >developed for a sine waveform. It turns out sine waves are very
> >easy
> >> >to use for AC circuit analysis. Through the use of the Fourier
> >> >transform a signal can be analyzed using the techniques for sine
> >wave
> >> >analysis except one has to apply it to every harmonic term
present
> >in
> >> >the NON-sinusoidal waveform. This is the basis of all
> >non-sinusoidal
> >> >signal analysis used in circuit design work. It also makes the
math
> >> >rather messy except for some well define non-sinusoidal
waveforms,
> >> >where the terms can be represent as an infinite sumation series,
> >and
> >> >the coefficients are a simple function of the order of the term.
> >For
> >> >the general case the analysis is done typically by computer,
which
> >can
> >> >easily handle the 100's of terms required to get accurate
results.
> >>
> >> My math sucks lately so I won't go there. But when the signal is
the
> >> theoretical square wave then Vpeak = Vrms, and under no
> >circumstances
> >> can Vrms of a symmetrical waveform exceed Vpeak. Which is why max
> >> efficiency for a Class A amp can only be achieved with a square
> >wave.
> >
> >Then the transistor would be switching between saturation and
cutoff.
>
> Absolutely true. But if you are suggesting that the transistor is
not
> capable of power dissipation because it is saturated or cutoff then
> you are wrong.

In the ideal case there is zero power loss. If you want to skate off
in to "real world" circuits the discussion will quickly get out of
hand because everything will get mired in the details. Many of which
don't matter for the main points.

> If both the transistor and the load have negligible
> resistance when the transistor is in saturation, then the power
supply
> would drop to almost zero and you would have almost infinite current
> through the transistor and load.

Can't happen. You did say "load" right? So unless that "load" is also
a short circuit the load will set the limit on the current.

>Obviously, that doesn't happen
> (unless something or someone is faulty).

A slip of the finger on the keyboard perhaps? 8-)

>
> If the load is resistive, then the load is going to be dissipating a
> considerable part of the power. However, if the load has negligible
DC
> resistance, then the DC power must be dissipated elsewhere.

Only if there is a real resistance somewhere. Don't forget that P =
I^2 * R. The smaller "R" becomes the less power is lost in the circuit
for a fixed value of current.

> But you
> are forgetting that any AC coupling is not going to be purely
> resistive.

This is an example of getting in to non-ideal circuit operation. All
this is going to do is confuse the heck out of both of us. You can't
on one hand argue about theoretical this or that, then start dragging
in non-ideal circuit behavior. Just stick with ideal circuit elements.
The discussion works just as well with them, and without the
additional confusion and lengthy the explanations required too.

> So while the active device is switching between cutoff and
> saturation, that doesn't mean the current or voltage is going to
> follow suit. For example, AC coupling using an output transformer:
> When the transistor saturates, the voltage across the transformer
> begins at maximum but the current lags the voltage (apply time
> constant of Rtotal and L, etc).

If the load is purely resistive on the transformer secondary, and
assuming an ideal transformer with zero leakage reactance, the
transformer primary will be also purely resistive, thus the voltage
and current will be in phase.

> So the current isn't following a
> square wave. That's how part of the DC power gets converted to AC
> power. Now remember you still have DC power that isn't converted to
AC
> power, and MUST be dissipated by the total DC resistance of the
> circuit. And that DC resistance is Vcc divided by the sum of the DC
> current of the transformer plus the average DC current of the

> transistor. Don't forget that the current doesn't follow a square
> wave, but lags the voltage according to a time constant. And if the
> current isn't following a square wave, then the resistance of the
> saturated transistor is not constant, or even zero, but variable
> throughout it's time under saturation. If it has resistance, it
> dissipates power.
>
> >You could do the same thing with another circuit that doesn't even
> >look like an amplifier. I don't know of anybody wasting a class "A"
> >amplifier like this when other circuits work just as well with
fewer
> >parts. And yes the efficiency would be high, but then we are no
longer
> >really talking about linear operation either.
>
> It depends on whether you are using the amp as an AC or DC
amplifier.
> As a DC amplifier it can be very efficient, as is proven daily by
the
> use of switching power supplies.

They call them "switching power supplies" not Class "A" amplifiers for
a reason. And if they were "amplifiers" where does the input waveform
it amplifies comes from? No amplifier I've ever seen generates it's
own input. If it did there is another word for that, they are called
"oscillators".

>
>
> >> >I think it depends on just what kind of amplifier they analyze.
For
> >an
> >> >amplifier with a purely resistive load (at all times and the
> >simplest
> >> >case to work with) only for the signal component. I have assumed
a
> >> >resistive load at all times. Consider for a minute an amplifier
> >using
> >> >a "tuned", i.e. a parallel circuit of a capacitor, inductor, and
> >> >resistor load. It should be apparent that at no signal condition
> >the
> >> >DC bias power wasted in the load should be near zero,
> >>
> >> That depends on the DC resistance of the circuit. It's not
> >necessarily
> >> "near zero", and many tanks have resistance added in order to
lower
> >> the Q of the circuit. Case in point: grid swamping resistors.
> >
> >And if you look closer you will see that the resistors are across
> >points where you would expect to find the inductors. Now where are

you
> >going to find a "resistor" that has less DC resistance that a
couple
> >of turns of 14 gage wire? You see my point?
>
> Actually, I misread your original comment, that DC bias power wasted
> in a LCR parallel load with no signal would be zero, as it would be
> with any other type of load. The power lost to DC bias is complete
> BECAUSE there is no signal.

I was referring strictly to the load there.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

1165. Re: Amplifier Square Wave Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 21:31:55 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <RtadnXuTf-rRezSgXTWcpg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139893

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3da89f4d.8077435@news.cet.com...
> In <VLWcnWhvH6sPHzWgXTWcow@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
> <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> >
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
> >news:3da090b3.6369652@news.cet.com...
> >>
> >> For linear amplifiers (audio, not RF) I prefer square waves
because
> >> when looking at the scope the peaks are more well-defined. They
also
> >> tend to show ringing, residual capacitance, and a host of other
> >> distortions you can't see with a sine wave. But, of course,
that's
> >off
> >> the topic....;-)
> >
> >I've heard may people do the same. The rich harmonic content of a
> >square wave gives somebody with a lot of experience a clue to the
> >frequency response of an amplifier by looking at the output
waveform.
> >But you really have to know what to look for.
>
> No kidding. Unless you have the trigger function fully mastered, you
> might completely miss a repetitive leading-edge spike, even if you
> have already filtered out the ringing.
>
> >Have you ever tried using a sweep function generator to do the same
> >thing? You put the frequency sweep voltage on the X-channel, and
the
> >response from the amplifier on the Y-channel. Then you of course
have
> >to have the scope in the X-Y mode.
>
> I don't normally do that. If the distortion analyzer tells me
> something is amiss, I'll put it on the scope and feed it with square
> waves sweeping through the full spectrum. This way I can tell if
there
> is a transformer that is self-resonant, or if feedback is causing
> oscillation or ringing at one or more frequencies. This should be
done
> dual-trace, comparing input to output, as I'm sure you are already

> aware.

As long as the square wave is low enough in frequency input and output will look close. As you crank up the frequency the higher frequency harmonics get filtered out. Then you can expect to see some ringing and or a general smoothing out of the waveform so that it looks closer to a sine wave. You get the nasty ringing effect many times when testing filters that have a high "Q" value.

>
> > I would like to find a good used
> >high frequency function generator, around 10 MHz or more, to use
the
> >sweep function for checking plug-in or home brewed IF filters.
>
> In another thread I mention the MAX038. Check it out.

I'm looking for a good used one at a swap if I can find any. I did pick up a used B&K model 3010 for $50. It's only a 2 MHz function generator. I had to fix it since the DC offset for the output waveforms were screwed up. It turned out it was just a cheap 3 terminal 15 VDC regulator IC failed. Once that was replace I tweaked it up and now it works just like new.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

1166. Re: one stop electronics.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 21:36:50 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <LeqcneQeW5XvejSgXTWc3g@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139894

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0210121629.40338c59@posting.google.com...
> has anyone ever bought anything from one stop electronics????? i
was
> thinking about buying a texas star sweet 16 from them soon....

A fool and his money are soon parted.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"We cannot tell what may happen to us in the
strange medley of life. But we can decide
what happens in us - how we take it,
what we do with it - and that is what
really counts in the end."

Joseph Fort Newton

1167. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 22:07:37 UTC
From: Noneubusiness <nospam@none.com>
Message-ID: <3da9f06c$3_8@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 139895

[No readable article body recovered]

1168. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 22:19:40 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210131419.1d0a34c6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139896

"Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote in message
news:<homdnRx7L_TAtjWgXTWcpg@News.GigaNews.Com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0210120327.20a51d56@posting.google.com...
> > Oh really? Har de har. Shows how mentally challenged you really are.
> > Have you ever actually operated a radio, Aanal Aaron?
> >
> > Where's the beef?
>
> If I had to take a guess i would say it is down your throat.

That's the kind of intelligent response we expect from Georgie-girl
Busch WA3MOJ, in Allentown, PA. Glad you didn't disappoint us, moron.

1169. Re: Aaron H Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 22:23:36 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210131423.5954fbab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139947

"Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote in message
news:<P86cnTxcTNNmMDSgXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0210130913.51281746@posting.google.com...
> > I'm not "convinced", Aanal Aaron; I KNOW it's not factual. You mean
> > you're giving up? No proof? But you promised!
>
> Question for you DickHead
>
> How many Cbers are there in the USA ?

More than you think. Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ, in Allentown, PA.

"I don't have to prove anything; I just say it and it's true."
Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ

1170. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 22:29:49 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210131429.4552b854@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote in message
news:<CLGdnSXhms6sLzSgXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0210130904.62435ff2@posting.google.com...
> > Aanal Aaron has proved that he believes whatever he wants to believe,
> > whether he himself has posted proof to the contrary or what. Sorta
> > like Georgie-girl Busch: He doesn't have to prove anything, he just
> > has to say it to make it true!
>
> LOL you have been taking notes from Twistie I see, you make comments can't
> back them up now you try and turn it around LOL.

Like you do, Georgie-giel?

No the post are out there
> and they don't lie as you do.

Like you do, Georgie-girl?

You have made a few specific "wild" claims and
> have yet to offer any proof. Yet you want proof from others ie, aaron ?

Why does that bother you, since Aanal Aaron doesn't exist? He cannot
prove anything other than his own stupidity.

Your
> a flat out Joke Dick Head as well as a lying Troll Which you were correctly
> labeled long before you got to this NG and deservedly so.

Like you, Georgie-girl?

> Here are a few question you have left unanswered which are in direct rebutal
> to claims you have made.We want proof you demand proof from other the ball
> is in your court or will you be a hypocrite as usual?
>
> Did you find the 10 meter band?

Always knew where it was, Georgie-girl, but still haven't heard any so
called "intruders". You must be enjoying thoser mushrooms!

> How many Cber's are in the USA?

How many do you think there are, Georgie-girl?

> If your not afraid to post or be anonymous whats your callsign?

When Aanal Aaron posts his, I have already said I'll post mine. Until then you can ask all you want, Georgie-girl TROLL.

"I don't have to prove anything. If I say it it's true."
Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ

1171. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 22:35:19 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210131435.2b329a43@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139949

"Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote in message
news:<4nWdnS-0o8n1fDWgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>...
> <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da8c6ab.220084@news.cet.com...
> > In <T8Sdnb0dieBALTWgXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Ole Shit"
> > <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote:
> >
> > >While you are it have him prove there are 30-40 million Cbers across the
> USA
> >
> > Didn't Jay come up with a figure like 12 million CBers in the world,
> > or something like that? Of course that was based on his "marketing"
> > information that he won't disclose...
>
> So what your saying is neither of them gave any proof for either claim yet
> Dick Head try's to push everyone for proof of thier claims. I am just asking
> him to provide proof for his claim is it that hard I mean hell he made the
> statement not me.

Frank can't prove his way out of a wet paper bag unless it's a total
BS math 2=1 problem. And you can prove different, Georgie-girl? Go
ahead, we're waiting to see it.

We know there's only about 650,000 licensed amateurs in the USA and
YOU certainly aren't assisting them in keeping their bands free of
high-paying buyers since you're spending all your time trolling in a
CB newsgroup.

"I don't have to prove anything. I just say it and it's true."
Georgie-Girl Busch WA3MOJ, Allentown, PA

1172. Re: Aaron H Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 22:35:23 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <jwudnS0RqdqwaDSgXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139950

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210131423.5954fbab@posting.google.com...
> > How many Cbers are there in the USA ?
>
> More than you think. Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ, in Allentown, PA.

That's funny you said 30-40 million before why the change?

> "I don't have to prove anything; I just say it and it's true."
> Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ

Funny I never said that so again you are a liar and a troll. and someone who
is not credible.

1173. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 22:37:24 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <vfOdnaAjP587aDSgXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139951

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210131419.1d0a34c6@posting.google.com...
> > If I had to take a guess i would say it is down your throat.
>
> That's the kind of intelligent response we expect from Georgie-girl
> Busch WA3MOJ, in Allentown, PA. Glad you didn't disappoint us, moron.

Ask a stupid question what do you expect Troll? Whats your call sign my anonymous acolyte? Do you think that does something by posting a name and address LOL Ouch that hurts LOL what a putz!

1174. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-13 23:06:06 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <y%mq9.32059$oq6.10735@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 139952

Why didn't you answer the question Richard? You danced around it nicely,
I'll give you that.

Dave

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210131435.2b329a43@posting.google.com...
> "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote in message
news:<4nWdnS-0o8n1fDWgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>...
> > <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3da8c6ab.220084@news.cet.com...
> > > In <T8Sdnb0dieBALTWgXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Ole Shit"
> > > <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >While you are it have him prove there are 30-40 million Cbers across
the
> > USA
> > >
> > > Didn't Jay come up with a figure like 12 million CBers in the world,
> > > or something like that? Of course that was based on his "marketing"
> > > information that he won't disclose...
> >
> > So what your saying is neither of them gave any proof for either claim
yet
> > Dick Head try's to push everyone for proof of thier claims. I am just
asking
> > him to provide proof for his claim is it that hard I mean hell he made
the
> > statement not me.
>
> Frank can't prove his way out of a wet paper bag unless it's a total
> BS math 2=1 problem. And you can prove different, Georgie-girl? Go
> ahead, we're waiting to see it.
>
> We know there's only about 650,000 licensed amateurs in the USA and
> YOU certainly aren't assisting them in keeping their bands free of
> high-paying buyers since you're spending all your time trolling in a
> CB newsgroup.
>
> "I don't have to prove anything. I just say it and it's true."
> Georgie-Girl Busch WA3MOJ, Allentown, PA

1175. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 00:41:18 UTC
From: Eric Kanack <alpine@twmi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Oooq9.55276$kF.8800691@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 139954

Im not breaking the law asshole. Besides, I have a ham ticket, probably higher class than the losers on here trying to start shit since they would have things better to do otherwise.......and that ticket allows me to own and operate modified equipment. So if I want to run a Kenwood TS-950SDX on 11 meter (cb), I am legally allowed to aslong as I keep my power output within legal limits.
So take it and shove it up your ass fool

1176. Export Chasis Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 00:52:01 UTC
From: Macgman <macgyver9@iname.com>
Message-ID: <Ryoq9.10408$nb.1329@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 139955

Hello,

I have been out of the swing of things for a while. I recently came back to CB to find out that the old familiar faces are gone. Who makes a good export CB (10m/11m) radio these days? Also, what chasis is it based on?

Thanks in advance.

Best Regards,
Mac

1177. ohio site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 01:00:51 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <b176951ea83749fe645275a629105140@xganon.com>
Source record: 139956

http://www.courtclerk.org

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

1178. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 01:26:53 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <cvadnVombuTBgDegXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139957

"Eric Kanack" <alpine@twmi.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Oooq9.55276$kF.8800691@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> Im not breaking the law asshole. Besides, I have a ham ticket, probably
> higher class than the losers on here trying to start shit since they would
> have things better to do otherwise.......and that ticket allows me to own
> and operate modified equipment. So if I want to run a Kenwood TS-950SDX on
> 11 meter (cb), I am legally allowed to aslong as I keep my power output
> within legal limits.
> So take it and shove it up your ass fool

Actually, you're not.
Anything you operate on 11M has to be type accepted for that service.

KC6ETE

1179. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 01:33:14 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210131733.596ce306@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139958

"Eric Kanack" alpine@twmi.rr.com wrote
>Im not breaking the law asshole. Besides, I have a ham ticket,
probably
>higher class than the losers on here trying to start shit since they
would
>have things better to do otherwise.......and that ticket allows me to
own
>and operate modified equipment. So if I want to run a Kenwood
>TS-950SDX on 11 meter (cb), I am legally allowed to aslong as
>I keep my power output within legal limits. So take it and shove it
up
>your ass fool

Sorry, Eric. You are wrong on all counts.

-Aaron-

FCC Part 95
RULE 9 - Equipment
{A} You must use an FCC type accepted CB transmitter at your CB
station. You can identify an FCC type accepted transmitter by the
'type acceptance'label placed on it by the manufacturer. You may
examine a list of type-accepted equipment at any FCC Field Office or
at FCC Headquarters. Use of a transmitter which is not FCC
type-accepted voids your authority to operate the station.

FCC CALL CENTER INFO
2. NON-APPROVED C.B. RADIOS

Q. What is a legal C.B. radio?
A. All radio transmitters and receivers which are intended to operate
on the 27 MHz Citizen's Band (C.B.) channels must be approved by the
F.C.C. before they can be imported, advertised, sold or used. The
manufacturer must be issued an F.C.C. grant of equipment
authorization, called "certification", as indicated by an FCC ID on
each unit. If the radio has a label showing an FCC ID, and the ID can
be verified by checking the Equipment Authorization database on the
F.C.C./OET Web page, then the radio is legal.

Q. What kind of radios are illegal to use on the C.B. radio
channels?
A. Any radio transmitter, receiver, or transceiver which is designed
by the manufacturer to operate on the C.B. radio channels, but which
has not been granted an F.C.C. equipment authorization is illegal.
These non-approved radios may appear in several different forms:
1. "10-Meter Amateur" radios, which have been designed so as to be

readily alterable to operate on the C.B. radio channels, and/or on other non-amateur frequencies in the frequency range from about 24 to about 32 MHz;
2. "Export" or "full channel" radios, which are designed to operate over all, or a portion of, the radio spectrum from about 24 to about 32 MHz, including the 27 MHz C.B. radio channels; or
3. F.C.C.-approved C.B. radios which are designed so as to be readily alterable to operate on frequencies in the range from about 24 to about 32 MHz, which are not assigned to the 27 MHz C.B. Radio Service.

Q. I've heard that some of the "big name" amateur radio equipment can also be altered to operate on non-amateur frequencies, including the C.B. radio channels. So why are these radios not considered to be "non-approved C.B. equipment"?
A. First, it must be emphasized that use of this type of amateur equipment on unauthorized frequencies, including using it on the C.B. radio channels or other non-amateur frequencies in the 24 to 32 MHz range, is illegal, and doing so will subject the user to the same penalties as mentioned above.

1180. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 01:40:07 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAA2077.7EC1E9F5@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

Busch'sMaster wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DA9E07A.E38C075F@mailcity.net...
> >
> >
> > Richard Cranium wrote:
> > >
> > > Always knew where it was, Georgie-girl, but still haven't heard any so
> > > called "intruders". You must be enjoying thoser mushrooms!
> >
> > Try getting a 10 meter receiver that actually works. Been hearing
> > plenty of illegal truckers on 28.085 and that is with just a 50 ft
> > wire antenna strung out in the tree. "OH!!" says dickie as he
> > slaps his forehead, "an antenna of course!!!, why didn't I think
> > of that?"
>
> Frank, who really cares? It's in the CW portion of 10. No one cares.

Maybe you, the truckers and some others here don't care, but the
hams sure do.

1181. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 01:43:23 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAA213B.390DD1B4@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139965

Eric Kanack wrote:
>
> Im not breaking the law asshole. Besides, I have a ham ticket, probably
> higher class than the losers on here trying to start shit since they would
> have things better to do otherwise.......and that ticket allows me to own
> and operate modified equipment. So if I want to run a Kenwood TS-950SDX on
> 11 meter (cb), I am legally allowed to aslong as I keep my power output
> within legal limits.
> So take it and shove it up your ass fool

Nice try but no cigar fool. Your Kenwood is not type accepted for
the cb service and is not legal to use on 11 meters, even if you
do have a ham ticket (which means nothing on 11 meters) and even
if you do keep the power levels to the legal limits. So up yours.

1182. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 01:50:30 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <Gppq9.116235$Fw2.3846782@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DA9E07A.E38C075F@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Richard Cranium wrote:
> >
> > Always knew where it was, Georgie-girl, but still haven't heard any so
> > called "intruders". You must be enjoying thoser mushrooms!
>
> Try getting a 10 meter receiver that actually works. Been hearing
> plenty of illegal truckers on 28.085 and that is with just a 50 ft
> wire antenna strung out in the tree. "OH!!" says dickie as he
> slaps his forehead, "an antenna of course!!!, why didn't I think
> of that?"

Frank, who really cares? It's in the CW portion of 10. No one cares.

1183. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 02:10:10 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210131810.295e7d96@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139960

"Lenny Stover" <mppd5107@the-police.com> wrote in message
news:<c8hq9.177$425.11026705@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Lenny Stover" <mppd5107@the-police.com> wrote
>
> > Whatsamatter? You upset because a CBer is into your "sandbox?"
>
> And this will be my final comment in this NG.
>
> I regret that this thread brought out the "no-code nazis" to dictate federal
> regulations to the group. I simply had a problem that I needed
> resolved, and a great number of you were kind enough to help me >resolve that problem.

Sorry to upset you Len, but it has nothing to do with code, no-code,
or Nazism. Since you were unaware of federal regulations governing CB,
I provided accurate information in order that others reading this NG
would not be misled.

The plain facts are that your Galaxy 99V is illegal to operate on CB
channels and your CB linear is illegal to operate on CB channels.

If you still believe this info is inaccurate, email me the address of
your police chief, I will be glad to provide him with a copy of US
CFR Title 47 Part 95.

>
> My sincere appreciation to those that provided info relative to my initial
> post. The mod worked great and I am enjoying the radio.

And operating it illegally in defiance of FCC rules.

>
> Just one thing in case the question is ever posed to the group again, the
> wire to cut wasn't green, it was red.
>
> Ozark333, I'll "holler" at ya whenever I am in the Springfield area.
>
> Thanks again for all the info, folks. See ya.

-Aaron-

1184. Texas Star DX-400v MINT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 02:56:27 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <ohckqu8oi08vh2c1fkn95v8jb9hfi3g6bo@4ax.com>
Source record: 139961

Texas Star DX-400v MINT

Excellent shape works and looks as new......trade for cash,scanner or possibly radios,e-mail with offers .paypal accepted with all positive feedbacks here...thanks

1185. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 03:19:51 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <v2idncnSEedfqjegXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139962

> > Just one thing in case the question is ever posed to the group again, the
> > wire to cut wasn't green, it was red.

In the movies, it's always the red wire, or the blue wire.

1186. Re: Advice needed re: BAD Neighbours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 03:27:26 UTC
From: Demitri Garazha <vze2cd4i@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <yQqq9.11270$nb.9696@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 139963

What did u decide?

"Jamie" <dtvcards99@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:b6Qk9.8262$ls3.1427767@news20.bellglobal.com...
> I'll cut to the chase here......
>
> People moved in next door 2 months ago. Didn't see much of them; career
> couple I suppose. I get off shift at 2:00am in the morning, come home and
> turn on the rig. Nothing. Dead Air. SWR is screwy. Antenna line is cut.
>
> So I setup one of those low light IR wireless cameras and use Homewatcher
> after I replaced the coax. Talk on the rig for a few days. Sure enough I
> catch the guy next door cutting the cable. So I have this video but I am
not
> sure if I want to confront him or just "guard" the coax with conduit or
> something. Since I am running some juice, etc....I don't want to draw
> attention from the local feds. On the other hand, The video plainly shows
> the guy trespassing and I can just go with that and have him busted, like
> "turning the tables". I'm stuck here with differing feelings about what to
> do. Sad thing is I have to live beside these people probably for many
years
> to come.
>
>
> What's a guy to do?
>
> J.
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.386 / Virus Database: 218 - Release Date: 9/9/2002
>
>

1187. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 03:33:28 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAA3B08.511B256F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139984

Dave VanHorn wrote:
>
> > Nice try but no cigar fool. Your Kenwood is not type accepted for
> > the cb service and is not legal to use on 11 meters, even if you
> > do have a ham ticket (which means nothing on 11 meters) and even
> > if you do keep the power levels to the legal limits. So up yours.
>
> I know why they don't, but I still think it would be interesting if they let
> us operate on 11 with full privleges. It used to be a ham band, after all..
> :)

What would be the purpose? You would not be able to make a decent
contact on 11 meters because of the total chaos that exists there.
Hams have the entire 10 meter band, they hardly ever used 11
meters when it was a ham band. That is one reason the FCC took if
from the amateur service and established the cb band. It is just
too bad that the operators who use it turned it into such a mess.

1188. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 03:38:43 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAA3C43.2F354492@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

Busch'sMaster wrote:

> >
> > Maybe you, the truckers and some others here don't care, but the
> > hams sure do
>
> Really, no they don't. Otherwise there would be someone else here pissing
> and moaning, besides you and Jerry. It's a rather useless 10kc span of
> spectrum. Stop making mountains out of molehills. Jeesh!

Maybe to you it is useless, but to the hams it isn't. It belongs to the amateur radio service and the hams obtain the right to operate there by virtue of obtaining a license. It isn't there just for the taking by illegal operators. It is in use, if you listen when the band is open you will find plenty of cw in use on the band. If you want to hear a useless span of radio frequency spectrum, just tune your precious 11 meters and listen to what those with your attitude have made of it. As I say, the hams do care about 10 meters.

1189. Re: Pissin' N Moanin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 03:40:59 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAA3CCB.9824835B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 139986

Cowboy67 wrote:
>
> You people do more pissin' N moanin' than a gal on the rag. stick to radio
> and quite the dumb ass bickerin'
>
> That's my 2 cents worth.

And overvalued at that.

1190. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 03:50:56 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <lk-dnambQYS_ojegXTWcqA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139966

"Busch'sMaster" <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com> wrote in
message news:3Ekq9.144538$121.3805462@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:o8ecnWluved3UDSgXTWcqA@News.GigaNews.Com...
> >
> > "Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> > news:20021012164651.09717.00001099@mb-fs.aol.com...
> > > lelnad, the charicteristics of the hf band and the sheer
unstopable
> > usage of 11
> > > meters around the world makes us pretty safe. its your vhf and
uhf
> > that fall
> > > under the "local" or "regional" status that you guys need to
worry
> > about
> >
> > You should really be paying more attention. The FCC created the
FRS
> > service to give the kiddy CBer's some place to hang out and not
cause
> > trouble over more than a mile or so. Then they created the MURS
> > channels for those who have a real need for license free local
> > communications over a 5 to 10 mile range fixed or mobile. Or for a
few
> > extra bucks for a GMRS license which allows the use of more power
and
> > repeaters for city wide coverage. That takes care of the needs for
> > most users of the band. It's not to far fetched to see the day
when
> > the FCC pulls the plug on domestic 11m action. Since they are
going to
> > pull the plug on analog TV in a few more years, like they switched
> > from low band to mid band VHF for domestic FM broadcasting some
long
> > years back, pulling the plug on 11m can happen real easy. All it
takes
> > is somebody with a real need for the spectrum, cash in hand, and
then
> > just tune in to any of the 40 CB channels for an example of what a
> > sewer pit 11m has turned in to over the years for the final push
off
> > the cliff.

> >
>
> But because it lies in the HF band, it would require the blessing of
the
> ITU.

All spectrum issues are covered by the ITU at some point, from extremely low frequency in the tens of KHz up past 300 GHz for example. The main concern is sharing spectrum among all countries that have a need to use it. That doesn't mean that a different use can't be found for some segment of the spectrum. I think most CBers are in for a bit of a shock when they find out just what is ALL of the allowed uses that 11m can be put too. So as long as the spectrum is used as per ITU agreements the FCC can do what ever they feel like with it. You haven't noticed all the shifting around the FCC has been doing the past number of years taking away spectrum from one group of users to give to another for all manner of old and new uses?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

1191. Pissin' N Moanin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 04:07:21 UTC
From: Cowboy67 <cowboy67@casscomm.com>
Message-ID: <aodfqb$e038$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 139967

You people do more pissin' N moanin' than a gal on the rag. stick to radio and quite the dumb ass bickerin'

That's my 2 cents worth.

1192. radio mods needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 04:07:54 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021014000754.03582.00001176@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 139968

I have a realistic trc440 base station and i would like to add side band to it
also i would like to boost my output
can anyone help me out on this

1193. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 04:16:42 UTC
From: ruralreader <sylinen@somtel.net>
Message-ID: <Usrq9.2100$014.49673@reggie.win.bright.net>
Source record: 139969

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da8cdb0.1760346@news.cet.com...
> In <FQ3q9.2088$014.49165@reggie.win.bright.net>, "ruralreader"
> <sylinen@somtel.net> wrote:
>
>
> >and...
> >
> >for all that licenced use, try monitoring and see if there really is use?
> >Might just be "camping" like internet domain names.
> >
> >the move on freq. migh be on the 1GHz and up.

>
> Do you think that all these licensees are just "camping"?

like I said.....
> >for all that licenced use, try monitoring and see if there really is use?
> >Might just be "camping" like internet domain names.
Might Might Might Might <----read that? maybe NOT!

Or even: "try monitoring and see if there really is use?" <----read that?
maybe NOT!

>
> {nice cut and paste of FCC database list}

> And that's not including the government allocations! Better think
> again...
>

1194. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 04:17:27 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <9MicnUlHYobf2DegXTWc3g@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139970

> Nice try but no cigar fool. Your Kenwood is not type accepted for
> the cb service and is not legal to use on 11 meters, even if you
> do have a ham ticket (which means nothing on 11 meters) and even
> if you do keep the power levels to the legal limits. So up yours.

I know why they don't, but I still think it would be interesting if they let us operate on 11 with full privleges. It used to be a ham band, after all..
:)

1195. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 04:17:58 UTC
From: Busch'sMaster <antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com>
Message-ID: <Wzrq9.146842$121.3876682@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 139971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DAA2077.7EC1E9F5@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Busch'sMaster wrote:
> >
> > "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DA9E07A.E38C075F@mailcity.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > Richard Cranium wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Always knew where it was, Georgie-girl, but still haven't heard any
so
> > > > called "intruders". You must be enjoying thoser mushrooms!
> > >
> > > Try getting a 10 meter receiver that actually works. Been hearing
> > > plenty of illegal truckers on 28.085 and that is with just a 50 ft
> > > wire antenna strung out in the tree. "OH!!" says dickie as he
> > > slaps his forehead, "an antenna of course!!!, why didn't I think
> > > of that?"
> >
> > Frank, who really cares? It's in the CW portion of 10. No one cares.
>
> Maybe you, the truckers and some others here don't care, but the
> hams sure do

Really, no they don't. Otherwise there would be someone else here pissing
and moaning, besides you and Jerry. It's a rather useless 10kc span of
spectrum. Stop making mountains out of molehills. Jeesh!

1196. Re: Advice needed re: BAD Neighbours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 04:21:20 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <mOGdnQwG7ZO32zegXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139972

> So I setup one of those low light IR wireless cameras and use Homewatcher
> after I replaced the coax. Talk on the rig for a few days. Sure enough I
> catch the guy next door cutting the cable. So I have this video but I am
> not sure if I want to confront him or just "guard" the coax with conduit
or
> something. Since I am running some juice, etc....I don't want to draw
> attention from the local feds. On the other hand, The video plainly shows
> the guy trespassing and I can just go with that and have him busted, like
> "turning the tables". I'm stuck here with differing feelings about what to
> do. Sad thing is I have to live beside these people probably for many
> years to come.

I don't think he's clipping your pipe for no reason.
My neighbors never do that.
Maybe you have an RFI problem that you need to clean up?
Of course staying with legal limit gear would simplify that.

I'm not defending his actions, they are clearly wrong.
However, it would seem that you threw the first punch.

1197. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 04:23:07 UTC
From: who cares? <who-carez@apathy.net>
Message-ID: <uqkhlpik15h96b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DAA2077.7EC1E9F5@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Busch'sMaster wrote:
> >
> > "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DA9E07A.E38C075F@mailcity.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > Richard Cranium wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Always knew where it was, Georgie-girl, but still haven't heard any
so
> > > > called "intruders". You must be enjoying thoser mushrooms!
> > >
> > > Try getting a 10 meter receiver that actually works. Been hearing
> > > plenty of illegal truckers on 28.085 and that is with just a 50 ft
> > > wire antenna strung out in the tree. "OH!!" says dickie as he
> > > slaps his forehead, "an antenna of course!!!, why didn't I think
> > > of that?"
> >
> > Frank, who really cares? It's in the CW portion of 10. No one cares.
>
> Maybe you, the truckers and some others here don't care, but the
> hams sure do.

I've heard em on 28.055, .075, .085, & 28.135, and thats just the
domestic stuff, and not to mention the south of the border Spanish
speaking mess. Good Luck putting that Genie back in it's bottle.

1198. Re: radio mods needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 04:23:52 UTC
From: sir.oblivion@public.com
Message-ID: <3daa46dc_5@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 139973

"Carlmackayp" <carlmackayp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021014000754.03582.00001176@mb-ca.aol.com...
> I have a realistic trc440 base station and i would like to add side band
to it
> also i would like to boost my output
> can anyone help me out on this

The TRC-440 is an AM radio if I recall correctly, you can't add sideband but
can gain extra channels by changing the loop mixing crystal. To peak the
radio adjust L102, L104 and L106. I believe the modulation limiter is D107.

1199. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 04:34:23 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <Qe6dnSedToTN1DegXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139975

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210130911.6b057bd@posting.google.com...
> You probably want to assume that but there's no evidence of it,

That you're a "female"? That's the first thing YOU need to clear up.
8-))

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

1200. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 04:35:30 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3daa4104.338063@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139976

In <bPOdnUUJv8woADSgXTWc2Q@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

<snip>
>> >spectrum. Since the frequency components, in the voltage square
>wave,
>> >we are talking about are sine waves the current resulting from just
>> >one component will also be a sine wave.
>>
>> Then you are just filtering the square-wave, making it a Class E
>amp.
>> The output of the filter is still going to be sine in both voltage
>and
>> current.
>
>It's not an amplifier since there is NO input waveform to amplify. The
>common name for the circuit is a 'series resonate "H" bridge'
>inverter. The square wave is generate by the switching of the power
>devices, and has nothing to do with any kind of input waveform.

Then it's a power oscillator, but the principle is the same, and you
still haven't explained how to get square wave voltage and sine wave
current on the same line.

>> >output signal has no DC component. If you look at the open end of
>the
>> >coupling camacitor you will indeed see the square wave swinging
>below
>> >ground.
>>
>> That's what I said. And that DC voltage means there is DC current,
>and
>> therefore DC power that must be dissipated. In a Class A amplifier,
>> that DC power is at least half of the input power. It cannot be any
>> less.
>
>There is no "DC bias power" being wasted since the amplifier never
>comes close to operating there. That just goes right back to the
>electronic switch example I discussed before.

Technically, EVERY wave has a DC component, even if the DC is at
ground potential.

But are we talking about the same thing here? Where the output is
switched between Vcc and gnd? If so, and unless the output is
permanently held at ground, there MUST be DC potential (offset), and

it will be somewhere inbetween Gnd and Vcc.

How can I explain this any more clearly?

>> Most power AND audio transformers are 90+ percent efficient at
>> coupling the AC power. You agree that a Class A amp (sine wave) can
>be
>> no more than 25% efficient.
>
>Possible with a different circuit topology.

Oh come on now, don't backpeddle on yourself! It was YOU that
persisted in that statement in the first place!

> >That means 25% of the power is the AC
>> power that is coupled to the load. The other 75% MUST be dissipated.
>> Whatever isn't lost in the transformer WILL dissipate from the
>> transistor/tube, even if it's the majority of the DC power.
>
>Ah no I don't. Remember the comment I made about circuit topology? In
>the case above the AC load line, and the DC load line are not the
>same, thus the analysis is going to be different from the case where
>the DC bias power is also dissipated in the load. Since in the
>transformer coupled case the load is isolated from the collector, and
>assuming in the ideal transformer case zero winding resistance, there
>can be NO bias power wasted in the transformer, theoretically of
>course.

Then the DC power is lost in the active device! It's got to go
somewhere, right? Haven't I said that before? Does the 'power fairy'
swoop down and magically dispose of the DC power? Of course not, it is
DISSIPATED, if not by the LOAD then by the SOURCE.

>>
>>
>> >> >If you change the duty cycle of a square wave it is no longer a
>> >square
>> >> >wave.
>>
>> ...later...
>>
>> >> Whoa, hold on there! Duty cycle is only partly responsible for
>the
>> >DC
>> >> component of a square wave. You can have a square wave that
>hovers
>> >> between +10 and +20 volts DC and if the duty cycle is 50% then
>the
>> >DC
>> >> component is +15 volts DC. So if a Class A amp puts out a square
>> >wave
>> >> from zero to Vcc with a 50% duty cycle, then the DC component of

>the
>> >> output will be Vcc/2.
>> >
>> >Yes the square wave on the collector load will have that offset.
>Now
>> >if you couple that square wave to another stage using a capacitor
>the
>> >DC offset is gone since capacitors don't pass DC.
>>
>> That's true, but the DC power doesn't disappear when you add a cap.
>If
>> it is prevented from being dissipated by the load then it MUST be
>> dissipated by the source (the amplifier).
>
>You keep missing the point. If the square wave switches between it's
>two states in zero time, just when do you think the amplifier is
>operating near it's bias point? It never does. It's either in cut off
>or in full saturation.

No, YOU keep missing the point: it DOESN'T NEED to operate at or near it's bias point, it only needs to have an average DC offset, i.e, DC power, which is INHERENT TO and DEFINITIVE OF a Class A amplifier, and is also the LIMITING FACTOR for the efficiency of a Class A amplifier!

If the output waveform is symmetrical, regardless of shape, DC power can be no less than half of total input power! Haven't I said THAT before, too?

And if there is any doubt, think about this: If there is no DC power being dissipated then there is no DC power being conducted, and the amp won't work!

>> >> you are pulsing alternate and equal positive
>> >> and negative potentials with equal pusle widths.
>> >
>> >Which is a square wave.
>>
>> No it isn't. Mental picture: Go one second at ground potential, then
>> pulse positive for, say, 50 ms. Go another full second at ground,
>then
>> pulse negative for 50 ms. Continue. That's not a square wave.
>
>That's correct because you have three levels, +v, ground, and -v. A
>square wave has only two levels. I never mentioned that the waveform
>had any level other than +v and -v.

But I did, and you called it a square wave.

>> And you are confusing your own terminology. First you say that "A
>> square wave has to have a 50 percent duty cycle, i.e. must be
>> symmetrical about it's center", and "If you change the duty cycle of
>a

>> square wave it is no longer a square wave",
>
>Correct.
>
>> but then you say that even
>> though you change the duty cycle it's still a square wave. Pick one
>> definition and stick to it, will ya?
>
>Read a bit more carefully. I wrote about changing the duty cycle of a
>square wave. I did not call the result a square wave. In fact what I
>did write was "that the resulting rectangular waveform", which is not
>the same thing if you remember what the definition of a rectangle is
>from geometry.

Read a bit more carefully yourself: I said "pulse witdths".

Hey, I have an idea: let's drop this nowhere semantic argument to the
benefit of both of us, and just define a square wave as switching
between two voltage potentials. Kinda like the generally accepted
definition, ya know? Whaddya say?

>>
>> >> That requires a
>> >> pulse-width modulator, and is not the same as varying the duty
>cycle
>> >> of a square wave.
>> >
>> >Samething, different terminology.
>>
>> No it isn't, as I stated above and below.
>>
>> > Pulse width modulation would be the
>> >more accurate term to use however few on this news group would
>> >understand exactly what it meant so I used a term that, I hope,
>would
>> >be more familiar to people.
>>
>> When you vary the duty cycle of a square wave, you are not stopping
>at
>> ground potential.
>
>That's modifying the amplitude of the square wave. If I told you that
>I was going to use something at a 50 percent duty cycle are you going
>to tell me that you expect I'm going to run it at half setting, or
>only run it about half the time? Duty cycle when I mention it means a
>time variation, not an amplitude variation. So my usage above is
>correct as it stands. I've never had somebody mention a change in duty
>cycle when they meant a change in the amplitude of some quantify.

I wasn't talking about varying the amplitude -- I was reiterating my
comments on the pulse-width modulation used to vary the output of a

switching AC power supply/amplifier. Remember when I talk about "pulse widths"?

>> Also, when you shorten the positive cycle you
>> necessarily lengthen the negative cycle by the same amount.
>
>Not always. It depends on the method used.

Oh bullshit, the 'duty cycle' is a universally understood term, and I didn't say anything about changing the frequency. It's clear that you are just arguing for the sake of argument, and this conversation is finished.

1201. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 04:37:03 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3daa49e5.2610530@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139977

In <3DA8F00F.3EF744C4@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
wrote:

>
>
>"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>>
>> In <FQ3q9.2088$014.49165@reggie.win.bright.net>, "ruralreader"
>> <sylinen@somtel.net> wrote:
>>
>> >and...
>> >
>> >for all that licenced use, try monitoring and see if there really is use?
>> >Might just be "camping" like internet domain names.
>> >
>> >the move on freq. migh be on the 1GHz and up.
>>
>> Do you think that all these licensees are just "camping"?
>>
>> 26.030
>
>Naw yeti, you must be mistaken, twistie and timmyboy say these
>'freeband' frequencies are abandoned and unused, so no harm in
>them using those frequencies even though they are not licensed to
>do so. You trying to stir up trouble?

LOL!

1202. loop mixing crystal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 04:39:57 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021014003957.03582.00001182@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 139978

how can i change this and is it a hard job to do also how many extra channels would i get is it worth the trouble

1203. Re: Amplifier Square Wave Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 04:50:18 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3daa4a95.2786180@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139979

In <RtadnXuTf-rRezSgXTWcpg@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

>As long as the square wave is low enough in frequency input and output
>will look close. As you crank up the frequency the higher frequency
>harmonics get filtered out.

That wholly depends on the frequency response of the amplifier.

> Then you can expect to see some ringing
>and or a general smoothing out of the waveform so that it looks closer
>to a sine wave. You get the nasty ringing effect many times when
>testing filters that have a high "Q" value.

Like I said, it depends on the frequency response of the amplifier.
BTW, pushing a square wave through an amplifier is a very good way to
determine the fidelity of an audio amp.

>>
>> > I would like to find a good used
>> >high frequency function generator, around 10 MHz or more, to use
>the
>> >sweep function for checking plug-in or home brewed IF filters.
>>
>> In another thread I mention the MAX038. Check it out.
>
>I'm looking for a good used one at a swap if I can find any. I did
>pick up a used B&K model 3010 for $50. It's only a 2 MHz function
>generator. I had to fix it since the DC offset for the output
>waveforms were screwed up. It turned out it was just a cheap 3
>terminal 15 VDC regulator IC failed. Once that was replace I tweaked
>it up and now it works just like new.

Like I said (once again), check out the MAX038. It's a VCO chip with
sine, square and triangle outputs, and works to well over 20 MHz. If
you need a sawtooth, just blank half the triangle. If all you want is
a triggered sweep, you can build a sawtooth and blanker with a couple
logic chips and a couple transistors. Mimms came up with a really easy
and fast triggered sweep generator; I built it when I was in High
School and I still use it.

1204. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 05:00:17 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3daa4da7.3572486@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139980

In <Usrq9.2100$014.49673@reggie.win.bright.net>, "ruralreader"
<sylinen@somtel.net> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da8cdb0.1760346@news.cet.com...
>> In <FQ3q9.2088$014.49165@reggie.win.bright.net>, "ruralreader"
>> <sylinen@somtel.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>> >and...
>> >
>> >for all that licenced use, try monitoring and see if there really is use?
>> >Might just be "camping" like internet domain names.
>> >
>> >the move on freq. migh be on the 1GHz and up.
>
>
>
>>
>> Do you think that all these licensees are just "camping"?
>
>
>
>
>like I said.....
>> >for all that licenced use, try monitoring and see if there really is use?
>> >Might just be "camping" like internet domain names.
>Might Might Might Might <----read that? maybe NOT!

Backpeddle, backpeddle, backpeddle, backpeddle....

>Or even: "try monitoring and see if there really is use?" <----read that?
>maybe NOT!

How about:

>> Do you think that all these licensees are just "camping"?

Did you read that? FYI, that is a form of communication which is
commonly referred to as a 'question'.

Now where's the answer?

>
>>
>> {nice cut and paste of FCC database list}

Hardly. Several months ago I sifted through the database freq by freq.
That list is the incomplete compilation of that work.

>> And that's not including the government allocations! Better think
>> again...

Matter of fact, the list didn't even include all the freqs!

1205. Re: Amplifier Square Wave Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 05:06:53 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3daa4fca.4119979@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139981

In <ac4679c0.0210130422.7105f842@posting.google.com>,
sabbaghi@irib.com (sabbaghi@irib.com) wrote:

>i need the other technique for linearity in power amplifier for DVB
>transmitter and DAB transmitter
>can you help me?

Not to sound smug, but if you are messing with RF amplifiers that require the linearity to be tested, you should already have the fundamental skills needed for electronics and test equipment, or at least enough resources available that you shouldn't need to ask the question on an internet newsgroup. It's like some keyclown asking, "how does I toon da SWR on me ninty-six pill linyar?"

1206. Re: loop mixing crystal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 05:08:46 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3daa513b.4488416@news.cet.com>
Source record: 139982

In <20021014003957.03582.00001182@mb-ca.aol.com>, carlmackayp@aol.com (Carlmackayp) wrote:

>how can i change this and is it a hard job to do also how many extra channels
>would i get is it worth the trouble

How illegal do you want to be? I know how to make your radio REALLY illegal if you really want...

1207. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 05:27:32 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210132127.1f96fe20@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Busch'sMaster" antikeyclowns_are_insane@insanity.com wrote
>"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
>news:3DAA2077.7EC1E9F5@mailcity.net...
>>
>>
>> Busch'sMaster wrote:
>> >
>> > "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
>> > news:3DA9E07A.E38C075F@mailcity.net...
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > Richard Cranium wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > Always knew where it was, Georgie-girl, but still haven't
heard
>any
>so
>> > > > called "intruders". You must be enjoying thoser mushrooms!
>> > >
>> > > Try getting a 10 meter receiver that actually works. Been
hearing
>> > > plenty of illegal truckers on 28.085 and that is with just a 50
ft
>> > > wire antenna strung out in the tree. "OH!!" says dickie as he
>> > > slaps his forehead, "an antenna of course!!!, why didn't I
think
>> > > of that?"
>> >
>> > Frank, who really cares? It's in the CW portion of 10. No one
cares.
>>
>> Maybe you, the truckers and some others here don't care, but the
>> hams sure do
>
>Really, no they don't. Otherwise there would be someone
>else here pissing
>and moaning, besides you and Jerry. It's a rather useless 10kc span
of
>spectrum. Stop making mountains out of molehills. Jeesh!

"No one cares"?

-Aaron-

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/04/04/2/

Response to ARRL 10 and 12-Meter Intruder Survey "Overwhelming"

by ARRL Monitoring System Coordinator Brennan Price, N4QX.

NEWINGTON, CT, Apr 4, 2001--The response to ARRL's call last fall for reports of apparent unlicensed operation on 10 and 12 meters has been "overwhelming," according to Brennan Price, N4QX, administrator of the ARRL Monitoring System. The survey last October 1-14 was initiated in response to an increasing number of complaints from the amateur community. Such reports have increased over the past few years, right in line with increasing solar activity.

"We expected a moderate response, but the volume was overwhelming," said Price, who's been analyzing the reports. "More than 400 separate reports, nearly all from United States amateurs, detailed over 1000 separate instances of apparent unlicensed operation."

An analysis of the languages being spoken indicated that a substantial amount--nearly half--of the apparent transmissions originated in the United States. Most of the remaining reports appeared to document transmissions originating in Latin America, with a small fraction, about 2 percent, originating in Europe.

Reports varied from respondent to respondent. A few gave a specific location of the unlicensed operator. The reporters were queried for additional information, but Price said that in all cases, the operation ceased before efforts to find the station could commence.

"The variety of languages, dialects and beam headings relating to these transmissions clearly indicates that this is a worldwide problem" Price said. Surveys by monitoring-system administrators in other IARU Region 2 countries confirm this conclusion.

Price says the data collected have documented the magnitude of the problem and should help the administrations of IARU sister societies to address the problem. He explained, however, that operation within a given country is a matter that only the telecommunications authority in that country can address.

ARRL shared its data with the FCC. Enforcement Bureau Special Counsel Riley Hollingsworth already had indicated a willingness to take action against domestic unlicensed operators, and the results of the survey have prompted a renewed FCC interest on that front. Price points out that before the Commission can take any action, an offending transmission must be documented and its source found.

"Given the changeable nature of 10 and 12-meter propagation, especially at the top of the sunspot cycle, this is not an easy task," Price said. "Domestic intruders should become less widely heard, but more easily found, as the solar cycle declines and propagation tends to become more localized."

Price said the amateur community also has to realize that the FCC's technological resources are limited. "Although the Commission's HFDF facility is a useful tool and is generously made available to help amateur concerns, it is not available for routine requests to DF this type of operation," he said.

One fact remains indisputable: unlicensed operation declines drastically when licensed operators are in abundance and yielding few clear frequencies. On major contest weekends, unlicensed intruders often are nowhere to be found on 10 meters, Price said. Active usage of the spectrum not only is the quickest, easiest way to discourage unlicensed operation, it is also the best way to defend exclusive amateur allocations against interests that continually seek more room for commercial allocations.

Price said the FCC relies on the Amateur Service to be self-policing and has indicated that it is most likely to act in suspected unlicensed operator situations when amateurs themselves document the cases. "It is not easy or quick work, but it has been successfully done in the past," he said.
To introduce amateurs to the work required, ARRL has published--with FCC review and approval--the article, "Unlicensed Operators on the High HF Bands: What to Do?" (QST Mar 2001, pp 102-103).

Since the fall ARRL survey, the FCC has received information regarding instances of unlicensed 10-meter operation. Enforcement letters were expected.

"ARRL appreciates the efforts of all survey respondents who have volunteered their time," Price said in his summary report. "We encourage your continuing efforts to document specific instances of unlicensed operation. We hope that publicity of forthcoming enforcement actions will minimize the problem on the domestic front."

1208. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 06:14:53 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <0-2dnUBmpL1a_TegXTWcpg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139987

> What would be the purpose? You would not be able to make a decent
> contact on 11 meters because of the total chaos that exists there.
> Hams have the entire 10 meter band, they hardly ever used 11
> meters when it was a ham band. That is one reason the FCC took if
> from the amateur service and established the cb band. It is just
> too bad that the operators who use it turned it into such a mess.

With some area of overlap, perhaps we could more easily interest them in
moving up.
SSTV, APRS, packet, psk31, there's lots of things that might interest them
to move up.
Plus, I'd hope that some degree of sanity might rub off on the band :)

1209. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 06:28:42 UTC
From: Eric <alpine@twmi.rr.com.nospam>
Message-ID: <uutq9.55919$kF.8959695@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 139988

Why dont you look into a general class or higher being allowed to run
modifed equipment, also being allowed to build and operate NON TYPE ACCEPTED
equipment. Hams have different guidelines to follow than the general public.
Stop looking under Part 95

1210. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 06:33:05 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <Cf6cnWxyD6WW-DegXTWcow@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 139989

"Eric" <alpine@twmi.rr.com.nospam> wrote in message
news:uutq9.55919$kF.8959695@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> Why dont you look into a general class or higher being allowed to run
> modifed equipment, also being allowed to build and operate NON TYPE
ACCEPTED
> equipment. Hams have different guidelines to follow than the general
public.
> Stop looking under Part 95

Licence class has nothing to do with it. Tech, gen, or extra, all can run
homebrew or modded equipment, in the ham bands.

Similarly, no class of licence allows operating non type accepted gear in
the CB band.

1211. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 06:46:31 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uqkq90n7v787a3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139991

I am no wannabe FCC field officer clown, pal! Obviously you are clueless as well... I was in fact pointing out just how dumb he was... Go find yourself a clue, and then try reply again...

PH

"Eric Kanack" <alpine@twmi.rr.com> wrote in message news:fn9q9.52232$kF.8445322@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> What a jackass
> You are about the damned biggest fool on this NG, asside from the other 2
> wannnabe FCC field officer clowns on here
>
>

1212. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 06:49:58 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uqkqfg8o9d8de9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 139993

See, I knew you were clueless... You can't "legally" operate ANYTHING on (in) the CB band that is not type accepted... Now that doesn't stop most of us, but hey, at least we KNOW the laws unlike yourself, who only THINK you do...

PH

"Eric Kanack" <alpine@twmi.rr.com> wrote in message news:Oooq9.55276$kF.8800691@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> ...and that ticket allows me to own
> and operate modified equipment. So if I want to run a Kenwood TS-950SDX on
> 11 meter (cb), I am legally allowed to aslong as I keep my power output
> within legal limits.

1213. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 06:52:45 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210132252.7e48ee7a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139992

"Eric" <alpine@twmi.rr.com.nospam> wrote in message
news:uutq9.55919$kF.8959695@twister.columbus.rr.com...
>Why dont you look into a general class or higher being allowed to run
>modifed equipment, also being allowed to build and operate NON TYPE
>ACCEPTED equipment. Hams have different guidelines to follow
>than the general public. Stop looking under Part 95.

Where is the section of Part 97 (or any Part of US CFR Title 47) that
grants hams the privilege of running modifed equipment on CB, or
operating non type accepted equipment on CB? There isn't one.

Gotta wonder where these rumors come from. Truck stop techs?

-Aaron-

1214. Re: All New www.TimNebo.com.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 07:41:05 UTC
From: "Alan S." <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92A76F1E72FErrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 139994

timnebo@aol.com187 (Timbo Nebo) wrote in
news:20020928202046.03570.00006931@mb-cr.aol.com:

> be on the look out VERY soon for the new site with utpdated everything
> and all new pics. until then i've just taken everything down and put a
> picture directing to my girlf friends page. y'all can check that out
> if you want but the new site is going to be a huge improvement i thnk
> yall will like it
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>

Hey, how's that new site coming? I've been curious to see what you came up
with. How is it going to be an improvement over what you had, out of
curiosity? Are you almost done?

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

1215. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 11:29:58 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14897-3DAAAAB6-909@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139997

>
With some area of overlap, perhaps we could more easily interest them in
moving up.
SSTV, APRS, packet, psk31, there's lots of things that might interest
them to move up.
Plus, I'd hope that some degree of sanity might rub off on the band :)
<

As long as you stay a nocode, what you think matters to no one, not even
the ARRL.

1216. Re: one stop electronics.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 11:33:10 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14897-3DAAAB76-910@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139996

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0210121629.40338c59@posting.google.com... has anyone ever
bought anything from one stop electronics????? i was thinking about
buying a texas star sweet 16 from them soon....
-
A fool and his money are soon parted.
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
I can give you a diploma, but I can't give you a brain - The Wizard of
Oz
--
No man for any considerable period can wear one face to himself and
another to the multitude, without finally getting bewildered as to which
may be the true - Hawthorne

1217. Re: MARYLAND, HAM, CB'ers!! REACT MEMBERS. HELP CATCH THE COWARD SN...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 11:36:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14898-3DAAAC2C-341@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 139995

From: "Barry Fisher" <kc8pwl@core.com> Group: alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.radio.cb.skip,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: MARYLAND, HAM, CB'ers!! REACT MEMBERS. HELP CATCH THE COWARD SNIPER!!!
Date: Sun, Oct 13, 2002, 3:15pm X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal Organization: Voyager.Net - East Lansing, MI X-Trace: DXC=C8UD<ne3AA7dMjb>0B@cj0ao5L3]O5Wd0DmnhMfAQD?6?bVI4<ST[\16JmKPl`;DP;Ai9<Hj\8CC5XlMoM?9e8[=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@voyager.net had to come in here just to see what you cb'ers are doing these days same dumb shit its always been get a life or maybe thing about getting your ham license
73 , Kc8pwl
-
Hahahahah! Many cbers have their license. It's painfully aware you would like nothing more than to be accepted by upperclassmen, but nothing you can do can change that...see, you need to get a life, maybe "thing" about getting a real hammie license...a serious upgrade.

1218. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 11:40:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14897-3DAAAD19-911@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140005

>Lelnad attempted a conveyance to Tim with:
You should really be paying more attention. The FCC created the FRS service to give the kiddy CBer's some place to hang out and not cause trouble over more than a mile or so. Then they created the MURS channels for those who have a real need for license free local communications over a 5 to 10 mile range fixed or mobile. Or for a few extra bucks for a GMRS license which allows the use of more power and repeaters for city wide coverage. That takes care of the needs for most users of the band. It's not to far fetched to see the day when the FCC pulls the plug on domestic 11m action. Since they are going to pull the plug on analog TV in a few more years, like they switched from low band to mid band VHF for domestic FM broadcasting some long years back, pulling the plug on 11m can happen real easy. All it takes is somebody with a real need for the spectrum, cash in hand, and then just tune in to any of the 40 CB channels for an example of what a sewer pit 11m has turned in to over the years for the final push off the cliff.
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
<

And you shouldn't still be telling people what to do, but since you apparently don't have a problem with it, you won't be upset with me telling you something......educate yourself. The condition of the bands (IE: skip, amps, bababooey) mean nothing in the context of frequency allocation. You upgrading before Christmas? You can change your vanity call plate to refect such positive changes.

1219. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 11:42:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14897-3DAAADBE-912@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140007

Richard wrote:
It's not hard to define at all. It would be stopping Aanal Aaron H. Voobner (a true phoney) from posting his bovine excrement on a regular basis, since it isn't relevant, and because he has no license (or, at best a no-code Tech license) and cannot use HF at all, so has no Right or reason to complain about "intrusions" on 10 meters or anywhere else.
-

To which Lelnad KC8LDO countered:
By your logic above, since your not a female ;-)), you can't voice your opinion about abortion nor even vote on those issues. Since you can't get pregnant you don't have any business telling a female what she can and can't do with her own body, but I'll bet you do it any way.
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
I can do better than bet, I can produce. Your logic and common sense have always went hand-in-hand. To you, they are mere beliefs.
--
common sense is nothing more than a belief held by a large group of people, and has little or nothing to do with the true nature of things
Leland C. Scott
KC8LD0
NCI Member
-
I can give you a diploma, but I can't give you a brain- The Wizard of Oz

1220. Re: Looking for a CB Base with antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 11:44:45 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14897-3DAAAE2D-913@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140006

From: kc8pwl@core.com (Barry Fisher)
Maybe it would be smart to get your Ham Ticket FM is better then AM ,
get a 10 dollar book at radio shack and study and get your license and
talk around the world after getting your code, just a thought .. 11
meters is out of date
-

Really? As a nocode, you haven't experienced all of what hammie radio
has to offer, so one could reasonably expect you to not know which
service is older, as the "node" exam requires virtually no knowledge
concerning hammie radio, theory, nor it's history. You may want to
consider an upgrade.

1221. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 11:48:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14898-3DAAAF11-342@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140004

From: local_42remove@hotmail.com (Dave)
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210130859.579a3f01@posting.google.com... Funny as heck,
Aanal Aaron. What do you call it if not a response?
-
>
You're grasping at straws now. Slobbering with the anticipation of
flaming Aaron.
Geez, you lie like a rug! All you've ever done is fabricate, so we know
who's doing the fabricating.
<

"Aaron isn't the only one on this news group who has been caught in a
lie. "
-

Got that right. Dave Hall has been caught in some terrific whoppers and
even snitched out by other hammies. I recieved several giftwrapped
thoughtful posts and emails concerning his hypoocrisy and
lies.......he's a real troll's troll.
Usenet has outted a great many liars.........Dave Hall was not the
first, and he certainly won't be the last.

>
This is very weak. Richard, I think you can do better. Dave
<

What you think matters to no one.

1222. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 11:53:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14898-3DAAB01C-343@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140003

From: oleshit@hemphead.com (Ole Shit)
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210130904.62435ff2@posting.google.com... Aanal Aaron has
proved that he believes whatever he wants to believe, whether he himself
has posted proof to the contrary or what. Sorta like Georgie-girl Busch:
He doesn't have to prove anything, he just has to say it to make it
true!
-
>
LOL you have been taking notes from Twistie
<

Blesss you my child.
I didn't know you were breaking your neck to join my fan club.
Shake off the lice and choose a bowl, but not the red one, it's for
commie Dave. The pink one is Dogies and you'll have to jockey for the
yellow one, Lelnad prefers it.

>
I see, you make comments can't back them up now you try and turn it
around LOL.
<

We all see you outright lie. This is the usual course of action from
"Arrons" named posse of trouble on rec.radio.cb...Lelnad KC8LDO, Dave
Hall, Frank Gilliland, and yourself.
Besides, you're missing posts again. You asked for a reference even
after you were told you were wrong and I gave you the laws of the entire
state of California along with Santa Cruz, once again showing what an
illiterate joke you have made of yourself. Anyone else you wish to
falsely accuse of something?

>No the post are out there and they don't lie<

You're right...it's you that's lying.Ddo you need to see just exactly
where it is you told me I was wrong concerning Santa Cruz? How about the
post where you asked for proof because you couldn't figure out how to
find it yourself? You RAN from the post, I supplied everything you
asked. Lying is *your* forte, George. It's required to be included in
"Arrons" club of calamity he formed by naming Frank Gilliland, Dave
Hall, Lelnad KC8LDO, and yourself the troublemakers of
rec.radio.cb....all nocodes and an upperclassmen that lies and spies and
drools.

1223. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 11:57:21 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210140357.374ccc0b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210130904.62435ff2@posting.google.com>...
> > couldn't pass the bar exam and the police depts don't want him either.
>
> And nobody at the FCC will listen to him whine.

AMEN!!! He's just an opportunist who wants to be on the side he thinks
is winning. I'm not sure anymore that the dude is even for real... I
mean, someone who carries loyalty to the law to a sickeningly
antisocial level, either has to be godawful clueless or a hardcore
prankster to begin with. Maybe soon the joke will be up, and "Aaron"
will turn out to be some well-known high-powered freebander?

Guy Richards

1224. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 12:01:01 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210140401.8734cb3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 139999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210131208.89dc8bc@posting.google.com>...
> Guy, meet Steve.

Nice try, "Aaron"... but no red ribbon for 2nd place.

Guy Richards

1225. Re: Aaron H Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 12:01:41 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210140401.6cba7a3b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140000

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210131223.10b45482@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message news:...
> > >timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
> > >Aaron is the only "proof" you have that old post
> > >from usenet you keep repeating??? that doesnt look
> > >like hard facts to me at all.
>
> > >If you're convinced it's not factual then you should have no trouble
> > >ignoring it.
> > >
> > >-Aaron-
> >
> >I'm not "convinced", Aanal Aaron; I KNOW it's not factual.
> >You mean you're giving up? No proof? But you p
> (snip)
>
>
>
> You really don't like seeing this, do you, Steve?
>
> -Aaron-

But where's your PROOF, Aanal Aaron? You never have posted any, and
you cannot. Not just your usual lame repetition; PROOF.

1226. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 12:06:22 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210140406.184b41a7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210131208.89dc8bc@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210120329.69a69f43@posting.google.com>...
> > witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
news:<3aed93cc.0210101749.66c23585@posting.google.com>...
> > > > you cannot post one iota of PROOF,
> > > > Aanal Aaron.
> > >
> > > He doesn't need it. Accusation was proof enough for the Spanish
> > > Inquisition, and it's proof enough for him.
> >
> > Aanal Aaron is miles from being a Spanish Inquisition. He's just a
> > pain in everyone's butt. Sorta like a pesky mosquito.
> >
> > > > I want to see your
> > > > PROOF, dimbulb. You got none.
> > >
> > > Temper, temper!!! Aaron will call down the WRATH of the MOST HOLY U.S.
> > > GOVERNMENT to rain fire and brimstone down upon us! We heathen
> > > lawfuckers will BURN!!! (so he says, ROFLMAO)
> > >
> > > Guy
> >
> > No "temper" problem
> (snip)
>
> Guy, meet Steve.
>
> -Aaron-

Still wasting bandwidth with the same lame repetitious post, huh Aanal
Aaron? Where's your PROOF, lamer?

1227. Re: Pissin' N Moanin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 12:07:53 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210140407.46da9276@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140002

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DAA3CCB.9824835B@mailcity.net>...
> Cowboy67 wrote:
> >
> > You people do more pissin' N moanin' than a gal on the rag. stick to radio
> > and quite the dumb ass bickerin'
> >
> > That's my 2 cents worth.
>
> And overvalued at that.

Way overvalued. So quit your pissin' & moanin' "JJ"!

1228. Re: Aaron H Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 13:26:16 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014092616.22688.00007084@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 140008

i have ignored it. just didnt recognize it as proof because it isnt. i was only clarifying what you called proof

>If you're convinced it's not factual then you should have no trouble ignoring
>it.
>
>-Aaron-
>

1229. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 13:31:13 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014093113.22688.00007085@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 140009

frank, unlike you i dont stand up for anybody here but myself. voobner promised proof and i wanted to see it. its not my fault he was only bluffing. he should be more carefull on what he promises in public. dont you think, or do you consider him quoting an old post by somebody else as being solid proof?

>You say want 'proof'? No you don't. You want another reason to whine
>about Voobner.
>

1230. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 13:33:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014093301.22688.00007086@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 140010

did cranium promise to prove it like voobner did or was he just making an unfounded statement in passing? voobner PROMISED he would show proof i never seen richard do tha.t

>
>So what your saying is neither of them gave any proof for either claim yet
>Dick Head try's to push everyone for proof of thier claims. I am just asking
>him to provide proof for his claim is it that hard I mean hell he made the
>statement not me.

1231. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 13:38:48 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014093848.22688.00007087@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 140011

>>Do you think that all these licensees are just "camping"?<<<

actually yes i do because ten years of daily monitoring has never produced any
of these

1232. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 13:42:22 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014094222.22688.00007088@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 140012

georgie it would be a great point if women could produce sperm and inpregante themselfs but since they cant and a man is still very much of the process his analogy really sucks big time

>Leland great point lets see nebro try and weasel his way out of that one.
>> --

> By your logic above, since your not a female ;-)), you can't voice
>> your opinion about abortion nor even vote on those issues. Since you
>> can't get pregnant you don't have any business telling a female what
>> she can and can't do with her own body, but I'll bet you do it any
>> way.

1233. RCI 2950/A99 ANT/Powersupply $220.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 13:51:55 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021014095155.09898.00001597@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 140013

I have for sale a 2950 radio,a 10 AMP triplite power supple in the box and a
Antron A99 New in the box Antenna for $220.00
U ship or pick up in New Jersey(Jersey City)

Also have aRCI 2995DX new in the box for $550.00 10/11/12 meters
150 Watt (PEP)

1234. Re: All New www.TimNebo.com.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 13:53:55 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014095355.11464.00001466@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 140014

hi alan, things are going a bit slowly but i'm redoing it with all news pics and music along with a new lay out. should be done in about a month or so. its taking longer than ive thought but thank you for your interest

>
>
>Hey, how's that new site coming? I've been curious to see what you came up
>with. How is it going to be an improvement over what you had, out of
>curiosity? Are you almost done?
>
>--
>Alan Strawinski
>http://alan.strawinski.net
>rrcb@strawinski.net
>
>
>
>
>
>

1235. Re: one stop electronics.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 14:06:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014100618.13091.00010347@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 140015

itll do more trash watts. go with the texas star. the only people who will tell you different is the davemade/xforce salesmen like lowrider

>
>
>if you buy a 8 pill amp get a Dave Made or X-Force ....8 pill texas stars and
>dave mades pull about 150 to 170 anps ..but go with the 8 pill dave made it
>will do more watts
>
>(Better Then You!!!)
>
>
>
>
>
>

1236. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 14:17:02 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014101702.13091.00010348@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 140016

what an idiot

>
>Im not breaking the law asshole. Besides, I have a ham ticket, probably
>higher class than the losers on here trying to start shit since they would
>have things better to do otherwise.......and that ticket allows me to own
>and operate modified equipment. So if I want to run a Kenwood TS-950SDX on
>11 meter (cb), I am legally allowed to aslong as I keep my power output
>within legal limits.
> So take it and shove it up your ass fool
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1237. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 14:22:58 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014102258.13091.00010350@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 140017

those things are already done on 11 meters. sorry but your late.

>
>With some area of overlap, perhaps we could more easily interest them in
>moving up.
>SSTV, APRS, packet, psk31, there's lots of things that might interest them
>to move up.
>Plus, I'd hope that some degree of sanity might rub off on the band :)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1238. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 14:29:59 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014102959.13091.00010351@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 140018

my point was the 11 meter band is in very heavy usage world wide. the fcc cant pull the plug on it alone and even if the itu tried the third world countries will never give it up. mexico will be 30db over 9 no matter what riley and the feds do and thats just the real life truth whether you guys like it or not

> All it takes
>is somebody with a real need for the spectrum, cash in hand, and then
>just tune in to any of the 40 CB channels for an example of what a
>sewer pit 11m has turned in to over the years for the final push off
>the cliff.
>
>
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>

1239. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 16:02:07 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAAEA7F.835A0AA1@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140036

Dave VanHorn wrote:
>
> > As long as you stay a nocode, what you think matters to no one, not even
> > the ARRL.
>
> Patently false.

Pay no attention to twistie, because he can't even pass the nocode
test he accuses anyone that does have a ham licensee of being a
nocode. There is nothing wrong with holding a nocode license, it
is a good entry class license to ham radio.

1240. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 16:05:21 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAAEB41.9F609A69@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140037

Eric wrote:
>
> Why dont you look into a general class or higher being allowed to run
> modifed equipment, also being allowed to build and operate NON TYPE ACCEPTED
> equipment. Hams have different guidelines to follow than the general public.
> Stop looking under Part 95

The holder of any class of ham license can use modified, or
homebuilt equipment, but on the ham bands only. Holding a ham
license does not grant one the authority to use this equipment on
the cb band.

1241. Re: Pissin' N Moanin'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 16:07:51 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAAEBD7.A0327E50@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140038

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DAA3CCB.9824835B@mailcity.net>...
> > Cowboy67 wrote:
> > >
> > > You people do more pissin' N moanin' than a gal on the rag. stick to radio
> > > and quite the dumb ass bickerin'
> > >
> > > That's my 2 cents worth.
> >
> > And overvalued at that.
>
> Way overvalued. So quit your pissin' & moanin' "JJ"!

Figured out how to attach an antenna to that 10 meter rig yet
dickie?

1242. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 16:18:25 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210140818.57ecca6e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140019

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
>frank, unlike you i dont stand up for anybody here but
>myself. voobner promised
>proof

Can you show me the post where I promised something, Tim? As far as I'm concerned, I've delivered proof -- you guys just don't happen to like it. LOL you're so frustrated lately you and your new buddy have to trump up charges of "undelivered promises from Voobner".

-Aaron-

1243. Re: Aaron H Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 16:26:00 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210140826.d321d64@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140020

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
>i have ignored it. just didnt recognize it as proof
>because it isnt. i was only
>clarifying what you called proof

OK, so if you already ignore it and don't recognize it as proof, then it won't bother you when you see it.

-Aaron-

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH

1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

1244. Re: Aaron H Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 16:30:04 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210140830.628cace3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140021

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210131223.10b45482@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message news:...
> > >timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
> > >Aaron is the only "proof" you have that old post
> > >from usenet you keep repeating??? that doesnt look
> > >like hard facts to me at all.
>
> > >If you're convinced it's not factual then you should have no trouble
> > >ignoring it.
> > >
> > >-Aaron-
> >
> >I'm not "convinced", Aanal Aaron; I KNOW it's not factual.
> >You mean you're giving up? No proof? But you p
> (snip)
>
>
>
> You really don't like seeing this, do you, Steve?
>
> -Aaron-

But where's your PROOF, Aanal Aaron? You never have posted any, and
(snip)

Keep cryin' Steve.

-A

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

1245. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 16:32:10 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210140832.233ef469@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

Richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>Date: Mon, Oct 14, 2002 5:06 AM
>Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210140406.184b41a7@posting.google.com>
>
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210131208.89dc8bc@posting.google.com>...
>> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210120329.69a69f43@posting.google.com>...
>> > witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
news:<3aed93cc.0210101749.66c23585@posting.google.com>...
>> > > > you cannot post one iota of PROOF,
>> > > > Aanal Aaron.
>> > >
>> > > He doesn't need it. Accusation was proof enough for the Spanish
>> > > Inquisition, and it's proof enough for him.
>> >
>> > Aanal Aaron is miles from being a Spanish Inquisition. He's just
a
>> > pain in everyone's butt. Sorta like a pesky mosquito.
>> >
>> > > > I want to see your
>> > > > PROOF, dimbulb. You got none.
>> > >
>> > > Temper, temper!!! Aaron will call down the WRATH of the MOST
HOLY
>U.S.
>> > > GOVERNMENT to rain fire and brimstone down upon us! We heathen
>> > > lawfuckers will BURN!!! (so he says, ROFLMAO)
>> > >
>> > > Guy
>> >
>> > No "temper" problem
>> (snip)
>>
>> Guy, meet Steve.
>>
>> -Aaron-
>
>Still wasting bandwidth with
(snip)

:)

-Aaron-

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

1246. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 16:40:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014124057.02869.00001663@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 140024

aaron, you quoting another persons post is hardly proof but if were going to consider it proof then i can glady prove to you there are at least 12 million cb'ers based on jay's old post. all i have to do is post and repost it a ton of times and it will become proof, correct??? i'm just trying to understand the logic your working with if there even is any

>
>Can you show me the post where I promised something, Tim? As far as
>I'm concerned, I've delivered proof -- you guys just don't happen to
>like it. LOL you're so frustrated lately you and your new buddy have
>to trump up charges of "undelivered promises from Voobner".
>
>-Aaron-
>
>
>
>
>
>

1247. Re: Aaron H Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 16:41:45 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014124145.02869.00001664@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 140023

it doesnt bother me in the slightest but it still isnt any type of proof.

>OK, so if you already ignore it and don't recognize it as proof, then
>it won't bother you when you see it.
>
>-Aaron-

1248. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 16:49:18 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210140849.4acffcd1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140025

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
>>Do you think that all these licensees are just "camping"?<<<
>
>actually yes i do because ten years of daily monitoring
>has never produced any of these

So because you personally have never seen anyone on their property,
it's OK for you to take it?

-A

1249. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 16:52:43 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <5gmdnUli5ZbfazegXTWc2Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140026

> As long as you stay a nocode, what you think matters to no one, not even
> the ARRL.

Patently false.

1250. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 17:02:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014130218.02869.00001668@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 140027

as long as i'm not damaging it then sure. what harm would it cause by me walking across another mans property to get where i need to go? after all i cant put it on my pocket and take it with me. i'm only using it while i'm on it and if i leave it how i found it then theres no real harm.

>So because you personally have never seen anyone on their property,
>it's OK for you to take it?
>
>-A

1251. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 17:02:41 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29792-3DAAF8B1-330@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140030

From: mppd5107@the-police.com (Lenny Stover)
"Lenny Stover" <mppd5107@the-police.com> wrote Whatsamatter? You upset because a CBer is into your "sandbox?
"
And this will be my final comment in this NG. I regret that this thread brought out the "no-code nazis" to dictate federal regulations to the group. I simply had a problem that I needed resolved, and a great number of you were kind enough to help me resolve that problem.
My sincere appreciation to those that provided info relative to my initial post. The mod worked great and I am enjoying the radio. Just one thing in case the question is ever posed to the group again, the wire to cut wasn't green, it was red. Ozark333, I'll "holler" at ya whenever I am in the Springfield area. Thanks again for all the info, folks. See ya.
<

Wasn't your fault, Lenny,,they're always here...you were just too much for them too handle..the last straw for Fra, er, "Arron",,,as it perfectly illustrates the mundane and trivial nature of what they try to portay as criminal. A LEO telling him like it is, shedding some truth on his bullshit wounded what little pride he hasn't stripped himself of. Take it ez and listen up when the west coast of the 'pile is in your radio in skipland.

1252. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 17:05:07 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29791-3DAAF943-814@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140031

"No one cares"?
-Aaron-
http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/04/04/2/

No, and if you read what you posted, the info refers to info gathered
from October 2000.
What has happened since then?
AS he said, no one really cares.

1253. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 17:09:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15148-3DAAFA62-353@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140029

From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
frank, unlike you i dont stand up for anybody here but myself. voobner
promised
proof
-
Can you show me the post where I promised something, Tim? As far as I'm concerned, I've delivered proof -- you guys just don't happen to like it. LOL you're so frustrated lately you and your new buddy have to trump up charges of "undelivered promises from Voobner".
-Aaron-

Sure. Post from voobner@yahoo like you claimed you would...LOL...talk about frustration,,,,.....you made the claim.

1254. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 17:10:04 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210140910.46c51d25@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140028

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
>aaron, you quoting another persons post is hardly proof
>but if were going to consider it proof then i can glady prove
>to you there are at least 12 million cb'ers based on jay's
>old post. all i have to do is post and repost it a
>ton of times and it will become proof, correct???
>i'm just trying to understand the logic your working with
>if there even is any

Go ahead and post Jay's old post, and repost it as much as you want. I might scoff at it the first two times I saw it, but if you posted it after that I'd pretty much ignore it. I certainly wouldn't weep and fret about it day after day and chase you around whining about proof.

>
>>
>>Can you show me the post where I promised something, Tim? As far as
>>I'm concerned, I've delivered proof -- you guys just don't happen
to
>>like it. LOL you're so frustrated lately you and your new buddy have
>>to trump up charges of "undelivered promises from Voobner".
>>
>>-Aaron-

-A

1255. George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 17:44:56 UTC
From: George <George@gaygeorge.com>
Message-ID: <bj0mqu8khfaq87uu5d24bc8o6he67hnhqf@4ax.com>
Source record: 140032

Here George is telling another guy he wants to have anal sex with him.

On Fri, 11 Oct 2002 14:47:23 -0400, "Chapman the Crackman"
<johhnyappleseed@hemp.com> wrote:

>
>
>"daddys little boy" <nancysadouchebag@georgebusch.com> wrote in message
>news:lh4equ8q1aht45d7cbssl3vr86hs47gtgv@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 11 Oct 2002 13:49:36 -0400, "Chapman the Crackman"
>> <johhnyappleseed@hemp.com> wrote:
>>
>> you think your a man so what bitch
>
>Bend over Ill show you how much of a man I am chapwoman
>

Remember George, it doesn't matter which end of the dick your on, its
still a homosexual experience.

1256. News Flash, George is cruel to gerbils

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 17:45:04 UTC
From: George <George@gaygeorge.com>
Message-ID: <kj0mqu8d3jqrp9vm5sb4e4ebvkbn7ur29q@4ax.com>
Source record: 140033

Check this out, George is a gerbil molester

http://george.busch.isgay.com/

1257. Re: News Flash, George is cruel to gerbils

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 17:58:05 UTC
From: george is a bonehead <nancysadouchebag@georgebusch.com>
Message-ID: <ic1mqu8eek0s8fd3rnh2r2cql0p38fspki@4ax.com>
Source record: 140034

now this is fcking funny
BBBBWWwwwwwwwaaaaaaaaaaaaahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhaaaaaaaaaaaa

On Mon, 14 Oct 2002 17:45:04 GMT, George <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote:

>Check this out, George is a gerbil molester
>
>http://george.busch.isgay.com/

1258. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 17:59:06 UTC
From: george is a bonehead <nancysadouchebag@georgebusch.com>
Message-ID: <de1mquolkbavf4a2qg3vdq9fhaorp9na51@4ax.com>
Source record: 140035

a with all the talk he does about homos it woudlndt surprise me.

On Mon, 14 Oct 2002 17:44:56 GMT, George <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote:

>Here George is telling another guy he wants to have anal sex with him.
>
>On Fri, 11 Oct 2002 14:47:23 -0400, "Chapman the Crackman"
><johhnyappleseed@hemp.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>"daddys little boy" <nancysadouchebag@georgebusch.com> wrote in message
>>news:lh4equ8q1aht45d7cbssl3vr86hs47gtgv@4ax.com...
>>> On Fri, 11 Oct 2002 13:49:36 -0400, "Chapman the Crackman"
>>> <johhnyappleseed@hemp.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> you think your a man so what bitch
>>
>>Bend over Ill show you how much of a man I am chapwoman
>>
>
>Remember George, it doesn't matter which end of the dick your on, its
>still a homosexual experience.

1259. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 18:04:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27190-3DAB0738-911@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140039

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <T8Sdnb0dieBALTWgXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>, "Ole Shit"
<oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote:
While you are it have him prove there are 30-40 million Cbers across the
USA
-

>
Didn't Jay come up with a figure like 12 million CBers in the world, or
something like that? Of course that was based on his "marketing"
information that he won't disclose...
<

Gee whiz Frank, ya' would think that you wouldn't ask so much of so many
that you refuse to do yourself. They call that a wad of hypocrisy. I'm
glad to see you enjoyed your weekend.

1260. Re: SWR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 18:14:03 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27191-3DAB096B-351@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140041

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Cowboy67 wrote:
No, not really, You want to make the SWR's as even throughout the band
as possible. that is why you should check it, on CB, at channel 1 - 20 -
& 40
I am not familiar with the wilson antenna, but
<

BUT, you are going to comment on it anyway and give advice...yea, we
know what to expect from the nocodes of cannery row..

>
if it is like most mobile antennas it isn't very broadbanded.
<

Wrong. For cb and freeband purposes, this antenna is very broadbanded. I
can go from 24 to 32 and the highest I'll get anywhere is slightly over
a 2:1 SWR, and this is only in one area. It's dead flat where I want it
and is below 2 almost everywhere else. It's not an accident this is one
of the best mass-produced mobile antennas. Can't comment since Barjan
took them over.

>
  So the best you can do is pick one end of the band or the other,
or middle, where ever you are most likely to transmit and adjust the swr
(swr is singular, not swr's plural) for best performance there. You
aren't likely to get it even over the entire 40 channels. By setting it
at channel 20 that will be the best compromise for the entire band.
<

BS. This antenna can be adjusted to be practically flat out on the legal
40. There is no need for compromise on the legal 40 when speaking of the
SWR in this manner with this antenna.

1261. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 18:24:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27191-3DAB0BC4-352@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140044

Seeing as I don't respond to your posts, it's pretty clear who's doing the "fabricating" here, Guy. But if it makes you happy, go right on imagining that I'm accusing you of jamming, making bogus tapes of you, etc. whatever.
-Aaron-
-

I'm hurt. I thought I was the only one being recorded, (After all, y'all made me famous ya' know, or is that just my delusions manifesting again? YOU decide, Aaron, I like your input, but why would Frank-N-Nad (FrankenNad! Ha! Get it? I kill me!!) be so interested in my personal stuff? I would like your input, Aaron, as to why you think these two angry hammies would choose to persue such information on a lowly cber. Ten-fo'? At least I know the recordings being played aren't bogus.....now as far as what frequency you claim.....that is another story and leads to your malady of impotence. I never realized I sounded THAT good until I heard myself.....dayyyummmm....that export sounds as good as the Ten-Tec.....almost. Would you mind doing it again on AM, say about 10:00 AM tomorrow? Just for a second though, I don't wanna interfere with the freebanders on sideband. Ah, never mind it all.

1262. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 18:28:26 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <zKScndL9qI0tkTagXTWc3g@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140040

> Pay no attention to twistie, because he can't even pass the nocode
> test he accuses anyone that does have a ham licensee of being a
> nocode. There is nothing wrong with holding a nocode license, it
> is a good entry class license to ham radio.

Absolutely. I just got word that another of my students passed his test,
and is on the air.
Me, I never held a no-code ticket, they came in about 6 mos after I got my
novice.

1263. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 18:29:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27191-3DAB0CEC-353@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140043

From: oleshit@hemphead.com (Ole Shit)
Don't break the Law and you won't have to worry about wanna bees it is
that simple.
--

Oh, not many worry, I assure you.

>
If you expect people to believe you,
 it's up you to prove your correct.
<

When asked about the validity of a claim, that would usually be the
proper thing to do. Frank hasn't figured this out. He thinks repetition
of his claims will make it true if he puts it forward enough times. Ask
him about the replies he received concerning the utility communication
companies, or the design company, or the modes that are now used.
Or else you can do get the info yourself then drop this thread as fast
as you dropped the Santa Cruz thread you were dead wrong about. We
wouldn't be offended if you ran from this one as fast as you did the
Santa Cruz thread.....we know how it must pain you, just base it
on Lelnad and Frank's responses and shill posts. Still, it makes one
feel good when they know they have made a fantastic contribution to this
place, such as taking a certain responsibility for making the
malcontented nocodes reduce themselves to the point of..well..you see!
Give a child a sharp object........

1264. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 18:39:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27190-3DAB0F74-915@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140042

From: w5lz@cwis.net ('Doc)
Uncle Charlie,
I'm not particularly worried about part of the
ham spectrum being sold out from underneath me.
<

Of course you're not. You spend more time on cb evidenced by the sheer time you spend among us as compared to the time you spend among the hammie boards. They intimidate ya' Paul? Y'a probably freeband, too. Ask me how I know,,,go on....ask me....I dare ya'!

>
Which doesn't have anything that I can see to do with good operating practices. I think your demonstrated attitude is fairly common on the CB band, unfortunately.
<

As is yours among the hammies that plague both servicest. Hell, they need an upperclassmen to hone their bullshit on, no wonder you're hand is always in the air saying, "OOoooooooo, Mistah Kottah, pick ME PICK ME!"

>
It just shows a lack of foresight.
<

One doesn't need foresight to see that you forsaked your hammie duties, both written and unwritten rules...(morality ya' see)........ Dave Hall said this makes YOU a criminal, Mr Lalli.

>
Good operating practices are not easy to 'do'.
<

For the MAJORITY (hahahahah) it is extremely easy, for one not unlike yourself, we understand...it is the mantra of the nocodes, here, and unfortunately, some upperclassmen such as yourself as well practice this crap.
It requires a little self discipline, will power, and some consideration of others.

<
Hahahaha...Paulie admitting it's a challenge for him to be polite and act human......

>
What goes around, comes around, and that's what you see these

days.
<

I agree. I thnk it's a great thing. A shining example can be seen in all
the ISP's that were ripped from beneath your lousy azzes....but
wait...us lowlife freebanding criminals mostly have the same addy's
,ISP, we always did. AND we still access the group the same
way.....let's start with you Paul, YOU don't. But who cares why, I
chalked it up to "what goes around comes around". ...but who gives a
dman what I think? I'm just here to educate and not let you pearls of
swine intimidate. Now isn't that noble and valiant of me?

>
I think that's a shame, and a sad comment about CB'ers and hams.
'Doc
<

Good thing angry prejudiced hammies opinions like yours have a cb forum
like this to vent. Who knows what you might do with all that rage?

1265. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 18:42:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27190-3DAB1019-916@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140045

>
:But because it lies in the HF band, it would require the blessing of
the ITU.
<

Lelnad Scott, KC8LDO, champeen of NCI, wroted:
All spectrum issues are covered by the ITU at some point, from extremely
low frequency <snip>

Yuh! Validation from you is the last thing one needs. He was correct,
you agreed..the rest is just your bullshit. Have a nice day.

1266. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 18:47:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27190-3DAB1135-917@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140047

Wow, a ton of active licensed users listed. And not one of them are "freebanders". Sure blows hell out of the excuse that it's a "victimless crime"...
-A
-
Prove the active ones. Simply contact a few like you said you would. Whoops, you already did and didn't like the responses you received which is why you clammed up on this last time you tried to ply this bullshit. Matter of FACT, the unactive and deserted "applicants to whom the frequency is rented" far outnumber the active. And not a quarter of them still active....hahahhah! You can post the ones you find valid and active from voobner@yahoo. Anything else is unacceptable and hearsay.

1267. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 18:50:32 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27190-3DAB11F8-919@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140049

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <FQ3q9.2088$014.49165@reggie.win.bright.net>, "ruralreader"
<sylinen@somtel.net> wrote:
and...
for all that licenced use, try monitoring and see if there really is
use? Might just be "camping" like internet domain names. the move on
freq. migh be on the 1GHz and up.
Do you think that all these licensees are just "camping"?
<

Noooope! Most are just abandoned. Dunno where you got that chart, but it's not accurate at all..but hey Frank, you already proved they were abandoned. We had this little session last year where you were shooting your mouth off about the same thing. ANOTHER claim you made was that you were about to contact a bunch of these private companies to ask them if they still use these modes an freqs..you even went as far as to say you would come back here and post the results. As was expected, you turned tail and ran, producing nothing, and when asked about your claim, you ran further.

Appears you indeed did some inquiring and once again the ego damage was too great for you to handle from being wrong and me being right. The info you put forward is deliberately wrong concerning these companies. For the most part, they long ago abandoned these freqs...matter of fact, I did some checking of my own and in the first paragraph of yours I found several frequencies that were not even correct according to your allocation chart...of course, you didn't take into consideration the age of the chart and how many of those businesses simply let their "frequency rental permit" expire..matter of fact, you didn't even bother t check if your info was the least bit accurate.....anyhow, it's not accurate and you know it.

You were called on this before...now post your responses from the company (like you claimed) to prove me wrong. On second thought, you already tried that and failed, didn't you, Frank mah boy? Figured enough time would pass and people would forget? Maybe, but I won't let that happen. Back your claim...this old, outdated and misappropriated chart means nothing. Next time, do the work yourself instead of plagiarizing something you found on the net or parroting another. Or you can post from voobner@yahoo..whoops...no you can't.

1268. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 18:53:05 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27190-3DAB1291-920@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140048

From: oleshit@hemphead.com (Ole Shit)
While you are it have him prove there are 30-40 million Cbers across the
USA
-
What will that prove? Losing face on the Santa Cruz debate didn't
satisfy your voracious appetite,.....ah..well, some prefer the
ornithological diet.

1269. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 19:00:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27191-3DAB143E-354@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140046

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <20021012164412.09717.00001097@mb-fs.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com357
(Uncle Charlie) wrote:
Aaron is the only "proof" you have that old post from usenet you keep
repeating??? that doesnt look like hard facts to me at all. surely
somebody as proper as yourself means actual proof if thats what you said
you would eagerly show. correct?

-

>
If you are going to defend Cranium, why don't you answer some of the
questions he refuses to answer?
<

He's practicing what you preach. You made all kinds of wild claims and
refuse to answer. Matter of fact, you made more incorrect and incoherent
claims than anyone present.

>
  Like, what was his standard of 'proof' that I am Jon Avery?
<

The same that you used to claim I'm in WV.

>
Or his claim that nobody abuses the 10 meter band?
<

Or your claim that freebanders affect your "work".

>
How about explaining why he keeps whining about Voobner under the
premise that Voobner hates CBers,
<

How about explaining why you are so obsessed
with another's actions and personal lives? Is it perhaps, because you
have failed to carve one out fory ourself and blame the cards for the
lousy hand you were dealt in life?

>
but when someone ELSE posts something hateful about CBers he ignores it?
<

It's all coming form the same place....you know...the clowns that you,
er "Arron" named.....Frank Gilliland, KC8LDO Lelnad, Dave Hall.... and
lately, George Busch has been bustin' his azz to sink to your levels.

>
And you STILL haven't found the quote that supports Cranium's claim that Voobner said, "all 10 meter radios are illegal". Where is that post, Timmy?
<

And you still haven't found out who I am and it is eating you up. As a matter of fact, you have embarrassed yourself so bad with your accusations, you now focus on others, and wisely so. Always pick on those smaller than you and those you know you can push around, Frank. It's what bullies do.

>
You say want 'proof'? No you don't. You want another reason to whine about Voobner.
<

And you want me to quit shining a light on your errors. Ain't gonna happen as long as you troll this place.

1270. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 19:13:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27190-3DAB1758-924@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140053

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Dave VanHorn wrote:
Patently false.
Pay no attention to twistie, because

<snip>

Bcause "twistie" will tell it like it is with no bullshit. That hurts
these nocodes such as "Nad and N8. It greatlyupsets people like JerryO
who have an agenda and who is also becoming more like the infamous
nocodes on a daily basis (yea I see you, Jerry)
Matter of fact, it's only one of the reasons you pizzed upon angry
handful of hammies are underground....you've decredibilized yourselves
with a little help from lowly cbers.

>
he can't even pass the nocode test
<

That's rich. An anonymous well known cb-hating hammie troll who has
accused me of being anonymous (Cb didn't do away with handles and become
surname proper), yet claims he holds information on me enough to know
I'm not a nocode.
JJ, you're beginning to bore me silly with your left-field hazards, and
are beginning to sound as jealous as Lelnad.

>
he accuses anyone that does have a ham licensee of being a nocode.
<

Not true. I spent much of today with
a good friend who is a hammie.
I know he isn't a nocode. I have many hammie friends that aren't
nocodes....probably more than you, judging by your radiantand shining
personality.....

>
There is nothing wrong with holding a nocode license, it is a good entry
class license to ham radio.
<

And that's all it is...an entry.
Tell me, once you open a door, do you continue to close it and walk back
through it again and repeat it over and over or do you walk through it
and keep advancing? (sigh) Never mind.

1271. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 19:15:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27190-3DAB17CE-925@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140050

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Eric wrote:
Why dont you look into a general class or higher being allowed to run modifed equipment, also being allowed to build and operate NON TYPE ACCEPTED equipment. Hams have different guidelines to follow than the general public. Stop looking under Part 95
--
The holder of any class of ham license can use modified, or homebuilt equipment, but on the ham bands only. Holding a ham license does not grant one the authority to use this equipment on the cb band.

Why, "JJ". How eloquently put. Well said. Why can't all your posts be helpful instead of inflammatory?

1272. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 19:17:52 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27191-3DAB1860-356@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140052

From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)

As long as you stay a nocode, what you think matters to no one, not even the ARRL.

>Patently false.<

I have my opinion and you have yours. I'm anxious to hear how you think they care and what they have done for YOU as a nocode.

1273. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 19:20:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27191-3DAB1903-357@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140051

From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
Absolutely. I just got word that another of my students passed his
test, and is on the air.
Me, I never held a no-code ticket, they came in about 6 mos after I got
my novice.
-
Novice? You can disregard my question about how the ARRL helped you and
has your best interests at heart, as you wouldn't know, since you were
never a nocode. Student? Are you a v.e.?

1274. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 19:33:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27192-3DAB1BFB-124@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140054

From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
Do you think that all these licensees are just "camping"?<<<

actually yes i do because ten years of daily monitoring has never
produced any of these

>So because you personally have never seen anyone on their property,
it's OK for you to take it?
-A
<

That was the fastest defeat I ever saw of Aaron.

1275. Re: Amplifier Square Wave Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 19:45:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27191-3DAB1EE1-361@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140060

Yeti@cet.com wrote:
how does I toon da SWR on me ninty-six pill linyar?"
-

You mean you don't know?

1276. Re: Export Chasis Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 19:52:22 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27191-3DAB2076-362@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140061

From: macgyver9@iname.com (Macgman)
Hello,
I have been out of the swing of things for a while. I recently came back
to CB to find out that the old familiar faces are gone. Who makes a good
export CB (10m/11m) radio these days? Also, what chasis is it based on?
Thanks in advance.
Best Regards,
Mac
-

Hmmmm...mobile or base? What's your poison, AM or SSB?
Since you specifically mentioned an export 10/11 meter radio I would
look at a Galaxy with an older board from Malaysia..for AM, they are
good, loud and dependable....can even take them 4 wheeling if they're
mounted right...no problem at all.

1277. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 20:00:43 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <YpKcnaZTm6DxvzagXTWcoQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140055

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message

Or else you can do get the info yourself then drop this thread as fast
as you dropped the Santa Cruz thread you were dead wrong about.

Sorry Twist you never posted the info that you claimed to be true instead
you tell me to look for it well Twist ya know what No Banana. Remember this
classic line from Cranium " If you expect people to believe you, it's up
you to prove your correct"
Instead Twist just because you say it doesn't make it so I am still waiting
for you to provide proof of your claim re: Santa Cruz.

We
wouldn't be offended if you ran from this one as fast as you did the Santa
Cruz thread.....

Twist I didn't run from anything I am still waiting for you to provide proof
of your outlandish claims, surely you would want toi do this to shut me up
instead you make the claims and expect me to go on a goose hunt for
something you claim, soryy twit it don't work that way.

""Just because you say it doesn't make it true and If you expect people to
believe you, it's up you to prove your correct.""

1278. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 20:01:36 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <dzadnWK_DMs9vzagXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140056

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:uqkq90n7v787a3@corp.supernews.com...
> I am no wannabe FCC field officer clown, pal! Obviously you are clueless
as
> well... I was in fact pointing out just how dumb he was... Go find
> yourself a clue, and then try reply again...
>
> PH

Maybe not but he hit the jackass part right on the head

1279. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 20:03:56 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <IfOdnWbIyYaxvjagXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140057

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021014093301.22688.00007086@mb-cb.aol.com...
> did cranium promise to prove it like voobner did or was he just making an
> unfounded statement in passing? voobner PROMISED he would show proof i
never
> seen richard do tha.t

Tim you sound like a fucking 10 year old. Did he promise to prove it.LOL No
asshole I didn't get a promisary note so what the fuck does that mean.
Better yet aaron don't promise anything ever to these fools and you too can
uses timmys 8th grade excuses.

1280. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 20:06:09 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <yDednSSBuMAvvjagXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140058

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:27190-3DAB1291-920@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: oleshit@hemphead.com (Ole Shit)
While you are it have him prove there are 30-40 million Cbers across the
USA
-
What will that prove? Losing face on the Santa Cruz debate didn't
satisfy your voracious appetite,.....ah..well, some prefer the
ornithological diet.

Twithead I didn't lose anything Marijuna use is Illegal in Santa Cruz.
Plain and simple, end of story until you prove otherwise Ill just sit here
and wait seems like it is eating at you

1281. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 20:10:51 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <jrmcnfuitPpRuTagXTWc2Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140059

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021014094222.22688.00007088@mb-cb.aol.com...
> georgie it would be a great point if women could produce sperm and
inpregante
> themselfs but since they cant and a man is still very much of the process
his
> analogy really sucks big time

WTF are you talking about Nebro? Who cares if a women can or cannot
impregnate themselves, If they asked you should abortion be legal you can't
or shouldn't answer the question since you cannot have babies your not
allowed to have a say. What part of his analogy didnt you understand drug
head?

1282. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 20:16:10 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <hZmcnWz5Sp6XuzagXTWcow@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140062

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:14898-3DAAB01C-343@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
You're right...it's you that's lying.Ddo you need to see just exactly
where it is you told me I was wrong concerning Santa Cruz? How about the
post where you asked for proof because you couldn't figure out how to
find it yourself? You RAN from the post, I supplied everything you
asked.
****

You did perhaps I missed the post, but my recolection says you told me to
search for it you werent going to do it for me so Twitsy. How did you
supply the proof of your claim that marijuana is legal in Santa Cruz

Lying is *your* forte, George.
****
Twisty that exclusive right is your and your alone.Kicking yoiur ass is my
forte, Rambling bullshit post filled with misconceptions and Lies are you MO

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

1283. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 20:23:43 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <A6acnafMy_9NujagXTWcpw@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140063

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message

Blesss you my child. I didn't know you were breaking your neck to join my
fan club.

Fan Club? Sorry don't try and flatter yourself numnuts the only thing I
would break twisty is your pencil neck

We all see you outright lie.
****
Really post something I lied about

Besides, you're missing posts again. You asked for a reference even
after you were told you were wrong and I gave you the laws of the entire
state of California along with Santa Cruz, once again showing what an
illiterate joke you have made of yourself. Anyone else you wish to
falsely accuse of something?

>No the post are out there and they don't lie<

You're right...it's you that's lying.Ddo you need to see just exactly
where it is you told me I was wrong concerning Santa Cruz?

Yes, and show me the proof that what you claim is indeed exactly correct

How about the post where you asked for proof because you couldn't figure
out how to find it yourself?
****
I want to see the post where you provide proof of your claims. Just because
you say it doesnt make it so.

You RAN from the post.
****
I didn't run anywhere, I grew tired of waiting for you to post proof
instead of telling me to find it you made the claim just back it up with
solid proof that marijuana is LEGAL in Santa Cruz without a doctors
prescription. It should be real simple to find since you said you posted the
info just repost califirnias Laws or whatever.

I supplied everything you asked.
****
Except proof that marijuna is legal in Santa Cruz without a precription.

I am still waiting and please remember "Just because you say it doesn't make
it so."

1284. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 20:27:14 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <B4mcnfRHZdQ_tTagXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140064

"George" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message
news:bj0mqu8khfaq87uu5d24bc8o6he67hnhqf@4ax.com...
> Here George is telling another guy he wants to have anal sex with him.

Really where did I say that i think your homo mind is imagining things, I
was thinikng about jamming a broomstick up his ass your the one with dick
and anal sex on your mind. Is your name Mark Beck?

> >> you think your a man so what bitch

> >Bend over Ill show you how much of a man I am chapwoman

> Remember George, it doesn't matter which end of the dick your on, its
> still a homosexual experience.

I guess you talk from experiance huh.

1285. amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 20:33:22 UTC
From: Dan Lagace <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca>
Message-ID: <mSFq9.1429$Zv3.308911@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 140065

I'm looking for something to boost my signal strength and RX/TX range. I was told i needed a linear amp. Who makes decent ones? How do they work? Recommend any websites I could read up on?

DL

1286. Twitsy Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 20:42:45 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <mvecnTW9o47YsTagXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140066

I thought marijuana was Legal Twitsy, you said it was decriminalized what about these prison terms, For you to have pot someone had to sell it you right?

Selling marijuana in any amount is punishable by 2 - 4 years in the state prison.

Means it is ILLEGAL

Sale of marijuana to a minor is punishable by 3 - 5 years in prison.

Means Illegal

Possession of 28.5 grams or less of marijuana on school grounds when the school is open is punishable by up to 10 days in jail and a $500 fine. Possession of greater than 28.5 grams or more of marijuana in a school zone is punishable by up to six months in jail and a fine of up to $500.

Means it is Illegal

Cultivation
Offense
Any amount (exception for patients or caregivers) felony 16 months

Possession
Offense Jail time Fine
28.5 g or less misdemeanor none $100
More than 28.5 g misdemeanor 6 months $500
28.5 g or less on school grounds while school open misdemeanor 10 days $500
More than 28.5 g on school grounds while school open misdemeanor 6 months $500

Decriminalization: The state has decriminalized marijuana to some degree. Typically, decriminalization means no prison time or criminal record for first-time possession of a small amount for personal consumption.

1287. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 20:45:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29791-3DAB2CE4-843@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140070

From: oleshit@hemphead.com (Ole Shit)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:27190-3DAB1291-920@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
oleshit@hemphead.com (Ole Shit) While you are it have him prove there
are 30-40 million Cbers across the USA
-
What will that prove? Losing face on the Santa Cruz debate didn't
satisfy your voracious appetite,.....ah..well, some prefer the
ornithological diet.

>Twithead I didn't lose anything Marijuna use is Illegal in Santa Cruz.
Plain and simple, end of story until you prove otherwise Ill just sit
here and wait seems like it is eating at you<<

Hi, George. I claimed it was decriminalized, you said it wasn't so and
asked for proof. I don't see you as a mess of total contempt yet, and as
you asked politely, I so chose to provide you with a page of California
drug laws showing my exact claim correct.
Nah, George, nothing is eating me, but I did notice it seems like you
are busting your tail more and more each day to lay with the swine.
Usually you throw your one-liners at Landshark or someone else and get
on down the road......but lately, I've noticed a shift in attitude from
you. Everything ok up there? It's a little early for the winter blues
and depression to be kicking in...hasn't even snowed except a few
flurries this morning in MN.

1288. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 20:54:11 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29792-3DAB2EF3-351@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140069

From: oleshit@hemphead.com (Ole Shit)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
Or else you can do get the info yourself then drop this thread as fast as you dropped the Santa Cruz thread you were dead wrong about.

>Sorry Twist you never posted the info that you claimed to be true instead you tell me to look for it well Twist ya know what No Banana.<<

You missed the post. I did post the link to the laws...go back and check it out.

.

> Remember this classic line from Cranium " If you expect people to believe you, it's up you to prove your correct"
<

I tend to agree, especially if someone questions the claim and it seems outrageous, and most of it is that comes from the house of Usher that Frank Gilliland, Lelnad KC8LDO, and Dave Hall, named by "Aaron" attempt to re-deconstruct.

>Instead Twist just because you say it doesn't make it so I am still waiting for you to provide proof of your claim re: Santa Cruz.
<<

Already did. Get one of your buds to teach you how to find the thread and click on the appropriate link, Frank is an expert in google, maybe he'll help, or are you still pissed at him for including you in his named posse of hate?

We wouldn't be offended if you ran from this one as fast as you did the Santa Cruz thread..........

>Twist I didn't run from anything I am still waiting for you to provide proof of your outlandish claims, surely you would want toi do this to shut me up instead you make the claims and expect me to go on a goose hunt for something you claim, soryy twit it don't work that way.
<<

See above.

>""Just because you say it doesn't make it true and If you expect people to believe you, it's up you to prove your correct.""<<

Tend to agree.

1289. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 20:55:57 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <B8qdnUnR4JffsjagXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140067

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:27191-3DAB1903-357@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
Absolutely. I just got word that another of my students passed his
test, and is on the air.
Me, I never held a no-code ticket, they came in about 6 mos after I got
my novice.
-
Novice? You can disregard my question about how the ARRL helped you and
has your best interests at heart, as you wouldn't know, since you were
never a nocode. Student? Are you a v.e.?

I will be once I get my paperwork turned in.
I run classes locally for anyone who is interested in getting a ticket.
You don't have to be a ve to run classes, just to give the test for real.
We use online tests for practice, to find out what parts they are weak on,
then we go through that so they understand it, not just drilling on the test
answers. When you understand the reasons behind the answers, then the
questions are easy.

As far as the arrl, I've never noticed any help from them.. Could be my
local section manager, a luddite at heart.

1290. Re: Twitsy Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 21:01:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29791-3DAB309A-847@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140071

From: oleshit@hemphead.com (Ole Shit)
>I thought marijuana was Legal Twitsy,<

Well, you were wrong, George, but I ain't gonna gloat over it,,,no
biggie.

> you said it was decriminalized <

Yes, I did. Scroll down to the bottom and you will see it is.
Why did you hack off the rest of it showing the fines as the stiffest
penalty for misdemeanors? No matter, you see what I said was indeed
correct.

what about these prison terms, For you to have pot someone had to sell
it you right?
Selling marijuana in any amount is punishable by 2 - 4 years in the
state prison.
Means it is ILLEGAL
Sale of marijuana to a minor is punishable by 3 - 5 years in prison.
Means Illegal
Possession of 28.5 grams or less of marijuana on school grounds when the
school is open is punishable by up to 10 days in jail and a $500 fine.
Possession of greater than 28.5 grams or more of marijuana in a school
zone is punishable by up to six months in jail and a fine of up to $500.
Means it is Illegal
            Cultivation
Offense
            Any amount (exception for patients
or caregivers) felony 16 months
            Possession
Offense   Jail time Fine
            28.5 g or less misdemeanor none $100
            More than 28.5 g misdemeanor 6
months $500   28.5 g or less on school grounds while school open
misdemeanor 10
days $500
            More than 28.5 g on school grounds
while school open misdemeanor 6 months $500
Decriminalization: The state has decriminalized marijuana to some
degree.
Typically, decriminalization means no prison time or criminal record for
first-time possession of a small amount for personal consumption

1291. help with mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 21:09:03 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021014170903.13720.00001419@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 140068

can anyone tell me what to do to get more channels from my realistic trc440
base
station also how can i boost my wattage

1292. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 21:12:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29793-3DAB3346-125@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140078

From: oleshit@hemphead.com (Ole Shit)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:14898-3DAAB01C-343@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net... You're
right...it's you that's lying.Ddo you need to see just exactly where it
is you told me I was wrong concerning Santa Cruz? How about the post
where you asked for proof because you couldn't figure out how to find it
yourself? You RAN from the post, I supplied everything you asked.
****
You did perhaps I missed the post, but my recolection says you told me
to search for it you werent going to do it for me so Twitsy. How did you
supply the proof of your claim that marijuana is legal in Santa Cruz
<<

Don't have to. I never said it was legal....you simply claimed that I
did.......the same tired tactic failed at by Lelnad KC8LDO and Frank
Gilliland and Dave Hall. When someone posts something contrary to what
you like, you gals immediately attempt (but fail) to make the post of a
personal nature in an effort to redirect the buffoonery y'all just
committed.

Lying is *your* forte, George.
****
>Twisty that exclusive right is your and your alone.<<

George, if I ever lied, you wouldn't have to say I lied, you'd just post
it.....even Lelnad KC8LDO and Frank Gilliland couldn't do that, so they
took to forging and crossposting to hammie forums....I can't blame them
for all of it, as it was another upperclassmen, but one low in class by
the name of Charles Johnston, AB7SL that crossposted the forge, but hey,
like Dave Hall says,,,it's the biggest compliment he could possibly pay
me.

>Kicking yoiur ass is my forte, Rambling bullshit post filled with
misconceptions and Lies are you MO <<

If you could back your guff you wouldn't hesitate to post it...but
you;re a funny guy anyway, even if you are hellbent on aspiring to
destatus your ticket.
--
  If you expect people to believe you,
 it's up you to prove your correct.
Richard Cranium

1293. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 21:14:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29791-3DAB33CA-852@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140077

I supplied everything you asked.
****
George ran with:
Except proof that marijuna is legal in Santa Cruz without a precription.
I am still waiting and please remember "Just because you say it doesn't
make it so."
-
Keep waiting then George, 'cause my claim that is was decriminalized was
proven. You are confusing me with another.

1294. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 21:30:05 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <NYmcnT0FvpvDqjagXTWc3A@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140072

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021014102258.13091.00010350@mb-mf.aol.com...
> those things are already done on 11 meters. sorry but your late.

Yes they are copied from amatuer radio then used Illegally by frebanders
such as yourself. What is CB famous for inventing like ham radio is.

> >With some area of overlap, perhaps we could more easily interest them in
> >moving up.
> >SSTV, APRS, packet, psk31, there's lots of things that might interest
them
> >to move up.
> >Plus, I'd hope that some degree of sanity might rub off on the band :)
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

1295. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 21:33:53 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <dYqcnUk0guvfpTagXTWc2Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140073

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021014130218.02869.00001668@mb-cr.aol.com...
> as long as i'm not damaging it then sure. what harm would it cause by me
> walking across another mans property to get where i need to go? after all
i
> cant put it on my pocket and take it with me. i'm only using it while i'm
on it
> and if i leave it how i found it then theres no real harm.

Do you leave your doors unlocked at nite Tim? I guess with your analogys you wouldn't mind me coming into your home and using your toilet etc whenever I felt like it perhaps 1 2 3 am ? as long as I keep it clean right? How about I use your old lady, as long as I leave her the way she was you won't mind right? I mean what harm would it cause if I needed to get a nut off and she just happened to be there. Nah you wouldn't like that would ya Timmy. See some of us are able to pay our way to own our own land and we will decide who we want to walk on it . Now can you dig that Sucka?

1296. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 21:35:50 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <deqdnRB1C7IqpTagXTWc3Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140074

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:27192-3DAB1BFB-124@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
Do you think that all these licensees are just "camping"?<<<

actually yes i do because ten years of daily monitoring has never
produced any of these

You listen 24-7, 365 days a year? on 26 mhz up to 28.00omhz continously
monitoring. How do you listen to 27.860 when your listening to 27.555 or
transmitting? I know your psychotic right .

>So because you personally have never seen anyone on their property,
it's OK for you to take it?
-A
<

That was the fastest defeat I ever saw of Aaron.

1297. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 21:37:54 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uqmed27c1jc762@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 140076

"Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote in message
news:dzadnWK_DMs9vzagXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:uqkq90n7v787a3@corp.supernews.com...
> > I am no wannabe FCC field officer clown, pal! Obviously you are
clueless
> as
> > well... I was in fact pointing out just how dumb he was... Go find
> > yourself a clue, and then try reply again...
> >
> > PH
>
> Maybe not but he hit the jackass part right on the head
>

Stop looking in the mirror when you reply to postings.. There is no
Jack-Asses on this end, just someone with more than enough intelligence and
thought process to put you to shame...

PH

1298. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 21:39:28 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <nESdnTIHNIcNpDagXTWcqw@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140075

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021014102959.13091.00010351@mb-mf.aol.com...
> my point was the 11 meter band is in very heavy usage world wide. the fcc
cant
> pull the plug on it alone and even if the itu tried the third world
countries
> will never give it up. mexico will be 30db over 9 no matter what riley and
the
> feds do and thats just the real life truth whether you guys like it or not

Guess you have never heard of the Russian woodpecker, if the FCC likes they can render 11 meters useless and run your sorry ass off of there. Or they can enforce those stupid enough to continue to talk there pick your poison.

1299. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 21:47:10 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210141347.2ae52348@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140079

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3da89fb7.8183495@news.cet.com>...
> In <20021012164412.09717.00001097@mb-fs.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com357
> (Uncle Charlie) wrote:
>
> >Aaron is the only "proof" you have that old post from usenet you keep
> >repeating??? that doesnt look like hard facts to me at all. surely somebody as
> >proper as yourself means actual proof if thats what you said you would eagerly
> >show. correct?
>
> If you are going to defend Cranium?

Where did he say that, Lamer Franikie-girl? He didn't. Another BS
misinterpretation from you.

why don't you answer some of the
> questions he refuses to answer? Like, what was his standard of 'proof'
> that I am Jon Avery? Or his claim that nobody abuses the 10 meter
> band?

I never said that; I said that I haven't yet heard anyone "intruding".
Making things up as you go, Frankie-girl? Naturally you are.

How about explaining why he keeps whining about Voobner under
> the premise that Voobner hates CBers, but when someone ELSE posts
> something hateful about CBers he ignores it? And you STILL haven't
> found the quote that supports Cranium's claim that Voobner said, "all
> 10 meter radios are illegal". Where is that post, Timmy?

You really mean YOU haven't found it, Lamer Frankie-girl. It's there,
you might actually have to search for it.

> You say want 'proof'? No you don't. You want another reason to whine
> about Voobner.

Nobody needs a reason to "whine" about Voobnutz. He gives everyone
plenty of reason to whine about him. And why are YOU answering for him
yet again, Frankie-girl?

1300. Re: Looking for a CB Base with antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 21:47:26 UTC
From: * RADIOSERVICE 39 * <cbradioservice@poczta.gazeta.pl>
Message-ID: <aofe24$l8j$2@news.gazeta.pl>
Source record: 140080
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.cb.skip,rec.radio.cb

"Barry Fisher" <kc8pwl@core.com>wrote :
> Maybe it would be smart to get your Ham Ticket ,
* Im a ham and CB-er. I never say, ham is much better than CB
and never say, CB is much better than ham
> FM is better then AM
* but on the end of the range AM is MUCH BETTER !
>, get a 10 dollar book at radio shack and study and get your
> license and talk around the world after getting your code,
> just a thought ..
* beeing a ham radio operator doesn't disturb beeing a CB-er
>11 meters is out of date
* how did you get opinion such this?
Maybe your post is out of date, but not CB
Best regards,
Chris
SP 7 WME , ham for many years & CB-er for many years too.
radio39@wp.pl

--
Serwis Usenet w portalu Gazeta.pl -> http://www.gazeta.pl/usenet/

1301. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 21:49:35 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210141349.7e451234@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
news:<3aed93cc.0210140401.8734cb3@posting.google.com>...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210131208.89dc8bc@posting.google.com>...
> > Guy, meet Steve.
>
> Nice try, "Aaron"... but no red ribbon for 2nd place.
>
> Guy Richards

Not even a Black ribbon for Last Place! He hasn't got a clue, but then
he never did have one!

1302. Re: George

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 21:50:03 UTC
From: GMpartsguy <kb1cih@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <f_Gq9.46242$oq6.12336@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 140082

Small piece of advice laddie..
While yer busy playing your pretend war and starting threads to verbally lob granades back and forth, run yonder post through a spell checker. Those sharp witticisms will make a bigger impact on enemy soil when launched properly....Thanks :)
Back to your regularly scheduled hatred !

1303. Re: Update on Yeti's amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 21:50:58 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210141350.6098ae0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140083

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d950f9c.8165205@news.cet.com>...
> In <d6vbqi8qa4rn7dif1godoqgu6ph4tbg7jt@4ax.com>, Ken
> <dallastopcat@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > Its 50% efficient because the sine swings from B+ to ground. So
> >any tube that does that is 50% efficient. Quite a way to `measure
> >efficiency?
>
> <<*-plonk-*>>

PLONK?? What a way to treat someone who knows what he's talking about.
Unlike you, Frankie.

1304. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 22:07:36 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <tD2dncbdYNSR3TagXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140084

> Rock on,Dave. Do you still use 11 meters?

Very infrequently. I find it essentially useless near cities, but on the road I've had as much luck in finding interesting people to chat with as on the ham bands. It's certainly more useful for highway conditions.

1305. Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 22:10:09 UTC
From: nunofyurbiznes@cs.com
Message-ID: <20021014181009.02402.00001443@mb-ct.news.cs.com>
Source record: 140086

This may be a silly question,but...if HAMS are legally allowed to use 1500 watts (,just as c.b.ers are allowed to use 5 watts a.m.) how can you tell if a HAM is using more than allowed ? Does this ever happen ? I mean in todays "age of mega-power",dial -a-watt,multi KW capabilities,isn't it just as easy for a HAM to use too much power as it is a c.b.er ?
Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp

1306. Re: George

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 22:10:31 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <EIOcnX9-LLBK3TagXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140085

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021014175411.440$CG@newsreader.com...
> "GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com> wrote:
> >>Small piece of advice laddie..
> > While yer busy playing your pretend war and starting threads to
verbally
> > lob granades back and forth, run yonder post through a spell checker.
> > Those sharp witticisms will make a bigger impact on enemy soil when
> > launched properly....Thanks :)
> > Back to your regularly scheduled hatred !
> >
> Which part didn't you understand,spell boy?

LOL Poor Lawn boy gets mad when someone corrects him but he likes toi play
NG Cop too. LOL You are a jerk off for sure Steve Parks medina Ohio

1307. extra channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 22:30:28 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021014183028.28420.00001401@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 140087

Can someone email with instruction for getting extra channels for a Realistic trc440 base

1308. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 22:35:17 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <dpqdnezC0ecX2zagXTWc3Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140088

"Scooter Tramp" <nunofyurbiznes@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20021014181009.02402.00001443@mb-ct.news.cs.com...
> This may be a silly question,but...if HAMS are legally allowed to use 1500
> watts (,just as c.b.ers are allowed to use 5 watts a.m.) how can you tell
if a
> HAM is using more than allowed ?

It's called a station inspection.

Does this ever happen ?

Ever, probably.
Is it more than 0.1%, I'd guess not.
Doubling your power, all other things remaining the same, increases your
signal at the other end by 50%. At 1kW, you're way into diminishing
returns.

I mean in todays "age
> of mega-power",dial -a-watt,multi KW capabilities,isn't it just as easy
for a
> HAM to use too much power as it is a c.b.er ?
> Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp

Also depends on the band, I don't know anyone who could afford, or could use
1kW on 24GHz.
OTOH, I have a schematic and construction article for converting a microwave
oven to an FSTV transmitter on the 2.4GHz band, and a 1400 watt oven! :)

1309. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 22:37:54 UTC
From: Aaron Boobner is not his real name <AaronFakeNameUser@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aofh02$t8c$3@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140089

Hello there,

: This may be a silly question,but...if HAMS are legally allowed to use 1500
: watts (,just as c.b.ers are allowed to use 5 watts a.m.) how can you tell if a
: HAM is using more than allowed ?

By measurement of their signal done locally and even to some relative
extent from a distance using calibrated S-Meter and some references. Other
measurement methods also work pretty well.

: Does this ever happen ?

All the time...

: I mean in todays "age of mega-power",dial -a-watt,multi KW
: capabilities,isn't it just as easy for a
: HAM to use too much power as it is a c.b.er ?
: Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp

Done all the time... even many legal amateur amplifiers will exceed the
authorized power level.

cheers
skipp

http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1310. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 22:42:50 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210141442.2e33604d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message news:...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message news:...
>> > Guy, meet Steve.
> >
> >Nice try, "Aaron"... but no red ribbon for 2nd place.
> >
> >Guy Richards
>
>Not even a Black ribbon for Last Place! He hasn't got a clue, but
then
>he never did have one!

You'll have to forgive Steve, he's a bit grumpy due to the fact that
he can no longer troll newsgroups anonymously.

-Aaron-

--
From: Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: More Fresh Stinky Meat-This Troll SHOULD Die
Newsgroups: alt.troll-bustersView this article only
Date: 2002-02-20 18:16:05 PST

rbcn1@hotmail.com (Radio Bob) wrote in message
news:<3d34cfcd.0202181325.659e43a7@posting.google.com>...
> Please go feed on Richard Cranium, richcranus@yahoo.com
> (well at least
> he's got the dickhead part right) This gormless nobrainer has
> visciously attacked me at alt.radio.pirate and has furthered the
> attack by going far out of his way to implicate real and totally
> innocent persons near my hometown area. He has established a false
> email account under the name of: Sabrina_Frederic@hotmail.com where he
> also launches spew from. He's further made a false claim against me
> and had my primary email account cancelled. I'd go after him myself
> but am new at usenet ect...and not sure what to do other than to not
> respond to tolls. Pleased to find this group where possibly some good
> sam's may reside who might be inclined to assist. If anyone needs to
> contact me by email please post message here and I'll get back to you.
> Thanks

Excuse me, "Bobbie-girl", but if the claim against you was false, why
was your account cancelled? And what makes you think I send it?

You've been on the usenet for years, bobbie-girl, and anybody can do a search to prove that. Just search for Radio Bob and/or rbcn1.

Still jamming the ham repeaters in Cornelia, GA?

TROLL!!

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

1311. Re: amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 22:43:50 UTC
From: Dan Lagace <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca>
Message-ID: <GMHq9.2628$Md7.337064@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 140091

I have mobile equipment, but it is at home. I run a Wilson 1000 antenna with
a Uniden Grant LT radio.

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021014170659.308$1m@newsreader.com...
> "Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote:
> >>I'm looking for something to boost my signal strength and RX/TX range. I
> > > was told i needed a linear amp. Who makes decent ones? How do they work?
> > > Recommend any websites I could read up on?
> > >
> > > DL
> > >
> Are you mobile or base? What antenna are you using?
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

1312. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 23:04:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14897-3DAB4D8A-997@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140092

From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:27191-3DAB1903-357@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
  Absolutely. I just got word that another of my students passed his
test, and is on the air.
Me, I never held a no-code ticket, they came in about 6 mos after I got
my novice.

-

>Novice? You can disregard my question about how the ARRL helped you and
has your best interests at heart, as you wouldn't know, since you were
never a nocode. Student? Are you a v.e.?
--

>I will be once I get my paperwork turned in. I run classes locally for
anyone who is interested in getting a ticket. You don't have to be a ve
to run classes, just to give the test for real. We use online tests for
practice, to find out what parts they are weak on, then we go through
that so they understand it, not just drilling on the test answers. When
you understand the reasons behind the answers, then the questions are
easy.
<<

No argument there. If more took this approach, it would be a better
hobby.

>As far as the arrl, I've never noticed any help from them.. Could be my
local section manager, a luddite at heart.
<<

Ha! Wonder if they could have been the inspiration for American
earthfirst! monkeywrenchers.

1313. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 23:15:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27190-3DAB4FFC-986@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140099

From: oleshit@hemphead.com (Ole Shit)
"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021014102258.13091.00010350@mb-mf.aol.com...
those things are already done on 11 meters. sorry but your late.
-
Yes they are copied from amatuer radio then used Illegally by frebanders
such as yourself. What is CB famous for inventing like ham radio is.
--

Disillusioned pizzed off hammies! Ahaahaaa...

1314. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 23:28:03 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27191-3DAB5303-394@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140097

Moparholic wrote:
I'd expect those left coast people had a lots of support for decrim..
--
William F. Buckley and Vince Bugliosi (Sp?)
are but a few of the conservatives that call for legalization and they don't smoke it either. It's not a demo/repub thing...it's a weed that grows wild. Even if they legalized heroin it doesn't mean it would be responsible for greater abuse. An adult should not be given a list of limited and man-made chemicals to which he can exercise his freedom of choice of intoxicants. If someone wants to go suck on a tailpipe to get high, why the hell should the guvmint care? "Cause they wouldn't make no cash off sucking tailpipes! Legalize it, tax it, and the prisons can empty their burgeoning and growing population to make way for the real violent criminals.....cbers and freebanders!

1315. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 23:30:01 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <uJqcnfGVFcnkzjagXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140093

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29792-3DAB2EF3-351@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: oleshit@hemphead.com (Ole Shit)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
Or else you can do get the info yourself then drop this thread as fast
as you dropped the Santa Cruz thread you were dead wrong about.

>Sorry Twist you never posted the info that you claimed to be true
instead you tell me to look for it well Twist ya know what No Banana.<<

You missed the post. I did post the link to the laws...go back and check
it out.

****
I did see you post a link from Norml, if that what your talking about I
stand corrected I didn't see it until this evening when i searched g88gle.

.

> Remember this classic line from Cranium " If you expect people to
believe you, it's up you to prove your correct"

I tend to agree, especially if someone questions the claim and it seems
outrageous, and most of it is that comes from the house of Usher that
Frank Gilliland, Lelnad KC8LDO, and Dave Hall, named by "Aaron" attempt
to re-deconstruct.
****

Ok Twist without making this long and drawn out I think we have been arguing
semantics, you claimed mere possesion, and of course thats all you claimed
you did not specify any quantity. i claimed It is illegal I also did not
specify anything in particular such as possesion sale growing etc. So
anything other than possesion is Illegal would you agree with that
scenario, even if you didn't the law is what it is and really even under
28.5g is Illegal, you say "decriminalized" call it what you want you but
you cannot fire up a joint in public without some repercussions call it a
misdemeanor or what have you it is still considered Illegal. You guys like
to refer to it like a traffic ticket, well is speeding allowed, left on red?
racing? etc the answer is No, it is illegal to speed what happens if
caught? You are punished, fined what have you. Ok here is the best part Sale
of marijuana is *more* Illegal than possesion jail time, growing it is
Illegal, so where did you get yours? Someone probably had to sell it to you
right? then you helped them comit a felony. twist I am not against marijuana
at all I am just pointing out it is not just something that can be done when
and where you want without repercusisons. Posses marijuana in a school zone
that fits your classic *mere possesion* but yet that is also illegal and

highly illegal in many states enough to warrant possible mandatory jail time. So you see I think me saying it is illegal makes me in fact still correct because it is , you said mere possesion was decriminalized I can agree with that without getting into specifics. Just because it is decriminalized doesn't mean it is not in fact still Illegal. Have your rebuttal and i am done with this thread

>Instead Twist just because you say it doesn't make it so I am still waiting for you to provide proof of your claim re: Santa Cruz.
<<

Already did. Get one of your buds to teach you how to find the thread and click on the appropriate link, Frank is an expert in google, maybe he'll help, or are you still pissed at him for including you in his named posse of hate?

They included me without my permission How dare them. I did see it tonite while searching google a link to norml

We wouldn't be offended if you ran from this one as fast as you did the Santa Cruz thread.....

1316. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 23:34:42 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <iqadnYS2EcMOyTagXTWc2Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140095

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:uqmed27c1jc762@corp.supernews.com...

> Stop looking in the mirror when you reply to postings.. There is no
> Jack-Asses on this end, just someone with more than enough intelligence
and
> thought process to put you to shame...
>
> PH

Really in what way? Cb mods LOL

1317. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 23:37:20 UTC
From: ruralreader <sylinen@somtel.net>
Message-ID: <YsIq9.2105$014.49960@reggie.win.bright.net>
Source record: 140094

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0210140849.4acffcd1@posting.google.com...
> timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
> >>Do you think that all these licensees are just "camping"?<<<
> >
> >actually yes i do because ten years of daily monitoring
> >has never produced any of these
>
> So because you personally have never seen anyone on their property,
> it's OK for you to take it?
>
> -A

Yes! it's called squatting, and the courts HAVE given land to squatters.

1318. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 23:39:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DAB55AF-903@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140098

From: oleshit@hemphead.com (Ole Shit)
"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021014102959.13091.00010351@mb-mf.aol.com...
my point was the 11 meter band is in very heavy usage world wide. the fcc cant pull the plug on it alone and even if the itu tried the third world countries will never give it up. mexico will be 30db over 9 no matter what riley and the feds do and thats just the real life truth whether you guys like it or not
--

>Guess you have never heard of the Russian woodpecker, if the FCC likes they can render 11 meters useless and run your sorry ass off of there. Or they can enforce those stupid enough to continue to talk there pick your poison.<<

Anything is possible, but think about it for a second...do you think there is a remote possibility that a great number of those that used to talk there may take to greener pastures? I mean, this angle has been put forward many times.
Let's say, oh , a tenth of all cbers decided to do that.....isn't that way too many for the feds to deal with? Isn't a hunderedth too much?
I mean, all hammies know about the clubs that let "all the wives" use the same call when on the repeater...what would stop this from being implemented on a wide scale that would make what some cry about now seem like small potatos? According to Dave Hall, these folks are criminals. How about it, JerryO? Are these guys criminals? "Cause it's happening in your backyard right where ya' live, Jer, if ya' really wanna report them.....!

1319. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 23:40:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DAB5607-905@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140115

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
"Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote:
How do you listen to 27.860 when your listening to 27.555 or
transmitting?

>Split freqs? <g> <

--
Yea, but now he's gonna accuse someone of copying a hammie......and you
know some of those export radios.....

1320. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 23:49:11 UTC
From: ruralreader <sylinen@somtel.net>
Message-ID: <2EIq9.2106$014.50166@reggie.win.bright.net>
Source record: 140096

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3da8d4f3.3619832@news.cet.com...
> In <c9ceae5b.0210121758.5c327ea2@posting.google.com>,
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
>
> >yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com)
> >>26.030
> >>
> >>Licensee Name
> >>CORP OF SEVENTH DAY ADVENT OF
> >> Callsign
> >>W PR KB96046
> >>Status
> >>Active
> >(snip)
> >
> >Wow, a ton of active licensed users listed. And not one of them are
> >"freebanders". Sure blows hell out of the excuse that it's a
> >"victimless crime"...
>
> And I didn't even list all of them. Anyone that wants a list of even
.<<<<<since when does a list mean USE?? >>>>>
> more licensees can go to FCC's search page and compile their own
list.<<<<<since when does a list mean USE?? >>>>>
> But yes, it does kinda demonstrate that these freqs are not
abandoned..<<<<<since when does a list mean USE?? >>>>>

> Blows the hell out of Twisty's excuses, doesn't it? LOL! <<<<<<are you
REALLY shure about that?
>

1321. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 23:51:42 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <ZomdnWdVmMkSxTagXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140100

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021014174449.369$HN@newsreader.com...
>> Oh boy..he's under you skin too.

I am equal opportunity every keyclown is fair game.

1322. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 23:51:56 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014195156.03581.00011535@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 140101

thats right, thats franks job lol

>I certainly wouldn't weep and
>fret about it day after day and chase you around whining about proof.

1323. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 23:52:41 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <bG2dnWEUH-1XxTagXTWc3g@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140102

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021014180033.061$FC@newsreader.com...
> timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote:
> >>did cranium promise to prove it like voobner did or was he just making
an
> > unfounded statement in passing? voobner PROMISED he would show proof i
> > never seen richard do tha.t
> >
> You're asking for proof,from a made up person.

Yeah I guess you figured out too that there really isn't someone named
Richard Cranium. yes he is as made up as they get

1324. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 23:56:44 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210141556.26a4b893@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210140406.184b41a7@posting.google.com>...
> Still wasting bandwidth with the same lame repetitious post, huh Aanal
> Aaron? Where's your PROOF, lamer?

Point... nowhere in any of my ham mags does it give credit to an
"Aaron H. Voobner" for tipping off the FCC about guys like bigmouth
Flippo. This adds to my sneaking suspicion that the whole Aaron
Voobner persona was cooked up by some tongue-in-cheek prankster who
may or may not be a closet right-winger... apparently he thinks it's
pretty damn funny to read our responses to his police-state fantasies.
Ah well, if a concentration camp barrack is his idea of heaven, that's
his business, not mine...

BTW if the FCC knows they can collect $100K fines from clods like
Howard Stern & Dr. Gene Scott, why would they knock themselves out to
go after broke guys like us? FCC doesn't offer rewards for turning in
jammers, either.

Guy Richards

1325. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 23:59:36 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014195936.03581.00011539@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 140105

14.295 is all you need for a LOUD yes

>>>This may be a silly question,but...if HAMS are legally allowed to use
>> 1500 watts (,just as c.b.ers are allowed to use 5 watts a.m.) how can you
>> tell if a HAM is using more than allowed ? Does this ever happen ? I mean
>> in todays "age of mega-power",dial -a-watt,multi KW capabilities,isn't it
>> just as easy for a HAM to use too much power as it is a c.b.er ?
>> Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp
>>

1326. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-14 23:59:57 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <VOmdnd1zOtvjxzagXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140104

Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29791-3DAB2CE4-843@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...

Hi, George. I claimed it was decriminalized, you said it wasn't so and
asked for proof.

Read one of my other posts. regarding this. decriminilized doesn't take
away from it still being illegal. The only thing that means the pentaltys
are less severe for *mere possesion*

I don't see you as a mess of total contempt yet, and as
you asked politely, I so chose to provide you with a page of California
drug laws showing my exact claim correct.

Yes up to a point it does say decriminalized. Again I wont get into in this
thread I already explained it earlier tonite check that one why duplicate
things.

Nah, George, nothing is eating me, but I did notice it seems like you
are busting your tail more and more each day to lay with the swine.
****

We sow what we reap, the fruit of my labor are courtesy of the other posters
If they themselves acted in another fashion i may as well but as you see
Twist it is me and there is a gang who try to pile on me but I am like the
immortal Hulk Hogan I say my prayers and take my vitamins and come back
strong with the big boot

Usually you throw your one-liners at Landshark or someone else and get
on down the road......but lately, I've noticed a shift in attitude from
you. Everything ok up there?
****
Yeah just a little ornery lately, the peanut gallery keeps me busy posting
here.

It's a little early for the winter blues
and depression to be kicking in...hasn't even snowed except a few
flurries this morning in MN.
****
Perhaps mid life crisis setting in and a few keyclowns to flare it up. I do
appreciate the concern though, perhaps a spell of the Florida sun will perk
things up.

1327. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 00:01:45 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <vcycnQEXLuZ3xzagXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140106

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> It's illegal anywere..

I agree I have said that from day 1

>So is freebanding. I enjoy both.

Yes freebanding is trivial if your willing to break other laws right?

>and have since before the best part of you,ran down your daddys' leg.

really your that old Parks? Did your mother have anything that lived?

1328. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 00:02:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014200200.03581.00011541@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 140107

all i have to say is ameritron 1500. 2500 watts out.

>
>Done all the time... even many legal amateur amplifiers will exceed the
>authorized power level.
>
>cheers
>skipp

1329. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 00:02:24 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014200224.03581.00011542@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 140108

lol true

>You're asking for proof,from a made up person.
>

1330. Re: amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 00:03:59 UTC
From: Dan Lagace <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca>
Message-ID: <PXIq9.2984$q83.408837@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 140112

Not at the moment, but i will be. Does it make a difference?

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021014185705.641$x7@newsreader.com...
> "Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote:
> >>I have mobile equipment, but it is at home. I run a Wilson 1000 antenna
> > > with a Uniden Grant LT radio.
> > >
> > So you're driving when you use it?
> >
> > --
> > God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
> >
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service

1331. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 00:05:23 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <KvOdnSOhW_tZxjagXTWcqw@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140109

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021014174122.608$M5@newsreader.com...
> "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote:
> >>How do you listen to 27.860 when your listening to 27.555 or
> > > transmitting?
> > >
> Split freqs? <g>

Nice try, not even close though split works, tx on 1 freq and after you un
key listen on another freq unless he would need a repeater to listen and
talk on the 2 different freqs same time, same band etc etc

1332. Re: amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 00:05:57 UTC
From: Dan Lagace <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca>
Message-ID: <FZIq9.2998$q83.409970@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 140116

I'd rather a mobile one.. if that's what you're getting at.

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021014185705.641$x7@newsreader.com...
> "Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote:
> >>I have mobile equipment, but it is at home. I run a Wilson 1000 antenna
> > with a Uniden Grant LT radio.
> >
> So you're driving when you use it?
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

1333. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 00:06:33 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <abmdnWwPOJSXwTagXTWcqA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140110

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021014174303.168$Tn@newsreader.com...
> No funds..

If the bands were indeed to be sold there would be Major funds and that was the point of this thread but thanks for trolling all my posts tonite even though 95% of them werent involved with you. You do like me don't you?

1334. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 00:07:16 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <lLadnT4V24OowTagXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140111

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021014171500.383$S3@newsreader.com...
> I'm sure your dad swears that's how *YOU* were concieved.

Ill ask you once again did you mother have anything that lived?

1335. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 00:12:44 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <3dmdnRbN_aLhwDagXTWc3Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140113

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29791-3DAB33CA-852@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Keep waiting then George, 'cause my claim that is was decriminalized was
> proven. You are confusing me with another.

And my point that it is Illegal has been validated time and time again. In fact I did bring this to light in an earlier post I think you jumped in to the thread when I was talking to "tools" who said it was Legal, and to this day I maintian it is indeed ILLEGAL. You say decriminalized, for mere possesion and you are correct for anything under 28.5 and not in a school zone anything else regarding it has not been decriminalized even with that big fancy word it is still Illegal. we are arguing semantics. You cannot say I am wrong or I lied how can I lie by saying it is Illegal that is the truth.

1336. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 00:14:17 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <PV2dndFDkPBHwDagXTWcow@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140114

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021014174859.266
> I'd expect those left coast people had a lots of support for decrim..
>

From readin Moped, ohio drug laws seem more lax than Californias. You are allowed to posess up to 100g for personal consumption. cali say 28.5 as the minimum

1337. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 00:18:09 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014201809.03581.00011547@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 140117

i cant have babies just as much as they cant have babies without me. its a partnership in conception dumb ass

>WTF are you talking about Nebro? Who cares if a women can or cannot
>impregnate themselves, If they asked you should abortion be legal you can't
>or shouldn't answer the question since you cannot have babies your not
>allowed to have a say. What part of his analogy didnt you understand drug
>head?
>
>

1338. Re: amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 00:20:22 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014202022.03581.00011550@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 140118

another troll like the guy asking about the ten meter radios lol

>
>
>I'm looking for something to boost my signal strength and RX/TX range. I was
>told i needed a linear amp. Who makes decent ones? How do they work?
>Recommend any websites I could read up on?
>
>DL
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1339. Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 00:27:35 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210141627.26cba444@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140119

AaronFakeNameUser@hotmail.com wrote:
>Hello there,
>: This may be a silly question,but...if HAMS are legally allowed to
use
>1500
>: watts (,just as c.b.ers are allowed to use 5 watts a.m.) how can
you tell
>if a
>: HAM is using more than allowed ?
>
>By measurement of their signal done locally and even to some relative
>extent from a distance using calibrated S-Meter and some references.
Other
>measurement methods also work pretty well.
>
>: Does this ever happen ?
>
>All the time...
>
>: I mean in todays "age of mega-power",dial -a-watt,multi KW
>: capabilities,isn't it just as easy for a
>: HAM to use too much power as it is a c.b.er ?
>: Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp
>
>Done all the time... even many legal amateur amplifiers will exceed
the
>authorized power level.
>
>cheers
>skipp
>
>http://sonic.ucdavis.edu
>

Scooter,

If you poll the average citizen about human nature they will say that the vast majority of people are basically honest. But when you ask the small minority of people who are criminals the same question, they will swear that the majority of people are dishonest. Funny how that works. Could have something to do with the company one keeps. Or maybe it's because dishonest people need validation from their own kind to keep them feeling OK about what they do. To them the whole world is as crooked as they are. Criminality loves company, or something like that.

But back to the question at hand. Scooter, you want to know essentially if many hams run too much (i.e. illegal) power. Hm. Let's see. You want facts not opinions, so unlike Skipp May out in Davis, CA, I'll reserve my opinion for another time. To get a no-BS realistic answer to your question, first you'd want to investigate what the average ham runs for equipment and what bands he operates on. Also you'd want to know out of 650,000 licensed amateurs how many have been cited by the FCC for running illegal power on the ham bands. And you'd want to look over back issues of QST and CQ, some of the ham newsgroups, or the QRZ forum. Find out if they are filled with multi-KW amplifiers for sale. Read the letters columns, read the articles, and see if "running big watts" is the hot topic on everyone's mind.

Finally, where would one most likely get honest, quantifiable answers to these and other ham-related questions? In a CB newsgroup filled with power-junkie CB'ers, pirate operators, freebanders, and authority-hating, outlaw hams? Of course not. It only makes sense that if you want answers to questions about hams, go to where the majority of hams are. Try a ham forum, go to a local ham club, write to the ARRL, or if you live near a big city, stop into an HRO or AES store. As always, make up your own mind, don't let the repeaters of "CB myths" make it up for you.

-Aaron-

1340. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 00:28:36 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014202836.03581.00011553@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 140120

i always thought this one was neat. it started off as an 11 meter radio only
but its been expanded down to 15 megs since then.

http://www.wintransceiver.com/

>Yes they are copied from amatuer radio then used Illegally by frebanders
>such as yourself. What is CB famous for inventing like ham radio is.
>

1341. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 00:31:02 UTC
From: ruralreader <sylinen@somtel.net>
Message-ID: <zfJq9.2111$014.50116@reggie.win.bright.net>
Source record: 140125

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3daa4da7.3572486@news.cet.com...
> In <Usrq9.2100$014.49673@reggie.win.bright.net>, "ruralreader"
> <sylinen@somtel.net> wrote:
>
> >
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3da8cdb0.1760346@news.cet.com...
> >> In <FQ3q9.2088$014.49165@reggie.win.bright.net>, "ruralreader"
> >> <sylinen@somtel.net> wrote:

> >like I said.....
> >> >for all that licenced use, try monitoring and see if there really is
use?
> >> >Might just be "camping" like internet domain names.
> >Might Might Might Might <----read that? maybe NOT!

>
> Backpeddle, backpeddle, backpeddle, backpeddle....
OK, I agree with you, that you DO "backpeddle",and much so...

> How about:
>
> >> Do you think that all these licensees are just "camping"?
>
> Did you read that? FYI, that is a form of communication which is
> commonly referred to as a 'question'.
>
> Now where's the answer?

> >> Do YOU think that all these licensees are just "camping"?
and,I ask YOU:> Now where's the answer?
YOUR answer!
YES! I DID ask a question

IF you REALLY want to know, and I know you don't need
my help, and/or any input from me.

hint:plug your scanner into your sound card and record @ 8 bits/mono

1342. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 00:32:45 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014203245.03581.00011557@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 140121

a cold chance in hell for the funding of that

>Guess you have never heard of the Russian woodpecker, if the FCC likes they
>can render 11 meters useless and run your sorry ass off of there. Or they
>can enforce those stupid enough to continue to talk there pick your poison.

1343. (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 00:40:09 UTC
From: Anonymous User <anonymous@remailer.havenco.com>
Message-ID: <cc59f656812ab48efa7d6a74c03e7cd4@remailer.havenco.com>
Source record: 140122

```
Subject: test

test1237890
```

1344. Re: extra channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 00:42:54 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021014204254.28272.00001219@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 140123

u cant get EXTRA channels from just a AM radio....save the time and money and get a SSB radio that has all the channels u want

Thatz-me-JP

1345. Re: radio mods needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 00:48:45 UTC
From: hj3ort@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <eb031c73.0210141648.4b1ba5de@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140124

Hi all

I have a Realistic TRC-458 with the freewheel mod. (it works with the 10 dividers pin on the 858d chip) theorically it goes up 29.995 Mhz, but in practice only 28.555 Mhz. seems like a problem with the VCO, anybody has the same result or go at 29.995 Mhz ???

73 Jose Luis

1346. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 01:06:16 UTC
From: Spell Checker <SC@webster.com>
Message-ID: <3DAB6A08.2502CF88@webster.com>
Source record: 140126

> I'm sure your dad swears that's how *YOU* were concieved.

His dad came in her shoes and the flies did the rest!

1347. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 01:21:54 UTC
From: George <George@gaygeorge.com>
Message-ID: <4vqmqukek5la5ihnsl6p03rianp7oledk1@4ax.com>
Source record: 140127

On Mon, 14 Oct 2002 16:27:14 -0400, "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com>
wrote:

>
>"George" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message
>news:bj0mqu8khfaq87uu5d24bc8o6he67hnhqf@4ax.com...
>> Here George is telling another guy he wants to have anal sex with him.
>
>
>Really where did I say that i think your homo mind is imagining things, I
>was thinikng about jamming a broomstick up his ass your the one with dick
>and anal sex on your mind. Is your name Mark Beck?

Any guy that wants to stick anything up another guys ass is either a
Doctor or a faggot. Which one are you?

>
>
>> >> you think your a man so what bitch
>
>
>> >Bend over Ill show you how much of a man I am chapwoman
>
>
>
>
>> Remember George, it doesn't matter which end of the dick your on, its
>> still a homosexual experience.
>
>I guess you talk from experiance huh.
>

No, what do gay guys do? Exactly what you want to do. Your gay,
admit it. Its all you talk about

1348. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 01:35:19 UTC
From: George <George@gaygeorge.com>
Message-ID: <15smquo0210g1prglmeh14ch1fmig35p98@4ax.com>
Source record: 140128

On Mon, 14 Oct 2002 20:01:45 -0400, "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com>
wrote:

><Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
>
>> It's illegal anywere..
>
>I agree I have said that from day 1
>
>
>
>
>
>>So is freebanding. I enjoy both.
>
>Yes freebanding is trivial if your willing to break other laws right?
>
>
>
>
>>and have since before the best part of you,ran down your daddys' leg.
>
>really your that old Parks? Did your mother have anything that lived?

Did your dad's have anything that lived? I bet you were a cute little
turd....
>

1349. Re: if u like girls, please respond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 01:41:22 UTC
From: George <George@gaygeorge.com>
Message-ID: <pesmqu46ubso8s27iif976jo62s39iafed@4ax.com>
Source record: 140129

On 13 Oct 2002 02:27:37 GMT, thatzmejp@aol.com (UknowMeJP) wrote:

>if u dont respond, that means we know who are the gay ppl in here.....if ur a
>girl, please state that......Thanks
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

George never responded.

1350. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 01:43:55 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dab70d6.791359@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140130

In <2EIq9.2106$014.50166@reggie.win.bright.net>, "ruralreader"
<sylinen@somtel.net> whined repetitively:

><<<<<since when does a list mean USE?? >>>>>

It's a list of USERS that are LICENSED for the respective frequency.
That means THEY have the right to that frequency, not YOU. THEY have
the RIGHT to use it as THEY see fit, not YOU. THEY have the RIGHT to
use it or NOT to use it anytime they choose, and if they choose not to
use it at any particular time that doesn't give ANYONE ELSE the right
to use it unless they are LICENSED! There are a lot of frequencies and
a WHOLE LOT of licensees: To claim that these frequencies are not
being used is just as ignorant as if you were to claim that the law of
gravity doesn't apply to you. Now is there something about this
fundamental concept that you can't comprehend?

>> Blows the hell out of Twisty's excuses, doesn't it? LOL! <<<<<<are you
>REALLY shure about that?

Absolutely.

1351. Re: if u like girls, please respond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 02:23:15 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <0vmcndNIOtiJ4TagXTWcqw@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140131

"George" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message
news:pesmqu46ubso8s27iif976jo62s39iafed@4ax.com...
> On 13 Oct 2002 02:27:37 GMT, thatzmejp@aol.com (UknowMeJP) wrote:
>
> >if u dont respond, that means we know who are the gay ppl in here.....if
ur a
> >girl, please state that......Thanks
> >
> >
> > Thatz-me-JP
>
> George never responded.

Neither did you snapperhead

1352. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 02:30:11 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <vMKdnSQj4Ywu4DagXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140132

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021014210153.079
> >>Yeah I guess you figured out too that there really isn't someone named
> > Richard Cranium. yes he is as made up as they get
> >
> I didn't say which made up person..paranoid?

Why would I be paranoid, my name wasn't mentioned. But tim was speaking of richard cranium and you as well as I know he is a troll here who is a made up person.

1353. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 02:32:08 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <SgOdnbon7Na04zagXTWc3g@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140133

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> Not much younger than your dad.

How old is my Dad?

> And my mother would slap you for saying
> that!

She should slap you.

1354. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 02:33:40 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <JMCdna4WPOUY4zagXTWcoQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140134

"George" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message
news:15smquo0210g1prglmeh14ch1fmig35p98@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 14 Oct 2002 20:01:45 -0400, "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com>
> wrote:
>
> ><Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > >
> > >> It's illegal anywere..
> > >
> > >I agree I have said that from day 1
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >>So is freebanding. I enjoy both.
> > >
> > >Yes freebanding is trivial if your willing to break other laws right?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >>and have since before the best part of you,ran down your daddys' leg.
> > >
> > >really your that old Parks? Did your mother have anything that lived?
>
> Did your dad's have anything that lived? I bet you were a cute little
> turd....

Mopar has 2 dad's? sorry to here that. How do you know that Randy?

1355. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 02:39:27 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <bLednRfJjb5C4jagXTWcqw@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140135

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> >>Ill ask you once again did you mother have anything that lived?

Answer the question

1356. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 02:43:04 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <cfmcnWrUOJAlHTagXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140136

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message

> i cant have babies just as much as they cant have babies without me. its a
> partnership in conception dumb ass
>

Nebro you are a stupid redneck from Texas no doubt about it .

Let's try it this way
If there was a ballot on your states election, I know you don't vote but
let's say 100 lbs of common sense fell on top of you and you decided to be
active things other than hoes and drugs and cb radios Ok the question is
clearly marked are you for or against abortion which would you pick ? Yes or
No

1357. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 02:44:58 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <PpudnUEIuNi3HDagXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140137

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021014171118.723$aX@newsreader.com...

> That's a really lame attempt at sounding like n8,georgie girl. At least
> Doug has some wit and origonality,when he slings that homo shit.
>
> You need the home study course,or see if you can get someone to email you
> the answers..again.

Funny part is I never mentioned anything remotley close to anal sex or dick
or what have you one of your wayward souls had it on his mind i guess. I
just respond in kind the way they do remember I hate people who are
hypocrites Its ok for them then it is ok for me.

1358. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 02:51:06 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021014225106.05892.00001423@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 140138

>Nebro you are a stupid redneck from Texas no doubt about it .

george are you saying that a man has nothing to do with a child being born? i guess all these women are incarnations of the virgin mary?

1359. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 03:13:00 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <pXidnVayWOIgGjagXTWc2Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140139

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021014195936.03581.00011539@mb-cr.aol.com...
> 14.295 is all you need for a LOUD yes

Tim isn't this where some of your channel 6 outlaw bunch of Cber hangs?

1360. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 03:17:37 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <Ct-dnXI_yZpMFTagXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140140

"Aaron Boobner is not his real name" <AaronFakeNameUser@hotmail.com> wrote
in message news:aofh02$t8c$3@woodrow.ucdavis.edu...
> All the time...

All the time Skipp? Are you a Ham? How would you know it is all the time
then?
I am sure some guys pump it up to 2 kw during a contest, other than that
power is not needed in the least, you think the avg ham is running more than
1.5 kw on uhf- Vhf I doubt it highly, so that leaves how many for hf, the
bands are quiet enough that a good antenna and a 100 watt rig you can talk
where ever you want to.

> Done all the time... even many legal amateur amplifiers will exceed the
> authorized power level.

Speak from experiance skipp? And all cars exceed the speed limit your point
is?

>
> cheers
> skipp
>
> http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1361. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 03:19:30 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <6PLq9.3819$613.266518550@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 140141

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021014225441.181$GA@newsreader.com...
> "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote:
> >>Funny part is I never mentioned anything remotley close to anal sex or
> > > dick or what have you one of your wayward souls had it on his mind i
> > > guess. I just respond in kind the way they do remember I hate people who
> > > are hypocrites Its ok for them then it is ok for me.
> > >
> > What?
> >
> > --
> > God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
> >
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

LOL!!! You couldn't have said it better Mopar.

Landshark

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

1362. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 03:20:11 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <p9-cnUy6Zc_2FDagXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140142

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> Newsflash: One Dad.

That's good to hear in the age of no fault divorce many do wind up with more than 1 dad.

1363. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 03:21:12 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <nRudnW-Dc-01FDagXTWc3Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140143

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021014225106.05892.00001423@mb-mw.aol.com...

>
> george are you saying that a man has nothing to do with a child being
born? i
> guess all these women are incarnations of the virgin mary?

Answer the question Redneck if you can

1364. Way to go Giants!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 03:22:16 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <IRLq9.3821$wY2.266232132@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 140161

Way to Giants, National League Champions!!!!!

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

1365. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 03:38:24 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAB8DB0.3E330806@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140148

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021014174122.608$M5@newsreader.com...
> > > "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote:
> > > >>How do you listen to 27.860 when your listening to 27.555 or
> > > > transmitting?
> > > >
> > > Split freqs? <g>
> >
> >
> > >>Nice try, not even close though split works, tx on 1 freq and after you
> > > un key listen on another freq unless he would need a repeater to listen
> > > and talk on the 2 different freqs same time, same band etc etc
> >
> I can do exactly what you've posted,with my Collins.

Operating split is done all the time on the ham bands, many DX
stations transmit on one frequency and listen on another for
calls. In my Novice days, Novices class holders were required to
be crystal controlled. Very few Novices had more than one or two
crystals for a band. So you would transmit on your crystal
frequency and then scan the band to listen for another station
calling you because chances were that the other station did not
have the same crystal frequency as you, so you would be
transmitting on one frequency and copying the other station on
another. In those days most everyone used separate transmitters
and receivers as transceivers were far and few between.

and Ole Stuff posted:

> n key listen on another freq unless he would need a repeater to listen
> > > and talk on the 2 different freqs same time, same band etc etc

So what is all this stuff about needing a repeater?

1366. Re: SWR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 03:48:25 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAB9009.BD862BD9@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140149

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> Cowboy67 wrote:
> No, not really, You want to make the SWR's as even throughout the band
> as possible. that is why you should check it, on CB, at channel 1 - 20 -
> & 40
> I am not familiar with the wilson antenna, but
> <
>
> BUT, you are going to comment on it anyway and give advice...yea, we
> know what to expect from the nocodes of cannery row..
>
> >
> if it is like most mobile antennas it isn't very broadbanded.
> <
>
>
> Wrong. For cb and freeband purposes, this antenna is very broadbanded. I
> can go from 24 to 32 and the highest I'll get anywhere is slightly over
> a 2:1 SWR, and this is only in one area. It's dead flat where I want it
> and is below 2 almost everywhere else. It's not an accident this is one
> of the best mass-produced mobile antennas. Can't comment since Barjan
> took them over.
>
> >
> So the best you can do is pick one end of the band or the other,
> or middle, where ever you are most likely to transmit and adjust the swr
> (swr is singular, not swr's plural) for best performance there. You
> aren't likely to get it even over the entire 40 channels. By setting it
> at channel 20 that will be the best compromise for the entire band.
> <
>
> BS. This antenna can be adjusted to be practically flat out on the legal
> 40. There is no need for compromise on the legal 40 when speaking of the
> SWR in this manner with this antenna.

Twistieboy, you really are a dumbass. I clearly stated that I was
not familiar with this antenna, and I stated that if it was like
most mobile antennas (which according to you in your infinite
knowledge it must not be) it would be rather narrow banded and
gave my advice based on that. You really need a class in reading
comprehension. Maybe you just need to learn to read.

1367. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 03:52:06 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAB90E6.3772E231@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140150

Dave VanHorn wrote:

> I run classes locally for anyone who is interested in getting a ticket.
> You don't have to be a ve to run classes, just to give the test for real.
> We use online tests for practice, to find out what parts they are weak on,
> then we go through that so they understand it, not just drilling on the test
> answers. When you understand the reasons behind the answers, then the
> questions are easy.
>
> As far as the arrl, I've never noticed any help from them.. Could be my
> local section manager, a luddite at heart.

I taught Novice classes for several years and our goal was the
same, make sure they new the theory and they could then know the
courts answer and why it was the correct answer.

1368. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 04:08:18 UTC
From: Huffy <uhflink@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uhflink-CB4698.21081814102002@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 140144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

This is the only post worth reading of this entire exchange. "Aaron H. Voobner", indeed!

In article <3aed93cc.0210141556.26a4b893@posting.google.com>, witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote:

> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
> news:<c5ecbb69.0210140406.184b41a7@posting.google.com>...
> > Still wasting bandwidth with the same lame repetitious post, huh Aanal
> > Aaron? Where's your PROOF, lamer?
>
> Point... nowhere in any of my ham mags does it give credit to an
> "Aaron H. Voobner" for tipping off the FCC about guys like bigmouth
> Flippo. This adds to my sneaking suspicion that the whole Aaron
> Voobner persona was cooked up by some tongue-in-cheek prankster who
> may or may not be a closet right-winger... apparently he thinks it's
> pretty damn funny to read our responses to his police-state fantasies.
> Ah well, if a concentration camp barrack is his idea of heaven, that's
> his business, not mine...
>
> BTW if the FCC knows they can collect $100K fines from clods like
> Howard Stern & Dr. Gene Scott, why would they knock themselves out to
> go after broke guys like us? FCC doesn't offer rewards for turning in
> jammers, either.
>
> Guy Richards

--Huffy

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1369. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 04:12:19 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAB95A3.71B8378F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140154

"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:
>
> AaronFakeNameUser@hotmail.com wrote:
> >Hello there,
> >: This may be a silly question,but...if HAMS are legally allowed to
> use
> >1500
> >: watts (,just as c.b.ers are allowed to use 5 watts a.m.) how can
> you tell
> >if a
> >: HAM is using more than allowed ?
> >
> >By measurement of their signal done locally and even to some relative
> >extent from a distance using calibrated S-Meter and some references.
> Other
> >measurement methods also work pretty well.
> >
> >: Does this ever happen ?
> >
> >All the time...
> >
> >: I mean in todays "age of mega-power",dial -a-watt,multi KW
> >: capabilities,isn't it just as easy for a
> >: HAM to use too much power as it is a c.b.er ?
> >: Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp
> >
> >Done all the time... even many legal amateur amplifiers will exceed
> the
> >authorized power level.
> >
> >cheers
> >skipp
> >
> >http://sonic.ucdavis.edu
> >
>
> Scooter,
>
> If you poll the average citizen about human nature they will say that
> the vast majority of people are basically honest. But when you ask the
> small minority of people who are criminals the same question, they
> will swear that the majority of people are dishonest. Funny how that
> works. Could have something to do with the company one keeps. Or maybe
> it's because dishonest people need validation from their own kind to

> keep them feeling OK about what they do. To them the whole world is as
> crooked as they are. Criminality loves company, or something like
> that.
>
> But back to the question at hand. Scooter, you want to know
> essentially if many hams run too much (i.e. illegal) power. Hm. Let's
> see. You want facts not opinions, so unlike Skipp May out in Davis,
> CA, I'll reserve my opinion for another time. To get a no-BS realistic
> answer to your question, first you'd want to investigate what the
> average ham runs for equipment and what bands he operates on. Also
> you'd want to know out of 650,000 licensed amateurs how many have been
> cited by the FCC for running illegal power on the ham bands. And you'd
> want to look over back issues of QST and CQ, some of the ham
> newsgroups, or the QRZ forum. Find out if they are filled with
> multi-KW amplifiers for sale. Read the letters columns, read the
> articles, and see if "running big watts" is the hot topic on
> everyone's mind.
>
> Finally, where would one most likely get honest, quantifiable answers
> to these and other ham-related questions? In a CB newsgroup filled
> with power-junkie CB'ers, pirate operators, freebanders, and
> authority-hating, outlaw hams? Of course not. It only makes sense that
> if you want answers to questions about hams, go to where the majority
> of hams are. Try a ham forum, go to a local ham club, write to the
> ARRL, or if you live near a big city, stop into an HRO or AES store.
> As always, make up your own mind, don't let the repeaters of "CB
> myths" make it up for you.
>
> -Aaron-

To add to Aaron's post, I have been a ham for over 40 years and I
can say with certainty that yes, some hams do run more than the
legal limit at times (and yes, some have been cited in the past by
the FCC), but the majority of hams who own amplifiers do not.

1370. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 04:15:34 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAB9666.2CBF180E@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140155

JJ wrote:
>
> Dave VanHorn wrote:
>
> > I run classes locally for anyone who is interested in getting a ticket.
> > You don't have to be a ve to run classes, just to give the test for real.
> > We use online tests for practice, to find out what parts they are weak on,
> > then we go through that so they understand it, not just drilling on the test
> > answers. When you understand the reasons behind the answers, then the
> > questions are easy.
> >
> > As far as the arrl, I've never noticed any help from them.. Could be my
> > local section manager, a luddite at heart.
>
> I taught Novice classes for several years and our goal was the
> same, make sure they new the theory and they could then know the
> courts answer and why it was the correct answer.

courts = correct

1371. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 04:54:32 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ccNq9.48240$NW3.11207@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 140145

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:14898-3DAAAF11-342@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
<snip>

>>This is very weak. Richard, I think you can do better.

Dave>>

<What you think matters to no one.>

OUCH!

1372. Help identify this coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 04:54:50 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ucNq9.52007$oq6.14423@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 140146

I've got a couple hundred feet of coax laying in my shop that apparently doesn't exist. The jacket just says "Belden RG8U KX" I know it's RG8 stranded conductor but what does the "KX" mean? I did a quick search and got ZERO hits. Looking at Belden's website they make NO mention of the designation "KX" Anyone have a clue what this stuff is and what the specs on it are? Thanks for any help you can give.

Dave

1373. Re: one stop electronics.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 05:15:03 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.comscrewyou
Message-ID: <20021015011503.11165.00001651@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 140147

ok ..i,m glad you feel that way ..i,ll just keep keying on ya >From:
timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie)
>Date: 10/14/2002 10:06 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021014100618.13091.00010347@mb-mf.aol.com>
>
>itll do more trash watts. go with the texas star. the only people who will
>tell
>you different is the davemade/xforce salesmen like lowrider

(Better Then You!!!)

1374. Re: Twitsy Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 05:50:40 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uqnb8bkod8pb1c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 140151

You have to be like our leaders and simply **not** inhale! ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote in message
news:mvecnTW9o47YsTagXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com...
> I thought marijuana was Legal Twitsy, you said it was decriminalized what
> about these prison terms, For you to have pot someone had to sell it you
> right?
>
> Selling marijuana in any amount is punishable by 2 - 4 years in the state
> prison.
>
> *Means it is ILLEGAL*
>
>
>
> Sale of marijuana to a minor is punishable by 3 - 5 years in prison.
>
> *Means Illegal*
>
> Possession of 28.5 grams or less of marijuana on school grounds when the
> school is open is punishable by up to 10 days in jail and a $500 fine.
> Possession of greater than 28.5 grams or more of marijuana in a school
zone
> is punishable by up to six months in jail and a fine of up to $500.
>
> *Means it is Illegal*
>
> Cultivation
> Offense
> Any amount (exception for patients or caregivers) felony 16 months
>
> Possession
> Offense Jail time Fine
> 28.5 g or less misdemeanor none $100
> More than 28.5 g misdemeanor 6 months $500
> 28.5 g or less on school grounds while school open misdemeanor 10
> days $500
> More than 28.5 g on school grounds while school open misdemeanor 6
> months $500
>
> Decriminalization: The state has decriminalized marijuana to some degree.
> Typically, decriminalization means no prison time or criminal record for

> first-time possession of a small amount for personal consumption.
>
>

1375. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 05:52:56 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uqnbcgjh8vdn4a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 140152

There will be a few brain dead individuals, but consider this ... moonbounce has been done by a number of folks running under 100 watts. It isn't the size of the ship, it's the motion through the ocean.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Scooter Tramp" <nunofyurbiznes@cs.com> wrote in message news:20021014181009.02402.00001443@mb-ct.news.cs.com...
> This may be a silly question,but...if HAMS are legally allowed to use 1500 > watts (,just as c.b.ers are allowed to use 5 watts a.m.) how can you tell if a
> HAM is using more than allowed ? Does this ever happen ? I mean in todays "age
> of mega-power",dial -a-watt,multi KW capabilities,isn't it just as easy for a
> HAM to use too much power as it is a c.b.er ?
> Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp

1376. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 05:55:56 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uqnbi5hfdcon8c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 140153

Heck, at the risk of getting some panties in a knot, I have no problem talking to Australia or England using an HT on 440 - courtesy of a 10 meter port that only runs 100 watts erp. I used to talk to Norm, VK2NP, in Australia for hours on end, day after day, week after week, running only 100 watts to a TH6-DX beam. You don't need the power - except, perhaps, to just antagonize a few other folks.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote in message news:Ct-dnXI_yZpMFTagXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
>
> "Aaron Boobner is not his real name" <AaronFakeNameUser@hotmail.com> wrote
> in message news:aofh02$t8c$3@woodrow.ucdavis.edu...
> > All the time...
>
> All the time Skipp? Are you a Ham? How would you know it is all the time
> then?
> I am sure some guys pump it up to 2 kw during a contest, other than that
> power is not needed in the least, you think the avg ham is running more
than
> 1.5 kw on uhf- Vhf I doubt it highly, so that leaves how many for hf, the
> bands are quiet enough that a good antenna and a 100 watt rig you can talk
> where ever you want to.
>
>
>
> > Done all the time... even many legal amateur amplifiers will exceed the
> > authorized power level.
>
> Speak from experiance skipp? And all cars exceed the speed limit your
point
> is?
>
>
> >
> > cheers
> > skipp
> >
> > http://sonic.ucdavis.edu
>
>

1377. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 06:41:25 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dabb69d.4256357@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140156

In <3DAB95A3.71B8378F@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
wrote:

<snip>
>To add to Aaron's post, I have been a ham for over 40 years and I
>can say with certainty that yes, some hams do run more than the
>legal limit at times (and yes, some have been cited in the past by
>the FCC), but the majority of hams who own amplifiers do not.

Don't even humor that argument, JJ. They are just trying to validate
illegal behavior by saying, "If one ham breaks the rules then none of
them have cause for complaint". That argument is even more lame than
"Federal laws aren't laws", and HDT's Civil Disobedience.

1378. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 07:19:08 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <Z3mdnZiB4tvTXDagXTWc3A@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140157

> I taught Novice classes for several years and our goal was the
> same, make sure they new the theory and they could then know the
> courts answer and why it was the correct answer.

I know some places just teach it by memorization, but that always seemed pointless to me.
It's a good intro, and it's fun to watch the light come on when they get the idea :)

1379. Pride DX-300...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 07:23:16 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <EnPq9.36204$lV3.2812867@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 140158

Was this a good amplifier? Was it clean? What is it's history? Is it another garage amp like the "Thunderbolt" etc?

Dino

1380. Re: Looking for a CB Base with antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 07:30:09 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <5uPq9.36209$lV3.2812867@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 140159
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.cb.skip,rec.radio.cb

AM is not "better" than FM...it's only different. "11-meters is as out of date" as is 20, 15, 12, and 10...What a stupid thing to say!

We do agree on him getting his Amateur License. He would probably be an asset rather than a snobby twit.

Dino

>Maybe it would be smart to get your Ham Ticket , FM is better then AM , get
>a 10 dollar book at radio shack and study and get your license and talk
>around the world after getting your code,
>just a thought .. 11 meters is out of date
>
>kc8pwl@core.com
>
>
>"Pat" <synapse.web@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
>news:P2jo9.461$Iw5.38759@news20.bellglobal.com...
>> Hi,
>>
>> I already experiment the skip communication, using a CB Base (Cobra) with
>an
>> antenna (Lantron) and I am interested to equipped myself to repeat the
>> experience. That is why I am looking for a CB Base with an antenna. If you
>> have such equipment to
>> sell or to give, you can contact me via e-mail.
>>
>> Pat
>> plevesque@optimuminformatique.com
>>
>>
>
>

1381. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 11:16:12 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DABF8FC.4FD2@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140167

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> >I'm waiting to see this added to the growing list of "Sandbagger
> >quotes", that seem to be popping up with alarming regularity as of
> >late......
> >
>
> kewl dave, is it ok if i quote you too?
>
> "I scratch my ass all day" - Sandbagger Dave

That would be fine except for one thing, I never made that quote.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1382. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 12:03:54 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC042A.3077@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140169

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> Wow! I actually did make that comment, <
>
> Yea, and you have insulted as often as I have claimed you do, too. And
> lied.

A lie is a false statement deliberately presented as the truth. When
have I ever lied about anything? Because my viewpoint does not agree
with yours, does not qualify it as a "lie".

> Hell, I feel your
> pain. Go to google and look up "malcontents" and see who you stole that
> from. There's more.

I "stole" it from no one. I used a term that most accurately fits the
situation. You must really be full of yourself if you believe that you
influence anyone in a positive manner. Your grammatical skills are
sophomoric at best.

>
> >
>
> Are you somehow implying that you have exclusive rights to common
> English vernacular?
> <
>
>
> Nooooooope! I'm claiming that since I arrived your behavior has improved
> and so has your grammar, tremendously.

A quick Google search of my posts over the last 9 years will show that I
have been, and remain, consistent in grammatical context, and as frank
and honest in my knowlege and opinion.

> Oh yea, and that you borrow
> liberally from my *vernacular*.

Are you really so narcissistic?

> I was agreeing that the imitation is the
> sincerest form of flattery but you're too far gone and rabid to even
> realize when someone agrees with you.

Lot's of people agree with me. If you weren't so consumed with trying to find some flaw in my character, you'd realize that.

>
> >
> Your lack of comprehension is exceeded only by your absurdity, and is as
> true to form as ever.
> <
>
> And you are right on schedule....attack the person whose view you don't
> agree with. Get personal, hurl some insults....that has been your
> history among us.

Hmm.... Lets' see, if you say something critical of a person, it's an "observation". If I, or someone else makes a similar statement about you, it's an "insult"? You really ought to learn the difference.

>
> And you're still a liar and a hypocrite. How much do you want shared
> with the group, Hall?

In the words of the great cornholio: "Are you threatening me?"

> I don't hold grudges,

Really? Your actions to date would seem to indicate otherwise.

> but if you want to wage another of your little adolescent games, bring it on.

Pot = Kettle = Black. You really should look in the mirror before you hit the keyboard.

> Do I have your permission to post some of your private emails that
> obviously weren't meant for me?

Let's see. Considering that I've had E-mail correspondence with maybe 3 or 4 people from this newsgroup in the last several years, I'm sure you have quite a lot of "dirt" to sling. I'm not one to make behind the scenes comments about anyone that I wouldn't say in the open forum. So go ahead hot-shot, let's see what wonderful fabrications you can dazzle us with.

> Maybe you should stick to shooting fish in a barrel. They are
> defenseless creatures and can't point out your whopper lies concerning
> all kinds of things, but I can.

Once again, you have trouble differentiating between a deliberate untruth, and a difference of opinion. You can't name one thing that I've ever said that qualifies as a "lie".

> How much do you want revealed? Getting
> personal is way off topic, but wre can take your spineless insults to
> email or you can continue to manifest your juvenile obsession with
> opposing views by using this board as your personal insult toy.

Funny, you seem to be the only person, who feels "insulted". Maybe you should lay off that estrogen therapy and get a little backbone, and some thicker skin. If the truth hurts, it's not my job to sugar coat it for you, the way mommy used to.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1383. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 12:04:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC0452.45F1@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140168

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> > Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Hall wrote...
> > > > That term came about as a twisted paraphrase of
> > > > an interpreted meaning, from a party who's a few fries short of a
> > > > complete happy meal upstairs
> > >
> > > That's why we let Twistedhed rant. There is no better advertisement
> > > for legal CB. Anyone drawn in by his "CB rules are trivial" campaign
> > > soon gets put off by his shell-game conversational maneuvers and the
> > > dishonesty and nihilism that goes along with them.
> > >
> >
> > >>I'm still waiting to hear from the so-called "majority" of Cbers that he
> > claims agrees with him.......
> >
> Don't hold your breath,the majority of CBers have never even heard of this
> newsgroup.

My point exactly. Thank you.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1384. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 12:07:59 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC051F.2934@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140171

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Mopar wrote:
> >
> Don't hold your breath,the majority of CBers have never even heard of
> this newsgroup.
> --
> <
> Nope!
> But the majority here have spoken and they know where the trouble
> originates. Add to that, most present do not share Hall's radical views
> of what constitutes a criminal and well, there you have it...a majority.

Where? Who has spoken? Name them? Name one person that disagrees that operating a CB radio in direct violation of FCC rules, is not breaking the law? A FEDERAL law, that carries fines and jail time, that take it well into the catergory of "criminal". Just ask that last guy who was led out of the courtroom in shackles, for his willful violations, whether he was treated any differently from any other criminal.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1385. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 12:18:43 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC07A3.7684@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140170

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> I'm still waiting to hear from the so-called "majority" of Cbers that he
> claims agrees with him.......
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> -
> And I'm still waiting for "Aaron" to post from voobner@yahoo and still
> waiting for Frank to tell us how RF pirates affect his work.

A poor attempt at redirecting the topic.

> Oh, to answer your question ..no one agrees
> with you that minor rule infractions makes one a criminal except your
> posse of hate and discontent (You, Frank, Dogie, and Lelnad), that makes
> YOUR view in the minority.

Who? You keep saying that no one agrees, but you won't (or more likely
can't) name them. And while it can be said that a speeding ticket, which
carries generally less than a $150 fine, could be considered a "minor"
crime, breaking a federal law, being fined thousands of dollars, and
seeing jail time, most certainly qualifies as a "criminal" offense.

> You must be missing posts again...try another
> server, as many have agreed with me concerning what makes a criminal,
> not you, and have said as much, even a licensed LEO.

Who? Where? When? You like to dig for dirt with Google, so dig up this
info. I'm sure you would be delighted to prove me wrong by backing up
your statement with some supporting evidence.

> Once again, your
> views are in the radical minority and man, let me tell you, someone (an
> upperclassman) out there has it in for you 'cause your info contradicts
> itself all over the place.

What is an "upperclassman"? I haven't used that term since I was in
school, and that was a LOOOOOONG time ago.

And what "info" contradicts itself? Once again you allude to something,
and infer another, but post nothing of substance to back it up.

> You must behave the same to hammies as you do
> here.

Well.... yea I do. I've got nothing to hide. I post 'em as I see 'em. If
you can't handle that, then I suggest you take up stamp collecting, and

stay off the internet.

> I'm still waiting for an answer from you as to how much of your
> hypocrisy you want shared concerning your outright lies about cb and its
> operators?

Being that I can count on the fingers of one hand, the amount of E-mail
that I send out in a given year, I'm waiting to see what goodies you've
been cooking up.

Put up or shut up.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1386. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 12:19:16 UTC
From: Spell Checker <SC@webster.com>
Message-ID: <3DAC07C4.F6114579@webster.com>
Source record: 140160

Dave VanHorn wrote:

> Doubling your power, all other things remaining the same, increases your
> signal at the other end by 50%. At 1kW, you're way into diminishing
> returns.

You need to go back to your theory books. You are a bastion of misinformation.

1387. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 12:37:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC0BF5.42BB@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140172

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Spying on Cbers? That's rich! Why would I expend the effort? You really
> are off the deep end. You now resort to inventing stories. When that
> line between fantasy and reality becomes blurred, the men in the white
> coats aren't far behind......
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> -
>
> Here's dave talking about the "identity game" preferred by Frank,
> Lelnad, and himself.
>
> >
> This "Identity Paranoia" seem very prevelant on the CB band. I've always
> chalked it up to the fact that most people run illegally in one respect
> or another, and have a need to "hide behind the mike", to make any law
> enforcement action that much tougher.
> The real irony is that despite the steps that people take to "hide"
> themselves, a clever "sandbagger", can gather tons of information from
> just listening to conversations. I've listened to people, and just from
> their casual comments, I figured out where they worked, how many kids
> they have, how old they are, the general area that they live in (And
> simple DFing would narrow it down from there), they type of cars they
> drive, the make and models of their radio gear (And whether it's
> illegal) and so on. You don't have to announce your name and address for
> people, intent on finding you, to track you down. Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> <<

Gee, I thought these were supposed to be "emails"? That post was posted by me on 11/01/1999, to the newsgroup.

That post was in response to a person, who had gave a reason why he didn't want to be a ham, because a call sign and all his associated information would become public knowledge. I countered that people who have nothing to hide, have nothing to fear.

I also made reference to the false comfort that relative anonyminity brings to many CBers. They (wrongly) think that just because they don't give out a call sign, and use a cryptic number or handle as an identifier, that a clever person could not find out a ton of information just by listening over a period of time (You know, listening. You should try it sometime). Many people unknowingly give out many clues to their identity during routine QSO's. This has been the subject of many courses

on protecting your family, and involves not only radio conversations, but exchanges over the internet as well. I gave a true life example of how it could be done, but you, in your ever twisted world, took it completely out of context. A post where I was advising someone to be careful of what they say, turns into "I'm spying on people".

If this is the best you can do, you'd better quit now. No one, with any sort of rational mind, would see this as anything other than it is, and not your warped interpretation of it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1388. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 12:50:19 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021015085019.15078.00001395@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 140162

there licensed hams

>Tim isn't this where some of your channel 6 outlaw bunch of Cber hangs?
>

1389. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 12:52:31 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021015085231.15078.00001396@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 140163

you first

>>
>> george are you saying that a man has nothing to do with a child being
>born? i
>> guess all these women are incarnations of the virgin mary?
>
>Answer the question Redneck if you can
>

1390. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 12:55:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021015085557.15078.00001398@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 140165

dont liek the truth frank? sorry you had to hear it from jj but in reality there are more than one ham running over the legal limit. i know it burst your bubble of the percieved perfect rf world of ham radio but its also reality. we all know it, bit it took alot for jj to come out and admitt to it.

>
>Don't even humor that argument, JJ. They are just trying to validate
>illegal behavior by saying, "If one ham breaks the rules then none of
>them have cause for complaint". That argument is even more lame than
>"Federal laws aren't laws", and HDT's Civil Disobedience.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1391. Re: Pride DX-300...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 12:56:39 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021015085639.15078.00001399@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 140164

its a very nice amp made by RF Parts in california. if you go to there website
you can still get all the info and parts for it too

>
>
>Was this a good amplifier? Was it clean? What is it's history? Is it
>another garage amp like the "Thunderbolt" etc?
>
>Dino
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1392. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 12:58:22 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC10EE.354B@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140173

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Or how about this little hypocrisy, Dave?
> -
> >
> "I agree with your assessment and attitude WRT the CB radio situation.
> Human nature, such that it is, tells us that if you give someone an
> attitude, they will likely not pay much attention to what it is you're
> trying to say, good or bad. "

Yea so? And your point is?
> <
>
> Self-contradictory. You claimed it gave you pleasure just the other day.
> You're on such a downhill spiral that even us lowly cbers feel sorry
> for.

What did I claim pleasure for?

>
> >
> "This also illustrates my point about "intent" of the law vs. the
> "letter" of the law.
> I, personally, don't have a problem with someone who keeps a low
> profile, runs equipment that is clean, and at modest power levels, and
> is considerate of the rights of other operators.If that means that same
> operator occasionally escapes to a "freeband" channel or bumps up the
> power a few watts to maintain contact with their base/mobile, over
> adverse conditions, then so be it."
> <
>
> That's Dave Hall...hypocrite galore.
> Whatsamatter Dave, having problems deciding just exactly how you feel
> about these criminals or do you change your mind to suit the thread
> you're trolling? Of course, you can always claim you didn't remebber it,
> or you forgot about it.

That's EXACTLY how I FEEL about the situation. Ok, now listen carefully,
so you can hopefully understand this:

There's a difference between how I FEEL about something, and whether
it's illegal or not.

I've made the comment on more than one occasion that if you're
comfortable knowing what you are doing is illegal, and take steps to
minimize your exposure, then you have to live with your actions. Just

don't try to pawn it off on the rest of us as a perfectly legitimate activity, because the law says otherwise.

I don't even want to go into the concepts of "Intent" of the law, vs. the "letter" of the law, since you can't even keep the context of my postings intact.

> "That being said, I do not support protesting the 155 mile limit by
> deliberately engaging in skip talking. Talking skip is the primary cause
> of the noise levels and interference that hamper the ability of locals
> to communicate with their respective friends and family. It goes with
> "respecting the rights of other operators". Skip talkers are not being
> considerate (or even cognizant in many cases) of the potential
> interference"
> <
>
> There is that magic word..."potential"...sorta like the word "if", eh?

But what part of that is not true?

> that their activity can cause to people that are not even in the same
> area.
> Since the intended purpose of CB radio was short distance LOCAL
> communications, the 155 mile limit is reasonable in that vein, even if
> the frequency band is not always conducive to only local communications.
> I've always favored moving the CB band to somewhere in the VHF spectrum,
> where skip would be a rarity, and that whole piece of the equation would
> be effectively removed."
> <
>
> And since things aren't as you like them you figured you would just come
> in here and lie like hell, contradict yourself, and spread calamity
> while getting your tongue cut a few times by those that you mistakenly
> thought you were above.

Again, what part of that is not true? The FCC set up the class D citizen's band as a short distance (I.E. local) communications service. Only malcontents and wannabe hams use it contrary to its intended purpose, and in violation of the law.

>
> >
> "As for recruiting CBers to the ham radio fraternity, my attitude is
> this: Most CBers, that are serious enough about radio that they are
> running on the freeband, are undoubtedly aware of the existance of ham
> radio. If they already know about it, they are either interested in it
> or not. If not, it most likely has to do with preconceived notions about
> ham "attitude" (Stuffed shirts)." <
>
> Hahahahahahaha! Dave can't grasp the concept...hahahah!\

Concept? Ok then, what is it? Logic dictates that if one has the choice between two radio services, one free of all licensing requirements, where you can work DX and play with radio, in violation of the law, and on one band, where the DX is subject to the 11 year sunspot cycle, or... A set of bands where one could find DX somewhere 24-7, as well as many other facets of radio, which requires a license and a test to demonstrate your abilities, the reason why someone would chose the first over the second basically boils down to two possibilities:

1. A completely social or psychological one. They don't "like" something about the second choice. Either they had trouble fitting in with others, or just didn't like the politics or the ideals of following the rules.

2. An inability to pass the associated test material to qualify for a license. People in this catergory may tend to denigrate the service, the "relativity" of the material, or question the "worthiness" of others who have passed their tests.

To be honest, I wouldn't want either type on ham radio. Let them stay on CB, and try to tell the rest of the world that being illegal is a proud tradition.

> >
> "I make an effort to try to enlighten the person,"
> <
>
> With lies, deliberate disinformation and plain old bullshit.

In your (rather distorted) opinion.

>
> >
> "but if he's set in his ways, I let it drop".
> <
>
> You wouldn't still be trying to hump my leg if that post had an ounce of
> integrity. But as you have shown quite well, none of your posts do.

Because you're the newsgroup punch clown. I'm having my fill of you for now. then I will return to ignoring you completely, next week.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1393. Interesting Post From alt.radio.pirate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 13:01:14 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021015090114.15078.00001400@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 140166

Subject: Who is the real Aaron H. Voobner? The truth is finally told!
Path:
lobby!ngtf-m01.news.aol.com!ngpeer.news.aol.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news
feed.stanford.edu!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: wmparr30563@yahoo.com (RadioBob/Radio8)
Newsgroups: alt.radio.pirate
Date: 15 Oct 2002 04:47:36 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 56
Message-ID: <fe0dabe1.0210150347.20eda6c8@posting.google.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.87
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1034682456 16900 127.0.0.1 (15 Oct 2002 11:47:36
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Oct 2002 11:47:36 GMT

The moron who has been calling himself Aaron H. Voobner is none other
than Woodbury McCrea Parr of Cornelia, Georgia. Woodbury has a
long-long history of running high powered illegal amps on CB and is a
known well established pirate Operator who has used many alias's,
Radio Bob, Radio 8, Fight for Free Radio, Voice of Shakerag (a station
that advocates illegal use of drugs) and more false names and email
addresses than even I could conjure up.

Woodbury McCrea Parr has many blind mail boxes and addresses but his
real address is 174 Red Fox Hollow in Cornelia, Georgia 30531. His
Ham Call sign is KE4ENX. For some reason he thinks this poor guy in
Virginia Beach is his tormenter and has falsely accused him of being
exactly what Voobner/Parr/Bob is, an illegal pirate operator. Classic
case of Manic Depressive and in fact Woodbury has been treated for
mental disorders since his last mental breakdown in the early 90's
when he left his wife and family in Decatur, Georgia. Woodbury thinks
everyone is out to get him and wages these childish, inane hate
campaigns at people he "thinks", or has "heard" are after him. His
latest target, Steve Rogowich is not only not a pirate, he doesn't
even know who Woodbury is.

Call Woodbury McCrea Parr at home at 706-776-1290 and tell him to
stop. Its only exposing himself to further humiliation and public
embarassment. He has hissy fits about so called illegal CB operators,
all the while he is one himself. He huffs-n-puffs about illegal
operators, yet has been a long time pirate operator on shortwave. If
Woodbury doesn't answer but his wife Patricia does, tell her that he

must take his medication or this insanity will continue until he makes another mess like he did just 10-years ago.

Poor Woodbury was thrown off his ISP in Cleveland, Georgia for making threats and then thrown off of Hotmail for threatening a woman he didn't even know and now he's here on USENET making false claims about other people that he doesn't even know being pirates.

Who cares Woodbury/Voobner? Do you not have a life? Is this the best you can do? Just keep posting the same old lies and bullshit you've been hawking for the past couple of years? You are a powerless, pitiful failure and the only thing you can do is post this bullshit all over the USENET.

We know who you are. We know where you are. Why keep egging people on to expose your deepest and darkest secrets for the entire world to see? Is it the "self destructive" bent that your therapist warned you about? You have only proven that you are a powerless little man who can only throw rocks from the shadows. You profess to be a follower of the Urantia Book, yet you practice none of what you claim to believe. We all know who you are. Why not post something relevant in these forums that has something to do with the topics instead of making false personal attacks against people you don't even know? What a sad little man you are to sit in a dark room and the only time you think you are worth something, is when you type attacks against people you don't have the courage to face with your lies. For years you worked so hard to hide your real identity behind that Lula address and now everyone, everywhere int he world knows who you are. Find another hobby, you've failed at this one too.

1394. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 13:03:32 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC1224.3CEE@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140174

Eric Kanack wrote:
>
> Im not breaking the law asshole. Besides, I have a ham ticket, probably
> higher class than the losers on here trying to start shit since they would
> have things better to do otherwise.......and that ticket allows me to own
> and operate modified equipment. So if I want to run a Kenwood TS-950SDX on
> 11 meter (cb), I am legally allowed to aslong as I keep my power output
> within legal limits.
> So take it and shove it up your ass fool

That is just plain wrong. The citizen's band requires the use of type accepted equipment, in order to be legal. A modified ham radio is not legal on CB no matter what power level you run it at.

No whether or not anyone would actually notice it if you kept the power down, is another issue.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1395. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 13:16:25 UTC
From: George <George@gaygeorge.com>
Message-ID: <3dad14b5.618248@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 140175

On Mon, 14 Oct 2002 22:33:40 -0400, "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com>
wrote:

>
>
>"George" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message
>news:15smquo0210g1prglmeh14ch1fmig35p98@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 14 Oct 2002 20:01:45 -0400, "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> ><Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
>> >
>> >> It's illegal anywere..
>> >
>> >I agree I have said that from day 1
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >>So is freebanding. I enjoy both.
>> >
>> >Yes freebanding is trivial if your willing to break other laws right?
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >>and have since before the best part of you,ran down your daddys' leg.
>> >
>> >really your that old Parks? Did your mother have anything that lived?
>>
>> Did your dad's have anything that lived? I bet you were a cute little
>> turd....
>
>Mopar has 2 dad's? sorry to here that. How do you know that Randy?
>

That comment was directed at you Twinkie George

1396. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 13:20:35 UTC
From: George <George@gaygeorge.com>
Message-ID: <3dae1558.781553@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 140176

On Mon, 14 Oct 2002 22:44:58 -0400, "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com>
wrote:

>
><Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
>news:20021014171118.723$aX@newsreader.com...
>
>> That's a really lame attempt at sounding like n8,georgie girl. At least
>> Doug has some wit and origonality,when he slings that homo shit.
>>
>> You need the home study course,or see if you can get someone to email you
>> the answers..again.
>
>
>
>
>Funny part is I never mentioned anything remotley close to anal sex or dick
>or what have you one of your wayward souls had it on his mind i guess. I
>just respond in kind the way they do remember I hate people who are
>hypocrites Its ok for them then it is ok for me.
>

Really? You want to stick a broomstick up a guys ass, what do you
call that? Its still anal sex Twinkie George. Even the name your
posting with is about anal sex.

1397. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 13:22:41 UTC
From: George <George@gaygeorge.com>
Message-ID: <3daf162a.991275@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 140177

On Tue, 15 Oct 2002 03:19:30 GMT, "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.>
wrote:

>
><Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
>news:20021014225441.181$GA@newsreader.com...
>> "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote:
>> >>Funny part is I never mentioned anything remotley close to anal sex or
>> > dick or what have you one of your wayward souls had it on his mind i
>> > guess. I just respond in kind the way they do remember I hate people who
>> > are hypocrites Its ok for them then it is ok for me.
>> >
>> What?
>>
>> --
>> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html

Do they make fluffie male ones for George?

>>
>> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
>> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service
>
> LOL!!! You couldn't have said it better Mopar.
>
> Landshark

1398. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 13:55:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21821-3DAC1E6A-181@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140180

From: oleshit@hemphead.com (Ole Shit)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29791-3DAB33CA-852@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Keep waiting then George, 'cause my claim that is was decriminalized was proven. You are confusing me with another.

--
>And my point that it is Illegal has been validated time and time again.
<<

Yea, but see, I never took issue with that comment. You merely claimed I said it when in fact I didn't.

>In fact I did bring this to light in an earlier post I think you jumped in to the thread when I was talking to "tools" who said it was Legal, and to this day I maintian it is indeed ILLEGAL. You say decriminalized, for mere possesion and you are correct for anything under 28.5 and not in a school zone anything else regarding it has not been decriminalized even with that big fancy word it is still Illegal. we are arguing semantics. You cannot say I am wrong or I lied how can I lie by saying it is Illegal that is the truth.<

You claimed "I" said it was illegal when in fact I didn't. You're right, we understand each other and agree (did I say that?) on the law there. The law concerning the school zone surprised me. Some states have mandtory minimums for that and any possession is a felony (within "X' amount of distance from a school).

1399. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 13:57:51 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21820-3DAC1EDF-513@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140181

From: Moparholic@hotmail.net
I mean really! How many miles of tobacco is uncle sam getting fat off
of?
That's gonna change,as the old farts die or retire..but not soon enough
for me.
We can't have a casino down in the flats of Cleveland,but you can spend
your whole check on lottery tickets.
Lot's of double standards,but it's still the best place to live. ;)
--
God Bless America -

Agreed.

1400. RCI2995dx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 14:00:04 UTC
From: Noneaubusiness <nospam@none.com>
Message-ID: <3dac1fe3$1_6@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 140178

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/rci2995dx

No spam. No flames. No Radio police.

1401. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 14:04:22 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GfVq9.37005$lV3.2847663@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 140179

Seems to me that someone here needs to "pick" their head ont of their ass.
Man! some people here are so freaking anal.
"BobC" <bobC@comcast.netSKI> wrote in message
news:Xns92A4F402E680Dt@216.166.71.239...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in news:sTLp9.2740$t%
> 5.191086732@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:
>
> >
> > "lil' ol' me." <themole@themole.com> wrote in message
> > news:25eequ00kr4jrtvvp1dbqqsenf3h38rnha@4ax.com...
> >>
> >
> > Way to go Chuck, again shows what you
> > really don't know. The header say "Export radios &
> > Misc for sale" Go ahead and send it into the admin,
> > he'll probably need a good laugh.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Well then he can send it in for OT posting this is a CB NG not an export
> Radio NG, and he did not include the normal FS, also this is not a swap
> NG either, take your pick.
>
>
>
>
> >
> > --
> > If you are implying that people, who
> > hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> > held to a higher moral standard than
> > the "common man", then that would
> > be faulty logic. A ham license is only
> > a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
> > "badge of morality".
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1402. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 14:23:21 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC24D9.ECD28A2@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140191

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> there licensed hams

How do you know they're (contraction for they are, 'there' means
like put it over there) licensed hams?

1403. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 14:28:32 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC2610.C323B429@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140192

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> In <3DAB95A3.71B8378F@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >To add to Aaron's post, I have been a ham for over 40 years and I
> >can say with certainty that yes, some hams do run more than the
> >legal limit at times (and yes, some have been cited in the past by
> >the FCC), but the majority of hams who own amplifiers do not.
>
> Don't even humor that argument, JJ. They are just trying to validate
> illegal behavior by saying, "If one ham breaks the rules then none of
> them have cause for complaint". That argument is even more lame than
> "Federal laws aren't laws", and HDT's Civil Disobedience.

Well they can spin it any way they want, but the vast majority of
hams operate completely within the rules. Timmie Neebonehead and
Twistieboy can't say that about 'freebanders' as all 'freebanders'
are operating illegally.

1404. Realistic TRC440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 14:29:43 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021015102943.04818.00001264@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 140182

Can anyone show me how and what to do to get those extra channels

Any help welcome

1405. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 14:32:58 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC271A.1B89CB06@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140193

Twistedhed wrote:

> --
> Missing posts or deliberately ignoring them?
> Well, a LEO just admitted as much, and you claimed "lots" agree with
> you. I pose your question to yourself (don't you just HATE that?)
> and ask where are the cbers present that consider those who talk on the
> freeband, an extra watt or so, or drivng 55 in a 56 a criminal? The
> majority here do not consider those acts criminal. You are in the
> minority on that, Hall. Deal with it. You have no support (at least
> publicly) except the hate posse of Dogie N8WWM, KC8LDO Lelnad, and Frank
> Gilliland, which is why you were so readily named by "arron".You are
> part of the problem on rec.radio.cb.

Criminals always think their unlawful acts are somehow justified.

1406. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 14:33:16 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21821-3DAC272C-185@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140184

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
>Wow! I actually did make that comment, <

Yea, and you have insulted as often as I have claimed you do, too. And lied.

>A lie is a false statement deliberately presented as the truth. When have I ever lied about anything? <

Let's begin with the most recent...you claimed you never spied on people....said why "exert the effort"? Then a post was showed where you not only claimed you did it, but took delight in it. If you missed that post I can show it you.
There are way more, but I'm not into gloating.
It's simple, treat those as you wish to be treated.

>Because my viewpoint does not agree with yours, does not qualify it as a "lie".<

No. When your viewpoint doesn't agree with mine, you begin a failed attempt at a redirect with insultive remarks in an effort to make the topic about the poster that made the statement you do not agree with. Hell, Dave, the majority of your posts contain some type of offcuff remarks, but as I said, you have been doing better since made aware of it.

>Hell, I feel your
pain. Go to google and look up "malcontents" and see who you stole that from. There's more.
<

But you accused someone else of doing damn near the same thing to you...did you forget already? go back and reread that thread and see who started commenting about such things....it was you.
Once again, what makes your view so important that others should abdicate theirs in favor of yours?

>I "stole" it from no one. I used a term that most accurately fits the situation. You must really be full of yourself if you believe that you influence anyone in a positive manner. Your grammatical skills are sophomoric at best. <

Another personal and off-topic, off the cuff remark from you. You do it so often you don't even realize it.

Did you miss the post last year where Sparky claimed he couldn't follow? He took issue with my vernacular, with words such as "ascertain". I don't mind, if I have to reduce my posts to a sophomoric level in order for you and he to comprehend them, so be it.

>Are you somehow implying that you have exclusive rights to common English vernacular?<

It has never been my goal to imply anything.

"Nooooooope! I'm claiming that since I arrived your behavior has improved and so has your grammar, tremendously."

>A quick Google search of my posts over the last 9 years will show that I have been, and remain, consistent in grammatical context, and as frank and honest in my knowlege and opinion."<

And heavily insultive to those that make valid points that you take issue with. Correct, google is full of those types from you conerning your well-known intolerance for others, mainly any cber that makes a point you disagree with.....if you didn't make such remarks, "Aaron" wouldn't have included you by name in his posse of hate with Frank Gilliland, N8WWM Dogie Adair and Lelnad KC8LDO.

Oh yea, and that you borrow
liberally from my *vernacular*.

>Are you really so narcissistic? <

You made the claim first. I simply pointed out how you hypocritically did it to another. A shining example of how you can dish it out but not take it when someone hands it back.
I was agreeing that the imitation is the sincerest form of flattery but you're too far gone and rabid to even realize when someone agrees with you.

>Lot's of people agree with me. If you weren't so consumed with trying to find some flaw in my character, you'd realize that.<<

I'm not the least bit interested in your character. As far as I'm concerned, your "character" is on par with the others, which is why you were thoughtfully included by name by "Aaron".
Those 3 do not make "lots", despite your "sophomoric" beliefs.

>Your lack of comprehension is exceeded only by your absurdity, and is as true to form as ever.
<

And you are right on schedule....attack the person whose view you don't agree with. Get personal, hurl some insults....that has been your history among us.

>Hmm.... Lets' see, if you say something critical of a person, it's an "observation". <

Putting words in my mouh has embarrassed you before, who try again at something you have always failed at?

>If I, or someone else makes a similar statement about you, it's an "insult"? You really ought to learn the difference.<

Obviously, you are beholden to personal insult and this is a hilarious way of watching you try to defend your moronic actions of insulting one on a personal nature. If I have ever, EVER insulted anyone on this board, it was a return insult to someone, not unlike yourself, that has thrown the first stone in all cases of dialog with someone..

And you're still a liar and a hypocrite. How much do you want shared with the group, Hall?

>In the words of the great cornholio: "Are you threatening me?" <<

Let me borrow a phrase from you..."If you have nothing to hide, what are you worried about?"
You apparently did take my advice and went through google and found some of those whoppers you made that you'd rather forget. If you wish to construe that posting your posts to prove you a liar is a threat, you need help.

>I don't hold grudges, <

>Really? Your actions to date would seem to indicate otherwise.<

Example? (2nd time)

but if you want to wage another of your little adolescent games, bring it on.

>Pot = Kettle = Black. You really should look in the mirror before you hit the keyboard. <

I'm not the one that admitted taking pleasure with anothers dilemma. You are.
Difference between you and I is I am full of joy, you are full of malice. I like what I see in the mirror, you have a wounded and battered ego and as admitted, need to feed off thers in order to feel "good" about yourself.

Do I have your permission to post some of your private emails that obviously weren't meant for me?

>Let's see. Considering that I've had E-mail correspondence with maybe 3 or 4 people from this newsgroup in the last several years, I'm sure you have quite a lot of "dirt" to sling. I'm not one to make behind the scenes comments about anyone that I wouldn't say in the open forum. So go ahead hot-shot, let's see what wonderful fabrications you can dazzle us with.
<

All in due time, but I shal retain your permission to post them publically...but I'm letting you know now, they ain't pretty, small

squirt. As a matter of fact, many of them show your lies you are so inclined to deny.
  Maybe you should stick to shooting fish in a barrel. They are defenseless creatures and can't point out your whopper lies concerning all kinds of things, but I can.

>Once again, you have trouble differentiating between a deliberate untruth, and a difference of opinion. You can't name one thing that I've ever said that qualifies as a "lie".<

Check your comments on spying on cbers. Miss that post?

How much do you want revealed? Getting
personal is way off topic, but wre can take your spineless insults to email or you can continue to manifest your juvenile obsession with opposing views by using this board as your personal insult toy.

>Funny, you seem to be the only person, who feels "insulted".<

Funny? First you take delight in making others feel bad then you claim your insults are funny? No wonder you are are a part-time father. I hope your daughter learns her P's and Q's from her mother, as she obviously has seen you for what you are. She's the bright one here.

> Maybe you should lay off that estrogen therapy and get a little backbone, and some thicker skin. If the truth hurts, it's not my job to sugar coat it for you, the way mommy used to.
Dave
"Sandbagger" <

Truth? What truth do you find through calling names and making others feel miserable? A therapist will assure you that for you to feel "good" only by making another feel bad, is a deep rooted resentment that has nothing to do with the person you are accosting. I still think it came from the physical abuse you suffered and admitted to at the hands of your grandfather, but there are more issues you have to work on..

1407. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 14:36:44 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC27FB.5B41F884@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140194

Dave Hall wrote:

>
> Funny, you seem to be the only person, who feels "insulted". Maybe you
> should lay off that estrogen therapy and get a little backbone, and some
> thicker skin. If the truth hurts, it's not my job to sugar coat it for
> you, the way mommy used to.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Twistieboy is just hacked because he knows he could never pass the
amateur radio nocode test. He is doomed to 'freeband' illegally
forever.

1408. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 14:39:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21820-3DAC28BC-525@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140183

where the trouble originates. Add to that, most present do not share Hall's radical views of what constitutes a criminal and well, there you have it...a majority.
--
Where? Who has spoken? Name them? Name one person that disagrees that operating a CB radio in direct violation of FCC rules, is not breaking the law? A FEDERAL law, that carries fines and jail time, that take it well into the catergory of "criminal".
--
Missing posts or deliberately ignoring them?
Well, a LEO just admitted as much, and you claimed "lots" agree with you. I pose your question to yourself (don't you just HATE that?) and ask where are the cbers present that consider those who talk on the freeband, an extra watt or so, or drivng 55 in a 56 a criminal? The majority here do not consider those acts criminal. You are in the minority on that, Hall. Deal with it. You have no support (at least publicly) except the hate posse of Dogie N8WWM, KC8LDO Lelnad, and Frank Gilliland, which is why you were so readily named by "arron".You are part of the problem on rec.radio.cb.

> Just ask that last guy who was led out of the courtroom in shackles, for his willful violations, whether he was treated any differently from any other criminal.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
<

Just ask the hammie in California who was doing the same thing only on emergency freqs. Once again, you invalidate your own pointless efforts by naming the rare exceptions to the rule. I would rather ask why you spy on cbers then lie about it.

1409. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 14:41:07 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC2903.B1422480@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140195

Dave Hall wrote:

>
> That post was in response to a person, who had gave a reason why he
> didn't want to be a ham, because a call sign and all his associated
> information would become public knowledge. I countered that people who
> have nothing to hide, have nothing to fear.
>
But yet twistieboy keeps insisting that others post their calls
here to prove who they are yet you don't see him volunteering his
real name or address.
His excuse for not being a ham is because he can't pass the nocode
test.

1410. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 14:42:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21820-3DAC294F-528@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140186

You must be missing posts again...try another server, as many have agreed with me concerning what makes a criminal, not you, and have said as much, even a licensed LEO.

Dave Hall wrote:
Who? Where? When? You like to dig for dirt with Google, so dig up this info. I'm sure you would be delighted to prove me wrong by backing up your statement with some supporting evidence.
--
Lenny Stover....Law enforcement officer...and all the rest of the cbers present. No cigar Dave, semanticize all you wish, driving 56 in a 55 does not make one a criminal..you are in the extreme minority with this view.

1411. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 14:47:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21821-3DAC2A7D-187@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140185

From: oleshit@hemphead.com (Ole Shit)
Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29791-3DAB2CE4-843@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Hi, George. I claimed it was decriminalized, you said it wasn't so and
asked for proof.
--
>Read one of my other posts. regarding this. decriminilized doesn't take
away from it still being illegal.<<

I never claimed otherwise.

> The only thing that means the pentaltys are less severe for *mere
possesion* <<

Exactly.

I don't see you as a mess of total contempt yet, and as you asked
politely, I so chose to provide you with a page of California drug laws
showing my exact claim correct.

>Yes up to a point it does say decriminalized. Again I wont get into in
this thread I already explained it earlier tonite check that one why
duplicate things. <

Nah, George, nothing is eating me, but I did notice it seems like you
are busting your tail more and more each day to lay with the swine.
****
>We sow what we reap, the fruit of my labor are courtesy of the other
posters If they themselves acted in another fashion i may as well but as
you see Twist it is me and there is a gang who try to pile on me but I
am like the immortal Hulk Hogan I say my prayers and take my vitamins
and come back strong with the big boot <

Yea, I have my own "gang" but it's all good.

Usually you throw your one-liners at Landshark or someone else and get
on down the road......but lately, I've noticed a shift in attitude from
you. Everything ok up there?
****
Yeah just a little ornery lately, the peanut gallery keeps me busy
posting here.

  It's a little early for the winter blues and depression to be
kicking in...hasn't even snowed except a few flurries this morning in
MN.
****
>Perhaps mid life crisis setting in and a few keyclowns to flare it up.

I do appreciate the concern though, perhaps a spell of the Florida sun will perk things up. <<

LOL! C'mon down, I had the pleasure of some company yesterday from the ng,,,,,,,this time of year is awesome down here.....but bring a pole,,I'll supply the bait and radio.

1412. Re: extra channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 15:16:09 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <EkWq9.1272$j83.219325@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 140188

"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021014204254.28272.00001219@mb-ck.aol.com...
> u cant get EXTRA channels from just a AM radio....save the time and money
and
> get a SSB radio that has all the channels u want
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

Actually, when I was in my younger wilder days I had a AM only radio that
had extra channels in it, so it can be done.
But I would advise against it.

73 de Keith
--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

1413. Re: Realistic TRC440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 15:24:22 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <GqWq9.47153$uF6.138098@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 140187

One of the Expo kits will do it. You can search for that on the net. Bill's 2-Way has em.

"Carlmackayp" <carlmackayp@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021015102943.04818.00001264@mb-cs.aol.com...
> Can anyone show me how and what to do to get those extra channels
>
> Any help welcome
>

1414. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 16:03:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16455-3DAC3C3C-925@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140190

Dave Hall said:
I'm not one to make behind the scenes comments about anyone that I
wouldn't say in the open forum. So go ahead hot-shot, let's see what
wonderful fabrications you can dazzle us with.
--

Ok. So let us put this to rest once and for all....I say posting under
another name is "behind the scenes" and malicious in your case.
Have you ever posted under another name in rec.radio.cb, Hall?

1415. OT- Pick your minds up out of the gutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 16:10:40 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <K7Xq9.1276$j83.220069@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 140189

A first-grade teacher was having trouble with one of her students. The teacher asked, "David, what is your problem?"
David answered, "I'm too smart for the first-grade. My brother is in the third-grade and I'm smarter than he is! I think I should be in the third-grade too!"
The teacher had enough. She took David to the principal's office. While David waited in the outer office, the teacher explained to the principal what the situation was. The principal told the teacher he would give the boy a test and if he failed to answer any of his questions he was to go back to the first-grade and behave. The teacher agreed.
David was brought in and the conditions were explained to him and he agreed
to take the test.
Principal: "What is 3 x 3?"
David: "9"
Principal: "What is 6 x 6?"
David: "36"
And so it went with every question the principal thought a third-grade should know. The principal looks at the teacher and tells her, "I think David can go to the third-grade."
The teacher says to the principal, "Let me ask him some questions?" The principal and David both agree.
Teacher: "What does a cow have four of that I have only two of?
David: "Legs"
Teacher: "What is in your pants that you have but I do not have?" (The principal wondered, why does she ask such a question!)
David: "Pockets"
Teacher: "What does a dog do that a man steps into?"
David: "Pants"
Teacher: "What starts with a C and ends with a T, is hairy, oval, delicious
and contains thin whitish liquid?"
(The principal's eyes open really wide and before he could stop the answer...)
David: "Coconut"
Teacher: "What goes in hard and pink then comes out soft and sticky?"
David: "Bubblegum"
Teacher: "What does a man do standing up, a woman do sitting down and a dog
do on three legs?"
(The principal's eyes open really wide and before he could stop the answer...)
David: "Shake hands"
Teacher: "Now I will ask some 'Who am I' sort of questions, okay?"

David: "Yup"
Teacher: "You stick your poles inside me. You tie me down to get me up. I get wet before you do."
David: "Tent"
Teacher: "A finger goes in me. You fiddle with me when you're bored. The best man always has me first." (Principal was looking restless and bit tense)
David: "Wedding Ring"
Teacher: "I come in many sizes. When I'm not well, I drip. When you blow me, you feel good."
David: "Nose"
Teacher: "I have a stiff shaft. My tip penetrates. I come with a quiver."
David: "Arrow"
Teacher: "What word starts with an 'F' and ends in 'K' that means a lot of excitement?"
David: "Fire truck"
The principal breathed a sigh of relief and told the teacher, "Put his ass in the fifth-grade, I got the last ten questions wrong myself."

Pick your minds up out of the gutter

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

1416. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 16:15:05 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16456-3DAC3F09-395@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140196

Gorge wrote:Ok Twist without making this long and drawn out I think we have been arguing semantics, you claimed mere possesion, and of course thats all you claimed you did not specify any quantity. i claimed It is illegal I also did not specify anything in particular such as possesion sale growing etc. So anything other than possesion is Illegal would you agree with that scenario, even if you didn't the law is what it is and really even under 28.5g is Illegal, you say "decriminalized" call it what you want you but you cannot fire up a joint in public without some repercussions call it a misdemeanor or what have you it is still considered Illegal.
<

Agreed.

> You guys like to refer to it like a traffic ticket, well is speeding allowed, left on red? racing? etc the answer is No, it is illegal to speed what happens if caught? You are punished, fined what have you. Ok here is the best part Sale of marijuana is *more* Illegal than possesion jail time, growing it is Illegal, so where did you get yours? Someone probably had to sell it to you right? then you helped them comit a felony. <<

I don't burn anymore. I toked some in college and plenty in high school....it's been many moons and if I did it now I would probably sleep for 2 days or eat myself sick, based on the stuff that I read and learn of todays pot. Back then we had Columbian Gold and Panama Red. A quarter pound was around 75 bucks and green was garbage (except for the Hawaii Kona stuff). When I read that in some places the price is higher than gold per ounce...I just shake my head.

>twist I am not against marijuana at all I am just pointing out it is not just something that can be done when and where you want without repercusisons. Posses marijuana in a school zone that fits your classic *mere possesion* but yet that is also illegal and highly illegal in many states enough to warrant possible mandatory jail time. So you see I think me saying it is illegal makes me in fact still correct because it is , you said mere possesion was decriminalized I can agree with that without getting into specifics. Just because it is decriminalized doesn't mean it is not in fact still Illegal. Have your rebuttal and i am done with this thread <<

No problem. We pretty much come to an understanding on this one......I'm not against it either. As I said, an adult should be able to intoxicate himself anyway he chooses, from a wild weed to a pill to a can of paint.

1417. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 16:18:06 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16455-3DAC3FBE-927@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140198

"JJ" said:
I taught Novice classes for several years and our goal was the same,
make sure they new the theory and they could then know the courts answer
and why it was the correct answer.
--
Why did you quit? Have anything to do with restructuring?

1418. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 16:35:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16455-3DAC43CF-928@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140197

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
Spying on Cbers? That's rich! Why would I expend the effort? You really
are off the deep end. You now resort to inventing stories. When that
line between fantasy and reality becomes blurred, the men in the white
coats aren't far behind......
Dave
"Sandbagger"
-
Here's dave talking about the "identity game" preferred by Frank,
Lelnad, and himself.

>This "Identity Paranoia" seem very prevelant on the CB band. I've
always chalked it up to the fact that most people run illegally in one
respect or another, and have a need to "hide behind the mike", to make
any law enforcement action that much tougher.
The real irony is that despite the steps that people take to "hide"
themselves, a clever "sandbagger", can gather tons of information from
just listening to conversations. I've listened to people, and just from
their casual comments, I figured out where they worked, how many kids
they have, how old they are, the general area that they live in (And
simple DFing would narrow it down from there), they type of cars they
drive, the make and models of their radio gear (And whether it's
illegal) and so on. You don't have to announce your name and address for
people, intent on finding you, to track you down. Dave "Sandbagger"
<<

>Gee, I thought these were supposed to be "emails"? That post was posted
by me on 11/01/1999, to the newsgroup.<<

Whatever and whenever. I received it in email. Regardless where it came
from and when you said it, it illustrates you are a liar.

>That post was in response to a person, who had gave a reason why he
didn't want to be a ham, because a call sign and all his associated
information would become public knowledge. I countered that people who
have nothing to hide, have nothing to fear. <<

Hey, it ain't me you have to clean up your lies to. You said you never
claimed such actions, yet here is the post where you did it.

>I also made reference to the false comfort that relative anonyminity
brings to many CBers.<

Is that why you posted under different names, because you think no one
can recognize they came from you?

> They (wrongly) think that just because they don't give out a call sign, and use a cryptic number or handle as an identifier, that a clever person could not find out a ton of information just by listening over a period of time (You know, listening. You should try it sometime). <<

Nah...I'm not the slightest bit interested in personal info on strangers on the radios. I have a life that takes the place of such delights.

>Many people unknowingly give out many clues to their identity during routine QSO's. This has been the subject of many courses on protecting your family, and involves not only radio conversations, but exchanges over the internet as well. I gave a true life example of how it could be done, but you, in your ever twisted world, took it completely out of context.<

Not at all. The gist of what I said is that you are so interested in other's lives that you
devoted an entire topic to it.
You denied it, and as always, need reminded because you always seem to "forget" about the posts you made.

> A post where I was advising someone to be careful of what they say, turns into "I'm spying on people". <

No, a post where you admitted doing it is in direct contradiction with your denial that you NEVER did it. "Why" is an afterthought and nonrelated.

>If this is the best you can do, you'd better quit now. <

I can do way better, but that's not what I'm about. But like I said, if you wish to keep hurling childish insults my way, or keep lying, more of your own words will come back to haunt you.

>No one, with any sort of rational mind, would see this as anything other than it is, and not your warped interpretation of it.
Dave
"Sandbagger"<

Agreed. Your denial that you ever said it or did it is proof in the pudding.....hell, Dave, I agree.....no one needs my interpretation of it, I just point these things out...just your denial that you never said it or did it then your attempt at explaining WHY you did it is enough for any rational mind.

1419. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 16:50:00 UTC
From: Kevin Muenzler <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3dac451e$0$951$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 140199

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:dpqdnezC0ecX2zagXTWc3Q@News.GigaNews.Com...

> Also depends on the band, I don't know anyone who could afford, or could
use
> 1kW on 24GHz.
> OTOH, I have a schematic and construction article for converting a
microwave
> oven to an FSTV transmitter on the 2.4GHz band, and a 1400 watt oven! :)

Oh yeah? I bet it would have a wonderful 60hz hum too! Those things DON'T work at 100% duty cycle, more like 10%. There is NO WAY that you would get an acceptable signal from a magnitron. You also don't need 1kW at 24GHz. Two way EME (Moon bounce) has been done on less than 10 watts. Yep that's TEN watts. It all comes down to the antenna. If you can get 60db of gain (easily done at 24GHz) with 10 watts that's 10 megawatts ERP and perfectly legal.

But, do hams use more than the legal power? Sure there are lots of them who do. Why? You got me there! I've worked every continent in the world on less than 5 watts. But then there's been times that I couldn't talk into the next county on 1kw.

Kevin, WB5RUE

1420. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 17:09:40 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <EB6cnXdkJdgi1jGgXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140200

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:16455-3DAC3FBE-927@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> "JJ" said:
> I taught Novice classes for several years and our goal was the same,
> make sure they new the theory and they could then know the courts answer
> and why it was the correct answer.
> --
> Why did you quit? Have anything to do with restructuring?

Who quit?? I'm not running classes at the moment, but that's because I'm
fresh out of students.

1421. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 17:10:23 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <wPWcncwxSsd31jGgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140201

"Spell Checker" <SC@webster.com> wrote in message
news:3DAC07C4.F6114579@webster.com...
>
>
> Dave VanHorn wrote:
>
> > Doubling your power, all other things remaining the same, increases your
> > signal at the other end by 50%. At 1kW, you're way into diminishing
> > returns.
>
> You need to go back to your theory books. You are a bastion of
misinformation.

The book still says the same thing, it's called the inverse square law.
Hasn't changed in years.

1422. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 17:14:52 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <XN-cnR9ra8Nq0TGgXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140202

"Kevin Muenzler" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3dac451e$0$951$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
>
> "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
> news:dpqdnezC0ecX2zagXTWc3Q@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
> > Also depends on the band, I don't know anyone who could afford, or could
> use
> > 1kW on 24GHz.
> > OTOH, I have a schematic and construction article for converting a
> microwave
> > oven to an FSTV transmitter on the 2.4GHz band, and a 1400 watt oven! :)
>
> Oh yeah? I bet it would have a wonderful 60hz hum too! Those things
DON'T
> work at 100% duty cycle, more like 10%. There is NO WAY that you would
get
> an acceptable signal from a magnitron.

I'll be sure to mention it to the author of the article.
He will be interested to know that what he did is impossible.

FWIW, there is additional filtering involved in the magnetron supply, and a
tube based AM modulator.

> You also don't need 1kW at 24GHz.

No forseeable need.

> Two way EME (Moon bounce) has been done on less than 10 watts. Yep that's
> TEN watts. It all comes down to the antenna. If you can get 60db of gain
> (easily done at 24GHz) with 10 watts that's 10 megawatts ERP and perfectly
> legal.

Yup.

> But, do hams use more than the legal power? Sure there are lots of them
who
> do. Why? You got me there! I've worked every continent in the world on
> less than 5 watts. But then there's been times that I couldn't talk into
> the next county on 1kw.
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE

Damifino, my experience is similar, if the band is open, power isn't much of
a problem, and if it's not open, power's not a solution.

1423. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 17:15:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16455-3DAC4D37-935@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140208

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
Or how about this little hypocrisy, Dave?
-
"I agree with your assessment and attitude WRT the CB radio situation.
Human nature, such that it is, tells us that if you give someone an
attitude, they will likely not pay much attention to what it is you're
trying to say, good or bad. "

>Yea so? And your point is?
<

Self-contradictory. You claimed it gave you pleasure just the other day.
You're on such a downhill spiral that even us lowly cbers feel sorry
for.

>What did I claim pleasure for?<

Taking delight in anothers dilemma.
Now that's sick and antisocial.

>"This also illustrates my point about "intent" of the law vs. the
"letter" of the law.
I, personally, don't have a problem with someone who keeps a low
profile, runs equipment that is clean, and at modest power levels, and
is considerate of the rights of other operators.If that means that same
operator occasionally escapes to a "freeband" channel or bumps up the
power a few watts to maintain contact with their base/mobile, over
adverse conditions, then so be it."
<

That's Dave Hall...hypocrite galore.
Whatsamatter Dave, having problems deciding just exactly how you feel
about these criminals or do you change your mind to suit the thread
you're trolling? Of course, you can always claim you didn't remebber it,
or you forgot about it.

>That's EXACTLY how I FEEL about the situation. Ok, now listen
carefully, so you can hopefully understand this:
There's a difference between how I FEEL about something, and whether
it's illegal or not.<

Now you are beginning to understand why
your opinion carries no weight here.

>I've made the comment on more than one occasion that if you're
comfortable knowing what you are doing is illegal, and take steps to

minimize your exposure, then you have to live with your actions. Just don't try to pawn it off on the rest of us as a perfectly legitimate activity, because the law says otherwise.<<

And that is exactly what I have always maintained since the Sporadic days. My posts have echoed that sentiment for years,yet you call me antisocial for those same beliefs. That makes you "hypocrite Hall". The catch here, is nobody "pawns" anything,,,you angry hammies that can't control your emotive responses ASK for our opinion, and the second you receive it, commence to claiming we are "pawning it off" as a legal activity. You're rapidly reaching and falling with each post, Dave. I have never set forth any such thing to justify anything to anyone,,,,,just more bullshit from you.

>I don't even want to go into the concepts of "Intent" of the law, vs. the "letter" of the law, since you can't even keep the context of my postings intact. <

You're the one that can't remember what you said from day to day. Common among liars.

"That being said, I do not support protesting the 155 mile limit by deliberately engaging in skip talking. Talking skip is the primary cause of the noise levels and interference that hamper the ability of locals to communicate with their respective friends and family. It goes with "respecting the rights of other operators". Skip talkers are not being considerate (or even cognizant in many cases) of the potential interference"

There is that magic word..."potential"...sorta like the word "if", eh?

>But what part of that is not true?<

Well, you must have forgot what you claimed again. In another post of yours, you claimed that amps were the main reason....now you're claiming it's skip. BOTH posts can't be correct, Hall. Make up your mind before you forget again.

>that their activity can cause to people that are not even in the same area.
Since the intended purpose of CB radio was short distance LOCAL communications, the 155 mile limit is reasonable in that vein, even if the frequency band is not always conducive to only local communications. I've always favored moving the CB band to somewhere in the VHF spectrum, where skip would be a rarity, and that whole piece of the equation would be effectively removed."
<

And since things aren't as you like them you figured you would just come in here and lie like hell, contradict yourself, and spread calamity while getting your tongue cut a few times by those that you mistakenly thought you were above.

>Again, what part of that is not true? <

And once again I will enlighten you......you claimed that the MAIN reason above, is skip. In another post, you claimed it was amps. In yet still another, you claimed it was a reflection of society that ignored ALL rules that was responsible......(giggle)...you really ought to pick a story and stick to it.

>The FCC set up the class D citizen's band as a short distance (I.E. local) communications service. Only malcontents and wannabe hams use it contrary to its intended purpose, and in violation of the law.
As for recruiting CBers to the ham radio fraternity, my attitude is this: Most CBers, that are serious enough about radio that they are running on the freeband, are undoubtedly aware of the existance of ham radio. If they already know about it, they are either interested in it or not. If not, it most likely has to do with preconceived notions about ham "attitude" (Stuffed shirts)." <

Hahahahahahaha! Dave can't grasp the concept...hahahah!\

>Concept? Ok then, what is it? <

The concept that you edited out where you admitted you can't comprehend why more don't gravitate to hammie radio.............it's because of the likes of you. Youblacken the eye of hammie radio alongwith people like N8WWM, Frank Gilliland, and KC8LDO Lelnad.......all named by "arron" as part of his hate and discontent posse on rec.radio.cb
You also claimed that it was difficult to operate correctly....but you edited out that part as well, especially the part where I agreed, claiming it is of little wonder that you find correct operation difficult. It also solidifies my comments on the irony concerning the lack of communication skills among a few angry hammies such as yourself. At least you recognied it.

>Logic dictates <

Whoa whoa,,,, the logic that you and Lelnad KC8LDO and Frank Gilliland and N8 subscribe to is nothing but a common belief shared among your posse of trouble.. Lelnad KC8LDO said so. Did you miss that post too, or just "forget" about it?

>that if one has the choice between two radio services, one free of all licensing requirements, where you can work DX and play with radio, in violation of the law, and on one band, where the DX is subject to the 11 year sunspot cycle, or... A set of bands where one could find DX somewhere 24-7, as well as many other facets of radio, which requires a license and a test to demonstrate your abilities, the reason why someone would chose the first over the second basically boils down to two possibilities: <

You mean in your limted intellect, YOU could only recognize 2 possibilities. I told you before and I'l tell you again....your ignorance isn't shared by all and you underestimate the intelligence of the cbers here.

>1. An inability to pass the associated test material to qualify for a license. People in this catergory may tend to denigrate the service, the "relativity" of the material, or question the "worthiness" of others who have passed their tests.<

Maybe. But you have a personal problem and are trying like hell to make it anothers. I have never denigrated hammie radio.

>To be honest, I wouldn't want either type on ham radio.<

To be honest, many hammies don't want your type on hammie radio. You are the epitome of what plagues it......intentional division.

> Let them stay on CB, <

AS I said....division.

>and try to tell the rest of the world that being illegal is a proud tradition. <

Go ahead and rant......it doesn't apply at all to me, but since you selected me as your target with everything that doesn't even apply,, I'm all ears if it helps you with your antisocial and deviant behavior that is responsible for your behavior unbcoming of an upperclassmen (hammie other than nocode).

>"I make an effort to try to enlighten the person," <

With lies, deliberate disinformation and plain old bullshit.

"but if he's set in his ways, I let it drop".

You wouldn't still be trying to hump my leg if that post had an ounce of integrity. But as you have shown quite well, none of your posts do.

>Because you're the newsgroup punch clown.<

Now I am responsible for your posts not having integrity? I suppose I am, partly, by casting a light on your lies that you have posted and conveniently "forget".

>I'm having my fill of you for now. then I will return to ignoring you completely, next week.
Dave
"Sandbagger"<<

Does this mean you won't be posting at all anymore except under your own name?
Stick to shooting fish in a barrel. It's more your level.

1424. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 17:18:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16456-3DAC4DD7-397@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140205

George wrote: Nice try, not even close though split works, tx on 1 freq and after you un key listen on another freq unless he would need a repeater to listen and talk on the 2 different freqs same time, same band etc etc
--

George, have you never been made aware of any 11 meter repeater activity?

1425. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 17:23:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16456-3DAC4F2C-398@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140207

From: oleshit@hemphead.com (Ole Shit)
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021014174303.168$Tn@newsreader.com...
No funds..
--
If the bands were indeed to be sold there would be Major funds and that
was the point of this thread but thanks for trolling all my posts tonite
even though 95% of them werent involved with you. You do like me don't
you?
--
I don't think "like" or "dislike" has anything to do with it.....if
the truth be known, we'd probably all get along great, with the
exception of the very, very few who are here with nothing but malice on
their mind.....you know...the ones that used your name and recruited
you without your permission.....hell, George, I know where you
stand.....you should "pull up" these underclassmen and teach them the
right way..I mean, it's been said a million times by hammies...such
behavior can be expected on the cb band,,,but to see these froshies
committing such atrocities with a license in hand, it is indeed a
travesty to hammie radio. Their indoctrination to hammie radio was never
complete, descript, or adequate.

1426. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 17:26:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16455-3DAC4FBD-936@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140204

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
Spell Checker <SC@webster.com> wrote:
I'm sure your dad swears that's how *YOU* were concieved.
-
His dad came in her shoes and the flies did the rest!
--
Oh..nasty visual,with a CB in the background. <g>
--
God Bless America -

That would make a great one-frame cartoon for a rag.....

1427. Re: Help identify this coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 17:29:52 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC5090.F0725F63@cwis.net>
Source record: 140203

Dave,
It may be a 'house' designation of some kind, but
that's just a guess. Why don't you ask 'Belden'?
'Doc

1428. Re: SWR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 17:37:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16455-3DAC524A-937@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140206

"JJ" wrote:
>Twistieboy, you really are a dumbass. I clearly stated that I was not familiar with this antenna,<

But proceeded to give advice anyways.....and you called me a "dumbasss".
At least I know enough not to offer speculative advice concerning "probables' on things I know nothing of.

> and I stated that if it was like most mobile antennas (which according to you in your infinite knowledge it must not be) it would be rather narrow banded and gave my advice based on that. You really need a class in reading comprehension. Maybe you just need to learn to read. <

Maybe you just need to quit acting like a insulting nocode...wait...maybe you are an insulting nocode who took to the underground because.....nah, never mind.

1429. Re: Way to go Giants!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 17:41:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16455-3DAC5348-938@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140213

From: S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. (Landshark)
Way to Giants, National League Champions!!!!!
Landshark
--

Way to go. By the way, did you catch Lou Piniellas (sp?) act the other day? Did you hear what he said? He can't wait to get outta Seattle....said something about wanting to go back way down south in Dixie. Took a southerner to make the Mariners a ball team...a local boy, no less. Once he leaves, they;ll never have much of a chance at anything for years to come.

1430. Re: OT Sterling Marlin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 17:44:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16455-3DAC53FE-939@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140214

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote:
"Got RF?"
Got Mopar? <g>
Sterling Marlin is done..broken vert in his neck..;(
-
Anyone catch Jamie McMurry win at Lowes,in the silver bullet(40)?
--

Sterling said "it was way too fast", hahaha!

1431. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 17:47:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16456-3DAC5496-399@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140211

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
There will be a few brain dead individuals, but consider this ...
moonbounce has been done by a number of folks running under 100 watts.
It isn't the size of the ship, it's the motion through the ocean.
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
--
Agreed. But who is gonna volunteer to take a rowboat to China? <g>
Sorry, couldn't resist...as I said, I agree with you on this 100%.

1432. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 17:49:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16456-3DAC551D-400@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140210

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
Heck, at the risk of getting some panties in a knot, I have no problem talking to Australia or England using an HT on 440 - courtesy of a 10 meter port that only runs 100 watts erp. I used to talk to Norm, VK2NP, in Australia for hours on end, day after day, week after week, running only 100 watts to a TH6-DX beam. You don't need the power - except, perhaps, to just antagonize a few other folks.
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
--
Australia...yesterday,,,7:30 AM....11 meter freeband in AM mode with less than a hundred watts from a MOBILE parked at the beach..

1433. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 17:56:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16455-3DAC56CC-940@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140212

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
But yet twistieboy keeps insisting that others post their calls here to prove who they are yet you don't see him volunteering his real name or address.
--
"Keeps" Show me.
What's the matter? Feeling inferior yet again?
I'm on record many times saying I don't care who is who. I just wanna see Dave Hall answer if he ever posted under other names here and I wanna see Aaron (who has since followed Frank in all manners) post from voobner@yahoo. As far as calls go, you have mistaken me for someone who obviously gives a damn, like yourself, based on the sheer number of times you mention call signs....sorta like Frnak always mentioning N7..now there is an analogy you can live with.

>His excuse for not being a ham is because he can't pass the nocode test. <

Where did I say that? Ah, never mind, I just like watching you toss pebbles and giggle like a child from under the rock.

1434. antenna in attic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 18:00:10 UTC
From: spj67 <spj67@eudoramail.com>
Message-ID: <CFN375445417853009@news.wt.net>
Source record: 140209

I was wondering if anybody had advice on putting a antenna
in a attic. Not sure how good this would work or what kind of antenna would be
the best to use. I have been using a no-ground antenna on my apt's balcony and
that worked "ok" how would that work inside a attic? also how much will the
A/C ducts interfere with this as they are metalic. As this is a brand new
house I would rather not put any holes in the roof or drill holes anywhere
outside it to attach anything yet.

thanks for any advice
spj67@eudoramail.com

1435. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 18:41:25 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC6155.2374@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140220

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Dave Hall said:
> I'm not one to make behind the scenes comments about anyone that I
> wouldn't say in the open forum. So go ahead hot-shot, let's see what
> wonderful fabrications you can dazzle us with.
> --
>
> Ok. So let us put this to rest once and for all....I say posting under
> another name is "behind the scenes" and malicious in your case.
> Have you ever posted under another name in rec.radio.cb, Hall?

Only in very beginning, long before you were ever on this newsgroup, I "borrowed" an account from a local university. That was back around 1995 or 96, before i had my own ISP. Ever since then I have ALWAYS used this account that you see here. I have never used a deliberate phoney account for the purposes of deceiving anyone, or projecting an image that I would be ashamed of under my real name.

You see, the one thing that sets me apart from many of your other detractors, is that I'm not afraid to stand behind my words, no matter how many times you twist, distort or take them out of context. I don't have to hide behind a phoney name to call attention to your comprehensive deficiencies. I'll do it straight up right here and now.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1436. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 18:41:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16456-3DAC616A-403@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140218

From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:16455-3DAC3FBE-927@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
"JJ" said:
I taught Novice classes for several years and our goal was the same,
make sure they new the theory and they could then know the courts answer
and why it was the correct answer.
--
Why did you quit? Have anything to do with restructuring?

Who quit?? I'm not running classes at the moment, but that's because I'm
fresh out of students.

-

"JJ" said he "taught" for several years. Taught = past tense. Are you
JJ?

1437. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 18:44:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16456-3DAC6202-404@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140216

"JJ" wrote:
Criminals always think their unlawful acts are somehow justified.
--
I presume you speak from experience, since you and Hall profess to know so much how the criminal mind operates. Now why will that not come as a surprise to the majority of this group?

1438. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 18:45:49 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC625C.64B7@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140221

JJ wrote:
>
> Dave Hall wrote:
>
> >
> > Funny, you seem to be the only person, who feels "insulted". Maybe you
> > should lay off that estrogen therapy and get a little backbone, and some
> > thicker skin. If the truth hurts, it's not my job to sugar coat it for
> > you, the way mommy used to.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
>
> Twistieboy is just hacked because he knows he could never pass the
> amateur radio nocode test. He is doomed to 'freeband' illegally
> forever.

That is likely, as demonstrated by his continual denigration of people
who have passed even the no-code test. Denigration of another's
achievements is far easier for an underachiever, than admitting one's
own faults.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1439. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 18:49:57 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16457-3DAC6355-152@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140219

Tro, er, "JJ" wrote:
To add to Aaron's post, I have been a ham for over 40 years and I can say with certainty that yes, some hams do run more than the legal limit at times (and yes, some have been cited in the past by the FCC), but the majority of hams who own amplifiers do not.
-
What is you definition of "some"? Is it the same as the FCC's? According to them the numbers are in the thousands. You claiming you are a hammie means nothing, and the amount of time you claim means even less. It's what you're about, not how much time you put in. I doubt both your claims but I'm just a looooowly cber and it's a damn good think my opinion counts for nothing or I might run the risk of single-handedly pissing off the few arrogant hammies here.

1440. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 18:55:41 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC64AD.5D8F@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140222

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> where the trouble originates. Add to that, most present do not share
> Hall's radical views of what constitutes a criminal and well, there you
> have it...a majority.
> --
> Where? Who has spoken? Name them? Name one person that disagrees that
> operating a CB radio in direct violation of FCC rules, is not breaking
> the law? A FEDERAL law, that carries fines and jail time, that take it
> well into the catergory of "criminal".
> --
> Missing posts or deliberately ignoring them?
> Well, a LEO just admitted as much, and you claimed "lots" agree with
> you. I pose your question to yourself (don't you just HATE that?)
> and ask where are the cbers present that consider those who talk on the
> freeband, an extra watt or so, or drivng 55 in a 56 a criminal?

The problem is that YOU continue to equate the FEDERAL CRIME, of illegal
radio use (Which carries stiff fines and possible jail time) with a
"trivial" summary offense such as speeding. These may seem, to you, to
be in the same catergory on the grand morality scale, but I assure you
that the Feds don't see it the same way.

> The majority here do not consider those acts criminal.

And you still fail to name anyone that does not consider operating an
illegal 11 meter setup to be a federal crime. Most are at least
intellectually honest enough to admit what they are doing is criminal,
and just take their chances. You, on the other hand, live in some
delusional dream world, and deny it.

> You are in the
> minority on that, Hall. Deal with it. You have no support (at least
> publicly) except the hate posse of Dogie N8WWM, KC8LDO Lelnad, and Frank
> Gilliland, which is why you were so readily named by "arron".You are
> part of the problem on rec.radio.cb.

I was here long before you ever came on board. And I'll be here long
after you leave. I will still answer any and all technical questions
that may be posed, as well as those few from people who still don't
understand where the line between legal and illegal runs.

>
> > Just ask that last guy who was led out of the courtroom in shackles,
> for his willful violations, whether he was treated any differently from
> any other criminal.

> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> <
>
> Just ask the hammie in California who was doing the same thing only on
> emergency freqs. Once again, you invalidate your own pointless efforts
> by naming the rare exceptions to the rule.

The fact that the feds do not prosecute everyone who runs illegally does not negate the law. The feds are severely undermanned, and many people (yourself included) have enjoyed your freedom from prosecution. But the fact still remains that those who pushed the envelope a little too far found out just how much of a crime illegal radio operation really is.

It only takes one exception to invalidate a premise. And your premise is that illegal operation is not a crime. Those high profile federal cases are all the proof anyone needs to see how wrong you are.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1441. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 18:57:46 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC652A.3FD4@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140223

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> You must be missing posts again...try another server, as many have
> agreed with me concerning what makes a criminal, not you, and have said
> as much, even a licensed LEO.
>
> Dave Hall wrote:
> Who? Where? When? You like to dig for dirt with Google, so dig up this
> info. I'm sure you would be delighted to prove me wrong by backing up
> your statement with some supporting evidence.
> --
> Lenny Stover....Law enforcement officer...and all the rest of the cbers
> present. No cigar Dave, semanticize all you wish, driving 56 in a 55
> does not make one a criminal..you are in the extreme minority with this
> view.

No, but operating a radio in violation of FCC rules IS criminal. The
only thing is that you might get away with it for a while. But if/when
you're caught, you will indeed find out what it feels like to be a
criminal.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1442. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 18:58:11 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16456-3DAC6543-405@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140217

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <3DAB95A3.71B8378F@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
<snip>
To add to Aaron's post, I have been a ham for over 40 years and I can say with certainty that yes, some hams do run more than the legal limit at times (and yes, some have been cited in the past by the FCC), but the majority of hams who own amplifiers do not.
--
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
Don't even humor that argument, JJ. They are just trying to validate illegal behavior <

That ahsn't taken place, just you claiming it does..hahahha. Go back to sleep, Frank.

>by saying, "If one ham breaks the rules then none of them have cause for complaint". <<

Hey,....yea....now we know where the threat originated when you said that Lelnad KC8LDO, N8WWM Dogie Adair, yourself Frank Gilliland, Dave Hall, and George would be people rec.radio.cb will have to "deal" with if "aaron" were to go away. You were saying, in essence, "If I can give the illusion that I am not impotent, and have some support, it may make someone less intelligence than me actually believe it." No wonder it didn't work. You were merely trying to validate your behavior.

>That argument is even more lame than "Federal laws aren't laws", <

Where you conjured that from, only God knows. It certainly was never said in this place.

.

>and HDT's Civil Disobedience.<

The mere word terrifies you.

1443. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 19:02:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16455-3DAC6652-947@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140215

"JJ" wrote: Well they can spin it any way they want, but the vast majority of hams operate completely within the rules. Timmie Neebonehead and Twistieboy can't say that about 'freebanders' as all 'freebanders' are operating illegally.
--
The spin originates from "aarons" hand-selected trouble posse he named of Lelnad Scott KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, N8WWM Dogie Adair, and socialist Dave Hall.

1444. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 19:18:40 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC6A10.D6F95ABF@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140230

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> "JJ" said:
> I taught Novice classes for several years and our goal was the same,
> make sure they new the theory and they could then know the courts answer
> and why it was the correct answer.
> --
> Why did you quit? Have anything to do with restructuring?

I taught before and after restructuring moron. The ham radio club
I was a member of sponsored the classes, I quit because I moved
from the area. Care to join me in a little 20+ wpm cw twistieboy?
Too bad you can't even pass the nocode test.

1445. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 19:19:49 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC6A55.6A8C@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140224

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> Spying on Cbers? That's rich! Why would I expend the effort? You really
> are off the deep end. You now resort to inventing stories. When that
> line between fantasy and reality becomes blurred, the men in the white
> coats aren't far behind......
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> -
> Here's dave talking about the "identity game" preferred by Frank,
> Lelnad, and himself.
>
> >This "Identity Paranoia" seem very prevelant on the CB band. I've
> always chalked it up to the fact that most people run illegally in one
> respect or another, and have a need to "hide behind the mike", to make
> any law enforcement action that much tougher.
> The real irony is that despite the steps that people take to "hide"
> themselves, a clever "sandbagger", can gather tons of information from
> just listening to conversations. I've listened to people, and just from
> their casual comments, I figured out where they worked, how many kids
> they have, how old they are, the general area that they live in (And
> simple DFing would narrow it down from there), they type of cars they
> drive, the make and models of their radio gear (And whether it's
> illegal) and so on. You don't have to announce your name and address for
> people, intent on finding you, to track you down. Dave "Sandbagger"
> <<
>
> >Gee, I thought these were supposed to be "emails"? That post was posted
> by me on 11/01/1999, to the newsgroup.<<
>
> Whatever and whenever. I received it in email. Regardless where it came
> from and when you said it, it illustrates you are a liar.

In what way? There's a BIG difference between casual listening to
conversations over a PUBLIC forum, and deliberately gathering personal
information to use against someone, which is the definition of spying.
In your context, I could accuse you of spying for all the google
excerpts that you put together on me. It's the same thing. But I
wouldn't expect you to understand the subtle distiction. You just can't
seem to grasp concepts. Maybe that's the fault of that lousy newsreader
you use. The one that quotes so poorly, and chops paragraphs and mixes
in passages from other people. No wonder you don't know who said what

half the time.

So NO, I don't SPY on people.
>
>
> >That post was in response to a person, who had gave a reason why he
> didn't want to be a ham, because a call sign and all his associated
> information would become public knowledge. I countered that people who
> have nothing to hide, have nothing to fear. <<
>
> Hey, it ain't me you have to clean up your lies to. You said you never
> claimed such actions, yet here is the post where you did it.

That proves nothing. Only that people should be more careful of what
they say over the air.

>
> >I also made reference to the false comfort that relative anonyminity
> brings to many CBers.<
>
> Is that why you posted under different names, because you think no one
> can recognize they came from you?

I NEVER posted under a different name. Even when I was using a
university account 7 years ago, I still signed my name. This allegation
really has shown the depth of your paranoia.

>
> > They (wrongly) think that just because they don't give out a call
> sign, and use a cryptic number or handle as an identifier, that a clever
> person could not find out a ton of information just by listening over a
> period of time (You know, listening. You should try it sometime). <<
>
> Nah...I'm not the slightest bit interested in personal info on strangers
> on the radios. I have a life that takes the place of such delights.

No, but you seem to live to gather up all sorts of info on people over
usenet. I find it somewhat frightening that you remember a post that I
made two years ago. I'm sorry, but nothing that I've said here is so
important that I memorize each post word for word. And I especially
don't remember things that you have said. It's just not that important
to me.

>
> >Many people unknowingly give out many clues to their identity during
> routine QSO's. This has been the subject of many courses on protecting
> your family, and involves not only radio conversations, but exchanges
> over the internet as well. I gave a true life example of how it could be
> done, but you, in your ever twisted world, took it completely out of
> context.<
>
> Not at all. The gist of what I said is that you are so interested in

> other's lives that you
> devoted an entire topic to it.

I did not "devote" an entire topic to it. I responded to another's inquiries, and cited an example of how he should not feel so comfortable in his relative anonymity. How you could equate that with "spying" is a stretch. But I've come to expect that from you. The king of obfuscation. Feel free to add that to your "vernacular".

> You denied it, and as always, need reminded because you always seem to
> "forget" about the posts you made.

Of course, because what you said wasn't true.

>
> > A post where I was advising someone to be careful of what they say,
> turns into "I'm spying on people". <
>
> No, a post where you admitted doing it is in direct contradiction with
> your denial that you NEVER did it.

I never spied on people. I never went out of my way to find out anything more than what they told the whole world on the public airwaves.

Once again, you reach, but you just can't grab the brass ring. Keep on trying though. Sooner or later you might find something.....

I find it interesting that you devote so much time to finding out information that you hope will discredit me. The mere fact that you are doing this tells me that you consider me credible enough to cause you to go through this effort on your little crusade. Something I've said has touched a nerve in you, and that's made you feel uncomfortable.

If you truly felt the same way about me, that I do about you, I'd just go on and let you babble in the wind, being careful not to be downwind from your spittle......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1446. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 19:22:59 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC6B13.74794138@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140231

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> "JJ" wrote: Well they can spin it any way they want, but the vast
> majority of hams operate completely within the rules. Timmie Neebonehead
> and Twistieboy can't say that about 'freebanders' as all 'freebanders'
> are operating illegally.
> --
> The spin originates from "aarons" hand-selected trouble posse he named
> of Lelnad Scott KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, N8WWM Dogie Adair, and
> socialist Dave Hall.

Slice it and dice it anyway you want twistieboy, but EVERY
'freebander' is operating illegally.

1447. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 19:29:30 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC6C9A.293927BA@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140232

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Tro, er, "JJ" wrote:
> To add to Aaron's post, I have been a ham for over 40 years and I can
> say with certainty that yes, some hams do run more than the legal limit
> at times (and yes, some have been cited in the past by the FCC), but the
> majority of hams who own amplifiers do not.
> -
> What is you definition of "some"? Is it the same as the FCC's? According
> to them the numbers are in the thousands.

Where does the FCC claim that hams by the thousand are operating
illegally? Even so, the MAJORITY of hams operate legally.

> You claiming you are a hammie
> means nothing, and the amount of time you claim means even less.

You claiming you are a cber means nothing and the amount of time
you claim means even less. At least I do hold a license, something
you can't seem to accomplish.

> It's
> what you're about, not how much time you put in. I doubt both your
> claims but I'm just a looooowly cber and it's a damn good think my
> opinion counts for nothing or I might run the risk of single-handedly
> pissing off the few arrogant hammies here.

You're right, your opinion counts for nothing more than anyone
else's. You're not a looooowly cber twistieboy, that is an insult
to cbers. You are an illegal 'freebander', not worthy to be called
a cber.

1448. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 19:32:12 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC6D3C.579018C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140233

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> "JJ" wrote:
> Criminals always think their unlawful acts are somehow justified.
> --
> I presume you speak from experience, since you and Hall profess to know
> so much how the criminal mind operates. Now why will that not come as a
> surprise to the majority of this group?

I don't operate illegally twistieboy, can you say that? Why is
that not a surprise to the majority of this group?

1449. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 19:47:22 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC70CA.3745259B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140235

Twistedhed wrote:
>

> -
> What is you definition of "some"? Is it the same as the FCC's? According
> to them the numbers are in the thousands.

Even if there were 7000 hams running power over the legal limit,
that is only approximately 1% of the licensed hams. Whereas 100%
of all 'freebanders' are running illegal power as 'freebanders'
are not legally authorized to run any power on the so called
freeband frequencies.

1450. Re: amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 20:07:45 UTC
From: Dan Lagace <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca>
Message-ID: <iA_q9.3581$q83.652487@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 140225

Yes. (actually a truck, but i'll just keep it simple for you)

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021014204658.524$ZG@newsreader.com...
> "Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote:
> >>I'd rather a mobile one.. if that's what you're getting at.
> >
> That's what I'm asking you..is it for your CAR !?!?
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

1451. Re: Pride DX-300...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 20:38:18 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.nettrash>
Message-ID: <pS_q9.3331$46.120@fe01>
Source record: 140226

I have a Pride 100 and most people don't have anything nice to say about.
It works for me, i had to add a negative feedback kit so it would'nt
(latch-on)
but other than that i get lots of flowers.
It does'nt like a high dead key or a lot of swing as distortion quickly sets
in.
I believe it would be perfectly happy hooked up to a stock CB radio.
--
Mad Dog
"Dino" <noemail@here.com> wrote in message
news:EnPq9.36204$lV3.2812867@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Was this a good amplifier? Was it clean? What is it's history? Is it
> another garage amp like the "Thunderbolt" etc?
>
> Dino
>

1452. Re: Pride DX-300...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 20:40:11 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.nettrash>
Message-ID: <9U_q9.3332$46.3122@fe01>
Source record: 140227

Also the "pride" company used all handicapped people to build their
products.
--
Mad Dog
"Dino" <noemail@here.com> wrote in message
news:EnPq9.36204$lV3.2812867@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Was this a good amplifier? Was it clean? What is it's history? Is it
> another garage amp like the "Thunderbolt" etc?
>
> Dino
>

1453. Re: Pride DX-300...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 21:02:36 UTC
From: Skipp <Emailmethroughthewebpagesbelowtoreachme@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aohvpc$20n$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140228

re: Pride DX-300 A single 4cx250b tube, amateur amplifier

Hi Dino,

I have a Pride DX-300 myself... it's a nice amp. I would say yes, it is a nice amplifier. When operated properly, it's a very clean amplifier. It was made for the consumer market so its a pretty well built unit; probably one of the best of that type/era.

Has a single grid driven 4cx250b tube on board... does a very clean 300 plus dead carrier watts out with about 4 watts drive. Band switches many of the popular pre warc (warc = new ham bands) bands and has a receive selectable preamplifier.

From the technical side, the only major short comming that I know about is excessive heater voltage on the tube. This higher voltage will dramaticly reduce the life of the tube... A resistor needs to be placed in series to drop the excess heater voltage. There are some other standard upgrades I do to most amplifiers I repair... proper parasitic and glitch protection.

OK, enjoy if you get one... I have seen RF Parts selling manuals for the DX-300, don't know if its the same as my pride dx-300 amp, nor do I think they built it. My original pride manual says nothing about RF Parts. Probably someone trying to make a buck reselling manuals and books.

Hope that helps...
cheers
skipp

http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

: Dino <noemail@here.com> wrote:
: Was this a good amplifier? Was it clean? What is it's history? Is it
: another garage amp like the "Thunderbolt" etc?
: Dino

1454. Re: Interesting Post From alt.radio.pirate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 21:06:31 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0210151306.55abe82a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140229

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021015090114.15078.00001400@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> Subject: Who is the real Aaron H. Voobner? The truth is finally told!
> Path:
>lobby!ngtf-m01.news.aol.com!ngpeer.news.aol.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news
> feed.stanford.edu!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
> From: wmparr30563@yahoo.com (RadioBob/Radio8)
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.pirate
> Date: 15 Oct 2002 04:47:36 -0700
> Organization: http://groups.google.com/
> Lines: 56
> Message-ID: <fe0dabe1.0210150347.20eda6c8@posting.google.com>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.87
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
> X-Trace: posting.google.com 1034682456 16900 127.0.0.1 (15 Oct 2002 11:47:36
> GMT)
> X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Oct 2002 11:47:36 GMT
>
>
>
> The moron who has been calling himself Aaron H. Voobner is none other
> than Woodbury McCrea Parr of Cornelia, Georgia. Woodbury has a
> long-long history of running high powered illegal amps on CB and is a
> known well established pirate Operator who has used many alias's,
> Radio Bob, Radio 8, Fight for Free Radio, Voice of Shakerag (a station
> that advocates illegal use of drugs) and more false names and email
> addresses than even I could conjure up.
>
> Woodbury McCrea Parr has many blind mail boxes and addresses but his
> real address is 174 Red Fox Hollow in Cornelia, Georgia 30531. His
> Ham Call sign is KE4ENX. For some reason he thinks this poor guy in
> Virginia Beach is his tormenter and has falsely accused him of being
> exactly what Voobner/Parr/Bob is, an illegal pirate operator. Classic
> case of Manic Depressive and in fact Woodbury has been treated for
> mental disorders since his last mental breakdown in the early 90's
> when he left his wife and family in Decatur, Georgia. Woodbury thinks
> everyone is out to get him and wages these childish, inane hate
> campaigns at people he "thinks", or has "heard" are after him. His
> latest target, Steve Rogowich is not only not a pirate, he doesn't
> even know who Woodbury is.
>
> Call Woodbury McCrea Parr at home at 706-776-1290 and tell him to

> stop. Its only exposing himself to further humiliation and public
> embarassment. He has hissy fits about so called illegal CB operators,
> all the while he is one himself. He huffs-n-puffs about illegal
> operators, yet has been a long time pirate operator on shortwave. If
> Woodbury doesn't answer but his wife Patricia does, tell her that he
> must take his medication or this insanity will continue until he makes
> another mess like he did just 10-years ago.
>
> Poor Woodbury was thrown off his ISP in Cleveland, Georgia for making
> threats and then thrown off of Hotmail for threatening a woman he
> didn't even know and now he's here on USENET making false claims about
> other people that he doesn't even know being pirates.
>
> Who cares Woodbury/Voobner? Do you not have a life? Is this the best
> you can do? Just keep posting the same old lies and bullshit you've
> been hawking for the past couple of years? You are a powerless,
> pitiful failure and the only thing you can do is post this bullshit
> all over the USENET.
>
> We know who you are. We know where you are. Why keep egging people
> on to expose your deepest and darkest secrets for the entire world to
> see? Is it the "self destructive" bent that your therapist warned you
> about? You have only proven that you are a powerless little man who
> can only throw rocks from the shadows. You profess to be a follower
> of the Urantia Book, yet you practice none of what you claim to
> believe. We all know who you are. Why not post something relevant in
> these forums that has something to do with the topics instead of
> making false personal attacks against people you don't even know?
> What a sad little man you are to sit in a dark room and the only time
> you think you are worth something, is when you type attacks against
> people you don't have the courage to face with your lies. For years
> you worked so hard to hide your real identity behind that Lula address
> and now everyone, everywhere int he world knows who you are. Find
> another hobby, you've failed at this one too.

Thanx for heads-up, Tim. Steve must be pretty upset if he's started posting under the old "wmparr" account again. Maybe we'll get to see his alter ego "Sabrina Frederick" and some others appear. Could get interesting.

-Aaron-

1455. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 21:24:06 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC8776.DDBEC95F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140261

who cares what who cares? wrote:

>
> A hundred percent? .Did you conduct a survey? JJ, you are
> talking out of you're Ass, yet again. Calm down old man, at
> you're age, you might start feeling chest pains.

Tell me what percentage of 'freebanders' you think are not running illegal power. Since they are not authorized to use those frequencies, any power they run there is illegal. In my book, that would be 100%, no survey needed. What are you talking out of?

1456. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 21:27:10 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC882E.CBE7387A@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140263

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> That is likely, as demonstrated by his continual denigration of people
> who have passed even the no-code test. Denigration of another's
> achievements is far easier for an underachiever, than admitting one's
> own faults.
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> -
>
> More lies from Dave Hall.
> I don't denigrate other's achievments. A nocode license is NOT what I
> would exactly refer to as an achievement in the world of hammie radio.

It certainly a lot more than you have achieved. Oh, I suppose you
call operating illegally on the 'freeband' a great achievement.

1457. Re: antenna in attic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 21:45:16 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DAC8C6C.B731E5C0@cwis.net>
Source record: 140234

spj67,
How well an antenna in the attic works depends on
what is in the attic with the antenna. Any A/C ducting,
electrical wiring, metal backed insulation, etc, will
have some adverse affect on the antenna. How 'much' is
anyones guess, but there will always be 'some'.
Maybe the most noticable affect will be the interference
to other electronics in your house. Since the antenna is
closer to the wiring, etc, there will be more affect than
if the antenna was outside, further away from your house.
Unless you have a pretty 'tall' attic, you'll have to use
a mobile antenna (shorter), or lay a 'base' antenna on it's
side. Either will work, but maybe not the 'best' in the
world. Probably about what you're seeing with your present
antenna?
There are a number of alternatives, from putting an antenna
on a pole outside, to building an antenna and 'making' it work.
It sort of depends on how 'bad' you want to do it. Since each
installation is different, no one can really tell you how well
an attic antenna will work for you, or how it will compare with
what you're using now. The only 'real' way to find out is to
try it and see.
Good luck...
'Doc

1458. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 21:50:54 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <i9udnXc-wq1dEDGgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140236

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21821-3DAC1E6A-181@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...

You claimed "I" said it was illegal when in fact I didn't. You're right,
we understand each other and agree (did I say that?) on the law there.
The law concerning the school zone surprised me. Some states have
mandtory minimums for that and any possession is a felony (within "X'
amount of distance from a school).

Yes some states are still very harsh, I checked some states local to me Ohio
was very lenient while NJ was out right scary don't get busted there they
will take your first born.

1459. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 21:53:34 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <PB-cnZcIaOPCEzGgXTWc3A@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140237

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:16456-3DAC4DD7-397@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> George wrote: Nice try, not even close though split works, tx on 1 freq
> and after you un key listen on another freq unless he would need a
> repeater to listen and talk on the 2 different freqs same time, same
> band etc etc
> --
>
> George, have you never been made aware of any 11 meter repeater
> activity?

hmm thats a new one on me but i should guess someone has taken a 10 meter repeater and converted it to 11, wonder what size the cavity filter is I am sure it's gotta be pretty big.

1460. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 21:57:02 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <O_ydnYd1g_itEjGgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140238

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021015085231.15078.00001396@mb-fy.aol.com...
> you first

Tim, I am not into playing kiddie games , I asked you a question (first) so you could respond then i can show you what I was talking about but you want to play 8th grade games word games etc etc . Go catch a buzz or something. Your staring to bring me down.

> >> george are you saying that a man has nothing to do with a child being
> >born? i
> >> guess all these women are incarnations of the virgin mary?
> >
> >Answer the question Redneck if you can
> >
>
>
>
>

1461. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 21:58:03 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <jeSdnW0GD57wEjGgXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140239

"George" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message
news:3daf162a.991275@news.easynews.com...

> Do they make fluffie male ones for George?

Yeah I am sure you would like one of them randy

1462. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 22:03:00 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <RU2dnXAU74gLDTGgXTWcpg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140240

"George" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message
news:3dae1558.781553@news.easynews.com...

> Really? You want to stick a broomstick up a guys ass, what do you
> call that? Its still anal sex Twinkie George. Even the name your
> posting with is about anal sex.

I guess you would know that sticking a broomstick up your ass is anal sex
what else do you stick up there for anal sex? BTW asmunch the name I am
posting with is Ole Shit short for for Holy Shit, you had better get your
mind off of dick and ass your starting to sound like Landshark. lol Plus you
paid money to be anonymous on a NG what a pathetic Putz! be a real man

1463. Re: Interesting Post From alt.radio.pirate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 22:05:05 UTC
From: Ole Shit <oleshit@hemphead.com>
Message-ID: <9IGcndMzSs-ODDGgXTWcqA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140241

Yawn, this has been posted before Dimmy a few weeks back Old news your trolling there.

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message news:20021015090114.15078.00001400@mb-fy.aol.com...
> Subject: Who is the real Aaron H. Voobner? The truth is finally told!
> Path:
> lobby!ngtf-m01.news.aol.com!ngpeer.news.aol.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news
> feed.stanford.edu!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
> From: wmparr30563@yahoo.com (RadioBob/Radio8)
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.pirate
> Date: 15 Oct 2002 04:47:36 -0700
> Organization: http://groups.google.com/
> Lines: 56
> Message-ID: <fe0dabe1.0210150347.20eda6c8@posting.google.com>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.87
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
> X-Trace: posting.google.com 1034682456 16900 127.0.0.1 (15 Oct 2002 11:47:36
> GMT)
> X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Oct 2002 11:47:36 GMT
>
>
>
> The moron who has been calling himself Aaron H. Voobner is none other
> than Woodbury McCrea Parr of Cornelia, Georgia. Woodbury has a
> long-long history of running high powered illegal amps on CB and is a
> known well established pirate Operator who has used many alias's,
> Radio Bob, Radio 8, Fight for Free Radio, Voice of Shakerag (a station
> that advocates illegal use of drugs) and more false names and email
> addresses than even I could conjure up.
>
> Woodbury McCrea Parr has many blind mail boxes and addresses but his
> real address is 174 Red Fox Hollow in Cornelia, Georgia 30531. His
> Ham Call sign is KE4ENX. For some reason he thinks this poor guy in
> Virginia Beach is his tormenter and has falsely accused him of being
> exactly what Voobner/Parr/Bob is, an illegal pirate operator. Classic
> case of Manic Depressive and in fact Woodbury has been treated for
> mental disorders since his last mental breakdown in the early 90's
> when he left his wife and family in Decatur, Georgia. Woodbury thinks
> everyone is out to get him and wages these childish, inane hate
> campaigns at people he "thinks", or has "heard" are after him. His

> latest target, Steve Rogowich is not only not a pirate, he doesn't
> even know who Woodbury is.
>
> Call Woodbury McCrea Parr at home at 706-776-1290 and tell him to
> stop. Its only exposing himself to further humiliation and public
> embarassment. He has hissy fits about so called illegal CB operators,
> all the while he is one himself. He huffs-n-puffs about illegal
> operators, yet has been a long time pirate operator on shortwave. If
> Woodbury doesn't answer but his wife Patricia does, tell her that he
> must take his medication or this insanity will continue until he makes
> another mess like he did just 10-years ago.
>
> Poor Woodbury was thrown off his ISP in Cleveland, Georgia for making
> threats and then thrown off of Hotmail for threatening a woman he
> didn't even know and now he's here on USENET making false claims about
> other people that he doesn't even know being pirates.
>
> Who cares Woodbury/Voobner? Do you not have a life? Is this the best
> you can do? Just keep posting the same old lies and bullshit you've
> been hawking for the past couple of years? You are a powerless,
> pitiful failure and the only thing you can do is post this bullshit
> all over the USENET.
>
> We know who you are. We know where you are. Why keep egging people
> on to expose your deepest and darkest secrets for the entire world to
> see? Is it the "self destructive" bent that your therapist warned you
> about? You have only proven that you are a powerless little man who
> can only throw rocks from the shadows. You profess to be a follower
> of the Urantia Book, yet you practice none of what you claim to
> believe. We all know who you are. Why not post something relevant in
> these forums that has something to do with the topics instead of
> making false personal attacks against people you don't even know?
> What a sad little man you are to sit in a dark room and the only time
> you think you are worth something, is when you type attacks against
> people you don't have the courage to face with your lies. For years
> you worked so hard to hide your real identity behind that Lula address
> and now everyone, everywhere int he world knows who you are. Find
> another hobby, you've failed at this one too.
>
>
>
>
>

1464. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 22:07:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17690-3DAC9184-426@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140243

>Only in very beginning, long before you were ever on this newsgroup, I "borrowed" an account from a local university. That was back around 1995 or 96, before i had my own ISP. Ever since then I have ALWAYS used this account that you see here. I have never used a deliberate phoney account for the purposes of deceiving anyone, or projecting an image that I would be ashamed of under my real name.
You see, the one thing that sets me apart from many of your other detractors, <<

Again, you and Frank and Lelnad and Dogie are not many. Toss in the occasional hammie you guys run to for support, and you still don't have 'many". Ha! It must kill you that I get along so well with cbers AND hammies otherwise you wouldn't be making such a stink and holding my leg with your muddy paws.

>is that I'm not afraid to stand behind my words, <<

Right. You deny them, then must be taken by the hand and shown you indeed made the remarks, then claim you forgot.
We can read, Dave. This underestimation of cbers you hold has embarrassed you many times. There will be more....

>no matter how many times you twist, distort or take them out of context. <<

More lies. I presented your text unedited and untouched.
The only distortion about it came from your palty failed attempts at defending yourself and blaming me for things that I never did.

>I don't have to hide behind a phoney name to call attention to your comprehensive deficiencies. I'll do it straight up right here and now.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
<<

You sound angry. If the above is true, then show me where I "keeps" misquoting someone "right here and now". In your own words...."Put up or shut up."...but I don't like that type talk....it's much more digestible coming from trashy lying types such as yourself. Dave, you hurt hammie radio more than you will ever realize.

1465. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 22:09:49 UTC
From: Skipp knows Aaron is not his real name ie fake o <nothanksonthespamissue@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aoi3nd$50r$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140242

: Aaron H. Voobner <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote:

Stand by for a load of candy coated poop from the guy using the fake Aaron Voobner name.

: If you poll the average citizen about human nature they will say that
: the vast majority of people are basically honest. But when you ask the
: small minority of people who are criminals the same question, they
: will swear that the majority of people are dishonest. Funny how that
: works.

And very very off topic. Usually indicates the reply is starting off on shakey ground and contains little facts or actual information. Let's read on now...

: Could have something to do with the company one keeps. Or maybe
: it's because dishonest people need validation from their own kind to
: keep them feeling OK about what they do. To them the whole world is as
: crooked as they are. Criminality loves company, or something like
: that.

Already deep and he hasn't said anything of substance... got your boots on..?

: But back to the question at hand.

So now everyone should take what you say as gospel from your diatribe above... hardly.

: Scooter, you want to know
: essentially if many hams run too much (i.e. illegal) power.

Was part the original questions wasn't it... oh, your just now getting to the real topic. Oh... excuse me... onward...

: Hm. Let's
: see. You want facts not opinions, so unlike Skipp May out in Davis,
: CA, I'll reserve my opinion for another time.

When your on shakey ground, attack a previous post without qualified or supporting evidence or statements. Does nothing to support the facts, in fact its a poor attempt to hide the real world story.

: To get a no-BS realistic
: answer to your question, first you'd want to investigate what the
: average ham runs for equipment and what bands he operates on. Also
: you'd want to know out of 650,000 licensed amateurs how many have been
: cited by the FCC for running illegal power on the ham bands. And you'd

: want to look over back issues of QST and CQ, some of the ham
: newsgroups, or the QRZ forum. Find out if they are filled with
: multi-KW amplifiers for sale. Read the letters columns, read the
: articles, and see if "running big watts" is the hot topic on
: everyone's mind.

Why...? does nothing to answer his question. Many hams and cb'ers are not average station equipment owners.... notice the original word "many." Tell the guy what the heck knowing the bands does for your argument. Have you been on any of the HF bands..?

Notice the ratio of CB and Amateur citations are very few to the whole number of operators... The number of citations is no indication of the relative number of people running large amplifiers.

Really large "Multi-KW amplifiers" are not so boldly sold through magazines and news groups. Many large amplifier people (some by profession) talk in various internet groups or list servers. I have membership on a few such groups. Few of the large amplifier people have ever been cited for over power operation. Clean power is just loud power unless you use it in bad form.

Big watts is a hot topic on a pretty good size group of the "amps members" group minds'. Enough so to justify a rather large member list server on the specific topic. No one I know in the group has ever been convicted of excessive power operation. Some have been inspected by the FCC.

: Finally, where would one most likely get honest, quantifiable answers
: to these and other ham-related questions? In a CB newsgroup filled
: with power-junkie CB'ers, pirate operators, freebanders, and

I guess that sums up everyone in the rec.radio.cb not a one honest, common sense, amateur, cb'er in the group... right..? You get real answers by asking questions and going through all the answers. Then they realize the Boobner answers waste a lot of peoples time.
There are no real world or technical answers available here... well maybe from the guy using the fake name of Aaron of course... :-(

: authority-hating, outlaw hams? Of course not. It only makes sense that
: if you want answers to questions about hams, go to where the majority
: of hams are. Try a ham forum, go to a local ham club, write to the
: ARRL, or if you live near a big city, stop into an HRO or AES store.

Why..? the majority of hams don't run high power amplifiers, but many hams do. So do many CB'ers. But if the guys asks you for real answers, you give him a lot of candy covered poop.

: As always, make up your own mind, don't let the repeaters of "CB
: myths" make it up for you.
: -Aaron-

Put your head in the sand or keep asking questions. Better yet, turn a receiver on to the HF bands and listen for yourself. I would suggest

operations from 1.8 to 29.7 could be monitored. The 20 and 15 meter amateur bands are just as busy as the 27.405 to 28. Mhz area, just with callsigns added in.

cheers
skipp

http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1466. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 22:11:10 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17690-3DAC927E-427@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140247

That is likely, as demonstrated by his continual denigration of people who have passed even the no-code test. Denigration of another's achievements is far easier for an underachiever, than admitting one's own faults.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
-

More lies from Dave Hall.
I don't denigrate other's achievments. A nocode license is NOT what I would exactly refer to as an achievement in the world of hammie radio. It is an introductory, nothing more. But it perfectly illustrates your penchant for insulting others...you feel slighted. Poor Dave. And poor "JJ".....you claimed he can't admit his faults.

1467. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 22:17:14 UTC
From: who cares? <who-carez@apathy.net>
Message-ID: <uqp4vl11vaebd4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 140244

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DAC70CA.3745259B@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Twistedhed wrote:
> >
>
> > -
> > What is you definition of "some"? Is it the same as the FCC's? According
> > to them the numbers are in the thousands.
>
>
> Even if there were 7000 hams running power over the legal limit,
> that is only approximately 1% of the licensed hams. Whereas 100%
> of all 'freebanders' are running illegal power as 'freebanders'
> are not legally authorized to run any power on the so called
> freeband frequencies.

A hundred percent? .Did you conduct a survey? JJ, you are
talking out of you're Ass, yet again. Calm down old man, at
you're age, you might start feeling chest pains.

1468. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 22:22:48 UTC
From: Skip <boobnerisafake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aoi4fo$852$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140245

```
: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
: Well they can spin it any way they want, but the vast majority of
: hams operate completely within the rules. Timmie Neebonehead and
: Twistieboy can't say that about 'freebanders' as all 'freebanders'
: are operating illegally.

The original question was "many hams," not majority of hams...

sm
```

1469. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 22:26:34 UTC
From: Skipp <anyofyoubelieveAaronishisrealname@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aoi4mq$852$2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140246

: Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote:
: Tro, er, "JJ" wrote:
: To add to Aaron's post, I have been a ham for over 40 years and I can
: say with certainty that yes, some hams do run more than the legal limit
: at times (and yes, some have been cited in the past by the FCC), but the
: majority of hams who own amplifiers do not.

The original question was about "many," not the majority. How long you've
been a ham has nothing to do with how many amplifiers are running over a
certain power level. Few CB'ers running amplifiers are ever cited for
free band or excessive power operation... even less for the amateur ranks.

s

1470. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 22:41:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DAC99AA-1055@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140250

Dave Hall wrote:
>The problem is that YOU<

There is no problem, Dave.
Becasue you want to make me yours doesn't make one for another.

> continue to equate the FEDERAL CRIME, of illegal radio use (Which carries stiff fines and possible jail time)<<

(Sigh) Are you drinking Dave? Not that I care, but it may shed some light on this subject that you fail to comprehend. You will find nowhere in the FCC that says it is a federal CRIME to operate with an amp or freeband. More bullshit from the mudmaster.....

> with a "trivial" summary offense such as speeding.
<<

The ignorance is flowing from you today isn;t it?
Speeding can directly kill an innocent and has done so in the past. Freebanding between 11 and 10 meters hasn't...but I wouldn't expect you to be able to distinguish betweeen probabilities.

> These may seem, to you, <

To me?
To the LEO's.
To the cbers.
To the FCC.
To the rest of the world.
To many hammies.
And before you go crying about it again and citing an exception to the rules, your fight is with the feds, not me. Listen to 555 and tell me how bad it is. If the feds thought it criminal, they would do something. And for your buzzed out brain cells......breaking a mere FCC rule of freebanding in the context which we discuss it, or running an amp is not a federal crime, genius. I don't expect you to take my word for it, but I love when the irony of communication skills are lost on an angry hammie and is reflected through his ignorance. Especially when he's dead wrong and keeps arguing about it. Go ask a lawyer, you scholar, you.

>to be in the same
catergory on the grand morality scale, but I assure you that the Feds don't see it the same way.<<

Here comes the socialism of morality you always speak of as being related to the fall of the Roman empire and the end of hammie radio caused by a slug of a cber like me that laughs at your anger.

The majority here do not consider those acts criminal.

>And you still fail to name anyone that does not consider operating an illegal 11 meter setup to be a federal crime. <<

Most don't "consider" anything, Dave. Unlike you, they don't share your interpretations, they don't "consider" the law, they read it for what it says, and once again, nowhere does it say it is a federal CRIME to operate an 11 meter radio. You are asking to prove a negative...such as proving "God" exists. I think you're drunk on Burgundy wine.

>Most are at least intellectually honest enough to admit what they are doing is criminal, and just take their chances. You, on the other hand, live in some delusional dream world, and deny it.
<<

Now I know you;re drunk. Where have I ever denied it? Hell Dave, I wrote an article on my freebanding experiences and your band of posse trouble posted it here. How is that denying my actions? I'd call you a fool, but I think you;re highly intoxicated.

You are in the
minority on that, Hall. Deal with it. You have no support (at least publicly) except the hate posse of Dogie N8WWM, KC8LDO Lelnad, and Frank Gilliland, which is why you were so readily named by "arron".You are part of the
problem on rec.radio.cb.

>I was here long before you ever came on board.<<

Oh boy, that ranks right there with JJ's claim that something is to be gained from being a hammie for more than 40 years. Big deal.

> And I'll be here long after you leave. I will still answer any and all technical questions that may be posed, as well as those few from people who still don't understand where the line between legal and illegal runs.
<<

You talk it but you don't walk it.

>Just ask that last guy who was led out of the courtroom in shackles, for his willful violations, whether he was treated any differently from any other criminal.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
<<

Just ask the hammie in California who was doing the same thing only on emergency freqs. Once again, you invalidate your own pointless efforts by naming the rare exceptions to the rule.

>The fact that the feds do not prosecute everyone who runs illegally does not negate the law.<<

It doesn't? IT DOESN"T? The hell it doesn't. Stop drinking, Dave.
The law is negated every 4th of July with fireworks in many states...the law is negated every day by LEOs everywhere with mundane laws....you had an example here three days ago by a LEO...oh yea....you musta forgot.

> The feds are severely undermanned, and many people (yourself included) have enjoyed your freedom from prosecution.
<<

Now how the hell do you know that? How do you know if I was prosecuted or not?
How the hell do you know what I do besides what I allow?
Hahhahhahaa.....you're suffering from the seer syndrome that affects the trouble posse of rec.radio.cb named by your pal "arron" of yourself (Dave Hall), Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, and N8WWM Dogie Adair.

>But the fact still remains that those who pushed the envelope a little too far found out just how much of a crime illegal radio operation really is.
<<

Huge difference between what they did and what we do. You know that, everyone knows that.

>It only takes one exception to invalidate a premise.
<<

Yup, and you have permanently stained your amateur ticket forever on.

> And your premise is that illegal operation is not a crime.
<<

Again you lie. I have never stated that. This is how you operate...you lie, lie and lie more whenever a point is made you don't agree with.
Don't worry about what I do Dave, your own credibility and ego has taken a beating that is almost rivaling N8's.

> Those high profile federal cases are all the proof anyone needs to see how wrong you are.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
<<

About what? About what you lied and attributed to me? HAhahahahahah! Try again when you become a man, Hall.

1471. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 22:45:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DAC9A87-1056@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140252

No, but operating a radio in violation of FCC rules IS criminal. The only thing is that you might get away with it for a while. But if/when you're caught, you will indeed find out what it feels like to be a criminal.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
<<

No Dave, it's not. When the majority are "busted", they receive a warning. If it was criminal, it would go to court.
Going on your non-logic, the hammies are a criminal ongoing enterprise, as they're popped each week. That means all hammies who have been addressed for, oh, say, not IDing on time are criminals. Ludicrous, Hall...just like you and your socialistic beliefs. You have some serious demons that need exorcised.

1472. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 22:46:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DAC9AD6-1057@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140255

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
"JJ" wrote:
Criminals always think their unlawful acts are somehow justified.
--
I presume you speak from experience, since you and Hall profess to know
so much how the criminal mind operates. Now why will that not come as a
surprise to the majority of this group?
-
>I don't operate illegally twistieboy, can you say that? Why is that not
a surprise to the majority of this group? <<

Oh, I dunno. Could it be my several years of talking about worldwide DX
on the freeband? Ya' think?

1473. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 22:49:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DAC9B5D-1058@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140253

George wrote:
hmm thats a new one on me but i should guess someone has taken a 10
meter repeater and converted it to 11, wonder what size the cavity
filter is I am sure it's gotta be pretty big.
--
Out of my league. HOWEVER, there is a place not far from me.....

1474. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 22:54:11 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DAC9C93-1059@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140254

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
"JJ" said:
I taught Novice classes for several years and our goal was the same,
make sure they new the theory and they could then know the courts answer
and why it was the correct answer.
--
Why did you quit? Have anything to do with restructuring?
-
>I taught before and after restructuring moron. The ham radio club I was
a member of sponsored the classes, I quit because I moved from the area.
Care to join me in a little 20+ wpm cw twistieboy?<<
-

Maybe. But I would like to know who it is I am about to embarrass.

> Too bad you can't even pass the nocode test. <

Having problems attributing what you say? You have definitely entered
the "hypocrite Hall". Hypocrite Hall? Get it? I KILL ME..(chuckle
chuckle)!

1475. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 22:55:41 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17690-3DAC9CED-430@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140251

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
"JJ" wrote: Well they can spin it any way they want, but the vast majority of hams operate completely within the rules. Timmie Neebonehead and Twistieboy can't say that about 'freebanders' as all 'freebanders' are operating illegally.
--
  The spin originates from "aarons" hand-selected trouble posse he named of Lelnad Scott KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, N8WWM Dogie Adair, and socialist Dave Hall.

Slice it and dice it anyway you want twistieboy, but EVERY 'freebander' is operating illegally.

Saying I said differently when I didn't doesn't make it so. Grow up.

1476. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 22:59:43 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <_16dnaLa_YF9ADGgXTWcqQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140249

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021014204413.879$eW@newsreader.com...
> And we won't be blasting freebanders in the head,(Lee). 8))

I'm sure they have a few left that Saddam's secret police missed. He
can't keep all the fun to himself. That wouldn't be fair. ;-))

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

1477. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 23:06:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29792-3DAC9F60-444@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140256

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
Tro, er, "JJ" wrote:
To add to Aaron's post, I have been a ham for over 40 years and I can
say with certainty that yes, some hams do run more than the legal limit
at times (and yes, some have been cited in the past by the FCC), but the
majority of hams who own amplifiers do not.
-
What is you definition of "some"? Is it the same as the FCC's? According
to them the numbers are in the thousands.
-

>Where does the FCC claim that hams by the thousand are operating
illegally? <<

Oh, just count the number of letters they send out to hammies for
violations. It's in the THOUSANDS. Don't ask me to do your homework,
you'll be pegged as lazy as Lelnad. You're a hammie...you know where to
get the info. According to the Hypocrite Hall, they are all federal
criminals.

You claiming you are a hammie
means nothing, and the amount of time you claim means even less.

>You claiming you are a cber means nothing and the amount of time you
claim means even less. <<

I didn;t put forward any time...you and the hypocrite Hall did, as if
you thought it meant something. As long as we're on the same page.

>At least I do hold a license, something you can't seem to accomplish.
<<

You keep mentioning a license. Why does it upset you so what I can and
can not do?It's
what you're about, not how much time you put in. I doubt both your
claims but I'm just a looooowly cber and it's a damn good think my
opinion counts for nothing or I might run the risk of single-handedly
pissing off the few arrogant hammies here.

>You're right, your opinion counts for nothing more than anyone else's.
<<

Thats' right. I'm a stranger and a faceless voice.
On the other hand, no one is stranger than you and the Hypocrite Hall
and the trouble posse members of Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO, Frank
Gilliland, and N8WWM Dogie Adair.

Dogs in a pile....nuthin' left to do but smile, smile, smile!

1478. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 23:11:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29791-3DACA0BF-1045@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140267

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
-
What is you definition of "some"? Is it the same as the FCC's? According to them the numbers are in the thousands.

>Even if there were 7000 hams running power over the legal limit,
<<

Glad you checked. Never mind that my figures were accurate.....go on.

> that is only approximately 1% of the licensed hams. Whereas 100% of all 'freebanders' are running illegal power <<

Seer malady.....exclusive to the rec.radio.cb trouble posse named by "arron" of N8WWM Dogie Adair, Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO, socialistic Hypocrite Hall, and Frank Gilliland.

>as 'freebanders' are not legally authorized to run any power on the so called freeband frequencies.
<<

Not true. FCC says anyone can run any power any where they want in a true emergency. Besides, I never claimed differently. Learn to speak for yourself and think for yourself and stop being a follower of socialistic Hypocrite Hall's troubled posse that lies and misattributes things to those that make a point or express a view they disagree with.

1479. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 23:14:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29791-3DACA13A-1046@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140268

From: boobnerisafake@yahoo.com (Skip)
JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
Well they can spin it any way they want, but the vast majority of hams
operate completely within the rules. Timmie Neebonehead and Twistieboy
can't say that about 'freebanders' as all 'freebanders' are operating
illegally.
--
The original question was "many hams," not majority of hams...
sm

Yea, another deliberate misattribution from "jj"'s corner of pleasantry.

1480. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 23:15:32 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210151515.65dae0ea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210140832.233ef469@posting.google.com>...
> Richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >Date: Mon, Oct 14, 2002 5:06 AM
> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210140406.184b41a7@posting.google.com>
> >
> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210131208.89dc8bc@posting.google.com>...
> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210120329.69a69f43@posting.google.com>...
> >> > witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
news:<3aed93cc.0210101749.66c23585@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > > you cannot post one iota of PROOF,
> >> > > > Aanal Aaron.
> >> > >
> >> > > He doesn't need it. Accusation was proof enough for the Spanish
> >> > > Inquisition, and it's proof enough for him.
> >> >
> >> > Aanal Aaron is miles from being a Spanish Inquisition. He's just
> a
> >> > pain in everyone's butt. Sorta like a pesky mosquito.
> >> >
> >> > > > I want to see your
> >> > > > PROOF, dimbulb. You got none.
> >> > >
> >> > > Temper, temper!!! Aaron will call down the WRATH of the MOST
> HOLY
> U.S.
> >> > > GOVERNMENT to rain fire and brimstone down upon us! We heathen
> >> > > lawfuckers will BURN!!! (so he says, ROFLMAO)
> >> > >
> >> > > Guy
> >> >
> >> > No "temper" problem
> >> (snip)
> >>
> >> Guy, meet Steve.
> >>
> >> -Aaron-
> >
> >Still wasting bandwidth with
> (snip)
>

Still waiting on your PROOF, Aanal Aaron. You haven't posted any yet.
When is it gonna happen? LOL!

1481. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 23:19:47 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <ti6dnYTMXfcOPzGgXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140258

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021014102959.13091.00010351@mb-mf.aol.com...
> my point was the 11 meter band is in very heavy usage world wide.
the fcc cant
> pull the plug on it alone

For CBers in the USA they sure can. The band is listed by the ITU for
"Land Mobile Service" use. That could mean many things, including
turning the band over to a bunch of paying users who need it for
mobile operations, while kicking the non paying CBers off the band.

> and even if the itu tried the third world countries
> will never give it up.

Ask them and find out.

> mexico will be 30db over 9 no matter what riley and the
> feds do

Bush tells Mecico no more monatary loans, at the expense of the US tax
payer, like the $30B bailout Clinton gave them, if they don't clean it
up. I'll bet the band down there will go dead almost overnight.

> and thats just the real life truth whether you guys like it or not

Mix in some loan guarantees, favorable trade agreements, etc. and
watch how fast it changes.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"We cannot tell what may happen to us in the
strange medley of life. But we can decide
what happens in us - how we take it,
what we do with it - and that is what
really counts in the end."

Joseph Fort Newton

1482. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 23:21:51 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210151521.2689cc3c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140259

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<15148-3DAAFA62-353@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
> timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
> frank, unlike you i dont stand up for anybody here but myself. voobner
> promised
> proof
> -
> Can you show me the post where I promised something, Tim? As far as I'm
> concerned, I've delivered proof -- you guys just don't happen to like
> it.

You've delivered exactly nothing. You said you'd post the proof
"Gladly". Look it up, dimbulb. We're still awaiting your alleged
PROOF, Aanal Aaron. When you gonna put up or shut up?

LOL you're so frustrated lately you and your new buddy have to trump
> up charges of "undelivered promises from Voobner".

Nothing "trumped up" about that; you've NEVER delivered on your
"promises". Where's the PROOF?

I love the double standard that exists among you loser hams and
wannabe hams. Aanal Aaron can say anything and nobody asks for proof,
but is someone else says something it's PROOF city! What a bunch of
losers. Let's not forget that this is a CB newsgroup, and you hambos
and wannabe hambos (like anal Aaron) have no place posting here.

"I don't need to prove anything; I say it and it's true."
Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ, Allentown, PA

1483. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 23:24:01 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210151524.22ce6ab5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210140832.233ef469@posting.google.com>...
> Richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >Date: Mon, Oct 14, 2002 5:06 AM
> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210140406.184b41a7@posting.google.com>
> >
> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210131208.89dc8bc@posting.google.com>...
> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210120329.69a69f43@posting.google.com>...
> >> > witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
news:<3aed93cc.0210101749.66c23585@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > > you cannot post one iota of PROOF,
> >> > > > Aanal Aaron.
> >> > >
> >> > > He doesn't need it. Accusation was proof enough for the Spanish
> >> > > Inquisition, and it's proof enough for him.
> >> >
> >> > Aanal Aaron is miles from being a Spanish Inquisition. He's just
> a
> >> > pain in everyone's butt. Sorta like a pesky mosquito.
> >> >
> >> > > > I want to see your
> >> > > > PROOF, dimbulb. You got none.
> >> > >
> >> > > Temper, temper!!! Aaron will call down the WRATH of the MOST
> HOLY
> U.S.
> >> > > GOVERNMENT to rain fire and brimstone down upon us! We heathen
> >> > > lawfuckers will BURN!!! (so he says, ROFLMAO)
> >> > >
> >> > > Guy
> >> >
> >> > No "temper" problem
> >> (snip)
> >>
> >> Guy, meet Steve.
> >>
> >> -Aaron-
> >
> >Still wasting bandwidth with
> (snip)
>

>
> :)
>
> -Aaron-

PROOF Aanal Aaron, not unsubstantiated conjecture. PROOF. Post it or drop dead. Loser!

1484. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 23:26:25 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210151526.56f72def@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140262

"Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote in message
news:<PpudnUEIuNi3HDagXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>...
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021014171118.723$aX@newsreader.com...
>
> > That's a really lame attempt at sounding like n8,georgie girl. At least
> > Doug has some wit and origonality,when he slings that homo shit.
> >
> > You need the home study course,or see if you can get someone to email you
> > the answers..again.
>
>
>
>
> Funny part is I never mentioned anything remotley close to anal sex or dick
> or what have you one of your wayward souls had it on his mind i guess. I
> just respond in kind the way they do remember I hate people who are
> hypocrites Its ok for them then it is ok for me.

Oh yes you have, Georgie-girl! It's what you are obsessed about! Read
your own posts, Georgie-girl.

1485. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 23:34:09 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210151534.73a8f4ab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140264

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DAC24D9.ECD28A2@mailcity.net>...
> Uncle Charlie wrote:
> >
> > there licensed hams
>
> How do you know they're (contraction for they are, 'there' means
> like put it over there) licensed hams?

Geez, are you brain-dead? That's the 20 meter hamband. Who else is
gonna be there but over-powered amateurs?

'course, we know you're just trolling for another flamewar.

1486. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 23:36:50 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210151536.1876fd29@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210140832.233ef469@posting.google.com>...
> This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich,

We're tired of it already, "Aaron"... everyone here knows it's a fake.

Guy

1487. Info needed on building a homemade 40f to 60ft antenna tower or antenna mast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 23:43:44 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0210151543.715c04e4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140266

Info needed on building a homemade 40f to 60ft antenna tower or antenna mast for under $200. It must be stable and able to subbort one 5/8 wave antenna and one 60 inche tv antenna. Any websites with ifo or pics will do to help. I do not have much experince in seting up towers so any this is kinda easy will do. Thank you.

1488. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 23:53:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DACAA65-1068@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140272

>In what way? <

In the manner that you said you never did it.

>There's a BIG difference between casual listening to conversations over a PUBLIC forum, and deliberately gathering personal information to use against someone, which is the definition of spying.
<<

One doesn't have to employ the info for gain in order to meet the definition of "spying". You went as far as claiming that you can gather the type car someone has, etc. That is personal, along with their children, which you mentioned. Why would you gather that info at all? That is spying as presented in your unedited post.

>In your context,<

The context wasn't mine, it was yours. I merely pointed it out.

>I could accuse you of spying for all the google excerpts that you put together on me.<<

Hhahaha...yea, you COULD do that, truth be damned as usual when emanating from the trouble possse, only I don't have to "put together" anything on you Dave,,you do quite fine yourself,,,,I just merely point it out.
Besides, that would make your fallen comrades, named by "Arron", of Lelnad Scott KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, N8WWM Dogie Adair and your socialistic-self of course, all hypocrites if you were to make such a remark.

>It's the same thing. But I wouldn't expect you to understand the subtle distiction. You just can't seem to grasp concepts.
<<

Actually, that was YOU saying you couldn't grasp anything beyond your two pitiful reasons why a cber doesn't upgrade.......the same argument can be used against nocodes, etc., all the way up the ladder.. Now that you're sorry you said it, you are going to try and attribute me to saying it was *I* that could not grasp a simple concept like that? Hahahah! "Fraid not,
Hall. You lie again. It's YOUR white-knuckle grasp on rec.radio.cb bellowing how you can't grasp things...not I.

>Maybe that's the fault of that lousy newsreader you use. The one that quotes so poorly, and chops paragraphs and mixes in passages from other people. No wonder you don't know who said what half the time.

<<

Another lie. It's not I misattributing quotes. It's you and "jj". Need reminded of the posts you forgot? Plenty of unprovoked malice in them. Now why would you say I misquoted someone? Hmmmmmmmmm? Falls under your failed "If I repeat it enough they may believe it" mantra that "arron" employs.
Deliberate untruths from you yet again. Let's not mince words, Dave...you're a liar and just proved it again.

>So NO, I don't SPY on people.<

The stop trying so hard to defend it. Your posts speak for you. Just because you can't control the ng's correct interpretations of your posts you gonna cry all the way home?

>That post was in response to a person, who had gave a reason why he didn't want to be a ham, because a call sign and all his associated information would become public knowledge. I countered that people who have nothing to hide, have nothing to fear.
<<

Hey, it ain't me you have to clean up your lies to. You said you never claimed such actions, yet here is the post where you did it.

>That proves nothing.<

Then stop defending it so vehemently.
Here you are once again underestimating the contingency of intellect on this forum.
It proves plenty.

>I also made reference to the false comfort that relative anonyminity brings to many CBers.<

Is that why you posted under different names, because you think no one can recognize they
came from you?

>I NEVER posted under a different name. Even when I was using a university account 7 years ago, I still signed my name. This allegation really has shown the depth of your paranoia.
<<

Well, I never made several of the quotes you attributed to me today. those allegations really have shown the depth of your paranoia <g>.
A mere question and you feel yourself qualified to play shrink. I think your admitted pleasure you find in anothers grief says more than my inquiry as to whether you have mulitple names as far as analysis goes.

>They (wrongly) think that just because they don't give out a call sign, and use a cryptic number or handle as an identifier, that a clever person could not find out a ton of information just by listening over a period of time (You know, listening. You should try it sometime).

<<

Nah...I'm not the slightest bit interested in personal info on strangers on the radios. I have a life that takes the place of such delights.
.
>

>No, but you seem to live to gather up all sorts of info on people over usenet.

Again, I gather nothing. If someone comes at me, I can usually defend myself with the truth. All I need do is point out the trash you put forth.The truth is something that you,, Lelnad KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland and Dogie Adair N8WWM have demonstrated you can not handle.
You just can't get over the fact that most hammies see you as a sore spot, but again, I'm just a lowly cber and my opinion means squat compared to your exalted and elevated imaginary status that comes by sole virtue of a ticket that demands and commands respect.

>I find it somewhat frightening that you remember a post that I made two years ago.
<<

I didn't remember it....it was sent....by another hammie that sees you for who you are and knows your type quite well. Frankly, what you find "frightening" is no surprise.....we merely put that in with the ridiculous context of that which you consider "criminal" and your credibility and intellect suddenly speaks for itself.

>I'm sorry, but nothing that I've said here is so important that I memorize each post word for word. And I especially don't remember things that you have said. It's just not that important to me.
<<

Then stop defending your posts with such rancor. Doncha just love usenet? Of course you care, Dave. Hammies are supposed to care, there is no shame in that and you should never hide that or allow your opinion to be swayed by a lowly cber.

>Many people unknowingly give out many clues to their identity during routine QSO's. This has been the subject of many courses on protecting your family, and involves not only radio conversations, but exchanges over the internet as well. I gave a true life example of how it could be done, but you, in your ever twisted world, took it completely out of context.
<<

Not at all. The gist of what I said is that you are so interested in other's lives that you
devoted an entire topic to it.

>I did not "devote" an entire topic to it. I responded to another's inquiries, and cited an example of how he should not feel so comfortable

in his relative anonymity. How you could equate that with "spying" is a stretch.
<<

I didn't. You did. You just claimed that gathering personal info is considered spying...or did you forget already? The reason WHY you did it, Dave, isn't really important. You keep focusing on defending and explaining your actions away. Your apologetic mode for what you wrote in the past would be commendable, if it were done with any integrity, but the fact that you keep humping my leg over this.....

>But I've come to expect that from you. <

Which is why you would much rather prefer "shooting fish in a barrel." (your words).

>The king of obfuscation. Feel free to add that to your "vernacular".
<<

You probably read it from one of my posts, or one of these other lowly cbers that always seem to have you on the ropes. Besides, there is no obfuscation except on your failed but amusing attempts at lying your way out of your own words. I need obfuscate nothing....just merely point to your own words.
You denied it, and as always, need reminded because you always seem to "forget" about the posts you made.

>Of course, because what you said wasn't true. <<

No Dave, YOU said it wasn't true. You said you never did it, were shown you not only did it, but YOU even told the exact definition of spying as "gathering personal information."
  I would say such things as satellite photos (even if they are from the 70's) referring to the type car one drives, and how many children one has, can be considered spying. I am so confident, in fact, that my registering the pulse of the majority of cbers is much more accurate than yours, that I am willing to wager that the majority also will see that as spying.
But you go on believing that the contingency will believe what you tell them and not what they see in your posts.

>A post where I was advising someone to be careful of what they say, turns into "I'm spying on people".
<<

No, a post where you admitted doing it is in direct contradiction with your denial that you NEVER did it.

>I never spied on people.<

First you say the gathering of such info is spying, then you say it's not. Oh, I get it, it's one of those things where you can claim you don't remember saying it if poven wrong.

>I find it interesting that you devote so much time to finding out information that you hope will discredit me.
<<

There is the paranoia. The only thing I have done is remind you of your lies by pointing them out.

>The mere fact that you are doing this tells me that you consider me credible enough to cause you to go through this effort on your little crusade.
<<

Google that word too, while you're at it <g>!
Like the mere fact of you redundantly and so desperately defending your posts and actions?

>Something I've said has touched a nerve in you, and that's made you feel uncomfortable.
<<

Keep thinking that way. I love it when a good plan comes together.

>If you truly felt the same way about me, that I do about you, I'd just go on and let you babble in the wind, being careful not to be downwind from your spittle......
Dave
"Sandbagger"
<<

Difference is, I DON'T feel the same way toward you. I have no ill will, nor malice toward you. You are no one to me, therefore, there is never a need for it to become personal. YOU made it personal, Hall, just like your little posse of contempt you belong to that "Arron" formed and called you by name in. I have made many times, the statement that I shall point out the bullshit and disinformation shoveled upon this board concerning cb law and related items when I am in the know.
  I have no need to insult you..do not cry crocodle tears when you lob something in my backyard and I throw it back twice as hard. The damage was done to your glass house looooooong before I ever became your focal point of devotion and object of affection.You are a stranger with many problems. I don't hold it against you. People with emotional scars and problems can be helped,,,,,,,,intentional hate toward cbers with non-deserved insults all through your posts show this ignorant side of you. Ignorance can also be cured, but only if one so chooses. Too bad ignorance wasn't painful.

1489. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-15 23:58:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DACABB9-1069@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140271

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
That is likely, as demonstrated by his continual denigration of people
who have passed even the no-code test. Denigration of another's
achievements is far easier for an underachiever, than admitting one's
own faults.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
-
More lies from Dave Hall.
I don't denigrate other's achievments. A nocode license is NOT what I
would exactly refer to as an achievement in the world of hammie radio.
--
>It certainly a lot more than you have achieved. <<

Seer malady.

>Oh, I suppose you call operating illegally on the 'freeband' a great
achievement.
<<

Lelnad KC8LDO had a sig once that said losers compare their achievments
to others.
It's the only time I ever agreed with him.

1490. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 00:05:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17689-3DACAD5B-1070@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140270

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
who cares what who cares? wrote:
A hundred percent? .Did you conduct a survey? >JJ, you are talking out of you're Ass, yet again. Calm down old man, at you're age, you might start feeling chest pains.
<<

Tell me what percentage of 'freebanders' you think are not running illegal power. Since they are not authorized to use those frequencies, any power they run there is illegal. In my book, that would be 100%, no survey needed. What are you talking out of?
--
Ha! When you're right you're right and in this case.....hey, I give credit where it's due...loopholes exist on both sides.....I see your point, *JJ*, but for the sake of a civil argument, you know when we say legal power we refer to "barefoot". Don't you?

1491. Re: Small radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 00:10:00 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <s72r9.59157$rz6.9788@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 140269

There are several very small units. Uniden has a few. If you just want a basic little CB for the road, try one with the works built into the mic unit, and mount the other unit out of the way.

"Ricky" <rcole@blvl.igs.net> wrote in message news:3dac9b23_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
>
> Hey, I'm wondering if there's a small CB radio that I can install into my
> ashtray compartment of my truck. It's a new truck and I NEED A radio!! I
> got hooked with my other truck, but the Cobra 19's just a bit too..I don't
> know..big for this one. Any help?
>
>
>
>

1492. Re: Help identify this coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 00:20:13 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1h2r9.63017$oq6.15333@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 140273

Not sure who I would contact. I took a look at their sight and based on the product catalog and a site search it doesn't exist in the catalog. Oh well, just curious if it is any good or just basic RG8. Just got home from work and I've got about an hour before the wife gets home. Just enough time to fire up the radio for some fun and search the web again for info on this stuff. Thank you for the input Doc.

Dave

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DAC5090.F0725F63@cwis.net...
>
>
> Dave,
> It may be a 'house' designation of some kind, but
> that's just a guess. Why don't you ask 'Belden'?
> 'Doc

1493. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 00:28:17 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DACB2A1.AD02C4B9@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140292

who cares? wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DAC8776.DDBEC95F@mailcity.net...
> >
> >
> > who cares what who cares? wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > A hundred percent? .Did you conduct a survey? JJ, you are
> > > talking out of you're Ass, yet again. Calm down old man, at
> > > you're age, you might start feeling chest pains.
> >
> > Tell me what percentage of 'freebanders' you think are not running
> > illegal power. Since they are not authorized to use those
> > frequencies, any power they run there is illegal. In my book,
> > that would be 100%, no survey needed. What are you talking out of?
>
> Just fine tune your intellectual honesty a little bit, and you'll be fine,
> but then again, if you want to make a fool of yourself, then thats your
> choice...Hell, next you'll be telling me that the moon is made out of
> green cheese. I guess there's no fool, like an old fool, right old timer?!

Again, tell me what percentage of 'freebanders' do you think are
running legal power? Please prove my statement wrong. Make a fool
of yourself and tell me that 'freebanders' can run legal power on
the so-called 'freeband' frequencies.

1494. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 00:30:57 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3DACB341.80401@csotbs.org>
Source record: 140274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

JJ:

Dunno..

If two men are standing in the forest and there's no women around to hear
them, are they still wrong?

-SSB

JJ wrote:

>
> SideBand wrote:
>
>>Is that like a double negative?
>>
>>If so, wouldn't a transsexual homo be a straight person?
>>
>>-SSB
>>
>
> If a lesbian who thinks she is a man, and a gay guy who thinks he
> is a woman, get married and be happy?
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

1495. Re: Help identify this coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 00:31:10 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021015203110.22791.00001543@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 140275

1-800-BELDEN1
This might help.
Train

>Subject: Help identify this coax
>From: "Dave" local_42remove@hotmail.com
>Date: 10/15/02 12:54 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ucNq9.52007$oq6.14423@sccrnsc01>
>
>I've got a couple hundred feet of coax laying in my shop that apparently
>doesn't exist. The jacket just says "Belden RG8U KX" I know it's RG8
>stranded conductor but what does the "KX" mean? I did a quick search and got
>ZERO hits. Looking at Belden's website they make NO mention of the
>designation "KX" Anyone have a clue what this stuff is and what the specs on
>it are? Thanks for any help you can give.
>
>Dave

1496. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 00:34:39 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DACB41F.1BACA633@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140294

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> -
> What is you definition of "some"? Is it the same as the FCC's? According
> to them the numbers are in the thousands.
> ----
> >Even if there were 7000 hams running power over the legal limit,
> <<
>
> Glad you checked. Never mind that my figures were accurate.....go on.

You still haven't pointed to any sources of this FCC claim that
hams by the thousands are running power over the legal limit.
Did this come to you in one of your drug induced dreams twistie?

>
> > that is only approximately 1% of the licensed hams. Whereas 100% of
> all 'freebanders' are running illegal power <<
>
> Seer malady.................exclusive to the rec.radio.cb trouble posse
> named by "arron" of N8WWM Dogie Adair, Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO,
> socialistic Hypocrite Hall, and Frank Gilliland.

Whatsamatter twiesieboy, can't handle the truth that all
freebanders are running illegal power?

>
> >as 'freebanders' are not legally authorized to run any power on the so
> called freeband frequencies.
> <<
>
> Not true. FCC says anyone can run any power any where they want in a
> true emergency. Besides, I never claimed differently. Learn to speak for
> yourself and think for yourself and stop being a follower of socialistic
> Hypocrite Hall's troubled posse that lies and misattributes things to
> those that make a point or express a view they disagree with.

I guess all of your and the other transmissions heard on this
so-called 'freeband' are true emergencies, yea right!!

1497. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 00:38:34 UTC
From: who cares? <who-carez@apathy.net>
Message-ID: <uqpd8l9shc5ffa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 140276

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DAC8776.DDBEC95F@mailcity.net...
>
>
> who cares what who cares? wrote:
>
> >
> > A hundred percent? .Did you conduct a survey? JJ, you are
> > talking out of you're Ass, yet again. Calm down old man, at
> > you're age, you might start feeling chest pains.
>
> Tell me what percentage of 'freebanders' you think are not running
> illegal power. Since they are not authorized to use those
> frequencies, any power they run there is illegal. In my book,
> that would be 100%, no survey needed. What are you talking out of?

Just fine tune your intellectual honesty a little bit, and you'll be fine,
but then again, if you want to make a fool of yourself, then thats your
choice...Hell, next you'll be telling me that the moon is made out of
green cheese. I guess there's no fool, like an old fool, right old timer?!

1498. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 00:39:49 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DACB555.2A8C50FC@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140295

Richard Craniumless AKA Steve wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DAC24D9.ECD28A2@mailcity.net>...
> > Uncle Charlie wrote:
> > >
> > > there licensed hams
> >
> > How do you know they're (contraction for they are, 'there' means
> > like put it over there) licensed hams?
>
> Geez, are you brain-dead? That's the 20 meter hamband. Who else is
> gonna be there but over-powered amateurs?
>
> 'course, we know you're just trolling for another flamewar.

Can you read and comprehend at all dickieboy? Go back and read the
previous posts. Since when did channel 6 become the 20 meter band?
Did you ever have a brain? No wonder you can't find the 10 meter
band, you think channel 6 is 20 meters. LOL!!!!!

1499. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 00:48:16 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DACB750.E14B3A55@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140296

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> "JJ" wrote: Well they can spin it any way they want, but the vast
> majority of hams operate completely within the rules. Timmie Neebonehead
> and Twistieboy can't say that about 'freebanders' as all 'freebanders'
> are operating illegally.
> --
> The spin originates from "aarons" hand-selected trouble posse he
> named of Lelnad Scott KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, N8WWM Dogie Adair, and
> socialist Dave Hall.
> ---
>
> Slice it and dice it anyway you want twistieboy, but EVERY 'freebander'
> is operating illegally.
> -----
> Saying I said differently when I didn't doesn't make it so. Grow up.

Tell me how you operate legally on the 'freeband'.

1500. Realistic trc 440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 00:52:00 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021015205200.20757.00001962@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 140277

Can anyone show me how to modify my Realistic trc440 to get extra channels
Please

1501. Nicad charger question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 00:54:33 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dacb3d9_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140278

Nicad Voltage Geeks:
I received a bad charger with a used 9.6 volt Sears cordless drill (industrial supposedly).

Sears would NOT sell me a new transformer for it! they wanted $50 for a new charger, while my brother in law got a new one, drill with flashlight, on sale that saturday for $40 ! So I went to the parts store and got a new exact size transformer for the charger for $10 ! Problem is that the transformer is center tapped for 2 voltages. Another guy had a working 12 volt charger I took readings on, so I hooked up 9 volts into the rectifier instead of 18. Terminals to the battery have 12vdc with no load on it. Seems to charge the battery, but not up to 9.6v. DO I need to:
a) put the full 20vac into the charger for more volts to the battery (I was afraid that 20v would be too much, since the 12v charger had less than that on it, or

b) the batteries are getting bad, which could be.

THE question is: What volts AC should I put into the rectifer, 10 or 20? This unit is CHINA made, so I figure it can't be too old. I discharged the batteries to zero before charging 1/2 day. It says a 1 hr. charger is what it is, and the green light comes on when charged. OR should I charge a battery with it apart, and check volts going to the battery at the end of the charge?

It is sickening that they want us to keep buying more to support china, when we can fix it ourself with a Taiwan transformer that was the exact same size!
Dave!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1502. Re: Way to go Giants!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 00:56:54 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DACB956.C347C8FA@optonline.net>
Source record: 140279

Twistedhed wrote:

> From: S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. (Landshark)
> Way to Giants, National League Champions!!!!!
> Landshark
> --
>
> Way to go. By the way, did you catch Lou Piniellas (sp?) act the other
> day? Did you hear what he said? He can't wait to get outta
> Seattle....said something about wanting to go back way down south in
> Dixie. Took a southerner to make the Mariners a ball team...a local boy,
> no less. Once he leaves, they;ll never have much of a chance at anything
> for years to come.

Hey Twistie do you really believe the good ol' boy would take on a poor team without much a chance instead of putting his fortunes with the amazin's??????

1503. Re: George

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 01:03:36 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DACBAE8.B256A222@optonline.net>
Source record: 140280

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote:
> >LOL Poor Lawn boy
> >
> Lawnboy of sorts..yes. Poor..nope.
> >
> >>gets mad when someone corrects him
> >
> I'm not mad.
> >
> >>but he likes toi
> > play NG Cop too.
> >
> Not a cop,just don't like top posting,but that's a lost cause. Although,you
> pretty much stopped doing it..(thanks)
> >
> >>LOL You are a jerk off for sure Steve Parks medina Ohio
> >
> So you know my name (I told you it).
>
> Your point?
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

Lets go #1.......

1504. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 01:06:49 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DACBBA9.4675A01@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140297

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> Tro, er, "JJ" wrote:
> To add to Aaron's post, I have been a ham for over 40 years and I can
> say with certainty that yes, some hams do run more than the legal limit
> at times (and yes, some have been cited in the past by the FCC), but the
> majority of hams who own amplifiers do not.
> -
> What is you definition of "some"? Is it the same as the FCC's? According
> to them the numbers are in the thousands.
> -
>
> >Where does the FCC claim that hams by the thousand are operating
> illegally? <<
>
> Oh, just count the number of letters they send out to hammies for
> violations. It's in the THOUSANDS. Don't ask me to do your homework,
> you'll be pegged as lazy as Lelnad. You're a hammie...you know where to
> get the info. According to the Hypocrite Hall, they are all federal

And all those are for running illegal power? Really? Read the
subject line, illegal power was the subject here twistieboy or did
you forget?

To further comment that some hams do run illegal power, I once
visited a 'contesting' station that consisted of an operating
position for each HF band, each rig drove a linear that was
capable of at least twice the legal power limit for the ham bands,
and they were run full tilt during a contest (not that any of the
operators would ever admit it). This setup belonged to a young
bachelor who had more money than anything else. He purchased 5
acres just outside of Dallas, Tx to build this contest setup. He
had the house built with two dormitory rooms with beds for the
contest operators to sleep in shifts so the station could be
running 24 hrs during the contest. Last time I was there I counted
11 towers, all antennas were fed with 3/4 in hard-line. Some
towers had stacked beams fixed on certain parts of the world,
others could be rotated. I saw a full sized 75 meter beam being
assembled on the ground to go up on one of the towers.
And some say that cbers with 12 alternators mounted in their truck
to drive their big amps is going overboard. Hummmmm.

1505. Re: Realistic trc 440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 01:31:11 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021015213111.15079.00001530@mb-de.aol.com>
Source record: 140281

we've tried the best we can, we dont know how to fix your radio

Thatz-me-JP

1506. Forsale: Galaxy DX55v Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 01:33:33 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021015213333.15079.00001531@mb-de.aol.com>
Source record: 140282

I bought this radio one year ago. It has only been used by me as a base station, has never been in a car. I had it professionally tuned up. It has Variable PWR from .5 to 8 watts and modulates to around 30. Modulation has been tuned up as well. This is a VERY loud radio and has alot of swing. I still have the Galaxy 55 Box, mounting brackets, power cord, the original stock hand mic, manuals, and whatever else it came with. I have it all. Has the freq counter, large eas to read meter and has Bands A thru F giving you 240 channels. I promise you will not be disappointed with this Galaxy. $170 ... Thanks for looking

Thatz-me-JP

1507. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 01:58:53 UTC
From: ruralreader <sylinen@somtel.net>
Message-ID: <FD3r9.2138$014.50240@reggie.win.bright.net>
Source record: 140283

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DAC271A.1B89CB06@mailcity.net...

Yes, they do,even the ones who nuked Japan in WWII.
The "Majority"of them had NEVER raised a weapon to ANY
American yet,still murdered.

> Criminals always think their unlawful acts are somehow justified.

1508. Small radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 02:05:46 UTC
From: Ricky <rcole@blvl.igs.net>
Message-ID: <3dac9b23_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140248

Hey, I'm wondering if there's a small CB radio that I can install into my ashtray compartment of my truck. It's a new truck and I NEED A radio!! I got hooked with my other truck, but the Cobra 19's just a bit too..I don't know..big for this one. Any help?

1509. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 02:07:09 UTC
From: ruralreader <sylinen@somtel.net>
Message-ID: <pL3r9.2139$014.50430@reggie.win.bright.net>
Source record: 140284

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DAC64AD.5D8F@worldlynx.net...

> The problem is that YOU continue to equate the FEDERAL CRIME, of illegal
> radio use (Which carries stiff fines and possible jail time) with a
> "trivial" summary offense such as speeding. These may seem, to you, to
> be in the same catergory on the grand morality scale, but I assure you
> that the Feds don't see it the same way.
>
>
> > The majority here do not consider those acts criminal.
>

....and revolution is illegal [according to the King & Law of England]
but then who are we to argue with the King?

From time to time, the tree of liberty must be refreshed with the
blood of patriots...[and tyrants] {most people forget the last part}

1510. Re: George

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 02:19:39 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <L2CdnYuhRIIhUTGgXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140285

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3DACBAE8.B256A222@optonline.net...

> Lets go #1.......

Jim I was wondering when Mopar would put Go #40 back up ever since Sterling
was out of points lead is when he dropped the sig.

1511. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 02:20:18 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <JXCdnTRmna5_UTGgXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

```
--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210151515.65dae0ea@posting.google.com...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210140832.233ef469@posting.google.com>...
> > Richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > >Date: Mon, Oct 14, 2002 5:06 AM
> > >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210140406.184b41a7@posting.google.com>
> > >
> > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210131208.89dc8bc@posting.google.com>...
> > >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210120329.69a69f43@posting.google.com>...
> > >> > witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
news:<3aed93cc.0210101749.66c23585@posting.google.com>...
> > >> > > you cannot post one iota of PROOF,
> > >> > > Aanal Aaron.
> > >> >
> > >> > He doesn't need it. Accusation was proof enough for the Spanish
> > >> > Inquisition, and it's proof enough for him.
> > >>
> > >> Aanal Aaron is miles from being a Spanish Inquisition. He's just
> > a
> > >> pain in everyone's butt. Sorta like a pesky mosquito.
> > >>
> > >> > > I want to see your
> > >> > > PROOF, dimbulb. You got none.
> > >> >
> > >> > Temper, temper!!! Aaron will call down the WRATH of the MOST
> > HOLY
> > U.S.
> > >> > GOVERNMENT to rain fire and brimstone down upon us! We heathen
> > >> > lawfuckers will BURN!!! (so he says, ROFLMAO)
> > >> >
> > >> > Guy
> > >>
> > >> No "temper" problem
> > >> (snip)
> > >>
```

> > >> Guy, meet Steve.
> > >>
> > >> -Aaron-
> > >
> > >Still wasting bandwidth with
> > (snip)
> >
>
> Still waiting on your PROOF, Aanal Aaron. You haven't posted any yet.
> When is it gonna happen? LOL!

1512. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 02:20:31 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <8KicnRLQfKRtUTGgXTWc2Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

```
--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210151524.22ce6ab5@posting.google.com...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210140832.233ef469@posting.google.com>...
> > Richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > >Date: Mon, Oct 14, 2002 5:06 AM
> > >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210140406.184b41a7@posting.google.com>
> > >
> > >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210131208.89dc8bc@posting.google.com>...
> > >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210120329.69a69f43@posting.google.com>...
> > >> > witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
news:<3aed93cc.0210101749.66c23585@posting.google.com>...
> > >> > > you cannot post one iota of PROOF,
> > >> > > Aanal Aaron.
> > >> >
> > >> > He doesn't need it. Accusation was proof enough for the Spanish
> > >> > Inquisition, and it's proof enough for him.
> > >>
> > >> Aanal Aaron is miles from being a Spanish Inquisition. He's just
> > a
> > >> pain in everyone's butt. Sorta like a pesky mosquito.
> > >>
> > >> > > I want to see your
> > >> > > PROOF, dimbulb. You got none.
> > >> >
> > >> > Temper, temper!!! Aaron will call down the WRATH of the MOST
> > HOLY
> > U.S.
> > >> > GOVERNMENT to rain fire and brimstone down upon us! We heathen
> > >> > lawfuckers will BURN!!! (so he says, ROFLMAO)
> > >> >
> > >> > Guy
> > >>
> > >> No "temper" problem
> > >> (snip)
> > >>
```

> > >> Guy, meet Steve.
> > >>
> > >> -Aaron-
> > >
> > >Still wasting bandwidth with
> > (snip)
> >
> >
> > :)
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> PROOF Aanal Aaron, not unsubstantiated conjecture. PROOF. Post it or
> drop dead. Loser!

1513. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 02:21:24 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <_-udnc4_9s64UDGgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3aed93cc.0210151536.1876fd29@posting.google.com...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210140832.233ef469@posting.google.com>...
> > This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich,
>
> We're tired of it already, "Aaron"... everyone here knows he is a fake.
>
> Guy

Guy I am glad you have seen thru Richard Cranium and called him by what he
is a fake.

1514. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 02:23:10 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <04ScndhY5poSUDGgXTWcoQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140290

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210151526.56f72def@posting.google.com...
>
> Oh yes you have, Georgie-girl! It's what you are obsessed about! Read
> your own posts, Georgie-girl.

Yawn you bore me.

1515. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 02:25:29 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <E-ecnRGmXNaEUzGgXTWcqw@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message

Is that like a double negative?

If so, wouldn't a transsexual homo be a straight person?
-SSB

No he would be a guy who wants to be a girl and he likes guys. I think

1516. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 02:26:36 UTC
From: ruralreader <sylinen@somtel.net>
Message-ID: <E14r9.2140$014.50505@reggie.win.bright.net>
Source record: 140287

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> makes untrue
claims:news:3dab70d6.791359@news.cet.com...
> In <2EIq9.2106$014.50166@reggie.win.bright.net>, "ruralreader"
> <sylinen@somtel.netask wholly in truth>
> ><<<<<since when does a list mean USE?? >>>>>
>
> It's a list of USERS that are LICENSED for the respective frequency.
> That means THEY have the right to that frequency, not YOU. THEY have
> the RIGHT to use it as THEY see fit,

>not YOU.<<funny,never said I did? do you?

THEY have the RIGHT to <<<WRONG! "privilege"is what you mean
"rights" are NOT given by the government.

> use it or NOT to use it anytime they choose, and if they choose not to
> use it at any particular time that doesn't give ANYONE ELSE the right
> to use it unless they are LICENSED! There are a lot of frequencies and
> a WHOLE LOT of licensees:

> To claim that these frequencies are not being used is just as ignorant as
if
> you were to claim that the law of gravity doesn't apply to you.

{I never said it did, I said to listen to see if they are. a VERY big
difference.}

>Now is there something about this
> fundamental {but wrong} concept that{ yeti represented } you can't
comprehend?

get it right,then I'll get back to you on this.

1517. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 02:28:54 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DACCEE6.D308B8AB@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140301

who cares? wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DACB2A1.AD02C4B9@mailcity.net...
> >
> >
> > who cares? wrote:
> > >
> > > "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3DAC8776.DDBEC95F@mailcity.net...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > who cares what who cares? wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > A hundred percent? .Did you conduct a survey? JJ, you are
> > > > > talking out of you're Ass, yet again. Calm down old man, at
> > > > > you're age, you might start feeling chest pains.
> > > >
> > > > Tell me what percentage of 'freebanders' you think are not running
> > > > illegal power. Since they are not authorized to use those
> > > > frequencies, any power they run there is illegal. In my book,
> > > > that would be 100%, no survey needed. What are you talking out of?
> > >
> > > Just fine tune your intellectual honesty a little bit, and you'll be
> fine,
> > > but then again, if you want to make a fool of yourself, then thats your
> > > choice...Hell, next you'll be telling me that the moon is made out of
> > > green cheese. I guess there's no fool, like an old fool, right old
> timer?!
> >
> > Again, tell me what percentage of 'freebanders' do you think are
> > running legal power? Please prove my statement wrong. Make a fool
> > of yourself and tell me that 'freebanders' can run legal power on
> > the so-called 'freeband' frequencies.
>
> What difference does it make, when someone is operating out of band,
> it is illegal period, regardless the output wattage. Whether its 4 watts,
> or several thousand watts, its still illegal. Your arguement is specious!
> When you're out of band, you're out of band.

That is exactly what I was saying, 100% of freebanders are
operating at illegal power levels. Gee, you finally caught on.

1518. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 02:35:31 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3DACD073.4000505@csotbs.org>
Source record: 140293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hrm.. Some definitions:

Transsexual: Someone who believes they were born the wrong gender, and a exchange done to change their gender.

So a transsexual homo would be, then, for example, a man who's been changed to a woman, and likes women. Therefore a Lesbian, or a straight woman?

Does it really matter, though?

-SSB

Welfare Check wrote:

> "SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
>
> Is that like a double negative?
>
> If so, wouldn't a transsexual homo be a straight person?
> -SSB
>
> No he would be a guy who wants to be a girl and he likes guys. I think
>
>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

1519. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 03:36:49 UTC
From: who cares? <who-carez@apathy.net>
Message-ID: <uqpnmu3vddmbfb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 140298

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DACB2A1.AD02C4B9@mailcity.net...
>
>
> who cares? wrote:
> >
> > "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DAC8776.DDBEC95F@mailcity.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > who cares what who cares? wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > A hundred percent? .Did you conduct a survey? JJ, you are
> > > > talking out of you're Ass, yet again. Calm down old man, at
> > > > you're age, you might start feeling chest pains.
> > >
> > > Tell me what percentage of 'freebanders' you think are not running
> > > illegal power. Since they are not authorized to use those
> > > frequencies, any power they run there is illegal. In my book,
> > > that would be 100%, no survey needed. What are you talking out of?
> >
> > Just fine tune your intellectual honesty a little bit, and you'll be
fine,
> > but then again, if you want to make a fool of yourself, then thats your
> > choice...Hell, next you'll be telling me that the moon is made out of
> > green cheese. I guess there's no fool, like an old fool, right old
timer?!
>
> Again, tell me what percentage of 'freebanders' do you think are
> running legal power? Please prove my statement wrong. Make a fool
> of yourself and tell me that 'freebanders' can run legal power on
> the so-called 'freeband' frequencies.

What difference does it make, when someone is operating out of band,
it is illegal period, regardless the output wattage. Whether its 4 watts,
or several thousand watts, its still illegal. Your arguement is specious!
When you're out of band, you're out of band.

1520. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 03:47:03 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Xi5r9.16$xx.3424394@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 140299

"Welfare Check" <Check@demonseed.comPton> wrote in message
news:04ScndhY5poSUDGgXTWcoQ@News.GigaNews.Com...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0210151526.56f72def@posting.google.com...
> >
> > Oh yes you have, Georgie-girl! It's what you are obsessed about! Read
> > your own posts, Georgie-girl.
>
> Yawn you bore me.
>
>

If he bores you so, why do you respond?

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1521. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 04:17:41 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dacda44.4390759@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140300

In <E14r9.2140$014.50505@reggie.win.bright.net>, "ruralreader"
<sylinen@somtel.net> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> makes untrue
>claims:news:3dab70d6.791359@news.cet.com...
>> In <2EIq9.2106$014.50166@reggie.win.bright.net>, "ruralreader"
>> <sylinen@somtel.netask wholly in truth>
>> ><<<<<since when does a list mean USE?? >>>>>
>>
>> It's a list of USERS that are LICENSED for the respective frequency.
>> That means THEY have the right to that frequency, not YOU. THEY have
>> the RIGHT to use it as THEY see fit,
>
>>not YOU.<<funny,never said I did? do you?
>
>
> THEY have the RIGHT to <<<WRONG! "privilege"is what you mean
>"rights" are NOT given by the government.

WRONG!

Sec. 1.903 Authorization required.
(a) General rule. Stations in the Wireless Radio Services must be
used and operated only in accordance with the rules applicable to
their particular service as set forth in this title and with a valid
authorization granted by the Commission under the provisions of this
part, except as specified in paragraph (b) of this section.
(b) Restrictions. The holding of an authorization does not create
any rights BEYOND the terms, conditions and period specified in the
authorization....[emphasis added]

Which means that a station licensee has the RIGHT to use the
authorized frequency according to the terms of the license.

>> use it or NOT to use it anytime they choose, and if they choose not to
>> use it at any particular time that doesn't give ANYONE ELSE the right
>> to use it unless they are LICENSED! There are a lot of frequencies and
>> a WHOLE LOT of licensees:
>
>
>> To claim that these frequencies are not being used is just as ignorant as
>if
> > you were to claim that the law of gravity doesn't apply to you.

>
>{I never said it did, I said to listen to see if they are. a VERY big
>difference.}

I listen, and they ARE being used. If you can't hear them, try
listening for some of the LEGAL stations.

> >Now is there something about this
>> fundamental {but wrong} concept that{ yeti represented } you can't
>comprehend?
>
>get it right,then I'll get back to you on this.

I got it right the first time (and the second time, and the third
time, etc). You can get back to it anytime you want, because no matter
how long you take you will still be WRONG!

1522. Re: Small radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 05:00:50 UTC
From: jonkg4gfu@wmconnect.com
Message-ID: <5d7f388e.0210152100.ee350cd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140302

"Ricky" <rcole@blvl.igs.net> wrote in message
news:<3dac9b23_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com>...

Ricky;

What about the Uniden 510XL? I know it is pretty small with a big signal.
Have a great day! Jon.

> Hey, I'm wondering if there's a small CB radio that I can install into my
> ashtray compartment of my truck. It's a new truck and I NEED A radio!! I
> got hooked with my other truck, but the Cobra 19's just a bit too..I don't
> know..big for this one. Any help?

1523. Re: Realistic trc 440

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 06:45:32 UTC
From: sir.oblivion@public.com
Message-ID: <3dad0b79_3@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 140303

"Carlmackayp" <carlmackayp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021015205200.20757.00001962@mb-mo.aol.com...
> Can anyone show me how to modify my Realistic trc440 to get extra
channels
> Please
>
Get an Expo Expander Kit from http://www.bills2way.com/equip/expo.html

1524. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 07:18:06 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210152318.7ee17d23@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
news:<3aed93cc.0210151536.1876fd29@posting.google.com>...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210140832.233ef469@posting.google.com>...
> > This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich,
>
> We're tired of it already, "Aaron"... everyone here knows it's a fake.
>
> Guy

If it's fake, why all the fuss whenever you see it?

-Aaron-

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired
for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the
operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of
a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by
the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at
the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so
inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain
about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to
follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard
Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to
debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

1525. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 07:19:51 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210152319.63fa61bf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140305

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210151521.2689cc3c@posting.google.com>...
> Aanal Aaron can say anything and nobody asks for proof,
> but is someone else says something it's PROOF city! What a bunch of
> losers.
(snip)

Poor Steve.

-Aaron-

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired
for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the
operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of
a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by
the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at
the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so
inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain
about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to
follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard
Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to
debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

1526. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 07:37:35 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210152337.306cf617@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140306

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021015085557.15078.00001398@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> dont liek the truth frank? sorry you had to hear it from jj but in reality
> there are more than one ham running over the legal limit.

So how does that make it OK for you to break the rules?

> i know it burst your
> bubble of the percieved perfect rf world of ham radio but its also reality.

No one said ham radio was a perfect world, Tim. And how would you know
what kind of a world it is anyway, not being a ham yourself?

> >Don't even humor that argument, JJ. They are just trying to validate
> >illegal behavior by saying, "If one ham breaks the rules then none of
> >them have cause for complaint". That argument is even more lame than
> >"Federal laws aren't laws", and HDT's Civil Disobedience.

Don't forget Twistedhed's "natural law supercedes man's laws" excuse.

-A

1527. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 08:08:07 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210160008.49d5e139@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140307

Skipp knows Aaron is not his real name ie fake o <nothanksonthespamissue@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:<aoi3nd$50r$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>...
> I guess that sums up everyone in the rec.radio.cb not a one honest,
> common sense, amateur, cb'er in the group... right..? You get real
> answers by asking questions and going through all the answers.

Hey, it's your right to post your subjective opinions, but subjective opinions are not my definition of "real answers". That's why I didn't offer a subjective opinion in this case. Instead, my advice was to seek more reliable sources. If the question is centered around "what many hams do", then the "real answer" should come from sources that better represent the mainstream majority of many hams. Not from "some guy named skipp who says he's a ham and that hams run illegal high power all the time". That's how CB Myths get started.

-Aaron-

1528. WTB: The True story on the D&A Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 08:09:26 UTC
From: real radios glow in the dark <4cx5000a@bigtubesrock.com>
Message-ID: <eb7qqu8l4dgs6clcni0rrqthc8mur0pnur@4ax.com>
Source record: 140308

I was wondering if anyone could help me out here...

I have wondered for years, does anyone know the *true* story behind the D&A amps?

I heard there's a picture floating around on the 'net' of the two builders on those amps? does anyone know where one could go look at this?

I wonder if anyone has a website devoted to this story... Heck, I could be convinced to but one up, if anyone is interested... could be info site with pictures, if i could get in contact with the original builders, I'd even include pictures from the old days... anyone interested in this?

were they really built in scottsbluff, AZ?

E-mail it if you like... or post it in here, would make for some interesting reading...

73

k8cpachuck@yahoo.com

1529. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 11:04:42 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAD47CA.1599@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140309

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> That is likely, as demonstrated by his continual denigration of people
> who have passed even the no-code test. Denigration of another's
> achievements is far easier for an underachiever, than admitting one's
> own faults.
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> -
>
> More lies from Dave Hall.
> I don't denigrate other's achievments. A nocode license is NOT what I
> would exactly refer to as an achievement in the world of hammie radio.

There, you just denigrated it! You don't even know it when you do it.
And you consider that a "lie" from me. Another shining illustration of
your lack of reasoning or cognitave ability.

Try to tell a nut that he's nuts, and he'll swear that you're crazy.

I'll let you in on a little secret: Those people who made that first
step, and passed the no-code, don't give a rat's ass what you think of
it.

> It is an introductory, nothing more.

Yes it is, and as such, passing the test qualifies it as an achievement.
Which, by the way, is more than a bunch of no license, illegal
freebanders can claim.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1530. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 11:07:30 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAD4872.6D0@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140310

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> "JJ" wrote:
> Criminals always think their unlawful acts are somehow justified.
> --
> I presume you speak from experience, since you and Hall profess to know
> so much how the criminal mind operates. Now why will that not come as a
> surprise to the majority of this group?

Ah! The mythical "majority" again. Those silent people, who make no
comments, yet twistie seems to have the inside track on what they think.

You speak for no one but yourself.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1531. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 11:27:11 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAD4D0F.20A8@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140311

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Dave Hall wrote:
>
>
> > continue to equate the FEDERAL CRIME, of illegal radio use (Which
> carries stiff fines and possible jail time)<<
>
> (Sigh) Are you drinking Dave? Not that I care, but it may shed some
> light on this subject that you fail to comprehend. You will find nowhere
> in the FCC that says it is a federal CRIME to operate with an amp or
> freeband. More bullshit from the mudmaster.....

Is the FCC a FEDERAL agency?

Was "Rabbit Ears'" case heard before a FEDERAL court?

Is "Rabbit Ears" spending the next 15 months in a FEDERAL prison?

Is "Rabbit Ears" paying the FEDERAL gov't a nice check for $25,000?

Sounds like a FEDERAL crime to me.....

But I guess you still feel that "Rabbit Ears" is not a "criminal".

Just to refresh your memory:

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/08/29/100/?nc=1

That's hardly in the same vein as a speeding ticket..

>
> > with a "trivial" summary offense such as speeding.
> <<
>
> The ignorance is flowing from you today isn;t it?
> Speeding can directly kill an innocent and has done so in the past.
> Freebanding between 11 and 10 meters hasn't.

A speeding ticket won't land you a $25,000 fine or 15 months in jail
either. And the federal gov't doesn't issue speeding tickets either.

Let's review now shall we boys and girls:

Speeding ticket: Summary offence, usually accompanied by a fine of not
more than $150 depending on the state, and just how fast you were going.

Illegal radio operation: A violation of federal rules and regulations,
which carry with it fines into the thousands of dollars, and possible

jail time.

Now, which would you rather have happen to you?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1532. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 11:30:23 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAD4DCF.4158@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140312

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> No, but operating a radio in violation of FCC rules IS criminal. The
> only thing is that you might get away with it for a while. But if/when
> you're caught, you will indeed find out what it feels like to be a
> criminal.
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> <<
>
> No Dave, it's not. When the majority are "busted", they receive a
> warning. If it was criminal, it would go to court.

You mean like "Rabbit Ears"?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1533. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 11:42:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAD50A9.3094@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140313

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> >Only in very beginning, long before you were ever on this newsgroup, I
> "borrowed" an account from a local university. That was back around 1995
> or 96, before i had my own ISP. Ever since then I have ALWAYS used this
> account that you see here. I have never used a deliberate phoney account
> for the purposes of deceiving anyone, or projecting an image that I
> would be ashamed of under my real name.
> You see, the one thing that sets me apart from many of your other
> detractors, <<
>
> Again, you and Frank and Lelnad and Dogie are not many. Toss in the
> occasional hammie you guys run to for support, and you still don't have
> 'many". Ha! It must kill you that I get along so well with cbers AND
> hammies otherwise you wouldn't be making such a stink and holding my leg
> with your muddy paws.

You have an overinflated view of your own self-worth. That seems to go
hand in hand with what is slowly emerging as a narcissistic personality
disorder.

Here's some "lite" reading for anyone that needs a little background.
See if it fits our resident sociopath:

http://www.mentalhealth.com/dis1/p21-pe07.html

>
>
>
> >is that I'm not afraid to stand behind my words, <<
>
> Right. You deny them,

Of course, I deny the distorted meanings that you construct from
snippets of my posts taken out of context. You should work for the
democratic party. You're as slippery as the best of them.

> then must be taken by the hand and shown you
> indeed made the remarks, then claim you forgot.

I'm not as self-absorbed as you are, and remember each and every post,
out of the thousands I have made, in the last 8 years.

> We can read, Dave. This underestimation of cbers you hold has
> embarrassed you many times.

The real joke, that you still don't get: I *AM* a CBer!

>
> >no matter how many times you twist, distort or take them out of
> context. <<
>
> More lies. I presented your text unedited and untouched.

Now THAT is a lie. You continually edit posts when it suits your
purpose.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1534. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 11:57:21 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAD5421.5B28@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140314

Twistedhed wrote:
>
>
> Not true. FCC says anyone can run any power any where they want in a
> true emergency. Besides, I never claimed differently. Learn to speak for
> yourself and think for yourself and stop being a follower of socialistic
> Hypocrite Hall's troubled posse that lies and misattributes things to
> those that make a point or express a view they disagree with.

Wow! Have I been appointed leader of the pack now? Gee, I *am* honored.
Hell, I'll tear up my ham license, as this is a MUCH greater
achievement. Sorry Aaron, I guess you just weren't good enough any more.
So *I* get the red dish from now on........

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1535. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 11:59:32 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAD54A4.6E02@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140315

JJ wrote:
>
> Twistedhed wrote:
> >
> > From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> > Twistedhed wrote:
> > -
> > What is you definition of "some"? Is it the same as the FCC's? According
> > to them the numbers are in the thousands.
> > ----
> > >Even if there were 7000 hams running power over the legal limit,
> > <<
> >
> > Glad you checked. Never mind that my figures were accurate.....go on.
>
> You still haven't pointed to any sources of this FCC claim that
> hams by the thousands are running power over the legal limit.
> Did this come to you in one of your drug induced dreams twistie?

Watch what you say. Since you claimed that twisty is on drugs, he'll
think that you are me using a false account, since I once observed that
his pattern of logic was not unlike someone stoned off his ass.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1536. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 12:00:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7727-3DAD62D2-10@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140323

jj wrote via comcast via giganews:
>Tell me how you operate legally on the 'freeband'.
<<

Really lost, eh? Pissed too. Maybe you can clear up the misconception held by all (except those at the inn of socialism (located near the Hypocrite (Dave) Hall and company) that you aren't completely delirious and explain how you managed to arrive at such a fantastic hallucination. Is it Burgundy wine, drugs, or just ignorant contempt that makes you so approachable and responsible for such fallacious lines?

1537. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 12:10:41 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAD5741.4B5D@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140317

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
>
> Skipp knows Aaron is not his real name ie fake o <nothanksonthespamissue@hotmail.com>
wrote in message news:<aoi3nd$50r$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>...
> > I guess that sums up everyone in the rec.radio.cb not a one honest,
> > common sense, amateur, cb'er in the group... right..? You get real
> > answers by asking questions and going through all the answers.
>
> Hey, it's your right to post your subjective opinions, but subjective
> opinions are not my definition of "real answers". That's why I didn't
> offer a subjective opinion in this case. Instead, my advice was to
> seek more reliable sources. If the question is centered around "what
> many hams do", then the "real answer" should come from sources that
> better represent the mainstream majority of many hams. Not from "some
> guy named skipp who says he's a ham and that hams run illegal high
> power all the time". That's how CB Myths get started.
>

I would also like to see his data supporting the allegation that "many"
(And what number qualifies as "many") hams run illegal power.

Since doubling power results in a signal improvement of only 3 db, it
would be difficult to identify a ham running illegal power until he was
running power that is way over the limit (15KW or more). Most ham
amplifiers, while capable of running at twice legal power, would never
be able to support the power necessary to be noticed by casual
listeners. The few guys that go out of their way to obtain an amp
capable of 15 KW plus, are certainly in the minority, but I'm sure it
does happen.

Ham's are, afterall, only human. Much of the same character flaws that
make some CBer's bend or break the rules, are also present in many hams
as well.

But the point is, what IS the point? Does the fact that *some* hams
break the rules, make it ok for *some* Cbers to break the rules? That's
hardly justification in my book. I only know what *I* run. I don't run
any more than 120 watts on HF and no more than 200 on VHF.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1538. Re: Way to go Giants!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 12:46:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DAD5F91-18@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140322

From: 3carroll@optonline.net (jim)
Twistedhed wrote:
From: S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. (Landshark)
Way to Giants, National League Champions!!!!!
Landshark
--
Way to go. By the way, did you catch Lou Piniellas (sp?) act the other day? Did you hear what he said? He can't wait to get outta Seattle....said something about wanting to go back way down south in Dixie. Took a southerner to make the Mariners a ball team...a local boy, no less. Once he leaves, they;ll never have much of a chance at anything for years to come.
-

>Hey Twistie do you really believe the good ol' boy would take on a poor team without much a chance instead of putting his fortunes with the amazin's??????
<<

His family is here. He said he missed them and wanted to be with them. My guess is yes......but I may have misjudged the man. To some, blood is thicker than water...to others....they'd stab their brother. What do you think he's gonna do?
Think Seattle jaded him?

1539. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 12:49:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAD6045.4882@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140316

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> >In what way? <
>
> In the manner that you said you never did it.
>
> >There's a BIG difference between casual listening to conversations over
> a PUBLIC forum, and deliberately gathering personal information to use
> against someone, which is the definition of spying.
> <<
>
>
> One doesn't have to employ the info for gain in order to meet the
> definition of "spying". You went as far as claiming that you can gather
> the type car someone has, etc. That is personal, along with their
> children, which you mentioned.

According to the American Heritage dictionary, the definition of
"spying" is as follows:

1. To observe secretly with hostile intent.

2. To catch sight of.

3. To investigate intensively.

Now, which of these definitions fits what I did? I neither had hostile intent, "saw" anyone, or applied "Intensive" time finding out the information. This was just casual listening over a period of time, just like any other CBer does when the radio is on. I wrote down nothing, and should I be faulted if I hear something and it commits to memory?

It's called LISTENING, sandbagging, copying the mail. Maybe if you weren't so wrapped up in talking to some pile of dirt in the middle of nowhere, you might be quiet long enough to actually hear what goes on in your area. The fact that many people unwittingly give out "personal" information over the air freely, is not my problem. If I were a person of dubious character, I might be able to use that information against them. But I presented that as an illustration of why you should be careful what you say over a PUBLIC forum, such as CB radio, as anyone can hear you. And as such, you should not feel so anonymous on CB radio, just because you use a "handle" or "unit number", if you are going to blab your life's history to the next guy on the channel.

When the skip finally fades out, and you're left listening to such wonderful people such as Big Bird, King Kong, Big John, and Starface,

you might want to listen a little more.....

> Why would you gather that info at all?

To prove a point. Why do you shoot skip? Just pick up the phone.

> That is spying as presented in your unedited post.
>
> >In your context,<
>
> The context wasn't mine, it was yours. I merely pointed it out.

Incorrectly as usual.

>
> >I could accuse you of spying for all the google excerpts that you put
> together on me.<<
>
> Hhahaha...yea, you COULD do that, truth be damned as usual when
> emanating from the trouble possse, only I don't have to "put together"
> anything on you Dave,,you do quite fine yourself,,,,I just merely point
> it out.

Which is EXACTLY what I did over the air, an act for which you accused me of spying. So, if by your definition, I am guilty of "spying", then you are equally as guilty, for all of your "googles", which, took far more effort, on your part, to obtain, then my causual listening.

> Again, I gather nothing. If someone comes at me, I can usually defend
> myself with the truth. All I need do is point out the trash you put
> forth.The truth is something that you,, Lelnad KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland
> and Dogie Adair N8WWM have demonstrated you can not handle.

I have no problem with the truth. But the truth is something that alludes you.

> You just can't get over the fact that most hammies see you as a sore
> spot,

Here you go again speaking for "most "something"". Name the hams that think I'm a "sore spot.

> but again, I'm just a lowly cber and my opinion means squat
> compared to your exalted and elevated imaginary status that comes by
> sole virtue of a ticket that demands and commands respect.

Something for which you do not have what it takes to achieve.

Go ahead, prove me wrong. Get your ham ticket. You don't have to use it. But if it is such a "no big deal" as you claim, then shut us up once and for all, and prove you're more than a babbling idiot.

>
> >I find it somewhat frightening that you remember a post that I made
> two years ago.

> <<
>
> I didn't remember it....it was sent....by another hammie that sees you
> for who you are and knows your type quite well.

Any ham that would run to you with the USENET equivilent of yellow
journalism, is not anyone for whom I would hold in high esteem.

> Frankly, what you find
> "frightening" is no surprise.....we merely put that in with the
> ridiculous context of that which you consider "criminal" and your
> credibility and intellect suddenly speaks for itself.

And anyone, with an ounce of common sense, and who is also not morally
and intellectually bankrupt, can understand, despite your valiant, if
misguided, attempts at distorting and obfuscating the truth.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1540. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 12:53:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7731-3DAD6138-1@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140320

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Oh, just count the number of letters they send out to hammies for violations. It's in the THOUSANDS. Don't ask me to do your homework, you'll be pegged as lazy as Lelnad. You're a hammie...you know where to get the info. According to the Hypocrite Hall, they are all federal criminals.

>And all those are for running illegal power? <<

You must have missed it..... here it is again: Oh, just count the number of letters they send out to hammies for violations.

>Really?
<<

One more time:
"Oh, just count the number of letters they send out to hammies for violations."

>Read the subject line, illegal power was the subject here twistieboy or did you forget?
<<

You changed the topic several times..

>To further comment that some hams do run illegal power, <<

<snip>

No need. What you say means nothing. You are blinded by rage....sorta like Lelnad KC8LDO.

1541. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 12:57:10 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAD6226.4CA5@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140318

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> Or how about this little hypocrisy, Dave?
> -
> "I agree with your assessment and attitude WRT the CB radio situation.
> Human nature, such that it is, tells us that if you give someone an
> attitude, they will likely not pay much attention to what it is you're
> trying to say, good or bad. "
>
> >Yea so? And your point is?
> <
>
> Self-contradictory. You claimed it gave you pleasure just the other day.
> You're on such a downhill spiral that even us lowly cbers feel sorry
> for.
>
> >What did I claim pleasure for?<
>
> Taking delight in anothers dilemma.
> Now that's sick and antisocial.

No, let's clarify this once and for all. I enjoy yanking *YOUR* chain
and watching *YOU* writhe like a fish on a hook. I do not treat other
people with the same distain, simply because they act appropriately,
with courtesy and a modicum of decorum (Another phrase to add to your
growing list of "vernacular").

Time's running short. I am just about finished with kicking the punch
clown for now. So you'd better say something meaningful (if you can) in
the next day. Come Friday, I will return to ignoring you, until I feel
the need to kick the punch clown again.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1542. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 13:03:08 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <zoWdnbsACa8R_jCgXTWcpg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140319

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:Xi5r9.16$xx.3424394@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> If he bores you so, why do you respond?
>
> Landshark

Same reason you respond to me.

1543. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 13:06:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DAD644A-20@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140321

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
What is you definition of "some"? Is it the same as the FCC's? According to them the numbers are in the thousands.

>Even if there were 7000 hams running power over the legal limit, <<

Glad you checked. Never mind that my figures were accurate.....go on.

>You still haven't pointed to any sources of this FCC claim that hams by the thousands are running power over the legal limit.
<<

I never made the claim. Read the post s-l-o-w-l-y. And do try not to misattribute, if you can manage, old chap.

>Did this come to you in one of your drug induced dreams twistie? <<

What did I say that upset you so that you would make you so desperate as to keep trying to imply something personal with me? Is it that I shined a light on "Aaron" naming Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO, Dogie Adair N8WWM, Frank Gilliland, and socialistic Hypocrite (Dave) Hall as his personal posse of trouble destined to cause malice on rec.radio cb?
Hmmmm.....inquiring minds.....don't give a damn!!

>that is only approximately 1% of the licensed
hams. Whereas 100% of
all 'freebanders' are running illegal power
<<

Seer malady.....exclusive to the rec.radio.cb trouble posse named by "arron" of N8WWM Dogie Adair, Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO, socialistic Hypocrite Hall, and Frank Gilliland.

>Whatsamatter twiesieboy, can't handle the truth that all freebanders are running illegal power?
<<
(chuckle) That's rich. Your shallow and limited mind somehow equates your meaningless opinion with "Aaron's" post where he named the rec.radio.cb trouble-causing of posse KC8LDO Lelnad C. Scott, Frank Gilliland, N8WWM Dogie Adair, and socialistic Hypocrite (Dave) Hall. I think you're just angry that you were not consulted before being named....gotta love that Aaron guy, huh?

>as 'freebanders' are not legally authorized to run any power on the so called freeband frequencies.
<<

Not true. FCC says anyone can run any power any where they want in a true emergency. Besides, I never claimed differently. Learn to speak for yourself and think for yourself and stop being a follower of socialistic Hypocrite Hall's troubled posse that lies and misattributes things to those that make a point or express a view they disagree with.

>I guess
<<

Stop guessing, man. You embarrass yourself further with each hazard.

>all of your and the other transmissions heard on this so-called 'freeband' are true emergencies,
<<

Never claimed that nor inferred it. You did just now, cause it's all you got. Poor old chap. Maybe an upgrade.....

>yea right!!
<<

More like, "Right on!!!!!" "Tin Fo' Gud Buddy?" LMAO!

1544. Hey, the truth will set you free...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 13:15:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DAD6654-21@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140325

>Another one bites the dust...<<
From: abuse@css.one.microsoft.com (MSN Hotmail Support)
Date: Wed, Oct 16, 2002, 9:03 am
To: twistedhed@webtv.net
Subject: RE: CST88006422ID
I appreciate your bringing this matter to our attention. I have closed
the account you reported in accordance with the Hotmail Terms of Use
(TOU). It is a strict violation of the TOU for our members to send
objectionable material of any kind or nature using our service.
You can view our rules and regulations at:
http://privacy.msn.com/tou/default.asp
Remember that MSN Hotmail also has comprehensive online help
available--just click "Help" in the upper right corner. Policy
Enforcement Account Manager
MSN Hotmail - http://www.hotmail.com/
--- Original Message ---
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
To: abuse@css.one.microsoft.com
--
Seeems like the rec.radio.cb trouble posse named by "arron" of Lelnad C.
Scott KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, Dogie Adair N8WWM, and socialist hammie
Dave Hall are having problems again obeying rules set forth.
Behavior problems are not exclusuive to children.......it's almost like
a sickness with these losers..

1545. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 13:21:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7727-3DAD67EB-11@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140327

From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
Don't forget Twistedhed's "natural law supercedes man's laws" excuse.
-A-
--
That's basic science. Most won't forget that. You can legislate all you want against gravity, it won't change the characteristics of it. Same with skip propagation on cb or anywhere else. Legislate away....doesn't change a thing. Most people are already aware that man's law can not supercede natures law......then we have your camp that practices KC8LDO Lelnad logic, where he teaches that logic is nothing more than a shared belief held by you fewls.....

1546. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 13:23:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DAD6855-22@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140326

That's how CB Myths get started.
-Aaron-

No, you were started by Frank Gilliland when he was getting his azz
slapped by real radio techs to save him further embarrassment.

1547. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 13:33:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DAD6A9A-23@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140324

N3CVJ wrote without thought:
>Ham's are, afterall, only human. Much of the same character flaws that make some CBer's bend or break the rules, are also present in many hams as well.
<<

So those hammies that don't ID on time are FEDERAL CRIMINALS...that is what you claimed, is it not?

>But the point is, what IS the point? Does the fact that *some* hams break the rules, make it ok for *some* Cbers to break the rules? That's hardly justification in my book.
<<

Your "book" is on revising and understanding socialism. Who drew an analogy of a cber claiming they broke rules because hammies did it? Oh, that was YOU...hahahahah! Excuse me, Hall, I almost thought you had a point to make.

>I only know what *I* run. <

Right. you don't know jack ship about what others run, but that doesn't stop you from accusing cbers of being illegal for their choice of topic or subject matter. Hell, you even know you did that, probably several hundred times even after you were taught the difference between real life and cartoons .

Dave
"Sandbagger"
Active Socialist

1548. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 13:42:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7727-3DAD6CC0-14@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140339

More lies from Dave Hall.
I don't denigrate other's achievments. A nocode license is NOT what I would exactly refer to as an achievement in the world of hammie radio.

N3CVJ wrote:
>There, you just denigrated it! You don't even >know it when you do it.

And you consider that a "lie" from me? Amazing. I clearly said what *I refer" to, perfectly illustrating my personal opinion which I have stated many times in the past concerning the nocode exam. Yet another shining illustration of your lack of reasoning or cognitave ability. Try to tell a socialist that he's nuts, and he'll swear that you're crazy.

>I'll let you in on a little secret: Those people >who made that first step, and passed the >no-code, don't give a rat's ass what you think >of it.

And they shouldn't.
It is an introductory, nothing more.

>Yes it is, and as such, passing the test >qualifies it as an achievement.

Maybe to people like yourself.
Here again is where your socialism rears it's ugly head. You are upset (evidenced by your sudden anglo-saxon terminology) because others do not hold the same reverance for that piece of paper that you do.....Dave, get over yourself already.

>Which, by the way, is more than a bunch of no >license, illegal freebanders can claim.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

No, it's not actually. We can claim over 100 DX countries, with cards to prove it, on HF.
Nocodes can't do that.
Go take a goodies powder....maybe check the classifieds today, Dave.

1549. Re: Interesting Post From alt.radio.pirate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 13:46:06 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016094606.27252.00001715@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 140328

your welcome

>Thanx for heads-up, Tim.

>
>-Aaron-
>

1550. Re: Interesting Post From alt.radio.pirate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 13:46:24 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016094624.27252.00001716@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 140329

shut up wierdo, it was a new post.

>Yawn, this has been posted before Dimmy a few weeks back Old news your
>trolling there.
>

1551. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 13:46:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DAD6DC3-24@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140340

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
"JJ" wrote:
Criminals always think their unlawful acts are somehow justified.
--
I presume you speak from experience, since you and Hall profess to know
so much how the criminal mind operates. Now why will that not come as a
surprise to the majority of this group?
--
Ah! The mythical "majority" again. Those silent people, who make no
comments, yet twistie seems to have the inside track on what they think.
You speak for no one but yourself.
Dave
"Sandbagger"

--
Ask them then Dave.......go on and ask the group how many agree with
your view of what constitutes a criminal.
This discussion is like the economy and as long as everyone sees I have
you in check, there isn't a problem.......like the economy, when all is
fine and in agreement, there is no noise. The group can read and make up
their own mind, they don't have to believe what you tell them they see.
Go ahead and make the claim that the majority here think like you do in
what constitutes a criminal,,,see who agrees with you.

1552. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 13:51:10 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016095110.27252.00001718@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 140330

jj, we can work split. i'll send on 27.500 and you send on 28.120

let me know when your ready and i'll see if i can hang. i'm good for at least
up to 15wpm

>
>I taught before and after restructuring moron. The ham radio club
>I was a member of sponsored the classes, I quit because I moved
>from the area. Care to join me in a little 20+ wpm cw twistieboy?
>Too bad you can't even pass the nocode test.
>
>
>
>
>
>

1553. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 13:53:12 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <xeer9.6372$L42.2498@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 140333

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DAD5421.5B28@worldlynx.net...
> Wow! Have I been appointed leader of the pack now? Gee, I *am* honored.
> Hell, I'll tear up my ham license, as this is a MUCH greater
> achievement. Sorry Aaron, I guess you just weren't good enough any more.
> So *I* get the red dish from now on........
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Oh supreme exhaulted one! (((BONG!)))

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

1554. Re: extra channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 13:53:26 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016095326.27252.00001719@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 140331

lots of good AM activity below channel 1

>Actually, when I was in my younger wilder days I had a AM only radio that
>had extra channels in it, so it can be done.
>But I would advise against it.
>
>73 de Keith

1555. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 13:54:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016095457.27252.00001721@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 140332

because they ID every ten minutes but you i'm still not sure about

>
>How do you know they're (contraction for they are, 'there' means
>like put it over there) licensed hams?

1556. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 13:58:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016095823.27252.00001723@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 140334

we were talking about 14.295. george is the only one who mentioned channel 6.
please learn to follow a thread.

>
>Can you read and comprehend at all dickieboy? Go back and read the
>previous posts. Since when did channel 6 become the 20 meter band?
>Did you ever have a brain? No wonder you can't find the 10 meter
>band, you think channel 6 is 20 meters. LOL!!!!!
>
>
>
>
>
>

1557. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 13:59:58 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7728-3DAD70DE-4@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140338

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
Dave Hall wrote:
>continue to equate the FEDERAL CRIME, of >illegal radio use (Which
>carries stiff fines and possible jail time)<<
(

Sigh) Are you drinking Dave? Not that I care, but it may shed some light on this subject that you fail to comprehend. You will find nowhere in the FCC that says it is a federal CRIME to operate with an amp or freeband. More bullshit from the mudmaster.....

>Is the FCC a FEDERAL agency?
>Was "Rabbit Ears'" case heard before a >FEDERAL court?
>Is "Rabbit Ears" spending the next 15 months >in a FEDERAL prison?
>Is "Rabbit Ears" paying the FEDERAL gov't a >nice check for $25,000?
>Sounds like a FEDERAL crime to me.....
>But I guess you still feel that "Rabbit Ears" is >not a "criminal".

Were we talking about Rabbit Ears? No.
We don't do what Rabbit Ears did. If you wish to make that analogy and keep comparing simple freebanding between 10 and 11 and some DXing to the antics of Rabbit Ears, then the same can be drawn from the clown hammie in California that got his kicks messing with the cops and emergency freqs to your indiscretions. As always,,,,,ludicrous, Hall simply ludicrous.

>Just to refresh your memory:
>http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/08/29/10>0/?nc=1
>That's hardly in the same vein as a speeding >ticket..
>with a "trivial" summary offense such as >speeding. <<

And that would have what remote connection to a simple freebander getting a warning letter for the first, second, maybe third time? And have you EVER found a cber guilty of the "Federal Crime" you say exists of DXing?
Go grab that goodies powder...your head is in terrible shape.

The ignorance is flowing from you today isn;t it? Speeding can directly kill an innocent and has done so in the past. Freebanding between 11 and 10 meters hasn't.

>A speeding ticket won't land you a $25,000 >fine or 15 months in jail either.

Neither will simple DXing and freebanding between 10 and 11. It will get you, at the most, a warning letter the first time.

Don't cause a problem and the letter is a given.

>And the federal gov't doesn't issue speeding >tickets either.
>Let's review now shall we boys and girls:
>Speeding ticket: Summary offence, usually >accompanied by a fine of not more than $150 >depending on the state, and just how fast you >were going.
>Illegal radio operation: A violation of federal >rules and regulations, which carry with it fines >into the thousands of dollars, and possible jail >time.
>Now, which would you rather have happen to >you?
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

How come all these hammies aren't in jail that receive notices each week? Why aren't they all paying fines? Because what you say simply doesn't take place, these people are not federal criminals, and a warning letter is always sent first. You failed (yet again) to mention that.

1558. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 14:00:02 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016100002.27252.00001725@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 140335

duhhhhhhh

tell us something we dont know. the only people obsessed with legalities is
you.

>
>Slice it and dice it anyway you want twistieboy, but EVERY
>'freebander' is operating illegally.
>

1559. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 14:00:55 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016100055.27252.00001727@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 140336

if we cared we wouldnt be there dumbass

>Tell me how you operate legally on the 'freeband'.
>
>

1560. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 14:01:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DAD711E-29@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140341

No Dave, it's not. When the majority are "busted", they receive a
warning. If it was criminal, it would go to court.

>You mean like "Rabbit Ears"?
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

You learn grassopper,,slowly, but you learn.

1561. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 14:08:02 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016100802.27252.00001730@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 140337

i've seen that station in cq. it sounded and looked like nothing more than
multi band "channel 6" type set up

>
>And all those are for running illegal power? Really? Read the
>subject line, illegal power was the subject here twistieboy or did
>you forget?
>
>To further comment that some hams do run illegal power, I once
>visited a 'contesting' station that consisted of an operating
>position for each HF band, each rig drove a linear that was
>capable of at least twice the legal power limit for the ham bands,
>and they were run full tilt during a contest (not that any of the
>operators would ever admit it). This setup belonged to a young
>bachelor who had more money than anything else. He purchased 5
>acres just outside of Dallas, Tx to build this contest setup. He
>had the house built with two dormitory rooms with beds for the
>contest operators to sleep in shifts so the station could be
>running 24 hrs during the contest. Last time I was there I counted
>11 towers, all antennas were fed with 3/4 in hard-line. Some
>towers had stacked beams fixed on certain parts of the world,
>others could be rotated. I saw a full sized 75 meter beam being
>assembled on the ground to go up on one of the towers.
>And some say that cbers with 12 alternators mounted in their truck
>to drive their big amps is going overboard. Hummmmm.
>
>
>
>
>
>

1562. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 14:10:58 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DAD7372-30@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140343

N3CVJ wrote:
>You have an overinflated view of your own >self-worth. That seems to go hand in hand >with what is slowly emerging as a narcissistic >personality disorder.

Back to posts talking of nothing and making personal remarks, eh? True to form...it really adds to that status you keep trying to garner respect for.

>Here's some "lite" reading for anyone that >needs a little background. See if it fits our >resident sociopath:
>http://www.mentalhealth.com/dis1/p21-pe07.ht>ml

You appear quite familiar with the field. How long have you been in treatment?
Are you allowed to work?

>is that I'm not afraid to stand behind my words, <<

Right. You deny them,

>Of course, I deny the distorted meanings that >you construct from snippets of my posts taken >out of context. You should work for the >democratic party. You're as slippery as the >best of them.

More division. At a time when the country needs to put petty political domestic issues aside more than ever and pull together, we have blooming algae like you that would prefer to further divide our united efforts. Socialist Dave Hall, N3CVJ.

then must be taken by the hand and shown you indeed made the remarks, then claim you forgot.

>I'm not as self-absorbed as you are, and >remember each and every post, out of the >thousands I have made, in the last 8 years.

We can read, Dave. This underestimation of cbers you hold has embarrassed you many times.

>The real joke, that you still don't get: I *AM* a >CBer!

You are the real joke, Dave, I just haven't decide to reveal all the punch lines yet or to let you in on them.

>no matter how many times you twist, distort or >take them out of >context. <<

More lies. I presented your text unedited and untouched.

>Now THAT is a lie. You continually edit posts >when it suits your purpose.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Your text was presented unedited and in it's entirety for the world to enjoy your deceit.

1563. Re: WTB: The True story on the D&A Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 14:25:41 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016102541.27252.00001734@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 140342

get lost you snitch ass rat bastard. go back to being the mole

>
>
>I was wondering if anyone could help me out here...
>
>I have wondered for years, does anyone know the *true* story behind
>the D&A amps?
>
>I heard there's a picture floating around on the 'net' of the two
>builders on those amps? does anyone know where one could go look at
>this?
>
>I wonder if anyone has a website devoted to this story... Heck, I
>could be convinced to but one up, if anyone is interested... could be
>info site with pictures, if i could get in contact with the original
>builders, I'd even include pictures from the old days... anyone
>interested in this?
>
>
>were they really built in scottsbluff, AZ?
>
>E-mail it if you like... or post it in here, would make for some
>interesting reading...
>
>73
>
>k8cpachuck@yahoo.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1564. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 14:43:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DAD7B06-38@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140353

N3CVJ Socialist Dave HAll wrote:
>According to the American Heritage dictionary, >the definition of
"spying" is as follows:
>1. To observe secretly with hostile intent.
>2. To catch sight of.
>3. To investigate intensively.

I can't utilize sophomoric tools in my work. I prefer Webster's Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language...the definitve informative master dictionary preferred by scholars everywhere. According to my dictionary, the term has 12 definitions. AS usual you only offer only half-truths, your biased version. The facts are, what you have done is also considered spying.
To wit: to search for or examine one closely without their knowledge; the gathering of secret or personal information.

>Now, which of these definitions fits what I did? <

This is the same comprehensive problem where you can't seem to grasp more than 2 reasons why cbers wouldn't be interested in getting their hammie ticket. You had to actually look up the word to realize the meaning of the word and you can only offer
3 definitions of the word so since you haven't been educated on the rest, they must not exist. Speak of narcissistic.

>When the skip finally fades out, and you're left >listening to such wonderful people such as Big >Bird, King Kong, Big John, and Starface, you >might want to listen a little more.....

Those the guys stepping on you that gave you a hard-on for cbers who express an opposing view?

  Why would you gather that info at all?

>To prove a point. Why do you shoot skip? Just >pick up the phone.

Skip is free. Your analogy sucks.

That is spying as presented in your unedited post.

>In your context,<

The context wasn't mine, it was yours. I merely pointed it out.

>Incorrectly as usual. <

If it's incorrect, it will satnd on it's own and needs not your willful defense.

>I could accuse you of spying for all the google >excerpts that you put >together on me.

Hhahaha...yea, you COULD do that, truth be damned as usual when emanating from the trouble possse, only I don't have to "put together" anything on you Dave,,you do quite fine yourself,,,,I just merely point it out.

>Which is EXACTLY what I did over the air, an >act for which you accused me of spying. So, if >by your definition, I am guilty of "spying", then >you are equally as guilty, for all of your >"googles", which, took far more effort, on your >part, to obtain, then my causual listening.

First of all, it wasn't MY definition, it was Websters. Secondly, your lie that exposed you was emailed to me, along with several others. Doing what I do, I indeed verified it before presenting it here in it's unedited originality and self-contradictory form.

Again, I gather nothing. If someone comes at me, I can usually defend myself with the truth. All I need do is point out the trash you put forth.The truth is something that you,, Lelnad KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland and Dogie Adair N8WWM have demonstrated you can not handle.

>I have no problem with the truth. But the truth is something that alludes you.
<<

Hall,, you have made many untruths in this thread. I have made not one.

You just can't get over the fact that most hammies see you as a sore spot,

>Here you go again speaking for "most >"something"". Name the hams that >think I'm a "sore spot.

Nah......I'll let you worry about that. When I receive something in my email and it is of good intention, I am a man of honor and always keep my word. If I am asked to keep the strictest of confidence, I do. If that upsets a proven liar like yourself, I think I can somehow summon the inner strength needed to carry on. It is why I asked your permission to post an email concerning you before doing so, I would not do such a thing or betray a friend or my radio services.

but again, I'm just a lowly cber and my opinion means squat compared to your exalted and elevated imaginary status that comes by sole virtue of a ticket that demands and commands respect.

>Something for which you do not have what it >takes to achieve.
>Go ahead, prove me wrong. Get your ham >icket. You don't have to use it. But if it is such >a "no big deal" as you claim, then shut us up >once and for all, and prove you're more than a >babbling idiot.

Dave, for the last time, not everyone shares your limited reasoning of why all cbers do not get a ticket. I cant make it any plainer, it's just that something will not allow your gray matter to comprehend there is

more than your views alone to matters on Earth.

>I find it somewhat frightening that you >remember a post that I made
>two years ago.

I didn't remember it....it was sent....by another hammie that sees you
for who you are and knows your type quite well.

>Any ham that would run to you with the >USENET equivilent of yellow
journalism, is not >anyone for whom I would hold in high esteem.

It was to show you lied, and hopefully send you a message from an allie,
not a foe. You are damaging not only the status you try to command by
virtue of your ticket, but you were at one time supposed to set an
example...not call those that you do not agree with federal criminals,
hurl insults, and make posts about nameless and faceless cbers that are
nothing more than something to fill your need to spew personal venom
from which you admitted taking pleasure in.
You're a sorry azz example for a hammie N3CVJ, but then again, it's why
you were named by Aaron Voobner as a member of the rec.radio.cb hate
posse of yourself, Frank gilliland, Lelnad KC8LDO, and Dogie Adair
N8WWM.

Frankly, what you find
"frightening" is no surprise.....we merely put that in with the
ridiculous context of that which you consider "criminal" and your
credibility and intellect suddenly speaks for itself.

>And anyone, with an ounce of common sense, >and who is also not morally

Again, your limited definitions and socialistic views nullify whatever
it is you were trying to convey concerning morals.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
Practicing Socialist

1565. Re: Interesting Post From alt.radio.pirate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 14:49:15 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <hw6dnabtVffx4TCgXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140344

Lol You wanna bet it wasnt' a new post and has been posted a few weeks ago.
I am the weirdo because your a doper who's mind is fried. OK Dimmy

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021016094624.27252.00001716@mb-mj.aol.com...
> shut up wierdo, it was a new post.
>
> >Yawn, this has been posted before Dimmy a few weeks back Old news your
> >trolling there.
> >
>
>
>
>

1566. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 14:51:35 UTC
From: George <George@gaygeorge.com>
Message-ID: <3dad7bd0.66866328@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 140345

On 15 Oct 2002 16:26:25 -0700, richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
wrote:

>"Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote in message
news:<PpudnUEIuNi3HDagXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>...
>> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:20021014171118.723$aX@newsreader.com...
>>
>> > That's a really lame attempt at sounding like n8,georgie girl. At least
>> > Doug has some wit and origonality,when he slings that homo shit.
>> >
>> > You need the home study course,or see if you can get someone to email you
>> > the answers..again.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Funny part is I never mentioned anything remotley close to anal sex or dick
>> or what have you one of your wayward souls had it on his mind i guess. I
>> just respond in kind the way they do remember I hate people who are
>> hypocrites Its ok for them then it is ok for me.
>
>Oh yes you have, Georgie-girl! It's what you are obsessed about! Read
>your own posts, Georgie-girl.

You also noticed that? He gives female names to some of the guys
here. Probably some of that latent homosexuality starting to show
itself. He's a fluffie.

1567. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 14:56:03 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <5LqcnWPuMq-e4zCgXTWc2Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140346

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021016095823.27252.00001723@mb-mj.aol.com...
> we were talking about 14.295. george is the only one who mentioned channel
6.
> please learn to follow a thread.

Isn't this the frequency you have previously said is where alot of Big time
Super bowl operators hang? So if Illegal power is being run here it is by
the same Illegal citizens who use Illegal power on Channel 6 why would that
suprise you, you do drugs, and probably sell them as well so why would a
few trivial radio laws be of concern to you. Just as those same guys who use
channel 6 could care less about following FCC laws on any band they use.
Toll Free,comes to mind, as well as Jay and a few others who have licenses
yet are Hi power Cb guys as well.

1568. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 14:57:43 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <aTydnS-3UP714zCgXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140347

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021016095110.27252.00001718@mb-mj.aol.com...
> jj, we can work split. i'll send on 27.500 and you send on 28.120
>
> let me know when your ready and i'll see if i can hang. i'm good for at
least
> up to 15wpm

Tim, your computer is good for 15wpm, Ill make a bet you couldnt copy 5wpm
with out the use of your computer.

1569. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 14:58:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DAD7E94-40@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140352

Taking delight in anothers dilemma.
Now that's sick and antisocial.

>No, let's clarify this once and for all. <

You already did. Now that you had a drunk night of sleep you think you are going to come back here and explain it away?
Ever stop to wonder why it is that you must constantly be trying to defend and explain your posts, especially since you profess "not to care??

>I enjoy yanking *YOUR* chain <

The "Who" doesn't matter, Dave, just like the "why" doesn't matter when you are always frittering away at trying to explain and give reason and justification for your behavior.
The mere notion that you derive pleasure from trolling a cb board and "yanking" the "chain" of someone you have no idea who you are attempting but failing to argue with is much more troubling to the hammie radio community as a whole than any point you are trying to make with a faceless, nameless cber I mean, good God, look at yourself and what you are doing...hahahahha!. At least have the good sense not to present yourself as a representative of hammie radio when going such things, it's poor for the image. Perhaps you don't even give a damn about the hobby, let alone yourself.

>I do not treat other people with the same >distain, simply because they act appropriately,

You are not the judge in what is proper. Matter of fact, you have proved you are so far removed from what is the majority that you are seriosuly out of touch...you can't even see it.

>with courtesy and a modicum of decorum >(Another phrase to add to your growing list of >"vernacular").

Oh, your insults are becoming so....so.......sophomoric.

>Time's running short.<

Well, when you have time, run and see the whopper lie you just told and see
how I treated you vs how you treated me when I enetered the room. Look who began huring insults and not practicing an ounce of "decorum" .

> I am just about finished with kicking the punch >clown for now. So you'd better say something >meaningful (if you can) in the next day. Come >Friday, I will return to ignoring you, until I feel >the need to

kick the punch clown again.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Well, I am glad you got that off your chest. It must pain you to keep such hostilities bottled up inside like that. Perhaps you should think about spending more time with your daughter instead of posting with your alias all weekend.
You don't experience this aggression outside this ng do you, Hall?

1570. Re: Hey, the truth will set you free...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 15:01:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dad7a39$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140348

IS "socialist Hammie" Dave Hall related to the deseased Gus Hall, leader of
the Commy party USA ? !
Dave!!

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:7725-3DAD6654-21@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>Another one bites the dust...<<
From: abuse@css.one.microsoft.com (MSN Hotmail Support)
Date: Wed, Oct 16, 2002, 9:03 am
To: twistedhed@webtv.net
Subject: RE: CST88006422ID
I appreciate your bringing this matter to our attention. I have closed
the account you reported in accordance with the Hotmail Terms of Use
(TOU). It is a strict violation of the TOU for our members to send
objectionable material of any kind or nature using our service.
You can view our rules and regulations at:
http://privacy.msn.com/tou/default.asp
Remember that MSN Hotmail also has comprehensive online help
available--just click "Help" in the upper right corner. Policy
Enforcement Account Manager
MSN Hotmail - http://www.hotmail.com/
--- Original Message ---
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
To: abuse@css.one.microsoft.com
--
Seeems like the rec.radio.cb trouble posse named by "arron" of Lelnad C.
Scott KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, Dogie Adair N8WWM, and socialist hammie
Dave Hall are having problems again obeying rules set forth.
Behavior problems are not exclusuive to children.......it's almost like
a sickness with these losers..

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1571. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 15:05:10 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Gefr9.4732$Nh5.305240229@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 140349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:194387a3.0210152318.7ee17d23@posting.google.com...
> witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
news:<3aed93cc.0210151536.1876fd29@posting.google.com>...
> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210140832.233ef469@posting.google.com>...
> > > This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich,
> >
> > We're tired of it already, "Aaron"... everyone here knows it's a fake.
> >
> > Guy
>
>
>
> If it's fake, why all the fuss whenever you see it?
>
> -Aaron-
>

LOL!!! I guess Aaron just doesn't learn. Go ahead, keep posting the same
thing, it will just get your account yanked
again..... LMAO!!!!

1572. Re: Aaron H Voobner (confused)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 15:05:10 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Gefr9.4733$Nh5.305240229@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 140350

"Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:194387a3.0210152319.63fa61bf@posting.google.com...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210151521.2689cc3c@posting.google.com>...
> > Aanal Aaron can say anything and nobody asks for proof,
> > but is someone else says something it's PROOF city! What a bunch of
> > losers.
> (snip)
>
>
>
> Poor Steve.
>
> -Aaron-

(Excessive BS snipped)

Actually poor Aaron, another account yanked due to his
excessive posting of a post as a sig file, LMAO!!!!!

Subject: Re: abuse [#984347]

Greetings,

Thank you for contacting Google.
We have received your report of possible Google Group abuse. Your issue is
under investigation. Due to the immense amount of email received daily,
you may not receive a further confirmation on this issue. If you would
like a follow up to this email, please reply within 2-4 days.

We appreciate the time you take to help Google keep the newsgroups as
abuse free as possible.

Sincerely,

The Google Groups Team

****

1573. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 15:07:39 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7727-3DAD80BB-26@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140354

From: wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM (Bert Craig)
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DAD5421.5B28@worldlynx.net...
Wow! Have I been appointed leader of the pack now? Gee, I *am* honored.
Hell, I'll tear up my ham license, as this is a MUCH greater
achievement. Sorry Aaron, I guess you just weren't good enough any more.
So *I* get the red dish from now on........
Dave
"Sandbagger"
-
>Oh supreme exhaulted one! (((BONG!)))
>--
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI

Only commies associate red with being the leader. "Arron" is the
self-proclaimed leader of the pack, he did the recruiting.

1574. is NASCAR really worth it, since they don't use.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 15:15:57 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3dad7dbb_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140351

CB's in their cars! Yep, those Whiskey runnin' road raged 4 wheelers don't even have CB's to communicate with their pits! So WHY watch Sterling Chrysler, Mope? ! They are everything the insurance industry hates! Dave!!!

1575. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 15:31:53 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAD8669.2AF8351D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140368

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> Oh, just count the number of letters they send out to hammies for
> violations. It's in the THOUSANDS. Don't ask me to do your homework,
> you'll be pegged as lazy as Lelnad. You're a hammie...you know where to
> get the info. According to the Hypocrite Hall, they are all federal
> criminals.
>
>
>
> >And all those are for running illegal power? <<
>
>
> You must have missed it..... here it is again: Oh, just count the
> number of letters they send out to hammies for violations.
>
> >Really?
> <<
>
> One more time:
> "Oh, just count the number of letters they send out to hammies for
> violations."
>
> >Read the subject line, illegal power was the subject here twistieboy
> or did you forget?
> <<
>
> You changed the topic several times..
>
> >To further comment that some hams do run illegal power, <<
>
> <snip>
>
> No need. What you say means nothing. You are blinded by rage....sorta
> like Lelnad KC8LDO.

The subject was hams running illegal power, you still ignore the
question. I will ask again, are all those violations issued to
hams for running illegal power? I think if you really check you
will find that few are. I guess if you can't back up your claims
just keep repeating them over and over and maybe someone will
believe you. You are blinded by ignorance, sorta like timmyboy.

1576. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 15:36:34 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAD8782.1E67FD8A@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140378

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> duhhhhhhh
>
> tell us something we dont know. the only people obsessed with legalities is
> you.
>
> >
> >Slice it and dice it anyway you want twistieboy, but EVERY
> >'freebander' is operating illegally.

And we all know you care nothing about legalities timmyboy
nebonehead, you think if something isn't being used it is yours
for the taking even if it doesn't belong to you.
When I operate I am legal. Why should anyone want to do otherwise
when it is so easy to be legal? Must be the criminal mentality at
work.

1577. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 15:46:24 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAD89D0.20F48F5B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140381

Skipp is here to tell the real story wrote:
>
> : Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> : I would also like to see his data supporting the allegation that "many"
> : (And what number qualifies as "many") hams run illegal power.
>
> One would not "see" the data unless you had test equipment set up... but
> one could easily hear the data. Try the 15 through 80 meter ham bands
> most any day. Listen for DX pile ups and contests, then the classic
> group of people jamming each other out. I can easily hear them most every
> day. A little common sense and a calibrated S-Meter are excellent
> indicators of excessive power operation.

And just how many rigs do you think have a calibrated s-meter?
Even if it is accurate on one band it won't be on other bands. You
need a lab grade receiver to have accurate s-meter calibration on
different bands.

Your s-meter is the least indicator of excessive power operation.
I have had stations running 100 watts pin my s-meter from great
distances.
>
> You have to be your own judge of what "many" is to a number of people.
> I'll throw out the wild-card number that more than 25 for a starter.
> Although I know first hand that number to be a very low estimate.
>
> : Since doubling power results in a signal improvement of only 3 db, it
> : would be difficult to identify a ham running illegal power until he was
> : running power that is way over the limit (15KW or more).
>
> Not true... even an S-Meter gives a relative indication of excessive
> power. Once your over the limit, your over the limit. Operation from a
> typical transceiver level of 100 watts plus 20 dB from an amplifier is a
> significant signal increase.

Band conditions can cause s-meter reading all over the place. A
station running 100 watts can pin your meter while another station
running 2000 watts may just slightly make it move. It all depends
on the skip and band conditions between you and the other
stations. The s-meter is only a relative indication, you can no
more tell how much power a station is running by the s-meter than
you can by just listening to the signal.
>
> : Most ham
> : amplifiers, while capable of running at twice legal power, would never

> : be able to support the power necessary to be noticed by casual
> : listeners. The few guys that go out of their way to obtain an amp
> : capable of 15 KW plus, are certainly in the minority, but I'm sure it
> : does happen.
>
> Most hams are not capable of running twice the legal power... a small
> percentage have large amplifiers which have 8 to 13 dB of gain. Many...
> ie as in a significant number build and operate amplifiers of >13 dB of
> gain. I guess the hundreds members of the list server group I frequent are
> "just a few." Web sites abound with pictures of these well built
> amplifiers. You guys haven't been it the real world for some time... get
> off the decafe..
>
> : Ham's are, afterall, only human. Much of the same character flaws that
> : make some CBer's bend or break the rules, are also present in many hams
> : as well.
>
> Building an amplifier for > +13 dB operation is legal, using it above the
> authorized limits is not. Both are done by many hams and cbers. If you
> guys want to act like it doesn't happen, thats your choice... but it
> doesn't hide the truth. Kind of like the US drug war.
>
> : But the point is, what IS the point? Does the fact that *some* hams
> : break the rules, make it ok for *some* Cbers to break the rules? That's
> : hardly justification in my book. I only know what *I* run. I don't run
> : any more than 120 watts on HF and no more than 200 on VHF.
> : Dave
> : "Sandbagger"
>
> Most of the people I know running large amplifiers, don't use them very
> much. Many hams and non hams take great pride in building large
> amplifiers at various frequencies. Some of the amps members build mega
> watt amplifiers for non amateur applications. All the topics are covered
> in these list server groups over time.
>
> Even the truth comes out if you can wade through the off topic BS.
>
> Many hams run large amplifiers for practical reasons, often to get over
> jammers and interference. Adjustment of one level /knob makes them
> legal...
>
> Life is uncertain, eat dessert first...
> skipp
>
> http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1578. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 15:48:54 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAD8A66.99313B57@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140382

Skipp wrote:

>
> All the above statements are easily verified, one does not have to be a
> ham or cb operator to do so. A little common sense home work is not hard
> to do.

Common sense isn't very common, especially on this group.

1579. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 16:04:49 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016120449.05105.00010728@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 140355

didnt they try that with stopping drugs too? what makes you think it would work better for cb radio or that bush would actually threaten our closest neighbor to the south over something so silly? your lifes view is kinda distorted as to the whole importance of 27 megs to the real world

>Bush tells Mecico no more monatary loans, at the expense of the US tax
>payer, like the $30B bailout Clinton gave them, if they don't clean it
>up. I'll bet the band down there will go dead almost overnight.

1580. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 16:09:12 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016120912.05105.00010729@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 140356

bert i didnt know you liked to hit the bong too :)))

>Oh supreme exhaulted one! (((BONG!)))
>
>--
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI

1581. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 16:13:29 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016121329.05105.00010730@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 140357

this comming from the guy who considers an old post by somebody else about some unprovable shit to be solid proof lol

>
>Hey, it's your right to post your subjective opinions, but subjective
>opinions are not my definition of "real answers". That's why I didn't
>offer a subjective opinion in this case. Instead, my advice was to
>seek more reliable sources. If the question is centered around "what
>many hams do", then the "real answer" should come from sources that
>better represent the mainstream majority of many hams. Not from "some
>guy named skipp who says he's a ham and that hams run illegal high
>power all the time". That's how CB Myths get started.
>
>-Aaron-
>
>
>
>
>
>

1582. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 16:16:36 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016121636.05105.00010731@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 140358

no the point is since your more of a ham then you are a cb'ers you should be on your ham newsgroups bothering them about what some of them do if your going to be here bothering us about what only some do since its the same thing both are doing.

>But the point is, what IS the point? Does the fact that *some* hams
>break the rules, make it ok for *some* Cbers to break the rules? That's
>hardly justification in my book. I only know what *I* run. I don't run
>any more than 120 watts on HF and no more than 200 on VHF.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

1583. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 16:23:04 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <LCudnYFVG6r0DzCgXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140359

"George" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message
news:3dad7bd0.66866328@news.easynews.com...
> You also noticed that? He gives female names to some of the guys
> here. Probably some of that latent homosexuality starting to show
> itself. He's a fluffie.

What are some of the female names have I given. You sure got the lingo
down for that stuff huh mr. beck LOL

1584. Washington DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 16:38:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016123801.05105.00010734@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 140360

http://members.aol.com/timnebo/washingtondx.jpg

1585. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 16:41:59 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAD96D7.6ED2@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140370

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> More lies from Dave Hall.
> I don't denigrate other's achievments. A nocode license is NOT what I
> would exactly refer to as an achievement in the world of hammie radio.
>
> N3CVJ wrote:
> >There, you just denigrated it! You don't even >know it when you do it.
>
> And you consider that a "lie" from me? Amazing. I clearly said what *I
> refer" to, perfectly illustrating my personal opinion which I have
> stated many times in the past concerning the nocode exam. Yet another
> shining illustration of your lack of reasoning or cognitave ability.....

...which underscores the double standard by which you are seemingly unaware of. The same criteria, by which I present "what *I* refer to" in reference to any topic, brands me a "liar" in your book, yet when the table is turned on you, you hide behind "It's only my opinion". Which is it then? Are we both liars, are are we both just stating our opinions?

> Try to tell a socialist that he's nuts, and he'll swear that you're
> crazy.

Try being a little more original. I see that you took a fancy to the term "sophomoric" which I used a few posts back, and are now using it quite regularly. I can see that you are, if nothing else, broadening your vocabulary. Now if we could only work on those reasoning skills.....

> >Yes it is, and as such, passing the test >qualifies it as an
> achievement.
>
> Maybe to people like yourself.
> Here again is where your socialism rears it's ugly head.

While we're on the subject:

Socialism, n. "A social system, in which the means of producing and distributing goods are owned collectively and political power is exercised by the whole community."

Now, what part of that applies to anything even remotely related to anything that I've said?

I guess you feel that anyone who believes in law and order, and does not selectively break laws is somehow a socialist?

Maybe if your parents had taken some cat-o-nine tails across your sorry butt, when you were a misbehaving child instead of adopting the neo-liberal-hippie style of allowing children to "express themselves", even when those actions were bad, you might have a greater respect for doing things the proper way, and you wouldn't be so anti-social.

> You are upset

Hardly. You still don't get it do you? You, my punch clown friend, are my entertainment. As of Friday, I will be done with you. Unless, of course, you actually have a coherent thought, and decide to approach a subject rationally, instead of confrontationally.

> >Which, by the way, is more than a bunch of no >license, illegal
> freebanders can claim.
> >Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
>
> No, it's not actually. We can claim over 100 DX countries, with cards to
> prove it, on HF.
> Nocodes can't do that.

You make the erroneous assumption that DX is the be all, and end all, of ham radio, or radio in general. Personally, I find the pursuit of DX to be pointless and boring, and conducive to interference, a flash point for hostilities, and generally shows the "bad" side of radio operations.

No one will be happier than I will be, when cycle 23 is in the can, and CB can return to normalcy.

No code hams can legally build their own equipment, own and operate repeaters, operate on many modes, including modern digital modes. And if they get the itch to talk farther than a hundred or so miles, there are always band openings on VHF and UHF bands. No code hams can use any ham band above 30 Mhz, with full operating privileges. That's a heck of a lot more than you can do on the freeband, no matter how much you disdain it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1586. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 16:42:12 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016124212.05105.00010736@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 140361

my computer is good for 80wpm

>
>Tim, your computer is good for 15wpm, Ill make a bet you couldnt copy 5wpm
>with out the use of your computer.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1587. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 16:44:06 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016124406.05105.00010737@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 140362

>Isn't this the frequency you have previously said is where alot of Big time
>Super bowl operators hang?

yeap and they do

>so why would a
>few trivial radio laws be of concern to you

there not

>Toll Free,comes to mind, as well as Jay and a few others who have licenses
>yet are Hi power Cb guys as well

i think toll is a no code and jay doesnt fool with channel 6 as best i know

1588. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 16:44:26 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAD976A.69C5@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140372

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> "JJ" wrote:
> Criminals always think their unlawful acts are somehow justified.
> --
> I presume you speak from experience, since you and Hall profess to know
> so much how the criminal mind operates. Now why will that not come as a
> surprise to the majority of this group?
> --
> Ah! The mythical "majority" again. Those silent people, who make no
> comments, yet twistie seems to have the inside track on what they think.
> You speak for no one but yourself.
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
> --
> Ask them then Dave.......go on and ask the group how many agree with
> your view of what constitutes a criminal.

I don't have to ask anyone. They're all big boys and girls, who freely offer up their opinions, when they feel motivated to do so. I don't see them jumping to your defense either. More people understand your er... problem, than you seem to think.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1589. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 16:50:09 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016125009.05105.00010739@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 140363

interchangable aaron wrote:So how does that make it OK for you to break the rules?

why do you always anser for frank and him you?

1590. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 16:52:41 UTC
From: Skipp <nothanksforspam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aok5gp$n88$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140364

: Aaron H. Voobner <nothisrealname@fakeEmailtype.yep> wrote:
: Skipp knows Aaron is not his real name ie fake o <nothanksonthespamissue@hotmail.com>
wrote in message news:<aoi3nd$50r$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>...
:> I guess that sums up everyone in the rec.radio.cb not a one honest,
:> common sense, amateur, cb'er in the group... right..? You get real
:> answers by asking questions and going through all the answers.

: Hey, it's your right to post your subjective opinions, but subjective
: opinions are not my definition of "real answers". That's why I didn't
: offer a subjective opinion in this case.

My opinions are based on actual experience... I use supporting
statments or facts and supply them when requested or required. It's very
simple for any person to verify the truth. Or... as you post, anyone can
put their head in the sand and waste a lot of time reading magazines for
skewed fcc citation notices.

: Instead, my advice was to
: seek more reliable sources. If the question is centered around "what
: many hams do",

Didn't we see "the majority of hams" in your last posts... kinda' backing
up with your stories now... ah... a revision history type. I think we
know...

: then the "real answer" should come from sources that
: better represent the mainstream majority of many hams. Not from "some
: guy named skipp who says he's a ham and that hams run illegal high
: power all the time". That's how CB Myths get started.
: -Aaron-

First off, anyone with a receiver and common sense could hear and figure
out the answers pretty quick. I referenced the popular hf bands as a
practical answer... you provide nothing but off topic poop and
diversions in your answers. People have to waste a lot of time to get
through your diatribe.

Being an amatuer radio or cb operator is not a requirement to know the
facts. It also has nothing to do with being a myths as I can and do
support what I write. You have a serious credibility issue when you
don't even use your real name, some joke of a fake Aaron name instead.

I know a heck of a lot of hams and cb operators... I'd be willing to
throw out the statement that a relatively small percentage actually have
first hand knowledge of actual high powered amplifier operations... both
in actual use and how to build or operate them. But there are many hams
that do... the original post asked about "many," but you seem divert

off topic so much.

Facts:

There are many CB'ers and Hams running large amplifiers.

There are many Hams and CB'ers that run power in excess of the legal limit. (the original topic question..)

Many Hams run over the authorized limit without knowing their legal amplifiers do said when driven with common off the shelf amatuer radios.

Many CB'ers run amplifiers, operation in the US is not legal for class D.

There are adjacent non Class D CB FCC licensed allocations which allow operation using 10 dB+ (compared to CB 5 watt level) power levels. Those licenses can be easily referenced at the www.fcc.gov web site. There are many allocations for high powered operations within the 26 to 50 Mhz range...

Many Amateurs run power in excess of the legal limit, some just above... some in gross excess.

The Amateurs or CB'ers who are most often cited by the fcc; draw attention to their operations with poor on-air practice. That might be technical or personality issues.

All the above statements are easily verified, one does not have to be a ham or cb operator to do so. A little common sense home work is not hard to do. It is not a requirement that you research only amateur radio operator opinions. Most hams do not run large amplifiers as a general rule, there are many that do.

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1591. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 16:58:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAD9ABA.7CF7@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140371

Twistedhed wrote:

> >Is the FCC a FEDERAL agency?
> >Was "Rabbit Ears'" case heard before a >FEDERAL court?
> >Is "Rabbit Ears" spending the next 15 months >in a FEDERAL prison?
> >Is "Rabbit Ears" paying the FEDERAL gov't a >nice check for $25,000?
> >Sounds like a FEDERAL crime to me.....
> >But I guess you still feel that "Rabbit Ears" is >not a "criminal".
>
> Were we talking about Rabbit Ears? No.

Yes. I was. He's a shining example of an outlaw mentality gone horribly wrong. You might be a few pegs up the ladder from him, but you're just as guilty of breaking federal law.

> We don't do what Rabbit Ears did.

Who is "we"? Are you speaking for all radio outlaws again here? I guess "Rabbit ears" didn't hear you.

> If you wish
> to make that analogy and keep comparing simple freebanding between 10
> and 11 and some DXing to the antics of Rabbit Ears, then the same can be
> drawn from the clown hammie in California that got his kicks messing
> with the cops and emergency freqs....

All guilty of breaking federal law.

> ....to your indiscretions.

Which are?

> And that would have what remote connection to a simple freebander
> getting a warning letter for the first, second, maybe third time?
> And have you EVER found a cber guilty of the "Federal Crime" you say
> exists of DXing?

Still living by the old "it's only illegal if I'm caught" mentality. By that logic, that sniper, who's terrorizing the mid-atlantic area, will not technically be a "criminal" until we finally catch him eh?

> The ignorance is flowing from you today isn;t it? Speeding can directly
> kill an innocent and has done so in the past. Freebanding between 11 and
> 10 meters hasn't.

And the former CEO's of companies like Enron and IMclone will be getting fines and jail time for "only" falsifying company accounts and misstating earnings. No one died here either. So much for your

(il)logic.

>
> >A speeding ticket won't land you a $25,000 >fine or 15 months in jail
> either.
>
> Neither will simple DXing and freebanding between 10 and 11. It will get
> you, at the most, a warning letter the first time.
> Don't cause a problem and the letter is a given.

And if you continue to operate illegally after the "warning", what do
you think will eventually happen? "Rabbit Ears" got a "warning" too. Too
bad he, like you, doesn't take the law seriously.
>
> >And the federal gov't doesn't issue speeding >tickets either.
> >Let's review now shall we boys and girls:
> >Speeding ticket: Summary offence, usually >accompanied by a fine of not
> more than $150 >depending on the state, and just how fast you >were
> going.
> >Illegal radio operation: A violation of federal >rules and regulations,
> which carry with it fines >into the thousands of dollars, and possible
> jail >time.
> >Now, which would you rather have happen to >you?
> >Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
>
> How come all these hammies aren't in jail that receive notices each
> week? Why aren't they all paying fines? Because what you say simply
> doesn't take place, these people are not federal criminals, and a
> warning letter is always sent first. You failed (yet again) to mention
> that.

And your whole position is predicated (another word for your vocabulary)
on the fact that you are free to ignore the law, until you get cited
because the first offense is usually a warning. After the "warning" do
you now take the law seriously, or do you continue in your irresponsible
defiance?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1592. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 17:11:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAD9DA4.69B2@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140375

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> N3CVJ wrote:
> >You have an overinflated view of your own >self-worth. That seems to go
> hand in hand >with what is slowly emerging as a narcissistic
> >personality disorder.
>
> Back to posts talking of nothing and making personal remarks, eh?

It helps to gather some insight on why a person with such a warped sense
of reality, such as yourself, thinks the way they do, and why they feel
that they are right, even when they are in contrast to the values of
society.

>
> >Here's some "lite" reading for anyone that >needs a little background.
> See if it fits our >resident sociopath:
> >http://www.mentalhealth.com/dis1/p21-pe07.ht>ml
>
> You appear quite familiar with the field.

Somewhat. It's only another "hobby" for me. But studying people with
strange mental ailments has always fascinated me. Which would explain
why I give you more attention than you truly deserve. It's fun to set
you up, and watch you predictably walk the path. Some of us have started
betting on what you will actually say. So far, I'm in the lead.......
This is more fun than office gossip. With the economy the way it is,
there's not much good news on that front.

> >is that I'm not afraid to stand behind my words, <<
>
> Right. You deny them,

I clarify them, and put them back into their original context.

>
> >Of course, I deny the distorted meanings that >you construct from
> snippets of my posts taken >out of context. You should work for the
> >democratic party. You're as slippery as the >best of them.
>
> More division. At a time when the country needs to put petty political
> domestic issues aside more than ever and pull together, we have blooming
> algae like you that would prefer to further divide our united efforts.

Tell that to the democrats, who attempt to undermine and derail any and
all efforts toward presenting a united front in our crusade against

terrorists, and those who aid and harbor them, and who put their own political agendas before the good of the country. But don't start talking about politics. Whith your distorted view of the world of radio, I'm sure your political "insight" would be just as nihilistic.

> >The real joke, that you still don't get: I *AM* a >CBer!
>
> You are the real joke, Dave, I just haven't decide to reveal all the
> punch lines yet or to let you in on them.

Well, you'd better do it soon. I'm putting you back in your cage on Friday.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1593. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 17:12:08 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAD9DE8.593C@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140376

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM (Bert Craig)
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3DAD5421.5B28@worldlynx.net...
> Wow! Have I been appointed leader of the pack now? Gee, I *am* honored.
> Hell, I'll tear up my ham license, as this is a MUCH greater
> achievement. Sorry Aaron, I guess you just weren't good enough any more.
> So *I* get the red dish from now on........
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> -
> >Oh supreme exhaulted one! (((BONG!)))
> >--
> >73 de Bert
> >WA2SI
>
> Only commies associate red with being the leader. "Arron" is the
> self-proclaimed leader of the pack, he did the recruiting.

Rats! And I thought I had actually "achieved" something.......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1594. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 17:21:26 UTC
From: Skipp is here to tell the real story <nospamatthistime@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aok76m$n88$2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140365

: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
: I would also like to see his data supporting the allegation that "many"
: (And what number qualifies as "many") hams run illegal power.

One would not "see" the data unless you had test equipment set up... but one could easily hear the data. Try the 15 through 80 meter ham bands most any day. Listen for DX pile ups and contests, then the classic group of people jamming each other out. I can easily hear them most every day. A little common sense and a calibrated S-Meter are excellent indicators of excessive power operation.

You have to be your own judge of what "many" is to a number of people. I'll throw out the wild-card number that more than 25 for a starter. Although I know first hand that number to be a very low estimate.

: Since doubling power results in a signal improvement of only 3 db, it
: would be difficult to identify a ham running illegal power until he was
: running power that is way over the limit (15KW or more).

Not true... even an S-Meter gives a relative indication of excessive power. Once your over the limit, your over the limit. Operation from a typical transceiver level of 100 watts plus 20 dB from an amplifier is a significant signal increase.

: Most ham
: amplifiers, while capable of running at twice legal power, would never
: be able to support the power necessary to be noticed by casual
: listeners. The few guys that go out of their way to obtain an amp
: capable of 15 KW plus, are certainly in the minority, but I'm sure it
: does happen.

Most hams are not capable of running twice the legal power... a small percentage have large amplifiers which have 8 to 13 dB of gain. Many... ie as in a significant number build and operate amplifiers of >13 dB of gain. I guess the hundreds members of the list server group I frequent are "just a few." Web sites abound with pictures of these well built amplifiers. You guys haven't been it the real world for some time... get off the decafe..

: Ham's are, afterall, only human. Much of the same character flaws that
: make some CBer's bend or break the rules, are also present in many hams
: as well.

Building an amplifier for > +13 dB operation is legal, using it above the authorized limits is not. Both are done by many hams and cbers. If you guys want to act like it doesn't happen, thats your choice... but it doesn't hide the truth. Kind of like the US drug war.

: But the point is, what IS the point? Does the fact that *some* hams
: break the rules, make it ok for *some* Cbers to break the rules? That's
: hardly justification in my book. I only know what *I* run. I don't run
: any more than 120 watts on HF and no more than 200 on VHF.
: Dave
: "Sandbagger"

Most of the people I know running large amplifiers, don't use them very much. Many hams and non hams take great pride in building large amplifiers at various frequencies. Some of the amps members build mega watt amplifiers for non amateur applications. All the topics are covered in these list server groups over time.

Even the truth comes out if you can wade through the off topic BS.

Many hams run large amplifiers for practical reasons, often to get over jammers and interference. Adjustment of one level /knob makes them legal...

Life is uncertain, eat dessert first...
skipp

http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1595. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 17:23:36 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DADA098.2F63@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140373

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> N3CVJ Socialist Dave HAll wrote:
> >According to the American Heritage dictionary, >the definition of
> "spying" is as follows:
> >1. To observe secretly with hostile intent.
> >2. To catch sight of.
> >3. To investigate intensively.
>
> I can't utilize sophomoric tools in my work.

You really *like* that word. It fits you too. If you'd like, I can arrange to have a gold leaf embossed certificate, autographed by me, with that word on it just for you.

> I prefer Webster's
> Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language...the
> definitve informative master dictionary preferred by scholars
> everywhere.

The old "My dictionary is better than yours". That may play in the elementary schoolyard, but it doesn't wash here.

No more than you attempting to discredit the data widely accepted on solar cycle 23, as being wrong according to a source that no one (but you) uses.

> According to my dictionary, the term has 12 definitions. AS
> usual you only offer only half-truths, your biased version. The facts
> are, what you have done is also considered spying.
> To wit: to search for or examine one closely without their knowledge;
> the gathering of secret or personal information.

I did neither. I "gathered" nothing, nor examined closely.

>
> >Now, which of these definitions fits what I did? <
>
> This is the same comprehensive problem where you can't seem to grasp
> more than 2 reasons why cbers wouldn't be interested in getting their
> hammie ticket.

How many reasons are there then? You either don't want one, or can't get one. Not being able to get one is obvious enough. But not wanting one, when you enjoy a radio hobby, indicates a personal or political issue. It is not logical to not avail yourself of all available options, if you truly enjoy a radio hobby.

>
> >When the skip finally fades out, and you're left >listening to such
> wonderful people such as Big >Bird, King Kong, Big John, and Starface,
> you >might want to listen a little more.....
>
>
> Those the guys stepping on you that gave you a hard-on for cbers who
> express an opposing view?

No one steps on me. Most of those types are too stupid to figure out the ways around their own kind. Besides, they're YOUR neighbors, not mine.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1596. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 17:28:41 UTC
From: George is a twinkie <George@gaygeorge.com>
Message-ID: <3dada0ea.76364105@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 140366

On Wed, 16 Oct 2002 12:23:04 -0400, "Welfare Check"
<Check@demonseed.comPton> wrote:

>
>
>"George" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message
>news:3dad7bd0.66866328@news.easynews.com...
>> You also noticed that? He gives female names to some of the guys
>> here. Probably some of that latent homosexuality starting to show
>> itself. He's a fluffie.
>
>What are some of the female names have I given. You sure got the lingo
>down for that stuff huh mr. beck LOL
>

How about Markie Beckie, or Randy Chapwoman?

Your a twinkie George admit it.

1597. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 17:29:48 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <TO2dnY3av_uQPzCgXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140367

"Skipp is here to tell the real story" <nospamatthistime@hotmail.com> wrote
in message news:aok76m$n88

> Not true... even an S-Meter gives a relative indication of excessive
> power. Once your over the limit, your over the limit. Operation from a
> typical transceiver level of 100 watts plus 20 dB from an amplifier is a
> significant signal increase.

Skipp how can you say by listening if it is a beam antenna or a amplifier
providing the 10-20 db signal increase? The ear cannot discern such small
db changes

If you read a thread in this NG they say someone running 4 watts in DX land
can over power a local also running the same 4 watts because of "conditions"
perhaps you can either debunk that myth as well.

1598. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 17:34:29 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DADA325.2CCB@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140374

Twistedhed wrote:

>
> >No, let's clarify this once and for all. <
>
> >I enjoy yanking *YOUR* chain <
>
> The "Who" doesn't matter, Dave,

Yes it does. There are some people in life with a "kick me" sign banded on their forehead. You are one of those people.

>
> The mere notion that you derive pleasure from trolling a cb board and
> "yanking" the "chain" of someone

Not "someone", YOU.

> you have no idea who you are attempting
> but failing to argue with

I have a fairly good idea of what I am dealing with based on your own difficult to conceil personality disorders. The fact that you respond so predictably, to programmed stimulous, just further validates my diagnosis.

>
> >I do not treat other people with the same >distain, simply because they
> act appropriately,
>
> You are not the judge in what is proper. Matter of fact, you have proved
> you are so far removed from what is the majority that you are seriosuly
> out of touch.

According to you. People in mental hospitals think they're normal too. I was hoping you'd start to see that, but you're still deep in da' river 'nile.

> >with courtesy and a modicum of decorum >(Another phrase to add to your
> growing list of >"vernacular").
>
> Oh, your insults are becoming so....so.......sophomoric.

Give me the word, and I'll send you the personalized certificate, with "Sophomoric" in gold leaf.

>
> >Time's running short.<

>
> Well, when you have time, run and see the whopper lie you just told and
> see
> how I treated you vs how you treated me when I enetered the room. Look
> who began huring insults and not practicing an ounce of "decorum" .

I don't expect you to agree. But it was you who adopted a condescending
tone. I respond in kind.

>
> > I am just about finished with kicking the punch >clown for now. So
> you'd better say something >meaningful (if you can) in the next day.
> Come >Friday, I will return to ignoring you, until I feel >the need to
> kick the punch clown again.
> >Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
>
> Well, I am glad you got that off your chest. It must pain you to keep
> such hostilities bottled up inside like that. Perhaps you should think
> about spending more time with your daughter instead of posting with your
> alias all weekend.

You will note that I am absent over the weekend. I spend most of my
leisure time with the people who I care the most about. You are merely a
distraction from a busy work day.......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1599. Re: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 17:34:59 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <4-qdnW4bf-PYPjCgXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140369

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message

> >Toll Free,comes to mind, as well as Jay and a few others who have licenses
> >yet are Hi power Cb guys as well
>
> i think toll is a no code and jay doesnt fool with channel 6 as best i know

Tim, did I say Jay or toll talked on super bowl? Does hi power mean just channel 6? I don't think so. You skip the more important parts of posts and reply to the after thoughts. Concentrate on the jist of the subject Tim. You point out that 14.295 has alot of hi power hams there, well those guys are just the same hi power Law Breakers from channel 6, as I asked you If you break one law what makes you think you will abide by others.

1600. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 17:36:25 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016133625.08122.00001640@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 140377

yes, that goes for the back of your 18 wheeler too

>
>If two men are standing in the forest and there's no women around to hear
>them, are they still wrong?
>

1601. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 17:39:38 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <fOGcnRzu8JrGOTCgXTWc3A@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140379

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021016124212.05105.00010736@mb-fn.aol.com...
> my computer is good for 80wpm
>
> >
> >Tim, your computer is good for 15wpm, Ill make a bet you couldnt copy
5wpm
> >with out the use of your computer.

Tim, I am sure it is all of em are the point being made since your always to stoned to see what that is, as you try to focus on the less important part of peoples post You can't copy it yourself freehand you need the use of your computer hence your computer is good for the 15 wpm. As you always sidestep the original question I willing to bet you can't copy 5wpm and yet you harass Leland and Doug and the other no code guys. Whats stopping you? I say take the test and show us your skills. You dont have to actually be a participating ham after you get your license so save that excuse, and who knows the Law enforcement in your area couldnt bug you cause your now a ham. How about it

1602. Re: Info needed on building a homemade 40f to 60ft antenna tower or antenna mast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 17:40:59 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DADA4AB.3A7B44E7@cwis.net>
Source record: 140380

DJ,
Try 'Rohn', or 'U.S. Towers'. That ought to give
you some ideas. If you can find one of the older
ARRL Handbooks, they used to have stuff in there too.
'Doc

1603. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 17:56:15 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016135615.08122.00001642@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 140383

if you and your friend are 15 miles apart and both are running only 4 watts ans putting s4 on each other and a 4 watt signal from dx land comes in and puts a higher than s4 signal then you would have just experienced first hand what your asking him to explain but since your stupid you wouldnt realize what was going on even if it did happen

>
>If you read a thread in this NG they say someone running 4 watts in DX land
>can over power a local also running the same 4 watts because of "conditions"
>perhaps you can either debunk that myth as well.
>
>

1604. 10khz switch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 17:57:39 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021016135739.24688.00010619@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 140384

Can some one tell me how to install the 10khz switch and modify
my Realistic 440 bsae radio for the extra channels

any help welcome

1605. Re: 10khz switch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 18:11:54 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021016141154.14666.00002009@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 140385

gosh will someone get this guy out of the NS, dang somebody help the poor man!!!! i've never seen someone so desperate to get a TRC-440 (that i've never even hear of before) tuned up!

Thatz-me-JP

1606. Look EEI Srewing people as Pacetronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 18:22:09 UTC
From: eei911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021016142209.04382.00002339@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 140386

www.exportradios.com

1607. (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 18:37:18 UTC
From: Anonymous User <anonymous@remailer.havenco.com>
Message-ID: <f21825fb764677400d5a1dec5ea1c29e@remailer.havenco.com>
Source record: 140387

Subject: message for all

Fury over a message board is not really something new, but this time its serious. Formerly an advertising group under the label of Birkdale, the company leapt into the e-market along with the rest of the world, much to the post-boom chagrin of private investors.
This ire is common, but 10 Group has called the bluff of a regular platform for the venting of such anger: investment discussion boards where scurrilous musings, as well as genuine information, are commonplace. The company has identified 11 posters on the ADVFN.com message board as having posted defamatory comments and is pursuing legal action against them.
The companys bid is an intriguing one for it deals with both the lifeblood and weakness of the internet: the freedom of speech and information that can only be provided by its nature as a vehicle for apparently anonymous expression.
Shielded by an illusory cloak of invisibility, internet users feel they can say what they want, for better or for worse. It is an illusion of which, as internet journalists, we have to be constantly aware. But it is also the best of the internet since free expression, more often than not, produces free thinking and genuinely new ideas - as well as valuable connections between a diverse population of users.
However, the web has matured and its original status as the new CB Radio clearly no longer applies. Its proliferation and value is such that thoughts of free speech must be diluted by the sheer potential readership any post can generate Claire Swires difficulties teach us a valuable lesson.
The posters on ADVFN invariably discuss freely their thoughts about businesses, particularly e-businesses, proposing just the same opinions for which fund managers of major investment banks have also since been held to account.
However, problems arise when the less scrupulous use such boards for ulterior means. The fund managers of which we speak, as well as spread betters, can be well served by an unsupported posting - bad or good - that backs their specific share/bet position. Whether their efforts have any impact is neither clear nor particularly relevant, since as long the potential for impact exists, they must be stopped.
Many will find it easy to leap to the defence of the message board users being accused by 10 Group. But we ought to consider that slander and libel laws are there for a very good reason. They go to the heart of the tenet that a person - or business - is innocent until proven guilty. Its essentially to be hoped that the message board users are proven right - but the case should still be seen as a useful reminder of the power of the web.
Email us about this story
Copyright Pathgrade Ltd 2002

1608. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 18:42:23 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DADB30F.EB47491E@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140394

Twistedhed wrote:

>
> No, it's not actually. We can claim over 100 DX countries, with cards to
> prove it, on HF.

BFD, so can thousands of hams and on more than one band and all by
operating within the FCC rules. When the sunspot cycle shuts down
11 meters, they will still be working DX on the lower frequencies
while you will be stuck with talking to your good buddy illegal
ops across town.

> Nocodes can't do that.

So what? Do you think the only thing to operating is DX? There are
a lot of folks who care nothing about working DX. If the nocodes
want to work DX all they have to do is upgrade and then they can
do it same as you, only legally.
You really get hung up on this nocode stuff don't you twistieboy?
Even though you turn your nose up at this class of license, at
least the nocode holders do hold a license, something you can't
seem to achieve.

1609. Federal jursdiction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 19:03:45 UTC
From: george craig <geocraig@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <lKir9.24819$ue4.1675770@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 140388

go here www.williamcooper.com/federaljurisdiction.html

1610. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 20:01:36 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <8CudnSmDPcI8WDCgXTWc3g@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140389

"George is a twinkie" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message

> How about Markie Beckie, or Randy Chapwoman?

LOL I a twinkie LOL haha well at least I wasnt caught redhanded in alt.sex.ts You are pathetic to say the least markie Beck rotflmao you think that name is female what a hoot, lol and you also think the name randy Chapwoman makes it feminine, I guess no guy can have the last name chapwoman LOL Keep fishing you loser.

> Your a twinkie George admit it.

And your a asshole who is trying his damnest to make things up. make

1611. Re: Washington DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 20:07:13 UTC
From: real radios glow in the dark <4cx5000a@bigtubesrock.com>
Message-ID: <omhrqusrit64ssogcl382i6ncm0v8vmhf9@4ax.com>
Source record: 140390

On 16 Oct 2002 16:38:01 GMT, timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) was
blabbling:

...http://members.aol.com/timnebo/washingtondx.jpg

is that a legit picture or just something you created?

...

1612. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 20:28:41 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DADCBF9.A6B1732@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140407

Skipp say your getting closer to the facts wrote:
>
> : JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> : And just how many rigs do you think have a calibrated s-meter?
>
> Most Amatuer Radios have relative calibrated S-Meters... 50 or 100 uV
> equals S-9. The level of calibration is often mentioned in the manuals or
> technical spec page(s).

Maybe calibrated for one band, but unlikely it will hold for all
the bands the radios is capable of receiving.

>
> : Even if it is accurate on one band it won't be on other bands. You
> : need a lab grade receiver to have accurate s-meter calibration on
> : different bands.
>
> Not if its checked against a known reference. Ham clubs and technical
> group meetings often find one member bringing in a Communications Service
> Monitor to check radios and various home brew circuits. Preamps are a
> favorite project of the local weak signal group I know.

Very few if any off the shelf amateur receivers can maintain
s-meter accuracy on all bands.

>
> : Your s-meter is the least indicator of excessive power operation.
> : I have had stations running 100 watts pin my s-meter from great
> : distances.
>
> But if you set your set meter up correct, add some attenuation and compare
> it to a known signal, relative levels can be seen. It's not rocket
> science... a lot easier than you would imagine.
>
> Two stations in the same general location can be compared if the equipment
> of one station is known. One ham running full legal input to a good
> antenna from LA should be equal to or above an nearby ham. In the real
> world, you can easily see who's running the suds.

>
> An S-Meter is just a possible relative measuring tool the average joe
> could learn to use based on experience. Beats driving to the guys house
> with test equipment... especially when they are across the State...
>
> : Band conditions can cause s-meter reading all over the place. A
> : station running 100 watts can pin your meter while another station

> : running 2000 watts may just slightly make it move. It all depends
> : on the skip and band conditions between you and the other
>
> Add signal padding (attenuation) and compare two stations in the same
> general area. Over the average, you'll get an idea a station is up to.
> It's a night and day difference comparing 100 watts to 2000 watts. The
> difference is easily seen and heard.

Not always, I have heard stations go from 100 watts to 1000 and
hardly notice any difference in signal strength, but on the other
hand, I have had a station running low power go from a dipole to a
beam and the signal increase was dramatic. So just because you see
a big reading on your s-meter tells you nothing about the power
level the other station is running.

>
> : stations. The s-meter is only a relative indication, you can no
> : more tell how much power a station is running by the s-meter than
> : you can by just listening to the signal.
>
> But you can compare them to references... ie other known nearby stations.
> It's done all the time, I can cite the 40 meter band on the US West Coast
> as a great example...
>
> Even during band changes, I can easily hear (and see) stations at the 100
> watt level along with large-gas (high power) stations on the band. The
> difference in signal level is quite dramatic... I could also compare and
> estimate the power level of two same area stations when the known tells me
> he's at the legal limit into a dipole and the other station is +3 or +6 dB
> above that from across town of the refernce station. It doesn't take
> much magic to know the real story....
>
> One last thing, many high powered operators... both CB and Amatuer can't
> wait to tell you they are "running big gas." An extra 15 minutes
> listening in the receive mode will probably result in bragging operator
> syndrome.

Then why all this stuff about s-meter readings, just listen for
the bragging.

1613. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 20:32:41 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DADCCE9.6729EF88@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140408

Skipp wrote:
>
> : Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote:
> : if you and your friend are 15 miles apart and both are running only 4 watts ans
> : putting s4 on each other and a 4 watt signal from dx land comes in and puts a
> : higher than s4 signal then you would have just experienced first hand what your
> : asking him to explain but since your stupid you wouldnt realize what was going
> : on even if it did happen
>
> If you and your friend are talking to a few stations from the same DX Land
> location and one is running 4 watts into a beam, his friend across town
> (in DX land) is 10 dB louder, you can pretty easily guess that even with a
> high gain beam, the 10+ dB louder guy is probably running gas. If you
> reference the legal limit as 4 watts, you should be able to assume the guy
> in the same DX Land area with a +10 dB signal is running gas, well above
> the legal limit. If his friend across town tells you what antenna he's
> running, you could even make more accurate assumptions.
>
> Again, it's not rocket science... there are some requirements, they are
> experience, knowlege and some generalized assumptions. You get some
> of the mentioned by asking questions and reading the reply followup
> posts.

Easily guess...probably...accurate assumptions. You're right, it
isn't rocket science, it isn't even science. Using the s-metre is
pure conjecture. How can you have an accurate assumption? Isn't
that one of them epoxy morons?
>
> One must then sift through the Boobner and various Lapdog poop to read
> opinions and facts. Some answers are smoke and mirrors... some of it is
> good information. Be your own judge... don't march to the drum.

And trying to determine a transmitter's power level by looking at
your S-meter is smoke and mirrors.

1614. Re: Look EEI Srewing people as Pacetronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 20:33:29 UTC
From: eei911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021016163329.26413.00002275@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 140391

Look EEI has coaxkid.com too

1615. Re: Federal jursdiction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 20:38:09 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dadce19.2137021@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140392

In <lKir9.24819$ue4.1675770@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"george craig" <geocraig@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>go here www.williamcooper.com/federaljurisdiction.html

What's your point?

1616. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 20:39:57 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210161239.2afb852e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140393

Skipp nothanksforspam@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>Facts:

Really? "Facts"? OK.....

>There are many CB'ers and Hams running large amplifiers.

How many?10? 100? 1000? What are "large amplifiers". What power levels? 1500 watts, 15,000 watts? What is your source for this data? What was the method of collecting data? What frequencies were they using? What were the ham callsigns being used? (These are "facts" right? Then you'll have the data handy)

>There are many Hams and CB'ers that run power in excess of the legal
>limit. (the original topic question..)

How many hams? How many CBers? 10? 100? 1000? What was the method of collecting this data? Were power levels over 1500 W PEP personally measured by you? What was the instrumentation used? What was the method of collecting this data? What are the geographic locations represented by your data?

>Many Hams run over the authorized limit without knowing their legal
>amplifiers do said when driven with common off the shelf amatuer
>radios.

How many hams? 10? 10,000? 100,000? What was the method of collecting this data? What were the measurements? How many ran 20% over the authorized limit vs how many ran 100% over the authorized limit? Who did the measurements? How were the measurements verified? What were the callsigns of the hams who participated in the measurements?

>Many CB'ers run amplifiers, operation in the US is not legal for
class D.

Thanks, but everyone knows that amps on CB are illegal. Since you know the number of hams who exceed the legal limit, do you also have similar estimates of illegal operation for unlicensed CB'ers? 100? 1000? 100,000?

>There are adjacent non Class D CB FCC licensed allocations
>which allow
>operation using 10 dB+ (compared to CB 5 watt level) power levels.
>Those licenses can be easily referenced at the www.fcc.gov web site.
>There are many allocations for high powered operations within the 26
>to 50 Mhz range...

If this data is in front of you it should be simple for you to post a sampling of the licensees in that segment and their relative power levels. If your data is correct, it certainly isn't a justification for unlicensed CB'ers using amplifiers. And what does this have to do with hams running in excess of the legal limit?

>Many Amateurs run power in excess of the legal limit, some just above...
>some in gross excess.

How many hams were measured? What were the power levels by percentage? Did you personally make the measurements? What instrumentation did you use to make the measurements? What were typical power levels per 100 hams observed? How much power is "slightly" over the limit? 1600 watts PEP? How much power is "in excess"? 2500 watts PEP? How much power is on "gross excess"? 5000 watss PEP? How many ran "slightly over the limit"? How many ran "in excess"? How many ran in "gross excess"? What conditions were these measurements made under? What parts of the country were these measurements made in? What states? What bands? What time of day? What were the test conditions? (These are "facts" right? You have this data available for verification, yes?)

>The Amateurs or CB'ers who are most often cited by the fcc;
>draw attention to their operations with poor on-air practice.
>That might be technical or personality issues.

How many were cited for "technical" vs. "personality" issues? If you have the data in front of you, this should be an easy question to answer.

>All the above statements are easily verified, one does not have to
>be ham or cb operator to do so. A little common sense home work is not
>hard to do.

You haven't done any verification whatsoever, Skipp. You've merely dispensed a bunch of unsupported generalities as "facts". You have no data except your own subjective opinions informed by your own rather limited observations. Those are not facts. That's just "some guy named skipp in a CB newsgroup said so". LOL. That's how CB Myths get born.

-Aaron-

1617. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 21:04:33 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016170433.08132.00001999@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 140395

george if you consider yourself being worth me proving anything about myself to thats a joke in and of itself. i was hitting a str8 key when i was 12 just for the fun of it.

>
>Tim, I am sure it is all of em are the point being made since your always to
>stoned to see what that is, as you try to focus on the less important part
>of peoples post You can't copy it yourself freehand you need the use of your
>computer hence your computer is good for the 15 wpm. As you always sidestep
>the original question I willing to bet you can't copy 5wpm and yet you
>harass Leland and Doug and the other no code guys. Whats stopping you? I say
>take the test and show us your skills. You dont have to actually be a
>participating ham after you get your license so save that excuse, and who
>knows the Law enforcement in your area couldnt bug you cause your now a ham.
>How about it
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1618. Re: 10khz switch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 21:05:11 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016170511.08132.00002000@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 140396

find a radio shop!!!

>
>
>
>Can some one tell me how to install the 10khz switch and modify
>my Realistic 440 bsae radio for the extra channels
>
>any help welcome
>
>
>
>
>
>

1619. Re: Look EEI Srewing people as Pacetronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 21:05:40 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016170540.08132.00002001@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 140397

the bought it along time ago

>Look EEI has coaxkid.com too

1620. Re: Washington DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 21:09:29 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016170929.08132.00002003@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 140398

if i told you you might snitch so go play with doug

>
>...http://members.aol.com/timnebo/washingtondx.jpg
>
>
>is that a legit picture or just something you created?
>
>
>...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1621. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 21:21:01 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DADD83D.A65FF368@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140421

Boobner is running scared wrote:

> Hams in excess of the number 200 that I have first hand knowlege of. Many
> are good friends of mine spread through out the world. CB'ers..? Oh, I'd
> be willing to say more than... 200 just for your answer. Hope that's
> enough to make you happy. Various methods and experience were used to
> base my statements. A calibrated S-meter is one easily reproduced method
> to make a comparison. My transceiver has one as most do...

You're sure it is still in calibration? And it is accurate on all
bands? If so, you have an exceptional receiver.

>
> Power levels well in excess of 2000 watts were clearly demonstrated to
> many of us in a well known web group. I've mentioned it before right on
> rec.radio.cb
>
> These people chime in from all over the world...
>
> :>Many Hams run over the authorized limit without knowing their legal
> :>amplifiers do said when driven with common off the shelf amatuer
> :>radios.
>
> : How many hams? 10? 10,000? 100,000? What was the method of collecting
> : this data? What were the measurements? How many ran 20% over the
> : authorized limit vs how many ran 100% over the authorized limit? Who
> : did the measurements? How were the measurements verified? What were
> : the callsigns of the hams who participated in the measurements?
>
> Enough of your poop, I'm done with your stupid follow up post questions.
> You go round and round with your head buried somewhere. Opinions vary
> about the location of your head.

He is asking you to verify what you claim with some believable
numbers. Can you?

>
> I would refernce the Amateur Magazine QST for examples, there are often
> enforcement bullitins from the FCC posted at various web locations. Do you
> own homework and quit wasting our time.

Ah, that's it, put the onus on someone else to prove or disprove
YOUR claims.

1622. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 21:23:55 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DADD8EB.FFF569C7@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140422

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> haha sounds like this reporter has bene listening to the 2 meter repeaters.
> "breaker breaker, i'm destinated to the home qth, 73 old man"
>
> >Subject: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

The article sure didn't say anything about counting on the illegal
'freebanders' to aid in emergency communication.

1623. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 21:24:19 UTC
From: Skipp say your getting closer to the facts <getajobshananana@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aokle3$6lq$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140399

: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
: And just how many rigs do you think have a calibrated s-meter?

Most Amatuer Radios have relative calibrated S-Meters... 50 or 100 uV equals S-9. The level of calibration is often mentioned in the manuals or technical spec page(s).

: Even if it is accurate on one band it won't be on other bands. You
: need a lab grade receiver to have accurate s-meter calibration on
: different bands.

Not if its checked against a known reference. Ham clubs and technical group meetings often find one member bringing in a Communications Service Monitor to check radios and various home brew circuits. Preamps are a favorite project of the local weak signal group I know.

: Your s-meter is the least indicator of excessive power operation.
: I have had stations running 100 watts pin my s-meter from great
: distances.

But if you set your set meter up correct, add some attenuation and compare it to a known signal, relative levels can be seen. It's not rocket science... a lot easier than you would imagine.

Two stations in the same general location can be compared if the equipment of one station is known. One ham running full legal input to a good antenna from LA should be equal to or above an nearby ham. In the real world, you can easily see who's running the suds.

An S-Meter is just a possible relative measuring tool the average joe could learn to use based on experience. Beats driving to the guys house with test equipment... especially when they are across the State...

: Band conditions can cause s-meter reading all over the place. A
: station running 100 watts can pin your meter while another station
: running 2000 watts may just slightly make it move. It all depends
: on the skip and band conditions between you and the other

Add signal padding (attenuation) and compare two stations in the same general area. Over the average, you'll get an idea a station is up to. It's a night and day difference comparing 100 watts to 2000 watts. The difference is easily seen and heard. Band conditions are not ultra critical to averaged relative S-Meter measurements.

: stations. The s-meter is only a relative indication, you can no
: more tell how much power a station is running by the s-meter than
: you can by just listening to the signal.

But you can compare them to references... ie other known nearby stations. It's done all the time, I can cite the 40 meter band on the US West Coast as a great example...

Even during band changes, I can easily hear (and see) stations at the 100 watt level along with large-gas (high power) stations on the band. The difference in signal level is quite dramatic... I could also compare and estimate the power level of two same area stations when the known tells me he's at the legal limit into a dipole and the other station is +3 or +6 dB above that from across town of the refernce station. It doesn't take much magic to know the real story....

One last thing, many high powered operators... both CB and Amatuer can't wait to tell you they are "running big gas." An extra 15 minutes listening in the receive mode will probably result in bragging operator syndrome.

cheers
skipp

http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1624. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 21:24:43 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uqrmc9qpgp0qbe@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 140400

"Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com> wrote in message
news:iqadnYS2EcMOyTagXTWc2Q@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:uqmed27c1jc762@corp.supernews.com...
>
> > Stop looking in the mirror when you reply to postings.. There is no
> > Jack-Asses on this end, just someone with more than enough intelligence
> and
> > thought process to put you to shame...
> >
> > PH
>
> Really in what way? Cb mods LOL
>
I'd get into it, but unfortunately, it's over your head...

It looks like you have been poking around the google groups searching for me... My, do I feel special... Found my website on a previous newsgroup posting I see... It's always nice to have someone from Comcast poking around my site... Unfortunately, I don't recall what Comcast proxy you came through... Was it New Jersey maybe? I guess I'll just have to keep a closer eye on the page visits... Stop by again, the traffic is great!

PH

1625. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 21:26:54 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uqrmgcaue85fec@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 140401

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021014174449.369$HN@newsreader.com...
> Oh boy..he's under you skin too.
>
Not really... Shit doesn't bother me all that much... After all, he's a
Shit eating Hemphead... What's not to like about that? LOL

PH

1626. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 21:40:32 UTC
From: Skipp says debunk myth time <getajobsongoldies@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aokmcg$6lq$2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140402

: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.compton> wrote:

That's pretty funny... demonseed.compton... I need a chuckle once in a while.

: Skipp how can you say by listening if it is a beam antenna or a amplifier
: providing the 10-20 db signal increase? The ear cannot discern such small
: db changes

In the amateur world, most hams will say what type of antenna they have in operation. It is uncommon to run beam antennas on 160, 40 and 80 meters from physical size. Both CB'ers and Hams dont often have a problem telling you what type of antenna they are using.

Again, compared to a known... a reference power in the same general area with a known antenna, the math is pretty straight forward.

I was not using my ear for small signal changes... but I know a station running dipole and the legal limit should not be -6 dB from another operator across town using similar antennas. A little common sense works with experience and an S-Meter. Real test equipment is even better...

: If you read a thread in this NG they say someone running 4 watts in DX land
: can over power a local also running the same 4 watts because of "conditions"
: perhaps you can either debunk that myth as well.

I don't know who "they" are... but if you go back and look at my statements to see "average" and relative comparisons.

If two stations in "DX Land" talk to you from the same relative location, one running 4 watts and another running 400 watts. Unless there is some real wildcard in the mix, the 400 watt signal will be +10dB louder. Even with the 4 watt signal through a beam, the 400 watt signal will probably be louder from the same location.

So you can easily hear and see the 400 watt station is in excess of the 4 watt level.

Now expand that to the amateur bands where similar location stations operate, one or more at the legal limit (or a known power level), the compared station on the average is +6 dB above that in signal and he tells you he's running a dipole and a homebrew amplifier. Average the conversation over time and you'll get to know who's naughty and who's nice. The home brew amplifier is probably an easy +3 dB above the legal limit station... Often they might tell you the tube(s) in operation... you can make your own judgements from that point.

chow for now
skipp

http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1627. Re: WTB: The True story on the D&A Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 21:49:26 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3DADDEE6.599AB343@lucent.com>
Source record: 140404

real radios glow in the dark wrote:
>
> I was wondering if anyone could help me out here...
>
> I have wondered for years, does anyone know the *true* story behind
> the D&A amps?
>
> I heard there's a picture floating around on the 'net' of the two
> builders on those amps? does anyone know where one could go look at
> this?

Look at the full page and 2 page National Radio Institute
(NRI) adds in old Popular Electronics and Electronics
Illustrated magazines from the 60's and 70's. (might be in
some old issues of Mechanics Illustrated also)

One of them was posed standing in front of his D&A truck as
a perfect example of an NRI graduate success story!

> I wonder if anyone has a website devoted to this story... Heck, I
> could be convinced to but one up, if anyone is interested... could be
> info site with pictures, if i could get in contact with the original
> builders,

One is dead, not sure of the other...

> I'd even include pictures from the old days... anyone
> interested in this?
>
> were they really built in scottsbluff, AZ?

Right town, wrong state.

If you are looking for background information on them, I
would suggest you start by contacting NRI (if they still
exist).

Regards,

Dennis O.

1628. FS: 3 Pearce Simpson Guardan's- Base Rigs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 21:53:32 UTC
From: boydl <boydl@cox.net>
Message-ID: <wdlr9.46390$Pa.637724@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 140403

I have 3 Pearce Simpson Guardan 23 for sale. All 3 work.
Have 2 power cords and 1 hand mic. They need to be cleaned
up. They have been sitting in the closet for years. One of them
will clean up and look NEW, it came from a Chevy Dealer and
was used when the Part's runner was chasing parts. I checked
them and they all work, transmit & receive.
Also have 2 mobile brackets.

$75.00 plus shipping.

Reply to: boydL@cox.net

1629. Re: 10khz switch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 21:54:47 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021016175447.20834.00001884@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 140405

haha.....this is not a radio shop, i wish this dude would stop!

Thatz-me-JP

1630. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 21:57:32 UTC
From: Skipp <nospamthankyou@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aokncc$6lq$3@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140406

: Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote:
: if you and your friend are 15 miles apart and both are running only 4 watts ans
: putting s4 on each other and a 4 watt signal from dx land comes in and puts a
: higher than s4 signal then you would have just experienced first hand what your
: asking him to explain but since your stupid you wouldnt realize what was going
: on even if it did happen

If you and your friend are talking to a few stations from the same DX Land location and one is running 4 watts into a beam, his friend across town (in DX land) is 10 dB louder, you can pretty easily guess that even with a high gain beam, the 10+ dB louder guy is probably running gas. If you reference the legal limit as 4 watts, you should be able to assume the guy in the same DX Land area with a +10 dB signal is running gas, well above the legal limit. If his friend across town tells you what antenna he's running, you could even make more accurate assumptions.

Again, it's not rocket science... there are some requirements, they are experience, knowlege and some generalized assumptions. You get some of the mentioned by asking questions and reading the reply followup posts.

One must then sift through the Boobner and various Lapdog poop to read opinions and facts. Some answers are smoke and mirrors... some of it is good information. Be your own judge... don't march to the drum.

cheers
skipp

1631. Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 22:20:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14580-3DADE631-116@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140412

This was taken from the online edition of the San Gabriel Valley Tribune
at
http://www.SGVTribune.com

Amateur ham radio operators last link in chain
By Jason Kosareff, Staff Writer
Ira Bornstein is at the center of a communications system that could be
the only link between Los Angeles County communities ripped apart by a
major disaster.
It's not e-mail, nor cellular phone technology, nor even satellite
television.
Breaker, breaker it's amateur ham radio.
This last line of communication if all other forms are wiped out in a
disaster is manned by volunteers.
"They're a group of amateur radio operators," said Bornstein, a Los
Angeles County sheriff's deputy. "They donate their time and equipment
for portable use."
About 2,000 volunteers make up the county's Disaster Communications
Service forming a formidable network of amateur radio operators who can
mobilize in the event of a major disaster to coordinate firefighters and
law enforcement.
And that's just to back up the massive communications system already in
place.
"Back in the 1950s, they truly were a backup," Bornstein said. "The
sheriff's radio system could fail."
But times have changed and the system has expanded with radio signal
repeaters from Mount Disappointment above Altadena to the canyons of
Malibu six in all.
"This radio system the sheriff has is monumental," Bornstein said. "I
have faith in this system."
Unlike New York, where city firefighters had radio repeaters atop the
World Trade Towers, Los Angeles has its communications network spread
out, making it a difficult target.
Repeaters bounce a radio signal from its source to its target.
"That's how we get such distances from these small, hand-held radios,"
Bornstein said.
When the towers were coming down, warnings to firefighters didn't make
it to their handheld radios, since the mechanism for transmitting the
signal was crumbling with the buildings.
New York's system worked for a five-alarm fire, but not when the city
infrastructure itself was destroyed.
Things are different in Los Angeles County.
"One of the problems I saw with New York was their emergency operations
center was in one of the buildings that was hit," said Steve Grudzinski,

a volunteer radio operator from Hacienda Heights.
Grudzinski, deputy chief communications officer for DCS, coordinates the volunteers who would spring into action by showing up at local police and fire stations to act as message carriers using their ham radios.
"Our service supplements the communications of the rest of the system," he said. "It's difficult for the fire department to talk to the sheriff's department."
Grudzinski's crew of amateur radio operators has been called out on emergencies, such as a blacked-out hospital in Glendale.
In that case, DCS amateur radio operators were used to communicate between floors of the hospital, carrying messages that would have otherwise been transmitted by telephone.
Amateur radio operators often use their devices to call in fires and other emergencies, acting as many extra sets of eyes for law enforcement and fire authorities, Bornstein said.
The Red Cross also has a network of amateur ham radio operators that coordinate with DCS radio operators in a disaster response plan fostered by both organizations.
Red Cross radio operators would direct the traffic of aid to mass casualties or disaster scenes, said Dave Heywood, a ham radio operator with the Rio Hondo chapter of the Red Cross.
"There will be somebody at a shelter, or shelters, there's radios on vehicles delivering food and other resources," said Heywood, of Whittier. "Then you have your damage assessment team, which could be from one team to thousands."
-- Jason Kosareff can be reached at (626) 962-8811, Ext. 2717, or by e-mail at jason.kosareff@sgvn.com.
----------As the public-at-large say, "Breaker breaker, this is amateur radio!"

1632. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 22:34:46 UTC
From: Boobner is running scared <fakeguyboobner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aokpi6$6lq$4@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140409

: Aaron H. Voobner <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote:
:>Facts:
: Really? "Facts"? OK.....
:>There are many CB'ers and Hams running large amplifiers.

: How many?10? 100? 1000? What are "large amplifiers". What power
: levels? 1500 watts, 15,000 watts? What is your source for this data?

I would venture to say in excess of 100, probably large multiples of that that number.

What is large amplifier to me is not what is a large amplifier to others. The original question (before you went off topic) related to the legal Amateur Amplifer limit. Many of the current legal consumer made Amateur Amplifiers will exceed the allowed limit with the drive from many stock tranceivers. So the fact is that much factory produced equipment will output power well above the legal limit as sold new.

I even introduce the fact that there are groups of people who build really large amplifiers. Some operate them within legal parameters, some do not.

The source for the CB data is found by turning on a receiver to the CB and freeband range... say 26.5 to 28.0 Mhz.

The ham stuff I mentioned is from first hand knowledge... one can find much of it on the web by searching through references I mentioned in early posts. One can find and verify the facts by using a simple receiver, some common sense and experience. I would also suggest they not listen to your BS.

: What was the method of collecting data? What frequencies were they
: using? What were the ham callsigns being used? (These are "facts"
: right? Then you'll have the data handy)

Getting a little thin for you mr boobner..? Your grasping at nothing before you dance and sing about my not posting specific answers to your stupid post statements above. I hope the original post person can get by your head in the sand (and BS) reply to be his/or her own judge.

:>There are many Hams and CB'ers that run power in excess of the legal
:>limit. (the original topic question..)

: How many hams? How many CBers? 10? 100? 1000? What was the method of
: collecting this data? Were power levels over 1500 W PEP personally
: measured by you? What was the instrumentation used? What was the
: method of collecting this data? What are the geographic locations
: represented by your data?

Oh... lets see here... specific answers to your stupid questions at least one time.

Hams in excess of the number 200 that I have first hand knowlege of. Many are good friends of mine spread through out the world. CB'ers..? Oh, I'd be willing to say more than... 200 just for your answer. Hope that's enough to make you happy. Various methods and experience were used to base my statements. A calibrated S-meter is one easily reproduced method to make a comparison. My transceiver has one as most do...

Power levels well in excess of 2000 watts were clearly demonstrated to many of us in a well known web group. I've mentioned it before right on rec.radio.cb

These people chime in from all over the world...

:>Many Hams run over the authorized limit without knowing their legal
:>amplifiers do said when driven with common off the shelf amatuer
:>radios.

: How many hams? 10? 10,000? 100,000? What was the method of collecting
: this data? What were the measurements? How many ran 20% over the
: authorized limit vs how many ran 100% over the authorized limit? Who
: did the measurements? How were the measurements verified? What were
: the callsigns of the hams who participated in the measurements?

Enough of your poop, I'm done with your stupid follow up post questions. You go round and round with your head buried somewhere. Opinions vary about the location of your head.

:>Many CB'ers run amplifiers, operation in the US is not legal for
: class D.

: Thanks, but everyone knows that amps on CB are illegal. Since you know
: the number of hams who exceed the legal limit, do you also have
: similar estimates of illegal operation for unlicensed CB'ers? 100?
: 1000? 100,000?

In specific, in the US for class D CB. The original post was about Amateurs... get your own CB numbers by turning on a receiver... Let me be generous and say there are more than 1000 illegal CB stations throughout the world. If you care to dispute that, give us your data, method of collecting said... etc, etc.

:>There are adjacent non Class D CB FCC licensed allocations
:>which allow
:>operation using 10 dB+ (compared to CB 5 watt level) power levels.
:>Those licenses can be easily referenced at the www.fcc.gov web site.
:>There are many allocations for high powered operations within the 26
:>to 50 Mhz range...

: If this data is in front of you it should be simple for you to post a
: sampling of the licensees in that segment and their relative power

I gave the source information reference.... www.fcc.gov see the original above text.

: levels. If your data is correct, it certainly isn't a justification
: for unlicensed CB'ers using amplifiers. And what does this have to do
: with hams running in excess of the legal limit?

My statement qualifies the fact that amplifiers can be used around the CB band in legal licensed applications. There are many easily found applications at the fcc web page. In the past, all you did was spout BS that amplifiers in the 26 to 54 range are not legal when in fact there are allocations where their use is...

:>Many Amateurs run power in excess of the legal limit, some just
: above...
:>some in gross excess.

: How many hams were measured? What were the power levels by percentage?
: Did you personally make the measurements? What instrumentation did you
: use to make the measurements? What were typical power levels per 100
: hams observed? How much power is "slightly" over the limit? 1600 watts
: PEP? How much power is "in excess"? 2500 watts PEP? How much power is
: on "gross excess"? 5000 watss PEP? How many ran "slightly over the
: limit"? How many ran "in excess"? How many ran in "gross excess"? What
: conditions were these measurements made under? What parts of the
: country were these measurements made in? What states? What bands? What
: time of day? What were the test conditions? (These are "facts" right?
: You have this data available for verification, yes?)

You going to repeat your same poop each post you make..? Forget it bozo, consider yourself a winner and believe we've also won the drug war.

I hope the readers see through your fake name, your stupid posts and figure for themselves that you and your lapdogs are a real waste of time.

:>The Amateurs or CB'ers who are most often cited by the fcc;
:>draw attention to their operations with poor on-air practice.
:>That might be technical or personality issues.

: How many were cited for "technical" vs. "personality" issues? If you
: have the data in front of you, this should be an easy question to
: answer.

I would refernce the Amateur Magazine QST for examples, there are often enforcement bullitins from the FCC posted at various web locations. Do you own homework and quit wasting our time.

:>All the above statements are easily verified, one does not have to
:>be ham or cb operator to do so. A little common sense home work is not
:>hard to do.

: You haven't done any verification whatsoever, Skipp. You've merely
: dispensed a bunch of unsupported generalities as "facts". You have no
: data except your own subjective opinions informed by your own rather
: limited observations. Those are not facts. That's just "some guy named

: skipp in a CB newsgroup said so". LOL. That's how CB Myths get born.
: -Aaron-

One thing is fact, you use a fake name... clearly show in your posts that you have little actual technical and hands on experience. if people can get past your diatribe pile of BS, they can find the truth out for themselves with minor effort. Effort that is not much work...

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1633. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 22:35:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23971-3DADE9A2-27@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140413

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Oh, just count the number of letters they send out to hammies for violations. It's in the THOUSANDS. Don't ask me to do your homework, you'll be pegged as lazy as Lelnad. You're a hammie...you know where to get the info. According to the Hypocrite Hall, they are all federal criminals.

>And all those are for running illegal power? <<

You must have missed it..... here it is again: Oh, just count the number of letters they send out to hammies for violations.
Really?
<<

One more time:
"Oh, just count the number of letters they send out to hammies for violations."

>Read the subject line, illegal power was the subject here twistieboy or did you forget?
<<

You changed the topic several times..

>To further comment that some hams do run illegal power, <<
<snip>

No need. What you say means nothing. You are blinded by rage....sorta like Lelnad KC8LDO.

>The subject was hams running illegal power,<

Maybe originally....it evolved to another lie by you concerning what is criminal. Whether these hammies are running power or nor has nothing to do with the question you have dodged almost as well as Lelnad and Frank. I claimed the FCC has on record thousands of hammies violating the law, evidenced by the warning letters sent out by the FCC. This means they were usually in some type violation. Using your Lelnad logic, they are federal criminals breaking federal laws. So every hammie that ever received a notice from the FCC for an infraction, you have labeled a criminal.
Doncha just love usenet?

> you still ignore the question. I will ask again, >are all those violations issued to hams for >running illegal power? I think if you

really >check you will find that few are. I guess if you >can't back up your claims just keep repeating >them over and over and maybe someone will >believe you.

Only I didn;t make any such claim.

> You are blinded by ignorance, sorta like >timmyboy.

At least I can be educated. You can't change the fact that you are a liar.

1634. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 22:36:17 UTC
From: Spell Checker <SC@webster.com>
Message-ID: <3DADE9E1.843F340C@webster.com>
Source record: 140410

Twistedhed wrote:
> Is it Burgundy wine

Now he's a wharf rat?

-S-

1635. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 22:38:24 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <bqKdnRTdoMDfdzCgXTWcoQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140411

It is possible, with an s meter, to tell the switch between one power level to another, from a given station at any given moment.

However, it is not possible to determine the absolute power level of that station with any confidence at all.

You would have to know the absolute path loss, on that day, for that path, at that moment.
You would have to know exactly where the other station is, to even begin to figure that out.
You would have to know his antenna type, gain and pattern, and pointing direction (in all three axes)
You would have had to calibrate your antenna, feedline and receiver to a known standard.

From any appreciable distance, how can you determine the difference between 200W into a 0dB antenna, and 100W into a 3dB antenna?

The best you can do, is to say that station X is putting out a strong signal, and maybe it's unusually strong. That's why the FCC does station inspections.

In part 15 testing, at open field sites, they calibrate the receiver (not just any receiver, it has to have specific AGC charachteristics as well) and the feedlines, and the antennas. $7000 for an HP/Agilent antenna with traceable cal curve, though they also use measured dipoles, because their gain is very repeatable. All this to get within 3dB or so, at a range of maybe 50', on a known patch of ground.

1636. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 22:38:39 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23970-3DADEA6F-79@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140414

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Skipp wrote:
All the above statements are easily verified, one does not have to be a ham or cb operator to do so. A little common sense home work is not hard to do.

>Common sense isn't very common, especially >on this group.

Only because in the "Hypocrite (Dave) Hall" world of N3CVJ, Lelnad KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, and Dogie Adair N8WWM, common sense is but a mere belief......Lelnad said so.

1637. Anyone living in the Nashville area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 22:46:33 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <b0rrqusbodf1hfvn64b8c4907bnv8fa0jj@4ax.com>
Source record: 140416

If anyone hanging in here lives in the Nashville Tn. area pls email me.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

1638. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 22:59:10 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021016185910.08132.00002030@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 140415

haha sounds like this reporter has bene listening to the 2 meter repeaters.
"breaker breaker, i'm destinated to the home qth, 73 old man"

>Subject: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

1639. Re: WTB: The True story on the D&A Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 23:07:02 UTC
From: Skipp <skipp@pilotNOSPAMPLEASE.ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <aokrem$bu7$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140417

Hi Dennis,
Wondering when you would pop back up out of the woodwork...
cheers
skipp

take nospamplease out to reply...

: Dennis W. Ostrowski <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote:
: real radios glow in the dark wrote:
:>
:> I was wondering if anyone could help me out here...
:>
:> I have wondered for years, does anyone know the *true* story behind
:> the D&A amps?
:>
:> I heard there's a picture floating around on the 'net' of the two
:> builders on those amps? does anyone know where one could go look at
:> this?

: Look at the full page and 2 page National Radio Institute
: (NRI) adds in old Popular Electronics and Electronics
: Illustrated magazines from the 60's and 70's. (might be in
: some old issues of Mechanics Illustrated also)

: One of them was posed standing in front of his D&A truck as
: a perfect example of an NRI graduate success story!

:> I wonder if anyone has a website devoted to this story... Heck, I
:> could be convinced to but one up, if anyone is interested... could be
:> info site with pictures, if i could get in contact with the original
:> builders,

: One is dead, not sure of the other...

:> I'd even include pictures from the old days... anyone
:> interested in this?
:>
:> were they really built in scottsbluff, AZ?

: Right town, wrong state.

: If you are looking for background information on them, I
: would suggest you start by contacting NRI (if they still
: exist).

: Regards,

: Dennis O.

1640. Re: Nicad charger question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 23:16:08 UTC
From: Skipp <nospamthanks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aokrvo$bu7$2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140418

: Dave or Debby <truth@nospamplanetc.com> wrote:

: THE question is: What volts AC should I put into the rectifer, 10 or 20?
: This unit is CHINA made, so I figure it can't be too old. I discharged the
: batteries to zero before charging 1/2 day. It says a 1 hr. charger is what
: it is, and the green light comes on when charged. OR should I charge a
: battery with it apart, and check volts going to the battery at the end of
: the charge?
: It is sickening that they want us to keep buying more to support china, when
: we can fix it ourself with a Taiwan transformer that was the exact same
: size!
: Dave!

Size vs voltage value are two different things... and much of the time...
it matters.

I would be willing to guess that the peak charging voltage of a 12 volt
secondary xmfr would be enough to do the job, just at a slower rate. That
slower rate might not be all that bad in the long run.

The rapid charge of a battery really generates heat, which is bad news
when the cells get older.

A good way to measure for bad or shorted cells is with a volt meter
across a charging pack. Not easy to do if the pack is sealed. Each
charging battery should have more than .6 volts across it while charging.

If you are obtaining a green light full charge, you might be lucky enough
to have the lower voltage transformer supply enough peak dc voltage to
slower charge the battery to full capacity. Once in a while, a person
gets lucky... the slower charge might even be better for the battery vs
the brut force fast charge.

I've been working on a real world article about Zaping NiCads back that I
hope to post on the http://sonic.ucdavis.edu web server. You might check
over there in a few weeks if you have an interest in that sort of topic.

cheers
skipp

1641. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 23:16:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23970-3DADF360-93@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140429

>Rats! And I thought I had actually "achieved" >something.......
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

You did.

1642. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 23:17:15 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210161517.635029b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<Gefr9.4732$Nh5.305240229@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...
> LOL!!! I guess Aaron just doesn't learn.

...because he *can't* learn. He'll go on spouting his "freedom is bad"
drivel until his ISP pulls the plug on him and tells him to take a
hike.

Guy

1643. Re: 10khz switch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 23:20:31 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <3vmr9.54460$gr6.51492@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 140420

You can get what you need here: http://www.bills2way.com but, by all means
ask again-:)

"Carlmackayp" <carlmackayp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021016135739.24688.00010619@mb-mr.aol.com...
> Can some one tell me how to install the 10khz switch and modify
> my Realistic 440 bsae radio for the extra channels
>
> any help welcome

1644. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 23:24:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23970-3DADF548-95@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140428

From: SC@webster.com (Spell Checker)
Twistedhed wrote:
    Is it Burgundy wine

>Now he's a wharf rat?
>-S-

I could be wrong, but I think he would reject the wharf rat philosphy. I see him as the type that does the fish after drinking all day and finding him the biggest balloon he could.....

1645. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 23:27:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23970-3DADF5D3-96@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140426

From: timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie)
>my computer is good for 80wpm<

Now you're Talking! (Pun intended)

1646. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 23:29:10 UTC
From: Skipp <morebstodisprove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aokso6$bu7$3@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140423

: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
: Easily guess...probably...accurate assumptions. You're right, it
: isn't rocket science, it isn't even science. Using the s-metre is
: pure conjecture. How can you have an accurate assumption? Isn't
: that one of them epoxy morons?

: And trying to determine a transmitter's power level by looking at
: your S-meter is smoke and mirrors.

Comparing relative signal strengths is common and done every day. S-Meters are often calibrated and a good operator might know more about their station than the brand names of equipment in use.

From your judgement call, all the receiver manufactures are wasting their time calibrating the receiver s-meter. That dB scale means nothing...

The S-Meter is just an easily available tool most people have in operation.

When the FCC rolls up in front of your house, they use a similar probable cause methods to assume the operator is operating with excessive power. I can also be done from their remote monitoring stations.

I've explained the basic methods of relative S-Meter comparisons in the previous thread posts. Use or ignore it as you please...

skipp

1647. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 23:30:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23973-3DADF699-7@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140427

From: Check@demonseed.comPton (Welfare Check)
"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021016124212.05105.00010736@mb-fn.aol.com...
my computer is good for 80wpm

>Tim, your computer is good for 15wpm, Ill >make a bet you couldnt copy
5wpm with out >the use of your computer.
>Tim, I am sure it is all of em are the point >being made since your
always to stoned to >see what that is, as you try to focus on the >less
important part of peoples post You can't >copy it yourself freehand you
need the use of >your computer hence your computer is good >for the 15
wpm. As you always sidestep the >original question I willing to bet you
can't copy >5wpm and yet you harass Leland and Doug >and the other no
code guys. Whats stopping >you? I say take the test and show us your
>skills. You dont have to actually be a >participating ham after you get
your license so >save that excuse, and who knows the Law >enforcement in
your area couldnt bug you >cause your now a ham. How about it

In all fairness, Lelnad and Dogie have never, ever received their unfair
share of anything.

1648. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 23:45:00 UTC
From: George <George@gaygeorge.com>
Message-ID: <vdurqu8vblbfc0t17arp5ms28ffhmkovgo@4ax.com>
Source record: 140424

Its ok George, you came out of the closet, you should feel much
better now. No one really cares what you do in the privacy of your
bedroom. I wish you all the best in finding a new mate.

1649. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 23:45:10 UTC
From: George <George@gaygeorge.com>
Message-ID: <keurquk0qnmq0coe18ln7aojs45m772hcm@4ax.com>
Source record: 140425

On Tue, 15 Oct 2002 18:03:00 -0400, "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com>
wrote:

>
>"George" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message
>news:3dae1558.781553@news.easynews.com...
>
>> Really? You want to stick a broomstick up a guys ass, what do you
>> call that? Its still anal sex Twinkie George. Even the name your
>> posting with is about anal sex.
>
>I guess you would know that sticking a broomstick up your ass is anal sex
>what else do you stick up there for anal sex? BTW asmunch the name I am
>posting with is Ole Shit short for for Holy Shit, you had better get your
>mind off of dick and ass your starting to sound like Landshark. lol Plus you
>paid money to be anonymous on a NG what a pathetic Putz! be a real man
>

Don't flatter yourself twinkie, I pay for my newsgroup server for
binaries coverage. I guess you would know about the broomstick, your
the one that wanted to use. You be a real man, have sex with a women
for a change, quit packing other guys chutes. What a pathetic
fluffie.

1650. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-16 23:50:09 UTC
From: now the light is comming on <voobnerisaboobner@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aoktvh$bu7$4@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140430

: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:
: It is possible, with an s meter, to tell the switch between one power level
: to another, from a given station at any given moment.

Yes, a same station comparison... two same area stations can also be
compared.

: However, it is not possible to determine the absolute power level of that
: station with any confidence at all.

True, but you can say that X station running full legal power into a
known antenna will probalby not be 10 dB signal below a same city
station "Y"; using some assumptions or knowledge of his antenna system. In
short, station Y is running gas when his signal strenght is 10dB higher
for a similar station and location.

There are "rules to the game..."

: You would have to know the absolute path loss, on that day, for that path,
: at that moment.
: You would have to know exactly where the other station is, to even begin to
: figure that out.
: You would have to know his antenna type, gain and pattern, and pointing
: direction (in all three axes)
: You would have had to calibrate your antenna, feedline and receiver to a
: known standard.
: From any appreciable distance, how can you determine the difference between
: 200W into a 0dB antenna, and 100W into a 3dB antenna?

For these levels, its not practical... but one can easily compare stations
in similar locations running 100, 1000/2000 & say 4,000 watts. The guy
running the big gas is always much louder than the 100 watt station and
over an average, will be louder than the legal limit station in the same
area. I hear and see it almost every time I turn a receiver onto certain
war-zone amateur frequencies and much of the CB band.

: The best you can do, is to say that station X is putting out a strong
: signal, and maybe it's unusually strong. That's why the FCC does station
: inspections.

Exactly ... please repeat the above to the rest of the crowd. Would it
not be reasonable to assume Station X is well over the legal limit,
when compared to Y; certain things are known or assumed from experience,
first hand knowlege or common sense using even basic tools... ie the
common reference calibrated S-Meter.

"Unusally strong signals compared to others"

Yee... hah... someone send up fireworks at last... thank you!

: In part 15 testing, at open field sites, they calibrate the receiver (not
: just any receiver, it has to have specific AGC charachteristics as well) and
: the feedlines, and the antennas. $7000 for an HP/Agilent antenna with
: traceable cal curve, though they also use measured dipoles, because their
: gain is very repeatable. All this to get within 3dB or so, at a range of
: maybe 50', on a known patch of ground.

One can easily measure and record a receiver (& S-Meter) calibration.

Common antennas have predictable gain and radiation patterns.

Many people will tell you about their station equipment and location over
the air.

Most people can easily make simple comparisons using simple techniques,
common knowledge and experience.

Life is good again... thanks
cheers
skipp

1651. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 00:07:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12363-3DADFF25-38@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140433

>...which underscores the double standard by >which you are seemingly unaware of.

What irony.

>The same criteria, by which I present "what *I* >refer to" in reference to any topic, brands me a >"liar" in your book,

Different criteria. When I say something is an opinion, it clearly is visible at first galnce. I don't put something out there unless I know it to be reasonably true and am always willing to listen to an opposing view while putting my personal views to the side while refrainiing from personal off topics. You claimed you never did something, I merely pointed to a few posts that are in direct contradictory with what you were trying to claim. On top of that, you attempted not once, not twice, but three times to deliberately misattribute something to me that I never said in a deliberate and malicious fashion.

>yet when the table is turned on you, you hide >behind "It's only my opinion". Which is it then?
>Are we both liars, are are we both just stating >our opinions?

We are both entitled to our opinions without prejudice. I hesitated to call you a liar, but after deliberately trying to malign my character with repeated claims that I said something when I clearly did not,,,you left me no choice.

Try to tell a socialist that he's nuts, and he'll swear that you're crazy.

>Try being a little more original. <

I'm not good at insults, but I am great at returning things to which they came.

>I see that you took a fancy to the term >"sophomoric" which I used a few posts back, >and are now using it quite regularly.

Don't apologize. You are the second of Aarons named rec.radio.cb trouble posse who has asked me to dumb down my word usage. Frank was first.

>I can see that you are, if nothing else, >broadening your vocabulary.

Continually.

>Now if we could only work on those reasoning >skills.....

Well, if y'all try real hard, you may gain some headway.

>Yes it is, and as such, passing the test >qualifies it as an >achievement.

Maybe to people like yourself.
Here again is where your socialism rears it's ugly head.

>While we're on the subject:
>Socialism, n. "A social system, in which the >means of producing and distributing goods are >owned collectively and political power is >exercised by the whole community."
>Now, what part of that applies to anything >even remotely related to anything that I've >said?

Get a better dictionary. the first vocabulary was a freebie. Now you are acting like your posse of trouble, asking another to do the work. Lelnad often asked for that.

>I guess you feel that anyone who believes in >law and order, and does not selectively break >laws is somehow a socialist?

I can't stress it enough. Stop guessiing.

>Maybe if your parents<

None. I was born in a desert and raised in a lion's den.

> had taken some cat-o-nine tails across your >sorry butt, when you were a misbehaving child

The Seer malady has just entered the Hypocrite Hall. Please take a seat and we will close the door.

>instead of adopting the neo-liberal-hippie style >of allowing children to "express themselves", >even when those actions were bad, you might >have a greater respect for doing things the >proper way, and you wouldn't be so >anti-social.

I'm not anti-social, Dave,,,I'm anti-asshole.

You are upset

>Hardly. You still don't get it do you? You, my punch clown friend, are my entertainment. <

Won't work.
I said that of this group when I decided to stay (it's in the archives, go get it), that it was my fresh humor for the day and brightened my mornings....put me in the mood to do my job, ya' know! You claimed you were in this group way longer than me,and you are right, but I will wager you never claimed that of this group until post-twist!!!

>As of Friday, I will be done with you.<

Sure you will. I spend maybe a total of one or two weekends a year indoors, so that is when you will manifest as some other faceless sap hurling insults. Lelnad KC8LDO used to do it all the time...each and

every weekend like clockwork..

>Unless, of course, you actually have a >coherent thought, and decide to approach a >subject rationally, instead of confrontationally.

Your flawed interpretations were evident when you claimed all those hammies were federal criminals.

>Which, by the way, is more than a bunch of no >license, illegal >freebanders can claim.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

No, it's not actually. We can claim over 100 DX countries, with cards to prove it, on HF.
Nocodes can't do that.

>You make the erroneous assumption that DX >is the be all,

Where did I do that?
Shooooooooow me the money!!!!!!

>Personally, I find the pursuit of DX to be >pointless and boring, and conducive to >interference, a flash point for hostilities, and >generally shows the "bad" side of radio >operations.

But of course you do. It shows in your history of perpetual hard-ons for cbers. It has always been a problem in your internet incarnation.

>No one will be happier than I will be, when >cycle 23 is in the can, and CB can return to >normalcy.

Whatever limited definition you wish to apply that term.

>No code hams can legally build their own >equipment, own and operate repeaters, >operate on many modes, including modern >digital modes. And if they get the itch to talk >farther than a hundred or so miles, there are >always band openings on VHF and UHF >bands. No code hams can use any ham band >above 30 Mhz, with full operating privileges. >That's a heck of a lot more than you can do on >the freeband, no matter how much you disdain >it.

I don;t disdain the freeband at all. Slow down, Dave,,,you aren't thinking rationally.

>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>Practicing Socialist

1652. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 00:09:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12362-3DADFFA4-113@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140432

>I don't have to ask anyone. They're all big >boys and girls, who freely offer up their >opinions, when they feel motivated to do so. I >don't see them jumping to your defense either. >More people understand your er... problem, >than you seem to think.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>Practicing Socialist

That's right, Dave. They know I need no defense, unlike yourself. Our posts stand alone and speak for us.

1653. FS: CB Equipment/New and old

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 00:11:34 UTC
From: n2rll@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021016201134.10596.00002330@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 140431

CB's: Mobiles - All are like new and work perfectly and include handheld microphones. No scratches or dents.

Cobra 148 GTL (AM/SSB) with built-in freq. counter: $100 firm.
Cobra 18 WX ST (AM): $40
Cobra 25 WX ST (needs power cord): $30
Maxon MCB 45 W: $30
Midland 77-120 ESP2: $35
Realistic TRC-465 (AM/SSB): $75
Shipped postpaid and insured - money order only.

Older CB mobiles:

Pace CB-143, 23-channel with microphone. Fair condition, works. $25 firm, postpaid
Royce I-600B 23-channel mobile with microphone. Fair condition, works. $25 firm, postpaid.

CB Walkie-talkies:

One PAIR of old classic Realistic 40-channel TRC-216; minus "dummy batteries." Excellent condition with manual and rubber antennas. $50 firm, postpaid and insured.

One pair of old classic TRC-206 Realistic 3-watt, 3-channel CB walkie-talkies. Excellent condition, both work. No manual. Includes one transmit 27.125 and one receive, 26.670 crystal in each unit. One is minus hand strap and both need "dummy" batteries (can still use today's NiCads). $50 firm, postpaid and insured.

Texas Ranger 696F SSB base, excellent condition, no scratches, in original box with manual. Unmodified and has not been tampered with! $200 firm includes three-day USPS shipping. Money order only.

Uniden Washington AM/SSB base - This is a classic, OLDER UNIT - in original box, manual, bracket, microphone and has not been modified or tampered with at all. $175 firm includes three-day shipping and insured.

Cobra 2010 (replaced Cobra 2000). Excellent condition, manual, microphone. $125.

Classic Base CBs:

Uniden Washington AM/SSB base - This is a classic, OLDER UNIT - in original box, manual, bracket, microphone, no scratches and in excellent condition. I have not modified or screwdrivered the radio! Works perfectly. $200 firm, postpaid and insured.

Regency CCR-142 AM 23-channel base with handheld GC Electronics power mic. Checked out five years ago and worked. Probably needs tuning. $35 firm, postpaid.

Lafayette HE-15, 5-channel base with push-to-talk switch on CB case; needs a microphone. Checked a few years ago and worked, but probably needs tuning and switch cleaning. $40 firm, postpaid.

Lafayette HE 20A 23-channel base CB, needs original mic, but I will include a Courier CMM-1 handheld mic (minus connector). Checked a few years ago and worked, but needs tuning. $40 firm, postpaid.

Please respond to this email, N2RLL@aol.com. Thank you.
Harold

1654. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 00:27:55 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210161627.5727a592@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140434

JJ radiobuff0@mailcity.net wrote
>Boobner is running scared wrote:
>
>> Hams in excess of the number 200 that I have first hand knowlege
>>of.

Skipp, give us their callsigns. Id like to verify the fact that these
200 people run illegal high power.

>>Many are good friends of mine spread through out the world.

OK, I'm willing to email a random sampling of them and ask them to
verify the "facts" that you are posting in RRCB. How about their
callsigns? You can email them to me. Email them to JJ too.

>> CB'ers..? Oh, Id
>> be willing to say more than... 200 just for your answer.

Skipp, that doesn't sound like "facts". That sounds like you have made
up an arbitrary number. How can we take what you say as factual if you
are going to offer guesstimates?

>> Hope that's
>> enough to make you happy. Various methods and experience
>> were used to
>> base my statements.

"Various methods and experience" is a bit vague, doncha think? That's
like citing "reliable sources" as evidence of government conspiracy,
LOL.

>> A calibrated S-meter is one easily reproduced method
>> to make a comparison. My transceiver has one as most do...
>
>You're sure it is still in calibration? And it is accurate on all
>bands? If so, you have an exceptional receiver.

I'll add to what JJ said and ask that along with the S-meter readings,
I'd like to see a list of times of day, frequencies, and names of your
200 friends to verify that they were indeed running illegal power.
Since these are "facts", the info should be easy to provide.

>> Power levels well in excess of 2000 watts were clearly
>>demonstrated to
>> many of us in a well known web group.

Uh-huh, this web group is so "well known" that I've never heard of it.
So what's the name of it? The 2000 watt + demo was done on the air?

What frequency? What was the callsign of the operator so we can verify this?

> >I've mentioned it before right on
>> rec.radio.cb
>> These people chime in from all over the world...
>>
>> :>Many Hams run over the authorized limit without knowing their legal
>> :>amplifiers do said when driven with common off the shelf amatuer
>> :>radios.
>>
>> : How many hams? 10? 10,000? 100,000? What was the method of collecting
>> : this data? What were the measurements? How many ran 20% over the
>> : authorized limit vs how many ran 100% over the authorized limit? Who
>> : did the measurements? How were the measurements verified? What were
>> : the callsigns of the hams who participated in the measurements?
>>
>> Enough of your poop, I'm done with your stupid follow up post questions.
>> You go round and round with your head buried somewhere.
>>Opinions vary
>> about the location of your head.
>
>He is asking you to verify what you claim with some believable
>numbers. Can you?

It looks like his method of verifying his "facts" consists mostly of hurling insults at me. LOL.

>> I would refernce the Amateur Magazine QST for examples,
>> there are often
>> enforcement bullitins from the FCC posted at various web locations.
> >Do you own homework and quit wasting our time.
>
>Ah, that's it, put the onus on someone else to prove or disprove
>YOUR claims.

JJ, I think he wants us to "do our own homework". Maybe skipp is too busy to verify his own claims. As mentioned previously, I'll do it for him. I'll contact a random sampling of the 200 close friends he claimed who run illegal high power and ask them to verify this fact. I will cc the group on responses. We get the truth and skipp doesn't have to lift a finger. It's a win-win situation.

-Aaron-

1655. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 00:33:03 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24822-3DAE053F-117@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140435

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
>Is the FCC a FEDERAL agency?
>Was "Rabbit Ears'" case heard before a >FEDERAL court? Is "Rabbit Ears" spending >the next 15 months >in a FEDERAL prison? Is >"Rabbit Ears" paying the FEDERAL gov't a >nice check for $25,000? Sounds like a >FEDERAL crime to me..... But I guess you >still feel that "Rabbit Ears" is not a "criminal".

Your guessing is aghast and never correct.

Were we talking about Rabbit Ears? No.

>Yes. I was.<

No, you weren't.
You entered him into the equation yet at the same time took issue with me for mentioning the hammies you called federal criminals because they were not ALL running power,as if that had anything at all to do with thembreaking what you say is FEDERAL LAW
which makes them FEDERAL CRIMINALS.
What's good for the goose......

> He's a shining example of an outlaw mentality >gone horribly wrong.

And you're a blackeye to hammie radio.

>You might be a few pegs up the ladder from >him, but you're just as guilty of breaking >federal law.

Am I as guilty as the hammies in the rain report each week? They are federal criminals by your logic, are they not?

We don't do what Rabbit Ears did.

>Who is "we"? <

Wait a sec..you just said in aother post "So *WE* don't think you're a blooming idiot."
I love talking to you when you're drunk, Dave...your hypocrisy comes shining through brilliantly.

>Are you speaking for all radio outlaws again >here?

When I said "we". I referred to freebanders , an in they do not, for the most part act like Rabbit Ears, as most hammies do not act like the jerkoff hammie that was popped for messing with emergency ccommunciations. Are youspeaking for all hammies when you say breaking

federal radio rules makes you a criminal? Are you speaking for all hammies when you each one that received a letter from the FCC for an infraction is a federal criminal?

>I guess "Rabbit ears" didn't hear you.

Hear me what?

If you wish
to make that analogy and keep comparing simple freebanding between 10 and 11 and some DXing to the antics of Rabbit Ears, then the same can be drawn from the clown hammie in California that got his kicks messing with the cops and emergency freqs....

>All guilty of breaking federal law.

...to your indiscretions.

>Which are? <

The repeated lies were enough for one week. More in due time if you wanna play, but I strongly urge you to take your admitted personal grudge with me to email so as not to subject the group to anymore of your enjoyment you get by using the group as your personal tool to "yank: my chain. It really hurts hammie radio to see a grown man that uses his call in his sig act as such.

And that would have what remote connection to a simple freebander getting a warning letter for the first, second, maybe third time? And have you EVER found a cber guilty of the "Federal Crime" you say exists of DXing?

>Still living by the old "it's only illegal if I'm >caught" mentality.

It's not a mentality, it's a reality.

>By that logic, that sniper, who's terrorizing the >mid-atlantic area, will not technically be a >"criminal" until we finally catch him eh?

By any logic, you were shorted.

The ignorance is flowing from you today isn;t it? Speeding can directly kill an innocent and has done so in the past. Freebanding between 11 and 10 meters hasn't.

>And the former CEO's of companies like >Enron and IMclone will be getting fines and jail >time for "only" falsifying company accounts >and misstating earnings. No one died here >either. So much for your (il)logic.

And Kevin Mitnick is getting his hammie license back and no one died. What's the connection, or are you half into the sauce now?

>A speeding ticket won't land you a $25,000 >fine or 15 months in jail either.

Neither will simple DXing and freebanding between 10 and 11. It will get you, at the most, a warning letter the first time. Don't cause a problem and the letter is a given.

>And if you continue to operate illegally after >the "warning", what do you think will eventually >happen? "Rabbit Ears" got a "warning" too.

Rabbit ears got what he deserved.

>Too bad he, like you, doesn't take the law >seriously.

Seer malady.

>And the federal gov't doesn't issue speeding >tickets either. Let's review now shall we boys >and girls:

Can you say "patronizing?"

> Speeding ticket: Summary offence, >usually >accompanied by a fine of not
>more than $150 depending on the state, and >just how fast you were going.
>Illegal radio operation: A violation of federal >rules and regulations, >which carry with it fines into the thousands of >dollars, and possible jail time.
>Now, which would you rather have happen to >you? Dave
>"Sandbagger"

How come all these hammies aren't in jail that receive notices each week? Why aren't they all paying fines? Because what you say simply doesn't take place, these people are not federal criminals, and a warning letter is always sent first. You failed (yet again) to mention that.

>And your whole position is predicated (another >word for your vocabulary)

My, you are certainly coming along since my education of you, grasshopper. I even forced you to open a junior high level dictionary after you found the urine staned thing and sprayed it with Old Spice so you could get near it.

>on the fact that you are free to ignore the law, >until you get cited because the first offense is >usually a warning. After the "warning" do you >now take the law seriously, or do you continue >in your irresponsible defiance?
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

You are into an entire different area.
You have sunk your ship.

1656. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 00:44:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24823-3DAE07EA-56@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140436

Back to posts talking of nothing and making personal remarks, eh?

>It helps to gather some insight on why a >person with such a warped sense of reality, >such as yourself, thinks the way they do,

Putting aside you defending your hurling of yet more insults and making me your sole topic for posting, wouldn't what you just described be construed as spying? Why, yes, I believe it could. The gatherng of personal information...hahhahahh!

>and why they feel that they are right, even >when they are in contrast to the values of >society.

Your values are in the minority. Thehammies that have recieved letters for minor infractions from the FCC do not consider themselves criminals. Maybe youshould take this to ahmmie forum and ask them if they consder those that received letters, "Federal criminals".

>Here's some "lite" reading for anyone that >needs a little background.
>See if it fits our resident sociopath:
>http://www.mentalhealth.com/dis1/p21-pe07.ht>ml

You appear quite familiar with the field.

>Somewhat. It's only another "hobby" for me.<

Ever try work?

> But studying people with strange mental >ailments has always fascinated me.

Dogs of a feather..........

>I'm not afraid to stand by my words

Right. You deny them,

>I clarify them, and put them back into their >original context.
>Of course, I deny the distorted meanings that >you construct from >snippets of my posts taken out of context.

Another lie. Your post concerning your spying was presented in full.

> You should work for the
>democratic party. You're as slippery as the >best of them.

They can't afford me, but neither can the Republicans.

More division. At a time when the country needs to put petty political domestic issues aside more than ever and pull together, we have blooming algae like you that would prefer to further divide our united efforts.

>Tell that to the democrats,

Nah, I just told it you. Youare the one seeking division in all facets of your life.

> But don't start talking about politics.

I didn't you did and forgtot already. Lush. Hahah!

>The real joke, that you still don't get: I *AM* a >CBer!

You are the real joke, Dave, I just haven't decide to reveal all the punch lines yet or to let you in on them.

>Well, you'd better do it soon. I'm putting you >back in your cage on Friday.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

You're plagiarizing me again. Ive had cages for the AKC since one man gang was whoppin' up on 'em along with phone man making Dogie look like a cber with a bad case of Tourettes.

1657. Re: Anyone living in the Nashville area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 01:49:19 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021016214919.14882.00001956@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 140437

I used to live there.
Train

>Subject: Anyone living in the Nashville area?
>From: Keith Hosman arcweb@bnnorth.net
>Date: 10/16/02 6:46 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <b0rrqusbodf1hfvn64b8c4907bnv8fa0jj@4ax.com>
>
>If anyone hanging in here lives in the Nashville Tn. area pls email
>me.
>
>
>73 de Keith
>KC8TCQ
>Vice President: REACT of Henry County
>Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
>American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
>
>
>
>
>
>

1658. Re: WTB: The True story on the D&A Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 01:55:22 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021016215522.14882.00001960@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 140438

I was going to tell ol' chuckie to look up a few of your old posts Dennis. I know you have told the story of those two "bad hams" a few times in the past. Good seeing you active in the group again!
Train

>Subject: Re: WTB: The True story on the D&A Amps?
>From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" dwostrowski@lucent.com
>Date: 10/16/02 5:49 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3DADDEE6.599AB343@lucent.com>
>
>real radios glow in the dark wrote:
>>
>> I was wondering if anyone could help me out here...
>>
>> I have wondered for years, does anyone know the *true* story behind
>> the D&A amps?
>>
>> I heard there's a picture floating around on the 'net' of the two
>> builders on those amps? does anyone know where one could go look at
>> this?
>
> Look at the full page and 2 page National Radio Institute
>(NRI) adds in old Popular Electronics and Electronics
>Illustrated magazines from the 60's and 70's. (might be in
>some old issues of Mechanics Illustrated also)
>
> One of them was posed standing in front of his D&A truck as
>a perfect example of an NRI graduate success story!
>
>> I wonder if anyone has a website devoted to this story... Heck, I
>> could be convinced to but one up, if anyone is interested... could be
>> info site with pictures, if i could get in contact with the original
>> builders,
>
> One is dead, not sure of the other...
>
>> I'd even include pictures from the old days... anyone
>> interested in this?
>>
>> were they really built in scottsbluff, AZ?
>
> Right town, wrong state.
>
> If you are looking for background information on them, I

>would suggest you start by contacting NRI (if they still
>exist).
>
>Regards,
>
>Dennis O.
>
>
>
>
>
>

1659. Re: Hey Gunslinger...nice DX tonight!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 01:57:31 UTC
From: z26915@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021016215731.14519.00002034@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 140439

Dont put it too close to that speaker there 333,you never know when I might key up again to say hello.See ya bud
257

1660. Re: Hey, the truth will set you free...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 02:10:23 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <zaKdneefkc6MgTOgXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140440

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:7725-3DAD6654-21@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>Seeems like the rec.radio.cb trouble posse named by "arron" of Lelnad
C.
>Scott KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, Dogie Adair N8WWM, and socialist
hammie
>Dave Hall are having problems again obeying rules set forth.

I don't have any problem Twisted. I don't complain just becasue you
get your "jock-strap" all Twisted up in a bunch over some post on the
group. It's good for you. It'll make a "man" out of you some day if it
don't require a surgical procedure.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
==========
Subject: More Twistedhed's Blathering
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Author: twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
Date: 2001-03-26 17:20:06 PST

in 3741-3A87F5A4-23@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>nice forgery Dougie but the headers don't lie.
>Undoubtedly you don't understand how the Usenet
>works so I will explain it to you, my anonymous
>acolyte
>what do you know of my life? The jockstrap you sent
>in the mail is a trophy of your docile worship of
>me and it hangs proudly above my mantle
>I will never cease pirate CB activity as long as it irks
>you or someone like you. However, as a consolation
>and to ease your suffering, I will stay within the
>confines of the freeband for now. The saucer men tell
>me I have a good signal in the pile
==========

1661. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 02:25:34 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210161825.29cec928@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<Gefr9.4732$Nh5.305240229@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:194387a3.0210152318.7ee17d23@posting.google.com...
> > witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
> news:<3aed93cc.0210151536.1876fd29@posting.google.com>...
> > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
> news:<c9ceae5b.0210140832.233ef469@posting.google.com>...
> > > > This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich,
> > >
> > > We're tired of it already, "Aaron"... everyone here knows it's a fake.
> > >
> > > Guy
> >
> >
> >
> > If it's fake, why all the fuss whenever you see it?
> >
> > -Aaron-
> >
>
> LOL!!! I guess Aaron just doesn't learn. Go ahead, keep posting the
> same thing, it will just get your account yanked
> again..... LMAO!!!!

Since when do accounts get "yanked" for posting the same thing? If you
feel that this quote (below) is a TOS violation then report me.

-Aaron-

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from

alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

1662. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 02:37:28 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <77GdneGcaPzXvzOgXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140442

> Yes, a same station comparison... two same area stations can also be
> compared.

Sorta.
If you see a LARGE difference, then you might be able to say there's something going on outside the regulations. OTOH, one guy might have hardline into a beam, and the other guy 100' of Radio Shack RG-58 into a radio shack discone.

I actually saw an RS guy telling a customer that they could use that discone (sold without the top element) for CB. I suppose that's technically true, but it's a LOUSY antenna on 11m. It's really much better at radiating all the harmonics. :-P

It's hard to say where the blame belongs on that one. The customer should have known better, so should the RS guy.

> True, but you can say that X station running full legal power into a
> known antenna will probalby not be 10 dB signal below a same city
> station "Y"; using some assumptions or knowledge of his antenna system. In
> short, station Y is running gas when his signal strenght is 10dB higher
> for a similar station and location.

Or he's a lot better with antennas :)

Back in my CB days, I used to run mobile from a '67 chrysler imperial. Robyn rig, tuned to 4.0W output (I worked in a radio repair shop at the time) I ran a firestick antenna, and added a capacity hat, and retuned the antenna to resonance. Sitting in my driveway, two blocks away, I was 3dB higher into a given station about 15 miles away, than another fellow, from his base with a Ringo Ranger up about 30'. The antenna was resonant, the feedline was low loss, and the cap hat lowered my angle of radiation considerably.

> One can easily measure and record a receiver (& S-Meter) calibration.
> Common antennas have predictable gain and radiation patterns.

True

> Many people will tell you about their station equipment and location over
> the air.

(50% BS factor though :)

> Most people can easily make simple comparisons using simple techniques,
> common knowledge and experience.

As long as we remember the limitations of simple measurements with big assumptions.

1663. Re: Nicad charger question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 02:39:23 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <RfydnYuXboQgvzOgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140443

> I discharged the batteries to zero before charging 1/2 day.

You're being mean to your batteries.
If you want them to live, never discharge below 0.9V, or 1.0V if you want the most life possible.

1664. Re: Anyone living in the Nashville area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 03:19:50 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <o1bsqukc5jjr8l2h2s0u0g6burh4rr57id@4ax.com>
Source record: 140447

On 17 Oct 2002 01:49:19 GMT, tra1n@aol.commmmmm (TRA1N) wrote:

>I used to live there.
>Train
>

what is average rent and utilities cost there?
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

1665. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 03:23:23 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <L2qr9.9065$1P1.721838@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 140444

Well, they say that when you admit it... you're on the road to recovery.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1pill.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

1666. Re: Nicad charger question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 03:24:06 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021016232406.15794.00002043@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 140445

Wrong answer.....Nicads should be FULLY drained. Some people even keep a small light bulb such as an 1156 auto bulb across the terminals to keep them discharged. Batteries have a "memory" to them and need to be FULLY charged and DISCHARGED as well. Ask anyone who races electric RC cars and they will tell you the same thing.
Train
>Subject: Re: Nicad charger question
>From: "Dave VanHorn" dvanhorn@cedar.net
>Date: 10/16/02 10:39 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <RfydnYuXboQgvzOgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>
>
>> I discharged the batteries to zero before charging 1/2 day.
>
>You're being mean to your batteries.
>If you want them to live, never discharge below 0.9V, or 1.0V if you want
>the most life possible.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1667. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 03:25:36 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAE2DB0.FEE1860B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140461

Skipp wrote:
>
> : JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> : Easily guess...probably...accurate assumptions. You're right, it
> : isn't rocket science, it isn't even science. Using the s-metre is
> : pure conjecture. How can you have an accurate assumption? Isn't
> : that one of them epoxy morons?
>
> : And trying to determine a transmitter's power level by looking at
> : your S-meter is smoke and mirrors.
>
> Comparing relative signal strengths is common and done every day. S-Meters
> are often calibrated and a good operator might know more about their station
> than the brand names of equipment in use.

And s-meters even after being calibrated, and that is on one band,
are often incorrect after a time as components age. And you would
be hard pressed to find the typical ham rig where the s-meter is
accurate on all bands.

>
> From your judgement call, all the receiver manufactures are wasting their
> time calibrating the receiver s-meter. That dB scale means nothing...

Actually correct, as most if not all s-meters in the typical ham
rig are not calibrated accurately on all bands. Set up 10
identical rigs such that you can switch an antenna to each rig
tuned to a signal and you the chances are great (almost assured)
that all will not give the same s-meter reading. You are putting
too much stock in an s-meter that is used for relative signal
strength readings...the key word is relative.

>
> The S-Meter is just an easily available tool most people have in
> operation.
>
> When the FCC rolls up in front of your house, they use a similar probable
> cause methods to assume the operator is operating with excessive power. I
> can also be done from their remote monitoring stations.

With much more sophisticated equipment than an s-meter on a
typical ham rig.

1668. Re: antenna in attic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 03:28:53 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <V7qr9.9071$1P1.723003@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 140446

Yes, did that years ago... worked only fair. CB radio frequencies don't pass through solid objects well and the losses are heavy. I had a 1/4 wave ground plane I fabricated from antenna grounding wire up there. Don't get me wrong... it does work... but don't expect the same performance as if the antenna would be outdoors in "free space".

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1pill.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"spj67" <spj67@eudoramail.com> wrote in message
news:CFN375445417853009@news.wt.net...
I was wondering if anybody had advice on putting a
antenna
in a attic. Not sure how good this would work or what kind of antenna would
be
the best to use. I have been using a no-ground antenna on my apt's balcony
and
that worked "ok" how would that work inside a attic? also how much will the A/C ducts interfere with this as they are metalic. As this is a brand new house I would rather not put any holes in the roof or drill holes anywhere outside it to attach anything yet.

thanks for any advice
spj67@eudoramail.com

1669. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 03:33:13 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAE2F79.BA6461EA@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140462

now the light is coming on wrote:
>
> : Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:
> : It is possible, with an s meter, to tell the switch between one power level
> : to another, from a given station at any given moment.
>
> Yes, a same station comparison... two same area stations can also be
> compared.
>
> : However, it is not possible to determine the absolute power level of that
> : station with any confidence at all.
>
> True, but you can say that X station running full legal power into a
> known antenna will probalby not be 10 dB signal below a same city
> station "Y"; using some assumptions or knowledge of his antenna system. In
> short, station Y is running gas when his signal strenght is 10dB higher
> for a similar station and location.

So you are going to have all this information on some station you
hear on the air that is 50db over s-9 which convinces you he is
over the legal power limit, because your s-meter says so.

>
> There are "rules to the game..."
>
> : You would have to know the absolute path loss, on that day, for that path,
> : at that moment.
> : You would have to know exactly where the other station is, to even begin to
> : figure that out.
> : You would have to know his antenna type, gain and pattern, and pointing
> : direction (in all three axes)
> : You would have had to calibrate your antenna, feedline and receiver to a
> : known standard.
> : From any appreciable distance, how can you determine the difference between
> : 200W into a 0dB antenna, and 100W into a 3dB antenna?
>
> For these levels, its not practical... but one can easily compare stations
> in similar locations running 100, 1000/2000 & say 4,000 watts. The guy
> running the big gas is always much louder than the 100 watt station and
> over an average, will be louder than the legal limit station in the same
> area. I hear and see it almost every time I turn a receiver onto certain
> war-zone amateur frequencies and much of the CB band.

So your s-meter tells you what type of antenna each station is
running. Maybe the strongest station which is running the lowest

power is using a beam and the weaker station running more power is
using a dipole.

>
> : The best you can do, is to say that station X is putting out a strong
> : signal, and maybe it's unusually strong. That's why the FCC does station
> : inspections.
>
> Exactly ... please repeat the above to the rest of the crowd. Would it
> not be reasonable to assume Station X is well over the legal limit,
> when compared to Y; certain things are known or assumed from experience,
> first hand knowlege or common sense using even basic tools... ie the
> common reference calibrated S-Meter.
>
> "Unusally strong signals compared to others"
>
> Yee... hah... someone send up fireworks at last... thank you!
>
> : In part 15 testing, at open field sites, they calibrate the receiver (not
> : just any receiver, it has to have specific AGC charachteristics as well) and
> : the feedlines, and the antennas. $7000 for an HP/Agilent antenna with
> : traceable cal curve, though they also use measured dipoles, because their
> : gain is very repeatable. All this to get within 3dB or so, at a range of
> : maybe 50', on a known patch of ground.
>
> One can easily measure and record a receiver (& S-Meter) calibration.
>
> Common antennas have predictable gain and radiation patterns.
>
> Many people will tell you about their station equipment and location over
> the air.
>
> Most people can easily make simple comparisons using simple techniques,
> common knowledge and experience.
>
> Life is good again... thanks
> cheers
> skipp

Let's see you send a letter to the FCC about some ham you know is
running excess power because your s-meter says so and see how hard
they laugh.

1670. Re: Washington DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 03:33:39 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210161933.7e619325@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140448

real radios glow in the dark <4cx5000a@bigtubesrock.com> wrote in message news:<omhrqusrit64ssogcl382i6ncm0v8vmhf9@4ax.com>...
> On 16 Oct 2002 16:38:01 GMT, timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) was
> blabbling:
>
> ...http://members.aol.com/timnebo/washingtondx.jpg
>
>
> is that a legit picture or just something you created?
>
>
> ...

well let's see.....how many screen nics have you created this year so far????

1671. Re: Help identify this coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 03:37:13 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Jfqr9.9084$1P1.722994@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 140449

That doesn't appear to be the Belden number. Look at it for another number.
Most Belden coax cables in that family are four numbers and maybe a letter
at the end...
www.belden.com

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1pill.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ucNq9.52007$oq6.14423@sccrnsc01...
> I've got a couple hundred feet of coax laying in my shop that apparently
> doesn't exist. The jacket just says "Belden RG8U KX" I know it's RG8
> stranded conductor but what does the "KX" mean? I did a quick search and
got
> ZERO hits. Looking at Belden's website they make NO mention of the
> designation "KX" Anyone have a clue what this stuff is and what the specs
on
> it are? Thanks for any help you can give.
>
> Dave
>
>

1672. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 03:37:30 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAE307A.401BA664@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140463

Dave VanHorn wrote:

>
> Back in my CB days, I used to run mobile from a '67 chrysler imperial. Robyn
> rig, tuned to 4.0W output (I worked in a radio repair shop at the time) I
> ran a firestick antenna, and added a capacity hat, and retuned the antenna
> to resonance. Sitting in my driveway, two blocks away, I was 3dB higher
> into a given station about 15 miles away, than another fellow, from his
> base with a Ringo Ranger up about 30'. The antenna was resonant, the
> feedline was low loss, and the cap hat lowered my angle of radiation
> considerably.

Yep, someone using a vertical with a lower angle of radiation
running 100 watts may put in a signal stronger that another
station in the same location running 1000 watts and a beam, or
vice versa. There are two many factors that affect signal strength
to use an s-meter to determine who is running how much power. It
is silly assumptions at best.

1673. Dwight Stewart , W5NET = Aaron H. Voobner.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 03:39:43 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210161939.48d3f061@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140450

It makes a damn good fit doesn't it????

1674. Re: Dwight Stewart , W5NET = Aaron H. Voobner.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 03:42:27 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Dkqr9.9092$1P1.724806@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 140451

lol...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1pill.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0210161939.48d3f061@posting.google.com...
> It makes a damn good fit doesn't it????

1675. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 04:01:21 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAE3611.E96790B0@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140464

"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:

> >> Power levels well in excess of 2000 watts were clearly
> >>demonstrated to
> >> many of us in a well known web group.
>
> Uh-huh, this web group is so "well known" that I've never heard of it.
> So what's the name of it? The 2000 watt + demo was done on the air?
> What frequency? What was the callsign of the operator so we can verify
> this?
>
I am curious too, what is this well known web group?

I have had stations from both the east and west coasts sometimes
pin my s-meter. I guess I should have turned them in for running
power in excess of the legal limit. Never mind band conditions.

1676. Re: Hey Gunslinger...nice DX tonight!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 04:05:12 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021017000512.23951.00002012@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 140452

257 you've got a hardline into my neck of the woods...hands down!
333 in the Mobile Springfield MO
and I'm down

"Got RF?"

1677. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 04:18:12 UTC
From: Mark Walsh <mark@km6xu.die.spam.die.com>
Message-ID: <aoldhudqb1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 140453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

> > LOL!!! I guess Aaron just doesn't learn.
> > Go ahead, keep posting the
> > same thing, it will just get your account yanked
> > again..... LMAO!!!!

> Since when do accounts get "yanked" for posting the same thing?

People get their accounts "yanked" for repeatedly violating
a newsgroup's charter. I don't know about r.r.c.b. (and quite
frankly, I feel sorry for those there having to endure this
childish nonsense), but your postings have nothing to do with
pirate radio (despite your empty assertions otherwise). We created
this group in February of 1992 for the purpose of discussing
matters relevant to pirate radio (we had outgrown sci.electronics).

Our private pleas to you have fallen on deaf ears. Please clean
up your act, or, failing that, excise from your postings the
newsgroups where you are unwelcome. Nobody likes a
party-pooper. Thanks.

> If you feel that this quote (below) is a TOS violation then report me.

Are you asking to be spanked like a child?
Grow up, and you will find your presence to be welcome.

Mark Walsh ***** mark@die.spam.die.km6xu.com ***** http://www.km6xu.com

1678. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 04:18:28 UTC
From: Mark Walsh <mark@km6xu.die.spam.die.com>
Message-ID: <aoldiedrl1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 140454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

> > LOL!!! I guess Aaron just doesn't learn.
> > Go ahead, keep posting the
> > same thing, it will just get your account yanked
> > again..... LMAO!!!!

> Since when do accounts get "yanked" for posting the same thing?

People get their accounts "yanked" for repeatedly violating
a newsgroup's charter. I don't know about r.r.c.b. (and quite
frankly, I feel sorry for those there having to endure this
childish nonsense), but your postings have nothing to do with
pirate radio (despite your empty assertions otherwise). We created
this group in February of 1992 for the purpose of discussing
matters relevant to pirate radio (we had outgrown sci.electronics).

Our private pleas to you have fallen on deaf ears. Please clean
up your act, or, failing that, excise from your postings the
newsgroups where you are unwelcome. Nobody likes a
party-pooper. Thanks.

> If you feel that this quote (below) is a TOS violation then report me.

Are you asking to be spanked like a child?
Grow up, and you will find your presence to be welcome.

Mark Walsh ***** mark@die.spam.die.km6xu.com ***** http://www.km6xu.com

1679. Re: antenna in attic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 04:34:07 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021017003407.24615.00008960@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 140455

just get a tripod roof mount and put it in there. each leg screws in under the shingle to a rafter and if you ever decide to take it off al you need to fix it is silicon for the holes. its water tight if done right and they dont put too much strain on your house. thats way better than having shitty performance at best from an indoor antenna

>
>
>Yes, did that years ago... worked only fair. CB radio frequencies don't pass
>through solid objects well and the losses are heavy. I had a 1/4 wave ground
>plane I fabricated from antenna grounding wire up there. Don't get me
>wrong... it does work... but don't expect the same performance as if the
>antenna would be outdoors in "free space".
>
>--
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>Brian Griffey
>http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
>http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
>http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1pill.pdf
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
>"spj67" <spj67@eudoramail.com> wrote in message
>news:CFN375445417853009@news.wt.net...
> I was wondering if anybody had advice on putting a
>antenna
>in a attic. Not sure how good this would work or what kind of antenna would
>be
>the best to use. I have been using a no-ground antenna on my apt's balcony
>and
>that worked "ok" how would that work inside a attic? also how much will the
>A/C ducts interfere with this as they are metalic. As this is a brand new
>house I would rather not put any holes in the roof or drill holes anywhere
>outside it to attach anything yet.
>
>thanks for any advice
>spj67@eudoramail.com
>
>
>
>
>

1680. Re: Help identify this coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 05:00:55 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <burr9.71761$NW3.18272@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 140456

That's my main problem Brian. The identifier on the cable doesn't match anything I can find on the web. To be honest I have not tried to call them yet or done any extensive searching since my second post. Had too much fun with the radio last night and forgot all about doing another search.

I just double checked the jacket. For the 20 feet of Coax I looked at printed about every 4 inches is this:

BELDEN RG8U KX .. ..

This is exactly as it is shown on the jacket (DISCLAIMER: when I say exactly I don't really mean exactly)

The dots are in the middle of the jacket and the series is 2 2 5 4 10. Checked along a bit of the jacket and it is like this every where. I'm sure the dots mean nothing, they just struck me as odd. I suppose it really doesn't matter, I was just curious what the specs on this stuff were and if I could expect it to last when I run it up my mast. I suppose it's also good to know if it is "good" coax for my situation.

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:Jfqr9.9084$1P1.722994@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> That doesn't appear to be the Belden number. Look at it for another number.
> Most Belden coax cables in that family are four numbers and maybe a letter
> at the end...
> www.belden.com
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1pill.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ucNq9.52007$oq6.14423@sccrnsc01...
> > I've got a couple hundred feet of coax laying in my shop that apparently
> > doesn't exist. The jacket just says "Belden RG8U KX" I know it's RG8
> > stranded conductor but what does the "KX" mean? I did a quick search and
> got
> > ZERO hits. Looking at Belden's website they make NO mention of the
> > designation "KX" Anyone have a clue what this stuff is and what the specs

> on
> > it are? Thanks for any help you can give.
> >
> > Dave
> >
> >
>
>

1681. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 05:02:27 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210162102.32eda855@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

Mark Walsh wrote
"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:
> "Landshark" wrote:
> > >LOL!!! I guess Aaron just doesn't learn.
> > >Go ahead, keep posting the
> > >same thing, it will just get your account yanked
> > >again..... LMAO!!!!
>
> >Since when do accounts get "yanked" for posting the same thing?
>
>People get their accounts "yanked" for repeatedly violating
>a newsgroup's charter.

I hope so. richcranus@yahoo.com has violated several.

-Aaron-

1682. Re: Small radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 05:08:44 UTC
From: Cris <reply_here@2Bspam.free>
Message-ID: <83hsqu4lt51ft61pmbc59tie35r6g36ciq@4ax.com>
Source record: 140459

On Tue, 15 Oct 2002 19:05:46 -0700, "Ricky" <rcole@blvl.igs.net>
wrote:

>
>Hey, I'm wondering if there's a small CB radio that I can install into my
>ashtray compartment of my truck. It's a new truck and I NEED A radio!! I
>got hooked with my other truck, but the Cobra 19's just a bit too..I don't
>know..big for this one. Any help?
>
>
>

the smallest radio that I know of.. was made by either Cobra or Maxon.
All the controls in the mic head, including the receive speaker., the
rest mounts under the dash or under the seat.

1683. Re: Looking for a CB Base with antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 05:10:42 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <mDrr9.26002$ER5.1751404@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 140458

You don't need a code ticket to talk around the world just get on IRLP and you are world wide from a hand-held 27-7-365. Try that with a CB.

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:14897-3DAAAE2D-913@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: kc8pwl@core.com (Barry Fisher)
Maybe it would be smart to get your Ham Ticket FM is better then AM , get a 10 dollar book at radio shack and study and get your license and talk around the world after getting your code, just a thought .. 11 meters is out of date
-

Really? As a nocode, you haven't experienced all of what hammie radio has to offer, so one could reasonably expect you to not know which service is older, as the "node" exam requires virtually no knowledge concerning hammie radio, theory, nor it's history. You may want to consider an upgrade.

1684. Re: Nicad charger question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 05:15:18 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <GHrr9.77321$oq6.22559@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 140460

Hey TRA1N,

I thought the memory effect had been debunked as a myth. I might be thinking about Nickel Metal Hydride (sp?) or Nickel Cadmium (sp?) Shesh my spelling and memory are trash tonight. I also thought that along with the "memory effect" that fully discharging these batteries was a no-no. Are you just working off your memory or do you have a source handy for this info? I should add this is not an attack I am just curious if you had a source handy or if I should look it up for my self.

Dave

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
news:20021016232406.15794.00002043@mb-dd.aol.com...
> Wrong answer.....Nicads should be FULLY drained. Some people even
keep a
> small light bulb such as an 1156 auto bulb across the terminals to keep
them
> discharged. Batteries have a "memory" to them and need to be FULLY charged
and
> DISCHARGED as well. Ask anyone who races electric RC cars and they will
tell
> you the same thing.
> Train
> >Subject: Re: Nicad charger question
> >From: "Dave VanHorn" dvanhorn@cedar.net
> >Date: 10/16/02 10:39 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <RfydnYuXboQgvzOgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>
> >
> >> I discharged the batteries to zero before charging 1/2 day.
> >
> >You're being mean to your batteries.
> >If you want them to live, never discharge below 0.9V, or 1.0V if you want
> >the most life possible.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1685. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 06:21:44 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021017022144.20784.00002002@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 140465

jj are you suggesting that if a known station running full legal limit is swamped by another station from the same local area by at least 10 db or 2 s units that its unfair to assume the stronger signal is running more power?

>I have had stations from both the east and west coasts sometimes
>pin my s-meter. I guess I should have turned them in for running
>power in excess of the legal limit. Never mind band conditions.
>

1686. Re: Nicad charger question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 06:30:37 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <0Rydne2OzaVwxTOgXTWcow@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140466

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
news:20021016232406.15794.00002043@mb-dd.aol.com...
> Wrong answer.....Nicads should be FULLY drained. Some people even
keep a
> small light bulb such as an 1156 auto bulb across the terminals to keep
them
> discharged. Batteries have a "memory" to them and need to be FULLY charged
and
> DISCHARGED as well. Ask anyone who races electric RC cars and they will
tell
> you the same thing.
> Train

Sorry, but that's the RIGHT answer.
Just because a bunch of people do it, dosen't make it right.

Among other things, I design NICAD and NIMH chargers for a living.
See McGraw Hill's handbook of batteries, chapter 29, or any NIMH or NICAD
cell manufacturer's technical data.

"memory effect" is almost totally a myth as well. It was only ever seen in
special cells designed for sattelite use, and only when the depth of each
cycle was held within 4% (like a sattelite in orbit going behind the earth).
It was hard to get it to show up, even under those circumstances.

When you deep discharge a pack, you reverse charge the weakest cell.
Reverse charging is very bad for them. You are forcing them into premature
failure.

1687. Re: Nicad charger question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 06:32:10 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <_uOdneF54vjXxDOgXTWcpg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140467

"Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:GHrr9.77321$oq6.22559@sccrnsc01...
> Hey TRA1N,
>
> I thought the memory effect had been debunked as a myth.

It is a myth in consumer cells.
Charge depression in NIMH cells is very real, caused by leaving them on
nicad trickle chargers for prolonged periods. NIMH dosen't like the NICAD
c/10 trickle. It wants more like C/300.

I might be thinking
> about Nickel Metal Hydride (sp?) or Nickel Cadmium (sp?) Shesh my spelling
> and memory are trash tonight. I also thought that along with the "memory
> effect" that fully discharging these batteries was a no-no.

It is.

1688. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 10:53:49 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAE96BD.64B0@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140471

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Amateur ham radio operators last link in chain
> By Jason Kosareff, Staff Writer

> Breaker, breaker it's amateur ham radio.

Another "bright" person, who doesn't know the difference between ham radio and CB.......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1689. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 11:25:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14581-3DAE9E30-71@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140468

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:

>Let's clarify this once and for all.
>I enjoy yanking *YOUR* chain

The "Who" doesn't matter, Dave,

>Yes it does. There are some people in life with >a "kick me" sign
>banded on their forehead. You are one of >those people.

Tut tut....that is selective practice of the good will you allegedly preach,,,mighty hypocritical of you to claim for another to practice one thing but you have difficulty practicing what you preach..you now have entered the "Hypocrite Hall, of Lelnad C. Scott Kc8LDO, Frank Gilliliand, N8WWM Dogie Adair.
It holds perfectly well with what you put forward about morals and what not. Opposing views shouldn't make you act like that, Hall. It doesn't fit with jibe your mental health hobby (stifling a hysterical roar) !!
The mere notion that you derive pleasure from trolling a cb board and "yanking" the "chain" of someone

>Not "someone", YOU. <

Which makes your hypocrisy and malady even more embarrassing. You have no idea who you are attempting
but failing to argue with and your beahvior is indicative of this high esteem that hammie radio projects.

>I have a fairly good idea<

You don't have a clue.

> The fact that you respond so predictably, to >programmed stimulous,

Holy Cripe! Is that what you call it when you address me or my post? Programmed stimulous? You clown....the word would be stimuli, if you even had a clue what you were talking about. You sound like a wannabe mental health tech almost as bad as you do a socialist and wannabe dictator of radio.
just further validates my diagnosis.
Your WHAT? Let me get this right...you are claiming yourself qualified to give a diagnosis based on personal information you have NOT culled. I take back the alcohol factor. I think you are a speed freak.

>I do not treat other people with the same >distain, simply because they act appropriately,

Sure you do Dave, the archives are ful of your bullshit attacks on law abiding cbers...full of your insults, etc.
You are not the judge in what is proper. Matter of fact, you have proved you are so far removed from what is the majority that you are seriously out of touch.

>According to you.

Your posts speak for themselves. I don't have a pc, but they were catalogued by a retiree...any time you're ready to made a hypocrite and liar some more,,,say the word,,,,,

>People in mental hospitals think they're >normal too.
>I was hoping you'd start to see that, but you're >still deep in da'
>river 'nile.

Your intellect is severely inhibited by your inability to mask your agressive and hostile streaks. A simpleton that involves himself in the personal nature of others and lies with repeated insults has no where left to fall.

>with courtesy and a modicum of decorum >(Another phrase to add to your growing list of "vernacular").

Oh, your insults are becoming so....so.......sophomoric.
Give me the word, and I'll send you the >personalized certificate, with >"Sophomoric" in gold leaf.

Send it.
Your gay buddy that keeps mentioning my underwear, Lelnad KC8LDO can give you some poor bastards addy he thinks is mine. Thats what he gets for listening to Frank.

>Time's running short.

Well, when you have time, run and see the whopper lie you just told and see
how I treated you vs how you treated me when I enetered the room. Look who began huring insults and not practicing an ounce of "decorum" .

>I don't expect you to agree. But it was you who >adopted a
>condescending tone.

It isn't about agreeing, it is reading what is there. Wanna go there? I respond in kind.

>I am just about finished with kicking the punch
>clown for now.

You're fighting with KC8LDO Lelad?

>So you'd better say something meaningful (if >you can) in the next day.

Ok, how many self-contradictory and lies do you want shared here since you tefuse to take your personal problems off the board?

>Come Friday, I will return to ignoring you, until >I feel the need to kick the punch clown again.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Well, I am glad you got that off your chest. It must pain you to keep such hostilities bottled up inside like that. Perhaps you should think about spending more time with your daughter instead of posting with your alias all weekend.
You will note that I am absent over the
weekend.
I have never been here on the weekends but maybe once or twice per year, but now that you suddenly made the concsious effort to say you will be gone, I am certain you will be here. Weekend visitations suck, don't they? Shoulda gave up the booze long ago...you'd still have the marriage and the daughter.

>I spend most of my leisure time with the >people who I care the most >about.

Yea? When did you fall in love with me?

> You are merely a distraction from a busy work >day..... Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Thatwas has been played, too. You're washed up.
Besides, you couldn't spell "work" without your dictionary let alone perform it.

1690. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 11:40:42 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAEA1BA.16EB@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140472

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> >...which underscores the double standard by >which you are seemingly
> unaware of.
>
> What irony.

Aye! Cap't, the plot, she canna sink, it is made of irony!

> >The same criteria, by which I present "what *I* >refer to" in reference
> to any topic, brands me a >"liar" in your book,
>
> Different criteria.

Why did I know you would say that? What follows is yet another attempt
at rationalizing the reason why you not just the flip side of my coin.

> When I say something is an opinion, it clearly is
> visible at first galnce. I don't put something out there unless I know
> it to be reasonably true and am always willing to listen to an opposing
> view while putting my personal views to the side while refrainiing from
> personal off topics. You claimed you never did something, I merely
> pointed to a few posts that are in direct contradictory with what you
> were trying to claim. On top of that, you attempted not once, not twice,
> but three times to deliberately misattribute something to me that I
> never said in a deliberate and malicious fashion.

Uh huh, sure. Whatever you say.

>
> >yet when the table is turned on you, you hide >behind "It's only my
> opinion". Which is it then?
> >Are we both liars, are are we both just stating >our opinions?
>
> We are both entitled to our opinions without prejudice. I hesitated to
> call you a liar, but after deliberately trying to malign my character
> with repeated claims that I said something when I clearly did not,,,you
> left me no choice.

If it walks like a duck, looks like a duck, and quacks like a duck, then
it sure ain't no chicken.......

> >I see that you took a fancy to the term >"sophomoric" which I used a
> few posts back, >and are now using it quite regularly.
>
> Don't apologize. You are the second of Aarons named rec.radio.cb trouble
> posse who has asked me to dumb down my word usage. Frank was first.

Another example of your (not so) subtle ways of hurling condescending
insults. I thought you said you didn't do that? It was comments like
these that resulted in me "returning the favor".

>
> Maybe to people like yourself.
> Here again is where your socialism rears it's ugly head.
>
> >While we're on the subject:
> >Socialism, n. "A social system, in which the >means of producing and
> distributing goods are >owned collectively and political power is
> >exercised by the whole community."
> >Now, what part of that applies to anything >even remotely related to
> anything that I've >said?
>
> Get a better dictionary.

Why? This one provides the proper and correct definitions. Because they
don't agree with you is not cause enough for me to want to change it.

>
> >I guess you feel that anyone who believes in >law and order, and does
> not selectively break >laws is somehow a socialist?
>
> I can't stress it enough. Stop guessiing.

A non-answer. Typical for one who's backed into a corner.

>
> >Maybe if your parents<
>
> None. I was born in a desert and raised in a lion's den.

Is that before or after the visit from the saucer men?

>
> > had taken some cat-o-nine tails across your >sorry butt, when you were
> a misbehaving child
>
> The Seer malady has just entered the Hypocrite Hall. Please take a seat
> and we will close the door.
>
> >instead of adopting the neo-liberal-hippie style >of allowing children
> to "express themselves", >even when those actions were bad, you might
> >have a greater respect for doing things the >proper way, and you
> wouldn't be so >anti-social.
>
> I'm not anti-social, Dave,,,I'm anti-asshole.

You must hate looking in the mirror then.

> You are upset
>
> >Hardly. You still don't get it do you? You, my punch clown friend, are

> my entertainment. <
>
> Won't work.
> I said that of this group when I decided to stay (it's in the archives,
> go get it), that it was my fresh humor for the day and brightened my
> mornings....put me in the mood to do my job, ya' know! You claimed you
> were in this group way longer than me,and you are right, but I will
> wager you never claimed that of this group until post-twist!!!

I NEVER said that the "group" was my entertainment. Read it again, I
said that *YOU* were my entertainment. So you are right that I wouldn't
have made the statement before you came on board, unless, of course, I'm
really a visiting time traveler from 2525.

>
> >As of Friday, I will be done with you.<
>
> Sure you will. I spend maybe a total of one or two weekends a year
> indoors, so that is when you will manifest as some other faceless sap
> hurling insults. Lelnad KC8LDO used to do it all the time...each and
> every weekend like clockwork..

The paranoia is kicking in again eh? I can guarantee you, that I won't
be here at all on the weekend. But that's not what I was refering to.
You see, like a child, who takes his pet rabbit out of the cage, and
lets him run around on the floor for a while, but then has to put him
back before he leave a "pile" on the floor, I have toyed with you this
week. But in the interest in conserving bandwidth, and as a courtesy
(something that you should study) to other people, who may not
appreciate my "entertainment", I will put you back in your cage, and
return to ignoring you until I feel another need to let you out again.

>
> >Unless, of course, you actually have a >coherent thought, and decide to
> approach a >subject rationally, instead of confrontationally.
>
> Your flawed interpretations were evident when you claimed all those
> hammies were federal criminals.

While we're at it:

Criminal:
1. Of or involving crime.
2. Guilty of crime.
3. One who has committed a crime.

Now of course you will take issue with the term crime, so in
anticipation of this:

Crime:
1. An act committed or omitted in violation of the law.
2. An unjust or senseless act.

Now lets apply some logic shall we?

Is operating a radio, on frequencies not authorized by the FCC, or with power levels higher than authorized by the FCC, a violation of federal regulations (I.E. The Law)?

The answer to anyone but a sociopath would be: Yes.

Since a crime is defined as an act committed in violation of the law, the conclusion would be that operating a radio against the FCC rules would be considered a crime. Expanding that logic further, since one of the definitions of criminal is "one who commits a crime", the conclusion would be that an operator of an illegal radio station is, in fact, a criminal.

So don't take issue with me, take issue with society, and see how far it gets you.

But of course, your predictable answer will be, "Get a better dictionary", as if that would change anything.

> No, it's not actually. We can claim over 100 DX countries, with cards to
> prove it, on HF.
> Nocodes can't do that.
>
> >You make the erroneous assumption that DX >is the be all,
>
> Where did I do that?
> Shooooooooow me the money!!!!!!

Right here:
" We can claim over 100 DX countries, with cards to prove it, on HF."

The implication, (which you will convieniently deny) of course, is that DX is the litmus test by which you measure a radio service's relative worth. The fact that a No-code can't work DX, seems to be important to you, but I can assure you, it doesn't mean squat to them.

You wear your DX achievments (and I use the term loosely) like some sort of badge of honor, like it actually means something. Any idiot, with $100 to spend, and an penchant for ignoring the law could do what you do. It doesn't take any talent or discipline. That's why I grew bored with DX in the 70's.

A no-code tech, who builds his own antennas, or operates a repeater, earns more respect that a freeband DX'er.

>
> >Personally, I find the pursuit of DX to be >pointless and boring, and
> conducive to >interference, a flash point for hostilities, and
> >generally shows the "bad" side of radio >operations.
>
> But of course you do. It shows in your history of perpetual hard-ons for
> cbers. It has always been a problem in your internet incarnation.

Once again, you measure CBers against that "DX" litmus. I am a CBer, and have had many, many friends over the 30+ years that I've been on CB. Most of them didn't care much for DX either, once they made the first dozen or so contacts and the thrill wore off. I have no "hard-on" (hmm, a sexual reference, what could that mean?) for Cbers. I do have a problem with those who's selfish pursuits infringe upon the rights and enjoyment of others who are trying to do their thing on CB, and are protected by part 95.

>
> >No one will be happier than I will be, when >cycle 23 is in the can,
> and CB can return to >normalcy.
>
> Whatever limited definition you wish to apply that term.

I'll make it simple so you can understand.

Solar cycle low, little to no DX. Little to no DX, peace will come to the band, so that locals can once again enjoy their hobby without high powered idiots jamming their QSO's trying to talk to "Billy-Bob" in bumfutch Kentucky, or a pile of dirt in the middle of the ocean.

>
> >No code hams can legally build their own >equipment, own and operate
> repeaters, >operate on many modes, including modern >digital modes. And
> if they get the itch to talk >farther than a hundred or so miles, there
> are >always band openings on VHF and UHF >bands. No code hams can use
> any ham band >above 30 Mhz, with full operating privileges. >That's a
> heck of a lot more than you can do on >the freeband, no matter how much
> you disdain >it.
>
> I don;t disdain the freeband at all. Slow down, Dave,,,you aren't
> thinking rationally.

No, you disdain the no-code tech priviledges.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1691. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 12:15:45 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAEA9F1.782B@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140473

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> >Is the FCC a FEDERAL agency?
> >Was "Rabbit Ears'" case heard before a >FEDERAL court? Is "Rabbit Ears"
> spending >the next 15 months >in a FEDERAL prison? Is >"Rabbit Ears"
> paying the FEDERAL gov't a >nice check for $25,000? Sounds like a
> >FEDERAL crime to me..... But I guess you >still feel that "Rabbit
> Ears" is not a "criminal".
>
> Your guessing is aghast and never correct.

It must be, you didn't deny it.

> Were we talking about Rabbit Ears? No.
>
> >Yes. I was.<
>
> No, you weren't.
> You entered him into the equation yet at the same time took issue with
> me for mentioning the hammies you called federal criminals because they
> were not ALL running power,as if that had anything at all to do with
> thembreaking what you say is FEDERAL LAW
> which makes them FEDERAL CRIMINALS.
> What's good for the goose......

You seem to think that mentioning hams, who are also guilty of breaking
the law would somehow "bother" me. As far as I'm concerned, ham, or
freebander, if you violate the law, you should get the punishment that
the law provides for.

Operating a radio is not a "right", it's a "privilege". And like all
privileges, it comes with associated responsibilities. If you can't
abide by your responsibility (Which usually means to follow the rules),
then you stand to lose that privilege. In the case of hams, that would
be their license. In the case of freebanders, it would be forfeiture of
equipment, monetary fines, and/or incarceration.

Our prisons are full of people who have forsaken their responsibilities,
to live harmoniously within our society, and as a result have lost the
privilege of freedom. It's the price you pay for being a sociopath.

>
> > He's a shining example of an outlaw mentality >gone horribly wrong.
>

> And you're a blackeye to hammie radio.

I think not, and what you think means nothing.

>
> >You might be a few pegs up the ladder from >him, but you're just as
> guilty of breaking >federal law.
>
> Am I as guilty as the hammies in the rain report each week? They are
> federal criminals by your logic, are they not?

Yes, and yes.

>
> We don't do what Rabbit Ears did.
>
> >Who is "we"? <
>
> Wait a sec..you just said in aother post "So *WE* don't think you're a
> blooming idiot."

That's "babbling idiot". If you are going to quote me, please get it
right.
In that case "we" refered to other members of the newsgroup. In your
case, who "we" refers to is unclear.

Is "we" anyone who abandons CB rules, including freebanders, amp
jockeys, and just plain foul mouths? Or are you refering to a particular
segment of illegal radio operators?

"Yep, we illegal freebanders, are not as bad as those guys running amps.
We break the rules with a bit more class."

> I love talking to you when you're drunk, Dave...your hypocrisy comes
> shining through brilliantly.

Once again:

Hypocricy
1. Possessing of beliefs or virtues, one doesn't possess.

Now, what belief or virtue do I espouse (another word for you), that I
do not embrace personally?

>
> >Are you speaking for all radio outlaws again >here?
>
> When I said "we". I referred to freebanders , an in they do not, for the
> most part act like Rabbit Ears

You're a "better class" of criminal. Sort of like how murderers and drug
dealers look upon child molesters with contempt.

> >All guilty of breaking federal law.
>

> ...to your indiscretions.
>
> >Which are? <
>
> The repeated lies were enough for one week. More in due time if you
> wanna play, but I strongly urge you to take your admitted personal
> grudge with me to email

I have no desire to waste time in an e-mail exchange with you. It would
be counterproductive, and much less entertaining for those of us who
enjoy watching you spin.

> so as not to subject the group to anymore of
> your enjoyment you get by using the group as your personal tool to
> "yank: my chain.

Some people have actively encouraged me to continue. But I really would
rather stick to pointing out the flaws in your twisted logic, and citing
example after example of how you are not a "good citizen".

> It really hurts hammie radio to see a grown man that
> uses his call in his sig act as such.

It would hurt much more if I hid behind an anonymous "handle", thereby
casting doubt as to the sincerity of my words.

>
> And that would have what remote connection to a simple freebander
> getting a warning letter for the first, second, maybe third time? And
> have you EVER found a cber guilty of the "Federal Crime" you say exists
> of DXing?
>
> >Still living by the old "it's only illegal if I'm >caught" mentality.
>
> It's not a mentality, it's a reality.

Such is the root of your anti-social disorder.

>
> >By that logic, that sniper, who's terrorizing the >mid-atlantic area,
> will not technically be a >"criminal" until we finally catch him eh?
>
> By any logic, you were shorted.
>
> The ignorance is flowing from you today isn;t it? Speeding can directly
> kill an innocent and has done so in the past. Freebanding between 11 and
> 10 meters hasn't.
>
> >And the former CEO's of companies like >Enron and IMclone will be
> getting fines and jail >time for "only" falsifying company accounts >and
> misstating earnings. No one died here >either. So much for your
> (il)logic.
>

> And Kevin Mitnick is getting his hammie license back and no one died.
> What's the connection, or are you half into the sauce now?
>
> >A speeding ticket won't land you a $25,000 >fine or 15 months in jail
> either.
>
> Neither will simple DXing and freebanding between 10 and 11. It will get
> you, at the most, a warning letter the first time. Don't cause a problem
> and the letter is a given.
>
> >And if you continue to operate illegally after >the "warning", what do
> you think will eventually >happen? "Rabbit Ears" got a "warning" too.
>
> Rabbit ears got what he deserved.
>
> >Too bad he, like you, doesn't take the law >seriously.
>
> Seer malady.
>
> >And the federal gov't doesn't issue speeding >tickets either. Let's
> review now shall we boys >and girls:
>
> Can you say "patronizing?"

Sure. PA-tro-niz-ing.

>
> How come all these hammies aren't in jail that receive notices each
> week? Why aren't they all paying fines? Because what you say simply
> doesn't take place, these people are not federal criminals, and a
> warning letter is always sent first. You failed (yet again) to mention
> that.

There's a big difference in the severity of the offense, between a ham
that unknowingly failed to I.D. once or twice, and someone who willfully
and repeatedly ignores radio rules.

>
> >And your whole position is predicated (another >word for your
> vocabulary)
>
> My, you are certainly coming along since my education of you,
> grasshopper. I even forced you to open a junior high level dictionary
> after you found the urine staned thing and sprayed it with Old Spice so
> you could get near it.

Can YOU say patronizing?

>
> >on the fact that you are free to ignore the law, >until you get cited
> because the first offense is >usually a warning. After the "warning" do
> you >now take the law seriously, or do you continue >in your

> irresponsible defiance?

> You are into an entire different area.
> You have sunk your ship.

Nah! The bilge pump is more than sufficient to pump out what you sling in.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1692. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 12:31:44 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAEADB0.6387@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140474

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Back to posts talking of nothing and making personal remarks, eh?
>
> >It helps to gather some insight on why a >person with such a warped
> sense of reality, >such as yourself, thinks the way they do,
>
> Putting aside you defending your hurling of yet more insults and making
> me your sole topic for posting, wouldn't what you just described be
> construed as spying? Why, yes, I believe it could. The gatherng of
> personal information...hahhahahh!

No one "gathered" anything. But you do pick up pieces of information
when you interact with people on a daily basis. That is hardly
considered "spying". Give it up, you're reaching again.

>
> >and why they feel that they are right, even >when they are in contrast
> to the values of >society.
>
> Your values are in the minority.

Says you, and you have already proven (by your actions) that you're a
sociopath. So how could one trust your tainted values?

> The hammies that have recieved letters
> for minor infractions from the FCC do not consider themselves criminals.
> Maybe youshould take this to ahmmie forum and ask them if they consder
> those that received letters, "Federal criminals".

Refer to the chain of logic in an earlier post. I do not feel like
typing it again for you.

>
> >Here's some "lite" reading for anyone that >needs a little background.
> >See if it fits our resident sociopath:
> >http://www.mentalhealth.com/dis1/p21-pe07.ht>ml
>
> You appear quite familiar with the field.
>
> >Somewhat. It's only another "hobby" for me.<
>
> Ever try work?

Not in the field of psychiatry. I don't have the degree. But I can still
study it as a hobby. It also makes life easier to endure, when you

understand why a-holes act like a-holes. You tend to feel more sorry for
them, then get angry with them.

> >I'm not afraid to stand by my words
>
> Right. You deny them,
>
> >I clarify them, and put them back into their >original context.
> >Of course, I deny the distorted meanings that >you construct from
> >snippets of my posts taken out of context.
>
> Another lie. Your post concerning your spying was presented in full.

No, it wasn't. You convieniently left out the person who I was
responding to, which would have put it in the proper context.

>
> > You should work for the
> >democratic party. You're as slippery as the >best of them.
>
> They can't afford me, but neither can the Republicans.
>
> More division. At a time when the country needs to put petty political
> domestic issues aside more than ever and pull together, we have blooming
> algae like you that would prefer to further divide our united efforts.
>
> >Tell that to the democrats,
>
> Nah, I just told it you. Youare the one seeking division in all facets
> of your life.
>
> > But don't start talking about politics.
>
> I didn't you did and forgtot already. Lush. Hahah!
>
> >The real joke, that you still don't get: I *AM* a >CBer!
>
> You are the real joke, Dave, I just haven't decide to reveal all the
> punch lines yet or to let you in on them.
>
> >Well, you'd better do it soon. I'm putting you >back in your cage on
> Friday.
> >Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
>
> You're plagiarizing me again. Ive had cages for the AKC since one man
> gang was whoppin' up on 'em along with phone man making Dogie look like
> a cber with a bad case of Tourettes.

Ok, then. I usually skip posts that start off with "XYZ is GAY!" or
"Dogie is a fag" as they are nothing more than juvenile mud slinging, so

I missed that reference. So I'll change it to "I'm putting you back in your BOX, by Friday", which means that as of the end of today, we're done here.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1693. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 13:16:55 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAEB847.4F4B@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140475

Skipp is here to tell the real story wrote:
>
> : Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> : I would also like to see his data supporting the allegation that "many"
> : (And what number qualifies as "many") hams run illegal power.
>
> One would not "see" the data unless you had test equipment set up... but
> one could easily hear the data. Try the 15 through 80 meter ham bands
> most any day. Listen for DX pile ups and contests, then the classic
> group of people jamming each other out. I can easily hear them most every
> day. A little common sense and a calibrated S-Meter are excellent
> indicators of excessive power operation.

So let's assume we have all of this "fancy" equipment, what do you use
as a reference signal? Remember, when looking at signals that are
propagated through F layer refraction, there will always be some fade
and selective enhancement. Because one guy comes in at +20db over S9
while the rest of the pile is at S8 does not automatically mean that the
stronger signal is illegally powered, it might just mean that conditions
to his "neck of the woods" is better at that moment.

Add to that the wide variation of antennas. Who would be stronger, the
guy running 2Kw into a half-wave dipole, or the guy running 500 watts
into a 3 element yagi? What about feedline losses?, polarization?,
ground conductivity?. These are all factors that would make a positive
determination of power output from a distance, to be nearly impossible.

You could make an assumption, that if a group of guys, all yaking it up
in one place, say Texas, and are all coming in within 1 S unit of each
other, except for one guy, who is 20 db stronger, that that one guy has
a few too many "logs on the fire", and you might be right. Assuming that
the other guys are all running the legal limit to start with, and not
just the "barefoot" 100 watts from an HF rig only.

>
> You have to be your own judge of what "many" is to a number of people.
> I'll throw out the wild-card number that more than 25 for a starter.
> Although I know first hand that number to be a very low estimate.
>
> : Since doubling power results in a signal improvement of only 3 db, it
> : would be difficult to identify a ham running illegal power until he was
> : running power that is way over the limit (15KW or more).
>
> Not true... even an S-Meter gives a relative indication of excessive
> power. Once your over the limit, your over the limit. Operation from a

> typical transceiver level of 100 watts plus 20 dB from an amplifier is a
> significant signal increase.

A jump from a 100 watt rig to the 1500 watt legal limit, is only about
12 db, which is the equivilent of 2 "s" units on a decently calibrated
meter. An additional jump from 1500 watts to 6000 is only 6 db more,
which is only an additional "S" unit.

But you are right when you say that an "S" meter gives a relative
indication. Thats the ONLY thing it gives. So unless you know what
signal reference you are comparing your "relative" signal to, the actual
reading is meaningless.

>
> : Most ham
> : amplifiers, while capable of running at twice legal power, would never
> : be able to support the power necessary to be noticed by casual
> : listeners. The few guys that go out of their way to obtain an amp
> : capable of 15 KW plus, are certainly in the minority, but I'm sure it
> : does happen.
>
> Most hams are not capable of running twice the legal power... a small
> percentage have large amplifiers which have 8 to 13 dB of gain.

No arguement there. A kilowatt is typically a 10db gain amplifier, if it
is designed for 100 watts drive.

> Many...
> ie as in a significant number build and operate amplifiers of >13 dB of
> gain. I guess the hundreds members of the list server group I frequent are
> "just a few." Web sites abound with pictures of these well built
> amplifiers. You guys haven't been it the real world for some time... get
> off the decafe..

I'm not sure what your point is here. Are you claiming that there are
many more than "some" hams running huge amps, or not? Your last point,
claiming that most hams are not capable of running the legal limit,
would suggest that you believe that the guys running the really large
amps are in the minority. I would agree with that.

>
> : Ham's are, afterall, only human. Much of the same character flaws that
> : make some CBer's bend or break the rules, are also present in many hams
> : as well.
>
> Building an amplifier for > +13 dB operation is legal, using it above the
> authorized limits is not.

True!

> Both are done by many hams and cbers.

A big difference. Hams can do it legally, CBers cannot do it legally
under ANY circumstances.

> : But the point is, what IS the point? Does the fact that *some* hams
> : break the rules, make it ok for *some* Cbers to break the rules? That's
> : hardly justification in my book. I only know what *I* run. I don't run
> : any more than 120 watts on HF and no more than 200 on VHF.
> : Dave
> : "Sandbagger"
>
> Most of the people I know running large amplifiers, don't use them very
> much. Many hams and non hams take great pride in building large
> amplifiers at various frequencies.

Sure. Much like guys like to build cars with 1000 HP engines, and then
drive them on the street where the speed limit is 55.

> Some of the amps members build mega
> watt amplifiers for non amateur applications. All the topics are covered
> in these list server groups over time.
>
> Even the truth comes out if you can wade through the off topic BS.
>
> Many hams run large amplifiers for practical reasons, often to get over
> jammers and interference. Adjustment of one level /knob makes them
> legal...

Intentions aside, if they run over 1500 watts, no matter how justified
they might feel, they are in violation of the law, and no better, in the
eyes of the law, than the amp jockeys on CB channel 6.

How you or I might feel about it personally, doesn't change that fact.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1694. Parting With Some Older CBs - Collectables?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 13:17:26 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uqte6k5469nc14@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 140470

CBs For Sale; fully described with pictures... here:
http://www.geocities.com/femaster1/photo_gallery.htm

Thanks for looking!
PH

1695. Re: WTB: The True story on the D&A Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 13:25:54 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAEBA62.30E6@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140477

Dennis W. Ostrowski wrote:
>

Hey Dennis,

An unrelated question. Have you ever attempted, or know of any information on what to expect if one were to attempt to modify a Texas Star DX 600 or 667V to run on the 6 meter band?

A couple of the locals have some CB equipment from the good old days, and they are looking to "recycle" them.

Good to see you're still around,

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1696. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 13:54:22 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAEC10E.61F4@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140476

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> Twistedhed wrote:
>
> >Let's clarify this once and for all.
> >I enjoy yanking *YOUR* chain
>
> The "Who" doesn't matter, Dave,
>
> >Yes it does. There are some people in life with >a "kick me" sign
> >banded on their forehead. You are one of >those people.
>
> Tut tut....that is selective practice of the good will you allegedly
> preach,,,mighty hypocritical of you to claim for another to practice one
> thing but you have difficulty practicing what you preach..you now have
> entered the "Hypocrite Hall, of Lelnad C. Scott Kc8LDO, Frank
> Gilliliand, N8WWM Dogie Adair.

What claims have I contradicted now, in your little fantasy world?

> It holds perfectly well with what you put forward about morals and what
> not. Opposing views shouldn't make you act like that, Hall.

I have not problem debating opposing view with people who are
intellectually honest. I do have a problem with people who take what I
say, twist the meanings, concentrate on the nuances, rather than the
overt subject, and then make the claim that I said something that I
didn't, and then accuse me of "lying" when I refute your interpretations
of what I said.

It doesn't
> fit with jibe your mental health hobby (stifling a hysterical roar)
> !!
> The mere notion that you derive pleasure from trolling a cb board and
> "yanking" the "chain" of someone
>
> >Not "someone", YOU. <

Keep repeating that. And don't for a minute think that you aren't
obvious in your attempts at "yanking the chain", of the people you refer
to as "the kennel club", doesn't give you some sort of pleasure, in your
own warped way.

When you call someone a hypocrite, you really should look in the mirror
first.

>
> Which makes your hypocrisy and malady even more embarrassing. You have
> no idea who you are attempting
> but failing to argue with and your beahvior is indicative of this high
> esteem that hammie radio projects.
>
>
> >I have a fairly good idea<
>
> You don't have a clue.
>
> > The fact that you respond so predictably, to >programmed stimulous,
>
> Holy Cripe! Is that what you call it when you address me or my post?
> Programmed stimulous? You clown....the word would be stimuli, if you
> even had a clue what you were talking about. You sound like a wannabe
> mental health tech almost as bad as you do a socialist and wannabe
> dictator of radio.

Keep guessing. It's even more fun when you attempt to grope for the
truth that so alludes you.

> just further validates my diagnosis.
> Your WHAT? Let me get this right...you are claiming yourself qualified
> to give a diagnosis based on personal information you have NOT culled. I
> take back the alcohol factor. I think you are a speed freak.

But I've got your number as evidenced by your increase in stress level.
I can play you like a cheap fiddle......

>
> >I do not treat other people with the same >distain, simply because they
> act appropriately,
>
> Sure you do Dave, the archives are ful of your bullshit attacks on law
> abiding cbers...full of your insults, etc.
> You are not the judge in what is proper. Matter of fact, you have proved
> you are so far removed from what is the majority that you are seriously
> out of touch.
>
> >According to you.
>
> Your posts speak for themselves. I don't have a pc, but they were
> catalogued by a retiree...any time you're ready to made a hypocrite and
> liar some more,,,say the word

Sure, more cut and pasted excerps, taken out of context, and spun with
the skill of a democrat fighting to retain his seat in office, and
presented as some sort of testament to just what exactly?

If you had any sort of credibility, it might make people stop and
consider. But since you are viewed as a warped sociopath, by anyone with
at least half a brain, your rants are nothing more than, well, rants.

>
> Oh, your insults are becoming so....so.......sophomoric.
> Give me the word, and I'll send you the >personalized certificate, with
> >"Sophomoric" in gold leaf.
>
> Send it.
> Your gay buddy that keeps mentioning my underwear

Now we're digressing into sexual inuendos as insults. Your meltdown is progressesing just as predicted. In the words of the leader of the colony on Talos 4: "Excellent!"

> Thatwas has been played, too. You're washed up.
> Besides, you couldn't spell "work" without your dictionary let alone
> perform it.

Note the primative attempt at badgering me into offering up some tidbit of information about what I do for a living. You are getting way too predictable.......

Last day to play.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1697. m-65 superdiode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 14:35:37 UTC
From: Adrian <adshar@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <bVzr9.16589$Sr6.534129@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 140478

Are these still available in the USA? Selman Ent used to sell them yr's ago,but seem to have closed.
Any help greatly appreciated.

Aussie

1698. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 15:07:38 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021017110738.14841.00001835@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 140479

and that in and of itself if why you guys hate cb. you feel you dont get your "proper respect" you think you should deserve from the general public and it really pisses you guys off. its that reason why doug n8wwm started his crusade here long ago. he admitted that mch on his qrz web page back then. the real truth is hams are the only one who cares about the distinction and it drives you crazy

>
>Another "bright" person, who doesn't know the difference between ham
>radio and CB.......
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>

1699. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 15:08:54 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DAED286.9AA64309@mailcity.com>
Source record: 140496

I keep missing the real points wrote:
>
> : JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> : And s-meters even after being calibrated, and that is on one band,
> : are often incorrect after a time as components age. And you would
> : be hard pressed to find the typical ham rig where the s-meter is
> : accurate on all bands.
>
> It take a short time to check the actual s-meter calibration with basic
> test equipment. Its operation across the bands is easily recorded. If you
> don't have an idea of what and how your s-meter works, it would be a great
> topic to go through. Not a real big deal...

I suppose in your basic test equipment you have a signal generator
that has a calibrated output that accurately measures in
microvolts, and it has been calibrated by a lab in the last six
months?

>
> : Actually correct, as most if not all s-meters in the typical ham
> : rig are not calibrated accurately on all bands. Set up 10
> : identical rigs such that you can switch an antenna to each rig
> : tuned to a signal and you the chances are great (almost assured)
> : that all will not give the same s-meter reading. You are putting
> : too much stock in an s-meter that is used for relative signal
> : strength readings...the key word is relative.
>
> The key item is that your radio shack probably has one main radio, it's
> s-meter operation can easily be checked and recorded.

And it will probably be far from accurate and certainly will not
be accurate from band to band.
>
> People like Art Collins were right on target when they first built radios,
> to calibrate their S-Meters against a standard as do many current mfgrs.

And even the Collins receivers did did not maintain s-meter
accuracy on all bands. I know, I have a Collins S-line, a KWM-2
and owned a 75A-3. I calibrated the 20 meter band for 50
microvolts = s-9, and it was off on the rest of the bands. It was
impossible to make it accurate on all bands. It takes a very
expensive lab grade receiver to maintain s-meter calibration
across all bands, the average ham rig will not do it.

> I'm quite aware of how my S-Meter responds across the operating range of
> the radio... I've checked it more than once.

With your very expensive signal generator that can accurately set
the output in microvolts and has be checked by a lab against a
standard in the last six months?

>
> : With much more sophisticated equipment than an s-meter on a
> : typical ham rig.
>
> Relative s-meter signal strength is not hard to get a handle on. I'm
> surprised how many of you are in the dark over an S-Meter calibration
> procedure.
>
> skipp

I am surpassed at how completely in the dark you are about the
inaccuracy of s-meters on the average ham rig.

1700. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 15:11:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021017111123.14841.00001837@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 140480

dave your not understanding his point. he was not tlaking about two stations
across the country from beach other but instead two stations in the same area

> Because one guy comes in at +20db over S9
>while the rest of the pile is at S8 does not automatically mean that the
>stronger signal is illegally powered, it might just mean that conditions
>to his "neck of the woods" is better at that moment.

1701. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 15:15:41 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021017111541.14841.00001839@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 140481

well since thats all he ever implied or stated anyways thank youd ave for
ending this entire arguement

>
>You could make an assumption, that if a group of guys, all yaking it up
>in one place, say Texas, and are all coming in within 1 S unit of each
>other, except for one guy, who is 20 db stronger, that that one guy has
>a few too many "logs on the fire", and you might be right. Assuming that
>the other guys are all running the legal limit to start with, and not
>just the "barefoot" 100 watts from an HF rig only.
>

1702. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 15:17:23 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DAED483.75E1FF0B@mailcity.com>
Source record: 140499

the long road wrote:
>
> : JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> : Yep, someone using a vertical with a lower angle of radiation
> : running 100 watts may put in a signal stronger that another
> : station in the same location running 1000 watts and a beam, or
> : vice versa. There are two many factors that affect signal strength
> : to use an s-meter to determine who is running how much power. It
> : is silly assumptions at best.
>
> Most hams don't run verticals in high power operation.

Your correct, probably most do not, but I know a lot of hams who
do. A lot of hams use verticals for DX work on the lower bands and
do run power with them. So what does that have to do with the
subject?

> Most hams don't run beams on the lower bands, wire is the antenna of
> choice.

Who said anything about lower bands, beams and verticals are in
common use on 20 through 10 meters. In my above reply did I say
anything about beams on the lower bands?

>
> This is where the home work and experience come in... something you appear
> to lack on this thread topic.

Yea, and you believe that the average ham rig has an accurate
s-meter. Talk about lack of experience.

>
> Reduce the wildcards, know your station, add some experience and a little
> practical knowledge. Practial assumptions can be made that are very
> realistic. It's done almost every day...
>
> Otherwise, keep your head in the sand...

Pull yours out of the sand and face the facts.

1703. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 15:25:23 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DAED663.1D25967E@mailcity.com>
Source record: 140503

At last someone whos partway there wrote:

> Hi Dave,
>
> Many hams will tell you what antenna they are using, some won't. I don't
> know a lot of hams running hard line on the hf bands, although I do know
> some. The guy running rg-58 to a discone is probably not going to be
> running gas, nor will he be heard very well.

How many discones do you know of that are in use on the HF bands?
Good grief!!!!

Although rude, some of the
> folks I listen to have little patience for mickey mouse signals and just
> talk over the weak signals until they go away. Poor Amateur Practice...
>
> The general idea here is that you get a sense of how your station works,
> you compare signals you receive to other averaged knowns and make more
> informed assumptions about the power levels of high power signals.

There you go with the assumptions again. When you are listening to
signals, what are these averaged knowns you speak of? Did you tune
across the band and just average all the signals and that becomes
your average known?

You
> ask nearby stations what they are using and the loud guy often brags about
> what amplifier or tube (even solid state at lower power levels) he/she is
> using.

And from that you have an accurate level of his signal to go by?
What crap.

>
> :> True, but you can say that X station running full legal power into a
> :> known antenna will probalby not be 10 dB signal below a same city
> :> station "Y"; using some assumptions or knowledge of his antenna system. In
> :> short, station Y is running gas when his signal strenght is 10dB higher
> :> for a similar station and location.
>
> : Or he's a lot better with antennas :)
>
> He would probably be happy to tell you what his antenna is...
>
> : Back in my CB days, I used to run mobile from a '67 chrysler imperial. Robyn
> : rig, tuned to 4.0W output (I worked in a radio repair shop at the time) I

> : ran a firestick antenna, and added a capacity hat, and retuned the antenna
> : to resonance. Sitting in my driveway, two blocks away, I was 3dB higher
> : into a given station about 15 miles away, than another fellow, from his
> : base with a Ringo Ranger up about 30'. The antenna was resonant, the
> : feedline was low loss, and the cap hat lowered my angle of radiation
> : considerably.
>
> But from experience, I know that certain firestick antennas have different
> angles of radiation compared to an early "Gringo Stranger."
>
> If you were 10 louder, the receiving station might assume you have a "foot
> warmer" under the seat.

There go the assumptions again. All your theory is based strictly
on assumptions, assumption that the guy is using a beam, using an
amplifier, (I have never heard the term 'running gas' for an amp
on the ham bands), not running an amplifier, assumption he is
this, assumption his is that, assumption his antenna favors your
direction, ect., ect., ect.

1704. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 15:33:19 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DAED83F.1AE5A49A@mailcity.com>
Source record: 140506

Skipp keeps going wrote:
>
> : JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> : So you are going to have all this information on some station you
> : hear on the air that is 50db over s-9 which convinces you he is
> : over the legal power limit, because your s-meter says so.
>
> Let me give a real world example, then I'm about done because this is
> taking way to much of my time.
>
> I arrive on a band, say 40 meters which is regional to the US West Coast
> to an extent during any normal or average day.
>
> Friend Eric comes on from LA (I'm in the greater Sacramento area) to chat
> using his SB-220 and a typical dipole or inverted vee. His near legal
> limit signal is loud... I can easily see his signal is very loud and
> clear, part of the reason of said is that I rebuilt his SB-220 for him.
> :-)
> I know the general makeup of his station and use it as a relative
> S-meter reference.
>
> A jacka$$ jammer comes on and plays music... we have heard this guy
> before and can see over time his signal acts predictable for someone down
> near Eric. A few other friends on the band have beams which point toward
> the same area as Eric and the jammer.
>
> Some of us have direction finding equipment...
>
> Eric can also hear the jammer on ground wave... Now the jammer is mad
> because he's not able to take Eric out. His signal jumps up +13 to +20
> dB.
>
> It would be more than reasonable to assume the jammer has kicked his
> amplifier on. His now +13 dB signal increase would lead most people to
> understand that he is running serious gas.
>
> Eric talks his same area station up to the legal limit, the jammer has him
> by +6 to +10 dB signal strenght.
>
> End of assumption...

And just an assumption. How do you know what antenna the jammer is
using? How far away is he? You have nothing but assumptions that
can be way out of line. If the jammer is using a vertical with a

good radial system, his ground wave may be much stronger than your
friend with his dipole.
And this has nothing to do with your original claim that ham rigs
have accurate s-meters and you can tell that someone is running
illegal power just because he pins your s-meter.

>
> Epilog... based on a few other non mentioned events and references in the
> above example, I could probably make a pretty good guess at the size of
> his amplifier. If he is a known person, I might know more about his
> actual station from previous contacts, his "former" or current friends,
> not to mention his own mouth.
>
> : So your s-meter tells you what type of antenna each station is
> : running. Maybe the strongest station which is running the lowest
> : power is using a beam and the weaker station running more power is
> : using a dipole.
>
> A good part of typical amateur operation is the receive mode... as I
> mentioned many other times... you can often hear an operator talk/brag
> about their station.
>
> : Let's see you send a letter to the FCC about some ham you know is
> : running excess power because your s-meter says so and see how hard
> : they laugh.
>
> When you back it up with credible information and facts, they act.
>
> cheers
> skipp

1705. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 15:34:52 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DAED89C.86A55ECC@mailcity.com>
Source record: 140507

Dave Hall wrote:
>
> Skipp is here to tell the real story wrote:
> >
> > : Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> > : I would also like to see his data supporting the allegation that "many"
> > : (And what number qualifies as "many") hams run illegal power.
> >
> > One would not "see" the data unless you had test equipment set up... but
> > one could easily hear the data. Try the 15 through 80 meter ham bands
> > most any day. Listen for DX pile ups and contests, then the classic
> > group of people jamming each other out. I can easily hear them most every
> > day. A little common sense and a calibrated S-Meter are excellent
> > indicators of excessive power operation.
>
> So let's assume we have all of this "fancy" equipment, what do you use
> as a reference signal? Remember, when looking at signals that are
> propagated through F layer refraction, there will always be some fade
> and selective enhancement. Because one guy comes in at +20db over S9
> while the rest of the pile is at S8 does not automatically mean that the
> stronger signal is illegally powered, it might just mean that conditions
> to his "neck of the woods" is better at that moment.
>
> Add to that the wide variation of antennas. Who would be stronger, the
> guy running 2Kw into a half-wave dipole, or the guy running 500 watts
> into a 3 element yagi? What about feedline losses?, polarization?,
> ground conductivity?. These are all factors that would make a positive
> determination of power output from a distance, to be nearly impossible.
>
> You could make an assumption, that if a group of guys, all yaking it up
> in one place, say Texas, and are all coming in within 1 S unit of each
> other, except for one guy, who is 20 db stronger, that that one guy has
> a few too many "logs on the fire", and you might be right. Assuming that
> the other guys are all running the legal limit to start with, and not
> just the "barefoot" 100 watts from an HF rig only.
>

That is all calibrated into his magic s-meter.

1706. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 15:36:23 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DAED8F7.977BC35D@mailcity.com>
Source record: 140508

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> dave your not understanding his point. he was not tlaking about two stations
> across the country from beach other but instead two stations in the same area

'tlaking', 'beach other', you on them funny cigaretts again
timmyboy?

1707. Re: Nicad charger question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 15:36:51 UTC
From: Skipp <nospamatthistimethanks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aomlej$a9h$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140482

I agree with you Dave, one should not discharge a cell totally down. I would take a typical 1.395 fully charged floating cell down to about .5 to .8 volts and consider it discharged. I use a high value resistor to draw a steady load of 10 to 50 mA.

There is a relative threshold below .3 to .5 volts that doesn't seem to be very healthy for nicad cell operation.

cheers
skipp

: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:
: "TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
: news:20021016232406.15794.00002043@mb-dd.aol.com...
:> Wrong answer.....Nicads should be FULLY drained. Some people even
: keep a
:> small light bulb such as an 1156 auto bulb across the terminals to keep
: them
:> discharged. Batteries have a "memory" to them and need to be FULLY charged
: and
:> DISCHARGED as well. Ask anyone who races electric RC cars and they will
: tell
:> you the same thing.
:> Train

: Sorry, but that's the RIGHT answer.
: Just because a bunch of people do it, dosen't make it right.

: Among other things, I design NICAD and NIMH chargers for a living.
: See McGraw Hill's handbook of batteries, chapter 29, or any NIMH or NICAD
: cell manufacturer's technical data.

: "memory effect" is almost totally a myth as well. It was only ever seen in
: special cells designed for sattelite use, and only when the depth of each
: cycle was held within 4% (like a sattelite in orbit going behind the earth).
: It was hard to get it to show up, even under those circumstances.

: When you deep discharge a pack, you reverse charge the weakest cell.
: Reverse charging is very bad for them. You are forcing them into premature
: failure.

1708. Re: CB Equipment/New and old

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 15:41:45 UTC
From: jocko <eagle8@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uqtbje2ii8ks07@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 140469

Harold e-mail me---eagle8@frontiernet.net --on the cobra 2010. KERRY
"N2RLL" <n2rll@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021016201134.10596.00002330@mb-mp.aol.com...
> CB's: Mobiles - All are like new and work perfectly and include handheld
> microphones. No scratches or dents.
>
> Cobra 148 GTL (AM/SSB) with built-in freq. counter: $100 firm.
> Cobra 18 WX ST (AM): $40
> Cobra 25 WX ST (needs power cord): $30
> Maxon MCB 45 W: $30
> Midland 77-120 ESP2: $35
> Realistic TRC-465 (AM/SSB): $75
> Shipped postpaid and insured - money order only.
>
> Older CB mobiles:
>
> Pace CB-143, 23-channel with microphone. Fair condition, works. $25 firm,
> postpaid
> Royce I-600B 23-channel mobile with microphone. Fair condition, works. $25
> firm, postpaid.
>
> CB Walkie-talkies:
>
> One PAIR of old classic Realistic 40-channel TRC-216; minus "dummy
batteries."
> Excellent condition with manual and rubber antennas. $50 firm, postpaid
and
> insured.
>
> One pair of old classic TRC-206 Realistic 3-watt, 3-channel CB
walkie-talkies.
> Excellent condition, both work. No manual. Includes one transmit 27.125
and one
> receive, 26.670 crystal in each unit. One is minus hand strap and both
need
> "dummy" batteries (can still use today's NiCads). $50 firm, postpaid and
> insured.
>
> Texas Ranger 696F SSB base, excellent condition, no scratches, in original
box
> with manual. Unmodified and has not been tampered with! $200 firm includes
> three-day USPS shipping. Money order only.
>
> Uniden Washington AM/SSB base - This is a classic, OLDER UNIT - in

original
> box, manual, bracket, microphone and has not been modified or tampered
with at
> all. $175 firm includes three-day shipping and insured.
>
> Cobra 2010 (replaced Cobra 2000). Excellent condition, manual, microphone.
> $125.
>
> Classic Base CBs:
>
> Uniden Washington AM/SSB base - This is a classic, OLDER UNIT - in
original
> box, manual, bracket, microphone, no scratches and in excellent condition.
I
> have not modified or screwdrivered the radio! Works perfectly. $200 firm,
> postpaid and insured.
>
> Regency CCR-142 AM 23-channel base with handheld GC Electronics power mic.
> Checked out five years ago and worked. Probably needs tuning. $35 firm,
> postpaid.
>
> Lafayette HE-15, 5-channel base with push-to-talk switch on CB case; needs
a
> microphone. Checked a few years ago and worked, but probably needs tuning
and
> switch cleaning. $40 firm, postpaid.
>
> Lafayette HE 20A 23-channel base CB, needs original mic, but I will
include a
> Courier CMM-1 handheld mic (minus connector). Checked a few years ago and
> worked, but needs tuning. $40 firm, postpaid.
>
> Please respond to this email, N2RLL@aol.com. Thank you.
> Harold

1709. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 15:42:32 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DAEDA68.CF892670@mailcity.com>
Source record: 140509

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> jj are you suggesting that if a known station running full legal limit is
> swamped by another station from the same local area by at least 10 db or 2 s
> units that its unfair to assume the stronger signal is running more power?
>

Yep, there are too many variables to consider that can affect both signals. I will give you an example. I and another ham living less that a mile apart use to have a regular schedule with friend about 40 miles away. The ham near me used a dipole, I used a vertical. I could put in a stronger signal with 100 watts to the ham 40 miles away than my neighbor ham could running his SB200 at over 500 watts out.

1710. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 15:46:10 UTC
From: You miss the real points <skipp23@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aomm02$a9h$2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140483

: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
: And s-meters even after being calibrated, and that is on one band,
: are often incorrect after a time as components age. And you would
: be hard pressed to find the typical ham rig where the s-meter is
: accurate on all bands.

It take a short time to check the actual s-meter calibration with basic test equipment. Its operation across the bands is easily recorded. If you don't have an idea of what and how your s-meter works, it would be a great topic to go through. Not a real big deal...

: Actually correct, as most if not all s-meters in the typical ham
: rig are not calibrated accurately on all bands. Set up 10
: identical rigs such that you can switch an antenna to each rig
: tuned to a signal and you the chances are great (almost assured)
: that all will not give the same s-meter reading. You are putting
: too much stock in an s-meter that is used for relative signal
: strength readings...the key word is relative.

The key item is that your radio shack probably has one main radio, it's s-meter operation can easily be checked and recorded.

People like Art Collins were right on target when they first built radios, to calibrate their S-Meters against a standard as do many current mfgrs. I'm quite aware of how my S-Meter responds across the operating range of the radio... I've checked it more than once.

: With much more sophisticated equipment than an s-meter on a
: typical ham rig.

Relative s-meter signal strength is not hard to get a handle on. I'm surprised how many of you are in the dark over an S-Meter calibration procedure.

skipp

1711. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 15:46:41 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DAEDB61.CC2151AE@mailcity.com>
Source record: 140511

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> and that in and of itself if why you guys hate cb. you feel you dont get your
> "proper respect" you think you should deserve from the general public and it
> really pisses you guys off. its that reason why doug n8wwm started his crusade
> here long ago. he admitted that mch on his qrz web page back then. the real
> truth is hams are the only one who cares about the distinction and it drives
> you crazy

Don't hate cb timmyboy, don't even hate those who run illegally.
Hate is pretty strong. As far as ham radio getting its respect, it
gets plenty. How many times have you seen cb in the news media in
respect to disaster communications? How many time have you seen
ham radio mentioned? Maybe it is you that hate hams because people
like you who see nothing wrong in illegal activity have given cb
such a band name.
>
> >
> >Another "bright" person, who doesn't know the difference between ham
> >radio and CB.......
> >
> >Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
> >

1712. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 16:05:10 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <xu6dnd8l0PEqQjOgXTWcpw@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140484

"You miss the real points" <skipp23@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:aomm02

> Relative s-meter signal strength is not hard to get a handle on. I'm
> surprised how many of you are in the dark over an S-Meter calibration
> procedure.
>
> skipp]

Oh Skippy your just the s meter guru and were all clueless.

1713. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 16:05:48 UTC
From: At last someone whos partway there <boobnerfake@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aomn4s$a9h$3@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140485

: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:

:> Yes, a same station comparison... two same area stations can also be
:> compared.

: Sorta.
: If you see a LARGE difference, then you might be able to say there's
: something going on outside the regulations. OTOH, one guy might have
: hardline into a beam, and the other guy 100' of Radio Shack RG-58 into a
: radio shack discone.

Hi Dave,

Many hams will tell you what antenna they are using, some won't. I don't know a lot of hams running hard line on the hf bands, although I do know some. The guy running rg-58 to a discone is probably not going to be running gas, nor will he be heard very well. Although rude, some of the folks I listen to have little patience for mickey mouse signals and just talk over the weak signals until they go away. Poor Amateur Practice...

The general idea here is that you get a sense of how your station works, you compare signals you receive to other averaged knowns and make more informed assumptions about the power levels of high power signals. You ask nearby stations what they are using and the loud guy often brags about what amplifier or tube (even solid state at lower power levels) he/she is using.

:> True, but you can say that X station running full legal power into a
:> known antenna will probalby not be 10 dB signal below a same city
:> station "Y"; using some assumptions or knowledge of his antenna system. In
:> short, station Y is running gas when his signal strenght is 10dB higher
:> for a similar station and location.

: Or he's a lot better with antennas :)

He would probably be happy to tell you what his antenna is...

: Back in my CB days, I used to run mobile from a '67 chrysler imperial. Robyn
: rig, tuned to 4.0W output (I worked in a radio repair shop at the time) I
: ran a firestick antenna, and added a capacity hat, and retuned the antenna
: to resonance. Sitting in my driveway, two blocks away, I was 3dB higher
: into a given station about 15 miles away, than another fellow, from his
: base with a Ringo Ranger up about 30'. The antenna was resonant, the
: feedline was low loss, and the cap hat lowered my angle of radiation
: considerably.

But from experience, I know that certain firestick antennas have different angles of radiation compared to an early "Gringo Stranger."

If you were 10 louder, the receiving station might assume you have a "foot warmer" under the seat. Depending on the year of your operation, your modulation would have been slightly fuzzy or worse if your amplifier was on. Been there, done that...

:> One can easily measure and record a receiver (& S-Meter) calibration.
:> Common antennas have predictable gain and radiation patterns.

: True

there you go... now your catching on...

:> Many people will tell you about their station equipment and location over
:> the air.

: (50% BS factor though :)

Hams as a general rule have no reason to bs about their antennas. Some hide their actual locations but even using relative meters, band propagation and a few other observant operators around the state, we can make a pretty smart guess about their general location.

:> Most people can easily make simple comparisons using simple techniques,
:> common knowledge and experience.

: As long as we remember the limitations of simple measurements with big
: assumptions.

The assumptions don't have to be that large when all the facts and experience are in play.

cheers
skipp

1714. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 16:09:04 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <fPydnWLII8M8fTOgXTWc3Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140486

"Skipp says debunk myth time" <getajobsongoldies@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aokmcg$6lq$2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu...
> : Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.compton> wrote:
>
> That's pretty funny... demonseed.compton... I need a chuckle once in a
> while.
>
> : Skipp how can you say by listening if it is a beam antenna or a
amplifier
> : providing the 10-20 db signal increase? The ear cannot discern such
small
> : db changes
>
> In the amateur world, most hams will say what type of antenna they have in
> operation. It is uncommon to run beam antennas on 160, 40 and 80 meters
> from physical size. Both CB'ers and Hams dont often have a problem
> telling you what type of antenna they are using.

Skipp now your hopeing the operator tells you what type of antenna they are
using I thought you could tell the difference so how can you tell if it is
from a beam or an amp ? Without prior knowledge after all you said *You*
could tell the difference, so now you want the op to tip you off ... LOL
Skipp go back to bed OM

> Again, compared to a known... a reference power in the same general area
> with a known antenna, the math is pretty straight forward.
>
> I was not using my ear for small signal changes... but I know a station
> running dipole and the legal limit should not be -6 dB from another
> operator across town using similar antennas. A little common sense works
> with experience and an S-Meter. Real test equipment is even better...
>
> : If you read a thread in this NG they say someone running 4 watts in DX
land
> : can over power a local also running the same 4 watts because of
"conditions"
> : perhaps you can either debunk that myth as well.
>
> I don't know who "they" are... but if you go back and look at my
> statements to see "average" and relative comparisons.
>
> If two stations in "DX Land" talk to you from the same relative location,
> one running 4 watts and another running 400 watts. Unless there is some
> real wildcard in the mix, the 400 watt signal will be +10dB louder. Even
> with the 4 watt signal through a beam, the 400 watt signal will probably

> be louder from the same location.
>
> So you can easily hear and see the 400 watt station is in excess of the 4
> watt level.
>
> Now expand that to the amateur bands where similar location stations
> operate, one or more at the legal limit (or a known power level), the
> compared station on the average is +6 dB above that in signal and he
> tells you he's running a dipole and a homebrew amplifier. Average the
> conversation over time and you'll get to know who's naughty and who's
> nice. The home brew amplifier is probably an easy +3 dB above the legal
> limit station... Often they might tell you the tube(s) in operation...
> you can make your own judgements from that point.

Sorry Skipp now you want the operator to tell you the tube he is running I thought you could tell by just looking at your s meter.

1715. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 16:12:59 UTC
From: the long road <musicisthesongofradio@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aomnib$a9h$4@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140487

: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
: Yep, someone using a vertical with a lower angle of radiation
: running 100 watts may put in a signal stronger that another
: station in the same location running 1000 watts and a beam, or
: vice versa. There are two many factors that affect signal strength
: to use an s-meter to determine who is running how much power. It
: is silly assumptions at best.

Most hams don't run verticals in high power operation.
Most hams don't run beams on the lower bands, wire is the antenna of
choice.

This is where the home work and experience come in... something you appear
to lack on this thread topic.

Reduce the wildcards, know your station, add some experience and a little
practical knowledge. Practial assumptions can be made that are very
realistic. It's done almost every day...

Otherwise, keep your head in the sand...

skipp

1716. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 16:13:40 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <FcGcnUU1VvsqfDOgXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140488

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DAE307A.401BA664@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Yep, someone using a vertical with a lower angle of radiation
> running 100 watts may put in a signal stronger that another
> station in the same location running 1000 watts and a beam, or
> vice versa. There are two many factors that affect signal strength
> to use an s-meter to determine who is running how much power. It
> is silly assumptions at best.

YES, JJ and Skipp has based everything on assumptions. He is assuming the operator will tell you what type of antenna he is using, he is assuming there are other op's in his area to compare signals to, yhis whole arguement is based on a big assumption. .

1717. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 16:16:28 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <JMycnXZfTKXAfzOgXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140489

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DAE2F79.BA6461EA@mailcity.net...
>
>
> now the light is coming on wrote:
> >
> > : Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:
> > : It is possible, with an s meter, to tell the switch between one power
level
> > : to another, from a given station at any given moment.
> >
> > Yes, a same station comparison... two same area stations can also be
> > compared.
> >
> > : However, it is not possible to determine the absolute power level of
that
> > : station with any confidence at all.
> >
> > True, but you can say that X station running full legal power into a
> > known antenna will probalby not be 10 dB signal below a same city
> > station "Y"; using some assumptions or knowledge of his antenna system.
In
> > short, station Y is running gas when his signal strenght is 10dB higher
> > for a similar station and location.
>
> So you are going to have all this information on some station you
> hear on the air that is 50db over s-9 which convinces you he is
> over the legal power limit, because your s-meter says so.

yes he is JJ did't you read where Skipp again said assumption lol His whole
arguement is based on assumptions.

>
> >
> > There are "rules to the game..."
> >
> > : You would have to know the absolute path loss, on that day, for that
path,
> > : at that moment.
> > : You would have to know exactly where the other station is, to even
begin to
> > : figure that out.
> > : You would have to know his antenna type, gain and pattern, and
pointing
> > : direction (in all three axes)

> > : You would have had to calibrate your antenna, feedline and receiver to
a
> > : known standard.
> > : From any appreciable distance, how can you determine the difference
between
> > : 200W into a 0dB antenna, and 100W into a 3dB antenna?
> >
> > For these levels, its not practical... but one can easily compare
stations
> > in similar locations running 100, 1000/2000 & say 4,00O watts. The guy
> > running the big gas is always much louder than the 100 watt station and
> > over an average, will be louder than the legal limit station in the same
> > area. I hear and see it almost every time I turn a receiver onto
certain
> > war-zone amateur frequencies and much of the CB band.
>
> So your s-meter tells you what type of antenna each station is
> running. Maybe the strongest station which is running the lowest
> power is using a beam and the weaker station running more power is
> using a dipole.

Yes he is assuming the op will tell him his antenna, I thought he could figure this out without and info. now he wants the operator to tell him his antenna and tube size ... Mind as well just ask him how many watts he is running. LOL Skipp goodnite.

1718. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 16:22:03 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <ImOdnVsuxsAxfjOgXTWcqQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140490

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DADD83D.A65FF368@mailcity.net...

> >
> > I would refernce the Amateur Magazine QST for examples, there are often
> > enforcement bullitins from the FCC posted at various web locations. Do
you
> > own homework and quit wasting our time.
>
> Ah, that's it, put the onus on someone else to prove or disprove
> YOUR claims.

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

1719. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 16:26:42 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <3aOdnZTA-fteeTOgXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140491

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021016170433.08132.00001999@mb-fx.aol.com...
> george if you consider yourself being worth me proving anything about
myself to
> thats a joke in and of itself. i was hitting a str8 key when i was 12 just
for
> the fun of it.

And I am sure you have been hitting the bong just as long. So Il ask you the question again since you seem to duck them more than anyone in the nG. Can you pass the 5wpm code test right now.

1720. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 16:31:54 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021017123154.14841.00001848@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 140492

even skip agrees with you on this. whats the problem? most time those assumptions can be pretty close to correct too.

>yes he is JJ did't you read where Skipp again said assumption lol His whole
>arguement is based on assumptions.
>

1721. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 16:33:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021017123318.14841.00001849@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 140493

can i? i'm pretty sure i can. do i have any reason to do so? no.

>
>And I am sure you have been hitting the bong just as long. So Il ask you the
>question again since you seem to duck them more than anyone in the nG. Can
>you pass the 5wpm code test right now.

1722. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 16:33:39 UTC
From: Skipp keeps going <exampletime@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aomop3$a9h$5@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140494

: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
: So you are going to have all this information on some station you
: hear on the air that is 50db over s-9 which convinces you he is
: over the legal power limit, because your s-meter says so.

Let me give a real world example, then I'm about done because this is taking way to much of my time.

I arrive on a band, say 40 meters which is regional to the US West Coast to an extent during any normal or average day.

Friend Eric comes on from LA (I'm in the greater Sacramento area) to chat using his SB-220 and a typical dipole or inverted vee. His near legal limit signal is loud... I can easily see his signal is very loud and clear, part of the reason of said is that I rebuilt his SB-220 for him. :-)
I know the general makeup of his station and use it as a relative S-meter reference.

A jacka$$ jammer comes on and plays music... we have heard this guy before and can see over time his signal acts predictable for someone down near Eric. A few other friends on the band have beams which point toward the same area as Eric and the jammer.

Some of us have direction finding equipment...

Eric can also hear the jammer on ground wave... Now the jammer is mad because he's not able to take Eric out. His signal jumps up +13 to +20 dB.

It would be more than reasonable to assume the jammer has kicked his amplifier on. His now +13 dB signal increase would lead most people to understand that he is running serious gas.

Eric talks his same area station up to the legal limit, the jammer has him by +6 to +10 dB signal strenght.

End of assumption...

Epilog... based on a few other non mentioned events and references in the above example, I could probably make a pretty good guess at the size of his amplifier. If he is a known person, I might know more about his actual station from previous contacts, his "former" or current friends, not to mention his own mouth.

: So your s-meter tells you what type of antenna each station is
: running. Maybe the strongest station which is running the lowest
: power is using a beam and the weaker station running more power is

: using a dipole.

A good part of typical amateur operation is the receive mode... as I mentioned many other times... you can often hear an operator talk/brag about their station.

: Let's see you send a letter to the FCC about some ham you know is
: running excess power because your s-meter says so and see how hard
: they laugh.

When you back it up with credible information and facts, they act.

cheers
skipp

1723. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 16:47:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14581-3DAEE993-77@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140497

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
Amateur ham radio operators last link in chain By Jason Kosareff, Staff Writer
Breaker, breaker it's amateur ham radio.

>Another "bright" person, who doesn't know the >difference between ham radio and CB.....
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

A very bright person....he is merely telling you like it is...the public's perception. You're not very good at seeing other views. Some of us are reeling and rolling over your self-contradictory claims:

In one post you claim skip is the MAIN problem on cb.
In yet another you claim amps are the MAIN problem.

In one post, you claimed those that freeband are federal criminals for breaking what you call "Federal Law".
Yet when a hammie receives a letter from the FCC for rule violation, you begin your ornithilogical dining of big black crow.
So with your illogic, a cber is a federal criminal for violating any rule, no matter how minor, but if it's a hammie doing the same thing, you somehow exonerate their "criminal status" in your tiny mind.

In one post you claimed that escaping to the freeband isn't so bad and that you have no problem with it.
In another, you claim the opposite and say they are criminals not respecting society.

In one post you claim that actions reflect deterioration of society as a whole. In another, you feel your actions and behavior are an exception to what you hold others to because your personal feelings toward another dictate exemption...the best part is when you attempt to explain away your lies from the previous days drinking binge...almost predictable.
Go on. Tell us you can't remember them <g>.
There's more.
Now hurry up and tell me you're gonna ignore me again so you don't blow your stack like KC8LDO Lelnad C. Scott,, like "Aaron", like N8WWM Dogie Adair, and like Frank Gilliland have all done...it's necessary so they don't lose any more ISP's. You would be smart to follow them...it took them years before they realized they can't hold an intelligent conversation while simutaneously holding their temper or tongues. Frank thought he would get by with his dealings with me acting as "arron" but

he messed that up too many times as well. See how peaceful the canceled "aaron" is now?

Comes down to emotional issues.....they just can't control their behavior. Look, "Aaron" lost another one yesterday....how many has he lost now?.....very antisocial behavior and a blatant selective disrespect for rules. As you claimed in one of your posts Hall, these people must have had a traumatic childhood, maybe even neglected in their youth, it would explain their actions...see...sometimes you make sense, Hall. You just need to steer clear the juice in the little brown jug.

1724. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 16:48:03 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14580-3DAEE9C3-205@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140498

From: timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie)
and that in and of itself if why you guys hate cb. you feel you dont get
your "proper respect" you think you should deserve from the general
public and it really pisses you guys off. its that reason why doug n8wwm
started his crusade here long ago. he admitted that mch on his qrz web
page back then. the real truth is hams are the only one who cares about
the distinction and it drives you crazy
--
Touche. Well put.

1725. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 17:07:03 UTC
From: more and more <skipp85@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aomqnn$a9h$6@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140495

: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
: So let's assume we have all of this "fancy" equipment, what do you use
: as a reference signal?

A nearby "known station" is a good reference signal.

: Remember, when looking at signals that are
: propagated through F layer refraction, there will always be some fade
: and selective enhancement. Because one guy comes in at +20db over S9
: while the rest of the pile is at S8 does not automatically mean that the
: stronger signal is illegally powered, it might just mean that conditions
: to his "neck of the woods" is better at that moment.

Not all signals are received via F Layer, each event is different.
Everything matters, experience is a factor in the mix...

See my example in the other post as a real world event that demonstrates
how one can make realtive smart assumptions about received S-Meter
indications.

: Add to that the wide variation of antennas. Who would be stronger, the
: guy running 2Kw into a half-wave dipole, or the guy running 500 watts
: into a 3 element yagi? What about feedline losses?, polarization?,
: ground conductivity?. These are all factors that would make a positive
: determination of power output from a distance, to be nearly impossible.

Two adjacent stations, one at the legal limit (and known equipment)
compared to the much louder second station. The second station tells you
he's running __________ type of antenna. Should he be +6 to +10 dB louder
after the antenna is figured into the comparison... Nope.

Another indication of excessive power are the spectral product levels of
his signal. One can often hear nearby spectal poop and the signal in
questions' bandwidth increase.

: You could make an assumption, that if a group of guys, all yaking it up
: in one place, say Texas, and are all coming in within 1 S unit of each
: other, except for one guy, who is 20 db stronger, that that one guy has
: a few too many "logs on the fire", and you might be right. Assuming that
: the other guys are all running the legal limit to start with, and not
: just the "barefoot" 100 watts from an HF rig only.

There you go... ding..! With experience and knowledge, you can expand
the above to various bands, locations and conditions. Most people are
creatures of habit... over the average you get the big picture.

:> Not true... even an S-Meter gives a relative indication of excessive
:> power. Once your over the limit, your over the limit. Operation from a
:> typical transceiver level of 100 watts plus 20 dB from an amplifier is a
:> significant signal increase.

: A jump from a 100 watt rig to the 1500 watt legal limit, is only about
: 12 db, which is the equivilent of 2 "s" units on a decently calibrated
: meter. An additional jump from 1500 watts to 6000 is only 6 db more,
: which is only an additional "S" unit.

Yeah, but there are some +13 to +20 dB gain amplifiers in operation that I
know about. It's not hard to see the s-meter really move when those guys
come on.

: But you are right when you say that an "S" meter gives a relative
: indication. Thats the ONLY thing it gives. So unless you know what
: signal reference you are comparing your "relative" signal to, the actual
: reading is meaningless.

Exactly...

:> Most hams are not capable of running twice the legal power... a small
:> percentage have large amplifiers which have 8 to 13 dB of gain.

: No arguement there. A kilowatt is typically a 10db gain amplifier, if it
: is designed for 100 watts drive.

And many current amplifiers are often over driven... causing distortion.

:> Many...
:> ie as in a significant number build and operate amplifiers of >13 dB of
:> gain. I guess the hundreds members of the list server group I frequent are
:> "just a few." Web sites abound with pictures of these well built
:> amplifiers. You guys haven't been it the real world for some time... get
:> off the decafe..

: I'm not sure what your point is here. Are you claiming that there are
: many more than "some" hams running huge amps, or not? Your last point,
: claiming that most hams are not capable of running the legal limit,
: would suggest that you believe that the guys running the really large
: amps are in the minority. I would agree with that.

Yep, I know first hand of hundreds of large amplifier people who chat
across apopular net list server. Most all are nice people who enjoy the
hobby and building large amplifiers. Said amplifiers can be used in other
applications. It's a science unto itself that has a pretty large
following...
Some of us meet up at the Dayton Hamfest each year....

:> Building an amplifier for > +13 dB operation is legal, using it above the
:> authorized limits is not.

: True!

People seem to think that one has to have an amplifier with no head room,
or that there no valid reasons for having serious gas... when there are
applications and occassions where lots of power is legal and good.

:> Both are done by many hams and cbers.

: A big difference. Hams can do it legally, CBers cannot do it legally
: under ANY circumstances.

Class D 27 Mhz CB In the United States. But know that there are fcc
licensed services in the same range as CB with allowed higher power
levels. I mention the FCC web page as a good place to locate data about
said.

:> Most of the people I know running large amplifiers, don't use them very
:> much. Many hams and non hams take great pride in building large
:> amplifiers at various frequencies.

: Sure. Much like guys like to build cars with 1000 HP engines, and then
: drive them on the street where the speed limit is 55.

Christmas, are they up to 1000 hp now... I don't really follow that
"hobby" much. But the result is the same...

The 1k hp guys really like to open it up on long flat highways. The guys
giving it the gas in more crowded areas often crash or get caught.

:> Some of the amps members build mega
:> watt amplifiers for non amateur applications. All the topics are covered
:> in these list server groups over time.
:>
:> Even the truth comes out if you can wade through the off topic BS.
:>
:> Many hams run large amplifiers for practical reasons, often to get over
:> jammers and interference. Adjustment of one level /knob makes them
:> legal...

: Intentions aside, if they run over 1500 watts, no matter how justified
: they might feel, they are in violation of the law, and no better, in the
: eyes of the law, than the amp jockeys on CB channel 6.
: How you or I might feel about it personally, doesn't change that fact.
: Dave
: "Sandbagger"

Some of the high power people are in Broadcasting, some in Research, some
live in other countries... many are hams. Few run real gas on the
Amatuer Bands unless there is a reason. You would be surprised to know
about some of the really really large amplifiers in operation around the
world.

cheers
skipp

1726. Re: Nicad charger question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 17:19:23 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <P_adnR932fhqbTOgXTWcqw@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140500

"Skipp" <nospamatthistimethanks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:aomlej$a9h$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu...
> I agree with you Dave, one should not discharge a cell totally down. I
> would take a typical 1.395 fully charged floating cell down to about .5 to
> .8 volts and consider it discharged. I use a high value resistor to draw
> a steady load of 10 to 50 mA.
>
> There is a relative threshold below .3 to .5 volts that doesn't seem to
> be very healthy for nicad cell operation.
>
> cheers
> skipp

The mfgrs tell me 1.0V for best life, or 0.9V as a minimum.
The discharge curve is really steep in this region, so the amount of time at any given rate between 0.9 and 0.5 is very short. However, one of the other cells in the pack may have already hit zero.
If so, then any further discharge of the pack causes a reversed voltage across that cell, and that starts chemical reactions that are very bad for it. I'm not a chemist, so I can't detail it, but I'm sure this is correct.

You CAN discharge single cells to zero, since there's no way for them to be reversed.

1727. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 17:22:23 UTC
From: Skipp <nospamplease@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aomrkf$a9h$7@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140501

: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:

:> enough to make you happy. Various methods and experience were used to
:> base my statements. A calibrated S-meter is one easily reproduced method
:> to make a comparison. My transceiver has one as most do...

: You're sure it is still in calibration? And it is accurate on all
: bands? If so, you have an exceptional receiver.

Not really, it's a common transceiver... I checked the whole thing over
a few times and through-out the years, its been very predictable and
operated well.

:> Enough of your poop, I'm done with your stupid follow up post questions.
:> You go round and round with your head buried somewhere. Opinions vary
:> about the location of your head.

: He is asking you to verify what you claim with some believable
: numbers. Can you?

For a brief moment, I felt it might help to debate with mr fake
boobner, but he adds nothing of substance to the thread.
My guess of greater than 100 amplifiers is more than modestly low, made
for him only. Anyone actually operating real radios knows that number is
unrealistic on the low side.

:> I would refernce the Amateur Magazine QST for examples, there are often
:> enforcement bullitins from the FCC posted at various web locations. Do you
:> own homework and quit wasting our time.

: Ah, that's it, put the onus on someone else to prove or disprove
: YOUR claims.

If your interested in the truth, pick up a magazine or search the web...
I'll sleep well if you don't belive me...

The point of this entire thread on the original topic was to let the
posting person know that hams can and do operate amplifiers over the
legal limit. They are a relative number, but probably more than one
would think exist.

Some get caught, most don't.

Most owners of large amplifiers are good people who don't use them in bad
context... legal operation of the amplifier is often done.

On sidebar note...

Most high power cb'ers don't get caught... Many CB'ers don't use their amplifiers, many don't use them in bad context although their operation in the US for Class D CB is not legal.

Many people think the "US War On Drugs" can be won as it is now done.

cheers
skipp

1728. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 17:25:27 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <wYKdnUOmkIX-bzOgXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140502

> Many hams will tell you what antenna they are using, some won't. I don't
> know a lot of hams running hard line on the hf bands, although I do know
> some.

Me, for example. I got a good deal on LDF4-50 :)

> The guy running rg-58 to a discone is probably not going to be
> running gas, nor will he be heard very well. Although rude, some of the
> folks I listen to have little patience for mickey mouse signals and just
> talk over the weak signals until they go away. Poor Amateur Practice...

True. Not that that's typical either, I just wanted to set up some limit
case examples.

> But from experience, I know that certain firestick antennas have different
> angles of radiation compared to an early "Gringo Stranger."

No doubt. Varies greatly in what you mount it on as well.
That big flat chrysler was a nice mount!

> :> One can easily measure and record a receiver (& S-Meter) calibration.
> :> Common antennas have predictable gain and radiation patterns.
>
> : True
>
> there you go... now your catching on...

I know how it works, I do the part 15 certs for all our new designs.
We work with a test lab in chicago, for chamber testing, and they have an
open field site up in wisconsin.

> : (50% BS factor though :)
>
> Hams as a general rule have no reason to bs about their antennas.

I was thinking CB at that point :)

1729. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 17:27:59 UTC
From: Done wasting my time with mr boobner fake <getarealjob@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aomruv$a9h$8@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140504

: Aaron H. Voobner <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote:
: Skipp, give us their callsigns. Id like to verify the fact that these
: 200 people run illegal high power.

Dont' waste your time... mr fake name.

I'm often amazed how many lapdogs who love to follow you around on this
news group. You'd probably make a good religious type if you are not
already.

namaste
s

1730. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 17:31:28 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <IeCdnYbKwdRRbjOgXTWcqA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140505

Recently, the local paper did an article on me WRT Skywarn.
Among other amusing distortions, they put in a picture of my VC-H1 and called it my "radar unit"..

We use SSTV to pass radar updates to the spotters, and observations from the field back to the EOC. We've even done "realtime" with SSTV pictures of a tornado in progress, emailed to national weather in Indy. At that time, they were doubting our reports since our program was new, and their radar didn't show anything interesting. :) That event is part of their radar training course now.

1731. Re: schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 17:37:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12362-3DAEF55F-215@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140510

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
>Let's clarify this once and for all.
>I enjoy yanking *YOUR* chain

The "Who" doesn't matter, Dave,

>Yes it does. There are some people in life with >a "kick me" sign
banded on their forehead.
>You are one of those people.

Tut tut....that is selective practice of the good will you allegedly preach,,,mighty hypocritical of you to claim for another to practice one thing but you have difficulty practicing what you preach..you now have entered the "Hypocrite Hall, of Lelnad C. Scott Kc8LDO, Frank Gilliliand, N8WWM Dogie Adair.

>What claims have I contradicted now, in your >little fantasy world?

All the world's your illusion, Dave,,,you're missing posts again.

It holds perfectly well with what you put forward about morals and what not. Opposing views shouldn't make you act like that, Hall.

>I have not problem debating opposing view >with people who are intellectually honest.

Sure you do, Dave...the lies keep flowing...it's not hard to pick from any of the many many posts where you have needlessly started ship with cbers, called them names unprovoked, and tried to turn the topics personal and insultive...all unprovoked....and you were doing all this long before I ever sunk your leaky boat I do find your attraction somewhat troubling, though...after all, you did say you spend the majority of your time with those you care about most.....and you have spent the majority of your time in front of your monitor drinking and hurling insults.

>I do have a problem with people who take >what I say, twist the meanings,

Tut tut.....the post that showed what a liar you are was presented unedited in it's entirety..say it a few more times in hopes someone, anyone will believe you.

>concentrate on the nuances, rather than the >overt subject, and then make the claim that I >said something that I didn't, and then accuse >me

of "lying" when I refute your interpretations >of what I said.

Not at all. You were caught and the best you can do is lie.....or say,
"I forgot about that post."
Repetition isn't kind to you, Dave. It hurts you more than you will ever
know, because you obviously can't see yourself as others do.
The detached rarely do.

It doesn't
fit with jibe your mental health hobby (stifling a hysterical roar)
!!
The mere notion that you derive pleasure from trolling a cb board and
"yanking" the "chain" of someone

>Not "someone", YOU. <
>Keep repeating that. And don't for a minute >think that you aren't
obvious in your attempts >at "yanking the chain", of the people you
refer >to as "the kennel club", doesn't give you some >sort of pleasure,
in your own warped way.

I give it back, Dave. They started their bullshit in here and found a
few that render all they do impotent. If you feeel it important to
recognize me in that manner, who am I to argue?
I call a spade a spade.

>When you call someone a hypocrite, you >really should look in the
mirror first.

I'm not the one with the split family.
I'm not the one unhappy.
I'm not the one who attacks others.
I am the one who points things out, like the naming of a rec.radio.cb
trouble-causing posse by "Aaron", who hand-selected, chose and named the
members himself....you (Dave Hall), KC8LDO Lelnad C. Scott, Frank
Gilliland, and Dogie Adair N8WWM.
He knew exactly what he was talking about.

Which makes your hypocrisy and malady even more embarrassing. You have
no idea who you are attempting
but failing to argue with and your beahvior is indicative of this high
esteem that hammie radio projects.

>I have a fairly good idea<

You don't have a clue.

>The fact that you respond so predictably, to >programmed stimulous,

Holy Cripe! Is that what you call it when you address me or my post?
Programmed stimulous? You clown....the word would be stimuli, if you
even had a clue what you were talking about. You sound like a wannabe
mental health tech almost as bad as you do a socialist and wannabe
dictator of radio.

>Keep guessing. It's even more fun when you >attempt to grope for the truth that so alludes >you.

Not as fun as your projected ignorance. "Programmed STIMULOUS' ROFLMFAO! I'll bet YOU have programmed stimulous..sticky palms and all from your pc....what is the definition of that "programmed stimulous"? Your pc gets you off? Ahhahahahhaha..LMFAO!

>just further validates my diagnosis.

Your WHAT? Let me get this right...you are claiming yourself qualified to give a diagnosis based on personal information you have NOT culled. I take back the alcohol factor. I think you are a speed freak.

>But I've got your number as evidenced by your >increase in stress level. I can play you like a >cheap fiddle......
I

Hooooooboyyyyy.............better take stock of your situation. Take a step back, no, take two steps back...and yet another step back....Deeeeeeep breath.....
Does that fiddle come already stimulated or o you have to program it?

> do not treat other people with the same >distain, simply because they >act appropriately,

Sure you do Dave, the archives are full of your bullshit attacks on law abiding cbers...full of your insults, etc. You are not the judge in what is proper. Matter of fact, you have proved you are so far removed from what is the majority that you are seriously out of touch.

>According to you. <

Your posts speak for themselves. I don't have a pc, but they were catalogued by a retiree...any time you're ready to made a hypocrite and liar some more,,,say the word

>Sure, more cut and pasted excerps, taken out >of context,

Now that the entire group knows you are imagining thinsg and seeing things that aren;t there...we can chalk it up to the alcohol factor. Once again, the post that has you pissing blood all over yourself was unedited and presented in it's entirety.

>and spun with the skill of a democrat fighting >to retain his seat in office, and presented as >some sort of testament to just what exactly?

You don't have what it takes to discuss politics with me.

>If you had any sort of credibility, it might make >people stop and consider. But since you are >viewed as a warped sociopath, by anyone with >at least half a brain, your rants are nothing >more than, well, rants.

Right on! I'll go on with my rants and talking DX and doing my thing and meeting good folks from the ng and continue to get invitations from ng

cbers and hammies alike to hang out, go to keydowns, concerts, the strip clubs (hmmmm....wonder where THAT invitation came from <g>). I have taken several up on the offer already and will cotinue to do so in the future. I will continue to expand my knowledge of antennas and amplifiers due the great minds on this board. You, and Frank, and Lelnad, and Dogie, can all coexist in your angry little world and remain impotent. What you don't know can't hurt you.

Oh, your insults are becoming so....so.......sophomoric. Give me the word, and I'll send you the >personalized certificate, with "Sophomoric" in gold leaf.

Send it.
Your gay buddy that keeps mentioning my underwear

>Now we're digressing into sexual inuendos as >insults.

We? No, not "we" as I have never solicited personal information on your underwear. That was from your camp, YOU have to deal with it.

> Your meltdown is progressesing just as >predicted.

You are misattributing quotes again. It is Lelnad KC8LDO that is enthralled with my ball wear. I happen to think that makes him queer. No need defending his actions...I'm not slamming him for being gay, merely making an observation.

>In the words of the leader of the colony on >Talos 4: "Excellent!"

You watch too much tv. Spend more time outdoors.
It's good for the soul.

Thatwas has been played, too. You're washed up. Besides, you couldn't spell "work" without your dictionary let alone perform it.

>Note the primative attempt<

Let's see that again, shall we?

>Note the primative atte<

Almost.

>Note the primative<

By Jove, I think he gets it. I don't normally make fun of spelling errors, but you are desperately searching and attacking anything you can grasp out of thin air.....your own yard is a cesspoole.

>at badgering me into offering up some tidbit of >information about what I do for a living.

Never crossed my mind...we know you're unemployed and a drunk. I just theorized on your fantasy role..believe me...no thought went into it, but it must have been dead on. Stop squealing.

> You are getting way too predictable.......
>Last day to play.....
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Yea,,,,,,according to you, you're the only one of the trouble-causing posse in rec.radio.cb named by "Aaron" of Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO, Dogie Adair N8WWM, Frank Gililand, (and yourself, of course) that hasn't had an ISP PULLED, but that is suspect as well. Better to pick a date to quit and stick to it, if you have the self-control.

1732. Re: WTB: The True story on the D&A Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 17:41:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12362-3DAEF62D-217@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140515

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Dennis W. Ostrowski wrote:
>Hey Dennis,
>An unrelated question. Have you ever >attempted, or know of any information on what >to expect if one were to attempt to modify a >Texas Star DX 600 or 667V to run on the 6 >meter band?
>A couple of the locals have some CB >equipment from the good old days, and they >are looking to "recycle" them.
>Good to see you're still around,
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

--

You might have to shout and jump up and down to be heard..after all..you are party to the trouble-posse that called Lucent to cry about his posts. Socialist.

1733. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 17:42:32 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12363-3DAEF688-77@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140514

Since when do accounts get "yanked" for posting the same thing? If you
feel that this quote (below) is a TOS violation then report me.
-Aaron-

--
Hate to admit it, but he's right, Shark. Go on , Aaron ol' pal,,,,tell
'em why you had this last one yanked. :)

1734. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 17:47:25 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAEF7AD.1F4E@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140520

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> and that in and of itself if why you guys hate cb.

Nobody hates CB. Why would I hate something that has given me pleasure
for the past 30+ years?

> you feel you dont get your
> "proper respect" you think you should deserve from the general public and it
> really pisses you guys off.

The term "Breaker Breaker" has never been associated with ham radio.
It's something that grew out of the CB fad in the late 70's.

An unfortunate truth is that the general public views CBers as a lawless
bunch of anarchists, who blatently disregard the rules of radio law and
are the cause of the vast majority of interference to home entertainment
equipment. Which is why there are more and more laws being proposed to
regulate CB radio at the local level, since the feds have been doing a
poor job of it themselves. It is also a good reason why you can't buy a
new home in a development without some sort of antenna restriction. I
can't say that I would blame any ham that would want to put to rest any
notion that ham radio, is connected in any way to that image.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1735. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 17:58:31 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAEFA47.2CB2@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140519

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> Amateur ham radio operators last link in chain By Jason Kosareff, Staff
> Writer
> Breaker, breaker it's amateur ham radio.
>
> >Another "bright" person, who doesn't know the >difference between ham
> radio and CB.......
> >Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
>
> A very bright person....he is merely telling you like it is...the
> public's perception.

Hams do not use the term "Breaker Breaker". If the public cannot differentiate ham radio from CB, then the folks at the ARRL have some PR work ahead of them.

> You're not very good at seeing other views. Some of
> us are reeling and rolling over your self-contradictory claims:
>
> In one post you claim skip is the MAIN problem on cb.
> In yet another you claim amps are the MAIN problem.

Not true. I said, that amps are one of the primary reasons why the noise level is so high during a band opening. The fact that people engage in skip talking is yet another reason for the noise.

Learn to read for content.

>
> In one post, you claimed those that freeband are federal criminals for
> breaking what you call "Federal Law".
> Yet when a hammie receives a letter from the FCC for rule violation, you
> begin your ornithilogical dining of big black crow.
> So with your illogic, a cber is a federal criminal for violating any
> rule, no matter how minor, but if it's a hammie doing the same thing,
> you somehow exonerate their "criminal status" in your tiny mind.
>

I said no such thing. Hams, who break the law, are just as guilty as CBer's. I make NO distinction.

> In one post you claimed that escaping to the freeband isn't so bad and
> that you have no problem with it.
> In another, you claim the opposite and say they are criminals not
> respecting society.

And I keep pointing out to you, that there is a difference between how I feel about a situation, and what the letter of the law states. Personally, I don't care who runs on freeband, as long as they are not causing interference to legal stations there, or on the adjacent ham bands. But regardless HOW *I* (or you) feel about the situation, it is still in violation of the law.

>
> In one post you claim that actions reflect deterioration of society as a
> whole.

This I remember saying, but more accurately, the decline in moral values, has predicated the slow decline in civil society, and has given way to an "I do what I want, and tough shit to anyone who feels differently" attitude. and I still feel that it's true.

> In another, you feel your actions and behavior are an exception
> to what you hold others to because your personal feelings toward
> another dictate exemption.

I never made that statement. More inaccurate paraphrasing on your part?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1736. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 18:03:58 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <N0qdnWea0YQSZjOgXTWcpg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140512

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:wYKdnUOmkIX-bzOgXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
>
> > Many hams will tell you what antenna they are using, some won't. I
don't
> > know a lot of hams running hard line on the hf bands, although I do know
> > some.
>
> Me, for example. I got a good deal on LDF4-50 :)

Me too I have a 300 fot role out back not in use yet. Got any connectors for
it?
I paid like 1.70 ft slightly used.

>
> > The guy running rg-58 to a discone is probably not going to be
> > running gas, nor will he be heard very well. Although rude, some of the
> > folks I listen to have little patience for mickey mouse signals and just
> > talk over the weak signals until they go away. Poor Amateur Practice...
>
> True. Not that that's typical either, I just wanted to set up some limit
> case examples.
>
> > But from experience, I know that certain firestick antennas have
different
> > angles of radiation compared to an early "Gringo Stranger."
>
> No doubt. Varies greatly in what you mount it on as well.
> That big flat chrysler was a nice mount!
>
> > :> One can easily measure and record a receiver (& S-Meter) calibration.
> > :> Common antennas have predictable gain and radiation patterns.
> >
> > : True
> >
> > there you go... now your catching on...
>
> I know how it works, I do the part 15 certs for all our new designs.
> We work with a test lab in chicago, for chamber testing, and they have an
> open field site up in wisconsin.
>
>
>
> > : (50% BS factor though :)

> >
> > Hams as a general rule have no reason to bs about their antennas.
>
> I was thinking CB at that point :)
>
>
>

1737. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 18:07:19 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <o8Odnf_oGubFYTOgXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140513

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021017134457.657$F6@newsreader.com...
> "Welfare Check" <Check@demonseed.comPton> wrote:
> >>yes he is JJ did't you read where Skipp again said assumption lol His
> > whole arguement is based on assumptions.
> >
> Lots of *YOUR* arguments involve mens ass. That's not an 'ASSumption.

Yeah just like the use of Anal Aaron right. No ass play there huh. Lol get outta here you clown every thing you say about me I have more examples from this NG right back at you.

1738. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 18:12:59 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAEFDAB.570@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140522

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> dave your not understanding his point. he was not tlaking about two stations
> across the country from beach other but instead two stations in the same area
>
> > Because one guy comes in at +20db over S9
> >while the rest of the pile is at S8 does not automatically mean that the
> >stronger signal is illegally powered, it might just mean that conditions
> >to his "neck of the woods" is better at that moment.

We were talking about DX pileups. That infers DX signals.

If he's talking about direct local signals, then it does become a bit
easier. But that's not what was implied.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1739. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 18:19:57 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAEFF4D.4022293B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140531

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
> > > Uncle Charlie wrote:
> > > >
> > > > and that in and of itself if why you guys hate cb. you feel you dont
> > > > get your "proper respect" you think you should deserve from the
> > > > general public and it really pisses you guys off. its that reason why
> > > > doug n8wwm started his crusade here long ago. he admitted that mch on
> > > > his qrz web page back then. the real truth is hams are the only one
> > > > who cares about the distinction and it drives you crazy
> > > >
> > >> Don't hate cb timmyboy, don't even hate those who run illegally.
> > > Hate is pretty strong. As far as ham radio getting its respect, it
> > > gets plenty. How many times have you seen cb in the news media in
> > > respect to disaster communications? How many time have you seen
> > > ham radio mentioned? Maybe it is you that hate hams because people
> > > like you who see nothing wrong in illegal activity have given cb
> > > such a band name.
> > >
> >>Tim doesn't CB,JJ. But aren't you the one who claims to have never used
> > one?
> DOH! Should read.."Tim doesn't hate CB".

I should have been more clear, my post should have read, "I don't
hate cb timmyboy, I don't even hate those who run illegally."

1740. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 18:23:52 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uqu04kp1tp389a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 140516

Twist (and anyone else), go check qrz.com and see how a new ham in England sent his license back in ... and why.
For the hams, think about the posts. Just a little bit, please.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:14580-3DAEE9C3-205@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie)
and that in and of itself if why you guys hate cb. you feel you dont get your "proper respect" you think you should deserve from the general public and it really pisses you guys off. its that reason why doug n8wwm started his crusade here long ago. he admitted that mch on his qrz web page back then. the real truth is hams are the only one who cares about the distinction and it drives you crazy
--
Touche. Well put.

1741. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 18:24:37 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAF0065.7EEB9165@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140532

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> You miss the real points <skipp23@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > : JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > : And s-meters even after being calibrated, and that is on one band,
> > : are often incorrect after a time as components age. And you would
> > : be hard pressed to find the typical ham rig where the s-meter is
> > : accurate on all bands.
> >
> > It take a short time to check the actual s-meter calibration with basic
> > test equipment. Its operation across the bands is easily recorded. If
> > you don't have an idea of what and how your s-meter works, it would be a
> > great topic to go through. Not a real big deal...
> >
> > : Actually correct, as most if not all s-meters in the typical ham
> > : rig are not calibrated accurately on all bands. Set up 10
> > : identical rigs such that you can switch an antenna to each rig
> > : tuned to a signal and you the chances are great (almost assured)
> > : that all will not give the same s-meter reading. You are putting
> > : too much stock in an s-meter that is used for relative signal
> > : strength readings...the key word is relative.
> >
> >> The key item is that your radio shack probably has one main radio, it's
> > s-meter operation can easily be checked and recorded.
> >
> > People like Art Collins were right on target when they first built
> > radios, to calibrate their S-Meters against a standard as do many current
> > mfgrs. I'm quite aware of how my S-Meter responds across the operating
> > range of the radio... I've checked it more than once.
> >
> > : With much more sophisticated equipment than an s-meter on a
> > : typical ham rig.
> >
> > Relative s-meter signal strength is not hard to get a handle on. I'm
> > surprised how many of you are in the dark over an S-Meter calibration
> > procedure.
> >
> Since you mention Collins,I'll add..The S line is a VERY easy calibration,
> even easier than pre-tune.

Set it for 50 microvolts = s-9 on 20 meters, then check it on 10
and see if it still reads s-9 at 50 microvolts.

1742. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 18:30:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24822-3DAF01C4-200@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140524

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
>...which underscores the double standard by >which you are seemingly
>unaware of.

What irony.

>Aye! Cap't, the plot, she canna sink, it is made >of irony!

Navy man?

>The same criteria, by which I present "what *I* >refer to" in reference
>to any topic, brands me a "liar" in your book,

Different criteria.

>Why did I know you would say that?<

For the same reason I knew you would cut and paste to your heart's
content.

>What follows is yet another attempt at >rationalizing the reason why
you not just the >flip side of my coin.

Come again? We ain't real familiar with that "deliverance" type talk
down here.

When I say something is an opinion, it clearly is visible at first
galnce. I don't put something out there unless I know it to be
reasonably true and am always willing to listen to an opposing view
while putting my personal views to the side while refrainiing from
personal off topics. You claimed you never did something, I merely
pointed to a few posts that are in direct contradictory with what you
were trying to claim. On top of that, you attempted not once, not twice,
but three times to deliberately misattribute something to me that I
never said in a deliberate and malicious fashion.

>Uh huh, sure. Whatever you say. <

No....it was what YOU said....I just posted the post to "point it out"

>yet when the table is turned on you, you hide >behind "It's only my
>opinion". Which is it then?
>Are we both liars, are are we both just stating >our opinions?

We are both entitled to our opinions without prejudice. I hesitated to
call you a liar, but after deliberately trying to malign my character
with repeated claims that I said something when I clearly did not,,,you
left me no choice.

>If it walks like a duck, looks like a duck, and >quacks like a duck, then it sure ain't no >chicken.......

Agree...but you don't like being told you're a liar.

>I see that you took a fancy to the term >"sophomoric" which I used a >few posts back, and are now using it quite >regularly.

Don't apologize. You are the second of Aarons named rec.radio.cb trouble posse who has asked me to dumb down my word usage. Frank was first.

>Another example of your (not so) subtle ways >of hurling condescending insults. I thought you >said you didn't do that?

I don't. You made it personal and are gonna cry rivers because I won't lay down and take it. Instead, it gets returned to it's rightful owners.

It was comments like these that resulted in me "returning the favor".

>Maybe to people like yourself.<

Here again is where your socialism rears it's ugly head.

>While we're on the subject:
>Socialism, n. "A social system, in which the >means of producing and >distributing goods are owned collectively and >political power is >exercised by the whole community."
>Now, what part of that applies to anything >even remotely related to >anything that I've said?

Get a better dictionary.

>Why? This one provides the proper and >correct definitions.

Sure they do,,,but as the part that you cut out said...your dictionary is perfect for you as it only gives a few definitions...only part of the story. There are at least 12 definitions to the word....your dictionary is perfect for you, as you have clearly demonstrated that if you know nothing of it, it must not exist. When you are finshed borrowing the book, give it back to your daughter.

> Because they don't agree with you is not >cause enough for me to want to change it.

Because YOU didn't agree with all the definitions of "spying", I had to get the big boy's book to show you not only did it, but lied about it.

>I guess you feel that anyone who believes in >law and order, and does >not selectively break laws is somehow a >socialist?

I can't stress it enough. Stop guessiing.

>A non-answer.<

You're nontypical...especially of hammie operators.

> Typical for one who's backed into a corner.

But you seem so comfortable and familiar there.

>Maybe if your parents<

None. I was born in a desert and raised in a lion's den.

>Is that before or after the visit from the saucer >men?

Dunno..that was nocode Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO's forge of me.

>had taken some cat-o-nine tails across your >sorry butt, when you were
>a misbehaving child

The Seer malady has just entered the Hypocrite Hall. Please take a seat
and we will close the door.

>instead of adopting the neo-liberal-hippie style >of allowing children
>to "express themselves", even when those >actions were bad, you might
>have a greater respect for doing things the >proper way, and you
>wouldn't be so anti-social.

I'm not anti-social, Dave,,,I'm anti-asshole.

>You must hate looking in the mirror then. <

I'm not the one focusing on mirrors. Read about dwelling on THAT in your
matchbook psyche work.

You are upset

>Hardly. You still don't get it do you? You, my >punch clown friend, are
>my entertainment.

Won't work.
I said that of this group when I decided to stay (it's in the archives,
go get it), that it was my fresh humor for the day and brightened my
mornings....put me in the mood to do my job, ya' know! You claimed you
were in this group way longer than me,and you are right, but I will
wager you never claimed that of this group until post-twist!!!

>I NEVER said that the "group" was my >entertainment. Read it again, I
said that >*YOU* were my entertainment.

Then why were you so hostile before I arrived? Hmmmmmmmmmmm, Davey boy?

>So you are right that I wouldn't have made the >statement before you
came on board, unless, >of course, I'm really a visiting time traveler
>from 2525.

You're just a drunk. An angry one.

>As of Friday, I will be done with you.<

Sure you will. I spend maybe a total of one or two weekends a year
indoors, so that is when you will manifest as some other faceless sap
hurling insults. Lelnad KC8LDO used to do it all the time...each and
every weekend like clockwork..

>The paranoia is kicking in again eh? <

I dunno. What was that behind you?

>I can guarantee you, that I won't be here at all >on the weekend.

And with your streling record, we can all bank on the complete opposite.

>But that's not what I was refering to. <

Right. You have problems focusing and staying on topic.

>You see, like a child, who takes his pet rabbit >out of the cage, and lets him run around on >the floor for a while, but then has to put him >back before he leave a "pile" on the floor, I >have toyed with you this week.

How quaint that your "toying" with me didn't begin until I showed you were a liar with your own unedited posts.
As long as you believe yourself, I'm ok you're ok, but for some reason, you are compelled to a countdown of the weekend. It was probably a pretty good move,,,,you can' t fall from underground.

>But in the interest in conserving bandwidth, >and as a courtesy (something that you should >study) to other people, who may not >appreciate my "entertainment", I will put you >back in your cage, and return to ignoring you >until I feel another need to let you out again. >Unless, of course, you actually have a >coherent thought, and decide to >approach a subject rationally, instead of >confrontationally.

Your flawed interpretations were evident when you claimed all those hammies were federal criminals.

>While we're at it:
>Criminal:
>1. Of or involving crime.
>2. Guilty of crime.
>3. One who has committed a crime.
>Now of course you will take issue with the >term crime, so in anticipation of this:
>Crime:
>1. An act committed or omitted in violation of >the law.
>2. An unjust or senseless act.
>Now lets apply some logic shall we?

Not yours we won't. you're a follower,,,,,a yes "man",..a brainless drone evidenced by your constant need to be validated and accepted by a society only you conjure and see.

>Is operating a radio, on frequencies not >authorized by the FCC, or with power levels >higher than authorized by the FCC, a violation >of federal regulations (I.E. The Law)?
>The answer to anyone but a sociopath would >be: Yes.

It is a language barrier here you fail to grasp. Contact an attorney for crying out loud. Even that 'ol dude that signs his hammie posts as a lawyer and used to work for the FCC will tell you what a loon you are. He did it to Lelnad.

No, it's not actually. We can claim over 100 DX countries, with cards to prove it, on HF.
Nocodes can't do that.

>You make the erroneous assumption that DX
>is the be all,

Where did I do that?
Shooooooooow me the money!!!!!!

>Right here:
>" We can claim over 100 DX countries, with >cards to prove it, on HF."
>The implication, (which you will convieniently >deny) of course,

I don't ever have to deny anything with you Dave,,you do it so well..like giving a child a sharp object, you will injure yourself each time.
First you claim it was an "assumption" and then you proceed to give us your version of what an "implication" is and claim it that. As usual, your posts contradict each other, cancel themselves out, nullify whatever you say,,,self-contradict. You're a walking plight to hammie radio.

>is that DX is the litmus test by which you >measure a radio service's relative worth.

It's what I enjoy. And local talk.

>The fact that a No-code can't work DX, seems >to be important to you, but I can assure you, it >doesn't mean squat to them.

It shouldn't.

>You wear your DX achievments (and I use the >term loosely) like some sort of badge of honor, >ike it actually means something.

Not at all. You asked about it.

>Any idiot, with $100 to spend, and an >penchant for ignoring the law could do what >you do.

And any drunk with 6 dollars can drink cheap wine and do what you do..get out here and babble about everything that pains you, from me to democrats......I take that back..they can probably do it more coherently.

>It doesn't take any talent or discipline. That's >why I grew bored with DX in the 70's.

No surprise. You have shown an extremely short atteention span and a predispositon for forgetting at the most convenient times. Alcohol

clouds judgement.

>A no-code tech, who builds his own antennas, >or operates a repeater, earns more respect >that a freeband DX'er.

It's all about respect to poor Davey 'and Davey boy ain't getting any. I told you, oh loathesome one, ye need give to get. Demand, and you will be told to kiss azz just like N8 and the rest of your trouble crew.

>Personally, I find the pursuit of DX to be >pointless and boring, and >conducive to interference, a flash point for >hostilities, and >generally shows the "bad" side of radio >operations.

But of course you do. It shows in your history of perpetual hard-ons for cbers. It has always been a problem in your internet incarnation.

>Once again, you measure CBers against that >"DX" litmus.

That's you, not me. I mentioned it once and you act like you're passing a kidney stone.

>I am a CBer, and have had many, many >friends over the 30+ years that I've been on >CB.

Did they all desert you at once or was it a gradual process?

> Most of them didn't care much for DX either, >once they made the first dozen or so contacts >and the thrill wore off. I have no "hard-on" >(hmm, a sexual reference, what could that >mean?)

You're the self-professed psycho, psyche boy.
You tell us. Don't bother. You never read Freud and even if you went and did a crash course on the web, you still wouldn't comprehend him. Hell, you call me nuts, stay away from philosophy,,as it is obvious you have never read any at all, not one book or author on the subject. Stick to fictional TV and comic books.

>for Cbers. I do have a problem with those >who's selfish pursuits infringe upon the rights >and enjoyment of others who are trying to do >their thing on CB, and are protected by part >95.
>No one will be happier than I will be, when >cycle 23 is in the can, >and CB can return to normalcy.

Whatever limited definition you wish to apply that term.

>I'll make it simple so you can understand.

Just make sense and it won't be aproblem,,I assure you.I give you my word.

>Solar cycle low, little to no DX. Little to no DX, >peace will come to the band, so that locals >can once again enjoy their hobby without high >powered idiots jamming their QSO's trying to >talk to "Billy-Bob" in bumfutch Kentucky, or a >pile of dirt in the middle of the ocean.

Hey, that's great,,,us flatlanders can talk across the state during low peaks in betweenthe cycles.....and when we can't, some juice DOES get the job done over flat land and water for long distance instate DX.

>No code hams can legally build their own
>equipment,

So can many cbers.

>own and operate
>repeaters,

Some of us feel repeaters suck, just like you feel DX sucks.

>That's a heck of a lot more than you can do >on the freeband, no matter how much you >disdain it.

I don;t disdain the freeband at all. Slow down, Dave,,,you aren't thinking rationally.

>No, you disdain the no-code tech priviledges.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Not the priviledges, just a minority of the nocodes.

1743. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 18:30:58 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAF01E2.7169@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140521

more and more wrote:
>
> : Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> : So let's assume we have all of this "fancy" equipment, what do you use
> : as a reference signal?
>
> A nearby "known station" is a good reference signal.

That's really hard to do, considering the ever changing band conditions
(We are talking about DX stations right?).

>
> : Remember, when looking at signals that are
> : propagated through F layer refraction, there will always be some fade
> : and selective enhancement. Because one guy comes in at +20db over S9
> : while the rest of the pile is at S8 does not automatically mean that the
> : stronger signal is illegally powered, it might just mean that conditions
> : to his "neck of the woods" is better at that moment.
>
> Not all signals are received via F Layer, each event is different.

Which muddies the water even more. There are so many variables in this
equation, it's going to be tough to come up with the real truth.

>
> : Add to that the wide variation of antennas. Who would be stronger, the
> : guy running 2Kw into a half-wave dipole, or the guy running 500 watts
> : into a 3 element yagi? What about feedline losses?, polarization?,
> : ground conductivity?. These are all factors that would make a positive
> : determination of power output from a distance, to be nearly impossible.
>
> Two adjacent stations, one at the legal limit (and known equipment)
> compared to the much louder second station. The second station tells you
> he's running __________ type of antenna. Should he be +6 to +10 dB louder
> after the antenna is figured into the comparison... Nope.

Maybe. Depends on which direction the first station's antenna's primary
lobe is facing, his angle of radiation, and whether or not it is mounted
in the best position and height, for the distance from his station to
yours, and his overall HAAT.

And how many times do you get two guys that live next door to each
other, for whome you can make a valid A/B comparison? In the real world
of DX pileups, there will be a bunch of guys that are all over the globe
(or the country depending on the band), who's signals are all over the
place. Do you assume that the W5 station, who's head and shoulders above

most of the rest of the pack is running a bit hot, if there isn't
another W5 station to compare to?

>
> Another indication of excessive power are the spectral product levels of
> his signal. One can often hear nearby spectal poop and the signal in
> questions' bandwidth increase.

That is only an indication of compression and overdriving, or an amp
that's seriously out of tune, or with the ALC disconnected. You can have
that distortion at any power level. It's not exclusive to "high power"
amps.

>
> : You could make an assumption, that if a group of guys, all yaking it up
> : in one place, say Texas, and are all coming in within 1 S unit of each
> : other, except for one guy, who is 20 db stronger, that that one guy has
> : a few too many "logs on the fire", and you might be right. Assuming that
> : the other guys are all running the legal limit to start with, and not
> : just the "barefoot" 100 watts from an HF rig only.
>
> There you go... ding..! With experience and knowledge, you can expand
> the above to various bands, locations and conditions. Most people are
> creatures of habit... over the average you get the big picture.

Careful! If you study these patterns over time, twistedhed will accuse
you of "spying" on them....

>
> :> Not true... even an S-Meter gives a relative indication of excessive
> :> power. Once your over the limit, your over the limit. Operation from a
> :> typical transceiver level of 100 watts plus 20 dB from an amplifier is a
> :> significant signal increase.

>
> :> Building an amplifier for > +13 dB operation is legal, using it above the
> :> authorized limits is not.
>
> : True!
>
> People seem to think that one has to have an amplifier with no head room,
> or that there no valid reasons for having serious gas... when there are
> applications and occassions where lots of power is legal and good.

That's one of the reasons hams are given the authority and
responsibility to verify that their power output is within specs. There
is nothing wrong, and in most cases is much better for the life of the
amp, to have it loaf along at 1.5KW, then to be "balls to the wall" to
make that same 1.5KW.

> : Sure. Much like guys like to build cars with 1000 HP engines, and then
> : drive them on the street where the speed limit is 55.
>

> Christmas, are they up to 1000 hp now... I don't really follow that
> "hobby" much. But the result is the same...

Sure. A blown big block, can easily make 1000 HP. Some of my closest friends run engines like this in their boats. Mine is only a measly 425 HP though.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1744. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 18:32:10 UTC
From: real radios glow in the dark <4cx5000a@bigtubesrock.com>
Message-ID: <sc0uquocviktihdrqkj8cilr9c2oq4orut@4ax.com>
Source record: 140517

On Thu, 17 Oct 2002 11:42:32 -0400, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> was
blabbling:

...
...
...Uncle Charlie wrote:
...>
...> jj are you suggesting that if a known station running full legal
limit is
...> swamped by another station from the same local area by at least
10 db or 2 s
...> units that its unfair to assume the stronger signal is running
more power?
...>
...
...Yep, there are too many variables to consider that can affect both
...signals. I will give you an example. I and another ham living less
...that a mile apart use to have a regular schedule with friend about
...40 miles away. The ham near me used a dipole, I used a vertical. I
...could put in a stronger signal with 100 watts to the ham 40 miles
...away than my neighbor ham could running his SB200 at over 500
...watts out.

True. But, if you own a oscilloscope and know how to properly read
one. you can usually tell when someone is running more than legal
limit.

73

-Chuck

1745. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 18:33:19 UTC
From: real radios glow in the dark <4cx5000a@bigtubesrock.com>
Message-ID: <ai0uqu4gim2nu2t01q878o0naagakq103d@4ax.com>
Source record: 140518

On 17 Oct 2002 17:56:15 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com was
blabbling:

...JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
...> Uncle Charlie wrote:
...> >
...> > dave your not understanding his point. he was not tlaking about
two
...> > stations across the country from beach other but instead two
stations
...> > in the same area
...>
...>> 'tlaking', 'beach other', you on them funny cigaretts again
...> timmyboy?
...>
...Wish I was on a warm beach someplace..it's 46 degrees in Ohio
today..<g>

Ohio? I didn't know you lived in Ohio? where abouts? just wondering...

73

1746. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 18:37:33 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAF036D.645C5390@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140537

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> >>So you are going to have all this information on some station you
> > > hear on the air that is 50db over s-9 which convinces you he is
> > > over the legal power limit, because your s-meter says so.
> > >
> C'mon JJ,you've never suspected someone was running extra power,after
> having happen what you've just described? I know it's not an exact science,
> but it's a good indicator.

A high s-meter reading is not a final indicator of someone running extra power. I once worked a ham in Hawaii on 10 meters that was the strongest signal on the band, he was way over s-9, where other signals were under s-9. Now I guess I should have know the guy was probably running lots of power? He was running 5 watts.
Sure, I have heard stations that I would guess were running an amp, but there is no way I could hazard a guess that they were running illegal power levels just because they were causing a high s-meter reading. There are too many variables to use an s-meter reading to try and determine someone's power level. I will reiterate this once again, an s-meter on the average ham or cb radio only indicates relative signal strength. They are not accurately calibrated s-meters, at least not on all bands. And even if they were, the readings are still relative, they only tell you that one signal is stronger or weaker than another, nothing more.

1747. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 18:44:06 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <0ZqdnVDMGKpqmTKgXTWcpg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140523

"Skipp keeps going" <exampletime@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:aomop3$a9h$5@woodrow.ucdavis.edu...
> : JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> : So you are going to have all this information on some station you
> : hear on the air that is 50db over s-9 which convinces you he is
> : over the legal power limit, because your s-meter says so.
>
> Let me give a real world example, then I'm about done because this is
> taking way to much of my time.

Skipp, we know how it can be done, but by your inference you can just like jump on a frequency and know that a ham is running excess power, You of course want him to do your homework and tell you what antenna he is using the size of his tube, his grandsons birthdate etc etc . You are asking him to provide oyu with the info so you are setting up a test situation

>
> I arrive on a band, say 40 meters which is regional to the US West Coast
> to an extent during any normal or average day.
>
> Friend Eric comes on from LA (I'm in the greater Sacramento area) to chat
> using his SB-220 and a typical dipole or inverted vee. His near legal
> limit signal is loud... I can easily see his signal is very loud and
> clear, part of the reason of said is that I rebuilt his SB-220 for him.

> I know the general makeup of his station and use it as a relative
> S-meter reference.

Ok so now you have a test situation since you have known variables, jump on a freq where you don't know the operator and what type antenna he is using, what amp he is using, look at your calibrated s meter and then tell me how many watts he is running. Anyone can set up a test situation Skipp and control some of the variables yes,. your making it sound like you can determine peoples general location etc just from your s meter.

>
> A jacka$$ jammer comes on and plays music... we have heard this guy
> before and can see over time his signal acts predictable for someone down
> near Eric. A few other friends on the band have beams which point toward

> the same area as Eric and the jammer.

Thought most of the guys used wire antennas on the low bands now they all have beams LOL ok Skipp

>
> Some of us have direction finding equipment...

Bravo, do you have one for 80 meters?

> Eric can also hear the jammer on ground wave... Now the jammer is mad
> because he's not able to take Eric out. His signal jumps up +13 to +20
> dB.

Typical cber

>
> It would be more than reasonable to assume the jammer has kicked his
> amplifier on. His now +13 dB signal increase would lead most people to
> understand that he is running serious gas.

Well Skipp if he is a jammer it is reasobable to think he is also running an amp i didn't need a fancy s meter for that. But try you your same trick when you don't know a persons antenna , his tube size, or his location. You can't do it no matter how you try and spin it.

Tell us where these 2 guys are located. knowing this info, you are on 14.195, lets say you have a beam you are tuning around dead east of you you lock onto a signal s-9 it is a female ham, seconds later you hear another station he talks for a bit and you get him at s-9 as well. Does this mean they are both in the same location running the same power? Answer this then go on to the next question.

Now the female ham is running 100 watts into a 3 element tri bander, the male ham is running 1000 watts into a dipole. Are they in the same general area ?

The female hams tower is located 250' away from the radio, she uses rg213, the male ham feeds his antenna with x amount of ladder line into a tuner. Are they in the same area?

The female ham lives in a valley 20 feet below sea level the male ham lives on a hill are they in the same area? Same signal right?

> Eric talks his same area station up to the legal limit, the jammer has him
> by +6 to +10 dB signal strenght.

He may have been talking on a dipole and went to a beam as well you can only assume.

> End of assumption...
>
> Epilog... based on a few other non mentioned events and references in the
> above example, I could probably make a pretty good guess at the size of
> his amplifier. If he is a known person, I might know more about his
> actual station from previous contacts, his "former" or current friends,
> not to mention his own mouth.

1748. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 18:44:56 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAF0528.C5E0B815@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140539

real radios glow in the dark wrote:
>
> On Thu, 17 Oct 2002 11:42:32 -0400, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> was
> blabbling:
>
> ...
> ...
> ...Uncle Charlie wrote:
> ...>
> ...> jj are you suggesting that if a known station running full legal
> limit is
> ...> swamped by another station from the same local area by at least
> 10 db or 2 s
> ...> units that its unfair to assume the stronger signal is running
> more power?
> ...>
> ...
> ...Yep, there are too many variables to consider that can affect both
> ...signals. I will give you an example. I and another ham living less
> ...that a mile apart use to have a regular schedule with friend about
> ...40 miles away. The ham near me used a dipole, I used a vertical. I
> ...could put in a stronger signal with 100 watts to the ham 40 miles
> ...away than my neighbor ham could running his SB200 at over 500
> ...watts out.
>
> True. But, if you own a oscilloscope and know how to properly read
> one. you can usually tell when someone is running more than legal
> limit.
>
> 73

Please explain? I have an oscilloscope and I know how to use it.
Tell me how and I will hook it up to my receiver and nail all
those stations running illegal power.

1749. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 18:48:15 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <dpacnRIH0q9tmDKgXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140525

"more and more" <skipp85@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aomqnn$a9h$6@woodrow.ucdavis.edu...
> : Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> : So let's assume we have all of this "fancy" equipment, what do you use
> : as a reference signal?

> A nearby "known station" is a good reference signal.

What if there are none what next ? Assume right?

> : Remember, when looking at signals that are
> : propagated through F layer refraction, there will always be some fade
> : and selective enhancement. Because one guy comes in at +20db over S9
> : while the rest of the pile is at S8 does not automatically mean that the
> : stronger signal is illegally powered, it might just mean that conditions
> : to his "neck of the woods" is better at that moment.
>
> Not all signals are received via F Layer, each event is different.
> Everything matters, experience is a factor in the mix...
>
> See my example in the other post as a real world event that demonstrates
> how one can make realtive smart assumptions about received S-Meter
> indications.
>
> : Add to that the wide variation of antennas. Who would be stronger, the
> : guy running 2Kw into a half-wave dipole, or the guy running 500 watts
> : into a 3 element yagi? What about feedline losses?, polarization?,
> : ground conductivity?. These are all factors that would make a positive
> : determination of power output from a distance, to be nearly impossible.
>
> Two adjacent stations, one at the legal limit (and known equipment)
> compared to the much louder second station. The second station tells you
> he's running __________ type of antenna. Should he be +6 to +10 dB louder
> after the antenna is figured into the comparison... Nope.
>
> Another indication of excessive power are the spectral product levels of
> his signal. One can often hear nearby spectal poop and the signal in
> questions' bandwidth increase.
>
> : You could make an assumption, that if a group of guys, all yaking it up
> : in one place, say Texas, and are all coming in within 1 S unit of each
> : other, except for one guy, who is 20 db stronger, that that one guy has
> : a few too many "logs on the fire", and you might be right. Assuming that
> : the other guys are all running the legal limit to start with, and not
> : just the "barefoot" 100 watts from an HF rig only.

>
>
> There you go... ding..! With experience and knowledge, you can expand
> the above to various bands, locations and conditions. Most people are
> creatures of habit... over the average you get the big picture.
>
> :> Not true... even an S-Meter gives a relative indication of excessive
> :> power. Once your over the limit, your over the limit. Operation from a
> :> typical transceiver level of 100 watts plus 20 dB from an amplifier is
a
> :> significant signal increase.
>
> : A jump from a 100 watt rig to the 1500 watt legal limit, is only about
> : 12 db, which is the equivilent of 2 "s" units on a decently calibrated
> : meter. An additional jump from 1500 watts to 6000 is only 6 db more,
> : which is only an additional "S" unit.
>
> Yeah, but there are some +13 to +20 dB gain amplifiers in operation that I
> know about. It's not hard to see the s-meter really move when those guys
> come on.
>
> : But you are right when you say that an "S" meter gives a relative
> : indication. Thats the ONLY thing it gives. So unless you know what
> : signal reference you are comparing your "relative" signal to, the actual
> : reading is meaningless.
>
> Exactly...
>
> :> Most hams are not capable of running twice the legal power... a small
> :> percentage have large amplifiers which have 8 to 13 dB of gain.
>
> : No arguement there. A kilowatt is typically a 10db gain amplifier, if it
> : is designed for 100 watts drive.
>
> And many current amplifiers are often over driven... causing distortion.
>
> :> Many...
> :> ie as in a significant number build and operate amplifiers of >13 dB of
> :> gain. I guess the hundreds members of the list server group I frequent
are
> :> "just a few." Web sites abound with pictures of these well built
> :> amplifiers. You guys haven't been it the real world for some time...
get
> :> off the decafe..
>
> : I'm not sure what your point is here. Are you claiming that there are
> : many more than "some" hams running huge amps, or not? Your last point,
> : claiming that most hams are not capable of running the legal limit,
> : would suggest that you believe that the guys running the really large
> : amps are in the minority. I would agree with that.

>
> Yep, I know first hand of hundreds of large amplifier people who chat
> across apopular net list server. Most all are nice people who enjoy the
> hobby and building large amplifiers. Said amplifiers can be used in other
> applications. It's a science unto itself that has a pretty large
> following...
> Some of us meet up at the Dayton Hamfest each year....
>
> :> Building an amplifier for > +13 dB operation is legal, using it above
the
> :> authorized limits is not.
>
> : True!
>
> People seem to think that one has to have an amplifier with no head room,
> or that there no valid reasons for having serious gas... when there are
> applications and occassions where lots of power is legal and good.
>
>
> :> Both are done by many hams and cbers.
>
> : A big difference. Hams can do it legally, CBers cannot do it legally
> : under ANY circumstances.
>
> Class D 27 Mhz CB In the United States. But know that there are fcc
> licensed services in the same range as CB with allowed higher power
> levels. I mention the FCC web page as a good place to locate data about
> said.
>
> :> Most of the people I know running large amplifiers, don't use them very
> :> much. Many hams and non hams take great pride in building large
> :> amplifiers at various frequencies.
>
> : Sure. Much like guys like to build cars with 1000 HP engines, and then
> : drive them on the street where the speed limit is 55.
>
> Christmas, are they up to 1000 hp now... I don't really follow that
> "hobby" much. But the result is the same...
>
> The 1k hp guys really like to open it up on long flat highways. The guys
> giving it the gas in more crowded areas often crash or get caught.
>
>
> :> Some of the amps members build mega
> :> watt amplifiers for non amateur applications. All the topics are
covered
> :> in these list server groups over time.
> :>
> :> Even the truth comes out if you can wade through the off topic BS.
> :>

> :> Many hams run large amplifiers for practical reasons, often to get over
> :> jammers and interference. Adjustment of one level /knob makes them
> :> legal...
>
> : Intentions aside, if they run over 1500 watts, no matter how justified
> : they might feel, they are in violation of the law, and no better, in the
> : eyes of the law, than the amp jockeys on CB channel 6.
> : How you or I might feel about it personally, doesn't change that fact.
> : Dave
> : "Sandbagger"
>
> Some of the high power people are in Broadcasting, some in Research, some
> live in other countries... many are hams. Few run real gas on the
> Amatuer Bands unless there is a reason. You would be surprised to know
> about some of the really really large amplifiers in operation around the
> world.
>
> cheers
> skipp

1750. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 18:50:35 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <bvCcnRZQj-rhmzKgXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140526

"Done wasting my time with mr boobner fake" <getarealjob@hotmail.com> wrote
in message news:aomruv$a9h$8@woodrow.ucdavis.edu...
> : Aaron H. Voobner <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> : Skipp, give us their callsigns. Id like to verify the fact that these
> : 200 people run illegal high power.

> I'm often amazed how many lapdogs who love to follow you around on this
> news group. You'd probably make a good religious type if you are not
> already.

Where is the Kool Aid.. aaron. lol

1751. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 18:52:14 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <t56cnUiXqLpCmzKgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140527

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> Have you passed it?

Sure have :-)

>Are you related to George Busch,or are you him?

Yes

LOL #40 wins race and he is front running again.

1752. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 18:53:36 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <pBidnRmkZNKsmjKgXTWcoQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140528

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021017132523.631

> Are you related to George Busch,or are you him?

Who's George busch ?lol

1753. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 19:33:22 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAF1082.27EAB04B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140551

real radios glow in the dark wrote:
>
> On Thu, 17 Oct 2002 15:34:28 -0500, "Dave VanHorn"
> <dvanhorn@cedar.net> was blabbling:
>
> ...
> ...
> ...> True. But, if you own a oscilloscope and know how to properly
> read
> ...> one. you can usually tell when someone is running more than legal
> ...> limit.
> ...>
> ...> 73
> ...>
> ...> -Chuck
> ...
> ...
> ...Ok, I'll bite, how does a scope help you here?
> ...
>
> By measuring the peaks in a properly adjusted scope will allow you to
> see how wide the signal is.

And just how does that tell you how much power is being run?

1754. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 19:34:26 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAF10C2.A761ACDA@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140552

Dave VanHorn wrote:
>
> > ...> True. But, if you own a oscilloscope and know how to properly
> > read
> > ...> one. you can usually tell when someone is running more than legal
> > ...> limit.
> > ...>
> > ...> 73
> > ...> -Chuck
> > ...Ok, I'll bite, how does a scope help you here?
> > ...
> > By measuring the peaks in a properly adjusted scope will allow you to
> > see how wide the signal is.
>
> I've only been using my scopes for about 25 years, but I can't figure out
> what you're talking about. "wide"? Are you talking about a "bandscope"?
> That would tell me a signal's bandwidth, but nothing really about it's
> absolute power level at the transmitter.
>
> Measuring the peak amplitude only tells me how strong the signal is locally,

Yep, doesn't tell you anymore than the s-meter reading. Skipper is
really reaching now.

1755. Re: WTB: The True story on the D&A Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 19:56:29 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3DAF15ED.430856D6@lucent.com>
Source record: 140529

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> Dennis W. Ostrowski wrote:
> >Hey Dennis,
> >An unrelated question. Have you ever >attempted, or know of any
> information on what >to expect if one were to attempt to modify a >Texas
> Star DX 600 or 667V to run on the 6 >meter band?
> >A couple of the locals have some CB >equipment from the good old days,
> and they >are looking to "recycle" them.
> >Good to see you're still around,
> >Dave
> >"Sandbagger"
>
> --
>
> You might have to shout and jump up and down to be heard..after all..you
> are party to the trouble-posse that called Lucent to cry about his
> posts. Socialist.

Twist,

In that case Dave should be happy, because this is my last
post from Lucent.

I was part of today's force reduction!

I have made it through over 500 force reductions since the
government decided to split up the telephone company back in
1983, and I thought that if I could make it through the next
two force reductions I would finally be on easy street.

Unfortunately, that did not happen.

It's been a long run at 37-1/2 years here, and it's kind of
scary thinking about the fact that I will be out of a job
for the first time in my life!

Dave,

I don't like the DX667 vecause of the dirty single ended
driver stage, but it whould work fine in 6 meters with a
reduced output and higher input and output swrs.

To everyone else on the newsgroup; it's been fun, even in
the bad times, but everything has to come to an end sooner
or later.

Regards,

Dennis O.

1756. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 20:07:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22512-3DAF1864-96@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140530

>We were talking about DX pileups. That infers >DX signals.
>If he's talking about direct local signals, then it >does become a bit easier. But that's not what >was implied.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

I would have invalidated you earlier but I had to step into the real world for a while. You really have a problem with context and implications......how about reading just what is written in it's entirety (like your posts boasting of spying on other cb/hammie ops) and forget about what isn't there. You are the only person on this group having a problem with others posts claiming "implications" that no others can see but you. Not to worry, grasshopper, you have but one day left of getting shown the light before your concoction of an imaginary and self-imposed exile (talk about a nut) from Twistedhed....

1757. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 20:32:55 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <VpmcnURSv7HPgzKgXTWc3g@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140533

> Me too I have a 300 fot role out back not in use yet. Got any connectors
for
> it? I paid like 1.70 ft slightly used.

It goes way cheaper here used, about $0.50, with connectors on a 30-50'
piece.

1758. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 20:34:28 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <_tGcnQfATcQygzKgXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140534

> True. But, if you own a oscilloscope and know how to properly read
> one. you can usually tell when someone is running more than legal
> limit.
>
> 73
>
> -Chuck

Ok, I'll bite, how does a scope help you here?

1759. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 20:35:22 UTC
From: real radios glow in the dark <4cx5000a@bigtubesrock.com>
Message-ID: <hm7uqugmi6g5tdtc19gki2jnt09rde5iqc@4ax.com>
Source record: 140535

On 17 Oct 2002 18:39:13 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com was
blabbling:

...yougottabekidding@yeahsure.com wrote:
...> On 17 Oct 2002 17:56:15 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com was
...> blabbling:
...>
...> ...JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
...> ...> Uncle Charlie wrote:
...> ...> >
...> ...> > dave your not understanding his point. he was not tlaking
about
...> two
...> ...> > stations across the country from beach other but instead
two
...> stations
...> ...> > in the same area
...> ...>
...> ...>> 'tlaking', 'beach other', you on them funny cigaretts again
...> ...> timmyboy?
...> ...>
...> ...Wish I was on a warm beach someplace..it's 46 degrees in Ohio
...> today..<g>
...>
...>> Ohio? I didn't know you lived in Ohio? where abouts? just
wondering...
...>
...Medina area,and you?
...>
...> 73

Michigan near Detroit. How far is that from Toledo?

1760. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 20:36:02 UTC
From: real radios glow in the dark <4cx5000a@bigtubesrock.com>
Message-ID: <qo7uqu0t9pi4imb2qf9b1a9maq9qdhd091@4ax.com>
Source record: 140536

..>
...Oh,just realized this is Chuckie..are you good in the book?

Which book?

1761. testing...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 20:45:11 UTC
From: real radios glow in the dark <4cx5000a@bigtubesrock.com>
Message-ID: <1a8uqu0a8oujg9dnk6s2ndp0l45i43i5ik@4ax.com>
Source record: 140538

```
testing 1234567890
A Real Tube
http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
A Real Amp
http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html
```

1762. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 20:46:59 UTC
From: real radios glow in the dark <4cx5000a@bigtubesrock.com>
Message-ID: <8d8uqukte5u9jnck8umjcefhgt30cnhl6q@4ax.com>
Source record: 140540

On 17 Oct 2002 20:40:26 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com was
blabbling:

...yougottabekidding@yeahsure.com wrote:
...> ..>
...> ...Oh,just realized this is Chuckie..are you good in the book?
...>
...>> Which book?
...>
...The one with your call.

yup...

A Real Tube
http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
A Real Amp
http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html

1763. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 20:48:38 UTC
From: real radios glow in the dark <4cx5000a@bigtubesrock.com>
Message-ID: <pf8uqu42fsrp31ruh9qq5neigrmcdaoudl@4ax.com>
Source record: 140541

On Thu, 17 Oct 2002 15:34:28 -0500, "Dave VanHorn"
<dvanhorn@cedar.net> was blabbling:

...
...
...> True. But, if you own a oscilloscope and know how to properly
read
...> one. you can usually tell when someone is running more than legal
...> limit.
...>
...> 73
...>
...> -Chuck
...
...
...Ok, I'll bite, how does a scope help you here?
...

By measuring the peaks in a properly adjusted scope will allow you to
see how wide the signal is.

A Real Tube
http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
A Real Amp
http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html

1764. Re: WTB: The True story on the D&A Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 20:48:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24822-3DAF2238-229@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140545

>Twist,
>In that case Dave should be happy, because >this is my last post from Lucent.
>I was part of today's force reduction!

In todays workforce and economical climate, I feel for ya'.....but I have no doubt that unemployment will be a problem for you for any serious length of time. Hell, you can always become a consultant,,,,everyone seems to be doing that now so there must be something there somewhere.....
Plus, you know those layoffs have spawned a few entrepeneurs taking their ingenuity and morphing themselves into millionaires....

> I have made it through over 500 force >reductions since the government decided to >split up the telephone company back in 1983, >and I thought that if I could make it through the >next two force reductions I would finally be on >easy street.
>Unfortunately, that did not happen.
>It's been a long run at 37-1/2 years here, and >it's kind of scary thinking about the fact that I >will be out of a job for the first time in my life!

Damn, you're an old dude <g>! I know Lucent isn't the darling stock it used to be, but I haven't looked at it in quite some time.....it always scared me, even though that stock made many people rich at one time.....I just couldn't come to recognize it as a true blue chip buy at the time (my loss)...I'm too conservative in that arena.....
That deregulation hurt another person I know that was with our local phone company back then. He came out ok, but decided to end his work search and retire. Good luck with your endeavors and best wishes for prosperity.

1765. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 20:49:55 UTC
From: real radios glow in the dark <4cx5000a@bigtubesrock.com>
Message-ID: <qi8uqu04bc1j92h8u9e3qtsrmvtiv7s4a4@4ax.com>
Source record: 140542

On 17 Oct 2002 20:41:25 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com was
blabbling:

...yougottabekidding@yeahsure.com wrote:
...> On 17 Oct 2002 18:39:13 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com was
...> blabbling:
...>
...> ...yougottabekidding@yeahsure.com wrote:
...> ...> On 17 Oct 2002 17:56:15 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
was
...> ...> blabbling:
...> ...>
...> ...> ...JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
...> ...> ...> Uncle Charlie wrote:
...> ...> ...> >
...> ...> ...> > dave your not understanding his point. he was not
tlaking
...> about
...> ...> two
...> ...> ...> > stations across the country from beach other but
instead
...> two
...> ...> stations
...> ...> ...> > in the same area
...> ...> ...>
...> ...> ...>> 'tlaking', 'beach other', you on them funny cigaretts
again
...> ...> ...> timmyboy?
...> ...> ...>
...> ...> ...Wish I was on a warm beach someplace..it's 46 degrees in
Ohio
...> ...> today..<g>
...> ...>
...> ...>> Ohio? I didn't know you lived in Ohio? where abouts? just
...> wondering...
...> ...>
...> ...Medina area,and you?
...> ...>
...> ...> 73
...>
...>> Michigan near Detroit. How far is that from Toledo?
...>
...About 2 hrs on the green stamp.

Okay. you know where... Crown City, Ohio is?

A Real Tube
http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
A Real Amp
http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html

1766. Schedule: Nov, 2nd, 2002: Rheintal Electronica, Durmersheim, Germany

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 20:52:31 UTC
From: Henning Gajek <hrgajek@t-online.de>
Message-ID: <aon869jbf01$3@news.t-online.com>
Source record: 140543

Invitation for Radio Electronic Fair:

What: Rheintal Electronica 2002
When: Saturday, 02nd November 2002
Starting 09.00 a.m.
Closing 05.00 p.m.

Location: Durmersheim south of Karlsruhe, Germany
exact: Hardt-Halle at Durmersheim, district Rastatt, Baden-Wuerttemberg

By Car:

Use Autobahn A5
Frankfurt-Karlsruhe-Basel
Exit: Karlsruhe Sï¿½d/Ettlingen or Rastatt

Road is signed from exit Rastatt and Karlsruhe-Sï¿½d

Directions:
Amateurradio : DF0RHT on 145.500 MHz (F3E)
CB-Radio : CEPT Channel 15 FM (27.135 MHz)

Free Parking including Bus-Transfer to the exhibition.

Abt. 100 Contributors
- Radios
- Antennas
- Computers, Printers, Software
und
Accessoires, Spares, Tubes, Plugs etc.

Contributors coming from
Germany, Hungary, Switzerland, Austria, France, Be-Ne-Lux

Panels to interesting topics (in german language)
Access free of charge

Access by Train:

Go with Deutsche Bahn to Karlsruhe
and change to Suburb-Train S4 Karlsruhe - Rastatt stop at
Durmersheim-Nord.

More Informationen
Michael Buege (DH8IAU)
Tel +49-7245-937597
Fax +49-7245-937598
e-mail: michael.buege@gmx.de

1767. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 21:09:06 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210171309.74026ad7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140544

more and more skipp85@yahoo.com wrote
>Dave Hall wrote
:> Building an amplifier for > +13 dB operation is legal, using it
above the
:> authorized limits is not.
>
>there are applications and occassions where lots of power is legal
>and good.

No problem if it's legal. But the topic here is illegal use -- not excuses to keep multi kw amps ready for sporadic illegal use.

>Yep, I know first hand of hundreds of large amplifier people who chat
>across apopular net list server. Most all are nice people who enjoy
the
>hobby and building large amplifiers. Said amplifiers can be used in
other
>applications. It's a science unto itself that has a pretty large
>following...
>Some of us meet up at the Dayton Hamfest each year....

So if this "large amplfier" subculture is all above board, legal, and factual, how about some names and callsigns for verification? Or how about the name of the net list server? Or how about your callsign? No reason to hide it if you're operation is above board.

>: Intentions aside, if they run over 1500 watts, no matter how
justified
>: they might feel, they are in violation of the law, and no better,
in the
>: eyes of the law, than the amp jockeys on CB channel 6.
>: How you or I might feel about it personally, doesn't change that
fact.
>: Dave
>: "Sandbagger"
>
>Some of the high power people are in Broadcasting, some in Research,
some
>live in other countries... many are hams. Few run real gas on the
>Amatuer Bands unless there is a reason. You would be surprised to
know
>about some of the really really large amplifiers in operation around
the
>world.
>

>cheers
>skipp

OK, well now we finally come to the truth. This thread about hams operating over 1500 watts does not refer to most hams...or even "many" hams. It refers to the "big amplifier" crowd that you are part of -- a rather small minority and elitist group who, by your own admission, gravitiate to problem frequencies where they feel they are above the law and justified in using illegal RF power.

Pretty sad skipp, and not representative of mainstream ham radio. Sorta like you.

-Aaron-

1768. Re: help with mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 21:11:56 UTC
From: Stephen Pike <spikey911usa@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <JNWcnRxlmeHHqDKgXTWc3g@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140554

You would need an amp to make any useful power boost.
Why do you need more channels?
Isnt 40 channels of cursing and profanity enough? :)

"Carlmackayp" <carlmackayp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021014170903.13720.00001419@mb-fr.aol.com...
> can anyone tell me what to do to get more channels from my realistic
trc440
> base
> station also how can i boost my wattage

1769. Re: amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 21:15:25 UTC
From: Stephen Pike <spikey911usa@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <DNmdnQzWs_-2qzKgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 140555

Amps are not legal.
However receive amps are legal, but will pull in more noise.
You wont gain a whole lot unless you use a powerful amp, which will be
costly and will drain your batt.

"Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message
news:mSFq9.1429$Zv3.308911@news20.bellglobal.com...
> I'm looking for something to boost my signal strength and RX/TX range. I
was
> told i needed a linear amp. Who makes decent ones? How do they work?
> Recommend any websites I could read up on?
>
> DL
>
>

1770. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 21:17:15 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAF28DB.E1D4C6E5@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140578

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> There's a tune tone calibration.

What is a 'tune tone' calibration?

1771. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 21:20:33 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAF29A1.B24CEE9C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140581

musicmusicIhearmusicdogman wrote:
>
> : JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
> : That is all calibrated into his magic s-meter.
>
> As originally supplied stock by the radio mfgr, verified by me that 100 uV
> equals S-9. The actual response is pretty good across the band when
> checked with a calibrated reference.

How about on the other bands?

1772. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 21:20:52 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <no6cnUUNQ94otDKgXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140546

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021017141221.029
> Now answer the question,are you George Bucsh?

Who's George Busch?

1773. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 21:22:45 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14580-3DAF2A25-232@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140553

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Uncle Charlie wrote:
and that in and of itself if why you guys hate cb.
>Nobody hates CB. Why would I hate >something that has given me pleasure
for the >past 30+ years?

Because you get stepped on by DX.

--

Tim wrote:
you feel you dont get your
"proper respect" you think you should deserve from the general public
and it really pisses you guys off.
--

>The term "Breaker Breaker" has never been >associated with ham radio.
It's something that >grew out of the CB fad in the late 70's.

And remains so today. Could it be the sterling examples of hammie radio,
such as yourself that down those that have opposing views, hurl insults,
lie about, deliberately misquote and misattribute, make personal remarks
and off topic barbs, deliver deliberate disinformation, then put a call
at the end of their foolish diatribe
and clamor that by virtue of that call you demand respect? Why yes, I do
believe that may be construed as aiding in the dumbing down and
assaulting of hammie radio.

>An unfortunate truth is that the general public >views CBers as a
lawless bunch of anarchists,

The unadulterated truth is the general public holds hammie radio the
same as cb..breaker breaker. They don't care, Dave,,the public at large
does not share your hellfire and brimstone burning passion that cbers
are anarchists...they see you as a cber...and it chaps your azz because
you "demand" respect and recognition and that the public know the
difference.....hahahahaha!

>who blatently disregard the rules of radio law >and are the cause of
the vast majority of >interference to home entertainment >equipment.

You aren't up to speed. Even the lowly Lelnad is aware manufacturers are
to largely to blame for poor designs. The stickers on consumer products
confirm they MUST accept any interference...so it isn;'t like you make
it out to be at all.

>Which is why there are more and more laws >being proposed to regulate CB radio at the >local level, since the feds have been doing a >poor job of it themselves. It is also a good

How many laws are you claiming were proposed, Hall?

>reason why you can't buy a new home in a >development without some sort of antenna >restriction.

Is your head always in the sand or haven't you looked outside your neighborhood yet? Tell ya' what...when you buy your first home, come back and relate your experience...until then, you shouldn't make erroneous, misinformed and wrong comments.

>I can't say that I would blame any ham that >would want to put to rest any notion that ham >radio, is connected in any way to that image.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

It's only you and the idiot box that "Aaron" named of Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, Dogie Adair N8WWM and the occasional "blip" from JerryO whining about the image of hammie radio. Check it out...cbers had nothing to do with the "image" of hammie radio. Hammies like you blacked that eye all by yourselves, no matter how you try to blame others.

1774. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 21:24:33 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <92adnabrXYDxtzKgXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140547

> ...> True. But, if you own a oscilloscope and know how to properly
> read
> ...> one. you can usually tell when someone is running more than legal
> ...> limit.
> ...>
> ...> 73
> ...> -Chuck
> ...Ok, I'll bite, how does a scope help you here?
> ...
> By measuring the peaks in a properly adjusted scope will allow you to
> see how wide the signal is.

I've only been using my scopes for about 25 years, but I can't figure out what you're talking about. "wide"? Are you talking about a "bandscope"? That would tell me a signal's bandwidth, but nothing really about it's absolute power level at the transmitter.

Measuring the peak amplitude only tells me how strong the signal is locally, and I already can know that by comparing it to a known signal source through attenuators.

1775. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 21:27:08 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <H22dnS0nFuGwtjKgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 140548

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021017150942.188>
> I know you're only trying to start shit with me,but in case you've missed it,here's what happened to Sterling Marlin:

I am anavid Nascar Fan I know exactly what happened to Sterling, but that was no reason why he was out of first place he was hurt after he lost the top spot.

> He gave his blessing to Jamie,so Ganassi could share in the owner points..oh never mind..

He gave his blessing LOL, what do you expect him to say The #40 car is his the sponsor is in large because of the drivers. I didn't think he would say I hope the kid sucks. LOL

1776. Re: Export radios & Misc for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 21:29:26 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <NOGcnRAqSKIrtjKgXTWcpg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140549

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> You don't have to ID my post,I'm not changing names,or posting from a
> proxy.

Don't know what your talking about i just snip the useless bs.

You do X-No-Archive: yes so I save them all in a special folder to look back
on

1777. Anyone think they can win a "key-down" contest with this guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 21:30:14 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <ePWcnQKLItlctjKgXTWcow@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140550

Talking about running power and creating interference...

http://www.aviationnow.com/avnow/news/channel_military.jsp?view=story&id=news/aw1004dir.xml

1778. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 21:45:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14580-3DAF2F71-233@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140556

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
Amateur ham radio operators last link in chain By Jason Kosareff, Staff
Writer
Breaker, breaker it's amateur ham radio.
>Another "bright" person, who doesn't know the >difference between ham
>radio and CB.......
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

A very bright person....he is merely telling you like it is...the
public's perception.

>Hams do not use the term "Breaker Breaker".<

It's not I you have to convince, as usual...it's the rest of the world.
Damn dude, you act like you're fighting for your life.

>If the public cannot differentiate ham radio >from CB, then the folks
at the ARRL have >some PR work ahead of them.

Oh boy!
They will fix things.
Priority 1 according to socialist Dave Hall is making certain others see
his hobby as he thinks they should...LMAO!

You're not very good at seeing other views. Some of us are reeling and
rolling over your self-contradictory claims:
In one post you claim skip is the MAIN problem on cb. In yet another you
claim amps are the MAIN problem.

>Not true. I said, that amps are one of the >primary reasons why the
noise level is so high >during a band opening.

Yea, you said that, but you also claimed that amps were the main cause
of cb being not usable.

>The fact that people engage in skip talking is >yet another reason for
the noise.
>Learn to read for content.

You're the only one having the comprehension and implication problems.

In one post, you claimed those that freeband are federal criminals for
breaking what you call "Federal Law".
Yet when a hammie receives a letter from the FCC for rule violation, you

begin your ornithilogical dining of big black crow. So with your illogic, a cber is a federal criminal for violating any rule, no matter how minor, but if it's a hammie doing the same thing, you somehow exonerate their "criminal status" in your tiny mind.

>I said no such thing. Hams, who break the >law, are just as guilty as CBer's. I make NO >distinction.

So all those that received letters from the FCC for one form of a violation or another are federal criminals?

In one post you claimed that escaping to the freeband isn't so bad and that you have no problem with it.
In another, you claim the opposite and say they are criminals not respecting society.

>And I keep pointing out to you, that there is a >difference between how I feel about a >situation, and what the letter of the law states.

The letter of the law has nothing to do with you self-contradicting yourself. Nothing at all.

>Personally, I don't care who runs on freeband, >as long as they are not causing interference to >legal stations there, or on the adjacent ham >bands. But regardless HOW *I* (or you) feel >about the situation, it is still in violation of the >law.

Then why do you bust peoples chops for freebanding if, as you said, it "doesn't bother you?"
Because you're a trolling member of Aarons gang of trouble, named exclusively by Aaron himself..

In one post you claim that actions reflect deterioration of society as a whole.

>This I remember saying, <

Good. You are on the wagon at times......

>but more accurately, the decline in moral >values, has predicated the slow decline in civil >society, and has given way to an "I do what I >want, and tough shit to anyone who feels >differently" attitude.

You damn right. As long as my actions are not infringing on yours, it's none of your damn business, although you have continually made such things your business repeatedly over the years.

>and I still feel that it's true.<

Probably because it is. I don't give a damn what you think of me freebanding , DX shootin' or
training the dogs.

In another, you feel your actions and behavior are an exception to what you hold others to because your personal feelings toward another dictate

exemption.

>I never made that statement. More inaccurate >paraphrasing on your
part?
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

It was your action.
You claimed that you treated people well and this and that......
After I got up off the floor from laughing so hard, it wasn't but 2
posts later where you claimed an exception for your boorish behavior
that I pointed out. You made an exception, going so far as to even
admitting that you singled out ME for your verbal assaults. This
indicates you made an exception in your personal code of conduct because
you couldn't control your emotions. You actually CHANGED your personal
admitted behavior and contradicted yourself by committing such acts.
The best part was your pitiful defense attempting to justify your
attacks by sharing with us the hallucination from DT's you see that you
claim say "kick me".
Even that wasn't original.
It was a poor spinoff from the "T" tattooed on the Trouble-posses
foreheads, but, yes we get the gist of it, and, it's alright!!!!

1779. Re: amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 22:20:46 UTC
From: Dan Lagace <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca>
Message-ID: <2JGr9.1391$OG5.153176@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 140557

I'm looking for the illegal one...

"Stephen Pike" <spikey911usa@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:DNmdnQzWs_-2qzKgXTWcrg@comcast.com...
> Amps are not legal.
> However receive amps are legal, but will pull in more noise.
> You wont gain a whole lot unless you use a powerful amp, which will be
> costly and will drain your batt.
>
> "Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message
> news:mSFq9.1429$Zv3.308911@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > I'm looking for something to boost my signal strength and RX/TX range. I
> was
> > told i needed a linear amp. Who makes decent ones? How do they work?
> > Recommend any websites I could read up on?
> >
> > DL
> >
> >
>
>

1780. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 22:23:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7727-3DAF3872-124@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140562

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
>Is the FCC a FEDERAL agency?
>Was "Rabbit Ears'" case heard before a >FEDERAL court? Is "Rabbit Ears"
>spending the next 15 months in a FEDERAL >prison? Is "Rabbit Ears"
paying the FEDERAL >gov't a nice check for $25,000? Sounds like a
>FEDERAL crime to me..... But I guess you >still feel that "Rabbit
>Ears" is not a "criminal".

Your guessing is aghast and never correct.

>It must be, you didn't deny it.<

Yea, Dogie N8WWM LOVED that line.
You guys share everything?

>Were we talking about Rabbit Ears?

No.

>Yes. I was.<

No, you weren't.
You entered him into the equation yet at the same time took issue with
me for mentioning the hammies you called federal criminals because they
were not ALL running power,as if that had anything at all to do with
thembreaking what you say is FEDERAL LAW
which makes them FEDERAL CRIMINALS.
What's good for the goose......

>You seem to think that mentioning hams, who >are also guilty of
breaking the law would >somehow "bother" me.

Not at all...I merely want to point out that you have refrained, at
every turn, from calling those hammies that receieve notices or warning
letters from the FCCC
"federal criminals" like you have referrred to cbers several hundred
times for breaking the same exact rules....such as out of band. How
about not IDing on time? It's a RULE (IE: FEDERAL LAW according to you.)
Are they federal criminals, too? Hahhahahah!

>As far as I'm concerned, <

What you concern yourself with is of no consequence to anyone
here....promise.

>Operating a radio is not a "right", it's a >"privilege". And like all privileges, it comes with >associated responsibilities. If you can't abide >by your responsibility (Which usually means to >follow the rules), then you stand to lose that >privilege.

Yea, as I said, all you posse members have lostISP's for noncompliance with the rules. That makes everything that comes from any of your mouths invalid.

>In the case of hams, that would be their >license.

In your specific case, it was your self-respect. I can't figure out if you ever had any or if you just can't understand what you are doing to ammie radio. As was shown, you don't see yourself as others do.

>In the case of freebanders, it would be >forfeiture of equipment, monetary fines, and/or >incarceration.
>Our prisons are full of people who have >forsaken their responsibilities, to live >harmoniously within our society, and as a >result have lost the privilege of freedom.

Bullshit. Our prisons majority populations are made up of nonviolent drug offenders.

>It's the price you pay for being a sociopath.

I don't pay any price...but I have a feeling you will never learn of the price YOU have paid through your eye teeth among these pages.

>He's a shining example of an outlaw mentality >gone horribly wrong.

And you're a blackeye to hammie radio.

>You might be a few pegs up the ladder from >him, but you're just as >guilty of breaking federal law.

Am I as guilty as the hammies in the rain report each week? They are federal criminals by your logic, are they not?

>Yes, and yes.<

See, that wasn't painful now, was it?

We don't do what Rabbit Ears did.

>Who is "we"?
>Wait a sec..you just said in aother post "So >*WE* don't think you're a blooming idiot."
>That's "babbling idiot".

If you are going to quote me, please get it right.

>In that case "we" refered to other members of >the newsgroup. In your case, who "we" refers >to is unclear.

Only because you snipped it to intentionally make it seem that way. The "we" referred

to are freebanders.
I was so kind as to provide you with an answer, let's see if you can return in kind. Who on this ng do you feel shares you views besides the obvious trouble posse named by Aaron of KC8LDO Lelnad C. Scott, Frank Gilliland, N8WWM Dogie Adair, and yourself,,,oh yea...and JerryO sometimes.

>Is "we" anyone who abandons CB rules, >including freebanders, amp jockeys, and just >plain foul mouths?

You know my answer was freebanders and intentionally deleted it. You're hacking my posts when I have presented yours unedited and intact. Even Lelnad has done a better job
of hacking my posts, but you're welcome to continue your self-destruction.

>Or are you refering to a particular segment of >illegal radio operators?
>"Yep, we illegal freebanders, are not as bad >as those guys running amps. We break the >rules with a bit more class."

I love talking to you when you're drunk, Dave...your hypocrisy comes shining through brilliantly.

>Once again:
>Hypocricy
>1. Possessing of beliefs or virtues, one doesn't >possess. Now, what belief or virtue do I >espouse (another word for you), that I do not >embrace personally?

All of them. Every last one.
You are the epitome, along with the others Frank named, of the lousiest example of hammie radio ops.

>Are you speaking for all radio outlaws again >here?

When I said "we". I referred to freebanders , an in they do not, for the most part act like Rabbit Ears

>You're a "better class" of criminal. Sort of like >how murderers and drug dealers look upon >child molesters with contempt.

Again, you hold true to trouble-posse guidelines. You and Lelnad both talk about the molestation of children as some kind of joke or analogy to cbers/hammies selectively breaking a rule or 2. Frank really knew who he was naming didn't he?

>All guilty of breaking federal law.
.
.to your indiscretions.

>Which are? <

The repeated lies were enough for one week. More in due time if you wanna play, but I strongly urge you to take your admitted personal grudge with me to email

>I have no desire to waste time in an e-mail >exchange with you. It would be >counterproductive,

Sure it would......to you. It would prove yet another lie concerning one of your claims.

>and much less entertaining for those of us >who enjoy watching you spin.

The spin is all you.

>so as not to subject the group to anymore of >your enjoyment you get by using the group as >your personal tool to "yank: my chain.

>Some people have actively encouraged me to >continue.

I'm not surprised. They tried and lost ISP's and figured this time it would be you whose head went to the chopping block...you're a sacrificial lamb.

>But I really would rather stick to pointing out >the flaws in your twisted logic, and citing >example after example of how you are not a >"good citizen".

(Giggle) You do that and I'll continue just as I always have, of pointing out your lies.

It really hurts hammie radio to see a grown man that uses his call in his sig act as such.

>It would hurt much more if I hid behind an >anonymous "handle", thereby casting doubt as >to the sincerity of my words.

Your words have done nothing but damage the image you profess to care so much about.
I am beginning to feel bad about exploiting and taking such advantage of your ignorance.

And that would have what remote connection to a simple freebander getting a warning letter for the first, second, maybe third time? And have you EVER found a cber guilty of the "Federal Crime" you say exists of DXing?
>Still living by the old "it's only illegal if I'm >caught" mentality.

It's not a mentality, it's a reality.

>Such is the root of your anti-social disorder.

Freud! Mao! Plato! Socrates! Any of them, but for God's sake...get an education, one that willl allow you to move beyond your daughter's Dr. Seuss dictionary.

>By that logic, that sniper, who's terrorizing the >mid-atlantic area, >will not technically be a "criminal" until we >finally catch him eh?

By any logic, you were shorted.

The ignorance is flowing from you today isn;t it? Speeding can directly kill an innocent and has done so in the past. Freebanding between 11 and 10 meters hasn't.

>And the former CEO's of companies like >Enron and IMclone will be >getting fines and jail time for "only" falsifying >company accounts and misstating earnings. >No one died here either. So much for your >(il)logic.

And Kevin Mitnick is getting his hammie license back and no one died. What's the connection, or are you half into the sauce now?

Oh, I'm sorry, did I wound you again? You don;'t know who Kevin Mitnick is...that's ok.

>A speeding ticket won't land you a $25,000 >fine or 15 months in jail either.

Neither will simple DXing and freebanding between 10 and 11. It will get you, at the most, a warning letter the first time. Don't cause a problem and the letter is a given.

>And if you continue to operate illegally after >the "warning", what do >you think will eventually happen?
>"Rabbit Ears" got a "warning" too.

Rabbit ears got what he deserved.

>Too bad he, like you, doesn't take the law >seriously.

Seer malady.

>And the federal gov't doesn't issue speeding >tickets either. Let's >review now shall we boys and girls:

Can you say "patronizing?"

>Sure. PA-tro-niz-ing. <

Good grasshopper.

How come all these hammies aren't in jail that receive notices each week? Why aren't they all paying fines? Because what you say simply doesn't take place, these people are not federal criminals, and a warning letter is always sent first. You failed (yet again) to mention that.

>There's a big difference in the severity of the >offense, between a ham that unknowingly >failed to I.D. once or twice, and someone who >willfully and repeatedly ignores radio rules.

You are ASSuming they forgot to ID. What about the thoses that REFUSE to ID? No difference, Hall, only in your tiny mind.

>And your whole position is predicated (another >word for your >vocabulary)

My, you are certainly coming along since my education of you, grasshopper. I even forced you to open a junior high level dictionary after you found the urine staned thing and sprayed it with Old Spice so you could get near it.

>Can YOU say patronizing?<

Sure, and I can enunciate it correctly, unlike yourself. Did you get THAt from the same dictionary?

>on the fact that you are free to ignore the law, >until you get cited >because the first offense is usually a warning. >After the "warning" do you now take the law >seriously, or do you continue in your >irresponsible defiance?

You are into an entire different area.
You have sunk your ship.

>Nah! The bilge pump is more than sufficient to >pump out what you sling in.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

The only "pump" concerning you would come from Lelnad or Dogie.

1781. Re: amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 22:32:24 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <F0ednUQGW_HOpzKgXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140558

"Stephen Pike" <spikey911usa@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:DNmdnQzWs_-2qzKgXTWcrg@comcast.com...
> Amps are not legal.
> However receive amps are legal, but will pull in more noise.

RX amps aren't very useful on hf, due to the level of noise, but these guys
http://www.advancedreceiver.com/index1.html
make nice ones.
http://www.advancedreceiver.com/page7.html

Antenna gain works in both directions, and is entirely legal.
:)

Better feedline is a step up too. Even upgrading from RS coax to say
LMR-400 will make a significant difference on a 50-100' run.

1782. Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 22:32:41 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DAF3A89-242@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140561

Another lie. Your post concerning your spying was presented in full.

Dave Hal lied with:
>No, it wasn't. You convieniently left out the >person who I was
responding to, which would >have put it in the proper context.

You are still lying...I didn't leave out anything and those that
bothered to check have seen for themselves that post was presented in
it's unedited entirety. You crying because I didn't include ANOTHER
post, and claiming it was edited from yours, is more bullshit and more
lies from you.

>You should work for the
>democratic party. You're as slippery as the >best of them.

They can't afford me, but neither can the Republicans.

More division. At a time when the country needs to put petty political
domestic issues aside more than ever and pull together, we have blooming
algae like you that would prefer to further divide our united efforts.

>Tell that to the democrats, <

Nah, I just told it you. Youare the one seeking division in all facets
of your life.

>But don't start talking about politics.<

I didn't you did and forgtot already. Lush. Hahah!

What? Forget again and look at it today during sobriety and realize it
was YOU that brought it up? Hahahahaha...you're spent dude, and by
looking at the time, you are probably well inot the sauce by now.

>The real joke, that you still don't get: I *AM* a >CBer!

You are the real joke, Dave, I just haven't decide to reveal all the
punch lines yet or to let you in on them.

>Well, you'd better do it soon. I'm putting you >back in your cage on
Friday. Dave
"Sandbagger"

You're plagiarizing me again. Ive had cages for the AKC since one man
gang was whoppin' up on 'em along with phone man making Dogie look like
a cber with a bad case of Tourettes.

>Ok, then. I usually skip posts that start off with >"XYZ is GAY!" or
"Dogie is a fag" as they are >nothing more than juvenile mud slinging,

so I >missed that reference.

Dave, I'm not hounding you for defending N8, I'm pointing out that you are part of his little band of merry gay men that enjoy causing trouble, as Aaron named you (Dave Hall) Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO, and Frank Gilliland and Dogie Adair N8WWM as his party.

>So I'll change it to "I'm putting you back in your >BOX, by Friday", which means that as of the >end of today, we're done here.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger

I don't blame yo. Paying child support and being a part-time father, you couldn't afford to lose another access site or ISP.

1783. Re: amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 22:39:16 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <ZpqdnUeWPapypjKgXTWcqA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140559

> RX amps aren't very useful on hf, due to the level of noise, but these guys
> http://www.advancedreceiver.com/index1.html
> make nice ones.
> http://www.advancedreceiver.com/page7.html

In fact, there's one on Ebay now, that's at $10.
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1389544503

I'm sure that it will stretch down to 27 MHz.

I have a couple similar units, one on the club 2M repeater, and one on my mobile SSTV rig.
Very well behaved.

1784. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 22:40:35 UTC
From: keeps on ticking and ticking <irememberjohnnyquesttv@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aone93plr1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140560

Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.compton> wrote:

: Skipp, we know how it can be done, but by your inference you can just like
: jump on a frequency and know that a ham is running excess power, You of
: course want him to do your homework and tell you what antenna he is using
: the size of his tube, his grandsons birthdate etc etc . You are asking him
: to provide oyu with the info so you are setting up a test situation

As most people do, I tend to stay on similar frequencies during my radio activities... I know a good number of the people at those locations and quite a bit about their stations and location. Upon review, I'm sure you will verify to yourself (as others would) that your operations tend to stay similar in modes, frequencies and times... You have friends that know you on the frequencies you frequent...? wha'la...

:> I know the general makeup of his station and use it as a relative
:> S-meter reference.

: Ok so now you have a test situation since you have known variables, jump on
: a freq where you don't know the operator and what type antenna he is using,
: what amp he is using, look at your calibrated s meter and then tell me how
: many watts he is running. Anyone can set up a test situation Skipp and
: control some of the variables yes,. your making it sound like you can
: determine peoples general location etc just from your s meter.

I would not tend to jump on a frequency and make power claims against stations I have no knowledge of. I probably wouldn't care really notice a stations s-meter level unless they were jamming, playing music or similar crap.

Statements I made earlier were about high power operation of hams I do know about. According to boobner (mr fake name), said people are few... I don't agree. I get the impression he has not spent much time on the hf bands.

:> near Eric. A few other friends on the band have beams which point toward
:> the same area as Eric and the jammer.

: Thought most of the guys used wire antennas on the low bands now they all
: have beams LOL ok Skipp

Notice the word "few"... and yes, most lower hf band people use wire antennas. If you were down there much yourself, you'd quit acting the bafoon with such statements because you'd know the general facts yourself. No one is making this stuff up as we go along... some of us have been there, done that coffee mug and tee shirt.

:> Some of us have direction finding equipment...
: Bravo, do you have one for 80 meters?

More than one... a simple df wire loop is easy for many people to build
and works well. I also know the patterns of my antennas pretty well.

:> Eric can also hear the jammer on ground wave... Now the jammer is mad
:> because he's not able to take Eric out. His signal jumps up +13 to +20
:> dB.

: Typical cber

I don't hear a lot of CB'ers using terms like ground wave. I would not be
surprised if the jammer had a past history operating in the cb band. Your
answer says nothing, but it does indicate your weak on understanding hf
propagation.

:> It would be more than reasonable to assume the jammer has kicked his
:> amplifier on. His now +13 dB signal increase would lead most people to
:> understand that he is running serious gas.

: Well Skipp if he is a jammer it is reasobable to think he is also running an
: amp i didn't need a fancy s meter for that. But try you your same trick when
: you don't know a persons antenna , his tube size, or his location. You can't
: do it no matter how you try and spin it.

I probably would not try it... I would not spin, nor did I ever say that
I could. I do make a case that certain things can be assumed when the
information works in logical form.

: Tell us where these 2 guys are located. knowing this info, you are on
: 14.195, lets say you have a beam you are tuning around dead east of you you
: lock onto a signal s-9 it is a female ham, seconds later you hear another
: station he talks for a bit and you get him at s-9 as well. Does this mean
: they are both in the same location running the same power? Answer this then
: go on to the next question.

No and no...

It would be stupid to make assumptions using your example. If you review
my example, you'll find I make assumptions based on what I trust is good
information and measurements I can support.

I would not approach your example as you present it... sorry, homey don't
play your silly game.

: Now the female ham is running 100 watts into a 3 element tri bander, the
: male ham is running 1000 watts into a dipole. Are they in the same general
: area ?

One would need more information and some experiece operating on 20 meters.
I don't frequent 20 meters much so I couldn't help you there.

: The female hams tower is located 250' away from the radio, she uses rg213,
: the male ham feeds his antenna with x amount of ladder line into a tuner.
: Are they in the same area?

Sad that she's losing all that signal in 250 feet plus of 213 coax.

"Ladder line into a tuner" ...? in your zeal to throw out dumb examples,
you've put your "hoof in mouth."

Get it right and throw it back to someone who cares to play your games...

: The female ham lives in a valley 20 feet below sea level the male ham lives
: on a hill are they in the same area? Same signal right?

Never ever the exact same signal... I live on one side of a mountain
from from a guy and we both live equal distance from another ham near the
Martinez Straights waterfront, greater than 25 south of us. All three of
us punch in similar signals to various stations on the US West Coast when
we run similar power levels during average band conditions.

If any one uses higher power, its easily noticed. If one of the
bunch uses serious gas, it gets really noticed... On S-meters, spectral
displays (like the typical Kenwood SM-220 with BS-8 option) and out of
the speaker.

:> Eric talks his same area station up to the legal limit, the jammer has him
:> by +6 to +10 dB signal strenght.

: He may have been talking on a dipole and went to a beam as well you can only
: assume.

With experience, one learns how different antennas change signal levels. I
would expect a vertical to be modest, an inverted vee or dipole to be
better and a full size 2 element beam to work very well. Beams on 40 and
80 meters are not practical for most people. But they are used by a number
of people.

If your going to try and waste time with poor examples, frame them
up better and people might go through them. If you learn one thing out of
all of this finger air... it might be worth all the trouble.

skipp

1785. Re: Hey, the truth will set you free...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 22:43:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DAF3D1A-245@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140577

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:7725-3DAD6654-21@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Seeems like the rec.radio.cb trouble posse named by "arron" of Lelnad C.
Scott KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, Dogie Adair N8WWM, and socialist hammie
Dave Hall are having problems again obeying rules set forth.
I don't have any problem Twisted.
--
Sure you do, Lelnad. If you weren't so insecure and such an
embarrassment to hammie radio, you would have no need to still be
posting as multiple people.

1786. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 22:49:10 UTC
From: Skipp just woke up <checkyourwelfare@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aonep6plr2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140563

: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.compton> wrote:

:> In the amateur world, most hams will say what type of antenna they have in
:> operation. It is uncommon to run beam antennas on 160, 40 and 80 meters
:> from physical size. Both CB'ers and Hams dont often have a problem
:> telling you what type of antenna they are using.

: Skipp now your hopeing the operator tells you what type of antenna they are
: using I thought you could tell the difference so how can you tell if it is
: from a beam or an amp ? Without prior knowledge after all you said *You*
: could tell the difference, so now you want the op to tip you off ... LOL
: Skipp go back to bed OM

If they don't tell you what antenna they are using, you use what you have.
A nearby station running full tilt into a "good antenna" should not be 6
to 10 dB lower than the jammer over the average.

Another issue which I didn't mention was that other people on the band
provide signal level reports of the jammer. You know the
general band propagation from the jammer area to the other stations. More
very usefull information...

: Sorry Skipp now you want the operator to tell you the tube he is running I
: thought you could tell by just looking at your s meter.

It's ok to be sorry... you can deal with that on your own terms. But I
don't have to have first hand knowlege of the jamming stations antenna.
Hard to believe how clueless some of you are on this topic.

skipp

1787. Re: antenna in attic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 22:50:02 UTC
From: Major Tom <NonValidAddress@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <u8Hr9.77507$uF6.269619@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 140564

If you plan on using a wire antenna, be carful on how you terminate the ends
of the wire. If you don't and you put enough power into the wire, you can
create a corona discharge. I did this to my wire dipole I had in my back yard.
At that time all I did was bend the wire to hook around a rope. I go in and tune
my station for operation and along comes a sudden rise in SWR. I go out back
and see one side of my wire dipole hanging with what's left of the rope on fire.
I would hate to see this happen in the attic of your new house.
Now I have big loops on the ends and large ceramic insulators. I still would
not feel comfortable enough to put it in an attic.

Hope this helps
Tom

"spj67" <spj67@eudoramail.com> wrote in message news:CFN375445417853009@news.wt.net...
I was wondering if anybody had advice on putting a antenna
in a attic. Not sure how good this would work or what kind of antenna would be
the best to use. I have been using a no-ground antenna on my apt's balcony and
that worked "ok" how would that work inside a attic? also how much will the
A/C ducts interfere with this as they are metalic. As this is a brand new
house I would rather not put any holes in the roof or drill holes anywhere
outside it to attach anything yet.

thanks for any advice
spj67@eudoramail.com

1788. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 22:52:03 UTC
From: Skipp goes to Dayton each year <hatespamNodontlikespam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aoneuiplr3@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140565

so now we all talk about meeting at Dayton next year..?
s

... Ohio? I didn't know you lived in Ohio? where abouts? just :>
...> wondering... :> ...> ...Medina area,and you? :> ...> ...> :> ...>
...> 73 :> ...> :> ...>> Michigan near Detroit. How far is that from
Toledo? :> ...> :> ...About 2 hrs on the green stamp. :> :>> Okay. you
know where... Crown City, Ohio is? :> : Sorta..I have a friend who lives
in the Pataskala area,do you know where : that is? :> A Real Tube :>

1789. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 22:56:27 UTC
From: musicmusiclhearmusicdogman <woofwoof@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aonf6rplr4@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140566

: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
: That is all calibrated into his magic s-meter.

As originally supplied stock by the radio mfgr, verified by me that 100 uV equals S-9. The actual response is pretty good across the band when checked with a calibrated reference.

Wasn't magic when I bought my radio... it was factory stock. I just understand how it works.

skipp

1790. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 22:57:08 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210171457.a59ceda@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<194387a3.0210162102.32eda855@posting.google.com>...
> Mark Walsh wrote
> "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:
> > "Landshark" wrote:
> > > >LOL!!! I guess Aaron just doesn't learn.
> > > >Go ahead, keep posting the
> > > >same thing, it will just get your account yanked
> > > >again..... LMAO!!!!
>
> > >Since when do accounts get "yanked" for posting the same thing?
> >
> >People get their accounts "yanked" for repeatedly violating
> >a newsgroup's charter.
>
> I hope so. richcranus@yahoo.com has violated several.
>
> -Aaron-

Could you finally be more specific, Aanal Aaron? I know you have
violated them all by repeatedly posting garbage and lies.

BTW, where's your PROOF?

1791. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 22:59:02 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210171459.4b3a3832@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<194387a3.0210161825.29cec928@posting.google.com>...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<Gefr9.4732$Nh5.305240229@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:194387a3.0210152318.7ee17d23@posting.google.com...
> > > witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
> news:<3aed93cc.0210151536.1876fd29@posting.google.com>...
> > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
> news:<c9ceae5b.0210140832.233ef469@posting.google.com>...
> > > > > This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich,
> > > >
> > > > We're tired of it already, "Aaron"... everyone here knows it's a fake.
> > > >
> > > > Guy
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > If it's fake, why all the fuss whenever you see it?
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> > >
> >
> > LOL!!! I guess Aaron just doesn't learn. Go ahead, keep posting the
> > same thing, it will just get your account yanked
> > again..... LMAO!!!!
>
>
> Since when do accounts get "yanked" for posting the same thing? If you
> feel that this quote (below) is a TOS violation then report me.
>
> -Aaron-

Already done, Aanal Aaron. When are you gonna learn? You're
repetitiously posting a lie. And that makes YOU responsible for it,
dimbulb.

1792. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 23:00:32 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210171500.52f39340@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140569

aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<194387a3.0210161825.29cec928@posting.google.com>...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<Gefr9.4732$Nh5.305240229@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:194387a3.0210152318.7ee17d23@posting.google.com...
> > > witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
> news:<3aed93cc.0210151536.1876fd29@posting.google.com>...
> > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
> news:<c9ceae5b.0210140832.233ef469@posting.google.com>...
> > > > > This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich,
> > > >
> > > > We're tired of it already, "Aaron"... everyone here knows it's a fake.
> > > >
> > > > Guy
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > If it's fake, why all the fuss whenever you see it?
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> > >
> >
> > LOL!!! I guess Aaron just doesn't learn. Go ahead, keep posting the
> > same thing, it will just get your account yanked
> > again..... LMAO!!!!
>
>
> Since when do accounts get "yanked" for posting the same thing? If you
> feel that this quote (below) is a TOS violation then report me.
>
> -Aaron-

Already done. It is fake, and you didn't bother to verify the veracity
before spamming the newsgroup with it. You lose as usual, Aanal Aaron.

1793. Re: antenna in attic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 23:01:24 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <h5SdnR3z4Iwj3DKgXTWcow@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140571

"Major Tom" <NonValidAddress@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:u8Hr9.77507$uF6.269619@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> If you plan on using a wire antenna, be carful on how you terminate the
ends
> of the wire. If you don't and you put enough power into the wire, you can
> create a corona discharge. I did this to my wire dipole I had in my back
yard.
> At that time all I did was bend the wire to hook around a rope. I go in
and tune
> my station for operation and along comes a sudden rise in SWR. I go out
back
> and see one side of my wire dipole hanging with what's left of the rope on
fire.

How were the ends terminated before the mod?

The ends of a dipole are the ends of two 1/4 wave elements, and of course that's a voltage node, so corona discharge, especially at resonance, is always a factor. The SWR bump may have happened when the antenna end arced through, lengthening that end in a carbonized rope resistor that obviously wasn't rated for the load

Good advice though, nobody needs an attic fire!
Of course I can think of another way to keep that from happening... :)

Tunable loop antennas are pretty interesting. I don't have an attic to put one in, but I'm considering it for the back yard, to replace my Antron-99 vertical. They cut down a lot on the noise, since they are narrowband antennas, but of course you have to re-tune when you move around. These are also known to have corona discharges, and should be kept clean.

1794. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 23:02:31 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210171502.4abf6491@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
news:<3aed93cc.0210151536.1876fd29@posting.google.com>...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0210140832.233ef469@posting.google.com>...
> > This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich,
>
> We're tired of it already, "Aaron"... everyone here knows it's a fake.
>
> Guy

Why do you suppose he had to change his address again?

1795. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 23:03:33 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210171503.5808a445@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Welfare Check" <Check@demonseed.comPton> wrote in message
news:<_-udnc4_9s64UDGgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>...
> "That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:3aed93cc.0210151536.1876fd29@posting.google.com...
> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
> news:<c9ceae5b.0210140832.233ef469@posting.google.com>...
> > > This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich,
> >
> > We're tired of it already, "Aaron"... everyone here knows he is a fake.
> >
> > Guy
>
> Guy I am glad you have seen thru Richard Cranium and called him by what he
> is a fake.

No, Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ. He called YOU and Aanal Aaron a "fake".
Wake up one day, will you?

1796. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 23:04:35 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210171504.1ff70e40@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>Date: Thu, Oct 17, 2002 3:57 PM
>Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210171457.a59ceda@posting.google.com>
>
>aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<194387a3.0210162102.32eda855@posting.google.com>...
>> Mark Walsh wrote
>> "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:
>> > "Landshark" wrote:
>> > > >LOL!!! I guess Aaron just doesn't learn.
>> > > >Go ahead, keep posting the
>> > > >same thing, it will just get your account yanked
>> > > >again..... LMAO!!!!
>>
>> > >Since when do accounts get "yanked" for posting the same thing?
>> >
>> >People get their accounts "yanked" for repeatedly violating
>> >a newsgroup's charter.
>>
>> I hope so. richcranus@yahoo.com has violated several.
>>
>> -Aaron-
>
>Could you finally be more specific, Aanal Aaron? I know you have
>violated them all by repeatedly posting garbage and lies.
(snip)

Hi Steve.

-Aaron-

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

1797. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 23:05:35 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <4R2dnRGiMKOE3zKgXTWcqA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140574

"Skipp goes to Dayton each year" <hatespamNodontlikespam@hotmail.com> wrote
in message news:aoneuiplr3@woodrow.ucdavis.edu...
> so now we all talk about meeting at Dayton next year..?

I'm in Muncie IN..

Dayton's always a gas. I actually saw a real mechanical doppler DF antenna
there once.

1798. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 23:11:58 UTC
From: back in the saddle again <foodwineandmusic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aong3uplr5@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140575

: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

:> A nearby "known station" is a good reference signal.

: That's really hard to do, considering the ever changing band conditions
: (We are talking about DX stations right?).

No, I never mentioned the words dx that I know about. I refer to typical operations I might hear on say... the 80 and 40 meter bands. Experience on the band of topic is a serious part of the information base to make logical assumptions.

:> Not all signals are received via F Layer, each event is different.

: Which muddies the water even more. There are so many variables in this
: equation, it's going to be tough to come up with the real truth.

Things do average out over time... we're not talking hit and run. Many jammers are on for quite some time.

:> : Add to that the wide variation of antennas. Who would be stronger, the
:> : guy running 2Kw into a half-wave dipole, or the guy running 500 watts
:> : into a 3 element yagi? What about feedline losses?, polarization?,
:> : ground conductivity?. These are all factors that would make a positive
:> : determination of power output from a distance, to be nearly impossible.
:>
:> Two adjacent stations, one at the legal limit (and known equipment)
:> compared to the much louder second station. The second station tells you
:> he's running __________ type of antenna. Should he be +6 to +10 dB louder
:> after the antenna is figured into the comparison... Nope.

: Maybe. Depends on which direction the first station's antenna's primary
: lobe is facing, his angle of radiation, and whether or not it is mounted
: in the best position and height, for the distance from his station to
: yours, and his overall HAAT.

Again, over an average and based on my experience with certain types of antennas I know, I sum the information to make a logical guess. See my just posted example of three stations in Northern California.

: And how many times do you get two guys that live next door to each
: other, for whome you can make a valid A/B comparison? In the real world
: of DX pileups, there will be a bunch of guys that are all over the globe
: (or the country depending on the band), who's signals are all over the
: place. Do you assume that the W5 station, who's head and shoulders above
: most of the rest of the pack is running a bit hot, if there isn't
: another W5 station to compare to?

I never went the dx route... but on certain bands at certain times,
stations only have to be in regional areas to have similar signals.

:> Another indication of excessive power are the spectral product levels of
:> his signal. One can often hear nearby spectal poop and the signal in
:> questions' bandwidth increase.

: That is only an indication of compression and overdriving, or an amp
: that's seriously out of tune, or with the ALC disconnected. You can have
: that distortion at any power level. It's not exclusive to "high power"
: amps.

True, but few amplifier owner actually know how to actually tune their
amplifiers for best linear operation. Most go for max smoke...

Loud mis-tuned amplifiers make more poop.

ALC is a waste of time... (another whole thread topic I'm sure).

:> : You could make an assumption, that if a group of guys, all yaking it up
:> : in one place, say Texas, and are all coming in within 1 S unit of each
:> : other, except for one guy, who is 20 db stronger, that that one guy has
:> : a few too many "logs on the fire", and you might be right. Assuming that
:> : the other guys are all running the legal limit to start with, and not
:> : just the "barefoot" 100 watts from an HF rig only.
:>
:> There you go... ding..! With experience and knowledge, you can expand
:> the above to various bands, locations and conditions. Most people are
:> creatures of habit... over the average you get the big picture.

: Careful! If you study these patterns over time, twistedhed will accuse
: you of "spying" on them....

The subject would have to first interest me...

:> People seem to think that one has to have an amplifier with no head room,
:> or that there no valid reasons for having serious gas... when there are
:> applications and occassions where lots of power is legal and good.

: That's one of the reasons hams are given the authority and
: responsibility to verify that their power output is within specs. There
: is nothing wrong, and in most cases is much better for the life of the
: amp, to have it loaf along at 1.5KW, then to be "balls to the wall" to
: make that same 1.5KW.

That is a much debated topic on the list server I mentioned.

:> : Sure. Much like guys like to build cars with 1000 HP engines, and then
:> : drive them on the street where the speed limit is 55.
:>
:> Christmas, are they up to 1000 hp now... I don't really follow that
:> "hobby" much. But the result is the same...

: Sure. A blown big block, can easily make 1000 HP. Some of my closest
: friends run engines like this in their boats. Mine is only a measly 425

: HP though.....
: Dave
: "Sandbagger"

Man, see how out of touch I am with motors these days... I used to live to work on cars... now I don't want to spend more than the time for tune ups, lube and washing if I can help it. Plus it can be as expensive as high power amplifiers plus 20 dB.

cheers
skipp

1799. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 23:14:33 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210171514.7d26928b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<194387a3.0210161825.29cec928@posting.google.com>...
>> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<Gefr9.4732$Nh5.305240229@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...
>> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> > news:194387a3.0210152318.7ee17d23@posting.google.com...
>> > > witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
>> news:<3aed93cc.0210151536.1876fd29@posting.google.com>...
>> > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
>> news:<c9ceae5b.0210140832.233ef469@posting.google.com>...
>> > > > > This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich,
>> > > >
>> > > > We're tired of it already, "Aaron"... everyone here knows
it's a
>fake.
>> > > >
>> > > > Guy
>> > >
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > If it's fake, why all the fuss whenever you see it?
>> > >
>> > > -Aaron-
>> > >
>> >
>> > LOL!!! I guess Aaron just doesn't learn. Go ahead, keep posting
the
>
>> > same thing, it will just get your account yanked
>> > again..... LMAO!!!!
>>
>>
>> Since when do accounts get "yanked" for posting the same thing? If
you
>> feel that this quote (below) is a TOS violation then report me.
>>
>> -Aaron-
>
>Already done. It is fake,
(snip)

Wow. All this fuss and protest about a post that's supposedly fake.
What's up with that?

-Aaron-

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired
for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the
operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of
a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by
the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at
the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so
inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain
about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to
follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard
Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to
debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

1800. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 23:18:40 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <MASdnfJ0e8_M2DKgXTWc2Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140579

"Skipp just woke up" <checkyourwelfare@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:aonep6plr2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu...
> : Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.compton> wrote:
>
> :> In the amateur world, most hams will say what type of antenna they have
in
> :> operation. It is uncommon to run beam antennas on 160, 40 and 80
meters
> :> from physical size. Both CB'ers and Hams dont often have a problem
> :> telling you what type of antenna they are using.
>
> : Skipp now your hopeing the operator tells you what type of antenna they
are
> : using I thought you could tell the difference so how can you tell if it
is
> : from a beam or an amp ? Without prior knowledge after all you said *You*
> : could tell the difference, so now you want the op to tip you off ... LOL
> : Skipp go back to bed OM
>
> If they don't tell you what antenna they are using, you use what you have.
> A nearby station running full tilt into a "good antenna" should not be 6
> to 10 dB lower than the jammer over the average.

Whoa hold on there bobbalouie this thread is not about being able to tell a
jammer etc so don't start trying to Twist the thread into that Skipp.

>
> Another issue which I didn't mention was that other people on the band
provide signal level reports of the jammer. You know the
> general band propagation from the jammer area to the other stations. More
very usefull information...

Skipp no one but you is mentioning jammers were talking about you being able
to use your smeter to tell where people are now you want to add all types of
variable other stations, jammers etc come one skipp

> : Sorry Skipp now you want the operator to tell you the tube he is running
I
> : thought you could tell by just looking at your s meter.
>
> It's ok to be sorry... you can deal with that on your own terms. But I
> don't have to have first hand knowlege of the jamming stations antenna.

Again Skippy no one but you is bringing up jamming stations and only now you
do to sidestep and tap dance around. You and I both know you have no way of
telling what a guy is running . Unless yuo have help from other stations,

the operator telling you what he is running etc.

> Hard to believe how clueless some of you are on this topic.

Hard to believe you are twisiting the thread to jammers now LOL I Rephrase that perhaps it isnt that hard to beleive. Yoy started out saying you can tell people running power from your smeter now it is about a jammer and other hams giving you insight to his signal etc. Back to bed you go

>
> skipp

1801. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 23:20:51 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <tHidnZPno45O2DKgXTWcqQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> No, Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ. He called YOU and Aanal Aaron a "fake".
> Wake up one day, will you?

He did could you reposyt where he said that or are you spreading more lies
and misinformtion.

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

1802. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 23:21:58 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <-pydnfBAu6mK2zKgXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> Already done, Aanal Aaron. When are you gonna learn? You're
> repetitiously posting a lie. And that makes YOU responsible for it,
> dimbulb.

Hmm some fixation on men's asses you have. just ask mopar

1803. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 23:22:34 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <GuKdnXz7SNin2zKgXTWcqg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210171457.a59ceda@posting.google.com...
> aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<194387a3.0210162102.32eda855@posting.google.com>...
> > Mark Walsh wrote
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:
> > > "Landshark" wrote:
> > > > >LOL!!! I guess Aaron just doesn't learn.
> > > > >Go ahead, keep posting the
> > > > >same thing, it will just get your account yanked
> > > > >again..... LMAO!!!!
> > >
> > > >Since when do accounts get "yanked" for posting the same thing?
> > >
> > >People get their accounts "yanked" for repeatedly violating
> > >a newsgroup's charter.
> >
> > I hope so. richcranus@yahoo.com has violated several.
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> Could you finally be more specific, Aanal Aaron? I know you have
> violated them all by repeatedly posting garbage and lies.
>
> BTW, where's your PROOF?

Where is your's
--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

1804. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 23:39:45 UTC
From: Boobner alert again Time to shovel his poop out <spamnot@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aonho1plr6@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140584

: Aaron H. Voobner <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote:

:>there are applications and occassions where lots of power is legal
:>and good.

: No problem if it's legal. But the topic here is illegal use -- not
: excuses to keep multi kw amps ready for sporadic illegal use.

Both are done... you seem to think it is not... no one is making excuses
that I've heard...

: So if this "large amplfier" subculture is all above board, legal, and
: factual, how about some names and callsigns for verification? Or how
: about the name of the net list server? Or how about your callsign? No
: reason to hide it if you're operation is above board.

How about you go and find them yourself... a quick web search would do it
for you. Semi regular members of this news group have been seen in these
groups, some stay, some leave. Some are kicked out/off...

Callsigns do nothing to support the topic. Anyone with any common sense
would not give you their callsign or personal information because of the
way you and others on the net act. How about you come out first and
give your real name out... sort through your fake name business first...

:>Some of the high power people are in Broadcasting, some in Research,
: some
:>live in other countries... many are hams. Few run real gas on the
:>Amatuer Bands unless there is a reason. You would be surprised to
: know
:>about some of the really really large amplifiers in operation around
: the
:>world.
:>
:>cheers
:>skipp

: OK, well now we finally come to the truth. This thread about hams
: operating over 1500 watts does not refer to most hams...or even "many"

Never said most... you want to assign "many" some number value. I posted
in excess of 100 of which I know to be a low number. I would call that
many instead of a few because I can hear them on the air. One jammer is
more than enough.

: hams. It refers to the "big amplifier" crowd that you are part of --
: a rather small minority and elitist group who, by your own admission,

Relative to the whole number of people using radios, yep they are a minority. I feel good about saying the bunch I know about are a group of many people in the hundreds... I actually would expect the number of large amplifier people to be in the thousands.

: gravitiate to problem frequencies where they feel they are above the
: law and justified in using illegal RF power.

Actually, its by observation. How they feel about their operation is something none of us have any control over. No one likes having music played over their conversation... Russia used to do it with over the horizon radar.... now it's done from across the country. I don't like it from any source... but it happens.

: Pretty sad skipp, and not representative of mainstream ham radio.
: Sorta like you.
: -Aaron-

Few care what you actually think fake boy. I'm happy to be outside the mainstream of radio. The center tends to be boaring...

When you get a moment, you might tell us how mainstream ham radio works...

I'll assume your life is probably pretty narrow and boaring... as indicated by my "News Group S-Meter."

s

1805. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 23:50:50 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210171550.19b53366@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140585

Done wasting my time with mr boobner fake <getarealjob@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:<aomruv$a9h$8@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>...
> : Aaron H. Voobner <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> : Skipp, give us their callsigns. Id like to verify the fact that these
> : 200 people run illegal high power.
>
> Dont' waste your time... mr fake name.
>
> I'm often amazed how many lapdogs who love to follow you
> around on this
> news group. You'd probably make a good religious type if you are not
> already.
>
> namaste
> s

Namaste to you too, skipp. But look, you made the claim that there are 200 or more hams who you personally know are running illegal high power. You said that this is fact and not opinion. I'll tell you what. Since documenting all 200 is a big hassle for you, email me 10 of their callsigns so I can check with them regarding the veracity of your statements. That's only 5% of the total number you claimed. I'm giving you a huge break. It shouldn't be a big deal. Also we're still waiting for the name of that "well-known" list server group you claimed as a fact. I'd like to read the postings there and maybe ask that group to verify that many of them operate illegal high power amplifiers, as claimed by "some guy named skipp" (no callsign given) in rec.radio.cb.

-Aaron-

1806. Re: Way to go Giants!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-17 23:53:35 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DAF4DCC.9AD9AE02@optonline.net>
Source record: 140586

Twistedhed wrote:

> From: 3carroll@optonline.net (jim)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> From: S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. (Landshark)
> Way to Giants, National League Champions!!!!!
> Landshark
> --
> Way to go. By the way, did you catch Lou Piniellas (sp?) act the other
> day? Did you hear what he said? He can't wait to get outta
> Seattle....said something about wanting to go back way down south in
> Dixie. Took a southerner to make the Mariners a ball team...a local boy,
> no less. Once he leaves, they;ll never have much of a chance at anything
> for years to come.
> -
>
> >Hey Twistie do you really believe the good ol' boy would take on a poor
> team without much a chance instead of putting his fortunes with the
> amazin's??????
> <<
>
> His family is here. He said he missed them and wanted to be with them.
> My guess is yes......but I may have misjudged the man. To some, blood is
> thicker than water...to others....they'd stab their brother. What do you
> think he's gonna do?
> Think Seattle jaded him?

naw its a matter of how much a business man is willing to pour into a franchise. $102 mill balloons for this years travesty is what mr. wilpon sank into the mets and see what they did. last place.....the dr's have nowhere near that kind of payroll and with little hope of breaking .500 sweet lou will be doing the 5 o'clock shuttle from la guardia to have dinner with the folks in tb......

1807. Re: George

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 00:00:32 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DAF4F6C.51160983@optonline.net>
Source record: 140587

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> "Welfare Check" <Check@demonseed.comPton> wrote:
> > "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DACBAE8.B256A222@optonline.net...
> >
> > > Lets go #1.......
> >
> >>Jim I was wondering when Mopar would put Go #40 back up ever since
> > Sterling was out of points lead is when he dropped the sig.
> >
> Guess again..
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

one of the best years for racing in a while.....#1 is a local boy...
rusty in a dodge?????? what in the world is going on????? on topic 11
meters is quiet except for some boys in fla...

1808. Re: OT-Nascar (was George)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 00:33:44 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DAF5734.F1DC1FAA@optonline.net>
Source record: 140588

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > > "Welfare Check" <Check@demonseed.comPton> wrote:
> > > > "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3DACBAE8.B256A222@optonline.net...
> > > >
> > > > > Lets go #1.......
> > > >
> > > >>Jim I was wondering when Mopar would put Go #40 back up ever since
> > > > Sterling was out of points lead is when he dropped the sig.
> > > >
> > > Guess again..
> > >
> > > --
> > > God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
> > >
> > > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > > go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service
> >
> >> one of the best years for racing in a while.....#1 is a local boy...
> > > rusty in a dodge?????? what in the world is going on????? on topic 11
> > > meters is quiet except for some boys in fla...
> >
> > The points race is still real tight too. Keeps it fun.
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

like to watch fox's broadcast where they show the points standings at every
dozen laps or so as the race goes on........

1809. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 00:51:39 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vWIr9.82291$NW3.20499@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 140589

Hey Dave Hall,

I have to disagree with you on this one:

"An unfortunate truth is that the general public views CBers as a lawless bunch of anarchists, who blatantly disregard the rules of radio law and are the cause of the vast majority of interference to home entertainment equipment. Which is why there are more and more laws being proposed to regulate CB radio at the local level, since the feds have been doing a poor job of it themselves. It is also a good reason why you can't buy a new home in a development without some sort of antenna restriction. I can't say that I would blame any ham that would want to put to rest any notion that ham radio, is connected in any way to that image.

Dave
"Sandbagger""

CB is new to me so I can't claim years of experience or bucket loads of knowledge. I am not a Ham, and don't foresee going for a Ham ticket. I guess if you don't count my attempts to build everything but my radio then I'm just an appliance operator, but I have a hell of a lot of fun doing it! I'm slowly getting to a point, really I am. Just wanted to be clear on my position, no misrepresentation, ya understand.

From my experiance Dave, The public does not hold the view you posted above. In my experiance the public, not counting CB'ers and Hams's of course, looks at CB in a light tainted by only what they have seen in the movies. Most of those I encounter are full of questions as to why I have a CB in my work truck, my Jeep, and at home. They can't understand what I find so enjoyable. No one has ever talked about illegal operation or interferance unless they had prior experiance with CB & Amature radio. I tend to believe that the majority of the public still view CB in a "Smokey and the Bandit" kind of way. As soon as I mention something about CB I often get some trite CB jargon thrown at me in a horrible southern accent. Takes a bit to expalin to them what CB is and what they'll find if they fire their own station up.

There is a dark side to CB, but in my travels it would seem that only 10% of what is out there is negative. I spend my driving time on 17 (that's the calling freq here) and hit 19 when I'm into areas where 19 is the standard. While at home I monitor the truckers until the regulars get together on 27. Anytime I can't find someone on those freq to chat with I scan the bands and very little of my travels produced the negative asspects that is high on the topics list in RRCB. Are the bands really that bad around your "QTH"?

Dave

1810. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 01:38:40 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <iLucnakEuey8-zKgXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140590

"Boobner alert again Time to shovel his poop out" <spamnot@yahoo.com> wrote
in message news:aonho1

>
> How about you go and find them yourself... a quick web search would do it
> for you. Semi regular members of this news group have been seen in these
> groups, some stay, some leave. Some are kicked out/off...

http://lists.contesting.com/mailman/listinfo/amps

1811. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 01:49:22 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210171749.31b22c1b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140591

"Welfare Check" Check@demonseed.comPton wrote
"Boobner alert again Time to shovel his poop out" <spamnot@yahoo.com>
wrote
>
>>
>> How about you go and find them yourself... a quick web search
>> would do it for you. Semi regular members of this news group
>> have been seen in these
>> groups, some stay, some leave. Some are kicked out/off...
>
>http://lists.contesting.com/mailman/listinfo/amps
>

This can't be the group skipp is talking about. I scanned a couple
hundred topics in the archives and couldn't find even ONE where hams
discuss their use of illegal high power on the ham bands.

-Aaron-

1812. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 01:55:38 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210171755.29ebf530@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140592

Still looking for posts in the list server that skipp claims shows
"many" hams operating illegal high power on the ham bands. Didn't find
ONE. But I did find this.....

-Aaron-

[Amps] Sale of CB amps on eBay

David Robbins k1ttt@arrl.net
Sun, 26 May 2002 17:12:13 -0000

* Previous message: [Amps] Sale of CB amps on eBay
* Next message: [Amps] Sale of CB amps on eBay
* Messages sorted by: [date] [thread] [subject] [author]

Here, add these to your hit list. I have sent Riley these and haven't
heard anything either.... davemade amps rival California kw's, anybody
want a 30kw mobile amp??

http://www.bigradios.com/bulldog/xforceamps.html out of new jersey,
complete with payment by paypal and a phone number.

Here is a site with buy/sell message board http://www.bigradios.com/

Still none as big as good old davemade
http://www.davemade.com/mobile.htm

David Robbins K1TTT
e-mail: mailto:k1ttt@arrl.net
web: http://www.k1ttt.net
AR-Cluster node: 145.69MHz or telnet://dxc.k1ttt.net

> -----Original Message-----
> From: amps-admin@contesting.com [mailto:amps-admin@contesting.com] On
> Behalf Of Roger D. Johnson
> Sent: Sunday, May 26, 2002 16:23
> To: amps@contesting.com
> Subject: [Amps] Sale of CB amps on eBay
>
> The sale of these amps continues unabated despite my protests
> to eBay. They sent me an e-mail that said essentially, "If you
> don't like it, complain to the authorities and leave us alone".
> I wrote to Riley Hollingsworth giving him some examples of the
> equipment being sold openly. As yet no reply from him.
>

> After reveiwing eBay policies, I think I may have come up with
> a way to counter this problem. Their policy prohibits the listing
> of CB amplifiers. See:
>
> http://pages.ebay.com/help/community/png-scanners.html
>
> In addition section 4 of their User Agreement, states that the
> buyer is obligated to complete the transaction UNLESS the trans-
> action is prohibited by law or the User Agreement. See:
>
> http://pages.ebay.com/help/community/png-user.html
>
> If I read this correctly, I can bid high on a piece of CB junk
> and then refuse to pay unless the seller can furnish proof of
> FCC certification for legal sale of the item.
>
> Any holes in my thinking? I'm going to try it on one item to
> see what happens. Anyone care to join me in the experiment?
>
> 73, Roger
>
> _____
> Amps mailing list
> Amps@contesting.com
> http://lists.contesting.com/mailman/listinfo/amps

---

* Previous message: [Amps] Sale of CB amps on eBay
* Next message: [Amps] Sale of CB amps on eBay
* Messages sorted by: [date] [thread] [subject] [author]

1813. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 02:03:43 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3_Jr9.155322$8o3.4414490@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 140593

"Welfare Check" <Check@demonseed.comPton> wrote in message
news:no6cnUUNQ94otDKgXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021017141221.029
> > Now answer the question,are you George Bucsh?
>
> Who's George Busch?
>
>

A moronic troll who can't spell, nor can he use proper punctuation.

1814. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 02:16:45 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <-Z-dnY2lac-T8jKgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 140594

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:3_Jr9.155322$8o3.4414490@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Welfare Check" <Check@demonseed.comPton> wrote in message
> news:no6cnUUNQ94otDKgXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com...
> >
> >
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021017141221.029
> > > Now answer the question,are you George Bucsh?
> >
> > Who's George Busch?
> >
> >
>
> A moronic troll who can't spell, nor can he use proper punctuation.
>

Yes you are correct Mopar can't spell Busch is spelled Busch
About time you posted from that account again Randy.

1815. Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 02:26:26 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <2csuqu4r9opmtktskrl22p10q9e5fu9oiv@4ax.com>
Source record: 140595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of all American mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.

Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.

* *
In case you didn't already know...

Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

For product questions or additional details
on what we offer, please email us directly at:

info@cbcintl.com

73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"
****
CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

1816. CB Repair & Mod. Books 40% Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 02:30:01 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <ecsuqu8gkijr23tt9m8u22l32pk9d5b9tt@4ax.com>
Source record: 140596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

To learn the TRUTH about CB Radio without all the hype from people who are just plain ignorant, check out the following books from CBC International. They're selling right now at a big discounts on our EBAY & AMAZON stores too.

Check it out! Go to this link:

http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=lou@cbcintl.com&completed=0&sort=3&since=-1&include=0&page=1&rows=25

To see exactly what these books look like, plus all the specific, chapter-by-chapter details, see our Web at:

www.cbcintl.com

Some of the books included are:

BOOK #1: THE "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE To Peaking Out & Repairing CB Radios. List $25. The most popular "big dummy's" guide ever published on do-it-yourself CB repairs & modifications. Covers peaking of receivers, transmitters, modulation, mike problems, antenna & SWR problems, detailed frequency modifications for both crystal & PLL radios. Loaded with charts, illustrations, and specific instructions for most brands including the new export models. This latest edition also covers slider and broadbanding tricks, FM conversions, and much more!

BOOK #2: THE CB PLL DATA BOOK. List $20. The only complete reference source on CB PLL synthesizer circuits ever published! Detailed PLL theory explained in layman's terms. How they work, and how to modify them for many more channels. Includes specific PLL block mixing diagrams, truth charts, & IC pin function diagrams for nearly every PLL chip ever used. Covers all the most popular U.S. & export chassis, with specific listings of all models using the chip.

BOOK #3: UNDERSTANDING & REPAIRING CB RADIOS. List $42. Giant 370-page technical book for the professional repairman. Picks up where the "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE leaves off. Includes circuit descriptions & troubleshooting guide for 23- & 40-Ch., crystal & PLL, solid-state & tube, AM, FM, SSB, CW, American & export models. Covers test equipment (& where to find it), transistor basics, synthesizers, receivers, transmitters, power supplies, T/R switching, antennas, interference, parts & accessory sources. Over 350 illustrations including schematics, charts, and photos. Huge subject index also lets you look up repairs by symptoms. This is "must" reading for any serious CB technician!

For details on our Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual (2nd Ed.) go to:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

1817. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 02:38:57 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210171838.1dfed95c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140597
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Hey Lou, since your charts that allow "for modification to 10M or other freqs." are obviously intended for use by licensed hams, you might get more business if you posted this in ham newsgroups. Doncha think?

-A

Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message news:<kepupughq0glhbpkil8lhah6af2fg4kudk@4ax.com>...
> We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
> (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of all American
> mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
> which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
> channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.
>
> Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm
>
> We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
> accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
> just can't find anywhere else!
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
> from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for
> VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.
>
> *
> In case you didn't already know...
>
> Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books,
> plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and
> modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters,
> bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to
> increase your power, range, and privacy.
>
> For product questions or additional details
> on what we offer, please email us directly at:
>
> info@cbcintl.com
>
>
> 73,

> Lou Franklin--President
> K6NH / "SuperSparks"
> **********
> CBC International
> P.O. Box 30655
> Tucson AZ 85751 USA
>
> TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
> 888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
> Local: (520) 298-7980
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> Email: info@cbcintl.com

1818. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 02:41:17 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <jYWcnU3VbLhQ6TKgXTWcpg@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140598

"Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in > This can't be the group skipp is talking about. I scanned a couple
> hundred topics in the archives and couldn't find even ONE where hams
> discuss their use of illegal high power on the ham bands.
>
> -Aaron-

[AMPS] 14KW
Phil Clements philk5pc@tyler.net
Thu, 16 Aug 2001 16:20:55 -0500

a.. Previous message: [AMPS] 14KW
b.. Next message: [AMPS] 14KW
c.. Messages sorted by: [date] [thread] [subject] [author]

You might be surprised, Ken.
A well-known Spaniard near Barcelona used to hang out around 14.210 or so. (may still be there) Rumor has it that he was delivering 20+ KW to a big Yagi. Not to say that he could not command the frequency, but he was only 16-18 db louder on the S-Meter than his European neighbors running legal-limit.

14 KW on the U.S. West Coast is probably about average nowadays...
When the P5 shows up someday on 14.195, Gov. Gray ("Gray-Out") Davis might have to quickly contract for more juice. After three days operation or so, a very skilled DX operator will be able to get a QSO with legal-limit power because of the split operation; unlike the old AM days when the "California Kilowatts" took up the entire window!

IMO, big power is run by wealthy DX'ers who need to get the "new one" in the log in less than an hour so they can get to the work place and earn their
six-figure salary. Another example is the very old-timer who is afraid he might
croak and not be at the top of the DXCC Honor Roll at the time.

DX'ers are a weird bunch...you work all your adult life to build and maintain
a formidable station, fill several shoe boxes with QSL cards and put awards on the wall. Then you die; some local buys your station for $500, your XYL throws the cards and awards in the dumpster, and your accomplishments are removed from all publications.

Since we are all destined to become a ground radial for a 6 meter vertical sooner or later, I say enjoy the hobby! Life is too short for screaming at the P5 for a week.

(((73)))
Phil, K5PC

1819. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 02:43:22 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <OLqcnazwy_7X6DKgXTWcpw@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140599

AMPS] 14KW my $0.02 Jon Ogden na9d@speakeasy.net
Fri, 17 Aug 2001 07:14:14 -0500

a.. Previous message: [AMPS] 14KW my $0.02
b.. Next message: [AMPS] 14KW
c.. Messages sorted by: [date] [thread] [subject] [author]

---

on 8/16/01 8:11 PM, Steve Hajducek at n2ckh@cybercomm.net wrote:

> During contests, huge pileups and on 160m and to a lesser
> extent 75/80m more than 100w is needed on a regular basis
> too in my opinion. I would say 600w is the minimum for
> success on 160m.

I would say that power on these bands is needed just to help with getting above the noise. I wouldn't say that it has so much to do with trying to overcome other operators.

>
> However, all the stations that run the legal or more all the time
> just rag chewing are over time going to have the effect of the
> FCC in the U.S. changing our RF power levels. Hopefully, if
> this happens it will be a scaled RF level vs. frequency where
> the higher in F the lower RF with up to 14Mhz making it to
> the current 1.5Kw and not an across the board 400w as many
> countries live with now, it that. At 24Mhz and above 400w and
> less is fine when propagation is in place, even for VHF contesting
> and moon bounce 400w would be fine.

Well, I respectfully disagree about the 400 W bit. The current legal limit helps a lot when band condx are barely there on 10m, 6m and so forth.

What's sad is that the fact that some guys decide to run 14KW. Then in order to overcome those guys, everyone else ratchets up their power a notch and in turn the original guys crank it up more, etc. So effectively, the noise floor on HF goes through the roof and the weak DX station somewhere up the band can no longer be heard.

Let's face it. Even if a 14KW amplifier has and -45 dBc 3rd order IM product, that power level is about half a watt which is enough to be heard round the world. If that amplifier has only -35 dBc IM, the IM products are now up to about 4 Watts (Basing this on the fact that 14KW is approximately 71 dBm).

Combine the fact of the extra intermod now produced by everyone with the intermod generated in everyone's receiver front end due to the strong carriers and we can now see why no one can hear the weak DX station.

I don't see the lure in running that kind of power. When I was building my 4-1000A, one ham told me that within a year I'd no longer find it sufficient and that I'd be building a bigger one. That was about 3 years ago. Nope, my single tube amp is fine for me. I am happy when I am running legal limit from a rood mounted crappy old TA-33 and some station in Siberia tells me I have broadcast quality audio and am coming in 30 to 40 dB over 9. That doesn't happen every day obviously but it's happened more than once. To me that's satisfying enough.

As far as the DX pileups go. I don't think it would be fun to run any more power. As it is, I feel that when running my amp it's like shooting fish in a barrel. I found DX work much more challenging when I was running 100W to a vertical. Yes, there are some pileups that I just can't crack like when some rare Pacific Island is on and I hear him 10 over 9 yet all he is working is the 6's running 14 KW. But that's part of the challenge. Sure, some have gotten away from me because of that, but why should I be a butthole just because they are?

73,

Jon
NA9D
"Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:194387a3.0210171749.31b22c1b@posting.google.com...
> "Welfare Check" Check@demonseed.comPton wrote
> "Boobner alert again Time to shovel his poop out" <spamnot@yahoo.com>
> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> How about you go and find them yourself... a quick web search
> >> would do it for you. Semi regular members of this news group
> >> have been seen in these
> >> groups, some stay, some leave. Some are kicked out/off...
> >
> >http://lists.contesting.com/mailman/listinfo/amps
> >
>
> This can't be the group skipp is talking about. I scanned a couple
> hundred topics in the archives and couldn't find even ONE where hams
> discuss their use of illegal high power on the ham bands.
>
> -Aaron-

1820. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 02:45:49 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <4Nudnb1UFLZD6DKgXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140600

AMPS] 14 KW Bob Stankus k4nv@bellsouth.net
Fri, 17 Aug 2001 16:39:58 -0400

a.. Previous message: [AMPS] QRP
b.. Next message: [AMPS] Collins 204H-1/GRT-12 HF Amp (2x4CX1000 2-30 MHz)
c.. Messages sorted by: [date] [thread] [subject] [author]

The power controversy has been going on for many years,
hence the slogan called, "California Kilowatt." Whether it
is practiced on the east or west coast or somewhere in between,
it really doesn't matter.
It comes down to operator skill, timing and most important...
how does the DX chaser hear.
Over the past 60 years there have been times when I stole
a great catch from the so called, Big Guns!
Something like AC4RF - ole Bob Ford.
Put the kilowatts away and hone up your skills.
Regards,
K4NV

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium
"Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:194387a3.0210171749.31b22c1b@posting.google.com...
> "Welfare Check" Check@demonseed.comPton wrote
> "Boobner alert again Time to shovel his poop out" <spamnot@yahoo.com>
> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> How about you go and find them yourself... a quick web search
> >> would do it for you. Semi regular members of this news group
> >> have been seen in these
> >> groups, some stay, some leave. Some are kicked out/off...
> >
> >http://lists.contesting.com/mailman/listinfo/amps
> >
>
> This can't be the group skipp is talking about. I scanned a couple
> hundred topics in the archives and couldn't find even ONE where hams
> discuss their use of illegal high power on the ham bands.

>
> -Aaron-

1821. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 02:46:04 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAF75EC.ACCAAB3C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140611

Dave wrote:
>
>
> From my experiance Dave, The public does not hold the view you posted above.
> In my experiance the public, not counting CB'ers and Hams's of course, looks
> at CB in a light tainted by only what they have seen in the movies. Most of
> those I encounter are full of questions as to why I have a CB in my work
> truck, my Jeep, and at home. They can't understand what I find so enjoyable.

Even though ham radio does get a lot of press occasionally when
helping out in some disaster or emergency situation, the general
public knows just about as little about ham radio as they do about
cb. They often think ham radio and cb are the same thing.

1822. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 02:49:33 UTC
From: GMpartsguy <kb1cih@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <1FKr9.88407$oq6.24286@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 140601

How many newsgroups did you feel you needed to polute with all that
cross-posting ?
What a troll...

1823. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 02:50:00 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DAF76D8.9B5B532@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140612

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > > There's a tune tone calibration.
> >
> >> What is a 'tune tone' calibration?
> >
> The transmitter tune tone.
\
For accurate calibration, you must have a signal generator that
can accurately output in metered microvolts. All you need is RF,
no tone. You can't calibrate an s-meter for accuracy using a two
tone test from a transmitter.

1824. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 03:11:25 UTC
From: ruralreader <sylinen@somtel.net>
Message-ID: <GTKr9.2182$014.51039@reggie.win.bright.net>
Source record: 140602

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dacda44.4390759@news.cet.com...
> In <E14r9.2140$014.50505@reggie.win.bright.net>, "ruralreader"
> <sylinen@somtel.net> wrote:
>
> >
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> makes untrue
> >claims:news:3dab70d6.791359@news.cet.com...
> >> In <2EIq9.2106$014.50166@reggie.win.bright.net>, "ruralreader"
> >> <sylinen@somtel.netask wholly in truth>

> Sec. 1.903 Authorization required.
> (a) General rule. Stations in the Wireless Radio Services must be
> used and operated only in accordance with the rules applicable to
> their particular service as set forth in this title and with a valid
> authorization granted by the Commission under the provisions of this
> part, except as specified in paragraph (b) of this section.
> (b) Restrictions. The holding of an authorization does not create
> any rights BEYOND the terms, conditions and period specified in the
> authorization....[emphasis added]
> -----
>
> Which means that a station licensee has the RIGHT to use the
> authorized frequency according to the terms of the license.
wrong again!

Authorization ...by the Commission [in other words:
a license!]
>Stations in the Wireless Radio Services must be
> used and operated only in accordance with the rules applicable to
> their particular service as set forth in this title and with a valid
> authorization granted by the Commission.

Ask any cop. if driving is a right or a privilege
A right is something you can do EVEN WITHOUT
consent from the government.

> >get it right,then I'll get back to you on this.

1825. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 03:21:34 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <rKOcnb-vcaiF4zKgXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140603

> There is a dark side to CB, but in my travels it would seem that only 10%
of
> what is out there is negative. I spend my driving time on 17 (that's the
> calling freq here) and hit 19 when I'm into areas where 19 is the
standard.
> While at home I monitor the truckers until the regulars get together on
27.
> Anytime I can't find someone on those freq to chat with I scan the bands
and
> very little of my travels produced the negative asspects that is high on
the
> topics list in RRCB. Are the bands really that bad around your "QTH"?

Since you mention it, what ARE the standards on channels?

I'm just a poor ignorant ham, who left CB in 1977 when "The Number ONE SHOTGUN" ran me off channel 9 (and several other channels) when I had an accident victim bleeding to death in my arms, and the local react guy was trying to hear where I was to send help. I went home after that, ripped out my gear, and trashed it.

It's been about 25 years since that happened, so I'm not up to speed on that stuff.
9 and 19 is about all I know anymore.

1826. page up dated again,,,,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 03:26:04 UTC
From: Garry <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <6xLr9.55944$g9.160496@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 140608
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,uk.rec.radio.cb

Our Web page has been updated once again,,

Visit http://newcastlescan.tripod.com

We have added a page on amateur radio, pager decoding, along with the many other pages on the site. More photo's have
been added throughout the site.

There are also more "soundbyte" recordings of our emergency services in action.

Hope you enjoy newcastlescan, and please sign our guest book

Mick & Garry

1827. Re: SHARP CB MOBiLE RADIO....MAKE ME AN OFFER!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 03:28:10 UTC
From: brian <y40002000NOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92AAE67B6D54y40002000NOSPAMyahoo@24.94.165.87>
Source record: 140604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

i noticed the ad says 23 channels, but there's a pic of a radio with digital channel display. I could be wrong but i think there's no such thing as a 23 channel radio with a digital display.

1828. Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 03:29:50 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210171929.6ba091f7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140605

Date: Thu, 17 Oct 2002 20:25:31 -0700 (PDT)
From: "aaron voobner" <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com>ï¿½
Subject: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?
To: amps@contesting.com

To: amps@contesting.com
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com

Hi,

Recently a thread began in usenet newsgroup
rec.radio.cb. It was in the form of a question from a
fellow CB'er:

Subject: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

>This may be a silly question,but...if HAMS are
>legally allowed to use 1500 watts (,just as c.b.ers
>are allowed to use 5 watts a.m.) how can you tell if a
>HAM is using more than allowed ?
>Does this ever happen ?

A few replies came from licensed hams who posted their
callsigns and assured the poster that yes, it does
indeed happen, but illegal high power operation is by
no means representative of the mainstream of amateur
radio. In other words, compared to the vast majority
of hams, high-power illegal operators are considered
an unwanted minority.

There was however one poster who disagreed. He
identifies himself as ham named "skipp" (no callsign,
no last name). Please take a moment to read through
some excerpts of this person's advice to CB'ers.

In...

http://groups.google.com/groups?q=g:thl611469401d&dq=&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&safe=off&selm=aokpi6%246lq%244%40woodrow.ucdavis.edu&rnum=117

Skipp says...

There are many Hams and CB'ers that run power in
excess of the legal limit.

Hams in excess of the number 200 that I have first
hand knowlege of. Many are good friends of mine spread
through out the world. CB'ers..? Oh, I'd be willing
to say more than... 200 just for your answer. Hope
that's enough to make you happy. Various methods and
experience were used to base my statements. A
calibrated S-meter is one easily reproduced method to
make a comparison. My transceiver has one as most
do...

Power levels well in excess of 2000 watts were clearly
demonstrated to many of us in a well known web group.
I've mentioned it before right on rec.radio.cb

These people chime in from all over the world...

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

Later in the same thread...

http://groups.google.com/groups?q=list+server+group+group:rec.radio.cb&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&
safe=off&selm=aok76m%24n88%242%40woodrow.ucdavis.edu&rnum=1

Skipp says....

Most hams are not capable of running twice the legal
power... a small percentage have large amplifiers
which have 8 to 13 dB of gain. Many... ie as in a
significant number build and operate amplifiers of >13
dB of gain. I guess the hundreds members of the list
server group I frequent are "just a few." Web sites
abound with pictures of these well built amplifiers.
You guys haven't been it the real world for some
time... get off the decafe..

Then in...

http://groups.google.com/groups?dq=&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&safe=off&threadm=aonf6r%24plr%244%4
0woodrow.ucdavis.edu&prev=/groups%3Fhl%3Den%26safe%3Doff%26group%3Drec.radio.cb

Skipp says...

My guess of greater than 100 amplifiers is more than
modestly low, made
for him only. Anyone actually operating real radios
knows that number is
unrealistic on the low side.

If your interested in the truth, pick up a magazine or
search the web...

I'll sleep well if you don't belive me...

The point of this entire thread on the original topic
was to let the
posting person know that hams can and do operate
amplifiers over the
legal limit. They are a relative number, but probably
more than one
would think exist.

Some get caught, most don't.

cheers
skipp

My question is, are "skipp's" claims accurate? Do they represent the majority views of amateur radio operators? Do they represent the majority views of licensed amateurs frequenting this list?

You may email me or post your comments to the list. Either way I thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

A.H. Voobner
aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com

1829. heathkit amps any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 03:32:25 UTC
From: brian <y40002000NOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92AAE733A17B8y40002000NOSPAMyahoo@24.94.165.87>
Source record: 140606

I have noticed lots of sb200 and sb220 heathkits for sale on ebay, at what seems to be a reasonable price. Are they any good? I know the 220 has 2 500z tubes but what about the sb200?

1830. Re: a few things to think about

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 03:54:03 UTC
From: george craig <geocraig@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <vBLr9.10706$1P1.845973@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 140607

Lets see...if you have 10000 people using chan.19 from coast to coast with
4watts power each
thats 40KW of power..a few things to think about when the skip rolls in....
ruralreader <sylinen@somtel.net> wrote in message
news:yhUp9.2071$014.49097@reggie.win.bright.net...
> I was at work, not my standard 40 hrs. but, my own company,
> so I could make some extra cash that is not over-taxed by
> the 'Rules Nazi's" in D.C.(you know, our rules means your gold
> is now ours!), and the local TV station did its ID etc...
> 5.4 megawatts of power?
> Yeah I know theres a need because of bandwidth and fade.
> but talk about a key-down....
> If you had a box big enough to hold an elephant, you could
> cook him...whole (in about an hour and half).
> [Sauce? what goes good with elephant?]
>
> I like SSB, don't get me wrong [good for skip],
> but how about FM for local? all that AM QRM QRN etc...
> go to 50 or 100 watts and FM, and squelch out the noise.
>
> Antennas
> If the thickness of a radiating element has an effect on bandwith,
> why not tubing instead of wire?
> so which has better RF qualities: red brass plumbing or
> steel electrical condiut?
> or even foil coated PVC.
>
> Cheep delta loop: coat hangers [for hams]
> I must hook up my MFJ 259b to one to see just where
> it tunes to.
>
> New Vehicles:
> Plastic,more and more.
> you wonder why, at least Some radio mfgr. are making their
> products with a remote head (face plate) nowhere to mount anything
> anymore.
> I own a '95 P/U with a bench seat and stick-shift, which leaves only
> the roof to mount to. [a no-go] It should go under the dash, but
> it is all plastic and the shift lever is right in the way.
> Solution: rip out bench seat, go with buckets and console.
> not the best,but ok. Makes you want to beat auto engineers
> with a drive shaft. Remember when you bought a Dodge,
> you could get a CB built into the dash? (shure it was a junk box,
> but a great place saver!)

>
> Cell phones,PDA's,and laptops now, why isn't there room?
> do engineers ride in the new vehicles before they go to production?
> If you grew up making models and modifing them,become rich!
> take those talents and fix this (after-market of course!)
>
>

1831. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 04:19:46 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <UYKdnTSbq-F-FjKgXTWc3g@News.GigaNews.Com>
Source record: 140610

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021016120449.05105.00010728@mb-fn.aol.com...
> didnt they try that with stopping drugs too?

Yeah, so?

> what makes you think it would work
> better for cb radio

For one thing freebanding doesn't require the use of a syringe, or
dope pipe. Thus no rehab to worry about.

> or that bush would actually threaten our closest neighbor
> to the south over something so silly?

Look what happened when Libya's Muammar Qadhafi called Ronald Reagan
names, and dared him to cross a line in the water. A few bombs later,
and a near miss on his body, Qadhafi, you don't hear much from him any
more. Its just the principle of the thing that counts.

> your lifes view is kinda distorted as to
> the whole importance of 27 megs to the real world

Your view is "kinda distorted" as to why you think it is so
unimportant.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

1832. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 05:58:50 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uqNr9.86802$rz6.18431@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 140613

I suspect you already know this and more but here are my thoughts anyway:

In my area ch 17 is the main calling/trucking freq and most of the other areas I drive through 19 is the main calling/trucking freq. As far as I know ch 9 is dead, useless for emergency traffic, you'd have better luck calling out on what ever is the calling freq in an area. As for any other "designated channels" I don't know. There is a local group that gets together on 27, they're always good for a few hours of fun a night minimum. Just local friends chattin it up while doing stuff around the house in the evening (working on the truck, reading the paper, hiding out in the truck so the wife doesn't know you're "On that damn CB again" ect)

Sounds like a really shitty story Dave. I'm sorry to hear this happened to you. Unfortunately there are dicks out there who don't care and who will jam ect ect ect. I don't see an awful lot of this happening when I'm on CB. A few shouting matches with real vulgar language now again but not terribly often. Most annoying thing that happens often is truckers call out letting everyone know about the little hottie in the red Honda or whatever. I wouldn't care but I never get to see them and my girlfriend would just love to catch me checking out another gal. That would give her something to heckle me about, she'd tease me until I cracked.

Dave

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:rKOcnb-vcaiF4zKgXTWcpA@News.GigaNews.Com...
> > There is a dark side to CB, but in my travels it would seem that only
10%
> of
> > what is out there is negative. I spend my driving time on 17 (that's the
> > calling freq here) and hit 19 when I'm into areas where 19 is the
> standard.
> > While at home I monitor the truckers until the regulars get together on
> 27.
> > Anytime I can't find someone on those freq to chat with I scan the bands
> and
> > very little of my travels produced the negative asspects that is high on
> the
> > topics list in RRCB. Are the bands really that bad around your "QTH"?
>
>
> Since you mention it, what ARE the standards on channels?
>
> I'm just a poor ignorant ham, who left CB in 1977 when "The Number ONE
> SHOTGUN" ran me off channel 9 (and several other channels) when I had an

> accident victim bleeding to death in my arms, and the local react guy was
> trying to hear where I was to send help. I went home after that, ripped
out
> my gear, and trashed it.
>
> It's been about 25 years since that happened, so I'm not up to speed on
that
> stuff.
> 9 and 19 is about all I know anymore.
>
>
>

1833. Old Cb's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 06:02:17 UTC
From: jason <hubs3@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aoo1rmmsp1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 140609

I got a box of what seem to be pretty old 23 channel cbs today, 3 that i really have questions about, got a Johnson messenger 123A, it has a very odd power hook up, anybody know how to hook up??
Also got a Royce Gyro-Lock1-601,. it needs a mic id like to know the pin config on it if anyone happens to know it, and a GM 23M, has no mic, all of them seem to be working, just need power hook up info for the johnson and mic pin configs for the other 2, ive never heard of the Royce or the GM, couldnt find anything on the net, id appreciate any info,

Thanks,
Jason

1834. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 06:11:55 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dafa3ec.851447@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140614

In <aonho1plr6@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Boobner alert again Time to shovel his poop out <spamnot@yahoo.com> wrote:

<snip>
>: Pretty sad skipp, and not representative of mainstream ham radio.
>: Sorta like you.
>: -Aaron-
>
>Few care what you actually think fake boy. I'm happy to be outside the
>mainstream of radio. The center tends to be boaring...

But far more literate.

>When you get a moment, you might tell us how mainstream ham radio works...

It's easy. There are a set of rules that are used to keep everything organized and prevent an RF free-for-all. You impress nobody when you prove that you can cause more interfere than a solar coronal mass ejection. Just follow the rules and it will be smooth sailing. That's how mainstream radio works for ANY service.

1835. Which cb radio is the best out of these

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 06:20:33 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0210172220.5c16845e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140615

Which cb radio is the best out of these cobra 139, cobra 29, cobra 29xlr, cobra 25ltd & corba 32xlr. I am looking for a uesed one that is good for both base and mobile. Also could the corba 139 be both for base and mobile. How far will 5watts get at a base station with a good antenna setup and which is a good baes antenna, and which is a good mobile antenna.

1836. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 06:25:56 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210172225.1b5448a1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140616

"Welfare Check" <Check@demonseed.comPton> wrote in message
news:<4Nudnb1UFLZD6DKgXTWcpQ@News.GigaNews.Com>...
> AMPS] 14 KW Bob Stankus k4nv@bellsouth.net
> Fri, 17 Aug 2001 16:39:58 -0400

Maybe someone can help me. I still don't see "many" threads in the
list server where hams are discussing the joys of running illegal
excess power.

-A

1837. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 07:06:09 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dafb2b4.4635395@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140617

In <GTKr9.2182$014.51039@reggie.win.bright.net>, "ruralreader"
<sylinen@somtel.net> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dacda44.4390759@news.cet.com...
>> In <E14r9.2140$014.50505@reggie.win.bright.net>, "ruralreader"
>> <sylinen@somtel.net> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> makes untrue
>> >claims:news:3dab70d6.791359@news.cet.com...
>> >> In <2EIq9.2106$014.50166@reggie.win.bright.net>, "ruralreader"
>> >> <sylinen@somtel.netask wholly in truth>
>
>> Sec. 1.903 Authorization required.
>> (a) General rule. Stations in the Wireless Radio Services must be
>> used and operated only in accordance with the rules applicable to
>> their particular service as set forth in this title and with a valid
>> authorization granted by the Commission under the provisions of this
>> part, except as specified in paragraph (b) of this section.
>> (b) Restrictions. The holding of an authorization does not create
>> any rights BEYOND the terms, conditions and period specified in the
>> authorization....[emphasis added]
>> -----
>>
>> Which means that a station licensee has the RIGHT to use the
>> authorized frequency according to the terms of the license.
>wrong again!
>
>
>Authorization ...by the Commission [in other words:
>a license!]
>>Stations in the Wireless Radio Services must be
>> used and operated only in accordance with the rules applicable to
>> their particular service as set forth in this title and with a valid
>> authorization granted by the Commission.
>
>Ask any cop. if driving is a right or a privilege

Ever hear of the term "right-of-way"? A driver's license is indeed a
privilege, and it grants you certain rights that you would not have
otherwise. Like the right to operate a motor vehicle on US highways.
Lose the privilege and you lose those rights that come with the
privilege.

>A right is something you can do EVEN WITHOUT
>consent from the government.

Maybe you are confusing the definition of the term 'right' with it's use in the Declaration of Independance, where it's declared that each person has "certain unalienable Rights", or with it's use in the Bill of Rights, which is a list of "guaranties and immunities". In both those respects, a 'Right' is something that the US government cannot take away from you.

Or maybe you are forgetting the many different meanings of the word.

right: ...n. ...2. a power, privilege, etc. belonging to one by law, nature, etc...

Roget's Thesaurus has many synonyms listed, including dextral, straight, true, freedom, property, just, privilege, duty, honor and virtuous. Look a bit further down the index and ...Behold! a listing for "have a right to" -- just what the doctor ordered! Let's check that out, shall we?

Dueness. -- N. Dueness, right, droit [law], due, privilege, perogative, perscription, title, claim, pretension, demand, birthright.
immunity, license, liberty, franchise; vested -interest, -right.
Sanction, authority, warranty [law], charter, licitness, warrant &c. (permission); consititution &c. {law}; etc.

Are you seeing a pattern here?

Or maybe you are just trying to obfuscate the issue with semantical variations on the word, much like has been done with other keyclowns when they claim that Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations are 'rules' and not 'laws'. Kinda funny how, even though they are part of the federal 'regulations', that the anti-law faction prefers to call them 'rules' because the word 'rule' has a greater semantical seperation from the word 'law' than does the word 'regulation'. Either way, they all means the same thing: An enforceable edict. In the same vein, 'right' and 'privilege' are generally synonymous, and when someone has a station license to operate on a specific frequency while someone else does not, then the LAW will 'rule' in favor of the person with the 'right' by LICENSE.

Now, are you totally stupid and need a lesson in common sense?

>> >get it right,then I'll get back to you on this.

Like I said four times before, I already got it right. But let's rephrase this from YOUR angle: "I already got it privilege". Doesn't work, does it? Duh!

1838. Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 07:37:02 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dafb4a6.5132960@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140618

A few weeks ago I think it was Timmy that was defending the engineering prowness of the illegal amp techs, saying something along the lines of "they are doing more with less". Like using 96 RF transistors to accomplish kilowatts of power. This statement really stuck in my head because of it's unique contradiction:

For example, if the purpose of 'more power' is to get better transmission distance, wouldn't it make more sense to make the signal more efficient as well? After all, 75% of AM power is wasted. The FIRST thing to do would be to use SSB, or even CW, instead of AM.

Now since the antenna is the most important part of any radio transmitter, wouldn't it be better to make the best possible antenna before pumping up the power? And if these "engineers" are as good as they claim, why can't they construct a mobile antenna with a very narrow beam, something on the order of 20 dB or more? Seems that would be money well spent...

Also, how much power is really needed to make contact with the other station? Instead of cranking up the power to 10 kW, why not use a different band? Or a repeater? IOW, why spend thousands of dollars on a super-duper mobile broadcast station when all you really need to do is to spend a few bucks for a ham license and some cheap equipment?

And who needs a license, anyway? With just a computer w/soundcard and some free software you can hear Part 15 stations hundreds to thousands of miles away. And building a Part 15 transmitter is significantly less expensive and labor-intensive as a decked-out multi-kilowatt amp.

So who is really doing "more with less"?

1839. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 10:14:56 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0210180214.15dc66b0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140619

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3DAEF7AD.1F4E@worldlynx.net>...
> Uncle Charlie wrote:
> >
> > and that in and of itself if why you guys hate cb.
>
> Nobody hates CB. Why would I hate something that has given me pleasure
> for the past 30+ years?

Good point. For many of us, the CB is what "sparked" our interest in
amateur radio.

> The term "Breaker Breaker" has never been associated with ham radio.
> It's something that grew out of the CB fad in the late 70's.

Actually, I believe it was the other way around. The term "breaker"
was originally used by ham radio ops and subsequently adopted by
CBers.

> An unfortunate truth is that the general public views CBers as a lawless
> bunch of anarchists, who blatently disregard the rules of radio law and
> are the cause of the vast majority of interference to home entertainment
> equipment. Which is why there are more and more laws being proposed to
> regulate CB radio at the local level, since the feds have been doing a
> poor job of it themselves. It is also a good reason why you can't buy a
> new home in a development without some sort of antenna restriction. I
> can't say that I would blame any ham that would want to put to rest any
> notion that ham radio, is connected in any way to that image.
>
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Agreed...albeit sadly.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1840. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 11:00:49 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BRRr9.156269$8o3.4482139@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 140620

```
"Welfare Check" <Check@demonseed.comPton> wrote in message
news:-Z-dnY2lac-T8jKgXTWcrg@comcast.com...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:3_Jr9.155322$8o3.4414490@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Welfare Check" <Check@demonseed.comPton> wrote in message
> > news:no6cnUUNQ94otDKgXTWc3w@News.GigaNews.Com...
> > >
> > >
> > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021017141221.029
> > > > Now answer the question,are you George Bucsh?
> > >
> > > Who's George Busch?
> > >
> > >
> >
> > A moronic troll who can't spell, nor can he use proper punctuation.
> >
>
> Yes you are correct Mopar can't spell Busch is spelled Busch
> About time you posted from that account again Randy.
>
>

Been posting from it all along.
```

1841. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 11:30:49 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAFF0E9.395A@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140637

Dave wrote:
>
> Hey Dave Hall,
>
> I have to disagree with you on this one:
>
> ---------------
> ***"An unfortunate truth is that the general public views CBers as a lawless
> bunch of anarchists, who blatantly disregard the rules of radio law and are
> the cause of the vast majority of interference to home entertainment
> equipment.*** Which is why there are more and more laws being proposed to
> regulate CB radio at the local level, since the feds have been doing a poor
> job of it themselves. It is also a good reason why you can't buy a new home
> in a development without some sort of antenna restriction. I can't say that
> I would blame any ham that would want to put to rest any notion that ham
> radio, is connected in any way to that image.
>
> From my experiance Dave, The public does not hold the view you posted above.
> In my experiance the public, not counting CB'ers and Hams's of course, looks
> at CB in a light tainted by only what they have seen in the movies.

Right. If you are not into radio, you are only aware of it in the
periphery sense, and tend to only know of it, what has been glamorized
by mass media outlets. It's unfortunate that those mass media outlets
made CBers out to be rednecks (like Smokey and the bandit), who use
strange lingo (10-4 good buddy!), and use their radios to evade the law.

I used to have to deal with that image everytime someone would look at
my CB equipment and make their "informed" comments. Now that I have more
ham equipment then CB equipment, I'm still dealing with that image. Such
is life.

Since ham radio and CB radio have one thing in common (The radio), it's
easy for the uninformed public to mix the two up.

> Most of those I encounter are full of questions as to why I have a CB in my work
> truck, my Jeep, and at home.

No doubt they wonder why you bother with all the radio stuff, when you
could just as easily reach out and touch someone with a pocket sized
cell phone.

> They can't understand what I find so enjoyable.

Which is why they aren't radio hobbyists.

> No one has ever talked about illegal operation or interferance unless they
> had prior experiance with CB & Amature radio.

Ding ding ding!

That experience with "radio" (be it ham or CB) has, in increasing numbers, been due to interference to home entertainment equipment. While it is true that the sheer number of consumer "widgets" has increased in the last 20 years, and the design of those devices has not taken interference into consideration, there still has to be a source for that interference. That source is usually either a ham or a CB operator. And since illegal and poorly designed amplifiers are in "vogue" on CB, in the majority of cases of interference, the source is likely to be an illegally running CBer.

When the interference source is a ham, usually there can be a workable solution arrived at to minimize the interference. CBers are much more likely to be belligerent toward a complaining neighbor. This is why many towns are looking to the government to allow local law enforcement to investigate and cite illegal operations.

> I tend to believe that the
> majority of the public still view CB in a "Smokey and the Bandit" kind of
> way. As soon as I mention something about CB I often get some trite CB
> jargon thrown at me in a horrible southern accent. Takes a bit to expalin to
> them what CB is and what they'll find if they fire their own station up.

That depends. People who have never had a run in with interference, may, depending on how old they are, think of CB either as "that fad that went out in the 70's", or like you said about "redneck smokey and the bandit".

Once interference comes into play, the public adopts a much more sinister opinion of CBers (and unfortunately hams as well, due to their inability to distinguish between the 2 services).

>
> There is a dark side to CB, but in my travels it would seem that only 10% of
> what is out there is negative. I spend my driving time on 17 (that's the
> calling freq here) and hit 19 when I'm into areas where 19 is the standard.
> While at home I monitor the truckers until the regulars get together on 27.
> Anytime I can't find someone on those freq to chat with I scan the bands and
> very little of my travels produced the negative asspects that is high on the
> topics list in RRCB. Are the bands really that bad around your "QTH"?
>

Once the skip dies out completely, I don't think I will hear many people outside of channel 19. There was a group that was local to me, but they disbanded (likely due to the skip interference). Things may pick up in a year or two. I can always be hopeful.

But I now live about 15 miles away from the nearest major town, and about 50 miles from a "big city". In the city, things are a bit wilder, they usually are.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1842. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 11:31:28 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAFF110.52E3@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140636

JJ wrote:
>
> Dave wrote:
> >
> >
> > From my experiance Dave, The public does not hold the view you posted above.
> > In my experiance the public, not counting CB'ers and Hams's of course, looks
> > at CB in a light tainted by only what they have seen in the movies. Most of
> > those I encounter are full of questions as to why I have a CB in my work
> > truck, my Jeep, and at home. They can't understand what I find so enjoyable.
>
> Even though ham radio does get a lot of press occasionally when
> helping out in some disaster or emergency situation, the general
> public knows just about as little about ham radio as they do about
> cb. They often think ham radio and cb are the same thing.

And that's the unfortunate truth.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1843. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 11:35:48 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAFF214.6E61@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140639

Dave VanHorn wrote:
>
> > There is a dark side to CB, but in my travels it would seem that only 10%
> of
> > what is out there is negative. I spend my driving time on 17 (that's the
> > calling freq here) and hit 19 when I'm into areas where 19 is the
> standard.
> > While at home I monitor the truckers until the regulars get together on
> 27.
> > Anytime I can't find someone on those freq to chat with I scan the bands
> and
> > very little of my travels produced the negative asspects that is high on
> the
> > topics list in RRCB. Are the bands really that bad around your "QTH"?
>
> Since you mention it, what ARE the standards on channels?
>
> I'm just a poor ignorant ham, who left CB in 1977 when "The Number ONE
> SHOTGUN" ran me off channel 9 (and several other channels) when I had an
> accident victim bleeding to death in my arms, and the local react guy was
> trying to hear where I was to send help. I went home after that, ripped out
> my gear, and trashed it.

Your story is not unique. I've heard serveral people relate similar
stories. It's a shame that a few high powered egotists were allowed to
chase some pretty good folks away from what used to be a great "G" rated
hobby.

>
> It's been about 25 years since that happened, so I'm not up to speed on that
> stuff.
> 9 and 19 is about all I know anymore.

There really are no "standards" at least not legal ones. I'm not sure if
even channel 9 is protected by law anymore. Where people go is normally
a regional thing. Channel 19 is still the highway/truckers channel, and
SSB users are normally found from 36 to 40, again depending on area.
Everything else is up to you.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1844. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 11:39:46 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAFF302.7A8B@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140638

Dave wrote:

> hiding out in the truck so
> the *wife* doesn't know you're "On that damn CB again" ect)
>
>
> I wouldn't care but I never get to see them and my *girlfriend* would just love
> to catch me checking out another gal.

Hmmm..... You lead an interesting life..... And a risky one too. ;)

Dave
"Sandbagger"

Is it me or has the amount of "Daves" here just taken a huge spike
upward?

1845. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 11:45:13 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAFF449.354E@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140640

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3DAEF7AD.1F4E@worldlynx.net>...
> > Uncle Charlie wrote:
> > >
> > > and that in and of itself if why you guys hate cb.
> >
> > Nobody hates CB. Why would I hate something that has given me pleasure
> > for the past 30+ years?
>
> Good point. For many of us, the CB is what "sparked" our interest in
> amateur radio.

It's a natural stepping stone. I actually was aware of ham radio before
CB, but at that time thought that only someone like Marconi, would
qualify, to have a license.

>
> > The term "Breaker Breaker" has never been associated with ham radio.
> > It's something that grew out of the CB fad in the late 70's.
>
> Actually, I believe it was the other way around. The term "breaker"
> was originally used by ham radio ops and subsequently adopted by
> CBers.

You're right. The term "break" is used to cut into a QSO. The term
"Break, Break" usually means an urgent interruption, usually an
emergency.

But the term "break" which morphed into breaker, and like the term "73"
(which morphed into 73's), was "adopted" and mis/overused once it hit
the CB circuit. Once Cb became popular, the term became associated with
them.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1846. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 11:57:45 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DAFF739.35B1@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140642

yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>
> A few weeks ago I think it was Timmy that was defending the
> engineering prowness of the illegal amp techs, saying something along
> the lines of "they are doing more with less". Like using 96 RF
> transistors to accomplish kilowatts of power. This statement really
> stuck in my head because of it's unique contradiction:
>
> For example, if the purpose of 'more power' is to get better
> transmission distance, wouldn't it make more sense to make the signal
> more efficient as well? After all, 75% of AM power is wasted. The
> FIRST thing to do would be to use SSB, or even CW, instead of AM.
>
> Now since the antenna is the most important part of any radio
> transmitter, wouldn't it be better to make the best possible antenna
> before pumping up the power? And if these "engineers" are as good as
> they claim, why can't they construct a mobile antenna with a very
> narrow beam, something on the order of 20 dB or more? Seems that would
> be money well spent...
>
> Also, how much power is really needed to make contact with the other
> station? Instead of cranking up the power to 10 kW, why not use a
> different band? Or a repeater? IOW, why spend thousands of dollars on
> a super-duper mobile broadcast station when all you really need to do
> is to spend a few bucks for a ham license and some cheap equipment?

Because they can.

> And who needs a license, anyway? With just a computer w/soundcard and
> some free software you can hear Part 15 stations hundreds to thousands
> of miles away. And building a Part 15 transmitter is significantly
> less expensive and labor-intensive as a decked-out multi-kilowatt amp.

I'm interested in this soundcard, part 15 thing. What's that all about?

> So who is really doing "more with less"?

The "more with less" is really a joke. They're not doing that. But what
they are doing is engaging in the RF version of "extreme sports". Making
contacts and maintaining reliable communication is not the game they
play. It's the king of the hill, The boss with the big hot sauce (Extra
points if you can tell me the first well known person who used that
expression), the guy with the biggest "gun".

It's really electronic penis envy. That's all it boils down to in basic
human behavior terms.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1847. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 12:30:40 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021018083040.13091.00002409@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 140621

aaron why would anybody email you the callsigns of any of there friends when all you want to do is make trouble for them? i'm sure skipp would rather lose the arguement then feed his friends to the fishes you dumb fuck

>Namaste to you too, skipp. But look, you made the claim that there are
>200 or more hams who you personally know are running illegal high
>power. You said that this is fact and not opinion. I'll tell you what.
>Since documenting all 200 is a big hassle for you, email me 10 of
>their callsigns so I can check with them regarding the veracity of
>your statements. That's only 5% of the total number you claimed. I'm
>giving you a huge break. It shouldn't be a big deal. Also we're still
>waiting for the name of that "well-known" list server group you
>claimed as a fact. I'd like to read the postings there and maybe ask
>that group to verify that many of them operate illegal high power
>amplifiers, as claimed by "some guy named skipp" (no callsign given)
>in rec.radio.cb.
>

1848. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 12:37:02 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021018083702.13091.00002412@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 140622

aaron, who said they were discussing the joys of running illegal power? all he said is they discuss real life amps capable of putting out a larger than legal limit signal. during those few hundred threads you read there were none discuccing amps with ceramic tubes such as the 8877, 3cx1500, dual 3cx800's, 3cx3000, and the such??? if so all of these are capable of putting out 1500+ watts and is exactly what skipp was talking about even if this isnt the list he was reffering too lol

>
>Maybe someone can help me. I still don't see "many" threads in the
>list server where hams are discussing the joys of running illegal
>excess power.
>
>-A
>

1849. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 12:40:13 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021018084013.13091.00002413@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 140623

wow i hope you never try to work a net on 75 meters. those ham rigs can get
expensive throwing them out like that

>
>
>Since you mention it, what ARE the standards on channels?
>
>I'm just a poor ignorant ham, who left CB in 1977 when "The Number ONE
>SHOTGUN" ran me off channel 9 (and several other channels) when I had an
>accident victim bleeding to death in my arms, and the local react guy was
>trying to hear where I was to send help. I went home after that, ripped out
>my gear, and trashed it.
>
>It's been about 25 years since that happened, so I'm not up to speed on that
>stuff.
>9 and 19 is about all I know anymore.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1850. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 12:40:31 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB0013F.2954B2F7@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140665

Dave Hall wrote:

>
> That experience with "radio" (be it ham or CB) has, in increasing
> numbers, been due to interference to home entertainment equipment. While
> it is true that the sheer number of consumer "widgets" has increased in
> the last 20 years, and the design of those devices has not taken
> interference into consideration, there still has to be a source for that
> interference. That source is usually either a ham or a CB operator. And
> since illegal and poorly designed amplifiers are in "vogue" on CB, in
> the majority of cases of interference, the source is likely to be an
> illegally running CBer.
>
> When the interference source is a ham, usually there can be a workable
> solution arrived at to minimize the interference. CBers are much more
> likely to be belligerent toward a complaining neighbor. This is why many
> towns are looking to the government to allow local law enforcement to
> investigate and cite illegal operations.

People who have no concerns about operating illegally are less likely to be willing to solve any interference problems. The mentality that operating illegally is OK is the mentality that is likely to be belligerent toward anyone who complains about their interfering. As you state, the problem of interference from cbers and freebanders running illegal power, using modded rigs to overdrive splatter boxes has become so severe that a law has been passed to allow the local authorities to become involved. All brought to you by the twistieboy and timmy nebonehead types.

1851. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 12:44:20 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB00224.D0C0B9F7@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140668

Bert Craig wrote:

>
> > The term "Breaker Breaker" has never been associated with ham radio.
> > It's something that grew out of the CB fad in the late 70's.
>
> Actually, I believe it was the other way around. The term "breaker"
> was originally used by ham radio ops and subsequently adopted by
> CBers.

Hams never used breaker, I never heard that term until cb came
along. The word is break, one break if you just wanted to enter a
conversation or interrupt to make a point...break, break, break
denotes an urgency such as during a net operation an you have
urgent information for the net. Breaker breaker was never ham
lingo. That came strictly from cb.

1852. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 12:46:45 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23970-3DB002B5-337@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140632

"Arron" wrote:
>So if this "large amplfier" subculture is all >above board, legal, and factual, how about >some names and callsigns for verification? Or >how about the name of the net list server? Or >how about your callsign? No reason to hide it >if you're operation is above board.

How about you go and find them yourself... a quick web search would do it for you. Semi regular members of this news group have been seen in these groups, some stay, some leave. Some are kicked out/off..
--

Hahhahhaahhaha....."Arron" is mad 'cause he never received an invitation in any of his incarnations to join.....
.....the server..LMAO...yea, right! A few did take your advice, Frank, and made new groups,,.....Unlike yourself, many gladly folllowed these folks.....poor Frank feels like the kid on the block no one wants to play with anymore.....

1853. Re: What is Good Operating Practice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 12:47:19 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021018084719.13091.00002414@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 140624

>> didnt they try that with stopping drugs too?
>
>Yeah, so?

so if it didnt work trying to get mexico to stop drugs why would they stop talking on the cb because we want to?

>> what makes you think it would work
>> better for cb radio
>
>For one thing freebanding doesn't require the use of a syringe, or
>dope pipe. Thus no rehab to worry about.

thats right, its not dangerous at all like drugs can be so its really of no importance and likely to be considered as such by the US goverment and the Mexican government who are mnore worried about stopping drugs which has been ineffective as well.

>> or that bush would actually threaten our closest neighbor
>> to the south over something so silly?
>
>Look what happened when Libya's Muammar Qadhafi called Ronald Reagan
>names, and dared him to cross a line in the water. A few bombs later,
>and a near miss on his body, Qadhafi, you don't hear much from him any
>more. Its just the principle of the thing that counts.

i did not suggest Mexico was going to threaten the USA, i merely pointed the USA would not threaten Mexico over something so trivial as 11 meter cb'ers when it does not even threten them over drugs which is a very main stream and important subject. please follow along and quit trying to change the subject.

>
>> your lifes view is kinda distorted as to
>> the whole importance of 27 megs to the real world
>
>Your view is "kinda distorted" as to why you think it is so
>unimportant.

it's only important to you no code type hams who need something to complain about. if you can actually explain to me why it is important and make sense at the same time i'll be happy to admitt your right but until then its still just a non issue except to no code hams who are looked down upon by there radio brethren and need somebody else to look down on as well to make themselfs feel better about there lowly status, and that goes especially for those like you who will prbably never upgrade and will always be the low man on the pole.

1854. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 12:49:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23970-3DB00361-338@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140633

>This can't be the group skipp is talking about. I scanned a couple
hundred topics in the archives and couldn't find even ONE where hams
discuss their use of illegal high power on the ham bands.
-Aaron- <<
-

Hahahahhahhhahhahhahahahahaha!
-

1855. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 12:50:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB00383.B44EDC4E@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140669

Shot out of the saddle again wrote:
>

>
> True, but few amplifier owner actually know how to actually tune their
> amplifiers for best linear operation. Most go for max smoke...

Tell us oh learned one, just how does one properly tune an
amplifier?

1856. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 12:50:45 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021018085045.13091.00002415@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 140625

going by what you posted of skipps post how did you come to the final question about him being correct on it representing "most hams views" when he said nothing of the sort? these guys will see right thru you fake aarron. even jj admitted he was right. lol

>
>My question is, are "skipp's" claims accurate? Do they
>represent the majority views of amateur radio
>operators? Do they represent the majority views of
>licensed amateurs frequenting this list?

1857. Re: heathkit amps any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 12:51:36 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021018085136.13091.00002416@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 140626

there real nice amps. simple but nice and reliable. with upgrades they can be top notch.

http://www.vcnet.com/measures/sb220ci.html

>Subject: heathkit amps any good?

1858. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 12:51:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23970-3DB003E2-339@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140634

"Aaron' wrote:
Here, add these to your hit list. I have sent Riley these and haven't
heard anything either.... davemade amps rival California kw's, anybody
want a 30kw mobile amp??
http://www.bigradios.com/bulldog/xforceamps.html out of new jersey,
complete with payment by paypal and a phone number.
Here is a site with buy/sell message board http://www.bigradios.com/
Still none as big as good old davemade
http://www.davemade.com/mobile.htm
--

Hahhahhahaahahahahahhahhaha!
Old news, junior, old news!

1859. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 12:52:28 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB0040C.2B52F5F3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140670

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> "Arron" wrote:
> >So if this "large amplfier" subculture is all >above board, legal, and
> factual, how about >some names and callsigns for verification? Or >how
> about the name of the net list server? Or >how about your callsign? No
> reason to hide it >if you're operation is above board.
>
> How about you go and find them yourself... a quick web search would do
> it for you. Semi regular members of this news group have been seen in
> these groups, some stay, some leave. Some are kicked out/off..

When someone can't substantiate a claim, the word is "go find it
yourself"

1860. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 12:53:55 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021018085355.13091.00002417@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 140627

lol i was reffering to the ability to power all 96 transistors from a mobile station. you claimed it couldnt be done then later found that that it is done and you were wrong. maybe thats why it stuck in your head???

>
>A few weeks ago I think it was Timmy that was defending the
>engineering prowness of the illegal amp techs, saying something along
>the lines of "they are doing more with less". Like using 96 RF
>transistors to accomplish kilowatts of power. This statement really
>stuck in my head because of it's unique contradiction:

1861. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 12:56:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23970-3DB004FE-340@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140630

From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
>Maybe someone can help me.
--

I already did. You are on much better behavior now that you lost 3
accounts for TOS violations and your sociopathic inability to conform to
rules that are set forth so society does not become full of
anarchists......

1862. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 12:57:15 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB0052B.4EB1B2D8@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140671

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:

>
> Now since the antenna is the most important part of any radio
> transmitter, wouldn't it be better to make the best possible antenna
> before pumping up the power?

Naw, then they couldn't brag about their 'big leenars'.

And if these "engineers" are as good as
> they claim, why can't they construct a mobile antenna with a very
> narrow beam, something on the order of 20 dB or more? Seems that would
> be money well spent...
>
> Also, how much power is really needed to make contact with the other
> station? Instead of cranking up the power to 10 kW, why not use a
> different band? Or a repeater? IOW, why spend thousands of dollars on
> a super-duper mobile broadcast station when all you really need to do
> is to spend a few bucks for a ham license and some cheap equipment?

Because they had rather be able to 'step all over the other
stations' than have meaningful communications.

1863. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 12:58:41 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB00581.7731@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140641

back in the saddle again wrote:
>
> : Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
>
> :> A nearby "known station" is a good reference signal.
>
> : That's really hard to do, considering the ever changing band conditions
> : (We are talking about DX stations right?).
>
> No, I never mentioned the words dx that I know about. I refer to typical
> operations I might hear on say... the 80 and 40 meter bands.

Ok, I hate to make an issue out of semantics, but while I would agree
that stateside stations are not truly considered "DX" to guys who are
serious dx chasers, the context of the term "dx" used in this discussion
was used to illustrate signals which arrive at your station through
atmospheric propagation, and not directly through line of sight, or
direct paths.

If you are comparing stations only through their direct signals
(basically locals), then it is much easier to estimate power because the
signal path will not vary, and is somewhat constant.

But once you factor in the atmosphere and its ever changing effects on
"long distance" signals, the validity of your test bed becomes suspect,
due to the many variables in propagation that cannot be accurately
factored out.

> Experience
> on the band of topic is a serious part of the information base to make
> logical assumptions.
>
> :> Not all signals are received via F Layer, each event is different.
>
> : Which muddies the water even more. There are so many variables in this
> : equation, it's going to be tough to come up with the real truth.
>
> Things do average out over time... we're not talking hit and run. Many
> jammers are on for quite some time.

Sure. That's why they eventually get caught.

> Again, over an average and based on my experience with certain types of
> antennas I know, I sum the information to make a logical guess. See my
> just posted example of three stations in Northern California.

Back "in the day" on the CB band, I knew the signals of pretty much all the locals in the area. I could even tell the difference in the sound of their key-up (back then just about every rig had a T/R relay and were quite distinctive). Some of the guys used to play games with me and just kerchunk the mike a few times just to see if I could tell who they were. When things are in a steady state like this, you can make semi-accurate comparisons. If someone was giving me a certain signal, from a certain beam heading, and knowing their output power, I could make a fairly accurate estimation of how far away they were. This came in handy when we tracked down the handful of troublemakers over the years. I used to be quite adept at "DFing" people.

>
> : And how many times do you get two guys that live next door to each
> : other, for whome you can make a valid A/B comparison? In the real world
> : of DX pileups, there will be a bunch of guys that are all over the globe
> : (or the country depending on the band), who's signals are all over the
> : place. Do you assume that the W5 station, who's head and shoulders above
> : most of the rest of the pack is running a bit hot, if there isn't
> : another W5 station to compare to?
>
> I never went the dx route... but on certain bands at certain times,
> stations only have to be in regional areas to have similar signals.

If you can tune to the same stations on a day in and day out basis, and their signals never change appreciably, then I would agree with you. Once "conditions" start to affect the signal. You can't be sure of what you are actually seeing.

>
> :> Another indication of excessive power are the spectral product levels of
> :> his signal. One can often hear nearby spectal poop and the signal in
> :> questions' bandwidth increase.
>
> : That is only an indication of compression and overdriving, or an amp
> : that's seriously out of tune, or with the ALC disconnected. You can have
> : that distortion at any power level. It's not exclusive to "high power"
> : amps.
>
> True, but few amplifier owner actually know how to actually tune their
> amplifiers for best linear operation. Most go for max smoke...

That's true whether the output power is 100 watts or 10,000 watts.

>
> Loud mis-tuned amplifiers make more poop.

Mistuned amplifiers make more poop. They don't have to necessarily be high powered.

>
> ALC is a waste of time... (another whole thread topic I'm sure).

Yea. There are many who believe that if the rig is sufficiently ALC'ed, there's no need to ALC the amp, if peak drive is below the compression point.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1864. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 12:59:04 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB00598.FFF8BF00@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140672

Uncle Charlie wrote:

>
> because we're cbe'rs.

You're not a cber timmyboy, you are an illegal freebander.

1865. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 12:59:13 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021018085913.13091.00002420@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 140628

>
>Now since the antenna is the most important part of any radio
>transmitter, wouldn't it be better to make the best possible antenna
>before pumping up the power?

guess you've never seen the "fighting sticks" that ae made by cb'ers for the cb competitions or never seen the mobile beams used? you wont find them in a hro catalog or in radio shack so you probably no nothing about them.

>Instead of cranking up the power to 10 kW, why not use a
>different band?

because we're cbe'rs.

> why spend thousands of dollars on
>a super-duper mobile broadcast station when all you really need to do
>is to spend a few bucks for a ham license and some cheap equipment?

because these stations are not used for daily comms instead they are used for competition use against other high powered stations.

>And building a Part 15 transmitter is significantly
>less expensive and labor-intensive as a decked-out multi-kilowatt amp.

sure it is but nearly as fun or rewarding for these guys. if thats what you prefer then have at it and knock yourself out.

>So who is really doing "more with less"?

these guys are. have a nice day.

1866. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:02:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23970-3DB0065B-341@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140631

From: dvanhorn@"cedar.net" (comcast)
wrote:
>Since you mention it, what ARE the standards >on channels?
>'m just a poor ignorant ham, who left CB in >1977

<snip>

Since you mentioned it, what state do you live in and which state were
you licensed in?

1867. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:08:13 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210180508.299aa185@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140629

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie)
>wow i hope you never try to work a net on 75 meters. those ham
>rigs can get expensive throwing them out like that

You expect us to believe that someone who says he has nothing in
common with fat, old hams and finds their conversations boring
suddenly is the voice of experience regarding 75 meter nets? LOL.
Anonymously jamming theLiberty Net doesn't qualify you as a 75 meter
expert, Tim, and it may get you everntually popped.

-A

>>Since you mention it, what ARE the standards on channels?
>>I'm just a poor ignorant ham, who left CB in 1977 when
>>"The Number ONE
>>SHOTGUN" ran me off channel 9 (and several other channels)
>>when I had an
>>accident victim bleeding to death in my arms, and the local
>>react guy was
>>trying to hear where I was to send help. I went home after that,
>>ripped out
>>my gear, and trashed it.

1868. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:09:16 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB007FC.B71A8EC2@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140673

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> your asking for opinions on something he never implied. all he said is there
> are alot of hams using high power. even jj agreed and he says he's been a ham
> for over 40 years.

You're a real piece of work timmyboy. Your and twistieboy and been
insisting that I probably am not a ham, asking me to prove it by
giving my callsign, claiming that what I say here means nothing,
but now I say something you may agree with and for that my word is
good. So I guess as long as I agree with you and twistybrain, then
everything is cool, but disagree and my word is nothing.

1869. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:10:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23971-3DB0083F-129@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140648

From: wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig)
Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3DAEF7AD.1F4E@worldlynx.net>...
Uncle Charlie wrote:
and that in and of itself if why you guys hate cb.
Nobody hates CB. Why would I hate something that has given me pleasure
for the past 30+ years?
--

>Good point. For many of us, the CB is what >"sparked" our interest in
amateur radio.

Hey Bert,,,,,lemme ask ya' something..no flames, no arguments,,just a
simple question.....if it's a good point he made claiming he doesn't
hate cb, why do you think the archives are full of Dave Hall N3CVJ
maliciously attacking MANY cbers for their topic of choice for
discussion over the years?
I remember you were asked something similar once before and were offered
as many examples as you wanted, but declined.
Also, where is JerryO? Have you seen him lately or talked to him?

1870. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:15:51 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210180515.52beb45a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140635

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
>aaron, who said they were discussing the joys of running illegal
power?
>all he
>said is they discuss real life amps capable of putting out a larger
than
>legal
>limit signal.

No, his argument was that many hams run excess illegal power. In support of this, he said:

"Big watts is a hot topic on a pretty good size group of the "amps members" group minds'. Enough so to justify a rather large member list server on the specific topic"

So again I ask (and I'll rephrase it to suit you), where are all the hams discussing running big illegal watts?

-A

1871. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:17:11 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23971-3DB009D7-130@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140649

From: kb1cih@attbi.com (GMpartsguy)
How many newsgroups did you feel you needed to polute with all that
cross-posting ?
  What a troll...
--
He's following the lead of Charles Johnston. hammie call.....AB7SL, a
well known trouble causing rabble rouser toward cbers who enjoys
cross-posting to start trouble.

1872. Re: WTB: The True story on the D&A Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:20:48 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB00AB0.2CAA@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140643

Dennis W. Ostrowski wrote:
>
> Twistedhed wrote:
> >
> > From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> > Dennis W. Ostrowski wrote:
> > >Hey Dennis,
> > >An unrelated question. Have you ever >attempted, or know of any
> > information on what >to expect if one were to attempt to modify a >Texas
> > Star DX 600 or 667V to run on the 6 >meter band?
> > >A couple of the locals have some CB >equipment from the good old days,
> > and they >are looking to "recycle" them.
> > >Good to see you're still around,
> > >Dave
> > >"Sandbagger"
> >
> > --
> >
> > You might have to shout and jump up and down to be heard..after all..you
> > are party to the trouble-posse that called Lucent to cry about his
> > posts. Socialist.
>
> Twist,
>
> In that case Dave should be happy, because this is my last
> post from Lucent.

Dennis,

Despite twisty's feeble attempts at maligning my character, I had
nothing to do with any sort of attempt at giving you problems at work. I
am truly saddened to hear that you've become the latest victim of these
tough economic times.

I may be following suit sometime in the next year, as things are not
projected to get any better for me either. If I can hold out until 2004,
things should get better.

>
> Dave,
>
> I don't like the DX667 vecause of the dirty single ended
> driver stage, but it whould work fine in 6 meters with a
> reduced output and higher input and output swrs.

Most of us removed the driver stage for the reasons you stated. We just drive them a bit harder. With 6 meter rigs that run up to 100 watts, drive level should not be a problem.

I thought for sure there would be a need to change the input or output transformer windings or such. Are you saying that it has the bandwidth to work unmodified?

Thanks for all the good advice over the years.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1873. Re: Way to go Giants!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:21:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23970-3DB00AE1-344@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140651

From: 3carroll@optonline.net
Twisteded wrote:
His family is here. He said he missed them and wanted to be with them.
My guess is yes......but I may have misjudged the man. To some, blood is
thicker than water...to others....they'd stab their brother. What do you
think he's gonna do? Think Seattle jaded him?
-
>naw its a matter of how much a business man >is willing to pour into a
franchise. $102 mill >balloons for this years travesty is what mr.
>wilpon sank into the mets and see what they >did. last place.....the
dr's have nowhere near >that kind of payroll and with little hope of
>breaking .500 sweet lou will be doing the 5 >o'clock shuttle from la
guardia to have dinner >with the folks in tb......

Haha! You're probably right on that account......but then again, I am
reminded of Mike Alstott who took a HUGE pay cut just so he could stay
in TB with the Bucs...everyone thought he was gone, too.......it
definitely merits watching........

1874. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:23:58 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23970-3DB00B6E-345@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140652

"Aaron" wrote:
>You expect us to believe that someone who >says he has nothing in
common with fat, old >hams and finds their conversations boring
>suddenly is the voice of experience regarding >75 meter nets? LOL.
Anonymously jamming >theLiberty Net doesn't qualify you as a 75 >meter
expert, Tim, and it may get you >everntually popped.
>-A-

The probability that you were popped and this is your last medium is a
greater possibility.

1875. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:30:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23973-3DB00CFC-37@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140647

My question is, are "skipp's" claims accurate? Do they represent the
majority views of amateur radio operators? Do they represent the
majority views of licensed amateurs frequenting this list?
You may email me or post your comments to the list. Either way I thank
you for your cooperation.
Sincerely,
A.H. Voobner
aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com

--

Gee, maybe someone should post to the hammie groups and ask them if they
all share socialist hypocrite Davey Boy Hall's (N3CVJ) views that they
are all federal criminals if they ever received a warning letter from
the FCC for any type infraction?

1876. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:30:52 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210180530.2976c166@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140644

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
>going by what you posted of skipps post how did you come to
>the final question
>about him being correct on it representing
>"most hams views" when he said
>nothing of the sort?

Read the email again slowly, Timbo. I let skipp's own words speak for
him. And then at the end, I asked:

>>My question is, are "skipp's" claims accurate?
>>Do they represent the majority views of amateur radio
>>operators? Do they represent the majority views of
>>licensed amateurs frequenting this list?

Notice I am not telling them I think Skipp is right or wrong, I am
merely asking for opinions.

Do you understand now, or do you need some additional comprehension
aids?

-Aaron-

1877. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:36:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021018093657.06895.00008989@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 140645

wow, did you borrow that line from leland scott or are you really him?

>Anonymously jamming theLiberty Net doesn't qualify you as a 75 meter
>expert, Tim, and it may get you everntually popped.
>
>-A

1878. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:38:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23970-3DB00ED0-346@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140650

From: timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie)
going by what you posted of skipps post how did you come to the final
question about him being correct on it representing "most hams views"
when he said nothing of the sort?
--
Exclusive to the club named by "arron" consisting of KC8LDO Lelnad C
Scott, Socialist Dave Hall (N3CVJ) Frank Gilliland, and N8WWM Dogie
Adair.
When someone makes a point that shows them in all their sterling idiocy,
they must invent, forge, misattibute, lie, whatever it takes to
obfuscate and get the attention off their sordid ways and ego-damaged
selves. They all are impotent when it comes to cb and hammie radio
anyway, I don't know why they bother...it's not like anyone takes them
serious......hell, Lelnad knows this best,,,he and Frank are all over
this board with shill postings daily.with made up names.

1879. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:43:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021018094323.06895.00008991@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 140646

alot of them have always been right here on rec.radio.cb to you killed the fun. others have moved on, now why would any of us want to tell you where it is so you can just join there and do what you've done here? we're happy other places without you.

>So again I ask (and I'll rephrase it to suit you), where are all the
>hams discussing running big illegal watts?
>
>-A
>

1880. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:45:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23971-3DB0106D-132@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140657

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Dave wrote:
Hey Dave Hall,
I have to disagree with you on this one:

"An unfortunate truth is that the general public views CBers as a lawless bunch of anarchists, who blatantly disregard the rules of radio law and are the cause of the vast majority of interference to home entertainment equipment. Which is why there are more and more laws being proposed to regulate CB radio at the local level, since the feds have been doing a poor job of it themselves. It is also a good reason why you can't buy a new home in a development without some sort of antenna restriction. I can't say that I would blame any ham that would want to put to rest any notion that ham radio, is connected in any way to that image.
From my experiance Dave, The public does not hold the view you posted above. In my experiance the public, not counting CB'ers and Hams's of course, looks at CB in a light tainted by only what they have seen in the movies.

--

>Right. If you are not into radio, you are only >aware of it in the periphery sense, and tend to >only know of it, what has been glamorized by >mass media outlets.
>Dave Hall
>N3CVJ
>Practicing Socialist

Which would equal the publics perception.
Now you are waging war on the media to give hammie radio it's rightful place? Hahaha! You are doing much more than you willl ever realize for hammie radio, Dave. Whoops..I forgot...today is the day you begin a self-imposed exile from Twistedhed...hahhha..what a nutjob!!!!!

1881. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:46:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23971-3DB010D0-133@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140664

Even though ham radio does get a lot of press occasionally when helping
out in some disaster or emergency situation, the general public knows
just about as little about ham radio as they do about cb. They often
think ham radio and cb are the same thing.
-
And that's the unfortunate truth.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
--
Leave it to you, exile-man, to claim the truth is unfortunate.

1882. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:48:54 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021018094854.06895.00008995@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 140653

your asking for opinions on something he never implied. all he said is there are alot of hams using high power. even jj agreed and he says he's been a ham for over 40 years. you may nit pick what "alot of hams" means all day if you'd like to but it doesnt change the fact that there are many hams that own big amps. you decide for yourself if they fall under the same human charecteristics as normal people do or not just because they have aham license. i can think of 3 ameritron amps right now that will produce more than the legal limit and that doesnt include the henry with the 3cx3000 tube or the QRO line of amps or the alphas. now do you think every ham operator is perfect and never tempted to take it over 1,500?

>
>Notice I am not telling them I think Skipp is right or wrong, I am
>merely asking for opinions.
>
>Do you understand now, or do you need some additional comprehension
>aids?
>
>-Aaron-
>
>
>
>
>
>

1883. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:52:39 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23971-3DB01227-134@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140662

>There really are no "standards" at least not >legal ones.

Better break out that kid's dictionary again and learn yourself another defiinition of "standards", as usual, you are wrong again.

>I'm not sure if even channel 9 is protected by >law anymore. Where people go is normally a >regional thing.

On cb? Not during skip, which has been for several years now.///

> Channel 19 is still the highway/truckers >channel, and SSB users are normally found >from 36 to 40, again depending on area. >Everything else is up to you.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Once again, if that line had an ounce of integrity, you wouldn't have any problem with other's decisions and the way they think what is "up to them". .....but you do,,,just one more in a long line of self-contradictory statements from the socialist and self-imposed exile-man!!!. (Oh, man, this is gonna be a great day and an even beter weekend)

1884. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:52:59 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB0123B.B5149B07@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140677

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> Twistedhed didn't write:
> "Arron" wrote:
> So if this "large amplfier" subculture is all >above board, legal, and
> factual, how about >some names and callsigns for verification? Or >how
> about the name of the net list server? Or >how about your callsign? No
> reason to hide it >if you're operation is above board
>
> How about you go and find them yourself... a quick web search would do
> it for you. Semi regular members of this news group have been seen in
> these groups, some stay, some leave. Some are kicked out/off..
> --
> >When someone can't substantiate a claim, the >word is "go find it
> yourself"
> -- --
> And when you are angry, embarrassed and ego-bruised, you begin
> deliberately misattributing quotes to those that didn't make
> them.....HAHAHAHHAHAHHAAHA!

The more you post, the more pathetic you look twistieboy.

1885. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:53:04 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db011fc.8356893@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140654

In <20021018085355.13091.00002417@mb-mk.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote:

>lol i was reffering to the ability to power all 96 transistors from a mobile
>station. you claimed it couldnt be done then later found that that it is done
>and you were wrong. maybe thats why it stuck in your head???

Once again, Timmy, I never said it couldn't be done. I said that they are not getting the power out they expect or claim.

1886. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 13:55:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23971-3DB012EC-135@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140660

Is it me or has the amount of "Daves" here just taken a huge spike
upward?
Dave Hall N3CVJ
Practicing Socialist
--

It's you, it's always been you, evidenced by your radical, extreme,
minority views. Besides, you're already milque-toast among the cbers and
most hammies..........

1887. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 14:00:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23975-3DB0141B-18@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140663

The term "Breaker Breaker" has never been associated with ham radio.
It's something that grew out of the CB fad in the late 70's.

>Actually, I believe it was the other way around. >The term "breaker"
was originally used by ham >radio ops and subsequently adopted by
>CBers.

Dave Hall N3CVJ, practicing Socialist wrote:
You're right.

--

Yup, and you're wrong.....as usual.
Just had to point it out, as you are a licensed upperclassmen hammie who
doesn't know the history of the service you feel worthy of the
sacredness you bestow upon me.

1888. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 14:02:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23971-3DB0146B-136@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140659

From: timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie)
wow, did you borrow that line from leland scott or are you really him?
-
Anonymously jamming theLiberty Net doesn't qualify you as a 75 meter
expert, Tim, and it may get you everntually popped.
-A-

Hahahahahha...pathetic, aren't they?

1889. Re: WTB: The True story on the D&A Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 14:04:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23970-3DB014F3-350@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140658

>Dennis,
>Despite twisty's feeble attempts at maligning >my character,

Oh, *I* made you the member of Aaron and Dogies trouble posse on
rec.radio.cb, hmmmm? HAhahahhahahahahahhaha!

1890. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 14:04:59 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db01251.8441423@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140655

In <20021018085913.13091.00002420@mb-mk.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com357
(Uncle Charlie) wrote:

>>
>>Now since the antenna is the most important part of any radio
>>transmitter, wouldn't it be better to make the best possible antenna
>>before pumping up the power?
>
>guess you've never seen the "fighting sticks" that ae made by cb'ers for the cb
>competitions or never seen the mobile beams used? you wont find them in a hro
>catalog or in radio shack so you probably no nothing about them.
>
>>Instead of cranking up the power to 10 kW, why not use a
>>different band?
>
>because we're cbe'rs.

Not if you are operating illegally. Then you are nothing but -- you
guessed it -- illegal operators.

>> why spend thousands of dollars on
>>a super-duper mobile broadcast station when all you really need to do
>>is to spend a few bucks for a ham license and some cheap equipment?
>
>because these stations are not used for daily comms

What about that guy that's 60 miles away from you that shuts everyone
else out of the channel when he keys up?

> instead they are used for
>competition use against other high powered stations.

Oh, you mean those splatter contests? Yeah, right. They are not about
communication, they are about bird watts and how much a radio can be
overmodulated and still be distinguished from another overmodulated
sparrow-cooker.

>>And building a Part 15 transmitter is significantly
>>less expensive and labor-intensive as a decked-out multi-kilowatt amp.
>
>sure it is but nearly as fun or rewarding for these guys. if thats what you
>prefer then have at it and knock yourself out.

It can be just as rewarding, if not more so. Especially when you make
your first 500 mile contact on less than 1 watt INPUT (which
translates to only a few MILLIWATTS from the antenna)! I would call
that doing more with MUCH less!

>>So who is really doing "more with less"?
>
>these guys are. have a nice day.

I'll agree that they are doing more lawbreaking with less
intelligence, but that's it.

1891. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 14:06:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23970-3DB01580-351@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140661

>So again I ask (and I'll rephrase it to suit< >you), where are all the
hams discussing< >running big illegal watts?<
>-A -<

Right under your ever-growing nose.
Hahahahahhaahh!

1892. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 14:08:16 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db0153f.9191533@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140656

In <3DAFF739.35B1@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
<nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

<snip>
>I'm interested in this soundcard, part 15 thing. What's that all about?

http://home.att.net/~weatheradio/part15.htm (big page)
http://www.lwca.org/
http://www.vicon.net/~aketner/lofer.htm (more links)

1893. Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 14:18:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23970-3DB01843-352@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140666

http://interviews.slashdot.org/interviews/02/09/27/1420201.shtml?tid=

1894. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 14:25:58 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB019F6.8B215147@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140682

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> Hams never used breaker, I never heard that term until cb came along.
> The word is break, one break if you just wanted to enter a conversation
> or interrupt to make a point...break, break, break denotes an urgency
> such as during a net operation an you have urgent information for the
> net. Breaker breaker was never ham lingo. That came strictly from cb.
>
> -
>
> So what you're saying is cbers imitated hammie radio and improved on
> their lingo?

If you consider that an improvement, fine. Breaker breaker, ye got
yur ears on thar shore nuff?

1895. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 14:30:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23971-3DB01B0E-138@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140667

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
A few weeks ago I think it was Timmy that was defending the engineering
prowness <snip>

WTF is "prowness"? Is it in Socialist Davey boy Hall's (N3CVJ)
dictionary of applied definitions as applicable to cb and hammie radio
as only you rabid four can hallucinate?

1896. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 14:34:13 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB01BE5.499039C4@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140683

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> >People who have no concerns about operating >illegally are less likely
> to be willing to solve >any interference problems.
>
> This interpretation comes from your hobby of understanding othes?

What is 'othes'? Most hams will work with anyone about complaints,
most illegal operators won't.

>
> >The mentality that operating illegally is OK is >the mentality that is
> likely to be belligerent >toward anyone who complains about their
> >interfering.
>
> The same can be said for those who anonymously cry "I'm a real hammie,
> NOT a nocode".
> The same can be said for those that just can't seem to abide by their
> TOS set forth in their legally binding contract they agreed to.

Who are you referring to now? Talk about anonymously crying, who
are you twistieboy? Care to post a real name? When did I ever say
someone who holds a nocode license was not a real ham? You seem to
be the one hung up on that. Since you can't achieve even a nocode
license you only recourse is to make fun and belittle anyone who
can. Too bad, real pathetic.

>
>
> >As you state, the problem of interference from >cbers and freebanders
> running illegal power, >using modded rigs to overdrive splatter boxes
> >has become so severe that a law has been >passed to allow the local
> authorities to >become involved. All brought to you by the >twistieboy
> and timmy nebonehead types.
>
> I guarantee my base set-up is cleaner than you could ever hope to
> achieve.
> That Seer malady is catching.....

Really? You can guarantee it without knowing anything about my
station. Really amazing. I operate legally, can you say that?

1897. Re: Way to go Giants!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 15:00:32 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7727-3DB03020-157@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140681

This just in..Piniella, residing at his home in Tampa has received word that Outback Steakhouse (a Tampa Bay based company) founders Bob Basham and Chris Sullivan offer to "match the ante" if money is a concern or deciding factor issue. Piniella and Sullivan are old friends. If Lou stays here, he will enjoy cb much more than Seattle.

1898. Re: heathkit amps any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 15:13:16 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <gyVr9.89653$NW3.22103@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 140674

I think the SB-200 has 572Bs. Good amp, and tubes aren't too high.

"brian" <y40002000NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns92AAE733A17B8y40002000NOSPAMyahoo@24.94.165.87...
> I have noticed lots of sb200 and sb220 heathkits for sale on ebay, at what
> seems to be a reasonable price. Are they any good? I know the 220 has 2
> 500z tubes but what about the sb200?

1899. Re: Nicad charger question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 15:36:47 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db02598_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140675

I use a car headlight !
Dave!

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
news:20021016232406.15794.00002043@mb-dd.aol.com...
> Wrong answer.....Nicads should be FULLY drained. Some people even
keep a
> small light bulb such as an 1156 auto bulb across the terminals to keep
them
> discharged. Batteries have a "memory" to them and need to be FULLY charged
and
> DISCHARGED as well. Ask anyone who races electric RC cars and they will
tell
> you the same thing.
> Train
> >Subject: Re: Nicad charger question
> >From: "Dave VanHorn" dvanhorn@cedar.net
> >Date: 10/16/02 10:39 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <RfydnYuXboQgvzOgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>
> >
> >> I discharged the batteries to zero before charging 1/2 day.
> >
> >You're being mean to your batteries.
> >If you want them to live, never discharge below 0.9V, or 1.0V if you want
> >the most life possible.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1900. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 15:38:10 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DB02AE2-340@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140676

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed didn't write:
"Arron" wrote:
So if this "large amplfier" subculture is all >above board, legal, and factual, how about >some names and callsigns for verification? Or >how about the name of the net list server? Or >how about your callsign? No reason to hide it >if you're operation is above board

How about you go and find them yourself... a quick web search would do it for you. Semi regular members of this news group have been seen in these groups, some stay, some leave. Some are kicked out/off..
--
>When someone can't substantiate a claim, the >word is "go find it yourself"
-- --
And when you are angry, embarrassed and ego-bruised, you begin deliberately misattributing quotes to those that didn't make them.....HAHAHAHHAHAHHAAHA!

1901. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 15:44:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7728-3DB02C70-54@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140678

JJ wrote:
>People who have no concerns about operating >illegally are less likely to be willing to solve >any interference problems.

This interpretation comes from your hobby of understanding othes?

>The mentality that operating illegally is OK is >the mentality that is likely to be belligerent >toward anyone who complains about their >interfering.

The same can be said for those who anonymously cry "I'm a real hammie, NOT a nocode".
The same can be said for those that just can't seem to abide by their TOS set forth in their legally binding contract they agreed to.

>As you state, the problem of interference from >cbers and freebanders running illegal power, >using modded rigs to overdrive splatter boxes >has become so severe that a law has been >passed to allow the local authorities to >become involved. All brought to you by the >twistieboy and timmy nebonehead types.

I guarantee my base set-up is cleaner than you could ever hope to achieve.
That Seer malady is catching.....

1902. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 15:46:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DB02CE8-348@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140680

JJ wrote:
Hams never used breaker, I never heard that term until cb came along.
The word is break, one break if you just wanted to enter a conversation
or interrupt to make a point...break, break, break denotes an urgency
such as during a net operation an you have urgent information for the
net. Breaker breaker was never ham lingo. That came strictly from cb.

-

So what you're saying is cbers imitated hammie radio and improved on
their lingo?

1903. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 15:50:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7728-3DB02DC4-56@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140679

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Uncle Charlie wrote:
your asking for opinions on something he never implied. all he said is there are alot of hams using high power. even jj agreed and he says he's been a ham for over 40 years.
--
>You're a real piece of work timmyboy. Your >and twistieboy and been insisting that I >probably am not a ham,

I insist nothing except truth. I merely claimed I "doubted" your claim...but there was never any "insisting" on my behalf, despite your lie.

>asking me to prove it by giving my callsign,<

Only after you took such issue with it and insisted you were above a nocode level. YOU claimed it and were merely asked to provide, nothing more, nothing less.

>claiming that what I say here means nothing, >but now I say something you may agree with >and for that my word is good. So I guess as >long as I agree with you and twistybrain, then >everything is cool, but disagree and my word >is nothing.

Nah, when credit is due, it is recognized, no matter who it comes from.

1904. Debunking the spectrum disinformation spread here...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 16:13:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DB03347-353@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140685

http://www.reed.com/dprframeweb/dprframe.asp?section=openspec

1905. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 16:19:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DB03480-354@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140684

JJ cried with:
And when you are angry, embarrassed and ego-bruised, you begin
deliberately misattributing quotes to those that didn't make
them.....HAHAHAHHAHAHHAAHA!
--
The more you post, the more pathetic you look twistieboy.

Well, then it's a damn good thang only the losers of "Aaron's"
hand-selected and named rec.radio.cb trouble-causing group consisting of
socialist Dave Hall N3CVJ, KC8LDO Lelnad C. Scott, Frank Gilliland, and
Dogie Adair N8WWM are concerned with my
appearance...HAHHAHAHHahahahaahaahahha!

1906. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 16:46:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB03AE9.17F@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140688

yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>
> In <3DAFF739.35B1@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
> <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >I'm interested in this soundcard, part 15 thing. What's that all about?
>
> http://home.att.net/~weatheradio/part15.htm (big page)
> http://www.lwca.org/
> http://www.vicon.net/~aketner/lofer.htm (more links)

Thank you!

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1907. Dennis Ostrowski...RIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 16:48:49 UTC
From: anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b12afcb0.0210180848.4f81767d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140686

Hi Dennis,
Thanks for the good news that Lucent finally saw reason and gave your
criminal self the much deserved boot.
We certainly hope that our calls to HR and emails to Lucent webmaster
concerning your promotion of criminality had some bearing on the
issue. All else
being equal, if it was a tossup between you and someone else I am sure
they kept the guy who doesn't promote federal law violations.
This will also give you some time to build more amplifiers. Cheers and
beers, Dennis...hope ya get a nice HV supply to say hello to ya
sometime.
SEEYA!
AntiKeyclowns own this NG!

"Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote in message
news:<3DAF15ED.430856D6@lucent.com>...
> Twistedhed wrote:
> >
> > From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> > Dennis W. Ostrowski wrote:
> > >Hey Dennis,
> > >An unrelated question. Have you ever >attempted, or know of any
> > information on what >to expect if one were to attempt to modify a >Texas
> > Star DX 600 or 667V to run on the 6 >meter band?
> > >A couple of the locals have some CB >equipment from the good old days,
> and they >are looking to "recycle" them.
> > >Good to see you're still around,
> > >Dave
> > >"Sandbagger"
> >
> > --
> >
> > You might have to shout and jump up and down to be heard..after all..you
> > are party to the trouble-posse that called Lucent to cry about his
> > posts. Socialist.
>
> Twist,
>
> In that case Dave should be happy, because this is my last
> post from Lucent.
>
> I was part of today's force reduction!
>
> I have made it through over 500 force reductions since the

> government decided to split up the telephone company back in
> 1983, and I thought that if I could make it through the next
> two force reductions I would finally be on easy street.
>
> Unfortunately, that did not happen.
>
> It's been a long run at 37-1/2 years here, and it's kind of
> scary thinking about the fact that I will be out of a job
> for the first time in my life!
>
> Dave,
>
> I don't like the DX667 vecause of the dirty single ended
> driver stage, but it whould work fine in 6 meters with a
> reduced output and higher input and output swrs.
>
> To everyone else on the newsgroup; it's been fun, even in
> the bad times, but everything has to come to an end sooner
> or later.
>
> Regards,
>
> Dennis O.

1908. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 17:05:16 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB03F4C.1AA3@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140687

JJ wrote:
>
> Twistedhed wrote:
> >
> > JJ wrote:
> > >People who have no concerns about operating >illegally are less likely
> > to be willing to solve >any interference problems.
> >
> > This interpretation comes from your hobby of understanding othes?
>
> What is 'othes'? Most hams will work with anyone about complaints,
> most illegal operators won't.
>
> >
> > >The mentality that operating illegally is OK is >the mentality that is
> > likely to be belligerent >toward anyone who complains about their
> > >interfering.
> >
> > The same can be said for those who anonymously cry "I'm a real hammie,
> > NOT a nocode".
> > The same can be said for those that just can't seem to abide by their
> > TOS set forth in their legally binding contract they agreed to.
>
> Who are you referring to now? Talk about anonymously crying, who
> are you twistieboy? Care to post a real name? When did I ever say
> someone who holds a nocode license was not a real ham? You seem to
> be the one hung up on that. Since you can't achieve even a nocode
> license you only recourse is to make fun and belittle anyone who
> can. Too bad, real pathetic.

JJ,

You have to understand something. In Twisty's little Walter Mitty world, he thinks that people who refute his reckless disregard for courtesy and adherence to laws and regulations, are all one and the same person, who have a multitude of accounts. He thinks that you're me, simply because we share much of the same viewpoint with regard to legal operations.

And somehow, he also thinks that posing an opposing view, and calling an idiot, an idiot, for espousing sociopathic ideals, is somehow against TOS rules for various ISP's. I dare say that he more than welcome to try that premise out on my ISP. I doubt they would want to risk a first amendment lawsuit, just to silence my opinion.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1909. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 17:15:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14580-3DB04195-339@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140695

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
JJ wrote:
>Hams never used breaker, I never heard that< >term until cb came along.
The word is break,< >one break if you just wanted to enter a<
>conversation or interrupt to make a< >point...break, break, break
denotes an< >urgency such as during a net operation an<
>you have urgent information for the net<. >Breaker breaker was never
ham lingo. That< >came strictly from cb.<
-
So what you're saying is cbers imitated hammie radio and improved on
their lingo?

--
>If you consider that an improvement, fine.<

YOU drew attention to the distinction, not I.

--
>Breaker breaker, ye got yur ears on thar< >shore nuff?<

I always have my ears on. I only key when I hear all my pals gettin' on
down with a click click or a bump bump!! Tin' Fo?

1910. twithed whines some more....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 17:22:25 UTC
From: anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b12afcb0.0210180922.720427c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140690

twithed@webtv.net (Twithed) whined like the gay prostitute he is
alleged to be in message
news:<7725-3DAF3D1A-245@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:7725-3DAD6654-21@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Seeems like the rec.radio.cb trouble posse named by "arron" of Lelnad C.
> Scott KC8LDO, Frank Gilliland, Dogie Adair N8WWM, and socialist hammie
> Dave Hall are having problems again obeying rules set forth.
> I don't have any problem Twisted.

WAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH! WAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH! Mean hammies got me
again....WAHHHHHHHHHH!!!!!!!

Twithed, why doncha get busy on that week 2 of vaction journal...Or
did Markie Beckie bribe you with a case of KY to leave out your time
with him?

1911. Re: Old Cb's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 17:25:47 UTC
From: Trainfan1 <LMSEARING@usadatanet.net>
Message-ID: <3db03e84_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140689

The Johnson 123A - negative to the case, fused positive to the one spade connector recessed on the back.

I've seen GM cb's made by Johnson and Browning.

Rob
*
*
*

"jason" <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:aoo1rmmsp1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> I got a box of what seem to be pretty old 23 channel cbs today, 3 that i
> really have questions about, got a Johnson messenger 123A, it has a very odd
> power hook up, anybody know how to hook up??
> Also got a Royce Gyro-Lock1-601,. it needs a mic id like to know the pin
> config on it if anyone happens to know it, and a GM 23M, has no mic, all of
> them seem to be working, just need power hook up info for the johnson and
> mic pin configs for the other 2, ive never heard of the Royce or the GM,
> couldnt find anything on the net, id appreciate any info,
>
> Thanks,
> Jason
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1912. Re: Which cb radio is the best out of these

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 17:28:14 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021018132814.10202.00000065@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 140691

they basicallly all the same radio, but they are have different features

Thatz-me-JP

1913. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 17:36:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14580-3DB046AF-342@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140694

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
JJ wrote:
>People who have no concerns about<
>operating illegally are less likely<
>to be willing to solve any interference< >problems.<

This interpretation comes from your hobby of understanding othes?

>What is 'othes'?<

A typo.....sometimes that occurs when one doesn't have to look at
that keys and type with their index finger.....or better yet, when
one is way beyond what you consider a serious cw act...15 wpm.

> Most hams will work with anyone about< >complaints, most illegal
operators won't.<
>The mentality that operating illegally is<
>OK is the mentality that is<
>likely to be belligerent toward anyone who< >complains about their<
>interfering.<

The same can be said for those who anonymously cry "I'm a real hammie,
NOT a nocode".
The same can be said for those that just can't seem to abide by their
TOS set forth in their legally binding contract they agreed to.

>Who are you referring to now?<

You in the first sentence.

>Talk about anonymously crying, <

Yes,,,,you felt it important enough to claim you are not a nocode. You
felt it imporatnt enough that you not be viewed as a noccode.
Anonymous crying.

>who are you twistieboy?<

Why do you care who I am?

>Care to post a real name?<

Ask Lelnad,,,,he claims to have it...my addy, too. Actually, they are
both in the archives if you're that enthralled with my personal business
(which you have a penchant for).

>When did I ever say someone who holds a<
> nocode license was not a real ham?<

Well, socialist Dave Halll (N3CVJ) is sharing his interpretation skills, and you have insisted many times you are not a nocode. It's important to you, you see.

>You seem to be the one hung up on that<

Only because the group that "Arron" named is half nocodes. My "hang-ups" wouldn't interest you.....wait..they probably would.....ok...here's one.....I have a serious problem with the playoff system in college football.

>Since you can't achieve even a nocode< >license<

Seer malady.

> you only recourse is to make fun and belittle< >anyone who can.<

Not anyone,, only those that bring their trash to my doorstep. It's not my fault that Lelnad and Dogie and Dave Hall and Frank Gilliland have serious internal problems with society as a whole.

>Too bad, real pathetic.<

Not at all. They all provide a perfect example of lids in hammie radio.

>As you state, the problem of interference< >from cbers and freebanders< >running illegal power, using modded rigs to< >overdrive splatter boxes< >has become so severe that a law has been< >passed to allow the local< >authorities to become involved. All brought to< >you by the twistieboy and timmy< >nebonehead types.<

I guarantee my base set-up is cleaner than you could ever hope to achieve.
That Seer malady is catching.....

>Really? You can guarantee it without< >knowing anything about my station.<

Certainly. Just as you can claim I can not achieve a nocode license. See how easy that was? Did that assist you in that dilemma you're having? HAhhahahahahha!

> Really amazing.<

Not at all....all mere opinion...conjecture....guessing...and it pizzes you off.

> I operate legally, can you say that?<

Absolutely. I can honestly say each and every time I turn on a certain rig I operate legally....most of the time. DX on cb is an obvious exception, as is freebanding between 10 and 11....but yes, I operate legally at least once each and every time I power up.

1914. Re: Nicad charger question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 17:36:54 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <nIqdnezCSLQW2y2gXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 140692

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3db02598_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> I use a car headlight !
> Dave!
>

You're being mean to your batteries as well.
Don't take my word for it, ask ANY manufacturer, sanyo, GP, anyone.

1915. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 17:40:23 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <8oWcnavy4Y3B2i2gXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 140693

Up to this point, I'm with you.

> Now since the antenna is the most important part of any radio
> transmitter, wouldn't it be better to make the best possible antenna
> before pumping up the power? And if these "engineers" are as good as
> they claim, why can't they construct a mobile antenna with a very
> narrow beam, something on the order of 20 dB or more? Seems that would
> be money well spent...

On 27 MHz, that's not going to go down the interstate without a police
escort.
Ye canna change the lars o' physics.

> And who needs a license, anyway? With just a computer w/soundcard and
> some free software you can hear Part 15 stations hundreds to thousands
> of miles away. And building a Part 15 transmitter is significantly
> less expensive and labor-intensive as a decked-out multi-kilowatt amp.

??

1916. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 17:43:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14580-3DB04833-344@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140701

Socialist Dave Hall wrote:
JJ,
You have to understand something. In Twisty's little Walter Mitty world, he thinks that people who refute his reckless disregard for courtesy and adherence to laws and regulations, are all one and the same person, who have a multitude of accounts.

Your seer malady has failed you each and every time.

>He thinks that you're me, <

There's those interpretations we all love.......

>simply because we share much of the same >viewpoint with regard to legal operations.
>And somehow, he also thinks that posing an >opposing view, and calling an idiot, an idiot, >for espousing sociopathic ideals, is somehow >against TOS rules for various ISP's.

Not at all. Ask "Arron" why he lost another account. It has nothing to do with what I believe...hahhahhahahhahah!

>I dare say<

I'll agree with that. You saying anything at this point in your crippled image is surely taking a chance.

>that he more than welcome to try that premise
>out on my ISP.

LMAO! Send me an email,. You are pizzed, aincha Davey Boy?
HAhahhahahahhahahahahahhahhahhah!

>I doubt they would want to risk a first >amendment lawsuit, just to silence my >opinion.....
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Kinda early to be into the li' brown jug, ain't it Davey Boy? Your daughter is coming for her weekend visit...better not smell of liquor when the ex-drops her off.

1917. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 17:44:58 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14580-3DB0489A-345@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140700

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
In <3DAFF739.35B1@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
wrote:
<snip>
I'm interested in this soundcard, part 15 thing. What's that all about?
-
http://home.att.net/~weatheradio/part15.htm (big page)
http://www.lwca.org/
http://www.vicon.net/~aketner/lofer.htm (more links)
-
Thank you!
Dave Hall
N3CVJ
Practicing Socialist
"Sandbagger"

What a marriage.

1918. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 18:00:46 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <6WOdnQMtN_S-0S2gXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 140696

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021018084013.13091.00002413@mb-mk.aol.com...
> wow i hope you never try to work a net on 75 meters. those ham rigs can
get
> expensive throwing them out like that

My FT-847 is secure. :)

I've seen some amazing BS on the ham bands too, though VERY rare.
Back in LA, we had the W6FXN repeater. This machine hosts the seismic
precursor net.
A bunch of hobbyists, who at the time, were the main source of immediate
information on quake location data. We also handled a lot of freeway
accidents etc as you might imagine.

There was this one ham, XE2TCQ (mexico) who decided that our repeater output
freq, was "his" channel. The story I heard was that their government had
auth'd him to put up a repeater on this freq. Anyway, this guy would
routinely interfere with us, even during emergencies. At one point, I heard
him talking about the emergency we were working, so there's no doubt he knew
what he was doing. This went on for years. At one point, he almost took me
out, as I was calling in a pileup on an onramp. I had gotten most of the
info through to another op with a base and a phone, but had not yet told
them that it was on a blind curve.. Then TCQ comes in again, and almost
prevented me from getting that through. I had visions of CHP and ambulances
piling right into the accident, because by the time they could see it, it
would be too late. Fortunately, this being ham radio, and 2M FM, I had my
160W mirage brick inline, and I just went up to full power to complete the
call. "Burn-through" is what the military calls it. It took a lot of power
and antenna gain for him to mess with us, but all it took for us to get
through was just a bit more.
The inverse square law is your friend. :)

We were never able to get any enforcement action on it, because of the
cross-border problem.
We had hours of tape, we had him identifying, talking about the traffic he
was jamming, and talking about how he was jamming us deliberately.
From his point of view, we were interfering with him, since he was
authorized, and our signals were showing up on "his" frequency.
However, deliberate interference is always wrong, and deliberately
interfering with an emergency is just beyond imagination, as far as I'm
concerned. No help from TASMA, no help from the FCC, no hope of help from
the Mexican government... :-P

To top it off, I heard this guy worked as an air traffic controller down
there.

I don't fly into mexico much. :)

1919. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 18:01:56 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <kHKdnfoQE5b10S2gXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 140697

> Since you mentioned it, what state do you live in and which state were
> you licensed in?

Now I'm in Indiana (Muncie) I got my CB ticket in Hawaii, KABO3703, (just missed out on a 3x4) and ham ticket in LA.

1920. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 18:03:58 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021018140358.05773.00002257@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 140698

what in the world are u guys talking about!?!?!?

Thatz-me-JP

1921. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 18:04:34 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021018140434.05773.00002258@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 140699

whats up with this NS?
we need to get some ppl in here that are nice, please someone kick me in the buttttt!!!

Thatz-me-JP

1922. Hurricane-proof Hammie Room Built in Fla...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 18:31:03 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12365-3DB05367-57@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140702

Taken from the online edition of the Jupiter Courier at
http://www.JupiterCourier.com

RANDALL MURRAY staff writer
David Baker, an employee of Advanced Communications Services of Deerfield Beach, works to tie together complex systems in Emergency Operations Center of Tequesta's new Public Safety Building on Tequesta Drive.

A building made for the future
Tequesta Public Safety Building nears opening
By Randall Muray staff writer
October 7, 2002
Gold-colored shovels broke into the soil to the sounds of cheers and applause less than a year ago, launching construction of Tequesta's ambitious new Public Safety Building.
Today Village officials and members of the police and fire-rescue departments are gearing up to take occupancy of the $3.9 million, 20,292-square-foot facility that will be dedicated Oct. 19 -- 10 days short of a year after groundbreaking.
The new building, designed and built with direct guidance from Fire-Rescue Chief James Weinand and Police Chief Stephen Allison, will free police officers from makeshift quarters in a shopping center, and fire-rescue personnel from the cramped building adjacent to the new structure. The old station will be torn down later this year.
Last week, Weinand and Allison offered a guided tour of the new facility.
At the heart of the building is a hurricane-hardened Emergency Operations Center that is built to withstand a Category 5 hurricane with winds up to 155 mph. The rest of the building is built to withstand a Category 3 storm.
The EOC is a 4,000-square-foot, self-contained unit that can operate without outside electrical power for two weeks, thanks to a huge generator and a 4,000-gallon diesel fuel tank. The roof above the EOC is made of five inches of poured concrete with heavy steel reinforcement. Thick metal doors lock tightly to protect those inside from what's going on outside.
Inside the hardened shell dispatchers and others will be able to handle just about any disaster with high-tech communications and monitoring equipment. The center also has its own electrical, mechanical and air-conditioning systems, as well as bathroom and kitchen facilities for storm-bound employees.
Under the removable floor, covered by "peel and stick" carpeting, are hidden electrical outlets and dataports to be used in emergency. In normal times, however, "you'd never know they were there," said Weinand.

Four plasma monitors and a touch-sensitive wall screen to record happenings in Tequesta during a major emergency will help officials keep in touch with what's happening outside -- and with neighboring emergency services.

There is serious duplication in communications systems, Allison pointed out. A priority phone line will allow up to 28 calls to come in at one time. If that should fail, cell phone and satellite phone systems are available.

"And if all else fails," said Weinand, "We have a Ham radio room." Tequesta has an active group of Ham (amateur radio) operators. If needed, a 45-foot telescoping antenna can be raised on the roof.

Pointing to the focus on electronics, Weinand said, "There's 15 miles of low-voltage wiring in this building."

At present, the Tequesta Police Department is housed in a Countyline Plaza storefront. Security is a major concern for Allison. In the new building, suspects will be driven into the structure through a sallyport, with an automated garage door. Locks to provide access to the interior of the police department will not release until the sallyport door is completely closed.

Another security measure is afforded possible juvenile suspects who can be moved into a holding cell area without being exposed to adult prisoners.

Included in the police portion of the building, which is at the rear of the structure, are offices for administrators, weapons storage, a secure evidence locker area, "fuming booth" that helps discern fingerprints, an open file system that maximizes space, conference room and more.

Security, whether from a natural disaster or intrusion by unwanted people, is at the top of the list of priorities for the new building. And security starts at the front door. A receptionist inside a booth can govern entry into the building. Those who enter talk with the receptionist via a two-way comm system, and the reception area is monitored by security cameras. Any materials that need to be exchanged go through a secure pass-through.

Fire-rescue personnel are on duty 24 hours a day. For night shifts, eight individual bunkrooms are provided -- including two designed for tall people.

The apparatus room -- where the fire-rescue vehicles are parked -- offers more than enough space for today's equipment as well as projected room for additional, larger vehicles in the future. At present Tequesta has two rescue vehicles, a ladder truck, a pumper truck -- with another pumper to be added early next year, and a utility truck.

And when the alarm sounds and firefighters jump into those vehicles to respond to a call, they'll roll safely down the inclined ramp onto Tequesta Drive. Weinand changed the design from a concrete surface to heavy-duty brick pavers.

"That's a pretty good slope," Weinand said, "And we were worried that when the concrete got wet, our vehicles might slide onto Tequesta Drive." Hence the rough-surface pavers -- which also are less costly than the concrete drive.

In working with architect Gee & Jensen of West Palm Beach and general

contractor David Brooks Enterprises of Palm Beach Gardens, Weinand said he was looking not simply at today's needs, but what the Village would require many years down the road.
Allison responded to some criticism in the village that the building is too massive for a community the size of Tequesta. "You have to consider that 20 percent of it is shared by both departments, and also it's an administrative center. We've tried very hard to maximize efficiency. There is no wasted space here."
Said Weinand, "Everybody in Tequesta will be proud of this building for a very long time."
randall.murray@scripps.com

1923. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 18:34:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12363-3DB0543E-135@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140703

>whats up with this NS?
>we need to get some ppl in here that are nice, >please someone kick me
in the buttttt!!!
>   Thatz-me-JP

Hang in there, JP. It's just the fallout from Aaron and boys attempting
a little damage control...a few boys rocked their world this week and
you know how they get when things don't go their way as planned........

1924. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 18:43:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12362-3DB05641-363@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140704

From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
Since you mentioned it, what state do you live in and which state were
you licensed in?

Now I'm in Indiana (Muncie) I got my CB ticket in Hawaii, KABO3703,
(just missed out on a 3x4) and ham ticket in LA.

Hey, right on....I have camped near Deer Creek in Noblesville, used to
be a bunch of good guys on the air there on channel 23.....Farmer's
Almanac is calling for an unusually cold winter this year....

1925. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 19:51:58 UTC
From: real radios glow in the dark <4cx5000a@bigtubesrock.com>
Message-ID: <afp0ruoqb2642eft2d5bd8743kstl9st8g@4ax.com>
Source record: 140705

Excuse the interuption...

But there was NO posting by Voobner in the amps@contesting.com mailing list... I am on that list and he hasn't posted at all... I read the digest everyday.

that is all..

-Chuck

A Real Tube
http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
A Real Amp
http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html

1926. Re: WTB: The True story on the D&A Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 19:54:39 UTC
From: real radios glow in the dark <4cx5000a@bigtubesrock.com>
Message-ID: <3kp0ruk42c4ic4us9kfjpqoe6hol0fnf7r@4ax.com>
Source record: 140706

This thread continues, but yet, nobody answered my question...

and to you Tim Blowboy... fuck you, nigger loving bitch... with so
many White Women in this world, you gotta be fucking a Nigger Bitch...
what's wrong with you Boy?

"Wake up White People!"

A Real Tube
http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
A Real Amp
http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html

1927. Re: Electronics Boring - Try New Legal Hallucinogen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 20:08:16 UTC
From: kcsmiley@fastmail.fm
Message-ID: <f2e1588b.0210181208.5d96a092@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140707

I went to that web site and ordered some, it was increadible. I cannot believe that they can sell this stuff like that. It completely knocked me out into some other netherland place where the colors and shapes were something like I have never seen in my life. I forgot who I was or that I had even lived the life I now have. I became someone else for a few moments. I actually went to some other place for 20 minutes.

1928. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 20:17:58 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210181217.37d0bd17@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140708

4cx5000a@bigtubesrock.com wrote
>Excuse the interuption...
>
>But there was NO posting by Voobner in the amps@contesting.com
mailing
>list... I am on that list and he hasn't posted at all... I read the
>digest everyday.
>
>
>that is all..
>
>-Chuck

Patience, Chuck.

-A

Date: Thu, 17 Oct 2002 23:26:02 -0400
Subject: Your message to Amps awaits moderator approval
From: amps-admin@contesting.comï¿½
To: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com

Your mail to 'Amps' with the subject

Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Is being held until the list moderator can review it for approval.

The reason it is being held:

Post by non-member to a members-only list

Either the message will get posted to the list, or you will receive
notification of the moderator's decision.

1929. Re: Electronics Boring - Try New Legal Hallucinogen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 20:33:59 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210181233.20b7552a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140709

kcsmiley@fastmail.fm (Bodhisat) wrote
> It completely knocked me out into some other netherland place

It sent you to Holland?

-A

1930. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 20:41:48 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <TtKcncYH_JWQ7y2gXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 140710

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DAFF739.35B1@worldlynx.net...
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
> >
> > A few weeks ago I think it was Timmy that was defending the
> > engineering prowness of the illegal amp techs, saying something along
> > the lines of "they are doing more with less". Like using 96 RF
> > transistors to accomplish kilowatts of power. This statement really
> > stuck in my head because of it's unique contradiction:
> >
> > For example, if the purpose of 'more power' is to get better
> > transmission distance, wouldn't it make more sense to make the signal
> > more efficient as well? After all, 75% of AM power is wasted. The
> > FIRST thing to do would be to use SSB, or even CW, instead of AM.
> >
> > Now since the antenna is the most important part of any radio
> > transmitter, wouldn't it be better to make the best possible antenna
> > before pumping up the power? And if these "engineers" are as good as
> > they claim, why can't they construct a mobile antenna with a very
> > narrow beam, something on the order of 20 dB or more? Seems that would
> > be money well spent...
> >
> > Also, how much power is really needed to make contact with the other
> > station? Instead of cranking up the power to 10 kW, why not use a
> > different band? Or a repeater? IOW, why spend thousands of dollars on
> > a super-duper mobile broadcast station when all you really need to do
> > is to spend a few bucks for a ham license and some cheap equipment?
>
> Because they can.
>
> > And who needs a license, anyway? With just a computer w/soundcard and
> > some free software you can hear Part 15 stations hundreds to thousands
> > of miles away. And building a Part 15 transmitter is significantly
> > less expensive and labor-intensive as a decked-out multi-kilowatt amp.
>
> I'm interested in this soundcard, part 15 thing. What's that all about?
>
> > So who is really doing "more with less"?
>
> The "more with less" is really a joke. They're not doing that. But what
> they are doing is engaging in the RF version of "extreme sports". Making
> contacts and maintaining reliable communication is not the game they
> play. It's the king of the hill, The boss with the big hot sauce (Extra
> points if you can tell me the first well known person who used that

> expression), the guy with the biggest "gun".

Dave none other than jerry Blavat the geater with the heater, the Boss with the hot sauce

1931. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 20:52:16 UTC
From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <194387a3.0210181252.129f35b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140711

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021018094854.06895.00008995@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> your asking for opinions on something he never implied.

No, I'm asking for opinions on what he wrote. And if what he wrote
implies anything it is up to the reader to interpret.

> all he said is there
> are alot of hams using high power. even jj agreed and he says he's been a ham
> for over 40 years. you may nit pick what "alot of hams" means all day if you'd
> like to but it doesnt change the fact that there are many hams that own big
> amps.

"What many hams own" is not the issue, Tim, it's "what many hams do"
(although they may be interrelated). Skipp said that it was a fact
that many hams operate illegal high power. He inferrred that these
hams could be found on a certain list server. So now I want to confirm
his facts. What is your beef?

> you decide for yourself if they fall under the same human charecteristics
> as normal people do or not just because they have aham license. i can think of
> 3 ameritron amps right now that will produce more than the legal limit
> and that
> doesnt include the henry with the 3cx3000 tube or the QRO line of amps or the
> alphas. now do you think every ham operator is perfect and never tempted to
> take it over 1,500?

I honestly don't know. And I don't know why you object to the question
being asked in a ham forum. What's wrong with asking the questions?
Are you afraid of the possible answers? Ya never know, hundreds of
hams might respond to my question by saying they operate illegally and
the FCC is a joke. That could really give a boost to your side. Worth
a try, doncha think?

-Aaron-

>
> >
> >Notice I am not telling them I think Skipp is right or wrong, I am
> >merely asking for opinions.
> >
> >Do you understand now, or do you need some additional comprehension
> >aids?
> >
> >-Aaron-
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

1932. Re: Electronics Boring - Try New Legal Hallucinogen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 21:00:20 UTC
From: "Alan S." <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92AB8E7864CDDrrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 140712

aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in
news:194387a3.0210181233.20b7552a@posting.google.com:

> kcsmiley@fastmail.fm (Bodhisat) wrote
>> It completely knocked me out into some other netherland place
>
> It sent you to Holland?
>
> -A

I still say, just stay awake for a few days if you really feel the need to
alter your consciousness. It's free, too.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

1933. Re: amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 21:14:35 UTC
From: Scott <scott@ovis.net>
Message-ID: <_Q_r9.427$Hj7.330@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 140713

http://www.hyelectronics.com/
Look for 10 meter amps on the left. I am running the Texas Star 350 with a Galaxy 99 on the AM side. I get really good output with this amp. In fact my swr is 1.2 on the high side of the amp. And on the low side it runs right at 1.0
Look at it this way speeding is illegal too, but we all do it!

Scott

"Dan Lagace" <anthrax@takethisout.dotslash.ca> wrote in message news:mSFq9.1429$Zv3.308911@news20.bellglobal.com...
> I'm looking for something to boost my signal strength and RX/TX range. I was
> told i needed a linear amp. Who makes decent ones? How do they work?
> Recommend any websites I could read up on?
>
> DL
>
>

1934. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 21:45:39 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <rR6dnbD6d9ZKHS2gXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 140714

Hey, right on....I have camped near Deer Creek in Noblesville, used to be a bunch of good guys on the air there on channel 23.....Farmer's Almanac is calling for an unusually cold winter this year....

I hope so, I like snow!

1935. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 22:02:47 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021018180247.15270.00009334@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 140715

10kw?Sounds like a driver.They are running steel 10 times that.LOL

1936. Re: Debunking the spectrum disinformation spread here...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 22:47:55 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db0849d.1569481@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140716

In <7725-3DB03347-353@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>,
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:

>http://www.reed.com/dprframeweb/dprframe.asp?section=openspec
>
>

Not a bad site. I tend to agree with what I read. His "open spectrum"
policy is based upon the emerging technologies in systems architecture
in the face of an anticipated boom in wireless network communications.

His focus is on networked radio communications systems, which require
much more bandwidth than can be provided the whole of the HF spectrum.
If you read further, he also addresses the physical limitations of
spectrum useage (i.e, antennas) making HF somewhat undesireable for
modern network communications. Now this is hardly relevant to CB,
amateur, and even broadcast radio and television services, where each
station is operated independantly and spectrum allocations are well
established. His 'open spectrum' concept is intended to supplant the
current "spectrum-for-auction" method of allocation used for the
wireless network services.

So the only thing that has been debunked here is you, Twist. Next time
you want to quote a site, try reading it first.

1937. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 22:53:56 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db08ff1.4469796@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140717

In <8oWcnavy4Y3B2i2gXTWcqQ@comcast.com>, "Dave VanHorn"
<dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:

>
>Up to this point, I'm with you.
>
>> Now since the antenna is the most important part of any radio
>> transmitter, wouldn't it be better to make the best possible antenna
>> before pumping up the power? And if these "engineers" are as good as
>> they claim, why can't they construct a mobile antenna with a very
>> narrow beam, something on the order of 20 dB or more? Seems that would
>> be money well spent...
>
>On 27 MHz, that's not going to go down the interstate without a police
>escort.
>Ye canna change the lars o' physics.

"Physics" used to dictate that the world was flat, and that if you
travelled faster than 100 mph you would never slow down. Nevertheless,
if these 'engineers' are so good at doing "more with less", let's see
them make a 'more' efficient antenna in 'less' space!

1938. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 23:41:03 UTC
From: Be a Boobner Lap Dog or think for yourself <voobnerisafakename@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aoq66f$5r7$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140718

: Aaron H. Voobner <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote:
a guy using a fake name...

[The original posted question is just below]

: Subject: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?
:>This may be a silly question,but...if HAMS are
:>legally allowed to use 1500 watts (,just as c.b.ers
:>are allowed to use 5 watts a.m.) how can you tell if a
:>HAM is using more than allowed ?
:>Does this ever happen ?

: A few replies came from licensed hams who posted their
: callsigns and assured the poster that yes, it does

Callsigns are not required for the truth... nor are they required to
answer a question. One is rather foolish to post personal information on
the web.

: indeed happen, but illegal high power operation is by
: no means representative of the mainstream of amateur
: radio. In other words, compared to the vast majority
: of hams, high-power illegal operators are considered
: an unwanted minority.

Words like "most" and "many" were thrown out by various people. Boobner
wants a specific number attached to "many," I felt safe asigning 100 to
200 or more people to "many." You ok with calling 100 plus people
"many?"

Much of the "mainstream" poop is boobner generated material. The orignal
text as shown above mentions nothing about comarisons to the mainstream.
If you search back through the threads, you'll see mr fake name boobner
start to throw it out as a way to generate off topic diversions. Classic
issue dodge techniques when you have little background in the area...
The news group would be better served if mr boobner could state facts as
he knows them and provide supporting technical/factual material. At this
time, nothing of substance has been revealed from boobners posts. Just a
lot of cannon fodder...

: There was however one poster who disagreed. He
: identifies himself as ham named "skipp" (no callsign,
: no last name). Please take a moment to read through
: some excerpts of this person's advice to CB'ers.

All of a sudden I'm "one poster..?" Well, I've been around for some time,
many of you contact me off the news group, some are friends of various

degree and before spam set in so heavy (recent times), I would even post my reply Email address. To thwart spam, I often post a web url which has direct email icons to me. Even mr boobner has emailed me direct once or twice in the past. My advise was not limited to CB'ers... it works pretty well for all people. I put no restrictions on the facts.

... and
A posted Email address, callsign or a last name do nothing for the topic. They do provide a reliable daily source of unwanted Spam and Emails with virus' attached. Since I've stopped posting the direct Email reply address with my comments, the spam has reduced 10 dB. Well worth the trouble and people still reach me through the mentioned web server Email icons.

Moving along...
Please notice how boobner places portions of previous text, taken out of context to try and place a poorly done off topic smoke screen. Onward...

: Skipp says...
: There are many Hams and CB'ers that run power in
: excess of the legal limit.

Anyone not agree with the above...?

: Hams in excess of the number 200 that I have first
: hand knowlege of. Many are good friends of mine spread
: through out the world. CB'ers..? Oh, I'd be willing
: to say more than... 200 just for your answer. Hope

Notice how he's pasted the above into a few lines that are well out of context. But for the sake of his sinking ship... lets review.

I know many CB'ers and Hams, a number in excess of 200 that enjoy the subject of rf amplifiers & high powered rf amplifiers. Many are home brew builders, some buy them, some convert them from and to various applications. Some operate them legal, some do not...

Some use them in legal non amateur applications, ie broadcast and research applications. There are legal applications for very high power rf amplifiers.

Talking about the science of high power rf amplifier building and operation is very interesting to me and the hundreds of other people who frequent news groups and a popular list server. Most of the people in these groups would not waste nearly as much time on a boobner rebuttal as I have done... but his poop needs to be clearly pointed out for the person who honestly asked the original questions and the readers of the follow up reply posts.

: that's enough to make you happy. Various methods and
: experience were used to base my statements. A
: calibrated S-meter is one easily reproduced method to
: make a comparison. My transceiver has one as most

: do...

I state that it is possible to make relative measurements of a stations
power level using some logic, reference comparisons and experience...
nothing more.

People flew in from around the group to take me to task over the
statement. I explained in an actual example of how I might do
said. For some reason, many (that's more than 2 for you boobner) tried to
take me to task over S-Meter calibration, which is not that big of a deal,
nor is it that hard to do. Lets continue that on a seperate thread if you
burn to debate or go over it again.

My radio still has one S-Meter, as most still do. That number has not
changed that I know about. Your results may vary...

: Power levels well in excess of 2000 watts were clearly
: demonstrated to many of us in a well known web group.
: I've mentioned it before right on rec.radio.cb

I'm not sure what the above does for boobners point, but 2000 watts is
conservative compared to amplifier examples I've seen and heard on the
bands. Flip on an HF radio and listen for yourself.

: These people chime in from all over the world...

It's a rather large one... "world" that is.

: skipp
: http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

Notice the web url... if you visit the server, please note the Email
icons near the bottom of many (that's more than 2, boobner) pages. Hey,
you really can reach me direct. What a concept...

: Later in the same thread...
: Skipp says....
: -----

: Most hams are not capable of running twice the legal
: power... a small percentage have large amplifiers
: which have 8 to 13 dB of gain. Many... ie as in a
: significant number build and operate amplifiers of >13
: dB of gain. I guess the hundreds members of the list
: server group I frequent are "just a few." Web sites
: abound with pictures of these well built amplifiers.
: You guys haven't been it the real world for some
: time... get off the decafe..

So what is wrong with the above...? Most off the shelf legal amplifiers
probably don't do twice the auth limit... but some do push the envelope. I
would feel safe to say that most hams dont even have large
legal amplifiers.

One list server group specializing in High Power RF Amplifiers that I frequent easily has more than a few hundred members. Boobner seems hell bent to have me post the web url when I mention that it is easily found via a google web search. So when he does find it... what will he do..? report the members to the fcc for talking about amplifiers...? Seems he has a hard enough job keeping the lapdogs in check on rec.radio.cb

: Then in...

(I cut the locations out, too long. If you burn to see the original text, review boobners first post in this thread for the location)

: Skipp says...

: My guess of greater than 100 amplifiers is more than
: modestly low, made
: for him only. Anyone actually operating real radios
: knows that number is
: unrealistic on the low side.

And...? whats your point boobner..? You were going on about a number, I threw out a low guess number of 100 plus amplifiers just to get you to move on to something more substantial. You want to go off topic so much in your diatribes, I have to do something to get you out of your rut.

How about I fatten that number up to 200..? feel better..? Now get on with your stories. Anyone on the hf bands knows there are an easy 100 or two hundred stations who can run serious gas amplifiers. Many are Hams... many are CB'ers lest we stray from the original ham question. I feel safe to write there are more than 200 hams with serious gas amplifiers operating in the HF bands.

: If your interested in the truth, pick up a magazine or
: search the web...
: I'll sleep well if you don't belive me...

I honestly sleep well regardless... but what one reads in CQ, QST and similar mags is a very small number of hams cited for high power operation. I do enjoy reading about the occassional Texan Ham bust story where the guy has a pair of 3cx10000a7 tubes loafing along. Trouble is, he was stupid to use it for jamming.

: The point of this entire thread on the original topic
: was to let the
: posting person know that hams can and do operate
: amplifiers over the
: legal limit. They are a relative number, but probably
: more than one
: would think exist.
: Some get caught, most don't.
: cheers
: skipp

I still stand by the above statement... now lets cut through more boobner poop.

: -----

: My question is, are "skipp's" claims accurate? Do they
: represent the majority views of amateur radio
: operators? Do they represent the majority views of
: licensed amateurs frequenting this list?
: You may email me or post your comments to the list.
: Either way I thank you for your cooperation.
: Sincerely,
: A.H. Voobner
: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com

Anyone with a bit of common sense could easily do the homework for themselves. I hope each person makes up their own mind rather than listen to your head in the sand diatribe.

I would say that the opinions of the Amateur Majority about high power amplifiers would not be made based on first hand experience. The majority of licensed Amateurs in general are pretty clueless about really high powered amplifiers, their actual constuction and operation.

Most hams dont even own large legal amplifiers. It would be silly to qualify opinions from people who have little actual current hands on experience on the subject... ie the majority of hams on and off the news groups or list servers.

There are many who have first hand high power amplifier experience, both legal and the converse. There full names, callsigns and contact are not required to support the truth. Most all would probably tell boobner to take a hike...

You want soft pedaled poopy answers, get them from boobner. You want to use what I provide to do your own homework... enjoy. I also answer technical questions as time allows. Make up your own mind based on what you believe is good information.

If you don't believe what you read, ask more questions to nail down the facts. Fact is... Voobner is not boobners real last name. He's a fake hiding behind a yahoo Email address.

cheers
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1939. Re: heathkit amps any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-18 23:48:47 UTC
From: Skipp is here <getajob@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aoq6kv$5r7$2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140719

: brian <y40002000NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:
: I have noticed lots of sb200 and sb220 heathkits for sale on ebay, at what
: seems to be a reasonable price. Are they any good? I know the 220 has 2
: 500z tubes but what about the sb200?

A refurbished SB-220 is one of the best dollar value amplifiers around.
Lots of well designed bang for the buck... one of the best dollar per
watt amplifiers ever made if not the...

The SB-200 is popular and also pretty well done...

cheers
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1940. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 00:22:29 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db0a525.666734@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140720

In <aoq66f$5r7$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Be a Boobner Lap Dog or think for yourself <voobnerisafakename@hotmail.com> wrote:

<snip Skippy whining about Voobner's lack of facts>

Hey Skippy, you have a couple questions outstanding yourself: What services between 25 and 34MHz are allowed to manufacture and market power RF amplifiers? And what make/model of television used the 6146 as a sweep tube?

1941. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 00:58:22 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DB0AE2E.888D9326@mailcity.com>
Source record: 140728

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> i dont believe you are a ham but if you insist you insist....no matter what
> your still a dick lol

I really don't care if you believe I hold an amateur radio license
or not, but as I have stated before, I was a ham long before your
mommy was changing your poopie diapers...no matter, you're
(contraction for *your are*, not *your* which is possessive as in
that is your name) still stupid and operate illegally.

1942. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 00:59:56 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB0AE8C.61DED2E7@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140729

"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:
>
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote
> >Be a Boobner Lap Dog or think for yourself wrote:
> (snip)
> >
> >Hey Skippy, you have a couple questions outstanding yourself:
> >What services between 25 and 34MHz are allowed to
> >manufacture and market power RF amplifiers? And what
> >make/model of television used the 6146 as a sweep tube?
>
> I have even more questions: like why skipp needs to call people
> derogatory names when they ask him to verify his "facts".

Like how to properly tune an amplifier, he still hasn't responded
to that one.

1943. Re: heathkit amps any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 01:07:23 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021018210723.15758.00002628@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 140721

Power supply needs to be up graded on the 220's and 221's.Needs a Peter Dahl transformer and cap upgrade.

1944. question about amplifire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 01:57:45 UTC
From: Bob Moore <moorerl@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <t_2s9.195$L_2.61989951@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 140732

A friend is looking for a place the he can sell two amps. Can he do that here or does some one know of an swap page that will take them? you can email me direct or post here.

--
Bob Moore

1945. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 01:57:56 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <cHidnVApgstrJi2gXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 140722

> >On 27 MHz, that's not going to go down the interstate without a police
> >escort.
> >Ye canna change the lars o' physics.
>
> "Physics" used to dictate that the world was flat

No, that was religious dicta, and "common sense".
The greeks worked it out, and had a pretty good estimate of the diameter long ago.

> and that if you travelled faster than 100 mph you would never slow down.

Never heard that one.

> Nevertheless,
> if these 'engineers' are so good at doing "more with less", let's see
> them make a 'more' efficient antenna in 'less' space!

Engineering is the art of compromise.
To get gain, you have to compromise directivity, or size.

Typically, on a mobile antenna, you don't want directivity in the horizontal plane.
You can compress the vertical radiation angle, but that makes for a taller antenna.
Everything here is in terms of wavelengths, so at 27 MHz, everything is neccessarily large.
If we were to print the antenna on a substrate with high dielectric constant, we could reduce the physical size, but guess what.. As the physical size goes down, so does the capture area, and gain shrinks again.

The best thing I think you can really get to, is a pair of antennas, properly co-phased (and mounted 1/4 wave apart instead of the 2-3' that I routinely see on the road) If these antennas are built for minimal vertical radiation angle, and supressed vertical lobe, then you're throwing most of your power up and down the road, where it will likely do you some good.

The doubled capture area is worth almost 3dB by itself!

Maybe you could mount a pair of directors (we're approaching a mobile cubical quad here..) but now we're talking about four antennas, mounted about 9' apart in a square. This would suppress power in the rear, and add that to the front, for roughly another 3dB.

Given that an excellent receive preamp is about the size of a pack of cigarettes, and can be mounted anywhere, it seems a lot more practical than the rolling flyrod store approach. :)

You might look into fractal antennas, they do offer another way to compromise.

1946. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 02:17:30 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021018221730.15371.00010106@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 140723

i dont believe you are a ham but if you insist you insist....no matter what
your still a dick lol

>
>You're a real piece of work timmyboy. Your and twistieboy and been
>insisting that I probably am not a ham, asking me to prove it by
>giving my callsign, claiming that what I say here means nothing,
>but now I say something you may agree with and for that my word is
>good. So I guess as long as I agree with you and twistybrain, then
>everything is cool, but disagree and my word is nothing.
>
>
>
>
>
>

1947. Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 02:33:00 UTC
From: Dan <nezor@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <wv3s9.2069$071.167077@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 140724

Please forgive my lack of knowledge on this subject, I'm looking for advice on what to do about some RF interference my televison set is picking up. I'm fairly certain it's a CB user; he identifies himself with a handle (Albert Einstein), and usually asks for a radio check ("Check, check") when he begins to transmit. It's about the same time every night.

I've searched the archives of this newsgroup for complaints similar to mine, and found the following information:

RF interference can be caused by someone illegally boosting their signal beyond FCC-mandated limits.

RF interference can also come from poorly shielded home electronics equipment picking up unwanted signals.

With those facts in mind, I believe it's him running a linear amplifier, a "power mike", or he's messed with the limiters for his signal control. The reasons I think so are as follows:

1. I can only hear his half of the conversation (amazingly loud and clear, mind you), but it sounds as though he's conversing with people across the entire country - he's based in St. Louis, Missouri, probably very close to me, and he regularly addresses people in California, Tennessee, and other locales well outside the normal operating range of CB equipment. For example, -click- "I hear ya, Eastern Tennesee, this is Albert Einstein, St. Louis, Missouri" -click-

2. I live within two miles of a major highway where truckers make up about 30% of all traffic. There's another, smaller highway even closer, less than a mile away, that also sees its fair share of big rigs. If my television is poorly shielded enough to pick up legal CB transmissions, surely I'd be picking up a lot more chatter than just one person. I only hear Albert, and he is quite loud and very clear.

3. The impression I get from his general banter is that he's not very considerate of others; for example, tonight it sounded like he was "keying over" someone else, and berating them for not speaking English.

4. I'm not the only one having problems. I spoke to one of my neighbors, who indicated that she regularly hears this person while talking on the phone.

So, is it reasonable to assume that the problem is not with my television, but with the CB user's setup?

Also: I tried calling the FCC to ask them about it - that was a laugh. My local law enforcement was no better, but they quite rightly pointed out that it was something they'd have to refer to the FCC, even if they knew who was

doing it. They suggested I try to find out who it is.

I've got some time after class tomorrow, I believe I'll drive around the blocks surrounding mine looking for huge or strange-looking anntenas. Could it be someone using an antenna indoors? If so, I doubt I'll find him that way.

--
Dan
Don't send mail to the address listed, your message
will not be read by anyone but Dave Null.

1948. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 02:42:08 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210181842.674a55a8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140725

ï¿½yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote
>Be a Boobner Lap Dog or think for yourself wrote:
(snip)
>
>Hey Skippy, you have a couple questions outstanding yourself:
>What services between 25 and 34MHz are allowed to
>manufacture and market power RF amplifiers? And what
>make/model of television used the 6146 as a sweep tube?

I have even more questions: like why skipp needs to call people
derogatory names when they ask him to verify his "facts". Schoolyard
taunts detract from his credibility. Also I'd be interested to hear
his creative excuses for keeping an 8,000 watt HF amplifier around the
house.

-Aaron-

--

From: Skip May (mays@indigo)
Subject: FS: Serious HF Amplifier, for Serious Buyer Only, please.
Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap
Date: 1996/08/20

Hello there,
Please respond to me by email first if your seriously interested. This
amplifier is in California (A real California Kilowatt). No trades and
no
flakes please. I can arrange delivery to most anywhere if your willing
to
pay the costs.

The Amplifier for sale is an 8169/4CX3000A linear amplifier.

It makes about 8k and it drives with 150W. It goes 1.8 to 30
mhz, has full breakin, and is self contained.

It requires a 50a minimum 240v dryer outlet. The ps does about 8100v
max on standby on the high tap.

I also have a new Bird 10kW watercooled termination that hooks up
to a lawn sprinkler set for >6gpm.

Thank You

Skip May WV6F
mays@indigo.ucdavis.edu

1949. Re: WTB: The True story on the D&A Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 02:50:13 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021018225013.03036.00002840@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 140726

you might be jealous i had a girl at all if you wernt such a fag

>
>This thread continues, but yet, nobody answered my question...
>
>and to you Tim Blowboy... fuck you, nigger loving bitch... with so
>many White Women in this world, you gotta be fucking a Nigger Bitch...
>what's wrong with you Boy?
>
>"Wake up White People!"
>
>
>A Real Tube
>http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
>A Real Amp
>http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html
>
>
>
>
>
>

1950. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 02:51:47 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021018225147.03036.00002841@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 140727

troll

>
>Please forgive my lack of knowledge on this subject, I'm looking for advice
>on what to do about some RF interference my televison set is picking up. I'm
>fairly certain it's a CB user; he identifies himself with a handle (Albert
>Einstein), and usually asks for a radio check ("Check, check") when he
>begins to transmit. It's about the same time every night.
>
>I've searched the archives of this newsgroup for complaints similar to mine,
>and found the following information:
>
>RF interference can be caused by someone illegally boosting their signal
>beyond FCC-mandated limits.
>
>RF interference can also come from poorly shielded home electronics
>equipment picking up unwanted signals.
>
>With those facts in mind, I believe it's him running a linear amplifier, a
>"power mike", or he's messed with the limiters for his signal control. The
>reasons I think so are as follows:
>
>1. I can only hear his half of the conversation (amazingly loud and clear,
>mind you), but it sounds as though he's conversing with people across the
>entire country - he's based in St. Louis, Missouri, probably very close to
>me, and he regularly addresses people in California, Tennessee, and other
>locales well outside the normal operating range of CB equipment. For
>example, -click- "I hear ya, Eastern Tennesee, this is Albert Einstein, St.
>Louis, Missouri" -click-
>
>2. I live within two miles of a major highway where truckers make up about
>30% of all traffic. There's another, smaller highway even closer, less than
>a mile away, that also sees its fair share of big rigs. If my television is
>poorly shielded enough to pick up legal CB transmissions, surely I'd be
>picking up a lot more chatter than just one person. I only hear Albert, and
>he is quite loud and very clear.
>
>3. The impression I get from his general banter is that he's not very
>considerate of others; for example, tonight it sounded like he was "keying
>over" someone else, and berating them for not speaking English.
>
>4. I'm not the only one having problems. I spoke to one of my neighbors, who
>indicated that she regularly hears this person while talking on the phone.
>

>So, is it reasonable to assume that the problem is not with my television,
>but with the CB user's setup?
>
>Also: I tried calling the FCC to ask them about it - that was a laugh. My
>local law enforcement was no better, but they quite rightly pointed out that
>it was something they'd have to refer to the FCC, even if they knew who was
>doing it. They suggested I try to find out who it is.
>
>I've got some time after class tomorrow, I believe I'll drive around the
>blocks surrounding mine looking for huge or strange-looking anntenas. Could
>it be someone using an antenna indoors? If so, I doubt I'll find him that
>way.
>
>
>--
>Dan
>Don't send mail to the address listed, your message
>will not be read by anyone but Dave Null.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1951. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 03:33:49 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021018233349.03036.00002855@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 140730

whos that??

>Dave none other than jerry Blavat the geater with the heater, the Boss with
>the hot sauce
>

1952. Re: Re...Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 03:35:04 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB0D2E8.9AEB85B3@hotnet.net>
Source record: 140735

JJ wrote:
>
> Shot out of the saddle again wrote:
> >
>
> >
> > True, but few amplifier owner actually know how to actually tune their
> > amplifiers for best linear operation. Most go for max smoke...
>
> Tell us oh learned one, just how does one properly tune an
> amplifier?

Guess skippyguy is one of those few amplifier owners who does not actually know how to tune an amplifier, as he hasn't bothered to answer.

1953. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 03:47:37 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db0d2bf.3544420@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140731

In <cHidnVApgstrJi2gXTWcrg@comcast.com>, "Dave VanHorn"
<dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:

>
>> >On 27 MHz, that's not going to go down the interstate without a police
>> >escort.
>> >Ye canna change the lars o' physics.
>>
>> "Physics" used to dictate that the world was flat
>
>No, that was religious dicta,

In case you skipped your history classes, physics (as well as all
other sciences) used to be dictated by the church.

> and "common sense".
>The greeks worked it out, and had a pretty good estimate of the diameter
>long ago.
>
>> and that if you travelled faster than 100 mph you would never slow down.
>
>Never heard that one.
>
>> Nevertheless,
>> if these 'engineers' are so good at doing "more with less", let's see
>> them make a 'more' efficient antenna in 'less' space!
>
>Engineering is the art of compromise.
>To get gain, you have to compromise directivity, or size.

It is also the art of innovation. New ideas and theories are
constantly being tested and evaluated.

>Typically, on a mobile antenna, you don't want directivity in the horizontal
>plane.
>You can compress the vertical radiation angle, but that makes for a taller
>antenna.
>Everything here is in terms of wavelengths, so at 27 MHz, everything is
>neccessarily large.
>If we were to print the antenna on a substrate with high dielectric
>constant, we could reduce the physical size, but guess what.. As the
>physical size goes down, so does the capture area, and gain shrinks again.

Why isn't Timmy defending his voodoo priests by attacking this vulgar
demonstration of conventional wisdom?

>The best thing I think you can really get to, is a pair of antennas,
>properly co-phased (and mounted 1/4 wave apart instead of the 2-3' that I
>routinely see on the road) If these antennas are built for minimal vertical
>radiation angle, and supressed vertical lobe, then you're throwing most of
>your power up and down the road, where it will likely do you some good.
>
>The doubled capture area is worth almost 3dB by itself!

Co-phased antennas on a mobile don't do much good at all. The problem
is that co-phasing doesn't work with 1/4 wave verticals nearly as well
as with 1/2 wave dipoles. But they look cool.

>Maybe you could mount a pair of directors (we're approaching a mobile
>cubical quad here..) but now we're talking about four antennas, mounted
>about 9' apart in a square. This would suppress power in the rear, and add
>that to the front, for roughly another 3dB.

I was thinking more along the lines of a roof-mounted MASER, but at HF
frequencies. Or maybe a loaded helical...

>Given that an excellent receive preamp is about the size of a pack of
>cigarettes, and can be mounted anywhere, it seems a lot more practical than
>the rolling flyrod store approach. :)

When your radio already receives the noise floor, a preamp only makes
it louder. You can do that with the volume knob.

>You might look into fractal antennas, they do offer another way to
>compromise.

Why me? Tell it to those "more with less" types!

1954. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 04:42:07 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <3AadnSkCgsk_fy2gXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 140733

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:23970-3DB01843-352@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>
http://interviews.slashdot.org/interviews/02/09/27/1420201.shtml?tid=
>

What is of more interest is the link below:

http://www.gnu.org/software/gnuradio/gnuradio.html

That is where the future of radio technology is headed.

--
--
--

73's de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

1955. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 05:00:10 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DB0E6DA.FE685CBA@cwis.net>
Source record: 140734

Dave,
You might give that "3db" gain figure from 'dual' antennas another look, that's a bit much even for 'CB' antenna gain figures.
And as far as 'fractal' antennas go, stop by your local Radio Shack and pick up a 50 ohm, 5 watt resistor. They're a more efficient
radiator than the 'fractal' antenna nonsense. You were doing so good
there at first, then you fell off into the land of the "Maxcoms".
'Doc

PS - By the way, 'Maxcom' was an antenna scam in the late '70s.

1956. Ontario canner Web Page & Yahoogroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 05:41:08 UTC
From: Matthew Stone <mbstone99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <q3s1ru8qm3bk5d7lb2if0goi1se2pld8d8@4ax.com>
Source record: 140737

The Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide is dedicated to scanner radio hobbists. On here you will find lists of Ontario radio frequencies that are not listed on any web page, published in any scannner book (Haruteq or Scantrix) or listed in the government frequency list (Industry Canada's TAFL). The Ontario Frequency Guide mailing list (oufg) is for scanner radio hobbyists so they have a public forum to be able to chit chat with each other about anything relating to the radio scanner hobby in Ontario as well you will be able to recieve updates of what changes I have made to the Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide web pages.

Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide - http://oufg.webhop.net/
Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide Yahoogroup (oufg) - http://groups.yahoo.com/group/oufg/

Matthew Stone
Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide/oufg Yahoogroup
http://oufg.webhop.net/

1957. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 05:41:53 UTC
From: skipp <notatthistime@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3DB0F0A1.1C034DB7@hotmail.com>
Source record: 140736

I'll gratis you an answer... because your post questions are stupid and should be
corrected.

Services are not allowed to manufacture and/or market amplifiers, your question
is really stupid.

If you were to spend a few minutes at the fcc web page like I suggested,
search licensed allocations in the IB or IG service that would fit within
the frequency range you list below. Last time I opened a radio industry
magazine, Cresend Technologies sold type accepted amplifiers. Henry, TPL,
Motorola and a few others also come to mind.

The second question you asked..? It actually tells us how bad you want to
show your ignorance.

No television I've heard of uses the 6146 tube as a sweep tube. There were
some older televisions that used the 6146 tube as a horizontal oscillator
and or horizontal oscillator amplifier. Sweep tube is a label used to
describe a classic type of tube. Horizontal oscillator / amplifier was
often the function of sweep and beam power tubes.

Now go whine about how dumb you look to everyone on the news group.

s

"yeti@cet.com" wrote:
>
> In <aoq66f$5r7$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Be a Boobner Lap Dog or think
> for yourself <voobnerisafakename@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> <snip Skippy whining about Voobner's lack of facts>
>
> Hey Skippy, you have a couple questions outstanding yourself: What
> services between 25 and 34MHz are allowed to manufacture and market
> power RF amplifiers? And what make/model of television used the 6146
> as a sweep tube?

1958. Re: amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 05:45:55 UTC
From: razorblade66@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23834-3DB0F193-135@storefull-2277.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140940

http://www.hyelectronics.com/

1959. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 06:01:24 UTC
From: skipp <notatthistime@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3DB0F534.8358B445@hotmail.com>
Source record: 140738

You ask really poor questions. Solid State or Tube
amplifiers? Save yourself (and me) a lot of time and refer
to the web page at www.vcnet.com/measures for the same
technique and tools I use.

s

> JJ wrote:
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:
> >
> > yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote
> > >Be a Boobner Lap Dog or think for yourself wrote:
> > (snip)
> > >
> > >Hey Skippy, you have a couple questions outstanding yourself:
> > >What services between 25 and 34MHz are allowed to
> > >manufacture and market power RF amplifiers? And what
> > >make/model of television used the 6146 as a sweep tube?
> >
> > I have even more questions: like why skipp needs to call people
> > derogatory names when they ask him to verify his "facts".
>
> Like how to properly tune an amplifier, he still hasn't responded
> to that one.

1960. Re: question about amplifire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 06:02:35 UTC
From: skipp <notatthistime@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3DB0F57B.ED102B81@hotmail.com>
Source record: 140739

rec.radio.swap
rec.radio.amateur.swap
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

... are all good places to list items for sale.

skipp

Bob Moore wrote:
>
> A friend is looking for a place the he can sell two amps. Can he do that
> here or does some one know of an swap page that will take them? you can
> email me direct or post here.
>
> --
> Bob Moore

1961. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 07:06:16 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db0f884.13214076@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140740

In <3DB0F0A1.1C034DB7@hotmail.com>, skipp <notatthistime@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>I'll gratis you an answer... because your post questions are stupid and
>should be
>corrected.
>
>Services are not allowed to manufacture and/or market amplifiers, your
>question
>is really stupid.
>
>If you were to spend a few minutes at the fcc web page like I suggested,
>search licensed allocations in the IB or IG service that would fit
>within
>the frequency range you list below.

I have, and I have even posted a list of them to this newsgroup. So?

> Last time I opened a radio industry
>magazine, Cresend Technologies sold type accepted amplifiers. Henry,
>TPL,
>Motorola and a few others also come to mind.

Yes, many companies do sell certificated amps, but not for the
spectrum prohibited by 47 USC 2.815. If I'm wrong then maybe you can
find a link or something, or maybe scan one of those magazine ads.

BTW, what's the IB service?

>The second question you asked..? It actually tells us how bad you want
>to
>show your ignorance.
>
>No television I've heard of uses the 6146 tube as a sweep tube. There
>were
>some older televisions that used the 6146 tube as a horizontal
>oscillator
>and or horizontal oscillator amplifier.

Well, why didn't you make that distinction in this post?

==========
From: Skipp (skipp@pilotNOSPAMPLEASE.ucdavis.edu)
Subject: Re: Alan K1313 Amp 130w??
Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.mods, rec.radio.amateur.equipment,
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew, rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-11-07 16:47:24 PST

<snip>
: Several tube manuals
: simply list the filament specs, then tell you to use 6KD6 Specs, because
: they are built with the same internal components. As fare as being
: non-linear, EVERY amp has some non-linear properties. After all, they
: are used in the real world, not just on paper. By the way, some early
: color TV's used 6146's for sweep tubes.

Similar but not exact... the 8950 along with the similar type Maco
output
tube should be treated as different. Not only was the 6146 used in
TV's,
one can find it in the very popular early Ampeg SVT Bass Guitar
Amplifier.
Loud as heck and heavy like a boat anchor... but man it has some tone.

==========

> Sweep tube is a label used to
>describe a classic type of tube. Horizontal oscillator / amplifier was
>often the function of sweep and beam power tubes.

Where do you think the word "sweep" comes from? Maybe from television
"sweep" circuits? You know, where the trace "sweeps" across the
screen? What kind of tube do you think was used in those circuits?
Maybe "sweep" tubes? Sweep tubes are power tubes that are specifically
designed for sweep amplifiers in televisions. Duh...

Sweep tubes are not designed for use as RF amplifiers, nor are they
designed for linearity at RF frequencies, despite your claims to the
contrary. The Swans, which you so proudly claim to be a sweep tube
success story, use them with significant neutralization, tuned grids &
output pi filters. In fact, I believe that a couple of their sweep
tube 'linears' used the sweep tubes configured as triodes in order to
achieve linearity.

Sweep tubes were used in RF amps not because of their suitability, but
because they were cheap. That's all.

>Now go whine about how dumb you look to everyone on the news group.

And leave you unhindered to spread your RF mythology? I don't think
so, Skippy.

1962. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 07:20:26 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <aor14k01gin@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 140742

"Dan" <nezor@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:wv3s9.2069$071.167077@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Please forgive my lack of knowledge on this subject, I'm looking for
advice
> on what to do about some RF interference my televison set is picking up.
I'm
> fairly certain it's a CB user; he identifies himself with a handle (Albert
> Einstein), and usually asks for a radio check ("Check, check") when he
> begins to transmit. It's about the same time every night.
>
> I've searched the archives of this newsgroup for complaints similar to
mine,
> and found the following information:
>
> RF interference can be caused by someone illegally boosting their signal
> beyond FCC-mandated limits.
>
> RF interference can also come from poorly shielded home electronics
> equipment picking up unwanted signals.
>
> With those facts in mind, I believe it's him running a linear amplifier, a
> "power mike", or he's messed with the limiters for his signal control. The
> reasons I think so are as follows:
>
> 1. I can only hear his half of the conversation (amazingly loud and clear,
> mind you), but it sounds as though he's conversing with people across the
> entire country - he's based in St. Louis, Missouri, probably very close to
> me, and he regularly addresses people in California, Tennessee, and other
> locales well outside the normal operating range of CB equipment. For
> example, -click- "I hear ya, Eastern Tennesee, this is Albert Einstein,
St.
> Louis, Missouri" -click-
>
> 2. I live within two miles of a major highway where truckers make up about
> 30% of all traffic. There's another, smaller highway even closer, less
than
> a mile away, that also sees its fair share of big rigs. If my television
is
> poorly shielded enough to pick up legal CB transmissions, surely I'd be
> picking up a lot more chatter than just one person. I only hear Albert,
and
> he is quite loud and very clear.
>
> 3. The impression I get from his general banter is that he's not very

> considerate of others; for example, tonight it sounded like he was "keying
> over" someone else, and berating them for not speaking English.
>
> 4. I'm not the only one having problems. I spoke to one of my neighbors,
who
> indicated that she regularly hears this person while talking on the phone.
>
> So, is it reasonable to assume that the problem is not with my television,
> but with the CB user's setup?
>
> Also: I tried calling the FCC to ask them about it - that was a laugh. My
> local law enforcement was no better, but they quite rightly pointed out
that
> it was something they'd have to refer to the FCC, even if they knew who
was
> doing it. They suggested I try to find out who it is.
>
> I've got some time after class tomorrow, I believe I'll drive around the
> blocks surrounding mine looking for huge or strange-looking anntenas.
Could
> it be someone using an antenna indoors? If so, I doubt I'll find him that
> way.

In today's market of tv's it's rare you get such interference, even with
overpower.
Don't be blamin' the truckers. They don't sit still long enough to do your
tv any harm unless you live next to a truckstop.
Chances are, YOU have a nice big fat expensive tv and piss poor wiring
leading to the antenna.
Is the wire brown and flat or black and round like for cable tv?
If it's brown and flat, you have what is called twin lead and rarely used
today.

Step #1: Check the connections to the tv and to the antenna. If they are
frayed, or the screws are loose, fix it.
Step #2: Replace that old twin lead with the newer 75ohm cable. Your newer
tv's have this factor already built in.
Step #3: Replace that old worn out tv antenna on the roof.

One time a neighbor of mine, 20 feet away from my cb antenna, complained I
was interfering with his tv.
Put on a nice big filter. still interfered. While inside his house watching
with him, I could easily see the problem was not coming from me, so could
he.
While on my roof, I looked over at his old antenna and noticed his twin lead
had come off.
Problem solved.

Step #4: Take a little drive around your neighborhood within a couple of
miles.
Check the roofs for cb antennas. Note the addresses.

Now go buy yourself a small hand held cb radio known as a walkie talkie.

Step #5: With portable in hand, find the channel he's operating on while watching tv. Trust me, your portable will hear him.

Step #6: In your car, begin locating which house is the source.
As you get closer the signal will become stronger. Lower the antenna of the portable.
If you can still hear him plainly, hey, go knock on his door.
If it's not the person, ask if the person would help hunting the guy down.
He may even know who it is.
Or at least be able to talk to the guy better.

Step #7: failing all of the above, you will then need to purchase 10 gauge copper sheets and 10 gauge aluminium sheets.
These you will install first over your tv with the aluminium sheets closest to the tv.
The shiny side of the copper sheets should face you.

Now sit back, relax, and enjoy that saturday night football game.

Oh. One more thing. If you should happen to find the jerk, at approximately 0400 hours on a sunday, load a 10 gauge shotgun with 00 buck. Then go around the neighborhood, with headlights off, and shoot his cb antenna. Generally speaking, if you aim for the base, you'll do a much better job.

When the cops arrest you, state loudly and clearly, "Albert Einstein told me to do it!"

1963. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 07:40:52 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db10bba.18131456@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140741

In <3DB0F0A1.1C034DB7@hotmail.com>, skipp <notatthistime@hotmail.com>
wrote:

<snip>
>No television I've heard of uses the 6146 tube as a sweep tube. There
>were
>some older televisions that used the 6146 tube as a horizontal
>oscillator
>and or horizontal oscillator amplifier.

Just in case you don't know this (and it just occured to me that you
don't), the 'horizontal oscillator amplifier' to which you refer is
commonly known as the 'horizontal sweep amplifier', a position most
often filled by ... yep, you guessed it ... the SWEEP TUBE!

So now, what television used the 6146 for the "horizontal oscillator
amplifier"?

1964. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 08:29:51 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <uKucnRjZ1u9SiiygXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 140743

> In case you skipped your history classes, physics (as well as all
> other sciences) used to be dictated by the church.

Physics dosen't change, just because some guy in a funny hat says it does.

> >Engineering is the art of compromise.
> >To get gain, you have to compromise directivity, or size.
>
> It is also the art of innovation. New ideas and theories are
> constantly being tested and evaluated.

You can only go where physics will let you.

> Co-phased antennas on a mobile don't do much good at all. The problem
> is that co-phasing doesn't work with 1/4 wave verticals nearly as well
> as with 1/2 wave dipoles. But they look cool.

Physics again rears it's ugly head..
At 18'+ it's a bit difficult to drive around. @!#!@ bridges!

> I was thinking more along the lines of a roof-mounted MASER, but at HF
> frequencies. Or maybe a loaded helical...

A maser is an amp, not an antenna. You still have to get it to radiate.
As you point out below, once you hit the noise floor, the maser is way
overkill.

> When your radio already receives the noise floor, a preamp only makes
> it louder. You can do that with the volume knob.

True, but how many CBs are already there?

> >You might look into fractal antennas, they do offer another way to
> >compromise.
>
> Why me? Tell it to those "more with less" types!

It's another space to use for compromise.

1965. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 08:40:29 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <Toidnc3RuLHQhyygXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 140744

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DB0E6DA.FE685CBA@cwis.net...
>
>
> Dave,
> You might give that "3db" gain figure from 'dual' antennas
> another look, that's a bit much even for 'CB' antenna gain
> figures.

Generally, any time you double the number of elements, you get about 3dB gain, if done right.
The first couple doublings are easy, after that it starts getting interesting, like my friend in Gaston who does VHF UHF contests with stacked long yagis on everything from 6M to 24G.

> And as far as 'fractal' antennas go, stop by your local Radio
> Shack and pick up a 50 ohm, 5 watt resistor. They're a more
> efficient radiator than the 'fractal' antenna nonsense. You were doing so
> good there at first, then you fell off into the land of the "Maxcoms".

Well, I think there's something there, maybe not as much as Chip claims there is..

The DL makes a good point about antennas though.
Low SWR just means it's an efficient match. It says nothing about radiation efficiency.
I had an MFJ antenna that sprang with an interesting fault one stormy afternoon.
I wasn't able to hit the local repeater with 25W, from a spot where my handheld will make it with 20mW.. We put a bird on the antenna, and got 1-1 SWR. Using some arcane adaptors, we were able to put the bird right at the base of the antenna... 25W forward, nothing measurable reflected, but apparently nothing radiated either.

Tossed the MFJ, bought a diamond. SG7900
It's got oodles of gain, but it's a bit interesting to mount.
http://www.inchase.org/outflow/events/installnic2002/f5Mount.html

>
> PS - By the way, 'Maxcom' was an antenna scam in the late '70s.

I sort of vaguely remember them.

My favorite was the "zing ring", a <1' diameter wire ring that was supposed to improve your ground plane, when clamped around the loading coil of a base loaded mobile antenna,

1966. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 09:40:54 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db12470.24457992@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140745

In <uKucnRjZ1u9SiiygXTWcog@comcast.com>, "Dave VanHorn"
<dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:

>
>> In case you skipped your history classes, physics (as well as all
>> other sciences) used to be dictated by the church.
>
>Physics dosen't change, just because some guy in a funny hat says it does.

No shit, sherlock. My point was that maybe there is some other method
of radiating a signal other than a conventional hertzian antenna.

>> >Engineering is the art of compromise.
>> >To get gain, you have to compromise directivity, or size.
>>
>> It is also the art of innovation. New ideas and theories are
>> constantly being tested and evaluated.
>
>You can only go where physics will let you.

And those limitations are constantly being stretched through research
and development of new technologies.

>> Co-phased antennas on a mobile don't do much good at all. The problem
>> is that co-phasing doesn't work with 1/4 wave verticals nearly as well
>> as with 1/2 wave dipoles. But they look cool.
>
>Physics again rears it's ugly head..
>At 18'+ it's a bit difficult to drive around. @!#!@ bridges!

More of that pesky conventional wisdom. Your physics textbook doesn't
cover everything that's possible, as my detractors are so blissfully
aware. Think: If you are trying to contrive an antenna that's smaller
than a hertzian antenna, are you going to use the hertzian principle?
...uh, probably not!

>> I was thinking more along the lines of a roof-mounted MASER, but at HF
>> frequencies. Or maybe a loaded helical...
>
>A maser is an amp, not an antenna. You still have to get it to radiate.

A maser doesn't radiate? Since when?

Of course, all this talk about a maser is purely hypothetical, since I
don't think that anyone has ever built one for 27MHz. But it's still a
neat idea.

>As you point out below, once you hit the noise floor, the maser is way
>overkill.

What does the noise floor have to do with a maser?

>> When your radio already receives the noise floor, a preamp only makes
>> it louder. You can do that with the volume knob.
>
>True, but how many CBs are already there?

...huh?

>> >You might look into fractal antennas, they do offer another way to
>> >compromise.
>>
>> Why me? Tell it to those "more with less" types!
>
>It's another space to use for compromise.

It must be way past your beddy-bye time, cause you aren't making any
sense at all.

1967. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 10:27:28 UTC
From: Dan <nezor@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ksas9.2615$071.222636@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 140746

> In today's market of tv's it's rare you get such
> interference, even with overpower.
> Don't be blamin' the truckers. They don't sit still long enough to do your
> tv any harm unless you live next to a truckstop.

Right, this guy has to be operating from a base station. It's possible he's sitting out in the parking lot in a passenger vechicle, I guess, but more likely this is coming from a house.

> Chances are, YOU have a nice big fat expensive tv and piss poor wiring
> leading to the antenna.
> Is the wire brown and flat or black and round like for cable tv?
> If it's brown and flat, you have what is called twin lead and rarely used
> today.

No, I've got digital cable with 75 ohm coax. No old twin lead wiring or antenna. I've checked all the connections to make sure they're tight.

There *is* a so-called antenna (coughantenna?cough) that came with my receiver, it's really just a long piece of soft wire. It's a cheap, crappy looking little thing, but seems to do a decent job of picking up FM radio. You've got me wondering, though, if it's also picking up Albert. The next time he pipes up, I'll pull that little antenna off and see whether that makes any difference.

> Step #4: Take a little drive around your neighborhood within a couple of
> miles.
> Check the roofs for cb antennas. Note the addresses.
> Now go buy yourself a small hand held cb radio known as a walkie
talkie.

I was looking at a few handhelds - Cobra, Midland, etc. Any recommendation? Sounds like you're talking about a cheaper item than I was looking at, these were 40 channel CB's with weather bands, $90 range and probably more than I'd need.

> Step #5: With portable in hand, find the channel he's operating on while
> watching tv. Trust me, your portable will hear him.
>
> Step #6: In your car, begin locating which house is the source.
> As you get closer the signal will become stronger. Lower the antenna of
the
> portable.
> If you can still hear him plainly, hey, go knock on his door.

Would a unit with a signal-strength meter help in narrowing down the location? I'm not sure if it would or not. This signal is *strong* - when he

transmits and it comes through the television speaker, it's like he's crouched down behind my entertainment center with a bullhorn. :-) Being that strong (if that even means anything), would he bleed through onto other CB channels besides the one he's transmitting on?

> If it's not the person, ask if the person would help hunting the guy down.
> He may even know who it is.
> Or at least be able to talk to the guy better.

I'd just like to get Albert's attention, and approach him in a friendly fashion, at least to start with. It's possible he is unaware of the interference issue, or if he is aware of it, he might know an easy fix.

> Step #7: failing all of the above, you will then need to purchase 10 gauge
> copper sheets and 10 gauge aluminium sheets.
> These you will install first over your tv with the aluminium sheets
closest
> to the tv.
> The shiny side of the copper sheets should face you.
>
er...I was thinking maybe some sort of filter for the tv, if this does turns out to be on my end.

> Oh. One more thing. If you should happen to find the jerk, at
approximately
> 0400 hours on a sunday, load a 10 gauge shotgun with 00 buck. Then go
around
> the neighborhood, with headlights off, and shoot his cb antenna. Generally
> speaking, if you aim for the base, you'll do a much better job.

I thought maybe some bolt cutters applied to the wiring. Not as satisfying, but not as noisy, either.
I'm envisioning some idiot actually trying the shotgun idea, but missing the part about hitting the base and spraying uselessly at the upper part of the antenna...

> When the cops arrest you, state loudly and clearly, "Albert Einstein told
me
> to do it!"

And I've got Elvis in the car with me. If the King can't convince 'em, no one can.

1968. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 12:02:01 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <3E2dnTG-S-sn1CygXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 140747

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021018221730.15371.00010106@mb-mn.aol.com...
> i dont believe you are a ham but if you insist you insist....no matter
what
> your still a dick lol

Timmy wrote " your asking for opinions on something he never implied. all he
said is there are alot of hams using high power. even jj agreed and he says
he's been a ham for over 40 years. "

Hmmm, you thought he was enough of a ham to help make your point . LOL you
are a real loser Nebro.

1969. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts from Skipp instead of him ducking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 12:06:06 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <DSGdnXghtvly1yygXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 140748

How about it skipp looks like you made some previous posts that you now need
to explain.

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3db0f884.13214076@news.cet.com...
> In <3DB0F0A1.1C034DB7@hotmail.com>, skipp <notatthistime@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I'll gratis you an answer... because your post questions are stupid and
> >should be
> >corrected.
> >
> >Services are not allowed to manufacture and/or market amplifiers, your
> >question
> >is really stupid.
> >
> >If you were to spend a few minutes at the fcc web page like I suggested,
> >search licensed allocations in the IB or IG service that would fit
> >within
> >the frequency range you list below.
>
> I have, and I have even posted a list of them to this newsgroup. So?
>
> > Last time I opened a radio industry
> >magazine, Cresend Technologies sold type accepted amplifiers. Henry,
> >TPL,
> >Motorola and a few others also come to mind.
>
> Yes, many companies do sell certificated amps, but not for the
> spectrum prohibited by 47 USC 2.815. If I'm wrong then maybe you can
> find a link or something, or maybe scan one of those magazine ads.
>
> BTW, what's the IB service?
>
> >The second question you asked..? It actually tells us how bad you want
> >to
> >show your ignorance.
> >
> >No television I've heard of uses the 6146 tube as a sweep tube. There
> >were
> >some older televisions that used the 6146 tube as a horizontal
> >oscillator
> >and or horizontal oscillator amplifier.
>
> Well, why didn't you make that distinction in this post?

>
> ==========
> From: Skipp (skipp@pilotNOSPAMPLEASE.ucdavis.edu)
> Subject: Re: Alan K1313 Amp 130w??
> Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.mods, rec.radio.amateur.equipment,
> rec.radio.amateur.homebrew, rec.radio.cb
> Date: 2001-11-07 16:47:24 PST
>
> <snip>
> : Several tube manuals
> : simply list the filament specs, then tell you to use 6KD6 Specs, because
> : they are built with the same internal components. As fare as being
> : non-linear, EVERY amp has some non-linear properties. After all, they
> : are used in the real world, not just on paper. By the way, some early
> : color TV's used 6146's for sweep tubes.
>
> Similar but not exact... the 8950 along with the similar type Maco
> output
> tube should be treated as different. Not only was the 6146 used in
> TV's,
> one can find it in the very popular early Ampeg SVT Bass Guitar
> Amplifier.
> Loud as heck and heavy like a boat anchor... but man it has some tone.
>
> ==========
>
> > Sweep tube is a label used to
> >describe a classic type of tube. Horizontal oscillator / amplifier was
> >often the function of sweep and beam power tubes.
>
> Where do you think the word "sweep" comes from? Maybe from television
> "sweep" circuits? You know, where the trace "sweeps" across the
> screen? What kind of tube do you think was used in those circuits?
> Maybe "sweep" tubes? Sweep tubes are power tubes that are specifically
> designed for sweep amplifiers in televisions. Duh...
>
> Sweep tubes are not designed for use as RF amplifiers, nor are they
> designed for linearity at RF frequencies, despite your claims to the
> contrary. The Swans, which you so proudly claim to be a sweep tube
> success story, use them with significant neutralization, tuned grids &
> output pi filters. In fact, I believe that a couple of their sweep
> tube 'linears' used the sweep tubes configured as triodes in order to
> achieve linearity.
>
> Sweep tubes were used in RF amps not because of their suitability, but
> because they were cheap. That's all.
>
> >Now go whine about how dumb you look to everyone on the news group.
>
> And leave you unhindered to spread your RF mythology? I don't think

> so, Skippy.
>

1970. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 12:18:08 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210190418.4d8cd08f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140749

voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<75ad2ce2.0210181842.674a55a8@posting.google.com>...
> ï¿½yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote
> >Be a Boobner Lap Dog or think for yourself wrote:
> (snip)
> >
> >Hey Skippy, you have a couple questions outstanding yourself:
> >What services between 25 and 34MHz are allowed to
> >manufacture and market power RF amplifiers? And what
> >make/model of television used the 6146 as a sweep tube?
>
> I have even more questions: like why skipp needs to call people
> derogatory names when they ask him to verify his "facts". Schoolyard
> taunts detract from his credibility. Also I'd be interested to hear
> his creative excuses for keeping an 8,000 watt HF amplifier around the
> house.
>
> -Aaron-

Given the current cost of heating oil or natural gas, I imagine it's
cheaper to keep his house warm with it. Fact is, I could have a house
full of 100kW transmitters and amps if I really wanted to and could
afford it. It'll only be illegal to actually USE one, not possess it.

What "derogatory names", Aanal Aaron? Only facts. BTW, you're a great
one to talk about credibility, since you have none.

1971. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 12:55:20 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210190455.65fe3e8a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140750

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021018225147.03036.00002841@mb-cr.aol.com>...
> troll
>
> >
> >Please forgive my lack of knowledge on this subject, I'm looking for advice
> >on what to do about some RF interference my televison set is picking up. I'm
> >fairly certain it's a CB user; he identifies himself with a handle (Albert
> >Einstein), and usually asks for a radio check ("Check, check") when he
> >begins to transmit. It's about the same time every night.
> >
> >I've searched the archives of this newsgroup for complaints similar to mine,
> >and found the following information:
> >
> >RF interference can be caused by someone illegally boosting their signal
> >beyond FCC-mandated limits.
> >
> >RF interference can also come from poorly shielded home electronics
> >equipment picking up unwanted signals.
> >
> >With those facts in mind, I believe it's him running a linear amplifier, a
> >"power mike", or he's messed with the limiters for his signal control. The
> >reasons I think so are as follows:
> >
> >1. I can only hear his half of the conversation (amazingly loud and clear,
> >mind you), but it sounds as though he's conversing with people across the
> >entire country - he's based in St. Louis, Missouri, probably very close to
> >me, and he regularly addresses people in California, Tennessee, and other
> >locales well outside the normal operating range of CB equipment. For
> >example, -click- "I hear ya, Eastern Tennesee, this is Albert Einstein, St.
> >Louis, Missouri" -click-
> >
> >2. I live within two miles of a major highway where truckers make up about
> >30% of all traffic. There's another, smaller highway even closer, less than
> >a mile away, that also sees its fair share of big rigs. If my television is
> >poorly shielded enough to pick up legal CB transmissions, surely I'd be
> >picking up a lot more chatter than just one person. I only hear Albert, and
> >he is quite loud and very clear.
> >
> >3. The impression I get from his general banter is that he's not very
> >considerate of others; for example, tonight it sounded like he was "keying
> >over" someone else, and berating them for not speaking English.
> >
> >4. I'm not the only one having problems. I spoke to one of my neighbors, who

> >indicated that she regularly hears this person while talking on the phone.
> >
> >So, is it reasonable to assume that the problem is not with my television,
> >but with the CB user's setup?
> >
> >Also: I tried calling the FCC to ask them about it - that was a laugh. My
> >local law enforcement was no better, but they quite rightly pointed out that
> >it was something they'd have to refer to the FCC, even if they knew who was
> >doing it. They suggested I try to find out who it is.
> >
> >I've got some time after class tomorrow, I believe I'll drive around the
> >blocks surrounding mine looking for huge or strange-looking anntenas. Could
> >it be someone using an antenna indoors? If so, I doubt I'll find him that
> >way.
> >
> >
> >--
> >Dan
> >Don't send mail to the address listed, your message
> >will not be read by anyone but Dave Null.
> >

Dan,

It's sad that someone would think that your post is a troll.
Unfortunately a situation like yours happens all too often. Many
CB'ers running illegal amplifiers are not aware of the potential for
harmful interference and don't understand its causes. Furthermore,
illegal amplifiers and illegally modified radios used by the illegal
CB crowd are spectrally dirty and more prone to cause interference
than legal CB setups.

I think you're first instinct is correct; seek out the guy and try to
approach him on a neutral, non-antagonistic basis, and try to work
together to solve the problem. But as I mentioned before, since the
operators of this illegal gear generally don't understand how it works
and how the interference is being generated, they often tend to not be
cooperative.

Let's face it, someone who's hobby is keying over other people on a
radio is not too bright. As far as they are concerned, they paid some
"tech" to work on their radio and/or sell them an amp that makes them
"loud" and they are going to get their darn money's worth out of it.
If you find that "Albert" is this type, don't despair. You'll have to
spend your own money to solve the problem with your TV and/or phone.
RF filters are available for both applications.

Of course, if "Albert" is affecting a number of people in the
community with his shenanigans and flat out refuses to take
responsibility for his actions, you might want to go to your mayor or
city council, tell them your story, and make them aware of a House
Bill called HR2346. It enables towns and cities to enact legislation

that will let local cops enforce certain FCC rules.

It was drafted to give teeth to people victimized by "Alberts" who were making their lives miserable with RF interference and laughing in their faces, mocking the FCC and local police's impotence to stop them.

PS: Tim. Shame on you.

-Aaron-

1972. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 13:02:25 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210190502.59670b27@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140751

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>Given the current cost of
(snip)

Hi Steve. I see you're still grumpy. Can't blame you. After all, you can no longer troll usenet anonymously.

-Aaron-

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR

VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

1973. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 13:38:18 UTC
From: Welfare Check <Check@demonseed.comPton>
Message-ID: <PXCdnawJ2JHX_SygXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 140752

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> What "derogatory names", Aanal Aaron? Only facts. BTW, you're >a great one to talk about credibility, since you have none.

And you think your credible because? What's your name? What's your callsign? Where are you from? You can't answer any of them truthfully You have ducked them evrytime asked. Your *Excuse* is well aaron won't give his, well that goes to show just how much less credible you are, you need someone else to validate your credibility. LOL Dickhead is your name and trolling is your game. And you are in the Buffalo/Rochester Ny area.

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

1974. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 13:54:50 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB1642A.4FB663B4@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140758

Welfare Check wrote:
>
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > What "derogatory names", Aanal Aaron? Only facts. BTW, you're >a great
> one to talk about credibility, since you have none.
>
> And you think your credible because? What's your name? What's your callsign?
> Where are you from? You can't answer any of them truthfully You have ducked
> them evrytime asked. Your *Excuse* is well aaron won't give his, well that
> goes to show just how much less credible you are, you need someone else to
> validate your credibility. LOL Dickhead is your name and trolling is your
> game. And you are in the Buffalo/Rochester Ny area.

Isn't it amazing how dickie craniumless, twistieboy and others
rant about credibility of those who use fake ids or won't post
their call sign or give names, but neither will they.
Found the 10 meter band yet dickieboy?

1975. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 14:09:19 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB1678F.4674FE07@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140759

skippie clueless wrote:
>
> You ask really poor questions.

You make really poor statements. Here is you exact statement from
your post.

>
> True, but few amplifier owner actually know how to actually tune their
> amplifiers for best linear operation. Most go for max smoke...

So I ask, Solid State or Tube?

> Save yourself (and me) a lot of time and refer
> to the web page at www.vcnet.com/measures for the same
> technique and tools I use.

The old 'I can't answer that so look it up yourself' stuff.
OK, I looked but did not find an obvious link to tuning up an
amplifier. Perhaps you could post the exact link to this.

1976. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 14:21:15 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <NYednUHP8vi09yygXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 140753

You might contact your local ham club, and see if they can put you in touch
with some foxhunters.
These guys locate transmitters for fun.

1977. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 14:36:18 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <yvWdndJobr0t8CygXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 140754

> No shit, sherlock. My point was that maybe there is some other method
> of radiating a signal other than a conventional hertzian antenna.

Earlier you were telling me that "physics" once said the earth was flat,
when in fact it was religious dicta.

> >You can only go where physics will let you.
>
> And those limitations are constantly being stretched through research
> and development of new technologies.

Truthfully, they are always the same, we just keep finding out more about
what they are.

> More of that pesky conventional wisdom. Your physics textbook doesn't
> cover everything that's possible, as my detractors are so blissfully
> aware. Think: If you are trying to contrive an antenna that's smaller
> than a hertzian antenna, are you going to use the hertzian principle?
> ...uh, probably not!

No, but you still have to deal with Maxwell's equations, and the inverse
square law.

> A maser doesn't radiate? Since when?

Since forever. A maser is an amplifier, not an antenna.
http://einstein.stanford.edu/gen_int/faqs/maser.html
It would also be pretty big, since the waveguide would have to be large
enough to have the wavelength at 27 MHz be shorter than the waveguide's
cutoff frequency.

> >As you point out below, once you hit the noise floor, the maser is way
> >overkill.
>
> What does the noise floor have to do with a maser?

The maser is an amplifier. When you're using it as a receive amp, it's a
damned low noise amp.
But, as you pointed out, you really don't need that good an amp.

> >> When your radio already receives the noise floor, a preamp only makes
> >> it louder. You can do that with the volume knob.
> >
> >True, but how many CBs are already there?
>
> ...huh?

How many CBs have that level of performance on the receive side?
It might be interesting to make noise floor measurements on a few popular models.

> >It's another space to use for compromise.
>
> It must be way past your beddy-bye time, cause you aren't making any
> sense at all.

When you are looking into a design, you find that there are several directions (or spaces) to compromise into. The old saw "better, faster, cheaper, pick any two" is a good example of a three dimensional space. Many problems have more than three dimensions to them. Some have less.

1978. Re: ARNewsline 1313 - Oct 11 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 14:49:46 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <xvudnX9OzLBF7SygXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 140755

> RESCUE RADIO: HAMS HONORED FOR TORNADO WORK
>
> Ham radio Radio Skywarn spotters from 14 Central and Southern Indiana
counties
> are being given credit for helping save lives. This, during an unusual
> outbreak of tornadoes the morning of Friday, September 20 th. Amateur
News
> Weekly's Jack Parker, W8ISH, tracked the storm and has this report.

That was an interesting day. We were right near the end of the track, with F2 damage in delaware county. I made the front page on the local paper the next week, when they did an article on storm spotters. The reporter who was with me on friday got a pretty interesting ride.
The interesting thing was that nobody predicted anything severe. It was a rare collision of hurricane remanants with a descending cold front. The storm "surfed" the leading edge of the front, which was the only place where it could have picked up enough energy to survive.
CAPE values that day were only about 500, which is barely enough energy to support a significant thunderstorm.

> When an F3 Tornado started it's destructive journey across Indiana,
Hoosier
> hams were hot on the trail. In fact, the storm moved so quick several
amateur
> radio operators almost became casualties themselves.

True. It's very hard spotting these HP cells, because you are in front of them, and there's a real danger of being overrun by a rain-wrapped tornado.
We spend about half of our training time on spotter safety.

1979. Keydowners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 15:22:54 UTC
From: igotwatts4u@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <289e205a.0210190722.18c4778a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140756

Who is wining all they key downs now? I havent been to any in a while.
I was just wondering who is the top dog out there. Is Bodeine still
out there keying? How about Noname or Dave? Just trying to find out...

1980. Re: Old Cb's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 15:40:34 UTC
From: Pellucid <dave@jolly27.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <aoruqgmkm1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 140757

The gyro-lock sounds fantastic! a precursor of PLL's? the only old set I have is made of a whole bunch of crystals, that produce the 23 chans. by mixes.
.
jason <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:aoo1rmmsp1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> I got a box of what seem to be pretty old 23 channel cbs today, 3 that i
> really have questions about, got a Johnson messenger 123A, it has a very odd
> power hook up, anybody know how to hook up??
> Also got a Royce Gyro-Lock1-601,. it needs a mic id like to know the pin
> config on it if anyone happens to know it, and a GM 23M, has no mic, all of
> them seem to be working, just need power hook up info for the johnson and
> mic pin configs for the other 2, ive never heard of the Royce or the GM,
> couldnt find anything on the net, id appreciate any info,
>
> Thanks,
> Jason
>
>

1981. Re: heathkit amps any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 16:30:26 UTC
From: Major Tom <NonValidAddress@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <CMfs9.10616$md1.1768@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 140760

Hi Brian,

It depends on who put the amp together. I have seen some
that were put together very well with a professional look inside
and then there are some that looked like a child assembled it
using a Bic lighter to solder. Whatever you do, be sure that
you are able to look inside at the construction work.

Hope this helps
Tom

"brian" <y40002000NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns92AAE733A17B8y40002000NOSPAMyahoo@24.94.165.87...
> I have noticed lots of sb200 and sb220 heathkits for sale on ebay, at what
> seems to be a reasonable price. Are they any good? I know the 220 has 2
> 500z tubes but what about the sb200?

1982. Re: Old Cb's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 16:46:53 UTC
From: Mike Scott <buzzards@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <10gs9.32314$eW3.14783@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 140761

Hi Jason,
Here are the microphone pin-outs you asked for:
Royce 1-601 PLL version as per Sams #103:
Pin #1 Audio input
Pin #2 Shield
Pin #3 transmit key line
Pin #4 not utillized

GM 23:
could not find the radio in my Sams library. If you can find another part number on the radio or maybe email me a picture of it, maybe I can figure it out for you. Email me at buzzards at optonline dot net if you need anything else.
Mike Scott "The Antenna Man" WJ2D

1983. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 17:15:58 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <irgs9.15143$La5.34268@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 140762

Guess I should 'a been a little more clear. One of the guys on 27, I think it's "Voyager", hides out in his truck when he's on. His wife doesn't seem to like CB much at all. I only have a girlfriend no wife, I do call her my wife sometimes. In the future if I say wife or girlfriend you know they are the same person. I try to lead a very plain risk free life. I used up my allotment of "free passes" growing up, step outta line these days and I know my luck wont hold like it used to.

On the subject of "Dave's" I would have to agree there are entirely too many on this News Group. I've been thinking about changing my name on the group, to avoid any confusion.

Dave

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DAFF302.7A8B@worldlynx.net...
> Dave wrote:
>
> > hiding out in the truck so
> > the *wife* doesn't know you're "On that damn CB again" ect)
> >
> >
> > I wouldn't care but I never get to see them and my *girlfriend* would
just love
> > to catch me checking out another gal.
>
>
>
> Hmmm..... You lead an interesting life..... And a risky one too. ;)
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
> Is it me or has the amount of "Daves" here just taken a huge spike
> upward?
>
>

1984. Re: PSE QSY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 17:23:28 UTC
From: cottonballs <moesayscotton@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3db19506@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 140763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

What freqs do these militants operate on..?
Are they linked in any way to Al Queda or Bin Laden..?
There's already enough foreigners who are non-hams
taking up residence on 40 and 80 Meter SSB late
at night. Much of it coded/strange transmissions
late at night on the lower end of 40 SSB.

"K0CKB" <k0ckb@arrrrrl.net> wrote in message
news:y4es9.2628$U97.284532@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> SOUTHERN NEWS - TAMIL NADU
> October 19, 2002
>
> Hams live in fear of crossing Lankan militant frequency
>
> CHENNAI: Chakravathy, a retired teacher from Payathambadi, a village about
> 15 kms away from Villupuram had lost his vision years ago. Now, he is only
> hoping that he would not be shot dead by the Lankan militants.
>
> A day after Chak _ as he is popularly known among the hams _ spoke to his
> fellow hams in Sri Lanka on 40 m band about universal brotherhood in 1985,
> three people, two of whose names he vaguely remembers as Ganesh and
> Ekambaram, gate-crashed into his house and threatened to kill him. (Ham is
a
> hobby where people speak others with the same hobby through a special
radio
> equipment on the amateur radio frequency)
>
> ``It was about 8 pm. They placed an AK 47 on my temple and said they had
> orders to kill me. I had to plead with them saying I am blind and there
was
> no malice intended in my conversation. This was not easy. Later they
seemed
> to understand. They had ordered me to serve dinner without salt. But when
> they left they said would return to kill me if they have any further
orders
> from their supremo,'' says Chakravarthy.
>
> That was a typical reaction of the Lankan militants towards any ham, who
> wittingly or unwittingly tuned into the amateur frequencies used by them.
> The movement of the Lankan militants, Chennai hams say, has been reined in
> since then but neither he nor any his fellow hams would dare go anywhere
> near such frequencies.
>

> ``I never spoke about this to anyone expect a few of my family members and
> fellow hams. I even refused to lodge a police complaint. Even now I hear
> them misuse the frequencies. But these days if I ever find them on radio I
> turn simply turn it off,'' says Chakravarthy.
>
> Barring Chakravarthy, none of the 800-odd hams in Chennai are even willing
> to come on record about the abuse of the wireless radio by the militants.
> Though most say they too have a dreadful story to narrate.
>
> ``Most of us come across them. But why should we complain about them when
I
> am sure the cost for it would be our life? There are times when we are
> threatened even if we accidentally enter their frequency. Sometimes even
> they listen to us,'' says popular ham, requesting anonymity. For instance,
> Sundar (name changed) of Velachery, who spoke of his equipment had to face
a
> few men with arms the next day. ``He was only speaking about the
equipment.
> Someone in the militant group also had the same equipment and wanted a
> manual. So they came to his house and threatened to kill him if he does
not
> hand over the manual,'' says a another ham.
>
> But this does not mean that the government does not monitor what happens
on
> these radio frequencies. The Wireless Planning and Co-ordination
Committee,
> formed under the Union ministry of Communication has an office in the
> Chennai, where such conversations are monitored once every week. The
station
> also conducts examinations and issues licences, which are mandatory for a
> ham to use the amateur frequency.
>
> ``When we tune in to the amateur frequency we do hear some extremists
group.
> But we record them and report to the department of home affairs, both at
the
> centre and state,'' says an officer-in-charge at the monitoring station.
>
> But why does the station not monitor it everyday: ``Because that is not
our
> only job. Our main job is to see to provide hindrance-free frequency for
the
> wireless users including police and paramiltary forces. Amateur radio is
> only a small slice of the frequency. And we expect the city intelligence
to
> monitor this,'' says a senior official at the monitoring station.
>
> While a senior officer in the City intelligence dismissed the issue saying
> they have never intercepted extremists and it was monitored by the

> Intelligence Bureau, the IB officers have put the ball back in court of
the
> monitoring station.
>
> ``How can we monitor them when they have the state-of-the-art equipment?''
> asks a senior official at the Intelligence Bureau. Meanwhle, the hams in
the
> city seem restless. ``I played an important role in decoding messages
after
> the death of Rajiv Gandhi. I have a acknowledgment from the CBI for the
> same. Though they know we can be very useful in tapping crucial
information
> is sad to note that we are completely ignored. Forget anything else, we
> don't even have friendly networks,'' says a Chennai-based ham.

1985. newbie qusetions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 17:35:34 UTC
From: Tyler Dirden <na@spam.smeat.net>
Message-ID: <CJgs9.14609$zE6.42369@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 140764

I'm new to the CB world. I've got a Jeep that I'm outfitting for the trail and I need a cb...

I understand some of the VERY basics, like, don't skimp on the antenna, buy a good one!

Where can I buy (on and offline)?

Anything else you wanna share will be appreciated.
td

All my mail is virus free. If you got it, it wasn't from me...
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.389 / Virus Database: 220 - Release Date: 9/16/2002

1986. Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 17:53:49 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021019135349.18997.00002430@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 140765

http://www.qrz.com/detail/TX0AT

check this out

1987. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 18:32:55 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rzhs9.12599$qM2.4046@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 140766

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DB03F4C.1AA3@worldlynx.net...
<snip>
> And somehow, he also thinks that posing an opposing view, and calling an
> idiot, an idiot, for espousing sociopathic ideals, is somehow against
> TOS rules for various ISP's. I dare say that he more than welcome to try
> that premise out on my ISP. I doubt they would want to risk a first
> amendment lawsuit, just to silence my opinion.....
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

I'm sorry Dave but in a news group you have no rights. Most certainly you have no First Amendment rights. Believe it or not a news group (moderated or not) is technically a privately owned entity and as such you CAN be censored, and have no recourse against such censorship. You can espouse your views freely in the USA, unfortunately that means "Public" property. Property owners have the right to squash speech they don't like. There is no such thing as free speech on the Internet. Unless you own the domain, the hosting servers, ect. Then somewhere down the line you can legally be censored even on your own web pages. Hell individual ISP's could censor you by blocking their subscribers access to you. All very legal in the U S of A.

Dave

1988. Re: Anyone living in the Nashville area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 18:49:17 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021019144917.00146.00001579@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 140767

They were about 1/2 to 2/3 the price as far as reltals go compared to where i live now which is also where i lived prior to moving to the Nashville area. I am within 30 miles of NYC so as you can guess housing up here is a little high. Utilities and food and soforth wilkl be about the same.
You will also find that unless you are being transferred such as I was , the wages SUCK!
Train

>Subject: Re: Anyone living in the Nashville area?
>From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
>Date: 10/17/02 7:45 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021017074501.919$Kb@newsreader.com>
>
>Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote:
>> On 17 Oct 2002 01:49:19 GMT, tra1n@aol.commmmmm (TRA1N) wrote:
>>
>> >I used to live there.
>> >Train
>> >
>>
>>> what is average rent and utilities cost there?
>> KC8TCQ
>>
>Lots of info about that,using your search engine.
>
>--
>God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
>------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
>go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1989. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 19:06:14 UTC
From: "Alan S." <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92AC7B1FE7AACrrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 140768

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in
news:3AadnSkCgsk_fy2gXTWcrg@comcast.com:

>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:23970-3DB01843-352@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>>
> http://interviews.slashdot.org/interviews/02/09/27/1420201.shtml?tid=
>>
>
> What is of more interest is the link below:
>
> http://www.gnu.org/software/gnuradio/gnuradio.html
>
> That is where the future of radio technology is headed.
>
I checked that out. WOW. Too cool, and right up my alley:

"What this means is that it turns the digital modulation schemes used in
today's high performance wireless devices into software problems."

Right on.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

1990. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 19:53:50 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210191153.1eb00a82@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210171457.a59ceda@posting.google.com>...
> Could you finally be more specific, Aanal Aaron? I know you have
> violated them all by repeatedly posting garbage and lies.

... not to mention if "Aaron" really did try to play Uncle Sam's
Little Helper, he'd get busted for *violating* laws against
interfering in a federal investigation.
>
> BTW, where's your PROOF?

"Oooouch!!! Not that P-word again!"

Guy

1991. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 20:10:11 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DB1BC23.E527C86D@cwis.net>
Source record: 140770

Dave,
You still need to do some 'rethinking' about that
3bd gain. It's just not there. The 50 ohm 5 watt
resistor is still more efrficient than a 'fractenna',
ol'Frac's own figures prove that out.
'Doc

1992. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 20:36:14 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <QoKcnWwtjpKXXyygXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 140771

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021019160152.820$ex@newsreader.com...
> "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:
> >> You might contact your local ham club, and see if they can put you in
> > > touch with some foxhunters.
> > > These guys locate transmitters for fun.
> > >
> > He can do it himself with a CB..When you get close enough that he pins
your
> > S meter,disconnect your coax(antenna) and you can drive right to his
house,
> > using your S meter.

Possible certainly. Close in though, a high powered signal starts acting
like it's everywhere, and some experience might be helpful.

1993. Messanger 4v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 20:39:09 UTC
From: D Schmidt <dshcmidt49@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <Npjs9.13728$qM2.4370@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 140772

I have a messanger 4v with fan kit. I think it has 1-1461 driving 8-1461 power transitors. Looking for someone to trade for a communication reciever or buy it out right. Contact by email and maybe work out a deal. deecor2@attbi.com

1994. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 20:53:05 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ur3hkg3kk2ov63@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 140773

Interesting, but that is the Chesterfield islands. I think some of the questions have revolved around unlicensed operation above 28.000. Obviously, not all of the world has the same amateur bands as the US. 10 meters is, I believe, a world wide amateur band; however, that does not preclude one or more countries from authorizing more for their amateurs. I do believe they are licensed and therefor can go above 28.000 and, if their government authorizes it, can go below as well.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021019135349.18997.00002430@mb-df.aol.com...
> http://www.qrz.com/detail/TX0AT
>
> check this out
>
>

1995. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 21:18:50 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <shaggy@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <QrycnQt8Ho2hUSygXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 140774

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > http://www.qrz.com/detail/TX0AT
> >
> >> check this out
> >
> What's this?
>
> A Ham radio operator is bending the rules?
>
> Hogwash!

LOL Where did you get that out of that.

1996. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 21:47:18 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <Rb-dnZmGeKEvTyygXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 140775

> When you get that close,look for the antenna(s).

True, as long as there's only one.
It's a bit easier on CB, harder to hide the antennas :)

I did a hunt once, where I used a transmitter consisting only of two 19" pieces of magnet wire, and a diode.

It was illuminated from across the street with two 10Ghz gunn horns 146.565 mhz apart.
The diode mixed the signals, and radiated them on the difference frequency.

It was a short range thing, but amazingly powerful given that there's no conventional power source.. At 10GHz, a 17dB horn is something you can hide under a hat!

They did eventually find it, but it was a brute force physical search.
Great fun watching them digging around in the weeds!

1997. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 21:48:57 UTC
From: Dan <nezor@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <drks9.3312$U97.349805@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 140776

Thanks for the advice, guys. Can you point me to any ham hobbyist websites (I'll check the ham newsgroups, too)?

They just got on again - now it's not just Albert, but a woman calling herself Boss Lady, asking everyone she talks to "What's your 20?" about fifteen times in a row. Guess she's in love with radio-lingo. She's also identifying herself as "172 in St. Louis, MO," does that number mean anything?

I tried removing my receiver's little FM antenna, that didn't change anything.

I might try disconnecting my coax to the TV entirely next time, I think it's the TV itself picking up the signal. Their voices blast through

I took a drive around, but there are just way too many trees in my area. I'll see about picking up a CB with an S-meter that'll run off my car's adapter. I doubt I'll need much of an antenna to pick this guy up.

I'm perfectly willing to try filtering it out at my end, too - what would I need for my TV? The sound *only* comes through the television's speaker, nowhere else.

1998. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 21:49:09 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB1D355.966FB943@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140800

Dickieboy Craniumless wrote:

> > Found the 10 meter band yet dickieboy?
>
> What, have you lost it again, dumbass? It's the same place it was last
> time I checked, just above 28.0 MHz. Look it up.

I mean have you learned how to find it on you receiver yet and
hook up an antenna and hear the signals there? Especially the
truckers on 28.085.

> And what's you're
> real name and callsign, "JJ"? Dimbulb.

No no, you first "dickieboy". Do you even have a callsign?

1999. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 21:50:43 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <2GSdnWiqCLziTiygXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 140777

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021019155504.246$CF@newsreader.com...
> "Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote:
> (snip)
> >>On the subject of "Dave's" I would have to agree there are entirely too
> > many on this News Group. I've been thinking about changing my name on
the
> > group, to avoid any confusion.
> >
> Daveaholic? <g>

Our EOC group has President Dave McCollum, Microwave Dave Doiron, Dave
Costello, Me, Dave Leporis (EMT/Hazmat guy) and Dr Dave Arnold, our
meteorologist.

2000. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 21:55:24 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210191355.22aa3968@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140778

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021019135349.18997.00002430@mb-df.aol.com>...
> http://www.qrz.com/detail/TX0AT
>
> check this out

When you see "freeband" contests listed in QST and CQ, then you can
celebrate, Tim. Not before.

-Aaron-

2001. Re: newbie qusetions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 21:59:56 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <7MmcnToKMqw1SCygXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 140779

> Best mobile antenna?
>
> A 102 inch stainless steel whip. (bar none)
>
> Downside is,it's also a tree pruner,so you'll need the spring at the base,
> and a plastic hook up towards the front of the jeep. You can then secure
> it,when you're not using it,just bend it forward and hook it tight.
>
> I think the spring will change your SWR so,trim the whip accordingly.

I ran one of these on 10M years ago, I just left off the spring. Tuned up beautifully at 28.400
I've worked Japan, Vladivostok, and Ontario while driving up and down I-5 in California.
Inline switch let me share it with the CB rig, which is actually more useful on long drives than the 10m rig. A bit higher SWR on the CB band, but still acceptable. One night I was stuck in snow at donner pass, and the only guy I could get through to on 10, was in Pensylvania! Not much help on road conditions there.

It was deaf as a post at first, because I forgot about the feedline length. Once I had it trimmed to 1.5 wavelengths, everything was fine.

I need to work out a low band antenna for the new rig.
I really don't want to go through the roof again. The front antenna was sort of ok, because there's a console right below it, and I didn't have to mess with the headliner. Lots of room in the back, between the dual band larsen glassmounts for SSTV and APRS, but it's also a high rig already, whatever I do has to come down to a couple inches or less when I need to park indoors.

I've looked at the screwdrivers, but all that weight, mounted down low, I just don't believe it will last.

2002. Re: newbie qusetions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 22:05:58 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <aosl1101dvo@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 140785

"Tyler Dirden" <na@spam.smeat.net> wrote in message
news:CJgs9.14609$zE6.42369@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> I'm new to the CB world. I've got a Jeep that I'm outfitting for the trail
> and I need a cb...
>
> I understand some of the VERY basics, like, don't skimp on the antenna,
buy
> a good one!
>
> Where can I buy (on and offline)?
>
> Anything else you wanna share will be appreciated.
> td
>

For a jeep and offroading, consider using a five foot fiberglass type
antenna.
stainless steel whips tend to get caught in trees.
Then include the smaller size flexible mount so it won't snap in two.
Look around your local wal-mart, best buy, circuit city for the radios.
Some will sell the antennas too. But not a lot of selection.
For a wider selection of radios, try a major truck stop, which will also
have the antennas.
Most radios are about the same. It's all in the extra frills you want to
include, and how much you're willing to fork out for one.
A $40 radio works the same as one for $200.

For a jeep, cheaper is better.

2003. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 22:06:19 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210191406.495ad221@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140781

"Dan" nezor@hotmail.com wrote
>Thanks for the advice, guys. Can you point me to any ham
>hobbyist websites
>(I'll check the ham newsgroups, too)?

Here's a list of St. Louis ham clubs:

http://www.cvil.wustl.edu/~gary/Ham/ham_local_orgs.html

>
>They just got on again - now it's not just Albert, but a woman calling
>herself Boss Lady, asking everyone she talks to "What's your 20?" about
>fifteen times in a row. Guess she's in love with radio-lingo. She's also
>identifying herself as "172 in St. Louis, MO," does that number mean
>anything?

The number unfortunately means nothing.

> I tried removing my receiver's little FM antenna, that didn't change
>anything.
>
>I might try disconnecting my coax to the TV entirely next time, I think
>it's
>the TV itself picking up the signal. Their voices blast through
>
>I took a drive around, but there are just way too many trees in my area.
>I'll see about picking up a CB with an S-meter that'll run off my car's
>adapter. I doubt I'll need much of an antenna to pick this guy up.
>
>I'm perfectly willing to try filtering it out at my end, too - what would
>I
>need for my TV? The sound *only* comes through the
> television's speaker,
>nowhere else.

You may have an easy fix. Try here:

http://www.antennex.com/shack/Dec99/beads.htm

And here:

http://www.soundstage.com/synergize/synergize051998.htm

Good luck.
-Aaron-

2004. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 22:06:59 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <YvycnSf775zSSiygXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 140780

> I'm perfectly willing to try filtering it out at my end, too - what would
I
> need for my TV? The sound *only* comes through the television's speaker,
> nowhere else.

If you've removed the TV antenna lead, there's not a lot more you can do.

If it goes away when you remove the antenna, you need a high pass
interference filter.
I think you can still get these at radio shack, but I'm not sure.
Be careful that it's not happening in your cable box, that has a receiver,
descrambler, and tv transmitter inside, lots of opportunities for signals
getting in there, but they are usually very well shielded.

He could be coming in at his second harmonic (27 MHz *2) into your TV's IF
frequency, or direct rectification into the audio amplifier.

Does he mess with the picture at all?
If not, that points more to the audio section. If so, more to the IF or
tuner.

Either way, you'll need someone qualified to get in there and install the
right filtering/shielding.
Lethal voltages in there.

IMHO, he should ease up on the warp drive.
This is one reason high power isn't allowed on CB.

2005. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 22:07:34 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <f8CdneSuPo_vSiygXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 140782

> >> Our EOC group has President Dave McCollum, Microwave Dave Doiron, Dave
> > Costello, Me, Dave Leporis (EMT/Hazmat guy) and Dr Dave Arnold, our
> > meteorologist.
> >
> In that case,consider going by your middle name. ;)

Bleeaghh.. Noway!

2006. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 22:07:54 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db1c709.329110@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140783

In <yvWdndJobr0t8CygXTWcqg@comcast.com>, "Dave VanHorn"
<dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:

>
>> No shit, sherlock. My point was that maybe there is some other method
>> of radiating a signal other than a conventional hertzian antenna.
>
>Earlier you were telling me that "physics" once said the earth was flat,
>when in fact it was religious dicta.

And I remember clarifying your remark about how the church would
dictate the sciences. Is there something you don't understand about
that, or do we have to go full circle one more time?

>> >You can only go where physics will let you.
>>
>> And those limitations are constantly being stretched through research
>> and development of new technologies.
>
>Truthfully, they are always the same, we just keep finding out more about
>what they are.

The difference is that once the scientists declare something to be a
'limitation', that limitation is somehow exceeded later by new
discoveries and research. Like it was once believed that the atom was
the fundamental building block of matter; then came the sub-atomic
particles such as the neutron, proton and electron. Later they
discovered that even those are made of quarks, etc.

In other words, the limitations are declared by the physicists, not
the physics.

>> More of that pesky conventional wisdom. Your physics textbook doesn't
>> cover everything that's possible, as my detractors are so blissfully
>> aware. Think: If you are trying to contrive an antenna that's smaller
>> than a hertzian antenna, are you going to use the hertzian principle?
>> ...uh, probably not!
>
>No, but you still have to deal with Maxwell's equations, and the inverse
>square law.

Maxwell's equations are the electromagnetic equivalent of Newtonian
physics: generally true, but certainly not the whole story. As for the
"inverse square law", that's a mathematical observation used to
describe most natural phenomena; so what's your point?

>> A maser doesn't radiate? Since when?
>
>Since forever. A maser is an amplifier, not an antenna.
>http://einstein.stanford.edu/gen_int/faqs/maser.html

Of course it's an amplifier, but it's output happens to be microwave
radiation:

http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/devadvice/311.html
http://www.factmonster.com/ce6/sci/A0832083.html
http://aol.bartleby.com/65/ma/maser.html
http://www.phys.unsw.edu.au/~mgb/mgb.html

If you do a little research (and I do mean a LITTLE), you would even
find that the maser clocks use a microwave receiver to detect the
microwaves that are radiated from the maser.

>It would also be pretty big, since the waveguide would have to be large
>enough to have the wavelength at 27 MHz be shorter than the waveguide's
>cutoff frequency.

Not necessarily, and it wouldn't even need a waveguide since it's not
microwaves were using. All it requires is a substance that radiates
it's quanta at 27 MHz.

>> >As you point out below, once you hit the noise floor, the maser is way
>> >overkill.
>>
>> What does the noise floor have to do with a maser?
>
>The maser is an amplifier. When you're using it as a receive amp, it's a
>damned low noise amp.
>But, as you pointed out, you really don't need that good an amp.

I wasn't talking about using it as a receiver amplifier. A maser isn't
reciprocal.

>> >> When your radio already receives the noise floor, a preamp only makes
>> >> it louder. You can do that with the volume knob.
>> >
>> >True, but how many CBs are already there?
>>
>> ...huh?
>
>How many CBs have that level of performance on the receive side?
>It might be interesting to make noise floor measurements on a few popular
>models.

If you can turn up the volume and RF gain to the point where you hear
noise, that's the noise floor. I would have to say that most, if not
all, CB radios can do that, assuming they are functioning correctly.

>> >It's another space to use for compromise.
>>

>> It must be way past your beddy-bye time, cause you aren't making any
>> sense at all.
>
>When you are looking into a design, you find that there are several
>directions (or spaces) to compromise into. The old saw "better, faster,
>cheaper, pick any two" is a good example of a three dimensional space. Many
>problems have more than three dimensions to them. Some have less.

Well then, are you content with being a flat-lander?

2007. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 22:17:42 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db1d9b0.5104290@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140784

In <3db0f884.13214076@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

<snip>
> In fact, I believe that a couple of their sweep
>tube 'linears' used the sweep tubes configured as triodes in order to
>achieve linearity.

By golly I was right again! See the 1200-X:

http://www.pcs.mb.ca/~standard/Amplifiers.htm

2008. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 23:00:36 UTC
From: Dan <nezor@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ouls9.3393$U97.359434@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 140786

>
> If you've removed the TV antenna lead, there's not a lot more you can do.
>
> If it goes away when you remove the antenna, you need a high pass
> interference filter.
> I think you can still get these at radio shack, but I'm not sure.
> Be careful that it's not happening in your cable box, that has a receiver,
> descrambler, and tv transmitter inside, lots of opportunities for signals
> getting in there, but they are usually very well shielded.
>
> He could be coming in at his second harmonic (27 MHz *2) into your TV's IF
> frequency, or direct rectification into the audio amplifier.
>
> Does he mess with the picture at all?
> If not, that points more to the audio section. If so, more to the IF or
> tuner.
>
> Either way, you'll need someone qualified to get in there and install the
> right filtering/shielding.
> Lethal voltages in there.
>
> IMHO, he should ease up on the warp drive.
> This is one reason high power isn't allowed on CB.

The only visual distortion I notice is when I've got the TV muted. The word "MUTE" is printed across the screen. When Albert keys down, that indicator jumps crazily up and down from the top of the screen to the bottom. Other than that, it's all just very loud sound.

I picked up a little handheld Cobra unit with accessories to run it either walking around or in my car. Any advice for keeping a magnet-mount antenna from scratching a car's finish? <g>

2009. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 23:04:37 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210191504.4a972699@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140787

voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<75ad2ce2.0210190502.59670b27@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> >Given the current cost of
> (snip)
>
>
> Hi Steve. I see you're still grumpy. Can't blame you. After all, you
> can no longer troll usenet anonymously.
>
> -Aaron-

You mean like YOU do? Where's your PROOF, Aanal Aaron? You still don't
have any! Dimbulb. Post your PROOF. And do it soon, lamer.

2010. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 23:07:43 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210191507.482527f3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140788

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DB1642A.4FB663B4@mailcity.net>...
> Welfare Check wrote:
> >
> > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> > > What "derogatory names", Aanal Aaron? Only facts. BTW, you're >a great
> > one to talk about credibility, since you have none.
> >
> > And you think your credible because? What's your name? What's your callsign?
> > Where are you from? You can't answer any of them truthfully You have ducked
> > them evrytime asked. Your *Excuse* is well aaron won't give his, well that
> > goes to show just how much less credible you are, you need someone else to
> > validate your credibility. LOL Dickhead is your name and trolling is your
> > game. And you are in the Buffalo/Rochester Ny area.

My name is obvious, dumbass. It's Richard Cranium. And you haven't
found out anything different because of..? I'm nowg=here near
Buffao/Rochester, Georgie-girl.

> Isn't it amazing how dickie craniumless, twistieboy and others
> rant about credibility of those who use fake ids or won't post
> their call sign or give names, but neither will they.
> Found the 10 meter band yet dickieboy?

What, have you lost it again, dumbass? It's the same place it was last
time I checked, just above 28.0 MHz. Look it up. And what's you're
real name and callsign, "JJ"? Dimbulb.

2011. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 23:12:09 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210191512.389c1893@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140789

"Welfare Check" <Check@demonseed.comPton> wrote in message
news:<PXCdnawJ2JHX_SygXTWc3A@comcast.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > What "derogatory names", Aanal Aaron? Only facts. BTW, you're >a great
> one to talk about credibility, since you have none.
>
>
> And you think your credible because? What's your name? What's your callsign?
> Where are you from? You can't answer any of them truthfully You have ducked
> them evrytime asked. Your *Excuse* is well aaron won't give his, well that
> goes to show just how much less credible you are, you need someone else to
> validate your credibility. LOL Dickhead is your name and trolling is your
> game. And you are in the Buffalo/Rochester Ny area.

Primarily because I don't LIE about everything like Aanal Aaron does.
I'll post my name and callsign as soon as Aanal Aaron does, and not
before, and I've stated that several times, dimwit. What's "evrtime"?
I'm nowhere near Buffalo or Rochester, dumbass. And you are
Georgie-girl Busch, WA3MOJ, in Allentoen, PA. What a loser! Why do YOU
protect Aanal Aaron? He's been proven wrong about everything he's ever
posted!

2012. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 23:13:13 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210191513.a1ffd70@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140790

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210190418.4d8cd08f@posting.google.com>...
>You mean like YOU do? Where's your PROOF, Aanal Aaron?
>You still don't have any! Dimbulb. Post your PROOF. And
>do it soon, lamer.

Do it soon or else what? You'll cry? You'll post some more threats
using the wmparr account? Why should anyone do what you say, Steve?

-Aaron-

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired
for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the
operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of
a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by
the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at
the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so
inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain
about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to
follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard
Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to
debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

2013. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 23:14:26 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <B7ydnf1GM9mDeiygXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 140791

> And I remember clarifying your remark about how the church would
> dictate the sciences. Is there something you don't understand about
> that, or do we have to go full circle one more time?

Physics is nature. It dosen't depend on us for anything, it just is.
Religious dicta aren't physics, no matter how much some people might like
them to be.
The "laws of physics" are a set of rules, which as yet have stood all tests,
and model the behaviour of the physical universe quite well. They are under
constant threat of revision, but only by stronger (and usually simpler)
laws.

Pick up a copy of Asimov's guide to Physics. It's a great book, covering
everything from mechanics to quanta, and it reads like a novel.

> The difference is that once the scientists declare something to be a
> 'limitation', that limitation is somehow exceeded later by new
> discoveries and research.

That's how science works.
The real limitations are out there, but we almost certainly don't know them
all yet.
We know a lot of them, in pretty good detail.

>Like it was once believed that the atom was
> the fundamental building block of matter; then came the sub-atomic
> particles such as the neutron, proton and electron. Later they
> discovered that even those are made of quarks, etc.

Ok, but each theory was an accurate description of the universe in it's
time, within the limits of what we could observe and quantify. The Greeks
couldn't have designed radio antennas, they had no theory to stand on. They
made lots of metal things like spears, that aren't too dis-similar to
antennas, but this application is only coincidental.

> In other words, the limitations are declared by the physicists, not the
physics

Physics (nature) defines the limitations. Physicists find the limitations
for us by studying nature.

> Maxwell's equations are the electromagnetic equivalent of Newtonian
> physics: generally true, but certainly not the whole story. As for the
> "inverse square law", that's a mathematical observation used to
> describe most natural phenomena; so what's your point?

You can't design until you have a workable theory.
It's not just a matter of some garage tinkering to create things that require a new understanding of electromagnetics.

> >> A maser doesn't radiate? Since when?
> >
> >Since forever. A maser is an amplifier, not an antenna.
> >http://einstein.stanford.edu/gen_int/faqs/maser.html
>
> Of course it's an amplifier, but it's output happens to be microwave
> radiation:

Theoretically, a maser could be built at any frequency, but construction and materials may prove difficult. YOU brought it in here, I didn't suggest it.

> If you do a little research (and I do mean a LITTLE), you would even
> find that the maser clocks use a microwave receiver to detect the
> microwaves that are radiated from the maser.

I know what masers are.
And this relates to CB antennas how?

> Not necessarily, and it wouldn't even need a waveguide since it's not
> microwaves were using. All it requires is a substance that radiates
> it's quanta at 27 MHz.

I said the implementation might be difficult. Remember, it was your idea, it's not up to me to defend it.

> I wasn't talking about using it as a receiver amplifier. A maser isn't reciprocal.

It dosen't have to be. Use two. Use a pair of switches. Use a couple circulators.

> If you can turn up the volume and RF gain to the point where you hear
> noise, that's the noise floor. I would have to say that most, if not
> all, CB radios can do that, assuming they are functioning correctly.

In a limited way, that's correct, but not in that way.

The noise floor is an expression of how much noise the receiver itself produces.
There's always some. In an ideal receiver, there would be none, and it's noise floor would be infinitely low. You wouldn't hear ANY noise at maximum gain. This isn't reachable though in practice.
Any real receiver reaches a point where an injected signal at some low level produces no appreciable output. There's your noise floor. -120 - -140dBm is sort of typical.
If you've got a good front end, you should be able to tell wether jupiter is above or below the horizon. How nice that we have a convenient weak signal test source :)
It's not quite that simple, as Jupiter isn't a constant source. See:
http://www.radiosky.com/rjcentral.html

There's also a certain amount of natural noise in the environment, at a given frequency, from various sources. Once your receiver's noise floor is below the natural noise level, you've done all that's useful to do.

Point being, a good front end, a quiet receiver, and a good antenna are about all you can do.

> >When you are looking into a design, you find that there are several
> >directions (or spaces) to compromise into. The old saw "better, faster,
> >cheaper, pick any two" is a good example of a three dimensional space.
Many
> >problems have more than three dimensions to them. Some have less.
>
> Well then, are you content with being a flat-lander?

I try to use all the directions that are open to me in a given problem, that was just a simple illustration.

2014. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 23:15:46 UTC
From: Dan <nezor@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <CIls9.3409$U97.361330@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 140792

>Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote
> You may have an easy fix.

Thank you! Looks like a cheap solution definately worth trying.

Thanks for the ham club link, too.

Dan

2015. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 23:16:02 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210191516.66b2baba@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140793

aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message news:<194387a3.0210180530.2976c166@posting.google.com>...
> timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
> >going by what you posted of skipps post how did you come to
> >the final question
> >about him being correct on it representing
> >"most hams views" when he said
> >nothing of the sort?
>
> Read the email again slowly, Timbo. I let skipp's own words speak for
> him. And then at the end, I asked:
>
> >>My question is, are "skipp's" claims accurate?
> >>Do they represent the majority views of amateur radio
> >>operators? Do they represent the majority views of
> >>licensed amateurs frequenting this list?
>
> Notice I am not telling them I think Skipp is right or wrong, I am
> merely asking for opinions.
>
> Do you understand now, or do you need some additional comprehension
> aids?
>
> -Aaron-

Nope. You're merely re-directing as usual, Aanal Aaron. You say something ans=d everyone is simply supposed to accept it? Where's your PROOF, Aanal Aaron?

2016. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 23:16:29 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hJls9.15373$qM2.4691@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 140794

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DAFF0E9.395A@worldlynx.net...
<snip>

I won't totally aggree nor dismiss anything you wrote that I snipped. Fact of the matter is I think you are dead on in a few places and think you're only half right in others. It's all trivial things so we'll skip it. You and I know where we stand on the matter,
I think.

> Once the skip dies out completely, I don't think I will hear many people
> outside of channel 19. There was a group that was local to me, but they
> disbanded (likely due to the skip interference). Things may pick up in a
> year or two. I can always be hopeful.
>
> But I now live about 15 miles away from the nearest major town, and
> about 50 miles from a "big city". In the city, things are a bit wilder,
> they usually are.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

I guess this means that when skip dies out you expect the bands to clean up a little in your area? During the day the bands are *almost* unusable in my area. Way too many signals and quite a bit of skip coming in.You can be heard but there's just so much noise that you really need to be close to your target if you want reliable communication. And this works fine if your communicating on the highway. Not good for talking to those friends who are just barely in range of your radio. In the evening when things slow down, the bands are almost 100% clear of "noise". You'll find a fair amount of traffic on 17 (our local truckers channel) and you'll get sporadic traffic on 27, just depends on how talkative the locals are that night. The rest of the legal 40 is wide open for anyone to jump on, but in my reception range they see only moderate use.

Dave

2017. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 23:19:28 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <urCdnXvlnsTRdSygXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 140795

> The only visual distortion I notice is when I've got the TV muted. The
word
> "MUTE" is printed across the screen. When Albert keys down, that indicator
> jumps crazily up and down from the top of the screen to the bottom. Other
> than that, it's all just very loud sound.

Sounds borderline. I would expect more severe distortion if it's getting in
through the tuner or IF.

> I picked up a little handheld Cobra unit with accessories to run it either
> walking around or in my car. Any advice for keeping a magnet-mount antenna
> from scratching a car's finish? <g>

Cleanliness!

They tend to pick up rust particles over the long term, but if you clean the
place where you're going to mount it well, you should be ok. Make sure
there's no scratchy edges on the mount.

You might not need the antenna at all in this case, it sounds like he's
coming in by direct rectification in the audio, which means he's probably
very close and/or running a lot of power.

You might ask around to borrow a field strength meter. RS sells one combined
with an SWR meter. When that starts picking up, you're within rock-throwing
distance.

2018. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 23:40:43 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <shaggy@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <QxednRa9WfjgcCygXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 140796

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> Primarily because I don't LIE about everything like Aanal Aaron does.
> I'll post my name and callsign as soon as Aanal Aaron does, and not
> before, and I've stated that several times, dimwit. What's "evrtime"?

Whats allentoen? What's "I'm nowg=here near " Your spelling suxs too
dickhead.

> I'm nowhere near Buffalo or Rochester, dumbass.

Explain your IP 66.153.10.251 whois -h magic fe0-1-roc-pt.ny.localnet.com

Registrant:
LocalNet Corporation (LOCALNET-DOM)
5500 Main St.
Williamsville
NY,14221
US

Domain Name: LOCALNET.COM

Administrative Contact:
Silvestri, Marc (MS321) marcus@LOCALNET.COM
alacarte.net, Inc.
325 Hampton Hill Rd.
Williamsville, NY 14221
US
716-632-1133
Technical Contact:
DNS Administrator (DA7064-ORG) dnsadmin@LOCALNET.COM
LocalNet Corp.
5500 Main St.
Buffalo,, NY 14221
US
716-632-1133

And you are
> Georgie-girl Busch, WA3MOJ, in Allentoen, PA.

Am I? how do you know that's who I am?

2019. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 23:40:47 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <shaggy@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <TpmcncKOJvodcCygXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 140797

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210191507.482527f3@posting.google.com...

> My name is obvious, dumbass.

Yes it is Dickhead

> And you haven't found out anything different because of..?

You being a troll and scared to post your real info.

>I'm nowg=here near Buffao/Rochester, Georgie-girl.

please translate...

2020. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 23:41:10 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021019194110.06911.00009917@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 140798

we dont need them. we list them in our various own 11 meter publications printed world wide.

>When you see "freeband" contests listed in QST and CQ, then you can
>celebrate, Tim. Not before.
>
>-Aaron-
>

2021. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 23:48:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021019194823.06911.00009920@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 140799

actually its more funny that the hams got VERY uptight about the whole thing assuming that the freebanders and expedtition members must be operating illegally when in reality that part of the band and that mode is totally legal there. the real truth was that they were bothered that the guys were working 11 meters and making more contacts there than on the ham bands and it bothered them to take back seat to so called "cb'ers"

>
>timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote:
>> http://www.qrz.com/detail/TX0AT
>>
>>> check this out
>>
>What's this?
>
>A Ham radio operator is bending the rules?
>
>Hogwash!
>
>--
>God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
>------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
>go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service
>
>
>
>
>
>

2022. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 23:52:00 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db1e8ac.8940361@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140801

In <B7ydnf1GM9mDeiygXTWcoA@comcast.com>, "Dave VanHorn"
<dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:

>
>> And I remember clarifying your remark about how the church would
>> dictate the sciences. Is there something you don't understand about
>> that, or do we have to go full circle one more time?
>
>Physics is nature. It dosen't depend on us for anything, it just is.
>Religious dicta aren't physics, no matter how much some people might like
>them to be.
>The "laws of physics" are a set of rules, which as yet have stood all tests,
>and model the behaviour of the physical universe quite well. They are under
>constant threat of revision, but only by stronger (and usually simpler)
>laws.

Only if you believe the grand unification theory. Heck, I don't buy
that 'big bang' bs, and even relativity has a few problems. But that
still doesn't negate the fact that physics provides more than one way
to skin a cat.

>Pick up a copy of Asimov's guide to Physics. It's a great book, covering
>everything from mechanics to quanta, and it reads like a novel.

Asimov is a science fiction writer. I would recommend a basic HS or
college physics book, and keep a Van Nostrand's within reach.

>> The difference is that once the scientists declare something to be a
>> 'limitation', that limitation is somehow exceeded later by new
>> discoveries and research.
>
>That's how science works.
>The real limitations are out there, but we almost certainly don't know them
>all yet.
>We know a lot of them, in pretty good detail.

I'll agree that we know the limitations for most practical
applications. But again, there are plenty of ways to pump EM into the
ether.

>>Like it was once believed that the atom was
>> the fundamental building block of matter; then came the sub-atomic
>> particles such as the neutron, proton and electron. Later they
>> discovered that even those are made of quarks, etc.
>
>Ok, but each theory was an accurate description of the universe in it's

>time, within the limits of what we could observe and quantify. The Greeks
>couldn't have designed radio antennas, they had no theory to stand on.

Why not? They had batteries, didn't they? I'm sure they knew about
magnets. It would have only been a matter of time until someone put it
all together. They just didn't have the time.

> They
>made lots of metal things like spears, that aren't too dis-similar to
>antennas, but this application is only coincidental.

I don't even think that's conincidental...:-/

>> In other words, the limitations are declared by the physicists, not the
>physics
>
>Physics (nature) defines the limitations. Physicists find the limitations
>for us by studying nature.

Well said.

>> Maxwell's equations are the electromagnetic equivalent of Newtonian
>> physics: generally true, but certainly not the whole story. As for the
>> "inverse square law", that's a mathematical observation used to
>> describe most natural phenomena; so what's your point?
>
>You can't design until you have a workable theory.
>It's not just a matter of some garage tinkering to create things that
>require a new understanding of electromagnetics.

I suppose that the person that invented gunpowder had a periodic chart
on his wall and was fully versed in molecular physics? Pshaw.

>> >> A maser doesn't radiate? Since when?
>> >
>> >Since forever. A maser is an amplifier, not an antenna.
>> >http://einstein.stanford.edu/gen_int/faqs/maser.html
>>
>> Of course it's an amplifier, but it's output happens to be microwave
>> radiation:
>
>Theoretically, a maser could be built at any frequency, but construction and
>materials may prove difficult. YOU brought it in here, I didn't suggest it.

I did suggest it as an alternative. There are many alternatives. Or
didn't you understand my very first post in this thread? Some
alternatives are better than others. Such as using 96 'pills' instead
of a 4CX5000. That would be considered a poor alternative.

>> If you do a little research (and I do mean a LITTLE), you would even
>> find that the maser clocks use a microwave receiver to detect the
>> microwaves that are radiated from the maser.
>

>I know what masers are.

Obviously you don't if you don't know they radiate.

>And this relates to CB antennas how?

Maybe a coherent radiator could be designed based on the functionality
of the maser. Don't know until you try it. Have you tried it?

>> Not necessarily, and it wouldn't even need a waveguide since it's not
>> microwaves were using. All it requires is a substance that radiates
>> it's quanta at 27 MHz.
>
>I said the implementation might be difficult. Remember, it was your idea,
>it's not up to me to defend it.

Who's asking you to defend it? Sheesh, where did you learn to read:
the Twistedhed School of Where-did-that-come-from?

>> I wasn't talking about using it as a receiver amplifier. A maser isn't
>reciprocal.
>
>It dosen't have to be. Use two. Use a pair of switches. Use a couple
>circulators.

Now you're talking!

>> If you can turn up the volume and RF gain to the point where you hear
>> noise, that's the noise floor. I would have to say that most, if not
>> all, CB radios can do that, assuming they are functioning correctly.
>
>In a limited way, that's correct, but not in that way.
<snip unnecessary and long-winded explanation>

If you had any experience in CB radio repair, you would know that the
front-end noise is only a small contributor to the noise floor -- the
vast majority is received RF hash.

>Point being, a good front end, a quiet receiver, and a good antenna are
>about all you can do.

Sorry, I don't buy into those conventional limitations. Did you know
that it's possible to receive signals considerably BELOW the noise
floor?

>> >When you are looking into a design, you find that there are several
>> >directions (or spaces) to compromise into. The old saw "better, faster,
>> >cheaper, pick any two" is a good example of a three dimensional space.
>Many
>> >problems have more than three dimensions to them. Some have less.
>>
>> Well then, are you content with being a flat-lander?
>
>I try to use all the directions that are open to me in a given problem, that

>was just a simple illustration.

You have to find those directions before you can use them. You can't find them unless you look. You are stuck in a conventional mode of thought, and it severely limits your dimensions.

2023. Re: heathkit amps any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-19 23:56:46 UTC
From: Checkerboard Strangler <jhaas84@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <2jms9.16266$%d2.17012@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 140802

> "brian" adjusted the plate voltage. keyed his D-104 and said:

> > I have noticed lots of sb200 and sb220 heathkits for sale on ebay, at what
> > seems to be a reasonable price. Are they any good? I know the 220 has 2
> > 500z tubes but what about the sb200?

"Major Tom" quickly pulled the pin out of his coax and replied:

> Hi Brian,
> It depends on who put the amp together. I have seen some
> that were put together very well with a professional look inside
> and then there are some that looked like a child assembled it
> using a Bic lighter to solder. Whatever you do, be sure that
> you are able to look inside at the construction work.
>
> Hope this helps
> Tom

"JeffH" pulled his finger out of the light socket long enough to add:

After you've done the white glove inspection of every single solder joint on the rig, get the guy to let you fire it up for a while....better yet, let him
fire it up and drive around listening to it.....sort of a test drive.
Heath really has a gem, and the proof is the fact that they still run like a big block Plymouth cop car after over twenty years.

Power supply issues notwithstanding, you'll have a true blue dependable amp, and a classic worthy of respect.
Mine sure gets lots of respect, both on the air and off, and I've never had a power
supply issue yet.

Respectfully,
JeffH

2024. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 00:13:17 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ur3tc5i5ir32a0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 140804

Reality check here. A few of the guys were on the 10 meter repeater the other day discussing the fact that 10 meters **was** open, yet no one was using it. The problem is that the big guns go for 20 meters as it is usually open worldwide most of the day. Even 15 meters doesn't get near the use that 20 does. The fact is that 10 meters is often open and even during sunspot minima, sporadic e openings will often occur but seldom be noticed since so few people are there. Put even several thousand folks on the air on 11 meters and there is no way they'll miss a band opening. Put a dozen hams on 10 meters - all listening - and nothing will happen. There are contacts being made all over the world, but most of that is on 20 meters during the daytime (and perhaps a few on 15).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021019194823.06911.00009920@mb-cq.aol.com...
> actually its more funny that the hams got VERY uptight about the whole
thing
> assuming that the freebanders and expedtition members must be operating
> illegally when in reality that part of the band and that mode is totally
legal
> there. the real truth was that they were bothered that the guys were
working 11
> meters and making more contacts there than on the ham bands and it
bothered
> them to take back seat to so called "cb'ers"
>

2025. HAMS USE ILLEGAL POWER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 00:15:50 UTC
From: nunofyurbiznes@cs.com
Message-ID: <20021019201550.15074.00012194@mb-fy.news.cs.com>
Source record: 140803

So I guess that (after much debate) the answer to my question is, YES ,some HAMS do run more than the alotted amount of power and are therefore classified as Federal Criminals. The amount of HAMS and the amount of power is not determinable but it is suffice to say that there are a sufficiant amount of illegal HAMS to warrent recognition.Thank you all for the information and heated de-bait (heheheh).
Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp

2026. Re: heathkit amps any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 00:23:32 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db1f640.12415961@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140805

In <2jms9.16266$%d2.17012@sccrnsc01>, "Checkerboard Strangler"
<jhaas84@attbi.com> wrote:

>> "brian" adjusted the plate voltage. keyed his D-104 and said:
>
>> > I have noticed lots of sb200 and sb220 heathkits for sale on ebay, at
>what
>> > seems to be a reasonable price. Are they any good? I know the 220 has 2
>> > 500z tubes but what about the sb200?
>
>"Major Tom" quickly pulled the pin out of his coax and replied:
>
>> Hi Brian,
>> It depends on who put the amp together. I have seen some
>> that were put together very well with a professional look inside
>> and then there are some that looked like a child assembled it
>> using a Bic lighter to solder. Whatever you do, be sure that
>> you are able to look inside at the construction work.
>>
>> Hope this helps
>> Tom
>
>"JeffH" pulled his finger out of the light socket long enough to add:
>
>After you've done the white glove inspection of every single solder joint
>on the rig, get the guy to let you fire it up for a while....better yet, let
>him
>fire it up and drive around listening to it.....sort of a test drive.
>Heath really has a gem, and the proof is the fact that they still run like
>a big block Plymouth cop car after over twenty years.
>
>Power supply issues notwithstanding, you'll have a true blue dependable
>amp, and a classic worthy of respect.
>Mine sure gets lots of respect, both on the air and off, and I've never had
>a power
>supply issue yet.
>
>Respectfully,
>JeffH

So is that the draw of big power -- "respect"? Knowing that you are
disrespectful of anyone else on the channel when you key up with an
amp, how does that deserve respect? Would you respect me if I stepped
on all your signals so you couldn't talk? Or maybe you think that a
person who screams really loud in a library is worthy of respect?

Putz.

2027. Re: HAMS USE ILLEGAL POWER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 00:27:51 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <shaggy@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <u9Gdnc-e2s8VZSygXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 140806

"Scooter Tramp" <nunofyurbiznes@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20021019201550.15074.00012194@mb-fy.news.cs.com...
> So I guess that (after much debate) the answer to my question is, YES
,some
> HAMS do run more than the alotted amount of power and are therefore
classified
> as Federal Criminals.

yes, just having a ham license doesn't mean you are now exempt from being
Illegal, while there are many Cber's who have Ham licenses and don't fear
the FCC in the least, some may be operating illegal on both bands.

The amount of HAMS and the amount of power is not
> determinable but it is suffice to say that there are a sufficiant amount
of
> illegal HAMS to warrent recognition.Thank you all for the information and
> heated de-bait (heheheh).
> Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp

2028. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 00:34:34 UTC
From: Dan <nezor@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uSms9.3558$U97.371106@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 140807

Making a note to plan a trip to RS tomorrow.

He's on again. He comes through the CB no matter what channel I have it tuned to, so I haven't successfully been able to converse with him yet since I don't know what channel to talk back to him on.I'm getting some info that might tell you something, though, since he's discussing his rig with some other high-powered person at the moment. Here's some quotes, as verbatim as I can make them:

"I'm running a base"

"Powerchunk, Galaxy 55e, Texas Star hotplate,
all hooked up together"

"I can't run my power supply
too long 'cause it starts to
get hot"

"1400' above sea level"

"Nice talking to you, I gotta get back off the side here and let everything cool down"

He also says he's up here working temporarily. Hopefully I'll get lucky and that means VERY temporary.

2029. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 00:37:27 UTC
From: "Alan S." <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92ACB34778F11rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 140808

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in
news:c5ecbb69.0210191507.482527f3@posting.google.com:

> My name is obvious, dumbass. It's Richard Cranium. And you haven't
> found out anything different because of..? I'm nowg=here near
> Buffao/Rochester, Georgie-girl.
>
Well, everybody thinks you're in the Rochester, NY area because the
reverse DNS of your machine taken the NNTP-Posting-Host portion of your
header indicates that your dialing a Point-Of-Presence in/around
Rochester (see below). If you're not in that area, one would wonder why
you are dialing a POP in Rochester, when you could use any of the several
hundred POP's LocalNet offers nationwide. I can't imagaine why somebody
would purposely inccur long distance charges to use the internet. To the
best of my knowledge, LocalNet doesn't offer an 800 number either.

I dunno. I don't really care where you live. But I am curious...why in
the world are you dialing a number local to Rochester, NY if you don't
live anywhere near there?

Anyway, here's why people figure you live in/around Rochester, NY
(snipped for brevity):

**** Begin Ultra-Nasty dig results ****

[root@r2 root]# dig @ns.localnet.com 251.10.153.66.in-addr.arpa PTR
(snip)
;; QUESTION SECTION:
;251.10.153.66.in-addr.arpa. IN PTR

;; ANSWER SECTION:
251.10.153.66.in-addr.arpa. 86400 IN PTR ppp251.tc-1.roc-
pt.ny.localnet.com.
(snip)
[root@r2 root]#

**** End Ultra-Nasty dig Results ****

Note the answer section (ppp251.tc-1.roc-pt.ny.localnet.com). If you
don't want people to believe that you live in or around Rochester, NY
then "Lucy, you got some splainin' to do!"

Take Care,
--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

2030. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 00:44:35 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <JISdnbOE-qLpYSygXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 140809

> >The "laws of physics" are a set of rules, which as yet have stood all
tests,
> >and model the behaviour of the physical universe quite well. They are
under
> >constant threat of revision, but only by stronger (and usually simpler)
> >laws.
>
> Only if you believe the grand unification theory.

I don't see how that's required.

> Heck, I don't buy that 'big bang' bs, and even relativity has a few
problems. But that
> still doesn't negate the fact that physics provides more than one way
> to skin a cat.
>
> >Pick up a copy of Asimov's guide to Physics. It's a great book, covering
> >everything from mechanics to quanta, and it reads like a novel.
>
> Asimov is a science fiction writer. I would recommend a basic HS or
> college physics book, and keep a Van Nostrand's within reach.

Asimov did many things, among them writing science fiction.
http://www.eternalnight.co.uk/books/a/asimovisaac/physics.html
You can't write good hard SF without knowing your science.
Have a look at the book before you make your judgement.

One reviewer writes:
"The two companion volumes to Isaac Asimov's Motion, Sound, and Heat-Light,
Magnetism, and Electricity and The Electron, Proton, and Neutron-are also
available in Signet Science editions. Each book can be enjoyed
independently. Together they form a major contribution by an author
acclaimed by the Saturday Review as "one of the few scientists who has the
gift of being able to simplify the complex," and by the Los Angeles Times as
"the type of writer needed in our time..[His books] bridge the gap between
scientists and non-scientists."

This three volume set is now available as a single volume.
http://search.barnesandnoble.com/booksearch/isbnInquiry.asp?isbn=0880292512&
pwb=1

> I'll agree that we know the limitations for most practical applications.
But again, there are plenty of ways to pump EM into the ether.

There ain't no ether, aether, or any ether bunny.
There is wheeler foam though.

When you find one that isn't described by conventional physics, but is repeatable by other experimenters, let us know.

> >Ok, but each theory was an accurate description of the universe in it's
> >time, within the limits of what we could observe and quantify. The
Greeks
> >couldn't have designed radio antennas, they had no theory to stand on.
>
> Why not? They had batteries, didn't they? I'm sure they knew about
> magnets. It would have only been a matter of time until someone put it
> all together. They just didn't have the time.

There's a whole lot of ground to cover between a battery, a magnet, and bronze or copper, and radio. Granted they could have done Hertz's experiment, but they wouldn't have had anything to build a proper theory out of. No concept of electromagnetics at all.

They had a steam engine too, and did nothing with it. Pity.

> I don't even think that's conincidental...:-/

The roman army was in the end, just looking for ghetto blasters to hook up to their antennas?
:)

> I suppose that the person that invented gunpowder had a periodic chart
> on his wall and was fully versed in molecular physics? Pshaw.

Didn't need it. Did he jump immediately to C4?

> I did suggest it as an alternative. There are many alternatives. Or
> didn't you understand my very first post in this thread? Some
> alternatives are better than others. Such as using 96 'pills' instead
> of a 4CX5000. That would be considered a poor alternative.

I thought we were talking about antennas..

> >> If you do a little research (and I do mean a LITTLE), you would even
> >> find that the maser clocks use a microwave receiver to detect the
> >> microwaves that are radiated from the maser.
> >
> >I know what masers are.
>
> Obviously you don't if you don't know they radiate.

ANTENNAS radiate. A maser is not an antenna. A maser can be attached to an antenna.

> Maybe a coherent radiator could be designed based on the functionality
> of the maser. Don't know until you try it. Have you tried it?

One thing that science is useful for, is to evaluate an idea against what's known to be true, and decide wether that idea is worth persuing. I don't need to try it to know it's not a promising idea.

> >It dosen't have to be. Use two. Use a pair of switches. Use a couple
> >circulators.
>

> If you had any experience in CB radio repair, you would know that the
> front-end noise is only a small contributor to the noise floor -- the
> vast majority is received RF hash.

CB radios are somehow different from every other receiver?
Have you ever looked at the LO phase noise?
As long as the radio's noise floor is significantly below the natural noise,
you've done about all you can.. Narrowing the front end bandwidth will
help, but it's not very conducive to "freeband" operation. There are some
DSP tricks that can be done in the IF section, but it's not going to result
in those huge gain figures you were looking for.

> >Point being, a good front end, a quiet receiver, and a good antenna are
> >about all you can do.
>
> Sorry, I don't buy into those conventional limitations. Did you know
> that it's possible to receive signals considerably BELOW the noise
> floor?

You mean like a boxcar averager, dicke switch, or synchronus correlator?
Not much applicability to AM voice though.

> You have to find those directions before you can use them.

True.

> You can't find them unless you look.

That's what scientists do. I'm not a scientist. I'm an engineer.

>You are stuck in a conventional mode of thought, and it severely limits
your dimensions.

I'm sorry you feel that way. My employers don't agree.

I don't feel a need to look in the industrial part of town to find a
shopping mall either, though there may very well be one there.

2031. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 00:50:36 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <eL6dnSvqpe1BYCygXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 140810

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021019194823.06911.00009920@mb-cq.aol.com...
> actually its more funny that the hams got VERY uptight about the whole
thing
> assuming that the freebanders and expedtition members must be operating
> illegally when in reality that part of the band and that mode is totally
legal
> there. the real truth was that they were bothered that the guys were
working 11
> meters and making more contacts there than on the ham bands and it
bothered
> them to take back seat to so called "cb'ers"

It's maybe a fine point, but given that it's legal for them to operate that station there, it's still illegal for anyone in the US to contact them on CB or "freeband". AFAIK, "skip" on CB is still outside the rules (yeah, I know it's done all the time), and the area outside the 40 channels is verboten. They may be making contacts in other areas where this stuff is legal, and that's fine..

I'm not gonna get wound up about it one way or the other. I won't be talking to them anywhere my ticket isn't valid. The locals will likely take care of any enforcement problems that might pop up.

2032. Re: if u like girls, please respond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 00:55:55 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aot07t$9sd$2@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 140812

"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021012222737.12510.00001066@mb-fg.aol.com...
> if u dont respond, that means we know who are the gay ppl in here.....if
> ur a girl, please state that......Thanks

You can take this as a reply.
I refuse to "bend for a friend".

Regards,

Peter.

2033. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 01:09:10 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210191709.6a177cdc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140811

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie)
>Date: Sat, Oct 19, 2002 4:48 PM
>Message-id: <20021019194823.06911.00009920@mb-cq.aol.com>
>
>when in reality that part of the band and that
>mode is totally legal there.

Tim, I didn't see anything in your link that said WHAT band and WHAT mode are totally legal in WHAT country and for use by WHOM. Can you clarify?

If the "freeband" is legal for unlicensed use in some country, I'm certain there is some documentation somewhere on the web to back it up.

So do us a favor, post a link that describes that country's unlicensed 11 meter DX freeband privileges.

-A

2034. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 01:19:03 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <tNSdnc_igqoVmS-gXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 140813

> "Powerchunk, Galaxy 55e, Texas Star hotplate, all hooked up together"

The "hotplate" almost certainly refers to an illegal amp. Just looked them up on google, I found a place that sells these from 100W and up. Their 3200 (3200W??) goes for $1700.
Not sure what a "Powerchunk" is.
The Galaxy55e is a high end CB unit, not something you'll find at radio shack.

All told, he's spent a fair bit of money to be loud.

The type of interference you describe means he's got to have a lot of power, and or be really close to you. The inverse square law (a very handy tool) says that if you cut the distance between you in half, the power that he illuminates you with goes up 4X. It's derived from the surface area of a sphere.

> "I can't run my power supply too long 'cause it starts to get hot"

Sounds like highly questionable engineering. :)
Heat kills components. Electrolytic capacitor lifetimes are cut in half by a 10c rise in operating temperature. Transistors fail by metal migration (among other mechanisms), the hotter they are, the quicker the failure, though the rules are a bit more complex. 9th root of the temperature delta I think, but it's been a while since I looked at that one.

Interestingly, the type of interference you describe sounds like he's running a pretty clean signal.(!)
Being on all channels on your CB is symptomatic of the receiver front end being overloaded.
It's only got so much rejection of signals on other channels.

A TV with analog (slider) tuner might pick up his second or third harmonic, and let you get in closer before loosing sense of direction. Channel 2 (around 54 MHz) or channel 4 (81 MHz).
I've done this on 2 meter foxhunts, using the main system to get into the area, then switching to a receiver and antenna on the third harmonic (transmit freq * 3) to hunt close in. The typical ham transmitter puts out about 1 microwatt on the third harmonic for every watt on the main frequency. Some more, some less.

> He also says he's up here working temporarily. Hopefully I'll get lucky and
> that means VERY temporary.

You never want to wish ill on anyone, but maybe his lashup will fail, and you'll get some peace while he figures out what died.

Long ago, just after I got my ham ticket, I was laid up at home for a while. My upstairs neighbor was practicing his guitar, and I do agree he needed the practice, but I also needed my sleep. I talked to him about it, and got a big dose of attitude, and no improvement. If anything it was worse. Now it happens that when I got my ticket, I bought a handheld radio, and power amp, and a base antenna. I set this up in the apartment, and decided that as long as he was going to keep me from sleeping, I might as well talk on the repeater.

It turns out that every time I keyed down, the rectified RF from my transmitter at about 100W caused his amp to go dead quiet. (remember, he's probably 10-20' away) Probably I was affecting the bias circuit in the amp.. Eventually, he gave up on loud practice sessions, and maybe bought himself a headset. Since I could sleep, I did. We came to an unspoken accomodation.

2035. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 01:37:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB208C7.AD641E88@mailcity.net>
Source record: 140819

Uncle Charlie wrote:

>
> thats because i never posted that. you guys (Anal and yeti) have gotten in to
> this "prove it" type of thing were nobody is taken at there word and everything
> has to be verified by 100 people agreeing and 1 million links on the web lol

Yet you want me to 'prove' I am a ham. You're a real riot
timmyboy.

2036. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 01:51:49 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021019215149.20918.00002985@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 140814

>Tim, I didn't see anything in your link that said WHAT band and WHAT
>mode are totally legal in WHAT country and for use by WHOM. Can you
>clarify?

thats because i never posted that. you guys (Anal and yeti) have gotten in to this "prove it" type of thing were nobody is taken at there word and everything has to be verified by 100 people agreeing and 1 million links on the web lol the place we are discussing is the chesterfield islands which fall under the jurisdiction of New Caledonia. it was posted and claimed legal by the ops who are actually running the dxpedition and the ones wrote this on the expeditions qrz page and verified via radio tonight with a good friend of mine Mr Mike from new caledonia on 27.520 usb. if you dont believe me or them than so be it. its your choice and you can verify or disprove what they say till your blue in the face and it wont matter to me.

>If the "freeband" is legal for unlicensed use in some country, I'm
>certain there is some documentation somewhere on the web to back it
>up.
>

the freeband is legal for unlicensed use in many places including the UK

>So do us a favor, post a link that describes that country's unlicensed
>11 meter DX freeband privileges.

look for yourself

2037. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 02:27:07 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <FNSdnbynFZHmiS-gXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 140815

> A TV with analog (slider) tuner might pick up his second or third
harmonic,
> and let you get in closer before loosing sense of direction. Channel 2
> (around 54 MHz) or channel 4 (81 MHz).

I must be getting tired... Those numbers were right, in 1937. Wrong table.

http://www.qsl.net/tcarc-ntx/tvchfreq.html

Channel 2's still there, but channel 5 is where you'd get 81 MHz.
Sorry!

2038. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 02:39:32 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210191839.4c9f1af7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140816

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
>>Tim, I didn't see anything in your link that said WHAT band and WHAT
>>mode are totally legal in WHAT country and for use by WHOM. Can you
>>clarify?
>
>thats because i never posted that. you guys (Anal and yeti) have
gotten
>in to
>this "prove it" type of thing were nobody is taken at there word and
everything
>has to be verified by 100 people agreeing and 1 million links on the
web
>lol

I only asked for some evidence other than a garbled, angry message on QRZ. What's the big deal? If you're right you're right and I won't squawk.

>the place we are discussing is the chesterfield islands which fall
under
>the
>jurisdiction of New Caledonia.

Then how about something...anything...that shows DX comms on freeband are legal for unlicensed users in New Caledonia? If an unlicensed freeband DXing hobby radio service existed in New Caledonia I would think there would be a lot more published about it other than a single garbled message on QRZ.

>it was posted and claimed legal by the ops
>who
>are actually running the dxpedition and the ones wrote this on
>the expeditions
>qrz page and verified via radio tonight with a good friend of mine Mr
Mike
>from
>new caledonia on 27.520 usb. if you dont believe me or them than so
be it.
>its
>your choice and you can verify or disprove what they say till your
blue
>in the
>face and it wont matter to me.

Some guy on "freeband" confirmed it. Oh yeah. That's proof. :)

>
>>If the "freeband" is legal for unlicensed use in some country, I'm
>>certain there is some documentation somewhere on the web to back it
>>up.
>>
>
>the freeband is legal for unlicensed use in many places including the
UK

UKers are permitted FM only in that band. DXing on it is illegal. Can
you tell us what usage that portion of the band is intended for in the
UK? (Hint: it's not DXing)

>
>>So do us a favor, post a link that describes that country's
unlicensed
>>11 meter DX freeband privileges.
>
>look for yourself

OK, so you operate under "Cranium Rules", i.e. you tell us that the
moon is made of green cheese then ask US to find something that backs
it up. LOL. Good one, Tim.

-Aaron-

2039. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 03:02:01 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210191902.686bafbf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140817

"Dan" nezor@hotmail.com wrote
>
>Making a note to plan a trip to RS tomorrow.

Dan, read the links to the two RFI pages I gave you, it will help you understand where and how to use the ferrite split beads. Also be aware that one RS bead might not do the trick. Some nasty RFI problems may require using two or three in a row on the affected cables. That's why many folks buy 'em in quantity from Digi-Key (much cheaper).

-Aaron-

2040. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 03:22:21 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <0D6dnaaEoPXxvC-gXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 140818

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:75ad2ce2.0210191902.686bafbf@posting.google.com...
> "Dan" nezor@hotmail.com wrote
> >
> >Making a note to plan a trip to RS tomorrow.
>
> Dan, read the links to the two RFI pages I gave you, it will help you
> understand where and how to use the ferrite split beads. Also be aware
> that one RS bead might not do the trick. Some nasty RFI problems may
> require using two or three in a row on the affected cables. That's why
> many folks buy 'em in quantity from Digi-Key (much cheaper).

I'll second that, beads are very effective when used right.
Put them as close as you can on the cable, to the device you want
protected.

2041. Re...HAMS USE ILLEGAL POWER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 05:19:11 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210192119.1d251c7b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140821

nunofyurbiznes@cs.com (Scooter Tramp) wrote:
>So I guess that (after much debate) the answer to my question is,
> YES ,
> some HAMS do run more than the alotted amount of power
>and are therefore
> classified as Federal Criminals. The amount of
> HAMS and the amount of
> power is not determinable but it is suffice to say that there are a
> sufficiant amount of illegal HAMS to warrent recognition.Thank you all
> for the information and heated de-bait (heheheh).
> Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp
>

If your initial post was a troll ("de-bait") designed to justify illegal CB operation by showing that some "bad hams" operate with more than legal power, you couldn't have hoped for a better poster boy to show up than "skipp", a real-life example of a bad ham. BTW, you can have him, the hams don't want him.

-Aaron-

2042. Re: newbie qusetions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 05:29:14 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <OtqdnUF27J60oi-gXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 140822

> > I've looked at the screwdrivers, but all that weight, mounted down low,
I
> > just don't believe it will last.
> >
> When it starts to look like a pin cushion,STOP! ;)

All I have on now, is the SG7900, and a pair of glassmounts in the back.
On the explorer, I had a 10/11 in the back center, and a doppler DF array in
the middle as well, plus scanner antenna in the front.

2043. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 05:54:17 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db231d9.1292330@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140823

In <JISdnbOE-qLpYSygXTWc3A@comcast.com>, "Dave VanHorn"
<dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:

>
>
>> >The "laws of physics" are a set of rules, which as yet have stood all
>tests,

LOL!

>> >and model the behaviour of the physical universe quite well.

LOL^2!

> They are
>under
>> >constant threat of revision, but only by stronger (and usually simpler)
>> >laws.
>>
>> Only if you believe the grand unification theory.
>
>I don't see how that's required.

I'm not suprised.

>> Heck, I don't buy that 'big bang' bs, and even relativity has a few
>problems. But that
>> still doesn't negate the fact that physics provides more than one way
>> to skin a cat.
>>
>> >Pick up a copy of Asimov's guide to Physics. It's a great book, covering
>> >everything from mechanics to quanta, and it reads like a novel.
>>
>> Asimov is a science fiction writer. I would recommend a basic HS or
>> college physics book, and keep a Van Nostrand's within reach.
>
>Asimov did many things, among them writing science fiction.
>http://www.eternalnight.co.uk/books/a/asimovisaac/physics.html
>You can't write good hard SF without knowing your science.

...oh really?

>Have a look at the book before you make your judgement.
<snip book review>

I'm not criticizing the content, accuracy or even the credentials of
Azimov. Since I have not read the books you mentioned, I was simply

making my own recommendation based on the standard course structure
for the study of physics. If Azimov's books are anything like Sagan's
or Hawkins' science-for-the-masses approach (oversimplification, the
elimination of mathematical modeling, etc), then I will stand with my
original recommendation.

>> I'll agree that we know the limitations for most practical applications.
>But again, there are plenty of ways to pump EM into the ether.
>
>There ain't no ether, aether, or any ether bunny.
>There is wheeler foam though.

Now where do you get the idea that the ether doesn't exist? Despite
the historical and affectionate use of the word 'ether' (or 'aether')
by radio operators as the medium for EM waves, just what makes you
think that it DOESN'T exist? (Trick question -- think carefully!)

>When you find one that isn't described by conventional physics, but is
>repeatable by other experimenters, let us know.

What does that have to do with -known- methods of radiating EM energy?
It doesn't have to be resonant. Is that what you are hung up on, this
'resonant length' mandate? That's only for "hertzian" antennas. There
are many, many different types of antennas that are non-resonant, even
the original and very successful Marconi antenna. If you are thinking
that in order for an antenna to radiate 27 MHz then it must be 9 or 18
feet long (or some multiple of 1/4 wavelength), then you need to put
down your books and take a formal class on the subject.

>> >Ok, but each theory was an accurate description of the universe in it's
>> >time, within the limits of what we could observe and quantify. The
>Greeks
>> >couldn't have designed radio antennas, they had no theory to stand on.
>>
>> Why not? They had batteries, didn't they? I'm sure they knew about
>> magnets. It would have only been a matter of time until someone put it
>> all together. They just didn't have the time.
>
>There's a whole lot of ground to cover between a battery, a magnet, and
>bronze or copper, and radio. Granted they could have done Hertz's
>experiment, but they wouldn't have had anything to build a proper theory
>out of. No concept of electromagnetics at all.

Forget Hertz for a moment (I know that's difficult for you to do, but
do it anyway). Now, how many years was it between Faraday's first
electromagnetic experiments until Marconi's first trans-Atlantic
transmission? Not long, was it?

>They had a steam engine too, and did nothing with it. Pity.

As far as you know. They also had differential gearing, and made at
least one quite elaborate astronomical computer. And I'm sure they
didn't make batteries just to sit around on museum shelves 2000 years

later.

>> I don't even think that's conincidental...:-/
>
>The roman army was in the end, just looking for ghetto blasters to hook up
>to their antennas?
>:)
>
>
>> I suppose that the person that invented gunpowder had a periodic chart
>> on his wall and was fully versed in molecular physics? Pshaw.
>
>Didn't need it. Did he jump immediately to C4?

They went from rock-throwing to gunpowder -- that isn't a jump?

>> I did suggest it as an alternative. There are many alternatives. Or
>> didn't you understand my very first post in this thread? Some
>> alternatives are better than others. Such as using 96 'pills' instead
>> of a 4CX5000. That would be considered a poor alternative.
>
>I thought we were talking about antennas..

Are there no alternatives to the hertzian dipole? (Yes, that's another
trick question, so don't screw it up!)

>> >> If you do a little research (and I do mean a LITTLE), you would even
>> >> find that the maser clocks use a microwave receiver to detect the
>> >> microwaves that are radiated from the maser.
>> >
>> >I know what masers are.
>>
>> Obviously you don't if you don't know they radiate.
>
>ANTENNAS radiate. A maser is not an antenna.

Lightbulbs radiate. A maser is not a lightbulb.

> A maser can be attached to an
>antenna.

A maser can be attached to a lightbulb, too. They even have microwave
lightbulbs, although I don't think they use masers.

>> Maybe a coherent radiator could be designed based on the functionality
>> of the maser. Don't know until you try it. Have you tried it?
>
>One thing that science is useful for, is to evaluate an idea against what's
>known to be true, and decide wether that idea is worth persuing. I don't
>need to try it to know it's not a promising idea.

Oh that's ridiculous. Any good idea is worth pursuing. Why do you
think that JPL has an open policy on accepting non-conventional

theories for spacecraft propulsion? Yes indeed, they are trying to
figure out if warp speed really is possible, despite Einstein's edict
that C is the limit. The reason is the exraordinary possibilities that
would be opened up if it were truly possible. But if you simply resign
yourself to the belief that something is impossible, then rest assured
that it is.

>> >It dosen't have to be. Use two. Use a pair of switches. Use a couple
>> >circulators.
>>
>
>> If you had any experience in CB radio repair, you would know that the
>> front-end noise is only a small contributor to the noise floor -- the
>> vast majority is received RF hash.
>
>CB radios are somehow different from every other receiver?
>Have you ever looked at the LO phase noise?

Have you ever used a direct-conversion receiver?

>As long as the radio's noise floor is significantly below the natural noise,
>you've done about all you can.. Narrowing the front end bandwidth will
>help, but it's not very conducive to "freeband" operation. There are some
>DSP tricks that can be done in the IF section, but it's not going to result
>in those huge gain figures you were looking for.

"I thought we were talking about antennas.."

I wasn't suggesting improving the design of the receiver. But now that
you mentioned it, there are several ways to reduce the environmental
noise. One of them is the use of directional antennas, which reduces
the noise from unwanted sources. Another is done by blanking the noise
with hash from a parallel receiver using the same antenna. Internal
noise can be drastically reduced using modern low-noise components
which are relatively cheap these days (funny, even a 6AH6 has a better
S/N properties than most of the semiconductors in use today). After
that you get into the REALLY radical ideas, such as real-time DSP
processing and heuristic filters.

Of course, it's much simpler just to use a different method of
modulation, such as FM or a digital mode, or even (..gasp..) CW.

>> >Point being, a good front end, a quiet receiver, and a good antenna are
>> >about all you can do.
>>
>> Sorry, I don't buy into those conventional limitations. Did you know
>> that it's possible to receive signals considerably BELOW the noise
>> floor?
>
>You mean like a boxcar averager, dicke switch, or synchronus correlator?
>Not much applicability to AM voice though.

Not quite. Didn't you check out those Part 15 links I provided earlier
in the thread? Not applicable to AM voice either, but it's hard to
laugh at picking out an intelligible signal that's 20 dB below the
noise floor.

>> You have to find those directions before you can use them.
>
>True.
>
>> You can't find them unless you look.
>
>That's what scientists do. I'm not a scientist. I'm an engineer.

Does that prevent you from looking?

>>You are stuck in a conventional mode of thought, and it severely limits
>your dimensions.
>
>I'm sorry you feel that way. My employers don't agree.
>
>I don't feel a need to look in the industrial part of town to find a
>shopping mall either, though there may very well be one there.

Who knows, there might be something there you can't live without! And
if you don't find anything it's not a wasted trip, because you have
learned that there isn't anything there. Besides, it's fun to look.

2044. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 06:01:26 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <shaggy@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <p4ecnd8WxKQq2y-gXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 140824

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021019215149.20918.00002985@mb-mn.aol.com...

> >So do us a favor, post a link that describes that country's unlicensed 11
meter DX freeband privileges.

> look for yourself

Tim,
--
"If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct."

Richard Cranium

2045. Re: newbie qusetions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 06:01:45 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <aotgu5027e5@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 140825

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021020011232.467$E8@newsreader.com...
> "John Smith" <kissmyass@127.1.1.1> wrote:
> >> For a jeep, cheaper is better.
> >
> Not on some of the Jeeps I've seen lately.

Ok fine. You go ahead and put that $300 cb in the old jeep.
Next time you go into the store to go grocery shopping, I'm gonna walk in,
find you, and hand it to you.
Jeeps, specially soft tops, are not exactly security minded vehicles.
CB's however, are "hot" ticket items for thieves.

I bought a GE CB for under $50. Had it peeked and tuned.
40 watts of mod power. When I keyed down, I got heard.
Hell, I out talked billy big rigger with his super fancy converted
"president johnson".

2046. Johnson radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 06:39:05 UTC
From: "Lil-Bump(in the middle of the road)" <Zip406@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <ur496fr7md150b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 140820

Which model Johnson has the best performance and features.

2047. Re: Messanger 4v

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 08:25:21 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <RLts9.4529$U97.432815@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 140827

Is it an old one (good design) or a newer one (bad design)?

>I have a messanger 4v with fan kit. I think it has 1-1461 driving 8-1461
>power transitors. Looking for someone to trade for a communication reciever
>or buy it out right. Contact by email and maybe work out a deal.
>deecor2@attbi.com
>
>

2048. Re: Old Cb's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 09:54:01 UTC
From: jason <hubs3@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aotntsbmc1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 140826

Thanks for all the info, got the johnson and the royce working, i really apreciate it,

Jason

jason <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:aoo1rmmsp1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> I got a box of what seem to be pretty old 23 channel cbs today, 3 that i
> really have questions about, got a Johnson messenger 123A, it has a very odd
> power hook up, anybody know how to hook up??
> Also got a Royce Gyro-Lock1-601,. it needs a mic id like to know the pin
> config on it if anyone happens to know it, and a GM 23M, has no mic, all of
> them seem to be working, just need power hook up info for the johnson and
> mic pin configs for the other 2, ive never heard of the Royce or the GM,
> couldnt find anything on the net, id appreciate any info,
>
> Thanks,
> Jason
>
>

2049. Does anyone have Moonraker IV diagrams and specs that can be scanned?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 10:17:40 UTC
From: TheLastRebel <nil@net.com>
Message-ID: <th05ru88snomrdqmfs111m0k8jnb4jmnsc@4ax.com>
Source record: 140828

If you do,I'd like to get them posted in the binary groups,if you'd be good enough to do it for us,we seem to have to make them ourselves now that the company I talked with say they are disc.Please reply in here and tnx

2050. Re: Allan R Fallas of British Columbia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 10:29:47 UTC
From: The Tube Man <thetubeman@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vAvs9.4571$U97.439906@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 140829

Allan R Fallas is a lier, con-man and thief. I sent him $800 ane rerceived nothing but lies in return.

"gw" <gw@webhideout.com> wrote in message news:235a74db.0210050708.77cd6620@posting.google.com...
> This guy is a major fraud and it would be in your best interest to not
> deal with him in any way , shape or form. He is a complete ripoff and
> does real harm to the ham radio community. Somehow he retains a ham
> radio advanced license. God knows why.

2051. Re: Johnson radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 10:56:27 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <vZvs9.23829$qM2.5565@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 140830

Matter of opinion mostly. Avoid the newer ones, Messenger 250 etc. The 250 had a good receiver, but terrible selectivity. Loose as a goose! Find a nice Messenger 223 if you can. Also, many of the older "Sears" radios were made by Johnson! They were decent machines. I have a nice White face that does pretty well. Have fun.

Bill

"Lil-Bump(in the middle of the road)" <Zip406@peoplepc.com> wrote in message news:ur496fr7md150b@corp.supernews.com...
> Which model Johnson has the best performance and features.
>
>

2052. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 13:37:22 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021020093722.11363.00002438@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 140831

jj, the only reason i aks it of you is because i'm 100% sure that you can not do it because your not actually a ham. good day.

>Yet you want me to 'prove' I am a ham. You're a real riot
>timmyboy.

2053. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 13:46:16 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021020094616.11363.00002439@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 140832

voobner, when did i say it was a legal band for dx comms specifically setup for a hobby purpose)? all i said was that the portion of the band they are working in is legal there and it also happens to be our countries "freeband"(keep in mind freeband here may not be freeband other places). i can tell you this, with as many hams that were as angry as they were, some of them trying there best to invalidate the chesterfield activation purely because of the simoultaneous 11 meter activity if they WERE doing anything illegal at all it would be all over every ham site in the world that the newest activated most wanted country on the air is not vaild for the DXCC award(which was there whole purpose for being on 10 meters to begin with). these old time ham guys stirring the pot are ten times more anal than Anal Aaron himself so you can bet they wouldnt let anything slide. were you reading the comments on the various ham clusters? it was funny to see the hams so upset over something going on at 27.510 lol it hurt there license holding pride to take back seat to 11 meters.

>Then how about something...anything...that shows DX comms on freeband
>are legal for unlicensed users in New Caledonia? If an unlicensed
>freeband DXing hobby radio service existed in New Caledonia I would
>think there would be a lot more published about it other than a single
>garbled message on QRZ.

2054. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 13:49:49 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021020094949.17503.00000403@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 140833

The next logical question would have to be this:
Did they actually reply to you or pawn you off as the asshole we know you to be?
Train

>Subject: Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts
>From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
>Date: 10/18/02 9:30 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <194387a3.0210180530.2976c166@posting.google.com>
>
>timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
>>going by what you posted of skipps post how did you come to
>>the final question
>>about him being correct on it representing
>>"most hams views" when he said
>>nothing of the sort?
>
>Read the email again slowly, Timbo. I let skipp's own words speak for
>him. And then at the end, I asked:
>
>>>My question is, are "skipp's" claims accurate?
>>>Do they represent the majority views of amateur radio
>>>operators? Do they represent the majority views of
>>>licensed amateurs frequenting this list?
>
>Notice I am not telling them I think Skipp is right or wrong, I am
>merely asking for opinions.
>
>Do you understand now, or do you need some additional comprehension
>aids?
>
>-Aaron-
>
>
>
>
>
>

2055. Re: Re...HAMS USE ILLEGAL POWER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 13:50:46 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021020095046.11363.00002440@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 140834

who do you assume that by wanting to know if hams running big power he was trying to justify anybody else breaking the law? your a bit sensitive arent you? your assumtions are big for somebody that wont admitt it happens in the first place.

>
>If your initial post was a troll ("de-bait") designed to justify
>illegal CB operation by showing that some "bad hams" operate with more
>than legal power, you couldn't have hoped for a better poster boy to
>show up than "skipp", a real-life example of a bad ham. BTW, you can
>have him, the hams don't want him.
>
>-Aaron-
>
>
>
>
>
>

2056. Re: Allan R Fallas of British Columbia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 13:52:35 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021020095235.11363.00002441@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 140835

well at least you will send junk if somebody sends money. it seems he doesnt send anything.

>Allan R Fallas is a lier, con-man and thief. I sent him $800 ane rerceived
>nothing but lies in return.
>

2057. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 13:54:47 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021020095447.11363.00002442@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 140836

they ignored him. it probably had alot to do with some certain members of this newsgroup emailing the moderater lol

>The next logical question would have to be this:
>Did they actually reply to you or pawn you off as the asshole we know you to
>be?
>Train
>

2058. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 13:58:17 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021020095817.17503.00000406@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 140837

>Subject: Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts
>From: "Welfare Check" Check@demonseed.comPton
>Date: 10/19/02 8:02 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3E2dnTG-S-sn1CygXTWcoA@comcast.com>
>
>
>
>"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
>news:20021018221730.15371.00010106@mb-mn.aol.com...
>> i dont believe you are a ham but if you insist you insist....no matter
>what
>> your still a dick lol
>
>Timmy wrote " your asking for opinions on something he never implied. all he
>said is there are alot of hams using high power

I know this to be a fact! When I was a child in the early 60's, my grandfather was still running some heavy equipment he had built as experimental use for the government in the 30's, 40's, and 50's. These units were being run at the time on 440v and 660v in his house! I can also remember at the time of hos death when his Florida house was being sold the question was raised buy a few looking buyers why this house has such heavy electrical wiring. I had to pull the realtor aside and explain it to him. His house here in Jersey was rigged up the same way.
Train

. even jj agreed and he says
>he's been a ham for over 40 years. "
>
>
>Hmmm, you thought he was enough of a ham to help make your point . LOL you
>are a real loser Nebro.
>
>

2059. A/S Super Scanner CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 14:10:03 UTC
From: nukem <nukem@gotmail.com>
Message-ID: <%Oys9.4954$r7.202443@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 140838

Check this out:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1391357572

2060. Re: Johnson radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 14:44:38 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021020104438.11363.00002447@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 140839

the rangers are real nice. heres one about to end for rather cheap. these cant be beat on AM.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1387817403

>
>
>
>Which model Johnson has the best performance and features.
>
>
>

2061. Re: newbie qusetions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 14:50:20 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021020105020.08873.00003311@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 140840

>From: "Dave VanHorn" >dvanhorn@cedar.net
>All I have on now, is the SG7900, and a >pair of glassmounts in the back.
>On the explorer, I had a 10/11 in the back >center, and a doppler DF array in
>the middle as well, plus scanner antenna >in the front.
Would be interesting if you ever found out how those antennas are affecting
eachother. As far as transmission, that many antennas, in close proximity, can
affect SWR as well as radiation lobes. Reception I see now real problem.

"Got RF?"

2062. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 15:37:41 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <shaggy@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <F1ydne4OopNYUC-gXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 140841

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021020020733.597$o3@newsreader.com...
> "Shaggy Dogg" <shaggy@dog.comPton> wrote:
> >>"If you expect people to believe you,
> it's up you to prove your correct."
>
> Richard Cranium
> >
> You put your response below the --(sig cut off)
>
> I had to CCP it.
>
> Are you drinking the Latrobe spring water(33)? <g>

Nah, I just wanted to post the sig file actually it fit quite well with his
post.

2063. Royce T-28 base station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 16:14:03 UTC
From: WA2BAH@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7304-3DB2D64B-565@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140931

Royce model T-28 base station (23 channel) in good condition with stock microphone - $35 plus UPS fee from Schenectady, New York

LIST OF MY ITEMS FOR SALE: (revised 10/18/02)

http://sdstudio.freeyellow.com/page3.html

(sorry about the 'pop-up' ads :-)

2064. Re: Re...HAMS USE ILLEGAL POWER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 16:54:30 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210200854.5f0bcf2a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140842

voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<75ad2ce2.0210192119.1d251c7b@posting.google.com>...
> nunofyurbiznes@cs.com (Scooter Tramp) wrote:
> >So I guess that (after much debate) the answer to my question is,
> > YES ,
> > some HAMS do run more than the alotted amount of power
> >and are therefore
> > classified as Federal Criminals. The amount of
> > HAMS and the amount of
> > power is not determinable but it is suffice to say that there are a
> > sufficiant amount of illegal HAMS to warrent recognition.Thank you all
> > for the information and heated de-bait (heheheh).
> > Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp
> >
>
> If your initial post was a troll ("de-bait") designed to justify
> illegal CB operation by showing that some "bad hams" operate with more
> than legal power, you couldn't have hoped for a better poster boy to
> show up than "skipp", a real-life example of a bad ham. BTW, you can
> have him, the hams don't want him.
>
> -Aaron-

And how would YOU know that the "hams don't want him", Aanal Aaron?
Just spouting more of your lame rhetoric, hey?

2065. Re: Nicad charger question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 17:06:32 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db2df06$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140843

Yo is right, Train. I have ALWAYS discharged them with a headlight or some other bulb to zero and had NO bad effects doing so. How about c cells in a DVM that lasted over 10 years, or a Skil drill battery lasting maybe more than that? Where do people come up with that voltage number nonsence?! Dave!

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message news:20021016232406.15794.00002043@mb-dd.aol.com...
> Wrong answer..........Nicads should be FULLY drained. Some people even keep a
> small light bulb such as an 1156 auto bulb across the terminals to keep them
> discharged. Batteries have a "memory" to them and need to be FULLY charged and
> DISCHARGED as well. Ask anyone who races electric RC cars and they will tell
> you the same thing.
> Train
> >Subject: Re: Nicad charger question
> >From: "Dave VanHorn" dvanhorn@cedar.net
> >Date: 10/16/02 10:39 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <RfydnYuXboQgvzOgXTWcoA@News.GigaNews.Com>
> >
> >> I discharged the batteries to zero before charging 1/2 day.
> >
> >You're being mean to your batteries.
> >If you want them to live, never discharge below 0.9V, or 1.0V if you want
> >the most life possible.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2066. Re: Nicad charger question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 17:12:35 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db2df1f_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140844

They LAST LONGER when you discharge them to zero. Even my Norelco shaver.
I'm talking about NI CADS only. I agree we should do what the manufacturer
says. My feeling is that we either discharge to zero, or don't discharge at
all (just charge when they get weak or low). I don't have the time or
mentality of an N8 to sit and watch with a voltmeter to yank the light bulb
off the battery discharge when it gets to a certain rate! We talking about
going all da way to ZERO. Then after you take the bulb off, it goes back up
anyway without the charger, right? !
Dave!!!!!!!!!

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:nIqdnezCSLQW2y2gXTWcpA@comcast.com...
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3db02598_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > I use a car headlight !
> > Dave!
> >
>
> You're being mean to your batteries as well.
> Don't take my word for it, ask ANY manufacturer, sanyo, GP, anyone.
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2067. Re: Old Cb's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 17:16:23 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db2dfea_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140845

Gyro-lock IS PLL ! The GM unit is a Midland CB. I've never seen them made by Browning or Johnson! IS this guy wrong OR have I just not seen any? Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Pellucid" <dave@jolly27.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message news:aoruqgmkm1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> The gyro-lock sounds fantastic! a precursor of PLL's? the only old set I
> have is made of a whole bunch of crystals, that produce the 23 chans. by
> mixes.
> .
> jason <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:aoo1rmmsp1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> > I got a box of what seem to be pretty old 23 channel cbs today, 3 that i
> > really have questions about, got a Johnson messenger 123A, it has a very
> odd
> > power hook up, anybody know how to hook up??
> > Also got a Royce Gyro-Lock1-601,. it needs a mic id like to know the pin
> > config on it if anyone happens to know it, and a GM 23M, has no mic, all
> of
> > them seem to be working, just need power hook up info for the johnson
and
> > mic pin configs for the other 2, ive never heard of the Royce or the GM,
> > couldnt find anything on the net, id appreciate any info,
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Jason
> >
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2068. Re: Which cb radio is the best out of these

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 17:19:08 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db2e08f_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140846

NO they ain't "basically" the same radio." !
What do you mean by "best?" Best for WHAT ? The 25 is the most powerful when tuned up. The 139 may be, but may be off freq. since it's so old. The 32 is a Browning. The 29 falls behind the 25 as far as power.
Dave!

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message news:e8d89b3f.0210172220.5c16845e@posting.google.com...
> Which cb radio is the best out of these cobra 139, cobra 29, cobra
> 29xlr, cobra 25ltd & corba 32xlr. I am looking for a uesed one that
> is good for both base and mobile. Also could the corba 139 be both
> for base and mobile. How far will 5watts get at a base station with a
> good antenna setup and which is a good baes antenna, and which is a
> good mobile antenna.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2069. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 17:19:43 UTC
From: blowupdoll <fgrease3dee@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3db2e5f8@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 140849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:B9D80B09.BF4D%stewart@sc.rr.com...
>
> I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of Federal
> Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any external radio
> frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any
> frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more information,
see...
> (scroll down to 2.815)

YEAH...YEAH...YEAH...
Yada...Yada...Yada...

Ban has been in place for Years but that
hasn't stopped me seeing one or two
of them for sale at virtually everh hamfest
I been to, and at weekend flea markets
in the Pa.NJ NY area. All you have to
do is sell them "for test bench signal amplifier
purposes" as a kit and the FCC can't touch
you. For an example see:

http://www.cbcintl.com/TriPower.htm

Know what also? I have NO PROBLEM with
these kind of sales. Their NOT illegal and only
hams with inflated egos seem to get upset
and like to play "radio cop" when such products are
marketed. There's alot other things to worry
about (like the looming loss of 440 mHz) that this stuff folks.

2070. HEY Le Land--DID YOU buy a.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 17:20:39 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db2e0ea_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140847

CB YET ? !
Dave!!!!!!!!!

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:3AadnSkCgsk_fy2gXTWcrg@comcast.com...
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:23970-3DB01843-352@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >
> http://interviews.slashdot.org/interviews/02/09/27/1420201.shtml?tid=
> >
>
> What is of more interest is the link below:
>
> http://www.gnu.org/software/gnuradio/gnuradio.html
>
> That is where the future of radio technology is headed.
>
>
> --
> __
> __
>
> 73's de,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL member
> NCI member
>
> "You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
> Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
> communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
> Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
> Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
> transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
> functions."
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2071. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 17:22:07 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db2e143_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140848

As the song goes, "Every thing you did, do & say, was in da pill you took today," ! From the song "In the year 2025" just 17+ years away! Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Alan S." <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message news:Xns92AC7B1FE7AACrrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40...
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in
> news:3AadnSkCgsk_fy2gXTWcrg@comcast.com:
>
> >
> > "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > news:23970-3DB01843-352@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >>
> > http://interviews.slashdot.org/interviews/02/09/27/1420201.shtml?tid=
> >>
> >
> > What is of more interest is the link below:
> >
> > http://www.gnu.org/software/gnuradio/gnuradio.html
> >
> > That is where the future of radio technology is headed.
> >
> I checked that out. WOW. Too cool, and right up my alley:
>
> "What this means is that it turns the digital modulation schemes used in
> today's high performance wireless devices into software problems."
>
> Right on.
>
> --
> Alan Strawinski
> http://alan.strawinski.net
> rrcb@strawinski.net

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2072. Re: newbie qusetions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 17:27:12 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db2e276_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140850

Leave da spring on for CB !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:7MmcnToKMqw1SCygXTWc3g@comcast.com...
>
> > Best mobile antenna?
> >
> > A 102 inch stainless steel whip. (bar none)
> >
> > Downside is,it's also a tree pruner,so you'll need the spring at the
base,
> > and a plastic hook up towards the front of the jeep. You can then secure
> > it,when you're not using it,just bend it forward and hook it tight.
> >
> > I think the spring will change your SWR so,trim the whip accordingly.
>
> I ran one of these on 10M years ago, I just left off the spring. Tuned up
> beautifully at 28.400
> I've worked Japan, Vladivostok, and Ontario while driving up and down I-5
in
> California.
> Inline switch let me share it with the CB rig, which is actually more
useful
> on long drives than the 10m rig. A bit higher SWR on the CB band, but
still
> acceptable. One night I was stuck in snow at donner pass, and the only
guy
> I could get through to on 10, was in Pensylvania! Not much help on road
> conditions there.
>
> It was deaf as a post at first, because I forgot about the feedline
length.
> Once I had it trimmed to 1.5 wavelengths, everything was fine.
>
> I need to work out a low band antenna for the new rig.
> I really don't want to go through the roof again. The front antenna was
> sort of ok, because there's a console right below it, and I didn't have to
> mess with the headliner. Lots of room in the back, between the dual band
> larsen glassmounts for SSTV and APRS, but it's also a high rig already,
> whatever I do has to come down to a couple inches or less when I need to
> park indoors.
>
> I've looked at the screwdrivers, but all that weight, mounted down low, I

> just don't believe it will last.
>
>
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2073. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 17:46:55 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210200946.f5f5414@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140851

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<23970-3DB01843-352@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> http://interviews.slashdot.org/interviews/02/09/27/1420201.shtml?tid=

Ah hell, 6m hams like me would just jam 'em if they're on 50mhz. What
are the computers gonna do, chase me? (now watch... any second now,
"Aaron" will show up and tell me what a horrible lawfucker I am.)

BTW if you were on a 25-channel cordless phone back in '96 and you
heard an unexplained loud burp, that was me... but the dude with the
duck voice was Wall-eyed Andy, and Video Art was the guy with the
Spanish accent. Unfortunately no tapes exist of these hilarious
hijinks. Damn shame.

Guy Richards

2074. Re: The DX has been hot around the Great Lakes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 17:51:23 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210200951.123a4bd4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140852

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021010214423.04929.00000636@mb-cs.aol.com>...
> i'm pretty sure that one comes via the pole
>
> > (still haven't picked up India).

VU-land is tough DX ANYWHERE in the US... even Hawaii. It doesn't help that most Indian programs aren't b'cast on shortwave for more than 15 minutes at a stretch.

Guy

2075. Electronics NOT Boring - DON'T Try New ILLegal Hallucinogen!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 17:55:19 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db2e90c_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140853

WHO did this post origionally?

First of all, only N8's couSIN could of said some nonsence as that. IF it was boring, then WHY did I and thousands others get into it when we were teenagers or pre-teens? You are ONLY bored IF you watch TV ! QUIT watching TV !

Second of all, SAY NO to DRUGS ! You enter SATANS realm when you mess with such wickedness. GOD intended you NOT to mess with this junk. The same word in the Bible is used for Witchcraft and for drugs. Get on the CB for an "out of body" experience instead! Fear GOD, and KEEP his Commandments, for that IS the DUTY of MAN !!!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2076. Re: Allan R Fallas of British Columbia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 17:57:56 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db2e9a7_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140854

Getr the PACKIES on him then!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"The Tube Man" <thetubeman@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:vAvs9.4571$U97.439906@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Allan R Fallas is a lier, con-man and thief. I sent him $800 ane
rerceived
> nothing but lies in return.
>
> "gw" <gw@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> news:235a74db.0210050708.77cd6620@posting.google.com...
> > This guy is a major fraud and it would be in your best interest to not
> > deal with him in any way , shape or form. He is a complete ripoff and
> > does real harm to the ham radio community. Somehow he retains a ham
> > radio advanced license. God knows why.
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2077. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 18:12:26 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <xLidnS6ppcGZby-gXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 140855

> >There ain't no ether, aether, or any ether bunny.
> >There is wheeler foam though.
>
> Now where do you get the idea that the ether doesn't exist? Despite
> the historical and affectionate use of the word 'ether' (or 'aether')
> by radio operators as the medium for EM waves, just what makes you
> think that it DOESN'T exist? (Trick question -- think carefully!)

The michelson morley experiment failed to show it's existence.
http://scienceworld.wolfram.com/physics/Michelson-MorleyExperiment.html

My own theory, is that radio and maybe everything else is simply
disturbances in the wheeler foam. But, I'm not a scientist, and it's just
speculation on my part.

>If you are thinking
> that in order for an antenna to radiate 27 MHz then it must be 9 or 18
> feet long (or some multiple of 1/4 wavelength), then you need to put
> down your books and take a formal class on the subject.

No, but you were seeking large gain figures, for a mobile antenna.

> Forget Hertz for a moment (I know that's difficult for you to do, but
> do it anyway). Now, how many years was it between Faraday's first
> electromagnetic experiments until Marconi's first trans-Atlantic
> transmission? Not long, was it?

They had a proper theory to build on.

> Have you ever used a direct-conversion receiver?

Yup,

> I wasn't suggesting improving the design of the receiver. But now that
> you mentioned it, there are several ways to reduce the environmental
> noise. One of them is the use of directional antennas, which reduces
> the noise from unwanted sources. Another is done by blanking the noise
> with hash from a parallel receiver using the same antenna. Internal
> noise can be drastically reduced using modern low-noise components
> which are relatively cheap these days (funny, even a 6AH6 has a better
> S/N properties than most of the semiconductors in use today). After
> that you get into the REALLY radical ideas, such as real-time DSP
> processing and heuristic filters.

How conventional of you. :)

> >That's what scientists do. I'm not a scientist. I'm an engineer.
>

> Does that prevent you from looking?

No

2078. Re: Re...HAMS USE ILLEGAL POWER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 18:16:28 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <shaggy@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <N_qcncvaFMhhby-gXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 140856

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210200854.5f0bcf2a@posting.google.com...
> voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<75ad2ce2.0210192119.1d251c7b@posting.google.com>...
> > nunofyurbiznes@cs.com (Scooter Tramp) wrote:
> > >So I guess that (after much debate) the answer to my question is,
> > > YES ,
> > > some HAMS do run more than the alotted amount of power
> > >and are therefore
> > > classified as Federal Criminals. The amount of
> > > HAMS and the amount of
> > > power is not determinable but it is suffice to say that there are a
> > > sufficiant amount of illegal HAMS to warrent recognition.Thank you all
> > > for the information and heated de-bait (heheheh).
> > > Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp
> > >
> >
> > If your initial post was a troll ("de-bait") designed to justify
> > illegal CB operation by showing that some "bad hams" operate with more
> > than legal power, you couldn't have hoped for a better poster boy to
> > show up than "skipp", a real-life example of a bad ham. BTW, you can
> > have him, the hams don't want him.
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> And how would YOU know that the "hams don't want him", Aanal Aaron?
> Just spouting more of your lame rhetoric, hey?

Prove he is wrong his claim is no different than you claiming there are
30-40 millions cbers in the usa.
--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove thier correct.

Richard Cranium

2079. Re: Nicad charger question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 18:25:40 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <6uScnVenLP-CaC-gXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 140857

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3db2df06$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Yo is right, Train. I have ALWAYS discharged them with a headlight or
some
> other bulb to zero and had NO bad effects doing so. How about c cells in
a
> DVM that lasted over 10 years, or a Skil drill battery lasting maybe more
> than that? Where do people come up with that voltage number nonsence?!
> Dave!

Try talking to the cell manufacturers, or electrochemical engineers.
(electro-chemists?)

This is a great example of why we have spec sheets on components.
While you may be getting away with it, it will not work for everyone.
If it's working for you as you say, then you got lucky, and all the cells in
your packs are very closely matched. It's certainly possible.

Assume you have five cells in a string. Assume one cell is slightly weaker
than the rest, and so will discharge first. Now at the tail end of the
discharge, this cell will go to zero first, then as the rest of the cells
discharge, the voltage across it will go negative. That is very bad for
the cell, and will further weaken it. Keep doing this, and you'll kill
that cell.

IF all the cells in the pack are very closely matched, and IF they stay that
way throughout their lives, then the extreme discharges are harmless. Note,
you can discharge single cells to zero harmlessly, since there's no
mechanism to reverse polarize them.

You have a couple devices that you're doing this on.

I have a printer design I'm about to release that will sell 10-20,000 units
next year, each with one or more 5 cell packs.
How many problems do I want with battery packs killed by improper
maintainance?

Finally, I'll refer you to 28.13 of McGraw Hill's Handbook of Batteries.
Should be available at a well stocked library.

2080. Re: Nicad charger question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 18:34:45 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <D4ednYJlwvPdai-gXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 140858

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3db2df1f_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> They LAST LONGER when you discharge them to zero.

No, they don't. Shallow cycles are less stressful on the cells.
Discharging any pack of three or more cells to zero is a very bad idea.

> Even my Norelco shaver.
How many cells? Many shavers have two cells. You can't get into reversal
with two cells.

> I'm talking about NI CADS only. I agree we should do what the
manufacturer
> says. My feeling is that we either discharge to zero, or don't discharge
at
> all (just charge when they get weak or low).

Bingo! There is no need to routinely discharge nicads or nimh cells before
charging.
The only case where this is useful at all, is with NIMH cells that have been
forced into charge depression by long-term overcharge. (probably by leaving
them on a nicad trickle charger)
In this case, you would want to give them several cycles to 0.9V/cell to
remove the charge depression.

> I don't have the time or
> mentality of an N8 to sit and watch with a voltmeter to yank the light
bulb
> off the battery discharge when it gets to a certain rate!

Buy a real charger :) I've seen dischargers done with dropout relays
carefully tweaked to the right point. Myself, I prefer a microcontroller
and a couple of FETs. The Atmel Tiny-26 is very well suited to this job.

> We talking about
> going all da way to ZERO. Then after you take the bulb off, it goes back
up
> anyway without the charger, right? !

There is a bit of chemical recovery when you pull off the load, but the
on-load voltage, and specifically the current through the weak cell is where
the problem occurs.

2081. Re: Nicad charger question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 19:11:23 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92AD7BFEA27ADrrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 140859

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in
news:6uScnVenLP-CaC-gXTWcqw@comcast.com:

> Try talking to the cell manufacturers, or electrochemical engineers.
> (electro-chemists?)
>
> This is a great example of why we have spec sheets on components.
> While you may be getting away with it, it will not work for everyone.
> If it's working for you as you say, then you got lucky, and all the
> cells in your packs are very closely matched. It's certainly
> possible.
>
> Assume you have five cells in a string. Assume one cell is slightly
> weaker than the rest, and so will discharge first. Now at the tail
> end of the discharge, this cell will go to zero first, then as the
> rest of the cells discharge, the voltage across it will go negative.
> That is very bad for the cell, and will further weaken it. Keep
> doing this, and you'll kill that cell.
>
> IF all the cells in the pack are very closely matched, and IF they
> stay that way throughout their lives, then the extreme discharges are
> harmless. Note, you can discharge single cells to zero harmlessly,
> since there's no mechanism to reverse polarize them.
>
> You have a couple devices that you're doing this on.
>
> I have a printer design I'm about to release that will sell 10-20,000
> units next year, each with one or more 5 cell packs.
> How many problems do I want with battery packs killed by improper
> maintainance?
>
> Finally, I'll refer you to 28.13 of McGraw Hill's Handbook of
> Batteries. Should be available at a well stocked library.
>
>
>

Great info, Mr VanHorn. I never knew all that. Hmm...seems to me like
McGraw Hill had a ton of great electronic related textbooks. I gotta head
to my library :)

Good thread, thanks.

--
Alan Strawinski

http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

2082. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 19:26:11 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db2fdba.368986@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140860

In <xLidnS6ppcGZby-gXTWc2Q@comcast.com>, "Dave VanHorn"
<dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:

>
>> >There ain't no ether, aether, or any ether bunny.
>> >There is wheeler foam though.
>>
>> Now where do you get the idea that the ether doesn't exist? Despite
>> the historical and affectionate use of the word 'ether' (or 'aether')
>> by radio operators as the medium for EM waves, just what makes you
>> think that it DOESN'T exist? (Trick question -- think carefully!)
>
>The michelson morley experiment failed to show it's existence.
>http://scienceworld.wolfram.com/physics/Michelson-MorleyExperiment.html

The Michelson-Morley experiment used an interferometer to detect the
passage of the earth through the ether at it travelled through space.
This was based on the assumption that the ether has an absolute
spatial position (the failure to prove this led to the development of
relativity). However, no consideration was made for the possiblity
that an etherial 'reference point' might be made to any gravitational
object (which, incidently, is also consistant with relativity). The
experiment did not disprove the theory of the ether; It only proved
that one of the conditions of the theory was wrong, not the whole
theory.

I told you it was a trick question!

>My own theory, is that radio and maybe everything else is simply
>disturbances in the wheeler foam. But, I'm not a scientist, and it's just
>speculation on my part.
>
>>If you are thinking
>> that in order for an antenna to radiate 27 MHz then it must be 9 or 18
>> feet long (or some multiple of 1/4 wavelength), then you need to put
>> down your books and take a formal class on the subject.
>
>No, but you were seeking large gain figures, for a mobile antenna.

So? Does big gain necessarily mean big size?

>> Forget Hertz for a moment (I know that's difficult for you to do, but
>> do it anyway). Now, how many years was it between Faraday's first
>> electromagnetic experiments until Marconi's first trans-Atlantic
>> transmission? Not long, was it?
>

>They had a proper theory to build on.

Were the Greeks somehow incapable of formulating theories?

2083. Re: Does anyone have Moonraker IV diagrams and specs that can be scanned?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 19:27:55 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <%sDs9.4954$071.454242@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 140861

http://www.cbtricks.com/ant.htm

You are welcome...

Dino

> If you do,I'd like to get them posted in the binary groups,if you'd
>be good enough to do it for us,we seem to have to make them ourselves
>now that the company I talked with say they are disc.Please reply in
>here and tnx

2084. Re: Johnson radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 19:45:41 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db302ea_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140862

He said JOHNSON not Ranger! The 223 Bases were Classics, and maybe a few
others. They are lousy radios after '76 technology!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021020104438.11363.00002447@mb-cv.aol.com...
> the rangers are real nice. heres one about to end for rather cheap. these
cant
> be beat on AM.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1387817403
>
> >
> >
> >
> >Which model Johnson has the best performance and features.
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2085. Re: heathkit amps any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 19:49:47 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db303df_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140863

Yeti Putz:
Isn't this the amp that is just for SSB use only, is a big heavy tank, and
is a piece of junk when broken down?
Dave!

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3db1f640.12415961@news.cet.com...
> In <2jms9.16266$%d2.17012@sccrnsc01>, "Checkerboard Strangler"
> <jhaas84@attbi.com> wrote:
>
> >> "brian" adjusted the plate voltage. keyed his D-104 and said:
> > >
> >> > I have noticed lots of sb200 and sb220 heathkits for sale on ebay, at
> >what
> >> > seems to be a reasonable price. Are they any good? I know the 220 has
2
> >> > 500z tubes but what about the sb200?
> > >
> >"Major Tom" quickly pulled the pin out of his coax and replied:
> > >
> >> Hi Brian,
> >> It depends on who put the amp together. I have seen some
> >> that were put together very well with a professional look inside
> >> and then there are some that looked like a child assembled it
> >> using a Bic lighter to solder. Whatever you do, be sure that
> >> you are able to look inside at the construction work.
> >>
> >> Hope this helps
> >> Tom
> > >
> >"JeffH" pulled his finger out of the light socket long enough to add:
> > >
> >After you've done the white glove inspection of every single solder joint
> >on the rig, get the guy to let you fire it up for a while....better yet,
let
> >him
> >fire it up and drive around listening to it.....sort of a test drive.
> >Heath really has a gem, and the proof is the fact that they still run
like
> >a big block Plymouth cop car after over twenty years.
> > >
> >Power supply issues notwithstanding, you'll have a true blue dependable
> >amp, and a classic worthy of respect.
> >Mine sure gets lots of respect, both on the air and off, and I've never
had

> >a power
> >supply issue yet.
> >
> >Respectfully,
> >JeffH
>
> So is that the draw of big power -- "respect"? Knowing that you are
> disrespectful of anyone else on the channel when you key up with an
> amp, how does that deserve respect? Would you respect me if I stepped
> on all your signals so you couldn't talk? Or maybe you think that a
> person who screams really loud in a library is worthy of respect?
>
> Putz.
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2086. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 19:51:59 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db30463_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140864

Lou needs da $$$$$ so he has to cross post it to every where that he can
make da $$$ from !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:1FKr9.88407$oq6.24286@sccrnsc01...
> How many newsgroups did you feel you needed to polute with all that
> cross-posting ?
> What a troll...
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2087. Re: Anyone think they can win a "key-down" contest with this guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 19:54:50 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db3050d_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140865

Where is it? No story is listed!
Dave!

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:ePWcnQKLItlctjKgXTWcow@News.GigaNews.Com...
> Talking about running power and creating interference...
>
>
http://www.aviationnow.com/avnow/news/channel_military.jsp?view=story&id=new
> s/aw1004dir.xml
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2088. Re: Nicad charger question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 20:05:16 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db30782_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140866

Question below each point!
Dave

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:D4ednYJlwvPdai-gXTWcqw@comcast.com...

> Bingo! There is no need to routinely discharge nicads or nimh cells
before
> charging.

What? Don't they last longer IF you discharge them to zero, or 1
volt? IF we don't have to discharge at all, then WHERE did we hear that we
had to to make it last longer?

AND what about what I heard to "rebuild" the cell when it goes bad. I heard
what you do is make it go all the way down & up 10 times and it will
re-build the cell, unless it blows it up, which I saw that once!

Dave!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2089. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 20:05:23 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DB30C83.450A265C@cwis.net>
Source record: 140867

"Does big gain necessarily mean big size?"

When you are speaking about antennas, especially in
the HF region, and in relation to the 'average' sized
antenna for that particular frequency, yes.
'Doc

2090. Re: heathkit amps any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 20:12:49 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DB30E41.A292334@cwis.net>
Source record: 140868

Dave or Debby,
You must be thinking about some other amplifier besides
the SB-220 (or the SB-200). It can be used for modes other
than SSB. Is it big and heavy? Sure, so what? Any tube
amplifier that uses a high voltage transformer is going to
be big and heavy. As far as it being a piece of junk when
it's broke-down, aren't they all? They are no more difficult
to repair than any other 30 year old piece if equipment...
'Doc

2091. Re: OT Sterling Marlin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 20:18:09 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db30a85_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140869

No, Sunny: NUTHIN' on radio is better than CB !
Dave!!
It ain't "God Bless America," But AMERICA BLESS GOD
instead!!!
Dave!!!

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021014202921.732$gS_-_@newsreader.com...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote:
> > >>"Got RF?"
> > >
> > Got Mopar? <g>
> >
> >>Sterling Marlin is done..broken vert in his neck..;(
> >
> Anyone catch Jamie McMurry win at Lowes,in the silver bullet(40)?
>
> Second Winston Cup race,too. Gannasi is a genius!
>
> Sterling will be back strong next season along with McMurry,and Rusty
> Wallace,Ryan Newman,just jumped on the Mopar bandwagon.
>
> To stay on topic..their radio traffic is better than CB.
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2092. Re: Anyone think they can win a "key-down" contest with this guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 20:21:51 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <zfEs9.34358$La5.94595@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 140870

His link got cut off Dave.

http://www.aviationnow.com/avnow/news/channel_military.jsp?view=story&id=new
s/aw1004dir.xml

Try this. I bumped my word wrap up by 20 characters. You should be able to
just click his link now. Or you could just type of paste the missing chunk
in your browser.

Dave

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3db3050d_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Where is it? No story is listed!
> Dave!
>
>
> "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
> news:ePWcnQKLItlctjKgXTWcow@News.GigaNews.Com...
> > Talking about running power and creating interference...
> >
> >
>
http://www.aviationnow.com/avnow/news/channel_military.jsp?view=story&id=new
> > s/aw1004dir.xml
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2093. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 20:23:46 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210201223.24e0b05@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140871

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021020094616.11363.00002439@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> voobner, when did i say it was a legal band for dx comms
>specifically setup for
> a hobby purpose)? all i said was that the portion of the
>band they are working
> in is legal there

Well Tim they are on an "expedition" to do what? Talk locally? LOL.

-A

2094. Re: Anyone think they can win a "key-down" contest with this guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 20:24:09 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JhEs9.32029$zE6.90004@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 140872

oh well. Guess you'll just have to do it the old archaic way.

Dave

2095. Re: Johnson radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 20:25:01 UTC
From: sir.oblivion@public.com
Message-ID: <3db31125$1_2@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 140873

"Lil-Bump(in the middle of the road)" <Zip406@peoplepc.com> wrote in message news:ur496fr7md150b@corp.supernews.com...
> Which model Johnson has the best performance and features.
>

The Johnson White Face was the best base radio in my opinion if you forgo the Johnson Viking Series bases dating back in the 50's through 60's. Mobil wise the Johnson 352 and 40 Channel counterpart the Johnson 4040 were excellent radios the later having the O2A chip which could be easily modified with a double pole switch. The only real competitors at the time were the HyGain 5 and Tram Diamond 60's.

2096. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 20:30:53 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210201230.250258f0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140874

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021020095447.11363.00002442@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> they ignored him. it probably had alot to do with some certain
> members of this
> newsgroup emailing the moderater lol

Wow so you went out of your way to email the moderator? You guys were
THAT terrified of the responses, you had to try and supress them? Why?
If you are right and many hams operate illegally, then why not let the
people on the list answer the question, instead of censoring it?

-Aaron-

>
>
>
> >The next logical question would have to be this:
> >Did they actually reply to you or pawn you off as the asshole we know you to
> >be?
> >Train
> >

2097. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 20:33:49 UTC
From: Dan <nezor@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <NqEs9.5439$U97.516024@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 140875

> Your bait is starting to stink.
>
> --

People who think I'm a troll are welcome to
a) Not read the thread
b) Put me in your killfile
c) both of the above.

Easy, see?

I thank those of you who've been very helpful. Finding the guy may well prove impossible, but I'm certainly going to try putting some ferrite toroids on my wiring this week.

Dan

2098. Mark Radio Fords, NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 20:41:46 UTC
From: sir.oblivion@public.com
Message-ID: <3db31512_1@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 140876

Thought I add this to the fray acknowledging CB pioneers and those who unselfishly devoted them selves to the hobby. This is a repost of a similar subject I made almost two years ago.

I would like to acknowledge George Marklin of "Mark Radio" of Fords, NJ. Throughout the 1960's through the mid-eighties George or better known to his customers simply as Mark was a shining example of CB radio and it's uses.

As a teenager I would frequently visit his shop in the early seventies and literally drool over the Helicrafters, Apache, and Drakes that donned his shelves. Besides being a very early retailer in CB equipment Mark Radio also worked hard to teach and demonstrate proper radio etiquette amongst his customers prior and after the CB radio boom of the mid-seventies.

Throughout George Marklin was a strong supporter of 11 meters though he held an Extra Class Amateur license. He realized that the ranks of amateurs could be strengthened by capturing the interest of CB'ers.

I recall in the fall of 1977 when George asked me then a fourteen year old if I would be interested in obtaining an amateur license. I said sure and for the next several weeks George took from his time operating a business teaching me radio theory and Morse. The day I took my test and passed my 5 WPM code was among the proudest of my life.

It's been many years and George has left us but I can recall his basement shot, his backyard filled with antennas and a kind gentleman that took the time to nurture my interest in communication. For this and the many others that George touches he should be remembered.

73's Dear Friend
de, KOD-4190

2099. Re: Re...HAMS USE ILLEGAL POWER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 20:46:31 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210201246.46933419@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140877

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021020095046.11363.00002440@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> who do you assume that by wanting to know if hams
> running big power he was
> trying to justify anybody else breaking the law?

Coulda been this:

nunofyurbiznes@cs.com (Scooter Tramp) wrote:
> some HAMS do run more than the alotted amount of power
>and are therefore
> classified as Federal Criminals.

-A

2100. Re: Hurricane-proof Hammie Room Built in Fla...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 20:53:56 UTC
From: sir.oblivion@public.com
Message-ID: <3db317ed_2@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 140878

I just took a ride up there only living about five minutes away. It's a pretty non-descript building and you really wouldn't notice it's construction other than the communication tower that looks as if it could withstand anything buried in yards of concrete.

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:12365-3DB05367-57@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Taken from the online edition of the Jupiter Courier at
> http://www.JupiterCourier.com
>
>
>
>
> RANDALL MURRAY staff writer
> David Baker, an employee of Advanced Communications Services of
> Deerfield Beach, works to tie together complex systems in Emergency
> Operations Center of Tequesta's new Public Safety Building on Tequesta
> Drive.
>
>
>
> A building made for the future
> Tequesta Public Safety Building nears opening
> By Randall Muray staff writer
> October 7, 2002
> Gold-colored shovels broke into the soil to the sounds of cheers and
> applause less than a year ago, launching construction of Tequesta's
> ambitious new Public Safety Building.
> Today Village officials and members of the police and fire-rescue
> departments are gearing up to take occupancy of the $3.9 million,
> 20,292-square-foot facility that will be dedicated Oct. 19 -- 10 days
> short of a year after groundbreaking.
> The new building, designed and built with direct guidance from
> Fire-Rescue Chief James Weinand and Police Chief Stephen Allison, will
> free police officers from makeshift quarters in a shopping center, and
> fire-rescue personnel from the cramped building adjacent to the new
> structure. The old station will be torn down later this year.
> Last week, Weinand and Allison offered a guided tour of the new
> facility.
> At the heart of the building is a hurricane-hardened Emergency
> Operations Center that is built to withstand a Category 5 hurricane with
> winds up to 155 mph. The rest of the building is built to withstand a
> Category 3 storm.
> The EOC is a 4,000-square-foot, self-contained unit that can operate

> without outside electrical power for two weeks, thanks to a huge
> generator and a 4,000-gallon diesel fuel tank. The roof above the EOC is
> made of five inches of poured concrete with heavy steel reinforcement.
> Thick metal doors lock tightly to protect those inside from what's going
> on outside.
> Inside the hardened shell dispatchers and others will be able to handle
> just about any disaster with high-tech communications and monitoring
> equipment. The center also has its own electrical, mechanical and
> air-conditioning systems, as well as bathroom and kitchen facilities for
> storm-bound employees.
> Under the removable floor, covered by "peel and stick" carpeting, are
> hidden electrical outlets and dataports to be used in emergency. In
> normal times, however, "you'd never know they were there," said Weinand.
> Four plasma monitors and a touch-sensitive wall screen to record
> happenings in Tequesta during a major emergency will help officials keep
> in touch with what's happening outside -- and with neighboring emergency
> services.
> There is serious duplication in communications systems, Allison pointed
> out. A priority phone line will allow up to 28 calls to come in at one
> time. If that should fail, cell phone and satellite phone systems are
> available.
> "And if all else fails," said Weinand, "We have a Ham radio room."
> Tequesta has an active group of Ham (amateur radio) operators. If
> needed, a 45-foot telescoping antenna can be raised on the roof.
> Pointing to the focus on electronics, Weinand said, "There's 15 miles of
> low-voltage wiring in this building."
> At present, the Tequesta Police Department is housed in a Countyline
> Plaza storefront. Security is a major concern for Allison. In the new
> building, suspects will be driven into the structure through a
> sallyport, with an automated garage door. Locks to provide access to the
> interior of the police department will not release until the sallyport
> door is completely closed.
> Another security measure is afforded possible juvenile suspects who can
> be moved into a holding cell area without being exposed to adult
> prisoners.
> Included in the police portion of the building, which is at the rear of
> the structure, are offices for administrators, weapons storage, a secure
> evidence locker area, "fuming booth" that helps discern fingerprints, an
> open file system that maximizes space, conference room and more.
> Security, whether from a natural disaster or intrusion by unwanted
> people, is at the top of the list of priorities for the new building.
> And security starts at the front door. A receptionist inside a booth can
> govern entry into the building. Those who enter talk with the
> receptionist via a two-way comm system, and the reception area is
> monitored by security cameras. Any materials that need to be exchanged
> go through a secure pass-through.
> Fire-rescue personnel are on duty 24 hours a day. For night shifts,
> eight individual bunkrooms are provided -- including two designed for
> tall people.
> The apparatus room -- where the fire-rescue vehicles are parked --

> offers more than enough space for today's equipment as well as projected
> room for additional, larger vehicles in the future. At present Tequesta
> has two rescue vehicles, a ladder truck, a pumper truck -- with another
> pumper to be added early next year, and a utility truck.
> And when the alarm sounds and firefighters jump into those vehicles to
> respond to a call, they'll roll safely down the inclined ramp onto
> Tequesta Drive. Weinand changed the design from a concrete surface to
> heavy-duty brick pavers.
> "That's a pretty good slope," Weinand said, "And we were worried that
> when the concrete got wet, our vehicles might slide onto Tequesta
> Drive." Hence the rough-surface pavers -- which also are less costly
> than the concrete drive.
> In working with architect Gee & Jensen of West Palm Beach and general
> contractor David Brooks Enterprises of Palm Beach Gardens, Weinand said
> he was looking not simply at today's needs, but what the Village would
> require many years down the road.
> Allison responded to some criticism in the village that the building is
> too massive for a community the size of Tequesta. "You have to consider
> that 20 percent of it is shared by both departments, and also it's an
> administrative center. We've tried very hard to maximize efficiency.
> There is no wasted space here."
> Said Weinand, "Everybody in Tequesta will be proud of this building for
> a very long time."
> randall.murray@scripps.com
>

2101. Re: CB Repair & Mod. Books 40% Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 20:58:29 UTC
From: sir.oblivion@public.com
Message-ID: <3db318fd$1_6@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 140879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Lou your books are great but your business practices suck. I recall purchasing several President Lincolns from you back in the eighties and when one unit arrived DOA you refused to make good. Yes my friend it was many years ago but it's still worthwhile noting that you screwed me out of $350.00.

"Lou Franklin" <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message news:ecsuqu8gkijr23tt9m8u22l32pk9d5b9tt@4ax.com...
> To learn the TRUTH about CB Radio without all the hype from people who
> are just plain ignorant, check out the following books from CBC
> International. They're selling right now at a big discounts on our
> EBAY & AMAZON stores too.
>
> Check it out! Go to this link:
>
>
http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=lou@cbcintl.com&completed=0&sort=3&since=-1&include=0&page=1&rows=25
>
> To see exactly what these books look like, plus all the specific,
> chapter-by-chapter details, see our Web at:
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> Some of the books included are:
>
> BOOK #1: THE "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE To Peaking Out & Repairing
> CB Radios. List $25. The most popular "big dummy's" guide ever
> published on do-it-yourself CB repairs & modifications. Covers
> peaking of receivers, transmitters, modulation, mike problems, antenna
> & SWR problems, detailed frequency modifications for both crystal &
> PLL radios. Loaded with charts, illustrations, and specific
> instructions for most brands including the new export models. This
> latest edition also covers slider and broadbanding tricks, FM
> conversions, and much more!
>
> BOOK #2: THE CB PLL DATA BOOK. List $20. The only complete reference
> source on CB PLL synthesizer circuits ever published! Detailed PLL
> theory explained in layman's terms. How they work, and how to modify
> them for many more channels. Includes specific PLL block mixing
> diagrams, truth charts, & IC pin function diagrams for nearly every
> PLL chip ever used. Covers all the most popular U.S. & export chassis,
> with specific listings of all models using the chip.

>
> BOOK #3: UNDERSTANDING & REPAIRING CB RADIOS. List $42. Giant
> 370-page technical book for the professional repairman. Picks up
> where the "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE leaves off. Includes circuit
> descriptions & troubleshooting guide for 23- & 40-Ch., crystal & PLL,
> solid-state & tube, AM, FM, SSB, CW, American & export models. Covers
> test equipment (& where to find it), transistor basics, synthesizers,
> receivers, transmitters, power supplies, T/R switching, antennas,
> interference, parts & accessory sources. Over 350 illustrations
> including schematics, charts, and photos. Huge subject index also lets
> you look up repairs by symptoms. This is "must" reading for any
> serious CB technician!
>
> For details on our Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual (2nd Ed.) go to:
>
> www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm
>
>
>
>
****
> Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
> technical information & high-performance CB accessories!
>
> DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
> https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
> NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!
>
> Email: lou@cbcintl.com
> Web: www.cbcintl.com
> ----------
> CBC INTERNATIONAL
> P.O. Box 30655
> Tucson AZ 85751 USA
> Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
> Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980
>

2102. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 21:10:35 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <fZEs9.32480$zE6.92642@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 140880

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210191516.66b2baba@posting.google.com...

> Nope. You're merely re-directing as usual, Aanal Aaron. You say
> something ans=d everyone is simply supposed to accept it? Where's your
> PROOF, Aanal Aaron?

You want him to prove he asked a question? Read his first post and turn the gas down a little. He's asking a question. A harmless question that came from questions asked in a previous post. You're just arguing to argue now, aren't you?

Dave

2103. 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 21:12:16 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210201312.2de0f442@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140881

Here's what I heard Sunday in just a few minutes of monitoring. (20:58 Z). All with loud ECHO MIKE effects....

28.265 (AM): "Who the f*k is she to be tellin him he can't bring that girl in his house? Burned all his f*kin logbooks, paperwork, ha ha!"

28.270 (AM): "Break break break" (pause) "Hey where you at?" (pause) "You got a copy on this old south east Texas copperhead?"

28.725 (AM): "You tell that g*d*mn dispatcher that any CCX driver wont stand for that sh*t"... (etc)

-Aaron-

2104. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 21:19:51 UTC
From: rabbit <rabbitsass@aol.com>
Message-ID: <XqKdnYeeW5Cygy6gXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 140882

hurmm sounds like a a good outbander to me
w4aag said that
"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:75ad2ce2.0210201312.2de0f442@posting.google.com...
> Here's what I heard Sunday in just a few minutes of monitoring. (20:58
> Z). All with loud ECHO MIKE effects....
>
> 28.265 (AM): "Who the f*k is she to be tellin him he can't bring that
> girl in his house? Burned all his f*kin logbooks, paperwork, ha ha!"
>
> 28.270 (AM): "Break break break" (pause) "Hey where you at?" (pause)
> "You got a copy on this old south east Texas copperhead?"
>
> 28.725 (AM): "You tell that g*d*mn dispatcher that any CCX driver wont
> stand for that sh*t"... (etc)
>
> -Aaron-

2105. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 21:27:59 UTC
From: BH <radioguy@gbronline.com>
Message-ID: <3DB31FDF.6643DD38@gbronline.com>
Source record: 140951

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> jj, the only reason i aks it of you is because i'm 100% sure that you can not
> do it because your not actually a ham. good day.

Even if I posted a call sign, how would you know it was me? What
proof would that be? I am 100% sure I am a ham, have been since
1961, believe it or not, it matters nothing to me.

2106. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 21:29:37 UTC
From: BH <radioguy@gbronline.com>
Message-ID: <3DB32041.AA17695F@gbronline.com>
Source record: 140956

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> voobner, when did i say it was a legal band for dx comms specifically setup for
> a hobby purpose)? all i said was that the portion of the band they are working
> in is legal there and it also happens to be our countries "freeband"(keep in
> mind freeband here may not be freeband other places).

There you go with your delusions again timmyboy, there is no
'freeband' in this country. Just because you use those frequencies
does not make them free.

2107. Re: A/S Super Scanner CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 21:29:45 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <m186rucpfqvh9bjp4kfcsv22rgbhav40bv@4ax.com>
Source record: 140883

it says invalid item......

On Sun, 20 Oct 2002 14:10:03 GMT, "nukem" <nukem@gotmail.com> wrote:

>Check this out:
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1391357572
>

2108. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 21:31:59 UTC
From: BH <radioguy@gbronline.com>
Message-ID: <3DB320CF.387770A8@gbronline.com>
Source record: 140958

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> voobner, when did i say it was a legal band for dx comms specifically setup for
> a hobby purpose)? all i said was that the portion of the band they are working
> in is legal there and it also happens to be our countries "freeband"(keep in
> mind freeband here may not be freeband other places). i can tell you this, with
> as many hams that were as angry as they were, some of them trying there best to
> invalidate the chesterfield activation purely because of the simoultaneous 11
> meter activity if they WERE doing anything illegal at all it would be all over
> every ham site in the world that the newest activated most wanted country on
> the air is not vaild for the DXCC award(which was there whole purpose for being
> on 10 meters to begin with). these old time ham guys stirring the pot are ten
> times more anal than Anal Aaron himself so you can bet they wouldnt let
> anything slide. were you reading the comments on the various ham clusters? it
> was funny to see the hams so upset over something going on at 27.510 lol it
> hurt there license holding pride to take back seat to 11 meters.

Do you ever think you will ever learn the difference between
'there' as in 'put it over there' and 'their' as in 'hurt their
(not there) license'?

2109. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 21:46:45 UTC
From: "HankG." <nowon@noware.com>
Message-ID: <vZadncCo45bauS6gXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 140884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

blowupdoll wrote in message <3db2e5f8@snipnews.snip.net>...
>
>"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:B9D80B09.BF4D%stewart@sc.rr.com...
>>
>> I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of Federal
>> Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any external radio
>> frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any
>> frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more information,
>see...
>> (scroll down to 2.815)
>
>YEAH...YEAH...YEAH...
>Yada...Yada...Yada...
>
>Ban has been in place for Years but that
>hasn't stopped me seeing one or two
>of them for sale at virtually everh hamfest
>I been to, and at weekend flea markets
>in the Pa.NJ NY area. All you have to
>do is sell them "for test bench signal amplifier
>purposes" as a kit and the FCC can't touch
>you. For an example see:
>
>http://www.cbcintl.com/TriPower.htm
>
>Know what also? I have NO PROBLEM with
>these kind of sales. Their NOT illegal and only
>hams with inflated egos seem to get upset
>and like to play "radio cop" when such products are
>marketed. There's alot other things to worry
>about (like the looming loss of 440 mHz) that this stuff folks.

What happened to 440?

HankG
>
>

2110. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 22:05:34 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <shaggy@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <onedndKxHKsttS6gXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 140886

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:75ad2ce2.0210201230.250258f0@posting.google.com...
> timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021020095447.11363.00002442@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> > they ignored him. it probably had alot to do with some certain
> > members of this
> > newsgroup emailing the moderater lol
>
> Wow so you went out of your way to email the moderator? You guys were
> THAT terrified of the responses, you had to try and supress them? Why?
> If you are right and many hams operate illegally, then why not let the
> people on the list answer the question, instead of censoring it?
>
> -Aaron-

Don't act so suprised Aaron, It is par for the course for this group of
hacks. They email Isp's, the email google, the email whoever will accept
their emails.

2111. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 22:06:10 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210201406.7a7c5363@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140887

"blowupdoll" fgrease3dee@hotmail.com wrote
>"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:B9D80B09.BF4D%stewart@sc.rr.com...
>>
>> I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of
Federal
>> Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any external
radio
>> frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on
any
>> frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more
information,
>see...
>> (scroll down to 2.815)
>
>YEAH...YEAH...YEAH...
>Yada...Yada...Yada...
>
>Ban has been in place for Years but that
>hasn't stopped me seeing one or two
>of them for sale at virtually everh hamfest
>I been to, and at weekend flea markets
>in the Pa.NJ NY area. All you have to
>do is sell them "for test bench signal amplifier
>purposes" as a kit and the FCC can't touch
>you. For an example see:
>
>http://www.cbcintl.com/TriPower.htm

Lou's site also features a chart that assigns CB "channel numbers"
above 28 mhz. ("NEW!ï¿½ Channel & Frequency Chart (14Kb PDF).ï¿½ Free
1-page download covers Ch.1ï¿½40 in standard band, plus 7 other bands, 4
down and 3 up.ï¿½ Total range shown is 25.165ï¿½28.755 MHz.")

>Know what also? I have NO PROBLEM with
>these kind of sales. Their NOT illegal and only
>hams with inflated egos seem to get upset
>and like to play "radio cop" when such products are
>marketed. There's alot other things to worry
>about (like the looming loss of 440 mHz) that this
>stuff folks.

http://lists.contesting.com/_amps/2002-April/029832.html
From: Steve Katz stevek@jmr.com
Date: Thu, 18 Apr 2002 08:56:35 -0700

Message: [Amps] CB amps on e-Bay

Not harming us? Indeed they are. Here's how:

-CBers causing RFI/TVI in residential neighborhoods. Ham applies for permit to erect a tower and is denied because the neighbors, who have experienced this RFI/TVI for years, all show up at the zoning board hearing and protest "enough is enough" based on their bad experiences. Ham gets denied his tower. (This isn't a dream, this happens daily throughout the U.S. -- many documented cases.)

-CBers become dissatisfied with their measly 40 channel allocations and
begin spreading out, or "freebanding" as they call it, on to 10m and 12m
amateur bands, rendering those bands virtually useless in some areas. I
hear it every single day, hundreds of examples per day, this becomes thousands if the band's really open.

There probably isn't an amateur active on 10m and 12m anywhere in the world who isn't aware of this bad and growing problem. If all the CBers ran their legal power limit, the problem would still exist, but it wouldn't be quite as loud. Since so many are running amplifiers, it's worse.

WB2WIK/6

2112. Re: Anyone think they can win a "key-down" contest with this guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 22:08:27 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <wFSdnd6Nk5rLtC6gXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 140888

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3db3050d_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Where is it? No story is listed!
> Dave!

Do a reply, then cut and paste the URL from that.
The displayed message broke the url

Dial-A-Hurt, up to 20GW

>
http://www.aviationnow.com/avnow/news/channel_military.jsp?view=story&id=new
> > s/aw1004dir.xml << You have to add this part in manually.

Article text follows:

Directed-energy technology is ready to be used as weaponry and, in a mature state, one device carried by an unmanned aircraft could attack each of 100 targets with 1,000 pulses of energy in a single sortie, says a former director of the U.S. Air Force's high-power microwave program.

"Except for the standard rifle, gun, knife or grenade, virtually all military equipment contains some electronics" that are vulnerable to a large pulse of energy, wrote Air Force Col. Eileen M. Walling. "Military commanders are in a state of virtually total dependence on radios, telephones, satellite communications, computers and faxes for communication with military units." Other targets include artillery targeting devices, guidance and control on precision munitions, and even locomotive engines. She also suggests HPM could be used to protect U.S. satellites and attack those of a foe without creating clouds of debris that could damage other spacecraft.

Having spent most of her career working on directed-energy technology issues, she wrote a research paper on what she considers an underrated weapons technology. Entitled "High Power Microwaves: Strategic and Operational Implications for Warfare," it was published by the Air University's center for strategy and technology in early 2000. Walling is now a division chief in Air Force Materiel Command at Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio.

"The projected maximum capability for a microwave [armed] UCAV is approximately 100,000 pulses of microwave energy (or shots) per mission," Walling wrote. "If one assumes 1,000 pulses per target, it is conceivable that a microwave UCAV could attack on the order of 100 targets per mission. In addition, a microwave system could be used to protect the UCAV from enemy missiles [even] if the enemy has the ability to detect low-observable aircraft."

HPM also affects a larger area than a bomb, but without harming physical structures or people. A 1-ton bomb creates damage in a radius of about 120 ft. "The footprint of a microwave munition is at least 100 times greater than that of a conventional munition," the report states. That may be a bloated number if applied to developmental weapons currently available for use against Iraq, according to other U.S. officials. They usually describe effects in terms of a few thousand feet or less. In fact, the primary stumbling block for directed-energy weapons is achieving sufficient range and power levels to be effective.

U.S. MILITARY RESEARCH laboratories have demonstrated HPM effects ranging from upsetting to destroying the electronics within military and commercial systems, Walling noted. The paper's conclusion, made more than two years ago, is that "high-power

microwave technology is ready for the transition to active weapons in the U.S. military." Both Defense Secretary Donald H. Rumsfeld and the chief of U.S. Air Forces, Europe, Gen. Gregory Martin, have said publicly that unspecified new developmental weapons technology could be used in an attack on Iraq. Facilities that manufacture, store or dispense chemical, biological and nuclear weapons are a "target set" particularly earmarked for energy weapons, according to statements made this summer and fall by U.S. aerospace industry officials. Conventional attacks could leave plumes of lethal agents adrift.

HPM devices have great potential both as offensive and defensive weapons, Walling said. She cited a 1998 Air Force survey--"Directed-Energy Applications for Tacti cal Airborne Combat"--that found the top four priorities were for microwave weapons (instead of lasers) in the areas of precision-guided munitions, large aircraft self-protection shields, small aircraft self-protection shields and as weapons for unmanned combat air vehicles. As a munition, some developmental systems are believed to be ready for combat in Iraq. Boeing plans to build an HPM weapon into the Block 30 version of its X-45 UCAV.

These weapons also could be built into a pod for carriage on a helicopter or packaged as artillery shells, scatterable mines and 1-ton bombs, the report said. As a defensive system, it contends HPM devices could ward off infrared- and radar-guided missiles. A phased-array antenna allows for rapid retargeting.

The report quickly ticks off the advantages of HPM weapons: They don't rely on exact knowledge of the enemy system. They leave persisting effects in enemy targets that may take weeks to find and repair. Even if enemy systems are turned off, they are still affected. And to counter HPM, the entire system must be hardened, which is a very expensive process.

An energy pulse can get into an enemy system by the "front door," which means its own antenna, dome or other sensor opening; or through the "back door," which includes cracks, seams, trailing wires, metal conduits of seals. Once inside, the emissions can destroy or disrupt integrated circuits, circuit cards and relay switches. The system's own electronic circuitry transmits the pulse, and resulting damage, even deeper into the

system.

In the microwave technical community, the ability to scale or increase the effects is often described as "dial a hurt," Walling said. Results depend on the distance between the HPM weapon and the target, the vulnerability of the target, the power generated, and the characteristics of the microwave emission including frequency, burst rate and pulse duration. A rough scale describes four levels of effects:

* Deny, which involves electronic upset or jamming. It might cause malfunctions within relay and processing circuits.

* Degrade, which involves locking up a system or limiting its capabilities enough to require rebooting. It can include signal override or turning power on and off at irregular intervals.

* Damage, which includes permanent effects that "latch up" a system. This can mean damage to components, circuit cards or mother boards, as wells as weeks to diagnose and repair the problems. Because microwaves can enter through multiple entry points, it is likely numerous circuits and components will be damaged.

* Destroy, which means catastrophic and permanent injury to the system, requiring total replacement.

ANTENNA TECHNOLOGY is crucial for HPM weapons. Field of view for the phased-array emitter is expected to vary from several to tens of degrees. The multi-element design allows it to be built conformally into a pod or UCAV. Because it doesn't require precise aiming, there are far fewer stringent pointing and tracking requirements, Walling said. The microwaves' cone could offer a means to attack multiple targets at once; for example, all of the equipment in an antiaircraft missile site.

The range of HPM weapons has always been a concern. Tests have shown effects at tens to "more than" hundreds of feet. Walling seemed more optimistic. "With current technology, the range for a tactical microwave weapon could be in the tens of kilometers, and future advances . . . should permit the development of even longer ranges," the report said.

Other advantages cited for HPM weapons are that they would be immune to the weather and could produce multiple shots on a single mission. However, the report also alludes to single-shot designs. These latter seem to address concerns that side and back lobes from the generation of an HPM pulse could affect the carrying aircraft's own electronics.

Power levels for HPM weapons are increasing. The report said one microwave source weighing less that 45 lb. radiated 1 gigawatt of power within a few nanoseconds. A 400-lb. system radiated 20 gigawatts. The report noted that Hoover Dam generates 2 gigawatts per day. The HPM weapon would draw power from the air vehicle's engines, which would let it make a number of attacks during a mission.

2113. Re: Nicad charger question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 22:12:36 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <j8adnc0Bx5zTty6gXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 140889

> What? Don't they last longer IF you discharge them to zero, or 1
> volt? IF we don't have to discharge at all, then WHERE did we hear that
we
> had to to make it last longer?

Damifino.

> AND what about what I heard to "rebuild" the cell when it goes bad. I
heard
> what you do is make it go all the way down & up 10 times and it will
> re-build the cell, unless it blows it up, which I saw that once!

I've heard all sorts of NICAD battery mythology.

This could be a distortion of how to get an NIMH pack out of charge
depression, but you never want to take either sort to zero.

For maximum lifetime, 1V/cell is "dead", you can go to 0.9V for a little
(very little) more service life at the expense of cycle life.

2114. Re: Nicad charger question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 22:14:58 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <mx-dnSvVhNpBty6gXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 140890

> Great info, Mr VanHorn. I never knew all that. Hmm...seems to me like
> McGraw Hill had a ton of great electronic related textbooks. I gotta head
> to my library :)
>
> Good thread, thanks.

If you're really into batteries, you might want a copy, but it's pretty expensive, $140-ish IIRC.
There's a lot of info in there, including some really exotic batteries.
Mine sits next to my non-loaner copy of "Art of Electronics". :)

2115. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 22:20:13 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <vhqdnfDmTfyKsS6gXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 140891

Since these are mostly channelized rigs, is there a list somewhere of
frequencies where they are usually found?

2116. FS: Uniden Washington 40 channel AM/SSB CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 22:20:22 UTC
From: "David E. Shelton" <des@iolky.com>
Message-ID: <G_Fs9.1358$z3r6.10617021@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 140892

FS: Uniden Washington 40 channel AM/SSB CB in excellent condition, in original box and hand mic included, manual/schematics. Price $150 OBO shipped.
73,
David, N4IN

2117. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 22:52:24 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB333A8.B0415F62@hotnet.net>
Source record: 140971

tools wrote:
>
> I do not belive you.

I do, I hear these clowns on the 10 meter band all the time.

2118. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 22:52:27 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210201452.36cc553d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140893

aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<194387a3.0210171929.6ba091f7@posting.google.com>...
> Date: Thu, 17 Oct 2002 20:25:31 -0700 (PDT)
> From: "aaron voobner" <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com>ï¿½
> Subject: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?
> To: amps@contesting.com
>
> To: amps@contesting.com
> From: aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com
>
> Hi,
>
> Recently a thread began in usenet newsgroup
> rec.radio.cb. It was in the form of a question from a
> fellow CB'er:
>
> Subject: Do HAMS use more than 1500 watts ?
>
> >This may be a silly question,but...if HAMS are
> >legally allowed to use 1500 watts (,just as c.b.ers
> >are allowed to use 5 watts a.m.) how can you tell if a
> >HAM is using more than allowed ?
> >Does this ever happen ?
>
> A few replies came from licensed hams who posted their
> callsigns and assured the poster that yes, it does
> indeed happen, but illegal high power operation is by
> no means representative of the mainstream of amateur
> radio. In other words, compared to the vast majority
> of hams, high-power illegal operators are considered
> an unwanted minority.
>
> There was however one poster who disagreed. He
> identifies himself as ham named "skipp" (no callsign,
> no last name). Please take a moment to read through
> some excerpts of this person's advice to CB'ers.
>
> ------
> In...
>
> http://groups.google.com/groups?q=g:thl611469401d&dq=&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&safe=off&selm=a
okpi6%246lq%244%40woodrow.ucdavis.edu&rnum=117
>
> Skipp says...

> -------
>
> There are many Hams and CB'ers that run power in
> excess of the legal limit.
>
> Hams in excess of the number 200 that I have first
> hand knowlege of. Many are good friends of mine spread
> through out the world. CB'ers..? Oh, I'd be willing
> to say more than... 200 just for your answer. Hope
> that's enough to make you happy. Various methods and
> experience were used to base my statements. A
> calibrated S-meter is one easily reproduced method to
> make a comparison. My transceiver has one as most
> do...
>
> Power levels well in excess of 2000 watts were clearly
> demonstrated to many of us in a well known web group.
> I've mentioned it before right on rec.radio.cb
>
> These people chime in from all over the world...
>
> skipp
> http://sonic.ucdavis.edu
>
> -----
> Later in the same thread...
>
> http://groups.google.com/groups?q=list+server+group+group:rec.radio.cb&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&safe=off&selm=aok76m%24n88%242%40woodrow.ucdavis.edu&rnum=1
>
> Skipp says....
> -----
>
> Most hams are not capable of running twice the legal
> power... a small percentage have large amplifiers
> which have 8 to 13 dB of gain. Many... ie as in a
> significant number build and operate amplifiers of >13
> dB of gain. I guess the hundreds members of the list
> server group I frequent are "just a few." Web sites
> abound with pictures of these well built amplifiers.
> You guys haven't been it the real world for some
> time... get off the decafe..
>
> ----
> Then in...
>
> http://groups.google.com/groups?dq=&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&safe=off&threadm=aonf6r%24plr%244%40woodrow.ucdavis.edu&prev=/groups%3Fhl%3Den%26safe%3Doff%26group%3Drec.radio.cb
>
> Skipp says...

> -----
>
> My guess of greater than 100 amplifiers is more than
> modestly low, made
> for him only. Anyone actually operating real radios
> knows that number is
> unrealistic on the low side.
>
> If your interested in the truth, pick up a magazine or
> search the web...
> I'll sleep well if you don't belive me...
>
> The point of this entire thread on the original topic
> was to let the
> posting person know that hams can and do operate
> amplifiers over the
> legal limit. They are a relative number, but probably
> more than one
> would think exist.
>
> Some get caught, most don't.
>
> cheers
> skipp
>
> -----
>
>
> My question is, are "skipp's" claims accurate? Do they
> represent the majority views of amateur radio
> operators? Do they represent the majority views of
> licensed amateurs frequenting this list?
>
> You may email me or post your comments to the list.
> Either way I thank you for your cooperation.
>
> Sincerely,
>
> A.H. Voobner
> aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com

yeh right...whatever you say dwight stewart.....just think dwight
stewart = aaron h voobnutts....

2119. CD radio shops in Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:08:58 UTC
From: Tyler Dirden <na@spam.smeat.net>
Message-ID: <eIGs9.33427$zE6.98147@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 140894

Specifically NW suburbs of Chicago.

I been looking all weekend and no one has anything I'm looking for. I gotta start calling RV places.

thanks
td

All my mail is virus free. If you got it, it wasn't from me...
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.389 / Virus Database: 220 - Release Date: 9/16/2002

2120. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:14:39 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db33854.597748@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140896

In <3DB30C83.450A265C@cwis.net>, 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote:

>
>
> "Does big gain necessarily mean big size?"
>
> When you are speaking about antennas, especially in
>the HF region, and in relation to the 'average' sized
>antenna for that particular frequency, yes.
> 'Doc

Is that statement based only on hertzian principles, or are you making
a general statement for all EM radiators?

2121. 10 meter Americans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:17:20 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db33486_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140895

Yes there is. Problem is that those who know know that the freqs. he mentioned are NOT the norm. I would almost believe that they were 27. instead of 28. ! Maybe he didn't take his N8 medicine, or maybe took too much!
Dave!!

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message news:vhqdnfDmTfyKsS6gXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> Since these are mostly channelized rigs, is there a list somewhere of
> frequencies where they are usually found?
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2122. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:18:08 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021020191808.12966.00002934@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 140948

Sounds like closet boy(aaron) is stirring the pot!

2123. Re: Mark Radio Fords, NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:21:28 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db3357c_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140947

It's too bad that N8 and the Hambo troublemakers on this Newsgroup will NEVER have a story written about them like that! They will be remembered by "Sunny, IF you go on the Ham bands, We WILL Bury you (with hammer & sickles)"!!!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! !

<sir.oblivion@public.com> wrote in message news:3db31512_1@nopics.sjc...
> Thought I add this to the fray acknowledging CB pioneers and those who
> unselfishly devoted them selves to the hobby. This is a repost of a
similar
> subject I made almost two years ago.
>
> I would like to acknowledge George Marklin of "Mark Radio" of Fords, NJ.
> Throughout the 1960's through the mid-eighties George or better known to
his
> customers simply as Mark was a shining example of CB radio and it's uses.
>
> As a teenager I would frequently visit his shop in the early seventies and
> literally drool over the Helicrafters, Apache, and Drakes that donned his
> shelves. Besides being a very early retailer in CB equipment Mark Radio
also
> worked hard to teach and demonstrate proper radio etiquette amongst his
> customers prior and after the CB radio boom of the mid-seventies.
>
> Throughout George Marklin was a strong supporter of 11 meters though he
held
> an Extra Class Amateur license. He realized that the ranks of amateurs
could
> be strengthened by capturing the interest of CB'ers.
>
> I recall in the fall of 1977 when George asked me then a fourteen year old
> if I would be interested in obtaining an amateur license. I said sure and
> for the next several weeks George took from his time operating a business
> teaching me radio theory and Morse. The day I took my test and passed my 5
> WPM code was among the proudest of my life.
>
> It's been many years and George has left us but I can recall his basement
> shot, his backyard filled with antennas and a kind gentleman that took the
> time to nurture my interest in communication. For this and the many others
> that George touches he should be remembered.
>
> 73's Dear Friend
> de, KOD-4190
>

>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2124. Re: Anyone think they can win a "key-down" contest with this guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:21:36 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db339cb.972447@news.cet.com>
Source record: 140950

In <wFSdnd6Nk5rLtC6gXTWcpA@comcast.com>, "Dave VanHorn"
<dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:

<snip>
>Directed-energy technology is ready to be used as weaponry and, in a mature
>state, one device carried by an unmanned aircraft could attack each of 100
>targets with 1,000 pulses of energy in a single sortie, says a former
>director of the U.S. Air Force's high-power microwave program.....

Maybe people who wear aluminum-foil hats aren't so crazy after all!

2125. CD or CB ? radio shops in Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:23:23 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db335ee$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140949

Do you want a CD or a CB shop? What are you looking for? I am not in Ill,
but am national, maybe international !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Tyler Dirden" <na@spam.smeat.net> wrote in message
news:eIGs9.33427$zE6.98147@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> Specifically NW suburbs of Chicago.
>
> I been looking all weekend and no one has anything I'm looking for. I
gotta
> start calling RV places.
>
> thanks
> td
>
>
> ---
> All my mail is virus free. If you got it, it wasn't from me...
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.389 / Virus Database: 220 - Release Date: 9/16/2002
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2126. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:30:55 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DB33CAF.8000603@cac.net>
Source record: 140952

Headed? It's already here. Looked at TenTec recently, Leland?

-SSB

Leland C. Scott wrote:

> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:23970-3DB01843-352@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>
> http://interviews.slashdot.org/interviews/02/09/27/1420201.shtml?tid=
>
>
> What is of more interest is the link below:
>
> http://www.gnu.org/software/gnuradio/gnuradio.html
>
> That is where the future of radio technology is headed.
>
>
> --
> __
> __
>
> 73's de,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL member
> NCI member
>
> "You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
> Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
> communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
> Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
> Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
> transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
> functions."
>
>
>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

2127. Re: Anyone think they can win a "key-down" contest with this guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:31:00 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DB33CB4.8080104@cac.net>
Source record: 140953

You're a moron, Dave.. the link is broken up onto two different lines. Try pasting the whole thing into the URL window on your browser.

BTW, Great program on Mummies and ancient Egypt on Discovery right now.

-SSB

Dave or Debby wrote:

> Where is it? No story is listed!
> Dave!
>
>
> "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
> news:ePWcnQKLItlctjKgXTWcow@News.GigaNews.Com...
>
>>Talking about running power and creating interference...
>>
>>
>>
> http://www.aviationnow.com/avnow/news/channel_military.jsp?view=story&id=new
>
>>s/aw1004dir.xml
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

2128. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:31:17 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DB33CC5.8020700@cac.net>
Source record: 140954

So, when HAL9000 says, "Dave? What are you doing, Dave?", five people look? Sounds like fun.

-SSB

Dave VanHorn wrote:

> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021019155504.246$CF@newsreader.com...
>
>>"Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>(snip)
>>
>>>>On the subject of "Dave's" I would have to agree there are entirely too
>>>>
>>>many on this News Group. I've been thinking about changing my name on
>>>
> the
>
>>>group, to avoid any confusion.
>>>
>>>
>>Daveaholic? <g>
>>
>
>
> Our EOC group has President Dave McCollum, Microwave Dave Doiron, Dave
> Costello, Me, Dave Leporis (EMT/Hazmat guy) and Dr Dave Arnold, our
> meteorologist.
>
>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

2129. Re: newbie qusetions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:31:27 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DB33CCF.9090003@cac.net>
Source record: 140955

Dave: You had 16.5 meters of coax? in a Jeep? That's like 52 feet of coax
in a mobile.. That doesn't sound too efficient.

-SSB

Dave VanHorn wrote:

>>Best mobile antenna?
>>
>>A 102 inch stainless steel whip. (bar none)
>>
>>Downside is,it's also a tree pruner,so you'll need the spring at the base,
>>and a plastic hook up towards the front of the jeep. You can then secure
>>it,when you're not using it,just bend it forward and hook it tight.
>>
>>I think the spring will change your SWR so,trim the whip accordingly.
>>
>
> I ran one of these on 10M years ago, I just left off the spring. Tuned up
> beautifully at 28.400
> I've worked Japan, Vladivostok, and Ontario while driving up and down I-5 in
> California.
> Inline switch let me share it with the CB rig, which is actually more useful
> on long drives than the 10m rig. A bit higher SWR on the CB band, but still
> acceptable. One night I was stuck in snow at donner pass, and the only guy
> I could get through to on 10, was in Pensylvania! Not much help on road
> conditions there.
>
> It was deaf as a post at first, because I forgot about the feedline length.
> Once I had it trimmed to 1.5 wavelengths, everything was fine.
>
> I need to work out a low band antenna for the new rig.
> I really don't want to go through the roof again. The front antenna was
> sort of ok, because there's a console right below it, and I didn't have to
> mess with the headliner. Lots of room in the back, between the dual band
> larsen glassmounts for SSTV and APRS, but it's also a high rig already,
> whatever I do has to come down to a couple inches or less when I need to
> park indoors.
>
> I've looked at the screwdrivers, but all that weight, mounted down low, I
> just don't believe it will last.
>
>
>
>

>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

2130. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:34:51 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db3389f_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140957

Sounds like a commie theme--doing more with less!
US Americans have been doing more with more for YEARS. Biggie, Bigger, BIG radios. Actually Ham radios are bigger than CB's ! Does the word BIG scare you pinkos? If you want less, sell your SUV and get a shartruce micro bus, rubber duck!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3dafb4a6.5132960@news.cet.com...
> A few weeks ago I think it was Timmy that was defending the
> engineering prowness of the illegal amp techs, saying something along
> the lines of "they are doing more with less". Like using 96 RF
> transistors to accomplish kilowatts of power. This statement really
> stuck in my head because of it's unique contradiction:
>
> For example, if the purpose of 'more power' is to get better
> transmission distance, wouldn't it make more sense to make the signal
> more efficient as well? After all, 75% of AM power is wasted. The
> FIRST thing to do would be to use SSB, or even CW, instead of AM.
>
> Now since the antenna is the most important part of any radio
> transmitter, wouldn't it be better to make the best possible antenna
> before pumping up the power? And if these "engineers" are as good as
> they claim, why can't they construct a mobile antenna with a very
> narrow beam, something on the order of 20 dB or more? Seems that would
> be money well spent...
>
> Also, how much power is really needed to make contact with the other
> station? Instead of cranking up the power to 10 kW, why not use a
> different band? Or a repeater? IOW, why spend thousands of dollars on
> a super-duper mobile broadcast station when all you really need to do
> is to spend a few bucks for a ham license and some cheap equipment?
>
> And who needs a license, anyway? With just a computer w/soundcard and
> some free software you can hear Part 15 stations hundreds to thousands
> of miles away. And building a Part 15 transmitter is significantly
> less expensive and labor-intensive as a decked-out multi-kilowatt amp.
>
> So who is really doing "more with less"?
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2131. Cee-Bee!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:41:02 UTC
From: Tyler Dirden <na@spam.smeat.net>
Message-ID: <iaHs9.24720$Hj7.9627@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 140959

Do you ever wish you could remove a post from Usenet?

I meant cee-bee radio shop.
D'oh,
td

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3db335ee$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
: Do you want a CD or a CB shop? What are you looking for? I am not in
Ill,
: but am national, maybe international !
: Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
:
: "Tyler Dirden" <na@spam.smeat.net> wrote in message
: news:eIGs9.33427$zE6.98147@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
: > Specifically NW suburbs of Chicago.
: >
: > I been looking all weekend and no one has anything I'm looking for. I
: gotta
: > start calling RV places.
: >
: > thanks
: > td
: >
: >
: > ---
: > All my mail is virus free. If you got it, it wasn't from me...
: > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
: > Version: 6.0.389 / Virus Database: 220 - Release Date: 9/16/2002
: >
: >
:
:
:
:
: -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
: http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
: -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---
All my mail is virus free. If you got it, it wasn't from me...
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.389 / Virus Database: 220 - Release Date: 9/16/2002

2132. Re: Hurricane-proof N8 Hammie Room Built in Fla...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:43:48 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db33ab9_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140960

Dey better put a PC-68 CB in it too, incase their 2 meter CB dies too!
Dave!!

<sir.oblivion@public.com> wrote in message news:3db317ed_2@nopics.sjc...
> I just took a ride up there only living about five minutes away. It's a
> pretty non-descript building and you really wouldn't notice it's
> construction other than the communication tower that looks as if it could
> withstand anything buried in yards of concrete.
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:12365-3DB05367-57@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > Taken from the online edition of the Jupiter Courier at
> > http://www.JupiterCourier.com
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > RANDALL MURRAY staff writer
> > David Baker, an employee of Advanced Communications Services of
> > Deerfield Beach, works to tie together complex systems in Emergency
> > Operations Center of Tequesta's new Public Safety Building on Tequesta
> > Drive.
> >
> >
> >
> > A building made for the future
> > Tequesta Public Safety Building nears opening
> > By Randall Muray staff writer
> > October 7, 2002
> > Gold-colored shovels broke into the soil to the sounds of cheers and
> > applause less than a year ago, launching construction of Tequesta's
> > ambitious new Public Safety Building.
> > Today Village officials and members of the police and fire-rescue
> > departments are gearing up to take occupancy of the $3.9 million,
> > 20,292-square-foot facility that will be dedicated Oct. 19 -- 10 days
> > short of a year after groundbreaking.
> > The new building, designed and built with direct guidance from
> > Fire-Rescue Chief James Weinand and Police Chief Stephen Allison, will
> > free police officers from makeshift quarters in a shopping center, and
> > fire-rescue personnel from the cramped building adjacent to the new
> > structure. The old station will be torn down later this year.
> > Last week, Weinand and Allison offered a guided tour of the new
> > facility.
> > At the heart of the building is a hurricane-hardened Emergency

> > Operations Center that is built to withstand a Category 5 hurricane with
> > winds up to 155 mph. The rest of the building is built to withstand a
> > Category 3 storm.
> > The EOC is a 4,000-square-foot, self-contained unit that can operate
> > without outside electrical power for two weeks, thanks to a huge
> > generator and a 4,000-gallon diesel fuel tank. The roof above the EOC is
> > made of five inches of poured concrete with heavy steel reinforcement.
> > Thick metal doors lock tightly to protect those inside from what's going
> > on outside.
> > Inside the hardened shell dispatchers and others will be able to handle
> > just about any disaster with high-tech communications and monitoring
> > equipment. The center also has its own electrical, mechanical and
> > air-conditioning systems, as well as bathroom and kitchen facilities for
> > storm-bound employees.
> > Under the removable floor, covered by "peel and stick" carpeting, are
> > hidden electrical outlets and dataports to be used in emergency. In
> > normal times, however, "you'd never know they were there," said Weinand.
> > Four plasma monitors and a touch-sensitive wall screen to record
> > happenings in Tequesta during a major emergency will help officials keep
> > in touch with what's happening outside -- and with neighboring emergency
> > services.
> > There is serious duplication in communications systems, Allison pointed
> > out. A priority phone line will allow up to 28 calls to come in at one
> > time. If that should fail, cell phone and satellite phone systems are
> > available.
> > "And if all else fails," said Weinand, "We have a Ham radio room."
> > Tequesta has an active group of Ham (amateur radio) operators. If
> > needed, a 45-foot telescoping antenna can be raised on the roof.
> > Pointing to the focus on electronics, Weinand said, "There's 15 miles of
> > low-voltage wiring in this building."
> > At present, the Tequesta Police Department is housed in a Countyline
> > Plaza storefront. Security is a major concern for Allison. In the new
> > building, suspects will be driven into the structure through a
> > sallyport, with an automated garage door. Locks to provide access to the
> > interior of the police department will not release until the sallyport
> > door is completely closed.
> > Another security measure is afforded possible juvenile suspects who can
> > be moved into a holding cell area without being exposed to adult
> > prisoners.
> > Included in the police portion of the building, which is at the rear of
> > the structure, are offices for administrators, weapons storage, a secure
> > evidence locker area, "fuming booth" that helps discern fingerprints, an
> > open file system that maximizes space, conference room and more.
> > Security, whether from a natural disaster or intrusion by unwanted
> > people, is at the top of the list of priorities for the new building.
> > And security starts at the front door. A receptionist inside a booth can
> > govern entry into the building. Those who enter talk with the
> > receptionist via a two-way comm system, and the reception area is
> > monitored by security cameras. Any materials that need to be exchanged
> > go through a secure pass-through.

> > Fire-rescue personnel are on duty 24 hours a day. For night shifts,
> > eight individual bunkrooms are provided -- including two designed for
> > tall people.
> > The apparatus room -- where the fire-rescue vehicles are parked --
> > offers more than enough space for today's equipment as well as projected
> > room for additional, larger vehicles in the future. At present Tequesta
> > has two rescue vehicles, a ladder truck, a pumper truck -- with another
> > pumper to be added early next year, and a utility truck.
> > And when the alarm sounds and firefighters jump into those vehicles to
> > respond to a call, they'll roll safely down the inclined ramp onto
> > Tequesta Drive. Weinand changed the design from a concrete surface to
> > heavy-duty brick pavers.
> > "That's a pretty good slope," Weinand said, "And we were worried that
> > when the concrete got wet, our vehicles might slide onto Tequesta
> > Drive." Hence the rough-surface pavers -- which also are less costly
> > than the concrete drive.
> > In working with architect Gee & Jensen of West Palm Beach and general
> > contractor David Brooks Enterprises of Palm Beach Gardens, Weinand said
> > he was looking not simply at today's needs, but what the Village would
> > require many years down the road.
> > Allison responded to some criticism in the village that the building is
> > too massive for a community the size of Tequesta. "You have to consider
> > that 20 percent of it is shared by both departments, and also it's an
> > administrative center. We've tried very hard to maximize efficiency.
> > There is no wasted space here."
> > Said Weinand, "Everybody in Tequesta will be proud of this building for
> > a very long time."
> > randall.murray@scripps.com
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2133. Re: SHARP CB MOBiLE RADIO....MAKE ME AN OFFER!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:46:01 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db33b3d_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140961

You is wrong, Sunny! There were 23 channel CB's with digital display. In fact, one model, the Hy-Gain 9 had displays of 23, 40 & 50, for those of us in da know!
I offer 1.00 for da sharp. I remember selling them new in '79 or the early 80's.
Dave!!

"brian" <y40002000NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:Xns92AAE67B6D54y40002000NOSPAMyahoo@24.94.165.87...
> i noticed the ad says 23 channels, but there's a pic of a radio with
> digital channel display. I could be wrong but i think there's no such thing
> as a 23 channel radio with a digital display.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2134. Cb radio shops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:47:19 UTC
From: jason <hubs3@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aov8ob$80a$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 140885

Just wondering if anyone might know of any Cb radio shops in the Alabama-Georgia area?

Thanks,
Jason

2135. Re: Anyone living in the Nashville area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:48:55 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db33bea$1_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140962

A little high? Try Astronomical ! You could live in da sticks around Nashville and communmute there! But stay away from Spring Hill. Big corp. commies there!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message news:20021019144917.00146.00001579@mb-fu.aol.com...
> They were about 1/2 to 2/3 the price as far as reltals go compared to where i
> live now which is also where i lived prior to moving to the Nashville area. I
> am within 30 miles of NYC so as you can guess housing up here is a little high.
> Utilities and food and soforth wilkl be about the same.
> You will also find that unless you are being transferred such as I was , the
> wages SUCK!
> Train
>
> >Subject: Re: Anyone living in the Nashville area?
> >From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
> >Date: 10/17/02 7:45 AM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20021017074501.919$Kb@newsreader.com>
> >
> >Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote:
> >> On 17 Oct 2002 01:49:19 GMT, tra1n@aol.commmmmm (TRA1N) wrote:
> >>
> >> >I used to live there.
> >> >Train
> >> >
> >>
> >>> what is average rent and utilities cost there?
> >> KC8TCQ
> >>
> >Lots of info about that,using your search engine.
> >
> >--
> >God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
> >
> >------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> >go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2136. Re: Cee-Bee!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:53:20 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db33cf4_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140963

OH--Cee Bee's ! Look under Bee Keepers or go to a shop that sells Honey,
and ask them who the local Bee man is!

IF you want CB radio stuff--what are you looking for? E mail me
direct--remove hate spam or post.
Dave!!!

"Tyler Dirden" <na@spam.smeat.net> wrote in message
news:iaHs9.24720$Hj7.9627@rwcrnsc53...
> Do you ever wish you could remove a post from Usenet?
>
> I meant cee-bee radio shop.
> D'oh,
> td
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3db335ee$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> : Do you want a CD or a CB shop? What are you looking for? I am not in
> Ill,
> : but am national, maybe international !
> : Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> :
> : "Tyler Dirden" <na@spam.smeat.net> wrote in message
> : news:eIGs9.33427$zE6.98147@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> : > Specifically NW suburbs of Chicago.
> : >
> : > I been looking all weekend and no one has anything I'm looking for. I
> : gotta
> : > start calling RV places.
> : >
> : > thanks
> : > td
> : >
> : >
> : > ---
> : > All my mail is virus free. If you got it, it wasn't from me...
> : > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> : > Version: 6.0.389 / Virus Database: 220 - Release Date: 9/16/2002
> : >
> : >
> :
> :
> :
> :
> : -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

> : http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> : ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>
> ---
> All my mail is virus free. If you got it, it wasn't from me...
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.389 / Virus Database: 220 - Release Date: 9/16/2002
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2137. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:55:58 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3db33d99_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 140964

Yep, Commie change agent A-run is trying to make hate & discontent peak just before the FULL MOON tomorrow! Question: Does the full moon affect people digitally? ! I know it affects 19 @ truckstops. What about da Hambos?! Dave!!!

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021020191808.12966.00002934@mb-mk.aol.com...
> Sounds like closet boy(aaron) is stirring the pot!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2138. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-20 23:57:31 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LpHs9.5586$071.493365@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 140965

I do not belive you.
"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:75ad2ce2.0210201312.2de0f442@posting.google.com...
> Here's what I heard Sunday in just a few minutes of monitoring. (20:58
> Z). All with loud ECHO MIKE effects....
>
> 28.265 (AM): "Who the f*k is she to be tellin him he can't bring that
> girl in his house? Burned all his f*kin logbooks, paperwork, ha ha!"
>
> 28.270 (AM): "Break break break" (pause) "Hey where you at?" (pause)
> "You got a copy on this old south east Texas copperhead?"
>
> 28.725 (AM): "You tell that g*d*mn dispatcher that any CCX driver wont
> stand for that sh*t"... (etc)
>
> -Aaron-
>

2139. 40 Channel Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 00:10:45 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <9CHs9.35676$%d2.20925@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 140966

Someone asked the other day if there were any 40 channel radios that weren't "digital". There were very few. Here was one:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1390769536

2140. Re: Cee-Bee!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 00:35:53 UTC
From: Tony <nospamtvien@peoplepc.comnospam>
Message-ID: <ur6ivc2i6tkh02@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 140967

Have you tried in Hampshire, IL. Off of the NW Tollway mm 36 at the Clark station (next to McDonalds) (there is 3 truck stops at that exit). At least that is what I was told.

"Tyler Dirden" <na@spam.smeat.net> wrote in message news:iaHs9.24720$Hj7.9627@rwcrnsc53...
> Do you ever wish you could remove a post from Usenet?
>
> I meant cee-bee radio shop.
> D'oh,
> td
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3db335ee$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> : Do you want a CD or a CB shop? What are you looking for? I am not in
> Ill,
> : but am national, maybe international !
> : Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> :
> : "Tyler Dirden" <na@spam.smeat.net> wrote in message
> : news:eIGs9.33427$zE6.98147@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> : > Specifically NW suburbs of Chicago.
> : >
> : > I been looking all weekend and no one has anything I'm looking for. I
> : gotta
> : > start calling RV places.
> : >
> : > thanks
> : > td
> : >
> : >
> : > ---
> : > All my mail is virus free. If you got it, it wasn't from me...
> : > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> : > Version: 6.0.389 / Virus Database: 220 - Release Date: 9/16/2002
> : >
> : >
> :
> :
> :
> :
> : -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> : http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> : -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

>
>
> ---
> All my mail is virus free. If you got it, it wasn't from me...
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.389 / Virus Database: 220 - Release Date: 9/16/2002
>
>

2141. 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 00:39:20 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210201639.788e1e0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140969

"tools" medtools@earthlink.net wrote
>I do not belive you.

I don't blame you for being skeptical about claims made on this NG, but it sounds like the only way you would believe me is if you and I were sitting in the same room in front of the same radio and both of us heard this stuff at the same time. Us getting together to do that is not likely so I guess it's your word against mine. But how about other people who hear this stuff? Are they making it up?

-Aaron-

>"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:75ad2ce2.0210201312.2de0f442@posting.google.com...
>> Here's what I heard Sunday in just a few minutes of monitoring.
(20:58
>> Z). All with loud ECHO MIKE effects....
>>
>> 28.265 (AM): "Who the f*k is she to be tellin him he can't bring
that
>> girl in his house? Burned all his f*kin logbooks, paperwork, ha
ha!"
>>
>> 28.270 (AM): "Break break break" (pause) "Hey where you at?"
(pause)
>> "You got a copy on this old south east Texas copperhead?"
>>
>> 28.725 (AM): "You tell that g*d*mn dispatcher that any CCX driver
wont
>> stand for that sh*t"... (etc)
>>
>> -Aaron-

2142. Re: Cb radio shops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 00:40:42 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <aovi6u$poh6c$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 140968

I know of three right off the bat, on I-75 across from the Flying J at
exit#3
place called Bronco's, exit#97 in the T/A and exit#204 by the T/A in
Jackson.

--
Mad Dog
"jason" <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aov8ob$80a$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Just wondering if anyone might know of any Cb radio shops in the
> Alabama-Georgia area?
>
> Thanks,
> Jason
>
>

2143. Galaxy Saturn Turbo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 00:44:12 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <w5Is9.38722$m92.7471759@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 140970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Can anyone tell me what one of these radios is worth used in great condition?I have an offer of a trade as they want a RCI 2950 I have and will trade me even up.He just wants a mobile and not the base.
My instincts say go for it.I know the person,and do know he keeps his equipment in mint shape.I just need to know what it is worth if I want to sell it as I may do.I don't use the 2950, and I doubt that I will use the Galaxy .Email me if you got any info that may be of help.Thanks for any help in advance.

2144. Re: OT Sterling Marlin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 01:14:16 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <lxIs9.186223$121.5086000@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 140972

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3db30a85_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> No, Sunny: NUTHIN' on radio is better than CB !
> Dave!!!

2145. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 01:20:19 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021020212019.08693.00003165@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 140973

Screw you Aaron(eous)...who cares about
your BS stories of 10 meter intruders...shut the hell up and go away...same
thing your Moma told you!

"Got RF?"

2146. Re: 40 Channel Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 01:21:42 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021020212142.08693.00003166@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 140974

What an ugly radio...no offense Radiofun!

"Got RF?"

2147. MACO Mobile/Base Echo Chamber

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 01:22:26 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <kll6ruk3k08on0jllg06f9erg7qo73hs6k@4ax.com>
Source record: 140976

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1391521382

2148. Re: Cb radio shops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 01:22:29 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <pFIs9.12904$Mb3.424293@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 140975

theres one in Hollywood Alabama

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

George Kinzer

Those who want to be successful, will find a way,
those that don't, will find an excuse!

2149. Re: 40 Channel Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 01:24:52 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <aovl2u021sc@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 140979

"radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:9CHs9.35676$%d2.20925@sccrnsc01...
> Someone asked the other day if there were any 40 channel radios that
weren't
> "digital". There were very few. Here was one:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1390769536

No big deal. I've had a few that were not digital readouts.
although I think you can see the numbers better on a digital readout.
takes up less space.

2150. Re: 40 Channel Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 01:35:44 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <QRIs9.34853$qM2.8432@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 140977

Yeah........it wasn't very attractive, was it? LOL

"radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:9CHs9.35676$%d2.20925@sccrnsc01...
> Someone asked the other day if there were any 40 channel radios that
weren't
> "digital". There were very few. Here was one:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1390769536
>
>
>
>

2151. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 01:52:42 UTC
From: Thunder <thunder@cinci.rr.com>
Message-ID: <K5Js9.115404$Cz.12456416@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 140978

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:75ad2ce2.0210201312.2de0f442@posting.google.com...
> Here's what I heard Sunday in just a few minutes of monitoring. (20:58
> Z).

> 28.725 (AM): "You tell that g*d*mn dispatcher that any CCX driver wont
> stand for that sh*t"... (etc)

This is interesting since CCX doesn't operate on Sundays.. They are a
Mon.-Fri. Op only. Also CCX isn't located that far south. CSE yes, but no
CCX.

2152. Re: Cee-Bee!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 02:03:55 UTC
From: Tyler Dirden <na@spam.smeat.net>
Message-ID: <fgJs9.36958$%d2.21574@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 140980

As it happens, I just found that one on my own. Called 'em and they have what I want. I'm headed there tomorrow...

Thanks all.
td

"Tony" <nospamtvien@peoplepc.comnospam> wrote in message news:ur6ivc2i6tkh02@corp.supernews.com...
: Have you tried in Hampshire, IL. Off of the NW Tollway mm 36 at the Clark
: station (next to McDonalds) (there is 3 truck stops at that exit). At least
: that is what I was told.
:
: "Tyler Dirden" <na@spam.smeat.net> wrote in message
: news:iaHs9.24720$Hj7.9627@rwcrnsc53...
: > Do you ever wish you could remove a post from Usenet?
: >
: > I meant cee-bee radio shop.
: > D'oh,
: > td
: >
: > "Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
: > news:3db335ee$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
: > : Do you want a CD or a CB shop? What are you looking for? I am not in
: > Ill,
: > : but am national, maybe international !
: > : Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
: > :
: > : "Tyler Dirden" <na@spam.smeat.net> wrote in message
: > : news:eIGs9.33427$zE6.98147@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
: > : > Specifically NW suburbs of Chicago.
: > : >
: > : > I been looking all weekend and no one has anything I'm looking for. I
: > : gotta
: > : > start calling RV places.
: > : >
: > : > thanks
: > : > td
: > : >
: > : >
: > : > ---
: > : > All my mail is virus free. If you got it, it wasn't from me...
: > : > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
: > : > Version: 6.0.389 / Virus Database: 220 - Release Date: 9/16/2002

: > : >
: > : >
: > :
: > :
: > :
: > :
: > : -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
: > : http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
: > : -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
: >
: >
: > ---
: > All my mail is virus free. If you got it, it wasn't from me...
: > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
: > Version: 6.0.389 / Virus Database: 220 - Release Date: 9/16/2002
: >
: >
:
:

All my mail is virus free. If you got it, it wasn't from me...
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.389 / Virus Database: 220 - Release Date: 9/16/2002

2153. Re: Cee-Bee!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 02:05:07 UTC
From: Spell Checker <SC@webster.com>
Message-ID: <3DB360D3.B0E2D271@webster.com>
Source record: 140981

Tyler Dirden wrote:
>
> Do you ever wish you could remove a post from Usenet?

A usenet post is like a baby or a fart.

Once it's out, there ain't no goin' back!

2154. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 02:42:53 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210201842.34bef323@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"blowupdoll" <fgrease3dee@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<3db2e5f8@snipnews.snip.net>...
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:B9D80B09.BF4D%stewart@sc.rr.com...
> >
> > I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of Federal
> > Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any external radio
> > frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any
> > frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more information,
> see...
> > (scroll down to 2.815)
>
> YEAH...YEAH...YEAH...
> Yada...Yada...Yada...
>
> Ban has been in place for Years but that
> hasn't stopped me seeing one or two
> of them for sale at virtually everh hamfest
> I been to, and at weekend flea markets
> in the Pa.NJ NY area. All you have to
> do is sell them "for test bench signal amplifier
> purposes" as a kit and the FCC can't touch
> you. For an example see:
>
> http://www.cbcintl.com/TriPower.htm
>
> Know what also? I have NO PROBLEM with
> these kind of sales. Their NOT illegal and only
> hams with inflated egos seem to get upset
> and like to play "radio cop" when such products are
> marketed. There's alot other things to worry
> about (like the looming loss of 440 mHz) that this stuff folks.

by golly doesn't this Dwight Stewart fellow sound like our Aaron H.
Voobner fellow....???? my, my same moronic content as our little
buddy.....
and they both appeared about the same time too, imagine that.....some
strange coincidences aren' they /????.....

2155. Re: A/S Super Scanner CB Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 02:44:59 UTC
From: Ken Graham <kg4lah@pinehurst.net>
Message-ID: <3db36902$0$7484$ab461f88@news.shreve.net>
Source record: 140983

These antennas kick butt. The best skip antenna I have ever owned. I had it at 38' and it wouldn't stop talking.

"nukem" <nukem@gotmail.com> wrote in message news:%Oys9.4954$r7.202443@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> Check this out:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1391357572
>
>

2156. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 03:17:28 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <iKOdnWGrBYBX7C6gXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 140984

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:LpHs9.5586$071.493365@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I do not belive you.

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove thier correct.

Richard Cranium

2157. Re: Cb radio shops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 03:29:41 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021020232941.20712.00002941@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 140985

Rednecks CB shop in the Gadsden, AL area.
I can get you the number if needed.
Train

>Subject: Cb radio shops
>From: "jason" hubs3@mindspring.com
>Date: 10/20/02 7:47 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <aov8ob$80a$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
>
>Just wondering if anyone might know of any Cb radio shops in the
>Alabama-Georgia area?
>
>Thanks,
>Jason
>
>
>

2158. Re: CB Repair & Mod. Books 40% Off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 03:34:10 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <SAKs9.13158$Mb3.441743@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 140986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

damn, just buy my cd MORE MORE MORE and pay $20, plus get more !!!

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

Those who want to be successful, will find a way,
those that don't, will find an excuse!

2159. Need picture of Excalibur CB please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 04:30:18 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <upLs9.6439$U97.588034@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 140987

If someone has a picture they could send me, it would sure answer some questions! Post a rely here and I'll e-mail you. THANKS!

2160. Re: Need picture of Excalibur CB please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 04:43:08 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <wBLs9.6468$U97.589998@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 140988

Never mind, I found them here...

http://www.rigpix.com/cbfreeband/colt.htm#excalibur
http://www.qsl.net/kd4nue/excal.htm

>If someone has a picture they could send me, it would sure answer some
>questions! Post a rely here and I'll e-mail you. THANKS!
>

2161. Re: HEY Le Land--DID YOU buy a.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 05:15:12 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <Uz-dnenEurb8EC6gXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 140989

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:3db2e0ea_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> CB YET ? !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!

I'm still waiting for you to buy be that AM / SSB Cobra.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2162. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 05:17:24 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <HtGdnVU7YfZ4EC6gXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 140990

"SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3DB33CAF.8000603@cac.net...
> Headed? It's already here. Looked at TenTec recently, Leland?

Yup. However a few of the guys on the Ham policy news group haven't
yet. They got educated real quick after making fun of the idea, SDR
rigs.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2163. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 05:19:55 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <RKmdnRZou6vhEy6gXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 140991

"That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3aed93cc.0210200946.f5f5414@posting.google.com...
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<23970-3DB01843-352@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> >
http://interviews.slashdot.org/interviews/02/09/27/1420201.shtml?tid=
>
> Ah hell, 6m hams like me would just jam 'em if they're on 50mhz.
What
> are the computers gonna do, chase me?

Naw, somebody would just write a virus to up load to your new wiz-bang
SDR rig over the air, and crash it. ;-))

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
depends on our willingness to take a
chance on tomorrow by risking what
we have today."

2164. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 05:23:57 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <NUKdnTjFnrDzEi6gXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 140992

"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:iKOdnWGrBYBX7C6gXTWc2Q@comcast.com...
>
>
> "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:LpHs9.5586$071.493365@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > I do not belive you.
>
> --
> If you expect people to believe you,
> it's up you to prove thier correct.
>
> Richard Cranium

All one has to do is tune above 28.000 MHz and they're there. No proof
like hearing the pirate bozos for yourself.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2165. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 05:32:15 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <0_6dnZcpg7z9DC6gXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 140993

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:75ad2ce2.0210201639.788e1e0@posting.google.com...
> "tools" medtools@earthlink.net wrote
> >I do not belive you.
>
> I don't blame you for being skeptical about claims made on this NG,
> but it sounds like the only way you would believe me is if you and I
> were sitting in the same room in front of the same radio and both of
> us heard this stuff at the same time. Us getting together to do that
> is not likely so I guess it's your word against mine. But how about
> other people who hear this stuff? Are they making it up?
>
> -Aaron-

If you have the ability why not record several ".wav" files and post
them on the Ham binary news group? That way the still disbelievers can
hear it for themselves. Its even more convincing when the pirates are
being covered up by a bunch of ticked off Hams banging away with CW on
top of the bozos. Nothing more convincing than hearing a Ham ID in CW
over a pirate operating AM on 10m. If a tape recording of something
like the above is good enough evidence for the FCC, then its good
enough proof, period.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2166. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 05:39:36 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <P66cnUpaJeSEDi6gXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 140994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"blowupdoll" <fgrease3dee@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3db2e5f8@snipnews.snip.net...
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:B9D80B09.BF4D%stewart@sc.rr.com...
> >
> > I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of
Federal
> > Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any
external radio
> > frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on
any
> > frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more
information,
> see...
> > (scroll down to 2.815)
>
> YEAH...YEAH...YEAH...
> Yada...Yada...Yada...
>
> Ban has been in place for Years but that
> hasn't stopped me seeing one or two
> of them for sale at virtually everh hamfest
> I been to, and at weekend flea markets
> in the Pa.NJ NY area. All you have to
> do is sell them "for test bench signal amplifier
> purposes" as a kit and the FCC can't touch
> you. For an example see:
>
> http://www.cbcintl.com/TriPower.htm

You think so? There was a vendor sell HF amp kits at Dayton a year or
two ago. The Detroit FCC, part of their area is Ohio, paid them a vist
out in the tent area, at the far end of the flea market. Guess what?
They, the amp kit vendor, haven't been back to Dayton since.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb

technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2167. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 06:42:24 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210202242.5e3ee763@posting.google.com>
Source record: 140995

"Thunder" <thunder@cinci.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<K5Js9.115404$Cz.12456416@twister.neo.rr.com>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:75ad2ce2.0210201312.2de0f442@posting.google.com...
> > Here's what I heard Sunday in just a few minutes of monitoring. (20:58
> > Z).
>
> > 28.725 (AM): "You tell that g*d*mn dispatcher that any CCX driver wont
> > stand for that sh*t"... (etc)
>
> This is interesting since CCX doesn't operate on Sundays.. They are a
> Mon.-Fri. Op only. Also CCX isn't located that far south. CSE yes, but no
> CCX.

I don't know if they were talking about someone else or about
themselves, I'm just reporting what they said.

-A

2168. cb radio shops in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 06:57:35 UTC
From: Raffi <RaffiA@socal.rr.com>
Message-ID: <zzNs9.193487$U7.51508098@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 140996

any one know of any cb shops near the LA area... thanks

2169. Re: m-65 superdiode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 09:29:39 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <n.gianakopoulos@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7OPs9.13734$Mb3.469203@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 140897

If you know the characteristics, there are several vendors such as MaCom, Alpha, etc. that make them. What you are talking about is a hyperabrubt varactor diode.

Pete

2170. Re: Old Cb's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 09:39:59 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <n.gianakopoulos@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <PXPs9.14186$Mb3.469829@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 140898

I had a couple of those GM23s. Selectivity wasn't the greatest; it used a Murata 4-element ceramic filter, but construction was good, with a glass-epoxy circuit board.

Pete

2171. Re: Need picture of Excalibur CB please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 09:54:27 UTC
From: real radios glow in the dark <4cx5000a@bigtubesrock.com>
Message-ID: <fkj7ru4c29htnd9kg113frt5pq48sj1feq@4ax.com>
Source record: 140899

On Mon, 21 Oct 2002 04:30:18 GMT, Dino <noemail@here.com> was
blabbling:

...If someone has a picture they could send me, it would sure answer
some
...questions! Post a rely here and I'll e-mail you. THANKS!

take a look at a Galaxy Saturn and imagine it with a silver face
plate. and you've got one.

A Real Tube
http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
A Real Amp
http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html

2172. Re: Galaxy Saturn Turbo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 10:20:12 UTC
From: MD <ve3tmt@rac.ca>
Message-ID: <NwQs9.2327$A12.306989@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 140900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:w5Is9.38722$m92.7471759@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Can anyone tell me what one of these radios is worth used in great
> condition?I have an offer of a trade as they want a RCI 2950 I have and
will
> trade me even up.He just wants a mobile and not the base.
> My instincts say go for it.I know the person,and do know he keeps his
> equipment in mint shape.I just need to know what it is worth if I want to
> sell it as I may do.I don't use the 2950, and I doubt that I will use the
> Galaxy .Email me if you got any info that may be of help.Thanks for any
help
> in advance.

You might try rec.radio.cb newsgroup. Many more "knowledged" users in
there.

2173. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 11:00:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB3DE35.2096@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140913

Leland C. Scott wrote:
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:75ad2ce2.0210201639.788e1e0@posting.google.com...
> > "tools" medtools@earthlink.net wrote
> > >I do not belive you.
> >
> > I don't blame you for being skeptical about claims made on this NG,
> > but it sounds like the only way you would believe me is if you and I
> > were sitting in the same room in front of the same radio and both of
> > us heard this stuff at the same time. Us getting together to do that
> > is not likely so I guess it's your word against mine. But how about
> > other people who hear this stuff? Are they making it up?
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> If you have the ability why not record several ".wav" files and post
> them on the Ham binary news group? That way the still disbelievers can
> hear it for themselves. Its even more convincing when the pirates are
> being covered up by a bunch of ticked off Hams banging away with CW on
> top of the bozos. Nothing more convincing than hearing a Ham ID in CW
> over a pirate operating AM on 10m. If a tape recording of something
> like the above is good enough evidence for the FCC, then its good
> enough proof, period.

The problem with recording .wav (or mp3) files is that while it would verify content, and most likely identify the type of operator, there is no way to verify frequency. If, as you alluded to, you get lucky and happen to catch these guys while a CW QSO is in the background, that could be helpful, but it still won't convince this tough crowd, who would most likely accuse you of "doctoring" the file to suit your purpose anyway.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2174. Re: 40 Channel Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 11:06:44 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB3DFC4.6FD5@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140912

radiofun wrote:
>
> Someone asked the other day if there were any 40 channel radios that
> weren't "digital". There were very few.

Are you refering to "digital" readouts, or "digital" frequency
synthesis?

There were many 1st generation 40 channel radios made with "23 channel"
style numbers on the selector itself. Lafayette, HY-gain, Kraco,
Midland, GE, a few Radio Shack models just to list a few. Most were
Cybernet chassis radios, as well as a few Uniden types.

As for non-PLL type digital synthesis, I am not aware of any 40 channel
radios that did not have a PLL. I beleive the tighter FCC specs for 40
channel radios precluded the use of the "old fashioned" crystal
synthesis. Plus, crystals were becoming expensive. The cost of 17
crystals is more than what a typical 40 channel radio sells for these
days.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2175. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 11:14:13 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9kRs9.6276$071.570461@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 140901

Must be that the days of the week are different where A is.
"Thunder" <thunder@cinci.rr.com> wrote in message
news:K5Js9.115404$Cz.12456416@twister.neo.rr.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:75ad2ce2.0210201312.2de0f442@posting.google.com...
> > Here's what I heard Sunday in just a few minutes of monitoring. (20:58
> > Z).
>
> > 28.725 (AM): "You tell that g*d*mn dispatcher that any CCX driver wont
> > stand for that sh*t"... (etc)
>
> This is interesting since CCX doesn't operate on Sundays.. They are a
> Mon.-Fri. Op only. Also CCX isn't located that far south. CSE yes, but no
> CCX.
>
>
>

2176. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 11:16:38 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qmRs9.6277$071.570657@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 140902

Uhhhhh, and how are we to know that your recordings ARE 10 meters?
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:0_6dnZcpg7z9DC6gXTWcpw@comcast.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:75ad2ce2.0210201639.788e1e0@posting.google.com...
> > "tools" medtools@earthlink.net wrote
> > >I do not belive you.
> >
> > I don't blame you for being skeptical about claims made on this NG,
> > but it sounds like the only way you would believe me is if you and I
> > were sitting in the same room in front of the same radio and both of
> > us heard this stuff at the same time. Us getting together to do that
> > is not likely so I guess it's your word against mine. But how about
> > other people who hear this stuff? Are they making it up?
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> If you have the ability why not record several ".wav" files and post
> them on the Ham binary news group? That way the still disbelievers can
> hear it for themselves. Its even more convincing when the pirates are
> being covered up by a bunch of ticked off Hams banging away with CW on
> top of the bozos. Nothing more convincing than hearing a Ham ID in CW
> over a pirate operating AM on 10m. If a tape recording of something
> like the above is good enough evidence for the FCC, then its good
> enough proof, period.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>

>

2177. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 11:18:16 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB3E278.612@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140914

Dave wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3DB03F4C.1AA3@worldlynx.net...
> <snip>
> > And somehow, he also thinks that posing an opposing view, and calling an
> > idiot, an idiot, for espousing sociopathic ideals, is somehow against
> > TOS rules for various ISP's. I dare say that he more than welcome to try
> > that premise out on my ISP. I doubt they would want to risk a first
> > amendment lawsuit, just to silence my opinion.....
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
>
> I'm sorry Dave but in a news group you have no rights. Most certainly you
> have no First Amendment rights. Believe it or not a news group (moderated or
> not) is technically a privately owned entity and as such you CAN be
> censored, and have no recourse against such censorship.

If you agree to those terms, then you are correct. But to imply that one could be removed from an ISP, for taking an opinion, contrary to another (who is ironically in favor of opposing federal laws) seems to be a bit of a stretch.

> You can espouse your
> views freely in the USA, unfortunately that means "Public" property.
> Property owners have the right to squash speech they don't like. There is no
> such thing as free speech on the Internet. Unless you own the domain, the
> hosting servers, ect. Then somewhere down the line you can legally be
> censored even on your own web pages. Hell individual ISP's could censor you
> by blocking their subscribers access to you. All very legal in the U S of A.

Tell that to the K.K.K., the skin heads, and other "hate" groups who operate their web pages, seemingly with immunity, not that the feds haven't tried to have their sites removed. But something about their first amendment rights allows them to continue.

I find it ironic that groups like the K.K.K. can operate without issue, yet people who stand up for the law of the land and common courtesy, need to fear revokation of their ISP privileges for standing up for what is right, simply on the word of one or more malcontents?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2178. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 11:25:37 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB3E431.345D@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140915

Dave wrote:
>
> Guess I should 'a been a little more clear. One of the guys on 27, I think
> it's "Voyager", hides out in his truck when he's on. His wife doesn't seem
> to like CB much at all. I only have a girlfriend no wife, I do call her my
> wife sometimes. In the future if I say wife or girlfriend you know they are
> the same person. I try to lead a very plain risk free life. I used up my
> allotment of "free passes" growing up, step outta line these days and I know
> my luck wont hold like it used to.
>
> On the subject of "Dave's" I would have to agree there are entirely too many
> on this News Group. I've been thinking about changing my name on the group,
> to avoid any confusion.
>

I know, I was just funnin' with you. It just read a little funny, so I
had to call attention to it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2179. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 11:38:28 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB3E734.1FA9@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140917

'Doc wrote:
>
> Dave,
> You might give that "3db" gain figure from 'dual' antennas
> another look, that's a bit much even for 'CB' antenna gain
> figures.
> And as far as 'fractal' antennas go, stop by your local Radio
> Shack and pick up a 50 ohm, 5 watt resistor. They're a more
> efficient
> radiator than the 'fractal' antenna nonsense. You were doing so
> good
> there at first, then you fell off into the land of the "Maxcoms".
> 'Doc
>
> PS - By the way, 'Maxcom' was an antenna scam in the late '70s.

Is that anything like the "Vampire Bat" antenna, that was featured in an
April Fools edition of S9 magazine?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2180. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 11:46:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB3E919.5FAB@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140918

Welfare Check wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3DAFF739.35B1@worldlynx.net...
> > yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
> > >
> > > A few weeks ago I think it was Timmy that was defending the
> > > engineering prowness of the illegal amp techs, saying something along
> > > the lines of "they are doing more with less". Like using 96 RF
> > > transistors to accomplish kilowatts of power. This statement really
> > > stuck in my head because of it's unique contradiction:
> > >
> > > For example, if the purpose of 'more power' is to get better
> > > transmission distance, wouldn't it make more sense to make the signal
> > > more efficient as well? After all, 75% of AM power is wasted. The
> > > FIRST thing to do would be to use SSB, or even CW, instead of AM.
> > >
> > > Now since the antenna is the most important part of any radio
> > > transmitter, wouldn't it be better to make the best possible antenna
> > > before pumping up the power? And if these "engineers" are as good as
> > > they claim, why can't they construct a mobile antenna with a very
> > > narrow beam, something on the order of 20 dB or more? Seems that would
> > > be money well spent...
> > >
> > > Also, how much power is really needed to make contact with the other
> > > station? Instead of cranking up the power to 10 kW, why not use a
> > > different band? Or a repeater? IOW, why spend thousands of dollars on
> > > a super-duper mobile broadcast station when all you really need to do
> > > is to spend a few bucks for a ham license and some cheap equipment?
> >
> > Because they can.
> >
> > > And who needs a license, anyway? With just a computer w/soundcard and
> > > some free software you can hear Part 15 stations hundreds to thousands
> > > of miles away. And building a Part 15 transmitter is significantly
> > > less expensive and labor-intensive as a decked-out multi-kilowatt amp.
> >
> > I'm interested in this soundcard, part 15 thing. What's that all about?
> >
> > > So who is really doing "more with less"?
> >
> > The "more with less" is really a joke. They're not doing that. But what
> > they are doing is engaging in the RF version of "extreme sports". Making
> > contacts and maintaining reliable communication is not the game they

> > play. It's the king of the hill, The boss with the big hot sauce (Extra
> > points if you can tell me the first well known person who used that
> > expression), the guy with the biggest "gun".
>
> Dave none other than jerry Blavat the geater with the heater, the Boss with
> the hot sauce

You get the prize!

I'm not sure if anyone outside the greater Phila area, remembers Jerry.
For those who don't, Jerry Blavat was a sort of Dick Clark wannabee. He
hosted a dance show on one of the local Channels (WFIL-TV6) back in the
mid to late 60's. He used to do some sort of move where he jumped
around on one foot. I remember catching the show in my younger days. If
I remember correctly, it was on at 4:00 in the afternoon. He resurfaces
occasionally to do "oldies" parties. I'm not sure if he's still alive at
this stage of the game though.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2181. *New* YUPITERU MVT-7100 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 11:56:44 UTC
From: "Oleg M." <omhome@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <0YRs9.34808$mxk1.22329@news04.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 140903
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

This scanner is brand new, unopened and not refurbished.

Full, continuous coverage from 530 kHz ~ 1650MHz.

(No gaps, locked out or restricted frequencies)

Any mode from AM, Narrow FM, Wide FM together with Upper & Lower sideband. Increment steps vary from 1 kHz up to 100 kHz although when LSB or USB is selected then increment steps as small as 100 or 50Hz can be used allowing for true and accurate tuning of utility stations.1000 CHANNEL MEMORY (100 CH x 10 BANK), 500 CHANNEL PASS MEMORY

Comes with antenna, car connector, NI-CD batteries (4), hand strap, belt clip, earphone and English manual + Owner's guide and Operating Instructions by Richard J. Wells

Price is $260 US + shipment

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me:

mi1ol@icqmail.com

2182. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 12:11:50 UTC
From: Vic Z <HamCB@HamCBBargainbarn.org>
Message-ID: <ap0qt4ddv0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 140904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:P66cnUpaJeSEDi6gXTWcqA@comcast.com...
>
> "blowupdoll" <fgrease3dee@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3db2e5f8@snipnews.snip.net...
> >
> > "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:B9D80B09.BF4D%stewart@sc.rr.com...
> > >
> > > I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of
> Federal
> > > Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any
> external radio
> > > frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on
> any
> > > frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more
> information,
> > see...
> > > (scroll down to 2.815)
> >
> > YEAH...YEAH...YEAH...
> > Yada...Yada...Yada...
> >
> > Ban has been in place for Years but that
> > hasn't stopped me seeing one or two
> > of them for sale at virtually everh hamfest
> > I been to, and at weekend flea markets
> > in the Pa.NJ NY area. All you have to
> > do is sell them "for test bench signal amplifier
> > purposes" as a kit and the FCC can't touch
> > you. For an example see:
> >
> > http://www.cbcintl.com/TriPower.htm
>
> You think so? There was a vendor sell HF amp kits at Dayton a year or
> two ago. The Detroit FCC, part of their area is Ohio, paid them a vist
> out in the tent area, at the far end of the flea market. Guess what?
> They, the amp kit vendor, haven't been back to Dayton since.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

BULL! He was there again this year, along with two others. Your lies don't scare anyone. In fact, the one vendor hits all the hamfests in the northeast and midwest. The Detroit office? You gotta be kidding, never saw a bigger bunch of more incompetent bureaucrats! They wouldn't know an amplifier from a commode.

73,

Vic Z

2183. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 12:25:50 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021021082550.07662.00002832@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 140905

voob, since when do you have an HF reciever? what kind of gear are you using?
this is the first you've mentioned of it.

>I don't blame you for being skeptical about claims made on this NG,
>but it sounds like the only way you would believe me is if you and I
>were sitting in the same room in front of the same radio and both of
>us heard this stuff at the same time. Us getting together to do that
>is not likely so I guess it's your word against mine. But how about
>other people who hear this stuff? Are they making it up?
>
>-Aaron-
>

2184. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 12:28:16 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021021082816.07662.00002833@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 140906

not as long as it bothers you, so their you have it.

>Do you ever think you will ever learn the difference between
>'there' as in 'put it over there' and 'their' as in 'hurt their
>(not there) license'?
>

2185. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 12:28:18 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB3F2E2.2CA5@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140916

Dan wrote:

>
> > Step #4: Take a little drive around your neighborhood within a couple of
> > miles.
> > Check the roofs for cb antennas. Note the addresses.
> > Now go buy yourself a small hand held cb radio known as a walkie
> talkie.
>
> I was looking at a few handhelds - Cobra, Midland, etc. Any recommendation?
> Sounds like you're talking about a cheaper item than I was looking at, these
> were 40 channel CB's with weather bands, $90 range and probably more than
> I'd need.

Considering the magnitude of the interference that you're getting, I
doubt that anything other than your two eyes in the daylight should be
needed to find this guy.
It's not like the 70's where every other house had a CB antenna on it.
Likely there will be only one close to you, and that's probably your
man.

> Would a unit with a signal-strength meter help in narrowing down the
> location? I'm not sure if it would or not. This signal is *strong* - when he
> transmits and it comes through the television speaker, it's like he's
> crouched down behind my entertainment center with a bullhorn. :-) Being that
> strong (if that even means anything), would he bleed through onto other CB
> channels besides the one he's transmitting on?

A handheld field strength meter would be helpful in confirming what your
eyeballs told you is the probable location of the offender.

> > If it's not the person, ask if the person would help hunting the guy down.
> > He may even know who it is.
> > Or at least be able to talk to the guy better.
>
> I'd just like to get Albert's attention, and approach him in a friendly
> fashion, at least to start with. It's possible he is unaware of the
> interference issue, or if he is aware of it, he might know an easy fix.

Judging from the content of his CB traffic, indicates that he's probably
not the most amiable person to deal with. Especially when you have a
problem which threatens his outlet for ego pumping.
Approach him in a friendly way, but don't be surprised if he blows you
off, or worse.

This is a common scenario for many owners of home entertainment equipment. Many people spend thousands of dollars on expensive high definition TV's, home theatre equipment and accessory video players. Most of this is connected by cables, which all many contribute to the problem. But be that as it may, no one, who has spent this kind of money on their TV entertainment, wants it to be disrupted by some yahoo, talking trash on a radio. Typically, the next step is to contact the FCC, but be forewarned, the FCC has received so many similar complaints, that it has become desensitized to your situation, and you'll be lucky if they even send out a notice to the offender informing him of his alleged interference problem.
As someone else pointed out, there is legislation allowing local law enforcement to enter the picture. But not all LEO's have written thier laws to take advantage of this.

Some locals in my area have successfully had an offensive CBer prosecuted on a "disturbing the peace" charge, which arose from the CBer in question's use of foul language, and offensive content. You might have to retain a lawyer, if it comes to that, depending on whether or not the LEO's in your area are interested in pursuing this case. It helps if you can gather a bunch of the neighbors to sign a group complaint.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2186. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 12:31:05 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021021083105.07662.00002834@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 140907

yes unless you can show us otherwise. i know of no other workable high gain hf antenna with high gain thats not at least a half wave length. do you?

>
>So? Does big gain necessarily mean big size?

2187. Re: Johnson radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 12:32:03 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021021083203.07662.00002835@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 140908

johnson makes rangers preacher man. its a Johnson Ranger.

>
>He said JOHNSON not Ranger! The 223 Bases were Classics, and maybe a few
>others. They are lousy radios after '76 technology!
>Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2188. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 12:34:12 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB3F444.26A4@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 140919

Dave VanHorn wrote:
>
> > "Powerchunk, Galaxy 55e, Texas Star hotplate, all hooked up together"
>
> The "hotplate" almost certainly refers to an illegal amp. Just looked them
> up on google, I found a place that sells these from 100W and up. Their 3200
> (3200W??) goes for $1700.
> Not sure what a "Powerchunk" is.
> The Galaxy55e is a high end CB unit, not something you'll find at radio
> shack.
>
> All told, he's spent a fair bit of money to be loud.
>
> The type of interference you describe means he's got to have a lot of power,
> and or be really close to you. The inverse square law (a very handy tool)
> says that if you cut the distance between you in half, the power that he
> illuminates you with goes up 4X. It's derived from the surface area of a
> sphere.
>
> > "I can't run my power supply too long 'cause it starts to get hot"
>
> Sounds like highly questionable engineering. :)
> Heat kills components. Electrolytic capacitor lifetimes are cut in half by a
> 10c rise in operating temperature. Transistors fail by metal migration
> (among other mechanisms), the hotter they are, the quicker the failure,
> though the rules are a bit more complex. 9th root of the temperature delta
> I think, but it's been a while since I looked at that one.
>
> Interestingly, the type of interference you describe sounds like he's
> running a pretty clean signal.(!)
> Being on all channels on your CB is symptomatic of the receiver front end
> being overloaded.
> It's only got so much rejection of signals on other channels.
>
> A TV with analog (slider) tuner might pick up his second or third harmonic,
> and let you get in closer before loosing sense of direction. Channel 2
> (around 54 MHz) or channel 4 (81 MHz).
> I've done this on 2 meter foxhunts, using the main system to get into the
> area, then switching to a receiver and antenna on the third harmonic
> (transmit freq * 3) to hunt close in. The typical ham transmitter puts out
> about 1 microwatt on the third harmonic for every watt on the main
> frequency. Some more, some less.
>
> > He also says he's up here working temporarily. Hopefully I'll get lucky

> and
> > that means VERY temporary.
>
> You never want to wish ill on anyone, but maybe his lashup will fail, and
> you'll get some peace while he figures out what died.
>
> Long ago, just after I got my ham ticket, I was laid up at home for a while.
> My upstairs neighbor was practicing his guitar, and I do agree he needed the
> practice, but I also needed my sleep. I talked to him about it, and got a
> big dose of attitude, and no improvement. If anything it was worse. Now
> it happens that when I got my ticket, I bought a handheld radio, and power
> amp, and a base antenna. I set this up in the apartment, and decided that
> as long as he was going to keep me from sleeping, I might as well talk on
> the repeater.
>
> It turns out that every time I keyed down, the rectified RF from my
> transmitter at about 100W caused his amp to go dead quiet. (remember, he's
> probably 10-20' away) Probably I was affecting the bias circuit in the
> amp.. Eventually, he gave up on loud practice sessions, and maybe bought
> himself a headset. Since I could sleep, I did. We came to an unspoken
> accomodation.

God works in mysterious ways....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2189. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 12:35:34 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021021083534.07662.00002836@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 140909

voob, have you found proof there is a 155 mile limit there? i cand find anything on it on the net and south pacific radio operators have heard of no such limit there. i think your just grasping for straws as usual

>Well Tim they are on an "expedition" to do what? Talk locally? LOL.
>
>-A
>

2190. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 12:37:58 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021021083758.07662.00002838@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 140910

its a list about technical issues and not policy. it was for that reason your question was ignored. myself i didnt write anything but i know of at least two people in rec.radio.cb who did.

>Wow so you went out of your way to email the moderator? You guys were
>THAT terrified of the responses, you had to try and supress them? Why?
>If you are right and many hams operate illegally, then why not let the
>people on the list answer the question, instead of censoring it?
>
>-Aaron-

2191. Re: Re...HAMS USE ILLEGAL POWER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 12:40:17 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021021084017.07662.00002839@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 140911

i dont understand. are you saying you disagree with that staement??? it seems to be universally agreed upon by everybody here but you. i mean if one serviice is a federal criminals for doing i then surely another is as well. right?

>Coulda been this:
>
>nunofyurbiznes@cs.com (Scooter Tramp) wrote:
>> some HAMS do run more than the alotted amount of power
>>and are therefore
>> classified as Federal Criminals.
>
>-A
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2192. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 12:54:02 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021021085402.12806.00003042@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 140920

as another poster pointed out the ccx is bullshit for sure because there trucks are parked on the weekends, besides thats so high up in ten that no 1 mobile antenna would work up there and on channel 19 at the same time and especially not the loaded truck antennas

2193. Re: Cee-Bee!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 12:58:07 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021021085807.12806.00003043@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 140921

you can, just not from 100% of the servers

>Do you ever wish you could remove a post from Usenet?
>
>I meant cee-bee radio shop.
>D'oh,
>td

2194. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 13:07:00 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB3FBF3.5B9EDFFE@hotnet.net>
Source record: 140927

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> as another poster pointed out the ccx is bullshit for sure because there trucks
> are parked on the weekends, besides thats so high up in ten that no 1 mobile
> antenna would work up there and on channel 19 at the same time and especially
> not the loaded truck antennas

You really are stupid neboo, I hear truckers all the way up above
28.6 all the time.

2195. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 13:10:52 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB3FCDC.28B6AF@hotnet.net>
Source record: 140928

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> since its only comming over your TV and no other electronics common sense tells
> you that you have a shitty TV regardless of its pricetag

Your lack of technical knowledge is astounding.

2196. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 13:24:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7727-3DB3FFF1-315@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140936

From: krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net (SideBand)
Headed? It's already here. Looked at TenTec recently, Leland? -SSB
--
You tuned in late in the thread...Lelnad is just trying to bust my
chops...he knows I run a Ten-Tec and the link I posted concerning GNU
radio also leads to the link he posted.

2197. Re: Hurricane-proof Hammie Room Built in Fla...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 13:26:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7727-3DB40097-317@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140933

From: sir.oblivion@public.com (<sir.oblivion@public.com>)
I just took a ride up there only living about five minutes away. It's a pretty non-descript building and you really wouldn't notice it's construction other than the communication tower that looks as if it could withstand anything buried in yards of concrete.

--
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:12365-3DB05367-57@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...

Taken from the online edition of the Jupiter Courier at http://www.JupiterCourier.com

RANDALL MURRAY staff writer
David Baker, an employee of Advanced Communications Services of Deerfield Beach, works to tie together complex systems in Emergency Operations Center of Tequesta's new Public Safety Building on Tequesta Drive.
A building made for the future
<snip>

At least you know where the true safe house is in the area. Hope you guys are getting the same awesome weather we are getting here.....and the DX is-a-rollin'!

2198. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 13:30:29 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DB40175-738@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140937

From: witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<23970-3DB01843-352@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
http://interviews.slashdot.org/interviews/02/09/27/1420201.shtml?tid=

Ah hell, 6m hams like me would just jam 'em if they're on 50mhz. What
are the computers gonna do, chase me? (now watch... any second now,
"Aaron" will show up and tell me what a horrible lawfucker I am.)
BTW if you were on a 25-channel cordless phone back in '96 and you heard
an unexplained loud burp, that was me... but the dude with the duck
voice was Wall-eyed Andy, and Video Art was the guy with the Spanish
accent. Unfortunately no tapes exist of these hilarious hijinks. Damn
shame.
Guy Richards

Some of the first analog phones were filled with those succeptible
interference bugs. At one time, anyone could go to K-Mart or wherever
and buy the cheapest/best selling cordless phone and drive around the
well-manicured neighborhoods until you heard a dial tone on YOUR
handset. Technically and in strict violation of the law, one could park
in front of another's house with the same type phone (or not) and talk
on their dime.
And there were the recorded tones you could play into a public phone
that started way back when that gave free phone calls that paved the way
for more interesting ways to phreak.....

2199. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 13:31:22 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021021093122.12849.00002667@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 140922

especially since he would likely do it. if forging post isnt out of his league
then docting a wav sure wouldnt be either. i wouldnt trust him.

>
>The problem with recording .wav (or mp3) files is that while it would
>verify content, and most likely identify the type of operator, there is
>no way to verify frequency. If, as you alluded to, you get lucky and
>happen to catch these guys while a CW QSO is in the background, that
>could be helpful, but it still won't convince this tough crowd, who
>would most likely accuse you of "doctoring" the file to suit your
>purpose anyway.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2200. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 13:33:41 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DB40235-741@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140932

Moparholic asked:
Are you drinking the Latrobe spring water(33)? <g>
--
God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ -------------------- go #40
Usenet Newsgroup Service
--

Rolling Rock?

2201. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 13:36:50 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021021093650.12849.00002671@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 140923

since its only comming over your TV and no other electronics common sense tells
you that you have a shitty TV regardless of its pricetag

>
>This is a common scenario for many owners of home entertainment
>equipment. Many people spend thousands of dollars on expensive high
>definition TV's, home theatre equipment and accessory video players.
>Most of this is connected by cables, which all many contribute to the
>problem. But be that as it may, no one, who has spent this kind of money
>on their TV entertainment, wants it to be disrupted by some yahoo,
>talking trash on a radio. Typically, the next step is to contact the
>FCC, but be forewarned, the FCC has received so many similar complaints,
>that it has become desensitized to your situation, and you'll be lucky
>if they even send out a notice to the offender informing him of his
>alleged interference problem.
>As someone else pointed out, there is legislation allowing local law
>enforcement to enter the picture. But not all LEO's have written thier
>laws to take advantage of this.
>
>Some locals in my area have successfully had an offensive CBer
>prosecuted on a "disturbing the peace" charge, which arose from the CBer
>in question's use of foul language, and offensive content. You might
>have to retain a lawyer, if it comes to that, depending on whether or
>not the LEO's in your area are interested in pursuing this case. It
>helps if you can gather a bunch of the neighbors to sign a group
>complaint.
>
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2202. Re: heathkit amps any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 13:41:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DB403F8-745@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140934

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
>So is that the draw of big power -- "respect"? Knowing that you are disrespectful of anyone else on the channel when you key up with an amp, how does that deserve respect? Would you respect me if I stepped on all your signals so you couldn't talk?
Putz.

On certain channels such as the bowl, keying up with an amp is not only not disrespectful of anyone else on the channel, it is the norm, expected, and yes, required. That simply is the way they want it, the way it is, and there isn't much anyone else can do to change these facts.
As far as anyone respecting you if you were able to pull off such a feat as to be heard on the bowl, I dunno,,,,maybe if you didn't let them know who they were talking to......but they wouldn't hold it against you and would still talk to you if they were able to hear you even if they didn't respect you. Wanna try? I ain't heard a Yeti or Sasquatch on the bowl, yet. You choose the time....I'll be the impartial judge. I'm sure others will volunteer to see if they can
receive your signal, also.

2203. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 13:52:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DB406B1-748@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140939

yeti@cet.com wrote:
>I'm not criticizing the content, accuracy or even the credentials of Azimov. Since I havenot read the books you mentioned, I was simply making my
own recommendation based on the standard course structure for the study of physics.
If Azimov's books are anything like Sagan's or Hawkins' science-for-the-masses approach (oversimplification, the elimination of mathematical modeling, etc), then I will stand with my original recommendation.<
<
--

Your anomaly fails to recognize that an attempted analogy of science fiction writers is void for the most obvious reason. "Physics" is usually construed as the study of force, matter, motion, energy. Carl and Stephen's books both set forth theories and admit as much. I tend to think Hawkins approach more plausible than any others concerning such things as black holes (which theory was lent more credibility last week by scientists) and cosmic theories such as his "bang" interpretation. The "over-simplification and elimination of mathematical modelings" as you refer it, is tossed aside at times because they are just that, "models" that do not always apply in real time, life or world, giving way to "theories". Again, I take this opportunity to remind you that both authors readily admit theoretics. Clinging to the notion that if it can't be found in a book it simply must not be, continues to plague you. There exist many more unanswered questions than there does answers. Your exhuberance to exist contently in the dark while others think outside the box to acquire answers who then posit them in some type media form in order you accept them is well documented.

2204. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 14:02:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7734-3DB40906-4@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140942

From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021019194823.06911.00009920@mb-cq.aol.com... actually its more
funny that the hams got VERY uptight about the whole thing assuming that
the freebanders and expedtition members must be operating illegally when
in reality that part of the band and that mode is totally legal there.
the real truth was that they were bothered that the guys were working 11
meters and making more contacts there than on the ham bands and it
bothered them to take back seat to so called "cb'ers"

It's maybe a fine point, but given that it's legal for them to operate
that station there, it's still illegal for anyone in the US to contact
them on CB or "freeband". AFAIK, "skip" on CB is still outside the
rules (yeah, I know it's done all the time), and the area outside the 40
channels is verboten. They may be making contacts in other areas where
this stuff is legal, and that's fine..
I'm not gonna get wound up about it one way or the other. I won't be
talking to them anywhere my ticket isn't valid. The locals will likely
take care of any enforcement problems that might pop up.

Here is a scenario where none have yet been able to offer any plausible
answer with any great degree of certainty.
I go out on my boat beyond the boundary that constitutes international
waters from local waters...maritime law prevails. Usually, this would
include obeying the nearest governing body's law, but many gray areas
exist and are not directly addressed and are directly ignored and not
enforced at all...this is evidenced by foreign boats (from cruise ships
dumping their trash in the water to fishing vessels doing whatever they
want) breaking American law on a daily basis.
Gambling is the perfect analogy here..it is totally illegal in certain
states such as Florida, but once a casino ship hits international
waters, it's Las Vagas-ala-Poseidon. If I am in international waters,
can I hook up a freeband radio and talk on it legally? What is my power
limit, if any? I can't be bound to the laws of the state where my vessel
is registered as the casino ships are exempt and so are nudity laws on
private or chartered vessels (and probably a bunch of others) out at
sea. I can't be bound by federal law as the jurisdiction stops when
maritime is invoked. Cargo carriers are required to abide by the
"where-ship-is-docked" (closest governing authority) rule which is
usually tried and applied by customs. I admit to not inquiring of any
esquire or professional legal advice concerning this issue, as there is
a much better chance of hitting the lotto or getting struck by lighting
that facing problems when transmitting in such a manner that I do, but
it has aroused my curiosity.........a bit..

2205. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 14:08:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7728-3DB40A41-121@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140935

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <uKucnRjZ1u9SiiygXTWcog@comcast.com>, "Dave VanHorn"
<dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:
In case you skipped your history classes, physics (as well as all
other sciences) used to be dictated by the church.

>Physics dosen't change, just because some >guy in a funny hat says it
does.

No shit, sherlock. My point was that maybe there is some other method
of radiating a signal other than a conventional hertzian antenna.

The Pope was gonna let you erect something on top the Vatican?

>Engineering is the art of compromise.
You can only go where physics will let you.
And those limitations are constantly being stretched through research
and development of new technologies.

As shown by some of the very amp guys you claim can't be doing it.

2206. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 14:13:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DB40BA7-750@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140943

Cheescutter said: by golly doesn't this Dwight Stewart fellow sound like
our Aaron H. Voobner fellow....???? my, my same moronic content as our
little buddy.....
and they both appeared about the same time too, imagine that......some
strange coincidences aren' they /????.....
--

Underground hammies? Nahhhhhhhhhh.....

2207. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 14:32:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7727-3DB40FE1-319@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140938

>Judging from the content of his CB traffic, >indicates that he's probably not the most >amiCable person to deal with. Especially when >you have a problem which threatens his outlet >for ego pumping.

Can you say, "Exiled"? <g>

>Approach him in a friendly way, but don't be >surprised if he blows you off, or worse.
>This is a common scenario for many owners >of home entertainment equipment. Many >people spend thousands of dollars on >expensive high definition TV's, home theatre >equipment and accessory video players. Most >of this is connected by cables, which all many >contribute to the problem. But be that as it >may, no one, who has spent this kind of >money on their TV entertainment, wants it to >be disrupted by some yahoo, talking trash on >a radio.

"Many"....."most"....words that you have taken a severe tongue-lashing to others for using, you carelessly and thoughtlessly toss around.

>Typically, the next step is to contact the FCC,

"Typically"
Ha!
"Most" people (IE" public) when faced with such a dilemma aren't even aware WHAT is going on let alone aware what the "next" step is.

>but be forewarned, the FCC has received so >many similar complaints, that it has become >desensitized to your situation,

And this based on nothing more than your sad public losses of battle after battle to have your other BS accepted concerning the FCC and their attitude. It is an ego thing.

>and you'll be lucky if they even send out a >notice to the offender informing him of his >alleged interference problem.

So that's how you four arre going to spin it, eh?
More innuendos based on your deep passions and the way you wish it were.
Have you seen or heard from JerryO lately?

>As someone else pointed out, there is >legislation allowing local law enforcement to >enter the picture. But not all LEO's have >written thier laws to take advantage of this.
>Some locals in my area have successfully had >an offensive CBer prosecuted on a "disturbing >the peace" charge, which arose from the CBer >in question's use of foul language, and >offensive content.

Maybe.,,,but doubtful based on your past.
Depends what you define as your "area". If you are talking of central to eastern Pa., and are speaking as of the recent past (say, last year or so).....show your hand.

>You might have to retain a lawyer, if it comes >to that, depending on whether or not the >LEO's in your area are interested in pursuing >this case.

Never EVER pay good money for a lawyer in this scenario. You can always go to court alone and ask what the disposition of the court is conerning your case. They will tell you exactly what they are seeking penalty-wise against you. If you can live with it, pay the devil his due and get on with it. If you disagree or do not like the offer, tell the court you wish to retain proper representation and they will reset your court date. Don't EVER spend money on a lawyer until you have exhausted this approach.
It can save you THOUSANDS!!!

>It helps if you can gather a bunch of the >neighbors to sign a group complaint.
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

And if you can get the sigs in red...blood is the preference.

2208. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 14:35:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7727-3DB410CB-320@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140944

>The problem with recording .wav (or mp3) files is that while it would
verify content, and most likely identify the type of operator, there is
no way to verify frequency. If, as you alluded to, you get lucky and
happen to catch these guys while a CW QSO is in the background, that
could be helpful, but it still won't convince this tough crowd, who
would most likely accuse you of "doctoring" the file to suit your
purpose anyway.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
<

No accusations needed in the United States.
It's called, "reasonable doubt."

2209. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 14:42:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7725-3DB4125B-751@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140941

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Dave wrote:
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DB03F4C.1AA3@worldlynx.net...
<snip>
And somehow, he also thinks that posing an opposing view, and calling an idiot, an idiot, for espousing sociopathic ideals, is somehow against TOS rules for various ISP's. I dare say that he more than welcome to try that premise out on my ISP. I doubt they would want to risk a first amendment lawsuit, just to silence my opinion.....
Dave
"Sandbagger"
--
-

I'm sorry Dave but in a news group you have no rights. Most certainly you have no First Amendment rights. Believe it or not a news group (moderated or not) is technically a privately owned entity and as such you CAN be censored, and have no recourse against such censorship.

>If you agree to those terms, then you are >correct. But to imply that one could be >removed from an ISP, for taking an opinion, >contrary to another (who is ironically in favor >of opposing federal laws) seems to be a bit of >a stretch.
> N3CVJ Dave Hall
> Practicing socialist

You are the only one who has the need to "imply" anything, as you are the only one among this thread that has made such ridiculous misattributions.

2210. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 15:00:03 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <44ecnQVpa-PriymgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 140924

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021021093122.12849.00002667@mb-mk.aol.com...
> especially since he would likely do it. if forging post isnt out of his
league
> then docting a wav sure wouldnt be either. i wouldnt trust him.

Tim we feel the same way about you since breaking federal laws whether on
the radio or smoking weed isn't out of your leauge I wouldnt trust you with
anything that had legal associated with it.

2211. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 15:04:17 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <a7OdnbOtJebuiimgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 140925

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021021083534.07662.00002836@mb-cq.aol.com...
> voob, have you found proof there is a 155 mile limit there?

Tim, what's this you want proof of something LOL

i cand find
> anything on it on the net and south pacific radio operators have heard of
no
> such limit there. i think your just grasping for straws as usual

Where did Voobner mention a 155 mile limit?

>
> >Well Tim they are on an "expedition" to do what? Talk locally? LOL.
> >
> >-A
> >
>
>
>
>

2212. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 15:08:40 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <65ucnbyTeOHnhSmgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 140926

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021021083758.07662.00002838@mb-cq.aol.com...
> its a list about technical issues and not policy. it was for that reason
your
> question was ignored. myself i didnt write anything but i know of at least
two
> people in rec.radio.cb who did.

Well this NG is supposed to be about CB radio and there are all types of
discussion in here that don't go ignored.hmmmm

2213. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 15:25:58 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92AE55C61E6B8rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 140929

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in news:3DB3DE35.2096
@worldlynx.net:

> The problem with recording .wav (or mp3) files is that while it would
> verify content, and most likely identify the type of operator, there is
> no way to verify frequency. If, as you alluded to, you get lucky and
> happen to catch these guys while a CW QSO is in the background, that
> could be helpful, but it still won't convince this tough crowd, who
> would most likely accuse you of "doctoring" the file to suit your
> purpose anyway.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>
I'd buy it. I'd love to hear it.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

2214. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 15:42:49 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92AE58A1773AArrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 140930

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in
news:3DB3E278.612@worldlynx.net:

> I find it ironic that groups like the K.K.K. can operate without
> issue, yet people who stand up for the law of the land and common
> courtesy, need to fear revokation of their ISP privileges for standing
> up for what is right, simply on the word of one or more malcontents?
>
This is why I run my own mail and web server...on two T1's. I don't have
any hateful content up, but if I ever wanted to put something controversial
up, I could. Both ISP's get approximately $1200/month each, so convincing
them that they need to kill my "account" (as it were) would be tricky :)
Not impossible, but an uphill battle, for sure.

It's sad to think that somebody could lose an account for simply expressing
their unpopular opinion.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

2215. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 16:32:28 UTC
From: Dry farming Kansas was real work <playaMarshall2203amp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ap1a6s$1r6$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140945

: yeti@REMOVEcet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:

:>If you were to spend a few minutes at the fcc web page like I suggested,
:>search licensed allocations in the IB or IG service that would fit
:>within the frequency range you list below.

: I have, and I have even posted a list of them to this newsgroup. So?

These services are allowed moderate (higher than traditional exciter
level) power operation >50 watts. Such services exist and are allowed to
use amplifiers.

:> Last time I opened a radio industry
:>magazine, Cresend Technologies sold type accepted amplifiers. Henry,
:>TPL, Motorola and a few others also come to mind.

: Yes, many companies do sell certificated amps, but not for the
: spectrum prohibited by 47 USC 2.815. If I'm wrong then maybe you can
: find a link or something, or maybe scan one of those magazine ads.

From what I understand and have experienced, there are qualifications to
amplifier production and type acceptance. Based on drive level
frequency... etc
I would be interesting to approach an amplifier mfgr for a 32Mhz amplifier
to see if they would even offer one for legal services.
HF and VHF Low Band are very low volume target markets (aside from the CB
and Amateur stuff)... Aside from any ban, I'm not sure if off the shelf
stuff would be made these days as the market has really dried up... Cell
phones really knocked the wind out of Two-Way Radio. So you are not going
to see expensive commercial mag ads specific to a single band amp. But the
dealers have phone numbers and email.

So I go to the October 2002 issue of Radio Resource Mag, call one of the
Equipment dealers shown from (memory) pages 96 through about 103 and ask
what they have in stock. After a bit of sticker shock, I get on Ebay and
hunt down a used type accepted amplifier for a fraction of the original
retail price. I have purchased equipment from both sources, the mag
dealers and ebay...

: BTW, what's the IB service?

The "B" of IB means "business."

:>The second question you asked..? It actually tells us how bad you want
:>to show your ignorance.
:>
:>No television I've heard of uses the 6146 tube as a sweep tube. There

:>were some older televisions that used the 6146 tube as a horizontal
:>oscillator and or horizontal oscillator amplifier.

: Well, why didn't you make that distinction in this post?

Had you posted the entire text for everyone to read, they would have seen
the topic was about sweep tube amplifier operation.

The wording is a bit off, but the point comes through... The 6146 tube is
not a true sweep tube, but has been used in similar applications,
functions. Both the 6146 and the classic sweep tube can be used in linear
rf amplifier circuits. The below text talks about function, not the
physical construction of the tube.

: ==============
: <snip>
: : simply list the filament specs, then tell you to use 6KD6 Specs, because
: : they are built with the same internal components. As fare as being
: : non-linear, EVERY amp has some non-linear properties. After all, they
: : are used in the real world, not just on paper. By the way, some early
: : color TV's used 6146's for sweep tubes.

:>Sweep tube is a label used to
:>describe a classic type of tube. Horizontal oscillator / amplifier was
:>often the function of sweep and beam power tubes.

: Where do you think the word "sweep" comes from? Maybe from television
: "sweep" circuits? You know, where the trace "sweeps" across the
: screen? What kind of tube do you think was used in those circuits?
: Maybe "sweep" tubes? Sweep tubes are power tubes that are specifically
: designed for sweep amplifiers in televisions. Duh...

There are tubes designed for specific horizontal oscillator - amplifier
operation. The 6146 was not designed specific for horzontal oscillator
- amplifier "sweep" service. By classic definition, it is not really a
true sweep tube, but it can and probably has served the same functions.

: Sweep tubes are not designed for use as RF amplifiers, nor are they
: designed for linearity at RF frequencies, despite your claims to the
: contrary. The Swans, which you so proudly claim to be a sweep tube
: success story, use them with significant neutralization, tuned grids &
: output pi filters. In fact, I believe that a couple of their sweep
: tube 'linears' used the sweep tubes configured as triodes in order to
: achieve linearity.

Wrong... most sweep tubes were not originally designed for use as linear
Mode RF Amplifiers. But there were some that were... the GE - 8950 and
the 2057 as two examples. Yaesu also has some whacky part number for an
RF Spec'd sweep tube they put in a radio... from memory, the FT-101 series
I believe...

With a bit of good circuit design, sweep tubes can be made to operate in
linear modes with good results. At one time, they were plenty cheap,

which made them a viable option.

The three Swan & Siltronix amplifiers I have using sweep tubes, do not have neutralization, nor does it have tuned grid(s) input. Grounded grid operation does not require neutralization in many of the classic designs. That's one issue which help make them so popular...

Your theory and experience shows to be a little thin on the topic...

Pi output circuits are pretty much the industry standard for similar circuits unless you know of something that works better..?

Most of the classic consumer amplifier circuits used sweep tubes in low mu operation. I would not label that a generic triode operation. "Grounded grid" or "super cathode driven" circuits were the layouts of choice.

: Sweep tubes were used in RF amps not because of their suitability, but
: because they were cheap. That's all.

They were practical, cheap and suitable.... Mfgrs like Swan, Ameritron, Amp Supply, Dentron, Galaxy, Palomar, Pal, SBE, Tempo and others thought them to be quite suitable.

:>Now go whine about how dumb you look to everyone on the news group.
: And leave you unhindered to spread your RF mythology? I don't think
: so, Skippy.

Red whine with fish..?

You've got some holes in your facts there buck'o. We can edit this down if you want to get into specific topics or continue your broadside attack.

just having fun...
skipp

2216. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 16:41:16 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22826-3DB42E2C-179@storefull-2193.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140998

I heard my first trucker Sat. evening on 10m,28.345am.That was in 25yrs of listening.I believe more of the problem to be in the spanish speaking ops who choose to communicate at the low end of the band.Whatcha gonna do about them?Are things worse south of the mason-dixon line?What kind of equip. do ya run Aaron?,.....Mark

2217. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 16:43:45 UTC
From: Batteries Just Keep on Ticking <yetiboyisthinintheory@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ap1as1$1r6$2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140946

: yeti@cet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:

: <snip>
:> In fact, I believe that a couple of their sweep
:>tube 'linears' used the sweep tubes configured as triodes in order to
:>achieve linearity.
: By golly I was right again! See the 1200-X:
: http://www.pcs.mb.ca/~standard/Amplifiers.htm

But not all, nor is all three grids grounded "triode operation" the best
circuit.

Triode operation is not a good label... most rf people like descriptions
like low-mu. But you knew that and were just going to point that out in
your next post... :-)

In high drive operation, unsafe values of G1 current are
possible. There are much better circuit layouts... the circuit of the
six tube Palomar 300 as an example.

you can see it at http://www.cbtricks.com

skipp

2218. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 16:56:23 UTC
From: yeti doesnt have the big picture <nitpicktonowhereyeti@hotmai.com>
Message-ID: <ap1bjn$1r6$3@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 140997

: yeti@cet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
: Just in case you don't know this (and it just occured to me that you
: don't), the 'horizontal oscillator amplifier' to which you refer is
: commonly known as the 'horizontal sweep amplifier', a position most
: often filled by ... yep, you guessed it ... the SWEEP TUBE!

You are wrong again...
So this does what for you here..? My RCA tube manuals use both.
Doesn't add anything to your previous diatribes.

: So now, what television used the 6146 for the "horizontal oscillator
: amplifier"?

Actually a good quesiton... the only one I've ever heard mentioned using
the 6146 was some of the heathkit homebuild units. But I never much cared
to follow up on it. Maybe others will post an answer... Some whacky
things were done in the 50's and 60's... and the 70's.

skipp

2219. Test, do not answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 17:23:38 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <VyXs9.7454$uu1.1384@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141000

Test 1234

2220. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 17:36:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5399-3DB43B2B-90@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 140999

This is why I run my own mail and web server...on two T1's. I don't have any hateful content up, but if I ever wanted to put something controversial up, I could. Both ISP's get approximately $1200/month each, so convincing them that they need to kill my "account" (as it were) would be tricky :) Not impossible, but an uphill battle, for sure. It's sad to think that somebody could lose an account for simply expressing their unpopular opinion.
--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net
--
Agreed, especially since it hasn't taken place. You are dealing with socialist Dave Hall's hyperbole as he wished it to be.

2221. TEST--No need to open

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 18:17:41 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <vAXs9.7455$uu1.6548@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141001

AUDI I I I I I I I I I I I 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
O!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2222. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 18:31:40 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <7ZOdnYDh0Mqb1SmgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 141002

> >Engineering is the art of compromise.
> You can only go where physics will let you.
> And those limitations are constantly being stretched through research
> and development of new technologies.
>
> ---
>
> As shown by some of the very amp guys you claim can't be doing it.

They certainly produce some very compromised designs.

2223. Re: TEST--No need to open

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 18:38:38 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DB449AE.3030601@cac.net>
Source record: 141003

Heh.

then...

HRm....

-SSB

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> AUDI I I I I I I I I I I I O O O O O O O O O O O O O
> O!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

2224. Re: cb radio shops in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 18:39:45 UTC
From: Stan <svs6920@home.com>
Message-ID: <RRXs9.33988$Hj7.12355@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 141004

This is a one of the good ones.

http://www.fgbestcommunications.com/

"Raffi" <RaffiA@socal.rr.com> wrote in message
news:zzNs9.193487$U7.51508098@twister.socal.rr.com...
> any one know of any cb shops near the LA area... thanks
>
>

2225. Re: TEST--No need to open

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 18:49:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19006-3DB44C24-130@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141007

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
AUDI I I I I I I I I I I I 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
--
Now where we?
Oh yea.. I asked about your claim in your last post (concerning the
FCC).....where?

2226. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 18:51:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19006-3DB44CBC-131@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141006

From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
Engineering is the art of compromise.
You can only go where physics will let you. And those limitations are
constantly being stretched through research and development of new
technologies.

As shown by some of the very amp guys you claim can't be doing it.

>They certainly produce some very compromised designs.<

Based on what comparison?

2227. Re: heathkit amps any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 19:03:05 UTC
From: Skipp <morepowerfullthanloughttob@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ap1j19$97l$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 141005

Dave...

What makes an amp SSB only... It's all about heat (duty cycle) and ratings. You can use the SB-220 on other modes, just pay attention to the ratings as required. It is a linear mode amp that also does CW quite well... Oh yes, it does AM for those of you waiting to cough that up in the post.

Just derated as required.

I try to buy all the bargain "broken down piece of junk" SB-220 amps I can find if the price is right.

skipp

: Dave or Debby <truth@nospamplanetc.com> wrote:
: Yeti Putz:
: Isn't this the amp that is just for SSB use only, is a big heavy tank, and
: is a piece of junk when broken down?
: Dave!

2228. WTB -UNIDEN WASHINGTON BASE SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 19:49:12 UTC
From: dfirststrike@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021021154912.12386.00014801@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 141008

I am looking to buy a NEW in box- NO mods-NO channels-with stock mic,a UNIDEN WASHINGTON BASE SSB...somebody out there has to have one.If its not new it has to be in great shape. I am in located in Central N.J.I will pick up if its local- i will pay for S & H if it has to be shiped.

Emai me- Price,S & H,Location, Phone # i will get back to ya.. 73s.

fatkatjaxxnospam@aol.com

Take out no spam for real address.

2229. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 19:59:56 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <hWmdnRDB4J0rwSmgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 141009

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:19006-3DB44CBC-131@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
Engineering is the art of compromise.
You can only go where physics will let you. And those limitations are
constantly being stretched through research and development of new
technologies.

As shown by some of the very amp guys you claim can't be doing it.

>>They certainly produce some very compromised designs.<

>Based on what comparison?

Comparison to middle of the road ham equipment for 10 meters.
I never claimed "they" (whoever that is) "can't be doing it".
I did look at a couple of amps, and based on the pictures and claims given,
offered an evaluation.

You seem to be trying to spin that into something else.

What "research and development of new technologies" were shown in those
designs?
I saw sloppy construction, under-rated components, over-rated claims, and
from the look of the component layout, poor copies of motorola app notes.

2230. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 20:33:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25069-3DB46489-105@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141010

From: dvanhorn@cedar.net (Dave VanHorn)
>Based on what comparison?<

Comparison to middle of the road ham equipment for 10 meters. I never claimed "they" (whoever that is) "can't be doing it".

So? Who claimed you said otherwise?
And comparing cb to hammie radio has always been a hammie sore spot in here. Maybe you can enlighten as to why, since you appear a bit confused as to who said what.

>I did look at a couple of amps, and based on >the pictures and claims given, offered an >evaluation.
>You seem to be trying to spin that into >something else.

Try reading what's actually there instead of what's not. You offered an observation and I merely asked what you were comparing it to. You obviously felt threatened or insulted as if I were asking you to provide for such a claim when in fact, a personal observation is just that, nothing more. Try refocusing whatever it is that's unsettling to you.

2231. Re: Allan R Fallas of British Columbia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 21:01:48 UTC
From: Skipp <yeahbaby@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ap1pvs$er1$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 141011

Alan or Allan Fallas has taken a whole batch of people's property and or money. If you Email me direct using the addresses below, I'd Like to get the information. I'm about ready to file a report with authorities. You would be about number 7 of the list of people he has taken advantage of.

skipp
skipp@pilotNOSPAMPLEASE.ucdavis.edu

Take out the NOSPAMPLEASE to reply

: The Tube Man <thetubeman@earthlink.net> wrote:
: Allan R Fallas is a lier, con-man and thief. I sent him $800 ane rerceived
: nothing but lies in return.

: "gw" <gw@webhideout.com> wrote in message
: news:235a74db.0210050708.77cd6620@posting.google.com...
:> This guy is a major fraud and it would be in your best interest to not
:> deal with him in any way , shape or form. He is a complete ripoff and
:> does real harm to the ham radio community. Somehow he retains a ham
:> radio advanced license. God knows why.

2232. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 21:15:02 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210211315.5a72185e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141012

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021021082550.07662.00002832@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> voob, since when do you have an HF reciever? what kind of gear
>are you using?
> this is the first you've mentioned of it.

Wrong Tim. An AR-3000AB wide range receiver. Remember?

-A

>
> >I don't blame you for being skeptical about claims made on this NG,
> >but it sounds like the only way you would believe me is if you and I
> >were sitting in the same room in front of the same radio and both of
> >us heard this stuff at the same time. Us getting together to do that
> >is not likely so I guess it's your word against mine. But how about
> >other people who hear this stuff? Are they making it up?
> >
> >-Aaron-
> >

2233. Re: Mark Radio Fords, NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 21:21:48 UTC
From: Mike Scott <buzzards@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Md_s9.2972$A41.796@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 141013

Hi Roy,
I don't expect you to remember me but back in the mid to late 60's I qso'ed with you on channel 13 when you lived in Lawrence Harbor. I noticed your call in your post and looked it up in my log book that I kept all these years. I used my Mom's call (KQD-7815) and was very active on the citizens band. I was also a patron of Mark Radio and like you I have some very fond memories of George. When did he pass on? I also worked you in your mobile and we exchanged qsl cards which I still have around here somewhere. CB sure was fun back then.
73
Mike Scott, WJ2D

2234. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 21:28:19 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210211328.102ab8d0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141014

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>>
>> as another poster pointed out the ccx is bullshit for sure
>because there
>trucks
>> are parked on the weekends,

Tim, the driver mentioned CCX in his transmission. He didn't say he was driving for CCX. He could have mentioned Citibank (which is also closed on Sunday) and would you have beefed?

> > > 28.725 (AM): "You tell that g*d*mn dispatcher that
> > >any CCX driver wont stand for that sh*t"... (etc)

> besides
>thats so high up in ten that no
>1
>mobile
>> antenna would work up there and on channel
>19 at the same time and especially
>> not the loaded truck antennas

Well I heard it, so choose one of three possibilities:

(a) they must be using separate 10 meter antennas now
(b) you are wrong, their CB antennas do fine on 10
(c) they operate with high swr on 10 and don't care

-A

2235. Large Amplifier Info & Opinons...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 21:31:58 UTC
From: Remember when this all started <getaburgerandfries@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ap1roe$er1$2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 141015

Re: Previous posts about Amateurs (Hams) using large amplifiers.

Just so we have a few things straight.... yes, there are Amateurs who run amplifiers in excess of the legal limit. But credit where its due, it hasn't been a large problem for the most part. There are also CB'ers running large amplifiers, some not so signal clean... these are the folks who tend to attract attention.

Many of the hams I know with large amps, don't like to run them unless there is a reason. The most popular reason I can think of is taking out the jammers and music players often found on the hf bands. The authorized limit may be exceeded for a time, but the amps are often throttled back when the vermin go away.
If you have spent any time on some of the lower bands, you know there are a number of whacko's with a lot of free time on their hands. Where possible, they like to try interfere with high profile conversations.

At times, you get the DX nut who wants to be the big gun... Until recent years, you haven't seen as many "lock to talk" types using large amps. But DX type people do tend to have the majority of large amps.

People tell me there are starting to be more and more "superbowls" on some bands with the folks using call signs. These are the large amp types that tend to abuse large power and their jamming is most often found in FCC enforcement announcements. The "whacked in the head" and "alpha hotel" peacock types give everyone a really bad name... some just happen to be using large amps. Most of the time, the FCC is very slow to react.

Pick a number you like, call that "many"... it is not "most Amateurs." Nit pick the details if you really have nothing better to do. It's time to move on to other fun in the sun.

The majority of hams just don't have experience using large amplifiers, they are not overly popular for typical operation. So why would one solicit a group of people who have so little hands-on amplifier experience for their opinions. It's probably a boobner thing to try and save face...

I did like the 1000hp racing motor comparison... here I am so out of touch with my old "small block" days. But the same general rules apply. There will be both good and bad people with really large motors. The bad ones have cell phones in the ear as they swerve across the freeway at 70 plus mph.

My $%*&# news server trashed a lot of the previous posts in its periodic flush, so I missed some of your reply posts. Add them on here or repost them new and we'll have some fun going through them as the time allows.

chow for now
skipp

http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

2236. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 21:40:15 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <3v_s9.143$1c2.22477126@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141016

"George is a twinkie" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message
news:3dada0ea.76364105@news.easynews.com...
> On Wed, 16 Oct 2002 12:23:04 -0400, "Welfare Check"
> <Check@demonseed.comPton> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >"George" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message
> >news:3dad7bd0.66866328@news.easynews.com...
> >> You also noticed that? He gives female names to some of the guys
> >> here. Probably some of that latent homosexuality starting to show
> >> itself. He's a fluffie.
> >
> >What are some of the female names have I given. You sure got the lingo
> >down for that stuff huh mr. beck LOL
> >
>
> How about Markie Beckie, or Randy Chapwoman?
>
> Your a twinkie George admit it.
>
>
>
>

What the hell is George rambling about now?
I don't use EasyNews or Forte agent.

Landshark

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

2237. Re: KD4CXJ, pirate radio operator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 21:40:15 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <3v_s9.144$1c2.22477126@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Mark Walsh" <mark@km6xu.die.spam.die.com> wrote in message
news:aoldhudqb1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote:

> > Since when do accounts get "yanked" for posting the same thing?
>
> People get their accounts "yanked" for repeatedly violating
> a newsgroup's charter. I don't know about r.r.c.b. (and quite
> frankly, I feel sorry for those there having to endure this
> childish nonsense), but your postings have nothing to do with
> pirate radio (despite your empty assertions otherwise). We created
> this group in February of 1992 for the purpose of discussing
> matters relevant to pirate radio (we had outgrown sci.electronics).
>
> Our private pleas to you have fallen on deaf ears. Please clean
> up your act, or, failing that, excise from your postings the
> newsgroups where you are unwelcome. Nobody likes a
> party-pooper. Thanks.
>
> > If you feel that this quote (below) is a TOS violation then report me.
>
> Are you asking to be spanked like a child?
> Grow up, and you will find your presence to be welcome.
>
> Mark Walsh ***** mark@die.spam.die.km6xu.com ***** http://www.km6xu.com
>
>
>

LMAO!, well it seems as though the made up troll
of Aaron has made more enemies than he expected.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

2238. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 21:40:15 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <2v_s9.142$1c2.22477126@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141018

"George" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message
news:keurquk0qnmq0coe18ln7aojs45m772hcm@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 15 Oct 2002 18:03:00 -0400, "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"George" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message
> >news:3dae1558.781553@news.easynews.com...
> >
> >> Really? You want to stick a broomstick up a guys ass, what do you
> >> call that? Its still anal sex Twinkie George. Even the name your
> >> posting with is about anal sex.
> >
> >I guess you would know that sticking a broomstick up your ass is anal sex
> >what else do you stick up there for anal sex? BTW asmunch the name I am
> >posting with is Ole Shit short for for Holy Shit, you had better get
your
> >mind off of dick and ass your starting to sound like Landshark. lol Plus
you
> >paid money to be anonymous on a NG what a pathetic Putz! be a real man
> >
>
> Don't flatter yourself twinkie, I pay for my newsgroup server for
> binaries coverage. I guess you would know about the broomstick, your
> the one that wanted to use. You be a real man, have sex with a women
> for a change, quit packing other guys chutes. What a pathetic
> fluffie.
>

LOL!!!, George you're the only one
here that runs around 90% of the time saying
those things, so don't try to avert attention
away from your sexual habits.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

2239. Re: Re...HAMS USE ILLEGAL POWER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 21:45:50 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210211345.1c54d40a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141019

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021021084017.07662.00002839@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> i dont understand. are you saying you disagree with that staement???
>it seems
> to be universally agreed upon by everybody here but you.

I don't disagree with the statement, Tim. I disagree with ScooterTramp
chuckling over the successful deployment of his "troll question". I
honestly thought it was a legit question. Apparently many others did
too. What a shame it was only meant to stir up trouble.

>i mean if one serviice
> is a federal criminals for doing i then surely another
>is as well. right?

Absolutely. "Bad hams" who make a hobby out of generating malicious
interference, operating out of band, and flaunting FCC rules are
committing federal crimes and should be prosecuted.

Any other questions?

-A

2240. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 21:57:00 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210211357.58a632e4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141020

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021021083534.07662.00002836@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> voob, have you found proof there is a 155 mile limit there? i cand find
> anything on it on the net and south pacific radio operators have
>heard of no
> such limit there.

We have seen nothing that prohibits broadcasting profanity over police
frequencies in New Caledonia on Sunday at 10 AM yet either, but does
that mean it is legal?

Enough rumor and heresay. Can you show us the actual CB/"freeband"
rules for New Caledonia or not?

-A

>
> >Well Tim they are on an "expedition" to do what? Talk locally? LOL.
> >
> >-A
> >

2241. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 22:01:38 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210211401.43196356@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141021

"Alan S." <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message
news:<Xns92ACB34778F11rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in
> news:c5ecbb69.0210191507.482527f3@posting.google.com:
>
> > My name is obvious, dumbass. It's Richard Cranium. And you haven't
> > found out anything different because of..? I'm nowg=here near
> > Buffao/Rochester, Georgie-girl.
> >
> Well, everybody thinks you're in the Rochester, NY area because the
> reverse DNS of your machine taken the NNTP-Posting-Host portion of your
> header indicates that your dialing a Point-Of-Presence in/around
> Rochester (see below). If you're not in that area, one would wonder why
> you are dialing a POP in Rochester, when you could use any of the several
> hundred POP's LocalNet offers nationwide. I can't imagaine why somebody
> would purposely inccur long distance charges to use the internet. To the
> best of my knowledge, LocalNet doesn't offer an 800 number either.
>
> I dunno. I don't really care where you live. But I am curious...why in
> the world are you dialing a number local to Rochester, NY if you don't
> live anywhere near there?
>
> Anyway, here's why people figure you live in/around Rochester, NY
> (snipped for brevity):
>
> **** Begin Ultra-Nasty dig results ****
>
> [root@r2 root]# dig @ns.localnet.com 251.10.153.66.in-addr.arpa PTR
> (snip)
> ;; QUESTION SECTION:
> ;251.10.153.66.in-addr.arpa. IN PTR
>
> ;; ANSWER SECTION:
> 251.10.153.66.in-addr.arpa. 86400 IN PTR ppp251.tc-1.roc-
> pt.ny.localnet.com.
> (snip)
> [root@r2 root]#
>
> **** End Ultra-Nasty dig Results ****
>
> Note the answer section (ppp251.tc-1.roc-pt.ny.localnet.com). If you
> don't want people to believe that you live in or around Rochester, NY
> then "Lucy, you got some splainin' to do!"
>

> Take Care,

No 'splainin' necessary. You don't think they have local dial-up numbers outside Rochester? You and Georgie-girl have a lotta lurnin' to due. You were much better off not taking sides, Alan; you had people fooled into thinking you were intelligent. Georgie-girl and Aanal Aaron will warp your perspective quickly, and they got no sense. Why do you think Aanal Aaron has had to change his email address so often recently?

2242. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 22:04:38 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210211404.354ef77f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141022

voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<75ad2ce2.0210191513.a1ffd70@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210190418.4d8cd08f@posting.google.com>...
> >You mean like YOU do? Where's your PROOF, Aanal Aaron?
> >You still don't have any! Dimbulb. Post your PROOF. And
> >do it soon, lamer.
>
> Do it soon or else what? You'll cry? You'll post some more threats
> using the wmparr account? Why should anyone do what you say, Steve?
>
> -Aaron-

Nobody has any reason to believe anything YOU say, that's for sure.
You're a proven liar!

Who's Steve? What's the "wmparr account"? You really think you know
something, Aanal Aaron? Why have you had to change your email address
twice in the last couple weeks? LOSER!

Where's your PROOF??

2243. Re...Large Amplifier Info & Opinons...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 22:21:15 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210211421.5c5eaf36@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141023

Remember when this all started getaburgerandfries@hotmail.com wrote
>
>Re: Previous posts about Amateurs (Hams) using large amplifiers.
>
>Just so we have a few things straight.... yes, there are Amateurs
who
>run amplifiers in excess of the legal limit. But credit where its
due,
>it
>hasn't been a large problem for the most part. There are also CB'ers
>running large amplifiers, some not so signal clean... these are the
folks
>who tend to attract attention.
>
>Many of the hams I know with large amps, don't like to run them
unless
>there is a reason. The most popular reason I can think of is taking
out
>the jammers and music players often found on the hf bands.

Here's where we disagree. There is no legitimate reason to break FCC amateur (or CB) power rules, period. If there were, the FCC would have written in a clause that stated power in excess of the limit may be used "if you feel there is a reason", LOL.

Just because someone is interfering with you that is not a good reason, skipp. QRM is a fact of life, and unfortunately on some frequencies (where conflcts are encouraged) there is sometimes jamming.

Don't most hams QSY or ignore the jammer? Why must you retaliate by "being louder"? That is an infantile reaction and only encourages more jamming. So you with your RF power retaliation now become part of the problem and encourage more jamming.

>The authorized
>limit may be exceeded for a time, but the amps are often throttled
back
>when the vermin go away.
>If you have spent any time on some of the lower bands, you
>know there are
>a number of whacko's with a lot of free time on their hands. Where
>possible, they like to try interfere with high profile conversations.

So what? Ignore them or QSY. That's what most people do. Unless they have adolescent egos.

>At times, you get the DX nut who wants to be the big gun... Until
recent
>years, you haven't seen as many "lock to talk" types using large
amps. >But
>DX type people do tend to have the majority of large amps.
>
>People tell me there are starting to be more and more "superbowls"
>on some
>bands with the folks using call signs.

I would venture a guess that the majority of hams don't want this kind
of activity on their bands. Do you want it?

>These are the large amp types
>that tend to abuse large power and their jamming is most often found
in
>FCC enforcement announcements. The "whacked in the head"
>and "alpha
>hotel" peacock types give everyone a really bad name... some
>just happen
>to be using large amps. Most of the time, the FCC is very slow to
react.
>
>Pick a number you like, call that "many"... it is not "most
Amateurs." Nit
>pick the details if you really have nothing better to do. It's time
to
>move on to other fun in the sun.
>
>The majority of hams just don't have experience using large
amplifiers,
>they are not overly popular for typical operation. So why would one
>solicit a group of people who have so little hands-on
>amplifier experience
>for their opinions.

They need not have experience with big amplifers to answer the
questions I was asking, skipp. Anyway, it's too bad someone "made
sure" the questions didn't get asked in that forum because now we'll
never know the answers, will we?

>It's probably a boobner thing to try and save face...
>I did like the 1000hp racing motor comparison... here I am so out of
>touch with my old "small block" days. But the same general rules
apply.
>There will be both good and bad people with really large motors.
>The bad
>ones have cell phones in the ear as they swerve across the freeway at
>70
>plus mph.

How about the ones who race (run illegal high power) on the street
(ham bands) instead of a closed track (dummy load)?

>My $%*&# news server trashed a lot of the previous posts in its
periodic
>
>flush, so I missed some of your reply posts. Add them on here or
>repost them new and we'll have some fun going through them as the
time
>allows.
>
>chow for now
>skipp

Sorry your server trashed some posts. I guess you probably missed this
one.

From: Skip May (mays@indigo)
Subject: FS: Serious HF Amplifier, for Serious Buyer Only, please.
Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap
Date: 1996/08/20

Hello there,
Please respond to me by email first if your seriously interested. This
amplifier is in California (A real California Kilowatt). No trades and
no
flakes please. I can arrange delivery to most anywhere if your willing
to
pay the costs.

The Amplifier for sale is an 8169/4CX3000A linear amplifier.

It makes about 8k and it drives with 150W. It goes 1.8 to 30
mhz, has full breakin, and is self contained.

It requires a 50a minimum 240v dryer outlet. The ps does about 8100v
max on standby on the high tap.

I also have a new Bird 10kW watercooled termination that hooks up
to a lawn sprinkler set for >6gpm.

Thank You

Skip May WV6F
mays@indigo.ucdavis.edu

2244. Re: TEST--No need to open

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 22:27:38 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <bf%s9.7647$uu1.575@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141025

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:19006-3DB44C24-130@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
AUDI I I I I I I I I I I I 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
O!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
--
Now where we?
Oh yea.. I asked about your claim in your last post (concerning the
FCC).....where?

Ask again. BellSouth suddenly changed their newsgroups requirements, so I
couldn't post. I finally
got that straightened out this morning. I am not sure what you are
referring to. Lots goin' on around here.

Jerry

2245. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 22:32:12 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210211432.1ad29233@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141024

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<75ad2ce2.0210191513.a1ffd70@posting.google.com>...
>> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210190418.4d8cd08f@posting.google.com>...
>> >You mean like YOU do? Where's your PROOF, Aanal Aaron?
>> >You still don't have any! Dimbulb. Post your PROOF. And
>> >do it soon, lamer.
>>
>> Do it soon or else what? You'll cry? You'll post some more threats
>> using the wmparr account? Why should anyone do what you say, Steve?
>>
>> -Aaron-
>
>Nobody has any reason to believe anything YOU say, that's for
(snip)

Hey Steve, check out this interesting post (below).

-Aaron-

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired
for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the
operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of
a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by
the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at
the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so
inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain
about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to

follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

2246. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 22:53:41 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ED%s9.7657$uu1.3476@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141026

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:LpHs9.5586$071.493365@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I do not belive you.

If you are a driver, surely you see the "export" radios in the Flying J and Pilot Travel Centers' CB display cases. Those are the ones that usually have the "band" switch A thru F (or so). Guess where those "extree channels" go. Band F goes UP into the ham bands (10 Meter). I can sit here in my house about 3-4 miles from I-85 and listen to truckers "tan-fer-in'" away on 28.085. It was just in late August that I was notified that the driver for Cheetah Transportation was FIRED for operating on 28.085 by Riley H. I posted the info and got laughed outta town, so to speak. Shore 'nuff, about a week after I posted it, it appeared on the Rain Report and on ARRL's website. It IS
happening, and there are actions being proposed to deal with it. One development was that an Oregon Congressman, also a ham and a current sponsor of the bill to abate Amateur antenna restrictions, was appointed to the House Committee on Communications recently. This is one of the responses by Mr Tauzin (I believe) to the many letters that have been sent to him and other Representatives by ARRL and individual hams who are pissed over the 10 Meter intrusion thing. To those who have a vested interest in NOT having their activities noticed, the first line of defense
would be to attempt to diminish the importance of such intrusions. Truckers/CBers will readily say
(and I have read it on websites) "I don't see what harm we are doing by talkin' on one 'channel' when them hams have lotsa other 'channels' to talk on", or "Why cain't them hams 'share' a little bit
cuz we ain't a-hurtin' anythin'". Even if that were a viable thing, the issue is (one of them), where would such intrusions ever end? If it were possible to "share" 10 Meters, then it would be OK to
"share" 155 MHZ with the local Rescue Squad.. The truth is, that is what the rules are for; to create "fences" and guidelines for the effective use and minimum interference needed of and for
radio spectrum. It can't be allowed to keep on.

> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:75ad2ce2.0210201312.2de0f442@posting.google.com...
> > Here's what I heard Sunday in just a few minutes of monitoring. (20:58
> > Z). All with loud ECHO MIKE effects....
> >
> > 28.265 (AM): "Who the f*k is she to be tellin him he can't bring that
> > girl in his house? Burned all his f*kin logbooks, paperwork, ha ha!"
> >
> > 28.270 (AM): "Break break break" (pause) "Hey where you at?" (pause)
> > "You got a copy on this old south east Texas copperhead?"

> >
> > 28.725 (AM): "You tell that g*d*mn dispatcher that any CCX driver wont
> > stand for that sh*t"... (etc)
> >
> > -Aaron-
> >
>
>

2247. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 22:55:32 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <oF%s9.7658$uu1.5947@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141027

Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message
news:Xns92AE55C61E6B8rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40...
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in news:3DB3DE35.2096
> @worldlynx.net:
>
> > The problem with recording .wav (or mp3) files is that while it would
> > verify content, and most likely identify the type of operator, there is
> > no way to verify frequency. If, as you alluded to, you get lucky and
> > happen to catch these guys while a CW QSO is in the background, that
> > could be helpful, but it still won't convince this tough crowd, who
> > would most likely accuse you of "doctoring" the file to suit your
> > purpose anyway.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
> >
> I'd buy it. I'd love to hear it.

All you have to do is listen to 10 Meters on or near an
interstate--particularly 28.085. It IS going on.

Jerry
>
>
> --
> Alan Strawinski
> http://alan.strawinski.net
> rrcb@strawinski.net

2248. Re: Re...Large Amplifier Info & Opinons...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 23:08:31 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <9fidnXFGSaBnFSmgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 141028

> Here's where we disagree. There is no legitimate reason to break FCC
> amateur (or CB) power rules, period. If there were, the FCC would have
> written in a clause that stated power in excess of the limit may be
> used "if you feel there is a reason", LOL.

Actually, there is ONE. The emergency clause which allows any frequency,
any power, any mode.

2249. pls read i need help!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 23:44:13 UTC
From: Joe <boys.at.rojajojo.1@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <yj0t9.7240$sl5.1141@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 141029

hello all i'm a newbie to CB and i live in the u.k.

has any1 got a website or sumthing which is like a dictionary of sumsort or anything about getting started with CB's.

Pls send a reply sum1

cheers

@RKLEY

2250. sir.oblivion@public.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 23:54:16 UTC
From: Mike Scott <buzzards@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ls0t9.3598$A41.1089@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 141030

Hi Roy,
I don't expect you to remember me but back in the mid to late 60's I qso'ed with you on channel 13 when you lived in Lawrence Harbor. I noticed your call in your post and looked it up in my log book that I kept all these years. I used my Mom's call (KQD-7815) and was very active on the citizens band. I was also a patron of Mark Radio and like you I have some very fond memories of George. When did he pass on? I also worked you in your mobile and we exchanged qsl cards which I still have around here somewhere. CB sure was fun back then.
73
Mike Scott, WJ2D

2251. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-21 23:58:55 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ur958th6fqtt1b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141031

Twist, today digital images are inadmissable in court as they can be tampered with. You need film. And that doesn't help with the audio. The folks that get caught are the type who want to say 'you can't get me, ha ha' and persist. Once the FCC gets dozens of complaints in the same general area, then they send someone in. If their people catch it, then there is trouble. Otherwise, as you state, you can't prove it in court.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - do you think these inane arguments will calm down in, say, 10 years; or will the same trolls keep going on and on?

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:7727-3DB410CB-320@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >The problem with recording .wav (or mp3) files is that while it would
> verify content, and most likely identify the type of operator, there is
> no way to verify frequency. If, as you alluded to, you get lucky and
> happen to catch these guys while a CW QSO is in the background, that
> could be helpful, but it still won't convince this tough crowd, who
> would most likely accuse you of "doctoring" the file to suit your
> purpose anyway.
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> <
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> No accusations needed in the United States.
> It's called, "reasonable doubt."
>

2252. Re: Re...Large Amplifier Info & Opinons...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 00:02:24 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ur95fee7omv7c5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141032

Yep, and that's why at least one ham got temporarily 'popped'. After everything was gone over, he got his HT back, but only after a shop put it back into unmodified form. The question was why have the modded rig in the first place? Fact is, you can use legal channels/modes for your emergency.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message news:9fidnXFGSaBnFSmgXTWcow@comcast.com...
>
> > Here's where we disagree. There is no legitimate reason to break FCC
> > amateur (or CB) power rules, period. If there were, the FCC would have
> > written in a clause that stated power in excess of the limit may be
> > used "if you feel there is a reason", LOL.
>
> Actually, there is ONE. The emergency clause which allows any frequency,
> any power, any mode.
>
>

2253. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 00:18:17 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DB49949.19857962@cwis.net>
Source record: 141033

"Aaron H. Voobner",
What I find really hard to understand is why
you're posting this here anyway. It does absolutely
no good, only causes problems, so why do it?
'Doc

2254. Site updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 00:29:03 UTC
From: aarronvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7c30e543.0210211629.1c9c5d4a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141034

just updated the site.....

http://www.geocities.com/aarronvoobner

Enjoy.

2255. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 00:59:23 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210211659.7d2a20f4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141035

'Doc w5lz@cwis.net wrote
>"Aaron H. Voobner",
> What I find really hard to understand is why
>you're posting this here anyway. It does absolutely
>no good, only causes problems, so why do it?
> 'Doc
>

Several reasons:

-You may not have noticed, but there's an ongoing discussion regarding whether or not 10 meter intruders exist, and if they are a problem or not. I don't see any advantages to sweeping this topic under the rug simply because some people may respond to it by flaming. It's a legitimate rrcb topic, and there's no valid reason to avoid it.

-Legit CB'ers need to be aware that certain of their bretheren are drawing negative attention to all CB'ers. Maybe someone knows someone who operates illegally on 10 meters and thinks no one cares about it. The info they read here in the NG could change their mind.

-The 10 meter intruder problem has the potential to bring down the entire "outlaw CB" house of cards, e.g. freebanding, amps, etc. Therefore it is in the best interests of the entire CB population, legal and illegal, to discourage 10 meter operation.

-Some CB'ers are not even aware that 10 meters is a ham band and unlicensed operation there is illegal. Seeing the discussion about the 10 meter situation and the opinions expressed in it helps educate them and perhaps persuade them not to operate on 10 meters.

I have told you my reasons to post the information I posted. Maybe you can tell me your reasons why you think such info should be kept off this NG.

-Aaron-

2256. Re: Re...Large Amplifier Info & Opinons...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 01:22:19 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <qYacnTVRn4DbNSmgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 141036

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:ur95fee7omv7c5@corp.supernews.com...
> Yep, and that's why at least one ham got temporarily 'popped'. After
> everything was gone over, he got his HT back, but only after a shop put it
> back into unmodified form. The question was why have the modded rig in
the
> first place? Fact is, you can use legal channels/modes for your
emergency.

The FCC very clearly spells out, Any freq, any power, any mode, in an
emergency.
If the guy you referring to was in Socal, his problem was with the sherrif's
department, not the FCC.

But this of course does not apply to day to day ops.

2257. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 01:26:17 UTC
From: "R. Wagner" <something@somewhere.everywhere>
Message-ID: <ur9a9odlmm6kc2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141037

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:75ad2ce2.0210211659.7d2a20f4@posting.google.com...
> 'Doc w5lz@cwis.net wrote
> >"Aaron H. Voobner",
> > What I find really hard to understand is why
> >you're posting this here anyway. It does absolutely
> >no good, only causes problems, so why do it?
> > 'Doc
> >
>
> Several reasons:
>
> -You may not have noticed, but there's an ongoing discussion regarding
> whether or not 10 meter intruders exist, and if they are a problem or
> not. I don't see any advantages to sweeping this topic under the rug
> simply because some people may respond to it by flaming. It's a
> legitimate rrcb topic, and there's no valid reason to avoid it.
>
> -Legit CB'ers need to be aware that certain of their bretheren are
> drawing negative attention to all CB'ers. Maybe someone knows someone
> who operates illegally on 10 meters and thinks no one cares about it.
> The info they read here in the NG could change their mind.
>
> -The 10 meter intruder problem has the potential to bring down the
> entire "outlaw CB" house of cards, e.g. freebanding, amps, etc.
> Therefore it is in the best interests of the entire CB population,
> legal and illegal, to discourage 10 meter operation.
>
> -Some CB'ers are not even aware that 10 meters is a ham band and
> unlicensed operation there is illegal. Seeing the discussion about the
> 10 meter situation and the opinions expressed in it helps educate them
> and perhaps persuade them not to operate on 10 meters.
>
> I have told you my reasons to post the information I posted. Maybe you
> can tell me your reasons why you think such info should be kept off
> this NG.
>
> -Aaron-

2258. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 01:38:09 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB4AC01.34772C0B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141051

'Doc wrote:

> I don't think there's any question about there being
> intruders. Those who don't think there is just haven't
> been listening.

Don't say that too loud, you will hurt dickie craiumless feeling.
Poor chap, he just can't hear any intruders on 10 meters.

2259. Adjusting SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 01:42:25 UTC
From: Tyler Dirden <na@spam.smeat.net>
Message-ID: <522t9.35576$Hj7.13471@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 141038

Hello all, another newbie question for you...

I'm picking up a basic Uniden CB tomorrow, and pairing it with a 4' FirestikII. This antenna has an adjustable tip and I have read the basics about SWR. Is it possible, without an SWR meter of my own to make these adjustments (with this very basic Uniden) on my own? No, huh?

What can I do? Is anyone available to help me in the NW Chicago suburbs?
td

All my mail is virus free. If you got it, it wasn't from me...
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.389 / Virus Database: 220 - Release Date: 9/16/2002

2260. Re: Need picture of Excalibur CB please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 01:57:51 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <zg2t9.8309$U97.739410@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141039

The Galaxy Saturn as a 2 position antenna switch?!

If you say no, then balck or silver, it is NOT an Excalibur.

Thanks for the help anyway!

>take a look at a Galaxy Saturn and imagine it with a silver face
>plate. and you've got one.

2261. Re: Site updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 02:42:41 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <BW2t9.221$pl4.30932111@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141040

"J&#65533;CK McVoobner" <aarronvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7c30e543.0210211629.1c9c5d4a@posting.google.com...
> just updated the site.....
>
> http://www.geocities.com/aarronvoobner
>
>
>
>
> Enjoy.

Too Funny!!

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

2262. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 02:44:09 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210211844.6c14ed9a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141041

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<7725-3DB40175-738@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> Some of the first analog phones were filled with those succeptible
> interference bugs. At one time, anyone could go to K-Mart or wherever
> and buy the cheapest/best selling cordless phone and drive around the
> well-manicured neighborhoods until you heard a dial tone on YOUR
> handset. Technically and in strict violation of the law, one could park
> in front of another's house with the same type phone (or not) and talk
> on their dime.

I never went in for the free-calls thing... mostly I'd just butt in on
the call and tell the person what a piece of junk their phone was,
etc., and they'd usually gasp or get pissed off. The drunk/stoned
callers were best, because I could mess with their minds... and the
gay guys were always a riot because they'd squeal out loud and
generally lose it when something went wrong with their phone. I *did*
catch a few people in the act of dialing... in those cases, I keyed
down & pushed some buttons on the mike, making them dial wrong
numbers.

Caught a local pizza-delivery joint making the mistake of using 49mhz
cordless... girl asks customer what toppings he wants on his pizza, I
cut in and said "all the sh**"... girl says "EXCUSE ME???"

Even confused the hell out of 2 boys down the block who were playing
with their GI Joe walkie-talkies... they weren't expecting a
wisecracking 3rd person. Ah, those were the days... then everything
went over to 900mhz and 2.4 gig...

Guy

2263. Re: Adjusting SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 02:53:45 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <ap2ekk02qs7@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 141044

"Tyler Dirden" <na@spam.smeat.net> wrote in message
news:522t9.35576$Hj7.13471@rwcrnsc53...
> Hello all, another newbie question for you...
>
> I'm picking up a basic Uniden CB tomorrow, and pairing it with a 4'
> FirestikII. This antenna has an adjustable tip and I have read the basics
> about SWR. Is it possible, without an SWR meter of my own to make these
> adjustments (with this very basic Uniden) on my own? No, huh?
>
> What can I do? Is anyone available to help me in the NW Chicago suburbs?
> td
>

SWR meters are cheap and easy to get.
Without one, with that type of antenna, you're just guessing.
You should be fairly close if you set the adjustable tip about midway.

2264. Re: Re...Large Amplifier Info & Opinons...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 03:07:47 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210211907.5f64d634@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141042

voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<75ad2ce2.0210211421.5c5eaf36@posting.google.com>...
> Don't most hams QSY or ignore the jammer?

I do... the 2m lids are none too happy about it whenever I QSY to
6m. Then they pout "dude, I don't have 6...(thank God)"

If the 435ers ever tried their usual routine on 6m, they'd be talking
to no one but empty freqs in a second, LOL!

Guy Richards

2265. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 03:14:36 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210211914.55c416f3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141043

"Dan" <nezor@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<wv3s9.2069$071.167077@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> Please forgive my lack of knowledge on this subject, I'm looking for advice
> on what to do about some RF interference my televison set is picking up. I'm
> fairly certain it's a CB user; he identifies himself with a handle (Albert
> Einstein), and usually asks for a radio check ("Check, check") when he
> begins to transmit. It's about the same time every night.

Interference can be a source of real despair---and most CB finals are
very poorly filtered. Would it be possible to talk this dude into
getting his ham ticket, and getting on 440mhz?

I have my own interference problems... when I'm trying to work 33cm
and a nearby neighbor gets on a cellphone, the zinging and booping
noises in my rig completely steamroll my QSO.

Guy Richards

2266. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 03:22:32 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Yv3t9.7695$071.689864@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141045

I'm a ham, and I am not bothered by the some truckers talking on 10 meters.
Just thought someone should let folks know that ALL hams do not have the
constapation problems that a few hams here do.
No, I will not post my call sign here. If the call sign hunters are bothered
by that, GOOD!
"R. Wagner" <something@somewhere.everywhere> wrote in message
news:ur9a9odlmm6kc2@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:75ad2ce2.0210211659.7d2a20f4@posting.google.com...
> > 'Doc w5lz@cwis.net wrote
> > >"Aaron H. Voobner",
> > > What I find really hard to understand is why
> > >you're posting this here anyway. It does absolutely
> > >no good, only causes problems, so why do it?
> > > 'Doc
> > >
> >
> > Several reasons:
> >
> > -You may not have noticed, but there's an ongoing discussion regarding
> > whether or not 10 meter intruders exist, and if they are a problem or
> > not. I don't see any advantages to sweeping this topic under the rug
> > simply because some people may respond to it by flaming. It's a
> > legitimate rrcb topic, and there's no valid reason to avoid it.
> >
> > -Legit CB'ers need to be aware that certain of their bretheren are
> > drawing negative attention to all CB'ers. Maybe someone knows someone
> > who operates illegally on 10 meters and thinks no one cares about it.
> > The info they read here in the NG could change their mind.
> >
> > -The 10 meter intruder problem has the potential to bring down the
> > entire "outlaw CB" house of cards, e.g. freebanding, amps, etc.
> > Therefore it is in the best interests of the entire CB population,
> > legal and illegal, to discourage 10 meter operation.
> >
> > -Some CB'ers are not even aware that 10 meters is a ham band and
> > unlicensed operation there is illegal. Seeing the discussion about the
> > 10 meter situation and the opinions expressed in it helps educate them
> > and perhaps persuade them not to operate on 10 meters.
> >
> > I have told you my reasons to post the information I posted. Maybe you
> > can tell me your reasons why you think such info should be kept off
> > this NG.

> >
> > -Aaron-
>
>
>
>

2267. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 03:24:43 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DB4C4FB.5A48E234@cwis.net>
Source record: 141046

"Aaron H. Voobner",
Sure, why not. I think a constant 'harping' about
the 10 meter 'intruders' here does absolutely no good.
It isn't like they will suddenly develop a conscience,
or that anyone here can do anything about it.
I don't think there's any question about there being
intruders. Those who don't think there is just haven't
been listening. No one is making it up. As to whether
it's illegal or not, or 'right' or not, is begging the
question. I also think that 'legit' CB'ers knowing about
these intrusions is useless, there really isn't any 'peer-
pressure' that they could, or would, bring to bare on the
intruders. What other options do they have, other than
'peer pressure'? If you're going to complain, at least
complain where it will do some good, that makes much more
sense to me. Complaining here just makes you a 'pest', with
both the 'legit' and otherwise users, and wastes bandwidth.
'Doc

2268. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 03:26:12 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210211926.2745ed5b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141047

voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message news:<75ad2ce2.0210190455.65fe3e8a@posting.google.com>...
> I think you're first instinct is correct; seek out the guy and try to
> approach him on a neutral, non-antagonistic basis, and try to work
> together to solve the problem.

Hmm... if "Einstein" turns out to be drunk & disorderly, however, Dan should have no problem getting the local police to nail the dude. I think I have even heard Einstein or someone like him, all the way in L.A., getting on that Mexican dude's case on 27.035 when I was SWLing 3 weeks back. His voice sounded eerily like a local 435er named Scott.

But, as ex-WB6JAC has repeatedly demonstrated, jail guards can be bribed with cartons of cigarettes in exchange for radios... so having Einstein hauled away to the Crossbar Hotel should only be considered a Band-aid solution at best.

Guy Richards

2269. Re: Adjusting SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 03:28:08 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DB4C5C8.2BC412CA@cwis.net>
Source record: 141048

Tyler,
You're right, you'd be wasting your time without
some means of measuring the SWR. You might try to
find someone near you who would be willing to help.
Shouldn't take more than a few minutes.
'Doc

2270. Re: Re...Large Amplifier Info & Opinons...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 03:31:24 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db4c340.10335347@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141050

In <qYacnTVRn4DbNSmgXTWcrg@comcast.com>, "Dave VanHorn"
<dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:

>
>"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>news:ur95fee7omv7c5@corp.supernews.com...
>> Yep, and that's why at least one ham got temporarily 'popped'. After
>> everything was gone over, he got his HT back, but only after a shop put it
>> back into unmodified form. The question was why have the modded rig in
>the
>> first place? Fact is, you can use legal channels/modes for your
>emergency.
>
>The FCC very clearly spells out, Any freq, any power, any mode, in an
>emergency.

Only if there are no other legal means of communcation available. The
FCC has been very thorough in explaining this topic. They even went so
far as to say that if you plan ahead of time to use unauthorized comm
for emergencies, and even if you use it even for an emergency, then
you are going to get popped. I found that on the FCC website if you
want to read it yourself. It was from a hearing on a petition to drop
the 155 mile rule.

2271. Re: cb radio shops in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 03:34:44 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210211934.2ca962cd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141049

"Raffi" <RaffiA@socal.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<zzNs9.193487$U7.51508098@twister.socal.rr.com>...
> any one know of any cb shops near the LA area... thanks

Only one I know of is Signal Electronics, cattycorner from Del Amo
Fashion Center in Torrance... this is the only local place which still
stocks the Cobra 148's. Expensive place, but they sure have a lot of
handy interconnects.

Inglewood Electronics used to be along Century Blvd near the 405, but
supposedly they've been out of business over 10 years.

Guy Richards

2272. Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 03:42:17 UTC
From: maeistro beans <beans3ee@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3db4c916@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 141052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

TONIGHT Mon-Tue 10/21/22

Guest: Kevin Mitnick

www.artbell.com

As the world's most famous hacker, Kevin has been the subject of countless news and magazine articles published throughout the world. With more than fifteen years of experience in exploring computer security, Kevin Mitnick is a largely self-taught expert in exposing the vulnerabilities of complex operating systems and telecommunications devices.

2273. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 03:45:15 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db4c6bd.11228537@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141053

In <ap1a6s$1r6$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Dry farming Kansas was real
work <playaMarshall2203amp@hotmail.com> wrote:

>: yeti@REMOVEcet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
>
>:>If you were to spend a few minutes at the fcc web page like I suggested,
>:>search licensed allocations in the IB or IG service that would fit
>:>within the frequency range you list below.
>
>: I have, and I have even posted a list of them to this newsgroup. So?
>
>These services are allowed moderate (higher than traditional exciter
>level) power operation >50 watts. Such services exist and are allowed to
>use amplifiers.

Such services exist, but are NOT allowed to use amplifiers. 2.815 is
very specific about that.

>:> Last time I opened a radio industry
>:>magazine, Cresend Technologies sold type accepted amplifiers. Henry,
>:>TPL, Motorola and a few others also come to mind.
>
>: Yes, many companies do sell certificated amps, but not for the
>: spectrum prohibited by 47 USC 2.815. If I'm wrong then maybe you can
>: find a link or something, or maybe scan one of those magazine ads.
>
>From what I understand and have experienced, there are qualifications to
>amplifier production and type acceptance. Based on drive level
>frequency... etc
>I would be interesting to approach an amplifier mfgr for a 32Mhz amplifier
>to see if they would even offer one for legal services.
>HF and VHF Low Band are very low volume target markets (aside from the CB
>and Amateur stuff)... Aside from any ban, I'm not sure if off the shelf
>stuff would be made these days as the market has really dried up... Cell
>phones really knocked the wind out of Two-Way Radio. So you are not going
>to see expensive commercial mag ads specific to a single band amp. But the
>dealers have phone numbers and email.
>
>So I go to the October 2002 issue of Radio Resource Mag, call one of the
>Equipment dealers shown from (memory) pages 96 through about 103 and ask
>what they have in stock. After a bit of sticker shock, I get on Ebay and
>hunt down a used type accepted amplifier for a fraction of the original
>retail price. I have purchased equipment from both sources, the mag
>dealers and ebay...

If you have so much experience picking up legal amps for services
between 25 and 34 MHz, why can't you even provide one reference --
website, manufacturer & model, or even an FCC reg -- that supports
your claim? You see, I can't find one single amp currently being
manufactured that's legal on 25 to 34 MHz in the US, so you are going
to have to come up with some proof, Skippy.

>: BTW, what's the IB service?
>
>The "B" of IB means "business."

IG is industrial/business, there is no IB listed.

>:>The second question you asked..? It actually tells us how bad you want
>:>to show your ignorance.
>:>
>:>No television I've heard of uses the 6146 tube as a sweep tube. There
>:>were some older televisions that used the 6146 tube as a horizontal
>:>oscillator and or horizontal oscillator amplifier.
>
>: Well, why didn't you make that distinction in this post?
>
>Had you posted the entire text for everyone to read, they would have seen
>the topic was about sweep tube amplifier operation.
>
>The wording is a bit off, but the point comes through... The 6146 tube is
>not a true sweep tube,

It's not a sweep tube at all. It's a beam tetrode designed for RF
applications.

> but has been used in similar applications,
>functions. Both the 6146 and the classic sweep tube can be used in linear
>rf amplifier circuits. The below text talks about function, not the
>physical construction of the tube.
>
>: ==============
>: <snip>
>: : simply list the filament specs, then tell you to use 6KD6 Specs, because
>: : they are built with the same internal components. As fare as being
>: : non-linear, EVERY amp has some non-linear properties. After all, they
>: : are used in the real world, not just on paper. By the way, some early
>: : color TV's used 6146's for sweep tubes.
>
>:>Sweep tube is a label used to
>:>describe a classic type of tube. Horizontal oscillator / amplifier was
>:>often the function of sweep and beam power tubes.
>
>: Where do you think the word "sweep" comes from? Maybe from television
>: "sweep" circuits? You know, where the trace "sweeps" across the
>: screen? What kind of tube do you think was used in those circuits?
>: Maybe "sweep" tubes? Sweep tubes are power tubes that are specifically
>: designed for sweep amplifiers in televisions. Duh...

>
>There are tubes designed for specific horizontal oscillator - amplifier
>operation. The 6146 was not designed specific for horzontal oscillator
>- amplifier "sweep" service. By classic definition, it is not really a
>true sweep tube, but it can and probably has served the same functions.

Ok then, regardless of it's "classic" definition, in what make/model
TV did it serve as a sweep/horizontal-deflection-output/amplifier
tube? Hell, let's forget all the semantics: What TV EVER used the 6146
for ANY circuit?

>: Sweep tubes are not designed for use as RF amplifiers, nor are they
>: designed for linearity at RF frequencies, despite your claims to the
>: contrary. The Swans, which you so proudly claim to be a sweep tube
>: success story, use them with significant neutralization, tuned grids &
>: output pi filters. In fact, I believe that a couple of their sweep
>: tube 'linears' used the sweep tubes configured as triodes in order to
>: achieve linearity.
>
>Wrong... most sweep tubes were not originally designed for use as linear
>Mode RF Amplifiers. But there were some that were... the GE - 8950 and
>the 2057 as two examples. Yaesu also has some whacky part number for an
>RF Spec'd sweep tube they put in a radio... from memory, the FT-101 series
>I believe...

If I remember correctly, the 8950 was a half-assed attempt by GE to
meet the demand for a cheap RF amp tube with greater linearity than a
sweep tube, and could also be used as a replacement in existing sweep
tube amps. I don't think it was ever used as a horizontal deflection
amplifier, probably because that's not what it was designed to do. In
other words, the 8950 isn't a sweep tube. I believe the M2057 was
designed along the same train of thought. But because they were
basically modified sweep tubes they still didn't have the 'stoutness'
of tubes designed specifically for RF power.

>With a bit of good circuit design, sweep tubes can be made to operate in
>linear modes with good results.

...marginal results. The need for hi-Q tanks, grid tuning, and heavy
neutralization attest to that fact. The circuit as a whole may end up
mostly linear, but it takes quite a bit of finagling to get a sweep
tube to run linear at RF freqs.

> At one time, they were plenty cheap,
>which made them a viable option.

For amateur use only. Sweep tubes weren't used in any commercial
equipment, which is another testament to the lack of suitability of
sweep tubes for RF applications.

>The three Swan & Siltronix amplifiers I have using sweep tubes, do not
>have neutralization, nor does it have tuned grid(s) input. Grounded
>grid operation does not require neutralization in many of the classic

>designs. That's one issue which help make them so popular...

Grounded-grid operation or not, those amps have pentodes wired as triodes because the tubes are not linear otherwise, which is nothing but a kludge in order to use cheap tubes in place of a 'real' RF power tube.

And again the results are marginal, since the power dissipation of a pentode is reduced when it is wired as a triode, mainly to limit the dissipation from the screen grid. The result is that an 8950 is only good for maybe 70 watts on AM with 20 watts of drive (Class B), which is terrible considering a 6146 will do about the same output in AB1 (no drive power). And an 812A will do more than twice that with plenty of headroom -- grounded-grid!

As I said before, and will continue to say, the sweep tubes were used because they were cheap, and that's all.

>Your theory and experience shows to be a little thin on the topic...

Oh really? What TV used the 6146 OR the 8950 for ANY circuit?

>Pi output circuits are pretty much the industry standard for similar
>circuits unless you know of something that works better..?

Granted.

>Most of the classic consumer amplifier circuits used sweep tubes in low mu
>operation. I would not label that a generic triode operation.

What else would you call it?

> "Grounded
>grid" or "super cathode driven" circuits were the layouts of choice.

Grounded-grid is common for power triodes, not for power tetrodes or pentodes. Regardless, the radios still used the sweep tubes in common-cathode fashion, complete with tuned grids and heavy neutralization, and then they were run at very conservatively even in SSB: Swan's version of AM is SSB + carrier, or one sideband less than A3. Even with that advantage, a pair of 6HF5's are only good for 125 watts! If that doesn't give clue you into the poor RF characteristics of sweep tubes then nothing will.

>: Sweep tubes were used in RF amps not because of their suitability, but
>: because they were cheap. That's all.
>
>They were practical, cheap and suitable.... Mfgrs like Swan, Ameritron,
>Amp Supply, Dentron, Galaxy, Palomar, Pal, SBE, Tempo and others thought
>them to be quite suitable.

More like 'barely' suitable. Sweep tubes were suitable for the amateur on a budget, which was quite chunk of the market (and still is).

>:>Now go whine about how dumb you look to everyone on the news group.
>: And leave you unhindered to spread your RF mythology? I don't think
>: so, Skippy.
>
>Red whine with fish..?
>
>You've got some holes in your facts there buck'o. We can edit this down
>if you want to get into specific topics or continue your broadside attack.
>
>just having fun...
>skipp

Why narrow it down? It all started with two simple questions: What TV used the 6146, and what amps currently being manufactured are legal for use in the US on 25 to 34 MHz. You can answer the questions or you can continue ducking them by trying to "edit this down". Still having fun?

2274. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 03:46:39 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db4c9d3.12018029@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141054

In <ap1as1$1r6$2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Batteries Just Keep on Ticking <yetiboyisthinintheory@hotmail.com> wrote:

>: yeti@cet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
>
>: <snip>
>:> In fact, I believe that a couple of their sweep
>:>tube 'linears' used the sweep tubes configured as triodes in order to
>:>achieve linearity.
>: By golly I was right again! See the 1200-X:
>: http://www.pcs.mb.ca/~standard/Amplifiers.htm
>
>But not all, nor is all three grids grounded "triode operation" the best
>circuit.

I didn't say "all three grids grounded 'triode operation'". Triode operation is when the screen (and suppressor, if there is one) is tied to the plate. If you ground all three grids as you suggest, all you will do is melt your tube (if you don't nuke your power supply first). What I DID say was that the tubes were used as triodes instead of tetrodes or pentodes because of the linearity gained from operating them as triodes. And using triodes in a grounded-grid configuration is not suprising when the circuit requires linearity.

But this is just another testament to the reason why sweep tubes were used instead of RF triodes like the 812A -- the sweep tubes were cheap. That's all.

>Triode operation is not a good label... most rf people like descriptions
>like low-mu. But you knew that and were just going to point that out in
>your next post... :-)

No, I wasn't. Unlike pentodes and tetrodes that are rated by the transconductance, triodes are rated by their mu (amplification factor). They can be low-mu (such as the very early triodes, power triodes, etc), medium-mu (such as the popular 6SL7 and 6C5), or high-mu (such as the 12AX7). Whatever the mu, it's still a triode; it still has IpEp curves that sweep up from zero instead of flat-topping like a tetrode or pentode, it still has the equivalent of three functional elements (tri- = 3, triode, get it?) and it is still more linear than a tetrode or pentode. "Triode operation" is the best label, and that's the label that's been used in tube datasheets, textbooks and manuals since they were first published.

>In high drive operation, unsafe values of G1 current are
>possible. There are much better circuit layouts... the circuit of the

>six tube Palomar 300 as an example.
>
>you can see it at http://www.cbtricks.com

You can smoke your grid in any power amplifier configuration. What's your point?

2275. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 03:48:03 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db4ca21.12095693@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141055

In <ap1bjn$1r6$3@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, yeti doesnt have the big
picture <nitpicktonowhereyeti@hotmai.com> wrote:

>: yeti@cet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
>: Just in case you don't know this (and it just occured to me that you
>: don't), the 'horizontal oscillator amplifier' to which you refer is
>: commonly known as the 'horizontal sweep amplifier', a position most
>: often filled by ... yep, you guessed it ... the SWEEP TUBE!
>
>You are wrong again...

Keep dreaming, Skippy. I just grabbed the first TV schematic I could
lay my hands on. From the "Electronic Technician Circuit Digest"
number 638: Gamble-Skogmo models 9590A, 9591A and 9592A: V-10, the
tube designated as the "Horizontal output", is a 12DQ6-B, which is
preceded by V-9B, half of a 6CG7 which is used as the "Horizontal
oscillator". Hey Skippy, isn't the 12DQ6 a sweep tube? I hope so,
because the Truetone model 2D3246 on the next page uses the 6DQ6A
(VT-12) as a "Horizontal sweep amplifier".

What's really cool about this particular volume is that it includes
the Pearce-Simpson model CBD-5. Now that's one very nice-looking old
rig! Uses a 6AQ5 for the final. ...hmmm, hey Skippy, since the 6AQ5 a
beam pentode, does that mean it's a sweep tube? LOL!

>So this does what for you here..? My RCA tube manuals use both.

Well, gee, maybe because the terms are synonymous... horizontal
output... horizontal sweep amplifier... horizontal oscillator
amplifier... duh!

>Doesn't add anything to your previous diatribes.

Doesn't it? I think it does.

>: So now, what television used the 6146 for the "horizontal oscillator
>: amplifier"?
>
>Actually a good quesiton... the only one I've ever heard mentioned using
>the 6146 was some of the heathkit homebuild units. But I never much cared
>to follow up on it. Maybe others will post an answer... Some whacky
>things were done in the 50's and 60's... and the 70's.

Well, nobody has posted it yet. While you are waiting for someone else
to do your dirty work and prove your statements for you, how about
sifting through those magazines and finding one -- JUST ONE -- make
and model of RF amplifier currently being manufactured (legally, of

course) for ANY service between 25 and 34 MHz. Can you at least do
THAT much?

2276. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 04:07:32 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7a4t9.50536$qM2.14318@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 141056

Hard to tell on this group some times what is a joke and what's an
accusation. I take it as a joke.

You know it is in the RRCB charter that "Posters must at all times respond
to replies as if they had been accused of high treason"
Would explain allot of the explaining going on in this NG.

Dave

> I know, I was just funnin' with you. It just read a little funny, so I
> had to call attention to it.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

2277. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 04:07:40 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ga4t9.50539$qM2.14322@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 141057

Dave Hall,

Yes I did give some extreme examples, but they are true. I'm in no way implying those scenarios are *likely* to happen.

I do agree that it would be extreme and unfair if a person were removed from the ISP for voicing an opinion. I'm sure there are exceptions when it would be a good thing. But who could you trust to make that call?!? Catch 22

The problem with hate groups ect is they are protected from the government yes, but the entities hosting them may choose to axe them. It is the private property owners that have a right to censor you, not the Government. That is what I mean when I say you can be censored. The Government doesn't have the power, the property owners does. That's what I mean about freedom of speech not applying to the Internet. You're free to say, but the property owner can censor you anytime it pleases.

It does seem odd that some of the most objectionable material is protected and the most basic and harmless can be stepped all over. Who makes the call? Can we trust them?!?

Here's a thought about free speech. What is it again? Is this close?
"Freedom of Speech is not the freedom for the speech you love, but freedom for the speech you hate."

I like this though too:
"...
To Summarize:
It is a well known fact that those people who most want to rule people are, ipso facto, those least suited to do it.

'To Summarize the Summery:
Anyone who is capable of getting themselves made President should on no account be aloud to do the job.
..."

Dave

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DB3E278.612@worldlynx.net...
> Dave wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DB03F4C.1AA3@worldlynx.net...
> > <snip>
> > > And somehow, he also thinks that posing an opposing view, and calling an

> > > idiot, an idiot, for espousing sociopathic ideals, is somehow against
> > > TOS rules for various ISP's. I dare say that he more than welcome to
try
> > > that premise out on my ISP. I doubt they would want to risk a first
> > > amendment lawsuit, just to silence my opinion.....
> > >
> > > Dave
> > > "Sandbagger"
> > >
> >
> > I'm sorry Dave but in a news group you have no rights. Most certainly
you
> > have no First Amendment rights. Believe it or not a news group
(moderated or
> > not) is technically a privately owned entity and as such you CAN be
> > censored, and have no recourse against such censorship.
>
> If you agree to those terms, then you are correct. But to imply that one
> could be removed from an ISP, for taking an opinion, contrary to another
> (who is ironically in favor of opposing federal laws) seems to be a bit
> of a stretch.
>
>
> > You can espouse your
> > views freely in the USA, unfortunately that means "Public" property.
> > Property owners have the right to squash speech they don't like. There
is no
> > such thing as free speech on the Internet. Unless you own the domain,
the
> > hosting servers, ect. Then somewhere down the line you can legally be
> > censored even on your own web pages. Hell individual ISP's could censor
you
> > by blocking their subscribers access to you. All very legal in the U S
of A.
>
> Tell that to the K.K.K., the skin heads, and other "hate" groups who
> operate their web pages, seemingly with immunity, not that the feds
> haven't tried to have their sites removed. But something about their
> first amendment rights allows them to continue.
>
> I find it ironic that groups like the K.K.K. can operate without issue,
> yet people who stand up for the law of the land and common courtesy,
> need to fear revokation of their ISP privileges for standing up for what
> is right, simply on the word of one or more malcontents?
>
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>

2278. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 04:23:05 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <EgWdnSnStfY_TymgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 141058

> I have my own interference problems... when I'm trying to work 33cm
> and a nearby neighbor gets on a cellphone, the zinging and booping
> noises in my rig completely steamroll my QSO.
>
> Guy Richards

That's an interesting one.
I guess you go to the user on that one, or maybe the cell company.
One of our local club members is a big uwave contester, he's never talked about this sort of stuff.
He's out in the sticks a bit though, so he might not encounter it so often.

What freqs?
We can listen around and see if it's a generic problem, or maybe your neighbor has a wonky phone. Might be useful to know brand and model on the phone too.

2279. Any cb radio store in Houston Tx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 04:55:16 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0210212055.33144f55@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141059

Any cb radio store in Houston Tx that sells cb radio antennas and fix cb radios. Also is their any other ham radio stores other than Houston Ham Radio.

2280. Re: Any cb radio store in Houston Tx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 05:43:02 UTC
From: SCREWDRIVER <he20c@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <Gz5t9.18$DE2.19894409@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141060

YES ANDY ELECTRONICS on LONG DRIVE

CHARLIES AIRWAVES Missouri City

73 SD
"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0210212055.33144f55@posting.google.com...
> Any cb radio store in Houston Tx that sells cb radio antennas and fix
> cb radios. Also is their any other ham radio stores other than
> Houston Ham Radio.

2281. Re: TEST--No need to open

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 07:49:14 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <hm0aruojharl4p6pk7fjhenjil70q0lakc@4ax.com>
Source record: 141061

On Mon, 21 Oct 2002 14:17:41 -0400, "Jerry Oxendine"
<joxendi@bellsouth.net> was blabbling:

...AUDI I I I I I I I I I I I O O O O O O O O O O O O O
...O!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
...
...

ur 59 in michigan om... 10-4 good buddy! Over! Over!

A Real Tube
http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
A Real Amp
http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html

2282. Re: Johnson radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 09:32:12 UTC
From: Carl Motsinger <the2x4@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <_mat9.9350$6L4.7265@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141065

Ha ha ha that's a good one..............

> >> Which model Johnson has the best performance and features.
> >
> The one that still works? ;)
>

2283. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 09:37:13 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92AF1AA73E73Errcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 141062

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in
news:c5ecbb69.0210211401.43196356@posting.google.com:

>
> No 'splainin' necessary. You don't think they have local dial-up
> numbers outside Rochester?

Sure they do. But for you to have a reverse DNS of xxxxx.roc-pt.ny.localnet.com you aren't THAT far from Rochester. You said that you were no where near Rochester, and I say based on your reverse DNS you're not that far.

Here: My IP address (when I'm at home) always reverse resolves to <whatever>.turlock.charter.ca.com. Now, I don't live in Turlock but I'm not THAT far from it, either. In fact, Charter customers within a 30 mile radius (give or take) have the same suffix on their reverse DNS. It obviously doesn't pin-point them to Turlock, but it gives one a general idea.

Now keep in mind that I did say I really didn't care where you lived. I meant it. All I was trying to do was explain to you why people think you live in or near Rochester. I wasn't trying to attack you in any way. I'm still not trying to attack you. You've never said anything bad towards me before. I guess I didn't make the point of my post very clear. The "You've got alot of 'splainin' to do was my silly way of saying "If you want people to beleive that you live elsewhere, you gotta give them a reason to believe it". If you don't, then they will continue believing you live in or around Rochester. Maybe you want them to think that, I don't know.

> You and Georgie-girl have a lotta lurnin'
> to due.

Hey, I don't have anything to do with George. I've never even so much as emailed him. We haven't joined forces or anything, if that's what you meant by that. As far as my learning is concerned, I'm a pretty successful network engineer, which is why I felt confident (and still feel confident) saying what I said.

> You were much better off not taking sides, Alan;

I haven't taken sides. Really. I was just trying to help you understand why people assume you live in/near Rochester.

I'm in here to learn more about one of my favorite hobbies, and pass along information when I can. I'm not here to pick fights.

> you had people fooled into thinking you were intelligent. Georgie-girl
> and Aanal Aaron will warp your perspective quickly, and they got no
> sense.

I don't think it's possible for an imbecile to fool anybody into thinking he's intelligent. You either are or you aren't, and your written thoughts are a great indicator. Shoot, the ability to fool smart people into thinking your smart is pretty smart, isn't it? :)

Actually, the fact that you found my previous posts to be intelligent is a welcome compliment and I thank you for it. There's no reason to change your mind is there?

> Why do you think Aanal Aaron has had to change his email address so
> often recently?

You know, I honestly don't know. As far as I've seen, he's never posted anything on Usenet worthy of losing an account over. Like him or hate him, the guy is just posting his unpoular views, as near as I can tell. He choses to keep his comments confined to legal vs illegal issues. Fine. That's hardly a reason to lose an account. In fact, if he has a problem losing accounts over what I consider VERY trivial matters, then I will gladly offer him an account on my mail server. Stupid complaints will go straight to /dev/null. In fact, if you had a problem with losing accounts because somebody didn't like your point of view, then I would even extend the offer to you.

There. Does that settle it?

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

2284. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 10:02:24 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <B9DA9A59.BF95%stewart@sc.rr.com>
Source record: 141063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"blowupdoll" wrote:
>
> (snip) Their NOT illegal and only hams with inflated
> egos seem to get upset and like to play "radio cop"
> when such products are marketed. There's alot other
> things to worry about (like the looming loss of 440 mHz)
> that this stuff folks.

Besides the nuisance when living next to one of these CB'ers running an illegal amplifiers, there is a direct price on ham radio. Most in the country do not know the difference between ham radio and CB. All they know is that neighbors with antennas often interfere with their phones and televisions. As a result, these CB'ers give the reputation of ham radio a black eye also. There are two ways to respond to that - educate the neighbors and support any efforts to reel in the "outlaw" CB'ers.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2285. http://www.cbtricks.com/new.htm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 10:27:27 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <jK9t9.56075$La5.185225@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 141064

Nice site for schematics and owners manuals
http://www.cbtricks.com/new.htm

2286. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 11:31:55 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <G-adndsgO9G2qiigXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 141066

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:3v_s9.143$1c2.22477126@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...

> What the hell is George rambling about now?
> I don't use EasyNews or Forte agent.
>
> Landshark

LOL, Shame none of those posts says it uses uses forte so only the end
user(beck) would know LOL. Open mouth insert foot.. haha

2287. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 11:52:16 UTC
From: "T.W. Reasons" <twr@hvalleyrgrpops.org>
Message-ID: <ap3e4d$m46$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 141067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

There's a guy at the Detroit FCC office who slobbers all
over his shirt whenever he tries to talk to you. Spittle keeps
drooling out of his mouth. Every shirt he owns has food and
spit stains on it. Has to be the grossest thing. FCC must
hire direct from the city half-way houses.

T.W.

"Vic Z" <HamCB@HamCBBargainbarn.org> wrote in message
news:ap0qt4ddv0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:P66cnUpaJeSEDi6gXTWcqA@comcast.com...
> >
> > "blowupdoll" <fgrease3dee@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3db2e5f8@snipnews.snip.net...
> > >
> > > "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:B9D80B09.BF4D%stewart@sc.rr.com...
> > > >
> > > > I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of
> > Federal
> > > > Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any
> > external radio
> > > > frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on
> > any
> > > > frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more
> > information,
> > > > see...
> > > > (scroll down to 2.815)
> > > >
> > > > YEAH...YEAH...YEAH...
> > > > Yada...Yada...Yada...
> > > >
> > > > Ban has been in place for Years but that
> > > > hasn't stopped me seeing one or two
> > > > of them for sale at virtually everh hamfest
> > > > I been to, and at weekend flea markets
> > > > in the Pa.NJ NY area. All you have to
> > > > do is sell them "for test bench signal amplifier
> > > > purposes" as a kit and the FCC can't touch
> > > > you. For an example see:
> > > >
> > > > http://www.cbcintl.com/TriPower.htm

> >
> > You think so? There was a vendor sell HF amp kits at Dayton a year or
> > two ago. The Detroit FCC, part of their area is Ohio, paid them a vist
> > out in the tent area, at the far end of the flea market. Guess what?
> > They, the amp kit vendor, haven't been back to Dayton since.
> >
> >
> > --
> > Leland C. Scott
> > KC8LDO
>
> BULL! He was there again this year, along with two others.
> Your lies don't scare anyone. In fact, the one vendor hits all
> the hamfests in the northeast and midwest. The Detroit office?
> You gotta be kidding, never saw a bigger bunch of more
> incompetent bureaucrats! They wouldn't know an amplifier from
> a commode.
>
> 73,
>
> Vic Z
>
>
>
>

2288. Re: TIME TO GET BACK ON THE CB AND TALK SKIP AND USE AN AMPLIFIER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 11:55:48 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3db53cb8.89759646@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 141068

On Mon, 23 Sep 2002 18:36:50 GMT, chimbuba@optonline.net wrote:

>That really pisses off the pricks on this group that cant do anything
>about it but cry and complain on this group. They are so sad and angry
>that it never gets to the real KEYDOWNERS. Oh by the way to all the
>anti keydowners, there is a BIG TIME KEYDOWN in DC this weekend. Come
>and enjoy the keydown or stay at home and write more bullshit on this
>group.
>
>CHIM BUBBA to the RESCUE

2289. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 11:59:02 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB53D86.7319@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141115

Alan Strawinski wrote:
>
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in
> news:3DB3E278.612@worldlynx.net:
>
> > I find it ironic that groups like the K.K.K. can operate without
> > issue, yet people who stand up for the law of the land and common
> > courtesy, need to fear revokation of their ISP privileges for standing
> > up for what is right, simply on the word of one or more malcontents?
> >
> This is why I run my own mail and web server...on two T1's. I don't have
> any hateful content up, but if I ever wanted to put something controversial
> up, I could. Both ISP's get approximately $1200/month each, so convincing
> them that they need to kill my "account" (as it were) would be tricky :)
> Not impossible, but an uphill battle, for sure.
>
> It's sad to think that somebody could lose an account for simply expressing
> their unpopular opinion.

I don't know the particulars, but certain members of this newsgroup have
been claiming some sort of victory, surrounding the revokation of ISP
privileges, for alledgedly violating TOS agreements, against certain
other members of this group, who's only "crime" has been to side with
the rule of law, and to remind those who have a problem with it, just
how wrong they are. To me, that is nothing more than a difference of
opinion. Not something that should be TOS actionable.

And like I pointed out before, those who complain the most about TOS
violations, are the first to break federal communications laws. Talk
about hypocricy!

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2290. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 12:16:11 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB5418B.6AFA@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141114

Dave wrote:
>
> Dave Hall,
>
> Yes I did give some extreme examples, but they are true. I'm in no way
> implying those scenarios are *likely* to happen.
>
> I do agree that it would be extreme and unfair if a person were removed from
> the ISP for voicing an opinion. I'm sure there are exceptions when it would
> be a good thing. But who could you trust to make that call?!? Catch 22
>
> The problem with hate groups ect is they are protected from the government
> yes, but the entities hosting them may choose to axe them. It is the private
> property owners that have a right to censor you, not the Government. That is
> what I mean when I say you can be censored. The Government doesn't have the
> power, the property owners does. That's what I mean about freedom of speech
> not applying to the Internet. You're free to say, but the property owner can
> censor you anytime it pleases.

I ran into similar issues when I ran both a dial-up and packet radio BBSes about 12 years ago, before the internet really "caught on" with the general public. There were always little squabbles about content of traffic, and who would forward what, and who had the right to determine what was "acceptable". Someone is always electing themselves Pope, and the only way to deal with that was to cut them off at the knees and forward around them. These almost constant political issues are what finally caused me to throw in the towel for packet radio. It wasn't fun anymore.

Many people adopted the mindset that "it's my equipment, and I'll censor what I want". This may have been their right, but it wasn't in the spirit of ham radio, or in the spirit of communications, and serving the general interests of other hams.

On the dial-up BBS, there sprang up some personality clashes between a few of the users. One guy kept Emailing me and begging for me to remove another user. In spirit, I sympathized with this user, but in order to remain neutral and objective, I couldn't take sides, as the other party had done nothing but disagree and taunt the 1st guy, much like our own twisty does here. If Twisty was on my BBS, I wouldn't delete him either. As crazy as he comes off, he's still entitled to his opinion.

> It does seem odd that some of the most objectionable material is protected
> and the most basic and harmless can be stepped all over. Who makes the call?
> Can we trust them?!?

That's why we make rules. But many here believe that rules are made to
be broken. If you know what you will be up against, up front, you can't
say you weren't warned when you step over the line.

>
> Here's a thought about free speech. What is it again? Is this close?
> "Freedom of Speech is not the freedom for the speech you love, but freedom
> for the speech you hate."

Well that's usually the case because no one thinks about freedom of
speech, until either someone tries to stop them, or they hear something
that they find offensive. We take freedom of speech for granted most of
the time. It's only when we take issue with something, does it register.

> I like this thought too:
> "...
> To Summarize:
> It is a well known fact that those people who most want to rule people are,
> ipso facto, those least suited to do it.

A corollary to "those with the most experience, wouldn't want the job.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2291. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 12:22:36 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB5430C.6265@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141116

Dave wrote:
>
> Hard to tell on this group some times what is a joke and what's an
> accusation. I take it as a joke.

That's probably the best position to take, as it saves you from
frustration. I feel the same way about some of the people as well.

>
> You know it is in the RRCB charter that "Posters must at all times respond
> to replies as if they had been accused of high treason"
> Would explain allot of the explaining going on in this NG.

A common debate tactic used by people who are defending a weak or
illegal position. Usually they attempt to change the subject or redirect
with the intended result of putting their opposition on the defensive,
thereby taking attention away from the original subject. The other party
then spends time defending a sometimes irrelevant position, rather than
concentrating on the subject at hand.

Also known as: "When logic fails, demonize the opposition".

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2292. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 13:21:42 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210220521.3abbfdae@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141069

voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<75ad2ce2.0210211432.1ad29233@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<75ad2ce2.0210191513.a1ffd70@posting.google.com>...
> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210190418.4d8cd08f@posting.google.com>...
> >> >You mean like YOU do? Where's your PROOF, Aanal Aaron?
> >> >You still don't have any! Dimbulb. Post your PROOF. And
> >> >do it soon, lamer.
> >>
> >> Do it soon or else what? You'll cry? You'll post some more threats
> >> using the wmparr account? Why should anyone do what you say, Steve?
> >>
> >> -Aaron-
> >
> >Nobody has any reason to believe anything YOU say, that's for
> (snip)
>
>
>
> Hey Steve, check out this interesting post (below).
>
> -Aaron-

Where's the PROOF? It's not in that lame repetition, Aanal Aaron. And
that's not even interesting the seven-hundredth time you spam the
newsgroup with it. Is that the best you can do?

2293. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 13:29:54 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210220529.2dddf190@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141070

voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<75ad2ce2.0210191709.6a177cdc@posting.google.com>...
> timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie)
> >Date: Sat, Oct 19, 2002 4:48 PM
> >Message-id: <20021019194823.06911.00009920@mb-cq.aol.com>
> >
> >when in reality that part of the band and that
> >mode is totally legal there.
>
> Tim, I didn't see anything in your link that said WHAT band and WHAT
> mode are totally legal in WHAT country and for use by WHOM. Can you
> clarify?
>
> If the "freeband" is legal for unlicensed use in some country, I'm
> certain there is some documentation somewhere on the web to back it
> up.
>
> So do us a favor, post a link that describes that country's unlicensed
> 11 meter DX freeband privileges.
>
> -A

Ummm, he was asking a hypothetical question. You, as usual, have no
answers. The freeband is obviously legal for use whereever the FCC
(and other similar agencies) doesn't (don't) have jurisdiction, such
as international waters. No jurisdiction, no laws. Try thinking for a
change, Aanal Aaron, instead of just blathering like an idiot.

2294. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 13:34:56 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210220534.58d5c939@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141071

voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message news:<75ad2ce2.0210191839.4c9f1af7@posting.google.com>...
> timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
> >>Tim, I didn't see anything in your link that said WHAT band and WHAT
> >>mode are totally legal in WHAT country and for use by WHOM. Can you
> >>clarify?
> >
> >thats because i never posted that. you guys (Anal and yeti) have
> gotten
> >in to
> >this "prove it" type of thing were nobody is taken at there word and
> everything
> >has to be verified by 100 people agreeing and 1 million links on the
> web
> >lol
>
> I only asked for some evidence other than a garbled, angry message on
> QRZ. What's the big deal? If you're right you're right and I won't
> squawk.

And when YOU are asked for evidence? You squawk a lot, as do your minions.

> >the place we are discussing is the chesterfield islands which fall
> under
> >the
> >jurisdiction of New Caledonia.
>
> Then how about something...anything...that shows DX comms on freeband
> are legal for unlicensed users in New Caledonia? If an unlicensed
> freeband DXing hobby radio service existed in New Caledonia I would
> think there would be a lot more published about it other than a single
> garbled message on QRZ.

Look it up yourself, Aanal Aaron. You are capable of that, aren't you?

> >it was posted and claimed legal by the ops
> >who
> >are actually running the dxpedition and the ones wrote this on
> >the expeditions
> >qrz page and verified via radio tonight with a good friend of mine Mr
> Mike
> >from
> >new caledonia on 27.520 usb. if you dont believe me or them than so
> be it.

> >its
> >your choice and you can verify or disprove what they say till your
> blue
> >in the
> >face and it wont matter to me.
>
> Some guy on "freeband" confirmed it. Oh yeah. That's proof. :)

Some guy on UseNet doubts it. Oh yeah, that's disproof. :)

> >
> >>If the "freeband" is legal for unlicensed use in some country, I'm
> >>certain there is some documentation somewhere on the web to back it
> >>up.
> >>
> >
> >the freeband is legal for unlicensed use in many places including the
> UK
>
> UKers are permitted FM only in that band. DXing on it is illegal. Can
> you tell us what usage that portion of the band is intended for in the
> UK? (Hint: it's not DXing)
>
> >
> >>So do us a favor, post a link that describes that country's
> unlicensed
> >>11 meter DX freeband privileges.
> >
> >look for yourself
>
> OK, so you operate under "Cranium Rules", i.e. you tell us that the
> moon is made of green cheese then ask US to find something that backs
> it up. LOL. Good one, Tim.
>
> -Aaron-

"I don't have to prove anything; I just say it and that makes it
true." Aaron H. Voobnutz

2295. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 13:39:03 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210220539.188f1ac2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141072

voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<75ad2ce2.0210211357.58a632e4@posting.google.com>...
> timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021021083534.07662.00002836@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> > voob, have you found proof there is a 155 mile limit there? i cand find
> > anything on it on the net and south pacific radio operators have
> >heard of no
> > such limit there.
>
> We have seen nothing that prohibits broadcasting profanity over police
> frequencies in New Caledonia on Sunday at 10 AM yet either, but does
> that mean it is legal?

Using your own analogy, Police Departments traditionally operate in
such a manner that anything that is NOT specifically illegal is OK for
them to do. So your rhetirocal question is answered: Yes, it would be
"legal".

> Enough rumor and heresay. Can you show us the actual CB/"freeband"
> rules for New Caledonia or not?

What, you are incapable of doing your own research, Aanal Aaron? YOU
look it up and tell us all that Tim is wrong. You'd like that,
wouldn't you?

> -A

"I don't have to prove anything; I just say it and that makes it
true." Aanal Aaron H. Voobnutz

2296. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 14:01:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15814-3DB55A24-304@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141075

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
Twist, today digital images are inadmissable in court as they can be tampered with. You need film. And that doesn't help with the audio. The folks that get caught are the type who want to say 'you can't get me, ha ha' and persist. Once the FCC gets dozens of complaints in the same general area, then they send someone in. If their people catch it, then there is trouble. Otherwise, as you state, you can't prove it in court.
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - do you think these inane arguments will calm down in, say, 10 years; or will the same trolls keep going on and on?
--
100% agree.
The trolls willl have another subject to cry and whine about when this cycle slips into oblivion.
The arguments aren't even the point,,,hell, everyne knows they have no valid arguments at all concerning anything. There will always be people unhappy with themselves and whom can only be made to feel better by attacking others, no matter the subject.
I do get a kick out of the shill posts, though. Like someone that talks to themself.....<g>!

2297. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 14:03:24 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB55AAC.68E0CAC9@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141099

Twistedhed wrote:

>
> I happen to agree with Paul on most points except the "no one doubts
> they exist" part.
> Only one party other than the usual troll brigade has admitted to
> hearing such banter and only one time in several years. Hardly a problem
> and barely worthy of cbers ears.....as noted, it is usually the
> espanol-speaking drivers or those that haven't a clue or care where they
> are.

Anyone with at least half a brain (guess that leaves twistieboy
out) and a decent receiver (that leaves dickieboy out)can hear
these U.S. English speaking truckers on 10 meters.

2298. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 14:04:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15814-3DB55AE9-305@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141076

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
'Doc wrote:
          I don't think there's any question about
there being intruders. Those who don't think there is just haven't been
listening.
--
>Don't say that too loud, you will hurt dickie craiumless feeling. Poor
chap, he just can't hear any intruders on 10 meters. <

Neither can anyone else besides the troll brigade......

2299. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 14:07:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15815-3DB55BBC-114@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141077

From: medtools@earthlink.net (tools)
I'm a ham, and I am not bothered by the some truckers talking on 10
meters. Just thought someone should let folks know that ALL hams do not
have the constapation problems that a few hams here do. No, I will not
post my call sign here. If the call sign hunters are bothered by that,
GOOD!
--

I'm not bothered by truckers on 10 meter either.....they usually do
not exist. All hams DON'T have constipation problems...it seems confined
to the lifer-techs and a few lonely upperclassmen that encourage such
juvenile fodder.

2300. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 14:10:50 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022101050.24688.00011885@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 141073

well for (a) i highly doubt there switching antennas (b)no they dont. ask frank. he made a point of saying that mobile cb antennas are narrow banded (c) this is most likely whats happening if its happening at all and would almost prove the point that these guys are likely barefoot since running a amp into high swr's isnt going to work long

>(a) they must be using separate 10 meter antennas now
>(b) you are wrong, their CB antennas do fine on 10
>(c) they operate with high swr on 10 and don't care

2301. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 14:12:17 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022101217.24688.00011887@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 141074

and thats why its all fcked up!

>Well this NG is supposed to be about CB radio and there are all types of
>discussion in here that don't go ignored.hmmmm
>
>
>

2302. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 14:16:22 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15816-3DB55DB6-52@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141081

From: w5lz@cwis.net ('Doc)
"Aaron H. Voobner",
Sure, why not. I think a constant
'harping' about the 10 meter 'intruders' here does absolutely no good.
It isn't like they will suddenly develop a conscience, or that anyone
here can do anything about it.
I don't think there's any question about
there being intruders. Those who don't think there is just haven't been
listening. No one is making it up. As to whether it's illegal or not, or
'right' or not, is begging the question. I also think that 'legit'
CB'ers knowing about these intrusions is useless, there really isn't any
'peer- pressure' that they could, or would, bring to bare on the
intruders. What other options do they have, other than 'peer pressure'?
If you're going to complain, at least complain where it will do some
good, that makes much more sense to me. Complaining here just makes you
a 'pest', with both the 'legit' and otherwise users, and wastes
bandwidth.
'Doc
--

I happen to agree with Paul on most points except the "no one doubts
they exist" part.
Only one party other than the usual troll brigade has admitted to
hearing such banter and only one time in several years. Hardly a problem
and barely worthy of cbers ears.....as noted, it is usually the
espanol-speaking drivers or those that haven't a clue or care where they
are.
His points would be much better focused in a driver's forum. At least
JerryO had the balls to go to such a forum and voice his opinion and
yea, he took a buncha guff, but he stands by what he says with no
pretenses concerning who he is, which has always given "aaron" sticky
palms.
"Aaron" has his plate way more than full right here, no way could he not
avoid the "shrinkage factor" if he were to take such an imagined
"problem" head on.

2303. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 14:17:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15815-3DB55E04-115@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141079

Moparholic wrote:
>Good point,Doc. Maybe he should try telling the people in
misc.transport.trucking .<

--

You stop that, dammit! <g>

2304. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 14:27:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15814-3DB56063-307@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141078

From: stewart@sc.rr.com (Dwight Stewart)
"blowupdoll" wrote:
  (snip) Their NOT illegal and only hams with inflated egos seem to get upset and like to play "radio cop" when such products are marketed. There's alot other things to worry about (like the looming loss of 440 mHz) that this stuff folks.
--
>Besides the nuisance when living next to one >of these CB'ers running an illegal amplifiers, >there is a direct price on ham radio. Most in >the country do not know the difference >between ham radio and CB. All they know is >that neighbors with antennas often interfere >with their phones and televisions. As a result, >these CB'ers give the reputation of ham radio >a black eye also.

Hammies like the clown in Glendale, California couldn't do that to themselves though, right?
I mean, several warnings and he is still using emergency freqs to jag the cops off, but, for the sake of argument, we will assume his odd behavior was influenced by cbers, hey hey?
Did cbers also design the malfunctioning rocket when hammies attempted to play junior astronaut? Damn those lousy cbers...always somehow responsible for those shining examples of high stateur we call hammies.

>There are two ways to respond to that - >educate the neighbors and support any efforts >to reel in the "outlaw" CB'ers.
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

As evidenced and proved on this forum since it's inception, it is the angry, shallow, disillusioned lowly few hammies that are usually the problem.......and they tend to cling to each other...sorta like dingleberries.

2305. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 14:32:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15817-3DB56172-16@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141082

From: beans3ee@yahoo.com (maeistro beans)
TONIGHT Mon-Tue 10/21/22
Guest: Kevin Mitnick
www.artbell.com
As the world's most famous hacker, Kevin has been the subject of
countless news and magazine articles published throughout the world.
With more than fifteen years of experience in exploring computer
security, Kevin Mitnick is a largely self-taught expert in exposing the
vulnerabilities of complex operating systems and telecommunications
devices.
--

Why thank you shil, er, "beans".
Dave Hall was perplexed as to who I was referring when I made a
reference to him.

2306. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 14:33:57 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15814-3DB561D5-308@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141080

From: beans3ee@yahoo.com (maeistro beans)
TONIGHT Mon-Tue 10/21/22
Guest: Kevin Mitnick
www.artbell.com
As the world's most famous hacker, Kevin has been the subject of
countless news and magazine articles published throughout the world.
With more than fifteen years of experience in exploring computer
security, Kevin Mitnick is a largely self-taught expert in exposing the
vulnerabilities of complex operating systems and telecommunications
devices.
--

He also is lobbying to get his hammie license back. He recently sold a
buncha stuff on ebay to help finance his quest, including a few of his
infamous computers used to hack with.

2307. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 14:53:39 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15814-3DB56673-309@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141089

From: witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<7725-3DB40175-738@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
Some of the first analog phones were filled with those succeptible
interference bugs. At one time, anyone could go to K-Mart or wherever
and buy the cheapest/best selling cordless phone and drive around the
well-manicured neighborhoods until you heard a dial tone on YOUR
handset. Technically and in strict violation of the law, one could park
in front of another's house with the same type phone (or not) and talk
on their dime.

--

>I never went in for the free-calls thing... mostly >I'd just butt in on
the call and tell the person >what a piece of junk their phone was,
etc., and >they'd usually gasp or get pissed off.

"Bring me a bottle" coming from a baby monitor in a child-like voice has
brought a chuckle or 2 over the years to some as well.

>The drunk/stoned callers were best, because I >could mess with their
minds... and the gay >guys were always a riot because they'd squeal >out
loud and generally lose it when something >went wrong with their phone.

Aw, man, that's rough.....but I can see that happening...used to witness
a similar reaction from one dude (?) repeatedly. We lived in SF at one
time and parking was at a premium. Placing an object (like orange cones)
in front of the house in the street would usually assure your spot, but
once you came home and a person of, ..uh..a.VERY different sexual
persuasion would witness one moving the cones and parking their car
where the cones used to be,,,,,a pretty funny song and dance could
occur.

>I *did* catch a few people in the act of >dialing... in those cases, I
keyed down & >pushed some buttons on the mike, making >them dial wrong
numbers.

Have you ever held the dial tone or not let them hang up?

>Caught a local pizza-delivery joint making the >mistake of using 49mhz
cordless...

Terrible ain't it? The new 5.4 phones are even worse.....total junk and
not surprisingly..the 49mhz (depending on quality and manufacturer of
phone) works better as a cordless than the new 5.4s.

>girl asks customer what toppings he wants on >his pizza, I cut in and said "all the sh**"... girl >says "EXCUSE ME???"

Hahhahaha!

>Even confused the hell out of 2 boys down the >block who were playing with their GI Joe >walkie-talkies... they weren't expecting a >wisecracking 3rd person. Ah, those were the >days... then everything went over to 900mhz >and 2.4 gig...
>Guy

Yea, but the FRS radios are a playground at ballgames, amusement parks, camps, etc.....even on the highways.....you CAN relive the glory days..lol!

2308. Re: Site updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 14:58:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15814-3DB56796-310@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141088

The dog pic was funny as all hell....nicely done!!

2309. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 15:05:29 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <ZOdt9.603$AT7.44236087@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141083

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DB4C4FB.5A48E234@cwis.net...
>
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner",
> Sure, why not. I think a constant 'harping' about
> the 10 meter 'intruders' here does absolutely no good.
> It isn't like they will suddenly develop a conscience,
> or that anyone here can do anything about it.
> I don't think there's any question about there being
> intruders. Those who don't think there is just haven't
> been listening. No one is making it up. As to whether
> it's illegal or not, or 'right' or not, is begging the
> question. I also think that 'legit' CB'ers knowing about
> these intrusions is useless, there really isn't any 'peer-
> pressure' that they could, or would, bring to bare on the
> intruders. What other options do they have, other than
> 'peer pressure'? If you're going to complain, at least
> complain where it will do some good, that makes much more
> sense to me. Complaining here just makes you a 'pest', with
> both the 'legit' and otherwise users, and wastes bandwidth.
> 'Doc

Well said doc, but it will fall on deaf ears unfortunately.
As you stated above " it does no good" so why do they do
it? Troll value, that and that alone.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

2310. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 15:05:29 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <ZOdt9.605$AT7.44236087@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141084

"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:G-adndsgO9G2qiigXTWc3g@comcast.com...
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:3v_s9.143$1c2.22477126@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> > What the hell is George rambling about now?
> > I don't use EasyNews or Forte agent.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> LOL, Shame none of those posts says it uses uses forte so only the end
> user(beck) would know LOL. Open mouth insert foot.. haha
>
>

You're an Idiot George.

From: George <George@gaygeorge.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: George admits he is GAY
Organization: ...
Message-ID:

keurquk0qnmq0coe18ln7aojs45m772hcm@4ax.com

Now I might be wrong, but the "AX" usually means
someone using Forte Agent, but all you see are
people out to get you behind every post. LMAO!
I was gone for a week and you still some how
see me making posts, LOL!!! can you say paranoid?

Landshark

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

References: <AECp9.2571$CN2.178680890@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
<20021011120855.237$zr@newsreader.com>
<2qucnVK5JMqskjqgXTWcqw@News.GigaNews.Com>
<lh4equ8q1aht45d7cbssl3vr86hs47gtgv@4ax.com>
<E9ucnfySi-MmgTqgXTWc3Q@News.GigaNews.Com>
<bj0mqu8khfaq87uu5d24bc8o6he67hnhqf@4ax.com>
<B4mcnfRHZdQ_tTagXTWcrg@News.GigaNews.Com>
<20021014171118.723$aX@newsreader.com>

<PpudnUEIuNi3HDagXTWcog@News.GigaNews.Com>
<3dae1558.781553@news.easynews.com>
<RU2dnXAU74gLDTGgXTWcpg@News.GigaNews.Com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
X-Complaints-To: abuse@easynews.com
X-Complaints-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers otherwise
we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
Date: Wed, 16 Oct 2002 23:45:10 GMT

2311. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 15:05:29 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <ZOdt9.604$AT7.44236087@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141085

"Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:7a4t9.50536$qM2.14318@sccrnsc02...
> Hard to tell on this group some times what is a joke and what's an
> accusation. I take it as a joke.
>
> You know it is in the RRCB charter that "Posters must at all times respond
> to replies as if they had been accused of high treason"
> Would explain allot of the explaining going on in this NG.
>
>
> Dave
>
> > I know, I was just funnin' with you. It just read a little funny, so I
> > had to call attention to it.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
>
>
>
>

Pretty Damn Accurate Dave.....

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

2312. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 15:12:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022111218.24346.00000595@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 141086

why would he want to do that? i'm lost.

>Would it be possible to talk this dude into
>getting his ham ticket, and getting on 440mhz?
>

2313. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 15:14:15 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022111415.24346.00000596@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 141087

and yours is very apparent.

>Your lack of technical knowledge is astounding.
>

2314. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 15:17:39 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022111739.24346.00000597@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 141090

i think i missed the post by skip saying he was a tv repairman.

>So now, what television used the 6146 for the "horizontal oscillator
>amplifier"?
>

2315. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 15:21:49 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022112149.24346.00000598@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 141091

aaron maybe you should ask the many hams who buy the henry amp using a 3cx3000?
after all its 8kw no problem. maybe you should contact henry radio directly?

>Also I'd be interested to hear
>his creative excuses for keeping an 8,000 watt HF amplifier around the
>house.
>
>-Aaron-
>

2316. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 15:26:14 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022112614.24346.00000599@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 141092

that would be great if he was a real person

>You know, I honestly don't know. As far as I've seen, he's never posted
>anything on Usenet worthy of losing an account over. Like him or hate
>him, the guy is just posting his unpoular views, as near as I can tell.
>He choses to keep his comments confined to legal vs illegal issues. Fine.
>That's hardly a reason to lose an account. In fact, if he has a problem
>losing accounts over what I consider VERY trivial matters, then I will
>gladly offer him an account on my mail server. Stupid complaints will go
>straight to /dev/null. In fact, if you had a problem with losing accounts
>because somebody didn't like your point of view, then I would even extend
>the offer to you.

2317. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 15:34:48 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022113448.24346.00000600@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 141093

actually anal aaron and dwight are both very uptight and rulebook quoters to the fullest and they both had a total lack of knowledge of the hf band when they arrived. there are alot of similarities. but if anal was dwight then he'd probably be after me more lol

>Cheescutter said: by golly doesn't this Dwight Stewart fellow sound like
>our Aaron H. Voobner fellow....???? my, my same moronic content as our
>little buddy.....
>and they both appeared about the same time too, imagine that......some
>strange coincidences aren' they /????.....
>--
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Underground hammies? Nahhhhhhhhhh.....
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2318. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 15:39:40 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022113940.24346.00000601@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 141094

theres still ALOT of 49 meg phones down here. guy you must live in a rich neighborhood where everybody has state of the art everything :)

> Ah, those were the days... then everything
>went over to 900mhz and 2.4 gig...
>

2319. Re: Any cb radio store in Houston Tx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 15:40:34 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1035301642.3767@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 141096

You also have Jerry's cb off of Jensen dr.
"SCREWDRIVER" <he20c@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:Gz5t9.18$DE2.19894409@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> YES ANDY ELECTRONICS on LONG DRIVE
>
> CHARLIES AIRWAVES Missouri City
>
> 73 SD
> "DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:e8d89b3f.0210212055.33144f55@posting.google.com...
> > Any cb radio store in Houston Tx that sells cb radio antennas and fix
> > cb radios. Also is their any other ham radio stores other than
> > Houston Ham Radio.
>
>

2320. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 15:42:36 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022114236.24346.00000602@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 141095

have you seen any of the davemade steel tube boxes first hand?

>They certainly produce some very compromised designs.
>

2321. Re: Re...Large Amplifier Info & Opinons...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 15:51:30 UTC
From: Skipp <wouldlovetohavethatamplifier@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ap3s62pqr1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 141097

: Aaron H. Voobner <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote:
: Sorry your server trashed some posts. I guess you probably missed this
: one.

No, actually I did see it and I responded as I will again.

I'm sorry to rain on your parade boobner, but t'was not my amplifier...
although I really wish it was. The 8169 is a popular tube for the "mid
size amplifier crowd."

With the right power supply, that tube will do near 9kw under the right
conditions... you can burn up a roll of RG-8 to stay warm in the winter.

Very impressive amp...

skipp

: Subject: FS: Serious HF Amplifier, for Serious Buyer Only, please.
: Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap
: Please respond to me by email first if your seriously interested. This
: amplifier is in California (A real California Kilowatt). No trades and
: no flakes please. I can arrange delivery to most anywhere if your willing
: to pay the costs.
: The Amplifier for sale is an 8169/4CX3000A linear amplifier.
: It makes about 8k and it drives with 150W. It goes 1.8 to 30
: mhz, has full breakin, and is self contained.
: It requires a 50a minimum 240v dryer outlet. The ps does about 8100v
: max on standby on the high tap.
: I also have a new Bird 10kW watercooled termination that hooks up
: to a lawn sprinkler set for >6gpm.
: Thank You

2322. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 15:52:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022115201.24346.00000603@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 141098

i never claimed i could. did you? i can truthfully say i can work and ask operators there which i have already done but thats not good enough for you. if you require more proof it sounds like a personal problem lol

>Enough rumor and heresay. Can you show us the actual CB/"freeband"
>rules for New Caledonia or not?
>
>-A

2323. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 16:04:48 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210220804.3a280194@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141100

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
(snipped)

-Aaron-

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

2324. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 16:06:09 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210220806.3a36d640@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141101

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
(snipped)

-Aaron-

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

2325. Re: Re...Large Amplifier Info & Opinons...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 16:10:47 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210220810.1077ee2c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141102

Skipp wouldlovetohavethatamplifier@hotmail.com
>t'was not my amplifier... although I really wish it was.

"Officer, that's not my crack in my pocket. I was holding it for a
friend". LOL. You fit right in with the gang here, skipp.

-A

2326. Check this site out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 16:11:11 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <zMet9.621$qk.45695319@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141103

This should get a chuckle out most people.

http://www.rathergood.com/vikings/

2327. Re: http://www.cbtricks.com/new.htm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 16:13:15 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022121315.24346.00000604@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 141104

my regards for bennie for having the top cb site on the net and its no small achievement

>
>
>Nice site for schematics and owners manuals
>http://www.cbtricks.com/new.htm
>
>
>

2328. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 16:28:45 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3db57cb4.106141661@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 141105

On Tue, 15 Oct 2002 10:48:06 GMT, chimbuba@optonline.net wrote:

>On Tue, 15 Oct 2002 01:21:54 GMT, George <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Oct 2002 16:27:14 -0400, "Ole Shit" <oleshit@hemphead.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"George" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message
>>>news:bj0mqu8khfaq87uu5d24bc8o6he67hnhqf@4ax.com...
>>>> Here George is telling another guy he wants to have anal sex with him.
>>>
>>>
>>>Really where did I say that i think your homo mind is imagining things, I
>>>was thinikng about jamming a broomstick up his ass your the one with dick
>>>and anal sex on your mind. Is your name Mark Beck?
>>
>>Any guy that wants to stick anything up another guys ass is either a
>>Doctor or a faggot. Which one are you?
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> >> you think your a man so what bitch
>>>
>>>
>>>> >Bend over Ill show you how much of a man I am chapwoman
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Remember George, it doesn't matter which end of the dick your on, its
>>>> still a homosexual experience.
>>>
>>>I guess you talk from experiance huh.
>>>
>>
>>No, what do gay guys do? Exactly what you want to do. Your gay,
>>admit it. Its all you talk about
>
>He even asked me about sucking black cock at one time. I told him I
>could arrange some white cock, but I think he insisted on black cock
>only! That damn george. Ain't he special. When he is not calling
>people names or using a 100 aliases and at the same time accusing
>others of that he likes to suck black cock only and stick things in

>men's asses??? Wow!
>

2329. Re: Old Cb's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 16:36:19 UTC
From: Trainfan1 <LMSEARING@usadatanet.net>
Message-ID: <3db578e1_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 141106

Dave, these are undeniably Johnsons, being identical to the Johnson of the same model #:

4120..... Sams #194
4145..... Sams #195
4175..... Sams #196
4230..... Sams #214

I also once saw a GM123A in Canada that was a Johnson 123A clone.

I was absolutely mistaken on the Browning issue, I wrote "Browning" while intending to write "Pearce-Simpson". I have a GM 23B base with the P-S "Receive-O-Slide" delta tune and a matching GM 23 mobile.

Rob
*
*
*

"Dave or Debby" <truth@NOSPAMplanetc.com> wrote in message news:3db2dfea_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Gyro-lock IS PLL ! The GM unit is a Midland CB. I've never seen them made
> by Browning or Johnson! IS this guy wrong OR have I just not seen any?
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "Pellucid" <dave@jolly27.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:aoruqgmkm1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > The gyro-lock sounds fantastic! a precursor of PLL's? the only old set I
> > have is made of a whole bunch of crystals, that produce the 23 chans. by
> > mixes.
> > .
> > jason <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:aoo1rmmsp1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > I got a box of what seem to be pretty old 23 channel cbs today, 3 that i
> > > really have questions about, got a Johnson messenger 123A, it has a very
> > odd
> > > power hook up, anybody know how to hook up??
> > > Also got a Royce Gyro-Lock1-601,. it needs a mic id like to know the pin
> > > config on it if anyone happens to know it, and a GM 23M, has no mic, all
> > of

> > > them seem to be working, just need power hook up info for the johnson
> and
> > > mic pin configs for the other 2, ive never heard of the Royce or the
GM,
> > > couldnt find anything on the net, id appreciate any info,
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > > Jason
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2330. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 16:39:07 UTC
From: Yeti we keep going on and on <coldbeerisbetter@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ap3uvbpqr2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 141107

: yeti@cet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
: I didn't say "all three grids grounded 'triode operation'". Triode
: operation is when the screen (and suppressor, if there is one) is tied
: to the plate. If you ground all three grids as you suggest, all you
: will do is melt your tube (if you don't nuke your power supply first).

Well, its clear to me that you've you've been hanging around the tube audio amp crowd... the description is reasonable in the tube audio amp context, but not applied to real world rf amplifers. The actual topic we should be on...

It would take a bit of time to go through the above when applied to rf amps... so let me defer the question back to you with show me an actual rf amplifier circuit using triode mode operation as you describe above. I belive you will be hard pressed to do said.

Your previous web url points to a swan web page, just amp pictures are shown, no circuit diagrams to go through... In your next post, how about you reference an actual known circuit to support your text.

: What I DID say was that the tubes were used as triodes instead of
: tetrodes or pentodes because of the linearity gained from operating
: them as triodes. And using triodes in a grounded-grid configuration is
: not suprising when the circuit requires linearity.

Not really the reason for using them in rf applications as triodes. AB2 operation is possible using certain tetrodes and pentodes... and the extra dc (bias, screen) supplies go away. A major trade of linearity for gain uses a larger scope of factors. Get away from the tube audio stuff and come back to the rf world... One does not need "high fidelity rf operation" when you have proper tank Q. A large gain linearity trade is just not realistic as you describe it. I see a lot of triode operated rf circuits, just not using triode mode linearity as the major reason for doing said... once you have the required min linearity in a good circuit... for the required mode, you have arrived.

: But this is just another testament to the reason why sweep tubes were
: used instead of RF triodes like the 812A -- the sweep tubes were
: cheap. That's all.

Classic sweep tubes were easily made to work in Linear Mode RF Amplifiers, cost was a major reason for using them, but not the only one.

: No, I wasn't. Unlike pentodes and tetrodes that are rated by the
: transconductance, triodes are rated by their mu (amplification
: factor). They can be low-mu (such as the very early triodes, power
: triodes, etc), medium-mu (such as the popular 6SL7 and 6C5), or

: high-mu (such as the 12AX7). Whatever the mu, it's still a triode; it
: still has IpEp curves that sweep up from zero instead of flat-topping
: like a tetrode or pentode, it still has the equivalent of three
: functional elements (tri- = 3, triode, get it?) and it is still more
: linear than a tetrode or pentode. "Triode operation" is the best
: label, and that's the label that's been used in tube datasheets,
: textbooks and manuals since they were first published.

The object of rf amplifiers is hopefully some power (stage) gain. One uses the most practical circuit and device to obtain said. People use triode operation as you described above in audio circuits, rare if ever have I seen it in RF circuits. Beam Power and or sweep tubes have proven to provide practical a cost effective part for Linear RF amplifiers. Their operational linearity in a well done circuit meets or exceeds the FCC requirements.

: You can smoke your grid in any power amplifier configuration. What's
: your point?

There are circuit layouts which are bad news for rf amplifiers using certain tube geometries. One must be aware of such potential problems to avoid problems. The grid to plate conneciton scheme you mention is not a very good option for rf amps. In my Marshall guitar amp yes... in my Sweep Tube RF Amplifier no. (insert big G chord here...)

skipp

2331. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 16:40:04 UTC
From: voobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <75ad2ce2.0210220840.6b7b2cbd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141108

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
>i never claimed i could. did you?

You referred to info in a link to a QRZ address and then drew conclusions about it; i.e. that part of the band must be legal (for unlicensed use) in that country (New Caledonia). If I was wrong and that's not what you were trying to say, then tell me.

> i can truthfully say i can work
>and ask operators there which i have already done but thats
>not good enough for you.

Do I have this correct: "freebanders" in New Caledonia have told you that freeband DXing is legal there?

>if you require more proof it sounds
>like a personal problem lol

I'm having problems understanding what the big "news" is about that link. All I see is the usual situation: "freebanders" operating outside the law...and hams condemning them for it.

-A

>>Enough rumor and heresay. Can you show us the actual
>>CB/"freeband"
>>rules for New Caledonia or not?
> >
>>-A

2332. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 17:40:42 UTC
From: George <George@gaygeorge.com>
Message-ID: <3db68cf1.364149549@news.stramps.de>
Source record: 141109

On Mon, 21 Oct 2002 01:52:42 GMT, "Thunder" <thunder@cinci.rr.com>
wrote:

>
>"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:75ad2ce2.0210201312.2de0f442@posting.google.com...
>> Here's what I heard Sunday in just a few minutes of monitoring. (20:58
>> Z).
>
>> 28.725 (AM): "You tell that g*d*mn dispatcher that any CCX driver wont
>> stand for that sh*t"... (etc)
>
>This is interesting since CCX doesn't operate on Sundays.. They are a
>Mon.-Fri. Op only. Also CCX isn't located that far south. CSE yes, but no
>CCX.
>
Sorry, CCX DOES operate line haul routes on the weekends, just no
pickups and deliveries.

2333. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 17:41:50 UTC
From: George <George@gaygeorge.com>
Message-ID: <3db78d6d.364273968@news.stramps.de>
Source record: 141110

Or different where you are? CCX runs line haul on the weekends.

On Mon, 21 Oct 2002 11:14:13 GMT, "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Must be that the days of the week are different where A is.
>"Thunder" <thunder@cinci.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:K5Js9.115404$Cz.12456416@twister.neo.rr.com...
>>
>> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:75ad2ce2.0210201312.2de0f442@posting.google.com...
>> > Here's what I heard Sunday in just a few minutes of monitoring. (20:58
>> > Z).
>>
>> > 28.725 (AM): "You tell that g*d*mn dispatcher that any CCX driver wont
>> > stand for that sh*t"... (etc)
>>
>> This is interesting since CCX doesn't operate on Sundays.. They are a
>> Mon.-Fri. Op only. Also CCX isn't located that far south. CSE yes, but no
>> CCX.
>>
>>
>>
>

2334. Re: TEST--No need to open

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 17:45:57 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Degt9.68$Be4.33@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141113

.....I was just trying to "fit" in. LOL!

Jerry
Chuck <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:hm0aruojharl4p6pk7fjhenjil70q0lakc@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 21 Oct 2002 14:17:41 -0400, "Jerry Oxendine"
> <joxendi@bellsouth.net> was blabbling:
>
> ...AUDI I I I I I I I I I I I 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
> ...O!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> ...
> ...
>
>
> ur 59 in michigan om... 10-4 good buddy! Over! Over!
>
>
> A Real Tube
> http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
> A Real Amp
> http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html

2335. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 17:51:12 UTC
From: blackm00@cam.org
Message-ID: <6447bcd3.0210220951.4ac7ea2c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"maeistro beans" <beans3ee@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<3db4c916@snipnews.snip.net>...
> TONIGHT Mon-Tue 10/21/22
>
> Guest: Kevin Mitnick
>
> www.artbell.com
>
> As the world's most famous hacker, Kevin has been the subject of countless
> news and magazine articles published throughout the world. With more than
> fifteen years of experience in exploring computer security, Kevin Mitnick is
> a largely self-taught expert in exposing the vulnerabilities of complex
> operating systems and telecommunications devices.

I can't believe it. Most nights, I tune in for the first five minutes,
to see who is on. Usually, I am disappointed and it is the usual saucers
and stuff that is on most of the time. I didn't bother last night, and it
turns out to be someone different from the usual topics, and someone I
would have listened to at least for a while.

It seems a shame, because it is neat that there's an overnight show
that is different from the usual talk show circuit. But too often
the show is about the esoteric, rather than the intriguing.

By the way, according to a bulletin I saw the other day, Kevin Mitnick
is selling off some of his computers, if I remember properly to pay
his legal fees. Perhaps the timing of his appearance lastnight is
connected with that.

Michael

2336. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 17:53:29 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <3O6dnQ16_q4TDSigXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141112

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021022114236.24346.00000602@mb-mc.aol.com...
> have you seen any of the davemade steel tube boxes first hand?
>
> >They certainly produce some very compromised designs.

Nope.

2337. Re: Check this site out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 18:00:47 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <ewOdnaNYGZfeDyigXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 141117

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:zMet9.621$qk.45695319@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> This should get a chuckle out most people.
>
> http://www.rathergood.com/vikings/
>

http://www.newgrounds.com/assassin/hamster/

Good for post vendor phonecall stress. (oh, just 75 weeks to get those
parts?!!!)

2338. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 18:12:06 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <aDgt9.124$Be4.121@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141119

Aaron H. Voobner <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> -----
>snip<<
>
> My question is, are "skipp's" claims accurate? Do they
> represent the majority views of amateur radio
> operators? Do they represent the majority views of
> licensed amateurs frequenting this list?
>
> You may email me or post your comments to the list.
> Either way I thank you for your cooperation.
>
> Sincerely,
>
> A.H. Voobner
> aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com

All I can vouch for is that which applies to me. I've been a ham for over
15 years and the most power I ever used was 650-700 watts. That was for a
short time only and for experimentation.
I found that such power was not really needed and, after my old wagon died,
the amp went onto
a shelf in the furnace room where it remains today. The simple truth is,
such power is NOT needed and is mostly a waste of time and money. On the
ham bands, there is still plenty of room to find a
place to talk without being QRMed or annoyed, and most of the time, people
are courteous enough
to stand by until you are done. Many times a DX station will call for any
mobiles to work him be-
cause he knows the mobile is at at disadvantage in a pileup. If I *DO* run
into a power idiot, I just
move on; it isn't that important to me to be the biggest and baddest on the
air. I spend more time
making projects and trying them out (mostly antennas) than I do talking. It
allows me to combine
two hobbies; wood and plastics working with radio, and I spend much time out
in my shop putter-
ing about trying new things I found in the ham mags or building something
for my ham station. It is
the L E A R N I N G that piques my interest--not blabbering away about
nothing or trying to be
Big Bad LeRoy Brown, Baddest Man in the Whole Downtown. I know that is hard
for some to believe since the emphasis in CB and Ham is

just---well------different. At least, it is for me. I don't CARE if somebody can override me with their stupid "pills", or their "swang". It just don't
matter. What matters is that thru my interest in Amateur Radio, if something goes POOF! I can
usually fix it. Thru my interest in Amateur Radio, I learned much about what actually is involved in
getting a signal from the radio out into the air. I learned about impedances, matching, formulas-----
and that, I don't care WHO says so, coax length does NOT matter as long as you have an effective
nearby ground and the antenna is not depending on the feedline for counterpoise. Exception is phasing antennas where everything has to be in balance, and even then, it *may* not matter except
on non-metallic bodies like trucks.

Power? It is merely a placebo--a substitute for learning how to get a signal from point A to point B
in the most effective way. On CB, it is clear that some don't even CARE how their rig sounds just so it is LOUD. Most hams are trying to communicate and, while there are some that will go outside
the rules, they are the minority, and the majority are just talking with a few hundred watts or their
barefoot 100 watt rig. With my 706, I talk around the world without an amp and all over the USA on 75 meters. Amps are not needed for E F F E C T I V E communications except under the most
extreme conditions, and the only way I would use mine (even if it were in line) is in an emergency.

Jerry

2339. Re: http://www.cbtricks.com/new.htm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 18:15:29 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92AF7285824E0rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 141118

"radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in news:jK9t9.56075$La5.185225
@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net:

> Nice site for schematics and owners manuals
> http://www.cbtricks.com/new.htm
>
Probably the most reffered site by this newsgroup, too.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

2340. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 18:28:06 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <aSgt9.158$Be4.113@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141122

Now, now, TW, I went to Charlotte this morning to get tickets for President Bush's appearance
Thursday, and all the way over (about 25 miles for a 50 mile RT) and back, all I could hear was
truckers echo-ing and beeping--along with hams running high speed CW in an effort to run 'em
off. There are plenty of them on 10 if one takes the time to listen and lives near an Interstate like
I do. There are several Interstates around here; I-85, I-77, and I-40. Twenty eight Oh Eight Five
is the most popular one around here.

Jerry

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:15815-3DB55BBC-114@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: medtools@earthlink.net (tools)
> I'm a ham, and I am not bothered by the some truckers talking on 10
> meters. Just thought someone should let folks know that ALL hams do not
> have the constapation problems that a few hams here do. No, I will not
> post my call sign here. If the call sign hunters are bothered by that,
> GOOD!
> --
>
>
>
>
>
> I'm not bothered by truckers on 10 meter either.....they usually do
> not exist. All hams DON'T have constipation problems...it seems confined
> to the lifer-techs and a few lonely upperclassmen that encourage such
> juvenile fodder.
>

2341. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 18:33:44 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <1tOdnU-vb8eVByigXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141120

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210220529.2dddf190@posting.google.com...
> Ummm, he was asking a hypothetical question.

Just like most of what you say is hypothetical

>You, as usual, have no answers.

Sounds like your describing yourself Dickie, you have had many questions
posed to you and have failed to answer everyone

>The freeband is obviously legal for use whereever the FCC
> (and other similar agencies) doesn't (don't) have jurisdiction,

Then again it wouldn't be called the freeband .

such
> as international waters. No jurisdiction, no laws. Try thinking for a
> change, Aanal Aaron, instead of just blathering like an idiot.

2342. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 18:35:44 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <U5idncL5_YsdByigXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 141121

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> What, you are incapable of doing your own research, Aanal Aaron? YOU
> look it up and tell us all that Tim is wrong. You'd like that,
> wouldn't you?
>
> > -A

As usual make claims and have the others prove thier wrong LOL typical
Dickhead Cranium Logic
--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

2343. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 18:39:55 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <KJednUv0NYzmBiigXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 141123

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> > Then how about something...anything...that shows DX comms on freeband
> > are legal for unlicensed users in New Caledonia? If an unlicensed
> > freeband DXing hobby radio service existed in New Caledonia I would
> > think there would be a lot more published about it other than a single
> > garbled message on QRZ.
>
> Look it up yourself, Aanal Aaron. You are capable of that, aren't you?

Why he made the claim and using your very own words Dickie, Nebro has some
proving he is correct.

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

2344. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 18:40:07 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB59B87.20B57EB4@hotnet.net>
Source record: 141137

Shaggy Dogg wrote:

> He is as real as Richard Cranium and others in here. I have never seen a
> richard cranium in the callbook, I havent seen a richard Cranium in any Usa
> phonebook. Ill bet he doesn't exist. Why don't you question his existance
> timmy?

Timmyboy Nebonehead never questions if someone is really who they
say they are if that person agrees with timmy. Just those who
don't agree with him does he question their existence.

2345. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 18:43:48 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <j8GcnQ63hJn5ASigXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141124

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021022112614.24346.00000599@mb-mc.aol.com...
> that would be great if he was a real person

He is as real as Richard Cranium and others in here. I have never seen a richard cranium in the callbook, I havent seen a richard Cranium in any Usa phonebook. Ill bet he doesn't exist. Why don't you question his existance timmy?

>
> >You know, I honestly don't know. As far as I've seen, he's never posted
> >anything on Usenet worthy of losing an account over. Like him or hate
> >him, the guy is just posting his unpoular views, as near as I can tell.
> >He choses to keep his comments confined to legal vs illegal issues. Fine.
> >That's hardly a reason to lose an account. In fact, if he has a problem
> >losing accounts over what I consider VERY trivial matters, then I will
> >gladly offer him an account on my mail server. Stupid complaints will go
> >straight to /dev/null. In fact, if you had a problem with losing accounts
> >because somebody didn't like your point of view, then I would even extend
> >the offer to you.
>
>
>
>

2346. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 18:51:23 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <pRmcnQxOiP62AyigXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 141125

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message

> --
> If you are implying that people, who
> hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> held to a higher moral standard than
> the "common man", then that would
> be faulty logic. A ham license is only
> a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
> "badge of morality".

This sig file is a compliment to Hams. In fact What it is saying is that people who try to hold those with Ham licenses to some higher moral standard just because they have a ham license is is faulty logic. So what it means is anyone can get a ham license there are no prerequisites, even low class Cbers, If you are a ham you are also able to screw up since your just like anyone else.

2347. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 18:54:58 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <iZSdnQnSMtGfAiigXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141126

<chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
> >He even asked me about sucking black cock at one time. I told him I
> >could arrange some white cock, but I think he insisted on black cock
> >only! That damn george. Ain't he special. When he is not calling
> >people names or using a 100 aliases and at the same time accusing
> >others of that he likes to suck black cock only and stick things in
> >men's asses??? Wow!

IceCold I have found many of your aliases seems you like playstation and the
NY knicks. Common theme amongst them all. remember Ip addresses don't lie.
LOL Go back to hiding icecold turkey.

2348. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 18:58:54 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <MIedne3bBN1zAiigXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141127

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message

> Now I might be wrong, but the "AX" usually means
> someone using Forte Agent,

Really, how do you know that? You study the header of every post that closely huh?

but all you see are
> people out to get you behind every post. LMAO!
> I was gone for a week and you still some how
> see me making posts,

LOL I didn't accuse you of anything you jumped all over a little comment I left out there, why you trying to cover your tracks.

LOL!!! can you say paranoid?

Can you say Transexual, well I know you can

>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> Try this, it made Landshark famous:
> http://www.transexualsrus.orgy/

2349. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 19:00:29 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Akht9.225$Be4.29@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141129

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:15816-3DB55DB6-52@storefull-
--

<<snip>>
I happen to agree with Paul on most points except the "no one doubts
they exist" part.
Only one party other than the usual troll brigade has admitted to
hearing such banter and only one time in several years. Hardly a problem
and barely worthy of cbers ears.....as noted, it is usually the
espanol-speaking drivers or those that haven't a clue or care where they
are.
His points would be much better focused in a driver's forum. At least
JerryO had the balls to go to such a forum and voice his opinion and
yea, he took a buncha guff, but he stands by what he says with no
pretenses concerning who he is, which has always given "aaron" sticky
palms.
"Aaron" has his plate way more than full right here, no way could he not
avoid the "shrinkage factor" if he were to take such an imagined
"problem" head on.

Why, Thank you TW!

That's just me. If I think I am right about something, I will stand firm,
say what I think and let the
chips fall as it were. And, yes, I have been wrong before. This time, I am
right to defend that which I believe is "mine" because I have a ticket to
the party. It seems quite simple to me; if you
want to join the party (10 meters and all of ham radio), then obtain the
ticket and be welcomed
with open arms. Gate crashers are not welcomed at ANY party and usually get
thrown out when
detected. Same thing with truckers just taking over 10 or 12 meters and
filling those frequencies with their echos, "swang", and squeaks. They
don't belong there (without the license), and I will
do whatever I can as one individual to get rid of them. That includes
turning in ones I can prove are
operating there, running high-powered, fast CW right on top of their
signals, and writing letters to
whomever I can get to listen in Congress to get something done about it. We
now have a licensed
ham on the House Committee on Communications (Greg Walden of Oregon), and
when he is done
with the bill now moving thru on antenna exemptions for hams, I can see the
enforcement of the

CB rules (where it pertains to illegal radios in trucks and out of band ops) coming to the forefront.
Why? Because, even now, Mr Walden is receiving the first of letters (including mine) explaining
what is going on in the ham bands WRT renegade truckers/CBers and getting "bugs" dropped in
his ears as to what needs to be done to get those unlicensed ops out of there. He is receiving some
pretty darned good arguments from what I am told within the ham community. There has even been a recent discussion on www.qrz.com, I believe, about this very issue. While some hams don't
care (that's normal, you'll find people like that in any endeavor), there are enough people pissed off
about it that you can bet it will not be allowed to continue.

Just as those who didn't believe me about the Cheetah driver, there are those who are going to minimize the idea that anything can or will be done about it. The most likely scenario I am hearing
about right now is the re-visiting PL 106-521 (local enforcement) to add some provisions to allow
the inspections of radio equipment at weigh stations by state DOT officers. Truckers' Associations
lobbied hard against that in the original Bill, and got such inspections exempted. Now that the intru-
sions seem to be getting worse instead of better, you can be sure this will get looked at again. The
other thing is aggressive enforcement of bans on importation of certain so-called "10 Meter" radios
(you know the kind--the ones that have the readout for 10 Meters AND a "channel" display, AND
a "band" switch A thru F) Yeah, we know what they are really for (wink wink). I am only one man. I can only do so much which is restricted to the above. Yet I am not alone, either. It may take time, but it WILL happen. Those people that think they can operate anywhere they like with
impunity are dragging a rope behind them that will eventually run out of slack and hang them. Think
the Amateur bands are not "important", or do not warrant attention from the Feds? Those that believe that "we got us a war a-goin on and them thar Feds ain't got time to mess with CBers" are
just WRONG. The opposite is true! The bands have taken on MORE importance in light of the
things going on around us and will be protected. Why? If those that operate illegally on those bands do so freely, what's to stop them from taking another, and another, and another? THAT, my
friends is not gonna happen! The frequencies WILL be defended.

That is what i know, and I don't edit it. What those outlaws do on the 40 channels is their problem,
not mine. But when the bandits get in MY "yard", it's different.

73

Jerry

2350. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 19:02:26 UTC
From: Spam is actually good to eat <yadayadayada@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ap47c2$4vs$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 141131

: yeti@cet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:

:>These services are allowed moderate (higher than traditional exciter
:>level) power operation >50 watts. Such services exist and are allowed to
:>use amplifiers.

: Such services exist, but are NOT allowed to use amplifiers. 2.815 is
: very specific about that.

It's actually a very interesting debate. I've looked up current FCC Licenses for services in the 30 Mhz range... one I see has an allowed power of 100 watts plus. The actual question should be "how do legal services obtain full authorized power..?"

Might be interesting to phrase that question to the FCC and some amp mfgrs.

: If you have so much experience picking up legal amps for services
: between 25 and 34 MHz, why can't you even provide one reference --
: website, manufacturer & model, or even an FCC reg -- that supports
: your claim? You see, I can't find one single amp currently being
: manufactured that's legal on 25 to 34 MHz in the US, so you are going
: to have to come up with some proof,

The buzz word is "currently." I mentioned that the dealers in the radio mags, news groups and Ebay are a good source of legal amps. However, with proof of proper fcc license, Alpha Power provides the "unlock codes" to enable their amplifiers to transmit on 28 Mhz. They are FCC legal amplifiers as one actual example.

:>: BTW, what's the IB service?
:>
:>The "B" of IB means "business."

: IG is industrial/business, there is no IB listed.

:>:>The second question you asked..? It actually tells us how bad you want
:>:>to show your ignorance.
:>:>
:>:>No television I've heard of uses the 6146 tube as a sweep tube. There
:>:>were some older televisions that used the 6146 tube as a horizontal
:>:>oscillator and or horizontal oscillator amplifier.
:>
:>: Well, why didn't you make that distinction in this post?
:>
:>Had you posted the entire text for everyone to read, they would have seen
:>the topic was about sweep tube amplifier operation.

:>
:>The wording is a bit off, but the point comes through... The 6146 tube is
:>not a true sweep tube,

: It's not a sweep tube at all. It's a beam tetrode designed for RF
: applications.

The point is, Beam Power Tubes can serve the function of sweep tubes... the label is often blurred umoung rf amp home brew types. Just so we both agree (because this issue wastes a lot of time), the 6146 is a beam power tube, which can in the generic sense serve as a "sweep" tube. Onward...

:>There are tubes designed for specific horizontal oscillator - amplifier
:>operation. The 6146 was not designed specific for horzontal oscillator
:>- amplifier "sweep" service. By classic definition, it is not really a
:>true sweep tube, but it can and probably has served the same functions.

: Ok then, regardless of it's "classic" definition, in what make/model
: TV did it serve as a sweep/horizontal-deflection-output/amplifier
: tube? Hell, let's forget all the semantics: What TV EVER used the 6146
: for ANY circuit?

Good question... as I mentioned in a previous post, I was told some of the Heathkit project tv's used them. But it has been such an insignificant issue, I have not cared to research it further. Please feel free to report back any models you might locate. TV circuits tend to be boaring... the actual received tv program contents even worse.

:>Wrong... most sweep tubes were not originally designed for use as linear
:>Mode RF Amplifiers. But there were some that were... the GE - 8950 and
:>the 2057 as two examples. Yaesu also has some whacky part number for an
:>RF Spec'd sweep tube they put in a radio... from memory, the FT-101 series
:>I believe...

: If I remember correctly, the 8950 was a half-assed attempt by GE to
: meet the demand for a cheap RF amp tube with greater linearity than a
: sweep tube, and could also be used as a replacement in existing sweep
: tube amps. I don't think it was ever used as a horizontal deflection
: amplifier, probably because that's not what it was designed to do. In
: other words, the 8950 isn't a sweep tube. I believe the M2057 was
: designed along the same train of thought. But because they were
: basically modified sweep tubes they still didn't have the 'stoutness'
: of tubes designed specifically for RF power.

I would like to see any supporting evidence you might have to qualify your "half-assed" label. But it was made by GE and I believe RCA as I have some under the RCA label. One could never trust the actual mfgr source of some RCA branded tubes.

The 8950 was engineered as beam power tube for rf applications and it easily met the cost effective requirements of many mfgrs. Thats a big deal when you punching out products.

The 2057 was a similar example along with the Japan made rf tube found in some hf tranceivers.

As with all tubes, stay within the mfgrs ratings and the tube lives a long time. I still have original many 70's & 80's vintage 8950 tubes making full output. You might qualify your definition of "stoutness."

:>With a bit of good circuit design, sweep tubes can be made to operate in
:>linear modes with good results.

: ...marginal results. The need for hi-Q tanks, grid tuning, and heavy
: neutralization attest to that fact. The circuit as a whole may end up
: mostly linear, but it takes quite a bit of finagling to get a sweep
: tube to run linear at RF freqs.

What to you qualify as marginal..? Standard Tank Q values were used, grid tuning should be used but was rarely found in a lot of consumer amplifiers (same with non sweep/beam tube amps, tuned input should be used). In the most common GG RF Amps I've seen, neutralization is not required, nor is it used. The whole circuit should be "mostly linear," the "required finagling" doesn't require any major amplifier construction practices that are special. In fact, sweep or beam tube amplifiers are easy to build once you do the proper home work.

:> At one time, they were plenty cheap,
:>which made them a viable option.

: For amateur use only. Sweep tubes weren't used in any commercial
: equipment, which is another testament to the lack of suitability of
: sweep tubes for RF applications.

Wrong, I have commercial made, FCC Legal amplifiers using sweep tubes. One Sonar brand 4 tube amplifier often seen sold on Ebay served a 32 Mhz Multitone Low Band Paging System for 10 plus years before I swapped it out. Other commercial equipment includes mobile Kris and Sonar units I've used myself. A lot of early tube vintage HF Marine radios used beam power tubes, even GE, RCA & Motorola radios.
Beam power tubes worked well in commercial equipment... Although not overly popular (by demand & timing), sweep tubes were right in there with them.

:>The three Swan & Siltronix amplifiers I have using sweep tubes, do not
:>have neutralization, nor does it have tuned grid(s) input. Grounded
:>grid operation does not require neutralization in many of the classic
:>designs. That's one issue which help make them so popular...

: Grounded-grid operation or not, those amps have pentodes wired as
: triodes because the tubes are not linear otherwise, which is nothing
: but a kludge in order to use cheap tubes in place of a 'real' RF power
: tube.

One often finds pentodes in tetrode or triode operation, not rocket science. It is common practice to tie the suppressor grid to the cathode. Depending on the desired mode and available drive power, linear operation

as a tetrode or triode is easy to do along classic construction themes.
The mid 80's ARRL Handbooks had circuit layouts of Linear RF Amplifiers
using sweep tubes in AB1 service... ie not classic grounded grid.

If you want real rf power tubes, you jump to a whole differen ocean... but
why go off topic (again).

: And again the results are marginal, since the power dissipation of a
: pentode is reduced when it is wired as a triode, mainly to limit the
: dissipation from the screen grid. The result is that an 8950 is only
: good for maybe 70 watts on AM with 20 watts of drive (Class B), which
: is terrible considering a 6146 will do about the same output in AB1
: (no drive power). And an 812A will do more than twice that with plenty
: of headroom -- grounded-grid!

That is why you don't see rf amplifiers wired with the screen grid tied to
the plate/anode as you described before. Its seen in tube audio amps, but
not in RF amps... other circuit layouts are very practical and used.

The amplifier is also designed for the mode (am, ssb, cw, fm... etc).
Four or six sweep tubes in GG service can easily give +10dB increase. Very
nice, very cost effective and very linear when done right.

New tube costs circa 70's & 80's found rf rated 6146 tubes priced >+3dB
above sweep tubes. Doesn't take a math major to figure what the results
were... lots of sweep tube amps right along side their big brothers. The
812a was not available or sold in cost effective volume levels to compete
with sweep tubes, else we would have seen more of them.

: As I said before, and will continue to say, the sweep tubes were used
: because they were cheap, and that's all.

That's not all, but we will agree to disagree...

:>Your theory and experience shows to be a little thin on the topic...

: Oh really? What TV used the 6146 OR the 8950 for ANY circuit?

You keep going back to that... I give, you tell me..? Someone once told
me in a different forum, they had a Heathkit TV with a 6146 in the
horizontal output section. I didn't care to argue with them about it, I
mentioned it in another post as an example only... also with known
applications of the 6146 in an SVT guitar amp (of which I have worked on).
If you want to dance and sing on an old example fine, but the band will
wait while you look the fool.
I never claimed to see the 8950 in any TV, you like to skew words quite a
bit. But you claimed not sweep tube was ever made for RF service, then
changed your tune about the 8950 being "half-assed" by GE. I find no data
sheet with "half-assed" in the ratings... nor have I seen it mentioned on
a circuit diagram.

:>Most of the classic consumer amplifier circuits used sweep tubes in low mu
:>operation. I would not label that a generic triode operation.

: What else would you call it?

Triode operation is a bit liberal...

: Grounded-grid is common for power triodes, not for power tetrodes or
: pentodes.

Depends on the tube geometry and what you define as common. It was common to see the 4cx250b in grounded grid operation, although not the best choice.

: Regardless, the radios still used the sweep tubes in
: common-cathode fashion, complete with tuned grids and heavy
: neutralization, and then they were run at very conservatively even in

Common now, you can do better than than... Use the better labels of AB1, AB2 and your more on target. Each mode implies a classic circuit layout for most designs (with variations). Nothing is in stone, it is easy to see some nice variations of broad band input (not tuned input) and no neutralization if you get away from the "radio" part. You jump around within various layouts and we/I have to chase you down in each area.

Radios often found sweep tubes in tetrode service, its all about stage gain at the point in the application (radio power amplifier service).

: SSB: Swan's version of AM is SSB + carrier, or one sideband less than
: A3. Even with that advantage, a pair of 6HF5's are only good for 125
: watts! If that doesn't give clue you into the poor RF characteristics
: of sweep tubes then nothing will.

Depends on the radio.. your talking a Swan 350 that I know about which did not include AM in a version that I've seen. But they made a heck of a lot of different radios.
I would say that size, cost, cooling, gain and overall preformance more than qualify a 6HF5 for the application. So did Swan and they used it in thousands of radios.

If you truely understood the engineering of the majority of Swans radios, you'd see they were designed for SSB and CW service. Swan manuals I have here clearly mention the differences vs AM operation. By the way, Modified A3 operation as done on the siltronix radio works well, less bandwidth. A real plus...

When you change to high duty cycle modes (AM), typeical hf ssb amplifiers have reduced ratings regardless of the tube type. Swan was able to make good quality amplifiers and radios using sweep tubes which met the fcc requirements and preformed well.

:>They were practical, cheap and suitable.... Mfgrs like Swan, Ameritron,
:>Amp Supply, Dentron, Galaxy, Palomar, Pal, SBE, Tempo and others thought
:>them to be quite suitable.

: More like 'barely' suitable. Sweep tubes were suitable for the amateur
: on a budget, which was quite chunk of the market (and still is).

Hence they will be around for some years to come... in linear rf applications.

: Why narrow it down? It all started with two simple questions: What TV
: used the 6146, and what amps currently being manufactured are legal
: for use in the US on 25 to 34 MHz. You can answer the questions or you
: can continue ducking them by trying to "edit this down". Still having
: fun?

Don't have an answer for you about the TV question... so my original text you jerked out of the past has no example other than what I was told and stated about possibly a Heathkit Project TV using one. I have would not care to waste the time trying to find out. In the context of the original sweep tube text, it was meant to demostrate where and how easy tubes could be liberated from surplus sources... and examples of other applications where they might be found.

Alpha Power makes a number of legal amplifiers which are fcc legal and will operate on 28.5Mhz. You are required to provide proof of a qualified license, no hardware modification is required.

There is not really enough of a market to justify amp mfgrs making various models of generic amplifiers in the 25 to 34 Mhz band... if almost much of any market at all. One must also consider the "broad band" type of amplifier vs a specific legal application which tends to be a different type of amplifier layout.

So we don't see a lot of direct market ads for said. Calling various mfgrs to purchase new broad band amplifier equipment for legal 25 to 34 Mhz services is not realistic when you consider strict application of the FCC rules.

But the FCC rules have guidelines that a few companies are willing to work with, should there be enough money to justify said venture. Alpha Power is one such company.

skipp

2351. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 19:07:03 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB5A1D7.9FE53C3A@hotnet.net>
Source record: 141144

tools wrote:
>
> Well, I just sent my letter off also. I have asked that the FCC ignore the
> few hams complaints about 10 meters. I have informed them that I have been
> monitoring 10 meters , near a major highway, for "truckers" and others, and
> in 5 months, I have heard one trucker conversation.

You must be using the same crappy equipment that dickieboy crainumless does. I just turned on the TS-520 and as I type this I am hearing several trucker transmission on 28.085, another two truckers on 28.135, every other word is f***ing this and f***ing that, and more on 28.535, all on AM. The only Ham AM activity I hear is just above 29.0. You guys need to learn how to use a receiver.

My letter to the FCC about the problem of illegal operators on 10 meters will be in the mail tomorrow.

> And that those truckers might have been hams.

Did you ever hear them give a call sign? I bet not, as they most likely were illegal operators.

2352. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 19:10:09 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <_tydnTJKvJENPyigXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141128

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:ZOdt9.605$AT7.44236087@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Now I might be wrong, but the "AX" usually means
> someone using Forte Agent,

Well here is one that i found right off the bat from Sparky, i see no ax
and It clearly states he uses Forte Agent like everyone else who uses agent.
but only the perp would know what the perp used LOL

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?
Date: Wed, 09 Oct 2002 19:02:29 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3da47d1a.2737736@news.cet.com>
References: <3da3caf9.13532641@news.cet.com>
<20021009103440.04929.00000249@mb-cs.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/16.235
X-Complaints-To: abuse@supernews.com

2353. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 19:11:04 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <cpht9.10539$by5.485068844@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141130

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:aSgt9.158$Be4.113@news.bellsouth.net...
> Now, now, TW, I went to Charlotte this morning to get tickets for
President
> Bush's appearance
> Thursday, and all the way over (about 25 miles for a 50 mile RT) and back,
> all I could hear was
> truckers echo-ing and beeping--along with hams running high speed CW in an
> effort to run 'em
> off. There are plenty of them on 10 if one takes the time to listen and
> lives near an Interstate like
> I do. There are several Interstates around here; I-85, I-77, and I-40.
> Twenty eight Oh Eight Five
> is the most popular one around here.
>
> Jerry

Wow Jerry, it must be a busy place out there. I was
away for a week on business and went up and down almost
the entire length of Highway 5, didn't hear a thing then.
I believe you when you say you hear it, but contrary
to some here, I don't think it's as prolific as they are trying
to portrait here.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

2354. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 19:12:19 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB5A313.64F9B340@hotnet.net>
Source record: 141147

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> Now, now, TW, I went to Charlotte this morning to get tickets for President
> Bush's appearance
> Thursday, and all the way over (about 25 miles for a 50 mile RT) and back,
> all I could hear was
> truckers echo-ing and beeping--along with hams running high speed CW in an
> effort to run 'em
> off. There are plenty of them on 10 if one takes the time to listen and
> lives near an Interstate like
> I do. There are several Interstates around here; I-85, I-77, and I-40.
> Twenty eight Oh Eight Five
> is the most popular one around here.
>
> Jerry

Jerry, these guys know that there are many truckers and others
illegally using 10 meters, but you're not going to get someone who
breaks the law themselves to turn on fellow lawbreakers.
See my other post, I am hearing them on 10 right now. Just too bad
dickieboy, timmyboy, and twistie can't seem to figure out how to
tune the 10 meter band.

2355. NorthStar NS-3000 Mobile FORSALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 19:14:50 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021022151450.26331.00003203@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 141132

Brand New in the box NorthStar 3000, has built in echo/reverb. high med lo switch. has extra channels(they are in the dimmer switch). talks VERY nice....i'll take $150 plus $10 shipping, email me if your interested

Thatz-me-JP

2356. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 19:23:54 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB5A5CA.E827D84B@hotnet.net>
Source record: 141149

Sir Circumference wrote:

>
> You must be using the same crappy equipment that dickieboy
> crainumless does. I just turned on the TS-520 and as I type this I
> am hearing several trucker transmission on 28.085, another two
> truckers on 28.135, every other word is f***ing this and f***ing
> that, and more on 28.535, all on AM. The only Ham AM activity I
> hear is just above 29.0. You guys need to learn how to use a
> receiver.
>
> My letter to the FCC about the problem of illegal operators on 10
> meters will be in the mail tomorrow.
>
> > And that those truckers might have been hams.
>
> Did you ever hear them give a call sign? I bet not, as they most
> likely were illegal operators.

Another bunch just popped up on 28.045, one of the ops AM signal
is FMing so bad you can hardly copy him, another bunch on 28.155,
all English speaking (not South Americans) on AM talking about the
best places to fuel up. More on 28.175 (currently being pretty
well covered up by CW). Now repeat after me, there are no truckers
illegally using 10 meters...there are no truckers illegally using
10 meters. Just keep repeating that and maybe you will finally
convince someone....NOT!
Truckers using 10 meters illegally isn't a problem is it tool?

2357. Hey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 19:44:39 UTC
From: The2x4 <the2x4@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <cQht9.278$Be4.161@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141133

Ain't they no CB enthusiasts left?

Man..........

Gay's - 10M Invaders - Veeerrrry inteerestin'

Don't nobody like to discuss propagation?
Antenna gain?
Receiver sensitivity?
Selectivity?
Developing practical receiver overload solutions?
New technology like SS?

That's what I'd like to participate in but I'm gonna
ask for a beam out again...see ya'll.

The2x4

2358. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 19:44:53 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB5AAB5.74C6C4C7@hotnet.net>
Source record: 141154

Sir Circumference wrote:

> Another bunch just popped up on 28.045, one of the ops AM signal
> is FMing so bad you can hardly copy him, another bunch on 28.155,
> all English speaking (not South Americans) on AM talking about the
> best places to fuel up. More on 28.175 (currently being pretty
> well covered up by CW). Now repeat after me, there are no truckers
> illegally using 10 meters...there are no truckers illegally using
> 10 meters. Just keep repeating that and maybe you will finally
> convince someone....NOT!
> Truckers using 10 meters illegally isn't a problem is it tool?

Opps, another bunch on 28.105 and 28.355, sure glad that illegal
operators on 10 is not a problem, eh tools.

2359. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 19:49:29 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dZht9.9813$U97.865885@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141134

Well, I just sent my letter off also. I have asked that the FCC ignore the
few hams complaints about 10 meters. I have informed them that I have been
monitoring 10 meters , near a major highway, for "truckers" and others, and
in 5 months, I have heard one trucker conversation. And that those truckers
might have been hams. I just felt that the FCC needs to know that not all
hams have their underwear up to their armpits about this.
Yes, I am a ham, no, I will not post my call.
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:Akht9.225$Be4.29@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:15816-3DB55DB6-52@storefull-
> --
>
> <<snip>>
> I happen to agree with Paul on most points except the "no one doubts
> they exist" part.
> Only one party other than the usual troll brigade has admitted to
> hearing such banter and only one time in several years. Hardly a problem
> and barely worthy of cbers ears.....as noted, it is usually the
> espanol-speaking drivers or those that haven't a clue or care where they
> are.
> His points would be much better focused in a driver's forum. At least
> JerryO had the balls to go to such a forum and voice his opinion and
> yea, he took a buncha guff, but he stands by what he says with no
> pretenses concerning who he is, which has always given "aaron" sticky
> palms.
> "Aaron" has his plate way more than full right here, no way could he not
> avoid the "shrinkage factor" if he were to take such an imagined
> "problem" head on.
>
> Why, Thank you TW!
>
> That's just me. If I think I am right about something, I will stand firm,
> say what I think and let the
> chips fall as it were. And, yes, I have been wrong before. This time, I
am
> right to defend that which I believe is "mine" because I have a ticket to
> the party. It seems quite simple to me; if you
> want to join the party (10 meters and all of ham radio), then obtain the
> ticket and be welcomed
> with open arms. Gate crashers are not welcomed at ANY party and usually
get
> thrown out when

> detected. Same thing with truckers just taking over 10 or 12 meters and
> filling those frequencies with their echos, "swang", and squeaks. They
> don't belong there (without the license), and I will
> do whatever I can as one individual to get rid of them. That includes
> turning in ones I can prove are
> operating there, running high-powered, fast CW right on top of their
> signals, and writing letters to
> whomever I can get to listen in Congress to get something done about it.
We
> now have a licensed
> ham on the House Committee on Communications (Greg Walden of Oregon), and
> when he is done
> with the bill now moving thru on antenna exemptions for hams, I can see
the
> enforcement of the
> CB rules (where it pertains to illegal radios in trucks and out of band
ops)
> coming to the forefront.
> Why? Because, even now, Mr Walden is receiving the first of letters
> (including mine) explaining
> what is going on in the ham bands WRT renegade truckers/CBers and getting
> "bugs" dropped in
> his ears as to what needs to be done to get those unlicensed ops out of
> there. He is receiving some
> pretty darned good arguments from what I am told within the ham community.
> There has even been a recent discussion on www.qrz.com, I believe, about
> this very issue. While some hams don't
> care (that's normal, you'll find people like that in any endeavor), there
> are enough people pissed off
> about it that you can bet it will not be allowed to continue.
>
> Just as those who didn't believe me about the Cheetah driver, there are
> those who are going to minimize the idea that anything can or will be done
> about it. The most likely scenario I am hearing
> about right now is the re-visiting PL 106-521 (local enforcement) to add
> some provisions to allow
> the inspections of radio equipment at weigh stations by state DOT
officers.
> Truckers' Associations
> lobbied hard against that in the original Bill, and got such inspections
> exempted. Now that the intru-
> sions seem to be getting worse instead of better, you can be sure this
will
> get looked at again. The
> other thing is aggressive enforcement of bans on importation of certain
> so-called "10 Meter" radios
> (you know the kind--the ones that have the readout for 10 Meters AND a
> "channel" display, AND
> a "band" switch A thru F) Yeah, we know what they are really for (wink
> wink). I am only one man. I can only do so much which is restricted to

the
> above. Yet I am not alone, either. It may take time, but it WILL happen.
> Those people that think they can operate anywhere they like with
> impunity are dragging a rope behind them that will eventually run out of
> slack and hang them. Think
> the Amateur bands are not "important", or do not warrant attention from
the
> Feds? Those that believe that "we got us a war a-goin on and them thar
Feds
> ain't got time to mess with CBers" are
> just WRONG. The opposite is true! The bands have taken on MORE
importance
> in light of the
> things going on around us and will be protected. Why? If those that
> operate illegally on those bands do so freely, what's to stop them from
> taking another, and another, and another? THAT, my
> friends is not gonna happen! The frequencies WILL be defended.
>
> That is what i know, and I don't edit it. What those outlaws do on the 40
> channels is their problem,
> not mine. But when the bandits get in MY "yard", it's different.
>
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2360. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 19:49:31 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB5ABCB.F71987BF@hotnet.net>
Source record: 141155

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net> wrote in message
> news:3DB5A1D7.9FE53C3A@hotnet.net...
> >
> >
> > tools wrote:
> > >
> > > Well, I just sent my letter off also. I have asked that the FCC ignore
> the
> > > few hams complaints about 10 meters. I have informed them that I have
> been
> > > monitoring 10 meters , near a major highway, for "truckers" and others,
> and
> > > in 5 months, I have heard one trucker conversation.
> >
> > You must be using the same crappy equipment that dickieboy
> > crainumless does. I just turned on the TS-520 and as I type this I
> > am hearing several trucker transmission on 28.085, another two
> > truckers on 28.135, every other word is f***ing this and f***ing
> > that, and more on 28.535, all on AM. The only Ham AM activity I
> > hear is just above 29.0. You guys need to learn how to use a
> > receiver.
> >
> > My letter to the FCC about the problem of illegal operators on 10
> > meters will be in the mail tomorrow.
> >
> > > And that those truckers might have been hams.
> >
> > Did you ever hear them give a call sign? I bet not, as they most
> > likely were illegal operators.
>
> HAH!! If they are operating below 28.300 on Ancient Modulation, they ARE
> illegal even if they
> have a ticket!! That area is NOT for voice communications by international
> treaty, fer cripes' sakes! NO AM, NO SSB, NO FM. PERIOD! License
> notwithstanding, if a ham is caught using
> phone down there, he will get a warning/NOV/NAL depending on the
> circimstances.
>
> Jerry

Uh, Jerry, hams in other countries can use voice mode below 28.3,
Canada for one.

2361. Re: George admits Landshark is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 19:59:05 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <6K-cnRqOs7OUMyigXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 141135

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message

> I was gone for a week and you still some how
> see me making posts,

> Landshark

LOL another lie on your part. what is know is you didn't post for 4 days
from the Landshark nic, 4 days = 1 week? New math

2362. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 19:59:25 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuf0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB5AE1D.A02FD0D0@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141156

tools wrote:

> Yes, I am a ham, no, I will not post my call.

Then according to timmyboy, you aren't a ham if you won't post a call, he wants proof! But on the other hand, you are pretty much taking the same side as timmyboy does about the illegal truckers on 10 so in that case he won't need any proof.

2363. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 20:08:41 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9528-3DB5B049-289@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141136

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Now, now, TW, I went to Charlotte this morning to get tickets for
President Bush's appearance
Thursday, and all the way over (about 25 miles for a 50 mile RT) and
back, all I could hear was
truckers echo-ing and beeping--along with hams running high speed CW in
an effort to run 'em
off. There are plenty of them on 10 if one takes the time to listen and
lives near an Interstate like
I do. There are several Interstates around here; I-85, I-77, and I-40.
Twenty eight Oh Eight Five
is the most popular one around here.
Jerry

--

I have tuned in a few times and heard nothing....really, I HAVE tried.
I guess it exists to some extent or else it wouldn't piss some off when
it's taken issue with. No matter, as it sure doesn't relate to this
group as has been alluded. I hope you catch all that go there
improperly. Hammies have their freqs., cbers have their freqs., and
freebanders have their freqs...<g>..

2364. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 20:19:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15398-3DB5B2B5-174@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141139

>those who complain the most about TOS >violations, are the first to
break federal >communications laws. Talk about hypocricy!
>Dave Hall
> N3CVJ
>practicing socialist
>"Sandbagger"
>

--

You got it backward, Davey-boy..those who wail the loudest about
communication laws are the first to get their ISP's yanked for
non-compliance. Talk about hypocriSy and selective obedience.
It's really quite simple for those that intelligently practice selective
disobedience. Those you speak of that had their ISP's yanked, didn't.

2365. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 20:28:29 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15397-3DB5B4ED-395@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141138

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Dave wrote:
>I ran into similar issues when I ran both a >dial-up and packet radio BBSes about 12 >years ago, before the internet really "caught >on" with the general public. There were always >little squabbles about content of traffic, and >who would forward what, and who had the >right to determine what was "acceptable". >Someone is always electing themselves Pope, >and the only way to deal with that was to cut >them off at the knees and forward around >them.

(Snicker) Yea....Dogie went wild up to that point, didn't he?

>These almost constant political issues are >what finally caused me to throw in the towel >for packet radio. It wasn't fun anymore.
>Many people adopted the mindset that "it's my >equipment, and I'll censor what I want". This >may have been their right, but it wasn't in the >spirit of ham radio, or in the spirit of >communications, and serving the general >interests of other hams.
>On the dial-up BBS, there sprang up some >personality clashes between a few of the >users. One guy kept Emailing me and begging >for me to remove another user. In spirit, I >sympathized with this user, but in order to >remain neutral and objective,

<snip>

I'm inclined to believe your entire post is bullshit, based on your lies. You have been minding your P's and Q's quite closely since drawing attention to your behavior, but the archives are full of your well-documented malice and unprovoked attacks on those who share a view other than your own. Attempting to present a "new: image for yourself is fine,,,but don't try to present your past as something it wasn't. You have never demonstrated an ability to remain neutral, this is one of the main reasons *Aaron Voobner" chose you by name as a member of his trolling and trouble making posse of rec.radio.cb. In fact, you have demonstrated a strong tendency toward the exact opposite...your posts portray it all for posterity.

2366. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 20:35:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuf0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB5B682.1B07ABD@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141158

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net> wrote:
> >>Another bunch just popped up on 28.045.
> > >
> Tell it to the trucking group.

Ah, you been trying to convince the hams that truckers using 10 meters is just a myth, but now that someone posts frequencies all over the 10 meter band where these illegal ops are operating, you don't want to hear it.

2367. Re: Hey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 20:59:53 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <d%it9.10582$f%5.486812851@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141141

"The2x4" <the2x4@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:cQht9.278$Be4.161@news.bellsouth.net...
> Ain't they no CB enthusiasts left?
>
> Man..........
>
> Gay's - 10M Invaders - Veeerrrry inteerestin'
>
> Don't nobody like to discuss propagation?
> Antenna gain?
> Receiver sensitivity?
> Selectivity?
> Developing practical receiver overload solutions?
> New technology like SS?
>
> That's what I'd like to participate in but I'm gonna
> ask for a beam out again...see ya'll.
>
> The2x4
>
>
>
>

Hi Carl, nice to see you. Why of course it
is, just not in here. The trolls have found a place
to roost and flourish.
I hope things are well, take care,

Landshark

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

2368. Realistic Trc 431

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 21:00:31 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021022170031.29324.00013468@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 141142

Does anyone know how to unglue the coil ajustment screws (plastic) for the AM Power (# L910+ L907) they are glued in and i can;t seem to move them.

Any help welcome

2369. Re: Realistic Trc 431

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 21:02:44 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021022170244.20766.00003476@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 141143

keep pushing through it. its just glue, it wont hurt anything.....but that means that your TRC-431 has never gotten a "golden" screw driver in it, but it will after u get done with it..have a good one!

Thatz-me-JP

2370. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 21:05:49 UTC
From: Thunder <thunder@cinci.rr.com>
Message-ID: <N4jt9.127500$Cz.12981792@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 141140

"George" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message
news:3db68cf1.364149549@news.stramps.de...
> On Mon, 21 Oct 2002 01:52:42 GMT, "Thunder" <thunder@cinci.rr.com>
> wrote:

> Sorry, CCX DOES operate line haul routes on the weekends, just no
> pickups and deliveries.

The drivers get in Saturday morning from their Friday night runs and go home. Now if a supervisor forgets a load they might run it on Sat. morning and only one driver. I have worked for CCX for many years and the last I checked I have never worked on Sat or Sun. I believe I would have been let go if we were open sat and sun an I didn't show up.

2371. Re: Re...HAMS USE ILLEGAL POWER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 21:09:16 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210221309.5aa09f27@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141145

"Shaggy Dogg" <shaggy@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:<N_qcncvaFMhhby-gXTWc3Q@comcast.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0210200854.5f0bcf2a@posting.google.com...
> > voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
> news:<75ad2ce2.0210192119.1d251c7b@posting.google.com>...
> > > nunofyurbiznes@cs.com (Scooter Tramp) wrote:
> > > >So I guess that (after much debate) the answer to my question is,
> > > > YES ,
> > > > some HAMS do run more than the alotted amount of power
> > > >and are therefore
> > > > classified as Federal Criminals. The amount of
> > > > HAMS and the amount of
> > > > power is not determinable but it is suffice to say that there are a
> > > > sufficiant amount of illegal HAMS to warrent recognition.Thank you all
> > > > for the information and heated de-bait (heheheh).
> > > > Semper Fi , Scooter Tramp
> > > >
> > >
> > > If your initial post was a troll ("de-bait") designed to justify
> > > illegal CB operation by showing that some "bad hams" operate with more
> > > than legal power, you couldn't have hoped for a better poster boy to
> > > show up than "skipp", a real-life example of a bad ham. BTW, you can
> > > have him, the hams don't want him.
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> >
> > And how would YOU know that the "hams don't want him", Aanal Aaron?
> > Just spouting more of your lame rhetoric, hey?
>
> Prove he is wrong his claim is no different than you claiming there are
> 30-40 millions cbers in the usa.

Hi, Georgie-Girl. Nice mis-quote you attribute to me. And you still
don't know that "their" is possessive; the word you wanted (but
couldn't spell) was "they're". But that wasn't what I said anyhow, so
it's moot. Just like you.

Aanal Aaron hasn't been right about anything yet and apparently
doesn't have a ham license, so how could he possibly know that "the
hams don't want him"? The hams don't want Aanal Aaron, either! 10-4,
good buddy?

You'd be better off continuing to laugh at Aanal Aaron, as you did a
couple of years ago, when he claimed he was "studying for his Extra"!

He is, at least, good for comic relief.

2372. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 21:11:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15399-3DB5BF10-67@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141153

JerryO wrote:
>Why, Thank you TW!
>That's just me. If I think I am right about >something, I will stand firm, say what I think >and let the
>chips fall as it were. And, yes, I have been >wrong before. This time, I am right to defend >that which I believe is "mine" because I have a
>ticket to the party.

We have all been wrong at times, Jerry, and you know better than many that we don't see eye-to-eye on many issues. Nevertheless, even though we have clashed in the past, I can respect your views and opinions as a person without prejudice.
It is possible to disagree and be passionate about something without being personal or letting something such as an insult be the first indicator of clouded judgement.

> It seems quite simple to me; if you want to >join the party (10 meters and all of ham radio), >then obtain the ticket and be welcomed
>with open arms. Gate crashers are not >welcomed at ANY party and usually get >thrown out when
>detected. Same thing with truckers just taking >over 10 or 12 meters and filling those >frequencies with their echos, "swang", and >squeaks. They don't belong there (without the >license), and I will do whatever I can as one >individual to get rid of them. That includes >turning in ones I can prove are
>operating there, running high-powered, fast >CW right on top of their signals, and writing >letters to
>whomever I can get to listen in Congress to >get something done about it. We now have a >licensed
>ham on the House Committee on >Communications (Greg Walden of Oregon), >and when he is done
>with the bill now moving thru on antenna >exemptions for hams, I can see the >enforcement of the
>CB rules (where it pertains to illegal radios in >trucks and out of band ops) coming to the >forefront.
>Why? Because, even now, Mr Walden is >receiving the first of letters (including mine) >explaining
>what is going on in the ham bands WRT >renegade truckers/CBers and getting "bugs" >dropped in
>his ears as to what needs to be done to get >those unlicensed ops out of there. He is >receiving some
>pretty darned good arguments from what I am >told within the ham community. There has >even been a recent discussion on >www.qrz.com, I believe, about this very issue.

The antenna bill may very well be the shot-in- the-arm hammie radio needs for a facelift.....a massive influx of new hammies. If for no other reason, I believe cbers who previously couldn't have a base antenna would be drawn to possibly getting their ticket.
A down-side could be the no-code lifer-tech syndrome and it's prevailing but minority attitude may proliferate and spread like cancer.
Getting a ticket so one may "get away with more" may cause an initial surge in licensing, but it isn't necessarily a negative connotation.
I hope they take these integral thoughts into consideration.

>While some hams don't
>care (that's normal, you'll find people like that >in any endeavor), there are enough people >pissed off
>about it that you can bet it will not be allowed >to continue.
>Just as those who didn't believe me about the >Cheetah driver, there are those who are going >to minimize the idea that anything can or will
>be done about it. The most likely scenario I >am hearing about right now is the re-visiting >PL 106-521 (local enforcement) to add some
>provisions to allow
>the inspections of radio equipment at weigh >stations by state DOT officers. Truckers' >Associations
>lobbied hard against that in the original Bill, >and got such inspections exempted. Now that >the intru-
>sions seem to be getting worse instead of >better, you can be sure this will get looked at >again.

I have heard something of this as well. Like you, I have also been aware of the trucking industry and the power they yield.
With the current state of affairs and considering what is taking place in our country at this time, I am inclined to believe that weigh stations may be monitored closer than before and the trucks and loads may be closer inspected than before, but I don't see cb or radios as a target in the future. Maybe you are in the know here, but I'm going on my gut and past politics. Besides, we have elections coming soon and people have historically voted the economy. If things don't change.....the 'lil shrub is gonna be a one-termer just like daddy.

>The
>other thing is aggressive enforcement of bans >on importation of certain so-called "10 Meter" >radios
>(you know the kind--the ones that have the >readout for 10 Meters AND a "channel" >display, AND
>a "band" switch A thru F) Yeah, we know what >they are really for (wink wink). I am only one >man. I can only do so much which is restricted >to the above. Yet I am not alone, either. It may >take time, but it WILL happen.

Probably another area we disagree on, but I can damn-sho' appreciate your candor!!!

>Those people that think they can operate >anywhere they like with impunity are dragging >a rope behind them that will eventually run out >of slack and hang them.

Agreed.....think "usenet".

>Think the Amateur bands are not "important", >or do not warrant attention from the Feds?
>Those that believe that "we got us a war >a-goin on and them thar Feds ain't got time to >mess with CBers" are
>just WRONG. The opposite is true! The bands >have taken on MORE importance in light of >the
>things going on around us and will be >protected. Why? If those that operate illegally >on those bands do so freely, what's to stop >them from taking another, and another, and >another?

Propagation? Characteristics exclusive to HF?

>THAT, my friends is not gonna happen! The >frequencies WILL be defended.
>That is what i know, and I don't edit it. What >those outlaws do on the 40 channels is their >problem,
>not mine. But when the bandits get in MY >"yard", it's different.
>73
>Jerry

No argument there.

2373. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 21:16:49 UTC
From: Thunder <thunder@cinci.rr.com>
Message-ID: <5fjt9.127560$Cz.12986079@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 141146

"George" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message
news:3db78d6d.364273968@news.stramps.de...
> Or different where you are? CCX runs line haul on the weekends.

Conway central express normal hours of ops are mon-fri. Now we get in Sat. mornings from our Friday night runs and go home. Now if a fos forgets a load or a hot load must move they will move it on Sat. morning. But Sat-Sun the trucks are parked. Now if you work out of a class one as I do and not a five door terminal located in the sticks we do have Sat. morning dock bid that loads brokered freight out west. Now that shift is usually done by 1-2 p.m.. and those guys are off sun and mon and go back to work tues. morning. I am located in Ohio by the way.

2374. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 21:21:05 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Aojt9.457$Be4.184@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141150

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:9528-3DB5B049-289@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Now, now, TW, I went to Charlotte this morning to get tickets for
President Bush's appearance
Thursday, and all the way over (about 25 miles for a 50 mile RT) and
back, all I could hear was
truckers echo-ing and beeping--along with hams running high speed CW in
an effort to run 'em
off. There are plenty of them on 10 if one takes the time to listen and
lives near an Interstate like
I do. There are several Interstates around here; I-85, I-77, and I-40.
Twenty eight Oh Eight Five
is the most popular one around here.
Jerry

Sounds wike a pwan to me! LOL! Excuse the attempt at Elmer Fudd-isms.

Jerry

--

I have tuned in a few times and heard nothing....really, I HAVE tried.
I guess it exists to some extent or else it wouldn't piss some off when
it's taken issue with. No matter, as it sure doesn't relate to this
group as has been alluded. I hope you catch all that go there
improperly. Hammies have their freqs., cbers have their freqs., and
freebanders have their freqs...<g>..

2375. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 21:23:20 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210221323.19262fa5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141148

voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<75ad2ce2.0210201406.7a7c5363@posting.google.com>...
> "blowupdoll" fgrease3dee@hotmail.com wrote
> >"Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
> >news:B9D80B09.BF4D%stewart@sc.rr.com...
> >>
> >> I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of
> Federal
> >> Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any external
> radio
> >> frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on
> any
> >> frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more
> information,
> see...
> >> (scroll down to 2.815)
> >
> >YEAH...YEAH...YEAH...
> >Yada...Yada...Yada...
> >
> >Ban has been in place for Years but that
> >hasn't stopped me seeing one or two
> >of them for sale at virtually everh hamfest
> >I been to, and at weekend flea markets
> >in the Pa.NJ NY area. All you have to
> >do is sell them "for test bench signal amplifier
> >purposes" as a kit and the FCC can't touch
> >you. For an example see:
> >
> >http://www.cbcintl.com/TriPower.htm
>
> Lou's site also features a chart that assigns CB "channel numbers"
> above 28 mhz. ("NEW!ï¿½ Channel & Frequency Chart (14Kb PDF).ï¿½ Free
> 1-page download covers Ch.1ï¿½40 in standard band, plus 7 other bands, 4
> down and 3 up.ï¿½ Total range shown is 25.165ï¿½28.755 MHz.")

What's your point, Aanal Aaron? You aren't licensed to use any
frequencies.

> >Know what also? I have NO PROBLEM with
> >these kind of sales. Their NOT illegal and only
> >hams with inflated egos seem to get upset
> >and like to play "radio cop" when such products are
> >marketed. There's alot other things to worry
> >about (like the looming loss of 440 mHz) that this

> >stuff folks.
>
>
> http://lists.contesting.com/_amps/2002-April/029832.html
> From: Steve Katz stevek@jmr.com
> Date: Thu, 18 Apr 2002 08:56:35 -0700
> Message: [Amps] CB amps on e-Bay
>
> Not harming us? Indeed they are. Here's how:
>
> -CBers causing RFI/TVI in residential neighborhoods. Ham applies for
> permit to erect a tower and is denied because the neighbors, who have
> experienced this RFI/TVI for years, all show up at the zoning board
> hearing and protest "enough is enough" based on their bad experiences.
> Ham gets denied his tower. (This isn't a dream, this happens daily
> throughout the U.S. -- many documented cases.)

So do cellular telephone sites (just listen to many 2 meter repeaters which are QRMed by them!). So do some over-powered amateurs. Why do you try Aanal Aaron's lame trick of blaming EVERYTHING on CBers?

> -CBers become dissatisfied with their measly 40 channel allocations
> and
> begin spreading out, or "freebanding" as they call it, on to 10m and
> 12m
> amateur bands, rendering those bands virtually useless in some areas.
> I
> hear it every single day, hundreds of examples per day, this becomes
> thousands if the band's really open.

Or they "become dissatisfied with their measley 40 channel allocations" and get a ham license. As far as "rendering (10 and 12 meters) virtually useless", another poster (licensed amateur Jim Hampton) has pointed out that those bands are virtually UNUSED, so who is it hurting?

If you're hearing it every day, why aren't you actively using the band(s) to prevent it instead of whining in a UseNet newsgroup which is read by only a very small handful of CBers to start with? Talk about wasting your time and effort!

> There probably isn't an amateur active on 10m and 12m anywhere in the
> world who isn't aware of this bad and growing problem. If all the
> CBers ran their legal power limit, the problem would still exist, but
> it wouldn't be quite as loud. Since so many are running amplifiers,
> it's worse.

The first part of your first sentence says it all: "There probably isn't an amateur active on 10m and 12m in the world." So why the fuss? Use 'em or lose 'em.

> WB2WIK/6
> ----

2376. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 21:29:47 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Mwjt9.478$Be4.283@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141151

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:dZht9.9813$U97.865885@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Well, I just sent my letter off also. I have asked that the FCC ignore the
> few hams complaints about 10 meters. I have informed them that I have been
> monitoring 10 meters , near a major highway, for "truckers" and others,
and
> in 5 months, I have heard one trucker conversation. And that those
truckers
> might have been hams. I just felt that the FCC needs to know that not all
> hams have their underwear up to their armpits about this.
> Yes, I am a ham, no, I will not post my call.

Trouble is, RH already knows the extent of the problem regardless of how
many hams don't
object to intrusions of unlicensed ops on the the ham bands. I recently
counted about 25 warnings
on that subject alone. It does make me wonder when a ham (I only have your
assertions on that)
wants to counter efforts being made to protect spectrum against incursion.
Hmmmmm, perhaps a
no-code, VHF ham who uses CB and freeband as a substitute for HF? Maybe he
operates on 10
as well, and wouldn't want any "attention" turned his way? Hey, I DON'T
know that. It is specu-
lation! For one who has full access to all bands and all of the 10-12 Meter
bands, it seems "curious" that anyone who has that privilege would wish to
share that with bootlegger operators.

Jerry
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:Akht9.225$Be4.29@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > news:15816-3DB55DB6-52@storefull-
> > --
> >
> > <<snip>>
> > I happen to agree with Paul on most points except the "no one doubts
> > they exist" part.
> > Only one party other than the usual troll brigade has admitted to
> > hearing such banter and only one time in several years. Hardly a problem
> > and barely worthy of cbers ears.....as noted, it is usually the
> > espanol-speaking drivers or those that haven't a clue or care where they
> > are.
> > His points would be much better focused in a driver's forum. At least

> > JerryO had the balls to go to such a forum and voice his opinion and
> > yea, he took a buncha guff, but he stands by what he says with no
> > pretenses concerning who he is, which has always given "aaron" sticky
> > palms.
> > "Aaron" has his plate way more than full right here, no way could he not
> > avoid the "shrinkage factor" if he were to take such an imagined
> > "problem" head on.
> >
> > Why, Thank you TW!
> >
> > That's just me. If I think I am right about something, I will stand
firm,
> > say what I think and let the
> > chips fall as it were. And, yes, I have been wrong before. This time,
I
> am
> > right to defend that which I believe is "mine" because I have a ticket
to
> > the party. It seems quite simple to me; if you
> > want to join the party (10 meters and all of ham radio), then obtain the
> > ticket and be welcomed
> > with open arms. Gate crashers are not welcomed at ANY party and usually
> get
> > thrown out when
> > detected. Same thing with truckers just taking over 10 or 12 meters and
> > filling those frequencies with their echos, "swang", and squeaks. They
> > don't belong there (without the license), and I will
> > do whatever I can as one individual to get rid of them. That includes
> > turning in ones I can prove are
> > operating there, running high-powered, fast CW right on top of their
> > signals, and writing letters to
> > whomever I can get to listen in Congress to get something done about it.
> We
> > now have a licensed
> > ham on the House Committee on Communications (Greg Walden of Oregon),
and
> > when he is done
> > with the bill now moving thru on antenna exemptions for hams, I can see
> the
> > enforcement of the
> > CB rules (where it pertains to illegal radios in trucks and out of band
> ops)
> > coming to the forefront.
> > Why? Because, even now, Mr Walden is receiving the first of letters
> > (including mine) explaining
> > what is going on in the ham bands WRT renegade truckers/CBers and
getting
> > "bugs" dropped in
> > his ears as to what needs to be done to get those unlicensed ops out of
> > there. He is receiving some

> > pretty darned good arguments from what I am told within the ham
community.
> > There has even been a recent discussion on www.qrz.com, I believe, about
> > this very issue. While some hams don't
> > care (that's normal, you'll find people like that in any endeavor),
there
> > are enough people pissed off
> > about it that you can bet it will not be allowed to continue.
> >
> > Just as those who didn't believe me about the Cheetah driver, there are
> > those who are going to minimize the idea that anything can or will be
done
> > about it. The most likely scenario I am hearing
> > about right now is the re-visiting PL 106-521 (local enforcement) to add
> > some provisions to allow
> > the inspections of radio equipment at weigh stations by state DOT
> officers.
> > Truckers' Associations
> > lobbied hard against that in the original Bill, and got such inspections
> > exempted. Now that the intru-
> > sions seem to be getting worse instead of better, you can be sure this
> will
> > get looked at again. The
> > other thing is aggressive enforcement of bans on importation of certain
> > so-called "10 Meter" radios
> > (you know the kind--the ones that have the readout for 10 Meters AND a
> > "channel" display, AND
> > a "band" switch A thru F) Yeah, we know what they are really for (wink
> > wink). I am only one man. I can only do so much which is restricted to
> the
> > above. Yet I am not alone, either. It may take time, but it WILL
happen.
> > Those people that think they can operate anywhere they like with
> > impunity are dragging a rope behind them that will eventually run out of
> > slack and hang them. Think
> > the Amateur bands are not "important", or do not warrant attention from
> the
> > Feds? Those that believe that "we got us a war a-goin on and them thar
> Feds
> > ain't got time to mess with CBers" are
> > just WRONG. The opposite is true! The bands have taken on MORE
> importance
> > in light of the
> > things going on around us and will be protected. Why? If those that
> > operate illegally on those bands do so freely, what's to stop them from
> > taking another, and another, and another? THAT, my
> > friends is not gonna happen! The frequencies WILL be defended.
> >
> > That is what i know, and I don't edit it. What those outlaws do on the
40

> > channels is their problem,
> > not mine. But when the bandits get in MY "yard", it's different.
> >
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Jerry
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2377. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 21:33:50 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <yAjt9.481$Be4.40@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141152

Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net> wrote in message
news:3DB5A1D7.9FE53C3A@hotnet.net...
>
>
> tools wrote:
> >
> > Well, I just sent my letter off also. I have asked that the FCC ignore
the
> > few hams complaints about 10 meters. I have informed them that I have
been
> > monitoring 10 meters , near a major highway, for "truckers" and others,
and
> > in 5 months, I have heard one trucker conversation.
>
> You must be using the same crappy equipment that dickieboy
> crainumless does. I just turned on the TS-520 and as I type this I
> am hearing several trucker transmission on 28.085, another two
> truckers on 28.135, every other word is f***ing this and f***ing
> that, and more on 28.535, all on AM. The only Ham AM activity I
> hear is just above 29.0. You guys need to learn how to use a
> receiver.
>
> My letter to the FCC about the problem of illegal operators on 10
> meters will be in the mail tomorrow.
>
> > And that those truckers might have been hams.
>
> Did you ever hear them give a call sign? I bet not, as they most
> likely were illegal operators.

HAH!! If they are operating below 28.300 on Ancient Modulation, they ARE
illegal even if they
have a ticket!! That area is NOT for voice communications by international
treaty, fer cripes' sakes! NO AM, NO SSB, NO FM. PERIOD! License
notwithstanding, if a ham is caught using
phone down there, he will get a warning/NOV/NAL depending on the
circimstances.

Jerry

2378. Re: Realistic Trc 431

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 22:22:31 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Hckt9.962$iV1.778@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 141157

yes take an iron for soldering small tip and rest it on to it for a little
while then gently see if it moves have fun
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Carlmackayp" <carlmackayp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021022170031.29324.00013468@mb-ml.aol.com...
> Does anyone know how to unglue the coil ajustment screws (plastic) for the
AM
> Power (# L910+ L907) they are glued in and i can;t seem to move them.
>
> Any help welcome
>

2379. Re: Check this site out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 22:43:59 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Pwkt9.191009$Fw2.5398982@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 141159

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:zMet9.621$qk.45695319@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> This should get a chuckle out most people.
>
> http://www.rathergood.com/vikings/
>
>

Don't know whom most thought of when watching the Flash, but that rabbit
reminds me so much of Aaron it made me hurt myself laughing! LOL

2380. wanted super scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 22:51:49 UTC
From: gpstopcon@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021022185149.22688.00008647@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 141160

looking for a super scanner antenna

2381. Re: NorthStar NS-3000 Mobile FORSALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 22:56:28 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021022185628.17113.00000530@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 141161

i make my comments to ppl everyonce in awhile, is that any of your business?
i'm a business man, leave me alone

Thatz-me-JP

2382. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 22:57:37 UTC
From: George <george@gaygeorge.com>
Message-ID: <oslbrug0cv65bsiakgbpsks0u0p19ngppa@4ax.com>
Source record: 141162

On Tue, 22 Oct 2002 21:05:49 GMT, "Thunder" <thunder@cinci.rr.com>
wrote:

>"George" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message
>news:3db68cf1.364149549@news.stramps.de...
>> On Mon, 21 Oct 2002 01:52:42 GMT, "Thunder" <thunder@cinci.rr.com>
>> wrote:
>
>
>> Sorry, CCX DOES operate line haul routes on the weekends, just no
>> pickups and deliveries.
>
>The drivers get in Saturday morning from their Friday night runs and go
>home. Now if a supervisor forgets a load they might run it on Sat. morning
>and only one driver. I have worked for CCX for many years and the last I
>checked I have never worked on Sat or Sun. I believe I would have been let
>go if we were open sat and sun an I didn't show up.
>

I wrote to them ask them, this was their reply:

Thank you for your e-mail. We do not make Pickups or Deliveries on the
weekends or holidays unless those arrangements are made in advance.
Our trucks generally do not run on these days as well, but there are a
few line haul routes that do run to assure on time delivery. Hope this
helps. :-)

2383. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 23:08:49 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210221508.8a9c8ea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141163

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<aSgt9.158$Be4.113@news.bellsouth.net>...
> Now, now, TW, I went to Charlotte this morning to get tickets for President
> Bush's appearance
> Thursday, and all the way over (about 25 miles for a 50 mile RT) and back,
> all I could hear was
> truckers echo-ing and beeping--along with hams running high speed CW in an
> effort to run 'em
> off. There are plenty of them on 10 if one takes the time to listen and
> lives near an Interstate like
> I do. There are several Interstates around here; I-85, I-77, and I-40.
> Twenty eight Oh Eight Five
> is the most popular one around here.
>
> Jerry

Yep, I heard them on the air again today. More of them on now than yesterday.

-Aaron-

> Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:15815-3DB55BBC-114@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > From: medtools@earthlink.net (tools)
> > I'm a ham, and I am not bothered by the some truckers talking on 10
> > meters. Just thought someone should let folks know that ALL hams do not
> > have the constapation problems that a few hams here do. No, I will not
> > post my call sign here. If the call sign hunters are bothered by that,
> > GOOD!
>
> > I'm not bothered by truckers on 10 meter either.....they usually do
> > not exist. All hams DON'T have constipation problems...it seems confined
> > to the lifer-techs and a few lonely upperclassmen that encourage such
> > juvenile fodder.
> >

2384. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 23:09:16 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <wUkt9.194041$121.5420040@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 141164

"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:pRmcnQxOiP62AyigXTWcoQ@comcast.com...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
>
> > --
> > If you are implying that people, who
> > hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> > held to a higher moral standard than
> > the "common man", then that would
> > be faulty logic. A ham license is only
> > a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
> > "badge of morality".
>
>
> This sig file is a compliment to Hams. In fact What it is saying is that
> people who try to hold those with Ham licenses to some higher moral
standard
> just because they have a ham license is is faulty logic. So what it means
> is anyone can get a ham license there are no prerequisites, even low
class
> Cbers, If you are a ham you are also able to screw up since your just like
> anyone else.
>
>

Nah, George. What it actually says is that even racist, bigoted, illiterate, insane morons like yourself can hold extra class licenses.

2385. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 23:15:43 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022191543.29153.00000374@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141165

go reread the post voobner. my original comments drew no conclusions. as a matter of fact it had no text at all other than a url.

>You referred to info in a link to a QRZ address and then drew
>conclusions about it; i.e. that part of the band must be legal (for
>unlicensed use) in that country (New Caledonia). If I was wrong and
>that's not what you were trying to say, then tell me.

thats where your thinking is faulty. its not the freeband there because it's legal for them to use. its the freeband here because its not legal. big difference and as of now i still believe i'm correct since nobody has proved me wrong which i know you'd love to do if you were able.

>Do I have this correct: "freebanders" in New Caledonia have told you
>that freeband DXing is legal there?

thats right voobie your having trouble understanding because your totally incorrect. the situation is far from normal. the hams were condemning them without proper cause or right and thats the funny part. they jumped the gun and put there feet in there mouth just like your doing now. what the team was doing is not illegal and thats what the big deal was all about.

>
>I'm having problems understanding what the big "news" is about that
>link. All I see is the usual situation: "freebanders" operating
>outside the law...and hams condemning them for it.
>
>-A
>

2386. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 23:20:58 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022192058.29153.00000375@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141166

nebro made no initial claim with the post but regardless it is true. go read some of the ham rags and see for yourself. there pissed.

>Why he made the claim and using your very own words Dickie, Nebro has some
>proving he is correct.

2387. Re: Doing more with less

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 23:23:09 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022192309.29153.00000376@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141167

any of the ghost rider boxes? or any of the custom amp makers boxes?

>> have you seen any of the davemade steel tube boxes first hand?
>>
>> >They certainly produce some very compromised designs.
>
>Nope.

2388. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 23:38:59 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022193859.29153.00000377@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141168

jerry since ten meters is such a dx band what does living near the interstate
have to do with anything????

>There are plenty of them on 10 if one takes the time to listen and
>lives near an Interstate like
>I do

2389. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 23:41:04 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022194104.29153.00000378@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141169

the only thing i find the ten meter band usefull for is its beacons

>
>Jerry, these guys know that there are many truckers and others
>illegally using 10 meters, but you're not going to get someone who
>breaks the law themselves to turn on fellow lawbreakers.
>See my other post, I am hearing them on 10 right now. Just too bad
>dickieboy, timmyboy, and twistie can't seem to figure out how to
>tune the 10 meter band.
>
>
>
>
>
>

2390. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 23:42:16 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022194216.29153.00000379@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141170

richard cranium has admitted its not his real name. has voobner?

>Timmyboy Nebonehead never questions if someone is really who they
>say they are if that person agrees with timmy. Just those who
>don't agree with him does he question their existence.
>

2391. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 23:51:54 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022195154.29153.00000380@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141171

are you actually making contacts on top of them or are you just doing it for doing its sake?

>tever I can as one individual to get rid of them. That includes
>turning in ones I can prove are
>operating there, running high-powered, fast CW right on top of their
>signals, and writing letters to
>whomever I can get to listen in Congress to ge

2392. hey jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-22 23:55:15 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022195515.29153.00000381@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141172

what did riley say about the long time Echo Charlie pirates on 6.670??? you said you were going to tell him, as if he didnt already know, so now i'm wondering what he said.

2393. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 00:03:35 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022200335.29153.00000382@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141173

>Opps, another bunch on 28.105 and 28.355, sure glad that illegal
>operators on 10 is not a problem, eh tools.

from what you say sounds like theres more truckers on ten than there are ham's.
why is that?

2394. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 00:05:53 UTC
From: I C P <nospam@nospam.fr>
Message-ID: <FCIt9.7408$46.3188@fe01>
Source record: 141174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

Aaron Voobner is a well know troll in the cb radio newsgroups.
He is kinda like their version of "Elmer".
Not quite Bullis.... but bad enough.

2395. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 00:07:40 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210221607.42e46c7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141175

From: timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
>go reread the post voobner. my original comments drew no conclusions.
>as a matter of fact it had no text at all other than a url.

When questioned about that url post, you had this to say:

>actually its more funny that the hams got VERY uptight
>about the whole thing
>assuming that the freebanders and expedtition
>members must be operating
>illegally when in reality that part of the band and that mode is
totally legal
>there. the real truth was that they were bothered that
>the guys were working 11
>meters and making more contacts there than on
>the ham bands and it bothered
>them to take back seat to so called "cb'ers"

I see several conclusions you made all in one paragraph. Want to go
through them one by one?

>>You referred to info in a link to a QRZ address and then drew
>>conclusions about it; i.e. that part of the band must be legal (for
>>unlicensed use) in that country (New Caledonia). If I was wrong and
>>that's not what you were trying to say, then tell me.
>
>thats where your thinking is faulty. its not the freeband there
because
>it's
>legal for them to use.

Huh? Legal for WHO to use WHAT frequency and WHAT mode and in WHAT
country?

>its the freeband here because its not legal. big
>difference and as of now i still believe i'm correct since
>nobody has proved
>me wrong which i know you'd love to do if you were able.

I don't see what you're correct about. You posted a link to some
gibberish and interpreted it as saying that the freeband is legal for
unlicensed DX use in some country you can't even name.

>>Do I have this correct: "freebanders" in New Caledonia have told you
>>that freeband DXing is legal there?
>
>thats right voobie your having trouble understanding because your

totally
>incorrect.

What am I incorrect about? Either unlicensed freeband DXing is legal
in New Caledonia or it isn't. Which is it?

>the situation is far from normal. the hams were condemning them
>without proper cause or right and thats the funny part. they jumped
the
>gun and
>put there feet in there mouth just like your doing now. what the team
was
>doing
>is not illegal and thats what the big deal was all about.

What was the team doing? Who were the team? Where were they operating?
Who were they contacting? It's all very vague, Tim. Since you know all
about it and have contacted New Caledonians, can you help clear it up?

>>I'm having problems understanding what the big "news" is about that
>>link. All I see is the usual situation: "freebanders" operating
>>outside the law...and hams condemning them for it.
>>
>>-A

And that's still the case as far as I can see.

-A

2396. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 00:09:28 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022200928.29153.00000383@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141176

he doesnt sound like any hams i know. niether do you.

>Then according to timmyboy, you aren't a ham if you won't post a
>call, he wants proof! But on the other hand, you are pretty much
>taking the same side as timmyboy does about the illegal truckers
>on 10 so in that case he won't need any proof.

2397. Re: NorthStar NS-3000 Mobile FORSALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 00:14:39 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022201439.29153.00000384@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141177

no he just advertises it until somebody trades him something else to sell :)

hey jp j/j man dont let it get to you. keep doing your thing.

>
>Do you ever sell anything?
>

2398. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 00:24:35 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DB5EC43.CCF2882A@qnet.com>
Source record: 141179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keep an ear on 147.435, Kevin has a computer security show on Saturdat
nights.

Jay in the Mojave

maeistro beans wrote:
>
> TONIGHT Mon-Tue 10/21/22
>
> Guest: Kevin Mitnick
>
> www.artbell.com
>
> As the world's most famous hacker, Kevin has been the subject of countless
> news and magazine articles published throughout the world. With more than
> fifteen years of experience in exploring computer security, Kevin Mitnick is
> a largely self-taught expert in exposing the vulnerabilities of complex
> operating systems and telecommunications devices.

2399. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 00:25:36 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <GbycnYmGzcAfcSigXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Vic Z" <HamCB@HamCBBargainbarn.org> wrote in message
news:ap0qt4ddv0@pita.alt.net...
> BULL! He was there again this year,

I was there and the amps etc. weren't. I even mentioned it to the
others Hams in my group who also noticed the same thing. And if you
were there what did Yeasu have swiming in the fish tank?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2400. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 00:34:24 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022203424.29153.00000385@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141180

>>>Late yesterday your editor received a phone call from Franck Petitjean, FK8FC, from Noumea, New Caledonia. He informed that he obtained the TX0AT license for the two Italian operators (Paolo, IZ0EVI, and Luca, IZ6DSQ) who are currently operating from the Chesterfields. Simon, IZ7ATN, is not one of the TX0AT operators, as was originally reported. Many have voiced their concerns and questions about the CB/Freeband operation. Franck was able to confirm that this type of operation is legal from New Caledonia, which would include the Chesterfield Islands. There is no power limit and the band plan for this activity must take place between 26.965 and 27.855 MHz. <<<

aaron your beef is with FK8FC and others from New Caledonia. why not try to contact some of them there directly yourself and ask them whats the radio regs for there part of the world? there are plenty of hams listed in QRZ that can confirm it for you easily. i have personally spoke with a couple dx'ers from the 172 division aka New Caledonia and i posted what i know to be true based on first hand accounts from people living there. if you do not believe me or them then thats fine too because its out of my control. i really dont care. if i spoke french perhaps i would do a web search and find you the info but since i dont and its a french speaking country and EVERYTHING so far points to FK8FC and myself being correct along with the locals there agreeing it seems theres really no need. the only person who doesnt believe it is you. why is that? i am correct, prove me wrong if you can.

>What am I incorrect about? Either unlicensed freeband DXing is legal
>in New Caledonia or it isn't. Which is it?

2401. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 00:37:04 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <osmcnRncprKvciigXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141181

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DB3DE35.2096@worldlynx.net...
> Leland C. Scott wrote:
> >
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:75ad2ce2.0210201639.788e1e0@posting.google.com...
> > > "tools" medtools@earthlink.net wrote
> > > >I do not belive you.
> > >
> > > I don't blame you for being skeptical about claims made on this
NG,
> > > but it sounds like the only way you would believe me is if you
and I
> > > were sitting in the same room in front of the same radio and
both of
> > > us heard this stuff at the same time. Us getting together to do
that
> > > is not likely so I guess it's your word against mine. But how
about
> > > other people who hear this stuff? Are they making it up?
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> >
> > If you have the ability why not record several ".wav" files and
post
> > them on the Ham binary news group? That way the still disbelievers
can
> > hear it for themselves. Its even more convincing when the pirates
are
> > being covered up by a bunch of ticked off Hams banging away with
CW on
> > top of the bozos. Nothing more convincing than hearing a Ham ID in
CW
> > over a pirate operating AM on 10m. If a tape recording of
something
> > like the above is good enough evidence for the FCC, then its good
> > enough proof, period.
>
> The problem with recording .wav (or mp3) files is that while it
would
> verify content, and most likely identify the type of operator, there
is
> no way to verify frequency. If, as you alluded to, you get lucky and
> happen to catch these guys while a CW QSO is in the background, that

> could be helpful, but it still won't convince this tough crowd, who
> would most likely accuse you of "doctoring" the file to suit your
> purpose anyway.

The frequency thing is a problem. The FCC does accept tape recordings at least as a first step in doing their own investigations. When the FCC field engineer takes the stand and says that so-and-so was on xx.xxx MHz the judge, and jury if any, will most likely believe him as an expert witness.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2402. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 00:39:54 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <sRednZxIoZ9GciigXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 141182

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021021093122.12849.00002667@mb-mk.aol.com...
> especially since he would likely do it. if forging post isnt out of
his league
> then docting a wav sure wouldnt be either. i wouldnt trust him.

The recording could be doctored, true, however if he is lucky enough
to catch a Ham(s) ID'ing, then it's just a simple matter of contacting
that Ham(s) to verify it.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2403. Re: Site updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 00:41:02 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022204102.29153.00000386@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141183

thought you were supposed to be cutting me a 5 pointed leaf????? and quit looking thru my windows :)

>Hey Nebro. Like the way I trim?

2404. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 00:41:36 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <EPmcnYKYy6ncbSigXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 141184

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:ur958th6fqtt1b@corp.supernews.com...
> ps - do you think these inane arguments will calm down in, say, 10
years;

No.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2405. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 00:45:35 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022204535.29153.00000387@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141186

dwight is the guy who came in here claiming there was no such thing as DX on 11 meters because it wasnt allowed by law. a real dimbulb about thigns at the time but he's learned alot on this news group just like voobner. i tend to think twist is probably right and its voob by committee most days

>Could Dwight be Aaron? I've never read Dwight..
>

2406. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 00:46:15 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022204615.29153.00000388@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141185

but why? arent you used to it by now? my news reader starts a message off at the top not the bottom. lol

>Post on the bottom!

2407. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 00:46:57 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210221646.67247355@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141187

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
>>>>Late yesterday your editor received a phone call from
>Franck Petitjean, FK8FC, from Noumea, New Caledonia.

Which editor? Editor of what?

>He informed that he obtained the TX0AT
>license for the two Italian operators (Paolo, IZ0EVI, and Luca,
>IZ6DSQ) who are currently operating from the Chesterfields. Simon,
>IZ7ATN, is not one of the TX0AT operators, as was originally
reported. >Many have voiced their concerns and questions about the
>CB/Freeband operation. Franck was able to confirm that
>this type of operation is legal from New Caledonia

Legal for *whom*? Licensed hams? Or unlicensed freebanders?

>which would include the Chesterfield Islands. There is no
>power limit and the band plan for this
>activity must take place between 26.965 and 27.855 MHz. <<<

Specifically what activity? Licensed hams DXing? Or unlicensed
freebanders DXing?

-A

2408. Re: NorthStar NS-3000 Mobile FORSALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 00:48:43 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022204843.29153.00000389@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141188

lol now now now holic, is weed kinda dry up there too or what? you sound cranky
:)

>>
>I was cool to him,till he called me a dumbass. He forgot the b,too.
>
>Fuck him.

2409. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 00:49:45 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <9N6dnQyqnLi2byigXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 141189

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:qmRs9.6277$071.570657@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Uhhhhh, and how are we to know that your recordings ARE 10 meters?

It's easier than you may think, and may not work every time. Many times when the pirate ops are on 10m they get hammered by Hams using CW, calling DX and using their CALLSIGN. Most Hams keep a logbook of some sort. All you need do is contact one of the Hams heard ID'ing, have them check their log and verify what frequency they were on the date and time in question. If it matches what is claimed for the recording I think its fair to say it hasn't been doctored. Its not perfect but in some cases there is way.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"I care about truth not for truth's sake
but for my own."

Samuel Butler

2410. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 00:52:56 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <XHmdnXrYzp90byigXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141190

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Yv3t9.7695$071.689864@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I'm a ham, and I am not bothered by the some truckers talking on 10
meters.
> Just thought someone should let folks know that ALL hams do not have
the
> constapation problems that a few hams here do.
> No, I will not post my call sign here. If the call sign hunters are
bothered
> by that, GOOD!

Without a callsign for all we know you're a "Polish-Ham" in a can.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"We cannot tell what may happen to us in the
strange medley of life. But we can decide
what happens in us - how we take it,
what we do with it - and that is what
really counts in the end."

Joseph Fort Newton

2411. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 01:00:38 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3db5f446.136756763@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 141191

On Tue, 22 Oct 2002 14:54:58 -0400, "Shaggy Dogg"
<doggone@dog.comPton> wrote:

>
><chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
>> >He even asked me about sucking black cock at one time. I told him I
>> >could arrange some white cock, but I think he insisted on black cock
>> >only! That damn george. Ain't he special. When he is not calling
>> >people names or using a 100 aliases and at the same time accusing
>> >others of that he likes to suck black cock only and stick things in
>> >men's asses??? Wow!
>
>IceCold I have found many of your aliases seems you like playstation and the
>NY knicks. Common theme amongst them all. remember Ip addresses don't lie.
>LOL Go back to hiding icecold turkey.
>
>
Doesn't change the fact that you told me you wanted to suck black cock
and not white cock. Common theme with the knicks and playstation? You
either have too much time on your hands or need some more black cock
in your mouth. I still have some white cock if you want.

2412. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 01:05:49 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <fmOdne2JY-ByaCigXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141192

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:Akht9.225$Be4.29@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Just as those who didn't believe me about the Cheetah driver, there
are
> those who are going to minimize the idea that anything can or will
be done
> about it. The most likely scenario I am hearing
> about right now is the re-visiting PL 106-521 (local enforcement) to
add
> some provisions to allow
> the inspections of radio equipment at weigh stations by state DOT
officers.
> Truckers' Associations
> lobbied hard against that in the original Bill, and got such
inspections
> exempted.

Funny you should mention that Jerry. On channel 19 the other day, I
was monitoring while driving about town, somebody was asking if there
were random checks being made at the weigh stations, with FCC field
agents looking for illegal radio equipment. That got several IMMEDIATE
responses from a number of truck drivers. Then something funny
happened, the constant trucker chatter died off for a few minutes
right after that. Hummm....

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2413. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 01:17:31 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DB5F8AB.A2517027@cwis.net>
Source record: 141193

"Sir Circumference",
I certainly can't speak for everyone, but the ones
I've heard between 28 and 28.3 Mhz haven't been some of
our neighbors N., or S. of us. They spoke the same
'brand' of english that you hear on ch-19 or the other
channels. You're right, other countries don't have the
restrictions that we do here in the US, but, to be honest,
I don't think I can remember ever hearing anyone on one
of the voice modes below 28.3 Mhz who does have that
privilege.
For those who haven't heard the 'truckers' on 10 meters,
all I can say is that you haven't been listening at the right
times, or frequencies. It doesn't make any difference if
you live near an interstate highway, or not. It really doesn't
matter if there's only a dozen or so people doing it, or a few
thousand. You are going to have a lot of trouble convincing
me that they're just a bunch of 'good ol'boys' who didn't
know any better. I'm not that naive and I don't think that
there are many who are. Ignorance is sort of a lame excuse,
don't you think?
'Doc

2414. Re: wanted super scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 01:25:57 UTC
From: gpstopcon@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021022212557.01452.00002470@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 141194

What do you mean WHY!!!! whats it for !!!

2415. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 01:30:58 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db5fb6f.17908186@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141195

In <ap3uvbpqr2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Yeti we keep going on and on
<coldbeerisbetter@hotmail.com> wrote:

>: yeti@cet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
>: I didn't say "all three grids grounded 'triode operation'". Triode
>: operation is when the screen (and suppressor, if there is one) is tied
>: to the plate. If you ground all three grids as you suggest, all you
>: will do is melt your tube (if you don't nuke your power supply first).
>
>Well, its clear to me that you've you've been hanging around the tube
>audio amp crowd...

Not quite, but I did help a friend recently with his homebrew 300B SE
stereo amp. Quite the monster, and ridiculouly expensive.

> the description is reasonable in the tube audio amp
>context, but not applied to real world rf amplifers. The actual topic we
>should be on...

Just take a look at the schematic for the 1200-X. The screen and
suppressor are tied to the plate, just like I said. All three grids
are NOT grounded as YOU said.

>It would take a bit of time to go through the above when applied to rf
>amps... so let me defer the question back to you with show me an actual
>rf amplifier circuit using triode mode operation as you describe above.
>I belive you will be hard pressed to do said.

LOL! I would sure like to see an amp where all three grids are tied to
ground. Got an example of that somewhere, Skippy? (You realize, of
course, that this will be another of those lingering questions until
you come up with proof!)

>Your previous web url points to a swan web page, just amp pictures
>are shown, no circuit diagrams to go through... In your next post, how
>about you reference an actual known circuit to support your text.

http://6mt.com/1200x.zip
There are plenty more examples here:
http://6mt.com/swan.htm
And here:
http://bama.sbc.edu

Now it's your turn (actually, it's been your turn for several months):
show me JUST ONE amp that used pentodes where all three grids are
grounded.

>: What I DID say was that the tubes were used as triodes instead of
>: tetrodes or pentodes because of the linearity gained from operating
>: them as triodes. And using triodes in a grounded-grid configuration is
>: not suprising when the circuit requires linearity.
>
>Not really the reason for using them in rf applications as triodes. AB2
>operation is possible using certain tetrodes and pentodes...

It's possible to run Class AB2 using ANY tetrode or pentode. However,
with a sweep tube, it's almost essential to run AB1 to avoid the
severe grid current distortion. Swan even makes a point of this in
their manuals.

>and the extra
>dc (bias, screen) supplies go away. A major trade of linearity for gain
>uses a larger scope of factors. Get away from the tube audio stuff and
>come back to the rf world...

You mean the world where all three grids are grounded?

> One does not need "high fidelity rf
>operation" when you have proper tank Q.

Maybe not "high fidelity", but you most certainly have to keep the
distortion to a minimum. Harmonics can sneak right through a pi tank
just like it wasn't there, despite the fact that they are low-pass
filters. The reason is because they are resonant, and that means they
are also resonant on the harmonics. Linearity is the key to reducing
harmonic distortion when you are talking about linear amps. Jeez
Skippy, that's AC-101 stuff, I can't believe you didn't know that!

> A large gain linearity trade is
>just not realistic as you describe it. I see a lot of triode operated
>rf circuits, just not using triode mode linearity as the major reason for
>doing said... once you have the required min linearity in a good
>circuit... for the required mode, you have arrived.

I'm not arguing about the use or linearity of triodes, the point was
that they used sweep tubes CONFIGURED as triodes INSTEAD of triodes.
Why? Because sweep tubes were CHEAP. Is that so very hard to
comprehend?

>: But this is just another testament to the reason why sweep tubes were
>: used instead of RF triodes like the 812A -- the sweep tubes were
>: cheap. That's all.
>
>Classic sweep tubes were easily made to work in Linear Mode RF Amplifiers,
>cost was a major reason for using them, but not the only one.

It was THE major reason. Sure, there were a few minor reasons, like
the fact that they provided more amplification than a beer bottle...

>: No, I wasn't. Unlike pentodes and tetrodes that are rated by the
>: transconductance, triodes are rated by their mu (amplification

>: factor). They can be low-mu (such as the very early triodes, power
>: triodes, etc), medium-mu (such as the popular 6SL7 and 6C5), or
>: high-mu (such as the 12AX7). Whatever the mu, it's still a triode; it
>: still has IpEp curves that sweep up from zero instead of flat-topping
>: like a tetrode or pentode, it still has the equivalent of three
>: functional elements (tri- = 3, triode, get it?) and it is still more
>: linear than a tetrode or pentode. "Triode operation" is the best
>: label, and that's the label that's been used in tube datasheets,
>: textbooks and manuals since they were first published.
>
>The object of rf amplifiers is hopefully some power (stage) gain. One
>uses the most practical circuit and device to obtain said. People use
>triode operation as you described above in audio circuits, rare if ever
>have I seen it in RF circuits.

Then I guess you have never looked at a schematic.

> Beam Power and or sweep tubes have proven
>to provide practical a cost effective part for Linear RF amplifiers.
>Their operational linearity in a well done circuit meets or exceeds the
>FCC requirements.

Now what does that have to do with the paragraph you quoted for this
response? Remember where you said (in the part you snipped):

>Triode operation is not a good label... most rf people like descriptions
>like low-mu.

Now don't duck the question like you do all the other questions: How
is triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode more like "low-mu" and
not like a triode? (Another lingering question -- I guess I am going
to have to make a list if you keep spewing these ridiculous claims!)

>: You can smoke your grid in any power amplifier configuration. What's
>: your point?
>
>There are circuit layouts which are bad news for rf amplifiers using
>certain tube geometries. One must be aware of such potential problems to
>avoid problems. The grid to plate conneciton scheme you mention is not a
>very good option for rf amps. In my Marshall guitar amp yes... in my
>Sweep Tube RF Amplifier no. (insert big G chord here...)

LOL^3!

2416. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 01:31:07 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db5fbd5.18010456@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141196

In <ap47c2$4vs$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Spam is actually good to eat
<yadayadayada@hotmail.com> wrote:

>: yeti@cet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
>
>:>These services are allowed moderate (higher than traditional exciter
>:>level) power operation >50 watts. Such services exist and are allowed to
>:>use amplifiers.
>
>: Such services exist, but are NOT allowed to use amplifiers. 2.815 is
>: very specific about that.
>
>It's actually a very interesting debate.

It's not a debate at all. It's you trying to avoid backing up your own
bullshit with facts.

> I've looked up current FCC
>Licenses for services in the 30 Mhz range... one I see has an allowed
>power of 100 watts plus. The actual question should be "how do legal
>services obtain full authorized power..?"

Well, the Swan 500 can do 125 watts AM and 500+ watts PEP on SSB, so
maybe the power comes from the radio itself? Did you think of that?
Duh...

>Might be interesting to phrase that question to the FCC and some amp
>mfgrs.

Better yet, why not come up with just ONE amp that's currently being
manufactured for service between 25 and 34 MHz. That would resolve
this whole issue.

>: If you have so much experience picking up legal amps for services
>: between 25 and 34 MHz, why can't you even provide one reference --
>: website, manufacturer & model, or even an FCC reg -- that supports
>: your claim? You see, I can't find one single amp currently being
>: manufactured that's legal on 25 to 34 MHz in the US, so you are going
>: to have to come up with some proof,
>
>The buzz word is "currently." I mentioned that the dealers in the radio
>mags, news groups and Ebay are a good source of legal amps.

Great. Find an ad on ebay or a newsgroup for a legal amp with said
specifications and post the link.

> However, with
>proof of proper fcc license, Alpha Power provides the "unlock codes" to
>enable their amplifiers to transmit on 28 Mhz. They are FCC legal
>amplifiers as one actual example.

From their own website:

"* When delivered in USA, disabled above 24 MHz per FCC rules."

Try again.

>:>: BTW, what's the IB service?
>:>
>:>The "B" of IB means "business."
>
>: IG is industrial/business, there is no IB listed.
>
>:>:>The second question you asked..? It actually tells us how bad you want
>:>:>to show your ignorance.
>:>:>
>:>:>No television I've heard of uses the 6146 tube as a sweep tube. There
>:>:>were some older televisions that used the 6146 tube as a horizontal
>:>:>oscillator and or horizontal oscillator amplifier.
>:>
>:>: Well, why didn't you make that distinction in this post?
>:>
>:>Had you posted the entire text for everyone to read, they would have seen
>:>the topic was about sweep tube amplifier operation.
>:>
>:>The wording is a bit off, but the point comes through... The 6146 tube is
>:>not a true sweep tube,
>
>: It's not a sweep tube at all. It's a beam tetrode designed for RF
>: applications.
>
>The point is, Beam Power Tubes can serve the function of sweep
>tubes... the label is often blurred umoung rf amp home brew types. Just
>so we both agree (because this issue wastes a lot of time), the 6146 is a
>beam power tube, which can in the generic sense serve as a "sweep" tube.
>Onward...

Unfortunately, you are wrong (again). Sweep tubes are designed to take
the very high plate voltages caused by the back-EMF from the flyback
transformer. But I have said that before, haven't I? Yep, and your
response was:

> Ahhhhhhhhh..... looks like your an old tv type... that's the reason your
> so off base. Again, look at the cost of a 6146 vs a 6LQ6 in 1978. If you
> bother to check, you will see the common 6LQ6 or 8950 rf amplifier an
> anode voltage range of 500 to 950 vdc. Only tube roasters like the
> Dentron GLA-1000 would crank them up to 1200 vdc. A real potential for
> trouble unless you put a measure of protection in place. That protection
> is described on the sonic server "Dentron Upgrade." If 6146 and 7984

> tubes were lower in cost, I'm sure more amplifiers would have been made
> with said as the chosen valve. We do see many amplifiers that were made
> with all the above mentioned tube types... but the shear quanity of sweep
> tube amplifier production is quite noteworthy. It continues to this day,
> the era of solid state. You might tell us why that happens sparky..?
>
> By the way... I know of no rf amplifier with flyback on board.

Which brings up another question: How many sweep tube amplifiers are
being produced these days?

>:>There are tubes designed for specific horizontal oscillator - amplifier
>:>operation. The 6146 was not designed specific for horzontal oscillator
>:>- amplifier "sweep" service. By classic definition, it is not really a
>:>true sweep tube, but it can and probably has served the same functions.
>
>: Ok then, regardless of it's "classic" definition, in what make/model
>: TV did it serve as a sweep/horizontal-deflection-output/amplifier
>: tube? Hell, let's forget all the semantics: What TV EVER used the 6146
>: for ANY circuit?
>
>Good question... as I mentioned in a previous post, I was told some of
>the Heathkit project tv's used them. But it has been such an insignificant
>issue, I have not cared to research it further. Please feel free to report
>back any models you might locate. TV circuits tend to be boaring... the
>actual received tv program contents even worse.

Now you want ME to do your work for you? I don't think so, Skippy. But
I will help you in your direction:

http://www.circuitarchive.co.uk/heath.htm

BTW, the word is 'boring', and yes, it does describe much of current
television programming.

>:>Wrong... most sweep tubes were not originally designed for use as linear
>:>Mode RF Amplifiers. But there were some that were... the GE - 8950 and
>:>the 2057 as two examples. Yaesu also has some whacky part number for an
>:>RF Spec'd sweep tube they put in a radio... from memory, the FT-101 series
>:>I believe...
>
>: If I remember correctly, the 8950 was a half-assed attempt by GE to
>: meet the demand for a cheap RF amp tube with greater linearity than a
>: sweep tube, and could also be used as a replacement in existing sweep
>: tube amps. I don't think it was ever used as a horizontal deflection
>: amplifier, probably because that's not what it was designed to do. In
>: other words, the 8950 isn't a sweep tube. I believe the M2057 was
>: designed along the same train of thought. But because they were
>: basically modified sweep tubes they still didn't have the 'stoutness'
>: of tubes designed specifically for RF power.
>
>I would like to see any supporting evidence you might have to qualify your

>"half-assed" label. But it was made by GE and I believe RCA as I have
>some under the RCA label. One could never trust the actual mfgr source of
>some RCA branded tubes.

I would like to see any supporting evidence you might have to qualify
your "sweep tube" label. Like, what TV was the 8950 ever used in?

>The 8950 was engineered as beam power tube for rf applications and it
>easily met the cost effective requirements of many mfgrs. Thats a big
>deal when you punching out products.
>
>The 2057 was a similar example along with the Japan made rf tube found in
>some hf tranceivers.
>
>As with all tubes, stay within the mfgrs ratings and the tube lives a long
>time. I still have original many 70's & 80's vintage 8950 tubes making
>full output. You might qualify your definition of "stoutness."

It's not too easy to stay within the ratings when sweep tubes are not
rated for RF service. They are not so rated because they were not
intended for use as RF power amps. Are you catching on yet?

>:>With a bit of good circuit design, sweep tubes can be made to operate in
>:>linear modes with good results.
>
>: ...marginal results. The need for hi-Q tanks, grid tuning, and heavy
>: neutralization attest to that fact. The circuit as a whole may end up
>: mostly linear, but it takes quite a bit of finagling to get a sweep
>: tube to run linear at RF freqs.
>
>What to you qualify as marginal..? Standard Tank Q values were used,
>grid tuning should be used but was rarely found in a lot of consumer
>amplifiers (same with non sweep/beam tube amps, tuned input should be
>used). In the most common GG RF Amps I've seen, neutralization is not
>required, nor is it used. The whole circuit should be "mostly linear,"
>the "required finagling" doesn't require any major amplifier construction
>practices that are special. In fact, sweep or beam tube amplifiers are
>easy to build once you do the proper home work.

When I talk about common-cathode amps you spin to grounded-grid
linears. When I talk about grounded-grid linears you spin back to
common-cathode amps. Interesting. So how long are you going to keep
running in circles to avoid the issue?

>:> At one time, they were plenty cheap,
>:>which made them a viable option.
>
>: For amateur use only. Sweep tubes weren't used in any commercial
>: equipment, which is another testament to the lack of suitability of
>: sweep tubes for RF applications.
>
>Wrong, I have commercial made, FCC Legal amplifiers using sweep tubes.

>One Sonar brand 4 tube amplifier often seen sold on Ebay served a 32 Mhz
>Multitone Low Band Paging System for 10 plus years before I swapped it
>out. Other commercial equipment includes mobile Kris and Sonar units I've
>used myself. A lot of early tube vintage HF Marine radios used beam power
>tubes, even GE, RCA & Motorola radios.
>Beam power tubes worked well in commercial equipment... Although not
>overly popular (by demand & timing), sweep tubes were right in there with
>them.

Wow! So you really CAN provide proof when you are right! This can only
mean that all your other stories that you refuse to back up with facts
are just bullshit!

>:>The three Swan & Siltronix amplifiers I have using sweep tubes, do not
>:>have neutralization, nor does it have tuned grid(s) input. Grounded
>:>grid operation does not require neutralization in many of the classic
>:>designs. That's one issue which help make them so popular...
>
>: Grounded-grid operation or not, those amps have pentodes wired as
>: triodes because the tubes are not linear otherwise, which is nothing
>: but a kludge in order to use cheap tubes in place of a 'real' RF power
>: tube.
>
>One often finds pentodes in tetrode or triode operation, not rocket
>science. It is common practice to tie the suppressor grid to the cathode.

Yeah, so?

>Depending on the desired mode and available drive power, linear operation
>as a tetrode or triode is easy to do along classic construction themes.

First, linear RF operation of a sweep tube as a common-cathode
tetrode/pentode isn't all that easy. Like I have said coutless times
before, it requires grid tuning and heavy neutralization. Configured
as a triode a sweep tube will run much more linearly, but at reduced
power.

Second, you keep mentioning the word "classic" as if it has some
significance. Care to describe one "classic construction theme", or
explain a "classic definition", or how a "classic" design outperforms
a 'modern' tube amp?

Or do you just use the word "classic" to add a bit of credibility to
your stories? I really think that's the case here, Skippy, since in
all the years on the newsgroups you haven't provided one specific
description of these "classic" designs. Well, now is your chance.

>The mid 80's ARRL Handbooks had circuit layouts of Linear RF Amplifiers
>using sweep tubes in AB1 service... ie not classic grounded grid.

How is "classic grounded grid" any different than 'conventional'
grounded grid?

>If you want real rf power tubes, you jump to a whole differen ocean... but
>why go off topic (again).

Not a different ocean at all, Skippy. The 6146 is a 'real' RF power tube. That's what it was designed to do, even in it's ancestral form of the 807. The 7984 is also a 'real' RF power tube, it may be 'boaring' by your standards, but it can put out a good 50 watts continuous duty FM, so it certainly isn't a slouch. But here's a real kicker for ya, Skippy: the 5763/6417 is a 'real' RF power tube, good for a whopping 15 watts!

And Swan put out many amps with 'real' RF power tubes for the amateurs that had a little extra cash. In fact, their sweep tube amps were nothing more than poor cousins (less expensive duplicates) of their linears with the 'real' RF power tubes.

Get it straight, Skippy: This isn't off topic, it's EXACTLY on topic.

>: And again the results are marginal, since the power dissipation of a
>: pentode is reduced when it is wired as a triode, mainly to limit the
>: dissipation from the screen grid. The result is that an 8950 is only
>: good for maybe 70 watts on AM with 20 watts of drive (Class B), which
>: is terrible considering a 6146 will do about the same output in AB1
>: (no drive power). And an 812A will do more than twice that with plenty
>: of headroom -- grounded-grid!
>
>That is why you don't see rf amplifiers wired with the screen grid tied to
>the plate/anode as you described before. Its seen in tube audio amps, but
>not in RF amps... other circuit layouts are very practical and used.

Oboy, I can hardly wait until you finally get a look at a few schematics. You CAN read schematics, can't you Skippy?

>The amplifier is also designed for the mode (am, ssb, cw, fm... etc).

CW, AM and FM are switched down for reduced power so you don't melt the plates; SSB is put on a keying delay so the relay doesn't chatter. They all do it that way. What's your point?

>Four or six sweep tubes in GG service can easily give +10dB increase. Very
>nice, very cost effective and very linear when done right.

+10 dB might be a little high, but still in the right ballpark. Of course if the tube was linear it could be run AB1 common-cathode and wouldn't need any drive power at all...

>New tube costs circa 70's & 80's found rf rated 6146 tubes priced >+3dB
>above sweep tubes. Doesn't take a math major to figure what the results
>were... lots of sweep tube amps right along side their big brothers. The
>812a was not available or sold in cost effective volume levels to compete
>with sweep tubes, else we would have seen more of them.

807's were a dime a dozen. 6146's weren't that expensive, either, certainly not twice the price of sweep tubes. You know why sweep tubes were considered 'cheap'? Because a lot of people had them in their TV

sets, and when the set went on the blink many of the TV shops would
talk the owners into replacing the sweep tube as a precautionary
measure. Other TV owners would replace the sweep tube not knowing that
the problem was really a bad flyback. And there were quite a few
unscrupulous techs that would claim a bad sweep tube in order to sell
them a new one. So for those and other reasons, there were plenty of
perfectly good sweep tubes all over the place. I remember stopping by
the local thrift shop and they had bins upon bins of them (kinda sad
to think about it now). But you didn't see too many 6146's being
tossed into those bins. THAT's why sweep tubes were cheap, not because
of the price.

>: As I said before, and will continue to say, the sweep tubes were used
>: because they were cheap, and that's all.
>
>That's not all, but we will agree to disagree...

Oh, that's right, they have a higher mu than a damp sponge.

>:>Your theory and experience shows to be a little thin on the topic...
>
>: Oh really? What TV used the 6146 OR the 8950 for ANY circuit?
>
>You keep going back to that... I give, you tell me..? Someone once told
>me in a different forum, they had a Heathkit TV with a 6146 in the
>horizontal output section. I didn't care to argue with them about it, I
>mentioned it in another post as an example only... also with known
>applications of the 6146 in an SVT guitar amp (of which I have worked on).
>If you want to dance and sing on an old example fine, but the band will
>wait while you look the fool.
>I never claimed to see the 8950 in any TV, you like to skew words quite a
>bit.

Whoa, hold on there, butt-wad! I'm not skewing ANYTHING here! You made
a claim, several of them as a matter of fact, and you keep trying to
avoid the issues because you can't back them up and can't admit you
were wrong. So don't lay that 'word-skewing' bullshit on ME!

> But you claimed not sweep tube was ever made for RF service, then
>changed your tune about the 8950 being "half-assed" by GE. I find no data
>sheet with "half-assed" in the ratings... nor have I seen it mentioned on
>a circuit diagram.

That's because the 8950 isn't a sweep tube, it's an RF tube that was
designed FROM a sweep tube. YOU were the one that made the claim that
the 6146 and 7984 (and any other beam tetrode) were all sweep tubes!

>:>Most of the classic consumer amplifier circuits used sweep tubes in low mu
>:>operation. I would not label that a generic triode operation.
>
>: What else would you call it?
>

>Triode operation is a bit liberal...

Let's see now, since the screen and suppressor are tied to the plate, that makes one (1) element; the grid is grounded for the second (2) element; and the input signal is fed to the cathode, the third (3) element. That's three elements total. How many wheels are on your tricycle, Skippy? One? Five? How many elements are in a triode? Two? Four? Well, maybe it's not really working as a triode if there are more than three elements.... hmmm, no more elements! Now if it isn't working as a tetrode or pentode (no screen or suppressor action), and it certainly isn't working as a diode (since it has amplification), and it would be silly to call it a hexode or heptode, then what else would you call it, Skippy?

>: Grounded-grid is common for power triodes, not for power tetrodes or
>: pentodes.
>
>Depends on the tube geometry and what you define as common. It was common
>to see the 4cx250b in grounded grid operation, although not the best
>choice.

It was not that common, but the tetrodes have been used in grounded-grid circuits, but only when the screen was tied to the plate. You know, TRIODE operation! You can't run a screen in a grounded-grid circuit! Where in the HELL did you go to school?

>: Regardless, the radios still used the sweep tubes in
>: common-cathode fashion, complete with tuned grids and heavy
>: neutralization, and then they were run at very conservatively even in
>
>Common now, you can do better than than... Use the better labels of AB1,
>AB2 and your more on target.

You can't read, Skippy. I was talking about common-cathode. You can run an amp stage at A, AB1, AB2, B, BC or C whether it's configured as a common-cathode OR grounded grid. Why do you keep trying to divert the topic?

> Each mode implies a classic circuit layout
>for most designs (with variations). Nothing is in stone, it is easy to
>see some nice variations of broad band input (not tuned input) and no
>neutralization if you get away from the "radio" part. You jump around
>within various layouts and we/I have to chase you down in each area.

Example: the Swan 500 used the 6HF5's in common-cathode, Class AB1. It required a tuned grid and heavy neutralization just to make it linear enough for SSB service (and it still isn't very linear). Matter of fact, much of the neutralization comes from the small RF cage and the close proximity of the plate circuit to the tubes. The rest comes from a variable cap that's about four times the size of one needed for a pair of 6146's.

Now, is there something you don't understand about that?

>Radios often found sweep tubes in tetrode service, its all about stage
>gain at the point in the application (radio power amplifier service).

When a sweep tube is used in place of a RF rated tetrode/pentode, it's
not about stage gain, it's about price. At least it was in the days
they were being built.

>: SSB: Swan's version of AM is SSB + carrier, or one sideband less than
>: A3. Even with that advantage, a pair of 6HF5's are only good for 125
>: watts! If that doesn't give clue you into the poor RF characteristics
>: of sweep tubes then nothing will.
>
>Depends on the radio.. your talking a Swan 350 that I know about which did
>not include AM in a version that I've seen. But they made a heck of a lot
>of different radios.

You mean that you haven't even read the manual for your own radio?
Good grief! Look at page 10 under Transmitter Tuning, you will see a
title that says "AM OPERATION (Single Sideband With Carrier)".

>I would say that size, cost, cooling, gain and overall preformance more
>than qualify a 6HF5 for the application. So did Swan and they used it in
>thousands of radios.

Swan made quality low-cost radios. The main reason they kept the price
down was because they used sweep tubes. Generally, sweep tube rigs
were considered 'low-end' radios, but I hate to use that term for Swan
because the radios were very well built, even if they did lack the
features of high-end radios.

>If you truely understood the engineering of the majority of Swans radios,
>you'd see they were designed for SSB and CW service. Swan manuals I have
>here clearly mention the differences vs AM operation. By the way,
>Modified A3 operation as done on the siltronix radio works well, less
>bandwidth. A real plus...

But you didn't read the manual far enough, Skippy. The sweep tubes
don't have the kind of plate dissipation for anything else BUT CW and
SSB. Because of that, you can't use any kind of limiting, compression
or other speech processing because that would send the average power
beyond the limits of the tube. Not only that, but when the peaks on
SSB draw grid current, the output distorts like gangbusters, even
AFTER the pi filter! That's one of the biggest problems with sweep
tubes, and one problem even Swan couldn't fix.

As far as SSB + carrier having less bandwidth, that's really a
misnomer: the advantage of the narrow bandwidth of SSB is because of
the bandwidth of the RECEIVER, not the transmitter. If you are
receiving AM, the bandwidth is the same REGARDLESS of whether the
transmitted signal is missing one sideband or not!

>When you change to high duty cycle modes (AM), typeical hf ssb amplifiers
>have reduced ratings regardless of the tube type.

Yes they do. Part of that is the difference between RMS and PEP. IOW, the average (not PEP) power used in SSB is pretty close to the RMS power in AM. The Swans are no different. But that's not my point: My point was that the same power could have been just as easily had, in true A3, with a pair of 6146's, which have a lower plate dissipation than the 6HF5's. This means that the sweep tubes are not nearly as efficient as 'equivalent' RF power tubes. Typically, that efficiency is lost trying to make the tube linear. The compensation for using sweep tubes was the price, but that's no longer the case.

> Swan was able to make
>good quality amplifiers and radios using sweep tubes which met the fcc
>requirements and preformed well.

Yes they did. Although the ALC circuit was pretty weak, and didn't do much of a job preventing grid current. And they could have put in a better ANL instead of one that sounds like it's digitizing the voice. I still don't understand why they put the key jack and S-meter adjustment in the back, or why there is a VOX switch on the front panel when the circuit wasn't even built into the radio. It might have been good to put a cap on the B+ line at the power supply socket. It would have been a REALLY good idea to shield the audio line in the power supply cable. And what's up with their cramming the AGC tube under the S-meter so it can't even stand up straight? Speaking of the S-meter, I really think they could have redesigned the AGC so the meter doesn't peg when you turn down the RF gain. And running a plate supply line clear across the radio to a bypass cap isn't the way to prevent feedback. But yes, after replacing the C202 can and shielding the VCO voltage regulator from the PA driver, it's a pretty darn good radio.

>:>They were practical, cheap and suitable.... Mfgrs like Swan, Ameritron,
>:>Amp Supply, Dentron, Galaxy, Palomar, Pal, SBE, Tempo and others thought
>:>them to be quite suitable.
>
>: More like 'barely' suitable. Sweep tubes were suitable for the amateur
>: on a budget, which was quite chunk of the market (and still is).
>
>Hence they will be around for some years to come... in linear rf
>applications.

Only until people learn that the popularity of sweep tubes is not because of their performance, but because they were once a cheap substitute for real RF power tubes.

>: Why narrow it down? It all started with two simple questions: What TV
>: used the 6146, and what amps currently being manufactured are legal
>: for use in the US on 25 to 34 MHz. You can answer the questions or you
>: can continue ducking them by trying to "edit this down". Still having
>: fun?
>
>Don't have an answer for you about the TV question... so my original text
>you jerked out of the past has no example other than what I was told and

>stated about possibly a Heathkit Project TV using one. I have would not
>care to waste the time trying to find out. In the context of the
>original sweep tube text, it was meant to demostrate where and how easy
>tubes could be liberated from surplus sources... and examples of other
>applications where they might be found.
>
>Alpha Power makes a number of legal amplifiers which are fcc legal and
>will operate on 28.5Mhz.

Not legally.

> You are required to provide proof of a
>qualified license, no hardware modification is required.

Alpha Power (Crosslink, Inc) has only five units that are in the FCC's database. One is a microwave device, one is the 6-meter amp (PA6), and the other three are type-accepted only for amateur use. These three amps are the PA-85, PA-86 and PA-91B. The website lists only the PA-87A and the PA-99 (previously the PA-91B), neither of which have received type-acceptance for any service (not even the 99 because it has been modified from the 91B).

So not only are these amps not legal on 25 to 34 MHz, but they may not even be legal to sell in the US for amateur use (depending on the accuracy of FCC's online database).

Try again, Skippy.

>There is not really enough of a market to justify amp mfgrs making various
>models of generic amplifiers in the 25 to 34 Mhz band... if almost much
>of any market at all. One must also consider the "broad band" type of
>amplifier vs a specific legal application which tends to be a different
>type of amplifier layout.
>
>So we don't see a lot of direct market ads for said. Calling various
>mfgrs to purchase new broad band amplifier equipment for legal 25 to 34
>Mhz services is not realistic when you consider strict application
>of the FCC rules.
>
>But the FCC rules have guidelines that a few companies are willing to
>work with, should there be enough money to justify said venture. Alpha
>Power is one such company.

Wrong again. Quit sidestepping and back up your bullshit.

2417. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 01:32:35 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022213235.10511.00012871@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 141197

correct but i dont quote entire threads so i write from the top to bottom just like you'll read

>But you read from top to bottom..I hope.

2418. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 01:38:46 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022213846.10511.00012872@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 141198

ask them!

>
>timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
>>>>>Late yesterday your editor received a phone call from
>>Franck Petitjean, FK8FC, from Noumea, New Caledonia.
>
>Which editor? Editor of what?
>
>>He informed that he obtained the TX0AT
>>license for the two Italian operators (Paolo, IZ0EVI, and Luca,
>>IZ6DSQ) who are currently operating from the Chesterfields. Simon,
>>IZ7ATN, is not one of the TX0AT operators, as was originally
>reported. >Many have voiced their concerns and questions about the
>>CB/Freeband operation. Franck was able to confirm that
>>this type of operation is legal from New Caledonia
>
>Legal for *whom*? Licensed hams? Or unlicensed freebanders?
>
>>which would include the Chesterfield Islands. There is no
>>power limit and the band plan for this
>>activity must take place between 26.965 and 27.855 MHz. <<<
>
>Specifically what activity? Licensed hams DXing? Or unlicensed
>freebanders DXing?
>
>-A
>
>
>
>
>
>

2419. Re: hey jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 01:40:22 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <w2nt9.486$bS5.158@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141200

My email and posting abilities went south for a time. I will ask him
tomorrow! To tell you the truth,
I forgot it.

Jerry
Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021022195515.29153.00000381@mb-bg.aol.com...
> what did riley say about the long time Echo Charlie pirates on 6.670???
you
> said you were going to tell him, as if he didnt already know, so now i'm
> wondering what he said.
>
>
>
>

2420. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 01:45:59 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <M7nt9.488$bS5.120@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141205

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021022193859.29153.00000377@mb-bg.aol.com...
> jerry since ten meters is such a dx band what does living near the
interstate
> have to do with anything????

Because 10 is becoming more "iffy", and is sometimes in--sometimes out.
When it is "dead", I
still hear them. so I know they are local.

J

> >There are plenty of them on 10 if one takes the time to listen and
> >lives near an Interstate like
> >I do
>
>
>
>

2421. Re: NorthStar NS-3000 Mobile FORSALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 01:49:21 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021022214921.00677.00003491@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 141199

haha

Thatz-me-JP

2422. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 01:51:42 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <9dnt9.493$bS5.171@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141206

Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net> wrote in message
news:3DB5ABCB.F71987BF@hotnet.net...
>
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> >
> > Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DB5A1D7.9FE53C3A@hotnet.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > tools wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Well, I just sent my letter off also. I have asked that the FCC
ignore
> > the
> > > > few hams complaints about 10 meters. I have informed them that I
have
> > been
> > > > monitoring 10 meters , near a major highway, for "truckers" and
others,
> > and
> > > > in 5 months, I have heard one trucker conversation.
> > >
> > > You must be using the same crappy equipment that dickieboy
> > > crainumless does. I just turned on the TS-520 and as I type this I
> > > am hearing several trucker transmission on 28.085, another two
> > > truckers on 28.135, every other word is f***ing this and f***ing
> > > that, and more on 28.535, all on AM. The only Ham AM activity I
> > > hear is just above 29.0. You guys need to learn how to use a
> > > receiver.
> > >
> > > My letter to the FCC about the problem of illegal operators on 10
> > > meters will be in the mail tomorrow.
> > >
> > > > And that those truckers might have been hams.
> > >
> > > Did you ever hear them give a call sign? I bet not, as they most
> > > likely were illegal operators.
> >
> > HAH!! If they are operating below 28.300 on Ancient Modulation, they
ARE
> > illegal even if they
> > have a ticket!! That area is NOT for voice communications by
international

> > treaty, fer cripes' sakes! NO AM, NO SSB, NO FM. PERIOD! License
> > notwithstanding, if a ham is caught using
> > phone down there, he will get a warning/NOV/NAL depending on the
> > circimstances.
> >
> > Jerry
>
> Uh, Jerry, hams in other countries can use voice mode below 28.3,
> Canada for one.

I might be wrong, but unless I am mistaken, 28.000 to 28.300 is reserved for non-voice in this
Region. Aren't you speaking of 40 Meters where Canada has some phone privil eges below
7.150? Besides, there's nothing we can do about what other countries do. When I hear "Tan fer
thar, driver, ah know whutcha mean thar SQUEAK", it is NOT likely a ham but a domestic trucker. If it talks like a Cber, and squeaks like a CBer, it must be a CBer! LOL!

J

2423. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 01:52:43 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210221752.4e6267@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141201

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
>
>ask them!

So you don't really know, you just posted some crap and added your own
speculation to it, and now you want me to do the research to find out
the facts for you? No thanks.

-A

>
>timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
>>>>>Late yesterday your editor received a phone call from
>>Franck Petitjean, FK8FC, from Noumea, New Caledonia.
>
>Which editor? Editor of what?
>
>>He informed that he obtained the TX0AT
>>license for the two Italian operators (Paolo, IZ0EVI, and Luca,
>>IZ6DSQ) who are currently operating from the Chesterfields. Simon,
>>IZ7ATN, is not one of the TX0AT operators, as was originally
>reported. >Many have voiced their concerns and questions about the
>>CB/Freeband operation. Franck was able to confirm that
>>this type of operation is legal from New Caledonia
>
>Legal for *whom*? Licensed hams? Or unlicensed freebanders?
>
>>which would include the Chesterfield Islands. There is no
>>power limit and the band plan for this
>>activity must take place between 26.965 and 27.855 MHz. <<<
>
>Specifically what activity? Licensed hams DXing? Or unlicensed
>freebanders DXing?
>

2424. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 01:54:21 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022215421.10511.00012873@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 141202

knowing you, you probably keyed up and played FCC man lol

>Then something funny
>happened, the constant trucker chatter died off for a few minutes
>right after that. Hummm....

2425. Re... hey jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 01:56:38 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210221756.2cfffb70@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141203

Jerry Oxendine wrote
>My email and posting abilities went south for a time. I will ask him
>tomorrow! To tell you the truth, I forgot it.
>
>Jerry

Tim needs to know as he is planning on getting on tonite, LOL.

-A

Uncle Charlie wrote in message
news:20021022195515.29153.00000381@mb-bg.aol.com...
> what did riley say about the long time Echo Charlie pirates
>on 6.670??? you said you were going to tell him, as if he
>didnt already know, so now i'm wondering what he said.

2426. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 01:56:45 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db60118.19357492@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141204

In <3db5fbd5.18010456@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

<snip>
>Alpha Power (Crosslink, Inc) has only five units that are in the FCC's
>database. One is a microwave device, one is the 6-meter amp (PA6), and
>the other three are type-accepted only for amateur use. These three
>amps are the PA-85, PA-86 and PA-91B. The website lists only the
>PA-87A and the PA-99 (previously the PA-91B), neither of which have
>received type-acceptance for any service (not even the 99 because it
>has been modified from the 91B).

BTW, these amplifiers are not registered as "amplifiers", but as
"unlicensed non-broadcast station transmitters". Talk about
side-stepping...

2427. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 02:05:43 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <iqnt9.536$bS5.46@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141208

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021022195154.29153.00000380@mb-bg.aol.com...
> are you actually making contacts on top of them or are you just doing it
for
> doing its sake?
>

The answer is that I can call CQ to my heart's content right on top of an
illegal station complete with callsign. If no one answers, I can't help
that. If someone does, then I go right back with
R R R de K4XXX, TNX FER CALL, UR RST 579 (ETC). Illegal stations have
absolutely
NO protection from interference from legal and licensed stations. I can
even run a 1500 watt
test below 28.100 right on top of him if I want to. Sir, I don't give a
damn about those truckers;
they aren't supposed to be there to start with. Period! And if I "happen"
to f*** up his tan fer-ing
on 28 MHZ, then the better! FCC has already addressed this and, once again,
whether it suits you
or not, UNLICENSED INTRUDERS HAVE NO PROTECTION AGAINST A N Y INTERFERENCE
CAUSED BY LICENSED STATIONS. You can verify that by emailing
rholling@fcc.gov . And, yes, if I want to do it just for the hell of it, I
can, and there ain't a damned
thing that can be done about it as long as I ID and use the frequency,
including testing, in accordance with the existing regulations. If some
stupid idiot happens to be there illegally, and I
mess up his squeak-beep crap, T O U G H!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Jerry

> >tever I can as one individual to get rid of them. That includes
> >turning in ones I can prove are
> >operating there, running high-powered, fast CW right on top of their
> >signals, and writing letters to
> >whomever I can get to listen in Congress to ge
>
>
>
>

2428. CD's still remain availible

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 02:06:47 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Xunt9.19113$Mb3.670559@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 141207

$20.oo to 48 states

Plans on how to make your own base and mobile antennas, from quarter waves
to beams, quads, and MORE. (These files alone will pay for itself)

UNDERSTANDING coax, swr's, line loss, co-phasing, grounding and MORE. (you
could go to library, copy and forget where you put it..)

CD also includes tuning AND modifications on just about every cb as well as
most 10 meter radios. (for educational purposes) golderscrewdriver is what
$39.95? and then vol 2 , 3 ,4 another 100 bucks

Mic wiring to ALL popular cbs, D104, Sedelata, Turner, standard and of
course MORE. (heck, wanna know whats IN a D-104?)

PLL convertions, adding talk-back, swing kits, roger beeps and MORE
(go to your local shop and ask them to do it, what? more money?)

Packed with pictures, how toos, parts and MORE.

How bout takin a radio and electronics course? FREE
Now includes NTE's cross reference 10.0 program to find over 14,000 parts
!!!! FREE
Schematics, figs, how too's, plus a lot of Amplifier stuff, programs that'll
help you BUILD your own stuff..
need I say MORE? ok , What?
I added yet another program !!! SmartDraw..it allows you to make
professional schematics ect..I played around with it and you can save in
about 10 differant formats..even pdf , wow!

email for more info, over 130 megs of info in easy to understand format.

�.���)) -:�:-
�.�� .����))
George Kinzer
((��.�� ..��
-:�:- ((��
�.�

Those who want to be successful, will find a way,
those that don't, will find an excuse!

2429. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 02:19:30 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <fDnt9.615$bS5.313@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141209

Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:fmOdne2JY-ByaCigXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:Akht9.225$Be4.29@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > Just as those who didn't believe me about the Cheetah driver, there
> are
> > those who are going to minimize the idea that anything can or will
> be done
> > about it. The most likely scenario I am hearing
> > about right now is the re-visiting PL 106-521 (local enforcement) to
> add
> > some provisions to allow
> > the inspections of radio equipment at weigh stations by state DOT
> officers.
> > Truckers' Associations
> > lobbied hard against that in the original Bill, and got such
> inspections
> > exempted.
>
> Funny you should mention that Jerry. On channel 19 the other day, I
> was monitoring while driving about town, somebody was asking if there
> were random checks being made at the weigh stations, with FCC field
> agents looking for illegal radio equipment. That got several IMMEDIATE
> responses from a number of truck drivers. Then something funny
> happened, the constant trucker chatter died off for a few minutes
> right after that. Hummm....
>

Ya reckon thar's been some info a-leaking out? Seriously, this mess IS
going to get attention; you
can take that to the bank, Leland. Sadly, it is the few that cause hardship
for the many--just like the amplifier rules that came about in 78 (?) which
even affected us hams. The people wandering
about the bands with abandon are going to cause trouble for the majority of
CBers and draw atten-
tion to things that really don't even need attention. Who *really* cares
about a couple hundred watts on Channel 19 so long as they don't bother
adjacent bands or entertainment equipment? Who really cares if they use an
"export" radio on Channel 40 that has 20 or 50 watts? But NO,
they have to go plundering and polluting every damn thing else they can get
into. If they would just
stay OUT of others' pastures, nobody would really care. But they will keep

on....and on......and on
until ENOUGH is ENOUGH, and cause the crackdown that they think can't
happen. Such a thing
is getting closer, believe me. Billy Tauzin is aware of the issue, Mr
Walden is aware of it, ARRL is'
aware of it, of course, and a number of other Congresspeople are being made
aware of it as hams
get more and more damned fed up with it. Just like "they" said, Local
Enforcement laws couldn't be passed, but it did, and those locals that
choose to take advantage of it can do so....even if it hasn't exactly set
the woods on fire. The point is, things CAN be done, and they WILL be.

Jerry

>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>

2430. Realistic trc431

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 02:34:39 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021022223439.25009.00003947@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 141210

power mods needed

can anyone help me out

2431. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 02:44:07 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <X1ot9.4982$Ju.2699@news2.central.cox.net>
Source record: 141211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ralph D'Andrea" <K0RFD@gjbiz.com> wrote in message
news:1105_1035333262@netnews.attbi.com...
> And being on Coast-to-coast means he has to use the telephone. I'm not >
sure the judge wanted him doing THAT either.
>
>
>

Once, when he was arrested, he was shackled to a bench with his hand cuffs behind his back. The judge was so afraid of Kevin he wouldn't even undo the cuffs so Kevin could dial the phone to call an attorney! He told them the number and they dialed it then held the phone to his ear.

How do I know? Kevin told me.

They had reason to be afraid - once he showed me how to dial a special three digit number that would shut off all phones in a specific grid.

Nice guy but dangerous.....hehehe

Ken KG0WX

2432. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 02:55:55 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DB60FBB.8030500@cac.net>
Source record: 141213

yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:

>
> LOL! I would sure like to see an amp where all three grids are tied to
> ground. Got an example of that somewhere, Skippy? (You realize, of
> course, that this will be another of those lingering questions until
> you come up with proof!)

http://www.cbtricks.com/Amp/palomar/200base/palomar_200_base.pdf

Sorry, but I just hate to see someone gloat

>
> Now it's your turn (actually, it's been your turn for several months):
> show me JUST ONE amp that used pentodes where all three grids are
> grounded.

See above..

> It's possible to run Class AB2 using ANY tetrode or pentode. However,
> with a sweep tube, it's almost essential to run AB1 to avoid the
> severe grid current distortion. Swan even makes a point of this in
> their manuals.
>
>
>>and the extra
>>dc (bias, screen) supplies go away. A major trade of linearity for gain
>>uses a larger scope of factors. Get away from the tube audio stuff and
>>come back to the rf world...
>>
>
> You mean the world where all three grids are grounded?
>

See above

>

>
And no, I'm not Skippy.

-SSB

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

2433. Motorola Mocat 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 02:59:25 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ap52rh$rm9ge$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 141212

Hi all,

I recently purchased a fully functional Motorola Mocat 40 CB at a hamfest for $1.00. I just picked it up out of the box and the guy behind the table said "one dollar for that." So I took him up on the offer, thinking it was a VHF business band radio or something. I was surprised to find out that it is a CB. No mike or power cord, but a good deal for a buck.

Now my question is, there is a button on the front marked "Extend." It doesn't seem to do much of anything. Can anyone tell me what it does?

And does anyone out there have a power cord for this radio?

Tom

2434. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 03:02:03 UTC
From: Georges Mom <who-carez@apathy.net>
Message-ID: <urc4987mcfn685@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141214

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:XHmdnXrYzp90byigXTWcpw@comcast.com...
>
> "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:Yv3t9.7695$071.689864@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > I'm a ham, and I am not bothered by the some truckers talking on 10
> meters.
> > Just thought someone should let folks know that ALL hams do not have
> the
> > constapation problems that a few hams here do.
> > No, I will not post my call sign here. If the call sign hunters are
> bothered
> > by that, GOOD!
>
> Without a callsign for all we know you're a "Polish-Ham" in a can.

JJ might beg to differ...

>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL Member
> NCI Member
> --
> "We cannot tell what may happen to us in the
> strange medley of life. But we can decide
> what happens in us - how we take it,
> what we do with it - and that is what
> really counts in the end."
>
> Joseph Fort Newton
>
>

2435. Re: hey jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 03:14:58 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DB61432.3030405@cac.net>
Source record: 141215

Is that why you didn't have anything to say about my revelation that I pull rail containers for a living, Jerry?

Oh, and howcome I never got an email back from you about those screwdriver antennas I was asking about? I know, it was months ago, but still.

-SSB

73 de KR8LR, Chris

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> My email and posting abilities went south for a time. I will ask him
> tomorrow! To tell you the truth,
> I forgot it.
>
> Jerry
> Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021022195515.29153.00000381@mb-bg.aol.com...
>
>>what did riley say about the long time Echo Charlie pirates on 6.670???
>>
> you
>
>>said you were going to tell him, as if he didnt already know, so now i'm
>>wondering what he said.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

2436. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 03:22:19 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuf0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB615EB.1EEB0FC4@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141231

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> the only thing i find the ten meter band usefull for is its beacons

Since you don't have a ham license timmyboy, that is about the
only thing you could find 10 meters useful for.

2437. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 03:27:01 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB61705.B7D3B65B@hotnet.net>
Source record: 141232

'Doc wrote:
>
> "Sir Circumference",
> I certainly can't speak for everyone, but the ones
> I've heard between 28 and 28.3 Mhz haven't been some of
> our neighbors N., or S. of us. They spoke the same
> 'brand' of english that you hear on ch-19 or the other
> channels. You're right, other countries don't have the
> restrictions that we do here in the US, but, to be honest,
> I don't think I can remember ever hearing anyone on one
> of the voice modes below 28.3 Mhz who does have that
> privilege.

I often hear VE's (Canadian hams) below 28.3.

2438. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 03:27:58 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DB6173E.BBDC3025@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 141216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> They had reason to be afraid - once he showed me how to dial a special three
> digit number that would shut off all phones in a specific grid.
>

That's gotta be an urban legend. The phone company wouldn't be that
dumb. Random people dialing wrong numbers would eventually hit
that 3 digit number....

2439. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 03:30:26 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB617D2.A028E78C@hotnet.net>
Source record: 141234

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>
> I might be wrong, but unless I am mistaken, 28.000 to 28.300 is reserved for
> non-voice in this
> Region. Aren't you speaking of 40 Meters where Canada has some phone privil
> eges below
> 7.150?

No, Canadian hams can legally use voice below 28.3, I hear them
down there quite often.

Besides, there's nothing we can do about what other countries do.
> When I hear "Tan fer
> thar, driver, ah know whutcha mean thar SQUEAK", it is NOT likely a ham but
> a domestic trucker. If it talks like a Cber, and squeaks like a CBer, it
> must be a CBer! LOL!

Absolutely, it isn't hard to identify the illegal ops, all you
have to do is listen a few seconds and it becomes obvious they are
not hams.

2440. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 03:32:34 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB61852.D3F1C9AC@hotnet.net>
Source record: 141235

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> are you actually making contacts on top of them or are you just doing it for
> doing its sake?

What does it matter? He isn't causing any illegal interference as
you can't interfere with an illegal transmission. They have on
right to be there so if they receive any interference, too bad.

2441. Re: hey jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 03:39:19 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022233919.11080.00003730@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 141218

thanks. i'm curiously awaiting. :)

>My email and posting abilities went south for a time. I will ask him
>tomorrow! To tell you the truth,
>I forgot it.
>
>Jerry

2442. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 03:40:04 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022234004.11080.00003731@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 141219

i DO know. the only person in doubt it aaron h voobner.

>So you don't really know, you just posted some crap and added your own
>speculation to it, and now you want me to do the research to find out
>the facts for you? No thanks.
>
>-A

2443. Re: Re... hey jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 03:41:03 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022234103.11080.00003733@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 141217

aaron, it wouldnt be anything new. when will you be on HF anywhere legal or not?

>Tim needs to know as he is planning on getting on tonite, LOL.
>
>-A

2444. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 03:41:16 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB61A5C.FFC67A7C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141236

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> ask them!

Ah, here it is again, the 'I don't really know' so put the onus on someone else to prove what he can't and look it up (ask them) yourself trick.

2445. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 03:42:07 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022234207.11080.00003735@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 141220

11 is open everyday. whats wrong with ten? i guess all you guys are busy on 085 ready tp pounce on truckers with cw instead of working and using a good band. what a shame.

> Because 10 is becoming more "iffy", and is sometimes in--sometimes out.
>When it is "dead", I
>still hear them. so I know they are local.
>
>J
>

2446. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 03:42:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB61A93.CFBEAEDA@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141237

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> i DO know. the only person in doubt it aaron h voobner.

I am in doubt. Who timmyboy? As you keep saying, prove it.

2447. Re: 250 foot coax run

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 03:44:40 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022234440.11080.00003736@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 141222

sorry mopar, better just go ahead and rob errr break the bank for this one

>
>
>I know I've asked you guys this before,but which coax do you want for a
>250' run..without brakeing the bank?
>
>LMR ?
>
>--
>God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
>------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
>go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service
>
>
>
>
>
>

2448. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 03:46:12 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8Yot9.5135$Ju.3427@news2.central.cox.net>
Source record: 141221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3DB6173E.BBDC3025@ix.netcom.com...
> >
> > They had reason to be afraid - once he showed me how to dial a special
three
> > digit number that would shut off all phones in a specific grid.
> >
>
> That's gotta be an urban legend. The phone company wouldn't be that
> dumb. Random people dialing wrong numbers would eventually hit
> that 3 digit number....
>

All I can say is I tried it from a pay phone and it worked. All the pay
phones went dead and my home line 3 blocks away also went dead. They all
came back inservice after 5 minutes.

Ken

2449. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 03:47:44 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022234744.11080.00003738@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 141223

i wasnt questioning your legalities i was just wondering about your sanity. it seems like you get as much joy from it as a channel 19 dead keyer. sure your in the right radio service? seems to me i'd rather be somewhere enjoying my hobby instead of jamming truckers who are likely too close to each other to be bothered anyways. but whats all this talk of 1500 watts? i thought that wasnt ever neccesary? with all the bands and freqs and modes you choose to be right where the trouble is and no where else. thats strange. are you the official defender of ham radio or are you just kinda pretending to be?

>The answer is that I can call CQ to my heart's content right on top of an
>illegal station complete with callsign. If no one answers, I can't help
>that. If someone does, then I go right back with
>R R R de K4XXX, TNX FER CALL, UR RST 579 (ETC). Illegal stations have
>absolutely
>NO protection from interference from legal and licensed stations. I can
>even run a 1500 watt
>test below 28.100 right on top of him if I want to. Sir, I don't give a
>damn about those truckers;
>they aren't supposed to be there to start with. Period! And if I "happen"
>to f*** up his tan fer-ing
>on 28 MHZ, then the better! FCC has already addressed this and, once again,
>whether it suits you
>or not, UNLICENSED INTRUDERS HAVE NO PROTECTION AGAINST A N Y INTERFERENCE
>CAUSED BY LICENSED STATIONS. You can verify that by emailing
>rholling@fcc.gov . And, yes, if I want to do it just for the hell of it, I
>can, and there ain't a damned
>thing that can be done about it as long as I ID and use the frequency,
>including testing, in accordance with the existing regulations. If some
>stupid idiot happens to be there illegally, and I
>mess up his squeak-beep crap, T O U G H!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2450. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 03:55:46 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB61DC2.2D97BA27@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141238

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> richard cranium has admitted its not his real name. has voobner?

Yes, voobner has admitted that richard cranium is not his (richard cranium) real name.

2451. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 03:58:03 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB61E4B.6C174AC@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ken Bessler wrote:
>
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3DB6173E.BBDC3025@ix.netcom.com...
> > >
> > > They had reason to be afraid - once he showed me how to dial a special
> three
> > > digit number that would shut off all phones in a specific grid.
> > >
> >
> > That's gotta be an urban legend. The phone company wouldn't be that
> > dumb. Random people dialing wrong numbers would eventually hit
> > that 3 digit number....
> >
>
> All I can say is I tried it from a pay phone and it worked. All the pay
> phones went dead and my home line 3 blocks away also went dead. They all
> came back inservice after 5 minutes.

Yea right.

2452. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 03:59:12 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021022235912.11080.00003742@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 141224

frankie, this is classic comedy :))

>Let's see now, since the screen and suppressor are tied to the plate,
>that makes one (1) element; the grid is grounded for the second (2)
>element; and the input signal is fed to the cathode, the third (3)
>element. That's three elements total. How many wheels are on your
>tricycle, Skippy? One? Five? How many elements are in a triode? Two?
>Four? Well, maybe it's not really working as a triode if there are
>more than three elements.... hmmm, no more elements! Now if it isn't
>working as a tetrode or pentode (no screen or suppressor action), and
>it certainly isn't working as a diode (since it has amplification),
>and it would be silly to call it a hexode or heptode, then what else
>would you call it, Skippy?

2453. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 04:01:03 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db61d3f.30043@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141225

In <3DB60FBB.8030500@cac.net>, SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote:

>
>
>yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>
>>
>> LOL! I would sure like to see an amp where all three grids are tied to
>> ground. Got an example of that somewhere, Skippy? (You realize, of
>> course, that this will be another of those lingering questions until
>> you come up with proof!)
>
>
>http://www.cbtricks.com/Amp/palomar/200base/palomar_200_base.pdf
>
>Sorry, but I just hate to see someone gloat

Strangely enough, I'm not suprised. Considering that when the screen
is tied to the grid the amplification factor is 4.4 (very low), and
connecting it to ground is only going to make that number lower. So
that amp can't have much gain, which is a terrible waste of those
tubes which are capable of so much more. And the low gain also limits
it's dissipation, so that's why the grids don't melt. But I'll bet
it's really smooth through every one of those six big decibels!

>> Now it's your turn (actually, it's been your turn for several months):
>> show me JUST ONE amp that used pentodes where all three grids are
>> grounded.
>
>
>See above..

It's too bad Skipp couldn't come up with that on his own.

>> It's possible to run Class AB2 using ANY tetrode or pentode. However,
>> with a sweep tube, it's almost essential to run AB1 to avoid the
>> severe grid current distortion. Swan even makes a point of this in
>> their manuals.
>>
>>
>>>and the extra
>>>dc (bias, screen) supplies go away. A major trade of linearity for gain
>>>uses a larger scope of factors. Get away from the tube audio stuff and
>>>come back to the rf world...
>>>
>>

>> You mean the world where all three grids are grounded?
>>
>
>
>See above

I guess you didn't check the references I listed, did you?

>And no, I'm not Skippy.

Who said you were?

2454. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 04:04:48 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023000448.11080.00003745@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 141226

>AOL. ;)

that says it all. its for us people that want to be online without ever seeing the internet :)

2455. Re: Motorola Mocat 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 04:06:36 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023000636.11080.00003747@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 141227

sounds like an RF gain/ attenuator button

>
>Hi all,
>
>I recently purchased a fully functional Motorola Mocat 40 CB at a hamfest
>for $1.00. I just picked it up out of the box and the guy behind the table
>said "one dollar for that." So I took him up on the offer, thinking it was
>a VHF business band radio or something. I was surprised to find out that it
>is a CB. No mike or power cord, but a good deal for a buck.
>
>Now my question is, there is a button on the front marked "Extend." It
>doesn't seem to do much of anything. Can anyone tell me what it does?
>
>And does anyone out there have a power cord for this radio?
>
>Tom
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2456. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 04:06:36 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <ap578001ef2@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 141229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Urban legend.

--

Regards,
Joe S.

"Ken Bessler" <kg0wx@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:8Yot9.5135$Ju.3427@news2.central.cox.net...
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3DB6173E.BBDC3025@ix.netcom.com...
> > >
> > > They had reason to be afraid - once he showed me how to dial a special
> three
> > > digit number that would shut off all phones in a specific grid.
> > >
> >
> > That's gotta be an urban legend. The phone company wouldn't be that
> > dumb. Random people dialing wrong numbers would eventually hit
> > that 3 digit number....
> >
>
> All I can say is I tried it from a pay phone and it worked. All the pay
> phones went dead and my home line 3 blocks away also went dead. They all
> came back inservice after 5 minutes.
>
> Ken
>
>

2457. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 04:10:30 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023001030.11080.00003748@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 141228

sparky, was that crow you ate boiled or fried?

>Strangely enough, I'm not suprised. Considering that when the screen
>is tied to the grid the amplification factor is 4.4 (very low), and
>connecting it to ground is only going to make that number lower. So
>that amp can't have much gain, which is a terrible waste of those
>tubes which are capable of so much more. And the low gain also limits
>it's dissipation, so that's why the grids don't melt. But I'll bet
>it's really smooth through every one of those six big decibels!

2458. Re: 250 foot coax run

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 04:58:02 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <I7CcnaxN5KzWsSugXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 141230

> >I know I've asked you guys this before,but which coax do you want for a
> >250' run..without brakeing the bank?

It's pretty straightforward, look at the loss per 100' and price it out.
If you hit a few hamfests, you can probably get LDF4-50 with the ends for
not too much money.
LMR-400 is pretty nice.

Remember that coax loss works both ways.

2459. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 05:26:12 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db6321a.1339236@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141233

In <20021022235912.11080.00003742@mb-me.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote:

>frankie, this is classic comedy :))

Timmy, you don't even have a clue as to what the conversation is about, and chances are you never will. Do yourself a favor: focus all six of your remaining brain cells on how you are going to con mommy and daddy for dope money next month and stay out of technical discussions.

2460. Re: 250 foot coax run

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 06:37:32 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DB643AC.87725A17@cwis.net>
Source record: 141240

Mopar',
For all practical purposes, something like RG-213 will work just fine. There will be some loss in a run 250 long, but for the dollar spent, that loss just isn't that much. I'm not sure what the 'LMR' equivalent would be...
'Doc

2461. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 07:04:59 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db644e4.987496@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141241

In <20021023001030.11080.00003748@mb-me.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote:

>sparky, was that crow you ate boiled or fried?

Maybe you would like a shot at this. 10 Questions for Tim Nebout:

1. What television ever used the 6146 or the 8950?

2. What make/model amplifier is currently being manufactured in the US for legal use in any service on any frequency between 25 and 34 MHz?

3. What is the "IB" service?

4. How is the triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode not like a triode?

5. What does "low-mu" describe?

6. What is the definition of a 'sweep tube'?

7. Because sweep tubes are generally beam tetrodes or pentodes, does that mean every beam tetrode or pentode is a sweep tube?

8. How does the linearity of a sweep tube compare to that of an RF power tube at RF frequencies?

9. Why were sweep tube amps so popular, aside from the price and availability of the sweep tubes?

10. How many sweep tube amplifiers are being produced these days?

If you can't answer those questions then butt out.

2462. Ten Questions for Skipp May

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 07:07:33 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db64a45.2363697@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141242

1. What television ever used the 6146 or the 8950 in any part of the horizontal or vertical sweep circuits?

2. What make/model amplifier is currently being manufactured in the US for legal use in any service on any frequency between 25 and 34 MHz?

3. What is the "IB" service?

4. How is the triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode not like a triode?

5. What does "low-mu" describe?

6. What is the definition of a 'sweep tube'?

7. Because sweep tubes are generally beam tetrodes or pentodes, does that mean every beam tetrode or pentode is a sweep tube?

8. How does the linearity of a sweep tube compare to that of an RF power tube at RF frequencies?

9. Why were sweep tube amps so popular, aside from the price and availability of the sweep tubes?

10. How many sweep tube amplifiers are being produced these days?

2463. We won't get the real facts from Skippy, that's for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 07:14:27 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db64bd5.2763716@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141243

In <aoq66f$5r7$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Be a Boobner Lap Dog or think
for yourself <voobnerisafakename@hotmail.com> wrote:

<snip>
>The news group would be better served if mr boobner could state facts as
>he knows them and provide supporting technical/factual material.

Speak for yourself, Skippy.

2464. range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 08:27:47 UTC
From: Raffi <RaffiA@socal.rr.com>
Message-ID: <74tt9.198895$U7.53912236@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 141244

what would the range on a 7 foot fiberglass firestik whip, with a Cobra 19 DX III be?

2465. Re: range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 10:43:53 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <J3vt9.66685$qM2.19299@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 141245

A lot of variables there. The location, terrain, the equipment, antenna, etc. of the person you are talking to...etc..etc. Should do quite well though. Good luck.

"Raffi" <RaffiA@socal.rr.com> wrote in message news:74tt9.198895$U7.53912236@twister.socal.rr.com...
> what would the range on a 7 foot fiberglass firestik whip, with a Cobra 19
> DX III be?
>
>

2466. Re: 250 foot coax run

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 10:45:50 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021023064550.06911.00010547@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 141246

Use LMR-400.I have a 350foot run underground to a 70 foot tower and 2cophased m-104's. Works great!Davis rf has the best prices on LMR-400 I think it was 52 -53 cents a foot.

2467. Re: 250 foot coax run

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 11:08:35 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <Tqvt9.13456$Z9.5990@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 141247

LMR-400 is a good choice, but Bury Flex by Davis RF is very close in performance, is much cheaper in price, and accepts standard PL-259s. Bury Flex it is!

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021022220341.391$3Q@newsreader.com...
> I know I've asked you guys this before,but which coax do you want for a
> 250' run..without brakeing the bank?
>
> LMR ?
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

2468. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 11:32:14 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB688BE.7664@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

I C P wrote:
>
> Aaron Voobner is a well know troll in the cb radio newsgroups.
> He is kinda like their version of "Elmer".
> Not quite Bullis.... but bad enough.

Nonetheless, he makes a valid point. Use of radios above 28.000 MHz, requires a valid ham license of at least a tech plus class, and the mode of operation below 28.300 is restricted to CW and data only. There should not be any voice traffic, certainly not using the AM mode, on frequencies such as 28.085.

The FCC has been made aware of the situation, and may be monitoring busy interstates for violations.

Dave

2469. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 11:48:43 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <PI2dnZFxI-IHESugXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 141248

"Georges Mom" <who-carez@apathy.net> wrote in message

>
> JJ might beg to differ...

So does your sister!

2470. Re: Re...HAMS USE ILLEGAL POWER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 11:54:40 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <jfacneiJ_rNjECugXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 141249

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> Hi, Georgie-Girl. Nice mis-quote you attribute to me.

Just like the mis quote you were attributing to me and now aaron. I guess it is ok for you to do it to me but I can to you LOL get lost Putz.

And you still
> don't know that "their" is possessive; the word you wanted (but
> couldn't spell) was "they're". But that wasn't what I said anyhow, so
> it's moot. Just like you.

And your still ducking the questions, and again is it ok for you to misquote me?

2471. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 11:58:23 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB68EDF.648C@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141255

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> >
> > > http://lists.contesting.com/_amps/2002-April/029832.html
> > > From: Steve Katz stevek@jmr.com
> > > Date: Thu, 18 Apr 2002 08:56:35 -0700
> > > Message: [Amps] CB amps on e-Bay
> > >
> > > Not harming us? Indeed they are. Here's how:
> > >
> > > -CBers causing RFI/TVI in residential neighborhoods. Ham applies for
> > > permit to erect a tower and is denied because the neighbors, who have
> > > experienced this RFI/TVI for years, all show up at the zoning board
> > > hearing and protest "enough is enough" based on their bad experiences.
> > > Ham gets denied his tower. (This isn't a dream, this happens daily
> > > throughout the U.S. -- many documented cases.)
>
> So do cellular telephone sites (just listen to many 2 meter repeaters
> which are QRMed by them!).

Cell phones run in the high 800 mhz range, or higher for PCS phones. For
something like that to interfere with a 2 meter repeater would take a
very strange case of intermod. Cell phone sites normally do not run very
high power because coverage is restricted to the area within the "cell".
It would not be conducive to proper spectrum management to allow the
coverage areas of the cells to overlap by too much.
For the record (as a repeater owner myself), I have never come across
any cases of interference to any 2 meter repeater caused by a cell site.
That doesn't mean it's not possible, but it certainly isn't as rampant
as you imply.

> So do some over-powered amateurs. Why do
> you try Aanal Aaron's lame trick of blaming EVERYTHING on CBers?

You really need to take off the rose colored glasses and stop huffing
twisty gas, and face up to reality. Yes, there are illegally powered
hams, yes, hams can cause interference, and yes, ham towers are
generally not considerd aesthetically appealing by the general public.
But it was CBers, or more accurately, illegally powered CBers, that made
TVI a household word. In the late 70's the amount of CBers outnumbered
hams by over 8 to 1, and that was based on licenses. There were many
more CBers who never obtained a license. Judging from the attitudes
expressed here on this newsgroup, it is easy to see that most of you
guys care little, if at all, about following FCC rules. So why is it so
hard for you to accept the fact that because CBers are more likely to

break the rules, illegally modify their rigs, and run high power, they
are also more likely to cause interference?

>
> > -CBers become dissatisfied with their measly 40 channel allocations
> > and
> > begin spreading out, or "freebanding" as they call it, on to 10m and
> > 12m
> > amateur bands, rendering those bands virtually useless in some areas.
> > I
> > hear it every single day, hundreds of examples per day, this becomes
> > thousands if the band's really open.
>
> Or they "become dissatisfied with their measley 40 channel
> allocations" and get a ham license. As far as "rendering (10 and 12
> meters) virtually useless", another poster (licensed amateur Jim
> Hampton) has pointed out that those bands are virtually UNUSED, so who
> is it hurting?

That depends on where you look. I've heard some fine sounding AM rigs on
29.000. There is FM repeater activity in the 29.6 area. And of course,
there is a ton of SSB activity when the band is open. There is also a
fair amount of CW traffice, in the area that is being encroached upon.

>
> If you're hearing it every day, why aren't you actively using the
> band(s) to prevent it instead of whining in a UseNet newsgroup which
> is read by only a very small handful of CBers to start with? Talk
> about wasting your time and effort!

I would tend to agree that this newsgroup isn't the best place to effect
a change. But what seems to keep perpetuating this topic is the
persistant denial by certain members of this group, that this problem
does not exist.

>
> > There probably isn't an amateur active on 10m and 12m anywhere in the
> > world who isn't aware of this bad and growing problem. If all the
> > CBers ran their legal power limit, the problem would still exist, but
> > it wouldn't be quite as loud. Since so many are running amplifiers,
> > it's worse.
>
> The first part of your first sentence says it all: "There probably
> isn't an amateur active on 10m and 12m in the world." So why the fuss?
> Use 'em or lose 'em.

That's not your call to make. That would be analogous to you parking
your car, and me saying, "hey, you're not using it, so I'll take it. Use
it or lose it".

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2472. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 12:03:08 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <qJ-cnXFT-rpnEiugXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 141250

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:wUkt9.194041$121.5420040@twister.austin.rr.com...

> Nah, George. What it actually says is that even racist, bigoted,
illiterate,
> insane morons like yourself can hold extra class licenses.

Wow thats some harsh words Randy, care to back them up with some hard facts
racist and bigoted LOL better than being a drug addict who lives a lie. Go
on with your bad self Randy. Remeber just because you say it doesn't make it
so.

2473. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 12:09:31 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB6917B.4ACD@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141256

Shaggy Dogg wrote:
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
>
> > --
> > If you are implying that people, who
> > hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> > held to a higher moral standard than
> > the "common man", then that would
> > be faulty logic. A ham license is only
> > a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
> > "badge of morality".
>
> This sig file is a compliment to Hams. In fact What it is saying is that
> people who try to hold those with Ham licenses to some higher moral standard
> just because they have a ham license is is faulty logic. So what it means
> is anyone can get a ham license there are no prerequisites, even low class
> Cbers, If you are a ham you are also able to screw up since your just like
> anyone else.

Actually, what it means is that even though obtaining a ham license
requires a certain set of skills, and some knowledge in radio theory, it
does not mean that you aren't tempted by the same vices that tempt
people who don't have ham licenses.

Having a ham license, in and of itself, does not mean that you are
automatically a person of a higher moral calibre. Although, most hams do
respect the rules of the service to a greater degree, because they had
to earn the privilege of operating there.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2474. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 12:13:54 UTC
From: No License Required <CBers@FreeRadiosCB.com>
Message-ID: <ap63ov$2pj$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 141251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Wannabe ham Jr Radio Rangers need to get a reality
check. CB amplifiers are not going away, today or
ever. www.1stopelectronics.com

hahahahahahhahahahahhahahah old fart fat hams!

Channel 32 Ring Master, lower side of 27880 freeband.

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0210201842.34bef323@posting.google.com...
> "blowupdoll" <fgrease3dee@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<3db2e5f8@snipnews.snip.net>...
> > "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:B9D80B09.BF4D%stewart@sc.rr.com...
> > >
> > > I just read Title 47, Sec. 2.815, of the United States Code of
Federal
> > > Regulations (47CFR2.815), which prohibits the sell of "any external
radio
> > > frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any
> > > frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz. For more information,
> > see...
> > > (scroll down to 2.815)
> >
> > YEAH...YEAH...YEAH...
> > Yada...Yada...Yada...
> >
> > Ban has been in place for Years but that
> > hasn't stopped me seeing one or two
> > of them for sale at virtually everh hamfest
> > I been to, and at weekend flea markets
> > in the Pa.NJ NY area. All you have to
> > do is sell them "for test bench signal amplifier
> > purposes" as a kit and the FCC can't touch
> > you. For an example see:
> >
> > http://www.cbcintl.com/TriPower.htm
> >
> > Know what also? I have NO PROBLEM with
> > these kind of sales. Their NOT illegal and only
> > hams with inflated egos seem to get upset
> > and like to play "radio cop" when such products are
> > marketed. There's alot other things to worry
> > about (like the looming loss of 440 mHz) that this stuff folks.

>
>
> by golly doesn't this Dwight Stewart fellow sound like our Aaron H.
> Voobner fellow....???? my, my same moronic content as our little
> buddy.........
> and they both appeared about the same time too, imagine that......some
> strange coincidences aren' they /????.........

2475. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 12:22:27 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7wwt9.196854$Fw2.5507218@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 141253

"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:qJ-cnXFT-rpnEiugXTWc2Q@comcast.com...
>
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:wUkt9.194041$121.5420040@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> > Nah, George. What it actually says is that even racist, bigoted,
> illiterate,
> > insane morons like yourself can hold extra class licenses.
>
>
> Wow thats some harsh words Randy, care to back them up with some hard
facts

You mean the like time when you stated black guys are not radio techs? Is
that what you mean?

2476. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 12:27:04 UTC
From: George <George@gaygeorge.com>
Message-ID: <3db694c5.59544470@news.stramps.de>
Source record: 141254

On Tue, 22 Oct 2002 15:10:09 -0400, "Shaggy Dogg"
<doggone@dog.comPton> wrote:

>
>"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
>news:ZOdt9.605$AT7.44236087@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>> Now I might be wrong, but the "AX" usually means
>> someone using Forte Agent,
>
>Well here is one that i found right off the bat from Sparky, i see no ax
>and It clearly states he uses Forte Agent like everyone else who uses agent.
>but only the perp would know what the perp used LOL

What a Twinkie, he uses Free Agent, not Agent. Agent usually puts an
"AX" in the message id unless you change some settings, Free Agent
doesn't. Get your head out yours and other guys asses George.

>
>From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com)
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>Subject: Re: Info on Galaxy 99V?
>Date: Wed, 09 Oct 2002 19:02:29 GMT
>Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
>Message-ID: <3da47d1a.2737736@news.cet.com>
>References: <3da3caf9.13532641@news.cet.com>
><20021009103440.04929.00000249@mb-cs.aol.com>
>X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/16.235
>X-Complaints-To: abuse@supernews.com
>
>
>
>
>

2477. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 12:29:02 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <cpritter@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <iCwt9.66510$md1.12168@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 141252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael Black wrote:

> It seems a shame, because it is neat that there's an overnight show
> that is different from the usual talk show circuit. But too often
> the show is about the esoteric, rather than the intriguing.
>

"Esoteric"? How about "balderdash", "junk science" and "nonsense"?

> By the way, according to a bulletin I saw the other day, Kevin Mitnick
> is selling off some of his computers, if I remember properly to pay
> his legal fees. Perhaps the timing of his appearance lastnight is
> connected with that.

His guests don't get paid! Bell's shows are ads for his guests' books, web sites and the bull hockey they sell.

--
*
* This Space For Hire
*

2478. Re: wanted super scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 12:33:14 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB6970A.6DCE@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141257

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> gpstopcon@aol.com (GpsTopcon) wrote:
> >> What do you mean WHY!!!! whats it for !!!
> > >
> You may be better off with a 5/8 wave ground plane. Besides the trouble
> they had with the switching relays in that gizmo.
>
> Are you going for the nostalgic look? ;)

I would tend to agree with Mopar. Unless there's a nostalgic reason why you'd want a Super Scanner, you'd be better off looking for a GOOD 5/8th wave omni, or a true beam.

The Super Scanner was supposed to be both an Omni, and a Beam. But like most compromises, it was never good at doing either. My personal experiences with it, showed me that in the "beam" mode, it slightly bested a 1/2 wave GP, that formerly occupied the top of the mast. In "omni" mode, it was lower than the 1/2 wave GP.

Also, as previously mentioned, there were problems with relays, the antenna wind profile was fairly high, and it would blow over easily if not mounted to a strong mast or tower.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2479. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 12:44:09 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tQwt9.66681$md1.12276@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 141259

We see quite a few trucks pass through my parts with multiple antennas. I'd say the exact percentage of trucks running at least 2 to 3 different antennas on one truck is:

"A fair amount, quite a few even"

I'd bet dollars to doughnuts the trucks I see have separate antennas for the different bands they run on.

Dave

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message news:20021022101050.24688.00011885@mb-mr.aol.com...
> well for (a) i highly doubt there switching antennas (b)no they dont. ask
> frank. he made a point of saying that mobile cb antennas are narrow banded (c)
> this is most likely whats happening if its happening at all and would almost
> prove the point that these guys are likely barefoot since running a amp into
> high swr's isnt going to work long
>
> >(a) they must be using separate 10 meter antennas now
> >(b) you are wrong, their CB antennas do fine on 10
> >(c) they operate with high swr on 10 and don't care
>
>
>
>

2480. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 13:21:08 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8nxt9.266$6F4.28656@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141260

Am I supposed to care?
"JJ" <radiobuf0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DB5AE1D.A02FD0D0@mailcity.net...
>
>
> tools wrote:
>
> > Yes, I am a ham, no, I will not post my call.
>
> Then according to timmyboy, you aren't a ham if you won't post a
> call, he wants proof! But on the other hand, you are pretty much
> taking the same side as timmyboy does about the illegal truckers
> on 10 so in that case he won't need any proof.
>

2481. Question, Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 13:30:03 UTC
From: show16mm@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12125-3DB6A45B-1@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141265

Is this the proper forum to post concerning something I have for sale? It is a radio item. I didn't want to intrude in this group with such a post if it would be breaking the regular practices of this group. Please inform. Thanks,

Paul

2482. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 13:31:43 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3xxt9.273$6F4.30141@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141261

Could be that some people , after getting their ticket, find that it was all BS. Their is more than enough room for the truckers, the "no codes", the advanced, the old farts, and the rest of the world. Which, by the way seems far less prone to attacks of analisim.
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:Mwjt9.478$Be4.283@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:dZht9.9813$U97.865885@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Well, I just sent my letter off also. I have asked that the FCC ignore the
> > few hams complaints about 10 meters. I have informed them that I have been
> > monitoring 10 meters , near a major highway, for "truckers" and others,
> and
> > in 5 months, I have heard one trucker conversation. And that those
> truckers
> > might have been hams. I just felt that the FCC needs to know that not all
> > hams have their underwear up to their armpits about this.
> > Yes, I am a ham, no, I will not post my call.
>
>
> Trouble is, RH already knows the extent of the problem regardless of how
> many hams don't
> object to intrusions of unlicensed ops on the the ham bands. I recently
> counted about 25 warnings
> on that subject alone. It does make me wonder when a ham (I only have your
> assertions on that)
> wants to counter efforts being made to protect spectrum against incursion.
> Hmmmmm, perhaps a
> no-code, VHF ham who uses CB and freeband as a substitute for HF? Maybe he
> operates on 10
> as well, and wouldn't want any "attention" turned his way? Hey, I DON'T
> know that. It is specu-
> lation! For one who has full access to all bands and all of the 10-12 Meter
> bands, it seems "curious" that anyone who has that privilege would wish to
> share that with bootlegger operators.
>
> Jerry
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:Akht9.225$Be4.29@news.bellsouth.net...

> > >
> > > Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > > news:15816-3DB55DB6-52@storefull-
> > > --
> > >
> > > <<snip>>
> > > I happen to agree with Paul on most points except the "no one doubts
> > > they exist" part.
> > > Only one party other than the usual troll brigade has admitted to
> > > hearing such banter and only one time in several years. Hardly a
problem
> > > and barely worthy of cbers ears.....as noted, it is usually the
> > > espanol-speaking drivers or those that haven't a clue or care where
they
> > > are.
> > > His points would be much better focused in a driver's forum. At least
> > > JerryO had the balls to go to such a forum and voice his opinion and
> > > yea, he took a buncha guff, but he stands by what he says with no
> > > pretenses concerning who he is, which has always given "aaron" sticky
> > > palms.
> > > "Aaron" has his plate way more than full right here, no way could he
not
> > > avoid the "shrinkage factor" if he were to take such an imagined
> > > "problem" head on.
> > >
> > > Why, Thank you TW!
> > >
> > > That's just me. If I think I am right about something, I will stand
> firm,
> > > say what I think and let the
> > > chips fall as it were. And, yes, I have been wrong before. This
time,
> I
> > am
> > > right to defend that which I believe is "mine" because I have a ticket
> to
> > > the party. It seems quite simple to me; if you
> > > want to join the party (10 meters and all of ham radio), then obtain
the
> > > ticket and be welcomed
> > > with open arms. Gate crashers are not welcomed at ANY party and
usually
> > get
> > > thrown out when
> > > detected. Same thing with truckers just taking over 10 or 12 meters
and
> > > filling those frequencies with their echos, "swang", and squeaks.
They
> > > don't belong there (without the license), and I will
> > > do whatever I can as one individual to get rid of them. That includes

> > > turning in ones I can prove are
> > > operating there, running high-powered, fast CW right on top of their
> > > signals, and writing letters to
> > > whomever I can get to listen in Congress to get something done about
it.
> > We
> > > now have a licensed
> > > ham on the House Committee on Communications (Greg Walden of Oregon),
> and
> > > when he is done
> > > with the bill now moving thru on antenna exemptions for hams, I can
see
> > the
> > > enforcement of the
> > > CB rules (where it pertains to illegal radios in trucks and out of
band
> > ops)
> > > coming to the forefront.
> > > Why? Because, even now, Mr Walden is receiving the first of letters
> > > (including mine) explaining
> > > what is going on in the ham bands WRT renegade truckers/CBers and
> getting
> > > "bugs" dropped in
> > > his ears as to what needs to be done to get those unlicensed ops out
of
> > > there. He is receiving some
> > > pretty darned good arguments from what I am told within the ham
> community.
> > > There has even been a recent discussion on www.qrz.com, I believe,
about
> > > this very issue. While some hams don't
> > > care (that's normal, you'll find people like that in any endeavor),
> there
> > > are enough people pissed off
> > > about it that you can bet it will not be allowed to continue.
> > >
> > > Just as those who didn't believe me about the Cheetah driver, there
are
> > > those who are going to minimize the idea that anything can or will be
> done
> > > about it. The most likely scenario I am hearing
> > > about right now is the re-visiting PL 106-521 (local enforcement) to
add
> > > some provisions to allow
> > > the inspections of radio equipment at weigh stations by state DOT
> > officers.
> > > Truckers' Associations
> > > lobbied hard against that in the original Bill, and got such
inspections
> > > exempted. Now that the intru-

> > > sions seem to be getting worse instead of better, you can be sure this
> > will
> > > get looked at again. The
> > > other thing is aggressive enforcement of bans on importation of
certain
> > > so-called "10 Meter" radios
> > > (you know the kind--the ones that have the readout for 10 Meters AND a
> > > "channel" display, AND
> > > a "band" switch A thru F) Yeah, we know what they are really for (wink
> > > wink). I am only one man. I can only do so much which is restricted
to
> > the
> > > above. Yet I am not alone, either. It may take time, but it WILL
> happen.
> > > Those people that think they can operate anywhere they like with
> > > impunity are dragging a rope behind them that will eventually run out
of
> > > slack and hang them. Think
> > > the Amateur bands are not "important", or do not warrant attention
from
> > the
> > > Feds? Those that believe that "we got us a war a-goin on and them
thar
> > Feds
> > > ain't got time to mess with CBers" are
> > > just WRONG. The opposite is true! The bands have taken on MORE
> > importance
> > > in light of the
> > > things going on around us and will be protected. Why? If those that
> > > operate illegally on those bands do so freely, what's to stop them
from
> > > taking another, and another, and another? THAT, my
> > > friends is not gonna happen! The frequencies WILL be defended.
> > >
> > > That is what i know, and I don't edit it. What those outlaws do on
the
> 40
> > > channels is their problem,
> > > not mine. But when the bandits get in MY "yard", it's different.
> > >
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Jerry
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

2483. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 13:37:49 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NCxt9.279$6F4.29404@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141262

Good shot!
"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021022193859.29153.00000377@mb-bg.aol.com...
> jerry since ten meters is such a dx band what does living near the
interstate
> have to do with anything????
>
> >There are plenty of them on 10 if one takes the time to listen and
> >lives near an Interstate like
> >I do
>
>
>
>
>

2484. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 13:40:25 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dFxt9.280$6F4.30732@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141263

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021022200335.29153.00000382@mb-bg.aol.com...
> >Opps, another bunch on 28.105 and 28.355, sure glad that illegal
> >operators on 10 is not a problem, eh tools.
> Not a problem. Seems that the anal members of the ham world are the
problem.
> from what you say sounds like theres more truckers on ten than there are
ham's.
> why is that?
>
>
>
>
>

2485. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 13:43:43 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jlxt9.281$6F4.31181@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141264

So?
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:XHmdnXrYzp90byigXTWcpw@comcast.com...
>
> "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:Yv3t9.7695$071.689864@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > I'm a ham, and I am not bothered by the some truckers talking on 10
> meters.
> > Just thought someone should let folks know that ALL hams do not have
> the
> > constapation problems that a few hams here do.
> > No, I will not post my call sign here. If the call sign hunters are
> bothered
> > by that, GOOD!
>
> Without a callsign for all we know you're a "Polish-Ham" in a can.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL Member
> NCI Member
> --
> "We cannot tell what may happen to us in the
> strange medley of life. But we can decide
> what happens in us - how we take it,
> what we do with it - and that is what
> really counts in the end."
>
> Joseph Fort Newton
>
>
>

2486. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 13:48:28 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MMxt9.287$6F4.31665@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141266

If it is "dead", why are the truckers a problem for you? If you cannot use
it for DX, why care who uses it in the downtime?
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:M7nt9.488$bS5.120@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021022193859.29153.00000377@mb-bg.aol.com...
> > jerry since ten meters is such a dx band what does living near the
> interstate
> > have to do with anything????
>
>
> Because 10 is becoming more "iffy", and is sometimes in--sometimes out.
> When it is "dead", I
> still hear them. so I know they are local.
>
> J
>
>
>
>
> > >There are plenty of them on 10 if one takes the time to listen and
> > >lives near an Interstate like
> > >I do
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

2487. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 13:50:43 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TOxt9.291$6F4.31622@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141267

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:9dnt9.493$bS5.171@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net> wrote in message
> news:3DB5ABCB.F71987BF@hotnet.net...
> >
> >
> > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > >
> > > Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3DB5A1D7.9FE53C3A@hotnet.net...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > tools wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Well, I just sent my letter off also. I have asked that the FCC
> ignore
> > > the
> > > > > > few hams complaints about 10 meters. I have informed them that I
> have
> > > been
> > > > > > monitoring 10 meters , near a major highway, for "truckers" and
> others,
> > > and
> > > > > > in 5 months, I have heard one trucker conversation.
> > > > > >
> > > > > You must be using the same crappy equipment that dickieboy
> > > > > crainumless does. I just turned on the TS-520 and as I type this I
> > > > > am hearing several trucker transmission on 28.085, another two
> > > > > truckers on 28.135, every other word is f***ing this and f***ing
> > > > > that, and more on 28.535, all on AM. The only Ham AM activity I
> > > > > hear is just above 29.0. You guys need to learn how to use a
> > > > > receiver.
> > > > >
> > > > > My letter to the FCC about the problem of illegal operators on 10
> > > > > meters will be in the mail tomorrow.
> > > > >
> > > > > > And that those truckers might have been hams.
> > > > >
> > > > > Did you ever hear them give a call sign? I bet not, as they most
> > > > > likely were illegal operators.
> > > >
> > > > HAH!! If they are operating below 28.300 on Ancient Modulation, they
> ARE

> > > illegal even if they
> > > have a ticket!! That area is NOT for voice communications by
> international
> > > treaty, fer cripes' sakes! NO AM, NO SSB, NO FM. PERIOD! License
> > > notwithstanding, if a ham is caught using
> > > phone down there, he will get a warning/NOV/NAL depending on the
> > > circimstances.
> > >
> > > Jerry
> >
> > Uh, Jerry, hams in other countries can use voice mode below 28.3,
> > Canada for one.
>
>
>
> I might be wrong, but unless I am mistaken, 28.000 to 28.300 is reserved
for
> non-voice in this
> Region. Aren't you speaking of 40 Meters where Canada has some phone
privil
> eges below
> 7.150? Besides, there's nothing we can do about what other countries do.
> When I hear "Tan fer
> thar, driver, ah know whutcha mean thar SQUEAK", it is NOT likely a ham
but
> a domestic trucker. If it talks like a Cber, and squeaks like a CBer, it
> must be a CBer! LOL!
>
> J
>
> You sure have a low quality sense of humor, LOL, LOL???????? Jeeeeezus
>
>

2488. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 13:52:54 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <WQxt9.294$6F4.29328@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141268

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:iqnt9.536$bS5.46@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021022195154.29153.00000380@mb-bg.aol.com...
> > are you actually making contacts on top of them or are you just doing it
> for
> > doing its sake?
> >
>
> The answer is that I can call CQ to my heart's content right on top of an
> illegal station complete with callsign. If no one answers, I can't help
> that. If someone does, then I go right back with
> R R R de K4XXX, TNX FER CALL, UR RST 579 (ETC). Illegal stations have
> absolutely
> NO protection from interference from legal and licensed stations. I can
> even run a 1500 watt
> test below 28.100 right on top of him if I want to. Sir, I don't give a
> damn about those truckers;
> they aren't supposed to be there to start with. Period! And if I "happen"
> to f*** up his tan fer-ing
> on 28 MHZ, then the better! FCC has already addressed this and, once
again,
> whether it suits you
> or not, UNLICENSED INTRUDERS HAVE NO PROTECTION AGAINST A N Y INTERFERENCE
> CAUSED BY LICENSED STATIONS. You can verify that by emailing
> rholling@fcc.gov . And, yes, if I want to do it just for the hell of it,
I
> can, and there ain't a damned
> thing that can be done about it as long as I ID and use the frequency,
> including testing, in accordance with the existing regulations. If some
> stupid idiot happens to be there illegally, and I
> mess up his squeak-beep crap, T O U G H!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> Jerry
>
>
>
>
> > >tever I can as one individual to get rid of them. That includes
> > >turning in ones I can prove are
> > >operating there, running high-powered, fast CW right on top of their
> > >signals, and writing letters to

> > >whomever I can get to listen in Congress to ge
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> OHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH!!!!!! Jerry, you are such a tough man!!! OHHHHHHHHHHHHH

2489. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 13:58:44 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <oWxt9.299$6F4.32557@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141269

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:fDnt9.615$bS5.313@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:fmOdne2JY-ByaCigXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:Akht9.225$Be4.29@news.bellsouth.net...
> > >
> > > Just as those who didn't believe me about the Cheetah driver,
I heard at the truck stop the other day, that some Cheeta driver thinks he
knows who caused his problem with the company. Seems that he found something
on the internet that was proof enough for him.
there
> > are
> > > those who are going to minimize the idea that anything can or will
> > be done
> > > about it. The most likely scenario I am hearing
> > > about right now is the re-visiting PL 106-521 (local enforcement) to
> > add
> > > some provisions to allow
> > > the inspections of radio equipment at weigh stations by state DOT
> > officers.
> > > Truckers' Associations
> > > lobbied hard against that in the original Bill, and got such
> > inspections
> > > exempted.
> >
> > Funny you should mention that Jerry. On channel 19 the other day, I
> > was monitoring while driving about town, somebody was asking if there
> > were random checks being made at the weigh stations, with FCC field
> > agents looking for illegal radio equipment. That got several IMMEDIATE
> > responses from a number of truck drivers. Then something funny
> > happened, the constant trucker chatter died off for a few minutes
> > right after that. Hummm....
> >
>
> Ya reckon thar's been some info a-leaking out? Seriously, this mess IS
> going to get attention; you
> can take that to the bank, Leland. Sadly, it is the few that cause
hardship
> for the many-
And YOU are part of those
-just like the amplifier rules that came about in 78 (?) which

> even affected us hams. The people wandering
> about the bands with abandon are going to cause trouble for the majority
of
> CBers and draw atten-
> tion to things that really don't even need attention. Who *really* cares
> about a couple hundred watts on Channel 19 so long as they don't bother
> adjacent bands or entertainment equipment? Who really cares if they use
an
> "export" radio on Channel 40 that has 20 or 50 watts? But NO,
> they have to go plundering and polluting every damn thing else they can
get
> into. If they would just
> stay OUT of others' pastures, nobody would really care. But they will
keep
> on....and on......and on
> until ENOUGH is ENOUGH, and cause the crackdown that they think can't
> happen. Such a thing
> is getting closer, believe me. Billy Tauzin is aware of the issue, Mr
> Walden is aware of it, ARRL is'
> aware of it, of course, and a number of other Congresspeople are being
made
> aware of it as hams
> get more and more damned fed up with it. Just like "they" said, Local
> Enforcement laws couldn't be passed, but it did, and those locals that
> choose to take advantage of it can do so....even if it hasn't exactly set
> the woods on fire. The point is, things CAN be done, and they WILL be.
>
> Jerry
>
> >
> > --
> > Leland C. Scott
> > KC8LDO
> >
> > Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
> >
> > "I am a
> > bomb
> > technician
> > if you see me
> > running
> > try to keep up "
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

2490. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:00:32 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4Yxt9.301$6F4.32820@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141270

How do you KNOW that?
"JJ" <radiobuf0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DB615EB.1EEB0FC4@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Uncle Charlie wrote:
> >
> > the only thing i find the ten meter band usefull for is its beacons
>
> Since you don't have a ham license timmyboy, that is about the
> only thing you could find 10 meters useful for.
>

2491. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:02:35 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%Zxt9.303$6F4.33074@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141271

True, though it seems that he IS being an ass
"Sir Circumference" <mrradio@hotnet.net> wrote in message
news:3DB61852.D3F1C9AC@hotnet.net...
>
>
> Uncle Charlie wrote:
> >
> > are you actually making contacts on top of them or are you just doing it
for
> > doing its sake?
>
> What does it matter? He isn't causing any illegal interference as
> you can't interfere with an illegal transmission. They have on
> right to be there so if they receive any interference, too bad.
>

2492. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:03:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13016-3DB6AC16-1@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141276

I might be wrong, but unless I am mistaken, 28.000 to 28.300 is reserved
for non-voice in this
Region. Aren't you speaking of 40 Meters where Canada has some phone
privil eges below
7.150? Besides, there's nothing we can do about what other countries do.
When I hear "Tan fer
thar, driver, ah know whutcha mean thar SQUEAK", it is NOT likely a ham
but a domestic trucker. If it talks like a Cber, and squeaks like a
CBer, it must be a CBer! LOL!
J

So labeling nocodes in the same manner is just as valid?

2493. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:04:32 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13010-3DB6AC70-47@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141275

Funny you should mention that Jerry. On channel 19 the other day, I was monitoring while driving about town, somebody was asking if there were random checks being made at the weigh stations, with FCC field agents looking for illegal radio equipment. That got several IMMEDIATE responses from a number of truck drivers. Then something funny happened, the constant trucker chatter died off for a few minutes right after that. Hummm....
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

That's those truck drivers...always polite and willing to give a guy a break...too bad they don't know you like we know you.....they would have kept on talking instead of listening to you get your rocks off by asking a stupid question like that.

2494. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:05:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13010-3DB6ACBB-48@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141273

Without a callsign for all we know you're a "Polish-Ham" in a can.
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
ARRL Member
NCI Member

Is that anything like a "tin" can, dot org?

2495. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:06:27 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <D1yt9.307$6F4.33380@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141272

Hope you have lots of dollars to give away.
"Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:tQwt9.66681$md1.12276@sccrnsc03...
> We see quite a few trucks pass through my parts with multiple antennas.
I'd
> say the exact percentage of trucks running at least 2 to 3 different
> antennas on one truck is:
>
> "A fair amount, quite a few even"
>
> I'd bet dollars to doughnuts the trucks I see have separate antennas for
the
> different bands they run on.
>
> Dave
>
> "Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021022101050.24688.00011885@mb-mr.aol.com...
> > well for (a) i highly doubt there switching antennas (b)no they dont.
ask
> > frank. he made a point of saying that mobile cb antennas are narrow
banded
> (c)
> > this is most likely whats happening if its happening at all and would
> almost
> > prove the point that these guys are likely barefoot since running a amp
> into
> > high swr's isnt going to work long
> >
> > >(a) they must be using separate 10 meter antennas now
> > >(b) you are wrong, their CB antennas do fine on 10
> > >(c) they operate with high swr on 10 and don't care
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

2496. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:07:57 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13011-3DB6AD3D-18@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141277

It's easier than you may think, and may not work every time. Many times when the pirate ops are on 10m they get hammered by Hams using CW, calling DX and using their CALLSIGN. Most Hams keep a logbook of some sort. All you need do is contact one of the Hams heard ID'ing, have them check their log and verify what frequency they were on the date and time in question. If it matches what is claimed for the recording I think its fair to say it hasn't been doctored. Its not perfect but in some cases there is way.
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"I care about truth not for truth's sake but for my own."
    Samuel Butler

Sure, it's easy. Something else it is you failed to mention......those two little words that haunt your wish
list.............................reasonable doubt.
--
"I can give you a diploma but I can't give you a brain." - The Wizard of Oz

2497. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:09:34 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13010-3DB6AD9E-49@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141274

The frequency thing is a problem. The FCC does accept tape recordings at least as a first step in doing their own investigations. When the FCC field engineer takes the stand and says that so-and-so was on xx.xxx MHz the judge, and jury if any, will most likely believe him as an expert witness.
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Stand? Judge? Freebanders appearing in court? LOL! It just doesn't happen that way.
If one is allegedly recorded on an unauthorized frequency it MAY or may not be investigated. If it is investigated and determined by an authorized field agent that the law was violated, an NAL may be forthcoming after a warning, especially in the context you speak of (freebanding).

2498. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:12:39 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13014-3DB6AE57-5@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141283

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote: Moparholic wrote:
>Good point,Doc. Maybe he should try telling the people in
misc.transport.trucking . <<
<
--

You stop that, dammit! <g>

>Why? He's bitching about Truckers. Any Truckers using 10m without a
license,in this newsgroup?
If not,then take the topic to a Trucking group,no?<<
<

Actually,,,yes. I had just posted the same thing yesterday and the very
next post that came up on my newsreader was yours telling him exactly
what I just told him, to "take it to the trcukcing forum"...hence the
"<g>" that is generally accepted as meaning "grin" in emoticon language.

2499. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:17:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13010-3DB6AF93-50@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141284

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
I C P wrote:
Aaron Voobner is a well know troll in the cb radio newsgroups. He is kinda like their version of "Elmer". Not quite Bullis.... but bad enough.
Nonetheless, he makes a valid point.
Dave Hall
N3CVJ
Practicing Socialist
--
The only valid point "aaron" ever made was when he claimed that if he went away, yourself, Frank Gilliland, Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO, and Dogie Adair N8WWM would carry on his trolling and trouble-making. It is of little wonder that you and Frank vehemently defend "Aaron" at all opportunity.

2500. Re: range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:28:22 UTC
From: Tyler Dirden <na@spam.smeat.net>
Message-ID: <amyt9.67846$md1.11574@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 141278

I'm a newbie but from what I've read, and considering the variables, you could count on about a mile easy, and properly tuned, I imagine you'd be looking at over 13 miles... (remember my newbie disclaimer before you make any bets on this info :-)

does this sound right to those "in the know"?
td

"radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:J3vt9.66685$qM2.19299@sccrnsc02...
: A lot of variables there. The location, terrain, the equipment, antenna,
: etc. of the person you are talking to...etc..etc. Should do quite well
: though. Good luck.
:
:
:
: "Raffi" <RaffiA@socal.rr.com> wrote in message
: news:74tt9.198895$U7.53912236@twister.socal.rr.com...
: > what would the range on a 7 foot fiberglass firestik whip, with a Cobra
19
: > DX III be?
: >
: >
:
:

All my mail is virus free. If you got it, it wasn't from me...
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.389 / Virus Database: 220 - Release Date: 9/16/2002

2501. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:28:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13010-3DB6B21C-52@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141285

Actually, what it means is that even though obtaining a ham license
requires a certain set of skills, and some knowledge in radio theory, it
does not mean that you aren't tempted by the same vices that tempt
people who don't have ham licenses.
Having a ham license, in and of itself, does not mean that you are
automatically a person of a higher moral calibre. Although, most hams do
respect the rules of the service to a greater degree, because they had
to earn the privilege of operating there.
Dave
"Sandbagger"

There are no skills involved in attaining today's version of a nocode,
merely memory. If anything is exhibited, it is one's memory capacity,
not skill. Some nocodes seem to freak over this and try to be accepted,
but those are the ones you find hassling cbers because other hammies
don't want to play with them.
According to that last line, would that work if you substituted another
word for "hams",,,,say, hunters, drivers, fishermen, etc?
How about community LPFM?
What "skill" is demonstrated by the name on the permit other than the
ability to sign your name?

2502. Re: 250 foot coax run

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:31:47 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023103147.24559.00004578@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 141279

damnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnn

you da man. whats your handle?

>
>Use LMR-400.I have a 350foot run underground to a 70 foot tower and 2cophased
>m-104's. Works great!Davis rf has the best prices on LMR-400 I think it was
>52
>-53 cents a foot.

2503. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:32:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13011-3DB6B30E-22@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141287

That's not your call to make. That would be analogous to you parking
your car, and me saying, "hey, you're not using it, so I'll take it. Use
it or lose it".
Dave
"Sandbagger"

When failing to make a point, always attempt an infusion of
noncomparisons, such as a tangible and an intangible.
Tell Lelnad to send you the link he was crowing about that contains the
aptly described article of why the spectrum is not property to lay claim
to. Read it, grasshopper.

2504. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:34:02 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023103402.24559.00004579@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 141280

yeah, you too.

>> Since you don't have a ham license timmyboy, that is about the
>> only thing you could find 10 meters useful for.
>>

2505. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:35:32 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023103532.24559.00004580@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 141281

true, hams have that official "broom stick up the butt sound" that the truckers lack

>Absolutely, it isn't hard to identify the illegal ops, all you
>have to do is listen a few seconds and it becomes obvious they are
>not hams.
>

2506. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:36:41 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13010-3DB6B3F9-54@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141286

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <20021023001030.11080.00003748@mb-me.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com357
(Uncle Charlie) wrote:
sparky, was that crow you ate boiled or fried?
--
Maybe you would like a shot at this. 10
Questions for Tim Nebout:
1. What television ever used the 6146 or the 8950?
<snip>

What Tim say to upset you like that, Frank?
Anyways, Tim isn't the TV repairman.

2507. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:37:12 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023103712.24559.00004581@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 141282

frank, do you live with your parents? i am begginging to think so. myself, i havent since i was 15.howerver i would be interested in how i could con your parents into giving me some dope money.

>Timmy, you don't even have a clue as to what the conversation is
>about, and chances are you never will. Do yourself a favor: focus all
>six of your remaining brain cells on how you are going to con mommy
>and daddy for dope money next month and stay out of technical
>discussions.

2508. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:46:53 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023104653.24559.00004585@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 141288

>1. What television ever used the 6146 or the 8950?

none that i've ever seen since these were transmitting versions of sweep tubes.
do you know of any? if so quit holding out an dprove your point.

>2. What make/model amplifier is currently being manufactured in the US
>for legal use in any service on any frequency between 25 and 34 MHz?

there are none being made specifically for that purpose but there are plenty
legal ones sold that will easily work there with a mod proveided by the
factories own info.

>3. What is the "IB" service?

never heard of it, care to enlighten us? or are you asking because your totally
clueless as well?

>4. How is the triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode not like a
>triode?

sounds like a triode to me. why were you thinking differently?

>5. What does "low-mu" describe?

i always thought that was a way of describing its gain factor?

>6. What is the definition of a 'sweep tube'?

that seems like its in debate by you and skip. you guys know more so let me
know when you reach a decision.

>7. Because sweep tubes are generally beam tetrodes or pentodes, does
>that mean every beam tetrode or pentode is a sweep tube?
>

no i dont think they are

>8. How does the linearity of a sweep tube compare to that of an RF
>power tube at RF frequencies?

if done right they can be made just as linear. i would say around 10db is just
as good as most other glass tubes

>9. Why were sweep tube amps so popular, aside from the price and
>availability of the sweep tubes?

they were so popular because they were made a dime a dozen with everything
being cheap from surpuls. whats your theory?

>10. How many sweep tube amplifiers are being produced these days?

theres alot of them however most are using the EL509 or EL519

>
>If you can't answer those questions then butt out.
>

i'm beggining to think you cant answer these. are you going to keep asking until ten people answer for you then base your answers on those ten peoples replies? thats what it seems like to me.

2509. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:47:55 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023104755.24559.00004586@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 141289

legal or not its an odd way of getting your jollies

> doing its sake?
>
>What does it matter? He isn't causing any illegal interference as
>you can't interfere with an illegal transmission. They have on
>right to be there so if they receive any interference, too bad.
>
>

2510. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:54:55 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB6B83F.34AA990C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141307

Twistedhed wrote:

> --
> There are no skills involved in attaining today's version of a nocode,
> merely memory.

And still twistieboy can't manage it.

2511. FS/2950/Power supply/A99 (NIB) $200.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:55:13 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021023105513.09946.00003458@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 141290

I have for sale a 2950- mobile radio
Triplte 10 aMp power supply
A-99 New in box Antenna
All for $200 dollars U pick up in Jersey City NJ or U ship

Also have the 3 Face PDC meter SWR/Watts/Mod and a MAtch Box Tuner for 26-28
MHZ MAX Power 500 Watts Both for 80.00 dollars

2512. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 14:59:08 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023105908.24559.00004594@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 141291

jj, why do you assume the TX0AT team is incorrect? do you have any first hand personal knowledge that would lead you to believe so? if you truely are a ham fire up the rig and call "cq south pacific" and ask some of the guys there what they say. be sure to report back too.

>I am in doubt. Who timmyboy? As you keep saying, prove it.
>

2513. Re: range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 15:02:18 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DB6B9FA.2020604@cac.net>
Source record: 141292

IN the flatlands, to a station at the same sea level as your station, mobile to mobile, on a quiet night, you can probably count on about 15 miles at four watts. During the day, you can probably count on at least a couple of miles, depending on how bad the band is being trashed by dirty amplifiers and sporadic-e.

HTH

-SSB

Tyler Dirden wrote:

> I'm a newbie but from what I've read, and considering the variables, you
> could count on about a mile easy, and properly tuned, I imagine you'd be
> looking at over 13 miles... (remember my newbie disclaimer before you make
> any bets on this info :-)
>
> does this sound right to those "in the know"?
> td
>
>
> "radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
> news:J3vt9.66685$qM2.19299@sccrnsc02...
> : A lot of variables there. The location, terrain, the equipment, antenna,
> : etc. of the person you are talking to...etc..etc. Should do quite well
> : though. Good luck.
> :
> :
> :
> : "Raffi" <RaffiA@socal.rr.com> wrote in message
> : news:74tt9.198895$U7.53912236@twister.socal.rr.com...
> : > what would the range on a 7 foot fiberglass firestik whip, with a Cobra
> 19
> : > DX III be?
> : >
> : >
> :
> :
>
>
> ---
> All my mail is virus free. If you got it, it wasn't from me...
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.389 / Virus Database: 220 - Release Date: 9/16/2002
>

>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

2514. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 15:04:38 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB6BA86.FEC9FF2@hotnet.net>
Source record: 141313

Sir Circumference wrote:
>
> Sir Circumference wrote:
>
> > Another bunch just popped up on 28.045, one of the ops AM signal
> > is FMing so bad you can hardly copy him, another bunch on 28.155,
> > all English speaking (not South Americans) on AM talking about the
> > best places to fuel up. More on 28.175 (currently being pretty
> > well covered up by CW). Now repeat after me, there are no truckers
> > illegally using 10 meters...there are no truckers illegally using
> > 10 meters. Just keep repeating that and maybe you will finally
> > convince someone....NOT!
> > Truckers using 10 meters illegally isn't a problem is it tool?
>
> Opps, another bunch on 28.105 and 28.355, sure glad that illegal
> operators on 10 is not a problem, eh tools.

Well here we are, the usual 10 meter trucker channel (28.085) is
buzzing with truckers illegally operating in the 10 meter band,
some more illegal ops on 28.075, more truckers on 28.305, but I
must be dreaming as dickie c., tools, and others say there aren't
any truckers using 10 meters.

2515. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 15:08:52 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <SsSdna4xwpcYJiugXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 141293

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:7727-3DB3FFF1-315@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net (SideBand)
> Headed? It's already here. Looked at TenTec recently, Leland? -SSB
> --
> You tuned in late in the thread...Lelnad

Nope. Just pointed out the GNU Radio link is the most significant link to look at. People have been doing DSP work for a long while. About 6 to 7 years ago a buddy of mine was using some custom written DSP filtering software on his Windows based machine to clean up the sound from 78 RPM LP's. Then he would burn the sound file to CDs or record them on to cassette tape. There are people out there who have for years sold DSP filtering software, for radio use, using one's home computer. You could say that is the beginnings of the SDR concept right there.

What is different with the GNU Radio concept is the structure of the radio is "open". Same concept as computers. Once you know how the hardware is designed you can write software to drive it. As far as I know radios like Ten-Tec the hardware design is closed so that anyone outside of the company can't write software for it. Sure you may have a schematic for the rig, but it stops at the pins to the custom IC's. The sort of defeats the purpose of SDR in my view.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2516. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 15:25:42 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <dbGcndCz7-fqIiugXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 141294

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13010-3DB6AD9E-49@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> The frequency thing is a problem. The FCC does accept tape
recordings at
> least as a first step in doing their own investigations. When the
FCC
> field engineer takes the stand and says that so-and-so was on xx.xxx
MHz
> the judge, and jury if any, will most likely believe him as an
expert
> witness.
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
> ---
> Stand? Judge? Freebanders appearing in court? LOL! It just doesn't
> happen that way.

If you weren't such an idiot Twisted people would take you seriously.
Nothing like your inability to even read clearly to make a clown out
of you. So explain how you got a FCC Field engineer to morph in to a
Freebander in the quote above?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
==========
Proziac to Twistedhed:
Twistie, Is Leland Talking to you?
I don't think he is so why don't you put it wide to the side and take
a
stand by or your
gums still a flappin. And you guys want to blame doug, or frank or who
ever
for posting bullshit posts when again You do the exact same thing but
worse.
Nothing cb or help related just a sarcastic post. Talk about get a
life!
Since you don't understand anything about legal electronics or
otherwise you
attempt to discredit him.LOSER!

pot=kettle=black
==========

2517. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 15:25:48 UTC
From: Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net>
Message-ID: <3DB6BF7C.A71CC629@hotnet.net>
Source record: 141320

I got no tools wrote:
>
> I think that the truckers do not think hams are worth thinking about. Ham is
> a hobby. Trucking is a job that keeps you supplied with everything you have.
> Keeps the country going . Where ham SOMETIMES helps in an emergency. So use
> a small amount of logic, who is playing on the radio, and who is useing it
> to help keep the country operating?

So what is your warped logic here that you think gives them the
right to use 10 meters illegally? The conversations I hear don't
have anything to do with 'keeping the country going', it is
usually f***ing this and f***ing that and, "did you see the boobs
on that gal in the honda?" type crap. All they are doing is
yapping while going down the interstate, nothing that applies to
doing their job. They can do all that on 11 meters where they
belong.
You have the same attitude as timmyboy and twistie, see something
that you perceive as not being used and just take it for yourself,
no matter you have no right to it. You, timmyboy, and twistie
related by chance?

2518. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 15:29:22 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <WYucnUTEHfvPXSugXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 141295

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:jIxt9.281$6F4.31181@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> So?

Without a callsign you can claim anything. For all I know you're
Twistedhed using another ISP account like he's done before.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2519. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 15:30:54 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <WradnXi3LY4zXSugXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 141296

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021022210734.283$LW@newsreader.com...
> I thought you claimed to never ues a CB?

I have wide band receive on my 706 in the van.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2520. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 15:30:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13011-3DB6C0B3-24@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141300

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:7727-3DB3FFF1-315@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net (SideBand)
Headed? It's already here. Looked at TenTec recently, Leland? -SSB
--
You tuned in late in the thread...Lelnad

>Nope. Just pointed out the GNU Radio link is the most significant link
to look at. <<

Your opinion dictated that?
Regardless, the GNU radio site is exactly what my post linked to and was
the topic. If you didn't tune in late in the thread, you're missing
posts again.

2521. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 15:32:41 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <blGdnRGXo9WEXCugXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 141297

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021022215421.10511.00012873@mb-cp.aol.com...
> knowing you, you probably keyed up and played FCC man lol

Using what radio Tim? So have you been bootlegging on the Ham bands
again lately using a borrowed callsign?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2522. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 15:37:34 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <Lv2cnZ3UNMqjXyugXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141298

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:fDnt9.615$bS5.313@news.bellsouth.net...
> Ya reckon thar's been some info a-leaking out? Seriously, this mess
IS
> going to get attention; you
> can take that to the bank, Leland.

I know it is. I've heard the bozos myself on 28.005 and 28.085. I love it when the pirates try to continue talking with 20 CW stations banging away all over the top of them. You can't tell me under those conditions that they DON'T KNOW they are in the Ham band.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2523. Re: Question, Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 15:43:30 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023114330.24559.00004604@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 141299

its basically a do what you want forum but theres also rec.radio.swap but if its cb related this is the place

2524. Re: Question, Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 15:44:53 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Vtzt9.11187$9W3.509154400@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141303

"Paul L. Gaston" <show16mm@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12125-3DB6A45B-1@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>
> Is this the proper forum to post concerning something I have for sale?
> It is a radio item. I didn't want to intrude in this group with such a
> post if it would be breaking the regular practices of this group. Please
> inform. Thanks,
>
> Paul
>

Hi Paul,
Why sure it is, just make sure that you use the
proper subject title "FS". Otherwise our resident
trolls will give you a hard time.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

2525. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 15:44:53 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Vtzt9.11186$9W3.509154400@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141304

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DB68EDF.648C@worldlynx.net...

>
> I would tend to agree that this newsgroup isn't the best place to effect
> a change. But what seems to keep perpetuating this topic is the
> persistant denial by certain members of this group, that this problem
> does not exist.
it".
>
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>

I don't think anyone is in denial about it, most know that people do
those things. It's the constant posting that is a
"problem" or like it is an "epidemic" when it's not.
Cb is slowing down, slowly but surly, because of
cell phones IMO. Go take a look around the neighborhoods,
do you see as many antennas now as you might have
7 years ago? Probably not, when you can get a cell phone
and its use for $9.95 a month, why would you want to
spend $40.00 for a cb? Cell phones are far more
reliable and way more private.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

2526. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 15:44:54 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Wtzt9.11189$9W3.509154400@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141301

"George" <George@gaygeorge.com> wrote in message
news:3db694c5.59544470@news.stramps.de...
> On Tue, 22 Oct 2002 15:10:09 -0400, "Shaggy Dogg"
> <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> >news:ZOdt9.605$AT7.44236087@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> >> Now I might be wrong, but the "AX" usually means
> >> someone using Forte Agent,
> >
> >Well here is one that i found right off the bat from Sparky, i see no ax
> >and It clearly states he uses Forte Agent like everyone else who uses
agent.
> >but only the perp would know what the perp used LOL
>
> What a Twinkie, he uses Free Agent, not Agent. Agent usually puts an
> "AX" in the message id unless you change some settings, Free Agent
> doesn't. Get your head out yours and other guys asses George.

That is correct. About a year back while trying to
figure out who is who on this group, I noticed that very
thing. Here's a few examples that I saved:

Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb

Subject: Re: CBers and 10 meters

Message-ID: <4evu0u041ih4j6jovp0lfi7g5d6ic48ki0@4ax.com>

References: <fbc7a2.0112031256.5ef582df@posting.google.com>
<20011204100248.27773.00004300@nso-fo.aol.com>
<ja8s0u4n6ecbvu4fq98u47kj0oj95514m2@4ax.com>
<yfuP7.73881$S93.4073305@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>

X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Lines: 42

Date: Thu, 06 Dec 2001 15:56:59 GMT

Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,alt.romath,alt.hackers.malicious

Subject: Re: CB'ers to the rescue!

Date: Mon, 26 Nov 2001 23:11:17 -0600

Organization: Hackers Are really malicious

Message-ID: <lv760usko9uvbor381ncaed6s4nvk56d3i@4ax.com>

Summary: You are just plain full of shit

References: <3C005244.D10E9E55@qnet.com>
<20011125021658.574$Ux@newsreader.com>
<qw9M7.193$r_3.204705929@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>

X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548

X-No-Archive: yes

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb

Subject: Re: DX Contest on 11 Meters Yesterday??

Reply-To: no.spam@no.junk.mail.net

Message-ID: <9u2s0u86jbvm99v331v11v26t6o5dttj8v@4ax.com>

References: <u0lmaf1t4gub35@corp.supernews.com>
<a53b928c.0112031409.48f78cdc@posting.google.com>
<9ujjnp$990$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
<YxdP7.85079$8n4.5457568@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>

X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Lines: 38

Date: Wed, 05 Dec 2001 12:11:42 GMT

Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb

Subject: Re: FS. Ranger AR-3500 100 watt model

Date: Tue, 11 Dec 2001 16:10:43 -0600

Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com

Message-ID: <fq0d1ugm861n7bfv3k5sslffco0mv75rfc@4ax.com>

Reply-To: kool

References: <CYCL7.45183$RI2.23928230@news2>

X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

So ramble on Raggy, you have shown you're true
intentions here..... Troll.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

2527. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 15:44:54 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Wtzt9.11188$9W3.509154400@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141302

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023103402.24559.00004579@mb-cl.aol.com...
> yeah, you too.
>
> >> Since you don't have a ham license timmyboy, that is about the
> >> only thing you could find 10 meters useful for.
> >>
>
>
>
>

But Tim, JJ is a ham, hanging out on a cb newsgroup,
but he is a ham.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

2528. Re: Motorola Mocat 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 16:05:26 UTC
From: stevejfranklin <stevejfranklin@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3DB6E492.29F18888@attbi.com>
Source record: 141305

Its Motorolas version of a Noise blanker.

Tom Sevart wrote:

> Hi all,
>
> I recently purchased a fully functional Motorola Mocat 40 CB at a hamfest
> for $1.00. I just picked it up out of the box and the guy behind the table
> said "one dollar for that." So I took him up on the offer, thinking it was
> a VHF business band radio or something. I was surprised to find out that it
> is a CB. No mike or power cord, but a good deal for a buck.
>
> Now my question is, there is a button on the front marked "Extend." It
> doesn't seem to do much of anything. Can anyone tell me what it does?
>
> And does anyone out there have a power cord for this radio?
>
> Tom

2529. Re: hey jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 16:19:54 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <AYzt9.2046$bS5.1832@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141306

Might be. Bellsouth changed their posting and email requirements saying
they ahd sent out an email
about it some time ago/ I never got it. All of a sudden POOF! Ah cain't
git out no mo! Having other more pressing things to look into at the time,
I 'tended to them first. So I very easily could've
forgotten about your inquiry as well. I try to answer every one, but one
slips thru. I'll send you a
direct email about the screwdriver antennas today.

Jerry

SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3DB61432.3030405@cac.net...
> Is that why you didn't have anything to say about my revelation that I
pull
> rail containers for a living, Jerry?
>
> Oh, and howcome I never got an email back from you about those screwdriver
> antennas I was asking about? I know, it was months ago, but still.
>
> -SSB
>
> 73 de KR8LR, Chris
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> > My email and posting abilities went south for a time. I will ask him
> > tomorrow! To tell you the truth,
> > I forgot it.
> >
> > Jerry
> > Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> > news:20021022195515.29153.00000381@mb-bg.aol.com...
> >
> >>what did riley say about the long time Echo Charlie pirates on 6.670???
> >>
> > you
> >
> >>said you were going to tell him, as if he didnt already know, so now i'm
> >>wondering what he said.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>

> >
> >
> >
>
>
> --
> 73 de KR8LR, Chris
>

2530. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 16:45:32 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <GkAt9.2052$bS5.1917@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141309

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13010-3DB6AD9E-49@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> The frequency thing is a problem. The FCC does accept tape recordings at
> least as a first step in doing their own investigations. When the FCC
> field engineer takes the stand and says that so-and-so was on xx.xxx MHz
> the judge, and jury if any, will most likely believe him as an expert
> witness.
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
> ---
> Stand? Judge? Freebanders appearing in court? LOL! It just doesn't
> happen that way.
> If one is allegedly recorded on an unauthorized frequency it MAY or may
> not be investigated. If it is investigated and determined by an
> authorized field agent that the law was violated, an NAL may be
> forthcoming after a warning, especially in the context you speak of
> (freebanding).

TW,

RH does send warnings to trucking companies based on recordings. That is,
indeed, what happened to the last driver. The safety director chose to fire
the driver rather than be held respon-
sible for further infractions. That firing *may* (I don't know, I wasn't
there) be based on an inspec-
tion of the truck where he found the Galaxy, Connex, or whatever. I would
think that RH filled in the safety director on what to look for.

Now, you are probably right WRT an NAL. It gets a mite more
legal-beagle-ish at that point.

73

Jerry
>

2531. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 16:52:33 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <drAt9.2053$bS5.403@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141312

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:MMxt9.287$6F4.31665@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> If it is "dead", why are the truckers a problem for you? If you cannot
use
> it for DX, why care who uses it in the downtime?

FCC and most legal operators do (or should) care that the band is being used
illegally by intruders.
One of the principles of Amateur Radio is that it is to be self-policing to
a certain extent, and if it
is being used improperly by stations, legal or otherwise, then it is the
obligation of licensees to attempt to abate the offense. I once realized
that a local station of 2 Meters was pirating a call of
a ham in Mississippi. I phoned that ham and let him know that his call was
being used illegally. He
then alerted FCC. Why? So he would not be held responsible for the
improper use of his call by
an unlicensed bandit.

Besides, why can't I use it for some local CW with my buddies? Bottom line
is, them truckers are
not authorized to be there, and I will do whatever I can to get rid of them
thar beepers and squeakers. They have channel 19. Let 'em go there where
they belong.

J

> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:M7nt9.488$bS5.120@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> > news:20021022193859.29153.00000377@mb-bg.aol.com...
> > > jerry since ten meters is such a dx band what does living near the
> > interstate
> > > have to do with anything????
> >
> >
> > Because 10 is becoming more "iffy", and is sometimes in--sometimes
out.
> > When it is "dead", I
> > still hear them. so I know they are local.
> >
> > J
> >
> >

> >
> >
> > > >There are plenty of them on 10 if one takes the time to listen and
> > > >lives near an Interstate like
> > > >I do
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2532. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 16:56:41 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dxAt9.605$Fj6.46433@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141308

And you think that I care what you think? As for Twisted, no, I am not him.
He knows a lot more about this stuff than I.
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:WYucnUTEHfvPXSugXTWc3w@comcast.com...
>
> "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:jIxt9.281$6F4.31181@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > So?
>
> Without a callsign you can claim anything. For all I know you're
> Twistedhed using another ISP account like he's done before.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>
>

2533. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 16:58:01 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tyAt9.607$Fj6.48213@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141310

So, if you listen to CB, why do you care what's on 10?
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:WradnXi3LY4zXSugXTWc2Q@comcast.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021022210734.283$LW@newsreader.com...
> > I thought you claimed to never ues a CB?
>
> I have wide band receive on my 706 in the van.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>

2534. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 17:01:30 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <EzAt9.2056$bS5.936@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141314

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:dFxt9.280$6F4.30732@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
<<snip>>

> > from what you say sounds like theres more truckers on ten than there are
> ham's.
> > why is that?

Because the importers and sellers of non-approved radios are actively
pushing these rigs
at truck stops and CB shops.

Because they are telling truckers they can "git mo' of them thar 'channels'
wid a 10 Meter reddio
thar, an' git mo' power thar, tan fer (thar)?"

Because some truckers don't even know that what they are doing is illegal.

Because they believe that FCC doesn't care about what they do wid them thar
reddios.

Because, up until a few years ago, they were RIGHT!

Because the sense of what is right or wrong has been muddied in this country
and people are more
"me and what ah want" than in the past.

Because the drivers listen to the lower end of 10 and don't hear any voice
transmissions and think it
is "empty".

Among other reasons.

Jerry

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2535. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 17:04:04 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8EAt9.617$Fj6.48721@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141311

I think that the truckers do not think hams are worth thinking about. Ham is
a hobby. Trucking is a job that keeps you supplied with everything you have.
Keeps the country going . Where ham SOMETIMES helps in an emergency. So use
a small amount of logic, who is playing on the radio, and who is useing it
to help keep the country operating?
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:Lv2cnZ3UNMqjXyugXTWcpA@comcast.com...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:fDnt9.615$bS5.313@news.bellsouth.net...
> > Ya reckon thar's been some info a-leaking out? Seriously, this mess
> IS
> > going to get attention; you
> > can take that to the bank, Leland.
>
> I know it is. I've heard the bozos myself on 28.005 and 28.085. I love
> it when the pirates try to continue talking with 20 CW stations
> banging away all over the top of them. You can't tell me under those
> conditions that they DON'T KNOW they are in the Ham band.
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>

2536. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 17:07:42 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <uFAt9.2057$bS5.1617@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141316

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023103532.24559.00004580@mb-cl.aol.com...
> true, hams have that official "broom stick up the butt sound" that the
truckers
> lack

Far as I am concerned, them thar truckers up 'ere on 10 lack a lot of
things. I'll take the professional sound of a well constructed ham station
any day over the trailer-trash-sounding,
echo-ing beeping and stupid squeaking. "Uh, tan fer thar, driver, mercy me
thar, I heerd that,
ah did, gre't day, git back SQUEAK!!!"
>
> >Absolutely, it isn't hard to identify the illegal ops, all you
> >have to do is listen a few seconds and it becomes obvious they are
> >not hams.

It becomes obvious they are not a LOT of things! If they were as smart and
as important as they
think they are, they would have sense to know that CB radio has 40 distinct
channels; no more, no
less.

J

> >
>
>
>
>

2537. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 17:09:52 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <wHAt9.2061$bS5.157@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141318

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:TOxt9.291$6F4.31622@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:9dnt9.493$bS5.171@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DB5ABCB.F71987BF@hotnet.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3DB5A1D7.9FE53C3A@hotnet.net...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > tools wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Well, I just sent my letter off also. I have asked that the FCC
> > ignore
> > > > > the
> > > > > > few hams complaints about 10 meters. I have informed them that I
> > have
> > > > > been
> > > > > > monitoring 10 meters , near a major highway, for "truckers" and
> > others,
> > > > > and
> > > > > > in 5 months, I have heard one trucker conversation.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You must be using the same crappy equipment that dickieboy
> > > > > > crainumless does. I just turned on the TS-520 and as I type this I
> > > > > > am hearing several trucker transmission on 28.085, another two
> > > > > > truckers on 28.135, every other word is f***ing this and f***ing
> > > > > > that, and more on 28.535, all on AM. The only Ham AM activity I
> > > > > > hear is just above 29.0. You guys need to learn how to use a
> > > > > > receiver.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > My letter to the FCC about the problem of illegal operators on 10
> > > > > > meters will be in the mail tomorrow.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And that those truckers might have been hams.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Did you ever hear them give a call sign? I bet not, as they most
> > > > > > likely were illegal operators.

> > > >
> > > > HAH!! If they are operating below 28.300 on Ancient Modulation,
they
> > ARE
> > > > illegal even if they
> > > > have a ticket!! That area is NOT for voice communications by
> > international
> > > > treaty, fer cripes' sakes! NO AM, NO SSB, NO FM. PERIOD! License
> > > > notwithstanding, if a ham is caught using
> > > > phone down there, he will get a warning/NOV/NAL depending on the
> > > > circimstances.
> > > >
> > > > Jerry
> > >
> > > Uh, Jerry, hams in other countries can use voice mode below 28.3,
> > > Canada for one.
> >
> >
> >
> > I might be wrong, but unless I am mistaken, 28.000 to 28.300 is reserved
> for
> > non-voice in this
> > Region. Aren't you speaking of 40 Meters where Canada has some phone
> privil
> > eges below
> > 7.150? Besides, there's nothing we can do about what other countries
do.
> > When I hear "Tan fer
> > thar, driver, ah know whutcha mean thar SQUEAK", it is NOT likely a ham
> but
> > a domestic trucker. If it talks like a Cber, and squeaks like a CBer,
it
> > must be a CBer! LOL!
> >
> > J
> >
> > You sure have a low quality sense of humor, LOL, LOL???????? Jeeeeezus

Whud I do? Hit a nerve? All ya gotta do is listen to them--on or off the
40 channels.

Jerry

> >
> >
>
>

2538. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 17:15:12 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023131512.22193.00003938@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 141315

cant manage it or just doesnt want to?

>And still twistieboy can't manage it

2539. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 17:15:49 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <6NAt9.2062$bS5.59@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141322

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13016-3DB6AC16-1@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I might be wrong, but unless I am mistaken, 28.000 to 28.300 is reserved
> for non-voice in this
> Region. Aren't you speaking of 40 Meters where Canada has some phone
> privil eges below
> 7.150? Besides, there's nothing we can do about what other countries do.
> When I hear "Tan fer
> thar, driver, ah know whutcha mean thar SQUEAK", it is NOT likely a ham
> but a domestic trucker. If it talks like a Cber, and squeaks like a
> CBer, it must be a CBer! LOL!
> J
> ----------
> So labeling nocodes in the same manner is just as valid?

No codes are licensed hams. Period. Their privileges are just limited as
opposed to higher licenses. There is no shame to be attached to being code
free. Some people choose to remain
on VHF because that is where their interests lie. There's nothing to label.
Yes, some people have
to "lord" it over no-codes because it is the way they make themselves equal
or "better". Being a
Technician in no way diminishes a person's abilities. When I hear a trucker
on AM using the usual
CB vernacular on or off the regular 40, it is obvious that he is a trucker.
THAT is undeniable.

Jerry

2540. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 17:19:38 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KSAt9.652$Fj6.50613@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141317

Well, I guess the best advice I can offer you is be very careful. Some of those good ol boy redneck truckers can be right hard to handle when they get riled. They might not take your efforts too kindly. And please do not go on about how safe you are. They can find you just as simply as you can fine them. Jeezus man, we do not live in a nice safe little world. I do not agree with you that anything needs be done about it. But even if I did, I would still advise you to think about what could happen.
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:drAt9.2053$bS5.403@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:MMxt9.287$6F4.31665@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > If it is "dead", why are the truckers a problem for you? If you cannot
> use
> > it for DX, why care who uses it in the downtime?
>
> FCC and most legal operators do (or should) care that the band is being
used
> illegally by intruders.
> One of the principles of Amateur Radio is that it is to be self-policing
to
> a certain extent, and if it
> is being used improperly by stations, legal or otherwise, then it is the
> obligation of licensees to attempt to abate the offense. I once realized
> that a local station of 2 Meters was pirating a call of
> a ham in Mississippi. I phoned that ham and let him know that his call
was
> being used illegally. He
> then alerted FCC. Why? So he would not be held responsible for the
> improper use of his call by
> an unlicensed bandit.
>
> Besides, why can't I use it for some local CW with my buddies? Bottom
line
> is, them truckers are
> not authorized to be there, and I will do whatever I can to get rid of
them
> thar beepers and squeakers. They have channel 19. Let 'em go there where
> they belong.
>
> J
>
>
>

> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:M7nt9.488$bS5.120@news.bellsouth.net...
> > >
> > > Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> > > news:20021022193859.29153.00000377@mb-bg.aol.com...
> > > > jerry since ten meters is such a dx band what does living near the
> > > interstate
> > > > have to do with anything????
> > >
> > >
> > > Because 10 is becoming more "iffy", and is sometimes in--sometimes
> out.
> > > When it is "dead", I
> > > still hear them. so I know they are local.
> > >
> > > J
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > >There are plenty of them on 10 if one takes the time to listen and
> > > > >lives near an Interstate like
> > > > >I do
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

2541. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 17:22:12 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023132212.22193.00003944@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 141319

what are you doing to educate them? the arrl would be better served putting
public service announcements in trucker rags than you guys here on usenet
crying in a cb group that most truckers will never read

>
>Because some truckers don't even know that what they are doing is illegal.
>

2542. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 17:27:10 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OZAt9.605$6F4.55649@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141321

Well lets see..... Two matched antenna for the CB, one antenna for the scanner, one antenna for the cell phone, one antenna for the am/fm radio. Yep, they sure do have separate antenna for the different bands. How bout that?
"Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:tQwt9.66681$md1.12276@sccrnsc03...
> We see quite a few trucks pass through my parts with multiple antennas. I'd
> say the exact percentage of trucks running at least 2 to 3 different
> antennas on one truck is:
>
> "A fair amount, quite a few even"
>
> I'd bet dollars to doughnuts the trucks I see have separate antennas for the
> different bands they run on.
>
> Dave
>
> "Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021022101050.24688.00011885@mb-mr.aol.com...
> > well for (a) i highly doubt there switching antennas (b)no they dont. ask
> > frank. he made a point of saying that mobile cb antennas are narrow banded
> (c)
> > this is most likely whats happening if its happening at all and would
> almost
> > prove the point that these guys are likely barefoot since running a amp
> into
> > high swr's isnt going to work long
> >
> > >(a) they must be using separate 10 meter antennas now
> > >(b) you are wrong, their CB antennas do fine on 10
> > >(c) they operate with high swr on 10 and don't care
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

2543. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 17:29:22 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <S%At9.609$6F4.55928@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141323

Jerry, you do know that some of them thar truckers have far more education
than you, right? Some of them are lawyers and such.
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:EzAt9.2056$bS5.936@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:dFxt9.280$6F4.30732@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> <<snip>>
>
>
> > > from what you say sounds like theres more truckers on ten than there
are
> > ham's.
> > > why is that?
>
> Because the importers and sellers of non-approved radios are actively
> pushing these rigs
> at truck stops and CB shops.
>
> Because they are telling truckers they can "git mo' of them thar
'channels'
> wid a 10 Meter reddio
> thar, an' git mo' power thar, tan fer (thar)?"
>
> Because some truckers don't even know that what they are doing is illegal.
>
> Because they believe that FCC doesn't care about what they do wid them
thar
> reddios.
>
> Because, up until a few years ago, they were RIGHT!
>
> Because the sense of what is right or wrong has been muddied in this
country
> and people are more
> "me and what ah want" than in the past.
>
> Because the drivers listen to the lower end of 10 and don't hear any voice
> transmissions and think it
> is "empty".
>
> Among other reasons.
>
> Jerry

>
>
>
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

2544. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 17:31:10 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <y1Bt9.611$6F4.53246@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141324

Jerry, do you understand that you just provided an example of the broom
stick up the ass ?
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:uFAt9.2057$bS5.1617@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021023103532.24559.00004580@mb-cl.aol.com...
> > true, hams have that official "broom stick up the butt sound" that the
> truckers
> > lack
>
> Far as I am concerned, them thar truckers up 'ere on 10 lack a lot of
> things. I'll take the professional sound of a well constructed ham
station
> any day over the trailer-trash-sounding,
> echo-ing beeping and stupid squeaking. "Uh, tan fer thar, driver, mercy me
> thar, I heerd that,
> ah did, gre't day, git back SQUEAK!!!"
> >
> > >Absolutely, it isn't hard to identify the illegal ops, all you
> > >have to do is listen a few seconds and it becomes obvious they are
> > >not hams.
>
> It becomes obvious they are not a LOT of things! If they were as smart
and
> as important as they
> think they are, they would have sense to know that CB radio has 40
distinct
> channels; no more, no
> less.
>
> J
>
>
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

2545. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 17:38:46 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023133846.22227.00004018@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 141325

whats so funny is he truely doesnt know! qsl there old man? i'm gonna qsy to the qrg and destinate on over the local machine for a little ragchewing with the other professional sounding stations.

>Jerry, do you understand that you just provided an example of the broom
>stick up the ass ?

2546. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 17:40:46 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023134046.22227.00004019@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 141326

wiht that statement alone you just proved there way to close for jerry o or anybody else to jam lol

>"did you see the boobs
>on that gal in the honda?"

2547. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 17:41:29 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dbBt9.625$6F4.54889@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141327

Oh shit now you did it! To suggest that he do something to truly help. What ARE you thinking?
"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message news:20021023132212.22193.00003944@mb-co.aol.com...
> what are you doing to educate them? the arrl would be better served putting
> public service announcements in trucker rags than you guys here on usenet
> crying in a cb group that most truckers will never read
>
> >
> >Because some truckers don't even know that what they are doing is illegal.
> >
>
>
>
>
>

2548. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 17:47:16 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <EgBt9.636$6F4.57760@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141328

Whats the 20 on the boobs? Come back!
"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023134046.22227.00004019@mb-co.aol.com...
> wiht that statement alone you just proved there way to close for jerry o
or
> anybody else to jam lol
>
> >"did you see the boobs
> >on that gal in the honda?"
>
>
>
>
>

2549. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 18:02:10 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B0703C8BD5Brrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 141329

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in
news:20021023000448.11080.00003745@mb-me.aol.com:

>>AOL. ;)
>
> that says it all. its for us people that want to be online without
> ever seeing the internet :)
>
LOL, that's funny :)

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

2550. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 18:04:56 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <cxBt9.1626$%b3.74913129@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141330

"Sir Circumference" <mrradio@hotnet.net> wrote in message
news:3DB6BA86.FEC9FF2@hotnet.net...
>
>
> Sir Circumference wrote:
> >
> > Sir Circumference wrote:
> >
> > > Another bunch just popped up on 28.045, one of the ops AM signal
> > > is FMing so bad you can hardly copy him, another bunch on 28.155,
> > > all English speaking (not South Americans) on AM talking about the
> > > best places to fuel up. More on 28.175 (currently being pretty
> > > well covered up by CW). Now repeat after me, there are no truckers
> > > illegally using 10 meters...there are no truckers illegally using
> > > 10 meters. Just keep repeating that and maybe you will finally
> > > convince someone....NOT!
> > > Truckers using 10 meters illegally isn't a problem is it tool?
> >
> > Opps, another bunch on 28.105 and 28.355, sure glad that illegal
> > operators on 10 is not a problem, eh tools.
>
> Well here we are, the usual 10 meter trucker channel (28.085) is
> buzzing with truckers illegally operating in the 10 meter band,
> some more illegal ops on 28.075, more truckers on 28.305, but I
> must be dreaming as dickie c., tools, and others say there aren't
> any truckers using 10 meters.

Your beating a dead horse JJ, give
it a rest please.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

2551. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 18:22:31 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13010-3DB6E8E7-97@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141333

If you weren't such an idiot Twisted people would take you seriously.
Nothing like your inability to even read clearly to make a clown out of
you. So explain how you got a FCC Field engineer to morph in to a
Freebander in the quote above?
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
ARRL Member
NCI Member

Well, let's see......you claimed:

>The frequency thing is a problem. The FCC does accept tape recordings
at least as a first step in doing their own investigations. When the FCC
field engineer takes the stand and says that so-and-so was on xx.xxx MHz
the judge, and jury if any, will most likely believe him as an expert
witness.
<<

So this scenario doesn't apply to freebanders only to 10 meter
intruders?
Hell, Lelnad, if anyone is guilty of being an "idiot" , you better check
yourself. Your scenario doesn't apply to 10 meter intruders either.
Since you brought it up, just who is it you wish your comments apply to?

2552. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 18:25:05 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13011-3DB6E981-40@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141331

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:jIxt9.281$6F4.31181@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
So?
Without a callsign you can claim anything. For all I know you're
Twistedhed using another ISP account like he's done before.
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
----------Riiiiight...and
that ISP would be mindspring, correct?
HAhahhahahhahhahahhahah.....don't be such a sorehead, Lelnad. Go
listen to those intruders on the cb you claimed you didn't own or bother
with.

2553. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 18:28:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13010-3DB6EA61-99@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141335

>TW,
RH does send warnings to trucking companies based on recordings. <<

Never claimed otherwise.

>That is, indeed, what happened to the last driver. The safety director
chose to fire the driver rather than be held respon-
sible for further infractions. That firing *may* (I don't know, I wasn't
there) be based on an inspec-
tion of the truck where he found the Galaxy, Connex, or whatever. I
would think that RH filled in the safety director on what to look for.
Now, you are probably right WRT an NAL. It gets a mite more
legal-beagle-ish at that point.
73
Jerry<<
<

Anyone notified that they are causing a problem and fails to rectify it
deserve what comes their way..

2554. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 18:31:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13010-3DB6EB1C-100@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141332

From: medtools@earthlink.net (tools)
And you think that I care what you think? As for Twisted, no, I am not
him. He knows a lot more about this stuff than I.

--

Don't bet on it. I just know when they are putting forth a bunch of BS.
Lelnad and company have been losing ground faster than ISPs. Don't mind
him, he gets this way when people disagree.

2555. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 18:33:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13011-3DB6EB6F-41@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141334

Because the importers and sellers of non-approved radios are actively pushing these rigs
at truck stops and CB shops.
Because they are telling truckers they can "git mo' of them thar 'channels' wid a 10 Meter reddio
thar, an' git mo' power thar, tan fer (thar)?"
Because some truckers don't even know that what they are doing is illegal.
Because they believe that FCC doesn't care about what they do wid them thar reddios.
Because, up until a few years ago, they were RIGHT!
Because the sense of what is right or wrong has been muddied in this country and people are more
"me and what ah want" than in the past.
Because the drivers listen to the lower end of 10 and don't hear any voice transmissions and think it
is "empty".
Among other reasons.
Jerry
----------Because YOU can talk there. Nocodes can't.

2556. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 18:37:34 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13011-3DB6EC6E-42@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141337

>No codes are licensed hams. Period. Their privileges are just limited
as opposed to higher licenses.
<<

Same can be said about law-abiding cbers. Some of us still have our call
signs. Its not our fault they discontinued them.

>There is no shame to be attached to being code free. Some people choose
to remain
on VHF because that is where their interests lie. There's nothing to
label. Yes, some people have
to "lord" it over no-codes because it is the way they make themselves
equal or "better". Being a
Technician in no way diminishes a person's abilities.
<<

Certain nocodes feel it gives them the right to "Lord" over cb. They
frreak when they can't realize it.
A nocode license may not diminish one's abilities, but it certain
doesn't enhance anything.

> When I hear a trucker on AM using the usual
CB vernacular on or off the regular 40, it is obvious that he is a
trucker. THAT is undeniable.
Jerry<<

So is a new nocode on 2 meter. So is half the wives that share one call.

2557. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 18:39:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13010-3DB6ECE9-103@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141336

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:

There are no skills involved in attaining today's version of a nocode,
merely memory
--.
And still twistieboy can't manage it.

Apparently, neither can you. Or is the seer malady affecting you
negatively again?

2558. More Power needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 19:21:39 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021023152139.05854.00003744@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 141338

I have a Realistic trc 431 and want to boost my out put a bit .
Can anyone one help me ,with this mod

Any help welcome

2559. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 19:37:45 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210231137.672d9d5d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

"I C P" <nospam@nospam.fr> wrote in message news:<FClt9.7408$46.3188@fe01>...
> Aaron Voobner is a well know troll in the cb radio newsgroups.

Uh huh. What cb radio newsgroups?

> He is kinda like their version of "Elmer".

Um, who's "they"? And who the heck is "Elmer"?

> Not quite Bullis.... but bad enough.

"Bullis"? What's that? Bullets? Bullish? Bollocks? Sandra Bullock?
Huh? I couldn't figure out what your post was about until I saw the
cross-post info. Maybe you want to give the boys in
misc.transport.trucking a heads-up that aaron voobner is the guy who
is upsetting the applecart regarding illegal 10 meter operation by
truckers. You can call me a troll for talking about the problem, I
don't really care, and neither do the hams who are plenty upset with
the situation themselves.

-Aaron-

2560. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 19:38:25 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB6FAB1.FB46DB44@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141366

```
Landshark wrote:
>
> "Sir Circumference" <mrradio@hotnet.net> wrote in message
> news:3DB6BA86.FEC9FF2@hotnet.net...
> >
> >
> > Sir Circumference wrote:
> > >
> > > Sir Circumference wrote:
> > >
> > > > Another bunch just popped up on 28.045, one of the ops AM signal
> > > > is FMing so bad you can hardly copy him, another bunch on 28.155,
> > > > all English speaking (not South Americans) on AM talking about the
> > > > best places to fuel up. More on 28.175 (currently being pretty
> > > > well covered up by CW). Now repeat after me, there are no truckers
> > > > illegally using 10 meters...there are no truckers illegally using
> > > > 10 meters. Just keep repeating that and maybe you will finally
> > > > convince someone....NOT!
> > > > Truckers using 10 meters illegally isn't a problem is it tool?
> > >
> > > Opps, another bunch on 28.105 and 28.355, sure glad that illegal
> > > operators on 10 is not a problem, eh tools.
> >
> > Well here we are, the usual 10 meter trucker channel (28.085) is
> > buzzing with truckers illegally operating in the 10 meter band,
> > some more illegal ops on 28.075, more truckers on 28.305, but I
> > must be dreaming as dickie c., tools, and others say there aren't
> > any truckers using 10 meters.
>
> Your beating a dead horse JJ, give
> it a rest please.
>

Just proving that all this BS from those that claim there aren't
any truckers illegally using 10 meters are or that it isn't a
problem are full of it.
```

2561. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 19:41:07 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB6FB53.28A5CF40@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141367

Twistedhed wrote:

> A nocode license may not diminish one's abilities, but it certain
> doesn't enhance anything.

I guess operating illegally does.

2562. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 19:47:29 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB6FCD1.57C47FCA@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141368

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> wiht that statement alone you just proved there way to close for jerry o or
> anybody else to jam lol
>
> >"did you see the boobs
> >on that gal in the honda?"

Damn you're really sharp timmyboy. It is obvious to the most
casual of observers that two truckers running down the Interstate
a few yards apart are going to be difficult if not impossible to
interfere with by another station miles away. I have managed it a
few times when conditions were really good.
By the way in the context of your sentence the word is 'their' as
in 'their way' not 'there' as in 'put it over there'. But then
what else should we expect of a high school dropout.

2563. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 19:50:45 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB6FD95.84E8B9A9@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141371
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

General Johnson Jameson wrote:
>
> A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread of
> illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
> installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
> transceivers operating out of band.
>
> The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger a
> device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage pulse type
> signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front end of the
> receiver.
>
> Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the devices
> which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.
>
> Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing amplifiers
> online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received indicates
> the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will perform
> it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think the problem
> is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.

Now this is an original one. Musta thought long to come up with
this. Not a bad idea though.

2564. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 19:51:05 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <EFedncEBr5o0YCugXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141340

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021022200928.29153.00000383@mb-bg.aol.com...
> he doesnt sound like any hams i know. niether do you.

What does a ham sound like on the internet Tim? It is also "neither" don't
want Randy calling you illiterate

2565. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 19:58:52 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210231158.324024b9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141341

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3DB68EDF.648C@worldlynx.net>...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
> > So do some over-powered amateurs. Why do
> > you try Aanal Aaron's lame trick of blaming EVERYTHING
> > on CBers?
(snip)
> > another poster (licensed amateur Jim
> > Hampton) has pointed out that those bands
> >are virtually UNUSED, so who is it hurting?
(snip)
> > If you're hearing it every day, why aren't you actively using the
> > band(s) to prevent it instead of whining in a UseNet newsgroup
(snip)
> > The first part of your first sentence says it all: "There probably
> > isn't an amateur active on 10m and 12m in the world."
> > So why the fuss?

Dave, FYI.

LOL, he knows the bands are not "unused" and that there are active amateurs on 10 and 12. He's just trolling you. It's funny but in rec.radio.cb, the word "troll" is thrown around a lot, and anyone who doesn't like someone else calls them a troll, but this guy is the real thing.

-Aaron-

--
From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the

operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

2566. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 20:02:12 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <iBidnW7aUNPZnSqgXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 141342

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> Trouble is, RH already knows the extent of the problem regardless of how
> many hams don't
> object to intrusions of unlicensed ops on the the ham bands. I recently
> counted about 25 warnings
> on that subject alone. It does make me wonder when a ham (I only have
your
> assertions on that)
> wants to counter efforts being made to protect spectrum against incursion.
> Hmmmmm, perhaps a
> no-code, VHF ham who uses CB and freeband as a substitute for HF? Maybe he
> operates on 10
> as well, and wouldn't want any "attention" turned his way? Hey, I DON'T
> know that. It is specu-
> lation! For one who has full access to all bands and all of the 10-12
Meter
> bands, it seems "curious" that anyone who has that privilege would wish to
> share that with bootlegger operators.

Very true Jerry, makes you wonder most of these guys are cb operators who
got a ham license and never use the amatuer bands. I am listening to 2 guy
right now and have recorded them they are in Oklahoma City as per there
conversation.

2567. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 20:09:30 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <xzudnTy4c7hmnCqgXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 141343

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message

> If you can't answer those questions then butt out.

Yeah but Dickhead said

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

2568. Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 20:16:36 UTC
From: Jewlz <Jewlz@tedious.net>
Message-ID: <EsDt9.32440$PS1.4549537@kent.svc.tds.net>
Source record: 141344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

I'm considering a GMRS system to keep in contact with my mom who doesn't have a phone. (LONG story!) We've both had CBs in the past, but no experience with GMRS. We're 5 miles apart; I'm in a river valley and she is in the mountains about 400 feet above. I hear so many conflicting reports about the range of GMRS units, I'm hesitant to make the investment, especially since she's in elderly housing and I'm in a condominium, so it's doubful either of us can get permission to put up massive antennas. Can anyone offer me some guidance based on their experiences, please?

2569. Re: 250 foot coax run

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 20:25:54 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <a-idncTgppRPmCqgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 141345

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021023110820.920$Wy@newsreader.com...
> 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote:
> >> Mopar',
> > For all practical purposes, something like RG-213
> > will work just fine. There will be some loss in a
> > run 250 long, but for the dollar spent, that loss
> > just isn't that much. I'm not sure what the 'LMR'
> > equivalent would be...
> > 'Doc
> >
> Thanks Doc. That's what the guy at the local ham radio store is telling me
> to buy.

If you like losing almost 3 db in coax loss which is 1/2 your power then use
rg213. I don't think money is of a concern to you Mopar so If you now run a
500 watt amp your gonna need a 1kw amp to have about the same signal as you
would with 250' of rg 213. So the difference in coax cost has to be much
less than the cost of the bigger amp you would need to see that same watts
as someone with lower loss coax. go with the LMR400.

2570. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 20:29:32 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <IaCcneay0-kwmyqgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141346

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message

> Stand? Judge? Freebanders appearing in court? LOL! It just doesn't
> happen that way.

He never said freebanders would be in court Twisty, here is exactly what he said, he said the FCC feild enfg will be on the stand and in court.

" When the FCC field engineer takes the stand and says that so-and-so was on xx.xxx MHz the judge, and jury if any, will most likely believe him as an expert witness.

2571. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 20:33:19 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <U3KdnWceUf4SmiqgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 141347

> "Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021022193859.29153.00000377@mb-bg.aol.com...
> > jerry since ten meters is such a dx band what does living near the
interstate have to do with anything????

Bleedover and interference keying over the dx you drug induced fool

2572. Re: Motorola Mocat 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 20:47:54 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ap71em$s728r$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 141348

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023000636.11080.00003747@mb-me.aol.com...
> sounds like an RF gain/ attenuator button
>

Yeah, it would if it did anything, but doesn't seem to make any difference
if it's switched on or off. I was thinking it might be a speech compression
feature, but I'm not sure if this were available back when this CB was made,
approx. late 70's/early 80's.

Tom

2573. WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 20:53:39 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <area52@zecretbase.com>
Message-ID: <R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 141349
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread of illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of transceivers operating out of band.

The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger a device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage pulse type signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front end of the receiver.

Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the devices which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.

Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing amplifiers online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received indicates the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will perform it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think the problem is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.

2574. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 21:01:49 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DB70E3D.949C3177@cwis.net>
Source record: 141350
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

General,
Now ~that~ is a good troll! I think it's the best
I've seen in quite a while. Even some original thought(?)
to it. Gotta give that one a '9'+...
'Dco

2575. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 21:03:58 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DB70EBE.FC58AD61@cwis.net>
Source record: 141351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

Jewlz,
It might be marginal, especially without an
outdoor antenna. Give her a cell phone in your
name.
'Doc

2576. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 21:06:45 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <NgedndWrjKD4kiqgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141352

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023132212.22193.00003944@mb-co.aol.com...
> what are you doing to educate them?

He started in rec.radio.cb btw What do you care? Are you a trucker? Are
you a Ham?

2577. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 21:07:54 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <U3SdnYyOaMM2kiqgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 141353

"fools" <meafool@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:S%At9.609$6F4.55928@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Jerry, you do know that some of them thar truckers have far more education
than you, right? Some of them are lawyers and such.

Really then why they driving trucks?

2578. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 21:08:04 UTC
From: Sir Rhosis of the liver <who-carez@apathy.net>
Message-ID: <ure3tcf3d6ngcc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141354
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
> A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread
of
> illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
> installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
> transceivers operating out of band.
>
> The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger a
> device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage pulse
type
> signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front end of
the
> receiver.
>
> Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the devices
> which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.
>
> Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing amplifiers
> online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received
indicates
> the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will perform
> it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think the
problem
> is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.
>
>

'sniff' 'sniff'......<smells fishy oder>

2579. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 21:10:08 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <sgKdnVJEWL2tjSqgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 141355

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message

> Your beating a dead horse JJ, give
> it a rest please.
>
> Landshark

No it is not a dead horse Landfag there are truckdriving Cb talkin people on 10 meters as of this very moment so it is not a dead horse. Ignore the thread if it bothers you, but who the phuqq are you to tell someone to give it a rest, why don't you go lay down and rest up.

2580. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 21:12:44 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <HhmcnYZF-fBQjSqgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 141356

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023103532.24559.00004580@mb-cl.aol.com...
> true, hams have that official "broom stick up the butt sound" that the
truckers
> lack

Careful Tim your starting to sound like a twinkie.

2581. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 21:14:42 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <QOqcnRROxLrfjCqgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141357

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:8nxt9.266$6F4.28656@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Am I supposed to care?

You replied so evidently you do!

2582. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 21:16:51 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <7oycnSN3KPtejCqgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 141358

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023104755.24559.00004586@mb-cl.aol.com...
> legal or not its an odd way of getting your jollies

who said he is getting his jollies, I think smoking pot and posting pictures
of skankie bitches on your website is a wierd way to get ones jollies but
you seem to like it.

2583. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 21:17:47 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <1xicncuvqp9mjCqgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141359

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:tyAt9.607$Fj6.48213@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> So, if you listen to CB, why do you care what's on 10?

If you keep your doors locked why do you care if there are prowlers in your
yard?

> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:WradnXi3LY4zXSugXTWc2Q@comcast.com...
> >
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021022210734.283$LW@newsreader.com...
> > > I thought you claimed to never ues a CB?
> >
> > I have wide band receive on my 706 in the van.
> >
> >
> > --
> > Leland C. Scott
> > KC8LDO
> >
> > Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
> >
> > "I am a
> > bomb
> > technician
> > if you see me
> > running
> > try to keep up "
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2584. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 21:20:58 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <ure51fse9oc46e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3DB6173E.BBDC3025@ix.netcom.com...
> >
> > They had reason to be afraid - once he showed me how to dial a special
three
> > digit number that would shut off all phones in a specific grid.
> >
>
> That's gotta be an urban legend. The phone company wouldn't be that
> dumb. Random people dialing wrong numbers would eventually hit
> that 3 digit number....

Well, there ARE ways to shut down a node. But hardly with 3 digits.
That's undoubtedly BS.

Back when the DTMF was a 3x4 matrix for customers (and 4x4 matrix for
control like on some HAM HTs) the 'phone company matrix was
quite different, and those extra keys....

Well, time to leave that one alone.

3 digits to shut down a node now? Yeah, right. And you found those
three digits written on a piece of paper in that Corvette for $50 every
knows about from the 60's!

WAIT!! Was there a black helicopter involved?

Mike

2585. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 21:22:18 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <1JadnaGkSZuXjiqgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 141360

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:8EAt9.617$Fj6.48721@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I think that the truckers do not think hams are worth thinking about. Ham
is
> a hobby. Trucking is a job that keeps you supplied with everything you
have.
> Keeps the country going . Where ham SOMETIMES helps in an emergency. So
use
> a small amount of logic, who is playing on the radio, and who is useing it
> to help keep the country operating?

LOL thats the lamest excuse put forth yet you should change your name to Fools. LOL there is no doubt that your a redneck trucker with that post. Well then truckers mind as well be able to speed down the highway so the produce don't go bad huh how about reducing the tolls truckers pay since they are so highly needed. Funny part is the asshole truckers talikng on 10 meters are never talking about whewre they are going or looking for help from another driver they are just talking bullshit. Go below 27.999, if you guys are so important why not just talk on police freqs you and your trucker logic sucks fools

2586. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 21:24:58 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <QbydnYx6esg3jiqgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141361

"fools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:OZAt9.605$6F4.55649@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Well lets see..... Two matched antenna for the CB, one antenna for the
> scanner, one antenna for the cell phone, one antenna for the am/fm radio.
> Yep, they sure do have separate antenna for the different bands. How bout
> that?

Spoken like a true redneck trucker.

2587. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 21:28:40 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <Z4ycnc9S7t8ViSqgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 141363

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message

> I don't think anyone is in denial about it, most know that people do
> those things. It's the constant posting that is a
> "problem" or like it is an "epidemic" when it's not.
> Cb is slowing down, slowly but surly, because of
> cell phones IMO. Go take a look around the neighborhoods,
> do you see as many antennas now as you might have
> 7 years ago? Probably not, when you can get a cell phone
> and its use for $9.95 a month, why would you want to
> spend $40.00 for a cb? Cell phones are far more
> reliable and way more private.
>
> Landshark

Thanks Landshark this post will be my proof to Dickhead cranium that Cb is
in fact dieing.
He says there are antennas all over the place and 30-40 million users over
10% of this countrys popluation are Cbers. lOl Thanks again for the info.

2588. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 21:33:57 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <e9mdne7mu8NYiCqgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 141364

LOL One trip to skipps web page timmy and you got all your answers LOL....

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023104653.24559.00004585@mb-cl.aol.com...
> >1. What television ever used the 6146 or the 8950?
>
> none that i've ever seen since these were transmitting versions of sweep
tubes.
> do you know of any? if so quit holding out an dprove your point.
>
> >2. What make/model amplifier is currently being manufactured in the US
> >for legal use in any service on any frequency between 25 and 34 MHz?
>
> there are none being made specifically for that purpose but there are
plenty
> legal ones sold that will easily work there with a mod proveided by the
> factories own info.
>
> >3. What is the "IB" service?
>
> never heard of it, care to enlighten us? or are you asking because your
totally
> clueless as well?
>
>
>
> >4. How is the triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode not like a
> >triode?
>
> sounds like a triode to me. why were you thinking differently?
>
> >5. What does "low-mu" describe?
>
> i always thought that was a way of describing its gain factor?
>
> >6. What is the definition of a 'sweep tube'?
>
> that seems like its in debate by you and skip. you guys know more so let
me
> know when you reach a decision.
>
>
> >7. Because sweep tubes are generally beam tetrodes or pentodes, does
> >that mean every beam tetrode or pentode is a sweep tube?

> >
>
> no i dont think they are
>
> >8. How does the linearity of a sweep tube compare to that of an RF
> >power tube at RF frequencies?
>
> if done right they can be made just as linear. i would say around 10db is
just
> as good as most other glass tubes
>
> >9. Why were sweep tube amps so popular, aside from the price and
> >availability of the sweep tubes?
>
> they were so popular because they were made a dime a dozen with everything
> being cheap from surpuls. whats your theory?
>
> >10. How many sweep tube amplifiers are being produced these days?
>
> theres alot of them however most are using the EL509 or EL519
>
> >
> >If you can't answer those questions then butt out.
> >
>
> i'm beggining to think you cant answer these. are you going to keep asking
> until ten people answer for you then base your answers on those ten
peoples
> replies? thats what it seems like to me.
>
>
>
>

2589. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 21:38:56 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0210232136210.3254-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 141365
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 23 Oct 2002, 'Doc wrote:
>General,
> Now ~that~ is a good troll! I think it's the best
>I've seen in quite a while. Even some original thought(?)
>to it. Gotta give that one a '9'+...
> 'Dco

That was almost as good as selling a "can of squelch" - after all, all that turning of the knob lets it all leak out! :-)

2590. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 21:50:52 UTC
From: rabbit <rabbitsass@aol.com>
Message-ID: <We-dnUBVictbhCqgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 141370
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

hahahahahahahahahahahahahahhahaha
hahahahahah you are just to funny

voltage pulse type
signals across the antenna line hahahahahhahahahhahahahahhahahahhahaha'
jajajahahhahahahhahahahahhaha ROFL

dickweed
go read and learn something

"General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
> A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread
of
> illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
> installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
> transceivers operating out of band.
>
> The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger a
> device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage pulse
type
> signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front end of
the
> receiver.
>
> Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the devices
> which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.
>
> Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing amplifiers
> online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received
indicates
> the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will perform
> it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think the
problem
> is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.
>
>

2591. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 21:50:53 UTC
From: Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DB71A5A.EF4CF86F@optonline.net>
Source record: 141369
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

ha ha ha HA HA HA HA HA

General Johnson Jameson wrote:

> A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread of
> illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
> installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
> transceivers operating out of band.
>
> The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger a
> device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage pulse type
> signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front end of the
> receiver.
>
> Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the devices
> which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.
>
> Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing amplifiers
> online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received indicates
> the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will perform
> it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think the problem
> is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.

2592. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 21:55:55 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <DaOcnZtZYPhxhyqgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141372

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:7wwt9.196854$Fw2.5507218@twister.austin.rr.com...

> You mean the like time when you stated black guys are not radio techs? Is
that what you mean?

Yeah that's the one that seems to have you all hung up randy that you have
went and paid for a anon news servers and created nics using my name.
probably since road runner spanked you. You have become the latest to be
enamoured with me Randolph your skirt is showing.

Call Johny Cochran or Jesse jackson or even al sharpeton and tell them Old
george said "you black guys don't make good rf techs" LOL Tell them I am a
biggot, a rascist, a honky. tell them something randy just don't sit there
with that stupid look on your face.

2593. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 22:02:59 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021023180259.22775.00000361@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 141373

>From: voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron >H. Voobner)
>Enough rumor and heresay. Can you >show us the actual CB/"freeband"
>rules for New Caledonia or not? -A
Aaron(eous), face away from a mirror, pull your pants down, bend over, look
back at the mirror and you will see the rules you refer to!
Blow it out yer ass, dipshit!
333 Springfield MO....ya jackass!

"Got RF?"

2594. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 22:08:54 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1035411368.498240@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 141374
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

BULLSHIT !!!!!!
"Mark Russo" <mrrusso@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3DB71A5A.EF4CF86F@optonline.net...
ha ha ha HA HA HA HA HA
General Johnson Jameson wrote:

A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread of illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of transceivers operating out of band.
The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger a device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage pulse type signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front end of the receiver.

Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the devices which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.

Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing amplifiers online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received indicates the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will perform it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think the problem is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.

2595. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 22:23:50 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <s_adnQUmrIHrvCqgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 141375

> Uncle Charlie wrote:
> >
> > wiht that statement alone you just proved there way to close for jerry o
or
> > anybody else to jam lol

Why not tim with Dx conditions you have told me that a 4 watt signal coming from dx can and does step on local peoples 4 watts signals. Imagine a ham with legal limit or are you going to change your tune on what you said.

2596. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 22:25:57 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db72114.303131@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141376
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

In <R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com>, "General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote:

> A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread of
>illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
>installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
>transceivers operating out of band.
>
>The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger a
>device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage pulse type
>signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front end of the
>receiver.

It might be much easier to hook a low-Q series LC circuit resonant for 27.2 (or thereabouts) straight from output to input. Blows the radio, self-destructs the amp, and the evidence goes up in a puff of smoke.

2597. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 22:38:14 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023183814.19105.00002930@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141377

why would he do that? he's my friend but i wouldnt expect you to know what its like to have friends :)

>It is also "neither" don't
>want Randy calling you illiterate

2598. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 22:40:05 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023184005.19105.00002931@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141378

why would they be in court testifying about a freebander or interloper if said person isnt in court? make sense you fool.

>
>He never said freebanders would be in court Twisty, here is exactly what he
>said, he said the FCC feild enfg will be on the stand and in court.
>
>" When the FCC field engineer takes the stand and says that so-and-so was
>on xx.xxx MHz the judge, and jury if any, will most likely believe him as
>an expert witness.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2599. Re: More Power needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 22:41:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023184157.19105.00002933@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141379

yes, buy an amp.

>I have a Realistic trc 431 and want to boost my out put a bit .
>Can anyone one help me ,with this mod
>
>Any help welcome
>

2600. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 22:44:39 UTC
From: Keith <kavan@neb.rr.com>
Message-ID: <rDFt9.99317$om2.1697179@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 141380
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Oh great...I clicked on this post and it fried my PC. ;-)

Van

"General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
> A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread
of
> illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
> installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
> transceivers operating out of band.
>

2601. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 22:57:14 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023185714.19105.00002939@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141381

wwtk?

>He started in rec.radio.cb btw What do you care? Are you a trucker? Are
>you a Ham?
>

2602. Re: Ten Questions for Skipp May

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 23:01:02 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210231501.366eac2d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141382

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3db64a45.2363697@news.cet.com>...
> 1. What television ever used the 6146 or the 8950 in any part of the
> horizontal or vertical sweep circuits?

IIRC, he answered that one - look it up for yourself, dimbulb.

> 2. What make/model amplifier is currently being manufactured in the US
> for legal use in any service on any frequency between 25 and 34 MHz?

Look it up for yourself, dimbulb.

> 3. What is the "IB" service?

Look it up for yourself, dimbulb.

> 4. How is the triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode not like a
> triode?

Geez, you're studying for your ham test and you don't know that? Look
it up for yourself, dimbulb.

> 5. What does "low-mu" describe?

Look in your tube manual, dimbulb.

> 6. What is the definition of a 'sweep tube'?

Look it up for yourself, dimbulb.

> 7. Because sweep tubes are generally beam tetrodes or pentodes, does
> that mean every beam tetrode or pentode is a sweep tube?

What do you think, dimbulb?

> 8. How does the linearity of a sweep tube compare to that of an RF
> power tube at RF frequencies?

Look it up for yourself, dimbulb.

> 9. Why were sweep tube amps so popular, aside from the price and
> availability of the sweep tubes?

Why do you think, dimbulb?

> 10. How many sweep tube amplifiers are being produced these days?

Hey math genius: Are you lame or just stupid? You have internet
capabilities, look it up for yourself, dimbulb Frankie.

BTW, how goes the studying for your ham test? ;)

2603. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 23:02:41 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210231502.24235717@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141383

voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<75ad2ce2.0210220804.3a280194@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> (snipped)
>
>
> -Aaron-

Proving exactly, what Aanal Aaron? That you have NO PROOF?

2604. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 23:04:46 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023190446.19105.00002944@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141384

says who?

>Careful Tim your starting to sound like a twinkie.

2605. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 23:05:44 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db7230e.809594@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141390

In <20021023104653.24559.00004585@mb-cl.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com357
(Uncle Charlie) wrote:

>>1. What television ever used the 6146 or the 8950?
>
>none that i've ever seen since these were transmitting versions of sweep tubes.
>do you know of any? if so quit holding out an dprove your point.

Nope. No TV's used them that I know of. And I know of a lot. That's
what I did for a job in jr-high & high-school.

>>2. What make/model amplifier is currently being manufactured in the US
>>for legal use in any service on any frequency between 25 and 34 MHz?
>
>there are none being made specifically for that purpose but there are plenty
>legal ones sold that will easily work there with a mod proveided by the
>factories own info.

The amps you describe (such as Skippy's example 'Alpha Power') are not
legal for any other service except amateur, and then only for the
freqs other than the spread prohibited by 2.815. The 'unlock' feature
makes these devices the amplifier equivalent of an 'export' radio.

>>3. What is the "IB" service?
>
>never heard of it, care to enlighten us? or are you asking because your totally
>clueless as well?

There is no IB service.

>>4. How is the triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode not like a
>>triode?
>
>sounds like a triode to me. why were you thinking differently?

I wasn't thinking differently, Skippy was. In fact, triode operation
of a tetrode/pentode is exactly like a triode.

>>5. What does "low-mu" describe?
>
>i always thought that was a way of describing its gain factor?

It's the amplification factor of a triode. The amplification factor is
not the same as the gain factor, since the gain will always be less
than the amplification factor (I know it sounds goofy, but that's the
terminology that was chosen almost a century ago, so that's what is
used today).

>>6. What is the definition of a 'sweep tube'?
>
>that seems like its in debate by you and skip. you guys know more so let me
>know when you reach a decision.

Since the word "sweep" comes from the horizontal and deflection
circuits of a CRT (picture tube) circuit, a "sweep tube" is a tube
that is designed specifically for video deflection circuits.

>>7. Because sweep tubes are generally beam tetrodes or pentodes, does
>>that mean every beam tetrode or pentode is a sweep tube?
>>
>
>no i dont think they are

Of course they aren't.

>>8. How does the linearity of a sweep tube compare to that of an RF
>>power tube at RF frequencies?
>
>if done right they can be made just as linear. i would say around 10db is just
>as good as most other glass tubes

The key phrase here is "if done right". Care to elaborate? Not even
Skippy want's to share that information. That's because it's an
engineering headache to make those things run linear. The real answer
to the question is that most RF power tubes are linear out-of-the-box,
and sweep tubes don't even compare.

BTW, linearity isn't measured in decibels. If you were talking about
power gain, if the tube doesn't require any drive power (class A1,
AB1, etc), then power gain is theoretically infinite.

>>9. Why were sweep tube amps so popular, aside from the price and
>>availability of the sweep tubes?
>
>they were so popular because they were made a dime a dozen with everything
>being cheap from surpuls. whats your theory?

No different than yours. Cheap parts, including the tubes. Cheap labor
didn't hurt much, either.

>>10. How many sweep tube amplifiers are being produced these days?
>
>theres alot of them however most are using the EL509 or EL519

First off, are the tubes you mention really sweep tubes, or are they
RF power tubes? According to Svetlana, the "EL509/6KG6 is a beam power
tetrode intended for use in Class A, AB, or B audio amplifiers and for
Class B or C RF amplification". Basically, it is a tube that is along
the same lines as the 8950, being a power pentode that can be used to
replace sweep tubes.

There are plenty of homebrew AF and RF amps using these tubes, but I
know of no RF amps in production that use these or any other sweep

tubes (or compatible replacements).

>>If you can't answer those questions then butt out.
>>
>
>i'm beggining to think you cant answer these. are you going to keep asking
>until ten people answer for you then base your answers on those ten peoples
>replies? thats what it seems like to me.

You didn't do too bad with the questions and I'm impressed. Really. So
why screw it up with some idiotic comment like that?

2606. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 23:07:05 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <tYFt9.1406$Fj6.90433@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

GMRS is a good option for you. Unlike the rest of the info you've been getting, 5 miles is NOT a problem!

Go here and learn...

http://www.gmrsweb.com/gmrs.html

and then click on the "Bill's 2 Way" link to buy a Pryme radio for GMRS. You will need to pay for 2 licenses. One nice thing about GMRS is that the frequency is so high that the antennas are SMALL!

I can help you with a simple j-pole you can build yourself using materials from Home Depot for UNDER $20.00 each.

My information is FREE and I have nothing for sale...so my information is genuin and true. Good Luck!

Dino

>I'm considering a GMRS system to keep in contact with my mom who doesn't
>have a phone. (LONG story!) We've both had CBs in the past, but no
>experience with GMRS. We're 5 miles apart; I'm in a river valley and she is
>in the mountains about 400 feet above. I hear so many conflicting reports
>about the range of GMRS units, I'm hesitant to make the investment,
>especially since she's in elderly housing and I'm in a condominium, so it's
>doubful either of us can get permission to put up massive antennas. Can
>anyone offer me some guidance based on their experiences, please?
>
>

2607. Re: Question, Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 23:07:18 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210231507.19ba19f3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141385

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<Vtzt9.11187$9W3.509154400@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Paul L. Gaston" <show16mm@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:12125-3DB6A45B-1@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >
> > Is this the proper forum to post concerning something I have for sale?
> > It is a radio item. I didn't want to intrude in this group with such a
> > post if it would be breaking the regular practices of this group. Please
> > inform. Thanks,
> >
> > Paul
> >
>
> Hi Paul,
> Why sure it is, just make sure that you use the
> proper subject title "FS". Otherwise our resident
> trolls will give you a hard time.
>
> Landshark

And make sure it's "legal" for use on CB or "our resident trolls will
give you a hard time". If it's not legal for use on CB, post it in
rec.radio.swap or rec.radio.amateur.swap. OR, put on your asbestos
long-johns and ignore Aanal Aaron H. Voobner and his minions
(including Frank "I post under my real name" <yeti@cet.com> and
Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ and Doug "Doggie-style" Adairierre N8WWM,
and others).

2608. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 23:08:06 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023190806.19105.00002947@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141387

he enjoys it. its very apperant. but yes smoking weed and messing with young shapely women is how i get my jollies. sorry you dont agree. maybe you prefer young shapely boys?

>who said he is getting his jollies, I think smoking pot and posting pictures
>of skankie bitches on your website is a wierd way to get ones jollies but
>you seem to like it.
>

2609. DX-2527 Dual Final Mods...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 23:08:20 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <EZFt9.1411$Fj6.90433@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141388

Please settle a dispute...

Can the DX-2527 be modified for dual finals or 100 watts?

2610. Re: Breaker Breaker, this is amateur ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 23:08:38 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <WZFt9.203729$Fw2.5562992@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 141389

"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:DaOcnZtZYPhxhyqgXTWcoA@comcast.com...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:7wwt9.196854$Fw2.5507218@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> > You mean the like time when you stated black guys are not radio techs?
Is
> that what you mean?
>
> Yeah that's the one that seems to have you all hung up randy that you have
> went and paid for a anon news servers and created nics using my name.
> probably since road runner spanked you.

Hold up there, Georgie-girl! There is no way I'd spend a dime to mess with you. You simply aren't that high on my list. Don't flatter yourself. Besides, that would defeat my purpose. I WANT you to know it's me that takes a minute out of my day to point out the fact that you are an idiot. That being said, I can see where others would want to jerk your chain anonymously. At any rate, it is funny to watch you lash out in all directions like a rabid pit bull with no teeth! And RR spanked me? That's a laugh! Keep 'em coming Georgie-girl.

2611. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 23:12:05 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023191205.19105.00002949@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141391

it's not obvious to jerry o. he's still trying!

>Damn you're really sharp timmyboy. It is obvious to the most
>casual of observers that two truckers running down the Interstate
>a few yards apart are going to be difficult if not impossible to
>interfere with by another station miles away.

2612. Re: Ten Questions for Skipp May

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 23:12:17 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db72bd1.3052182@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141392

In <c5ecbb69.0210231501.366eac2d@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

<snip>
>Hey math genius: Are you lame or just stupid? You have internet
>capabilities, look it up for yourself, dimbulb Frankie.

Why don't you talk Skipp into look up those answers? Even Tim did a
better job answering them than Skippy.

>BTW, how goes the studying for your ham test? ;)

Not too bad. Even got a rig to start with. It does 80 to 10 meters. I
listen in on 10 meters once in a while and hear lots of freebanders.
Have you figured out how to tune your radio for the 10 meter band yet?

2613. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 23:22:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023192218.19105.00002953@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141393

4 watts nor 1500 watts is enough to step on people close enough to see the same car at the same time. now if they were several miles apart it wouldnt neccesarily be the case.

>Why not tim with Dx conditions you have told me that a 4 watt signal coming
>from dx can and does step on local peoples 4 watts signals. Imagine a ham
>with legal limit or are you going to change your tune on what you said.

2614. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 23:27:15 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <nfGt9.203731$Fw2.5566479@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 141394

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3db7230e.809594@news.cet.com...
> In <20021023104653.24559.00004585@mb-cl.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com357
> (Uncle Charlie) wrote:
>
> >>1. What television ever used the 6146 or the 8950?
> > >
> > >none that i've ever seen since these were transmitting versions of sweep
tubes.
> > >do you know of any? if so quit holding out an dprove your point.
> >
> > Nope. No TV's used them that I know of. And I know of a lot. That's
> > what I did for a job in jr-high & high-school.
> >
> > >>2. What make/model amplifier is currently being manufactured in the US
> > >>for legal use in any service on any frequency between 25 and 34 MHz?
> > >
> > >there are none being made specifically for that purpose but there are
plenty
> > >legal ones sold that will easily work there with a mod proveided by the
> > >factories own info.
> >
> > The amps you describe (such as Skippy's example 'Alpha Power') are not
> > legal for any other service except amateur, and then only for the
> > freqs other than the spread prohibited by 2.815. The 'unlock' feature
> > makes these devices the amplifier equivalent of an 'export' radio.
> >
> > >>3. What is the "IB" service?
> > >
> > >never heard of it, care to enlighten us? or are you asking because your
totally
> > >clueless as well?
> >
> > There is no IB service.
> >
> > >>4. How is the triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode not like a
> > >>triode?
> > >
> > >sounds like a triode to me. why were you thinking differently?
> >
> > I wasn't thinking differently, Skippy was. In fact, triode operation
> > of a tetrode/pentode is exactly like a triode.
> >
> > >>5. What does "low-mu" describe?
> > >

> >i always thought that was a way of describing its gain factor?
>
> It's the amplification factor of a triode. The amplification factor is
> not the same as the gain factor, since the gain will always be less
> than the amplification factor (I know it sounds goofy, but that's the
> terminology that was chosen almost a century ago, so that's what is
> used today).
>
> >>6. What is the definition of a 'sweep tube'?
> >
> >that seems like its in debate by you and skip. you guys know more so let
me
> >know when you reach a decision.
>
> Since the word "sweep" comes from the horizontal and deflection
> circuits of a CRT (picture tube) circuit, a "sweep tube" is a tube
> that is designed specifically for video deflection circuits.
>
> >>7. Because sweep tubes are generally beam tetrodes or pentodes, does
> >>that mean every beam tetrode or pentode is a sweep tube?
> >>
> >
> >no i dont think they are
>
> Of course they aren't.
>
> >>8. How does the linearity of a sweep tube compare to that of an RF
> >>power tube at RF frequencies?
> >
> >if done right they can be made just as linear. i would say around 10db is
just
> >as good as most other glass tubes
>
> The key phrase here is "if done right". Care to elaborate? Not even
> Skippy want's to share that information. That's because it's an
> engineering headache to make those things run linear. The real answer
> to the question is that most RF power tubes are linear out-of-the-box,
> and sweep tubes don't even compare.
>
> BTW, linearity isn't measured in decibels. If you were talking about
> power gain, if the tube doesn't require any drive power (class A1,
> AB1, etc), then power gain is theoretically infinite.
>
> >>9. Why were sweep tube amps so popular, aside from the price and
> >>availability of the sweep tubes?
> >
> >they were so popular because they were made a dime a dozen with
everything
> >being cheap from surpuls. whats your theory?
>

> No different than yours. Cheap parts, including the tubes. Cheap labor
> didn't hurt much, either.
>
> >>10. How many sweep tube amplifiers are being produced these days?
> >
> >theres alot of them however most are using the EL509 or EL519
>
> First off, are the tubes you mention really sweep tubes, or are they
> RF power tubes? According to Svetlana, the "EL509/6KG6 is a beam power
> tetrode intended for use in Class A, AB, or B audio amplifiers and for
> Class B or C RF amplification". Basically, it is a tube that is along
> the same lines as the 8950, being a power pentode that can be used to
> replace sweep tubes.
>
> There are plenty of homebrew AF and RF amps using these tubes, but I
> know of no RF amps in production that use these or any other sweep
> tubes (or compatible replacements).
>

Try this link Frank. Now you know of some.

http://rodehogs.com/klv1k.htm

2615. Re: get on with it Yeti.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 23:28:39 UTC
From: Here We Go Again Yeti Must Need this 3 times <repeatposts@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ap7bb7$c8k$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 141395

: yeti@cet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
: 1. What television ever used the 6146 or the 8950 in any part of the
: horizontal or vertical sweep circuits?

Review the two previous answers supplied by me within the last week, one done yesterday. No need to retype the answer a third time, go find it.

: 2. What make/model amplifier is currently being manufactured in the US
: for legal use in any service on any frequency between 25 and 34 MHz?

Alpha Power, 99b as currently advertised on their web page. You seem to want to do the boobner hard line dance..?

: 3. What is the "IB" service?

Not currently in use by the FCC, I was under the impression it was, but the current FCC "Industrial Business Pool" Licenses are now grouped under the "IG" designation. I used the label to describe legal Industrial Business Pool Licenses within your magical 25 to 34 Mhz window, of which are allowed modest (when compared to other services) or higher (vs CB) power operation which might require the use of an rf amplifier to transmit at ther full authorized limit. So did you research any Industrial Business Licenses to see allocations within your area of contest that are allowed higher power..?
What did you find dear boy..? Yeah... there are some What a concept...

: 4. How is the triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode not like a
: triode?

The "triode mode" grid to plate connection you described is not used in many/most rf amplifiers, regardless of the tube type. You clearly showed your background example was from the tube audio world and does not play well in rf applications. Your theory is weak in rf... the topic of choice.

: 5. What does "low-mu" describe?

Specific to what..? operation, circuit layout, tube type, tube element construction "geometry..?"

: 6. What is the definition of a 'sweep tube'?

It is an informal reference to the function of a tube in TV sets. Also used as slang to describe a generic style type of tube used to provide said function. You have a dictionary of Electronics around that you wouldenlighten us big boy..? What's your hard fast answer..? ... and from what reference do you make it..? Was it worth the trouble..?

: 7. Because sweep tubes are generally beam tetrodes or pentodes, does
: that mean every beam tetrode or pentode is a sweep tube?

What a dumb question... but since your burning for an answer, No. By the way, the formal label is "Beam Power Tube." If your going to nit pick at stupid issues, don't forget the complete label.

: 8. How does the linearity of a sweep tube compare to that of an RF
: power tube at RF frequencies?

Another dumb question. Even sweep tubes not originally engineered for RF applications work very well as RF power tubes. As described by me (and others including the ARRL & W6SAI Radio Handbooks), their comparison is about mute as they are easily able to meet or exceed FCC requirements for such applications. In short, they do the job pretty well for what they are.

Many tubes not originally designed for radio rf applications, can and do work in said areas. The horizontal output tube of some tv sets is one such example.

: 9. Why were sweep tube amps so popular, aside from the price and
: availability of the sweep tubes?

They are relatively easy to construct... ARRL Handbook projects from the 60's and or 70's show a classic 4 tube project. What more are you looking for buck'o..?

: 10. How many sweep tube amplifiers are being produced these days?

You going to dance and sing about the next reply..? I would imagine only hundreds. The KLV Italian brand, Ameritron might still be making their unit (but I doubt it). The current rage is to retro fit Dentron, Amp Supply and similar vintage rf amplifiers with the Ruski (Svetlana) type EL-509/519 tubes. I've done my share of them...

So where are you going with all of this..? is it just your attempt to try and nit pick..? Are you going to supply us with some magic theory I or we need to read. Do you have a web page somewhere with articles or text that you have writen on the subject..? Do you have civil conversations with people over these topics that interest you..?

With the 70's, 80's, 90's wind down of glass tube production, all tube prices rose up and out the window of reason. Only the influx of foreign mfgrs again make similar type tube a practical alternative. Hence, we see new made KLV brand amplifiers for sale again. People buy them...

gotta go... it's music night..! yee ha..!

skipp

ps: try not to be too bitter in your follow up post

2616. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 23:35:39 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023193539.19105.00002957@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141396

because i wasnt trying to impress you.

>You didn't do too bad with the questions and I'm impressed. Really. So
>why screw it up with some idiotic comment like that?
>

2617. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 23:40:27 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210231540.393178a7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141397

"Randy" rchapman8@houston.rr.com wrote
>http://rodehogs.com/klv1k.htm

"The KLV 1000/KLV 1000P RF linear amplifiers, are designed for those who need increased RF power transmissions to enable long distance communications."

LOL. They never heard of propagation.

-Aaron-

2618. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 23:49:25 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3DB73585.1D26F653@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 141398
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

General Johnson Jameson wrote:
>
> A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread of
> illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
> installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
> transceivers operating out of band.
>
> The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger a
> device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage pulse type
> signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front end of the
> receiver.
>
> Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the devices
> which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.
>
> Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing amplifiers
> online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received indicates
> the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will perform
> it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think the problem
> is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.

Very nice!

What a shame you didn't wait a while, I'm sure one of the magazines
would have paid for this for the April issue.

Dave

2619. Re: We won't get the real facts from Skippy, that's for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-23 23:57:24 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210231557.1f25627f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141399

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3db64bd5.2763716@news.cet.com>...
> In <aoq66f$5r7$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Be a Boobner Lap
>Dog or think for yourself <voobnerisafakename@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >The news group would be better served if mr boobner could
> > state facts as he knows them and provide supporting
> > technical/factual material.
>
> Speak for yourself, Skippy.

That's funny to see him asking me foir factual material. When I
offered to gather supporting factual material by asking a question
("Do many hams operate illegal high power? Do many hams feel as skipp
does? etc.) to an amateur radio list server, my effort was quickly
squelched. I wonder what the replies would have said, and, mpre
importantly, why skipp was so terrified of this question being asked?
Sigh. We'll never know.

-Aaron-

2620. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 00:04:53 UTC
From: "Donnie (N4JZH)" <n4jzh@knology.net>
Message-ID: <tnderu4h0kcofep0tidcltm57udjcc0cqo@4ax.com>
Source record: 141400
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 23 Oct 2002 22:44:39 GMT, "Keith" <kavan@neb.rr.com> wrote:

>Oh great...I clicked on this post and it fried my PC. ;-)
>
>Van
>
>"General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
>news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
>> A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread
>of
>> illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
>> installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
>> transceivers operating out of band.
>>
>
NEW URBAN LEGEND EH!

Scientific study indicates that the average human use about 1/10th of there brain, I am throughly convinced that you fall WAY below that 1/10th.
''Behold how good and pleasant it is for Brethren to dwell together in unity''
Donnie n4jzh@knology.net

2621. Re: get on with it Yeti.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 00:15:59 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db73364.376840@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141402

In <ap7bb7$c8k$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Here We Go Again Yeti Must Need this 3 times <repeatposts@yahoo.com> wrote:

>: yeti@cet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
>: 1. What television ever used the 6146 or the 8950 in any part of the
>: horizontal or vertical sweep circuits?
>
>Review the two previous answers supplied by me within the last week, one
>done yesterday. No need to retype the answer a third time, go find it.

Your answer was "I don't know and I don't want to look". Except YOU made the statement that 6146's were used as sweep tubes -- back it up!

>: 2. What make/model amplifier is currently being manufactured in the US
>: for legal use in any service on any frequency between 25 and 34 MHz?
>
>Alpha Power, 99b as currently advertised on their web page. You seem to
>want to do the boobner hard line dance..?

And you apparently can't read. It's legal in the US only for amateur use and only on freqs not prohibited by 2.185. IOW, you need to find another amp.

>: 3. What is the "IB" service?
>
>Not currently in use by the FCC, I was under the impression it was, but
>the current FCC "Industrial Business Pool" Licenses are now grouped under
>the "IG" designation. I used the label to describe legal Industrial
>Business Pool Licenses within your magical 25 to 34 Mhz window, of which
>are allowed modest (when compared to other services) or higher (vs CB)
>power operation which might require the use of an rf amplifier to
>transmit at ther full authorized limit. So did you research any
>Industrial Business Licenses to see allocations within your area of
>contest that are allowed higher power..?
>What did you find dear boy..? Yeah... there are some What a
>concept...

I'm familiar with several of those licensees (IG between 25 and 34 MHz). I work for one of them and use the service under their license. That's why I know you are wrong.

>: 4. How is the triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode not like a
>: triode?
>
>The "triode mode" grid to plate connection you described is not used in
>many/most rf amplifiers, regardless of the tube type. You clearly showed

>your background example was from the tube audio world and does not play
>well in rf applications. Your theory is weak in rf... the topic of
>choice.

Even if all three grids are tied to ground it's still a triode
configuration, and operates as a triode. But nice try at side-stepping
the question -- it's obvious that you refuse to answer it.

>: 5. What does "low-mu" describe?
>
>Specific to what..? operation, circuit layout, tube type, tube element
>construction "geometry..?"

I suppose you think side-stepping won't work twice in a row, so now
you try and act stupid? Let's make this a multiple choice question:

The designator 'mu' (greek leter 'micron'), when used in reference to
the specifications of vacuum tubes, is a label for the amplification
factor of a:

A. Diode
B. Triode
C. Beam Tetrode
D. Pentode
E. None of the above

>: 6. What is the definition of a 'sweep tube'?
>
>It is an informal reference to the function of a tube in TV sets. Also
>used as slang to describe a generic style type of tube used to provide
>said function. You have a dictionary of Electronics around that you
>wouldenlighten us big boy..? What's your hard fast answer..? ... and
>from what reference do you make it..? Was it worth the trouble..?

Hey, you got one right! Bravo!

>: 7. Because sweep tubes are generally beam tetrodes or pentodes, does
>: that mean every beam tetrode or pentode is a sweep tube?
>
>What a dumb question... but since your burning for an answer, No. By the
>way, the formal label is "Beam Power Tube." If your going to nit pick at
>stupid issues, don't forget the complete label.

That's two correct! You're on a roll...

>: 8. How does the linearity of a sweep tube compare to that of an RF
>: power tube at RF frequencies?
>
>Another dumb question. Even sweep tubes not originally engineered for RF
>applications work very well as RF power tubes. As described by me (and
>others including the ARRL & W6SAI Radio Handbooks), their comparison is
>about mute as they are easily able to meet or exceed FCC requirements for
>such applications. In short, they do the job pretty well for what they

>are.
>
>Many tubes not originally designed for radio rf applications, can and do
>work in said areas. The horizontal output tube of some tv sets is one such
>example.

Bzzzt! Wrong answer. Too bad. But since you did so well on the last
two questions, I'll give you a chance to look at the EpIp curves of
both types of tubes and answer this question again. Fair enough?

Oh yeah, the word is 'moot', not 'mute'. Your voice recognition chip
might be overheating...

>: 9. Why were sweep tube amps so popular, aside from the price and
>: availability of the sweep tubes?
>
>They are relatively easy to construct... ARRL Handbook projects from the
>60's and or 70's show a classic 4 tube project. What more are you
>looking for buck'o..?

You are suggesting that amps using proper RF tube were more difficult
to build? Bzzzt! Wrong again! Although the question was intended to
mean production amps, many homebrewers had problems with the sweep
tube amps. I remember my Uncle tried one once and he kept blowing tube
after tube until he finally added enough neutralization to keep it
from oscillating into smoke. He wasn't the only one, either.
Homebrewers also had, and still have the problem of grid current,
which is an absolute no-no with sweep tubes. RF tubes are more
forgiving in that situation, and with a tuned grid you wouldn't even
notice it. But with sweep tubes not even a tuned grid with a swamping
resistor is going to make the crossover much smoother.

No, they are NOT easier to construct. The only exception might be if
the tubes were run grounded-grid, in which case you have to solder
three pins instead of one (for a factory triode), which is not a
significant effort.

>: 10. How many sweep tube amplifiers are being produced these days?
>
>You going to dance and sing about the next reply..? I would imagine only
>hundreds. The KLV Italian brand, Ameritron might still be making their
>unit (but I doubt it). The current rage is to retro fit Dentron, Amp
>Supply and similar vintage rf amplifiers with the Ruski (Svetlana) type
>EL-509/519 tubes. I've done my share of them...

No song and dance. I think you will agree that very few, if any, sweep
tube amps are being manufactured.

>So where are you going with all of this..?

Simple. If sweep tubes are so great, why aren't they being used
instead of power tubes designed for RF applications? Because they
aren't so great!

BIG DUH!!!

> is it just your attempt to try
>and nit pick..? Are you going to supply us with some magic theory I or we
>need to read. Do you have a web page somewhere with articles or text that
>you have writen on the subject..? Do you have civil conversations with
>people over these topics that interest you..?

Do you have a financial interest in the popularity of sweep tubes that
might skew your public endorsement of them away from technical facts
and into the realm of RF mythology?

>With the 70's, 80's, 90's wind down of glass tube production, all tube
>prices rose up and out the window of reason. Only the influx of foreign
>mfgrs again make similar type tube a practical alternative. Hence, we
>see new made KLV brand amplifiers for sale again. People buy them...

Do you sell those amps? Or any other sweep tube amps?

>gotta go... it's music night..! yee ha..!
>
>skipp
>
>ps: try not to be too bitter in your follow up post

Who's bitter? You got three correct answers (maybe four, I'll give you
the IB service question), and one that you can do over again. That's
more than I expected. Actually, I thought you would try and obfuscate
every single question. But I was only half wrong...

2622. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 00:17:15 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210231617.7e391416@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141401

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message news:...
> >richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >(snipped)
> >
> >
> >-Aaron-
>
>Proving exactly, what Aanal Aaron? That you have NO PROOF?

Everyone knows that only one person would get so freaked out about
this, and that's you, Steve.

-Aaron-

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired
for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the
operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of
a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by
the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at
the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so
inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain
about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to
follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard
Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to
debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

2623. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 00:18:58 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db73c27.2619922@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141403

In <6e58e321.0210231540.393178a7@posting.google.com>,
avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:

>"Randy" rchapman8@houston.rr.com wrote
>>http://rodehogs.com/klv1k.htm
>
>"The KLV 1000/KLV 1000P RF linear amplifiers, are designed for those
>who need increased RF power transmissions to enable long distance
>communications."
>
>LOL. They never heard of propagation.
>
>-Aaron-

By golly, someone DOES make sweep tube amps! Let's see, what has the
total come to so far...

One!

2624. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 00:19:46 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db73c83.2711866@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141404

In <20021023193539.19105.00002957@mb-df.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote:

>because i wasnt trying to impress you.

But you did. Unless, of course, someone gave you those answers. Say it ain't so, Tim?

2625. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 00:34:23 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <%cHt9.2283$bS5.1276@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141406

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:KSAt9.652$Fj6.50613@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Well, I guess the best advice I can offer you is be very careful. Some of
> those good ol boy redneck truckers can be right hard to handle when they
get
> riled. They might not take your efforts too kindly. And please do not go
on
> about how safe you are. They can find you just as simply as you can fine
> them. Jeezus man, we do not live in a nice safe little world. I do not
agree
> with you that anything needs be done about it. But even if I did, I would
> still advise you to think about what could happen.

Yup, and it could go the *other* way as well. You see, I don't consider
myself to be Big and Bad.
BUT! I am a quite large framed person (not fat) and I have huge hands and a
pretty good grip. I
spent quite a few years as a laborer on a railroad and I swung a sledge and
lifted heavy objects on
a daily basis. I also have a background in self-defense, and while ANY-one
can get beat up, I do
know some of the finer points of taking someone off their feet or disabling
them. I don't consider myself tough, but I am not a pushover, either. So if
anyone decided they wanted to start something
with me, they would need to size me up pretty well, and if I think they are
about to *do* something
I may resort to, hnmmm, let's see, Eagle's Claw is pretty effective against
an aggressor.

Now, with that out of the way, some observations. You *seem* to be a
no-code VHF person, that is if you do have a ticket as you asserted
previously. I also seem to recall that you are a trucker. If I am wrong,
sorry. And if my assumptions are true, then I can see why you wouldn't care
about "them thar drivers a-tawkin' jist anywhirs they like". If you don't
operate on 10 (legally),
then I can see where you are coming from. Then, do tell me where it SHOULD
be ended? On
18 MHZ where the military operates, or 11 MHZ where such could interfere
with Andrews AFB?
Yes, the military STILL uses non-encrypted SSB phone for some operations.
Should "them thar
mercy me thar drivers" be welcomed onto the other ham bands as well? Should
we just forget about ANY form of regulation? Do you REALLY mean to assert
that you don't listen to the 40
CB channels, or the "stupid, uh, er, I mean, the Superbowl" on channel 6?

Do you hear the mess on CB and believe that the rest of radio wouldn't be
the SAME way if that happened? Your fellow
hobbiests have screwed up the regular 40 (not all, but enough to ruin it for
any real communica-tions), and now you would like to f*** up the rest of
radio, too? All I can say is, AMAZING!!

J

> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:drAt9.2053$bS5.403@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:MMxt9.287$6F4.31665@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > If it is "dead", why are the truckers a problem for you? If you
cannot
> > use
> > > it for DX, why care who uses it in the downtime?
> >
> > FCC and most legal operators do (or should) care that the band is being
> used
> > illegally by intruders.
> > One of the principles of Amateur Radio is that it is to be self-policing
> to
> > a certain extent, and if it
> > is being used improperly by stations, legal or otherwise, then it is the
> > obligation of licensees to attempt to abate the offense. I once
realized
> > that a local station of 2 Meters was pirating a call of
> > a ham in Mississippi. I phoned that ham and let him know that his call
> was
> > being used illegally. He
> > then alerted FCC. Why? So he would not be held responsible for the
> > improper use of his call by
> > an unlicensed bandit.
> >
> > Besides, why can't I use it for some local CW with my buddies? Bottom
> line
> > is, them truckers are
> > not authorized to be there, and I will do whatever I can to get rid of
> them
> > thar beepers and squeakers. They have channel 19. Let 'em go there
where
> > they belong.
> >
> > J
> >
> >
> >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:M7nt9.488$bS5.120@news.bellsouth.net...
> > > >

> > > > Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> > > > news:20021022193859.29153.00000377@mb-bg.aol.com...
> > > > > jerry since ten meters is such a dx band what does living near the
> > > > interstate
> > > > > have to do with anything????
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Because 10 is becoming more "iffy", and is sometimes
in--sometimes
> > out.
> > > > When it is "dead", I
> > > > still hear them. so I know they are local.
> > > >
> > > > J
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >There are plenty of them on 10 if one takes the time to listen
and
> > > > >lives near an Interstate like
> > > > >I do
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2626. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 00:40:04 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023204004.19105.00002971@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141405

frank, tell me what you think of this schematic. i've often wondered about these amps

>
>http://rodehogs.com/klv1k.htm

2627. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 00:41:13 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <mjHt9.2286$bS5.531@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141407

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023132212.22193.00003944@mb-co.aol.com...
> what are you doing to educate them? the arrl would be better served
putting
> public service announcements in trucker rags than you guys here on usenet
> crying in a cb group that most truckers will never read

I contacted 2 of the Owner/Operator Associations and asked them to publish
the fact that
such is illegal and that FCC has been somewhat active of late in warning
and/or fining truckers
for it.

I suggested the same to ARRL.

I asked a CB Shop owner who publishes a column in a truckers' mag and
website to write a story
about how and why those export radios were illegal.

I visited rec.radio.trucking and posted the fact.

Where I posted the info and proof from FCC's website, I got flamed, told to
go f*** myself, etc.
etc.

Among other things.

J
>
> >
> >Because some truckers don't even know that what they are doing is
illegal.
> >
>
>
>
>

2628. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 00:45:54 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <OnHt9.2292$bS5.2202@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141408

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:S%At9.609$6F4.55928@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Jerry, you do know that some of them thar truckers have far more education
> than you, right? Some of them are lawyers and such.

All of which is fine. You don't know WHAT I have or don't have. What is
"far" more? Is it "far"
more than a commercial pilot's license (now expired)? Is it "far" more than
Business Management?
Define "far" in the context that you don't know WHAT I have! I just go by
what I hear on that "thar reddio thar". Is there some redeeming value in
talking like a hick? That's what I hear in between those asinine beeps and
squeaks.

J

> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:EzAt9.2056$bS5.936@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:dFxt9.280$6F4.30732@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > <<snip>>
> >
> >
> > > > from what you say sounds like theres more truckers on ten than there
> are
> > > ham's.
> > > > why is that?
> >
> > Because the importers and sellers of non-approved radios are actively
> > pushing these rigs
> > at truck stops and CB shops.
> >
> > Because they are telling truckers they can "git mo' of them thar
> 'channels'
> > wid a 10 Meter reddio
> > thar, an' git mo' power thar, tan fer (thar)?"
> >
> > Because some truckers don't even know that what they are doing is
illegal.
> >
> > Because they believe that FCC doesn't care about what they do wid them
> thar
> > reddios.
> >

> > Because, up until a few years ago, they were RIGHT!
> >
> > Because the sense of what is right or wrong has been muddied in this
> country
> > and people are more
> > "me and what ah want" than in the past.
> >
> > Because the drivers listen to the lower end of 10 and don't hear any
voice
> > transmissions and think it
> > is "empty".
> >
> > Among other reasons.
> >
> > Jerry
> >
> >
> >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2629. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 00:47:25 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <cpHt9.2293$bS5.1695@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141409

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13011-3DB6EB6F-41@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Because the importers and sellers of non-approved radios are actively
> pushing these rigs
> at truck stops and CB shops.
> Because they are telling truckers they can "git mo' of them thar
> 'channels' wid a 10 Meter reddio
> thar, an' git mo' power thar, tan fer (thar)?"
> Because some truckers don't even know that what they are doing is
> illegal.
> Because they believe that FCC doesn't care about what they do wid them
> thar reddios.
> Because, up until a few years ago, they were RIGHT!
> Because the sense of what is right or wrong has been muddied in this
> country and people are more
> "me and what ah want" than in the past.
> Because the drivers listen to the lower end of 10 and don't hear any
> voice transmissions and think it
> is "empty".
> Among other reasons.
> Jerry
> --Because
> YOU can talk there. Nocodes can't.

Only on RTTY, CW, and packet.

J
>

2630. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 00:49:28 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <arHt9.2296$bS5.2075@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141410

Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net> wrote in message
news:3DB6BA86.FEC9FF2@hotnet.net...
>
>
> Sir Circumference wrote:
> >
> > Sir Circumference wrote:
> >
> > > Another bunch just popped up on 28.045, one of the ops AM signal
> > > is FMing so bad you can hardly copy him, another bunch on 28.155,
> > > all English speaking (not South Americans) on AM talking about the
> > > best places to fuel up. More on 28.175 (currently being pretty
> > > well covered up by CW). Now repeat after me, there are no truckers
> > > illegally using 10 meters...there are no truckers illegally using
> > > 10 meters. Just keep repeating that and maybe you will finally
> > > convince someone....NOT!
> > > Truckers using 10 meters illegally isn't a problem is it tool?
> >
> > Opps, another bunch on 28.105 and 28.355, sure glad that illegal
> > operators on 10 is not a problem, eh tools.
>
> Well here we are, the usual 10 meter trucker channel (28.085) is
> buzzing with truckers illegally operating in the 10 meter band,
> some more illegal ops on 28.075, more truckers on 28.305, but I
> must be dreaming as dickie c., tools, and others say there aren't
> any truckers using 10 meters.

And they were on 28.530 AM at 3:15 Eastern complete with the nasal twang,
echo,
and CB slang. If it talks like a CBer, squeaks like a CBer, it must
be................."?

A CBer!!

Jerry

2631. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 00:52:36 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <4uHt9.2299$bS5.1702@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141411

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:y1Bt9.611$6F4.53246@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Jerry, do you understand that you just provided an example of the broom
> stick up the ass ?

Yeah, that of the truckers who operate on 10 Meters.

J

> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:uFAt9.2057$bS5.1617@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> > news:20021023103532.24559.00004580@mb-cl.aol.com...
> > > true, hams have that official "broom stick up the butt sound" that the
> > truckers
> > > lack
> >
> > Far as I am concerned, them thar truckers up 'ere on 10 lack a lot of
> > things. I'll take the professional sound of a well constructed ham
> station
> > any day over the trailer-trash-sounding,
> > echo-ing beeping and stupid squeaking. "Uh, tan fer thar, driver, mercy
me
> > thar, I heerd that,
> > ah did, gre't day, git back SQUEAK!!!"
> > >
> > > >Absolutely, it isn't hard to identify the illegal ops, all you
> > > >have to do is listen a few seconds and it becomes obvious they are
> > > >not hams.
> >
> > It becomes obvious they are not a LOT of things! If they were as smart
> and
> > as important as they
> > think they are, they would have sense to know that CB radio has 40
> distinct
> > channels; no more, no
> > less.
> >
> > J
> >
> >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2632. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 00:55:46 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3xHt9.2303$bS5.1042@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141413

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023133846.22227.00004018@mb-co.aol.com...
> whats so funny is he truely doesnt know! qsl there old man? i'm gonna qsy
to
> the qrg and destinate on over the local machine for a little ragchewing
with
> the other professional sounding stations.

The ones who talk like that are the ones who never "grow" out of their
initial efforts to emulate or
fit into ham radio. Unless I am on CW, I NEVER use those words. And there
is no such verb as "to destinate". I have no idea who came up with that
one. Matter of fact, I rarely use 2 Meters.

J

>
> >Jerry, do you understand that you just provided an example of the broom
> >stick up the ass ?
>
>
>
>

2633. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:06:34 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210231706.4ce329d9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141412

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
>i've often wondered about
>these amps
>
>>
>>http://rodehogs.com/klv1k.htm

The monoband frequency range (28-30), the disclaimers about
illegality, and uninformed statements like "designed for those who
need increased RF power transmissions to enable long distance "
suggest it is intended for the illegal "keyclown" market .

-Aaron-

2634. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:11:26 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <QLHt9.2311$bS5.765@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141421

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023104755.24559.00004586@mb-cl.aol.com...
> legal or not its an odd way of getting your jollies

As long as a CBer is operating within the 40 channels and even up on 27.555, as long as he isn't bothering me, he will not hear from me. If you camp in my yard without permission, I will take whatever steps I have at my disposal to get you O U T. If you camp on 28 MHZ without authority, I will do what I can to get you O U T. If I decide I want to use that which I have author-ity from the government for, I will use it as I see fit within the regulations that govern my operation.
Therefore, if I happen to alight on 28.085 and there is a trucker there, too bad! If I mess up his talking, too bad. If I DO, the better. He has no business there. Period. But I wouldn't expect a "freebander" to either comprehend nor abide by the rules the reasons for which he simply doesn't understand.

Not only THAT, but if I "happen" to be using 10 meters while driving along the road and hear a trucker and can positively identify him as the perp, then I will record, document, and report said info to Riley Hollingsworth. And if he doesn't like it, he can turn it crossways and shove it! BTW, I am told that that is how the Cheetah driver got it near Rock Hill, SC last summer; he was observed by a ham and turned in. He took the chance, got caught and LOST. Now that things are beginning to come together in Congress with the appointment of people who understand the scope of the problem, I await the day when I hear about a LOT of illegal drivers getting warned, fined, or having their Connexes jerked out of their trucks and dared to operate on 10 again!

J

>
>
>
> > doing its sake?
> >
> >What does it matter? He isn't causing any illegal interference as
> >you can't interfere with an illegal transmission. They have on
> >right to be there so if they receive any interference, too bad.
> >

> >
>
>
>
>

2635. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:12:27 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023211227.13091.00012356@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 141414

lol it aint so

>But you did. Unless, of course, someone gave you those answers. Say it
>ain't so, Tim?
>
>

2636. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:12:28 UTC
From: keyclownbusters@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021023211228.25723.00004771@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 141415

>Subject: 10 meter intruders
>From: voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
>Date: 10/20/2002 7:39 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <75ad2ce2.0210201639.788e1e0@posting.google.com>
>
>"tools" medtools@earthlink.net wrote
>>I do not belive you.
>
>I don't blame you for being skeptical about claims made on this NG,
>but it sounds like the only way you would believe me is if you and I
>were sitting in the same room in front of the same radio and both of
>us heard this stuff at the same time. Us getting together to do that
>is not likely so I guess it's your word against mine. But how about
>other people who hear this stuff? Are they making it up?
>
>-Aaron-
>
>
>>"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:75ad2ce2.0210201312.2de0f442@posting.google.com...
>>> Here's what I heard Sunday in just a few minutes of monitoring.
>(20:58
>>> Z). All with loud ECHO MIKE effects....
>>>
>>> 28.265 (AM): "Who the f*k is she to be tellin him he can't bring
>that
>>> girl in his house? Burned all his f*kin logbooks, paperwork, ha
>ha!"
>>>
>>> 28.270 (AM): "Break break break" (pause) "Hey where you at?"
>(pause)
>>> "You got a copy on this old south east Texas copperhead?"
>>>
>>> 28.725 (AM): "You tell that g*d*mn dispatcher that any CCX driver
>wont
>>> stand for that sh*t"... (etc)
>>>
>>> -Aaron-
>
>
>
>
>
>

So Dwight, how has life been treating you lately? Did you ever find 10 meters? Oh, that's right, you don't want HF privledges, huh? Still using a Mac? Oh, that's right, you are one of those dimwits that have a problem with PC's. Just boggles the old brain, huh? Too mutha fuckin funny! Created any new Voobner sites lately? What a useless piece of human DNA!

2637. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:13:27 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023211327.13091.00012357@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 141416

neither had dwight stewart until i taught him what it was about. i guess we all need to learn a little.

>LOL. They never heard of propagation.
>
>-Aaron-

2638. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:14:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023211423.13091.00012359@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 141417

that has nothing to do with the qaulity of the design and that is what i was aking about. tell us voob, what do you think about the amp after seeing the schematic?

>
>The monoband frequency range (28-30), the disclaimers about
>illegality, and uninformed statements like "designed for those who
>need increased RF power transmissions to enable long distance "
>suggest it is intended for the illegal "keyclown" market .
>
>-Aaron-
>
>
>
>
>
>

2639. Re: We won't get the real facts from Skippy, that's for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:16:21 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023211621.13091.00012360@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 141418

aaron did you actually join the reflector? ive already seen several post regarding amps using 3cx3000 tubes as long as mutli 3cx800 setups since you tried to post there.

>That's funny to see him asking me foir factual material. When I
>offered to gather supporting factual material by asking a question
>("Do many hams operate illegal high power? Do many hams feel as skipp
>does? etc.) to an amateur radio list server, my effort was quickly
>squelched. I wonder what the replies would have said, and, mpre
>importantly, why skipp was so terrified of this question being asked?
>Sigh. We'll never know.
>
>-Aaron-
>
>
>
>
>
>

2640. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:16:25 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <tQHt9.2319$bS5.1368@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141426

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023191205.19105.00002949@mb-df.aol.com...
> it's not obvious to jerry o. he's still trying!

The two who are driving close together I can hope will pass me so I can see
them and record their
activities to send to RH. That's even better. Make 'em answer for their
misdeeds.

J

>
> >Damn you're really sharp timmyboy. It is obvious to the most
> >casual of observers that two truckers running down the Interstate
> >a few yards apart are going to be difficult if not impossible to
> >interfere with by another station miles away.
>
>
>
>

2641. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:22:18 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210231722.367a1e90@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141419

"Jerry Oxendine" joxendi@bellsouth.net wrote
>Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
>news:20021023132212.22193.00003944@mb-co.aol.com...
>> what are you doing to educate them? the arrl would be
>>better served putting public service announcements in
>>trucker rags than you guys here on usenet
>>crying in a cb group that most truckers will never read
>
>I contacted 2 of the Owner/Operator Associations and asked
>them to publish the fact that such is illegal and that FCC has been
>somewhat active of late in warning and/or fining truckers
>for it.
>
>I suggested the same to ARRL.
>
>I asked a CB Shop owner who publishes a column in a truckers' mag
>and website to write a story about how and why those export radios
>were illegal.
>
>I visited rec.radio.trucking and posted the fact.
>

Jerry I think what you are doing is terrific, and it's no secret that a lot of cb dealers and cb "techs" read this newsgroup. Visibility of the 10 meter issue in rrcb is very important because if they hear the same messages over and over again, they will soon get the idea, and get word out to their customers and fellow "techs" that 10 meters is not a new expansion of CB. There are people that DO care, bootlegging on 10 meters IS illegal and WILL be prosecuted, despite the propaganda the "10 meter radio" distributors are pushing.

-Aaron-

2642. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:22:24 UTC
From: Max <ve3tmt@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <XXHt9.9808$Kf.1118248@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 141427
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DB70E3D.949C3177@cwis.net...
>
>
> General,
> Now ~that~ is a good troll! I think it's the best
> I've seen in quite a while. Even some original thought(?)
> to it. Gotta give that one a '9'+...
> 'Dco

Hey Doc,

Cosmetically a 9+, mentally I'd rate it a 2. I can just picture him now at the keyboard typing that out. With a fish hook hanging out of his mouth of course!

He gets an "e" for effort.

2643. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:22:49 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210231722.abac653@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141420

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<15814-3DB56673-309@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> "Bring me a bottle" coming from a baby monitor in a child-like voice has
> brought a chuckle or 2 over the years to some as well.

Never had much luck jamming baby monitors, though I did manage to make
enough racket that the mother pestered the dimwit dad to come in the
kid's room & pick the thing up... he said "duh, I don't know what's
wrong with it".
>
> once you came home and a person of, ..uh..a.VERY different sexual
> persuasion would witness one moving the cones and parking their car
> where the cones used to be,,,,,a pretty funny song and dance could
> occur.

Tell me about it! Once jammed some dyke who sounded like Tokyo Rose,
was telling her lover about stuff like peeing sideways onto the wall,
etc... so I cut in and said "ugh, I'd keep that to myself if I were
you!" The crazy broad started giggling... apparently she found her
"3-way" very amusing. Apparently some women get very hot by playing
with pee & poop... but I digress.
>
> Have you ever held the dial tone or not let them hang up?

I don't think it could be done with a simple DTMF mike... mostly I
made them mis-dial phone numbers, and mis-entered a few credit card
numbers of callers buying stuff. I guess if you'd really wanted to do
some down-&-dirty dial-tone hacking, you'd have to spring for one of
Ramsey's Tone Grabbers.

> >girl asks customer what toppings he wants on >his pizza, I cut in and
> said "all the sh**"... girl >says "EXCUSE ME???"
>
> Hahhahaha!

That's nothing---even nailed a handful of celeb sound-alikes. I've
heard callers who sounded like dead ringers for Jackie Mason, Pearl
Bailey, Tommy Lasorda, and Desi Arnaz. Sometimes girls would scream
and gangbangers would get PO'd, threaten me, etc. (newspaper headline:
Gang Member Sentenced To Year In Prison For Shooting Telephone. Only
in Kalifornia...)

One of my favorite conversation starters was the belch. I'd drive
around chugging a can of soda, key down and let one rip when I saw it
coming in on the S-meter... "Pearl Bailey" blamed it on the other
caller: "you got some BAD GAS, woman!" Likewise, "Jackie Mason" tells

his other caller "yousoundlikeyouhadagoodhotdogorsomething". And some surfer guy squealed "DUDE! That TOTALLY wasn't me!!!" And a very stoned college-age woman could only manage to make unintelligible blurting noises.

In the case of "Desi Arnaz", I was out of soda... so I told him "hey dummy! Your phone's a piece of junk!"
Desi: "Who ees THAAAAAT?"
Me: "It's none of your business."
Desi: "EET'S NONNA YOUR BEEZNESS TOO!!!"
>
> Yea, but the FRS radios are a playground at ballgames, amusement parks,
> camps, etc.....even on the highways.....you CAN relive the
> glory days..lol!

LOL---knew another ham, Glen, who used to do that---he bought up old Motorola business walkie-talkies at liquidations, but he'd have fun using them to torment local construction crews a little before converting them to ham bands. Then when they made a fuss, he'd tell 'em to shut up and do their job.

Guy

2644. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:23:40 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db74b83.6552168@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141423

In <20021023204004.19105.00002971@mb-df.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com357
(Uncle Charlie) wrote:

>frank, tell me what you think of this schematic. i've often wondered about
>these amps
>
>>
>>http://rodehogs.com/klv1k.htm

They are illegal. What else do you need to know?

2645. hey jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:25:37 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023212537.13091.00012362@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 141422

if cb'ers have f*cked up cb radio so bad how do you explain the quiet bands and peacefull situation at night time? i think its more mother nature and the skip cycle doing it than anything else. in two years when the MUF is lower than 20 megs on most days will you still be tirading about all this and chasing truckers on the highway or will you focus your energy more on cleaning up 75 and 20 meters?

> Do you REALLY mean to assert
>that you don't listen to the 40
>CB channels, or the "stupid, uh, er, I mean, the Superbowl" on channel 6?
>Do you hear the mess on CB and believe that the rest of radio wouldn't be
>the SAME way if that happened? Your fellow
>hobbiests have screwed up the regular 40 (not all, but enough to ruin it for
>any real communica-tions), and now you would like to f*** up the rest of
>radio, too? All I can say is, AMAZING!!
>
>J
>

2646. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:28:04 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210231728.19d0abd7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141424

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021022113940.24346.00000601@mb-mc.aol.com>...
> theres still ALOT of 49 meg phones down here. guy you must live in a rich
> neighborhood where everybody has state of the art everything :)

Yeah, I've pretty well formed the opinion that anyone in L.A. who's
making decent $$ is probably a white-collar crook, while harmless bums
like me get stuck paying the bills... believe me, I've looked for the
old 49's, but could only find them in the real schlock-house discount
retailers... usually they're missing a couple of parts.

Guy

2647. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:30:07 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210231730.4bc8145c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141425

witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote
>twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<15814-3DB56673-309@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
>> "Bring me a bottle" coming from a baby monitor in a child-like voice has
>> brought a chuckle or 2 over the years to some as well.
>
>Never had much luck jamming baby monitors, though I did manage to make
>enough racket that the mother pestered the dimwit dad to come in the
>kid's room & pick the thing up... he said "duh, I don't know what's
>wrong with it".
>>
>> once you came home and a person of, ..uh..a.VERY different sexual
>> persuasion would witness one moving the cones and parking their car
>> where the cones used to be,,,,,a pretty funny song and dance could
>> occur.
>
>Tell me about it! Once jammed some dyke who sounded like Tokyo Rose,
>was telling her lover about stuff like peeing sideways onto the wall,
>etc... so I cut in and said "ugh, I'd keep that to myself if I were
>you!" The crazy broad started giggling... apparently she found her
>"3-way" very amusing. Apparently some women get very hot by playing
>with pee & poop... but I digress.
>>
>> Have you ever held the dial tone or not let them hang up?
>
>I don't think it could be done with a simple DTMF mike... mostly I
>made them mis-dial phone numbers, and mis-entered a few credit card
>numbers of callers buying stuff. I guess if you'd really wanted to do
>some down-&-dirty dial-tone hacking, you'd have to spring for one of
>Ramsey's Tone Grabbers.
>
>> >girl asks customer what toppings he wants on >his pizza, I cut in and
>> said "all the sh**"... girl >says "EXCUSE ME???"
>>
>> Hahhahaha!
>
>That's nothing---even nailed a handful of celeb sound-alikes. I've
>heard callers who sounded like dead ringers for Jackie Mason, Pearl
>Bailey, Tommy Lasorda, and Desi Arnaz. Sometimes girls would scream
>and gangbangers would get PO'd, threaten me, etc. (newspaper headline:
>Gang Member Sentenced To Year In Prison For Shooting Telephone. Only
>in Kalifornia...)
>
>One of my favorite conversation starters was the belch. I'd drive

>around chugging a can of soda, key down and let one rip when I saw it
>coming in on the S-meter... "Pearl Bailey" blamed it on the other
>caller: "you got some BAD GAS, woman!" Likewise, "Jackie Mason" tells
>his other caller "yousoundlikeyouhadagoodhotdogorsomething". And some
>surfer guy squealed "DUDE! That TOTALLY wasn't me!!!" And a very
>stoned college-age woman could only manage to make unintelligible
>blurting noises.
>
>In the case of "Desi Arnaz", I was out of soda... so I told him "hey
>dummy! Your phone's a piece of junk!"
>Desi: "Who ees THAAAAAT?"
>Me: "It's none of your business."
>Desi: "EET'S NONNA YOUR BEEZNESS TOO!!!"
>>
>> Yea, but the FRS radios are a playground at ballgames, amusement parks,
>> camps, etc.....even on the highways..............you CAN relive the
>> glory days..lol!
>
>LOL---knew another ham, Glen, who used to do that---he bought up old
>Motorola business walkie-talkies at liquidations, but he'd have fun
>using them to torment local construction crews a little before
>converting them to ham bands. Then when they made a fuss, he'd tell
>'em to shut up and do their job.
>
>Guy

Trolling again?

-A

2648. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:36:59 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023213659.13091.00012366@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 141428

do most people sit around there yard with a loaded rifle just hoping somebody will camp there so they can drive them off? i've never done that.

> If you camp in
>my yard without permission, I will take
>whatever steps I have at my disposal to get you O U T.

2649. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:38:32 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023213832.13091.00012368@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 141429

you mean the king of critique only has that to say?

>They are illegal. What else do you need to know?
>

2650. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:41:04 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023214104.13091.00012369@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 141430

i dont see them sold much anymore but there still used heavily around here

>believe me, I've looked for the
>old 49's, but could only find them in the real schlock-house discount
>retailers... usually they're missing a couple of parts.
>

2651. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:41:31 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023214131.13091.00012371@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 141431

if you find some cheap buy them and pass them out to the neighbors. just think
of all the fun lol

>believe me, I've looked for the
>old 49's, but could only find them in the real schlock-house discount
>retailers... usually they're missing a couple of parts.
>
>Guy
>
>
>
>
>
>

2652. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:42:43 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023214243.13091.00012372@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 141432

what you gonna do if there out on I10 headed to san antonio? not a damn thing!

>
>The two who are driving close together I can hope will pass me so I can see
>them and record their
>activities to send to RH. That's even better. Make 'em answer for their
>misdeeds.
>
>J

2653. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 01:56:23 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1qlt9.2374$bS5.1124@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141435

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:8EAt9.617$Fj6.48721@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I think that the truckers do not think hams are worth thinking about. Ham
is
> a hobby. Trucking is a job that keeps you supplied with everything you
have.
> Keeps the country going . Where ham SOMETIMES helps in an emergency. So
use
> a small amount of logic, who is playing on the radio, and who is useing it
> to help keep the country operating?

Yada, yada, yada, I've heard this self-important drivel about how trucks are
sooooooooo
freakin' important. There's NO one that's not replaceable. What matters
here is NOT what
truckers think.

Enough examples have been presented about what drivers talk about while
going down the road.
They talk about "dumb" 4-wheelers, the girl who is suppposedly got her dress
hiked up to the ying
yang, the girl in the red Corvette, yada, yada, tan fer, driver. Oh sure,
there are lawyers driving trucks, but one has to wonder why.

The importance of trucks is not relevant to the issue here. The fact is,
operating on ANY radio
frequency other than CB without a license (and FRS/MURS) is illegal.
Period. The law is the law.
And no matter WHAT truckers t'ink about it, their illegal operations are
going to come to an end.

Amateur Radio, despite your assertations to the contrary, occupies quite a
high "rung" in the realm
of emergency operations. That is why Amateur Radio will get an exemption
from local CC & R
restrictions against antennas; CB radio won't. It is why Amateur Radio
RACES and ARES has a
desk in most state and local Emergency Operations Centers; CB Radio does
not. Even REACT
is a very small presence in EOCs by comparison. And it is why Amateur Radio
WILL get protection from lawless truckers who think that their cowboy boots,
hats, and thick billfold chains somehow makes them a "legend" in anything
but their own minds! Every day, there are safety nets
that run for hours on end to see to the safety of boaters and ships at sea.
Like the Maritime Net on 20 Meters whose operators have saved hundreds of

lives. An example is the Dutch family whose
yacht was attacked by pirates off Yucatan (?) a couple of years ago. The
son recently left a Florida hospital after recovering from his wounds. It
is why Amateur Radio was exempted from PL-106-521 (local enforcement). On
and on, Amateur Radio gets concessions and exemptions
in recognition for its value to the Nation. I see NO national accolades or
antenna laws being passed in recognition for CB Radio's contribution to our
wellbeing. Truth is, most CBers do not
have the training or dedication to such activities that hams have shown for
nearly 100 years. If
CB were wiped from the face of the earth (not about to happen, nor am I
advocating such), there
would be not a ripple since cellphones, computers, MURS and FRS radios have
become available.
Amateur Radio, OTH, WOULD be missed because hams have proven for all those
years the value
of their training, knowledge, their rapid response and frequency agility as
well as the ability to pass
traffic accurately, dependably, and speedily. Over and over and over again.
Amateur Radio has
a tried and true message handling method that works. CB radio does not.
Sending a message across country via CB would be like playing the old game
of "gossip" where a message is whispered to one player and relayed thru
many others until it reaches the other end of the line. Invariable, the
message is different when it gets to the last one! I would truly hate to
depend on
emergency traffic getting thru accurately via a chain of untrained,
undisciplined, and unknowing
CB ops who have never been required to prepare for such a contingency. They
have no standard
message handling method whereby messages can be routed by priority and
checked for accuracy.
And, finally, it is why the hams have all those bands and frequencies that
the CBers would just love
to pollute and trash with their amps, tricked out "reddios" and garbage
lingo (f***this and f*** that). Ever wonder why CBers didn't get that awful
155 mile limit lifted?

But Amateur Radio WILL be defended. You can bet on that, bud. Them's da
facts.

J

> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:Lv2cnZ3UNMqjXyugXTWcpA@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:fDnt9.615$bS5.313@news.bellsouth.net...
> > > Ya reckon thar's been some info a-leaking out? Seriously, this mess
> > IS
> > > going to get attention; you

> > > can take that to the bank, Leland.
> >
> > I know it is. I've heard the bozos myself on 28.005 and 28.085. I love
> > it when the pirates try to continue talking with 20 CW stations
> > banging away all over the top of them. You can't tell me under those
> > conditions that they DON'T KNOW they are in the Ham band.
> >
> > --
> > Leland C. Scott
> > KC8LDO
> >
> > Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
> >
> > "I am a
> > bomb
> > technician
> > if you see me
> > running
> > try to keep up "
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2654. Re: hey jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:06:29 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <baGcnaADCqM7yCqgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 141433

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023212537.13091.00012362@mb-mf.aol.com...
> if cb'ers have f*cked up cb radio so bad how do you explain the quiet
bands and
> peacefull situation at night time?

Lack of Interest

2655. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:07:59 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <QRSdnbek0_edyyqgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 141434

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023185714.19105.00002939@mb-df.aol.com...
> wwtk?

As usual cannot come up with anything on his own has to use my material. LOL

> >He started in rec.radio.cb btw What do you care? Are you a trucker? Are
> >you a Ham?
> >
>
>
>
>

2656. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:10:53 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NEIt9.1397$6F4.129780@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141436

Even cops do not like or respect snitches.
"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:iBidnW7aUNPZnSqgXTWc2Q@comcast.com...
>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > Trouble is, RH already knows the extent of the problem regardless of how
> > many hams don't
> > object to intrusions of unlicensed ops on the the ham bands. I recently
> > counted about 25 warnings
> > on that subject alone. It does make me wonder when a ham (I only have
> your
> > assertions on that)
> > wants to counter efforts being made to protect spectrum against
incursion.
> > Hmmmmm, perhaps a
> > no-code, VHF ham who uses CB and freeband as a substitute for HF? Maybe
he
> > operates on 10
> > as well, and wouldn't want any "attention" turned his way? Hey, I DON'T
> > know that. It is specu-
> > lation! For one who has full access to all bands and all of the 10-12
> Meter
> > bands, it seems "curious" that anyone who has that privilege would wish
to
> > share that with bootlegger operators.
>
>
> Very true Jerry, makes you wonder most of these guys are cb operators who
> got a ham license and never use the amatuer bands. I am listening to 2 guy
> right now and have recorded them they are in Oklahoma City as per there
> conversation.
>
>
>

2657. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:11:17 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <lAudnbWwE9ZbyyqgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 141437

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023183814.19105.00002930@mb-df.aol.com...
> why would he do that? he's my friend but i wouldnt expect you to know what
its
> like to have friends :)

I should have known LOL What a friend you got there Tim. Do you supply his
habit or vice versa.

2658. Re: FS/2950/Power supply/A99 (NIB) $200.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:11:22 UTC
From: mrbvd273@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021023221122.26413.00004488@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 141438

what kind of condition is the radio in. i may be interested in the radio only
BENNIE
MRBVD273

2659. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:14:03 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LHIt9.1400$6F4.130005@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141439

How bout you grow some balls, and go ask them. By the way the changing of my name was funny in grade school. Perhaps you would like to back up your position?
"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message news:U3SdnYyOaMM2kiqgXTWc3A@comcast.com...
>
>
> "fools" <meafool@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:S%At9.609$6F4.55928@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Jerry, you do know that some of them thar truckers have far more education
> than you, right? Some of them are lawyers and such.
>
> Really then why they driving trucks?
>
>
>

2660. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:15:00 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <EIIt9.1402$6F4.130492@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141440

Oh, you are so cute.
"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:sgKdnVJEWL2tjSqgXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
>
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
>
> > Your beating a dead horse JJ, give
> > it a rest please.
> >
> > Landshark
>
>
> No it is not a dead horse Landfag there are truckdriving Cb talkin people
on
> 10 meters as of this very moment so it is not a dead horse. Ignore the
> thread if it bothers you, but who the phuqq are you to tell someone to
give
> it a rest, why don't you go lay down and rest up.
>
>
>

2661. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:16:26 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_JIt9.1403$6F4.126826@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141441

As one who knows, how bout you tell us what a "twinkie" is. Or better yet
why you know such things.
"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:HhmcnYZF-fBQjSqgXTWc3g@comcast.com...
> "Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021023103532.24559.00004580@mb-cl.aol.com...
> > true, hams have that official "broom stick up the butt sound" that the
> truckers
> > lack
>
> Careful Tim your starting to sound like a twinkie.
>
>
>

2662. Re: hey jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:16:48 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023221648.13091.00012377@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 141442

this makes no sense can you elaborate?

>
>Lack of Interest
>

2663. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:16:59 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB7581B.B554D73F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141463

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>
> Amateur Radio, despite your assertations to the contrary, occupies quite a
> high "rung" in the realm
> of emergency operations. That is why Amateur Radio will get an exemption
> from local CC & R
> restrictions against antennas; CB radio won't. It is why Amateur Radio
> RACES and ARES has a
> desk in most state and local Emergency Operations Centers; CB Radio does
> not.

And why in the FEMA manual it states that ham radio operators
should be considered for use in emergency situations for providing
communications, but no mention of cb radio.

2664. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:17:35 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021023221735.13091.00012378@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 141443

of course, use your material on you. and whats so funny is you still cant answer it even though its your own question.

>> wwtk?
>
>
>As usual cannot come up with anything on his own has to use my material. LOL

2665. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:17:53 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lLIt9.1406$6F4.130974@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141444

I do not keep my door locked. I have other surprizes for those who should
not enter.
"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:1xicncuvqp9mjCqgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
>
>
> "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:tyAt9.607$Fj6.48213@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > So, if you listen to CB, why do you care what's on 10?
>
> If you keep your doors locked why do you care if there are prowlers in
your
> yard?
>
>
>
>
>
> > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> > news:WradnXi3LY4zXSugXTWc2Q@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20021022210734.283$LW@newsreader.com...
> > > > I thought you claimed to never ues a CB?
> > >
> > > I have wide band receive on my 706 in the van.
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Leland C. Scott
> > > KC8LDO
> > >
> > > Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
> > >
> > > "I am a
> > > bomb
> > > technician
> > > if you see me
> > > running
> > > try to keep up "
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

2666. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:18:59 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nMIt9.1409$6F4.129424@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141445

You are far more stupid than I had thought.
"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:1JadnaGkSZuXjiqgXTWcqg@comcast.com...
>
> "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:8EAt9.617$Fj6.48721@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > I think that the truckers do not think hams are worth thinking about.
Ham
> is
> > a hobby. Trucking is a job that keeps you supplied with everything you
> have.
> > Keeps the country going . Where ham SOMETIMES helps in an emergency. So
> use
> > a small amount of logic, who is playing on the radio, and who is useing
it
> > to help keep the country operating?
>
> LOL thats the lamest excuse put forth yet you should change your name to
> Fools. LOL there is no doubt that your a redneck trucker with that post.
> Well then truckers mind as well be able to speed down the highway so the
> produce don't go bad huh how about reducing the tolls truckers pay since
> they are so highly needed. Funny part is the asshole truckers talikng on
10
> meters are never talking about whewre they are going or looking for help
> from another driver they are just talking bullshit. Go below 27.999, if
you
> guys are so important why not just talk on police freqs you and your
trucker
> logic sucks fools
>
>
>

2667. Re: CB Equipment/New and old

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:20:21 UTC
From: mrbvd273@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021023222021.26413.00004492@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 141446

DO YOU STILL HAVE THE COBRA 148 OR THE TRC465. IF SO PLEASE LET ME KNOW I
WOULD BE INTERESTED IN EITHER ONE OF THEM

THANX
BENNY MRBVD273@AOL.COM

2668. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:21:52 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4PIt9.1416$6F4.131643@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141447

I must thank you for the compliment, even if it is not true. I would much rather be thought of as a "redneck trucker" than an anal idiot with no balls, who wants the mommy state to stop those big truckers from taking your toys
"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message news:QbydnYx6esg3jiqgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
>
> "fools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:OZAt9.605$6F4.55649@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Well lets see..... Two matched antenna for the CB, one antenna for the
> > scanner, one antenna for the cell phone, one antenna for the am/fm radio.
> > Yep, they sure do have separate antenna for the different bands. How bout
> > that?
>
>
> Spoken like a true redneck trucker.
>
>
>

2669. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:24:02 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DB759C2.6A911528@mailcity.com>
Source record: 141464
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Complaints about this stupid spam should be sent to
abuse@prodigy.net

"Mike G." wrote:
>
> UNPLUG ANYTHING WITH A MICROPROCESSOR FROM YOUR HOUSEHOLD WALL OUTLETS!
>
> As you know, since the deregulation of energy, your household 115V electricity
> MAY NOT come from the same sources it used to! Your electric company is free to
> buy and sell electricity on the open market as they please. Today we have just
> learned, that in a few major cities the electricity purchased has been found to
> contain a secret digital subcarrier on it that when executed, is a destructive
> computer virus! Because of the way the national power grid is set up, it is
> virtually impossible to trace these particular blocks of electricity back to
> their source or sources in the US.
>
> PLEASE!! As soon as you read this, quickly unplug ANY computers and household
> appliances that contain microprocessor chips from their wall sockets until we
> can somehow locate the source of the subcarriers and put an end to it. This
> will include things like televisions, VCRs, radios, home entertainment systems,
> answering machines, cordless phones, digital clocks, and digital thermostats.
>
> You may ONLY charge your cell phones using a car adapter. Do NOT charge them
> with standard wall chargers, or the virus could be spread using cellular phones
> calls as well. Major appliances manufactured in the past 20 years including
> refrigerators, washers, dryers, microwave ovens, electric ovens, electric
> stovetops, and even gas appliances that have digital clocks, timers, and
> controls built in them ARE ALL VULNERABLE!. (Backyard, gas bar-b-ques have been
> declared safe to use.) If you are at all unsure, UNPLUG IT!
>
> We cannot stress enough the extreme havoc this virus will cause if not stopped
> in its tracks! It will spread from house to house and community to community if
> not stopped. This is why we are urging EVERY CITIZEN to do their part by
> unplugging ALL intelligent household appliances NOW! Tune in your battery
> operated AM radio to an Emergency Broadcast station and listen for the official
> "ALL CLEAR" announcement. If you don't have an AM radio, GO BUY ONE with a
> LARGE box of batteries. PLEASE, DO NOT PLUG ANYTHING BACK IN UNTIL YOU HEAR THE
> "ALL CLEAR" ANNOUNCEMENT FROM THE EMERGENCY BROADCAST SYSTEM!!
>
> Start with YOUR PC and MODEM *FIRST* General Johnson Jameson!

2670. Re: hey jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:27:21 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <4ZadneweG7gXxyqgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 141448

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023221648.13091.00012377@mb-mf.aol.com...
> this makes no sense can you elaborate?

Tim this is what you said,

"if cb'ers have f*cked up cb radio so bad how do you explain the quiet bands
and peacefull situation at night time? i think its more mother nature and
the skip
cycle doing it than anything else."

I say it is quiet from Lack of interest, skip just creates more noise and
more people talking together on the band. Not really much to elaborate on.

2671. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:28:57 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <FrudnczaMMl3xyqgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141449

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023221735.13091.00012378@mb-mf.aol.com...
> of course, use your material on you. and whats so funny is you still cant
> answer it even though its your own question.

I can sure answer Tim, I want to know .

>
> >> wwtk?
> >
> >
> >As usual cannot come up with anything on his own has to use my material.
LOL
>
>
>
>

2672. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:32:50 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mZIt9.1424$6F4.133533@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141450

I have an idea. How bout jerry , boob, and one or two others here, post their addresses here and on the board at the local truck stop, with some of that "i wiil turn them in" stuff. That way they can truly have a part in all this. Now we know that they would not fear doing this as they will have big j to beat up those nasty truckers. Eagle claw indeed .
"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message news:20021023214243.13091.00012372@mb-mf.aol.com...
> what you gonna do if there out on I10 headed to san antonio? not a damn thing!
>
> >
> >The two who are driving close together I can hope will pass me so I can see
> >them and record their
> >activities to send to RH. That's even better. Make 'em answer for their
> >misdeeds.
> >
> >J
>
>
>
>
>

2673. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:37:51 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <d1ednbex6sedwCqgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 141451

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:LHIt9.1400$6F4.130005@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> How bout you grow some balls, and go ask them. By the way the changing of
my
> name was funny in grade school. Perhaps you would like to back up your
> position?

Perhaps you would like to find me a few lawyers who are driving trucks then I will ask them, round these parts if you are a lawyer you have no need to drive a truck if you have to drive a truck then you ain't no damn lawyer. Glad you enjoyed the changing of your name I thought it was more fitting. How would you like for me to back up my position? (confused) Are truckers supposed to be scary or what LOL. Most people who drive trucks don't have formal educations, it is a job that requires a warm body and someone who can back up a trailer, I know some drivers are educated and just like the open road compared to the confines of an office but those guys are very far and few between. These guys aren't building rockets just take a listen to channel 19 sometimes. I am not saying they aren't hard working guys who provide for their families.

2674. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:38:23 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <976dnVdfs_e9wCqgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 141452

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:EIIt9.1402$6F4.130492@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Oh, you are so cute.

Thanks for your approval

> "Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
> news:sgKdnVJEWL2tjSqgXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
> >
> >
> > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> >
> > > Your beating a dead horse JJ, give
> > > it a rest please.
> > >
> > > Landshark
> >
> >
> > No it is not a dead horse Landfag there are truckdriving Cb talkin
people
> on
> > 10 meters as of this very moment so it is not a dead horse. Ignore the
> > thread if it bothers you, but who the phuqq are you to tell someone to
> give
> > it a rest, why don't you go lay down and rest up.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2675. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:39:22 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <u3Jt9.1437$6F4.133078@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141453

So now we get down to it. The law is the law
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1qIt9.2374$bS5.1124@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:8EAt9.617$Fj6.48721@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > I think that the truckers do not think hams are worth thinking about.
Ham
> is
> > a hobby. Trucking is a job that keeps you supplied with everything you
> have.
> > Keeps the country going . Where ham SOMETIMES helps in an emergency. So
> use
> > a small amount of logic, who is playing on the radio, and who is useing
it
> > to help keep the country operating?
>
>
> Yada, yada, yada, I've heard this self-important drivel about how trucks
are
> soooooooo
> freakin' important. There's NO one that's not replaceable. What matters
> here is NOT what
> truckers think.
>
> Enough examples have been presented about what drivers talk about while
> going down the road.
> They talk about "dumb" 4-wheelers, the girl who is suppposedly got her
dress
> hiked up to the ying
> yang, the girl in the red Corvette, yada, yada, tan fer, driver. Oh sure,
> there are lawyers driving trucks, but one has to wonder why.
>
> The importance of trucks is not relevant to the issue here. The fact is,
> operating on ANY radio
> frequency other than CB without a license (and FRS/MURS) is illegal.
> Period. The law is the law.
> And no matter WHAT truckers t'ink about it, their illegal operations are
> going to come to an end.
>
>
> Amateur Radio, despite your assertations to the contrary, occupies quite a
> high "rung" in the realm
> of emergency operations. That is why Amateur Radio will get an exemption

> from local CC & R
> restrictions against antennas; CB radio won't. It is why Amateur Radio
> RACES and ARES has a
> desk in most state and local Emergency Operations Centers; CB Radio does
> not. Even REACT
> is a very small presence in EOCs by comparison. And it is why Amateur
Radio
> WILL get protection from lawless truckers who think that their cowboy
boots,
> hats, and thick billfold chains somehow makes them a "legend" in anything
> but their own minds! Every day, there are safety nets
> that run for hours on end to see to the safety of boaters and ships at
sea.
> Like the Maritime Net on 20 Meters whose operators have saved hundreds of
> lives. An example is the Dutch family whose
> yacht was attacked by pirates off Yucatan (?) a couple of years ago. The
> son recently left a Florida hospital after recovering from his wounds. It
> is why Amateur Radio was exempted from PL-106-521 (local enforcement). On
> and on, Amateur Radio gets concessions and exemptions
> in recognition for its value to the Nation. I see NO national accolades
or
> antenna laws being passed in recognition for CB Radio's contribution to
our
> wellbeing. Truth is, most CBers do not
> have the training or dedication to such activities that hams have shown
for
> nearly 100 years. If
> CB were wiped from the face of the earth (not about to happen, nor am I
> advocating such), there
> would be not a ripple since cellphones, computers, MURS and FRS radios
have
> become available.
> Amateur Radio, OTH, WOULD be missed because hams have proven for all those
> years the value
> of their training, knowledge, their rapid response and frequency agility
as
> well as the ability to pass
> traffic accurately, dependably, and speedily. Over and over and over
again.
> Amateur Radio has
> a tried and true message handling method that works. CB radio does not.
> Sending a message across country via CB would be like playing the old game
> of "gossip" where a message is whispered to one player and relayed thru
> many others until it reaches the other end of the line. Invariable, the
> message is different when it gets to the last one! I would truly hate to
> depend on
> emergency traffic getting thru accurately via a chain of untrained,
> undisciplined, and unknowing
> CB ops who have never been required to prepare for such a contingency.
They

> have no standard
> message handling method whereby messages can be routed by priority and
> checked for accuracy.
> And, finally, it is why the hams have all those bands and frequencies that
> the CBers would just love
> to pollute and trash with their amps, tricked out "reddios" and garbage
> lingo (f***this and f*** that). Ever wonder why CBers didn't get that
awful
> 155 mile limit lifted?
>
> But Amateur Radio WILL be defended. You can bet on that, bud. Them's da
> facts.
>
> J
>
>
>
>
> > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> > news:Lv2cnZ3UNMqjXyugXTWcpA@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:fDnt9.615$bS5.313@news.bellsouth.net...
> > > > Ya reckon thar's been some info a-leaking out? Seriously, this mess
> > > IS
> > > > going to get attention; you
> > > > can take that to the bank, Leland.
> > >
> > > I know it is. I've heard the bozos myself on 28.005 and 28.085. I love
> > > it when the pirates try to continue talking with 20 CW stations
> > > banging away all over the top of them. You can't tell me under those
> > > conditions that they DON'T KNOW they are in the Ham band.
> > >
> > > --
> > > Leland C. Scott
> > > KC8LDO
> > >
> > > Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
> > >
> > > "I am a
> > > bomb
> > > technician
> > > if you see me
> > > running
> > > try to keep up "
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >

> >
>
>
>
>

2676. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:40:15 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <RxicnWfMrLwNwCqgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141454

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:_JIt9.1403$6F4.126826@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> As one who knows, how bout you tell us what a "twinkie" is. Or better yet
> why you know such things.

Do a search on google I mentioned the word broomstick and a few guys in here
start hurling the word twinkie, so I figure they will also call Tim a
twinkie for doing the same. Unless they're hypocrites

10-4 good buddy?

> "Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
> news:HhmcnYZF-fBQjSqgXTWc3g@comcast.com...
> > "Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> > news:20021023103532.24559.00004580@mb-cl.aol.com...
> > > true, hams have that official "broom stick up the butt sound" that the
> > truckers
> > > lack
> >
> > Careful Tim your starting to sound like a twinkie.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2677. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:40:55 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <M-Ccnd9TS6kqwCqgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 141455

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:NEIt9.1397$6F4.129780@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Even cops do not like or respect snitches.

They sure do like them it makes they're job easier.

2678. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:42:45 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <yNWdnbqHyvG0wyqgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 141456

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:nMIt9.1409$6F4.129424@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> You are far more stupid than I had thought.

Left you speechless driver.

2679. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 02:57:59 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <WcScnXOULPWp_yqgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141458

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:mZIt9.1424$6F4.133533@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I have an idea. How bout jerry , boob, and one or two others here, post
> their addresses here and on the board at the local truck stop, with some
of
> that "i wiil turn them in" stuff. That way they can truly have a part in
all
> this. Now we know that they would not fear doing this as they will have
big
> j to beat up those nasty truckers. Eagle claw indeed .

I have another idea how about you post your name and address and we will post it in mens restrooms at these same truck stops. LOL Do you really think all truckers are nasty and mean ? you seem to have this preconcieved notion people are to fear truck drivers. the only reason for this maybe the redneck, low class, cowboy boot wearing look to them.

2680. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 03:00:03 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <WcScnXKULPWo_yqgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141457

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:4PIt9.1416$6F4.131643@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I must thank you for the compliment, even if it is not true. I would much
> rather be thought of as a "redneck trucker" than an anal idiot with no
> balls, who wants the mommy state to stop those big truckers from taking
your
> toys

Your the one with no balls why are you afraid to post your callsign you did
say you had one right? Nah I won't let them truckers nor anyone else take
something they don't deserve I have a backbone unlike you who must also be
a freeloader.

> "Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
> news:QbydnYx6esg3jiqgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> >
> >
> > "fools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:OZAt9.605$6F4.55649@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > Well lets see..... Two matched antenna for the CB, one antenna for
> the
> > > scanner, one antenna for the cell phone, one antenna for the am/fm
> radio.
> > > Yep, they sure do have separate antenna for the different bands. How
> bout
> > > that?
> >
> >
> > Spoken like a true redneck trucker.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2681. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 03:13:20 UTC
From: "G. M. Alf" <gmalf.ears@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <jloerucog5r3ha1n6g2s32ehvfrig5eh03@4ax.com>
Source record: 141459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

On Wed, 23 Oct 2002 20:16:36 GMT, "Jewlz" <Jewlz@tedious.net> wrote:

>I'm considering a GMRS system to keep in contact with my mom who doesn't
>have a phone. (LONG story!) ...

You might consider MURS. No license is required and the radio prices
are reasonable. You can use an 8 foot marine antenna if need be and
it can be mounted almost anywhere in an apartment (inside or out.)

Before I put my antenna (MURS) up I tried a range test with it laying
about 3 feet off the floor (bottom floor room) and oriented
horizontally. I got an easy 5 miles talking to a mobile with a 5/8
wave antenna.

GMRS would work with just hand helds if you could find a local
repeater. I can talk house to house at 3.35 miles with a couple of
Pryme PR-460 hand held radios using their stock duck antennas.

Mike

2682. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 03:15:21 UTC
From: "Mike G." <nospam@my.email.address>
Message-ID: <48eeru011qkp4md9oqi9lsca3nqfuubtk5@4ax.com>
Source record: 141460
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

UNPLUG ANYTHING WITH A MICROPROCESSOR FROM YOUR HOUSEHOLD WALL OUTLETS!

As you know, since the deregulation of energy, your household 115V electricity MAY NOT come from the same sources it used to! Your electric company is free to buy and sell electricity on the open market as they please. Today we have just learned, that in a few major cities the electricity purchased has been found to contain a secret digital subcarrier on it that when executed, is a destructive computer virus! Because of the way the national power grid is set up, it is virtually impossible to trace these particular blocks of electricity back to their source or sources in the US.

PLEASE!! As soon as you read this, quickly unplug ANY computers and household appliances that contain microprocessor chips from their wall sockets until we can somehow locate the source of the subcarriers and put an end to it. This will include things like televisions, VCRs, radios, home entertainment systems, answering machines, cordless phones, digital clocks, and digital thermostats.

You may ONLY charge your cell phones using a car adapter. Do NOT charge them with standard wall chargers, or the virus could be spread using cellular phones calls as well. Major appliances manufactured in the past 20 years including refrigerators, washers, dryers, microwave ovens, electric ovens, electric stovetops, and even gas appliances that have digital clocks, timers, and controls built in them ARE ALL VULNERABLE!. (Backyard, gas bar-b-ques have been declared safe to use.) If you are at all unsure, UNPLUG IT!

We cannot stress enough the extreme havoc this virus will cause if not stopped in its tracks! It will spread from house to house and community to community if not stopped. This is why we are urging EVERY CITIZEN to do their part by unplugging ALL intelligent household appliances NOW! Tune in your battery operated AM radio to an Emergency Broadcast station and listen for the official "ALL CLEAR" announcement. If you don't have an AM radio, GO BUY ONE with a LARGE box of batteries. PLEASE, DO NOT PLUG ANYTHING BACK IN UNTIL YOU HEAR THE "ALL CLEAR" ANNOUNCEMENT FROM THE EMERGENCY BROADCAST SYSTEM!!

Start with YOUR PC and MODEM *FIRST* General Johnson Jameson!

2683. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 03:56:14 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <olrerushaisfth5erhumsbc8h3ftvdv6o3@4ax.com>
Source record: 141461

On Tue, 22 Oct 2002 10:01:08 -0400 (EDT), twistedhed@webtv.net
(Twistedhed) wrote:

>From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jimï¿½Hampton)
>Twist, today digital images are inadmissable in court as they can be
>tampered with. You need film. And that doesn't help with the audio. The
>folks that get caught are the type who want to say 'you can't get me, ha
>ha' and persist. Once the FCC gets dozens of complaints in the same
>general area, then they send someone in. If their people catch it, then
>there is trouble. Otherwise, as you state, you can't prove it in court.
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>ps - do you think these inane arguments will calm down in, say, 10
>years; or will the same trolls keep going on and on?

We all will disagree, thats the great thing about this country, we all
have the right to our own beliefs. But yes some of these "discussions"
can be rather amusing. But I am also learning some from the
"discussion" between Frank and "Skip".

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

2684. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 04:13:37 UTC
From: Douglas Houck <dhouck@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3DB77371.7090804@attglobal.net>
Source record: 141462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

You may have a problem with simple handheld radios, with no outdoor antennas. Remember that these radios are line-of-sight. If you can see your mom's place you can probably make the connection with little problem. If there is much in the way (lots of trees, hillside, buildings, etc.) you very well may need to add an antenna and/or a repeater system.

A 1/4 wavelength antenna form GMRS is just under 6 inches long, so it's nothing like a CB antenna.

With GMRS you have a fair number of options in that you can use Base units with up to 50 watts and outdoor antennas. You can also use either a simplex (~$100) or a duplex (~$2,000) repeater system, so depending on how much money and effort you want to invest you can make a GMRS system work for you.

If you can borrow a set of FRS radios, you can do a quick check on the need for additional equipment as these have near the range (~2/3) of handheld GMRS radios. If those don't work, you will need to try a full blown GMRS radio with detachable antenna (~$150-$200) and an external antenna (~$50). You can try the external antenna inside your or your mom's location but it will probably require an elevated (roof) outside location. What you are trying to do is get the two antennas to see each other.

If that doesn't work you will need to go the repeater route. While a simplex repeater is significantly less expensive, it has less power and is mainly used for the occasional, short discussion. If you want to hold a normal discussion with your mom the duplex repeater system is best. The repeater system basically adds a third, in-between antenna which can "see" the other two.

You can purchase both new and used for such a GMRS system.

You will only need one license ($75) for your system, not the two as another had noted.

If you are still interested in pursuing this, give us some more information and we'll see what we can do.

Good luck

2685. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 04:46:06 UTC
From: whereami <oaknuts@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92B0DD765924Fmine@64.164.98.7>
Source record: 141465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

You probably only need one external antenna on the house in the valley
since the hilltop location has the height advantage.
Randy
> You can try the external antenna inside your or your
> mom's location but it will probably require an elevated (roof) outside
> location. What you are trying to do is get the two antennas to see each
> other.

2686. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 05:31:50 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <area52@zecretbase.com>
Message-ID: <DBLt9.619$Lf7.269864030@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 141468
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"Mike G." wrote in message
...
> UNPLUG ANYTHING WITH A MICROPROCESSOR FROM YOUR HOUSEHOLD WALL OUTLETS!

Mike, I'd like to thank you personally for the wonderful advice you have supplied. After I read your post I immediately went outside and took a large axe with me. The 220 volt lines to my trailer fell quickly from the repeated blows of the razor-sharp double-edged wood axe. A small fire erupted near the charged wires but I soaked it good with the garden hose and got one hellava shock.
Apparently water conducts electricity very well!

After the local utility company restored power, I quickly checked for new viruses on my trusty C-64 and found NOTHING. The VCR, DVD and clock-toaster all seemed functional, I'll check them when the sun comes up and it is safe to go outside.

Good work soldier!

Respectively

General Johnson Jameson

2687. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 05:37:59 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <6vLt9.96$b4.5185@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 141466
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Ah-h, come on! It's pretty funny, IMHO, and certainly better than the petty bickering that's been going on for the last week or two. MUCH better than the original post about the booby-trapped linears. Personally, I give it a "9", and have forwarded it to friends and coworkers for their enjoyment.

Mark

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message news:3DB759C2.6A911528@mailcity.com...
> Complaints about this stupid spam should be sent to
> abuse@prodigy.net
>
>
> "Mike G." wrote:
> >
> > UNPLUG ANYTHING WITH A MICROPROCESSOR FROM YOUR HOUSEHOLD WALL OUTLETS!
> >
> > As you know, since the deregulation of energy, your household 115V electricity
> > MAY NOT come from the same sources it used to! Your electric company is free to
> > buy and sell electricity on the open market as they please. Today we have just
> > learned, that in a few major cities the electricity purchased has been found to
> > contain a secret digital subcarrier on it that when executed, is a destructive
> > computer virus! Because of the way the national power grid is set up, it is
> > virtually impossible to trace these particular blocks of electricity back to
> > their source or sources in the US.
> >
> > PLEASE!! As soon as you read this, quickly unplug ANY computers and household
> > appliances that contain microprocessor chips from their wall sockets until we
> > can somehow locate the source of the subcarriers and put an end to it. This
> > will include things like televisions, VCRs, radios, home entertainment systems,
> > answering machines, cordless phones, digital clocks, and digital thermostats.
> >

> > You may ONLY charge your cell phones using a car adapter. Do NOT charge
them
> > with standard wall chargers, or the virus could be spread using cellular
phones
> > calls as well. Major appliances manufactured in the past 20 years
including
> > refrigerators, washers, dryers, microwave ovens, electric ovens,
electric
> > stovetops, and even gas appliances that have digital clocks, timers, and
> > controls built in them ARE ALL VULNERABLE!. (Backyard, gas bar-b-ques
have been
> > declared safe to use.) If you are at all unsure, UNPLUG IT!
> >
> > We cannot stress enough the extreme havoc this virus will cause if not
stopped
> > in its tracks! It will spread from house to house and community to
community if
> > not stopped. This is why we are urging EVERY CITIZEN to do their part by
> > unplugging ALL intelligent household appliances NOW! Tune in your
battery
> > operated AM radio to an Emergency Broadcast station and listen for the
official
> > "ALL CLEAR" announcement. If you don't have an AM radio, GO BUY ONE with
a
> > LARGE box of batteries. PLEASE, DO NOT PLUG ANYTHING BACK IN UNTIL YOU
HEAR THE
> > "ALL CLEAR" ANNOUNCEMENT FROM THE EMERGENCY BROADCAST SYSTEM!!
> >
> > Start with YOUR PC and MODEM *FIRST* General Johnson Jameson!

2688. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 05:44:08 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DB788A8.74E01C94@cwis.net>
Source record: 141467
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Hang on Max, you can't rate a 'troll' for it's
'IQ'! I don't think negative numbers count.
'Doc

2689. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 05:54:59 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <urf2oqqjejrsfe@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141469
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

I for one don't have to worry since I installed
Norton Antivirus in my electric meter! ;^)

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

"Mike G." <nospam@my.email.address> wrote in message
news:48eeru011qkp4md9oqi9lsca3nqfuubtk5@4ax.com...
> UNPLUG ANYTHING WITH A MICROPROCESSOR FROM YOUR HOUSEHOLD WALL OUTLETS!
>
> As you know, since the deregulation of energy, your household 115V
electricity
> MAY NOT come from the same sources it used to! Your electric company is
free to
> buy and sell electricity on the open market as they please. Today we have
just
> learned, that in a few major cities the electricity purchased has been
found to
> contain a secret digital subcarrier on it that when executed, is a
destructive
> computer virus! Because of the way the national power grid is set up, it
is
> virtually impossible to trace these particular blocks of electricity back
to
> their source or sources in the US.
>
> PLEASE!! As soon as you read this, quickly unplug ANY computers and
household
> appliances that contain microprocessor chips from their wall sockets until
we
> can somehow locate the source of the subcarriers and put an end to it.
This
> will include things like televisions, VCRs, radios, home entertainment
systems,
> answering machines, cordless phones, digital clocks, and digital
thermostats.
>
> You may ONLY charge your cell phones using a car adapter. Do NOT charge
them
> with standard wall chargers, or the virus could be spread using cellular
phones

> calls as well. Major appliances manufactured in the past 20 years
including
> refrigerators, washers, dryers, microwave ovens, electric ovens, electric
> stovetops, and even gas appliances that have digital clocks, timers, and
> controls built in them ARE ALL VULNERABLE!. (Backyard, gas bar-b-ques have
been
> declared safe to use.) If you are at all unsure, UNPLUG IT!
>
> We cannot stress enough the extreme havoc this virus will cause if not
stopped
> in its tracks! It will spread from house to house and community to
community if
> not stopped. This is why we are urging EVERY CITIZEN to do their part by
> unplugging ALL intelligent household appliances NOW! Tune in your battery
> operated AM radio to an Emergency Broadcast station and listen for the
official
> "ALL CLEAR" announcement. If you don't have an AM radio, GO BUY ONE with a
> LARGE box of batteries. PLEASE, DO NOT PLUG ANYTHING BACK IN UNTIL YOU
HEAR THE
> "ALL CLEAR" ANNOUNCEMENT FROM THE EMERGENCY BROADCAST SYSTEM!!
>
>
> Start with YOUR PC and MODEM *FIRST* General Johnson Jameson!
>

2690. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 07:15:40 UTC
From: Mark Walsh <mark@km6xu.die.spam.die.com>
Message-ID: <ap86i4$1td$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 141471
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

<radiobuff0@mailcity.com>, a shameless top-poster, spouted:

> Complaints about this stupid spam should be sent to
> abuse@prodigy.net

Me thinks you need to re-learn the definition of spam.
And while you are at it, please send your reports of
top-posting to abuse@mailcity.com

Mark Walsh ***** mark@die.spam.die.km6xu.com ***** http://www.km6xu.com

2691. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 07:30:41 UTC
From: W6DKN <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <NdNt9.8553$46.4262@fe01>
Source record: 141472
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
> A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread
of
> illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
> installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
> transceivers operating out of band.
>
> The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger a
> device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage pulse
type
> signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front end of
the
> receiver.
>
> Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the
devices
> which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.
>
> Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing amplifiers
> online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received
indicates
> the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will perform
> it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think the
problem
> is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.
>

Simple, don't use an amp on 11 meters, then you don't have anything to
worry about...

73

2692. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 07:42:46 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db7a201.28419018@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141473

In <20021023213832.13091.00012368@mb-mf.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote:

>you mean the king of critique only has that to say?

Ok, you want a verbose analysis?

First off you need to realize that the intended purpose is as a CB amplifier, as if that wasn't obvious already. With that in mind, the pre-amplifier is effectively useless, doing nothing other than making the noise floor louder. (Preamps are very useful with radios that have weak receive, such as older, antique radios and homebrew receivers; for modern radios that can pick up a mosquito farting at forty paces they are nothing but overkill.)

Now Brian knows that I am no fan of using the same relay for both input and output, but at 1200 watts output through one contact and 1-5 watts input on the other, that's just plain scary! I also see that there is no DC isolation of the input, which is very important so you don't nuke the final of your exciter if something goes wrong (with either rig).

The power supply: Series connection of diodes isn't a very good idea without a parallel voltage divider (=especially= in a voltage multiplier circuit), and resistors should also be used to balance the current in any parallel semiconductor load. I see the lack of them as a real possibility for failure. Since the power supply is unregulated, it would also be a good idea to have some sort of spike or surge protection on the transformer primary.

Now for the amplifier: Notice the use of the triode configuration of the sweep tubes, and that they are used as grounded-grid amplfiers. If there was no bias supply (just a grid leak resistor and cap) the tube would be working as a Class C by the very nature of grounded-grid operation. This is because grid current flows for half the cycle, effectively pulling the grid negative all by itself. So if you want linear Class AB or B then you need a grid supply with very good regulation. A simple half-wave rectifier and RC filter isn't very good regulation. But here's something even more interesting: only the grid is biased, not the screen/suppressor that should be part of the effective grid. The result is that this amp could indeed be running Class C; if I'm wrong and it really does run Class AB2 then it will be doing so with considerable distortion because of the poor regulation of the grid supply. Grid-current distortion is going to be prominent in AB2 unless the grid supply can be adjusted so grid current is flowing for more than half the cycle -- which, of course, isn't a good

condition at all because the plates are going to turn a nice shade of vermillion. In order to avoid this the plate voltage must be reduced in order to reduce the plate current, which isn't likely after seeing that they use six plate caps.

After that, I see that these amps have only a pi output filter. This does little for harmonics, and should be followed by a non-resonant low-pass filter. To not include the LP-filter in the design when it's required for proper operation is a decision based solely on profits, and not on good engineering concepts and practices.

So I can say, without any doubt or hesitation in my mind, that this is a noisy amp, and somewhat prone to failure by a couple design flaws. It seems that if they put as much effort into designing a proper grid biasing circuit and power supply that they put into designing the preamp, this might be a really good unit. It would be an even better amp using -real- triodes instead of sweep tubes kludged as triodes. The advantages? The amp -does- have three different power indicators, more buttons and knobs than a typical amp, and a cheap price tag. So it's perfectly suited for it's intended market.

2693. Re: Johnson Radio Crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 08:24:12 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db7adb5.31415287@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141474

In <ap857r$na7$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "jason"
<hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote:

>I just bought 9 crystals for my Johnson viking messenger, white face, most
>of them are marked with numbers like 27.175 MC T 18, I assume that
>indicates the frequency of the crystal, 2 only have somthing like CH 5T on
>them and thats it. Is there anyway to know what channels these crystals
>will give me before intstalling them in the radio??
>
>Thanks,
>Jason

CH 5T means it's the Transmit crystal for Channel 5. The one marked with the frequency and MC T 18 is going to be the transmit crystal for Channel 18. Yes, it's as obvious as it sounds. But it's still a good question, because some crystals are only marked with a frequency. The transmit crystals will be marked with the frequency of the channel; i.e, 26.965 for Channel 1, 27.085 for Channel 11. Most radios used the same transmit crystal frequencies.

The receive crystals, OTOH, will be marked for a frequency that is different. This frequency is based on the IF used by the radio, which is different for different radios. The Messengers and Heathkits used an IF of 455 kHz, the Raytheon TWR-2 was 6 MHz, the Hallicrafter's, Lafayette's and TWR-3 used 1.65 MHz as the 1st IF, etc. So you might see a crystal that says R-11 on it, but it might not be for your radio: the R-11 crystal for the Raytheon TWR-2 is 33.085 MHz, which certainly won't work in your radio.

I am not certain, but I believe that the Messenger II used crystals 455 kHz above the channel frequency; so while the frequency for channel 19 is 27.185 MHz, the receive crystal will have a frequency of 27.640 MHz. You can verify that by plugging in a 27.640 MHz crystal into a receive crystal socket and you should hear channel 19.

2694. Johnson Radio Crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 08:42:27 UTC
From: jason <hubs3@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ap857r$na7$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 141470

I just bought 9 crystals for my Johnson viking messenger, white face, most of them are marked with numbers like 27.175 MC T 18, I assume that indicates the frequency of the crystal, 2 only have somthing like CH 5T on them and thats it. Is there anyway to know what channels these crystals will give me before intstalling them in the radio??

Thanks,
Jason

2695. Re: Johnson Radio Crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 09:08:10 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db7b6fe.33792386@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141475

BTW, it hasn't been posted for awhile, so here's the channel chart:

1 26.965
2 26.975
3 26.985
4 27.005
5 27.015
6 27.025
7 27.035
8 27.055
9 27.065
10 27.075
11 27.085
12 27.105
13 27.115
14 27.125
15 27.135
16 27.155
17 27.165
18 27.175
19 27.185
20 27.205
21 27.215
22 27.225
23 27.255
24 27.235
25 27.245
26 27.265
27 27.275
28 27.285
29 27.295
30 27.305
31 27.315
32 27.325
33 27.335
34 27.345
35 27.355
36 27.365
37 27.375
38 27.385
39 27.395
40 27.405

2696. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 10:24:17 UTC
From: "Mike G." <nospam@my.email.address>
Message-ID: <b4ifru4ke1ljmgbccijdj26g72b8jk1luc@4ax.com>
Source record: 141476
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 23 Oct 2002 22:31:50 -0700, "General Johnson Jameson"
<area52@zecretbase.com> wrote:

>"Mike G." wrote in message
>...
>> UNPLUG ANYTHING WITH A MICROPROCESSOR FROM YOUR HOUSEHOLD WALL OUTLETS!
>
>Mike, I'd like to thank you personally for the wonderful advice you have
>supplied. After I read your post I immediately went outside and took a large
>axe with me. The 220 volt lines to my trailer fell quickly from the repeated
>blows of the razor-sharp double-edged wood axe. A small fire erupted near
>the charged wires but I soaked it good with the garden hose and got one
>hellava shock.
>Apparently water conducts electricity very well!
>
>After the local utility company restored power, I quickly checked for new
>viruses on my trusty C-64 and found NOTHING. The VCR, DVD and clock-toaster
>all seemed functional, I'll check them when the sun comes up and it is safe
>to go outside.
>
>Good work soldier!
>
>Respectively
>
>General Johnson Jameson

Thank you SIR! Sorry to hear about your accident. Sounds like you stopped
it in time though, as all your things checked out OK. Let me know if I can
email you some WATTS that are known to be good to get you back on your feet.
I'm sorry, but it will just be a small charge...

2697. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 12:16:45 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB7E4AD.453D@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141479

Landshark wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3DB68EDF.648C@worldlynx.net...
>
> >
> > I would tend to agree that this newsgroup isn't the best place to effect
> > a change. But what seems to keep perpetuating this topic is the
> > persistant denial by certain members of this group, that this problem
> > does not exist.
> it".
> >
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
> >
>
> I don't think anyone is in denial about it, most know that people do
> those things. It's the constant posting that is a
> "problem" or like it is an "epidemic" when it's not.

It certainly IS a "problem". Anytime someone tresspasses on another's property, it's a problem. Whether that problem ranks high up on the list in the big picture of spectrum management, is a subjective call, that no one here has the power to make.

Is it "epidemic"? Probably not. Is it something we should be concerned about? That depends on how you view the value of rules to a cohesive society. If you view rules and regulations as roadblocks in your path of "fun", then I'm sure you would rather attention not be drawn to this "issue".

> Cb is slowing down, slowly but surly, because of
> cell phones IMO.

I would agree with this 100%. Add to it the internet, and you've got most of the big picture.

> Go take a look around the neighborhoods,
> do you see as many antennas now as you might have
> 7 years ago?

Heck, the amount of antennas on both vehicles and homes has declined in my area since about 1982.

> Probably not, when you can get a cell phone
> and its use for $9.95 a month, why would you want to
> spend $40.00 for a cb?

That's not a really good example. A CB would pay for itself after a few month's usage. I don't know about you, but I got into radio as a hobby, because I enjoy the hit or miss aspect of radio. I look at phones as an appliance. Handy, useful, but not something I would make a hobby of. I've had people question my choice of hobby, and pose the obvious question as to why I bother with all those radios, when you can just get a cell phone. My usual answer is that I guess if you don't get it, you don't get it, and are much better off with that cell phone.

> Cell phones are far more reliable and way more private.

For a true radio hobbyist, privacy is a negative. One thing you can't do with cell phones, is get a bunch of people together and rag chew. It's point to point only, or maybe a 3-way calling option, but nothing more (Unless you want to pay really big bucks for conferencing abilities).

As far a reliability, yes, most cell phones are somewhat reliable, but as redio devices, they are still subject to fade outs and poor signal areas. Murphy's law on cell phones: The phone will quit when you need it the most.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2698. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 12:52:58 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB7ED2A.2A86@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141478

That Guy Again wrote:
>
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<15814-3DB56673-309@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > "Bring me a bottle" coming from a baby monitor in a child-like voice has
> > brought a chuckle or 2 over the years to some as well.
>
> Never had much luck jamming baby monitors, though I did manage to make
> enough racket that the mother pestered the dimwit dad to come in the
> kid's room & pick the thing up... he said "duh, I don't know what's
> wrong with it".

I used to use the line "have you checked the children?, from one of
those old horror movies, who's name escapes me at the moment.
Surprisingly, at even 100 watts of power, I was either ignored or unable
to get over the monitor's transmitter. I never got much reaction from
baby monitors.

> >
> > once you came home and a person of, ..uh..a.VERY different sexual
> > persuasion would witness one moving the cones and parking their car
> > where the cones used to be,,,,,a pretty funny song and dance could
> > occur.
>
> Tell me about it! Once jammed some dyke who sounded like Tokyo Rose,
> was telling her lover about stuff like peeing sideways onto the wall,
> etc... so I cut in and said "ugh, I'd keep that to myself if I were
> you!" The crazy broad started giggling... apparently she found her
> "3-way" very amusing. Apparently some women get very hot by playing
> with pee & poop... but I digress.

I once drove a girl totally bonkers. She was evidently a borderline
paranoid, who thought that the government had tapped her phone. I'd say
something like "Boo!", and she'd freak. The person on the other end
couldn't hear me, and told her she was nuts. She then handed the phone
to her boyfriend/husband, and he "demanded" to know who was on the line.
I kept quiet. After mouthing off some macho threats, he then gave the
phone back to the girl, and I promptly said "Boo!" again. This went on
for a few minutes until she couldn't take it anymore and hung up. It's
alarming just how many unstable and borderline mental patients live in
the world.

> >
> > Have you ever held the dial tone or not let them hang up?
>

> I don't think it could be done with a simple DTMF mike... mostly I
> made them mis-dial phone numbers, and mis-entered a few credit card
> numbers of callers buying stuff. I guess if you'd really wanted to do
> some down-&-dirty dial-tone hacking, you'd have to spring for one of
> Ramsey's Tone Grabbers.
>

I used to use an old 49/1.7 Mhz phone back then. This was before the days of "security access codes", when you only had to transmit a tone signal to bring up the phone line's dial tone. Tapping the output of the phone into the amplifier section of a 10 watt 6 meter rig, and using the gain of a 3 element beam, would allow me to bring up phones miles away (most of which I could not hear the return on 1.7 Mhz) I used to dial my own number to see if it was really working.

> > >girl asks customer what toppings he wants on >his pizza, I cut in and
> > said "all the sh**"... girl >says "EXCUSE ME???"
> >
> > Hahhahaha!
>
> That's nothing---even nailed a handful of celeb sound-alikes. I've
> heard callers who sounded like dead ringers for Jackie Mason, Pearl
> Bailey, Tommy Lasorda, and Desi Arnaz. Sometimes girls would scream
> and gangbangers would get PO'd, threaten me, etc. (newspaper headline:
> Gang Member Sentenced To Year In Prison For Shooting Telephone. Only
> in Kalifornia...)

I find more entertainment in listening to people (Spying for twisty). You learn alot about the way people think by how they conduct themselves. One of my old neighbors was cheating on her husband, and used to talk "dirty" to her "boyfriend", while her husband was in the next room. I was waiting for the fireworks to erupt one day, but she moved out before anything really interesting happened. The poor husband didn't have a clue why the girl was acting so "funny". I guess if he payed more attention to her instead of TV sports, he might have gotten a hint. I was so tempted to clue him in, but I would have had to reveal how I knew, and I didn't want to open that can of worms.

> One of my favorite conversation starters was the belch. I'd drive
> around chugging a can of soda, key down and let one rip when I saw it
> coming in on the S-meter... "Pearl Bailey" blamed it on the other
> caller: "you got some BAD GAS, woman!" Likewise, "Jackie Mason" tells
> his other caller "yousoundlikeyouhadagoodhotdogorsomething". And some
> surfer guy squealed "DUDE! That TOTALLY wasn't me!!!" And a very
> stoned college-age woman could only manage to make unintelligible
> blurting noises.

I've done that a few times too. It's good for a few laughs.

Such was the fun of younger days. I haven't played with cordless phones for probably 15 years now. I still listen occasionally, but I don't try to jam them any more. That's just not right. Old age does funny things

to your conscience.;)

> > Yea, but the FRS radios are a playground at ballgames, amusement parks,
> > camps, etc.....even on the highways.............you CAN relive the
> > glory days..lol!
>
> LOL---knew another ham, Glen, who used to do that---he bought up old
> Motorola business walkie-talkies at liquidations, but he'd have fun
> using them to torment local construction crews a little before
> converting them to ham bands. Then when they made a fuss, he'd tell
> 'em to shut up and do their job.

FRS radios are fast becoming the "new" CB. There are tons of mostly kids playing with them in my area. I'm lucky that I live at a 400' ASL location, and I can hear them for farther than the usual published 2 mile range. Occasionally I'll hear some interesting adults, but mostly they just want to talk to themselves, and not socialize. I'm hoping this will change..

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2699. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 12:59:38 UTC
From: k5dh@raytheon.com
Message-ID: <_8St9.1561$Bd4.11850@dfw-service2.ext.raytheon.com>
Source record: 141477
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

In article <Pine.LNX.4.44.0210232136210.3254-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>,
kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org says...
>
>On Wed, 23 Oct 2002, 'Doc wrote:
>>General,
>> Now ~that~ is a good troll! I think it's the best
>>I've seen in quite a while. Even some original thought(?)
>>to it. Gotta give that one a '9'+...
>> 'Dco
>
>That was almost as good as selling a "can of squelch" - after all,
>all that turning of the knob lets it all leak out! :-)

Remember when the CBers were buying VSWR SPRAY back in the 1970s? :)

73,
Dean K5DH

2700. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 13:02:47 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210240502.5b57fc34@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141480

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210231617.7e391416@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message news:...
> > >richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > >(snipped)
> > >
> > >
> > >-Aaron-
> >
> >Proving exactly, what Aanal Aaron? That you have NO PROOF?
>
> Everyone knows that only one person would get so freaked out about
> this, and that's you, Steve.
>
> -Aaron-

Really? You still haven't provided the proof you said you'd "Gladly"
post that I am Steve, Aanal Aaron. And YOU are the only one freaked
out by all this, because I won't run away and hide.

Where's that PROOF, dimbulb?

2701. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 13:08:47 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210240508.1546532c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141481

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210231137.672d9d5d@posting.google.com>...
> "I C P" <nospam@nospam.fr> wrote in message news:<FClt9.7408$46.3188@fe01>...
> > Aaron Voobner is a well know troll in the cb radio newsgroups.
>
> Uh huh. What cb radio newsgroups?

You don't even have to "play" dumb, do you Aanal Aaron?

> > He is kinda like their version of "Elmer".
>
> Um, who's "they"? And who the heck is "Elmer"?

That proves that you are not now, nor have you ever been, a licensed
amateur, Aanal Aaron. Just a TROLL.

> > Not quite Bullis.... but bad enough.
>
> "Bullis"? What's that? Bullets? Bullish? Bollocks? Sandra Bullock?
> Huh? I couldn't figure out what your post was about until I saw the
> cross-post info. Maybe you want to give the boys in
> misc.transport.trucking a heads-up that aaron voobner is the guy who
> is upsetting the applecart regarding illegal 10 meter operation by
> truckers. You can call me a troll for talking about the problem, I
> don't really care, and neither do the hams who are plenty upset with
> the situation themselves.
>
> -Aaron-

So, why don't you let the amateurs take care of their own problem,
Aanal Aaron? It's simply none of your concern, is it?

2702. Re: hey jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 13:26:58 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024092658.18074.00000043@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 141482

so you mean nobody talks at night because there not interested after dark?

>I say it is quiet from Lack of interest, skip just creates more noise and
>more people talking together on the band. Not really much to elaborate on.

2703. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 13:28:44 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024092844.18074.00000045@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 141483

hey shaggy dawg, is the eagle claw kinda like the iron claw from the von erichs? i know you like wrestling so i'm sure you know lol

>Eagle claw indeed .

2704. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 13:30:45 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024093045.18074.00000046@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 141484

guess you dont know any cops? makes there job easier sure but like them or respect them? hell no.

>They sure do like them it makes they're job easier.
>
>
>
>

2705. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 13:31:27 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024093127.18074.00000047@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 141485

keith i hope your reading there threads better than you read at qrz.com

>
>
>We all will disagree, thats the great thing about this country, we all
>have the right to our own beliefs. But yes some of these "discussions"
>can be rather amusing. But I am also learning some from the
>"discussion" between Frank and "Skip".
>
>73 de Keith
>KC8TCQ
>Vice President: REACT of Henry County
>Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
>American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
>
>
>
>
>
>

2706. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 13:38:25 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024093825.18074.00000049@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 141486

did this fool really think it was serious? hahahaha a lisenced idiot!

>
>Simple, don't use an amp on 11 meters, then you don't have anything to
>worry about...
>
> 73
>

2707. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 13:43:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024094301.18074.00000052@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 141487

hey frank, a sincere thanks for your thoughts.

>
>Ok, you want a verbose analysis?
>
>First off you need to realize that the intended purpose is as a CB
>amplifier, as if that wasn't obvious already. With that in mind, the
>pre-amplifier is effectively useless, doing nothing other than making
>the noise floor louder. (Preamps are very useful with radios that have
>weak receive, such as older, antique radios and homebrew receivers;
>for modern radios that can pick up a mosquito farting at forty paces
>they are nothing but overkill.)
>
>Now Brian knows that I am no fan of using the same relay for both
>input and output, but at 1200 watts output through one contact and 1-5
>watts input on the other, that's just plain scary! I also see that
>there is no DC isolation of the input, which is very important so you
>don't nuke the final of your exciter if something goes wrong (with
>either rig).
>
>The power supply: Series connection of diodes isn't a very good idea
>without a parallel voltage divider (=especially= in a voltage
>multiplier circuit), and resistors should also be used to balance the
>current in any parallel semiconductor load. I see the lack of them as
>a real possibility for failure. Since the power supply is unregulated,
>it would also be a good idea to have some sort of spike or surge
>protection on the transformer primary.
>
>Now for the amplifier: Notice the use of the triode configuration of
>the sweep tubes, and that they are used as grounded-grid amplfiers. If
>there was no bias supply (just a grid leak resistor and cap) the tube
>would be working as a Class C by the very nature of grounded-grid
>operation. This is because grid current flows for half the cycle,
>effectively pulling the grid negative all by itself. So if you want
>linear Class AB or B then you need a grid supply with very good
>regulation. A simple half-wave rectifier and RC filter isn't very good
>regulation. But here's something even more interesting: only the grid
>is biased, not the screen/suppressor that should be part of the
>effective grid. The result is that this amp could indeed be running
>Class C; if I'm wrong and it really does run Class AB2 then it will be
>doing so with considerable distortion because of the poor regulation
>of the grid supply. Grid-current distortion is going to be prominent
>in AB2 unless the grid supply can be adjusted so grid current is
>flowing for more than half the cycle -- which, of course, isn't a good

>condition at all because the plates are going to turn a nice shade of
>vermillion. In order to avoid this the plate voltage must be reduced
>in order to reduce the plate current, which isn't likely after seeing
>that they use six plate caps.
>
>After that, I see that these amps have only a pi output filter. This
>does little for harmonics, and should be followed by a non-resonant
>low-pass filter. To not include the LP-filter in the design when it's
>required for proper operation is a decision based solely on profits,
>and not on good engineering concepts and practices.
>
>So I can say, without any doubt or hesitation in my mind, that this is
>a noisy amp, and somewhat prone to failure by a couple design flaws.
>It seems that if they put as much effort into designing a proper grid
>biasing circuit and power supply that they put into designing the
>preamp, this might be a really good unit. It would be an even better
>amp using -real- triodes instead of sweep tubes kludged as triodes.
>The advantages? The amp -does- have three different power indicators,
>more buttons and knobs than a typical amp, and a cheap price tag. So
>it's perfectly suited for it's intended market.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2708. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 13:52:08 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024095208.18074.00000055@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 141488

dave, does all this mean you actually broke radio regulations and enjoyed it???

>
>
>That Guy Again wrote:
>>
>> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
>news:<15814-3DB56673-309@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
>> > "Bring me a bottle" coming from a baby monitor in a child-like voice has
>> > brought a chuckle or 2 over the years to some as well.
>>
>> Never had much luck jamming baby monitors, though I did manage to make
>> enough racket that the mother pestered the dimwit dad to come in the
>> kid's room & pick the thing up... he said "duh, I don't know what's
>> wrong with it".
>
>I used to use the line "have you checked the children?, from one of
>those old horror movies, who's name escapes me at the moment.
>Surprisingly, at even 100 watts of power, I was either ignored or unable
>to get over the monitor's transmitter. I never got much reaction from
>baby monitors.
>
>
>> >
>> > once you came home and a person of, ..uh..a.VERY different sexual
>> > persuasion would witness one moving the cones and parking their car
>> > where the cones used to be,,,,,a pretty funny song and dance could
>> > occur.
>>
>> Tell me about it! Once jammed some dyke who sounded like Tokyo Rose,
>> was telling her lover about stuff like peeing sideways onto the wall,
>> etc... so I cut in and said "ugh, I'd keep that to myself if I were
>> you!" The crazy broad started giggling... apparently she found her
>> "3-way" very amusing. Apparently some women get very hot by playing
>> with pee & poop... but I digress.
>
>I once drove a girl totally bonkers. She was evidently a borderline
>paranoid, who thought that the government had tapped her phone. I'd say
>something like "Boo!", and she'd freak. The person on the other end
>couldn't hear me, and told her she was nuts. She then handed the phone
>to her boyfriend/husband, and he "demanded" to know who was on the line.
>I kept quiet. After mouthing off some macho threats, he then gave the
>phone back to the girl, and I promptly said "Boo!" again. This went on
>for a few minutes until she couldn't take it anymore and hung up. It's

>alarming just how many unstable and borderline mental patients live in
>the world.
>
>
>> >
>> > Have you ever held the dial tone or not let them hang up?
>>
>> I don't think it could be done with a simple DTMF mike... mostly I
>> made them mis-dial phone numbers, and mis-entered a few credit card
>> numbers of callers buying stuff. I guess if you'd really wanted to do
>> some down-&-dirty dial-tone hacking, you'd have to spring for one of
>> Ramsey's Tone Grabbers.
>>
>
>I used to use an old 49/1.7 Mhz phone back then. This was before the
>days of "security access codes", when you only had to transmit a tone
>signal to bring up the phone line's dial tone. Tapping the output of the
>phone into the amplifier section of a 10 watt 6 meter rig, and using the
>gain of a 3 element beam, would allow me to bring up phones miles away
>(most of which I could not hear the return on 1.7 Mhz) I used to dial my
>own number to see if it was really working.
>
>
>> > >girl asks customer what toppings he wants on >his pizza, I cut in and
>> > said "all the sh**"... girl >says "EXCUSE ME???"
>> >
>> > Hahhahaha!
>>
>> That's nothing---even nailed a handful of celeb sound-alikes. I've
>> heard callers who sounded like dead ringers for Jackie Mason, Pearl
>> Bailey, Tommy Lasorda, and Desi Arnaz. Sometimes girls would scream
>> and gangbangers would get PO'd, threaten me, etc. (newspaper headline:
>> Gang Member Sentenced To Year In Prison For Shooting Telephone. Only
>> in Kalifornia...)
>
>I find more entertainment in listening to people (Spying for twisty).
>You learn alot about the way people think by how they conduct
>themselves. One of my old neighbors was cheating on her husband, and
>used to talk "dirty" to her "boyfriend", while her husband was in the
>next room. I was waiting for the fireworks to erupt one day, but she
>moved out before anything really interesting happened. The poor husband
>didn't have a clue why the girl was acting so "funny". I guess if he
>payed more attention to her instead of TV sports, he might have gotten a
>hint. I was so tempted to clue him in, but I would have had to reveal
>how I knew, and I didn't want to open that can of worms.
>
>
>> One of my favorite conversation starters was the belch. I'd drive
>> around chugging a can of soda, key down and let one rip when I saw it
>> coming in on the S-meter... "Pearl Bailey" blamed it on the other

>> caller: "you got some BAD GAS, woman!" Likewise, "Jackie Mason" tells
>> his other caller "yousoundlikeyouhadagoodhotdogorsomething". And some
>> surfer guy squealed "DUDE! That TOTALLY wasn't me!!!" And a very
>> stoned college-age woman could only manage to make unintelligible
>> blurting noises.
>
>I've done that a few times too. It's good for a few laughs.
>
>Such was the fun of younger days. I haven't played with cordless phones
>for probably 15 years now. I still listen occasionally, but I don't try
>to jam them any more. That's just not right. Old age does funny things
>to your conscience.;)
>
>
>> > Yea, but the FRS radios are a playground at ballgames, amusement parks,
>> > camps, etc.....even on the highways.....you CAN relive the
>> > glory days..lol!
>>
>> LOL---knew another ham, Glen, who used to do that---he bought up old
>> Motorola business walkie-talkies at liquidations, but he'd have fun
>> using them to torment local construction crews a little before
>> converting them to ham bands. Then when they made a fuss, he'd tell
>> 'em to shut up and do their job.
>
>FRS radios are fast becoming the "new" CB. There are tons of mostly kids
>playing with them in my area. I'm lucky that I live at a 400' ASL
>location, and I can hear them for farther than the usual published 2
>mile range. Occasionally I'll hear some interesting adults, but mostly
>they just want to talk to themselves, and not socialize. I'm hoping this
>will change..
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2709. a nice one for you sparky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 14:13:33 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024101333.18054.00000017@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 141489

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1391619869

2710. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 14:24:01 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DB80281.3BD040D0@mailcity.com>
Source record: 141502
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Like mailcity or any other ISP cares if I top post or not.

Mark Walsh wrote:
>
> <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>, a shameless top-poster, spouted:
>
> > Complaints about this stupid spam should be sent to
> > abuse@prodigy.net
>
> Me thinks you need to re-learn the definition of spam.
> And while you are at it, please send your reports of
> top-posting to abuse@mailcity.com
>
> Mark Walsh ***** mark@die.spam.die.km6xu.com ***** http://www.km6xu.com

2711. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 14:39:27 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zCTt9.2165$6F4.214454@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141490

Dog Poop, you might think about cutting back on those dingle berries you
have been chewing off your shaggy butt.
"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:WcScnXOULPWp_yqgXTWcpw@comcast.com...
>
> "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:mZIt9.1424$6F4.133533@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > I have an idea. How bout jerry , boob, and one or two others here, post
> > their addresses here and on the board at the local truck stop, with some
> of
> > that "i wiil turn them in" stuff. That way they can truly have a part in
> all
> > this. Now we know that they would not fear doing this as they will have
> big
> > j to beat up those nasty truckers. Eagle claw indeed .
>
> I have another idea how about you post your name and address and we will
> post it in mens restrooms at these same truck stops. LOL Do you really
> think all truckers are nasty and mean ? you seem to have this preconcieved
> notion people are to fear truck drivers. the only reason for this maybe
the
> redneck, low class, cowboy boot wearing look to them.
>
>
>

2712. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 14:51:50 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <aOTt9.12082$4t3.537820324@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141491

Aaron you keep losing addys, but you still
post this dribble. LOL!!! what is it now, 3 addys
in a 2 week period. I guess some people
have way to much time and way to preoccupied
with this group.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0210231158.324024b9@posting.google.com...
>
> -Aaron-
>
>
> --
> From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
> Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
> "troll" not w-w!
> Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
> Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST
>
> Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
> afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
> badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
> in the first place to attack me.
>
> This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
> Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
> alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
> with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
> to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired
> for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the
> operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of
> a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by
> the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at
> the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so
> inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain
> about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to
> follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.
>
> Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard
> Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to

> debate anyone using his real name.
>
> ---
>
> QRZ LISTING:
>
> KD4CXJ
> STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
> 1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
> VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
> USA

2713. Sit'n Ugly!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 15:43:41 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4288-3DB8152D-50@storefull-2197.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141500

Who dat????Nice hearin ya!Catch you another time....Mark

2714. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 15:44:44 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0210240744.6e8633ab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

G. M. Alf <gmalf.ears@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:<jloerucog5r3ha1n6g2s32ehvfrig5eh03@4ax.com>...
> On Wed, 23 Oct 2002 20:16:36 GMT, "Jewlz" <Jewlz@tedious.net> wrote:
>
> >I'm considering a GMRS system to keep in contact with my mom who doesn't
> >have a phone. (LONG story!) ...
>
> You might consider MURS. No license is required and the radio prices
> are reasonable. You can use an 8 foot marine antenna if need be and
> it can be mounted almost anywhere in an apartment (inside or out.)

MURS or GMRS should both work (especially if you can use an external
gain antenna at one or both sites - preferably both).

Here are a few advantages of MURS which you might want to consider:

1) GMRS requires an $80 license fee (for 10 year license). MURS is
licenseless(free).

2) With GMRS, you are limited to talking with individuals in your
immediate family only (or other GMRS license holders). With MURS, you
can talk with anyone else with a MURS radio.

3) If you are going to set up an external antenna, it is generally
cheaper to do so with VHF MURS, as feedline losses at GMRS UHF
frequencies can become significant if your coax run length exceeds
much more than 30ft. With MURS, you can use cheaper RG-8 cable,
available off-the-shelf in fixed lengths at Radio Shack - with GMRS
you should probably use a more expensive custom-built solution using
LMR-400 cable(or equivalent).

- Stewart
For more information on MURS:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

2715. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 15:45:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4923-3DB81594-180@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141497

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Among the complete and in-context email by Twistedhed that "JJ" snipped,
was:
A nocode license may not diminish one's abilities, but it certain
doesn't enhance anything.

>I guess operating illegally does.<

Stop guessing, man. You're no good at it.
Instead, think of upgrading, but study, don't "guess" at it, lest you
fail...haahahhahahahahhahahhahahhahahaha!

2716. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 15:47:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4924-3DB81607-70@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141501

"JJ" wrote
Just proving that all this BS from those that claim there aren't any truckers illegally using 10 meters are or that it isn't a problem are full of it.

And how are you "proving" that, JJ?
By merely "claiming" the opposite? Hahahhahhhahahaaha.....you're a funny guy, but way off the mark.

2717. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 15:49:31 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4923-3DB8168B-181@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141496

From: doggone@dog.comPton (Shaggy Dogg)
"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:tyAt9.607$Fj6.48213@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net... So, if you
listen to CB, why do you care what's on 10?

>If you keep your doors locked why do you care if there are prowlers in
your yard?<<
<

Hahahaha....socialist Dave Hall N3CVJ tried to illustrate a tangible vs.
an intangible when he failed with the same, lame attempt. Just for the
record, I don't care if there are prowlers in my yard. It saves me the
trouble of killing a hog for dog food.

2718. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 15:52:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4923-3DB81735-183@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141498

From: doggone@dog.comPton (Shaggy Dogg)
"fools" <meafool@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:S%At9.609$6F4.55928@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net... Jerry, you
do know that some of them thar truckers have far more education
than you, right? Some of them are lawyers and such.
--
>Really then why they driving trucks?<

Hey.....George! This is the same curious logic exhibited by the
socialists such as Dave Hall, N3CVJ. He also, can't comprehend why any
normal, law-abiding cber would refuse to obtain a hammie license. Some
people are just unable to see beyond their own limited vision...but
that's ok, we won't hold it against you and I am certain you won't lose
any sleep over it.

2719. DX.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 15:55:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4923-3DB8180C-184@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141495

.....is smokin'! Never talked to so many newsgroupies in my life all in one day...y'all are sounding good in my 'hood...from the mistake-by-the-lake, to Joisey, Texas, black gold, Ky., Pa., Oklahoma, Kansas, high deserts of California....click click!

2720. Realistic Trc 431

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 15:59:12 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021024115912.14995.00000033@mb-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 141493

Well i did it i want to ajuste my am power and broke coils L905,L907,L910
can anyone one help me out ?

Is there someone in the Montreal area that can change them for a reasonable
price/

2721. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 16:04:48 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DB81A20.E341D44B@mailcity.com>
Source record: 141527

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> `From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
> tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:y1Bt9.611$6F4.53246@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Jerry, do you understand that you just provided an example of the broom
> stick up the ass ?
>
> >Yeah, that of the truckers who operate on 10 >Meters.
> J
> <<
>
> I hate to rain on your parade, but that trucker that was
> fired.......left the company on decent terms. Matter of fact, they gave
> him a solid reference, and best of all, there is NOTHING that prevents
> the company from rehiring him. How do I know? I'm the one that assisted
> in "getting a message" to the driver concerning other emoyment
> opportunities.

Yea right.

2722. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 16:06:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DB81A76.515A922B@mailcity.com>
Source record: 141528

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>
> Oh, so if I "snitch" on a person who sneaks into my yard and steals my
> lawnmower, that's not OK?

Jerry, you are dealing with a mentality here that thinks if they
sneak into your yard and see you are not using your lawnmower it
is perfectly OK for them to take it.

2723. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 16:07:39 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4923-3DB81ACB-185@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141499

>I don't think anyone is in denial about it, most >know that people do those things. It's the >constant posting that is a "problem" or like it is >an "epidemic" when it's not.<<

>It certainly IS a "problem". Anytime someone tresspasses on another's property, it's a problem.<<

Dave, have an upperclassmen teach you about frequency allocation. The spectrum belongs to no one, despite your heavy wishing....even the FCC admit this. You may rent it, but it by no means is yours, and never will be anyones "property." The simplest of concepts are lost on you......a non no-code. Maybe they should have refresher courses for stale hammies with erroneous information....

>Whether that problem ranks high up on the list in the big picture of spectrum management, is a subjective call, that no one here has the power to make. <<

HTF do you know? Oh, excuse me, you were telling fortunes again, my mistake.

>Is it "epidemic"? Probably not.<

No one here is qualified to make an observation on that matter except you,,,,riiiiiiiiiiiight!
Talk about total contempt for others.......

>Is it something we should be concerned about?<<

Let us clarify,,,when YOU say "we", it refers to "Aaron's" named group of
yourself (N3CVJ), Frank Gilliland, Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO, N8WWM Dogie Adair, and sometimes George Busch, depending on his mood-for-the-day. When anyone else says uses the term "we", it refers to the rest of society and the world-at-large.

>That depends on how you view the value of rules to a cohesive society. If you view rules and regulations as roadblocks in your path of "fun", then I'm sure you would rather attention not be drawn to this "issue".<<

You haven't been "sure" about anything. "Your" society consists of socialism, insults and
calling names to those that hold a differing view. Go right ahead and continue to attempt to draw what you feel is "attention" to any damn thing you want.......it's not like you can do anything anyway....you're i-m-p-o-t-e-n-t!!!!!!!!!!

2724. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 16:08:01 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <area52@zecretbase.com>
Message-ID: <2WUt9.633$TA.274953253@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 141494

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message

> hahahaha a lisenced idiot!
>

Hmmm...a " lisenced " idiot....Must be from Alabama....

2725. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 16:11:35 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DB81BB7.C62210BE@mailcity.com>
Source record: 141529

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> "JJ" wrote
> Just proving that all this BS from those that claim there aren't any
> truckers illegally using 10 meters are or that it isn't a problem are
> full of it.
> ----------
> And how are you "proving" that, JJ?
> By merely "claiming" the opposite? Hahahhahhhahahaaha.....you're a
> funny guy, but way off the mark.

All this from twistieboy who operates in violation of FCC rules
and can't even qualify for a no code license. Spin it anyway you
want twistieboy, the truckers and other illegal morons (oh, did I
step on your toes?) are using 10 illegally every day.

2726. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 16:15:12 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DB81C90.DAE39707@mailcity.com>
Source record: 141532

Landshark wrote:

> Ok, so there is activity in your
> part of the country. Now & then I've
> heard non hams on those freqs out
> here, but not as prolific as you and
> others are trying to paint.
> Just like a couple others on this
> board, you keep being repetitive about
> the same thing most will just ignore what's
> being said.
>
> Landshark

Good grief you moron, anyone in any part of the country can hear these idiots. When I was in Texas I heard them all the time as well. Now I am 700 miles to the northwest and I hear them. It isn't in just my part of the country. You must be kin to dickieboy crainumless. I am listening right now and 28.085 busy with trucker traffic. You and dickieboy get together and see if you can figure out how to listen on 10 meters.

2727. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 16:23:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4923-3DB81E96-186@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141506

From: witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<15814-3DB56673-309@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
"Bring me a bottle" coming from a baby monitor in a child-like voice has
brought a chuckle or 2 over the years to some as well.

>Never had much luck jamming baby monitors, though I did manage to make
enough racket that the mother pestered the dimwit dad to come in the
kid's room & pick the thing up... he said "duh, I don't know what's
wrong with it".
<<

Those things are all over in this area around 48 to 50 MHZ (not certain
of thier exact freq)

once you came home and a person of, ..uh..a.VERY different sexual
persuasion would witness one moving the cones and parking their car
where the cones used to be,,,,,a pretty funny song and dance could
occur.

>Tell me about it! Once jammed some dyke who sounded like Tokyo Rose,
was telling her lover about stuff like peeing sideways onto the wall,
etc... so I cut in and said "ugh, I'd keep that to myself if I were
you!" The crazy broad started giggling... apparently she found her
"3-way" very amusing. Apparently some women get very hot by playing with
pee & poop... but I digress.<<

Dayummmmmmm.....................now that is definitely someone who
would have reacted to being taped...hehehe! Then again, maybe not.

Have you ever held the dial tone or not let them hang up?

>I don't think it could be done with a simple DTMF mike... mostly I made
them mis-dial phone numbers, and mis-entered a few credit card numbers
of callers buying stuff. I guess if you'd really wanted to do some
down-&-dirty dial-tone hacking, you'd have to spring for one of Ramsey's
Tone Grabbers. <<

:)

>girl asks customer what toppings he wants on his pizza, I cut in and
said "all the sh**"... girl says "EXCUSE ME???" <<

Hahhahaha!

>That's nothing---even nailed a handful of celeb sound-alikes. I've
heard callers who sounded like dead ringers for Jackie Mason, Pearl
Bailey, Tommy Lasorda, and Desi Arnaz. Sometimes girls would scream and

gangbangers would get PO'd, threaten me, etc. <<

This is getting funnier by the post.....I'll betcha a collection of,,,uh,,,,more colorful incidents such as we are describing would sell at the swamp, er,,I mean swap meets, keydowns, breaks, even at the sacred shrine..ummm, I mean hamfest in Dayton, despite it's content.

>(newspaper headline: Gang Member Sentenced To Year In Prison For Shooting Telephone. Only in Kalifornia...)
One of my favorite conversation starters was the belch. I'd drive around chugging a can of soda, key down and let one rip when I saw it coming in on the S-meter... "Pearl Bailey" blamed it on the other caller: "you got some BAD GAS, woman!" Likewise, "Jackie Mason" tells his other caller "yousoundlikeyouhadagoodhotdogorsomething". And some surfer guy squealed "DUDE! That TOTALLY wasn't me!!!" And a very stoned college-age woman could only manage to make unintelligible blurting noises.
In the case of "Desi Arnaz", I was out of soda... so I told him "hey dummy! Your phone's a piece of junk!"
Desi: "Who ees THAAAAAT?"
Me: "It's none of your business."
Desi: "EET'S NONNA YOUR BEEZNESS TOO!!!"<<

I'd be blowing that soda through my nose at about that time...

Yea, but the FRS radios are a playground at ballgames, amusement parks, camps, etc.....even on the highways.....you CAN relive the glory days..lol!

>LOL---knew another ham, Glen, who used to do that---he bought up old Motorola business walkie-talkies at liquidations, but he'd have fun using them to torment local construction crews a little before converting them to ham bands. <<

I haven;t given the construction sites a thought.....bet they don't all use them old-time Motorolas like they used to now that the price is lower on everything else....easy way to find out though.....can't even see the Gulf anymore 'cause of all the damn condos going up all the time.....gonna have to check it out!

>Then when they made a fuss, he'd tell 'em to shut up and do their job. Guy<<

LOL! Tell 'em "I'm the owner of the company dammit and I'm watching you from my condo window with binoculars,,,,,now quit goldbricking and stop yelling at the girls on the beach...."!

2728. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 16:29:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4923-3DB81FCD-187@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141505

From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<15814-3DB56673-309@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
"Bring me a bottle" coming from a baby monitor in a child-like voice has
brought a chuckle or 2 over the years to some as well.

>Never had much luck jamming baby monitors, though I did manage to make
enough racket that the mother pestered the dimwit dad to come in the
kid's room & pick the thing up... he said "duh, I don't know what's
wrong with it".
once you came home and a person of, <<

.uh..a.VERY different sexual persuasion would witness one moving the
cones and parking their car where the cones used to be,,,,,a pretty
funny song and dance could occur.

>Tell me about it! Once jammed some dyke who sounded like Tokyo Rose,
was telling her lover about stuff like peeing sideways onto the wall,
etc... so I cut in and said "ugh, I'd keep that to myself if I were
you!" The crazy broad started giggling... apparently she found her
"3-way" very amusing. Apparently some women get very hot by playing with
pee & poop... but I digress. <<

Have you ever held the dial tone or not let them hang up?

>I don't think it could be done with a simple DTMF mike... mostly I made
them mis-dial phone numbers, and mis-entered a few credit card numbers
of callers buying stuff. I guess if you'd really wanted to do some
down-&-dirty dial-tone hacking, you'd have to spring for one of Ramsey's
Tone Grabbers.
girl asks customer what toppings he wants on his pizza, I cut in and
said "all the sh**"... girl says "EXCUSE ME???" <<

Hahhahaha!

>That's nothing---even nailed a handful of celeb sound-alikes. I've
heard callers who sounded like dead ringers for Jackie Mason, Pearl
Bailey, Tommy Lasorda, and Desi Arnaz. Sometimes girls would scream and
gangbangers would get PO'd, threaten me, etc. (newspaper headline: Gang
Member Sentenced To Year In Prison For Shooting Telephone. Only in
Kalifornia...)
One of my favorite conversation starters was the belch. I'd drive around
chugging a can of soda, key down and let one rip when I saw it coming in
on the S-meter... "Pearl Bailey" blamed it on the other caller: "you got
some BAD GAS, woman!" Likewise, "Jackie Mason" tells his other caller

"yousoundlikeyouhadagoodhotdogorsomething". And some surfer guy squealed "DUDE! That TOTALLY wasn't me!!!" And a very stoned college-age woman could only manage to make unintelligible blurting noises.
In the case of "Desi Arnaz", I was out of soda... so I told him "hey dummy! Your phone's a piece of junk!"
Desi: "Who ees THAAAAAT?"
Me: "It's none of your business."
Desi: "EET'S NONNA YOUR BEEZNESS TOO!!!" <<

Yea, but the FRS radios are a playground at ballgames, amusement parks, camps, etc.....even on the highways.....you CAN relive the glory days..lol!

>LOL---knew another ham, Glen, who used to do that---he bought up old Motorola business walkie-talkies at liquidations, but he'd have fun using them to torment local construction crews a little before converting them to ham bands. Then when they made a fuss, he'd tell 'em to shut up and do their job.
Guy<<

>Trolling again? <
-A

Hell, I can discuss anything with anyone. Just 'cause you have a problem with content and beahving yourself <g> doesn't mean it's a troll! Methinks you are but a bit too concerned about who talks to who, but continue guessing, you may one day even be right, who knows?

2729. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 16:40:25 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <XxVt9.11104$Be4.11072@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141508

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:NEIt9.1397$6F4.129780@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Even cops do not like or respect snitches.

Oh, so if I "snitch" on a person who sneaks into my yard and steals my
lawnmower, that's not OK?
If a wrong is committed and I see it, then I am not to report that wrong?
You can obfuscate til
Hades springs a leak, but it IS the same thing. Trespassing on someone's
property is wrong. Tres-
passing on frequencies for which the perp has no authority is just as wrong.
If the illegal op gets into trouble because someone saw him, then he has
only himself to blame. Not the so-called "snitch".

J

> "Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
> news:iBidnW7aUNPZnSqgXTWc2Q@comcast.com...
> >
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > Trouble is, RH already knows the extent of the problem regardless of
how
> > > many hams don't
> > > object to intrusions of unlicensed ops on the the ham bands. I
recently
> > > counted about 25 warnings
> > > on that subject alone. It does make me wonder when a ham (I only have
> > your
> > > assertions on that)
> > > wants to counter efforts being made to protect spectrum against
> incursion.
> > > Hmmmmm, perhaps a
> > > no-code, VHF ham who uses CB and freeband as a substitute for HF?
Maybe
> he
> > > operates on 10
> > > as well, and wouldn't want any "attention" turned his way? Hey, I
DON'T
> > > know that. It is specu-
> > > lation! For one who has full access to all bands and all of the 10-12
> > Meter
> > > bands, it seems "curious" that anyone who has that privilege would
wish
> to

> > > share that with bootlegger operators.
> >
> >
> > Very true Jerry, makes you wonder most of these guys are cb operators
who
> > got a ham license and never use the amatuer bands. I am listening to 2
guy
> > right now and have recorded them they are in Oklahoma City as per there
> > conversation.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2730. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 16:43:49 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <6BVt9.11105$Be4.7672@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141509

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023213659.13091.00012366@mb-mf.aol.com...
> do most people sit around there yard with a loaded rifle just hoping
somebody
> will camp there so they can drive them off? i've never done that.

Why don't you try camping in somebody's yard and see if they don't run you
off--or call the cops to do it. Some people might even resort to a load of
rock salt in a 12 gauge.

J
>
> > If you camp in
> >my yard without permission, I will take
> >whatever steps I have at my disposal to get you O U T.
>
>
>
>

2731. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 16:44:02 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <mrVt9.12109$VV3.539565148@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141503

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DB6FAB1.FB46DB44@mailcity.net...
>
> Just proving that all this BS from those that claim there aren't
> any truckers illegally using 10 meters are or that it isn't a
> problem are full of it.

Ok, so there is activity in your
part of the country. Now & then I've
heard non hams on those freqs out
here, but not as prolific as you and
others are trying to paint.
Just like a couple others on this
board, you keep being repetitive about
the same thing most will just ignore what's
being said.

Landshark

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

2732. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 16:44:03 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <nrVt9.12110$VV3.539565148@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141504

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DB7E4AD.453D@worldlynx.net...
> > Cb is slowing down, slowly but surly, because of
> > cell phones IMO.
>
> I would agree with this 100%. Add to it the internet, and you've got
> most of the big picture.
>
>
> > Go take a look around the neighborhoods,
> > do you see as many antennas now as you might have
> > 7 years ago?
>
> Heck, the amount of antennas on both vehicles and homes has declined in
> my area since about 1982.
>
>
> > Probably not, when you can get a cell phone
> > and its use for $9.95 a month, why would you want to
> > spend $40.00 for a cb?
>
> That's not a really good example. A CB would pay for itself after a few
> month's usage. I don't know about you, but I got into radio as a hobby,
> because I enjoy the hit or miss aspect of radio. I look at phones as an
> appliance.

Agreed, but remember, CB was originally created
as a common way of two way communications, it was
not meant to be a hobby, that was left to a Ham License.

>Handy, useful, but not something I would make a hobby of.
> I've had people question my choice of hobby, and pose the obvious
> question as to why I bother with all those radios, when you can just get
> a cell phone. My usual answer is that I guess if you don't get it, you
> don't get it, and are much better off with that cell phone.
>
>

I don't think a cell phone as a hobby. I do think that
the CB was and still is marketed as a cheap form of
2 way communications. Now that cell phones are
cheap, it will have to marketed as something other
than that.

> > Cell phones are far more reliable and way more private.
>
> For a true radio hobbyist, privacy is a negative. One thing you can't do
> with cell phones, is get a bunch of people together and rag chew. It's
> point to point only, or maybe a 3-way calling option, but nothing more
> (Unless you want to pay really big bucks for conferencing abilities).
>
> As far a reliability, yes, most cell phones are somewhat reliable, but
> as redio devices, they are still subject to fade outs and poor signal
> areas. Murphy's law on cell phones: The phone will quit when you need it
> the most.
>
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>

True, but you are confusing a hobby with 2 way communications.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

2733. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 16:46:22 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4923-3DB823DE-192@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141512

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
That Guy Again wrote:
I used to use the line "have you checked the children?, from one of
those old horror movies, who's name escapes me at the moment.

I think it was Price or Legosi (sp?)

Surprisingly, at even 100 watts of power, I was either ignored or unable
to get over the monitor's transmitter. I never got much reaction from
baby monitors.

>I once drove a girl totally bonkers. She was evidently a borderline
paranoid, who thought that the government had tapped her phone. I'd say
something like "Boo!", and she'd freak. The person on the other end
couldn't hear me, and told her she was nuts. She then handed the phone
to her boyfriend/husband, and he "demanded" to know who was on the line.
I kept quiet. After mouthing off some macho threats, he then gave the
phone back to the girl, and I promptly said "Boo!" again. This went on
for a few minutes until she couldn't take it anymore and hung up. It's
alarming just how many unstable and borderline mental patients live in
the world.<<

With your background or hobby you know that classical music is
reportedly heard by many
"schizos".....you probably could have achieved the same effect with
an easy listening
station, playing it only when you knew only she was listening.

>I used to use an old 49/1.7 Mhz phone back then. This was before the
days of "security access codes", when you only had to transmit a tone
signal to bring up the phone line's dial tone. Tapping the output of the
phone into the amplifier section of a 10 watt 6 meter rig, and using the
gain of a 3 element beam, would allow me to bring up phones miles away
(most of which I could not hear the return on 1.7 Mhz) I used to dial my
own number to see if it was really working. <<

HEhehe..yea, there were some interesting things done with cordless
telephones.....but I definitely would have found how far I was able
to achieve this type call...and then try for more distance each time.

>I find more entertainment in listening to people (Spying for twisty).
<<

<g> Hey, eavesdropping on certain conversations has been held an
electronic form of spying which is one reason why we can't legally
receive the cell band on scanners in the US of A..

>You learn alot about the way people think by how they conduct themselves. One of my old neighbors was cheating on her husband, and used to talk "dirty" to her "boyfriend", while her husband was in the next room. I was waiting for the fireworks to erupt one day, but she moved out before anything really interesting happened. The poor husband didn't have a clue why the girl was acting so "funny". I guess if he payed more attention to her instead of TV sports, he might have gotten a hint. I was so tempted to clue him in, but I would have had to reveal how I knew, and I didn't want to open that can of worms. <<

Aw, come on......a hammie that didn't think to tape what he heard? C'mon, now!!!!!

>Such was the fun of younger days. I haven't played with cordless phones for probably 15 years now. I still listen occasionally, but I don't try to jam them any more. That's just not right. Old age does funny things to your conscience.;) <<

It ain't the conscience, it's the wisdom that youth runs from.

>FRS radios are fast becoming the "new" CB. There are tons of mostly kids playing with them in my area. I'm lucky that I live at a 400' ASL location, and I can hear them for farther than the usual published 2 mile range. Occasionally I'll hear some interesting adults, but mostly they just want to talk to themselves, and not socialize. I'm hoping this will change..
Dave
"Sandbagger"<<

Check out Hershey or Storybook Forest or even tourist areas around Philly or Lancaster. Betcha hear more than kids...adults LOOKING for the kids.....I have noticed many feel it is in place of a cb on the interstates and turnpikes.....funny as hell that many of them feel their conversations are somehow private.

2734. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 16:48:50 UTC
From: Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <3qelafeqa5.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>
Source record: 141507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) writes:

>SNIP<
> 2) With GMRS, you are limited to talking with individuals in your
> immediate family only (or other GMRS license holders). With MURS, you
> can talk with anyone else with a MURS radio.
>SNIP<

Well, this is confusing. As hams, are my wife and I "limited" to talking to each other "(or other ham license holders)"? As GMRS and ham licensees, I wasn't aware that talking to other license holders was a limitation.

Louise and I go to events where FRS is unuseable because of all the users on every CTCSS on every channel. With GMRS we may find another couple of users, but it's generally silent except for us. And we can talk to anyone else with a GMRS radio, although generally that's considered impolite, and to anyone else with a ham radio (which is not considered impolite).

MURS may well be even less used than GMRS at this time; however, it may become the new FRS with attendant crowding since it is license-free.

While your post is generally correct, I take issue with your point 2.

--
Philip Stripling | email to the replyto address is presumed
Legal Assistance on the Web | spam and read later. email to philip@
http://www.PhilipStripling.com/ | civex.com is read daily.

2735. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 16:52:37 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <oJVt9.11113$Be4.2268@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141510

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:u3Jt9.1437$6F4.133078@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> So now we get down to it. The law is the law

That's about it. Bottom line is if you and I were operating on, say,
28.305, and you don't have the
license and if an FCC agent were listening, YOU would be the one receiving a
warning. I wouldn't
because I confine my operations to the parameters of my privileges. I
wouldn't be talking to you
(unless you were pirating a call), so, again YOU would get the
warning/NOV/NAL, or whatever is
warranted by the situation. If it were not a matter of law, then you would
be able to operate the way so many CB types want to be able to do--and mess
up every part of radio they could with their splatter-boxes and tricked out
reddios complete with "swang". Those laws and rules you disdain are there
for a very good reason.

J
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:1qIt9.2374$bS5.1124@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:8EAt9.617$Fj6.48721@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > I think that the truckers do not think hams are worth thinking about.
> Ham
> > is
> > > a hobby. Trucking is a job that keeps you supplied with everything you
> > have.
> > > Keeps the country going . Where ham SOMETIMES helps in an emergency.
So
> > use
> > > a small amount of logic, who is playing on the radio, and who is
useing
> it
> > > to help keep the country operating?
> >
> >
> > Yada, yada, yada, I've heard this self-important drivel about how trucks
> are
> > soooooooo
> > freakin' important. There's NO one that's not replaceable. What
matters
> > here is NOT what
> > truckers think.

> >
> > Enough examples have been presented about what drivers talk about while
> > going down the road.
> > They talk about "dumb" 4-wheelers, the girl who is suppposedly got her
> dress
> > hiked up to the ying
> > yang, the girl in the red Corvette, yada, yada, tan fer, driver. Oh
sure,
> > there are lawyers driving trucks, but one has to wonder why.
> >
> > The importance of trucks is not relevant to the issue here. The fact
is,
> > operating on ANY radio
> > frequency other than CB without a license (and FRS/MURS) is illegal.
> > Period. The law is the law.
> > And no matter WHAT truckers t'ink about it, their illegal operations are
> > going to come to an end.
> >
> >
> > Amateur Radio, despite your assertations to the contrary, occupies quite
a
> > high "rung" in the realm
> > of emergency operations. That is why Amateur Radio will get an
exemption
> > from local CC & R
> > restrictions against antennas; CB radio won't. It is why Amateur Radio
> > RACES and ARES has a
> > desk in most state and local Emergency Operations Centers; CB Radio does
> > not. Even REACT
> > is a very small presence in EOCs by comparison. And it is why Amateur
> Radio
> > WILL get protection from lawless truckers who think that their cowboy
> boots,
> > hats, and thick billfold chains somehow makes them a "legend" in
anything
> > but their own minds! Every day, there are safety nets
> > that run for hours on end to see to the safety of boaters and ships at
> sea.
> > Like the Maritime Net on 20 Meters whose operators have saved hundreds
of
> > lives. An example is the Dutch family whose
> > yacht was attacked by pirates off Yucatan (?) a couple of years ago.
The
> > son recently left a Florida hospital after recovering from his wounds.
It
> > is why Amateur Radio was exempted from PL-106-521 (local enforcement).
On
> > and on, Amateur Radio gets concessions and exemptions
> > in recognition for its value to the Nation. I see NO national accolades
> or

> > antenna laws being passed in recognition for CB Radio's contribution to
> our
> > wellbeing. Truth is, most CBers do not
> > have the training or dedication to such activities that hams have shown
> for
> > nearly 100 years. If
> > CB were wiped from the face of the earth (not about to happen, nor am I
> > advocating such), there
> > would be not a ripple since cellphones, computers, MURS and FRS radios
> have
> > become available.
> > Amateur Radio, OTH, WOULD be missed because hams have proven for all
those
> > years the value
> > of their training, knowledge, their rapid response and frequency agility
> as
> > well as the ability to pass
> > traffic accurately, dependably, and speedily. Over and over and over
> again.
> > Amateur Radio has
> > a tried and true message handling method that works. CB radio does not.
> > Sending a message across country via CB would be like playing the old
game
> > of "gossip" where a message is whispered to one player and relayed thru
> > many others until it reaches the other end of the line. Invariable, the
> > message is different when it gets to the last one! I would truly hate
to
> > depend on
> > emergency traffic getting thru accurately via a chain of untrained,
> > undisciplined, and unknowing
> > CB ops who have never been required to prepare for such a contingency.
> They
> > have no standard
> > message handling method whereby messages can be routed by priority and
> > checked for accuracy.
> > And, finally, it is why the hams have all those bands and frequencies
that
> > the CBers would just love
> > to pollute and trash with their amps, tricked out "reddios" and garbage
> > lingo (f***this and f*** that). Ever wonder why CBers didn't get that
> awful
> > 155 mile limit lifted?
> >
> > But Amateur Radio WILL be defended. You can bet on that, bud. Them's
da
> > facts.
> >
> > J
> >
> >

> >
> >
> > > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> > > news:Lv2cnZ3UNMqjXyugXTWcpA@comcast.com...
> > > >
> > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:fDnt9.615$bS5.313@news.bellsouth.net...
> > > > > Ya reckon thar's been some info a-leaking out? Seriously, this
mess
> > > > IS
> > > > > going to get attention; you
> > > > > can take that to the bank, Leland.
> > > >
> > > > I know it is. I've heard the bozos myself on 28.005 and 28.085. I
love
> > > > it when the pirates try to continue talking with 20 CW stations
> > > > banging away all over the top of them. You can't tell me under those
> > > > conditions that they DON'T KNOW they are in the Ham band.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Leland C. Scott
> > > > KC8LDO
> > > >
> > > > Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
> > > >
> > > > "I am a
> > > > bomb
> > > > technician
> > > > if you see me
> > > > running
> > > > try to keep up "
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2736. Re: get on with it Yeti.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 16:54:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4924-3DB825A9-73@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141514

Frank was told: Not currently in use by the FCC, I was under the impression it was, but the current FCC "Industrial Business Pool" Licenses are now grouped under the "IG" designation. I used the label to describe legal Industrial Business Pool Licenses within your magical 25 to 34 Mhz window, of which are allowed modest (when compared to other services) or higher (vs CB) power operation which might require the use of an rf amplifier to transmit at ther full authorized limit.
  So did you research any Industrial Business Licenses to see allocations within your area of contest that are allowed higher power..? What did you find dear boy..? Yeah... there are some What a concept...
--
>I'm familiar with several of those licensees (IG between 25 and 34 MHz). I work for one of them and use the service under their license. That's why I know you are wrong.<<

Tut tut, now Frank. That is NOT what you claimed last time this discussion buried you. You claimed you would contact some of these businesses and post the results here to prove me wrong. ....only you learned a great deal about frequency allocation when you made the calls. Your venom for me at least educated you on that area of spectrum where you now at least have an idea of what is taking place as opposed to your earlier posts on this matter.
The only one wrong is you.

2737. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 17:01:34 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4923-3DB8276E-196@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141513

And they were on 28.530 AM at 3:15 Eastern complete with the nasal
twang, echo,
and CB slang. If it talks like a CBer, squeaks like a CBer, it must
be................."?
A CBer!!
Jerry
----------Now I see
why you feel that way....hammie radio is plumb full o' dem der cbers,
'specially on dem der 2 meter channels.
Seriously.......you know damn good and well that one can listen in and
hear wives that ain't even licensed sounding just like a
cber.......twang, lingo and all. Tin fo'?

2738. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 17:04:46 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DB8282E.BC842A81@mailcity.com>
Source record: 141536
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

KMA-104 wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> news:3DB80281.3BD040D0@mailcity.com...
>
> >"Like mailcity or any other ISP cares if I top post or not".<
>
> LOL, Bad use of the English language, JJ....
> Should be...(As if mailcity.....)
>
> Shut up, & learn something, ...Putz. :)

LOL, bad use of the English language, KMA whoever you are.

Bad does not need to be capitalized in your sentence, and
it should be "That should be...(As if mailcity...)

You need to shut up and learn something....Putz.

2739. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 17:06:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4923-3DB8289C-198@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141515

`From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:y1Bt9.611$6F4.53246@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
Jerry, do you understand that you just provided an example of the broom
stick up the ass ?

>Yeah, that of the truckers who operate on 10 >Meters.
J
<<

I hate to rain on your parade, but that trucker that was
fired.......left the company on decent terms. Matter of fact, they gave
him a solid reference, and best of all, there is NOTHING that prevents
the company from rehiring him. How do I know? I'm the one that assisted
in "getting a message" to the driver concerning other emoyment
opportunities. Hey, us lowlife scumbag freebanders have to stick
together,,,I figure since we are lumped in with ten meter intruders, it
was the least I could do.

2740. Re: Any cb radio store in Houston Tx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 17:09:07 UTC
From: SCREWDRIVER <he20c@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <TOVt9.1067$Vt1.225306768@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141511

Yes ANDY ELECTRONICS on long drive or CHARLIES AIRWAVES Mo City

"DJboutit" <Recordking@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:e8d89b3f.0210212055.33144f55@posting.google.com...
> Any cb radio store in Houston Tx that sells cb radio antennas and fix
> cb radios. Also is their any other ham radio stores other than
> Houston Ham Radio.

2741. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 17:10:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4923-3DB8296A-199@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141526

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023133846.22227.00004018@mb-co.aol.com...
whats so funny is he truely doesnt know! qsl there old man? i'm gonna
qsy
to
the qrg and destinate on over the local machine for a little ragchewing
with
the other professional sounding stations.

--
The ones who talk like that are the ones who never "grow" out of their
initial efforts to emulate or
fit into ham radio. Unless I am on CW, I NEVER use those words. And
there is no such verb as "to destinate". I have no idea who came up with
that one. Matter of fact, I rarely use 2 Meters.
J

Some of them fellas that "never grew" are older than you, Jerry. Some
may even have been friends with Edison. It is division like this that
just sucks. You know I am not one to jump your azz, but when you're
wrong, you're wrong. Bitching about another hammie 'cause of his dialect
or accent is childish and unproductive and non-conducive to hammie
radio.

2742. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 17:11:48 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <o%Vt9.11126$Be4.2596@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141518

Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:WcScnXKULPWo_yqgXTWcpw@comcast.com...
>
>
> "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:4PIt9.1416$6F4.131643@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > I must thank you for the compliment, even if it is not true. I would
much
> > rather be thought of as a "redneck trucker" than an anal idiot with no
> > balls, who wants the mommy state to stop those big truckers from taking
> your
> > toys
>
> Your the one with no balls why are you afraid to post your callsign you
did
> say you had one right? Nah I won't let them truckers nor anyone else take
> something they don't deserve I have a backbone unlike you who must also
be
> a freeloader.

It would seem that this pseudo-ham who is so all-fired concerned for the
welfare of
those "pore" old truckers has a vested interest in maintaining the status
quo. Could it be that if FCC were to aggressively quell the activity, they
just *might* catch him, too?

As to "balls", I have already confronted several managers of Flying J and
Pilot Travel Centers and
pointed out that some of their wares are illegal--right in front of truckers
who were at the CB counter. One of those stops is at Exit 70 on I-20 (US
321 Exit) in Columbia, SC, another one is
at Dale Earnhardt Blvd (Pilot) and I-85 near China Grove, NC. There is
legal work being done at
FCC right now to hit these outlets (again) and hit 'em hard. I got that
direct from Mr Hollingsworth
via phone. You can look for those companies to be fined soon, according to
RH.

You know, I've said this before, but your minimizing this issue, your lack
of understanding of the
reasons for rules and regulations, and your promotion and support of such
activity will only help to
fuel the fire now being kindled to abate such intrusions. And it will bring
upon lawful CBers hardship and even more regulation than before. It *could*
even cause the sunsetting of CB on 27

MHZ eventually, leaving only FRS and MURS. All because some people think th
ey have a "right" to 'tawk on this h'yar reddio', and to do so in any band
they please without regard to the legal, rightful, and assigned use of said
band or frequency. NONE of us have a "right" to use a radio; it
is P R I V I L E G E granted by the government predicated on the user
following established rules
for the service. CB operators have their 40 channels, but NO! That isn't
enough. Ya just gotta have yours and everybody else's as well. Finally,
something will be done about it.

J

>
>
>
> > "Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
> > news:QbydnYx6esg3jiqgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > "fools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:OZAt9.605$6F4.55649@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > Well lets see..... Two matched antenna for the CB, one antenna
for
> > the
> > > > scanner, one antenna for the cell phone, one antenna for the am/fm
> > radio.
> > > > Yep, they sure do have separate antenna for the different bands.
How
> > bout
> > > > that?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > Spoken like a true redneck trucker.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

2743. Re: get on with it Yeti.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 17:12:58 UTC
From: About Done with the silly Frankie answers <frankiegoescrazy@cet.com>
Message-ID: <ap99mqgls1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 141516

: yeti@REMOVEcet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
:>: 1. What television ever used the 6146 or the 8950 in any part of the
:>: horizontal or vertical sweep circuits?
:>
:>Review the two previous answers supplied by me within the last week, one
:>done yesterday. No need to retype the answer a third time, go find it.

: Your answer was "I don't know and I don't want to look". Except YOU
: made the statement that 6146's were used as sweep tubes -- back it up!

You really need to get off the decafe... If you go back and look at the
original text (yes, the one you copied over from an old news group post
has it), you will clearly see my actual reply (the text writen by me) does
not make that statement.
As I mentioned many times to you in the past, the statement made
by another person was included with my comments as a reference for the
post follow up readers.

So do go back and look, its there... even in the partial copy you posted
in one of your previous ramblings. No need to back it up, I was just trying
to keep you from looking the total fool. Notice the > symbols before the
text your so whacked about. The statement your stuck on was not made by
me. Christmas, your like about as bad as boobner...

:>: 2. What make/model amplifier is currently being manufactured in the US
:>: for legal use in any service on any frequency between 25 and 34 MHz?
:>
:>Alpha Power, 99b as currently advertised on their web page. You seem to
:>want to do the boobner hard line dance..?

: And you apparently can't read. It's legal in the US only for amateur
: use and only on freqs not prohibited by 2.185. IOW, you need to find
: another amp.

Now what does your original question above say..? "for legal use in any
service on any frequency between 25 and 34 Mhz?" That's how I and
other readers see it... the question was answered and thats the end of
that. You waste a lot of time proving your a bafoon.

:>: 3. What is the "IB" service?
:>
:>Not currently in use by the FCC, I was under the impression it was, but
:>the current FCC "Industrial Business Pool" Licenses are now grouped under
:>the "IG" designation. I used the label to describe legal Industrial
:>Business Pool Licenses within your magical 25 to 34 Mhz window, of which
:>are allowed modest (when compared to other services) or higher (vs CB)

:>power operation which might require the use of an rf amplifier to
:>transmit at ther full authorized limit. So did you research any
:>Industrial Business Licenses to see allocations within your area of
:>contest that are allowed higher power..?
:>What did you find dear boy..? Yeah... there are some What a
:>concept...

: I'm familiar with several of those licensees (IG between 25 and 34
: MHz). I work for one of them and use the service under their license.
: That's why I know you are wrong.

In your Wed Oct 23 post you state: "There is no IB Service"

You didn't answer my questions Frankie boy... well, let me retract my
above text (about the FCC no longer using the IB Label) and go back to my
original position that IB is a current Industrial Radio Service.
Yesterday I looked at a current FCC Radio Station Licence, Radio Service:
IB Industrial Business and it's good label after all.

But you work for one of them and knew that... of course...

You are wrong.

:>: 4. How is the triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode not like a
:>: triode?
:>
:>The "triode mode" grid to plate connection you described is not used in
:>many/most rf amplifiers, regardless of the tube type. You clearly showed
:>your background example was from the tube audio world and does not play
:>well in rf applications. Your theory is weak in rf... the topic of
:>choice.

: Even if all three grids are tied to ground it's still a triode
: configuration, and operates as a triode. But nice try at side-stepping
: the question -- it's obvious that you refuse to answer it.

No, it's obvious you cant go much past the book front page intro text...
except maybe in the tube audio amplifier section.

Show us proof of any properly designed circuit using all three grids tied
to ground... Show us any RF amplifier circuit with any grid tied to the
plate (as you said they can be), not audio... rf amplifier.

Didn't we just see you dance and sing about an amplifier..?
I believe you used the Swan 1200x as an example in your Wed Oct 23 post.
"Just take a look at the schematic of the 1200-X, the screen and
suppressor are tied to the plate, just like I said."

Well you are wrong buck'o

Your boat is sinking fast boy... your theory is bad news and your
references don't support your statements.

:>: 5. What does "low-mu" describe?
:>
:>Specific to what..? operation, circuit layout, tube type, tube element
:>construction "geometry..?"

: I suppose you think side-stepping won't work twice in a row, so now
: you try and act stupid? Let's make this a multiple choice question:

Any amplifier designer uses all the above when considering circuits. If
you want details, you have to be more specific... your question is very
non specific... should anyone care to answer it, they would need you to
narrow it down. Moving on...

So, if and when you get an answer, where are you going with it the topic?
What point are you trying to make that directly applies to the
original topic..?

****

: The designator 'mu' (greek leter 'micron'), when used in reference to
: the specifications of vacuum tubes, is a label for the amplification
: factor of a:

: A. Diode
: B. Triode
: C. Beam Tetrode
: D. Pentode
: E. None of the above

****

"specifications of vacuum tubes", "type/class of tube", "type of tube
operation", "circuit layout" are all related to the Low mu label. You
wanted a question answered when each sub topic of the above list can take
pages. I call you on that fact, another indicator that your working
knowledge is seriously lacking. I'm just about done answering your dumb
questions... There are hundreds of google web page hits on the directly
related topics of "low mu".

:>: 6. What is the definition of a 'sweep tube'?
:>
:>It is an informal reference to the function of a tube in TV sets. Also
:>used as slang to describe a generic style type of tube used to provide
:>said function. You have a dictionary of Electronics around that you
:>wouldenlighten us big boy..? What's your hard fast answer..? ... and
:>from what reference do you make it..? Was it worth the trouble..?

: Hey, you got one right! Bravo!

Your count is off... quite a bit. But your rf theory is also very weak.

:>: 7. Because sweep tubes are generally beam tetrodes or pentodes, does
:>: that mean every beam tetrode or pentode is a sweep tube?
:>
:>What a dumb question... but since your burning for an answer, No. By the

:>way, the formal label is "Beam Power Tube." If your going to nit pick at
:>stupid issues, don't forget the complete label.

: That's two correct! You're on a roll...

Your count is off, your label was incomplete and you have yet to show
where your going with all this.

:>: 8. How does the linearity of a sweep tube compare to that of an RF
:>: power tube at RF frequencies?
:>
:>Another dumb question. Even sweep tubes not originally engineered for RF
:>applications work very well as RF power tubes. As described by me (and
:>others including the ARRL & W6SAI Radio Handbooks), their comparison is
:>about mute as they are easily able to meet or exceed FCC requirements for
:>such applications. In short, they do the job pretty well for what they
:>are.
:>
:>Many tubes not originally designed for radio rf applications, can and do
:>work in said areas. The horizontal output tube of some tv sets is one such
:>example.

: Bzzzt! Wrong answer. Too bad. But since you did so well on the last
: two questions, I'll give you a chance to look at the EpIp curves of
: both types of tubes and answer this question again. Fair enough?

Again you are wrong and have yet to provide any supporting facts. Lets
have'em boy. What I wrote above is fact and stands on its own until you
can provide clear evidence it does not.

Linearity is not always desired for tubes in RF service... as in
non-linear modes. But sweep tubes do the job well enough to meet and
exceed FCC Spectral Requirements. Doesn't matter if your flying a 707 or
a 747, you still get there.

: Oh yeah, the word is 'moot', not 'mute'. Your voice recognition chip
: might be overheating...

Nope, it's mute just as I wrote it...

:>: 9. Why were sweep tube amps so popular, aside from the price and
:>: availability of the sweep tubes?
:>
:>They are relatively easy to construct... ARRL Handbook projects from the
:>60's and or 70's show a classic 4 tube project. What more are you
:>looking for buck'o..?

: You are suggesting that amps using proper RF tube were more difficult
: to build? Bzzzt! Wrong again! Although the question was intended to

Your definition of a proper RF tube is the problem. Classic sweep tube
amplifiers are pretty easy to build. Simple enough...

: mean production amps, many homebrewers had problems with the sweep
: tube amps.

Many people still don't understand how to properly build them into rf amplifiers. Companies like Palomar got it right in the six tube Palomar 300 circuit. I like to reference portions of it as an exampled of a nicely thought out circuit.

: I remember my Uncle tried one once and he kept blowing tube
: after tube until he finally added enough neutralization to keep it
: from oscillating into smoke. He wasn't the only one, either.

No sweep tube amplifier I have requires neutralization. It's not required in a properly designed AB2 amplifier.

: Homebrewers also had, and still have the problem of grid current,
: which is an absolute no-no with sweep tubes. RF tubes are more
: forgiving in that situation, and with a tuned grid you wouldn't even
: notice it. But with sweep tubes not even a tuned grid with a swamping
: resistor is going to make the crossover much smoother.

Most homebrewers / hams do not have a handle on grid current in SWEEP TUBE AMPLIFIERS. Well, here's where you really lose it. If one does the proper homework, you can research previous posts made by me to the amps group which explain a proper circuit approach to ensure grid current is within limits. I don't need to lay it out a second or third time.

In a proper circuit, I don't have to have any measure of forgiveness in a tube. I understand how it works as applied and can keep the grid within allowed operational values. It's not rocket science, but it's not clearly understood by a lot of people and clearly not by you.

: No, they are NOT easier to construct. The only exception might be if
: the tubes were run grounded-grid, in which case you have to solder
: three pins instead of one (for a factory triode), which is not a
: significant effort.

You are wrong... and clearly haven't built any modest number of amplifiers, else you would know your blowing a lot of poop.

:>: 10. How many sweep tube amplifiers are being produced these days?
:>
:>You going to dance and sing about the next reply..? I would imagine only
:>hundreds. The KLV Italian brand, Ameritron might still be making their
:>unit (but I doubt it). The current rage is to retro fit Dentron, Amp
:>Supply and similar vintage rf amplifiers with the Ruski (Svetlana) type
:>EL-509/519 tubes. I've done my share of them...

: No song and dance. I think you will agree that very few, if any, sweep
: tube amps are being manufactured.

Overall, the number of commercially produced RF Amplifiers are down. The market is keen with competition. That's for all tube types

:>So where are you going with all of this..?

: Simple. If sweep tubes are so great, why aren't they being used
: instead of power tubes designed for RF applications? Because they
: aren't so great!
: BIG DUH!!!

They are... see the KLV brand of amplifiers... tubes in general are
giving way to solid state. Even power tubes designed for RF where
possible. Bigger Duh..!

:> is it just your attempt to try
:>and nit pick..? Are you going to supply us with some magic theory I or we
:>need to read. Do you have a web page somewhere with articles or text that
:>you have writen on the subject..? Do you have civil conversations with
:>people over these topics that interest you..?

: Do you have a financial interest in the popularity of sweep tubes that
: might skew your public endorsement of them away from technical facts
: and into the realm of RF mythology?

Slanted question... there is no mythology here, just some weak theory in
your posts.

:>With the 70's, 80's, 90's wind down of glass tube production, all tube
:>prices rose up and out the window of reason. Only the influx of foreign
:>mfgrs again make similar type tube a practical alternative. Hence, we
:>see new made KLV brand amplifiers for sale again. People buy them...

: Do you sell those amps? Or any other sweep tube amps?

No, but I can build them and explain how they work. From what I see of
your posts, you could not design and build a proper RF amplifier circuit
using any tube. You like to tie the grids to the anode/plate... you
don't understand AB1 and AB2 layout considerations related to rf amps and
you don't prove that you've worked on very many of said.

:>gotta go... it's music night..! yee ha..!
:>
:>skipp
:>
:>ps: try not to be too bitter in your follow up post

: Who's bitter? You got three correct answers (maybe four, I'll give you
: the IB service question), and one that you can do over again. That's
: more than I expected. Actually, I thought you would try and obfuscate
: every single question. But I was only half wrong...

You are more than half wrong... The IB Radio Service is current as
Industrial Business. But of course you work for the industry so you knew
that.

If you can stay on topic, keep your ramblings under control... it would be
fun to go into detail about specific technical issues related to rf or
audio amps. I enjoy the subject and am willing to debate or go over issues
with any person willing to be civil.

Now, watch for my questions for you...

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

2744. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 17:16:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4923-3DB82B06-201@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141525

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023191205.19105.00002949@mb-df.aol.com...
it's not obvious to jerry o. he's still trying!
--

The two who are driving close together I can hope will pass me so I can
see them and record their
activities to send to RH. That's even better. Make 'em answer for their
misdeeds.
J
----------You have
certainly put forth the effort to tell us all the FCC is stepping things
up, and that may very well be. If it is indeed not a fallacy, would you
be so kind as to ask the war chief what his plans are concerning 555? I
don't want the Phoenix guys or anyone like that getting busted, ya'
know...they provide too much entertainment. Plus, it occupies half the
world while I turn a little eastwards and DX the other half.....

2745. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 17:26:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4923-3DB82D50-204@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141522

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Jerry Oxendine wrote:
Amateur Radio, despite your assertations to the contrary, occupies quite a high "rung" in the realm
of emergency operations. That is why Amateur Radio will get an exemption from local CC & R
restrictions against antennas; CB radio won't. It is why Amateur Radio RACES and ARES has a desk in most state and local Emergency Operations Centers; CB Radio does not.
--
And why in the FEMA manual it states that ham radio operators should be considered for use in emergency situations for providing communications, but no mention of cb radio.
----------Maybe because hammie radio is more reliable as a cohesive service by virtue of regulation?
Go fight with yourself....no one ever stated differently. Jerry feels the need to repost the merits of hammie radio, as if someone were putting it down and he is defending it's use, but both of you are swinging at ghosts.

2746. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 17:28:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4926-3DB82DAE-16@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141524

From: medtools@earthlink.net (tools)
I must thank you for the compliment, even if it is not true. I would much rather be thought of as a "redneck trucker" than an anal idiot with no balls, who wants the mommy state to stop those big truckers from taking your toys
--

----------Those "red" necks come from years of hard work,,,something our friend apparently knows nothing of.

2747. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 17:30:41 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <57Wt9.2428$6F4.231645@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141521

Uh huh..... Yes..... Oh
my!..... hmmmm..... You don't say!.....
Wow!.....
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:oJVt9.11113$Be4.2268@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:u3Jt9.1437$6F4.133078@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > So now we get down to it. The law is the law
>
>
> That's about it. Bottom line is if you and I were operating on, say,
> 28.305, and you don't have the
> license and if an FCC agent were listening, YOU would be the one receiving
a
> warning. I wouldn't
> because I confine my operations to the parameters of my privileges. I
> wouldn't be talking to you
> (unless you were pirating a call), so, again YOU would get the
> warning/NOV/NAL, or whatever is
> warranted by the situation. If it were not a matter of law, then you
would
> be able to operate the way so many CB types want to be able to do--and
mess
> up every part of radio they could with their splatter-boxes and tricked
out
> reddios complete with "swang". Those laws and rules you disdain are there
> for a very good reason.
>
> J
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:1qIt9.2374$bS5.1124@news.bellsouth.net...
> > >
> > > tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:8EAt9.617$Fj6.48721@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > I think that the truckers do not think hams are worth thinking
about.
> > Ham
> > > is
> > > > a hobby. Trucking is a job that keeps you supplied with everything
you
> > > have.
> > > > Keeps the country going . Where ham SOMETIMES helps in an emergency.
> So

> > > use
> > > > a small amount of logic, who is playing on the radio, and who is
> useing
> > it
> > > > to help keep the country operating?
> > >
> > >
> > > Yada, yada, yada, I've heard this self-important drivel about how
trucks
> > are
> > > soooooooo
> > > freakin' important. There's NO one that's not replaceable. What
> matters
> > > here is NOT what
> > > truckers think.
> > >
> > > Enough examples have been presented about what drivers talk about
while
> > > going down the road.
> > > They talk about "dumb" 4-wheelers, the girl who is suppposedly got her
> > dress
> > > hiked up to the ying
> > > yang, the girl in the red Corvette, yada, yada, tan fer, driver. Oh
> sure,
> > > there are lawyers driving trucks, but one has to wonder why.
> > >
> > > The importance of trucks is not relevant to the issue here. The fact
> is,
> > > operating on ANY radio
> > > frequency other than CB without a license (and FRS/MURS) is illegal.
> > > Period. The law is the law.
> > > And no matter WHAT truckers t'ink about it, their illegal operations
are
> > > going to come to an end.
> > >
> > >
> > > Amateur Radio, despite your assertations to the contrary, occupies
quite
> a
> > > high "rung" in the realm
> > > of emergency operations. That is why Amateur Radio will get an
> exemption
> > > from local CC & R
> > > restrictions against antennas; CB radio won't. It is why Amateur
Radio
> > > RACES and ARES has a
> > > desk in most state and local Emergency Operations Centers; CB Radio
does
> > > not. Even REACT
> > > is a very small presence in EOCs by comparison. And it is why Amateur

> > Radio
> > > WILL get protection from lawless truckers who think that their cowboy
> > boots,
> > > hats, and thick billfold chains somehow makes them a "legend" in
> anything
> > > but their own minds! Every day, there are safety nets
> > > that run for hours on end to see to the safety of boaters and ships at
> > sea.
> > > Like the Maritime Net on 20 Meters whose operators have saved hundreds
> of
> > > lives. An example is the Dutch family whose
> > > yacht was attacked by pirates off Yucatan (?) a couple of years ago.
> The
> > > son recently left a Florida hospital after recovering from his wounds.
> It
> > > is why Amateur Radio was exempted from PL-106-521 (local enforcement).
> On
> > > and on, Amateur Radio gets concessions and exemptions
> > > in recognition for its value to the Nation. I see NO national
accolades
> > or
> > > antenna laws being passed in recognition for CB Radio's contribution
to
> > our
> > > wellbeing. Truth is, most CBers do not
> > > have the training or dedication to such activities that hams have
shown
> > for
> > > nearly 100 years. If
> > > CB were wiped from the face of the earth (not about to happen, nor am
I
> > > advocating such), there
> > > would be not a ripple since cellphones, computers, MURS and FRS radios
> > have
> > > become available.
> > > Amateur Radio, OTH, WOULD be missed because hams have proven for all
> those
> > > years the value
> > > of their training, knowledge, their rapid response and frequency
agility
> > as
> > > well as the ability to pass
> > > traffic accurately, dependably, and speedily. Over and over and over
> > again.
> > > Amateur Radio has
> > > a tried and true message handling method that works. CB radio does
not.
> > > Sending a message across country via CB would be like playing the old
> game
> > > of "gossip" where a message is whispered to one player and relayed

thru
> > > many others until it reaches the other end of the line. Invariable,
the
> > > message is different when it gets to the last one! I would truly hate
> to
> > > depend on
> > > emergency traffic getting thru accurately via a chain of untrained,
> > > undisciplined, and unknowing
> > > CB ops who have never been required to prepare for such a contingency.
> > They
> > > have no standard
> > > message handling method whereby messages can be routed by priority and
> > > checked for accuracy.
> > > And, finally, it is why the hams have all those bands and frequencies
> that
> > > the CBers would just love
> > > to pollute and trash with their amps, tricked out "reddios" and
garbage
> > > lingo (f***this and f*** that). Ever wonder why CBers didn't get that
> > awful
> > > 155 mile limit lifted?
> > >
> > > But Amateur Radio WILL be defended. You can bet on that, bud. Them's
> da
> > > facts.
> > >
> > > J
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:Lv2cnZ3UNMqjXyugXTWcpA@comcast.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:fDnt9.615$bS5.313@news.bellsouth.net...
> > > > > > Ya reckon thar's been some info a-leaking out? Seriously, this
> mess
> > > > > IS
> > > > > > going to get attention; you
> > > > > > can take that to the bank, Leland.
> > > > >
> > > > > I know it is. I've heard the bozos myself on 28.005 and 28.085. I
> love
> > > > > it when the pirates try to continue talking with 20 CW stations
> > > > > banging away all over the top of them. You can't tell me under
those
> > > > > conditions that they DON'T KNOW they are in the Ham band.
> > > > >
> > > > > --

> > > > > Leland C. Scott
> > > > > KC8LDO
> > > > >
> > > > > Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
> > > > >
> > > > > "I am a
> > > > > bomb
> > > > > technician
> > > > > if you see me
> > > > > running
> > > > > try to keep up "
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

2748. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 17:34:12 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ap9afb$skpim$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 141517
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
> A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread
of
> illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
> installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
> transceivers operating out of band.

I'm sure this will become another CB urban legend, along with the "coax has
to be the right length" thing that always goes around.

Tom

2749. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 17:36:12 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ap9am6$spk1o$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 141519
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"Donnie (N4JZH)" <n4jzh@knology.net> wrote in message
news:tnderu4h0kcofep0tidcltm57udjcc0cqo@4ax.com...
> >
> NEW URBAN LEGEND EH!
>
> Scientific study indicates that the average human use about 1/10th of
there brain,

How ironic. What you just stated is itself an urban legend.

"Irony can be pretty ironic sometimes." - Airplane II

Tom

2750. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 17:37:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4923-3DB82FD7-207@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141523

From: medtools@earthlink.net (tools)
I have an idea. How bout jerry , boob, and one or two others here, post their addresses here and on the board at the local truck stop, with some of that "i wiil turn them in" stuff. That way they can truly have a part in all this. Now we know that they would not fear doing this as they will have big j to beat up those nasty truckers. Eagle claw indeed .

There was a truckload of cigarettes headed for Kentucky hijacked here the other day on I-75. Newspaper said the guys trailed the drivers from Miami all the way across the state to the Flying J on I-75 between Tampa and Ocala. The hijackers were eventually caught but one of the drivers was shot in the leg trying to escape (he made it) into the parking lot of the Flying J where the hijackers first approached the cigarette truck. Word travels fast. The drivers are not in hospitable mode right now around here.

2751. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 17:37:50 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ap9ame$spk1o$2@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 141520
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"Mike G." <nospam@my.email.address> wrote in message
news:48eeru011qkp4md9oqi9lsca3nqfuubtk5@4ax.com...
> UNPLUG ANYTHING WITH A MICROPROCESSOR FROM YOUR HOUSEHOLD WALL OUTLETS!
>

> Today we have just
> learned, that in a few major cities the electricity purchased has been
found to
> contain a secret digital subcarrier on it that when executed, is a
destructive
> computer virus!

Be sure and cover your phones with plastic while the phone company blows the
dust out of the lines...

Tom

2752. Re: Sit'n Ugly!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 17:45:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4923-3DB831A5-210@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141530

From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net (Mark Roehlke)
Who dat????Nice hearin ya!Catch you another time....Mark

It's an ugly pick-up!

2753. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 18:12:53 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3db8381d.94971376@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 141531

On Wed, 23 Oct 2002 01:00:38 GMT, chimbuba@optonline.net wrote:

>On Tue, 22 Oct 2002 14:54:58 -0400, "Shaggy Dogg"
><doggone@dog.comPton> wrote:
>
>>
>>><chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
>>> >He even asked me about sucking black cock at one time. I told him I
>>> >could arrange some white cock, but I think he insisted on black cock
>>> >only! That damn george. Ain't he special. When he is not calling
>>> >people names or using a 100 aliases and at the same time accusing
>>> >others of that he likes to suck black cock only and stick things in
>>> >men's asses??? Wow!
>>
>>IceCold I have found many of your aliases seems you like playstation and the
>>NY knicks. Common theme amongst them all. remember Ip addresses don't lie.
>>LOL Go back to hiding icecold turkey.
>>
>>
>Doesn't change the fact that you told me you wanted to suck black cock
>and not white cock. Common theme with the knicks and playstation? You
>either have too much time on your hands or need some more black cock
>in your mouth. I still have some white cock if you want.

2754. Re: TO: FRED THE FLINTSTONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 18:14:09 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3db8386a.95048446@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 141533

On Fri, 11 Oct 2002 13:56:47 GMT, chimbuba@optonline.net wrote:

>On Tue, 8 Oct 2002 17:33:08 -0400, "Fred Flintstoned"
><legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>><chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
>>news:3da32a3b.11800270@news.optonline.net...
>>> Again you said this before. You are really obsessed with this iceman.
>>> I am not ice. Never have been. That is all I have to say. What the
>>> hell is the connection between the 3 you mention? Shit you even called
>>> me bryan vanderbuilt. Who the hell is that?
>>
>>Obsession not at all Icecold, just obsessed with guys who lie about who they
>>are when have been caught red handed the connection is you are all one in
>>the same are you really that people are that stupid to htink you can say
>>your not him when there is undeniable proof. LOL you need to stay out of the
>>NY knicks ng
>>Icecold=bobba fett=brandspankinnew=shutemdownmobile=Icecoldnyc LOL
>>
>>
>you are not only obsessed with him you are sad. I mean I know alot of
>people out here think you are a joke but, looking into other
>newsgroups as well? damn.
>Sorry bud I am chim bubba and not bryan vanderbuilt (which by the way
>does not sound like a black guys name??) nor am I ice or bobba. shut
>em down is a new one. I never said I wasn't brand new.
>You have a serious problem. I just said I am not ice and I am not
>black. Not that I have anything against black guys.

2755. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 18:21:45 UTC
From: Chuck/KE4ETH <chuck_hawks@hotmailnospamorama.com>
Message-ID: <Y4Yt9.4691$bS5.2264@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141545
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Coax always has to be the right length. From the radio to the antenna.

--
73,
Chuck
KE4ETH

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ap9afb$skpim$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
> news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
> > A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread
> of
> > illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
> > installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
> > transceivers operating out of band.
>
> I'm sure this will become another CB urban legend, along with the "coax
has
> to be the right length" thing that always goes around.
>
> Tom
>
>

2756. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 18:25:45 UTC
From: KMA-104 <who-carez@apathy.net>
Message-ID: <urgep0l317pufc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141534
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:3DB80281.3BD040D0@mailcity.com...

>"Like mailcity or any other ISP cares if I top post or not".<

LOL, Bad use of the English language, JJ....
Should be...(As if mailcity.....)

Shut up, & learn something, ...Putz. :)

>
> Mark Walsh wrote:
> >
> > <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>, a shameless top-poster, spouted:
> >
> > > Complaints about this stupid spam should be sent to
> > > abuse@prodigy.net
> >
> > Me thinks you need to re-learn the definition of spam.
> > And while you are at it, please send your reports of
> > top-posting to abuse@mailcity.com
> >
> > Mark Walsh ***** mark@die.spam.die.km6xu.com ***** http://www.km6xu.com

2757. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 18:38:56 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B17678C9709rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 141535
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

k5dh@raytheon.com (K5DH) wrote in
news:_8St9.1561$Bd4.11850@dfw-service2.ext.raytheon.com:

>
> Remember when the CBers were buying VSWR SPRAY back in the 1970s? :)
>
> 73,
> Dean K5DH
>
>
LOL. People actually did that? Wow.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

2758. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 19:01:30 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DB8438A.43A2EF50@mailcity.com>
Source record: 141552

Twistedhed wrote:

> --
> And why in the FEMA manual it states that ham radio operators should be
> considered for use in emergency situations for providing communications,
> but no mention of cb radio.
> --Maybe
> because hammie radio is more reliable as a cohesive service by virtue of
> regulation?
> Go fight with yourself....no one ever stated differently. Jerry feels
> the need to repost the merits of hammie radio, as if someone were
> putting it down and he is defending it's use, but both of you are
> swinging at ghosts.

I believe it was timmieboy nebonehead that kept saying something
to the effect that hams were not good at emergency communications,
it was the cbers that really helped in an emergency the most.
Looks like we hit one of those ghosts...you twistieboy.

2759. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 19:13:02 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DB8463E.B8B222B1@mailcity.com>
Source record: 141554

Landshark wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> news:3DB81C90.DAE39707@mailcity.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > Landshark wrote:
> > >
> > > > Ok, so there is activity in your
> > > > part of the country. Now & then I've
> > > > heard non hams on those freqs out
> > > > here, but not as prolific as you and
> > > > others are trying to paint.
> > > > Just like a couple others on this
> > > > board, you keep being repetitive about
> > > > the same thing most will just ignore what's
> > > > being said.
> > > >
> > > > Landshark
> > >
> > Good grief you moron, anyone in any part of the country can hear
> > these idiots. When I was in Texas I heard them all the time as
> > well. Now I am 700 miles to the northwest and I hear them. It
> > isn't in just my part of the country. You must be kin to dickieboy
> > crainumless. I am listening right now and 28.085 busy with trucker
> > traffic. You and dickieboy get together and see if you can figure
> > out how to listen on 10 meters.
>
> Well JJ or is it Sir Circumference, you have proved
> nothing more than you can't hold a civil conversation.
> I never called you names, but when backed into a corner
> you can only throw insults, not proof.
> You seem to speaking for the entire country, it's
> a plague, an epidemic, my God their using the police
> freqs now, whatever. You are only complaining, not
> proving that is a plague of epidemic proportions, over
> the entire country.
>
> Landshark

All I am saying is there are a lot of truckers and other illegal
ops using 10 meters on a daily basis, the numbers are increasing
daily as these illegal so called 10 meter radios aimed at the cb
market and truckers are being sold. Anyone who claims that they

have listened for the last xx months and only heard one or two such incidents is either plain stupid, doesn't know how to use his equipment or just plain lying.
In just a few minutes of scanning the 10 meter band the other day I found 9 frequencies where such illegal activity is going. And on 28.085 there were so many truckers you could not separate the stations. Now that is just those who are on the band that I can hear from my location, so it only stands to reason that there are many of these illegal stations that I cannot hear, but others can. So this crap about it isn't a problem is just that, crap.

2760. Re: wanted super scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 19:13:06 UTC
From: z26915@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021024151306.09916.00000063@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 141537

Well dave someone should call up CC Hammer in the Mohave desert and tell him he cant get out on that piece of junk.But as for me I hear him everyday on that earplugging base of his.And the mohave definitely has a high wind problem too. Not saying your wrong of course but CC doesn't have a problem with his,maybe it was modified.
257 on the wheels

dave wrote

>Also, as previously mentioned, there were problems with relays, the
>antenna wind profile was fairly high, and it would blow over easily if
>not mounted to a strong mast or tower.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>
>
>
>
>
>

2761. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 19:14:09 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <urghj21a30du9f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141538
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ap9afb$skpim$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
> news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
> > A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread
> of
> > illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
> > installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
> > transceivers operating out of band.
>
> I'm sure this will become another CB urban legend, along with the "coax
has
> to be the right length" thing that always goes around.
>
> Tom
>
>

Well I hate to tell you this but the coax DOES have to be the right length,
otherwise it won't reach the antenna! ;^)

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

2762. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 19:24:51 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <sYXt9.11196$Be4.7942@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141541

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:4923-3DB8276E-196@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> And they were on 28.530 AM at 3:15 Eastern complete with the nasal
> twang, echo,
> and CB slang. If it talks like a CBer, squeaks like a CBer, it must
> be..................."?
> A CBer!!
> Jerry
> --Now I see
> why you feel that way....hammie radio is plumb full o' dem der cbers,
> 'specially on dem der 2 meter channels.
> Seriously.......you know damn good and well that one can listen in and
> hear wives that ain't even licensed sounding just like a
> cber.......twang, lingo and all. Tin fo'?

Might be where you are, but the repeater trustees around here will turn the
machine off if any
of that goes on. Likewise if somebody starts acting goofy or cursing or
operating without a license.
I do have to say, too, that I am rarely on 2 Meters, so I wouldn't be able
to catch that. However, I
do know most of the repeater control ops around these parts, and they
wouldn't stand for that stuff.

Jerry

>

2763. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 19:34:24 UTC
From: davem@cs.ubc.ca
Message-ID: <ap9i00bbv1@nntp.itservices.ubc.ca>
Source record: 141539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) writes:

>3) If you are going to set up an external antenna, it is generally
>cheaper to do so with VHF MURS, as feedline losses at GMRS UHF
>frequencies can become significant if your coax run length exceeds
>much more than 30ft.

On the other hand, if he only wants to talk to his mother, and a simple dipole antenna isn't sufficient, a Yagi is much smaller and easier to mount at GMRS frequencies than MURS - about 1/3 the size for equal gain. In fact, a GMRS Yagi may be a lot easier to mount than a MURS dipole, and have enough extra gain to more than make up for cable losses. So it depends on circumstances.

Dave

2764. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 19:37:45 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <d_Xt9.12163$FP4.543068386@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141540

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:3DB81C90.DAE39707@mailcity.com...
>
>
> Landshark wrote:
>
> > Ok, so there is activity in your
> > part of the country. Now & then I've
> > heard non hams on those freqs out
> > here, but not as prolific as you and
> > others are trying to paint.
> > Just like a couple others on this
> > board, you keep being repetitive about
> > the same thing most will just ignore what's
> > being said.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Good grief you moron, anyone in any part of the country can hear
> these idiots. When I was in Texas I heard them all the time as
> well. Now I am 700 miles to the northwest and I hear them. It
> isn't in just my part of the country. You must be kin to dickieboy
> crainumless. I am listening right now and 28.085 busy with trucker
> traffic. You and dickieboy get together and see if you can figure
> out how to listen on 10 meters.

Well JJ or is it Sir Circumference, you have proved
nothing more than you can't hold a civil conversation.
I never called you names, but when backed into a corner
you can only throw insults, not proof.
You seem to speaking for the entire country, it's
a plague, an epidemic, my God their using the police
freqs now, whatever. You are only complaining, not
proving that is a plague of epidemic proportions, over
the entire country.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a

"badge of morality".

2765. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 19:37:57 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <K8Yt9.11199$Be4.4518@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141543

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:4923-3DB82D50-204@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> Amateur Radio, despite your assertations to the contrary, occupies quite
> a high "rung" in the realm
> of emergency operations. That is why Amateur Radio will get an exemption
> from local CC & R
> restrictions against antennas; CB radio won't. It is why Amateur Radio
> RACES and ARES has a desk in most state and local Emergency Operations
> Centers; CB Radio does not.
> --
> And why in the FEMA manual it states that ham radio operators should be
> considered for use in emergency situations for providing communications,
> but no mention of cb radio.
> --Maybe
> because hammie radio is more reliable as a cohesive service by virtue of
> regulation?
> Go fight with yourself....no one ever stated differently. Jerry feels
> the need to repost the merits of hammie radio, as if someone were
> putting it down and he is defending it's use, but both of you are
> swinging at ghosts.

Nope. Amateur Radio WAS being erroneously put down as evidenced in the
re-quoted paragraph
from "tools" below. Also Amateur Radio is more reliable as a cohesive
service, not because of regulation, but because of the discipline and
dedication of the operators themselves. My response was to that which seems
to be the "theme" of truckers who think that their "importance" justifies
their use of any radio band they choose. Somehow their hauling of goods is
supposed to make illegal activity fine and dandy. NOT!

Jerry

> > I think that the truckers do not think hams are worth thinking about.
Ham
> is
> > a hobby. Trucking is a job that keeps you supplied with everything you
> have.
> > Keeps the country going . Where ham SOMETIMES helps in an emergency. So
> use
> > a small amount of logic, who is playing on the radio, and who is useing
it
> > to help keep the country operating?
>

2766. Montreal area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 19:51:48 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021024155148.20110.00000031@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 141542

I'm in need of a cb repair shop around the Montreal area

I have a Realistic Trc 431 cb, and i played around with the am power coils

L910,L907., L905 ,broke them all now the cb recieves but wont transmit
Need to get the replaced/

Can someone help me out

2767. Re: Montreal area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 19:58:14 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021024155814.05445.00000073@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 141544

Looks like you got the "Golden Allen Wrinch" in there on it....uh o!

Thatz-me-JP

2768. Howdy..CB's forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 20:03:14 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021024160314.05445.00000075@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 141546

I bought this radio one year ago. It has only been used by me as a base station, has never been in a car. I had it professionally tuned up. It has Variable PWR from .5 to 8 watts and modulates to around 30. Modulation has been tuned up as well. This is a VERY loud radio and has alot of swing. I still have the Galaxy 55 Box, mounting brackets, power cord, the original stock hand mic, manuals, and whatever else it came with. I have it all. Has the freq counter, large eas to read meter and has Bands A thru F giving you 240 channels. I promise you will not be disappointed with this Galaxy. $170 ... Thanks for looking....I also have a Northstar 3000 mobile too forsale, both in the same condition as the Galaxy 55....i'll take $145 for it....Yall take care

Thatz-me-JP

2769. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 20:47:22 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210241247.24ab32c6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141547

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210240502.5b57fc34@posting.google.com>...
> avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210231617.7e391416@posting.google.com>...
> > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message news:...
> > > >richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > > >(snipped)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >-Aaron-
> > >
> > >Proving exactly, what Aanal Aaron? That you have NO PROOF?
> >
> > Everyone knows that only one person would get so freaked out about
> > this, and that's you, Steve.
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> Really? You still haven't provided the proof you said you'd "Gladly"
> post that I am Steve, Aanal Aaron. And YOU are the only one freaked
> out by all this, because I won't run away and hide.

Don't run, Steve , stay here where 'everybody knows your name'. It's
kinda like "Cheers", LOL.

-Aaron-

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired

for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

2770. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 20:48:34 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <C0Zt9.3063$Fj6.249086@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141548

No jerry the Fcc is not going to catch me doing anything illegal.
But all this could start some kind of radio war, which would screw it up for
everyone, including you.
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:o%Vt9.11126$Be4.2596@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
> news:WcScnXKULPWo_yqgXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> >
> >
> > "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:4PIt9.1416$6F4.131643@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > I must thank you for the compliment, even if it is not true. I would
> much
> > > rather be thought of as a "redneck trucker" than an anal idiot with no
> > > balls, who wants the mommy state to stop those big truckers from
taking
> > your
> > > toys
> >
> > Your the one with no balls why are you afraid to post your callsign you
> did
> > say you had one right? Nah I won't let them truckers nor anyone else
take
> > something they don't deserve I have a backbone unlike you who must also
> be
> > a freeloader.
>
> It would seem that this pseudo-ham who is so all-fired concerned for the
> welfare of
> those "pore" old truckers has a vested interest in maintaining the status
> quo. Could it be that if FCC were to aggressively quell the activity,
they
> just *might* catch him, too?
>
> As to "balls", I have already confronted several managers of Flying J and
> Pilot Travel Centers and
> pointed out that some of their wares are illegal--right in front of
truckers
> who were at the CB counter. One of those stops is at Exit 70 on I-20 (US
> 321 Exit) in Columbia, SC, another one is
> at Dale Earnhardt Blvd (Pilot) and I-85 near China Grove, NC. There is
> legal work being done at
> FCC right now to hit these outlets (again) and hit 'em hard. I got that

> direct from Mr Hollingsworth
> via phone. You can look for those companies to be fined soon, according
to
> RH.
>
> You know, I've said this before, but your minimizing this issue, your lack
> of understanding of the
> reasons for rules and regulations, and your promotion and support of such
> activity will only help to
> fuel the fire now being kindled to abate such intrusions. And it will
bring
> upon lawful CBers hardship and even more regulation than before. It
could
> even cause the sunsetting of CB on 27
> MHZ eventually, leaving only FRS and MURS. All because some people think
th
> ey have a "right" to 'tawk on this h'yar reddio', and to do so in any band
> they please without regard to the legal, rightful, and assigned use of
said
> band or frequency. NONE of us have a "right" to use a radio; it
> is P R I V I L E G E granted by the government predicated on the user
> following established rules
> for the service. CB operators have their 40 channels, but NO! That isn't
> enough. Ya just gotta have yours and everybody else's as well. Finally,
> something will be done about it.
>
>
> J
>
> >
> >
> >
> > > "Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
> > > news:QbydnYx6esg3jiqgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "fools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:OZAt9.605$6F4.55649@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > > Well lets see..... Two matched antenna for the CB, one antenna
> for
> > > the
> > > > > scanner, one antenna for the cell phone, one antenna for the am/fm
> > > radio.
> > > > > Yep, they sure do have separate antenna for the different bands.
> How
> > > bout
> > > > > that?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Spoken like a true redneck trucker.

> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

2771. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 20:50:28 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210241250.39f08252@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141549

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210240508.1546532c@posting.google.com>...
(snip)

Still can't hear any truckers on 10 meters, Steve?

-Aaron-

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired
for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the
operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of
a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by
the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at
the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so
inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain
about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to
follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard
Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to
debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464

USA

2772. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 20:55:26 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <27Zt9.3069$Fj6.249413@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141550

Uhhhhhhhhh, jerry, I do not drive a truck. I do think that you and the radio cops will find that it will be very hard to keep control much longer.
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:K8Yt9.11199$Be4.4518@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:4923-3DB82D50-204@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > Amateur Radio, despite your assertations to the contrary, occupies quite
> > a high "rung" in the realm
> > of emergency operations. That is why Amateur Radio will get an exemption
> > from local CC & R
> > restrictions against antennas; CB radio won't. It is why Amateur Radio
> > RACES and ARES has a desk in most state and local Emergency Operations
> > Centers; CB Radio does not.
> > --
> > And why in the FEMA manual it states that ham radio operators should be
> > considered for use in emergency situations for providing communications,
> > but no mention of cb radio.
> > --Maybe
> > because hammie radio is more reliable as a cohesive service by virtue of
> > regulation?
> > Go fight with yourself....no one ever stated differently. Jerry feels
> > the need to repost the merits of hammie radio, as if someone were
> > putting it down and he is defending it's use, but both of you are
> > swinging at ghosts.
>
>
> Nope. Amateur Radio WAS being erroneously put down as evidenced in the
> re-quoted paragraph
> from "tools" below. Also Amateur Radio is more reliable as a cohesive
> service, not because of regulation, but because of the discipline and
> dedication of the operators themselves. My response was to that which seems
> to be the "theme" of truckers who think that their "importance" justifies
> their use of any radio band they choose. Somehow their hauling of goods is
> supposed to make illegal activity fine and dandy. NOT!
>
> Jerry
>
> > > I think that the truckers do not think hams are worth thinking about.
> Ham

> > is
> > > a hobby. Trucking is a job that keeps you supplied with everything you
> > have.
> > > Keeps the country going . Where ham SOMETIMES helps in an emergency.
So
> > use
> > > a small amount of logic, who is playing on the radio, and who is
useing
> it
> > > to help keep the country operating?
> >
>
>
>
>

2773. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 21:01:57 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <Ct-cncF7ufs0wiWgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 141551
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"Chuck/KE4ETH" <chuck_hawks@hotmailnospamorama.com> wrote in message
news:Y4Yt9.4691$bS5.2264@news.bellsouth.net...
> Coax always has to be the right length. From the radio to the antenna.

Cable only comes in two lengths. Too long, and too short.

2774. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 21:11:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22580-3DB86210-215@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141556

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.com (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
"JJ" wrote
Just proving that all this BS from those that claim there aren't any
truckers illegally using 10 meters are or that it isn't a problem are
full of it.

And how are you "proving" that, JJ?
By merely "claiming" the opposite? Hahahhahhhahahaaha.....you're a
funny guy, but way off the mark.

>All this from twistieboy who operates in< >violation of FCC rules and
can't even qualify<
>for a no code license.<

I assure you a nocode license would not help in any way.

>Spin it anyway you want twistieboy,<

Spin *what*? Hahahhah ....all I did was ask you a question....."spin'
hahahhaaha.....go upgrade already.

>the truckers and other illegal morons<
> (oh, did I step on your toes?) <

I promise, no you didn't. Didn't you see my DX post?

>are using 10 illegally every day <

And you are now proving it again, eh? Hahahahhahaha! Go upgrade,
already, then you might have a reason to whine.

2775. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 21:32:12 UTC
From: Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wFZt9.3113$Fj6.253943@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

I think truckers are authorized to use 10-meters, just like doctors, school teachers, farmers, office workers, and any other sort of ham uses the band. You got something against truckers?

Blackie
Keep the shiny side up!

2776. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 21:36:19 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB867D3.31FC46E7@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141599
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
> >>LOL, bad use of the English language, KMA whoever you are.
> > >
> Hey JJ,do you also post as Sir Circumference?
>

I assume Sir Circumference posts as himself, whoever he is.

2777. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 21:38:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22580-3DB8683C-220@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141557

>It would seem that this pseudo-ham<

Jerry, is *JJ* a "pseudo-ham"?

>who is so all-fired concerned for the welfare of those "pore" old truckers has a vested interest in maintaining the status quo. <<
<

Certain nocodes ave a vested interest in intimidation, harassment, deliberate disinformation (lies) and deceit. Don't take my word for it, merely look at Aaron's lost addys...any of them. You think they were snagged for GOOD behavior, Jer? I mean, come on, you really have to f-up to have a google addy pulled....but he has managed.

>Could it be that if FCC were to aggressively quell the activity, they just *might* catch him, too? <<

"Catch him" doing exactly what?

>As to "balls", I have already confronted several managers of Flying J and Pilot Travel Centers and
pointed out that some of their wares are illegal--right in front of truckers who were at the CB counter. One of those stops is at Exit 70 on I-20 (US 321 Exit) in Columbia, SC, another one is
at Dale Earnhardt Blvd (Pilot) and I-85 near China Grove, NC. There is legal work being done at
FCC right now to hit these outlets (again) and hit 'em hard. I got that direct from Mr Hollingsworth
via phone. You can look for those companies to be fined soon, according to RH. <<

Bah! They were already fined. You mean again?

>You know, I've said this before, but your minimizing this issue,<<<

There would be no issue to minimize if it weren't maximized by some of you. Do 10 meter intruders exist? Apparently some isolated incidents occur, but even if it were at the grandiose scale you purport it to be, such as epidemic, the offenders are not here. As a matter of logic (NOT Lelnad logic), it doesn't take a rocket scientist to realize this is probably one of the least effective venues for such self-described heroics.

>your lack of understanding of the
reasons for rules and regulations, and your promotion and support of such activity will only help to
fuel the fire now being kindled to abate such intrusions.<<

That kind of sounds like...like.....like if one refuses to oppose what you speak of, then they must endorse it.

>And it will bring upon lawful CBers hardship and even more regulation than before. <<

Lawful cbers were brought grief here, regardless. Nocode hammies claiming a hate posse to reign trouble supreme in rec,radio.cb, and then going so far as to name them, and claim they will be around to carry each other's misdeeds and character assasinations when the other are not present,
is not a mere misstatement, it's a serious character flaw.

>It *could* even cause the sunsetting of CB on 27
MHZ eventually, leaving only FRS and MURS.
<<

And the actions of these nocodes could extend far past cb and right to where it began..it's rightful owners.....hammie land!!!!

>All because some people think th ey have a "right" to 'tawk on this h'yar reddio', and to do so in any band they please without regard to the legal, rightful, and assigned use of said band or frequency. NONE of us have a "right" to use a radio; it is P R I V I L E G E granted by the government predicated on the user following established rules
for the service.<<

Hey Jer, once again I point you to the pulled addys all around you, practically touching you, embracing you.

>CB operators have their 40 channels, but NO! That isn't enough. Ya just gotta have yours and everybody else's as well. Finally, something will be done about it.
J<<

I hate to break your heart again, but maybe what you don't know can't hurt you. There are many hammies on the freeband...and guess what? They are cool as all get out!!!

2778. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 21:39:36 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB86898.A3A2E982@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141600

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> gg, i said nothing of the sort. your sounding like lelnad these days.

Who is gg?

2779. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 21:43:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22580-3DB8698A-223@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141563

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.com (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
--
And why in the FEMA manual it states that ham radio operators should be considered for use in emergency situations for providing communications, but no mention of cb radio.
----------Maybe because hammie radio is more reliable as a cohesive service by virtue of regulation?
Go fight with yourself....no one ever stated differently. Jerry feels the need to repost the merits of hammie radio, as if someone were putting it down and he is defending it's use, but both of you are swinging at ghosts.

>I believe it was timmieboy nebonehead that kept saying something to the effect that hams were not good at emergency communications, it was the cbers that really helped in an emergency the most.<<

You have demonstrated a preponderance for incorrect belief.

>Looks like we hit one of those ghosts...you twistieboy.<<

Not I. I never made such a claim. Go upgrade.

2780. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 21:44:06 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:wFZt9.3113$Fj6.253943@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I think truckers are authorized to use 10-meters, just like doctors,
school
> teachers, farmers, office workers, and any other sort of ham uses the
band.

ONLY IF THEY HAVE THE REQUIRED LICENSE! That is the issue here; the use of
10 Meters by unlicensed truckers that just buy one of those "export" radios
(illegal to import and sell)
and start talking. I hear them all the way up beyond 29 MHZ.

Now if a driver obtains the required license, he/she is more than welcome to
enjoy Amateur Radio
and all its rewards in accordance with the rules of the Service. ALL
licensed persons are welcomed and encouraged to make use of the ham bands.

One of the things that gets a LOT of negative attention is the use of AM
below 28.300 MHZ where
voice modes are not allowed in the USA/Region 2. If a driver hears Morse
Code (CW) on that
frequency, that is why. All drivers can do Amateur Radio AND their driving
friends a huge favor by passing the word that 10 Meter operations DOES
require a license and that the Feds are back in enforcement--especially
where it concerns out-of band-operation by CBers. MANY hams are
highly ticked off about the unlawful intrusions and are actively monitoring
the bands for it--even ob-
serving trucks on the highway for such goings-on. Based on their tape
recordings and tag numbers,
FCC WILL issue a warning to the driver's employer! It IS a serious matter,
folks, and you should
heed the warning.

Jerry

> You got something against truckers?
>
> Blackie
> Keep the shiny side up!
>
>
>
>
>
>

2781. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 21:45:22 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024174522.22930.00000007@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141558

he didnt loose them. just saving them for the come back so he can laugh at
those who thought he lost them lol i got an email from
aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com yesterday

>
> Aaron you keep losing addys, but you still
>post this dribble. LOL!!! what is it now, 3 addys
>in a 2 week period. I guess some people
>have way to much time and way to preoccupied
>with this group.
>
> Landshark

2782. Re: Realistic Trc 431

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 21:53:04 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024175304.22930.00000008@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141559

hahahaha sorry to laugh but you broke it over a gain in power you would have never noticed in the first place. what a shame. but my question is why didnt you stop fcking with it after you broke the first one? you needed plastic screw drivers to tune them

>
>Well i did it i want to ajuste my am power and broke coils L905,L907,L910
>can anyone one help me out ?

2783. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 21:55:51 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22581-3DB86C67-78@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141564

>Might be where you are, <

Yea,,,,now you got it.......sort of like, "There "might" be 10 meter intruders." See how interchangeable and workable that word is in both situations?

>but the repeater trustees around here will turn the machine off if any of that goes on.<<

BFD! And all freebanders
aren't intruding on hammie bands, or any other bands in most cases.

>Likewise if somebody starts acting goofy or cursing or operating without a license.
I do have to say, too, that I am rarely on 2 Meters, so I wouldn't be able to catch that.
<<

Well, most of us are rarely on 10 meter, so we wouldn't catch your intruders.

>However, I
do know most of the repeater control ops around these parts, and they wouldn't stand for that stuff.
Jerry<<

And ALL the freebanders I know won't mess with hammies....but by your very words, the hammie is held to a higher standard.....you shrugged off this hammie lawlessness citing hammies local to you that obey the law. My point exactly, for there is always a counter-point and some of you want it silenced, hence Aaron's pulled addy from voobner@yahoo.com. You can't send people viruses just because you don't agree with their point of view.....it's a shame some of these nocode hammies don't have the brains to know that webtv is totally immune to such things.....plus, I have my own personal rep at MSN now.....hey, I pay plenty of money to them.....it's the least they could do.

2784. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:04:34 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22580-3DB86E72-229@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141562

>Nope. Amateur Radio WAS being erroneously put down as evidenced in the re-quoted paragraph
from "tools" below. Also Amateur Radio is more reliable as a cohesive service, not because of regulation, but because of the discipline and dedication of the operators themselves.<<

JERRY! Surely you don't believe that....My God, man......check out the hammie usenet groups that are busy,,,,they are way worse than this......wonder why that is? I guess they are all cbers in there trying to piss on your world since a few nocodes (and a few upperclassmen as well) are doing it here.

>My response was to that which seems to be the "theme" of truckers who think that their "importance" justifies their use of any radio band they choose.<<

Hell, Jerry, I can easily point to any of a number of posts made in here by hammies, call and all on display, where the hammie has asked others to disregard their previous remarks and believe the damage control and spin they put forth when attention was drawn to those remarks.

> Somehow their hauling of goods is supposed to make illegal activity fine and dandy. NOT!
Jerry<<

And among nocode land, at least in here, explanation, consultation, and confirmation aren't necessary.

2785. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplif...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:10:29 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22586-3DB86FD5-8@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141580

From: n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart)
"General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread
of illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
transceivers operating out of band.
--
I'm sure this will become another CB urban legend, along with the "coax
has to be the right length" thing that always goes around.
Tom
--Maybe...but
it would only be believed by the hammies who wrote it and spread it as
the disinformation it is, evidenced by the never-ending belief held by
some that a license demands respect by virtue of existence and those
that feel 5 watts and Dx is a federal crime.

2786. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:11:02 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024181102.22930.00000009@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141561

gg, i said nothing of the sort. your sounding like lelnad these days.

ps i see you like to make fun of my name. are you just jealous because i'm able to post under my actual name while your relegated in the shadows scared of what others may find out about you if they know who you are?

>I believe it was timmieboy nebonehead that kept saying something
>to the effect that hams were not good at emergency communications,
>it was the cbers that really helped in an emergency the most.
>Looks like we hit one of those ghosts...you twistieboy.

2787. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:14:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22581-3DB870CC-79@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141581

From: timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie)
he didnt loose them. just saving them for the come back so he can laugh
at those who thought he lost them lol i got an email from

--
Au contraire. I assure you he lost voobner@yahoo.

2788. Re: a nice one for you sparky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:17:14 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <50-dnUyy5YP37CWgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141565

Yes he is trying to pass it off as a 10 meter amp.

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021024101333.18054.00000017@mb-cv.aol.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1391619869
>
>

2789. Re: 250 foot coax run

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:19:57 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <g8GcncYHIPOQ7yWgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 141566

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> > Thanks,George. I'll go with the bury flex or the 400.
> >
> >
> > See it's not so though to be nice. ;)
> >
> Or tuff either.

Hey I don't have a problem with being nice or offering some good advise. It
is the hypocrites in here that get my dander up.

2790. Re: We won't get the real facts from Skippy, that's for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:20:44 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210241420.4c8e18f9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141567

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021023211621.13091.00012360@mb-mf.aol.com>...
> aaron did you actually join the reflector? ive already seen several
> post regarding amps using 3cx3000 tubes as long as mutli
> 3cx800 setups since you tried to post there.

Is skipp leading the discussion? LOL. :)

-A

>
> >That's funny to see him asking me for factual material. When I
> >offered to gather supporting factual material by asking a question
> >("Do many hams operate illegal high power? Do many hams feel
>> as skipp
> >does? etc.) to an amateur radio list server, my effort was quickly
> >squelched. I wonder what the replies would have said, and, more
> >importantly, why skipp was so terrified of this question being asked?
> >Sigh. We'll never know.
> >
> >-Aaron-
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

2791. Re: hey jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:22:25 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <MKadnWIvJ6s97yWgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 141568

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021024092658.18074.00000043@mb-cv.aol.com...
> so you mean nobody talks at night because there not interested after dark?

Tim, do you understand the words Lack of Interest, Cb radio is dying look around people are on the internet Cb was a fad from the 70's and there are a few leftovers from the Nixon era. So that means Timmy people are not as interested in Cb as they were after smokey and the bandit

>
> >I say it is quiet from Lack of interest, skip just creates more noise and
> >more people talking together on the band. Not really much to elaborate
on.
>
>
>
>

2792. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:24:38 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <vrmcnSDkFuW77iWgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 141569

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
Hey.....George! This is the same curious logic exhibited by the socialists such as Dave Hall, N3CVJ. He also, can't comprehend why any normal, law-abiding cber would refuse to obtain a hammie license. Some people are just unable to see beyond their own limited vision...but that's ok, we won't hold it against you and I am certain you won't lose any sleep over it.

I am not curious at all If a guy is driving a truck he is a trucker if he is a practicing law he is a lawyer. If he is driving a truck and not practicing law he is a fool.

2793. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:26:59 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1E_t9.11237$Be4.6063@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141579

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22581-3DB86C67-78@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >Might be where you are, <
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yea,,,,now you got it.....sort of like, "There "might" be 10 meter
> intruders." See how interchangeable and workable that word is in both
> situations?

There's no "might be" to it WRT truckers on 10 Meters. They ARE there.
Yesterday, I heard
some up as high as 28.530 on Ancient Modulation. There is NO "might be" to
it. I could make
a wave file of it, but then the next rebuttal would be "How do we know they
are on 10 Meters".
If one refuses to believe it, nothing can be done, but it still doesn't
change the fact that it is going
on. Even Riley mentioned it 3 years ago. The best proof will be when all
those letters to various
Congressional and committee persons bear fruit and those sellers and users
begin feeling the heat
of enforcement. When it begins to happen on a regular basis, then those of
us affected by such
interference can begin to laugh....truly laugh at their self-inflicted
misfortune.

Jerry

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >but the repeater trustees around here will turn the machine off if any
> of that goes on.<<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> BFD! And all freebanders
> aren't intruding on hammie bands, or any other bands in most cases.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
> >Likewise if somebody starts acting goofy or cursing or operating
> without a license.
> I do have to say, too, that I am rarely on 2 Meters, so I wouldn't be
> able to catch that.
> <<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Well, most of us are rarely on 10 meter, so we wouldn't catch your
> intruders.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
> >However, I
> do know most of the repeater control ops around these parts, and they
> wouldn't stand for that stuff.
> Jerry<<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> And ALL the freebanders I know won't mess with hammies....but by your
> very words, the hammie is held to a higher standard.....you
> shrugged off this hammie lawlessness citing hammies local to you that
> obey the law. My point exactly, for there is always a counter-point and
> some of you want it silenced, hence Aaron's pulled addy from
> voobner@yahoo.com. You can't send people viruses just because you don't
> agree with their point of view.....it's a shame some of these nocode
> hammies don't have the brains to know that webtv is totally immune to
> such things.....plus, I have my own personal rep at MSN
> now.....hey, I pay plenty of money to them.....it's the least
> they could do.
>

2794. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:28:50 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <CsadnXSmzOm_6SWgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 141570

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:3DB81C90.DAE39707@mailcity.com...
>
>
> Landshark wrote:
>
> > Ok, so there is activity in your
> > part of the country. Now & then I've
> > heard non hams on those freqs out
> > here, but not as prolific as you and
> > others are trying to paint.
> > Just like a couple others on this
> > board, you keep being repetitive about
> > the same thing most will just ignore what's
> > being said.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Good grief you moron, anyone in any part of the country can hear
> these idiots. When I was in Texas I heard them all the time as
> well. Now I am 700 miles to the northwest and I hear them. It
> isn't in just my part of the country. You must be kin to dickieboy
> crainumless. I am listening right now and 28.085 busy with trucker
> traffic. You and dickieboy get together and see if you can figure
> out how to listen on 10 meters.

JJ, I am in the Northeast corridor I heard yesterday truckers on 28.085
coming from Oklahoma, if your in the Northwest nd you have also heard them
in Texas Jerry hears them In North Carolina that pretty much covers the
continental USA. Landwhale says he has heard it now and then. How often do
you monitor there landwhale ? and why would you care? Did you do it during
the day? Weekend? Nighttime?

2795. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:31:38 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <xq2cnWHIyttX6SWgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 141571

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news

> I think you're preaching to the wrong newsgroup.

Why do you say that steve this is a cb NG and there are truckers here as well. There are Cbers using ham freqs I think this is one of the forums he should be reaching. If guys like Landshark don't like it Turn the Channel, don't read the thread no one is forcing him to read it. we don't like to hear him cry yet we put up with it

2796. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:35:43 UTC
From: "Jeffrey M. Swiger" <N8NOE@Comcast.Net>
Message-ID: <B9DDEDFF.26AB%N8NOE@Comcast.Net>
Source record: 141572
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

On 10/23/02 3:50 PM, in article 3DB6FD95.84E8B9A9@mailcity.net, "JJ"
<radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:

>
>
> General Johnson Jameson wrote:
>>
>> A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread of
>> illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
>> installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
>> transceivers operating out of band.
>>
>> The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger a
>> device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage pulse type
>> signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front end of the
>> receiver.
>>
>> Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the devices
>> which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.
>>
>> Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing amplifiers
>> online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received indicates
>> the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will perform
>> it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think the problem
>> is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.
>
> Now this is an original one. Musta thought long to come up with
> this. Not a bad idea though.
Now I'm wishin' I was that smart!....
Who thinks this Up?.....

2797. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:36:04 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <QvycnV675tRJ6CWgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141573

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021024092844.18074.00000045@mb-cv.aol.com...
> hey shaggy dawg, is the eagle claw kinda like the iron claw from the von
> erichs? i know you like wrestling so i'm sure you know lol

Sorry Tim Never heard of an eagle claw, and the diversionary posts have
worked as well. After posting them I have been accused of being georges son
LOL funny how a seed when planted can be many things

2798. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:38:47 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <jsqcnZ_TF4Tq6yWgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141574

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> And your beef has been with truckers. Think you've changed any truckers
> minds with your crusade?

Cb operating Truckers.

2799. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:41:15 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <YimdnTv7pv-W6iWgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 141575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message -
> >
> Have any truckers respond?

Yes they did to me on the air the other day. They were in oklahoma

2800. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:41:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210241441.1f8f4749@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141576

"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:<xzudnTy4c7hmnCqgXTWc2Q@comcast.com>...
> <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
>
> > If you can't answer those questions then butt out.
>
>
> Yeah but Dickhead said

I said what, Georgie-girl? You still can't quote me correctly; it's "you're", not your, dumbulb. And I was referring to Aanal Aaron H. (dumbass) Voobner, who talks a lot, never proves anything, and has always been proven wrong by anyone who actually thinks. Even YOU laughed at him when he said he was "studying for his Extra". Remember? If not, look it up; it's in the archives.

BTW, why are you afraid to use your real name and email?

2801. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:43:10 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <YB-cnWi2Kofj6iWgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141577

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3DB7E4AD.453D@worldlynx.net...
> > Go take a look around the neighborhoods,
> > do you see as many antennas now as you might have
> > 7 years ago?
>
> Heck, the amount of antennas on both vehicles and homes has declined in
> my area since about 1982.

Not according to Richard Troll Cranium

2802. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:43:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210241443.1249ceb2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141578

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210231706.4ce329d9@posting.google.com>...
> timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote
> >i've often wondered about
> >these amps
> >
> >>
> >>http://rodehogs.com/klv1k.htm
>
> The monoband frequency range (28-30), the disclaimers about
> illegality, and uninformed statements like "designed for those who
> need increased RF power transmissions to enable long distance "
> suggest it is intended for the illegal "keyclown" market .
>
> -Aaron-

It suggests no such thing, Aanal Aaron. YOU may suggest it, of course
(and you just did, didn't you?). You don't think the maker(s) of amps
for amateur radio might say the same thing? Of course they would,
dimbulb.

2803. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:45:20 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <gV_t9.11249$Be4.8716@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141591

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021024173625.199$gy@newsreader.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:u3Jt9.1437$6F4.133078@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > So now we get down to it. The law is the law
> >
> >> That's about it. Bottom line is if you and I were operating on, say,
> > 28.305, and you don't have the
> > license and if an FCC agent were listening, YOU would be the one
> > receiving a warning.
> >
> And your beef has been with truckers. Think you've changed any truckers
> minds with your crusade?

I don't have to. The emphasis has been on informing Congress of the
activities of certain
members of society who think they don't HAVE to obey the laws or fulfill
the same requirements
that others do for access to certain radio assets. The emphasis is on
cluing in the right people on how "certain" people think that they are some
kinds of "cowboy legends" who are so important to
the operation of the economy that their lawless activities will be
overlooked or that they will be bowed down to in awe of their great
achievements; after all, there are just sooooo many lawyers
that would rather drive trucks (<g>) doncha know?

All that really needs to happen is for those truckers to take their beeping
and squeaking back down
onto channel 19 where they belong. They will hear no more from any of us
hams. They can even have their Galaxy and Connex "export" reddios just so
long as they keep off the ham bands--until
they get the license, that is. And even then, voice is not supposed to be
used below 28.300 in the
US. Once that happens, the problem will be solved.

Jerry
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

2804. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:45:37 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210241445.4d8e0896@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141582

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3db73c83.2711866@news.cet.com>...
> In <20021023193539.19105.00002957@mb-df.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com357
> (Uncle Charlie) wrote:
>
> >because i wasnt trying to impress you.
>
> But you did. Unless, of course, someone gave you those answers. Say it
> ain't so, Tim?

So what genius gives you all your answers, Frankie-girl?

2805. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:46:40 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210241446.7c5c4160@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141583

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210231540.393178a7@posting.google.com>...
> "Randy" rchapman8@houston.rr.com wrote
> >http://rodehogs.com/klv1k.htm
>
> "The KLV 1000/KLV 1000P RF linear amplifiers, are designed for those
> who need increased RF power transmissions to enable long distance
> communications."
>
> LOL. They never heard of propagation.
>
> -Aaron-

Tell that to all the hams who never turn off their amps, Aanal Aaron.
It's a good line, but it don't wash, of course.

2806. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:46:46 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <oOadnTD5U5nL5SWgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 141584

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210240502.5b57fc34@posting.google.com...
> Where's that PROOF, dimbulb?

where is yours dickweed? How many Cbers are there in the uSA 30-40 million?

2807. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:48:27 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <aY_t9.11253$Be4.4534@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141593

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021024190041.22949.00000007@mb-bg.aol.com...
> isnt it illegal for you to communicate with pirate stations?

It sure is!

J
>
> >Yes they did to me on the air the other day. They were in oklahoma
> >
>
>
>
>

2808. Re: George admits he is GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:49:03 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <SUWdnRf8hdVC5SWgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 141586

<chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3db8381d.94971376@news.optonline.net...

> >Doesn't change the fact that you told me you wanted to suck black cock
> >and not white cock. Common theme with the knicks and playstation? You
> >either have too much time on your hands or need some more black cock
> >in your mouth. I still have some white cock if you want.

Yes Brian Vanderpool keep saying it, LOL

2809. Re: We won't get the real facts from Skippy, that's for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:51:52 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210241451.20512c83@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141587

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210231557.1f25627f@posting.google.com>...
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3db64bd5.2763716@news.cet.com>...
> > In <aoq66f$5r7$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, Be a Boobner Lap
> >Dog or think for yourself <voobnerisafakename@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > <snip>
> > >The news group would be better served if mr boobner could
> > > state facts as he knows them and provide supporting
> > > technical/factual material.
> >
> > Speak for yourself, Skippy.
>
> That's funny to see him asking me foir factual material. When I
> offered to gather supporting factual material by asking a question
> ("Do many hams operate illegal high power? Do many hams feel as skipp
> does? etc.) to an amateur radio list server, my effort was quickly
> squelched. I wonder what the replies would have said, and, mpre
> importantly, why skipp was so terrified of this question being asked?
> Sigh. We'll never know.
>
> -Aaron-

And neither you nor Frankie-girl would understand the replies if you
did, would you Aanal Aaron? What stopped you? Can't be intelligence!

It's funny to see you offering to gather "factual material" at all,
since you've never posted any.

BTW, what's "foir"? What's mpre"? Are you a total nincompoop? The
questions only need to be asked.

2810. Re: a nice one for you sparky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:55:05 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024185505.22949.00000005@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141588

jerry might be able to jam some truckers with that. 7,500 watts of cw wouldnt be too shabby

>Yes he is trying to pass it off as a 10 meter amp.

2811. Re: hey jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:57:08 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024185708.22949.00000006@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141589

so whos all those people i hear during the day and why is there a lack of interest after dark?

>Interest, Cb radio is dying look
>around people are on the internet Cb was a fad from the 70's and there are a
>few leftovers from the Nixon era. So that means Timmy people are not as
>interested in Cb as they were after smokey and the bandit
>

2812. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 22:57:25 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <B4%t9.11260$Be4.11@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141596

Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:QvycnV675tRJ6CWgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
>
> "Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021024092844.18074.00000045@mb-cv.aol.com...
> > hey shaggy dawg, is the eagle claw kinda like the iron claw from the von
> > erichs? i know you like wrestling so i'm sure you know lol

(Sigh)

An "Eagle's Claw" is a Tai Kwan Do move where the palm of the hand is
suddenly and rapidly
thrust upward into the bottom of the assailant's nose. The fingers are then
violently and quickly raked downwards over the eyes and face blinding the
attacker. If used incorrectly, it will shatter
bone/cartilege, driving same into the brain causing death. Yet, if done
correctly, the move will so
surprise the attacker with pain and blindness that he can be then taken down
with either a move against a pressure point, by a fist to the jaw, or a
tripping move. There are other holds that will
literally paralyze an attacker and cause him to go to his knees absolutely
unable to fight back.

Jerry
>
>
> Sorry Tim Never heard of an eagle claw, and the diversionary posts have
> worked as well. After posting them I have been accused of being georges
son
> LOL funny how a seed when planted can be many things
>
>

2813. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 23:00:41 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024190041.22949.00000007@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141590

isnt it illegal for you to communicate with pirate stations?

>Yes they did to me on the air the other day. They were in oklahoma
>

2814. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 23:03:03 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024190303.22949.00000008@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141592

ive nver heard any trucker on 10/11 or anywhere on a freq ending in zero. sure you didnt make that up?

>
>There's no "might be" to it WRT truckers on 10 Meters. They ARE there.
>Yesterday, I heard
>some up as high as 28.530 on Ancient Modulation.

2815. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 23:08:52 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024190852.22949.00000009@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141594

you get about 1 and a half canada dollars for one US buck and with that its still high as hell

>Sorry,I just realized it's Canuk cash. What's the exchange rate now?

2816. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 23:11:03 UTC
From: Hans-Juergen Schumann <recycler_eu@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ap9njt$snois$1@ID-95694.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 141553

Tom Sevart wrote:

>
> "General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
> news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
>> A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread
> of
>> illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
>> installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
>> transceivers operating out of band.
>
> I'm sure this will become another CB urban legend, along with the "coax
> has to be the right length" thing that always goes around.
>
> Tom

The amp-story is not true a technologie like that neads more elektronic than a 11m-power-amp contains. It woud be more expensive than a killed BF981 RX-Fet.

The cable Story ist true.

You have to use spezific length of koax if you use Antennas like Kleber Minimax, professional koax other than 50Ohm-typ(60Ohm-hardline, 75Ohm kable-TV-hardline), 75Ohm-TV-coax(RG59), RG62 (90Ohm).

But you can use an antenna-tuner near your antenna.

55+73 from Hans-Jrgen (Germany Heidelberg-Area)

2817. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 23:11:23 UTC
From: dplatt@radagast.org
Message-ID: <urgvgrravdca93@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141597
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

In article <Ct-cncF7ufs0wiWgXTWcog@comcast.com>,
Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:

>> Coax always has to be the right length. From the radio to the antenna.
>
>Cable only comes in two lengths. Too long, and too short.

This implies that cable is made from the cut-off legs of old army
uniform pants, doesn't it?

--
Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> AE6EO
Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

2818. jerry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 23:12:27 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024191227.22949.00000010@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141595

who do you think pumps more money into congress, the teamsters or the arrl????

>The emphasis has been on informing Congress of the
>activities of certain
>members of society who think they don't HAVE to obey the laws or fulfill
>the same requirements
>that others do for access to certain radio assets. The emphasis is on
>cluing in the right people on how "certain" people think that they are some
>kinds of "cowboy legends" who are so important to
>the operation of the economy that their lawless activities will be
>overlooked or that they will be bowed down to in awe of their great
>achievements; after all, there are just sooooo many lawyers
>that would rather drive trucks (<g>) doncha know?
>

2819. Re: NorthStar NS-3000 Mobile FORSALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 23:26:44 UTC
From: Spell Checker <SC@webster.com>
Message-ID: <3DB881B4.726B6060@webster.com>
Source record: 141598

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> lol now now now holic, is weed kinda dry up there too or what? you sound cranky
> :)

Things are dry all over. Harvest is here. I've gotten some samples...

2820. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 23:48:44 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024194844.22986.00000010@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141602

oh, we always called that "a palm to the nose" or a "dirty upper cut" did you have to go to school to learn that?

>An "Eagle's Claw" is a Tai Kwan Do move where the palm of the hand is
>suddenly and rapidly
>thrust upward into the bottom of the assailant's nose. The fingers are then
>violently and quickly raked downwards over the eyes and face blinding the
>attacker. If used incorrectly, it will shatter
>bone/cartilege, driving same into the brain causing death. Yet, if done
>correctly, the move will so
>surprise the attacker with pain and blindness that he can be then taken down
>with either a move against a pressure point, by a fist to the jaw, or a
>tripping move. There are other holds that will
>literally paralyze an attacker and cause him to go to his knees absolutely
>unable to fight back.
>
>Jerry

2821. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 23:50:32 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024195032.22986.00000011@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141603

oh yeah in a fist fight thats called manslaughter but thats only if your a DA
or judge

> The fingers are then
>violently and quickly raked downwards over the eyes and face blinding the
>attacker. If used incorrectly, it will shatter
>bone/cartilege, driving same into the brain causing death.

2822. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 23:54:38 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021024195438.22986.00000012@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 141604

you are gg

>Who is gg?

2823. Re: NorthStar NS-3000 Mobile FORSALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 23:56:02 UTC
From: Spell Checker <SC@webster.com>
Message-ID: <3DB88892.65C98547@webster.com>
Source record: 141605

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> Spell Checker <SC@webster.com> wrote:
> > Uncle Charlie wrote:
> > >
> > > lol now now now holic, is weed kinda dry up there too or what? you
> > > sound cranky
> > > :)
> >
> >> Things are dry all over. Harvest is here. I've gotten some samples...
> >
> The law put up braggin signs..

????

Buds are better than bullets.

Now I don't have to duck when I get gas.

2824. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-24 23:58:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21619-3DB8893E-325@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141609

From: doggone@dog.comPton (Shaggy Dogg)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message Hey.....George!
This is the same curious logic exhibited by the socialists such as Dave
Hall, N3CVJ. He also, can't comprehend why any normal, law-abiding cber
would refuse to obtain a hammie license. Some people are just unable to
see beyond their own limited vision...but that's ok, we won't hold it
against you and I am certain you won't lose any sleep over it.
--
I am not curious at all If a guy is driving a truck he is a trucker if
he is a practicing law he is a lawyer. If he is driving a truck and not
practicing law he is a fool.

Well, you must have had *some* curiosity. You inquired as to why one
may be driving truck when they hold a degree. Lawyers are the dregs of
society, next to some of the nocodes in here, of course.
It could be a conscience thing...then again, why is any explanation even
needed? Why is the "Aaron" clan so obsessed with others personal lives?

2825. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 00:00:38 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0210241600.71d72bdb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com> wrote in message
news:<3qelafeqa5.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>...
> horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) writes:
>
> >SNIP<
> > 2) With GMRS, you are limited to talking with individuals in your
> > immediate family only (or other GMRS license holders). With MURS, you
> > can talk with anyone else with a MURS radio.
> >SNIP<
>
> Well, this is confusing. As hams, are my wife and I "limited" to talking
> to each other "(or other ham license holders)"? As GMRS and ham licensees,
> I wasn't aware that talking to other license holders was a limitation.
> ...
> MURS may well be even less used than GMRS at this time; however, it may
> become the new FRS with attendant crowding since it is license-free.
>
> While your post is generally correct, I take issue with your point 2.

If MURS were to become overcrowed like FRS, I would of course agree,
so I don't think we have any disagreement. I was talking in terms of
the present situation (as well as the foreseeable future), in which
the lower three MURS frequencies (151.820, 151.880, 151.940) are
virtually unused in most locations.

In the present MURS situation, most activity is concentrated within
the two old business "dot frequencies"; 154.570 and 154.600.

In this particular individual's case, I think he could use one of the
lower three frequencies without the risk of too much other traffic
for, and he could lend a radio to his Mom's friend if he wished(which
you can't do with GMRS).

To be fair to GMRS, it does offer the ability to use higher
transmitter power than MURS - but, again, that will generally end up
costing you more money to go that route, and this individual seemed to
indicate he was looking for a lower cost solution.

I'm not saying GMRS won't work for this individual - it just appears
that MURS offers a simpler, cheaper, more flexible solution that
should be able to fit the individual's needs.

- Stewart
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

2826. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 00:09:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21619-3DB88BCE-326@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141608

JerryO wrote:
>There's no "might be" to it WRT truckers on 10 Meters. They ARE there. Yesterday, I heard
some up as high as 28.530 on Ancient Modulation. There is NO "might be" to it. <<

Hey, Jer! I believe ya! Just like there is no "mights" about the 'ol ladies sharing calls and echoing cb lingo.

>I could make
a wave file of it, but then the next rebuttal would be "How do we know they are on 10 Meters". <<

Same for the women who don't have licenses, hammies breaking the laws (which, according to some in here, make them federal criminals)

>If one refuses to believe it, nothing can be done, but it still doesn't change the fact that it is going
on. <<
<

Same with the hammies that you said "might" be breaking the law.
They are.

>Even Riley mentioned it 3 years ago. <

The Rain Report tells the story.

>The best proof will be when all those letters to various Congressional and committee persons bear fruit and those sellers and users begin feeling the heat
of enforcement.<<
<

Proof is where you find it and it is to be found in da' wetaher report, tin fo'?

>When it begins to happen on a regular basis,
then those of us affected by such interference can begin to laugh....truly laugh at their self-inflicted misfortune.
Jerry<<<

Why? Can you point to a refernce where you were laughing at the hammies self-inflicted misfortunes that are listed on the rain reporteah week?

2827. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 00:11:21 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0210241611.a0d3ec0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

whereami <oaknuts@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:<Xns92B0DD765924Fmine@64.164.98.7>...
> You probably only need one external antenna on the house in the valley
> since the hilltop location has the height advantage.
> Randy

Actually - this would generally be the wrong way to go. Normally, you would want to put the antenna on the house on the hill - that way the person in the valley can roam around the town with a handheld unit and take advantage of the fixed "base station" antenna on the hill - always remaining in contact range with the hill station.

The only reason that you would want to go the other way around (in this particular individual's situation) is so that the mother on the hill could move around with a handheld radio, and if she fell down and couldn't get to the base station transmitter, she could use the handheld radio that she kept nearby.

- Stewart
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

2828. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 00:17:51 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21621-3DB88DAF-134@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141613

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
Do you use 10 meters,Twistedhed?

Do I use it? I use ALL the freqs in some manner or another....from way
down low to way the hell up high. What I don't do, is cause a problem
anywhere I transmit.....and with that, I'm outtahere..... :
)

2829. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 00:20:35 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210241620.3d3a699@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141610

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message news:<3DB81C90.DAE39707@mailcity.com>...
> Landshark wrote:
>
> > Ok, so there is activity in your
> > part of the country. Now & then I've
> > heard non hams on those freqs out
> > here, but not as prolific as you and
> > others are trying to paint.
> > Just like a couple others on this
> > board, you keep being repetitive about
> > the same thing most will just ignore what's
> > being said.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Good grief you moron, anyone in any part of the country can hear
> these idiots. When I was in Texas I heard them all the time as
> well. Now I am 700 miles to the northwest and I hear them. It
> isn't in just my part of the country. You must be kin to dickieboy
> crainumless. I am listening right now and 28.085 busy with trucker
> traffic. You and dickieboy get together and see if you can figure
> out how to listen on 10 meters.

It's been fun to follow the progression of statements from certain folks in here over the last few months. When confronted with the 10 meter intruder problem, they insisted (and I'm paraphrasing):

."It isn't happening"

This went on for a while until the reality of the situation forced them to concede that, yes indeed, it was happening. So they shifted to:

."OK, so it's happening, but It isn't happening often"

In short order, this too was shown to be a fallacy. There's still a few shreds of it floating around, but most have dropped it, in favor of:

."OK, so it's happening often, but no one cares"

This excuse quickly got shot down via a basic reality check. Now we're hearing:

."OK so it's happening often, hams care, but they can't do anything about it"

This too is fallacy. I believe Jerry O when he says that FCC and US officials are now getting a fair amount of complaints about this problem. I would venture a guess that they are getting more complaints now than they did, say, a year ago. And more intruders on the band will only generate more complaints. And, as many have pointed out on this group, the FCC is complaint-driven. Since the truckers don't have any legal justification for their illegal operation, they will be on the losing end of any future enforcement actions. The irony is that enforcement actions will likely be felt by the freeloader and amp-junkie community in the form of tighter restrictions on sale of illegal gear.

-Aaron-

2830. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplif...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 00:20:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21622-3DB88E5A-37@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141614

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
LOL, bad use of the English language, KMA whoever you are.

>Hey JJ,do you also post as Sir Circumference? <

>I assume Sir Circumference posts as himself, >whoever he is.

I assume he was a nocode trying to be clever....if he woulda succeeded
he woulda used "Sir Cumference", no?
Nocode over there, nocode over here, ...

2831. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 00:24:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21619-3DB88F38-329@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141615

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote:
he didnt loose them. just saving them for the come back so he can laugh
at those who thought he lost them lol i got an email from
aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com yesterday

>   Aaron you keep losing addys, but you still
>post this dribble. LOL!!! what is it now, 3 addys >in a 2 week period.
I guess some people
>have way to much time and way to >preoccupied with this group.
>   Landshark

His addy has more tire tracks than route 66. lol

That's cause they are shared. I'm with Tim..the last one wasn't
pulled.....very cool process of elimination that began with an
upperclassmen...kudos!

2832. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 00:27:34 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0210241627.204a5959@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

"Jewlz" <Jewlz@tedious.net> wrote in message
news:<EsDt9.32440$PS1.4549537@kent.svc.tds.net>...
> I'm considering a GMRS system to keep in contact with my mom who doesn't
> have a phone. (LONG story!) We've both had CBs in the past, but no
> experience with GMRS. We're 5 miles apart; I'm in a river valley and she is
> in the mountains about 400 feet above. I hear so many conflicting reports
> about the range of GMRS units, I'm hesitant to make the investment,
> especially since she's in elderly housing and I'm in a condominium, so it's
> doubful either of us can get permission to put up massive antennas. Can
> anyone offer me some guidance based on their experiences, please?

While you might not be able to put up rooftop antennas in either of
your situations, what you should probably consider doing is using
5/8-wave mobile antennas indoor at both sites (condo and home), and
attaching it to inexpensive handheld radios. 5/8-wave antennas are
fairly small and indiscreet, and you could get them with mag-mounts
and just put them on a small piece of metal on a dresser or other
small table.

5-8 wave mobile antenna are quite inexpensive(under $50), and offer
excellent gain. At UHF(GMRS) frequencies they are about 1.5 feet
tall, and are about 4 ft tall at VHF(MURS) frequencies. When
comparing performance of a VHF vs. a UHF solution - it turns out that
a VHF(MURS) station will significantly outperform the UHF(GMRS)
station, due to the effective aperture of the antenna(this phenomenon
is sometimes referred to as free space path loss).

In addition to the cost advantage of using handhelds vs. mobile
radios, another advantage is that you could detach your handheld from
the mobile antenna - connect a rubber duck antenna, and move about the
town, and probably still be able to communicate with your mother in
many situations(although probably not as clearly as when you were
attached to the mobile antenna in your condo). If you have a vehicle,
you might also consider keeping an antenna on it as well, and just
reconnecting your handheld to that antenna when you were driving.

- Stewart
For more information on MURS:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

2833. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 00:28:24 UTC
From: Spell Checker <SC@webster.com>
Message-ID: <3DB89028.BC08C3D7@webster.com>
Source record: 141612

Landshark wrote:

>
> But Tim, JJ is a ham, hanging out on a cb newsgroup,
> but he is a ham.

And "JJ" thinks he's going to be allowed in rec-radio-cb. <; NOT!

2834. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 00:53:49 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1O0u9.11660$Be4.6081@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141619

OOOPS! TYPO! That should've read 28.535 AM. Sorry.

Jerry
Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1E_t9.11237$Be4.6063@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:22581-3DB86C67-78@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > >Might be where you are, <
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yea,,,,now you got it.....sort of like, "There "might" be 10 meter
> > intruders." See how interchangeable and workable that word is in both
> > situations?
>
>
> There's no "might be" to it WRT truckers on 10 Meters. They ARE there.
> Yesterday, I heard
> some up as high as 28.530 on Ancient Modulation. There is NO "might be"
to
> it. I could make
> a wave file of it, but then the next rebuttal would be "How do we know
they
> are on 10 Meters".
> If one refuses to believe it, nothing can be done, but it still doesn't
> change the fact that it is going
> on. Even Riley mentioned it 3 years ago. The best proof will be when all
> those letters to various
> Congressional and committee persons bear fruit and those sellers and users
> begin feeling the heat
> of enforcement. When it begins to happen on a regular basis, then those
of
> us affected by such
> interference can begin to laugh....truly laugh at their self-inflicted

> misfortune.
>
>
> Jerry
>
>
>
>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >but the repeater trustees around here will turn the machine off if any
> > of that goes on.<<
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> > BFD! And all freebanders
> > aren't intruding on hammie bands, or any other bands in most cases.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >Likewise if somebody starts acting goofy or cursing or operating
> > without a license.
> > I do have to say, too, that I am rarely on 2 Meters, so I wouldn't be
> > able to catch that.
> > <<
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Well, most of us are rarely on 10 meter, so we wouldn't catch your
> > intruders.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >However, I
> > do know most of the repeater control ops around these parts, and they
> > wouldn't stand for that stuff.
> > Jerry<<
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > And ALL the freebanders I know won't mess with hammies....but by your
> > very words, the hammie is held to a higher standard.....you
> > shrugged off this hammie lawlessness citing hammies local to you that
> > obey the law. My point exactly, for there is always a counter-point and
> > some of you want it silenced, hence Aaron's pulled addy from
> > voobner@yahoo.com. You can't send people viruses just because you don't
> > agree with their point of view.....it's a shame some of these nocode
> > hammies don't have the brains to know that webtv is totally immune to

> > such things.....plus, I have my own personal rep at MSN
> > now.............hey, I pay plenty of money to them.....it's the least
> > they could do.
> >
>
>
>

2835. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 00:57:56 UTC
From: Paul Vanasse <pvanasse@cox.net>
Message-ID: <oG0u9.14176$vq2.653637@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 141616
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

OK, and Jimi Hendrix is still alive on that island in the So.Pacific.

Question: When a radio is in the transmit mode, how can you overload the front end of a receiver? When the T/R circuitry is in transmit, the receiver is out of series.

2836. Re: Realistic Trc 431

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 01:00:51 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021024210051.05368.00000207@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 141617

haha! i'm glad someone laughed first

Thatz-me-JP

2837. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 01:10:14 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <5b6hru8jkr710f919prvffh9ps7fqkgpu8@4ax.com>
Source record: 141618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.cb.skip

On Thu, 24 Oct 2002 18:45:36 -0700, John Medica - System administrator
<medica@cyberspace.org> was blabbling:

...Palamar eliteline 2250 low/med/hi - 150/250 PEP
... $250cnd best offer. *ship UPS*

You must be kidding.... 225's go for $70.00 wholesale and $80.00
wholesale for the 250's and go for about 85.00 on the used market...

you failed to mention if the amp was a blue faced version or the black
faced version.....

73

A Real Tube
http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
A Real Amp
http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html

2838. Re: 250 foot coax run

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 01:17:30 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <P7ydnYySQMM3BiWgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 141620

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021024182220.369$gc@newsreader.com...
> "Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> >
> > > > Thanks,George. I'll go with the bury flex or the 400.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > See it's not so though to be nice. ;)
> > > >
> > > Or tuff either.
> >
> >> Hey I don't have a problem with being nice or offering some good
advise.
> > It is the hypocrites in here that get my dander up.
> >
> Mind describing 'hypocrite'?

People who say one thing and do another, people who critisize only certain individuals they have a beef with while others do the same and never a peep is spoken. Those that cry about people who are anon when they are themselves.. etc etc etc That kinda thing I could go on for awhile.

2839. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 01:19:35 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <nqGcne-u34G6ASWgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141621

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> BTW, why are you afraid to use your real name and email?

who said i was afraid i have used it many times, where you been. Why are you afraid to post your call sign or reveal your real name?

2840. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 01:26:22 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <JtydnaiqtPkiACWgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 141623

>"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:15814->3DB56063-307@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>Did cbers also design the malfunctioning rocket when hammies
attempted
>to play junior astronaut? Damn those lousy cbers...always somehow
>responsible for those shining examples of high stateur we call
hammies.

At least the effort was made. Even NASA doesn't get it right every
time. Just ask the astronauts that flew the space shuttle Challenger,
if you can find them. Besides, a CBer like yourself can't even figure
out which jack to stick the coax cable in to on their CB. One clue
Twisted, its not labled "MIC". ;-))

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
==========
Proziac to Twistedhed:
Twistie, Is Leland Talking to you?
I don't think he is so why don't you put it wide to the side and take
a
stand by or your
gums still a flappin. And you guys want to blame doug, or frank or who
ever
for posting bullshit posts when again You do the exact same thing but
worse.
Nothing cb or help related just a sarcastic post. Talk about get a
life!
Since you don't understand anything about legal electronics or
otherwise you
attempt to discredit him.LOSER!

pot=kettle=black
==========

As evidenced and proved on this forum since it's inception, it is the
angry, shallow, disillusioned lowly few hammies that are usually the
problem.......and they tend to cling to each other...sorta like
dingleberries.

2841. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 01:30:24 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB89EB0.5451DC63@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141703

Spell Checker wrote:
>
> Landshark wrote:
>
> >
> > But Tim, JJ is a ham, hanging out on a cb newsgroup,
> > but he is a ham.
>
> And "JJ" thinks he's going to be allowed in rec-radio-cb. <; NOT!

Really? Guess I'm not here. Just how do you propose to keep me
out?

2842. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 01:31:11 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <4wGdnReihu9CAyWgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 141624

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13010-3DB6E8E7-97@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> So this scenario doesn't apply to freebanders only to 10 meter
> intruders?

It applies to anyone the FCC is investigating.

> Hell, Lelnad, if anyone is guilty of being an "idiot" , you better
check
> yourself. Your scenario doesn't apply to 10 meter intruders either.

Liked I said above it applies to EVERYBODY, thus it applies to 10m
intruders as well.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--

--
==========
Proziac to Twistedhed:
Twistie, Is Leland Talking to you?
I don't think he is so why don't you put it wide to the side and take
a
stand by or your
gums still a flappin. And you guys want to blame doug, or frank or who
ever
for posting bullshit posts when again You do the exact same thing but
worse.
Nothing cb or help related just a sarcastic post. Talk about get a
life!
Since you don't understand anything about legal electronics or
otherwise you
attempt to discredit him.LOSER!

pot=kettle=black
==========

2843. Microwave Update Convention

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 01:37:06 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021024213706.27892.00000124@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141625

This weekend, at the Radisson Hotel in Enfield, CT there is a Microwave Convention. There is a $25 or $40 charge, depending on whether you sign up for UHF or Microwave. There will be some seminars on Antenna Measurements Sunday. Also, some seminars on Saturday also. There will also be some "flea market" vendors related to amateur radio.

I plan on going to the seminars. Just thought to inform those that are in the area that are interested in amateur radio. You can check it out at the www.microwaveupdate.org website.

2844. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 01:37:20 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB8A050.E4914C47@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141704

Twistedhed wrote:

> Well, you must have had *some* curiosity. You inquired as to why one
> may be driving truck when they hold a degree. Lawyers are the dregs of
> society, next to some of the nocodes in here, of course.
> It could be a conscience thing...then again, why is any explanation even
> needed?

Would expect you to take that attitude twistieboy. At least the
lawyers put forth the effort to get a degree and pass the bar
exam, the no codes put forth the effort to get a license, even if
it is the entry level. As for you, you put forth no effort to
attain anything, just operate illegally.

2845. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 01:39:11 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210241739.454d24c3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141626

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<4923-3DB81E96-186@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> >Apparently some women get very hot by playing with
> pee & poop... but I digress.<<
>
> Dayummmmmmm.....................now that is definitely someone who
> would have reacted to being taped...hehehe! Then again, maybe not.

Ugh---if I had a gf like that, I'd make her wear Pampers!!! Come to
think of it, she'd look kind of cute shaking her hips in a pink
nappy... as long as I don't have to change her!
>
> This is getting funnier by the post........I'll betcha a collection
> of,,,uh,,,,more colorful incidents such as we are describing would sell
> at the swamp, er,,I mean swap meets, keydowns, breaks, even at the
> sacred shrine..ummm, I mean hamfest in Dayton, despite it's content.

I think MTV's "Jerky Boys" beat us to it. Supposedly, some of L.A.'s
"bad boys" of 147.435 put out an LP of some wild QSOs, and this LP is
now a rare collector's item. I remember hearing about the time
ex-WB6JAC of '435 infamy jammed a parade committee back in '81, for
which he got a year in the clink... I gather that the parade was a
fiasco, with floats going down the wrong streets, etc. [of course the
homophobic 435ers had the floats stopping in front of gay bars in WeHo
to hear them tell it...]
>
> In the case of "Desi Arnaz", I was out of soda... so I told him "hey
> dummy! Your phone's a piece of junk!"
> Desi: "Who ees THAAAAAT?"
> Me: "It's none of your business."
> Desi: "EET'S NONNA YOUR BEEZNESS TOO!!!"<<
>
> I'd be blowing that soda through my nose at about that time...

Speaking of substances blown thru the nose, I might have actually done
a good deed by phone-jamming---got some stoned teen off dope.
Kid was laughing & joking with his buddy---"huh-huh, dude I'm so
wasted!!!" and that kind of crap... So I cut in and said, in my
Michael Ironside voice, "this is Detective Johnson of the Drug
Enforcement Agency. I'm watching you, sucker". Never heard anyone
sober up so fast in my LIFE... that kid sure cussed about it, too.
Heard his fat old mother on there a couple months later, telling some
family friend "oh, Scott was PETRIFIED of this phone before he moved
out!"
>

> LOL! Tell 'em "I'm the owner of the company dammit and I'm watching you
> from my condo window with binoculars,,,,,now quit goldbricking and stop
> yelling at the girls on the beach...."!

LOL!!! Sounds sort of like the way I used to scare teen girls when they'd piss me off... I'd tell them "come to the window". Some of the girls would turn into whimpering mush... it would never enter their heads to HANG UP.

I've been called just about every name in the book by irate callers: cochino (Spanish), demon, devil, dick, dope, faggot, fart, nigger, nitwit, pig, puto (Spanish), sonofabitch, etc. One teen girl called her friend a "stupid bitch" for asking her if she made the belching noise.

Other times, I'd just be driving past apartments along some boulevard, hear some housewife coming in, and I'd just say something like "shut up, dummy" and keep driving... poor broads never knew what hit 'em. Or, to the ones speaking in Spanish (easy find in L.A.), I'd say "eh, pendejo! Su telefono es basura! Bea comprar un telefono de novecientes megahertz! (=hey, dummy! Your phone's a piece of trash! Get a 900mhz phone!)" The V-tech company ought to give me an honorary commission for peddling so many of its phones in this manner, LOL!

Guy

2846. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 01:43:49 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB8A1D5.2FB47818@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141705

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> you are gg
>
Maybe to you, but people I know call me JJ.

2847. FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 01:45:36 UTC
From: John Medica - System administrator <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3DB8A240.2060809@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 141585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.cb.skip

Palamar eliteline 2250 low/med/hi - 150/250 PEP
$250cnd best offer. *ship UPS*

--
John Medica
System Administrator
Listening-Post
http://www.rootshell.be/~medica/ <http://www.rootshell.be/%7Emedica/>
Toronto Ontario Canada

C o n t a c t I n f o r m a t i o n:
ï¿½ Personal: jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org <mail:jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org>
ï¿½ Work: medica@cyberspace.org <mail:medica@cyberspace.org>

Moderator Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg

2848. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 01:45:45 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <88e9904f0c913a1f6a0db885e47a5408@xganon.com>
Source record: 141622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

http://web.archive.org/web/20021008174238re_/http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/twistworld.jpg

---
This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

2849. Re: jerry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 01:47:51 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <YKSdnUA4W8FaPyWgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 141627

Tim, Ill use Richards logic here the Arrl, prove I am wrong. LOL

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021024191227.22949.00000010@mb-bg.aol.com...
> who do you think pumps more money into congress, the teamsters or the
arrl????

2850. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 01:51:19 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <oaqdnXBlct8KPiWgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141628

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021024190041.22949.00000007@mb-bg.aol.com...
> isnt it illegal for you to communicate with pirate stations?

I wasn't communicating with them I was calling cq cq cq dx, they called me a
few choice words too.

> >Yes they did to me on the air the other day. They were in oklahoma
> >
>
>
>
>

2851. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 01:52:24 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210241752.17fb93cc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141629

BTW, I have nothing against truck drivers. My hat's off to this guy.

-Aaron-

TRUCK DRIVER WHO REPORTED SUSPECT VEHICLE SAYS HE'S NOT A HERO

(Frederick-AP) -- The truck driver who first reported the sniper suspect's vehicle to 9-1-1 says he's not a hero. In a broadcast report Ron Lantz says that he just wants people to think he did what he should have done.

Lantz says he pulled to the rest stop on westbound Interstate 70 shortly before 1 this morning -- having just heard a description of the model, make and license plate of the car.

Lantz says he radioed a truck driver behind him and said -- "That car looks kind of obvious." He says he decided to call 9-1-1. Lantz says police told him they'd be there as soon as possible, and someone else suggested he use his truck to block the entrance to the rest stop so the suspects couldn't drive out. He and another trucker blocked the entrance. About 20 minutes later, the place was swarming with FBI and police cars.

(Copyright 2002 by The Associated Press. All Rights Reserved.)

2852. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 01:53:39 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <fGOdnSu4At1BLiWgXTWcpw@ctc.net>
Source record: 141645
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Most of the transceivers do not have a relay but use a couple of diodes to
do the switching. They are low power units rated for only a few watts. If
you feed back 100 watts or so into the transceiver while it is transmitting
it would take out the diodes and maybe other devices.

>
> Question: When a radio is in the transmit mode, how can you overload the
> front end of a receiver? When the T/R circuitry is in transmit, the
receiver
> is out of series.
>
>

2853. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 01:54:34 UTC
From: "G. M. Alf" <gmalf.ears@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <3u8hrustuuc6586uarklrsqj2usekblje2@4ax.com>
Source record: 141630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

On 24 Oct 2002 09:48:50 -0700, Phil Stripling
<phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com> wrote:

...
>Louise and I go to events where FRS is unuseable because of all the users
>on every CTCSS on every channel. With GMRS we may find another couple of
>users, but it's generally silent except for us. And we can talk to anyone
>else with a GMRS radio, although generally that's considered impolite, and
>to anyone else with a ham radio (which is not considered impolite).
...

This may not be the case for long given the proliferation of cheap
GMRS/FRS hybrid radios that are being sold with the misconception that
a license is not needed.

Mike

2854. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 01:56:21 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210241756.55b00d61@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141631

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<4923-3DB823DE-192@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> >I once drove a girl totally bonkers. She was evidently a borderline
> paranoid, who thought that the government had tapped her phone. I'd say
> something like "Boo!", and she'd freak. The person on the other end
> couldn't hear me, and told her she was nuts.

LOL---I once did that to a nurse, who proceeded to phone 5 of her
friends to find out if they could hear it too! Of course, they
COULDN'T, and thought she was on dope stolen from the hospital...

She then handed the phone
> to her boyfriend/husband, and he "demanded" to know who was on the line.

ROFLMAO!!! I once said something to some girl which caused her to
screech "OHMIGOD!!!" So I said "it's not your god, it's MY god". She
panicked and gave the phone to her bf, who had a humorous appraisal of
the whole affair... so I told him to get a 900mhz phone to replace
that piece of junk. He just chuckled "900mhz phone? OK!" I could hear
the girl still freaking out in the background, screaming something
that sounded like "neh-neh-neh-neh".

> I kept quiet. After mouthing off some macho threats, he then gave the
> phone back to the girl,

One black dude got pissed off at me, and started running around and
knocking on all his neighbors' apartment doors to find the culprit.
>
> With your background or hobby you know that classical music is
> reportedly heard by many
> "schizos".....you probably could have achieved the same effect with
> an easy listening
> station, playing it only when you knew only she was listening.

Heavy breathing is good for a few kicks, too.
>
> <g> Hey, eavesdropping on certain conversations has been held an
> electronic form of spying which is one reason why we can't legally
> receive the cell band on scanners in the US of A..

Legal, shmeagle---as long as it's FUN! One of my neighbors turned his
cellphone into a jamming machine by pressing the channel-change button
repeatedly... he's cut in on zillions of cell calls.
>
> > Old age does funny things
> to your conscience.;) <<

Didn't do anything to mine... but then again, I have "Liberty Valance
syndrome". >:-)
>
> It ain't the conscience, it's the wisdom that youth runs from.
>
Ehh, I could show you plenty of old stupid people.

> ...funny as hell that many of them feel
> their conversations are somehow private.

They think this "privacy" is somehow sacred. Moses didn't say nuthin'
about it.

Guy

2855. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 02:05:29 UTC
From: Paul Vanasse <pvanasse@cox.net>
Message-ID: <JF1u9.15104$vq2.671264@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 141633
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

> But..if you have independent trans/recever's,it could happen.

No it wouldn't because even if there were a separate transmitter and receiver the T/R relay between the two radios will only allow each to be engaged separately. When transmitting the receive side of the antenna is disengaged and out of series.....So much for the Trojan Mod. The original posting and this mod is someone's fantasy.....

2856. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 02:10:28 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210241810.e3b4a7c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141634

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote
>avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
>>> BTW, I have nothing against truck drivers. My hat's off to this
guy.
>>
>> -Aaron-
>>
>> -----
>> TRUCK DRIVER WHO REPORTED SUSPECT VEHICLE SAYS HE'S NOT A HERO
>>
>> (Frederick-AP)
>>
>(snip)
>
>Nice job,indeed. Did he mention which type radio he was using? <g>

No, but there would be no reason to operate illegally in order to talk to the truck behind him, would there? He could use a legal 40 channel CB radio to do that. And besides, the crucial call to 9-1-1 was made with a cell phone.

The reason I posted this was to give truckers their just due. I believe they are hard-working guys who can be counted on to "do the right thing" when the chips are down. That's why it's so important we get word to them (and their suppliers and techs) that the "10 meter radio" they bought in a truck stop must not be used illegally on the ham bands, and why it must not.

PS: I wonder if Tim will say he is a "snitch"?

-Aaron-

2857. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 02:14:41 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <area52@zecretbase.com>
Message-ID: <OO1u9.662$u%1.280870972@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 141635
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"Paul Vanasse" wrote in message
>
> Question: When a radio is in the transmit mode, how can you overload the
> front end of a receiver? When the T/R circuitry is in transmit, the
receiver
> is out of series.
>

Simple.

The disguised circuitry samples and stores the exciter's output frequency. When the sampled signal is compared to a list of allowable frequencies on a eprom chip, the circuitry either remains in the dormant mode or becomes destruct active. Once triggered, high voltage signal pulses are applied to the antenna line using the amplifiers own power supply. The pulse sequence only lasts a few milliseconds before the circuit slips back into sniff and search mode.

hugs and kisses,

General Johsnson Jameson

2858. 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 02:23:12 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <KUmdnbJHmfKNNiWgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141636

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:M7nt9.488$bS5.120@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021022193859.29153.00000377@mb-bg.aol.com...
> > jerry since ten meters is such a dx band what does living near the
> interstate
> > have to do with anything????
>
>
> Because 10 is becoming more "iffy", and is sometimes
in--sometimes out.
> When it is "dead", I
> still hear them. so I know they are local.
>
> J
>
>
>
>
> > >There are plenty of them on 10 if one takes the time to listen
and
> > >lives near an Interstate like
> > >I do

Well Jerry I went to a coffee break with some fellow radio hobbyists tonight. So on the way there I tuned in to 28.085 MHz and yup they were there all right, not loud but definitely there. Later, by a few hours, after the coffee break I again monitored 28.085 MHz, and they were still there. A different group this time, and louder. So I have to agree there're there for those who really want to take the time out to do the monitoring. And just for the record I was driving I-75 south to I-696 west, south on Southfield road to the south bound Southfield freeway, and finally to west bound I-96. I heard the bozos the whole way there at around 5 to 6 PM, and about half way back home around 9 PM.

I had an idea of another way to attack the problem Jerry. Instead of going after the so-called export-radio, or the truck drivers directly, let the Department of Transportation do the work. Since many of the 10m intruders seem to be truck drivers just have the Department of Transportation mandate that commercial trucks can ONLY have company provided GMRS UHF 2-way radios. They regulate just about everything else on the truck already so the radio is just one more minor item. The 11m antennas are hard to hide, and those small enough don't work

worth beans. Thus it would easy to spot the illegal equipment during inspections at weigh stations and when ever a cop pulls a rig over for a safety or traffic violation. The fines would be directed to the owners of the over-the-road rigs. Once the owners get stuck paying a big fine, and possibility firing the driver, or taking other corrective action, the word will get out real quick. No unauthorized radios, period. Once the truck drivers find that many of their fellow drivers are moving to GMRS radios they will not complain much about using it themselves. The point is the drivers mainly talk to each other, thus when you can move a significant number of them off of 11/10m the rest will naturally follow just so that they can still stay in contact.

A 25 watt GMRS UHF radio will work just fine with keeping in contact with fellow drivers for 5 to 10 miles up and down the highway. There is no need for the drivers to know where the local police are unless they have equipment safety violations, are overloaded, or just plain speeding. In any case if they get stopped and fined, so be it, particularly for speeding. I'm sure many of you have been passed by a big rig doing 70+ in a zone clearly marked "Trucks 55". The main use the drivers need the radio for is safety, notification of road hazards, to keep each other amused while driving long hours, and to contact the dispatcher. If the drivers don't have the discipline to use FM correctly, they sure didn't learn how to use AM either judging by all the music playing I hear on 19 all the time, them perhaps we can get rid of the radios all together, then let the drivers use cell phones instead for critical messages.

Once the truck drivers are off 11/10m the demand for the so-called export radio will drop way off, thus the job of blocking their importation will be easier. Also the word will get out to the rest of the pirates that 10m intrusions are being dealt with seriously will further discourage others from using the rigs further reducing the demand. Making an example out of the truckers is easy because they are always exposed to inspections at any time any where, i.e they can't hide at least not for long.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2859. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 02:24:11 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210241824.2cdf1172@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141637

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote
>avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
>> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote
>> >avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
>> >>> BTW, I have nothing against truck drivers. My hat's off to this
>> guy.
>> >>
>> >> -Aaron-
>> >>
>> >> -----
>> >> TRUCK DRIVER WHO REPORTED SUSPECT VEHICLE SAYS
>> >>HE'S NOT A HERO
>> >>
>> >> (Frederick-AP)
>> >>
>> >(snip)
>> >
>> >Nice job,indeed. Did he mention which type radio he was using? <g>
>>
>>> No, but there would be no reason to operate illegally in order to talk
>> to the truck behind him, would there?
>>
>Who said anything about illegal?

What did you mean by this?....

"which type radio he was using? <g>"

>>>He could use a legal 40 channel
>> CB radio to do that. And besides, the crucial call to 9-1-1 was made
>> with a cell phone.
>>
>> The reason I posted this was to give truckers their just due.
>>
>Right..CB operating Truckers is what you left out.
>They do LOADS more good
>than bad with their CB's.

All the more reason why they should operate them LEGALLY.

-A

2860. Re: 250 foot coax run

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 02:32:20 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <TbydnXONMuapMCWgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 141638

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> Yea..I'm sure you could. Sounds like you're describing yourself.

really how so?

2861. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 02:33:06 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <_GKdnelk24_8MCWgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141639

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> Umm..no you haven't 'shaggy'. You've let people assume who you are.

Who started calling who George?

2862. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 02:34:10 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <ze2cnSm7K6w_MCWgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 141640

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> Umm..no you haven't 'shaggy'. You've let people assume who you are.

Ask Landshark as well who wa3moj@aol.com is?

2863. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 02:38:10 UTC
From: Paul Vanasse <pvanasse@cox.net>
Message-ID: <m82u9.15476$vq2.680105@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 141641
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

> The disguised circuitry samples and stores the exciter's output frequency.

Uh...OK

> When the sampled signal is compared to a list of allowable frequencies on
a
> eprom chip, the circuitry either remains in the dormant mode or becomes
> destruct active.

Hmmm, OK, Im still with you.

>Once triggered, high voltage signal pulses are applied to
> the antenna line using the amplifiers own power supply.

I supposed a bank of HV caps are also included in this super mod. Is there a new James Bond movie out that I havent seen yet?

>The pulse sequence
> only lasts a few milliseconds before the circuit slips back into sniff and
> search mode.

Pulse sequence? Sniff and search? I guess these happen right after Mr. Scott installs a new set of Dilithium Crystals in the amp eh. Sounds great, but still someone's wet dream.....

2864. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 02:39:37 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210241839.37f56eff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141642

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
>Date: Thu, Oct 24, 2002 7:28 PM
>Message-id: <20021024222851.343$77@newsreader.com>
>
>avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
>>> What did you mean by this?....
>>
>> "which type radio he was using? <g>"
>>
>I'm done snipping,your page didn't mention CB (go look).

True. But both you and I assumed it was a CB radio. And if that's all you meant by your remark, my apologies.

> After all the ass
>kicking cb truckers take in here as of late,give them their dues too.

I agree and that's why I posted the story. I think I made it quite clear that I was giving them their due. In case you can't read, I'll say it again. I have nothing against truck drivers. I think they are hard-working guys that can be counted on to do the right thing when the chips are down. It's a shame they've been misled to think that operating on the 10 meter ham band is inconsequential. The word needs to get out to these guys, either via the grapevine or by an enforcement scheme such as Leland suggests.

-A

2865. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 02:40:41 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <CtmdnUGGou-0MiWgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 141643

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> Why do you keep brining up Landshark? Can I just ask you,if it's you?

When Richard Cranium reveals his callsign you can ask.

2866. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 02:43:32 UTC
From: John Medica - System administrator <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3DB8AFD4.4050002@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 141601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.cb.skip

exchange rate (today) 1.5610
$250 CAD - $161 US

also mention it has pre amp with the unit.

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

>Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
>
>>John Medica - System administrator <medica@cyberspace.org> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>Palamar eliteline 2250 low/med/hi - 150/250 PEP
>>>>
>>>>
>>> $250cnd best offer. *ship UPS*
>>>
>>>You stoned? And turn off your friggin html.
>>>
>>>
>Sorry,I just realized it's Canuk cash. What's the exchange rate now?
>
>
>

--

<http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg>

2867. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 02:58:31 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210241858.de930b6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141644

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
>> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
>> >Date: Thu, Oct 24, 2002 7:28 PM
>> >Message-id: <20021024222851.343$77@newsreader.com>
>> >
>> >avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
>> >>> What did you mean by this?....
>> >>
>> >> "which type radio he was using? <g>"
>> >>
>> >I'm done snipping,your page didn't mention CB (go look).
>>
>> True. But both you and I assumed it was a CB radio. And if that's
all
>> you meant by your remark, my apologies.
>>
>> > After all the ass
>> >kicking cb truckers take in here as of late,give them their dues
too.
>>
>> I agree and that's why I posted the story. I think I made it quite
>> clear that I was giving them their due. In case you can't read,
I'll
>> say it again. I have nothing against truck drivers. I think they
are
>> hard-working guys that can be counted on to do the right thing when
>> the chips are down. It's a shame they've been misled to think that
>> operating on the 10 meter ham band is inconsequential. The word
needs
>> to get out to these guys, either via the grapevine or by an
>> enforcement scheme such as Leland suggests.
>>
>> -A
>>
>Let me put it to you this way,if all truckers start using 10
meters,there
>isn't shit you could do about it.

Hijacking a portion of the RF spectrum by force? I don't think the FCC
will go along with it. You could try, though and see what happens. :
)

>So the more you advertise it,the faster it's gonna catch on.

How do you figure that? You think truckers are contrary and dishonest?
I don't agree.

>Your milage may vary.

Meaning you're probably full of BS.

-A

2868. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 03:01:54 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <kdOcnXTm4_6-KSWgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 141646

>"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13011->3DB6C0B3-24@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
>"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
>news:7727-3DB3FFF1-315@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>From: krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net (SideBand)
>Headed? It's already here. Looked at TenTec recently, Leland? -SSB

It isn't "there" until the antenna plugs in to the ADC chip's input
pin. That's the goal of the guys doing the work if you even bothered
to read what is going on. I'm not just talking about the links quoted,
but in the various RF Design, and Communications trade publications.

http://www.rfdesign.com/
http://www.commsdesign.com/
http://www.eedesign.com/

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2869. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 03:11:26 UTC
From: Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <3qbs5jtdpd.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>
Source record: 141697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

G. M. Alf <gmalf.ears@verizon.net> writes:

> This may not be the case for long given the proliferation of cheap
> GMRS/FRS hybrid radios that are being sold with the misconception that
> a license is not needed.

I do dread that; we may have to go to ham radios, which means we either
have to risk our good stuff or buy something cheap.

--
Philip Stripling | email to the replyto address is presumed
Legal Assistance on the Web | spam and read later. email to philip@
http://www.PhilipStripling.com/ | civex.com is read daily.

2870. Re: a nice one for you sparky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 03:14:58 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210241914.47e50707@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141698

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021024185505.22949.00000005@mb-bg.aol.com>...
> jerry might be able to jam some truckers with that. 7,500 watts of cw wouldnt
> be too shabby
>
>
> >Yes he is trying to pass it off as a 10 meter amp.

tim...why don't you buy it with some of that pimp money....then you
could drop the maul on sd, doncha think????

2871. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 03:16:34 UTC
From: Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <3q8z0ntdgt.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>
Source record: 141699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) writes:

> Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com> wrote in message
news:<3qelafeqa5.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>...
> > horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) writes:
> >
> > >SNIP<
> > > 2) With GMRS, you are limited to talking with individuals in your
> > > immediate family only (or other GMRS license holders). With MURS, you
> > > can talk with anyone else with a MURS radio.
> > >SNIP<
> >
> > Well, this is confusing. As hams, are my wife and I "limited" to talking
> > to each other "(or other ham license holders)"? As GMRS and ham licensees,
> > I wasn't aware that talking to other license holders was a limitation.
>SNIP<
> I'm not saying GMRS won't work for this individual - it just appears
> that MURS offers a simpler, cheaper, more flexible solution that
> should be able to fit the individual's needs.

Look at your point 2 again: With GMRS, you are limited to talking with
individuals in your immediate family only (or other GMRS license holders).

Are you saying my wife and I, as licensed GMRS users, are 'limited' to
talking to each other and to other licensed GMRS users? Are you saying that
MURS users being able to talk with 'anyone else with a MURS radio' is
different from the first statement and not exactly the same limitation? I'm
confused about what th' heck you're talkin' about. GMRS to GMRS and MURS to
MURS, right?

--
Philip Stripling | email to the replyto address is presumed
Legal Assistance on the Web | spam and read later. email to philip@
http://www.PhilipStripling.com/ | civex.com is read daily.

2872. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 03:20:53 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@wow.com>
Message-ID: <SM2u9.671$Kc2.281735194@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 141701
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

"Paul Vanasse" wrote in message

> Pulse sequence? Sniff and search? I guess these happen right after Mr.
Scott
> installs a new set of Dilithium Crystals in the amp eh. Sounds great, but
> still someone's wet dream.....
>

Mr Vanasse, may I call you Paul?

You see, I'm not a real General. In fact, I've never even been anywhere near the military in my whole life. Dropped out of high school when I was 15 and sold bags of pot along the streets of San Francisco back in the 60's.

I was married once but it didn't work out. My favorite color is green and I voted
for Bill Clinton twice. I've never seen an actual UFO but I have seen photographs
of pie plates hanging by fishing line tied to tree limbs. Boy did they look real.

Sure, go ahead and have a good laugh. Make fun of an old man just trying to help folks out by trying to stop senseless destruction of expensive electronic
equipment. Go ahead, poke me in the eye, I can handle it.

Sincerely,

General Johnson Jameson

2873. A few questions for Skipp...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 03:21:13 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <JM2u9.11384$TX.3790845@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 141700

1. Did Bob McQueen ever grow up?
2. When did "Mike" date "Mimi?"
3. Have you ever seen "Mike's" 'Gibson '67 SG?
4. Have you EVER 'Dropped the maul' from Mt. Vaca?

'73 from VERY Upstate NY...

A.E. 352
http://american-eagle.tripod.com

2874. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 03:26:43 UTC
From: Paul Vanasse <pvanasse@cox.net>
Message-ID: <TR2u9.16015$vq2.692730@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 141702
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

> Sure, go ahead and have a good laugh. Make fun of an old man just trying
to
> help folks out by trying to stop senseless destruction of expensive
> electronic
> equipment. Go ahead, poke me in the eye, I can handle it.

Im not laughing at you General, Im laughing at this supposed modification that would cost more money than the amp itself. It would be cheaper to have an amp buyback program.

2875. TO SHAGGY THE DOG AKA BLACK COCK SUCKER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 03:43:05 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3db8bd9d.129152008@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 141706

On Fri, 11 Oct 2002 13:56:47 GMT, chimbuba@optonline.net wrote:

>On Tue, 8 Oct 2002 17:33:08 -0400, "Fred Flintstoned"
><legalizeit@hempseed.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>><chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
>>news:3da32a3b.11800270@news.optonline.net...
>>> Again you said this before. You are really obsessed with this iceman.
>>> I am not ice. Never have been. That is all I have to say. What the
>>> hell is the connection between the 3 you mention? Shit you even called
>>> me bryan vanderbuilt. Who the hell is that?
>>
>>Obsession not at all Icecold, just obsessed with guys who lie about who they
>>are when have been caught red handed the connection is you are all one in
>>the same are you really that people are that stupid to htink you can say
>>your not him when there is undeniable proof. LOL you need to stay out of the
>>NY knicks ng
>>Icecold=bobba fett=brandspankinnew=shutemdownmobile=Icecoldnyc LOL
>>
>>
>you are not only obsessed with him you are sad. I mean I know alot of
>people out here think you are a joke but, looking into other
>newsgroups as well? damn.
>Sorry bud I am chim bubba and not bryan vanderbuilt (which by the way
>does not sound like a black guys name??) nor am I ice or bobba. shut
>em down is a new one. I never said I wasn't brand new.
>You have a serious problem. I just said I am not ice and I am not
>black. Not that I have anything against black guys.

2876. Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 03:48:55 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <Ha3u9.11548$TX.3836199@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 141707

These amps are cleaverly disguised as 'export only'. Yet I saw a few of their BIG amps at Dayton being sold by 'private' parties, with no questions asked. If I were you, I'd look into this matter IMMEDIATELY! I mean....can you imagine a HAM breaking the rules and running 2500+ watts PEP? GOOD...I can't either. It's DEPLORABLE! So.... get on the horn, and turn in these OUTLAWS!
Here's the link: www.qrotec.com
After all...can you blame us lowly CB'ers for running BIG linears when in fact, our ham 'mentors' are doing the same?

American Eagle 352
http://american-eagle.tripod.com

2877. Re: Johnson Radio Crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 03:49:59 UTC
From: jason <hubs3@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apa8fo$ab9$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 141632

Thanks very much for the info, that chart helps clarify things alot, all im lacking now is a way to mount my 9 foot whip on my house and ill be ready to go,

Thanks again,
Jason

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3db7b6fe.33792386@news.cet.com...
> BTW, it hasn't been posted for awhile, so here's the channel chart:
>
> 1 26.965
> 2 26.975
> 3 26.985
> 4 27.005
> 5 27.015
> 6 27.025
> 7 27.035
> 8 27.055
> 9 27.065
> 10 27.075
> 11 27.085
> 12 27.105
> 13 27.115
> 14 27.125
> 15 27.135
> 16 27.155
> 17 27.165
> 18 27.175
> 19 27.185
> 20 27.205
> 21 27.215
> 22 27.225
> 23 27.255
> 24 27.235
> 25 27.245
> 26 27.265
> 27 27.275
> 28 27.285
> 29 27.295
> 30 27.305
> 31 27.315
> 32 27.325
> 33 27.335
> 34 27.345
> 35 27.355

> 36 27.365
> 37 27.375
> 38 27.385
> 39 27.395
> 40 27.405
>

2878. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 03:59:18 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021024235918.05764.00000221@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 141710

Shoot if anybody wants a Black face Elite 250 for $75 let me know.....!!!!!!!!
Oh yeah, it has pre-amp...there ya go Mopar!

"Got RF?"

2879. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 03:59:26 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@nausea.com>
Message-ID: <%k3u9.674$No2.282524782@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 141708
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

"Paul Vanasse" wrote in message

> Im not laughing at you General, Im laughing at this supposed modification
> that would cost more money than the amp itself. It would be cheaper to
have
> an amp buyback program.
>

The donations for this project came from a group of very
wealthy hams whom for obvious reasons wish to remain anonymous.

They have personally invested many thousands of dollars into
their " hobby " and unlike the FCC are attempting to cure a problem
that has become malignant and affects everybody.
Poorly designed, tuned and improperly used high-power amplifiers
cause havoc to government, aviation, hospital and industrial equipment.

Ask any pilot about spurious signals they have heard on their airplane
transceivers. Harmonic signals so loud they cover up tower
and plane to plane communications, making it impossible to communicate.
Imagine trying to determine where the plane is in front of you when a cb'er
running a few kilowatts decides to keyup and practice his whistling.

But hey, I'm just an old man with phony name and email address.
I'm full of crap and so are my posts. After all, it's just entertainment
right?

hugs and kisses

General Johnson Jameson

2880. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 04:04:07 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <0gghruo4km38h8midelcte4e8cug67lo3r@4ax.com>
Source record: 141709

On Thu, 24 Oct 2002 22:23:12 -0400, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

>Well Jerry I went to a coffee break with some fellow radio hobbyists
>tonight. So on the way there I tuned in to 28.085 MHz and yup they
>were there all right, not loud but definitely there. Later, by a few
>hours, after the coffee break I again monitored 28.085 MHz, and they
>were still there. A different group this time, and louder. So I have
>to agree there're there for those who really want to take the time out
>to do the monitoring. And just for the record I was driving I-75 south
>to I-696 west, south on Southfield road to the south bound Southfield
>freeway, and finally to west bound I-96. I heard the bozos the whole
>way there at around 5 to 6 PM, and about half way back home around 9
>PM.
>
>I had an idea of another way to attack the problem Jerry. Instead of
>going after the so-called export-radio, or the truck drivers directly,
>let the Department of Transportation do the work. Since many of the
>10m intruders seem to be truck drivers just have the Department of
>Transportation mandate that commercial trucks can ONLY have company
>provided GMRS UHF 2-way radios. They regulate just about everything
>else on the truck already so the radio is just one more minor item.
>The 11m antennas are hard to hide, and those small enough don't work
>worth beans. Thus it would easy to spot the illegal equipment during
>inspections at weigh stations and when ever a cop pulls a rig over for
>a safety or traffic violation. The fines would be directed to the
>owners of the over-the-road rigs. Once the owners get stuck paying a
>big fine, and possibility firing the driver, or taking other
>corrective action, the word will get out real quick. No unauthorized
>radios, period. Once the truck drivers find that many of their fellow
>drivers are moving to GMRS radios they will not complain much about
>using it themselves. The point is the drivers mainly talk to each
>other, thus when you can move a significant number of them off of
>11/10m the rest will naturally follow just so that they can still stay
>in contact.
>
>A 25 watt GMRS UHF radio will work just fine with keeping in contact
>with fellow drivers for 5 to 10 miles up and down the highway. There
>is no need for the drivers to know where the local police are unless
>they have equipment safety violations, are overloaded, or just plain
>speeding. In any case if they get stopped and fined, so be it,
>particularly for speeding. I'm sure many of you have been passed by a
>big rig doing 70+ in a zone clearly marked "Trucks 55". The main use
>the drivers need the radio for is safety, notification of road

>hazards, to keep each other amused while driving long hours, and to
>contact the dispatcher. If the drivers don't have the discipline to
>use FM correctly, they sure didn't learn how to use AM either judging
>by all the music playing I hear on 19 all the time, them perhaps we
>can get rid of the radios all together, then let the drivers use cell
>phones instead for critical messages.
>
>Once the truck drivers are off 11/10m the demand for the so-called
>export radio will drop way off, thus the job of blocking their
>importation will be easier. Also the word will get out to the rest of
>the pirates that 10m intrusions are being dealt with seriously will
>further discourage others from using the rigs further reducing the
>demand. Making an example out of the truckers is easy because they are
>always exposed to inspections at any time any where, i.e they can't
>hide at least not for long.

Ony one problem with this solution, for the drivers who are licensed amateur operators this would cut into their ability to enjoy the hobby. I talk to several licensed hams daily on simplex or on the local machines who are drivers, they come through here daily, one even lives here, he's an owner/operator who has 3 trucks on the road. Many of these hardworking drivers pracically live in their trucks, so by this being put into effect they would lose their ability to enjoy the hobby.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

2881. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 04:18:24 UTC
From: whereami <oaknuts@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92B1D8C4A4280mine@64.164.98.6>
Source record: 141711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

You are correct. I was just thinking that it would be easier for mom if she had the minimum in equipment.

> Actually - this would generally be the wrong way to go. Normally, you
> would want to put the antenna on the house on the hill - that way the

2882. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 05:02:21 UTC
From: george craig <geocraig@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <xf4u9.23514$Mb3.922740@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 141712

Jurisdiction is every thing...
www.williamcooper.com/federaljurisdiction.html
go here for more www.williamcooper.com/federal.htm

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021022192058.29153.00000375@mb-bg.aol.com...
> nebro made no initial claim with the post but regardless it is true. go
read
> some of the ham rags and see for yourself. there pissed.
>
> >Why he made the claim and using your very own words Dickie, Nebro has
some
> >proving he is correct.
>
>
>
>

2883. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 05:25:33 UTC
From: Mark Walsh <mark@km6xu.die.spam.die.com>
Message-ID: <apakfgrla1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 141713
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> uttered:
> Mark Walsh wrote:
> > <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>, a shameless top-poster, spouted:

> > > Complaints about this stupid spam should be sent to
> > > abuse@prodigy.net

> > Me thinks you need to re-learn the definition of spam.
> > And while you are at it, please send your reports of
> > top-posting to abuse@mailcity.com

> Like mailcity or any other ISP cares if I top post or not.

You are halfway there. Prodigy likewise won't care about
your re-definition of "spam."

Mark Walsh ***** mark@die.spam.die.km6xu.com ***** http://www.km6xu.com

2884. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 05:31:37 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <urhlor5u4eticf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141714
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

"General Johnson Jameson" <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@nausea.com> wrote in
message news:%k3u9.674$No2.282524782@news.inreach.com...
>
> "Paul Vanasse" wrote in message
>
> > Im not laughing at you General, Im laughing at this supposed
modification
> > that would cost more money than the amp itself. It would be cheaper to
> have
> > an amp buyback program.
> >
>
> The donations for this project came from a group of very
> wealthy hams whom for obvious reasons wish to remain anonymous.
>
> They have personally invested many thousands of dollars into
> their " hobby " and unlike the FCC are attempting to cure a problem
> that has become malignant and affects everybody.
> Poorly designed, tuned and improperly used high-power amplifiers
> cause havoc to government, aviation, hospital and industrial equipment.
>
> Ask any pilot about spurious signals they have heard on their airplane
> transceivers. Harmonic signals so loud they cover up tower
> and plane to plane communications, making it impossible to communicate.
> Imagine trying to determine where the plane is in front of you when a
cb'er
> running a few kilowatts decides to keyup and practice his whistling.
>
> But hey, I'm just an old man with phony name and email address.
> I'm full of crap and so are my posts. After all, it's just entertainment
> right?
>
> hugs and kisses
>
> General Johnson Jameson

Ok General, let's just say your story is true. Now if this circuitry does
work just like you say, what happens if the circuitry fails and starts
burning out radios when they are in the proper frequencies? How will
anyone know (us hams for one) how to fix this anti-freeband circuitry?

Though on the other hand hearing about a freebanders radio going up
in flames on say 27.555 MHz would be amusing. It kinda makes me
wish this wasn't just a urban myth wannabe.

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"He may look like an idiot
and talk like an idiot
but don't let that fool you.
He really is an idiot."
-Groucho Marx

2885. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 05:46:21 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <MZGcndhrEd8TRyWgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 141715

> Ony one problem with this solution, for the drivers who are licensed
> amateur operators this would cut into their ability to enjoy the
> hobby. I talk to several licensed hams daily on simplex or on the
> local machines who are drivers, they come through here daily, one even
> lives here, he's an owner/operator who has 3 trucks on the road. Many
> of these hardworking drivers pracically live in their trucks, so by
> this being put into effect they would lose their ability to enjoy the
> hobby.

That begs the question, where do truckers who are hams hang out?
I listened to 146.52 last trip to Georgia and back, never heard a peep.

2886. Re: Johnson Radio Crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 05:53:30 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db8db98.16991488@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141716

In <apa8fo$ab9$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "jason"
<hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote:

>Thanks very much for the info, that chart helps clarify things alot, all im
>lacking now is a way to mount my 9 foot whip on my house and ill be ready to
>go,

Mount it with lots and lots of ground radials.

2887. Re: get on with it Yeti.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 05:53:41 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3db8dc61.17192348@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141717

In <ap99mqgls1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>, About Done with the silly
Frankie answers <frankiegoescrazy@cet.com> wrote:

>: yeti@REMOVEcet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
>:>: 1. What television ever used the 6146 or the 8950 in any part of the
>:>: horizontal or vertical sweep circuits?
>:>
>:>Review the two previous answers supplied by me within the last week, one
>:>done yesterday. No need to retype the answer a third time, go find it.
>
>: Your answer was "I don't know and I don't want to look". Except YOU
>: made the statement that 6146's were used as sweep tubes -- back it up!
>
>You really need to get off the decafe...

I don't do caffiene any more, ever since I found out it is just as
hard on the liver as alcohol.

> If you go back and look at the
>original text (yes, the one you copied over from an old news group post
>has it), you will clearly see my actual reply (the text writen by me) does
>not make that statement.
>
>As I mentioned many times to you in the past, the statement made
>by another person was included with my comments as a reference for the
>post follow up readers.
>
>So do go back and look, its there... even in the partial copy you posted
>in one of your previous ramblings. No need to back it up, I was just trying
>to keep you from looking the total fool. Notice the > symbols before the
>text your so whacked about. The statement your stuck on was not made by
>me. Christmas, your like about as bad as boobner...

What you said, in response to someone else commenting that 6146's were
used as sweep tubes, was: "Not only was the 6146 used in TV's, one can
find it in the very popular early Ampeg SVT Bass Guitar Amplifier."
Did you not make that statement? If you want to play semantics with
your own words so you can worm your way out of it, fine. Otherwise,
what TV used the 6146?

>:>: 2. What make/model amplifier is currently being manufactured in the US
>:>: for legal use in any service on any frequency between 25 and 34 MHz?
>:>
>:>Alpha Power, 99b as currently advertised on their web page. You seem to
>:>want to do the boobner hard line dance..?
>

>: And you apparently can't read. It's legal in the US only for amateur
>: use and only on freqs not prohibited by 2.185. IOW, you need to find
>: another amp.
>
>Now what does your original question above say..? "for legal use in any
>service on any frequency between 25 and 34 Mhz?" That's how I and
>other readers see it... the question was answered and thats the end of
>that. You waste a lot of time proving your a bafoon.

Playing semantics with MY words now? Here's the original question (as if you didn't understand it's meaning): "What services between 25 and 34MHz are allowed to manufacture and market power RF amplifiers?" Now you can try and break down it's structure, but I think you know exactly what the question was asking:

You made the statement: "...I know of and have FCC licenses for services in the 26-54 Mhz range where use of an amplifier is legal. I have seen and serviced many such radio services in the past. Five minutes at the FCC web page will list such available services." Well, I have spent much more than 5 minutes on the FCC's website and I can't find one single service that permits the use of an amp between 25 and 34 MHz, nor can I find any amp currently produced that is either type-accepted or certificated for these services (which would be necessary for legal operation in those services). You have been asked many times just what service permits an amp that works between 25 and 34 MHz, and all you say is "look it up". I have, and I can't find it. For the umpteenth time, how about a link? If it's so easy to find, it shouldn't be any trouble for you to find and post the link, is it? Or maybe it is...

So how about this just to make it easier: Find one amplifier that's currently being manufactured that's type-accepted or certificated for use on any frequency between 24 and 35 MHz (I checked that while I was on the FCC site -- 2.815 specifies no amps between 24 and 35 MHz, not 25 and 34 MHz as I said before). You see, if you can find one amp that's legal for use in that bandspread, then I'll buy your story that amps on those frequencies are legal.

One or the other, it doesn't matter. Just provide some proof that there are radio services that permit amps in the band prohibited by 2.815. You know, post some PROOF -- the same stuff you keep whining that Voobner won't provide! How about it, Skippy? Or are you just full of hot air and bullshit?

>:>: 3. What is the "IB" service?
>:>
>:>Not currently in use by the FCC, I was under the impression it was, but
>:>the current FCC "Industrial Business Pool" Licenses are now grouped under
>:>the "IG" designation. I used the label to describe legal Industrial
>:>Business Pool Licenses within your magical 25 to 34 Mhz window, of which
>:>are allowed modest (when compared to other services) or higher (vs CB)
>:>power operation which might require the use of an rf amplifier to

>:>transmit at ther full authorized limit. So did you research any
>:>Industrial Business Licenses to see allocations within your area of
>:>contest that are allowed higher power..?
>:>What did you find dear boy..? Yeah... there are some What a
>:>concept...
>
>: I'm familiar with several of those licensees (IG between 25 and 34
>: MHz). I work for one of them and use the service under their license.
>: That's why I know you are wrong.
>
>In your Wed Oct 23 post you state: "There is no IB Service"
>
>You didn't answer my questions Frankie boy... well, let me retract my
>above text (about the FCC no longer using the IB Label) and go back to my
>original position that IB is a current Industrial Radio Service.
>Yesterday I looked at a current FCC Radio Station Licence, Radio Service:
>IB Industrial Business and it's good label after all.

I looked and it wasn't there. IG is Industrial/Business -Conventional,
and IK is Industrial/Business -Commercial. The only other 'I' service
is "I!", which is International Bureau. You should know all this by
now, Skippy, you have several IG licenses (but no IB licenses). BTW,
what does "Offlined for Engineering Review" mean, and why are they
listed under "Charges"?

>But you work for one of them and knew that... of course...
>
>You are wrong.

I guess the FCC has two different lists, huh?

>:>: 4. How is the triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode not like a
>:>: triode?
>:>
>:>The "triode mode" grid to plate connection you described is not used in
>:>many/most rf amplifiers, regardless of the tube type. You clearly showed
>:>your background example was from the tube audio world and does not play
>:>well in rf applications. Your theory is weak in rf... the topic of
>:>choice.
>
>: Even if all three grids are tied to ground it's still a triode
>: configuration, and operates as a triode. But nice try at side-stepping
>: the question -- it's obvious that you refuse to answer it.
>
>No, it's obvious you cant go much past the book front page intro text...
>except maybe in the tube audio amplifier section.
>
>Show us proof of any properly designed circuit using all three grids tied
>to ground...

I did. The Swan 1200-X. Of course you might not consider that a
"properly designed circuit", but then you still haven't explained just

what a "properly designed circuit" really is, or even what a "classic" sweep tube circuit is. Care to elaborate? (If you are just going to squirm around the question, don't bother.)

> Show us any RF amplifier circuit with any grid tied to the
>plate (as you said they can be), not audio... rf amplifier.

>Didn't we just see you dance and sing about an amplifier..?
>I believe you used the Swan 1200x as an example in your Wed Oct 23 post.
>"Just take a look at the schematic of the 1200-X, the screen and
>suppressor are tied to the plate, just like I said."
>
>Well you are wrong buck'o

Yes I am, and despite your immediate response to another one of my mistakes you STILL haven't come close to proving your original statements! Now why do you jump on my errors so quickly, but can't seem to provide any PROOF of your statements, proof that you say is so very easy to find?

Regardless, yes, the screen and suppressor are tied to ground. But that doesn't detract from the fact that the tubes are still run in a triode configuration -- there are still only three effective elements.

>Your boat is sinking fast boy... your theory is bad news and your
>references don't support your statements.

Tell ya what, Skippy. Unless you have been totally absent from the newsgroup for the past couple years, you should already know that I'm a broadcast engineer: I work on shit you only dream about! So if you want to challenge my RF theory, fine. My education AND experience also includes commercial radio, the stuff for which you have several licenses, and if you wan't to bounce that ball back and forth a few times we can do that, too. But I'll tell you one thing of which you can be ABSOLUTELY SURE: Broadcast transmitters don't use sweep tubes! And they don't use pentodes configured as triodes, either! If I don't know much about how to kludge a sweep tube as a grounded-grid triode it's because I was trained how to do things RIGHT! And I can tell you one more thing: Despite my lack of experience in designing sweep tube amps, many times I have put those junk-boxes on the bench, and I have seen very few that didn't make the spectrum analyzer look like a bad acid trip. The fact of the matter is that sweep tubes were cheap substitutes for properly designed RF power tubes. You can dance and squirm around that statement all you want, but you can't change that basic fact!

Your next question you are probably going to ask me is why do I care? Because it's people like you that push the hype that sweep tubes are cool when they are not -- they just add noise to the Citizen's Band. And as a holder of several FCC licenses I'm sure that is something you already know.

It really amazes me how you can sit there and shit on the hand that
feeds you.

>:>: 5. What does "low-mu" describe?
>:>
>:>Specific to what..? operation, circuit layout, tube type, tube element
>:>construction "geometry..?"
>
>: I suppose you think side-stepping won't work twice in a row, so now
>: you try and act stupid? Let's make this a multiple choice question:
>
>Any amplifier designer uses all the above when considering circuits. If
>you want details, you have to be more specific... your question is very
>non specific... should anyone care to answer it, they would need you to
>narrow it down. Moving on...

Alright, let's narrow it down even further:

1. The prefix 'tri-' denotes a number. What is that number?
2. A 'triode' has how many elements?
3. A triode-connected pentode has how many effective elements?
4. Is the EpIp curve of a triod-connected pentode not like a triode?
5. Does a grounded-grid circuit somehow magically transform a triode
into anything else, such as a diode, pentode, tetrode, "low-mu" sweep
tube, tuning-eye tube, CRT, X-ray tube, or klystron?

And just to make it easy for you, here are the answers:

1. 3
2. 3
3. 3
4. No
5. No

Now take the test yourself and then we'll check your score.

>So, if and when you get an answer, where are you going with it the topic?
>What point are you trying to make that directly applies to the
>original topic..?
>
> **********
>
>: The designator 'mu' (greek leter 'micron'), when used in reference to
>: the specifications of vacuum tubes, is a label for the amplification
>: factor of a:
>
>: A. Diode
>: B. Triode
>: C. Beam Tetrode
>: D. Pentode
>: E. None of the above
>
> **********

>
>
>"specifications of vacuum tubes", "type/class of tube", "type of tube
>operation", "circuit layout" are all related to the Low mu label.

Of course they are, whenever the tube is a triode! The triode can even work as medium-mu and high-mu! Good grief, Skippy, how long do you think you can keep up this facade?

> You
>wanted a question answered when each sub topic of the above list can take
>pages. I call you on that fact, another indicator that your working
>knowledge is seriously lacking. I'm just about done answering your dumb
>questions... There are hundreds of google web page hits on the directly
>related topics of "low mu".

Jeez, even MORE bullshit! Don't you ever quit, Skippy? Look up "mu" in your handy-dandy electronics reference and what does it say? Probably the same as mine: "1. Symbol for amplification factor". Then look up "Amplification factor" and it says, "1. In a vacuum tube, the ratio of a small change in plate voltage to a small change in grid voltage required to produce the same change in plate current (all other electrode voltages and currents being held constant)." And you know why triodes are rated with mu but tetrodes and pentodes are rated by transconductance? Because the screen grid can affect the amplification of the tube. Now if the screen grid is not being used because it's either grounded or tied to the plate then the tube is working as a triode. You see, it really doesn't matter where the screen is tied, it's still working as a triode. And that's why when a tetrode or pentode is configured as a triode it is rated by it's mu, because it's working as a triode!

So how many times do I have to repeat this before you quit dancing around the facts? If it looks like a duck, swims like a duck, quacks like a duck... get it?

>:>: 6. What is the definition of a 'sweep tube'?
>:>
>:>It is an informal reference to the function of a tube in TV sets. Also
>:>used as slang to describe a generic style type of tube used to provide
>:>said function. You have a dictionary of Electronics around that you
>:>wouldenlighten us big boy..? What's your hard fast answer..? ... and
>:>from what reference do you make it..? Was it worth the trouble..?
>
>: Hey, you got one right! Bravo!
>
>Your count is off... quite a bit. But your rf theory is also very weak.

My math skills have been poor lately. But like I said before about RF theory, we can go round for round if you want. Even better, why don't you come on up here and I'll show you where I work?

>:>: 7. Because sweep tubes are generally beam tetrodes or pentodes, does
>:>: that mean every beam tetrode or pentode is a sweep tube?
>:>
>:>What a dumb question... but since your burning for an answer, No. By the
>:>way, the formal label is "Beam Power Tube." If your going to nit pick at
>:>stupid issues, don't forget the complete label.
>
>: That's two correct! You're on a roll...
>
>Your count is off, your label was incomplete and you have yet to show
>where your going with all this.

What's incomplete about 'mu'? It means 'amplification.... aw, the hell
with it, you are just going to side-step it every time I say it. The
term "mu" is a term that has been well defined for almost a century.
Taking the term 'low-mu' and putting some extra meaning behind it as
if it's some sort of magical sweep-tube circuit, well that's just
plain deceptive, and it's the kind of thing that helps shovel around
all this RF mythology.

>:>: 8. How does the linearity of a sweep tube compare to that of an RF
>:>: power tube at RF frequencies?
>:>
>:>Another dumb question. Even sweep tubes not originally engineered for RF
>:>applications work very well as RF power tubes. As described by me (and
>:>others including the ARRL & W6SAI Radio Handbooks), their comparison is
>:>about mute as they are easily able to meet or exceed FCC requirements for
>:>such applications. In short, they do the job pretty well for what they
>:>are.
>:>
>:>Many tubes not originally designed for radio rf applications, can and do
>:>work in said areas. The horizontal output tube of some tv sets is one such
>:>example.
>
>: Bzzzt! Wrong answer. Too bad. But since you did so well on the last
>: two questions, I'll give you a chance to look at the EpIp curves of
>: both types of tubes and answer this question again. Fair enough?
>
>Again you are wrong and have yet to provide any supporting facts. Lets
>have'em boy. What I wrote above is fact and stands on its own until you
>can provide clear evidence it does not.

What fact? That the 6146 was used in televisions? What make and model?
Oh, that's right, you told me that I should look it up myself. Or your
other fact that amps are legal on services between 24 and 35 MHz? What
make and model? What service? Oh, that's right, you told me that I
should look it up myself. But when I made a mistake you sure jumped
like a live fish...!!!

>Linearity is not always desired for tubes in RF service... as in
>non-linear modes.

Yeah? So? If you want to run a sweep tube at Class C, be my guest!
Just remember to use safety goggles! LOL!!!

> But sweep tubes do the job well enough to meet and
>exceed FCC Spectral Requirements. Doesn't matter if your flying a 707 or
>a 747, you still get there.

Drop the veil, Skippy. You and I both know that these amps are not
intended to be used under the watchful eye of the FCC! And for that
fact, you can make just about anything meet FCC specs, if by doing
nothing more than turning it off. But running at reduced power is one
way that some devices get through the testing phase. A lot of
equipment that's type-accepted and/or certificated doesn't even get
tested: If it did, a lot of it would certainly fail! So don't hide
under the blanket that a couple radios got their tag because that's a
mighty small blanket!

>: Oh yeah, the word is 'moot', not 'mute'. Your voice recognition chip
>: might be overheating...
>
>Nope, it's mute just as I wrote it...

I read it wrong, but neither word really works there.

>:>: 9. Why were sweep tube amps so popular, aside from the price and
>:>: availability of the sweep tubes?
>:>
>:>They are relatively easy to construct... ARRL Handbook projects from the
>:>60's and or 70's show a classic 4 tube project. What more are you
>:>looking for buck'o..?
>
>: You are suggesting that amps using proper RF tube were more difficult
>: to build? Bzzzt! Wrong again! Although the question was intended to
>
>Your definition of a proper RF tube is the problem. Classic sweep tube
>amplifiers are pretty easy to build. Simple enough...

There you go with that term "classic" again. Just what is a "classic"
sweep tube amp, and what is NOT a "classic" sweep tube amp?

>: mean production amps, many homebrewers had problems with the sweep
>: tube amps.
>
>Many people still don't understand how to properly build them into rf
>amplifiers. Companies like Palomar got it right in the six tube Palomar
>300 circuit. I like to reference portions of it as an exampled of a
>nicely thought out circuit.

So is that a "classic" sweep tube amp? What about the Swan radios? Do
they use a "classic" sweep tube amp circuit?

>: I remember my Uncle tried one once and he kept blowing tube
>: after tube until he finally added enough neutralization to keep it
>: from oscillating into smoke. He wasn't the only one, either.

>
>No sweep tube amplifier I have requires neutralization. It's not required
>in a properly designed AB2 amplifier.

By "properly designed" do you mean grounded-grid or common-cathode?
Because you most DEFINATELY need neutralization in a common-cathode RF
amplifier, whether it's A, AB1, AB2, B, BC or C. Now do all sweep tube
amps use the grounded-grid configuration? Or is that just reserved for
the "classic" sweep tube circuits?

>: Homebrewers also had, and still have the problem of grid current,
>: which is an absolute no-no with sweep tubes. RF tubes are more
>: forgiving in that situation, and with a tuned grid you wouldn't even
>: notice it. But with sweep tubes not even a tuned grid with a swamping
>: resistor is going to make the crossover much smoother.
>
>Most homebrewers / hams do not have a handle on grid current in SWEEP TUBE
>AMPLIFIERS. Well, here's where you really lose it. If one does the
>proper homework, you can research previous posts made by me to the amps
>group which explain a proper circuit approach to ensure grid current is
>within limits. I don't need to lay it out a second or third time.

Woudn't it be much easier to get a real RF triode and just stay within
the manufacturers specifications? Naw, of course not... Gotta have dat
sweep-toob amp a'glowin!

>In a proper circuit, I don't have to have any measure of forgiveness in a
>tube. I understand how it works as applied and can keep the grid within
>allowed operational values. It's not rocket science, but it's not clearly
>understood by a lot of people and clearly not by you.

If you are again referring to grounded-grid, I know more about it than
you might think. For instance, I know that grid current flows while
the cathode is pushed negative by the drive, which is for half of the
cycle if the grid is truly grounded (quite a scary situation for a
sweep tube!). I also know that there is a theory where you can get
better gain from a grounded-grid tetrode or pentode if you reduce the
grid voltage relative to the screen. This might also save the grid in
a sweep tube. However, that theory is faulty because both the grid and
the screen are still AC grounded, and there is no grid-to-screen
amplification without a signal between the grid and screen. The only
thing that is accomplished by lowering the control grid voltage on a
grounded-grid triode-operated sweep tube amp is saving the grid at the
expense of saturation clipping on the negative peaks. IOW, "swang".
And, of course, harmonic distortion. Is this the basis of your
"low-mu" theory? or your "classic" sweep tube circuit? or both?

>: No, they are NOT easier to construct. The only exception might be if
>: the tubes were run grounded-grid, in which case you have to solder
>: three pins instead of one (for a factory triode), which is not a
>: significant effort.
>

>You are wrong... and clearly haven't built any modest number of
>amplifiers, else you would know your blowing a lot of poop.

I don't need to fall off a building to know that I will go 'splat' at
the bottom. Do you?

>:>: 10. How many sweep tube amplifiers are being produced these days?
>:>
>:>You going to dance and sing about the next reply..? I would imagine only
>:>hundreds. The KLV Italian brand, Ameritron might still be making their
>:>unit (but I doubt it). The current rage is to retro fit Dentron, Amp
>:>Supply and similar vintage rf amplifiers with the Ruski (Svetlana) type
>:>EL-509/519 tubes. I've done my share of them...
>
>: No song and dance. I think you will agree that very few, if any, sweep
>: tube amps are being manufactured.
>
>Overall, the number of commercially produced RF Amplifiers are down. The
>market is keen with competition. That's for all tube types

I woudn't say that at all. I WOULD say that the number of HF
amplifiers being produced is down. Regardless...

>:>So where are you going with all of this..?
>
>: Simple. If sweep tubes are so great, why aren't they being used
>: instead of power tubes designed for RF applications? Because they
>: aren't so great!
>: BIG DUH!!!
>
>They are... see the KLV brand of amplifiers...

One, and the amp is illegal.

> tubes in general are
>giving way to solid state. Even power tubes designed for RF where
>possible. Bigger Duh..!

The "Bigger Duh" is the fact that there are several amps still being
made with properly designed RF power tubes, and those tubes are still
in production by several manufacturers, even in the US!

Hey Skippy, Eimac don't make no sweep tubes!!!

>:> is it just your attempt to try
>:>and nit pick..? Are you going to supply us with some magic theory I or we
>:>need to read. Do you have a web page somewhere with articles or text that
>:>you have writen on the subject..? Do you have civil conversations with
>:>people over these topics that interest you..?
>
>: Do you have a financial interest in the popularity of sweep tubes that
>: might skew your public endorsement of them away from technical facts
>: and into the realm of RF mythology?

>
>Slanted question... there is no mythology here, just some weak theory in
>your posts.

You haven't posted ANY theory other than "classic" and "low-mu". Looks
like it's YOUR theory that is weak, "buck'o".

>:>With the 70's, 80's, 90's wind down of glass tube production, all tube
>:>prices rose up and out the window of reason. Only the influx of foreign
>:>mfgrs again make similar type tube a practical alternative. Hence, we
>:>see new made KLV brand amplifiers for sale again. People buy them...
>
>: Do you sell those amps? Or any other sweep tube amps?
>
>No, but I can build them and explain how they work. From what I see of
>your posts, you could not design and build a proper RF amplifier circuit
>using any tube.

LOL!

> You like to tie the grids to the anode/plate... you
>don't understand AB1 and AB2 layout considerations related to rf amps and
>you don't prove that you've worked on very many of said.

...many of said what? Amplifiers? No, I don't make illegal amps. I'm
not a big fan of grounded-grid operation, either. But maybe you should
take a tour of a broadcast transmitter sometime and see how it's
REALLY done.

>:>gotta go... it's music night..! yee ha..!
>:>
>:>skipp
>:>
>:>ps: try not to be too bitter in your follow up post
>
>: Who's bitter? You got three correct answers (maybe four, I'll give you
>: the IB service question), and one that you can do over again. That's
>: more than I expected. Actually, I thought you would try and obfuscate
>: every single question. But I was only half wrong...
>
>You are more than half wrong... The IB Radio Service is current as
>Industrial Business. But of course you work for the industry so you knew
>that.

Then why don't you have an IB license, Skippy? You have them for IG,
IK, YG, ZA and even HA (amateur), but you don't have one for IB. So
where did you find your information that IB is even listed in the
radio services? Any link will do. And how about a link to proof that
these services can use amps between 24 and 35 MHz? Or a link to a
legal amp for those freqs? And a link to a TV that uses a 6146? Don't
post one unless you can post them all, Skippy!

>If you can stay on topic, keep your ramblings under control... it would be
>fun to go into detail about specific technical issues related to rf or
>audio amps. I enjoy the subject and am willing to debate or go over issues
>with any person willing to be civil.
>
>Now, watch for my questions for you...

Go for it.

2888. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 06:39:06 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <apceed1v1a@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 141787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

I know quite a few that work 10 meters.....

And I can give ya their callsigns too! :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021024171314.538$Is@newsreader.com...
> avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
> > news:<c5ecbb69.0210240508.1546532c@posting.google.com>... (snip)
> >
> >> Still can't hear any truckers on 10 meters, Steve?
> >
> > -Aaron-
> >
> Have any truckers respond?
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

2889. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 06:56:49 UTC
From: fccgov@webtv.net
Message-ID: <601-3DB8EB31-173@storefull-2332.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141718

who cares any way

2890. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 07:38:02 UTC
From: "Buford T. Justice" <BTJustice@gmx.net.no.spam>
Message-ID: <ux6u9.215$EOT8.51445906@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 141719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

His sister became the owner of them and sold them on eBay if I recall
correctly. Laws are meant to be broken.

BTJustice

"Ralph D'Andrea" <K0RFD@gjbiz.com> wrote in message
news:1105_1035333262@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 22 Oct 2002 10:51:12 -0700, blackm00@cam.org (Michael Black) wrote:
> > By the way, according to a bulletin I saw the other day, Kevin Mitnick
> > is selling off some of his computers, if I remember properly to pay
> > his legal fees.
>
> Well, if Kevin it taking his sentence seriously, the last time he was
allowed to BUY a computer,
> it was probably a 386. In fact, as I remember his sentence, what's he
even doing OWNING a
> computer anyway?
>
> And being on Coast-to-coast means he has to use the telephone. I'm not
sure the judge wanted him
> doing THAT either.
>
>
>
>

2891. Re: Kevin Mitnick on Art Bell tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 07:43:31 UTC
From: "Buford T. Justice" <BTJustice@gmx.net.no.spam>
Message-ID: <CC6u9.216$EOT8.51839161@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 141720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

3 digits won't get you shit. But dialing 10-10-220 will get all of your 20
minute calls for $.99!!!

BTJustice

"Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote in message
news:ure51fse9oc46e@corp.supernews.com...
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3DB6173E.BBDC3025@ix.netcom.com...
> > >
> > > They had reason to be afraid - once he showed me how to dial a special
> three
> > > digit number that would shut off all phones in a specific grid.
> > >
> >
> > That's gotta be an urban legend. The phone company wouldn't be that
> > dumb. Random people dialing wrong numbers would eventually hit
> > that 3 digit number....
>
> Well, there ARE ways to shut down a node. But hardly with 3 digits.
> That's undoubtedly BS.
>
> Back when the DTMF was a 3x4 matrix for customers (and 4x4 matrix for
> control like on some HAM HTs) the 'phone company matrix was
> quite different, and those extra keys....
>
> Well, time to leave that one alone.
>
> 3 digits to shut down a node now? Yeah, right. And you found those
> three digits written on a piece of paper in that Corvette for $50 every
> knows about from the 60's!
>
> WAIT!! Was there a black helicopter involved?
>
> Mike
>
>
>
>

2892. Re: Galaxy Saturn Turbo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 10:22:13 UTC
From: * RADIOSERVICE 39 * <cbradioservice@poczta.gazeta.pl>
Message-ID: <apb5vitt1@news.gazeta.pl>
Source record: 141721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Hi,
Galaxy Saturn Turbo has the same main board as RCI2950, but ... with
deleted one of final transistors (2SC2312). The big power unit in
this rig (added after the final transistor) is of a very low
quality. The power supply DC unit inside this large case is also not
the very best. A well tuned up RCI2950 will give to you MUCH MORE
satisfaction, beeing also much more comfortable (little size case).
I'm using a RCI2950 since 1990 and I'm very satisfied. Many times i
had a sick "Turbos" on my table, my friends' properties. My advice
is : be a owner of 2950 as long, as you can and never buy a "Turbo".
Best regards,
Chris,
Poland

-= RADIOSERVICE 39 =-
radio39@wp.pl
radioservice39@poczta.onet.pl
cbradioservice@poczta.onet.pl
GG # 2184191
ICQ # 146776296
+48 603 360 445
==========
U&#65533;ytkownik "Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> napisa&#65533; w wiadomo&#65533;ci
news:w5Is9.38722$m92.7471759@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Can anyone tell me what one of these radios is worth used in great
> condition?I have an offer of a trade as they want a RCI 2950 I
have and will
> trade me even up.He just wants a mobile and not the base.
> My instincts say go for it.I know the person,and do know he keeps
his
> equipment in mint shape.I just need to know what it is worth if I
want to
> sell it as I may do.I don't use the 2950, and I doubt that I will
use the
> Galaxy .Email me if you got any info that may be of help.Thanks
for any help
> in advance.

--
Serwis Usenet w portalu Gazeta.pl -> http://www.gazeta.pl/usenet/

2893. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 11:54:01 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB930D9.241D@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141725

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:4923-3DB8276E-196@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > And they were on 28.530 AM at 3:15 Eastern complete with the nasal
> > twang, echo,
> > and CB slang. If it talks like a CBer, squeaks like a CBer, it must
> > be.................."?
> > A CBer!!
> > Jerry
> > --Now I see
> > why you feel that way....hammie radio is plumb full o' dem der cbers,
> > 'specially on dem der 2 meter channels.
> > Seriously.......you know damn good and well that one can listen in and
> > hear wives that ain't even licensed sounding just like a
> > cber.......twang, lingo and all. Tin fo'?
>
> Might be where you are, but the repeater trustees around here will turn the
> machine off if any
> of that goes on. Likewise if somebody starts acting goofy or cursing or
> operating without a license.
> I do have to say, too, that I am rarely on 2 Meters, so I wouldn't be able
> to catch that. However, I
> do know most of the repeater control ops around these parts, and they
> wouldn't stand for that stuff.

I know I wouldn't. Since my license is listed as the trustee of the
repeater, I have a vested interest in making sure things are kept legal.
If I do not take those steps, I am ultimately responsible in the eyes of
the FCC.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2894. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 12:01:42 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB932A6.5073@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141727

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:22581-3DB86C67-78@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > >Might be where you are, <

> > > Yea,,,,now you got it.......sort of like, "There "might" be 10 meter
> > > intruders." See how interchangeable and workable that word is in both
> > > situations?
>
> There's no "might be" to it WRT truckers on 10 Meters. They ARE there.
> Yesterday, I heard
> some up as high as 28.530 on Ancient Modulation. There is NO "might be" to
> it. I could make
> a wave file of it, but then the next rebuttal would be "How do we know they
> are on 10 Meters".
> If one refuses to believe it, nothing can be done, but it still doesn't
> change the fact that it is going
> on. Even Riley mentioned it 3 years ago. The best proof will be when all
> those letters to various
> Congressional and committee persons bear fruit and those sellers and users
> begin feeling the heat
> of enforcement. When it begins to happen on a regular basis, then those of
> us affected by such
> interference can begin to laugh....truly laugh at their self-inflicted
> misfortune.

Unfortunately, the head of steam that may get the enforcement ball
rolling in this area may become the victim of bad timing. By the time
they get solid legislation in place, the sunspot cycle will have
retreated to the point that only local and regional traffic will be
heard. Once the bands become more quiet, the truckers may move back down
into the "freeband" area. Many hams, who only use 10 meters when the DX
is hot, will move back to other bands, and the problem will only be
witnessed by those with an axe to grind. From the FCC's perspective, the
whole problem may be downgraded to a non-issue once again.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2895. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 12:14:39 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB935AF.4B60@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141726

Landshark wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3DB7E4AD.453D@worldlynx.net...
> > > Cb is slowing down, slowly but surly, because of
> > > cell phones IMO.
> >
> > I would agree with this 100%. Add to it the internet, and you've got
> > most of the big picture.
> >
> >
> > > Go take a look around the neighborhoods,
> > > do you see as many antennas now as you might have
> > > 7 years ago?
> >
> > Heck, the amount of antennas on both vehicles and homes has declined in
> > my area since about 1982.
> >
> >
> > > Probably not, when you can get a cell phone
> > > and its use for $9.95 a month, why would you want to
> > > spend $40.00 for a cb?
> >
> > That's not a really good example. A CB would pay for itself after a few
> > month's usage. I don't know about you, but I got into radio as a hobby,
> > because I enjoy the hit or miss aspect of radio. I look at phones as an
> > appliance.
>
> Agreed, but remember, CB was originally created
> as a common way of two way communications, it was
> not meant to be a hobby, that was left to a Ham License.

That's true, but that's not the way it turned out. If CB hadn't
"evolved" into a pseudo-ham radio hobby, it would have died 15 or more
years ago. Both cell phones and FRS radios, make better short range
person-person communications devices.

>
> >Handy, useful, but not something I would make a hobby of.
> > I've had people question my choice of hobby, and pose the obvious
> > question as to why I bother with all those radios, when you can just get
> > a cell phone. My usual answer is that I guess if you don't get it, you
> > don't get it, and are much better off with that cell phone.
> >
>

> I don't think a cell phone as a hobby. I do think that
> the CB was and still is marketed as a cheap form of
> 2 way communications. Now that cell phones are
> cheap, it will have to marketed as something other
> than that.

I don't think CB has been marketed as a reliable two way communications tool, since the "convoy" days. It was a social fad in the 70's and a rag chew/DX hobby ever since.

>
> > > Cell phones are far more reliable and way more private.
> >
> > For a true radio hobbyist, privacy is a negative. One thing you can't do
> > with cell phones, is get a bunch of people together and rag chew. It's
> > point to point only, or maybe a 3-way calling option, but nothing more
> > (Unless you want to pay really big bucks for conferencing abilities).
> >
> > As far a reliability, yes, most cell phones are somewhat reliable, but
> > as redio devices, they are still subject to fade outs and poor signal
> > areas. Murphy's law on cell phones: The phone will quit when you need it
> > the most.
> >
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
> >
>
> True, but you are confusing a hobby with 2 way communications.

I don't view CB as simply "2-way communications", since that is not what the majority of CB users, use it for, beyond the purely technical definition.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2896. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 12:25:42 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB93846.34BE@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141728

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> dave, does all this mean you actually broke radio regulations and enjoyed it???

Yes it does. You see, I was once a disenfranchised, self-centered, indifferent youth with a lack of respect for society. But as I get older, I start to change the way I view the world and the small part that we each play in it.

I ran all sorts of illegal radio equipment. Experimenting with it taught me a great deal about electronics, and helped me to decide my career path.

I personally don't have a problem with people who "play" with CB and freeband, as long as they keep a reasonably clean signal, and stay off of bands authorized for other services.

But I do understand the rule of law, and I've argued on that side since it is the rule. But like I tried to explain to twisty (Who doesn't seem to understand concepts, analogies, and even simple comparisons), there's a difference between the letter of the law, and how I feel personally about it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2897. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 12:44:31 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB93CAF.1C62@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141732

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> That Guy Again wrote:
> I used to use the line "have you checked the children?, from one of
> those old horror movies, who's name escapes me at the moment.
>
> I think it was Price or Legosi (sp?)
>
> Surprisingly, at even 100 watts of power, I was either ignored or unable
> to get over the monitor's transmitter. I never got much reaction from
> baby monitors.
>
> >I once drove a girl totally bonkers. She was evidently a borderline
> paranoid, who thought that the government had tapped her phone. I'd say
> something like "Boo!", and she'd freak. The person on the other end
> couldn't hear me, and told her she was nuts. She then handed the phone
> to her boyfriend/husband, and he "demanded" to know who was on the line.
> I kept quiet. After mouthing off some macho threats, he then gave the
> phone back to the girl, and I promptly said "Boo!" again. This went on
> for a few minutes until she couldn't take it anymore and hung up. It's
> alarming just how many unstable and borderline mental patients live in
> the world.<<
>
> With your background or hobby you know that classical music is
> reportedly heard by many
> "schizos".....you probably could have achieved the same effect with
> an easy listening
> station, playing it only when you knew only she was listening.

"Voices! I hear Voices!!!" AHHHHHHHHHHH!!!!!

It's funny just how many people didn't understand the concept of radio
waves, ans still feel that a cordless phone was "private".

>
>
>
> >I used to use an old 49/1.7 Mhz phone back then. This was before the
> days of "security access codes", when you only had to transmit a tone
> signal to bring up the phone line's dial tone. Tapping the output of the
> phone into the amplifier section of a 10 watt 6 meter rig, and using the
> gain of a 3 element beam, would allow me to bring up phones miles away
> (most of which I could not hear the return on 1.7 Mhz) I used to dial my
> own number to see if it was really working. <<

>
> HEhehe..yea, there were some interesting things done with cordless
> telephones........but I definitely would have found how far I was able
> to achieve this type call...and then try for more distance each time.

It wasn't practical. I could bring up a half dozen phones
simultaneously, so I never knew which one was actually doing the
calling. Many of those old 1.7 Mhz phones didn't have a lot of return
range on 1.7, and I used to "dial blind" and still ring my phone even
though I could not even hear the return. I never knew exactly how far I
was reaching. It was over a mile, for sure.

>
> >I find more entertainment in listening to people (Spying for twisty).
> <<
>
> <g> Hey, eavesdropping on certain conversations has been held an
> electronic form of spying which is one reason why we can't legally
> receive the cell band on scanners in the US of A..

I believe cordless phones were not specifically included in the ECPA,
which is why we can still get scanners that cover there. The ECPA was
nothing more than appeasement legislation, for the benefit of the cell
phone companies to allay the fear of citizens over privacy. At the time
the technology was not there to effectively encrypt the signal, so the
attempted to legislate away the threat. Today this is no longer the
case.

But you are right, it is illegal to tape record a conversation or reveal
information obtained as a result of such reception. It's a violation of
the wiretap law. I had this discussion with a LEO buddy of mine, some
time ago, who maintains that even CB conversations cannot legally be
taped. I still don't believe that but, there's already a case for
cordless phones, when a few years ago someone taped a conversation
involving Newt Gingrich, and was prosecuted for the violation of the
wiretap law.

> >You learn alot about the way people think by how they conduct
> themselves. One of my old neighbors was cheating on her husband, and
> used to talk "dirty" to her "boyfriend", while her husband was in the
> next room. I was waiting for the fireworks to erupt one day, but she
> moved out before anything really interesting happened. The poor husband
> didn't have a clue why the girl was acting so "funny". I guess if he
> payed more attention to her instead of TV sports, he might have gotten a
> hint. I was so tempted to clue him in, but I would have had to reveal
> how I knew, and I didn't want to open that can of worms. <<
>
> Aw, come on......a hammie that didn't think to tape what he heard?
> C'mon, now!!!!!

That WOULD have been illegal, and I would have had self incriminating
evidence of the crime......

>
> >Such was the fun of younger days. I haven't played with cordless phones
> for probably 15 years now. I still listen occasionally, but I don't try
> to jam them any more. That's just not right. Old age does funny things
> to your conscience.;) <<
>
> It ain't the conscience, it's the wisdom that youth runs from.
>
> >FRS radios are fast becoming the "new" CB. There are tons of mostly
> kids playing with them in my area. I'm lucky that I live at a 400' ASL
> location, and I can hear them for farther than the usual published 2
> mile range. Occasionally I'll hear some interesting adults, but mostly
> they just want to talk to themselves, and not socialize. I'm hoping this
> will change..
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"<<
>
> Check out Hershey or Storybook Forest or even tourist areas around
> Philly or Lancaster. Betcha hear more than kids...adults LOOKING for the
> kids.....I have noticed many feel it is in place of a cb on the
> interstates and turnpikes.....funny as hell that many of them feel
> their conversations are somehow private.

The range pretty much sucks for a serious replacement of CB for highway use. But many family and groups use them to caravan. Just don't get left behind by more than a few miles.

In this day and age, of terrorists and the scandal in the Catholic church, many people are suspicious of "others" not in their group. As a kid, I remember being invited over to see another CBer or ham's station. Back then it was considered "mentoring". About a year ago, I was on FRS, and some intelligent sounding kids were experimenting with their radios. I broke in to offer some information, and it was not long before an irate father grabbed the radio and gave me a verbal tongue lashing and pretty much accused me of being some sort of child predator, and how dare I cut in on "their" channel?

Times have changed for sure.....

You're civil, I'm civil.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2898. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 12:55:46 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB93F52.23B2@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141729

That Guy Again wrote:

> Legal, shmeagle---as long as it's FUN! One of my neighbors turned his
> cellphone into a jamming machine by pressing the channel-change button
> repeatedly... he's cut in on zillions of cell calls.

I have been thinking seriously about doing something similar. I have been in several near miss accidents at the hands of people who are more engrossed in their cell phone conversations then they are in paying attention to where they are driving. I am constantly amazed how a society, that a scant 10 or 15 years ago, had no problem waiting until they were home to yak it up with their friends, who now suddenly feel that they have to dial up the second they hit the streets. I still have no justifiable need for a cell phone. My wife has one because it's actually cheaper to use the cell phone to call relatives and friends outside of our local call area, then to use the home phone. My combined monthly bill is now cheaper than before I had the cell.

I have an old analog cell phone. I was thinking about running a sawtooth ramp wave into the VCO, and having it sweep the entire cell phone band, and then carry it with me when I drive. If all the cell phones within a mile of me, stop working, I'm sure they'll (eventually, once they give up on the phone) concentrate more on their driving.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2899. Hero to criminal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 12:57:05 UTC
From: emccleese@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021025085705.28401.00000207@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 141722

Robert Swift, the driver who called in the sniper suspects car, is has been told to me didn't have a cell and used an auto patch on 2 M to make the call. Neat huh, well here comes the rest of the story. While the police were interviewing him what did they happen to see in his truck? A 600 watt Linear amp attached to a CB. Well They were nice enough only to take it from him and levy a small fine. I guess the local police can do that now.... All in all I know that it is illegal to run a linear on 11 merers , but when the goverment says that illegal aliens can be forgiven if they helped in the capture , why can't we forgive a truck driver who just saved a LOT of people a lot of fears? Seems all jacked up to me...

2900. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 12:58:44 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210250458.2cf0c2d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141723

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210241250.39f08252@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210240508.1546532c@posting.google.com>...
> (snip)
>
> Still can't hear any truckers on 10 meters, Steve?
>
> -Aaron-

Who's this "Steve" you keep mentioning? You certainly haven't PROVED
that it's me, as you said you would "Gladly" do. When we gonna see
your PROOF?

I guess you and your truck haven't been in my neck of the woods yet.

Still "studying for your extra"? Har de har!

"I don't have to prove anything; I just say it and that makes it
true." Aanal Aaron H. Voobnut

2901. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 13:14:40 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB943C0.16B9@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

stewart wrote:
>
> The only reason that you would want to go the other way around (in
> this particular individual's situation) is so that the mother on the
> hill could move around with a handheld radio, and if she fell down and
> couldn't get to the base station transmitter, she could use the
> handheld radio that she kept nearby.

Does this mean we could be hearing "I've fallen, and I can't get up!" on
the GMRS or MURS band sometime in the future? ;)

Dave

2902. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 13:22:26 UTC
From: Boss HOG <BossHog@MotherTruckersHwy29.org>
Message-ID: <apbgj0$l9r$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 141724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

A. Tape recordings, observations of truckers and other such
vigilante nonsense by hams have absolutely no legal basis in law
and would be tossed out of a court of law in a heartbeat, IF they
ever got that far.

B. You may perceve ten meter use by trucker as a problem of
national concern, but have you heard there's a worldwide
terrorist war going on? Get a reality check! And the FCC
does NOT regard YOUR ten meter problem as on of their
priorities. In fact, YOUR ten meter problem is not even on
their radar screen. What scarse enforcement resources the
commission has are being used for high-priority, as they see it,
national problems, none of which include YOUR ten meter
problems with trucks. Again, get a reality check!

C. Try a new experience. Turn off all your ham radios and spend
some time with your wife, kids, grandkids, family, etc. And
stop bitching about no code techs. I heard you bitching about them
with your buddies on two meters last night. Some of those no
code techs make six times the money you make!

BOSS hog
Long time trucker & Flea Market hog
Channel 58, 27975 khz lower side

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:wFZt9.3113$Fj6.253943@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > I think truckers are authorized to use 10-meters, just like doctors,
> school
> > teachers, farmers, office workers, and any other sort of ham uses the
> band.
>
> ONLY IF THEY HAVE THE REQUIRED LICENSE! That is the issue here; the use
of
> 10 Meters by unlicensed truckers that just buy one of those "export"
radios
> (illegal to import and sell)
> and start talking. I hear them all the way up beyond 29 MHZ.
>
> Now if a driver obtains the required license, he/she is more than welcome
to

> enjoy Amateur Radio
> and all its rewards in accordance with the rules of the Service. ALL
> licensed persons are welcomed and encouraged to make use of the ham bands.
>
> One of the things that gets a LOT of negative attention is the use of AM
> below 28.300 MHZ where
> voice modes are not allowed in the USA/Region 2. If a driver hears Morse
> Code (CW) on that
> frequency, that is why. All drivers can do Amateur Radio AND their
driving
> friends a huge favor by passing the word that 10 Meter operations DOES
> require a license and that the Feds are back in enforcement--especially
> where it concerns out-of band-operation by CBers. MANY hams are
> highly ticked off about the unlawful intrusions and are actively
monitoring
> the bands for it--even ob-
> serving trucks on the highway for such goings-on. Based on their tape
> recordings and tag numbers,
> FCC WILL issue a warning to the driver's employer! It IS a serious
matter,
> folks, and you should
> heed the warning.
>
> Jerry
>
>
>
> > You got something against truckers?
> >
> > Blackie
> > Keep the shiny side up!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

2903. Re: wanted super scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 13:24:16 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB94600.17AB@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141730

Z26915 wrote:
>
> Well dave someone should call up CC Hammer in the Mohave desert and tell him he
> cant get out on that piece of junk.But as for me I hear him everyday on that
> earplugging base of his.And the mohave definitely has a high wind problem too.
> Not saying your wrong of course but CC doesn't have a problem with his,maybe it
> was modified.

"Getting out" is a relative term. Maybe the reason you hear him so well,
is because he's running a little extra power?

I'd be willing to bet, based on past experience, that if this "CC"
person were to magically replace his super scanner with a 3 element
beam, you'd hear him even better, provided, of course, it was pointed at
you.

The super scanner was designed to be both an omni and a beam for people
who can't install both. As a beam it does slightly better than a good
omni, but worse than a dedicated beam, and the front-back rejection is
much worse. As an omni, it's worse than a 1/2 wave GP.

If the reason you want a super scanner is so that you can be a powerful
as "CC", you might want to first ask him what other goodies make him
sound so strong, because I can assure you that the antenna is not it.

You might want to get a hold of Jay in the Mojave. He refurbishes
Penetrator antennas. Those were a great omni antenna. You won't find too
many that are better. Unless you want a beam.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2904. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 13:31:03 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19812-3DB94797-58@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141734

From: witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) didn't write, but Dave Hall socialist
N3CVJ did write:
>I once drove a girl totally bonkers. She was evidently a borderline
paranoid, who thought that the government had tapped her phone. I'd say
something like "Boo!", and she'd freak. The person on the other end
couldn't hear me, and told her she was nuts.<<

>LOL---I once did that to a nurse, who >proceeded to phone 5 of her
>friends to find out if they could hear it too! Of >course, they
>COULDN'T, and thought she was on dope >stolen from the hospital...

You erred, as is so common with the nocodes..perhaps their irony of poor
communication skills has rubbed off on you a bit, after all, the worst
skills of communication have already been demonstrated by "Aaron's"
named trouble-causing cult on rec.radio.cb of Dave Hall (a socialist)
N3CVJ, Frank Gilliland, N8WWM Dogie Adair,
and Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO. I think it's funny that Aaron snaps his
fingers and you say "how high?
A pleasant conversation, then "Aaron" smacks you with a troll comment,
and you fall to pieces...hahhahahhahahhahah! Pathetic.
What you attributed to me I can not take credit for...it was socialist
Dave Hall N3CVJ.

>She then handed the phone
>to her boyfriend/husband, and he "demanded" >to know who was on the
line. ROFLMAO!!! I >once said something to some >girl which >caused her
to
>screech "OHMIGOD!!!" So I said "it's not your >god, it's MY god".

I am your God, based on your smear campaigns and deliberate
misattributions...hell, for some reason I really upset your sorry
pathetic nocode azzez.....hahahahhahaahahaaha

> She panicked and gave the phone to her bf, >who had a humorous
appraisal of the whole >affair... so I told him to get a 900mhz phone to
>replace that piece of junk. He just chuckled >"900mhz phone? OK!" I
could hear
>the girl still freaking out in the background, >screaming something
>that sounded like "neh-neh-neh-neh".
>I kept quiet. After mouthing off some macho >?threats, he then gave the
>phone back to the girl,
>One black dude got pissed off at me, and >started running around and
>knocking on all his neighbors' apartment doors
>to find the culprit.

What the hell does him being black have to do with it? You, sir, are a racist swine.

.....you probably could have achieved the same effect with an easy listening
station, playing it only when you knew only she was listening.

>Heavy breathing is good for a few kicks, too. <

Maybe where you come from, laddy.
Down here that sorta stuff is reserved for times when you're alone with a female.....

<g> Hey, eavesdropping on certain conversations has been held an electronic form of spying which is one reason why we can't legally receive the cell band on scanners in the US of A..

>Legal, shmeagle---as long as it's FUN!<

Nah! Can't agree with ya' there.
Too many folks are incompetent on word definitions today....using outdated, grammar school dictionaries.
Besides, you' ain't nuthin' but another pime exampe of a disgrace to hammie radio. Never imagined my mere words had the power of all-consuming control over you dweebettes.....hahhahhahahahahhahhaha!

>I have "Liberty Valance
>syndrome". >:-)

Oh, you have a syndrome alright.

It ain't the conscience, it's the wisdom that youth runs from.

>Ehh, I could show you plenty of old stupid >people.

Look in the mirror. You're preaching to the choir. You are...discontent, even.

Funny as hell that many of them feel
their conversations are somehow private.

>They think this "privacy" is somehow sacred.<

It's called a reasonable belief and until the courts rule otherwise, feel free to petition the law at anytime to change it. If you need instruction on how to begin what would be construed as such a broad undertaking by you, we understand and do not hold it against you. Email me and we can discuss a consult fee.

>Moses didn't say nuthin' about it.
>Guy

Just cause you knew him personally carries no weight. Better ask the atheist down under his views concerning such a statement.

2905. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 13:39:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19810-3DB9499C-314@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141733

It isn't "there" until the antenna plugs in to the ADC chip's input pin.
That's the goal of the guys doing the work if you even bothered to read
what is going on. I'm not just talking about the links quoted, but in
the various RF Design, and Communications trade publications.
--
Uh-huh,,,I see....and what makes your choice of literature any better
than the electronics magazines others read? You know what I'm talking
about,,,,,where you accused the publisher of having no journalistic
integrity for mentioning a keydown? Hahhahahahah! You just entered the
"Hypocrite Hall", collectively manned, at this point in time, by 3 very
angry nocodes and a bitter socialilst upperclassman. Go upgrade, Lelnad.

2906. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 13:46:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19811-3DB94B2D-117@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141742

Well Jerry I went to a coffee break with some fellow radio hobbyists tonight. So on the way there I tuned in to 28.085 MHz and yup they were there all right, not loud but definitely there. Later, by a few hours, after the coffee break I again monitored 28.085 MHz, and they were still there. A different group this time, and louder. So I have to agree there're there for those who really want to take the time out to do the monitoring. And just for the record I was driving I-75 south to I-696 west, south on Southfield road to the south bound Southfield freeway, and finally to west bound I-96. I heard the bozos the whole way there at around 5 to 6 PM, and about half way back home around 9 PM. I had an idea of another way to attack the problem Jerry. Instead of going after the so-called export-radio, or the truck drivers directly, let the Department of Transportation do the work. Since many of the 10m intruders seem to be truck drivers just have the Department of Transportation mandate that commercial trucks can ONLY have company provided GMRS UHF 2-way radios. They regulate just about everything else on the truck already so the radio is just one more minor item. The 11m antennas are hard to hide, and those small enough don't work worth beans. Thus it would easy to spot the illegal equipment during inspections at weigh stations and when ever a cop pulls a rig over for a safety or traffic violation. The fines would be directed to the owners of the over-the-road rigs. Once the owners get stuck paying a big fine, and possibility firing the driver, or taking other corrective action, the word will get out real quick. No unauthorized radios, period. Once the truck drivers find that many of their fellow drivers are moving to GMRS radios they will not complain much about using it themselves. The point is the drivers mainly talk to each other, thus when you can move a significant number of them off of 11/10m the rest will naturally follow just so that they can still stay in contact. A 25 watt GMRS UHF radio will work just fine with keeping in contact with fellow drivers for 5 to 10 miles up and down the highway. There is no need for the drivers to know where the local police are unless they have equipment safety violations, are overloaded, or just plain speeding. In any case if they get stopped and fined, so be it, particularly for speeding. I'm sure many of you have been passed by a big rig doing 70+ in a zone clearly marked "Trucks 55". The main use the drivers need the radio for is safety, notification of road hazards, to keep each other amused while driving long hours, and to contact the dispatcher. If the drivers don't have the discipline to use FM correctly, they sure didn't learn how to use AM either judging by all the music playing I hear on 19 all the time, them perhaps we can get rid of the radios all together, then let the drivers use cell phones instead for critical messages.
Once the truck drivers are off 11/10m the demand for the so-called export radio will drop way off, thus the job of blocking their

importation will be easier. Also the word will get out to the rest of the pirates that 10m intrusions are being dealt with seriously will further discourage others from using the rigs further reducing the demand. Making an example out of the truckers is easy because they are always exposed to inspections at any time any where, i.e they can't hide at least not for long.
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
"I am a
 bomb
 technician
if you see me
running
  try to keep up "

Try putting that idea to a DOT man.
After getting laughed at for being pompous, you can start looking for budgets to slash from to pay for the extra men your asinine proposition would mandate for you, as usual, neglect the most obvious......money. DOT may be state run, but receive a great deal of their monies from the feds. The feds track record is well known on cb enforcement. A large cash influx isn;t on the way and that's the only way it could happen. Hey, don't take my word for it, I'm just a lowly freebander that enjoys some Dx. Ask JerryO to ask Riley about this. Will you take his word, or has that self-righteous thing blinded you to not only those that don;t agree with you, but those that remain neutral or refuse to take sides at all? Never mind, we know your side, and then we know the truth.

2907. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 13:57:41 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4923-3DB94DD5-337@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141741

"Aaron* wrote:
>No, but there would be no reason to operate >illegally in order to talk
>to the truck behind him, would there? He could >use a legal 40 channel
>CB radio to do that.

What if all he had was an export? I do believe it would have been
totally legal to use it in this instant. Excellent point.

>And besides, the crucial call to 9-1-1 was >made with a cell phone. The
reason I posted >this was to give truckers their just due. I
>believe they are hard-working guys who can >be counted on to "do the
right thing" when the >chips are down. That's why it's so important >we
get word to them (and their suppliers and >techs) that the "10 meter
radio" they bought in >a truck stop must not be used illegally on the
>ham bands, and why it must not.
>PS: I wonder if Tim will say he is a "snitch"?
>-Aaron-

That was a prety cool post, Frank until you took a pot shot at Tim, but
you sticky wads just can't help yourselves. Appears you and Lelnad have
this control problem, a lack of self-discipline, one might even call it.

2908. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:02:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4923-3DB94EFE-339@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141743

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13010-3DB6E8E7-97@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net... So this
scenario doesn't apply to freebanders only to 10 meter intruders?

>It applies to anyone the FCC is investigating.<

Heyyyyy....you quoted correctly....<g>
It applies to none of who the FCC is investigating. One doesn't go to
court for being under investigation.

<Lelnad's snip job>

Hell, Lelnad, if anyone is guilty of being an "idiot" , you better check
yourself. Your scenario doesn't apply to 10 meter intruders either.

>Liked I said above it applies to
>EVERYBODY, thus it applies to 10m
>intruders as well.
--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>ARRL Member
>NCI Member

-

Did it soothe your battered ego a bit to selectively snip the part where
you called me an idiot but reposted only the part where I returned your
kind words? Awwwww, I'll betttt it didddd!
He said, she said. Believe what you want. Most of us know better. You
guy's hype and propaganda is old hat and worn out..
==========
>Proziac to Twistedhed: <

Who is "Proziac? Oh, a nocode in drag....'nuff said. This current sig of
yours is an improvement over the one you used to use as shown
below.....and to think you may have learned a thing or two about
your dignity and humanity since the days you so blatantly signed your
posts with:
"Yup, I just got me another bite on my trolling line!
  --
73's,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
ARRL member
NCI member"

You truly offer the worst to be found in hammie radio, Lelnad....no wonder the big boys won't play with you......the cbers don't want you and neither do the hammies........you're in a self-created purgatory....right where you belong. You are less and less interested in any view but your own and completely self-absorbed...you aren't worried about your comments and happily sign your call because you are secure in your false sense of superiority. .

2909. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:02:57 UTC
From: KK5B1 <kk5b1@yourassholeburns.org>
Message-ID: <apbite$qb6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 141735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net...
//psycho-babble snipped//

Hey Oxydol,

Wash your filthy car! It looks like something out of a
redneck dream. And quit jamming your neighbors' TVs.
We sent them information how to report you to Riley.

KK5B1

2910. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:03:36 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Yacu9.3995$6F4.378447@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141736

Hey dog poop, Perhaps you can tell us all about what practicing law is like. Perhaps you can tell us how a lawyer could not do both. How much law do you practice? How much truck driveing do you do?
"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message news:vrmcnSDkFuW77iWgXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
>
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> Hey.........George! This is the same curious logic exhibited by the
> socialists such as Dave Hall, N3CVJ. He also, can't comprehend why any
> normal, law-abiding cber would refuse to obtain a hammie license. Some
> people are just unable to see beyond their own limited vision...but
> that's ok, we won't hold it against you and I am certain you won't lose
> any sleep over it.
>
> I am not curious at all If a guy is driving a truck he is a trucker if he
> is a practicing law he is a lawyer. If he is driving a truck and not
> practicing law he is a fool.
>
>
>

2911. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:05:31 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Lccu9.4000$6F4.346909@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141737

In citys with a law school, there are more.
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021024182830.996$nR@newsreader.com...
> "Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote:
> > "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > Hey.....George! This is the same curious logic exhibited by the
> > socialists such as Dave Hall, N3CVJ. He also, can't comprehend why any
> > normal, law-abiding cber would refuse to obtain a hammie license. Some
> > people are just unable to see beyond their own limited vision...but
> > that's ok, we won't hold it against you and I am certain you won't lose
> > any sleep over it.
> >
> >> I am not curious at all If a guy is driving a truck he is a trucker if
> > he is a practicing law he is a lawyer. If he is driving a truck and not
> > practicing law he is a fool.
> >
> Almost seems there's as many lawyers as truckers now.
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service
>

2912. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:08:39 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Hfcu9.4005$6F4.378360@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

So..... You talked with truckers in Ok.?
"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:YimdnTv7pv-W6iWgXTWc3g@comcast.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message -
> > >
> > Have any truckers respond?
>
>
> Yes they did to me on the air the other day. They were in oklahoma
>
>
>

2913. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:12:55 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Hjcu9.4015$6F4.379587@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141739

OOHHHHHHHHHHHHH! Now he's a Bruce Lee wanna be.
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:B4%t9.11260$Be4.11@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
> news:QvycnV675tRJ6CWgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> >
> >
> > "Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> > news:20021024092844.18074.00000045@mb-cv.aol.com...
> > > hey shaggy dawg, is the eagle claw kinda like the iron claw from the
von
> > > erichs? i know you like wrestling so i'm sure you know lol
>
> (Sigh)
>
> An "Eagle's Claw" is a Tai Kwan Do move where the palm of the hand is
> suddenly and rapidly
> thrust upward into the bottom of the assailant's nose. The fingers are
then
> violently and quickly raked downwards over the eyes and face blinding the
> attacker. If used incorrectly, it will shatter
> bone/cartilege, driving same into the brain causing death. Yet, if done
> correctly, the move will so
> surprise the attacker with pain and blindness that he can be then taken
down
> with either a move against a pressure point, by a fist to the jaw, or a
> tripping move. There are other holds that will
> literally paralyze an attacker and cause him to go to his knees absolutely
> unable to fight back.
>
> Jerry
> >
> >
> > Sorry Tim Never heard of an eagle claw, and the diversionary posts have
> > worked as well. After posting them I have been accused of being georges
> son
> > LOL funny how a seed when planted can be many things
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

2914. Re: jerry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:15:06 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Klcu9.4027$6F4.378659@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141740

Damn! that was good shootin.
"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021024191227.22949.00000010@mb-bg.aol.com...
> who do you think pumps more money into congress, the teamsters or the
arrl????
>
> >The emphasis has been on informing Congress of the
> >activities of certain
> >members of society who think they don't HAVE to obey the laws or fulfill
> >the same requirements
> >that others do for access to certain radio assets. The emphasis is on
> >cluing in the right people on how "certain" people think that they are
some
> >kinds of "cowboy legends" who are so important to
> >the operation of the economy that their lawless activities will be
> >overlooked or that they will be bowed down to in awe of their great
> >achievements; after all, there are just sooooo many lawyers
> >that would rather drive trucks (<g>) doncha know?
> >
>
>
>
>
>

2915. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:15:10 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4924-3DB951EE-134@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141652

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Yes it does. You see, I was once a disenfranchised, self-centered, indifferent youth with a lack of respect for society. But as I get older, I start to change the way I view the world and the small part that we each play in it.
I ran all sorts of illegal radio equipment. Experimenting with it taught me a great deal about electronics, and helped me to decide my career path.
I personally don't have a problem with people who "play" with CB and freeband, as long as they keep a reasonably clean signal, and stay off of bands authorized for other services.
But I do understand the rule of law, and I've argued on that side since it is the rule. But like I tried to explain to twisty (Who doesn't seem to understand concepts, analogies, and even simple comparisons), there's a difference between the letter of the law, and how I feel personally about it.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
==========
Hi Dave. Still espousing the philosophy that if someone else doesn't view something the way you do, the other person must suffer from deficits. I am certain you didn't get that from your "How to Win Friends and Influence Them" guide for practicing socialists, but your post was timely, as it gives me a perfect example to point out of what I illustrated yesterday concerning those hammies who are secure in their ignorance and false sense of security. Since I have pointed out your misbehavior you have indeed reviewed your archive collection and the fact that you were repulsed enough by what you saw made you admit your character flaws ..... that is a good thing, a first step. Your words are there for all to enjoy, whenever they wish. You now to the side-step and and expect us to disregard your own words and believe the spin you are telling us now that you have grown up since then. I give everyone the benefit of doubt at first...you are no longer worthy of such delights. You must unprove yourself..a reputation is much harder to repair than destroy, but you can't say you weren't warned.

2916. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:17:11 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@nausea.com>
Message-ID: <aocu9.686$zu3.286939253@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 141744
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

"KB9TMP" wrote in message

> Ok General, let's just say your story is true. Now if this circuitry does
> work just like you say, what happens if the circuitry fails and starts
> burning out radios when they are in the proper frequencies? How will
> anyone know (us hams for one) how to fix this anti-freeband circuitry?
>

As I stated previously, everything is being done anonymously.
If the user stays within legal band edges, including mars/cap
frequencies, the programming on the eprom, which by the way
has been cleverly shielded from stray RF from what the designers
have told me, will never know it's even installed. A fail-safe design
insures that upon power-up, an internal self-diagnostics program
runs to insure that the unit is operating within design parameters.

Is this legal? Is using a RF amplifier on 11 meters legal? Of course not.
If such a device caused damage to your equipment, you could collect for
damages in civil court providing you could prove how the damage occurred
and could identify the party who sold you the amplifier. You would also have
to show the court that the selling party knew it was installed and that
he or she had criminal intentions to defraud or cause physical damage to
your equipment. Bottom line, no attorney worth his salt would take this case
and you'd be laughed out of small claims court just trying to explain the
" star wars " device hidden somewhere in your amplifier.

2917. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:18:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4924-3DB952A9-135@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141654

In this day and age, of terrorists and the scandal in the Catholic church, many people are suspicious of "others" not in their group. As a kid, I remember being invited over to see another CBer or ham's station. Back then it was considered "mentoring". About a year ago, I was on FRS, and some intelligent sounding kids were experimenting with their radios. I broke in to offer some information, and it was not long before an irate father grabbed the radio and gave me a verbal tongue lashing and pretty much accused me of being some sort of child predator, and how dare I cut in on "their" channel?
Times have changed for sure.....
You're civil, I'm civil.
Dave
"Sandbagger"

Dude, I have always been civil to everyone until provoked and initiated by another.....you wanna bury the hatchet, I would like nothing better. You tell me (or email me) what you want from me and in return, all I ask , is you stay pertinent, non-insultive, and non-personal in our crossings.. .....

2918. Re: wanted super scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:21:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4924-3DB9537E-136@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141653

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Z26915 wrote:

>Well dave someone should call up CC Hammer in the Mohave desert and tell him he cant get out on that piece of junk.But as for me I hear him everyday on that earplugging base of his.And the mohave definitely has a high wind problem too. Not saying your wrong of course but CC doesn't have a problem with his,maybe it was modified.

>>"Getting out" is a relative term. Maybe the reason you hear him so well, is because he's running a little extra power?
I'd be willing to bet, based on past experience, that if this "CC" person were to magically replace his super scanner with a 3 element beam, you'd hear him even better, provided, of course, it was pointed at you.
The super scanner was designed to be both an omni and a beam for people who can't install both. As a beam it does slightly better than a good omni, but worse than a dedicated beam, and the front-back rejection is much worse. As an omni, it's worse than a 1/2 wave GP.
If the reason you want a super scanner is so that you can be a powerful as "CC", you might want to first ask him what other goodies make him sound so strong, because I can assure you that the antenna is not it.
You might want to get a hold of Jay in the Mojave. He refurbishes Penetrator antennas. Those were a great omni antenna. You won't find too many that are better. Unless you want a beam.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
<<

Hahahahahha.....ooohhhhhhh da irony o da' sitchation.....mums da word!!!!!!!!

2919. Re: Hero to criminal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:23:59 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <3ucu9.99321$%d2.37367@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 141745

Is that part of that new law, allowing local police to enforce those laws, and confiscate illegal radio gear?? Absolutely ridiculous!!

"EMccleese" <emccleese@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021025085705.28401.00000207@mb-fy.aol.com...
> Robert Swift, the driver who called in the sniper suspects car, is has been
> told to me didn't have a cell and used an auto patch on 2 M to make the call.
> Neat huh, well here comes the rest of the story. While the police were
> interviewing him what did they happen to see in his truck? A 600 watt Linear
> amp attached to a CB. Well They were nice enough only to take it from him and
> levy a small fine. I guess the local police can do that now.... All in all I
> know that it is illegal to run a linear on 11 merers , but when the goverment
> says that illegal aliens can be forgiven if they helped in the capture , why
> can't we forgive a truck driver who just saved a LOT of people a lot of fears?
> Seems all jacked up to me...

2920. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:25:22 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4925-3DB95452-44@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141655

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
I don't view CB as simply "2-way communications", since that is not what
the majority of CB users, use it for, beyond the purely technical
definition.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
--

I can agree with that....more like hundreds-of-ways communication each
day for us skip chasahs!

2921. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:26:08 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4wcu9.4044$6F4.381263@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141746

Uh huh..........
"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:oaqdnXBlct8KPiWgXTWcqA@comcast.com...
>
>
> "Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021024190041.22949.00000007@mb-bg.aol.com...
> > isnt it illegal for you to communicate with pirate stations?
>
>
> I wasn't communicating with them I was calling cq cq cq dx, they called me
a
> few choice words too.
>
> > >Yes they did to me on the air the other day. They were in oklahoma
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

2922. Re: Johnson Radio Crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:27:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4923-3DB954CD-341@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141650

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <apa8fo$ab9$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "jason"
<hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote:
Thanks very much for the info, that chart helps clarify things alot, all
im lacking now is a way to mount my 9 foot whip on my house and ill be
ready to go,

>Mount it with lots and lots of ground radials.<

I still don't have mindspring, Frank.

2923. Re: OT- - Hey Shark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:28:54 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Gycu9.12351$8q3.568174659@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141648

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021025000951.588$8k@newsreader.com...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >> Kent just went deep..8-4.
> > >
> >> Make that 12-4. Wonder if Bonds has a CB?
> >
> Make that 16-4.
>
> --
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

Yup, a fun game to watch if
your a Giant fan! Saturday's the day.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

2924. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:28:55 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Hycu9.12352$8q3.568174659@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141647

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:3DB8463E.B8B222B1@mailcity.com...

> >
> > Well JJ or is it Sir Circumference, you have proved
> > nothing more than you can't hold a civil conversation.
> > I never called you names, but when backed into a corner
> > you can only throw insults, not proof.
> > You seem to speaking for the entire country, it's
> > a plague, an epidemic, my God their using the police
> > freqs now, whatever. You are only complaining, not
> > proving that is a plague of epidemic proportions, over
> > the entire country.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> All I am saying is there are a lot of truckers and other illegal
> ops using 10 meters on a daily basis, the numbers are increasing
> daily as these illegal so called 10 meter radios aimed at the cb
> market and truckers are being sold.

OK. they are cracking down on those radio's.

> Anyone who claims that they
> have listened for the last xx months and only heard one or two
> such incidents is either plain stupid, doesn't know how to use his
> equipment or just plain lying.

Sorry, you're wrong. Of the hundreds of thousands
square miles, no matter where you are you will hear them?
LOL! Not a chance JJ. I had my license years back, still know quite a few
hams and talking with them, not as bad where we live as maybe out where you
are or were.

>just a few minutes of scanning the 10 meter band the other day
> I found 9 frequencies where such illegal activity is going. And on
> 28.085 there were so many truckers you could not separate the
> stations. Now that is just those who are on the band that I can
> hear from my location, so it only stands to reason that there are
> many of these illegal stations that I cannot hear, but others can.
> So this crap about it isn't a problem is just that, crap.

If it's as bad as you say where you're at, yes it's a
problem, but to paint the entire country with a brush
that's so wide, nonsense. I was listening for about
4 to 5 hours last week going up Highway 5. Scanning

between the high and the low of 28.085, 2 times I heard probable non licensee's. On the way home, during the evening and night, I heard maybe 3 or 4 probable non licensee's, so where's the dozens I'm supposed to hear?

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

2925. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:37:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21619-3DB95738-418@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141651

From: 10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@nausea.com
(General Johnson Jameson)
"Paul Vanasse" wrote in message
Im not laughing at you General, Im laughing at this supposed
modification that would cost more money than the amp itself. It would be
cheaper to have an amp buyback program.
--

The donations for this project came from a group of very wealthy hams
whom for obvious reasons wish to remain anonymous.
They have personally invested many thousands of dollars into their "
hobby " and unlike the FCC are attempting to cure a problem that has
become malignant and affects everybody. Poorly designed, tuned and
improperly used high-power amplifiers cause havoc to government,
aviation, hospital and industrial equipment.
Ask any pilot about spurious signals they have heard on their airplane
transceivers. Harmonic signals so loud they cover up tower and plane to
plane communications, making it impossible to communicate. Imagine
trying to determine where the plane is in front of you when a cb'er
running a few kilowatts decides to keyup and practice his whistling.
But hey, I'm just an old man with phony name and email address. I'm full
of crap and so are my posts. After all, it's just entertainment right?
hugs and kisses
General Johnson Jameson
--

Yup, that's what one would have to do...IMAGINE. We can do better than
that, we can take it to an aviation forum and ask any pilot you want
about these harmonics.....they do occur...only not from cb to
avaition freqs....this argument was fought in the past and I was witness
to it, but I'll be content to follow you to a usenet fly-board and watch
you go at it.
You mentioned rich hammies,,,I have to ask...did you meet them on the
bowl?

2926. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:38:46 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB95776.10F19208@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141676

Landshark wrote:

>
> Sorry, you're wrong. Of the hundreds of thousands
> square miles, no matter where you are you will hear them?
> LOL! Not a chance JJ. I had my license years back, still know quite a few
> hams and talking with them, not as bad where we live as maybe out where you
> are or were.

In Texas I heard truckers all the time, now I am 700 miles
northwest and I still hear truckers all over the band. When in
Texas I seldom heard truckers in Texas, mostly in the midwest and
east coast. Now I hear them sown in Texas, east coast and other
parts of the country. Truckers all over the US are operating
illegally on 10 meters, so any ham in any part of the country will
hear them if they listen. I hear hams on both the east coast and
west cost talking about the illegal ops on the band. Sorry, you're
wrong.

> >just a few minutes of scanning the 10 meter band the other day
> > I found 9 frequencies where such illegal activity is going. And on
> > 28.085 there were so many truckers you could not separate the
> > stations. Now that is just those who are on the band that I can
> > hear from my location, so it only stands to reason that there are
> > many of these illegal stations that I cannot hear, but others can.
> > So this crap about it isn't a problem is just that, crap.
>
> If it's as bad as you say where you're at, yes it's a
> problem, but to paint the entire country with a brush
> that's so wide, nonsense. I was listening for about
> 4 to 5 hours last week going up Highway 5. Scanning
> between the high and the low of 28.085, 2 times I
> heard probable non licensee's. On the way home,
> during the evening and night, I heard maybe 3 or 4
> probable non licensee's, so where's the dozens I'm
> supposed to hear?
>
> Landshark

I can't help it if you don't know how to monitor the 10 meter
band. Maybe you need better equipment or you don't know how to use
it. When you say you scan between the high and low of 28.085 (how
do you scan the high and low of one frequency?) it makes me
wonder. And you say 'probable non licensees', if they were on
voice in English on 28.085 they most certainly were non licensees.

2927. Welcome home, Lou (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:40:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21619-3DB957C1-419@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141649

Piniella has come home.

2928. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:44:22 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21621-3DB958C6-169@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141661

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:

Well, you must have had *some* curiosity. You inquired as to why one may be driving truck when they hold a degree. Lawyers are the dregs of society, next to some of the nocodes in here, of course. It could be a conscience thing...then again, why is any explanation even needed?
==========
>Would expect you to take that attitude >twistieboy.

And you would be expected to take issue with the truth and call it an "attitude". Par for the course.

>At least the lawyers put forth the effort to get a degree and pass the bar exam, the no codes put forth the effort to get a license, <<

There is no effort, Jr. and for those who think it is, they must be handicapped, and in that light, yes, it is an accomplishment.

>even if it is the entry level. As for you, you put >forth no effort to attain anything, just operate >illegally.

Oh I assure you I don't just "operate ilegally".
Now go study.

2929. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:46:31 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21619-3DB95947-421@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141662

Unfortunately, the head of steam that may get the enforcement ball
rolling in this area may become the victim of bad timing. By the time
they get solid legislation in place, the sunspot cycle will have
retreated to the point that only local and regional traffic will be
heard. Once the bands become more quiet, the truckers may move back down
into the "freeband" area. Many hams, who only use 10 meters when the DX
is hot, will move back to other bands, and the problem will only be
witnessed by those with an axe to grind. From the FCC's perspective, the
whole problem may be downgraded to a non-issue once again.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
--

100% correct.

2930. Re: Hero to criminal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:46:45 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB95955.3F1503AB@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141678

EMccleese wrote:
>
> Robert Swift, the driver who called in the sniper suspects car, is has been
> told to me didn't have a cell and used an auto patch on 2 M to make the call.
> Neat huh, well here comes the rest of the story. While the police were
> interviewing him what did they happen to see in his truck? A 600 watt Linear
> amp attached to a CB. Well They were nice enough only to take it from him and
> levy a small fine. I guess the local police can do that now.... All in all I
> know that it is illegal to run a linear on 11 merers , but when the goverment
> says that illegal aliens can be forgiven if they helped in the capture , why
> can't we forgive a truck driver who just saved a LOT of people a lot of fears?
> Seems all jacked up to me...

Well, we all know the government has priorities all screwed up.
Pardon me for being a bit skeptical, but can you post something
that substantiates this and is verifiable?

2931. Re: NorthStar NS-3000 Mobile FORSALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 14:57:33 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021025105733.27772.00000255@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141656

living around here we have to duck no matter what we do

>Buds are better than bullets.
>
>Now I don't have to duck when I get gas.

2932. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 15:00:46 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021025110046.27772.00000256@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141657

one time in a frs newsgroup doesnt count

>who said i was afraid i have used it many times, where you been. Why are you
>afraid to post your call sign or reveal your real name?
>
>

2933. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 15:04:55 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB95D97.409264A8@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141679

That Guy Again wrote:
>
> Hey, what happened to some of "Aaron's" latest crackfarts? Looks as if
> some moderator has been yanking his posts from the threads...
>
> Guy

This group is not moderated.

2934. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 15:07:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021025110718.27772.00000258@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141658

sureeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee

>I wasn't communicating with them I was calling cq cq cq dx, they called me a
>few choice words too.

2935. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 15:11:54 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021025111154.27772.00000259@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141660

dave this is 1 million times more dangerous and careless than operating on the freeband yet your here bothering us? you might really cause people to loose lives or property this way. you should never say a word again about anything anybody does illegal anywhere in the spectrum

>
>I have been thinking seriously about doing something similar. I have
>been in several near miss accidents at the hands of people who are more
>engrossed in their cell phone conversations then they are in paying
>attention to where they are driving. I am constantly amazed how a
>society, that a scant 10 or 15 years ago, had no problem waiting until
>they were home to yak it up with their friends, who now suddenly feel
>that they have to dial up the second they hit the streets. I still have
>no justifiable need for a cell phone. My wife has one because it's
>actually cheaper to use the cell phone to call relatives and friends
>outside of our local call area, then to use the home phone. My combined
>monthly bill is now cheaper than before I had the cell.
>
>I have an old analog cell phone. I was thinking about running a sawtooth
>ramp wave into the VCO, and having it sweep the entire cell phone band,
>and then carry it with me when I drive. If all the cell phones within a
>mile of me, stop working, I'm sure they'll (eventually, once they give
>up on the phone) concentrate more on their driving.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2936. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 15:17:55 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@nausea.com>
Message-ID: <5hdu9.688$dF3.287635540@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 141666

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message

>You mentioned rich hammies,,,I have to ask...did you meet them
>on the bowl?

Sorry, but I'm not up on the term, " on the bowl "

Could you possibly elucidate for us?

2937. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 15:18:20 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021025111820.27772.00000260@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141663

sure he is. he probably should have did us all a favor and just walked up to them sleeping and took the two guys out himself and mosey on down the road to the next rest stop. would have saved us alot of bullshit cnn coverage and a whole lot of tax dollars all the way around

>PS: I wonder if Tim will say he is a "snitch"?

2938. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 15:22:52 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021025112252.27772.00000261@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141664

i hope i'm not the only one that see's your attempted humor here. do you actually consider your proposal to be realistic? i hope not but if you do you are out of this world and way off your rocker. and btw do you really think those music players are drivers? i'm sure there much to busy. you can bet its the base stations or people like you pissed at truckers who are doing it.

>
>
>I had an idea of another way to attack the problem Jerry. Instead of
>going after the so-called export-radio, or the truck drivers directly,
>let the Department of Transportation do the work. Since many of the
>10m intruders seem to be truck drivers just have the Department of
>Transportation mandate that commercial trucks can ONLY have company
>provided GMRS UHF 2-way radios. They regulate just about everything
>else on the truck already so the radio is just one more minor item.
>The 11m antennas are hard to hide, and those small enough don't work
>worth beans. Thus it would easy to spot the illegal equipment during
>inspections at weigh stations and when ever a cop pulls a rig over for
>a safety or traffic violation. The fines would be directed to the
>owners of the over-the-road rigs. Once the owners get stuck paying a
>big fine, and possibility firing the driver, or taking other
>corrective action, the word will get out real quick. No unauthorized
>radios, period. Once the truck drivers find that many of their fellow
>drivers are moving to GMRS radios they will not complain much about
>using it themselves. The point is the drivers mainly talk to each
>other, thus when you can move a significant number of them off of
>11/10m the rest will naturally follow just so that they can still stay
>in contact.
>
>A 25 watt GMRS UHF radio will work just fine with keeping in contact
>with fellow drivers for 5 to 10 miles up and down the highway. There
>is no need for the drivers to know where the local police are unless
>they have equipment safety violations, are overloaded, or just plain
>speeding. In any case if they get stopped and fined, so be it,
>particularly for speeding. I'm sure many of you have been passed by a
>big rig doing 70+ in a zone clearly marked "Trucks 55". The main use
>the drivers need the radio for is safety, notification of road
>hazards, to keep each other amused while driving long hours, and to
>contact the dispatcher. If the drivers don't have the discipline to
>use FM correctly, they sure didn't learn how to use AM either judging
>by all the music playing I hear on 19 all the time, them perhaps we
>can get rid of the radios all together, then let the drivers use cell
>phones instead for critical messages.
>

>Once the truck drivers are off 11/10m the demand for the so-called
>export radio will drop way off, thus the job of blocking their
>importation will be easier. Also the word will get out to the rest of
>the pirates that 10m intrusions are being dealt with seriously will
>further discourage others from using the rigs further reducing the
>demand. Making an example out of the truckers is easy because they are
>always exposed to inspections at any time any where, i.e they can't
>hide at least not for long.
>
>
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
>Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
>"I am a
> bomb
> technician
>if you see me
>running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2939. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 15:24:51 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <feoiru8dvllpjrb1g52jkl1g8v0ifv9i0i@4ax.com>
Source record: 141659

On Fri, 25 Oct 2002 00:46:21 -0500, "Dave VanHorn"
<dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote:

>> Ony one problem with this solution, for the drivers who are licensed
>> amateur operators this would cut into their ability to enjoy the
>> hobby. I talk to several licensed hams daily on simplex or on the
>> local machines who are drivers, they come through here daily, one even
>> lives here, he's an owner/operator who has 3 trucks on the road. Many
>> of these hardworking drivers pracically live in their trucks, so by
>> this being put into effect they would lose their ability to enjoy the
>> hobby.
>
>
>That begs the question, where do truckers who are hams hang out?
>I listened to 146.52 last trip to Georgia and back, never heard a peep.
>
>

Alot of the guys that come through here on a regular basis have the
machines along their route programmed in, and there is also the FARA
linked system through here that covers most of the state. Also
remember there are other simplex freqs available.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

2940. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 15:24:53 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021025112453.27772.00000262@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141665

keith dont humnor leland. it was a troll post at best and a crying shame and a direct indicator of his lack of sanity at worst.

>
>
>Ony one problem with this solution, for the drivers who are licensed
>amateur operators this would cut into their ability to enjoy the
>hobby. I talk to several licensed hams daily on simplex or on the
>local machines who are drivers, they come through here daily, one even
>lives here, he's an owner/operator who has 3 trucks on the road. Many
>of these hardworking drivers pracically live in their trucks, so by
>this being put into effect they would lose their ability to enjoy the
>hobby.
>
>73 de Keith
>KC8TCQ
>Vice President: REACT of Henry County
>Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
>American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
>
>
>
>
>
>

2941. Re: a nice one for you sparky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 15:27:32 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021025112732.27772.00000264@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141667

i can do that already

>tim...why don't you buy it with some of that pimp money....then you
>could drop the maul on sd, doncha think????

2942. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 15:31:26 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021025113126.27772.00000265@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141668

you do know william cooper was drunk nut dont you? he was caught lying on the air and changing his stories more than anybody i can think of. he had alot of good points and was VERY fun to listen to as i did for years on shortwave but his ramblings are hardly a source for reference for anybody serious

>
>Jurisdiction is every thing...
>www.williamcooper.com/federaljurisdiction.html
> go here for more www.williamcooper.com/federal.htm

2943. Re: Check this site out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 15:33:29 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <urip546b92pg85@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141669

Here's another good chuckle.. Reminds me of a few in here...

http://fatasshole.20megsfree.com/g0at0r.swf

PH

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:zMet9.621$qk.45695319@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> This should get a chuckle out most people.
>
> http://www.rathergood.com/vikings/
>
>

2944. Re: A few questions for Skipp...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 15:49:08 UTC
From: Flat as a pancake he was <funnystufflfyourintheknow@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apbp5k$43k$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 141670

There you are...! Funny as heck to see you over here, but not
really unexpected. Here are some answers...

: A.E. 352 <ae352@iwon.com> wrote:
: 1. Did Bob McQueen ever grow up?

No, but he grew out quite a bit. About the size of a house the last time
I heard anything about him. But I did hear he is still a nice guy, just
more of him around to prove it.

: 2. When did "Mike" date "Mimi?"

Yes, but we never heard if he got anything for the effort (except maybe
blue ____s). Was she a natural blonde..? How many kids do you think
has now..?

: 3. Have you ever seen "Mike's" 'Gibson '67 SG?

Think I did once... didn't I play it once..? I still have my SG (and my
other Gibsons), but I mostly play bolt on neck - Fenders for the big
shows. You missed some of our really large concerts... Maybe I'll send
you some backstage pics or video shorts.

: 4. Have you EVER 'Dropped the maul' from Mt.
: Vaca?

Doing it everyday... had to run a new seperate 200 amp service in to cover
the new load requirements.

: '73 from VERY Upstate NY...
: A.E. 352
: http://american-eagle.tripod.com

Tried to contact you once or twice via IRLP linking, but got bored listing
to guys just ramble about where they live. But I'm listening to the icw
setup a few nights a week in scan (when I can stand it).

How about you fire up that hf radio and burn a hole through the air to my
antenna. I've got a boobner-10k high powered amplifier that will burn a
hole back to you. Two years ago, I last lit it up and cause the West
Coast to have an energy shortage... :-)

good to hear from you pancake...
reply to the known email addresses
as Ron W would have said... "your weak" & "rawhide _____"

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

2945. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 16:09:55 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <zs2cnZlgwZQs8SSgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141671

> Alot of the guys that come through here on a regular basis have the
> machines along their route programmed in, and there is also the FARA
> linked system through here that covers most of the state. Also
> remember there are other simplex freqs available.

I was thinking maybe there was a particular simplex freq.
Storm chasers have settled in on 146.55

Sure would make it easier to make contacts.

I'm looking for a reasonable mobile antenna, if I can find one, and get it mounted before my trip, then I'll bring the 847 along, and work some SSTV on HF mobile.

2946. Re: Firestik vs Wilson FGT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 16:13:29 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <NSudnc8h5e8V8CSgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 141672

"Darrin" <darrin99@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:2dniruk28kcqcgj8h076p93o8e6g1ha832@4ax.com...
> I have a 5' Firestik II that works rather well, but I'm thinking of
> replacing it with a 6' Wilson FGT fiberglass antenna. Of course Wilson
> claims their antenna is much better than the Firestik. I'm wondering
> if I'll see any real difference even with the extra 1'. Any info is
> appreciated.

In general, all other things being equal, the larger the antenna, the better
it works.
All shortened antennas are a compromise.
The 9' steel whip is still hard to beat. (For CB, anyway :)

Using a 9' whip on the ham 10 meter band, I've worked Japan and Russia on
25W SSB while driving up and down I-5 in california. Signals like they were
just down the street. If you leave off the spring, it's just right for the
10M band.

2947. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 16:25:53 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210250825.5c76d29f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141673

Hey, what happened to some of "Aaron's" latest crackfarts? Looks as if some moderator has been yanking his posts from the threads...

Guy

2948. FS: Cobra 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 16:26:02 UTC
From: The Tube Man <thetubeman@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ugeu9.4571$Fj6.390508@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141674

I have a nice Cobra 2000, with two external speakers, upper and lower channel and variable power. Unit has been peaked and tuned - $325+shipping

2949. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 16:34:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4923-3DB97284-355@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141677

From: 10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@nausea.com
(General Johnson Jameson)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
You mentioned rich hammies,,,I have to ask...did you meet them on the bowl?

>Sorry, but I'm not up on the term, " on the bowl >Could you possibly elucidate for us?
==

This is the first time I have seen you and since everyone here knows what the "the bowl" means.....I feel the need to ask, who is "us"? Have you always run around referred to yourself in the plural sense or only in drag and heels? And I really can not assist you in your dilemma, as I promised Frank Gilliland I would tone down my vernacular so he could follow along. Under normal circumstances, I could have obliged, but I never go back on my word. Frank complained and I obliged....I'm an accommodating type guy!!!!!!

2950. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 16:35:06 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210250835.1e68e7bf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141675

"A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
news:<Ha3u9.11548$TX.3836199@twister.nyroc.rr.com>...
> So.... get on the horn, and turn in these
> OUTLAWS!

"Aaron" evidently has a bark much worse than his bite... I've been waiting 7 years, and I still see no sedan with feds in front of my house.

He must have pissed off some moderator... I noticed a couple of his recent posts have been yanked...

Guy Richards

2951. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 17:05:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB979CA.D07BE1B2@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141695

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> even entertaining the idea makes you alot worse than any freebander running a
> big amp i've ever heard of and that includes the truckers on ten meters.
> truckers are fcking up your "hobby" while you contemplate casuing havoc to
> society by jamming cellphones.

Let's see, by your reasoning murdering someone is a lot worse than
robbing a bank, so robbing banks should be overlooked.

2952. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 17:20:00 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <43fu9.154$Ue.13044872@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141680

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DB95776.10F19208@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Landshark wrote:
>
> >
> > Sorry, you're wrong. Of the hundreds of thousands
> > square miles, no matter where you are you will hear them?
> > LOL! Not a chance JJ. I had my license years back, still know quite a
few
> > hams and talking with them, not as bad where we live as maybe out where
you
> > are or were.
>
> In Texas I heard truckers all the time, now I am 700 miles
> northwest and I still hear truckers all over the band. When in
> Texas I seldom heard truckers in Texas, mostly in the midwest and
> east coast. Now I hear them sown in Texas, east coast and other
> parts of the country. Truckers all over the US are operating
> illegally on 10 meters, so any ham in any part of the country will
> hear them if they listen. I hear hams on both the east coast and
> west cost talking about the illegal ops on the band. Sorry, you're
> wrong.
>
>

Well that's fine, you're wrong/I'm wrong, the fact still
remains, it's not a as huge as you make it.

> > >just a few minutes of scanning the 10 meter band the other day
> > > I found 9 frequencies where such illegal activity is going. And on
> > > 28.085 there were so many truckers you could not separate the
> > > stations. Now that is just those who are on the band that I can
> > > hear from my location, so it only stands to reason that there are
> > > many of these illegal stations that I cannot hear, but others can.
> > > So this crap about it isn't a problem is just that, crap.
> >
> > If it's as bad as you say where you're at, yes it's a
> > problem, but to paint the entire country with a brush
> > that's so wide, nonsense. I was listening for about
> > 4 to 5 hours last week going up Highway 5. Scanning
> > between the high and the low of 28.085, 2 times I
> > heard probable non licensee's. On the way home,
> > during the evening and night, I heard maybe 3 or 4

> > probable non licensee's, so where's the dozens I'm
> > supposed to hear?
> >
> > Landshark
>
> I can't help it if you don't know how to monitor the 10 meter
> band. Maybe you need better equipment or you don't know >how to use it.

On the contrary, it works just fine and I do know
how to use it JJ, thanks for the help anyways.

>When you say you scan between the high and low of 28.085 >(how
> do you scan the high and low of one frequency?) it makes >me wonder.

Sorry my bad, I should've said 28.085 to 29.985
I wasn't listening to fm or for repeater traffic, but again
if it's so rampant, the owner of the repeater would shut it
down.

> And you say 'probable non licensees', if they were on
> voice in English on 28.085 they most certainly were non >licensees.

Most likely, as I said probable, but there was a
constant CW and I listened to that for a while, not
that I'm any good at it anymore.

In closing JJ, while you are having problems where you
are at and it seems the east coast is too, it doesn't mean
that billings Montana or for that fact Taos New Mexico
are. It is a problem, it is illegal, but this painting of it
happening in every state of the union, every city is just
plain wrong.

You see, even though we disagree, we can have a civil
discussion without throwing insults at each other.

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

2953. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 17:33:05 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021025133305.27781.00000223@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 141681

first dave hall says this:

>Yes it does. You see, I was once a disenfranchised, self-centered,
>indifferent youth with a lack of respect for society. But as I get
>older, I start to change the way I view the world and the small part
>that we each play in it.

but in another post he says this:

>>>I have an old analog cell phone. I was thinking about running a sawtooth
ramp wave into the VCO, and having it sweep the entire cell phone band,
and then carry it with me when I drive. If all the cell phones within a
mile of me, stop working, I'm sure they'll (eventually, once they give
up on the phone) concentrate more on their driving.<<

dave do you always make a habit of talking out of both sides your mouth on the
same day???

2954. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 17:55:21 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB98589.458C@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141683

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> it is the rule. But like I tried to explain to twisty (Who doesn't seem
> to understand concepts, analogies, and even simple comparisons), there's
> a difference between the letter of the law, and how I feel personally
> about it.
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> ==
> Hi Dave. Still espousing the philosophy that if someone else doesn't
> view something the way you do, the other person must suffer from
> deficits.

Since you are still being reasonable civil, I will honor you with a
response.
I have no problem with people who take a different view from me, when it
involves subjective tastes and opinions. If I like Country & Western
music, and you like Def Leppard, there is no right or wrong, just a
difference of opinion.

But when you are talking about factual information, or defacto
standards, if you take a position that is contrary to those normally
accepted standards, it's up to the opposing party to make the case why
the standard is wrong. In your case, you need to explain why running
illegally should not be considered criminal per the laws set forth by
the FCC. The fact that you, yourself feel it, is not sufficient
evidence. And once you do that, make the case to the lawmakers, and
hopefully this will all become a moot point.

> I am certain you didn't get that from your "How to Win Friends
> and Influence Them" guide for practicing socialists, but your post was
> timely, as it gives me a perfect example to point out of what I
> illustrated yesterday concerning those hammies who are secure in their
> ignorance and false sense of security.

Like I've said before, many times, I don't mollycoddle people. I don't
sugar coat my information. If what I say doesn't sit well with you,
prove it wrong, or deal with it. Posting a contrary OPINION, does not
automatically invalidate my information or my position.

> Since I have pointed out your
> misbehavior you have indeed reviewed your archive collection and the
> fact that you were repulsed enough by what you saw made you admit your
> character flaws .

Wait a minute. Now you're starting to go off the path. I have reviewed some of my past posts. Mostly to see when I really started posting here. I see nothing especially inflamatory in most of what I've written. In fact I try to make a point to refrain from emotional inflection as it clouds objectivity. I am usually a straight up and to the point guy. I don't make personal insults, unless they were thrown at me first. Compared to some of the more "colorful" people here, I am mild.
What "character flaws" have I admitted to, out of curiousity? I don't recall doing any such thing.

>.......... that is a good thing, a first step. Your
> words are there for all to enjoy, whenever they wish.

And many of them have been quoted in other people's tag lines. I think my advice and insight have been on the money far more than it has been off. Once again, you are free to disagree with my assessment of society, and how it has regressed in recent years, but unless you can counter that view with something equally compelling, it does not make me wrong.

> You now to the
> side-step and and expect us to disregard your own words and believe the
> spin you are telling us now that you have grown up since then.

What words am I trying to get people to disregard? And yess, I have changed my viewpoint in the last 10 or 15 years. Most people do when they get older. It's called maturity.

> I give
> everyone the benefit of doubt at first...you are no longer worthy of
> such delights. You must unprove yourself..a reputation is much harder to
> repair than destroy, but you can't say you weren't warned.

And that perception is up to the individual. You are free to make a mental black mark against my reputation. But that does not mean that others will share your view. You too have a somewhat dubious reputation. It's all about your perspective.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2955. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 18:08:12 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <SZfu9.13744$Be4.6796@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Boss HOG <BossHog@MotherTruckersHwy29.org> wrote in message
news:apbgj0$l9r$0@pita.alt.net...
> A. Tape recordings, observations of truckers and other such
> vigilante nonsense by hams have absolutely no legal basis in law
> and would be tossed out of a court of law in a heartbeat, IF they
> ever got that far.

Mr. Riley Hollingsworth of FCC WILL and DOES send warnings based on recorded information gleaned by unofficial observers. Most of the time, the companies for whom the
drivers work take such warnings very seriously. They then normally discipline the driver(s)
involved, even going so far as to physically inspect the truck and, finding illegal equipment as
described in the warning letters, order it removed, OR even FIRING the employee(s)
involved! If you are not aware of it, this is what happened in the case of the Cheetah Transpor-
tation driver who was monitored near Rock Hill, SC. Quite simply, the Safety Director inspected
the truck and found the radio as described via phone conversation with Mr. Hollingsworth. Such
radios such as certain Galaxy and Connex models are illegal to even import into the country.

>
> B. You may perceve ten meter use by trucker as a problem of
> national concern, but have you heard there's a worldwide
> terrorist war going on? Get a reality check! And the FCC
> does NOT regard YOUR ten meter problem as on of their
> priorities. In fact, YOUR ten meter problem is not even on
> their radar screen. What scarse enforcement resources the
> commission has are being used for high-priority, as they see it,
> national problems, none of which include YOUR ten meter
> problems with trucks. Again, get a reality check!

The reason that illegal radio operation, whether it is interference to Amateur or other radio
services, will get attention is because, truth is, if illegal operators can get away with operating
on the Amateur bands, then there is no reason for them not to operate on other, even MORE,
important radio services----such as the international HF aviation band. Mr Riley Hollingsworth,

Special Legal Counsel for Amateur Enforcement IS concerned with illegal operators because that
is his JOB; it is what he was appointed for. What you DON'T know is that a ham was just recently
appointed to Billy Tauzin's powerful sub-Committee on Communications, likely in response to a
barrage of complaints from individuals, the ARRL, and hams about the enroachment of CB operators onto the ham bands. IF you don't believe that Amateur Radio DOES occupy a much
"higher" rung in importance as opposed to CB, consider this; when PL106-521 was passed, giving
local LEOS the ability to pass legislation and abate interference from illegal and over-powered CB
sets, Amateur Radio and all other licensed services were EXEMPTED from this. At this very mo-
ment, there is a new Federal Bill passing thru Congress to exempt Amateur Radio antennas from
CC & R covenants. Why? So that Amateur stations can provide a wide, effective and dispersed
back-up communications system in time of emergency. Because of the dedicated hams, their ARRL-sponsored emergency training courses, and their rapid response to disasters for nearly 100
years, this Bill is likely to become law as more and more Congresspersons are signing on as sponsors. CB radio will get NO such exemptions.

With the appointment of Greg Walden of Oregon to the Communications sub-Committee, he arrives with the knowledge of the problem of outlaw radio operators that think they can just steal
frequencies without fulfilling the requirements set forth in Title 47 of the US Code. Because Amateur Radio IS considered to be a valuable communications resource, it is quite likely that Mr
Walden can be "persuaded" to introduce additional legislation to protect that resource from inter-
ference. The studies, the suggestions, the flurry of letters have been in progress for some time to
find ways to deal with this. The war on terrorism has NOTHING to do with interference to licensed services who have every right to expect that their spectrum assets are available to them
free of intrusion. One of the ideas that has been put forth is the RESTORATION of enough funds
for FCC to go after the truck stops, CB shops, and other outlets who are actively promoting the
sale and use of so-called "10 Meter" radios that are already enjoined from import into the USA.
Another idea is to allow state DOT agencies to routinely inspect trucks for said radios using a list
supplied by the Customs Service or FCC--among a few others. You are correct in that FCC cur-
rently does not have enough funds to aggressively go after illegals, BUT that can change.

The FCC is not engaged in fighting terrorism except in monitoring for possible radio traffic that
could indicate terrorist activity. Their job is to regulate and enforce the Communications Act of
1934 as amended. That includes protecting licensed users from intrusions from "tan fer thar, driver"
on frequencies those truckers have no business being on. It will take time, but those bands, includ-
ing 10 and 12 Meters, WILL be defended. AND those "vigilante" hams WILL continue to silently
record, document and report the activity of truckers or anyone else who encroaches onto the inter-
national ham bands.

> C. Try a new experience. Turn off all your ham radios and spend
> some time with your wife, kids, grandkids, family, etc. And
> stop bitching about no code techs. I heard you bitching about them
> with your buddies on two meters last night. Some of those no
> code techs make six times the money you make!

You are full of CRAP! I haven't been on 2 Meters in over a week, and you have NEVER heard
me say a word about a no-code tech! A no-code tech is a HAM. Period! It makes no difference
(to me) that I happen to have more privileges than a no-code tech.

What anyone makes is of no concern to me! I am retired on gross of $30,000 + + at age 54! I
am living the life of Reilly (not Riley). I LOVE those warm days down at the lake fishin'!

J

> BOSS hog
> Long time trucker & Flea Market hog
> Channel 58, 27975 khz lower side
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net...
> > Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:wFZt9.3113$Fj6.253943@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > I think truckers are authorized to use 10-meters, just like doctors,
> > school
> > > teachers, farmers, office workers, and any other sort of ham uses the
> > band.
> >
> > ONLY IF THEY HAVE THE REQUIRED LICENSE! That is the issue here; the use
> of
> > 10 Meters by unlicensed truckers that just buy one of those "export"

> radios
> > (illegal to import and sell)
> > and start talking. I hear them all the way up beyond 29 MHZ.
> >
> > Now if a driver obtains the required license, he/she is more than
welcome
> to
> > enjoy Amateur Radio
> > and all its rewards in accordance with the rules of the Service. ALL
> > licensed persons are welcomed and encouraged to make use of the ham
bands.
> >
> > One of the things that gets a LOT of negative attention is the use of AM
> > below 28.300 MHZ where
> > voice modes are not allowed in the USA/Region 2. If a driver hears
Morse
> > Code (CW) on that
> > frequency, that is why. All drivers can do Amateur Radio AND their
> driving
> > friends a huge favor by passing the word that 10 Meter operations DOES
> > require a license and that the Feds are back in enforcement--especially
> > where it concerns out-of band-operation by CBers. MANY hams are
> > highly ticked off about the unlawful intrusions and are actively
> monitoring
> > the bands for it--even ob-
> > serving trucks on the highway for such goings-on. Based on their tape
> > recordings and tag numbers,
> > FCC WILL issue a warning to the driver's employer! It IS a serious
> matter,
> > folks, and you should
> > heed the warning.
> >
> > Jerry
> >
> >
> >
> > > You got something against truckers?
> > >
> > > Blackie
> > > Keep the shiny side up!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>

>

2956. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 18:14:08 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB989F0.4D7D@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141685

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> In this day and age, of terrorists and the scandal in the Catholic
> church, many people are suspicious of "others" not in their group. As a
> kid, I remember being invited over to see another CBer or ham's station.
> Back then it was considered "mentoring". About a year ago, I was on FRS,
> and some intelligent sounding kids were experimenting with their radios.
> I broke in to offer some information, and it was not long before an
> irate father grabbed the radio and gave me a verbal tongue lashing and
> pretty much accused me of being some sort of child predator, and how
> dare I cut in on "their" channel?
> Times have changed for sure.....
> You're civil, I'm civil.
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> ---
> Dude, I have always been civil to everyone until provoked and initiated
> by another.....you wanna bury the hatchet, I would like nothing
> better. You tell me (or email me) what you want from me and in return,
> all I ask , is you stay pertinent, non-insultive, and non-personal in
> our crossings.

I don't "want" anything. But you need to examine how you interpret
information. Many things have been said, much of which has not been
insultive in a personal nature, yet you seem to take it that way. In the
course of discussions, many people on both sides have been painted by a
fairly wide brush. Much of it is true, and some is not. There are some
valid issues here and getting defensive and confrontational does not
help to resolve them. It is also true that there are some people here
who enjoy nothing more than provoking an arguement, and then standing by
and watching the fur fly.

To be perfectly honest, I enjoyed this newsgroup much better when there
were more technical discussions. Before the illegal/legal "debate"
pretty much polarized the group, and destroyed any hope of peaceful
coexistance.

To Aaron's points. I agree that there are people who don't know where
the line between legal and illegal falls. I've run across people who
actually believe that an export radio is perfectly legal to use,
because, they bought it at a legitimate store, and that store wouldn't
sell something that's illegal. That sort of naive viewpoint, is what
drives guys like Aaron, and myself, when we post reminders of illegal
activity.

Those of us who know the rules and deliberately ignore them, are taking a gamble. Many people will never see any enforcement action. Some will. It all depends of how high a profile you keep.

People who run modified radios and high power also need to be aware of the interference potential they can create, and to accept responsibility for it. Part of living in a civilized society is coexistance with other people. As long as you are cognizant of the rights of other people, and take steps to be a "good neighbor" you're less likely to ever have legal problems. Courtesy goes a long way toward minimizing run-ins with the law.

You and I would probably have gotten along just fine when I was in my younger days. Even now, I find that I am much more tolerant of other people's lifestyle choices, as long as they don't infringe on other people's rights.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2957. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 18:18:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB98AE1.2A31@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141687

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> dave this is 1 million times more dangerous and careless than operating on the
> freeband yet your here bothering us? you might really cause people to loose
> lives or property this way. you should never say a word again about anything
> anybody does illegal anywhere in the spectrum.

I said I was THINKING about it. The points that you bring up are the
main reasons why I have not done it. The very real possibility of
interfering with an emergency or important business call (Of which the
driver should not be driving while taking care of), is why I have
reserved final judgement.

Be that as it may. Cell phones in the hands of oblivious drivers, stand
a greater chance of causing an accident and the resultant loss of life
as well.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2958. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 18:23:23 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB98C1B.68B1@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141684

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again)
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) didn't write, but Dave Hall socialist
> N3CVJ did write:
> >I once drove a girl totally bonkers. She was evidently a borderline
> paranoid, who thought that the government had tapped her phone. I'd say
> something like "Boo!", and she'd freak. The person on the other end
> couldn't hear me, and told her she was nuts.<<
>
>
>
> >LOL---I once did that to a nurse, who >proceeded to phone 5 of her
> >friends to find out if they could hear it too! Of >course, they
> >COULDN'T, and thought she was on dope >stolen from the hospital...
>
> You erred, as is so common with the nocodes..perhaps their irony of poor
> communication skills has rubbed off on you a bit, after all, the worst
> skills of communication have already been demonstrated by "Aaron's"
> named trouble-causing cult on rec.radio.cb of Dave Hall (a socialist)
> N3CVJ, Frank Gilliland, N8WWM Dogie Adair,
> and Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO. I think it's funny that Aaron snaps his
> fingers and you say "how high?
> A pleasant conversation, then "Aaron" smacks you with a troll comment,
> and you fall to pieces...hahhahahhahahhahah! Pathetic.
> What you attributed to me I can not take credit for...it was socialist
> Dave Hall N3CVJ.
>
> >She then handed the phone
> >to her boyfriend/husband, and he "demanded" >to know who was on the
> line. ROFLMAO!!! I >once said something to some >girl which >caused her
> to
> >screech "OHMIGOD!!!" So I said "it's not your >god, it's MY god".
>
> I am your God, based on your smear campaigns and deliberate
> misattributions...hell, for some reason I really upset your sorry
> pathetic nocode azzez.....hahahahhahaahahaaha
>
> > She panicked and gave the phone to her bf, >who had a humorous
> appraisal of the whole >affair... so I told him to get a 900mhz phone to
> >replace that piece of junk. He just chuckled >"900mhz phone? OK!" I
> could hear
> >the girl still freaking out in the background, >screaming something
> >that sounded like "neh-neh-neh-neh".

> >I kept quiet. After mouthing off some macho >?threats, he then gave the
> >phone back to the girl,
> >One black dude got pissed off at me, and >started running around and
> >knocking on all his neighbors' apartment doors
> >to find the culprit.
>
> What the hell does him being black have to do with it? You, sir, are a
> racist swine.
>
> ....you probably could have achieved the same effect with an easy
> listening
> station, playing it only when you knew only she was listening.
>
> >Heavy breathing is good for a few kicks, too. <
>
> Maybe where you come from, laddy.
> Down here that sorta stuff is reserved for times when you're alone with
> a female........
>
> <g> Hey, eavesdropping on certain conversations has been held an
> electronic form of spying which is one reason why we can't legally
> receive the cell band on scanners in the US of A..
>
> >Legal, shmeagle---as long as it's FUN!<
>
> Nah! Can't agree with ya' there.
> Too many folks are incompetent on word definitions today....using
> outdated, grammar school dictionaries.
> Besides, you' ain't nuthin' but another pime exampe of a disgrace to
> hammie radio. Never imagined my mere words had the power of
> all-consuming control over you dweebettes......hahhahhahahahahhahhaha!
>
> >I have "Liberty Valance
> >syndrome". >:-)
>
> Oh, you have a syndrome alright.
>
> It ain't the conscience, it's the wisdom that youth runs from.
>
> >Ehh, I could show you plenty of old stupid >people.
>
> Look in the mirror. You're preaching to the choir. You are...discontent,
> even.
>
> Funny as hell that many of them feel
> their conversations are somehow private.
>
> >They think this "privacy" is somehow sacred.<
>
> It's called a reasonable belief and until the courts rule otherwise,

> feel free to petition the law at anytime to change it. If you need
> instruction on how to begin what would be construed as such a broad
> undertaking by you, we understand and do not hold it against you. Email
> me and we can discuss a consult fee.
>
> >Moses didn't say nuthin' about it.
> >Guy
>
> Just cause you knew him personally carries no weight. Better ask the
> atheist down under his views concerning such a statement.

Um,

Why are you slammin' Guy? He was only commenting on the cordless phone stuff. He wasn't attacking you.

This is what I mean about you not interpreting what you read correctly. You just tore a "Guy" a new **hole for just exchanging fun with cordless phones.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2959. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 18:25:16 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Udgu9.13760$Be4.7512@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

KK5B1 <kk5b1@yourassholeburns.org> wrote in message
news:apbite$qb6$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net...
> file://psycho-babble snipped//
>
> Hey Oxydol,
>
> Wash your filthy car! It looks like something out of a
> redneck dream. And quit jamming your neighbors' TVs.
> We sent them information how to report you to Riley.
>
> KK5B1

www.rholling@fcc.gov is his email. Or you can report me to fccham@fcc.gov
TAN FER THAR,
MERCY ME THAR? GR'ET DAY 'LIVE THAR, SHORE THANG THAR, GUARAN-TOLD
YE THAR, HAVE YE GOT AN OLE COPY THAR--BREAK?

J

>
>
>
>

2960. Re: Hero to criminal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 18:26:01 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urj38pptae3o8b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141688

Good point. It may be a troll, or it just may be misinformation. Forget the radio, I'm glad they caught the BA****D.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:3DB95955.3F1503AB@mailcity.net...
>
>
> EMccleese wrote:
> >
> > Robert Swift, the driver who called in the sniper suspects car, is has been
> > told to me didn't have a cell and used an auto patch on 2 M to make the call.
> > Neat huh, well here comes the rest of the story. While the police were
> > interviewing him what did they happen to see in his truck? A 600 watt Linear
> > amp attached to a CB. Well They were nice enough only to take it from him and
> > levy a small fine. I guess the local police can do that now.... All in all I
> > know that it is illegal to run a linear on 11 merers , but when the goverment
> > says that illegal aliens can be forgiven if they helped in the capture , why
> > can't we forgive a truck driver who just saved a LOT of people a lot of fears?
> > Seems all jacked up to me...
>
> Well, we all know the government has priorities all screwed up.
> Pardon me for being a bit skeptical, but can you post something
> that substantiates this and is verifiable?

2961. Re: wanted super scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 18:27:25 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DB98D0D.58CE@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 141686

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> Z26915 wrote:
>
> >Well dave someone should call up CC Hammer in the Mohave desert and
> tell him he cant get out on that piece of junk.But as for me I hear him
> everyday on that earplugging base of his.And the mohave definitely has a
> high wind problem too. Not saying your wrong of course but CC doesn't
> have a problem with his,maybe it was modified.
> ----
>
> >>"Getting out" is a relative term. Maybe the reason you hear him so
> well, is because he's running a little extra power?
> I'd be willing to bet, based on past experience, that if this "CC"
> person were to magically replace his super scanner with a 3 element
> beam, you'd hear him even better, provided, of course, it was pointed at
> you.
> The super scanner was designed to be both an omni and a beam for people
> who can't install both. As a beam it does slightly better than a good
> omni, but worse than a dedicated beam, and the front-back rejection is
> much worse. As an omni, it's worse than a 1/2 wave GP.
> If the reason you want a super scanner is so that you can be a powerful
> as "CC", you might want to first ask him what other goodies make him
> sound so strong, because I can assure you that the antenna is not it.
> You might want to get a hold of Jay in the Mojave. He refurbishes
> Penetrator antennas. Those were a great omni antenna. You won't find too
> many that are better. Unless you want a beam.
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> <<
>
> Hahahahahha.....ooohhhhhhh da irony o da' sitchation.............mums
> da word!!!!!!!!

Ok, I'll bite. What's the joke I'm missing here?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2962. Re: Firestik vs Wilson FGT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 18:45:14 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021025144514.16879.00000538@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 141690

bet you didnt get any europe on it :)

>Using a 9' whip on the ham 10 meter band, I've worked Japan and Russia on
>25W SSB while driving up and down I-5 in california. Signals like they were
>just down the street. If you leave off the spring, it's just right for the
>10M band.

2963. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 18:45:48 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <vxgu9.13819$Be4.1821@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141694

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:19811-3DB94B2D-117@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Well Jerry I went to a coffee break with some fellow radio hobbyists tonight. So on the way there I tuned in to 28.085 MHz and yup they were there all right, not loud but definitely there. Later, by a few hours, after the coffee break I again monitored 28.085 MHz, and they were still there. A different group this time, and louder. So I have to agree there're there for those who really want to take the time out to do the monitoring. And just for the record I was driving I-75 south to I-696 west, south on Southfield road to the south bound Southfield freeway, and finally to west bound I-96. I heard the bozos the whole way there at around 5 to 6 PM, and about half way back home around 9 PM. I had an idea of another way to attack the problem Jerry. Instead of going after the so-called export-radio, or the truck drivers directly, let the Department of Transportation do the work. Since many of the 10m intruders seem to be truck drivers just have the Department of Transportation mandate that commercial trucks can ONLY have company provided GMRS UHF 2-way radios. They regulate just about everything else on the truck already so the radio is just one more minor item. The 11m antennas are hard to hide, and those small enough don't work worth beans. Thus it would easy to spot the illegal equipment during inspections at weigh stations and when ever a cop pulls a rig over for a safety or traffic violation. The fines would be directed to the owners of the over-the-road rigs. Once the owners get stuck paying a big fine, and possibility firing the driver, or taking other corrective action, the word will get out real quick. No unauthorized radios, period. Once the truck drivers find that many of their fellow drivers are moving to GMRS radios they will not complain much about using it themselves. The point is the drivers mainly talk to each other, thus when you can move a significant number of them off of 11/10m the rest will naturally follow just so that they can still stay in contact. A 25 watt GMRS UHF radio will work just fine with keeping in contact with fellow drivers for 5 to 10 miles up and down the highway. There is no need for the drivers to know where the local police are unless they have equipment safety violations, are overloaded, or just plain speeding. In any case if they get stopped and fined, so be it, particularly for speeding. I'm sure many of you have been passed by a big rig doing 70+ in a zone clearly marked "Trucks 55". The main use the drivers need the radio for is safety, notification of road hazards, to keep each other amused while driving long hours, and to contact the dispatcher. If the drivers don't have the discipline to use FM correctly, they sure didn't learn how to use AM either judging by all the music playing I hear on 19 all the time, them perhaps we can get rid of the radios all together, then let the drivers use cell phones instead for critical messages.

Once the truck drivers are off 11/10m the demand for the so-called
export radio will drop way off, thus the job of blocking their
importation will be easier. Also the word will get out to the rest of
the pirates that 10m intrusions are being dealt with seriously will
further discourage others from using the rigs further reducing the
demand. Making an example out of the truckers is easy because they are
always exposed to inspections at any time any where, i.e they can't hide
at least not for long.
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

Try putting that idea to a DOT man.
After getting laughed at for being pompous, you can start looking for
budgets to slash from to pay for the extra men your asinine proposition
would mandate for you, as usual, neglect the most obvious......money.
DOT may be state run, but receive a great deal of their monies from the
feds. The feds track record is well known on cb enforcement. A large
cash influx isn;t on the way and that's the only way it could happen.
Hey, don't take my word for it, I'm just a lowly freebander that enjoys
some Dx. Ask JerryO to ask Riley about this. Will you take his word, or
has that self-righteous thing blinded you to not only those that don;t
agree with you, but those that remain neutral or refuse to take sides at
all? Never mind, we know your side, and then we know the truth.

Nope! It is going to be addressed thru Congress--namely Greg Walden, now of
the sub-Com-mittee on Communications. He will be "encouraged" to look at
ways to facilitate the FCC's much-
needed efforts to rid the airwaves of bandit operators that think they are
"entitled" to just take what
they want. I like Leland's idea; I might just pass that on to Mr. Walden.
Leland, why don't you look up Mr. Walden's address and send this idea to him
as well?

Jerry

2964. paging jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 18:52:09 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021025145209.16879.00000542@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 141691

jerry you never answered my question. who do you think pumps more money into washington dc, the teamsters or the arrl?

2965. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 18:54:36 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021025145436.16879.00000543@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 141692

even entertaining the idea makes you alot worse than any freebander running a big amp i've ever heard of and that includes the truckers on ten meters. truckers are fcking up your "hobby" while you contemplate casuing havoc to society by jamming cellphones.

>
>I said I was THINKING about it. The points that you bring up are the
>main reasons why I have not done it. The very real possibility of
>interfering with an emergency or important business call (Of which the
>driver should not be driving while taking care of), is why I have
>reserved final judgement.

2966. Re: Very Interesting News!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 18:57:04 UTC
From: george craig <geocraig@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4ugu9.4770$VJ5.262141@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 141693

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021025113126.27772.00000265@mb-df.aol.com...

Uncle Charlie wrote;
> you do know william cooper was drunk nut dont you? he was caught lying on
the
> air and changing his stories more than anybody i can think of. he had alot
of
> good points and was VERY fun to listen to as i did for years on shortwave
but
> his ramblings are hardly a source for reference for anybody serious

> Sounds like you are describing the people in this N/G....
> >
> >Jurisdiction is every thing...
> >www.williamcooper.com/federaljurisdiction.html
> > go here for more www.williamcooper.com/federal.htm
>
>
>
>

2967. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 19:09:23 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210251109.7296f1c6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141696

"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:<nqGcne-u34G6ASWgXTWcqw@comcast.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > BTW, why are you afraid to use your real name and email?
>
> who said i was afraid i have used it many times, where you been. Why are you
> afraid to post your call sign or reveal your real name?

Not in this newsgroup you haven't. In fact, you've bragged about all
the phoney addresses you have and can use here.

You won't, why should I? I'll post my callsign when Aanal Aaron posts
his, not before; and I've said it many times. He can't because he
doesn't have one. You won't because you're only here to stir up
trouble, but everybody knows that you're Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ in
Allentown, PA.

2968. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 19:10:57 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210251110.2014f61c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141747

"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:<ze2cnSm7K6w_MCWgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>...
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > Umm..no you haven't 'shaggy'. You've let people assume who you are.
>
> Ask Landshark as well who wa3moj@aol.com is?

Why do you always re-direct, Georgie-girl? Why ask "landshark"? WA3MOJ
is YOU. And you probably have an aol account hiding in the background
somewhere.

2969. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 19:12:26 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210251112.45971bd3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141748

"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:<CtmdnUGGou-0MiWgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>...
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > Why do you keep brining up Landshark? Can I just ask you,if it's you?
>
> When Richard Cranium reveals his callsign you can ask.

Nice try, loser. But no points. My answer remains the same: When your
butt-buddy Aanal Aaron posts his callsign, I'll post mine. He can't.
You won't. End of story.

2970. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 19:18:30 UTC
From: JJ <radioguyx@xgbronline.com>
Message-ID: <3DB99906.5637EC0C@xgbronline.com>
Source record: 141773

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> if you couldnt only catch one which would it be a murderer or a bank robber?
> and no i do not think bank robbers have much of a right to sit around and
> complain about murderers but either way freebanding is no where near either of
> these but jamming cellphones and possibly causing major finaincial or health
> problems for somebody is! what if somebody is trying to call 911 during a heart
> attack while dave is jamming the phones? or misses a important call that causes
> them to miss out on a job or loose a curren one?

You do make a valid point there.

> freebanding cant acuse any of these.

I assume you meant "freebanding can't cause any of these."

How do you know? How do you know who you may be interfering with
by being on frequencies you are not authorized to use. Still does
not mean it is any less illegal. It is illegal.
There is a street near my house that is a very wide street and the
speed limit is 35 mph. I really think that that is too low a speed
limit for this street, in my opinion it could easily and safely
handle 45 mph. But the legal limit is 35, so I don't drive 45 just
because in my opinion I disagree with that limit. Just because you
feel that you are causing no harm by operating on those
frequencies does not give you the OK to use them, to do so is
illegal anyway you slice it. I would guess you take this same
attitude towards operating illegally and apply it to other aspects
of your life as well.

2971. Re: Hero to criminal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 19:39:23 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@nausea.com>
Message-ID: <e6hu9.702$Ug4.290171011@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 141767

Total Bullshit...Only Federal Authorities can confiscate radio equipment.

==========

Remember this story kids?

(Oct 1997)

West Virginia Sheriff confiscates $75,000 in CB equipment

CBers passing through Fairmont West Virginia are learning the hard way to keep dirty words off the air. This, as the Fairmont sheriff's department has already seized $75,000 worth of citizens band radios from foul-mouthed operators.

Authorities call it an effort to end the use of profanity on the 11 meter Class D Citizens Radio band in West Virginia. According to news reports, in one incident a tractor-trailer driver made the mistake of directing some rough language toward Sheriff Ron Watkins. Watkins says that this particular CB'er was overheard making obscene comments while the sheriff was patrolling in his squad car. Apparently the trucker CBer didn't think that Watkins was listening but the sheriff was tuned into his every word. This CBer became one of many who'se radios Sheriff Watkins has confiscated.

Watkins says that profanity and sexually explicit language have been a problem on the airwaves for years. He decided to crack down after receiving a complaint from a local resident. Watkins says the man was a father whose son and his son's friends were studying to obtain a ham radio operator's licenses and who overheard the dirty language while listening to a shortwave radio.

So far none of the fourteen foul-mouthed CB'ers stopped by Watkins have been arrested, but the Sheriff says that he plans to file charges against several.

Meantime the county has quite a pile of eleven meter sets in its evidence lock-up and nobody from the FCC has bothered to tell Sheriff Watkins that he lacks the federal authority needed to confiscate CB radio, or any other radio gear.

2972. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 19:50:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DB9A092.3A170A2A@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141779

Landshark wrote:

>
> In closing JJ, while you are having problems where you
> are at and it seems the east coast is too, it doesn't mean
> that billings Montana or for that fact Taos New Mexico
> are. It is a problem, it is illegal, but this painting of it
> happening in every state of the union, every city is just
> plain wrong.
>
> You see, even though we disagree, we can have a civil
> discussion without throwing insults at each other.
>
> Landshark

Sorry, your are plain wrong, it *is* happening all over, maybe not right in Billings Montana or Taos NM. These truckers are out on the Intestates all over the country using 10 meter radios illegally. When I hear these illegal ops, they are not where I am, I am hearing them via skip so they are hundreds of miles away. They are up near Billings and down near Taos, out near L.A., down near Dallas, near Atlanta, near Chicago, near NYC, near Miami, near Kansas City, near Phoenix, near Salt Lake City, out in the middle of nowhere...all over the country. It is happening in every state as they travel through. On rare occasions, I may hear one locally.
Someone listening on the east or west coast my be hearing truckers operating illegally on 10 meters passing through where I live that I can't hear.
When Jerry O monitors, do you think he hears he same ones I hear? I don't know just where he is but he will probably hear those I can't and visa versa.

2973. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 20:04:50 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021025160450.23000.00000310@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 141752

hello

Thatz-me-JP

2974. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 20:04:54 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021025160454.23000.00000311@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 141749

hryury

Thatz-me-JP

2975. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 20:04:59 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021025160459.23000.00000312@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 141751

hrufgh

Thatz-me-JP

2976. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 20:05:06 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021025160506.23000.00000313@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 141750

ello theresdf

Thatz-me-JP

2977. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 20:05:57 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021025160557.23000.00000314@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 141755

I once knew that there was a doofus that didnt know whow to tune his ɾadio up and he FARTED!!!

Thatz-me-JP

2978. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 20:06:11 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021025160611.23000.00000315@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 141753

!0 meter intruders!!!!

Thatz-me-JP

2979. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 20:06:18 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021025160618.23000.00000316@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 141754

hahahaha

Thatz-me-JP

2980. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 20:06:29 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021025160629.23000.00000317@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 141756

i use my signature, so u???

Thatz-me-JP

2981. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 20:08:05 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0210251208.7c672e4e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com> wrote in message
news:<3q8z0ntdgt.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>...
>
> Are you saying my wife and I, as licensed GMRS users, are 'limited' to
> talking to each other and to other licensed GMRS users?

Absolutely.

> Are you saying that
> MURS users being able to talk with 'anyone else with a MURS radio' is
> different from the first statement and not exactly the same limitation?

They are totally different situations.

> I'm
> confused about what th' heck you're talkin' about. GMRS to GMRS and MURS to
> MURS, right?

With GMRS, you can't just have a few radios laying around, and just
hand them to a buddy or co-worker and have them talk to you(unless
they happened to have a separate license, which isn't likely).... with
MURS you can.

A business can have 5 MURS radios sitting in chargers, and ANYONE can
grab one and communicate with anyone else at the business that has
one(big no-no with GMRS).

If you want to go to Disneyland/Beach/Park/wherever with a bunch of
buddies, and hand them some extra MURS radios so you can keep in touch
- you can. With GMRS, you can't.

- Stewart
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

2982. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 20:11:29 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021025161129.20721.00000200@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 141758

if you couldnt only catch one which would it be a murderer or a bank robber? and no i do not think bank robbers have much of a right to sit around and complain about murderers but either way freebanding is no where near either of these but jamming cellphones and possibly causing major finaincial or health problems for somebody is! what if somebody is trying to call 911 during a heart attack while dave is jamming the phones? or misses a important call that causes them to miss out on a job or loose a curren one? freebanding cant acuse any of these

>
>Let's see, by your reasoning murdering someone is a lot worse than
>robbing a bank, so robbing banks should be overlooked.
>

2983. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 20:13:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021025161301.20721.00000201@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 141759

no probably about it. he does. he's got recradiocbpolice@aol.com,
wa3moj@aol.com, and several others.

>
>Why do you always re-direct, Georgie-girl? Why ask "landshark"? WA3MOJ
>is YOU. And you probably have an aol account hiding in the background
>somewhere.
>
>

2984. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 20:15:44 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021025161544.20721.00000204@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 141760

jp man have you tipped out dude? go on with that bullshit multiposting about nothing lol

> once knew that there was a doofus that didnt know whow to tune his radio up
>and he FARTED!!!
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

2985. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 20:38:11 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210251238.37d7c2d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141761

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210250458.2cf0c2d4@posting.google.com>...
> avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210241250.39f08252@posting.google.com>...
> > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210240508.1546532c@posting.google.com>...
> > (snip)
> >
> > Still can't hear any truckers on 10 meters, Steve?
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> Who's this "Steve" you keep mentioning?

You, Steve :)

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired
for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the
operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of
a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by
the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at
the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so
inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain
about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to
follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard
Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to
debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

2986. Re: We won't get the real facts from Skippy, that's for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 20:42:36 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210251242.29ca4e8c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141762

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> And neither you nor Frankie-girl would understand the replies if you
> did, would you Aanal Aaron? What stopped you? Can't be intelligence!

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired
for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the
operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of
a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by
the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at
the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so
inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain
about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to
follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard
Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to
debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

2987. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 20:43:13 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0210251243.73ea0ef7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

davem@cs.ubc.ca (Dave Martindale) wrote in message
news:<ap9i00bbv1@nntp.itservices.ubc.ca>...
> horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) writes:
>
> >3) If you are going to set up an external antenna, it is generally
> >cheaper to do so with VHF MURS, as feedline losses at GMRS UHF
> >frequencies can become significant if your coax run length exceeds
> >much more than 30ft.
>
> On the other hand, if he only wants to talk to his mother, and a simple
> dipole antenna isn't sufficient, a Yagi is much smaller and easier to
> mount at GMRS frequencies than MURS - about 1/3 the size for equal gain.
> In fact, a GMRS Yagi may be a lot easier to mount than a MURS dipole,
> and have enough extra gain to more than make up for cable losses. So it
> depends on circumstances.

You are forgetting freespace path loss(which is really just a function
of antenna aperture). You may have equal gain, but are taking it in
the shorts on freespace path loss at UHF vs. VHF.

When you combine all the factors, in general, VHF is preferable to UHF
for the average outdoor LOS low-cost, low-power communication link.

> Dave

- Stewart
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

2988. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 20:43:22 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210251243.51266d5a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141764

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210241446.7c5c4160@posting.google.com>...
> avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210231540.393178a7@posting.google.com>...
> > "Randy" rchapman8@houston.rr.com wrote
> > >http://rodehogs.com/klv1k.htm
> >
> > "The KLV 1000/KLV 1000P RF linear amplifiers, are designed for those
> > who need increased RF power transmissions to enable long distance
> > communications."
> >
> > LOL. They never heard of propagation.
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> Tell that to all the hams who never turn off their amps, Aanal Aaron.
> It's a good line, but it don't wash, of course.

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired
for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the
operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of
a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by
the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at
the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so
inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain
about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to
follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

2989. Re: Hero to criminal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 20:48:02 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210251248.bb3ca42@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141766

emccleese@aol.com (EMccleese) wrote in message
news:<20021025085705.28401.00000207@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> Robert Swift, the driver who called in the sniper suspects car,
>is has been
> told to me didn't have a cell and used an auto patch on
>2 M to make the call.
> Neat huh, well here comes the rest of the story. While the police were
> interviewing him what did they happen to see in his truck?
>A 600 watt Linear
> amp attached to a CB. Well They were nice
>enough only to take it from him and
> levy a small fine. I guess the local police can do that now.... All in all I
> know that it is illegal to run a linear on 11 merers ,
>but when the goverment
> says that illegal aliens can be forgiven if they helped in the capture , why
> can't we forgive a truck driver who just saved a LOT of
>people a lot of fears?
> Seems all jacked up to me...

Fake story.

-Aaron-

2990. Re: Firestik vs Wilson FGT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 21:04:51 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <ZAKdnad-aOZNLCSgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141768

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021025144514.16879.00000538@mb-cr.aol.com...
> bet you didnt get any europe on it :)
>
> >Using a 9' whip on the ham 10 meter band, I've worked Japan and Russia on
> >25W SSB while driving up and down I-5 in california. Signals like they
were
> >just down the street. If you leave off the spring, it's just right for
the
> >10M band.

Not from california. I was mostly using it evenings and nights, so
Australia, Japan, USSR.
Some canadians too, though not as many.

2991. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 21:11:32 UTC
From: Paul Vanasse <pvanasse@cox.net>
Message-ID: <8siu9.24420$vq2.826088@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 141770
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

> The donations for this project came from a group of very
> wealthy hams whom for obvious reasons wish to remain anonymous.

Wealthy hams!.....LOL. Gee's General, now you're really taking a trip to Fantasy Island. Lets see if we get this one straight.....The same guys that nickel and dime you over at the local hamfest by trying to get a $1500 radio for $200 are secretly financing and deploying this modification of yours?? That's a real good one.

I know this one supposedly wealthy ham that's been waiting a year for $6.00 Silver PL-259's to be marked down to $3.50 a piece so he can buy the 3 or 4 that he desperately needs.....These are the guys running this clandestine Trojan operation?

The phrase "Wealthy Hams" is an oxymoron.

2992. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 21:11:43 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <ByOdnRsLzNvxLiSgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141769

> I said I was THINKING about it. The points that you bring up are the
> main reasons why I have not done it. The very real possibility of
> interfering with an emergency or important business call (Of which the
> driver should not be driving while taking care of), is why I have
> reserved final judgement.
>
> Be that as it may. Cell phones in the hands of oblivious drivers, stand
> a greater chance of causing an accident and the resultant loss of life
> as well.

The problem rests in the loose nut behind the wheel.

If we're going to get on driver distractions, then there's a whole big long list, of which cellphones are just one item. Some drivers are barely capable with NO distractions, others have no problem. Stereos, Makeup, Shavers, Maps, Newspapers, Radios (CB/Ham/Police etc), Fast Food...

For example, I've been in three accidents in the last 10 years. One, I lost control during an ice storm, and went into a telephone pole. Wasn't talking on the phone at the time, though it did come in handy later for calling the cops, ambulance, and tow truck. Twice I was in accidents while I was on the phone.

Both times, rear ended while sitting at a red light... Kinda hard to blame the phone.

Sunday, I'll likely be off to Georgia again, and I'll likely be using my cell phone a fair bit on the way.

2993. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 21:12:23 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210251312.34f0fd8c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141771

JJ radiobuff0@mailcity.net wrote
>That Guy Again wrote:
>>
>> Hey, what happened to some of "Aaron's" latest crackfarts? Looks as
if
>> some moderator has been yanking his posts from the threads...
>>
>> Guy
>
>This group is not moderated.
>

Correct, JJ. Guy makes things up, hoping for a response. It's called
"trolling". ;)

-Aaron.

2994. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 21:16:21 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <b1GdnW8YG_w6KSSgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 141772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021024231758.192$U5@newsreader.com...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> > >> There
> > > is no need for the drivers to know where the local police are
unless
> > > they have equipment safety violations, are overloaded, or just
plain
> > > speeding.
> > >
> > Oh this is gonna go over big with the drivers..that's not all they
do.
> >
> > They check tires,lights,smoke,directions,etc,for each other too.

Yeah they do that too. Missed one.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

2995. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 21:22:23 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <cMqdnbI8SI-NKySgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 141774

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:0gghruo4km38h8midelcte4e8cug67lo3r@4ax.com...
> Ony one problem with this solution, for the drivers who are licensed
> amateur operators this would cut into their ability to enjoy the
> hobby.

I was waiting for somebody to point that out. It's not really a
problem. There would be an exemption, like Hams already do for
scanners in mobiles. A licensed Ham on 10m is not an intruder.

> I talk to several licensed hams daily on simplex or on the
> local machines who are drivers, they come through here daily, one
even
> lives here, he's an owner/operator who has 3 trucks on the road.
Many
> of these hardworking drivers pracically live in their trucks, so by
> this being put into effect they would lose their ability to enjoy
the
> hobby.

Not with the exemption. The ones who will be mainly affected are the
unlicensed CBers / Truck Drivers.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2996. Re: paging jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 21:29:22 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <pNiu9.59$wZ2.20@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141778

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021025145209.16879.00000542@mb-cr.aol.com...
> jerry you never answered my question. who do you think pumps more money
into
> washington dc, the teamsters or the arrl?

I have no idea. Makes NO difference when it comes to condoning some that is
patently
wrong and illegal.

J
>
>
>
>

2997. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 21:34:03 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <5lidnft-uvdRJSSgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 141775

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:zs2cnZlgwZQs8SSgXTWcpA@comcast.com...
>
> > Alot of the guys that come through here on a regular basis have
the
> > machines along their route programmed in, and there is also the
FARA
> > linked system through here that covers most of the state. Also
> > remember there are other simplex freqs available.
>
> I was thinking maybe there was a particular simplex freq.
> Storm chasers have settled in on 146.55
>
> Sure would make it easier to make contacts.
>
> I'm looking for a reasonable mobile antenna, if I can find one, and
get it
> mounted before my trip, then I'll bring the 847 along, and work some
SSTV on
> HF mobile.

Did you try looking at the Yaesu ATAS-200 screwdriver antenna? Its
designed to tune automaticaly with several Yaesu rigs including the
FT-847. That would give you access to just about every band while
mobile. Just press the "Tuner" button on the rig and the internal
micro does the rest for you! Can't get any easier.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2998. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 21:35:54 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <WoGcnQvvt7ymJCSgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141776

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021025112453.27772.00000262@mb-df.aol.com...
> keith dont humnor leland. it was a troll post at best and a crying
shame and a
> direct indicator of his lack of sanity at worst.

You're just nervous Tim because its a workable idea.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

2999. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 21:43:08 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <hH-dne3AyetwJySgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 141777

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:vxgu9.13819$Be4.1821@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Nope! It is going to be addressed thru Congress--namely Greg Walden,
now of
> the sub-Com-mittee on Communications. He will be "encouraged" to
look at
> ways to facilitate the FCC's much-
> needed efforts to rid the airwaves of bandit operators that think
they are
> "entitled" to just take what
> they want. I like Leland's idea; I might just pass that on to Mr.
Walden.
> Leland, why don't you look up Mr. Walden's address and send this
idea to him
> as well?

I'm thinking of doing just that since Timmy is peeing his pants over
it, and Twisted is trying his best propaganda to discount it. That
means it must have a good chance of really working!

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3000. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 21:46:10 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <c1ju9.65$wZ2.33@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141781

Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:hH-dne3AyetwJySgXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:vxgu9.13819$Be4.1821@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > Nope! It is going to be addressed thru Congress--namely Greg Walden,
> now of
> > the sub-Com-mittee on Communications. He will be "encouraged" to
> look at
> > ways to facilitate the FCC's much-
> > needed efforts to rid the airwaves of bandit operators that think
> they are
> > "entitled" to just take what
> > they want. I like Leland's idea; I might just pass that on to Mr.
> Walden.
> > Leland, why don't you look up Mr. Walden's address and send this
> idea to him
> > as well?
>
> I'm thinking of doing just that since Timmy is peeing his pants over
> it, and Twisted is trying his best propaganda to discount it. That
> means it must have a good chance of really working!

That is what some ops fail to understand; Amateur Radio has some pretty good
allies in Congress
right now in the aftermath of 9/11. More and more, those that truly count
in government (Repre-
sentatives, committee persons, local and State EOC personnel) are becoming,
or are already, aware of ham radios value in time of disaster. The question
gets asked, "What can we do to facil-
itate the use of Amateur Radio in case of disaster, or even to head off such
disasters?" Already, the
abatement of restrictive covenants in neighborhoods is being addressed in
Congress. And, after this Bill is passed (it will be), then Amateurs (some
have already) will begin making the right contacts and explaining what is
happening, why it has to be stopped, and some ideas as to HOW to stop
it--like Leland's idea with DOT. The key is, these appeals center around an
activity that is
illegal according to US law and carry weight in that respect whereas any
leverage exerted on behalf of truck drivers who are DOING the illegal
activity will be a hard sell.

Again, I don't think hams are asking for so much; keep the 10 meter radios,
but disable their ability

to go into 28 MHZ, and you would not hear a word about it from most hams.
But NOOOOO!
They want theirs and everybody else's, too. And THAT ain't gonna stand.

J
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>

3001. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 21:50:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22580-3DB9BCBA-402@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141784

JerryO wrote:
>
With the appointment of Greg Walden of Oregon to the Communications
sub-Committee, he arrives with the knowledge of the problem of outlaw
radio operators that think they can just steal
frequencies
<<

Just how in Sam Hill did you arrive at this conclusion? Did he state as
much?
I am vaguely familiar with what Walden HAS said and can't seem to find
anything that resembles that remark.

>
without fulfilling the requirements set forth in Title 47 of the US
Code. Because Amateur Radio IS considered to be a valuable
communications resource, it is quite likely that Mr Walden can be
"persuaded" to introduce additional legislation to protect that resource
from inter-
ference.
<

Interference and intruders are two separate entities.

>
The studies, the suggestions, the flurry of letters have been in
progress for some time to
find ways to deal with this.
<

You and a few cronies, such as the ones that claim "Official FCC
Observer" status constitute a flurry? Hmmm....maybe it is.....we see how
the nocontents have forged on this group, wouldn't put it past them to
forge letters and sign their neighbors name. It's the kind of folks we
are dealing with, ya' see.

>
The war on terrorism has NOTHING to do with interference to licensed
services who have every right to expect that their spectrum assets are
available to them
free of intrusion. One of the ideas that has been put forth is the
RESTORATION of enough funds
for FCC to go after the truck stops, CB shops, and other outlets who are
actively promoting the
sale and use of so-called "10 Meter" radios that are already enjoined
from import into the USA.

<

Restoration?
Where they gonna take it from?
Has that been explored? Until then it's not even a viable option.

>
Another idea is to allow state DOT agencies to routinely inspect trucks
for said radios using a list
supplied by the Customs Service or FCC--among a few others. You are
correct in that FCC cur-
rently does not have enough funds to aggressively go after illegals, BUT
that can change.
<

Anything is possible. I mean, if Al-Qaida started using cb, yea, you'd
get your enforcement.

>
The FCC is not engaged in fighting terrorism except in monitoring for
possible radio traffic that
could indicate terrorist activity.
<

And oh, buddy, they monitor full time. See Echelon.

>
Their job is to regulate and enforce the Communications Act of
1934 as amended. That includes protecting licensed users from intrusions
from "tan fer thar, driver"
on frequencies those truckers have no business being on.
<

Then shouldn't the current head of the FCC resign for dereliction of
duties, IF their position is what you claim?

>
It will take time, but those bands, includ-
ing 10 and 12 Meters, WILL be defended.
<

They won't NEED defended when the skip goes away. C'mon Jerry, what did
you do, sleep through the last cycle? Same problems, same
bitching.....but it's all good.

>
I haven't been on 2 Meters in over a week, and you have NEVER heard
me say a word about a no-code tech! A no-code tech is a HAM. Period! It
makes no difference
(to me) that I happen to have more privileges than a no-code tech.
<

Sure it does. A few of you upperclassmen reiterate the fact that y'all
are NOT nocodes. Can't say I really blame you, seeing what takes place

around here.

>
What anyone makes is of no concern to me! I am retired on gross of
$30,000
+ + at age 54! I
am living the life of Reilly (not Riley). I LOVE those warm days down at
the lake fishin'!
J
<

That ain't bad, but man, 20 plus years for them ungrateful wretches and
that's how they repay you? I hope they at least gave you a kiss.
Spend that money on some new fishing gear and come down south.....the
bass are hittin' and the yankees are crowding the beaches...we can hit
the backwater swamps and avoid the crowds.
How much blackwater fishing you done?

3002. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 21:54:31 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22580-3DB9BD97-404@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141783

Nope! It is going to be addressed thru Congress--namely Greg Walden, now of the sub-Com-mittee on Communications. He will be "encouraged" to look at ways to facilitate the FCC's much-
needed efforts to rid the airwaves of bandit operators that think they are "entitled" to just take what
they want. I like Leland's idea; I might just pass that on to Mr. Walden. Leland, why don't you look up Mr. Walden's address and send this idea to him as well?
Jerry
==========
You need to show me da' money where Walden claimed to be aware of "bandit operators".
Plus, you know how things are done on the hill, don't you, Jerry? If he is going to "look" at it in the near future, by the time anything actually gets done, the dx will be gone and more than likely so will he and Riley, thanks to elections and a presidential change..

3003. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 22:01:41 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Mfju9.88$wZ2.76@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141788

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021024221845.327
> >snip
> Right..CB operating Truckers is what you left out. They do LOADS more good
> than bad with their CB's.

Mopar,

Read that statement again. Are you "punning" with us? <<g>

Jerry
>
> --
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

3004. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 22:02:23 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <_6WcnceYvLDtIiSgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 141780

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021025112252.27772.00000261@mb-df.aol.com...
> i hope i'm not the only one that see's your attempted humor here. do
you
> actually consider your proposal to be realistic?

Yes I do, and it doesn't require any real extra money.

> i hope not but if you do you
> are out of this world and way off your rocker.

You're just peeing in your shorts because it just may work, and you
don't like that much.

> and btw do you really think
> those music players are drivers?

When I see the signal strength go up, then down, as I pass the semi
that's a good clue. Second they sometimes admit they're a truck driver
when they are passing four letter word insults back and forth with
other drivers, when they're not playing the music. Of course if
somebody is playing music on the air jamming channel 19, there're not
a nice person, nor a good CBer are they Timmy?

> i'm sure there much to busy.

Yeah, right, too busy. I suppose they play video games, read, work on
the car, etc. when they are driving a rig down the road for 10 to 14
hours a day. Nobody is that dumb Tim. While stting in that driver's
seat bored out of their ever loving mind they have nothing much else
they can do except play radio, or a radio DJ as the case maybe.

> you can bet its
> the base stations

Yeah I've heard them play music too. Just another black mark for CB
radio.

> or people like you pissed at truckers who are doing it.

I don't own a CB Tim, but I have to admit it is fun monitoring the
CBers getting ticked off over other inconsiderate CBers on the band.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3005. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 22:07:20 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <4lju9.93$wZ2.87@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141789

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021024224530.222
> >
> Let me put it to you this way,if all truckers start using 10 meters,there
> isn't shit you could do about it.

...All that would do is cause drastic action to happen faster! Any
arguments truckers' associa-
tions fronted to head off action would then be futile. You could be sure
that DOT inspections
would become a fact of a driver's life in such a case. Lawless actions only
bring down hardship on
an entire group that would not've been necessary otherwise.

Jerry.
>
> --
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

3006. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 22:08:31 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22580-3DB9C0DF-407@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141785

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
it is the rule. But like I tried to explain to twisty (Who doesn't seem
to understand concepts, analogies, and even simple comparisons), there's
a difference between the letter of the law, and how I feel personally
about it.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
==========
Hi Dave. Still espousing the philosophy that if someone else doesn't
view something the way you do, the other person must suffer from
deficits.

==========
>
Since you are still being reasonable civil, I will honor you with a
response.
<

I'm always civil until unnecessarily provoked.

>
I have no problem with people who take a different view from me, when it
involves subjective tastes and opinions. If I like Country & Western
music, and you like Def Leppard, there is no right or wrong, just a
difference of opinion.
But when you are talking about factual information, or defacto
standards, if you take a position that is contrary to those normally
accepted standards, it's up to the opposing party to make the case why
the standard is wrong. In your case, you need to explain why running
illegally should not be considered criminal per the laws set forth by
the FCC.
<

No, I don't. I need explain nothing to anyone.
That is where you guys start getting out of hand and losing your temper.

>
The fact that you, yourself feel it, is not sufficient evidence. And
once you do that, make the case to the lawmakers, and hopefully this
will all become a moot point.
<

Lawmakers have nothing to do with my behavior. Like I said before, you
have no clue whether I break the law.

I am certain you didn't get that from your "How to Win Friends and Influence Them" guide for practicing socialists, but your post was timely, as it gives me a perfect example to point out of what I illustrated yesterday concerning those hammies who are secure in their ignorance and false sense of security.

>
Like I've said before, many times, I don't mollycoddle people. I don't sugar coat my information. If what I say doesn't sit well with you, prove it wrong, or deal with it. Posting a contrary OPINION, does not automatically invalidate my information or my position.
<

Never said it did, but getting personal, lying, and making personal and OT comments about folks immediately invalidates your positon.

Since I have pointed out your
misbehavior you have indeed reviewed your archive collection and the fact that you were repulsed enough by what you saw made you admit your character flaws .

>
Wait a minute. Now you're starting to go off the path. I have reviewed some of my past posts. Mostly to see when I really started posting here. I see nothing especially inflamatory in most of what I've written.
<

Which is why they need pointed out every so often.....you fail to see them.

>
In fact I try to make a point to refrain from emotional inflection as it clouds objectivity.
<

Since when? Last weekend?

>
I am usually a straight up and to the point guy. I don't make personal insults, unless they were thrown at me first.
<

You are lying again, Dave. You have attacked me with personal comments many times. Go back to our very first disagreement in this forum, Dave...you came off like a dick for no reason other than me stating an opinion you didn't like, so don't spread that BS here.

>
Compared to some of the more "colorful" people here, I am mild.
<

They are all mild. And impotent.
And it pisses them off. You hear the DX today? HAhahhahahahha....there were some serious pissed off hammies trying to get through....they just didnt have the azz for it.....hahahahahahahahha!

>
What "character flaws" have I admitted to, out of curiousity? I don't recall doing any such thing.
<

You think being a smug, disenfranchised, troubled youth are GOOD traits?

..... that is a good thing, a first step. Your words are there for all to enjoy, whenever they wish.

>
And many of them have been quoted in other people's tag lines.
<

Sure, it's part of you guys impotent smear tactics...forge, lie, deliberate disinformation and incorrect quotes, post satellite pics....hahahahahahaha..poor pathetic bastards!

>
I think my advice and insight have been on the money far more than it has been off. Once again, you are free to disagree with my assessment of society, and how it has regressed in recent years, but unless you can counter that view with something equally compelling, it does not make me wrong.
<

You are right, it doesn't make you wrong, it does however, make you a socialist.

You now do the
side-step and and expect us to disregard your own words and believe the spin you are telling us now that you have grown up since then.

>
What words am I trying to get people to disregard? And yess, I have changed my viewpoint in the last 10 or 15 years. Most people do when they get older. It's called maturity.
<

dave, you have started with me so many times in here, true to form, just like Lelnad, Frank and the other trouble posse members that pledged to cause trouble in rec.radio.cb.

I give
everyone the benefit of doubt at first...you are no longer worthy of such delights. You must unprove yourself..a reputation is much harder to repair than destroy, but you can't say you weren't warned.

>
And that perception is up to the individual. You are free to make a mental black mark against my reputation. But that does not mean that others will share your view. You too have a somewhat dubious reputation. It's all about your perspective.
Dave
"Sandbagger"

<

You drew first blood.

3007. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 22:10:47 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <6cydnS8UMbn1XCSgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141782

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:19810-3DB9499C-314@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Uh-huh,,,I see....and what makes your choice of literature any
better
> than the electronics magazines others read?

Hey Twisted you missed taking some of your med's lately? Seems like
you can read and comprehend anything any more.

> You know what I'm talking
> about,,,,,

No. As usual you don't make any logical sense when you write.

>where you accused the publisher of having no journalistic
> integrity for mentioning a keydown?

I still think they don't have any.

> Hahhahahahah!

Yeah, you're just a laugh Twisted. Go talk to your "saucer-men" some
more.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
==========
Subject: More Twistedhed's Blathering
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Author: twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
Date: 2001-03-26 17:20:06 PST

in 3741-3A87F5A4-23@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>nice forgery Dougie but the headers don't lie.
>Undoubtedly you don't understand how the Usenet
>works so I will explain it to you, my anonymous
>acolyte
>what do you know of my life? The jockstrap you sent
>in the mail is a trophy of your docile worship of
>me and it hangs proudly above my mantle
>I will never cease pirate CB activity as long as it irks

>you or someone like you. However, as a consolation
>and to ease your suffering, I will stay within the
>confines of the freeband for now. The saucer men tell
>me I have a good signal in the pile
==========

3008. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 22:17:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22580-3DB9C30E-409@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141793

>
I don't "want" anything. But you need to examine how you interpret
information. Many things have been said, much of which has not been
insultive in a personal nature, yet you seem to take it that way.
<

Wrong. You do it so often you fail to realize it...it's almost like it's
second nature to you....but if you are honest in your efforts.......that
remains to be seen and as always, I will give you the benefit of doubt.

>
In the course of discussions, many people on both sides have been
painted by a fairly wide brush.
<

The sides only exist because of the inflamed malice coming from the
nocode camp and a few upperclassmen. Seems this camp can dish it out but
can't take it when it blows up in their acne-scarred faces.

>
Much of it is true, and some is not. There are some valid issues here
and getting defensive and confrontational does not help to resolve them.
It is also true that there are some people here who enjoy nothing more
than provoking an arguement, and then standing by and watching the fur
fly.
To be perfectly honest, I enjoyed this newsgroup much better when there
were more technical discussions. Before the illegal/legal "debate"
pretty much polarized the group, and destroyed any hope of peaceful
coexistance.
<

But you readily jumped aboard when called to the trouble-causing posse.
I don't believe you, but as you pointed out,,,so what!!

>
To Aaron's points. I agree that there are people who don't know where
the line between legal and illegal falls. I've run across people who
actually believe that an export radio is perfectly legal to use,
because, they bought it at a legitimate store, and that store wouldn't
sell something that's illegal. That sort of naive viewpoint, is what
drives guys like Aaron, and myself, when we post reminders of illegal
activity.
<

Go play in traffic, Dave. No one believes for one second that Aaron is
doing anything other than amusing himself at the expense of others. The

aptly named posse are all guilty of this and yes, the posts are there.

>
Those of us who know the rules and deliberately ignore them, are taking
a gamble.
<

Depends on the rule.

>
Many people will never see any enforcement action. Some will. It all
depends of how high a profile you keep.
<

Which is what I have always maintained.

>
People who run modified radios and high power also need to be aware of
the interference potential they can create, and to accept responsibility
for it.
<

And how would one accept responsibility for running their modified
radio?

>
Part of living in a civilized society is coexistance with other people.
As long as you are cognizant of the rights of other people, and take
steps to be a "good neighbor" you're less likely to ever have legal
problems. Courtesy goes a long way toward minimizing run-ins with the
law.
<

No problem there. Agree.

>
You and I would probably have gotten along just fine when I was in my
younger days. Even now, I find that I am much more tolerant of other
people's lifestyle choices, as long as they don't infringe on other
people's rights.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
==========
<

This is where we differ. I feel I could get along with anyone,
regardless of age and view point they espouse on usenet. I would give
the benefit of doubt that most wouldn't let their personal feelings
dictate their get-along-with-another factor.

3009. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 22:19:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22580-3DB9C382-411@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141795

I'm thinking of doing just that since Timmy is peeing his pants over it,
and Twisted is trying his best propaganda to discount it. That means it
must have a good chance of really working!
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
==========
Nah,,,,go right ahead and good luck with it, Leland. I mean that. When
guns are outlawed, only outlaws will have guns.

3010. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 22:21:07 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22580-3DB9C3D3-412@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141794

Dave Hall N3CVJ wrote:
>Um,
>Why are you slammin' Guy?

You know damn good and well, why.

3011. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 22:21:22 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <WH6dnaiIfNciXiSgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141786

> Did you try looking at the Yaesu ATAS-200 screwdriver antenna?

I know of the 100, and 120, but not a 200.

> Its
> designed to tune automaticaly with several Yaesu rigs including the
> FT-847. That would give you access to just about every band while
> mobile. Just press the "Tuner" button on the rig and the internal
> micro does the rest for you! Can't get any easier.

It's not a bad idea. The radio tells the antenna "up" or "down" in short bursts, and samples the SWR at low power till it finds the right spot. Question is, can I get my hands on one tomorrow, and get it installed, while I proof out a set of prototype boards, and route another set, before I take off on sunday am?

3012. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 22:23:29 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <eAju9.106$wZ2.87@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141792

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:TOxt9.291$6F4.31622@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:9dnt9.493$bS5.171@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DB5ABCB.F71987BF@hotnet.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Sir Circumference <mrradio@hotnet.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3DB5A1D7.9FE53C3A@hotnet.net...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > tools wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Well, I just sent my letter off also. I have asked that the FCC
> > ignore
> > > > > the
> > > > > > few hams complaints about 10 meters. I have informed them that I
> > have
> > > > > been
> > > > > > monitoring 10 meters , near a major highway, for "truckers" and
> > others,
> > > > > and
> > > > > > in 5 months, I have heard one trucker conversation.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You must be using the same crappy equipment that dickieboy
> > > > > > crainumless does. I just turned on the TS-520 and as I type this I
> > > > > > am hearing several trucker transmission on 28.085, another two
> > > > > > truckers on 28.135, every other word is f***ing this and f***ing
> > > > > > that, and more on 28.535, all on AM. The only Ham AM activity I
> > > > > > hear is just above 29.0. You guys need to learn how to use a
> > > > > > receiver.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > My letter to the FCC about the problem of illegal operators on 10
> > > > > > meters will be in the mail tomorrow.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And that those truckers might have been hams.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Did you ever hear them give a call sign? I bet not, as they most
> > > > > > likely were illegal operators.

> > > >
> > > > HAH!! If they are operating below 28.300 on Ancient Modulation,
they
> > ARE
> > > > illegal even if they
> > > > have a ticket!! That area is NOT for voice communications by
> > international
> > > > treaty, fer cripes' sakes! NO AM, NO SSB, NO FM. PERIOD! License
> > > > notwithstanding, if a ham is caught using
> > > > phone down there, he will get a warning/NOV/NAL depending on the
> > > > circimstances.
> > > >
> > > > Jerry
> > >
> > > Uh, Jerry, hams in other countries can use voice mode below 28.3,
> > > Canada for one.
> >
> >
> >
> > I might be wrong, but unless I am mistaken, 28.000 to 28.300 is reserved
> for
> > non-voice in this
> > Region. Aren't you speaking of 40 Meters where Canada has some phone
> privil
> > eges below
> > 7.150? Besides, there's nothing we can do about what other countries
do.
> > When I hear "Tan fer
> > thar, driver, ah know whutcha mean thar SQUEAK", it is NOT likely a ham
> but
> > a domestic trucker. If it talks like a Cber, and squeaks like a CBer,
it
> > must be a CBer! LOL!
> >
> > J
> >
> > You sure have a low quality sense of humor, LOL, LOL???????? Jeeeeezus

Is there some sort of redeeming quality about talking like an uneducated
hick? If it talks like
an uneducated hick, you must forgive anyone who equates that with crappy
trailer parks and
rusty '75 "Pony-acks" sporting twin 'whups' and running on 5 cylinders
(FOOOMP FOOOOMP
FOOOOMP FOOOOMP!) You may flame me, but that IS the image much of America
has of
CB radio. Operating outside the law doesn't help the image of stoop-idity;
that is, stooping to
breaking the law just to talk on a radio.

Jerry
> >
> >
>
>

3013. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 22:28:10 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <FEju9.113$wZ2.95@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141796

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:NEIt9.1397$6F4.129780@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Even cops do not like or respect snitches.

I bet they are glad that fella "snitched" on the snipers.

J

> "Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
> news:iBidnW7aUNPZnSqgXTWc2Q@comcast.com...
> >
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > Trouble is, RH already knows the extent of the problem regardless of
how
> > > many hams don't
> > > object to intrusions of unlicensed ops on the the ham bands. I
recently
> > > counted about 25 warnings
> > > on that subject alone. It does make me wonder when a ham (I only have
> > your
> > > assertions on that)
> > > wants to counter efforts being made to protect spectrum against
> incursion.
> > > Hmmmmm, perhaps a
> > > no-code, VHF ham who uses CB and freeband as a substitute for HF?
Maybe
> he
> > > operates on 10
> > > as well, and wouldn't want any "attention" turned his way? Hey, I
DON'T
> > > know that. It is specu-
> > > lation! For one who has full access to all bands and all of the 10-12
> > Meter
> > > bands, it seems "curious" that anyone who has that privilege would
wish
> to
> > > share that with bootlegger operators.
> >
> >
> > Very true Jerry, makes you wonder most of these guys are cb operators
who
> > got a ham license and never use the amatuer bands. I am listening to 2
guy
> > right now and have recorded them they are in Oklahoma City as per there

> > conversation.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3014. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 22:32:29 UTC
From: davem@cs.ubc.ca
Message-ID: <apcgptosq1@nntp.itservices.ubc.ca>
Source record: 141790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) writes:

>You are forgetting freespace path loss(which is really just a function
>of antenna aperture). You may have equal gain, but are taking it in
>the shorts on freespace path loss at UHF vs. VHF.

I was comparing a UHF Yagi with a VHF dipole, for a situation where you don't have room for a VHF Yagi (i.e. apartment balcony). A UHF Yagi can have *much* more gain than a dipole, making up for the path loss difference.

>When you combine all the factors, in general, VHF is preferable to UHF
>for the average outdoor LOS low-cost, low-power communication link.

Yes, if you have room to choose the same antenna type at both frequencies.

Dave

3015. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 22:32:51 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nEju9.4702$6F4.443989@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

Musta been rough growing up with a name like
"leland".....
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:b1GdnW8YG_w6KSSgXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021024231758.192$U5@newsreader.com...
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> > > >> There
> > > > is no need for the drivers to know where the local police are
> unless
> > > > they have equipment safety violations, are overloaded, or just
> plain
> > > > speeding.
> > > >
> > > Oh this is gonna go over big with the drivers..that's not all they
> do.
> > >
> > > They check tires,lights,smoke,directions,etc,for each other too.
>
> Yeah they do that too. Missed one.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
> ://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service
>
>

3016. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 22:33:41 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <RJju9.115$wZ2.102@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141797

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021022234744.11080.00003738@mb-me.aol.com...
> i wasnt questioning your legalities i was just wondering about your
sanity. it
> seems like you get as much joy from it as a channel 19 dead keyer. sure
your in
> the right radio service? seems to me i'd rather be somewhere enjoying my
hobby
> instead of jamming truckers who are likely too close to each other to be
> bothered anyways. but whats all this talk of 1500 watts? i thought that
wasnt
> ever neccesary? with all the bands and freqs and modes you choose to be
right
> where the trouble is and no where else. thats strange. are you the
official
> defender of ham radio or are you just kinda pretending to be?

The answer is: "The only thing needed for evil to triumph is for good men
to do nothing".

The damned truckers are W R O N G for being up there. And I will do my best
to see the
B******* busted for it! And if they don't like it, then they can KMA!!

J

>
>
>
>
>
> >The answer is that I can call CQ to my heart's content right on top of an
> >illegal station complete with callsign. If no one answers, I can't help
> >that. If someone does, then I go right back with
> >R R R de K4XXX, TNX FER CALL, UR RST 579 (ETC). Illegal stations have
> >absolutely
> >NO protection from interference from legal and licensed stations. I can
> >even run a 1500 watt
> >test below 28.100 right on top of him if I want to. Sir, I don't give a
> >damn about those truckers;
> >they aren't supposed to be there to start with. Period! And if I
"happen"
> >to f*** up his tan fer-ing
> >on 28 MHZ, then the better! FCC has already addressed this and, once
again,

> >whether it suits you
> >or not, UNLICENSED INTRUDERS HAVE NO PROTECTION AGAINST A N Y
INTERFERENCE
> >CAUSED BY LICENSED STATIONS. You can verify that by emailing
> >rholling@fcc.gov . And, yes, if I want to do it just for the hell of it,
I
> >can, and there ain't a damned
> >thing that can be done about it as long as I ID and use the frequency,
> >including testing, in accordance with the existing regulations. If some
> >stupid idiot happens to be there illegally, and I
> >mess up his squeak-beep crap, T O U G H!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>
>

3017. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 22:38:10 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <2Oju9.117$wZ2.70@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141799

Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:fmOdne2JY-ByaCigXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:Akht9.225$Be4.29@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > Just as those who didn't believe me about the Cheetah driver, there
> are
> > those who are going to minimize the idea that anything can or will
> be done
> > about it. The most likely scenario I am hearing
> > about right now is the re-visiting PL 106-521 (local enforcement) to
> add
> > some provisions to allow
> > the inspections of radio equipment at weigh stations by state DOT
> officers.
> > Truckers' Associations
> > lobbied hard against that in the original Bill, and got such
> inspections
> > exempted.
>
> Funny you should mention that Jerry. On channel 19 the other day, I
> was monitoring while driving about town, somebody was asking if there
> were random checks being made at the weigh stations, with FCC field
> agents looking for illegal radio equipment. That got several IMMEDIATE
> responses from a number of truck drivers. Then something funny
> happened, the constant trucker chatter died off for a few minutes
> right after that. Hummm....

Hmmmmm is right! Maybe the word IS getting around??? Naw, I doubt it.
Some folks have
to be slapped in the face with the facts to make them stop.

Jerry
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician

> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>

3018. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 22:43:08 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ISju9.119$wZ2.99@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141800

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023214243.13091.00012372@mb-mf.aol.com...
> what you gonna do if there out on I10 headed to san antonio? not a damn
thing!

I would only hope that there would be a Texas ham pissed off enough to turn
the SOBs in!

J
>
> >
> >The two who are driving close together I can hope will pass me so I can
see
> >them and record their
> >activities to send to RH. That's even better. Make 'em answer for their
> >misdeeds.
> >
> >J
>
>
>
>

3019. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 22:54:03 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210251454.5fcf8957@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:<GbycnYmGzcAfcSigXTWcoA@comcast.com>...
> "Vic Z" <HamCB@HamCBBargainbarn.org> wrote in message
> news:ap0qt4ddv0@pita.alt.net...
> > BULL! He was there again this year,
>
> I was there and the amps etc. weren't. I even mentioned it to the
> others Hams in my group who also noticed the same thing. And if you
> were there what did Yeasu have swiming in the fish tank?
>

You mean the VX7? You and your friends must have very selective sight!
BTW, there's two "m"s in swimming.

3020. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 22:58:19 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <_4ku9.121$wZ2.69@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021022181227.788$SU_-_@newsreader.com...
> voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> >> Here's what I heard Sunday in just a few minutes of monitoring. (20:58
> > Z). All with loud ECHO MIKE effects....
> >
> > 28.265 (AM): "Who the f*k is she to be tellin him he can't bring that
> > girl in his house? Burned all his f*kin logbooks, paperwork, ha ha!"
> >
> > 28.270 (AM): "Break break break" (pause) "Hey where you at?" (pause)
> > "You got a copy on this old south east Texas copperhead?"
> >
> > 28.725 (AM): "You tell that g*d*mn dispatcher that any CCX driver wont
> > stand for that sh*t"... (etc)
> >
> > -Aaron-
> >
> I don't doubt there are a few drivers that get lost in the 10 meter
> spectrum.

But they somehow seem to "find" each other to talk to.....

Jerry

>
> Is it common place? You'd have to ask the drivers..
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

3021. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 23:03:04 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <v9ku9.124$wZ2.1@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141808

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22580-3DB9BCBA-402@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> JerryO wrote:
> >
> With the appointment of Greg Walden of Oregon to the Communications
> sub-Committee, he arrives with the knowledge of the problem of outlaw
> radio operators that think they can just steal
> frequencies
> <<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Just how in Sam Hill did you arrive at this conclusion? Did he state as
> much?
> I am vaguely familiar with what Walden HAS said and can't seem to find
> anything that resembles that remark.

I didn't SAY he MADE any remarks concerning the issue. He has, however,
received letters
from various people, including me regarding the problem. Therefore, he does
know about it.

Jerry

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> without fulfilling the requirements set forth in Title 47 of the US
> Code. Because Amateur Radio IS considered to be a valuable
> communications resource, it is quite likely that Mr Walden can be
> "persuaded" to introduce additional legislation to protect that resource
> from inter-
> ference.
> <
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Interference and intruders are two separate entities.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
> >
> The studies, the suggestions, the flurry of letters have been in
> progress for some time to
> find ways to deal with this.
> <
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> You and a few cronies, such as the ones that claim "Official FCC
> Observer" status constitute a flurry? Hmmm....maybe it is.....we see how
> the nocontents have forged on this group, wouldn't put it past them to
> forge letters and sign their neighbors name. It's the kind of folks we
> are dealing with, ya' see.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> The war on terrorism has NOTHING to do with interference to licensed
> services who have every right to expect that their spectrum assets are
> available to them
> free of intrusion. One of the ideas that has been put forth is the

> RESTORATION of enough funds
> for FCC to go after the truck stops, CB shops, and other outlets who are
> actively promoting the
> sale and use of so-called "10 Meter" radios that are already enjoined
> from import into the USA.
> <
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Restoration?
> Where they gonna take it from?
> Has that been explored? Until then it's not even a viable option.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> Another idea is to allow state DOT agencies to routinely inspect trucks
> for said radios using a list
> supplied by the Customs Service or FCC--among a few others. You are
> correct in that FCC cur-
> rently does not have enough funds to aggressively go after illegals, BUT
> that can change.
> <
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Anything is possible. I mean, if Al-Qaida started using cb, yea, you'd
> get your enforcement.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> The FCC is not engaged in fighting terrorism except in monitoring for
> possible radio traffic that
> could indicate terrorist activity.
> <
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
> And oh, buddy, they monitor full time. See Echelon.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> Their job is to regulate and enforce the Communications Act of
> 1934 as amended. That includes protecting licensed users from intrusions
> from "tan fer thar, driver"
> on frequencies those truckers have no business being on.
> <
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Then shouldn't the current head of the FCC resign for dereliction of
> duties, IF their position is what you claim?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> It will take time, but those bands, includ-
> ing 10 and 12 Meters, WILL be defended.
> <
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> They won't NEED defended when the skip goes away. C'mon Jerry, what did
> you do, sleep through the last cycle? Same problems, same
> bitching.....but it's all good.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> I haven't been on 2 Meters in over a week, and you have NEVER heard
> me say a word about a no-code tech! A no-code tech is a HAM. Period! It
> makes no difference
> (to me) that I happen to have more privileges than a no-code tech.
> <
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Sure it does. A few of you upperclassmen reiterate the fact that y'all
> are NOT nocodes. Can't say I really blame you, seeing what takes place
> around here.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> What anyone makes is of no concern to me! I am retired on gross of
> $30,000
> + + at age 54! I
> am living the life of Reilly (not Riley). I LOVE those warm days down at
> the lake fishin'!
> J
> <
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> That ain't bad, but man, 20 plus years for them ungrateful wretches and
> that's how they repay you? I hope they at least gave you a kiss.
> Spend that money on some new fishing gear and come down south.....the

> bass are hittin' and the yankees are crowding the beaches...we can hit
> the backwater swamps and avoid the crowds.
> How much blackwater fishing you done?
>

3022. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 23:05:00 UTC
From: Spell Checker <SC@webster.com>
Message-ID: <3DB9CE1C.1CE6F58E@webster.com>
Source record: 141801

Don't bogart that joint, my friend,
Pass it over to me.

Lets roll another one,
just like the other one...

('ere) cough cough

UknowMeJP wrote:
>
> i use my signature, so u???
>
> Thatz-me-JP

X _____
Sign Here

3023. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 23:09:19 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210251509.69f99fa4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141802

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3DB68EDF.648C@worldlynx.net>...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > http://lists.contesting.com/_amps/2002-April/029832.html
> > > From: Steve Katz stevek@jmr.com
> > > Date: Thu, 18 Apr 2002 08:56:35 -0700
> > > Message: [Amps] CB amps on e-Bay
> > >
> > > Not harming us? Indeed they are. Here's how:
> > >
> > > -CBers causing RFI/TVI in residential neighborhoods. Ham applies for
> > > permit to erect a tower and is denied because the neighbors, who have
> > > experienced this RFI/TVI for years, all show up at the zoning board
> > > hearing and protest "enough is enough" based on their bad experiences.
> > > Ham gets denied his tower. (This isn't a dream, this happens daily
> > > throughout the U.S. -- many documented cases.)
> >
> > So do cellular telephone sites (just listen to many 2 meter repeaters
> > which are QRMed by them!).
>
> Cell phones run in the high 800 mhz range, or higher for PCS phones. For
> something like that to interfere with a 2 meter repeater would take a
> very strange case of intermod. Cell phone sites normally do not run very
> high power because coverage is restricted to the area within the "cell".
> It would not be conducive to proper spectrum management to allow the
> coverage areas of the cells to overlap by too much.
> For the record (as a repeater owner myself), I have never come across
> any cases of interference to any 2 meter repeater caused by a cell site.
> That doesn't mean it's not possible, but it certainly isn't as rampant
> as you imply.
>
>
> > So do some over-powered amateurs. Why do
> > you try Aanal Aaron's lame trick of blaming EVERYTHING on CBers?
>
> You really need to take off the rose colored glasses and stop huffing
> twisty gas, and face up to reality. Yes, there are illegally powered
> hams, yes, hams can cause interference, and yes, ham towers are
> generally not considerd aesthetically appealing by the general public.
> But it was CBers, or more accurately, illegally powered CBers, that made
> TVI a household word.

Wrong again. It is CHEAP CONSUMER ELECTRONICS that made TVI a household word. Not amateurs, not CBers, not anything else. Improperly filtered and cheaply constructed consumer electronics did the job.

In the late 70's the amount of CBers outnumbered
> hams by over 8 to 1, and that was based on licenses. There were many
> more CBers who never obtained a license. Judging from the attitudes
> expressed here on this newsgroup, it is easy to see that most of you
> guys care little, if at all, about following FCC rules.

Why is that? You make a quantum leap in your so-called "logic" there. Can you see the problem?

So why is it so
> hard for you to accept the fact that because CBers are more likely to
> break the rules, illegally modify their rigs, and run high power, they
> are also more likely to cause interference?

Nope. The fact that you are blinded by your license makes it impossible for you to accept that many more hams use illegal power and are more likely to cause interference.

>
> >
> > > -CBers become dissatisfied with their measly 40 channel allocations
> > > and
> > > begin spreading out, or "freebanding" as they call it, on to 10m and
> > > 12m
> > > amateur bands, rendering those bands virtually useless in some areas.
> > > I
> > > hear it every single day, hundreds of examples per day, this becomes
> > > thousands if the band's really open.
> >
> > Or they "become dissatisfied with their measley 40 channel
> > allocations" and get a ham license. As far as "rendering (10 and 12
> > meters) virtually useless", another poster (licensed amateur Jim
> > Hampton) has pointed out that those bands are virtually UNUSED, so who
> > is it hurting?
>
> That depends on where you look. I've heard some fine sounding AM rigs on
> 29.000. There is FM repeater activity in the 29.6 area. And of course,
> there is a ton of SSB activity when the band is open. There is also a
> fair amount of CW traffice, in the area that is being encroached upon.

Really? I haven't heard any of that "fair amount of CW traffic" yet. And only ONCE heard any alleged intruders.

> >
> > If you're hearing it every day, why aren't you actively using the
> > band(s) to prevent it instead of whining in a UseNet newsgroup which
> > is read by only a very small handful of CBers to start with? Talk
> > about wasting your time and effort!
>

> I would tend to agree that this newsgroup isn't the best place to effect
> a change. But what seems to keep perpetuating this topic is the
> persistant denial by certain members of this group, that this problem
> does not exist.

Not denial, just a lack of evidence.

>
> >
> > > There probably isn't an amateur active on 10m and 12m anywhere in the
> > > world who isn't aware of this bad and growing problem. If all the
> > > CBers ran their legal power limit, the problem would still exist, but
> > > it wouldn't be quite as loud. Since so many are running amplifiers,
> > > it's worse.

What, exactly, is "so many"? 5%? 10%? Does anyone really know? Certain people (yourself) claim that "all CBers are criminals" based on - what? How can you possibly claim that? Do you actually KNOW "all CBers"? If not, you're just inciting the rabid anti-CBers like Aanal Aaron and Georgie-girl, who should have something better to do than whine about so-called "illegal" CBers. To be blunt, if they're "CBers", they're not illegal; if they're "illegal", they're not CBers. Comprene?

> > The first part of your first sentence says it all: "There probably
> > isn't an amateur active on 10m and 12m in the world." So why the fuss?
> > Use 'em or lose 'em.
>
> That's not your call to make. That would be analogous to you parking
> your car, and me saying, "hey, you're not using it, so I'll take it. Use
> it or lose it".

You've been listening to Aanal Aaron and Frank ("yeti") too much, I think.

>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

It's not really YOUR call to make, either, is it? [I assume (from your "handle") that you're not a ham.] It's also not Aanal Aaron's call to make (since at best he has a no-code Tech license and at worst he has no license; either way he cannot legally use 10 meters) and not Georgie-girl's call to make (since he could actually be there preventing intrusions). So what's your problem?

3024. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 23:09:44 UTC
From: Jack Thorpe <jthorpe1234@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <Yaku9.99$F42.18989@dca1-nnrp1.news.algx.net>
Source record: 141803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

"Dino" <noemail@here.com> wrote in message
news:tYFt9.1406$Fj6.90433@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> and then click on the "Bill's 2 Way" link to buy a Pryme radio for GMRS.
You
> will need to pay for 2 licenses. One nice thing about GMRS is that the
> frequency is so high that the antennas are SMALL!

Actually, the person would only have to get one license since the person on
the other end is her Mom.

3025. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 23:12:20 UTC
From: Jack Thorpe <jthorpe1234@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <odku9.100$F42.18979@dca1-nnrp1.news.algx.net>
Source record: 141804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

"stewart" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5f4fe4c2.0210251208.7c672e4e@posting.google.com...
> Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com> wrote in message
news:<3q8z0ntdgt.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>...
> >
> > Are you saying my wife and I, as licensed GMRS users, are 'limited' to
> > talking to each other and to other licensed GMRS users?
>
> Absolutely.

This is not correct. GMRS users may talk to FRS users on the Interstitals.
There is no rule that says you cannot do that.

3026. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 23:15:34 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210251515.23120ae6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141805

"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:<Z4ycnc9S7t8ViSqgXTWcrg@comcast.com>...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
>
> > I don't think anyone is in denial about it, most know that people do
> > those things. It's the constant posting that is a
> > "problem" or like it is an "epidemic" when it's not.
> > Cb is slowing down, slowly but surly, because of
> > cell phones IMO. Go take a look around the neighborhoods,
> > do you see as many antennas now as you might have
> > 7 years ago? Probably not, when you can get a cell phone
> > and its use for $9.95 a month, why would you want to
> > spend $40.00 for a cb? Cell phones are far more
> > reliable and way more private.

PRIVATE? Anybody with an older, cell-capable scanner can listen in.
How is that "private"?

> > Landshark
>
> Thanks Landshark this post will be my proof to Dickhead cranium that Cb is
> in fact dieing.

So you're more than willing to misinterpret if it suits you? He didn't
say "CB is dying", he said it's "slowing down", which is correct given
the decline in the 11-year sunspot cycle. That's a regular thing,
which an "Extra" like you should really know about, Georgie-girl.

> He says there are antennas all over the place and 30-40 million users over
> 10% of this countrys popluation are Cbers. lOl Thanks again for the info.

Have you bothered to look for antennas? There are still way more CB
antennas than amateur antennas anywhere you go. And you still haven't
provided any proof otherwise, Georgie-girl. Loser.

My name is Richard; YOU are the "dickhead".

3027. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 23:22:58 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210251522.23aa00d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141807

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3DB68EDF.648C@worldlynx.net>...
>> Richard Cranium wrote:
>> >
>> > >
>> > > http://lists.contesting.com/_amps/2002-April/029832.html
>> > > From: Steve Katz stevek@jmr.com
>> > > Date: Thu, 18 Apr 2002 08:56:35 -0700
>> > > Message: [Amps] CB amps on e-Bay
>> > >
>> > > Not harming us? Indeed they are. Here's how:
>> > >
>> > > -CBers causing RFI/TVI in residential neighborhoods. Ham applies
>for
>> > > permit to erect a tower and is denied because the neighbors, who have
>> > > experienced this RFI/TVI for years, all show up at the zoning board
>> > > hearing and protest "enough is enough" based on their bad experiences.
>> > > Ham gets denied his tower. (This isn't a dream, this happens daily
>> > > throughout the U.S. -- many documented cases.)
>> >
>> > So do cellular telephone sites (just listen to many 2 meter repeaters
>> > which are QRMed by them!).
>>
>> Cell phones run in the high 800 mhz range, or higher for PCS phones. For
>> something like that to interfere with a 2 meter repeater would take a
>> very strange case of intermod. Cell phone sites normally do not run very
>> high power because coverage is restricted to the area within the "cell".
>> It would not be conducive to proper spectrum management to allow the
>> coverage areas of the cells to overlap by too much.
>> For the record (as a repeater owner myself), I have never come across
>> any cases of interference to any 2 meter repeater caused by a cell site.
>> That doesn't mean it's not possible, but it certainly isn't as rampant
>> as you imply.
>>
>>
>> > So do some over-powered amateurs. Why do
>> > you try Aanal Aaron's lame trick of blaming EVERYTHING on CBers?
>>
>> You really need to take off the rose colored glasses and stop huffing
>> twisty gas, and face up to reality. Yes, there are illegally powered
>> hams, yes, hams can cause interference, and yes, ham towers are
>> generally not considerd aesthetically appealing by the general public.
>> But it was CBers, or more accurately, illegally powered CBers, that made

>> TVI a household word.
>
>Wrong again. It is CHEAP CONSUMER ELECTRONICS that made TVI a
>household word. Not amateurs, not CBers, not anything else. Improperly
>filtered and cheaply constructed consumer electronics did the job.
>
> In the late 70's the amount of CBers outnumbered
>> hams by over 8 to 1, and that was based on licenses. There were many
>> more CBers who never obtained a license. Judging from the attitudes
>> expressed here on this newsgroup, it is easy to see that most of you
>> guys care little, if at all, about following FCC rules.
>
>Why is that? You make a quantum leap in your so-called "logic" there.
>Can you see the problem?
>
> So why is it so
>> hard for you to accept the fact that because CBers are more likely to
>> break the rules, illegally modify their rigs, and run high power, they
>> are also more likely to cause interference?
>
>Nope. The fact that you are blinded by your license makes it
>impossible for you to accept that many more hams use illegal power and
>are more likely to cause interference.
>
>>
>> >
>> > > -CBers become dissatisfied with their measly 40 channel allocations
>> > > and
>> > > begin spreading out, or "freebanding" as they call it, on to 10m and
>> > > 12m
>> > > amateur bands, rendering those bands virtually useless in some areas.
>> > > I
>> > > hear it every single day, hundreds of examples per day, this becomes
>> > > thousands if the band's really open.
>> >
>> > Or they "become dissatisfied with their measley 40 channel
>> > allocations" and get a ham license. As far as "rendering (10 and 12
>> > meters) virtually useless", another poster (licensed amateur Jim
>> > Hampton) has pointed out that those bands are virtually UNUSED, so who
>> > is it hurting?
>>
>> That depends on where you look. I've heard some fine sounding AM rigs
>on
>> 29.000. There is FM repeater activity in the 29.6 area. And of course,
>> there is a ton of SSB activity when the band is open. There is also a
>> fair amount of CW traffice, in the area that is being encroached upon.
>
>Really? I haven't heard any of that "fair amount of CW traffic" yet.
>And only ONCE heard any alleged intruders.
>

>> >
>> > If you're hearing it every day, why aren't you actively using the
>> > band(s) to prevent it instead of whining in a UseNet newsgroup which
>> > is read by only a very small handful of CBers to start with? Talk
>> > about wasting your time and effort!
>>
>> I would tend to agree that this newsgroup isn't the best place to effect
>> a change. But what seems to keep perpetuating this topic is the
>> persistant denial by certain members of this group, that this problem
>> does not exist.
>
>Not denial, just a lack of evidence.
>
>>
>> >
>> > > There probably isn't an amateur active on 10m and 12m anywhere in
>the
>> > > world who isn't aware of this bad and growing problem. If all the
>> > > CBers ran their legal power limit, the problem would still exist,
>but
>> > > it wouldn't be quite as loud. Since so many are running amplifiers,
>> > > it's worse.
>
>What, exactly, is "so many"? 5%? 10%? Does anyone really know? Certain
>people (yourself) claim that "all CBers are criminals" based on -
>what? How can you possibly claim that? Do you actually KNOW "all
>CBers"? If not, you're just inciting the rabid anti-CBers like Aanal
>Aaron and Georgie-girl, who should have something better to do than
>whine about so-called "illegal" CBers. To be blunt, if they're
>"CBers", they're not illegal; if they're "illegal", they're not CBers.
>Comprene?
>
>> > The first part of your first sentence says it all: "There probably
>> > isn't an amateur active on 10m and 12m in the world." So why the fuss?
>> > Use 'em or lose 'em.
>>
>> That's not your call to make. That would be analogous to you parking
>> your car, and me saying, "hey, you're not using it, so I'll take it. Use
>> it or lose it".
>
>You've been listening to Aanal Aaron and Frank ("yeti") too much, I
>think.
>
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>
>It's not really YOUR call to make, either, is it? [I assume (from your
>"handle") that you're not a ham.] It's also not Aanal Aaron's call to
>make (since at best he has a no-code Tech license and at worst he has

>no license; either way he cannot legally use 10 meters) and not
>Georgie-girl's call to make (since he could actually be there
>preventing intrusions). So what's your problem?
>

Steve, FYI, Dave is a ham. You been sniffing "Twisty-Gas"?

-A

3028. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 23:24:00 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210251524.556385b4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141809

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3DB7E4AD.453D@worldlynx.net>...
> Landshark wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DB68EDF.648C@worldlynx.net...
> >
> > >
> > > I would tend to agree that this newsgroup isn't the best place to effect
> > > a change. But what seems to keep perpetuating this topic is the
> > > persistant denial by certain members of this group, that this problem
> > > does not exist.

Who has said that?

> > >
> >
> > I don't think anyone is in denial about it, most know that people do
> > those things. It's the constant posting that is a
> > "problem" or like it is an "epidemic" when it's not.
>
> It certainly IS a "problem". Anytime someone tresspasses on another's
> property, it's a problem. Whether that problem ranks high up on the list
> in the big picture of spectrum management, is a subjective call, that no
> one here has the power to make.
>
> Is it "epidemic"? Probably not. Is it something we should be concerned
> about? That depends on how you view the value of rules to a cohesive
> society. If you view rules and regulations as roadblocks in your path of
> "fun", then I'm sure you would rather attention not be drawn to this
> "issue".

Do you ever NOT obey a speed limit? Do you ever do anything that is a
"violation"? Same-same.

> > Cb is slowing down, slowly but surly, because of
> > cell phones IMO.
>
> I would agree with this 100%. Add to it the internet, and you've got
> most of the big picture.

Which is most of the BIG problem of amateur radio. Why would someone
learn obsolete forms of communication just to talk to a dwindling
number of people around the world, or, even worse, a bunch of jerks on
local repeaters, when they can "chat" on the internet for free without
a license?

> > Go take a look around the neighborhoods,
> > do you see as many antennas now as you might have
> > 7 years ago?
>
> Heck, the amount of antennas on both vehicles and homes has declined in
> my area since about 1982.
>
>
> > Probably not, when you can get a cell phone
> > and its use for $9.95 a month, why would you want to
> > spend $40.00 for a cb?
>
> That's not a really good example.

In fact, a really poor example. He said it himself: A $40 (one time
charge) CB or a $10/month phone. That's $120 per year, forever for the
phone. I don't see any comparison at all.

A CB would pay for itself after a few
> month's usage. I don't know about you, but I got into radio as a hobby,
> because I enjoy the hit or miss aspect of radio. I look at phones as an
> appliance. Handy, useful, but not something I would make a hobby of.
> I've had people question my choice of hobby, and pose the obvious
> question as to why I bother with all those radios, when you can just get
> a cell phone. My usual answer is that I guess if you don't get it, you
> don't get it, and are much better off with that cell phone.

And the really big blessing of radios is: You can turn them OFF if you
don't want to be bothered. The cellphone will bother you everywhere at
all times. Why have one?

>
> > Cell phones are far more reliable and way more private.

I'd argue both points. If they were "reliable", why did the system
fail in NYC on 9/11/01? And "private"? Don't you believe it.

> For a true radio hobbyist, privacy is a negative. One thing you can't do
> with cell phones, is get a bunch of people together and rag chew. It's
> point to point only, or maybe a 3-way calling option, but nothing more
> (Unless you want to pay really big bucks for conferencing abilities).
>
> As far a reliability, yes, most cell phones are somewhat reliable, but
> as redio devices, they are still subject to fade outs and poor signal
> areas. Murphy's law on cell phones: The phone will quit when you need it
> the most.

Absolutely correct!

>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

3029. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 23:32:09 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210251532.6035fe60@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141810

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210231158.324024b9@posting.google.com>...
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3DB68EDF.648C@worldlynx.net>...
> > Richard Cranium wrote:
> > > So do some over-powered amateurs. Why do
> > > you try Aanal Aaron's lame trick of blaming EVERYTHING
> > > on CBers?
> (snip)
> > > another poster (licensed amateur Jim
> > > Hampton) has pointed out that those bands
> > >are virtually UNUSED, so who is it hurting?
> (snip)
> > > If you're hearing it every day, why aren't you actively using the
> > > band(s) to prevent it instead of whining in a UseNet newsgroup
> (snip)
> > > The first part of your first sentence says it all: "There probably
> > > isn't an amateur active on 10m and 12m in the world."
> > > So why the fuss?
>
> Dave, FYI.
>
> LOL, he knows the bands are not "unused" and that there are active
> amateurs on 10 and 12. He's just trolling you. It's funny but in
> rec.radio.cb, the word "troll" is thrown around a lot, and anyone who
> doesn't like someone else calls them a troll, but this guy is the real
> thing.
>
> -Aaron-

"He knows"? Can you prove that statement, Aanal Aaron? Of course you
can't. If the truckers that you claim are intruding on 10 meters heard
amateur activity up there, do you think they'd still try to use the
frequencies? The fact is, YOU are "the" TROLL, Aanal Aaron, and you
only post to incite people to respond. Where's your PROOF that I'm
somehow Steve? You said you would "Gladly" post it, but you seem to
have convienently forgotten that post. Lame repetition is not proof.
Worse, you posted conclusive proof that I cannot be Steve. Hmmmm,
which is it?

And you have lost three addresses in just the last two weeks and are
about to lose another one for being stupid. Bye-bye, dimbulb. But
maybe you could post your PROOF before they dump you again?

3030. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 23:34:24 UTC
From: I C S <nospam@nospam.fr>
Message-ID: <1rku9.9577$46.9254@fe01>
Source record: 141811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

Jerry Oxendine is a troll..... just like Aaron Voobner.
rec.radio.cb's equal to Richard Bullis.
What a piece of crap!

3031. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 23:36:39 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210251536.6ec5258b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141812

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021024174522.22930.00000007@mb-bg.aol.com>...
> he didnt loose them. just saving them for the come back so he can laugh at
> those who thought he lost them lol i got an email from
> aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com yesterday

It's "lose" them, Georgie-girl. And he lost them, all right; he cannot post to the UseNet using them again. Yahoo! may not dump him (do they ever dump anyone?), but Google is blocking him as fast as he gets new addresses.

What happened to voobner@yahoo.com and avoobner@yahoo.com? Why isn't he still using them? Why is he changing email addresses every other day?

3032. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-25 23:44:51 UTC
From: John Medica - System administrator <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3DB9D772.3040406@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 141765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.cb.skip

Black face

as well has the heavy finals

Chuck wrote:

>On Thu, 24 Oct 2002 18:45:36 -0700, John Medica - System administrator
><medica@cyberspace.org> was blabbling:
>
>...Palamar eliteline 2250 low/med/hi - 150/250 PEP
>... $250cnd best offer. *ship UPS*
>
>You must be kidding.... 225's go for $70.00 wholesale and $80.00
>wholesale for the 250's and go for about 85.00 on the used market...
>
>you failed to mention if the amp was a blue faced version or the black
>faced version.....
>
>73
>
>
>
>A Real Tube
>http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
>A Real Amp
>http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html
>
>

--
John Medica
System Administrator
Listening-Post
http://www.rootshell.be/~medica/ <http://www.rootshell.be/%7Emedica/>
Toronto Ontario Canada

C o n t a c t I n f o r m a t i o n:
ï¿½ Personal: jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org <mail:jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org>
ï¿½ Work: medica@cyberspace.org <mail:medica@cyberspace.org>

Moderator Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg

3033. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 00:10:03 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210251610.390a6a43@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141813

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3DB93F52.23B2@worldlynx.net>...
> I have been thinking seriously about doing something similar.

Hmmm, I reckon it could be done with a 900mhz ham transverter with a
2m or 440 input... of course the ham unit would have to be modded to
transmit below 144 or 420, depending on the freq multiplication
factor. The filter sections of the transverter would also need to be
tweaked for the high-800's.

Guy

3034. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 00:33:32 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210251633.2e32a89d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141814

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>Date: Fri, Oct 25, 2002 4:36 PM
>Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210251536.6ec5258b@posting.google.com>
>
>timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021024174522.22930.00000007@mb-bg.aol.com>...
>> he didnt loose them. just saving them for the come back so he can
laugh
>at
>> those who thought he lost them lol i got an email from
>> aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com yesterday
>
>It's "lose" them, Georgie-girl. And he lost them, all right; he
cannot
>post to the UseNet using them again. Yahoo! may not dump him (do they
>ever dump anyone?), but Google is blocking him as fast as he gets new
>addresses.
>
>What happened to voobner@yahoo.com and avoobner@yahoo.com? Why isn't
>he still using them? Why is he changing email addresses every other
>day?
>
>

Poor Steve. Desperate to hide the truth. Rec.radio.cb is like "Cheers"
for you now, it's "where everybody knows your name". ;)

-A

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired
for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the

operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

3035. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 00:45:11 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210251645.1a463e3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141815

> A pleasant conversation, then "Aaron" smacks you with a troll comment,
> and you fall to pieces...hahhahahhahahhahah! Pathetic.

So *you're* the hacker who's been doing us a service by making "Aaron's" posts disappear! The folks at Google aren't going to be pleased with you... neither is Twist, when he finds out you've been hijacking his ID. Sure wouldn't wanna be in YOUR shoes!

> I am your God

Consider me a blasphemer against you, hahahahahahahaha... you can't smite worth a damn.

> >Legal, shmeagle---as long as it's FUN!<
>
> Nah! Can't agree with ya' there.
> Besides, you' ain't nuthin' but another pime exampe of a disgrace to
> hammie radio.

You ain't nuthin' but another right-winger who hates free speech & has no friends. Admit it.

> Never imagined my mere words had the power of
> all-consuming control over you dweebettes...

Probably can't even control yer own bladder.

> It's called a reasonable belief and until the courts rule otherwise,
> feel free to petition the law at anytime to change it.

Screw petitions, lobbying, and other forms of begging... if you don't want me doing what I enjoy, you're free (there's that hated f-word again) to come over and pump my guts full of lead anytime you want, if you really want to blow away an unarmed person...

> Email
> me and we can discuss a consult fee.

Yeah, RIGHT. You're the one who's gonna need it, after you shoot me without provocation.

I been waitin' **7 YEARS** and no sedan full of feds has stopped in front of my house yet... but YOU scare me, man.

Guy

3036. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 01:44:31 UTC
From: jg45c@excite.com
Message-ID: <8de2b998.0210251744.32cac0f2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<SZfu9.13744$Be4.6796@news.bellsouth.net>...
> Boss HOG <BossHog@MotherTruckersHwy29.org> wrote in message
> news:apbgj0$l9r$0@pita.alt.net...
> > A. Tape recordings, observations of truckers and other such
> > vigilante nonsense by hams have absolutely no legal basis in law
> > and would be tossed out of a court of law in a heartbeat, IF they
> > ever got that far.
>
> Such
> radios such as certain Galaxy and Connex models are illegal to even import
> into the country.
>
I may be naive to some law or regulation, as I'm not a ham, but all
amature radios that transmit below 30MHz do not require type
acceptance by the American FCC. Since the Galaxy radios are marketed
as 10 meter radios, not CBs which must be type accepted by nature of
the service, how can they possibly be illegal to sell in America?

I'm not arguing as to who those radio's are targeted at. I mean, a 10
meter radio that tunes in fixed incriments and has echo-no question
it's being marketed to the freebanders.

3037. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 02:25:26 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <IP-dndOXOO6JYCSgXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 141817

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021024224030.876$tU@newsreader.com...
> "Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > Umm..no you haven't 'shaggy'. You've let people assume who you are.
> >
> >> Who started calling who George?
> >
> I coudn't begin to guess. You have quite a trail of names.
>
> Is George your first name?

Well it certainly is not my last

>
> --
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

3038. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 02:26:36 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <cracnVE-m4vEYCSgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 141818

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021025161301.20721.00000201@mb-cq.aol.com...
> no probably about it. he does. he's got recradiocbpolice@aol.com,
> wa3moj@aol.com, and several others.

Tim are you certain?

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> >Why do you always re-direct, Georgie-girl? Why ask "landshark"? WA3MOJ
> >is YOU. And you probably have an aol account hiding in the background
> >somewhere.
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

3039. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 02:27:18 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <-lidneMEOrcZYCSgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141819

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021025110046.27772.00000256@mb-df.aol.com...
> one time in a frs newsgroup doesnt count

Oh grasshopper there is more than one perhaps you too stupid to find the
rest.

>
> >who said i was afraid i have used it many times, where you been. Why are
you
> >afraid to post your call sign or reveal your real name?
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

3040. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 02:28:42 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <9OicnX8ZdM5KYCSgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 141820

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> You won't because you're only here to stir up
> trouble, but everybody knows that you're Georgie-girl Busch >WA3MOJ in
Allentown, PA.

Why are you here? Everybody knows I am george then tell Moparholic that he
isn't to sure.

3041. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 02:33:57 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <EJudnQQqPfOPYiSgXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 141822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Hfcu9.4005$6F4.378360@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> So..... You talked with truckers in Ok.?

No where was that said?

> "Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
> news:YimdnTv7pv-W6iWgXTWc3g@comcast.com...
> >
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message -
> > > >
> > > Have any truckers respond?
> >
> >
> > Yes they did to me on the air the other day. They were in oklahoma
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

3042. ITEMS ON SALE AT AUCTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 02:34:50 UTC
From: The2x4 <the2x4@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <XYmu9.261$wZ2.153@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141823

Check out the website:

http://singasongtn.tripod.com/

Click on image to see all the auctions on eBay and/or Yahoo
****

QuicFix 3.5 Omega version - CB Repair Program on CD..always on sale!.
QuicFixer - QF3.5 Repair Guide..always on sale!
KickStarter - Keyup external amp and turn on tape recorder or ON AIR
LIGHT...always on sale!
The Ballad of Budwyser - Great song about a CB nut ...maybe just like you!
You gotta get this ...only on eBay!!!!!!!!!!!!!.. always on sale!

Huge Transformer for DC power supply - Big 108 lbs
15Kw/5KW Old ceramic/steel tubes - Hood ornament for KeyDowners

CHECK IT OUT!

The2x4

3043. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 02:35:05 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <NkydnTBKm43KYiSgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141824

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021025110718.27772.00000258@mb-df.aol.com...
> sureeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee

Sorry nebro, I have no desire to talk to truckers who are operating
illegally in the amatuer bands, now perhaps you get your jollies from
talking to them on the freeband but we all know your a wierd bird

> >I wasn't communicating with them I was calling cq cq cq dx, they called
me a
> >few choice words too.
>
>
>
>

3044. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 02:47:12 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <NoKcnS0ghOiwnyegXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141825

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210251536.6ec5258b@posting.google.com...
> timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021024174522.22930.00000007@mb-bg.aol.com>...
> > he didnt loose them. just saving them for the come back so he can laugh
at
> > those who thought he lost them lol i got an email from
> > aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com yesterday

LOL Dickhead now your calling Nebro by the name of george ..man you sure are
a trolling loser ...LOL

>
> It's "lose" them, Georgie-girl. And he lost them, all right; he cannot
> post to the UseNet using them again. Yahoo! may not dump him (do they
> ever dump anyone?), but Google is blocking him as fast as he gets new
> addresses.
>
> What happened to voobner@yahoo.com and avoobner@yahoo.com? Why isn't
> he still using them? Why is he changing email addresses every other
> day?

3045. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 02:49:44 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <iqacnbVUXKJYnyegXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 141826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:nEju9.4702$6F4.443989@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Musta been rough growing up with a name like
> "leland".....................................

Musta been tough growing up an asshole who is scared to use his own name.
LOL

> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:b1GdnW8YG_w6KSSgXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
> >
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021024231758.192$U5@newsreader.com...
> > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> > > > >> There
> > > > > is no need for the drivers to know where the local police are
> > unless
> > > > > they have equipment safety violations, are overloaded, or just
> > plain
> > > > > speeding.
> > > > >
> > > > Oh this is gonna go over big with the drivers..that's not all they
> > do.
> > > >
> > > They check tires,lights,smoke,directions,etc,for each other too.
> >
> > Yeah they do that too. Missed one.
> >
> >
> > --
> > Leland C. Scott
> > KC8LDO
> >
> > Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
> >
> > "I am a
> > bomb
> > technician
> > if you see me
> > running
> > try to keep up "
> >
> >

> >
> > ://NewsReader.Com/ ----------
> > > go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service
> >
> >
>
>

3046. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 03:27:08 UTC
From: Aaron Childs <aaronchilds@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <gYnu9.2005$z5.1390045@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

But that would mean actually getting a life, Mr. Hog. How could someone like yourself say something that is so full of blasphimous. Oh. may the demons of ten codes and curse you with QRM and QRN. :-)
But honestly, there is somethig else being discussed on two meters besides ham rigs and the weather. Golly, I guess I'd better find all those boxes of radio's I have and see if they still work. I got tired of hearing old men dribble on about the rigs they just purchased or how they're going to call the police when they get home and report some kid in his pick up truck that was going 58 in a 55 zone. And people wonder why the hobbie is dying?

A fellow no code techie in hiatus, KB8ZVN

Aaron Childs
host, producer, and engineer
The Sonic Chronicles

2001, 2002 "Jackie" 'Radio Personality Of The Year' Award Nominee (Jackson, Michigan Entertainment Awards)

"The Sonic Chronicles" on M4 Radio.com
Monday - Friday 2pm - 6 pm eastern time
M4 Radio.com
All Indie Music. The Invasion Continues.

P.S.: If I ever get my HR2510 out of the mothballs, I'll give you a shout out sometime. I would love to see if the tubes in my Galaxy kicker are still good or not. :-)

"Boss HOG" <BossHog@MotherTruckersHwy29.org> wrote in message news:apbgj0$l9r$0@pita.alt.net...

> C. Try a new experience. Turn off all your ham radios and spend
> some time with your wife, kids, grandkids, family, etc. And
> stop bitching about no code techs. I heard you bitching about them
> with your buddies on two meters last night. Some of those no
> code techs make six times the money you make!
>
> BOSS hog
> Long time trucker & Flea Market hog
> Channel 58, 27975 khz lower side

3047. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 03:35:26 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@nausea.com>
Message-ID: <x4ou9.719$Ur5.295069722@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 141827
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

"Paul Vanasse" wrote in message
>>
> I know this one supposedly wealthy ham that's been waiting a year for
$6.00
> Silver PL-259's to be marked down to $3.50 a piece so he can buy the 3 or
4
> that he desperately needs

Can you believe what they want for those little boogers now? A single
PL-259 without the rg-58 reducer goes for $3.99 EACH at Radio Shack now.

I remember when they came in pairs and went for $1.99. Of course if you
waited till the local hamswap came around they were 25 cents each or less
depending on your bartering skills.

Times just ain't what they used to be, are they?

(((((((hugs))))))) and {{{{{{{kisses}}}}}}}

General Johnson Jameson

3048. Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 03:54:52 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <0j4kru08js2l7tljqj6tn9emq0lf8nikgd@4ax.com>
Source record: 141828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of all American mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.

Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.

* *
In case you didn't already know...

Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

For product questions or additional details
on what we offer, please email us directly at:

info@cbcintl.com

73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"
****
CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

3049. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 04:25:44 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <alidnXR5O6a_hCegXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141829
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

> Can you believe what they want for those little boogers now? A single
> PL-259 without the rg-58 reducer goes for $3.99 EACH at Radio Shack now.
>
> I remember when they came in pairs and went for $1.99. Of course if you
> waited till the local hamswap came around they were 25 cents each or less
> depending on your bartering skills.

If they aren't silver-teflon, they aren't worth any price.
By the time you get them hot enough to properly solder in an RG-8 size
braid, they're melting the center insulator. Those shiny plated ones are
also tough to get the solder to adhere to, but the silver teflon ones just
flow.

3050. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 04:32:33 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@CBBARFdept.net>
Message-ID: <apd5s0lno0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 141830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

You are so full of crapola Oxendine that your eyes are
brown! Your lies do not scare anyone, least of all
truckers! But please do continue your ego mania
spew! The rest of us get quite a charge out of your
radio vigilante tantrums. Oh, and Riley says your
are one of the biggest whiners that continually calls him
about trivial nonsense. That figures!

Lloyd

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:SZfu9.13744$Be4.6796@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Boss HOG <BossHog@MotherTruckersHwy29.org> wrote in message
> news:apbgj0$l9r$0@pita.alt.net...
> > A. Tape recordings, observations of truckers and other such
> > vigilante nonsense by hams have absolutely no legal basis in law
> > and would be tossed out of a court of law in a heartbeat, IF they
> > ever got that far.
>
>
> Mr. Riley Hollingsworth of FCC WILL and DOES send warnings based on
recorded
> information gleaned by unofficial observers. Most of the time, the
companies
> for whom the
> drivers work take such warnings very seriously. They then normally
> discipline the driver(s)
> involved, even going so far as to physically inspect the truck and,
finding
> illegal equipment as
> described in the warning letters, order it removed, OR even FIRING the
> employee(s)
> involved! If you are not aware of it, this is what happened in the case
of
> the Cheetah Transpor-
> tation driver who was monitored near Rock Hill, SC. Quite simply, the
> Safety Director inspected
> the truck and found the radio as described via phone conversation with Mr.
> Hollingsworth. Such
> radios such as certain Galaxy and Connex models are illegal to even import
> into the country.
>
>

>
> >
> > B. You may perceve ten meter use by trucker as a problem of
> > national concern, but have you heard there's a worldwide
> > terrorist war going on? Get a reality check! And the FCC
> > does NOT regard YOUR ten meter problem as on of their
> > priorities. In fact, YOUR ten meter problem is not even on
> > their radar screen. What scarse enforcement resources the
> > commission has are being used for high-priority, as they see it,
> > national problems, none of which include YOUR ten meter
> > problems with trucks. Again, get a reality check!
>
> The reason that illegal radio operation, whether it is interference to
> Amateur or other radio
> services, will get attention is because, truth is, if illegal operators
can
> get away with operating
> on the Amateur bands, then there is no reason for them not to operate on
> other, even MORE,
> important radio services----such as the international HF aviation band.
Mr
> Riley Hollingsworth,
> Special Legal Counsel for Amateur Enforcement IS concerned with illegal
> operators because that
> is his JOB; it is what he was appointed for. What you DON'T know is that
a
> ham was just recently
> appointed to Billy Tauzin's powerful sub-Committee on Communications,
likely
> in response to a
> barrage of complaints from individuals, the ARRL, and hams about the
> enroachment of CB operators onto the ham bands. IF you don't believe that
> Amateur Radio DOES occupy a much
> "higher" rung in importance as opposed to CB, consider this; when
PL106-521
> was passed, giving
> local LEOS the ability to pass legislation and abate interference from
> illegal and over-powered CB
> sets, Amateur Radio and all other licensed services were EXEMPTED from
this.
> At this very mo-
> ment, there is a new Federal Bill passing thru Congress to exempt Amateur
> Radio antennas from
> CC & R covenants. Why? So that Amateur stations can provide a wide,
> effective and dispersed
> back-up communications system in time of emergency. Because of the
> dedicated hams, their ARRL-sponsored emergency training courses, and their
> rapid response to disasters for nearly 100
> years, this Bill is likely to become law as more and more Congresspersons
> are signing on as sponsors. CB radio will get NO such exemptions.

>
> With the appointment of Greg Walden of Oregon to the Communications
> sub-Committee, he arrives with the knowledge of the problem of outlaw
radio
> operators that think they can just steal
> frequencies without fulfilling the requirements set forth in Title 47 of
the
> US Code. Because Amateur Radio IS considered to be a valuable
> communications resource, it is quite likely that Mr
> Walden can be "persuaded" to introduce additional legislation to protect
> that resource from inter-
> ference. The studies, the suggestions, the flurry of letters have been in
> progress for some time to
> find ways to deal with this. The war on terrorism has NOTHING to do with
> interference to licensed services who have every right to expect that
their
> spectrum assets are available to them
> free of intrusion. One of the ideas that has been put forth is the
> RESTORATION of enough funds
> for FCC to go after the truck stops, CB shops, and other outlets who are
> actively promoting the
> sale and use of so-called "10 Meter" radios that are already enjoined from
> import into the USA.
> Another idea is to allow state DOT agencies to routinely inspect trucks
for
> said radios using a list
> supplied by the Customs Service or FCC--among a few others. You are
correct
> in that FCC cur-
> rently does not have enough funds to aggressively go after illegals, BUT
> that can change.
>
> The FCC is not engaged in fighting terrorism except in monitoring for
> possible radio traffic that
> could indicate terrorist activity. Their job is to regulate and enforce
the
> Communications Act of
> 1934 as amended. That includes protecting licensed users from intrusions
> from "tan fer thar, driver"
> on frequencies those truckers have no business being on. It will take
time,
> but those bands, includ-
> ing 10 and 12 Meters, WILL be defended. AND those "vigilante" hams WILL
> continue to silently
> record, document and report the activity of truckers or anyone else who
> encroaches onto the inter-
> national ham bands.
>
>
>

>
> >
> > C. Try a new experience. Turn off all your ham radios and spend
> > some time with your wife, kids, grandkids, family, etc. And
> > stop bitching about no code techs. I heard you bitching about them
> > with your buddies on two meters last night. Some of those no
> > code techs make six times the money you make!
>
> You are full of CRAP! I haven't been on 2 Meters in over a week, and you
> have NEVER heard
> me say a word about a no-code tech! A no-code tech is a HAM. Period! It
> makes no difference
> (to me) that I happen to have more privileges than a no-code tech.
>
>
> What anyone makes is of no concern to me! I am retired on gross of
$30,000
> + + at age 54! I
> am living the life of Reilly (not Riley). I LOVE those warm days down at
> the lake fishin'!
>
>
> J
>
>
>
>
> >
> > BOSS hog
> > Long time trucker & Flea Market hog
> > Channel 58, 27975 khz lower side
> >
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net...
> > >
> > > Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:wFZt9.3113$Fj6.253943@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > I think truckers are authorized to use 10-meters, just like doctors,
> > > school
> > > > teachers, farmers, office workers, and any other sort of ham uses
the
> > > band.
> > >
> > > ONLY IF THEY HAVE THE REQUIRED LICENSE! That is the issue here; the
use
> > of
> > > 10 Meters by unlicensed truckers that just buy one of those "export"
> > radios
> > > (illegal to import and sell)

> > > and start talking. I hear them all the way up beyond 29 MHZ.
> > >
> > > Now if a driver obtains the required license, he/she is more than
> welcome
> > to
> > > enjoy Amateur Radio
> > > and all its rewards in accordance with the rules of the Service. ALL
> > > licensed persons are welcomed and encouraged to make use of the ham
> bands.
> > >
> > > One of the things that gets a LOT of negative attention is the use of
AM
> > > below 28.300 MHZ where
> > > voice modes are not allowed in the USA/Region 2. If a driver hears
> Morse
> > > Code (CW) on that
> > > frequency, that is why. All drivers can do Amateur Radio AND their
> > driving
> > > friends a huge favor by passing the word that 10 Meter operations DOES
> > > require a license and that the Feds are back in
enforcement--especially
> > > where it concerns out-of band-operation by CBers. MANY hams are
> > > highly ticked off about the unlawful intrusions and are actively
> > monitoring
> > > the bands for it--even ob-
> > > serving trucks on the highway for such goings-on. Based on their tape
> > > recordings and tag numbers,
> > > FCC WILL issue a warning to the driver's employer! It IS a serious
> > matter,
> > > folks, and you should
> > > heed the warning.
> > >
> > > Jerry
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > You got something against truckers?
> > > >
> > > > Blackie
> > > > Keep the shiny side up!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >

> >
>
>
>

3051. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 05:20:10 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DBA260A.D482FC21@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 141831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Insider wrote:

>
> I may be naive to some law or regulation, as I'm not a ham, but all
> amature radios that transmit below 30MHz do not require type
> acceptance by the American FCC. Since the Galaxy radios are marketed
> as 10 meter radios, not CBs which must be type accepted by nature of
> the service, how can they possibly be illegal to sell in America?

Hams are allowed to make or modify a small limited number of rigs,
but mass produced rigs still need type acceptance from the FCC.
(Or whatever they call "type acceptance" nowadays).

3052. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 05:25:49 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210252125.37afca56@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141832

"Lloyd" Lloyd@CBBARFdept.net wrote in message
<apd5s0lno0@pita.alt.net>
> You are so full of crapola Oxendine that your eyes are
>brown! Your lies do not scare anyone, least of all
>truckers! But please do continue your ego mania
>spew! The rest of us get quite a charge out of your
>radio vigilante tantrums. Oh, and Riley says your
>are one of the biggest whiners that continually calls him
>about trivial nonsense. That figures!
>
>Lloyd

Same guy, different name. All from pita.alt.net. This guy could give
Steve Rogovich a run for his money.

-Aaron-

Har Har <HarHar@HarHarRadio.net> wrote in message
news:afr3rq$ve2$0@pita.alt.net...

Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
news:akl8ndebi0@pita.alt.net...

"Meat-->Plow" <Meat@hell-flame-wars.orq> wrote in message
news:ak078g$4in$0@pita.alt.net...

"Vic Z" <HamCB@HamCBBargainbarn.org> wrote in message
news:ap0qt4ddv0@pita.alt.net...

"Oscar" <LasVegasKid@thehappygamblersanon.com> wrote in message
news:akl9qr$h8v$0@pita.alt.net...

"Horace T Einsworth" <hte@radiow345xFM.org> wrote in message
news:akm151$bh2$0@pita.alt.net...

"Knight Ryder" <knightryder@yahooeyx.com> wrote in message
news:agt979$h17$0@pita.alt.net...

Big Horn 312 <BigHorn312@CherryHillFreeband.org> wrote in message
news:ags4cctau0@pita.alt.net...

3053. Re: paging jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 05:36:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021026013623.17597.00000027@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 141833

makes all the difference in the world to the law makers who have to keep there campaign supporters happy. let me give you a clue here, its not the arrl by a long shot. btw are those congress men democrats? the teamsters are one of there biggest cash supporters there is. they also got the republicans covered too. lol

>I have no idea. Makes NO difference when it comes to condoning some that is
>patently
>wrong and illegal.
>
>
>J

3054. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 05:42:05 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021026014205.17597.00000028@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 141834

you call totally outlawing cb's from truckers a workable idea??? your the only one who needs work :)

>You're just nervous Tim because its a workable idea.
>

3055. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 05:43:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021026014300.17597.00000029@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 141835

why would that "proposed new law? scare me anymore than the ones already on the books? dont flatter yourself lelnad. it sounded like a fantasy to me.

>I'm thinking of doing just that since Timmy is peeing his pants over
>it, and Twisted is trying his best propaganda to discount it. That
>means it must have a good chance of really working!
>

3056. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 05:47:11 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021026014711.17597.00000030@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 141836

sorta like the pocket protector wearing guy with an ht clipped to his belt and
a callsign on his hat for a ham???

>You may flame me, but that IS the image much of America
>has of
>CB radio. Operating outside the law doesn't help the image of stoop-idity;
>that is, stooping to
>breaking the law just to talk on a radio.

3057. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 05:48:58 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021026014858.17597.00000031@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 141837

this is comming from "Shaggy Dog"???? hahaha i'm laughing for real on this one

>Musta been tough growing up an asshole who is scared to use his own name.
>LOL
>

3058. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 05:59:20 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021026015920.17597.00000032@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 141838

ouch i got hit by friendly fire lol

>
>LOL Dickhead now your calling Nebro by the name of george ..man you sure are
>a trolling loser ...LOL

3059. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 06:09:19 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <05ckrucq9viqm5ejpraqp195jch3v5ktsj@4ax.com>
Source record: 141840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.cb.skip

On Fri, 25 Oct 2002 16:44:51 -0700, John Medica - System administrator
<medica@cyberspace.org> was blabbling:

...Black face
...
...as well has the heavy finals
...

Well, Still the black faced 225's go for $77.00 wholesale and the
250's go for $86.00 and what do you mean by heavy finals? The 225's
only ran 1446's and those finals don't do jack. and the 250's run
2-2sc2879's and those are 80 watt finals... unless you volt them and
then they become 120 watt finals, till they blow up, which is what
they usually do.

Either case, you're looking at a amp that's quite honestly worth MAYBE
$85.00 bucks, if you're able to get that...

73

-Chuck

..
A Real Tube
http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
A Real Amp
http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html

3060. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 06:12:53 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021026021253.17597.00000033@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 141841

chuck for the last couple years straight you've quoted the WHOLESALE price on these amps here when somebody has one for sell. why do you do this? do you think the general public gets them wholesell? how many did you sell to your amp customers for the wholesell price?

>Well, Still the black faced 225's go for $77.00 wholesale and the
>250's go for $86.00 and what do you mean by heavy finals? The 225's
>only ran 1446's and those finals don't do jack. and the 250's run
>2-2sc2879's and those are 80 watt finals... unless you volt them and
>then they become 120 watt finals, till they blow up, which is what
>they usually do.
>
>Either case, you're looking at a amp that's quite honestly worth MAYBE
>$85.00 bucks, if you're able to get that...
>
>73
>
>-Chuck
>
>
>..
>A Real Tube
>http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
>A Real Amp
>http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html
>
>
>
>
>
>

3061. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 06:33:11 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <T5Gdnc4JIuW4qiegXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 141842

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021026014858.17597.00000031@mb-fd.aol.com...
> this is comming from "Shaggy Dog"???? hahaha i'm laughing for real on this
one

Tim my name has been splattered all over this ng. Unlike tools who has said
he won't
>
> >Musta been tough growing up an asshole who is scared to use his own name.
> >LOL
> >
>
>
>
>

3062. Re: Johnson Radio Crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 07:57:29 UTC
From: jason <hubs3@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apdbbn$vi9$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 141839

My plan is to attach some copper wire to the mount and then run this to a grounding rod placed in the ground beside the metal pole im gonna use, any idea if that will work??

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3db8db98.16991488@news.cet.com...
> In <apa8fo$ab9$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "jason"
> <hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
> >Thanks very much for the info, that chart helps clarify things alot, all im
> >lacking now is a way to mount my 9 foot whip on my house and ill be ready to
> >go,
>
> Mount it with lots and lots of ground radials.
>

3063. get a life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 09:01:14 UTC
From: kenwood440@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25736-3DBA59DA-393@storefull-2334.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141843

WHO CARES WHO IS ON 10.METERS THIS IS CB NEWSGROUPS.
11.METER IS GREAT HOBBY LETS KEEP IT THAT WAY.73S HAPPY DXING

3064. Re: Johnson Radio Crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 09:15:35 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dba5956.2874939@news.cet.com>
Source record: 141844

In <apdbbn$vi9$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "jason"
<hubs3@mindspring.com> wrote:

>My plan is to attach some copper wire to the mount and then run this to a
>grounding rod placed in the ground beside the metal pole im gonna use, any
>idea if that will work??

Here's a link you should probably check out:

http://www.dxzone.com/catalog/Technical_Reference/Antennas/

3065. twitsy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 10:14:41 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <7d07cc30911b5982fb6770337a927433@xganon.com>
Source record: 141845

http://web.archive.org/web/20021008174238re_/http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/twistworld.jpg

---
This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3066. saucer boy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 10:50:55 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <e68335108db088ecd0f1a733cbb808fd@xganon.com>
Source record: 141847

NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
---

http://web.archive.org/web/20021008174238re_/http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/twistworld.jpg

---
This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3067. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 11:25:14 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021026072514.25732.00000531@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 141848

>Subject: Re: FS: linear
>From: John Medica - System administrator medica@cyberspace.org
>Date: 10/24/02 10:43 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3DB8AFD4.4050002@cyberspace.org>
>
>exchange rate (today) 1.5610
>$250 CAD - $161 US
>
Going by these numbers, you are still about 50 bucks US high........WITH
SHIPPING!
Train

3068. TRW Swap Meep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 12:35:54 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DBA8C2A.881BC178@qnet.com>
Source record: 141849

Be There!

Jay in the Mojave

3069. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 13:10:35 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <u6WcnY-_46rVCSegXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 141850

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> That's not what I asked,is George your first name?

Boy Mopar you must have gone off the deep end you called me george for how long now your asking me if my name is George .... jeesh

3070. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 13:12:07 UTC
From: Shaggy Dogg <doggone@dog.comPton>
Message-ID: <KaucnYNuMPk5CSegXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141851

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> Are you WA3MOJ ?

Well your certainly not!

Oh I have a question for you Are you married Steve? What's your wifes name?

3071. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, from Mopar...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 13:15:07 UTC
From: Jack Myhogoff <jacck@hog.comPton>
Message-ID: <NdCcnYrCCaDFCCegXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 141852

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> That's not what I asked,

Why do you X-No archive your posts?

3072. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, from Mopar...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 14:07:36 UTC
From: Jack Myhogoff <jacck@hog.comPton>
Message-ID: <Q76dnU59Xdo4PCegXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 141853

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> Why not?

Why do you answer a question with a question ?

3073. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 14:09:20 UTC
From: Brian Smith <orion@accesswave.ca>
Message-ID: <wZtu9.160814$C8.397169@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 141846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:iqacnbVUXKJYnyegXTWc3A@comcast.com...
>
> Musta been tough growing up an asshole who is scared to use his own name.
> LOL

Exactly why did your parents name you Shaggy Dogg?

Brian

3074. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 14:44:46 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@nausea.com>
Message-ID: <1Uxu9.732$jq7.303191087@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 141854
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

"Dave VanHorn" < wrote in message

> If they aren't silver-teflon, they aren't worth any price.
> By the time you get them hot enough to properly solder in an RG-8 size
> braid, they're melting the center insulator. Those shiny plated ones are
> also tough to get the solder to adhere to, but the silver teflon ones just
> flow.

I soldered my first PL-259 way back in 1967 and have been burning
my fingers ever since. When the satellite TV craze hit in the 80's,
I learned about a new connector to hate, Soldering N connectors to
make pigtails. I found the best way to get solder to flow on any connector
was to swab a little solder paste on them. Includes the coax braid as well.

Can you imagine a world where there isn't the smoke of solder slowly
floating upward, into nostrils, lungs and eyes? I certainly can't!

The General's laboratory is constantly adrift with floating clouds of solder
and paste flux smoke. A beautiful sight to behold!

3075. Re: Maybe We'll Get The Real Facts, not from Boobner fake name...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 15:03:42 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <i9yu9.218846$121.6144228@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 141855

"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:KaucnYNuMPk5CSegXTWcpw@comcast.com...
>
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > Are you WA3MOJ ?
>
> Well your certainly not!
>
> Oh I have a question for you Are you married Steve? What's your wifes
name?
>
>

Nancy Busch

3076. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 15:28:39 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apebrm$n6q7$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 141856

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021024235547.854$5w@newsreader.com...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> >> Oops :http://www.qrotec.com/Features25m3.html
> >
> Ouch.. http://www.qrotec.com/pricing.html

Anyone buying one of these amps must have more money than brains... if you
think you need that much power, you're mistaken.

Tom

3077. cobra 2000 on/off switch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 15:31:51 UTC
From: Adrian <adshar@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <7Ayu9.20154$Sr6.620318@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 141857

Had a prob with cobra 2000 today wouldn't turn off on push button switch. Push button Switch is ok,Checked it out some and found it seems to switch on ground side probably controlling a transistor switch.I run the ac/dc selector centre positive through switch and soldered previous together off switch. Works fine but now counter doesn't revert to clock mode on off position. Tried discon on different positive wires to freq counter to no avail, however its probably a ground connection that works clock display?.I haven't identified the switching transistor yet as schematic is a bit hard to follow in that area.Anyone else seen this? Any advice appreciated. Well as I said switch was ok.unsoldered black wire on one side of switch and radio still lit up?On other side of switch is freq cntr and cb ground returns i.e. white and orange wires..I think the real switch is a transistor activated by this.still don't know which one.My schematic is hard to read.
regards Adrian

3078. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 15:41:33 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NIyu9.6219$6F4.570481@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141858

A real peace maker you are.
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:RJju9.115$wZ2.102@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021022234744.11080.00003738@mb-me.aol.com...
> > i wasnt questioning your legalities i was just wondering about your
> sanity. it
> > seems like you get as much joy from it as a channel 19 dead keyer. sure
> your in
> > the right radio service? seems to me i'd rather be somewhere enjoying my
> hobby
> > instead of jamming truckers who are likely too close to each other to be
> > bothered anyways. but whats all this talk of 1500 watts? i thought that
> wasnt
> > ever neccesary? with all the bands and freqs and modes you choose to be
> right
> > where the trouble is and no where else. thats strange. are you the
> official
> > defender of ham radio or are you just kinda pretending to be?
>
>
> The answer is: "The only thing needed for evil to triumph is for good men
> to do nothing".
>
> The damned truckers are W R O N G for being up there. And I will do my
best
> to see the
> B******* busted for it! And if they don't like it, then they can KMA!!
>
> J
>
>
>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >The answer is that I can call CQ to my heart's content right on top of
an
> > >illegal station complete with callsign. If no one answers, I can't
help
> > >that. If someone does, then I go right back with

> > >R R R de K4XXX, TNX FER CALL, UR RST 579 (ETC). Illegal stations have
> > >absolutely
> > >NO protection from interference from legal and licensed stations. I
can
> > >even run a 1500 watt
> > >test below 28.100 right on top of him if I want to. Sir, I don't give
a
> > >damn about those truckers;
> > >they aren't supposed to be there to start with. Period! And if I
> "happen"
> > >to f*** up his tan fer-ing
> > >on 28 MHZ, then the better! FCC has already addressed this and, once
> again,
> > >whether it suits you
> > >or not, UNLICENSED INTRUDERS HAVE NO PROTECTION AGAINST A N Y
> INTERFERENCE
> > >CAUSED BY LICENSED STATIONS. You can verify that by emailing
> > >rholling@fcc.gov . And, yes, if I want to do it just for the hell of
it,
> I
> > >can, and there ain't a damned
> > >thing that can be done about it as long as I ID and use the frequency,
> > >including testing, in accordance with the existing regulations. If
some
> > >stupid idiot happens to be there illegally, and I
> > >mess up his squeak-beep crap, T O U G H!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

3079. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 15:46:03 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <qByu9.2162$wZ2.1419@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Insider <jg45c@excite.com> wrote in message
news:8de2b998.0210251744.32cac0f2@posting.google.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<SZfu9.13744$Be4.6796@news.bellsouth.net>...
> > Boss HOG <BossHog@MotherTruckersHwy29.org> wrote in message
> > news:apbgj0$l9r$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > A. Tape recordings, observations of truckers and other such
> > > vigilante nonsense by hams have absolutely no legal basis in law
> > > and would be tossed out of a court of law in a heartbeat, IF they
> > > ever got that far.
> >
> > Such
> > radios such as certain Galaxy and Connex models are illegal to even
import
> > into the country.
> >
> I may be naive to some law or regulation, as I'm not a ham, but all
> amature radios that transmit below 30MHz do not require type
> acceptance by the American FCC. Since the Galaxy radios are marketed
> as 10 meter radios, not CBs which must be type accepted by nature of
> the service, how can they possibly be illegal to sell in America?

Because the FCC labs have determined that certain of those "10 Meter" radios
do not
met their requirements for sale in the US. There is a list of *most*
Galaxy and Connex
radios that are illegal because 1) "real" 10 meter radios do not have
frequency readouts AND
channel indices, 2) 10 Meter radios are normally "single-band" radios and do
not require "band"
switches, 3) They do not meet technical standards for spectral purity. AND
FCC is smart enough
to recognize the REAL market for these "fake" 10 Meter radios (wink wink)
whose sole purpose is
to circumvent the regulations, allow for higher than allowed output, and
provide coverage from 14-30 MHZ; hence the "band" switch.

Jerry

>
> I'm not arguing as to who those radio's are targeted at. I mean, a 10
> meter radio that tunes in fixed incriments and has echo-no question
> it's being marketed to the freebanders.

3080. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 15:48:21 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021026114821.21163.00000542@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 141859

i've yet to see you admitting to your name being george busch(the name tossed around for you). are you now saying it is?

>
>
>Tim my name has been splattered all over this ng. Unlike tools who has said
>he won't

3081. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 15:49:16 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0Qyu9.6236$6F4.571631@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 141861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

Hmmmm, and how did you get named "Shaggy Dog"? Your parents must of had a
more interesting sense of houmor than mine. At leats I post under the name
that was given to me.
"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:iqacnbVUXKJYnyegXTWc3A@comcast.com...
>
> "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:nEju9.4702$6F4.443989@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Musta been rough growing up with a name like
> > "leland".....................................
>
> Musta been tough growing up an asshole who is scared to use his own name.
> LOL
>
>
>
>
> > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> > news:b1GdnW8YG_w6KSSgXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20021024231758.192$U5@newsreader.com...
> > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> > > > > >> There
> > > > > > is no need for the drivers to know where the local police are
> > > unless
> > > > > > they have equipment safety violations, are overloaded, or just
> > > plain
> > > > > > speeding.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Oh this is gonna go over big with the drivers..that's not all they
> > > do.
> > > > > >
> > > > > They check tires,lights,smoke,directions,etc,for each other too.
> > >
> > > Yeah they do that too. Missed one.
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Leland C. Scott
> > > KC8LDO
> > >

> > > Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
> > >
> > > "I am a
> > > bomb
> > > technician
> > > if you see me
> > > running
> > > try to keep up "
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ://NewsReader.Com/ ----------
> > > > go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

3082. Re: Johnson Radio Crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 15:50:09 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021026115009.21163.00000543@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 141862

if your going to use a metal pole then get a antron99 or a imax 2000. the
difference will be huge at the same height.

>
>>My plan is to attach some copper wire to the mount and then run this to a
>>grounding rod placed in the ground beside the metal pole im gonna use, any
>>idea if that will work??

3083. Re: saucer boy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 15:50:49 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021026115049.21163.00000544@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 141863

leland c scott, whats wrong? tiwstedhed must have spanked you again hahahha

>
>NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
>No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
>---
>
>
>http://web.archive.org/web/20021008174238re_/http://www.geocities.com/key
clownslappa/twistworld.jpg
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>---
>This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
>---
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3084. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 15:52:03 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021026115203.12019.00000722@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 141865

Looking at the specs and prices on this site reads like an ad for buying a
SB-220!
Train

>Subject: Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!
>From: "Tom Sevart" n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com
>Date: 10/26/02 11:28 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <apebrm$n6q7$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
>
>
><Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
>news:20021024235547.854$5w@newsreader.com...
>> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
>> >> Oops :http://www.qrotec.com/Features25m3.html
>> >
>> Ouch.. http://www.qrotec.com/pricing.html
>
>Anyone buying one of these amps must have more money than brains... if you
>think you need that much power, you're mistaken.
>
>Tom
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3085. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 15:52:35 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7Tyu9.114991$zE6.390725@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 141864

<snip>

So because there is a problem out there in radio land we need more laws? More rules, more regulations? I'm sorry but that is stupid. This would also take away a drivers privilage to use a CB while driving, hardly seems fair to the 90% of legal station ops (I don't know how many legal vs illegal ops there are, I just don't hear many in my neck of the woods who are obviously illegal.) Not only do you want new laws you want Federal laws. When did Americans lose their way? When did they forget how Government works? Aren't we bloated enough?

Dave

3086. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 15:53:18 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ilyu9.2165$wZ2.409@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Lloyd <Lloyd@CBBARFdept.net> wrote in message
news:apd5s0lno0@pita.alt.net...
> You are so full of crapola Oxendine that your eyes are
> brown! Your lies do not scare anyone, least of all
> truckers! But please do continue your ego mania
> spew! The rest of us get quite a charge out of your
> radio vigilante tantrums. Oh, and Riley says your
> are one of the biggest whiners that continually calls him
> about trivial nonsense. That figures!
>
> Lloyd

Tell ya what fella: Go to www.arrl.org and click on Bulletins. Scroll down
to "Special Interest
Topics" and click on Enforcement. Then look at the bulletins around the 1st
or second week of
Sepetember where Mr. H. warned the Cheetah Transportation about unlicensed
operation. The
driver got fired over it. This warning is just one in a list that goes back
thru 2000. They have not
had the resources to go after this in a huge way, but lots of efforts are
being made to change that.
I don't have to "scare" anyone. The theft of frequencies, the rampant
operation of bootleg radios
on unauthorized frequencies WILL come to an end. All I am going to do is sit
back and snicker
everytime I read about one.

.............and I haven't talked to Mr Hollingsworth for over 2 months.

J
>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:SZfu9.13744$Be4.6796@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > Boss HOG <BossHog@MotherTruckersHwy29.org> wrote in message
> > news:apbgj0$l9r$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > A. Tape recordings, observations of truckers and other such
> > > vigilante nonsense by hams have absolutely no legal basis in law
> > > and would be tossed out of a court of law in a heartbeat, IF they
> > > ever got that far.
> >
> >

> > Mr. Riley Hollingsworth of FCC WILL and DOES send warnings based on
> recorded
> > information gleaned by unofficial observers. Most of the time, the
> companies
> > for whom the
> > drivers work take such warnings very seriously. They then normally
> > discipline the driver(s)
> > involved, even going so far as to physically inspect the truck and,
> finding
> > illegal equipment as
> > described in the warning letters, order it removed, OR even FIRING the
> > employee(s)
> > involved! If you are not aware of it, this is what happened in the case
> of
> > the Cheetah Transpor-
> > tation driver who was monitored near Rock Hill, SC. Quite simply, the
> > Safety Director inspected
> > the truck and found the radio as described via phone conversation with
Mr.
> > Hollingsworth. Such
> > radios such as certain Galaxy and Connex models are illegal to even
import
> > into the country.
> >
> >
> >
> > >
> > > B. You may perceve ten meter use by trucker as a problem of
> > > national concern, but have you heard there's a worldwide
> > > terrorist war going on? Get a reality check! And the FCC
> > > does NOT regard YOUR ten meter problem as on of their
> > > priorities. In fact, YOUR ten meter problem is not even on
> > > their radar screen. What scarse enforcement resources the
> > > commission has are being used for high-priority, as they see it,
> > > national problems, none of which include YOUR ten meter
> > > problems with trucks. Again, get a reality check!
> >
> > The reason that illegal radio operation, whether it is interference to
> > Amateur or other radio
> > services, will get attention is because, truth is, if illegal operators
> can
> > get away with operating
> > on the Amateur bands, then there is no reason for them not to operate on
> > other, even MORE,
> > important radio services----such as the international HF aviation band.
> Mr
> > Riley Hollingsworth,
> > Special Legal Counsel for Amateur Enforcement IS concerned with illegal
> > operators because that
> > is his JOB; it is what he was appointed for. What you DON'T know is

that
> a
> > ham was just recently
> > appointed to Billy Tauzin's powerful sub-Committee on Communications,
> likely
> > in response to a
> > barrage of complaints from individuals, the ARRL, and hams about the
> > enroachment of CB operators onto the ham bands. IF you don't believe
that
> > Amateur Radio DOES occupy a much
> > "higher" rung in importance as opposed to CB, consider this; when
> PL106-521
> > was passed, giving
> > local LEOS the ability to pass legislation and abate interference from
> > illegal and over-powered CB
> > sets, Amateur Radio and all other licensed services were EXEMPTED from
> this.
> > At this very mo-
> > ment, there is a new Federal Bill passing thru Congress to exempt
Amateur
> > Radio antennas from
> > CC & R covenants. Why? So that Amateur stations can provide a wide,
> > effective and dispersed
> > back-up communications system in time of emergency. Because of the
> > dedicated hams, their ARRL-sponsored emergency training courses, and
their
> > rapid response to disasters for nearly 100
> > years, this Bill is likely to become law as more and more
Congresspersons
> > are signing on as sponsors. CB radio will get NO such exemptions.
> >
> > With the appointment of Greg Walden of Oregon to the Communications
> > sub-Committee, he arrives with the knowledge of the problem of outlaw
> radio
> > operators that think they can just steal
> > frequencies without fulfilling the requirements set forth in Title 47 of
> the
> > US Code. Because Amateur Radio IS considered to be a valuable
> > communications resource, it is quite likely that Mr
> > Walden can be "persuaded" to introduce additional legislation to protect
> > that resource from inter-
> > ference. The studies, the suggestions, the flurry of letters have been
in
> > progress for some time to
> > find ways to deal with this. The war on terrorism has NOTHING to do
with
> > interference to licensed services who have every right to expect that
> their
> > spectrum assets are available to them
> > free of intrusion. One of the ideas that has been put forth is the

> > RESTORATION of enough funds
> > for FCC to go after the truck stops, CB shops, and other outlets who
are
> > actively promoting the
> > sale and use of so-called "10 Meter" radios that are already enjoined
from
> > import into the USA.
> > Another idea is to allow state DOT agencies to routinely inspect trucks
> for
> > said radios using a list
> > supplied by the Customs Service or FCC--among a few others. You are
> correct
> > in that FCC cur-
> > rently does not have enough funds to aggressively go after illegals, BUT
> > that can change.
> >
> > The FCC is not engaged in fighting terrorism except in monitoring for
> > possible radio traffic that
> > could indicate terrorist activity. Their job is to regulate and enforce
> the
> > Communications Act of
> > 1934 as amended. That includes protecting licensed users from
intrusions
> > from "tan fer thar, driver"
> > on frequencies those truckers have no business being on. It will take
> time,
> > but those bands, includ-
> > ing 10 and 12 Meters, WILL be defended. AND those "vigilante" hams WILL
> > continue to silently
> > record, document and report the activity of truckers or anyone else who
> > encroaches onto the inter-
> > national ham bands.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >
> > > C. Try a new experience. Turn off all your ham radios and spend
> > > some time with your wife, kids, grandkids, family, etc. And
> > > stop bitching about no code techs. I heard you bitching about them
> > > with your buddies on two meters last night. Some of those no
> > > code techs make six times the money you make!
> >
> > You are full of CRAP! I haven't been on 2 Meters in over a week, and
you
> > have NEVER heard
> > me say a word about a no-code tech! A no-code tech is a HAM. Period!
It
> > makes no difference
> > (to me) that I happen to have more privileges than a no-code tech.

> >
> >
> > What anyone makes is of no concern to me! I am retired on gross of
> $30,000
> > + + at age 54! I
> > am living the life of Reilly (not Riley). I LOVE those warm days down
at
> > the lake fishin'!
> >
> >
> > J
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >
> > > BOSS hog
> > > Long time trucker & Flea Market hog
> > > Channel 58, 27975 khz lower side
> > >
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:wFZt9.3113$Fj6.253943@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > > > I think truckers are authorized to use 10-meters, just like
doctors,
> > > > > school
> > > > > > teachers, farmers, office workers, and any other sort of ham uses
> the
> > > > > band.
> > > > >
> > > > > ONLY IF THEY HAVE THE REQUIRED LICENSE! That is the issue here; the
> use
> > > > of
> > > > > 10 Meters by unlicensed truckers that just buy one of those "export"
> > > radios
> > > > > (illegal to import and sell)
> > > > > and start talking. I hear them all the way up beyond 29 MHZ.
> > > > >
> > > > > Now if a driver obtains the required license, he/she is more than
> > welcome
> > > to
> > > > > enjoy Amateur Radio
> > > > > and all its rewards in accordance with the rules of the Service.
ALL
> > > > > licensed persons are welcomed and encouraged to make use of the ham
> > bands.
> > > > >

> > > > One of the things that gets a LOT of negative attention is the use
of
> AM
> > > > below 28.300 MHZ where
> > > > voice modes are not allowed in the USA/Region 2. If a driver hears
> > Morse
> > > > Code (CW) on that
> > > > frequency, that is why. All drivers can do Amateur Radio AND their
> > > driving
> > > > friends a huge favor by passing the word that 10 Meter operations
DOES
> > > > require a license and that the Feds are back in
> enforcement--especially
> > > > where it concerns out-of band-operation by CBers. MANY hams are
> > > > highly ticked off about the unlawful intrusions and are actively
> > > monitoring
> > > > the bands for it--even ob-
> > > > serving trucks on the highway for such goings-on. Based on their
tape
> > > > recordings and tag numbers,
> > > > FCC WILL issue a warning to the driver's employer! It IS a serious
> > > matter,
> > > > folks, and you should
> > > > heed the warning.
> > > >
> > > > Jerry
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > You got something against truckers?
> > > > >
> > > > > Blackie
> > > > > Keep the shiny side up!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

3087. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 15:53:55 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021026115355.12019.00000724@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 141866

Trouble is Chuckie can't find a wholesaler who would touch him with a 10 foot
pole anymore now that he is a confirmed rat and Douchner sympathizer.
Train

>Subject: Re: FS: linear
>From: Chuck k8cpa@arrl.net
>Date: 10/24/02 9:10 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <5b6hru8jkr710f919prvffh9ps7fqkgpu8@4ax.com>
>
>On Thu, 24 Oct 2002 18:45:36 -0700, John Medica - System administrator
><medica@cyberspace.org> was blabbling:
>
>...Palamar eliteline 2250 low/med/hi - 150/250 PEP
>... $250cnd best offer. *ship UPS*
>
>You must be kidding.... 225's go for $70.00 wholesale and $80.00
>wholesale for the 250's and go for about 85.00 on the used market...
>
>you failed to mention if the amp was a blue faced version or the black
>faced version.....
>
>73
>
>
>
>A Real Tube
>http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
>A Real Amp
>http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html
>
>
>
>
>
>

3088. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 15:54:49 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <EJyu9.2168$wZ2.302@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

I C S <nospam@nospam.fr> wrote in message news:1rku9.9577$46.9254@fe01...
> Jerry Oxendine is a troll..... just like Aaron Voobner.
> rec.radio.cb's equal to Richard Bullis.
> What a piece of crap!

I didn't START this thread, bud.

J
>
>
>

3089. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 15:56:41 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021026115641.12019.00000725@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 141868

>Subject: Re: FS: linear
>From: Chuck k8cpa@arrl.net
>Date: 10/26/02 2:09 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <05ckrucq9viqm5ejpraqp195jch3v5ktsj@4ax.com>
>
>On Fri, 25 Oct 2002 16:44:51 -0700, John Medica - System administrator
><medica@cyberspace.org> was blabbling:
>
>...Black face
>...
>...as well has the heavy finals
>...
>
>Well, Still the black faced 225's go for $77.00 wholesale and the
>250's go for $86.00 and what do you mean by heavy finals? The 225's
>only ran 1446's and those finals don't do jack. and the 250's run
>2-2sc2879's and those are 80 watt finals... unless you volt them and
>then they become 120 watt finals,

Leave it to Chuckie to screw up even on this!
2290's or MRF's would be an 80 watt final.
2879's have always been rated at 120 watts at specified voltage for the device.
Train

till they blow up, which is what
>they usually do.
>
>Either case, you're looking at a amp that's quite honestly worth MAYBE
>$85.00 bucks, if you're able to get that...
>
>73
>
>-Chuck
>
>
>..
>A Real Tube
>http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
>A Real Amp
>http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html
>
>
>
>
>

>

3090. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 15:57:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021026115700.21163.00000545@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 141869

which ten meter radio is this?

> AND
>FCC is smart enough
>to recognize the REAL market for these "fake" 10 Meter radios (wink wink)
>whose sole purpose is
>to circumvent the regulations, allow for higher than allowed output, and
>provide coverage from 14-30 MHZ; hence the "band" switch.
>
>Jerry

3091. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 15:58:16 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021026115816.12019.00000726@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 141871

>Subject: Re: FS: linear
>From: timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie)
>Date: 10/26/02 2:12 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20021026021253.17597.00000033@mb-fd.aol.com>
>
>chuck for the last couple years straight you've quoted the WHOLESALE price on
>these amps here when somebody has one for sell. why do you do this? do you
>think the general public gets them wholesell? how many did you sell to your
>amp
>customers for the wholesell price?

He *might* sell at what he would call wholesale price but always be affraid of
the shipping charges from Chuckie!
Train

>
>>Well, Still the black faced 225's go for $77.00 wholesale and the
>>250's go for $86.00 and what do you mean by heavy finals? The 225's
>>only ran 1446's and those finals don't do jack. and the 250's run
>>2-2sc2879's and those are 80 watt finals... unless you volt them and
>>then they become 120 watt finals, till they blow up, which is what
>>they usually do.
>>
>>Either case, you're looking at a amp that's quite honestly worth MAYBE
>>$85.00 bucks, if you're able to get that...
>>
>>73
>>
>>-Chuck
>>
>>
>>..
>>A Real Tube
>>http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
>>A Real Amp
>>http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3092. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 15:59:31 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021026115931.21163.00000547@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 141872

thats right train. everybody has spread the word about charles p adkins turning
a rat snitch.

>
>Trouble is Chuckie can't find a wholesaler who would touch him with a 10 foot
>pole anymore now that he is a confirmed rat and Douchner sympathizer.
>Train
>

3093. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 16:01:48 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021026120148.21163.00000549@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 141873

jerry is this your only victory? its the only one yout alk about so it must be.
btw i thought you were going to ask riley about 45 meters for me??? haha its
bad when your considered one of the biggest whiners and you havent even talked
ot him for 2 months. i guess he reads your post too? you sir are awanna be
riley, i dont know if that would flatter him or make him afraid.

>
>Tell ya what fella: Go to www.arrl.org and click on Bulletins. Scroll down
>to "Special Interest
>Topics" and click on Enforcement. Then look at the bulletins around the 1st
>or second week of
>Sepetember where Mr. H. warned the Cheetah Transportation about unlicensed
>operation. The
>driver got fired over it. This warning is just one in a list that goes back
>thru 2000. They have not
>had the resources to go after this in a huge way, but lots of efforts are
>being made to change that.
>I don't have to "scare" anyone. The theft of frequencies, the rampant
>operation of bootleg radios
>on unauthorized frequencies WILL come to an end. All I am going to do is sit
>back and snicker
>everytime I read about one.
>
>.............and I haven't talked to Mr Hollingsworth for over 2 months.
>
>J

3094. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 16:03:17 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021026120317.21163.00000550@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 141874

thanks for the heads up train but who would want to buy from a snitch named
chuck aka the mole???

not me!!

>He *might* sell at what he would call wholesale price but always be affraid
>of
>the shipping charges from Chuckie!
>Train

3095. Re: get a life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 16:13:02 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Y_yu9.2203$wZ2.2057@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141875

Noname Same <kenwood440@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25736-3DBA59DA-393@storefull-2334.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> WHO CARES WHO IS ON 10.METERS THIS IS CB NEWSGROUPS.
> 11.METER IS GREAT HOBBY LETS KEEP IT THAT WAY.73S HAPPY DXING

The ones who are being interfered with, that's who. All they want is for the
truckers to join you
in that great ELEVEN meter hobby and stay off 10! BTW, stop shouting.

J
>

3096. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 16:28:06 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <4dzu9.2234$wZ2.388@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141876

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22580-3DB9BCBA-402@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> JerryO wrote:
> >

> How much blackwater fishing you done?

Quite a bit. I was in high school in Northeastern NC where there are plenty
of tidal swamps and
black water. Herring runs, the lot. Fished for spots off Nags Head and in
Albemarle Sound.

One day a friend and I were drifting along under the Spanish Moss in the
swamp when a cotton
mouth dropped out of a low tree into the boat. AAAAAAAAAACCCCCKK! Henry
didn't
fool around; he went overboard! Not a great idea, but he was getting away
from that snake. I
picked up an oar and "dipped" the snake out of the boat. If you ever saw a
person walk on water,
Henry did it! HE came straight UP out of the water, grabbed the gunwhale,
tipping me almost over. But he got back in the boat! He yelled, "What th'
hell were you doin''? I saild, "Well, you
didn't expect me to take him home with me!"

Jerry
>

3097. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 16:31:48 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <jgzu9.2242$wZ2.390@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141877

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021026115700.21163.00000545@mb-fx.aol.com...
> which ten meter radio is this?

Galaxy 44
"" 55
"" " 99v, ETC.

Connex models

NorthStar

Magnum Delta Force

Others

When "modded", will cover from 24-30+ MHZ. The previous post contained a
typo.

J

>
> > AND
> >FCC is smart enough
> >to recognize the REAL market for these "fake" 10 Meter radios (wink wink)
> >whose sole purpose is
> >to circumvent the regulations, allow for higher than allowed output, and
> >provide coverage from 14-30 MHZ; hence the "band" switch.
> >
> >Jerry
>
>
>
>

3098. Re: get a life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 16:40:13 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7476-3DBAC56D-210@storefull-2193.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141888

Jerry,I'm sure those big nasty truckers will leave 10 as soon as all rice burner toting hams leave 11.Btw Your fellow hams are sure jamming the hell out of 14.230,ccs(cant copy sh*t).Oh well...back to 11......Mark

3099. Re: pls read i need help!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 16:40:40 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <apehvhcbe1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 141882

"Joe" <boys.at.rojajojo.1@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:yj0t9.7240$sI5.1141@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk...
> hello all i'm a newbie to CB and i live in the u.k.
>
> has any1 got a website or sumthing which is like a dictionary of sumsort
or
> anything about getting started with CB's.
>
> Pls send a reply sum1

I don't know about a "dictionary" and I would say that there is no single
website that has everything on it.
There are plenty of good CB and radio sites out there, you just have to find
what you want from between them.

For info on the UK legal issues, you could try the Radiocommunications
Agency site:
http://www.radio.gov.uk/
And for CB licensing:
http://www.radiolicencecentre.co.uk/rlc/

For sales:
Truck King - http://www.truck-king.co.uk/home.htm
Knights - http://www.users.zetnet.co.uk/knightscb/
CB Shack - http://www.cb-shack.com/index.html

A great source of CB and radio links is
http://www.dxzone.com/
It lists sites all over the world and allows searching by keyword.

Also, I have a site at:
http://clik.to/brainbuster/
which also has links to other sites, including UK ones.

There is also a UK newsgroup (uk.rec.radio.cb), with the rules and details
being available at:
http://www.usenet.org.uk/uk.rec.radio.cb.html

If there is anything specific, you can always ask on the group. Although UK
specific questions may get more helpful replies on the UK group, this group
has some good "techs" for technical info.
There are also groups that you could subscribe to that work by e-mail (such
as "CB Tricks"), where the messages are sent and received as e-mails.

Regards,

Peter.

3100. Re: Cee-Bee!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 16:40:53 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <apehvicbe2@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 141883

"Spell Checker" <SC@webster.com> wrote in message
news:3DB360D3.B0E2D271@webster.com...
>
> A usenet post is like a baby or a fart.
>
> Once it's out, there ain't no goin' back!

Ironic, really.
Us men spend our first 9 months trying to get out of a woman... and all our adult lives trying to get back in them.

Regards,

Peter.

3101. Re: Cee-Bee!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 16:41:03 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <apehvkcbe3@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 141884

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021021085807.12806.00003043@mb-mk.aol.com...
> you can, just not from 100% of the servers

So many severs refuse to cancel messages because of the amount of abuse of
that service.

Regards,

Peter.

3102. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 16:43:51 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Drzu9.2270$wZ2.989@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141878

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021026120148.21163.00000549@mb-fx.aol.com...
> jerry is this your only victory? its the only one yout alk about so it
must be.
> btw i thought you were going to ask riley about 45 meters for me??? haha
its
> bad when your considered one of the biggest whiners and you havent even
talked
> ot him for 2 months. i guess he reads your post too? you sir are awanna
be
> riley, i dont know if that would flatter him or make him afraid.

I didn't start this thread. And NO, I have't spoken to RH in 2 months as in
T A L K E D to him on'
the P H O N E as was implied by Lloyd. Now I have emailed him about the 45
Meter thing. He
may be out of the office; sometimes for 2 weeks at a time. He will answer
when and if he gets time.
OK? That's ALL I can do. I don't care what people "think" of me. I could
post around 25 or so
warnings to trucking companies here, but I chose not to. I didn't start
this thread, OK?

All it takes for evil to triumph is for good men to do nothing. And if you
think the licensed operators (not just me) are going to stand by and let the
"barbarians" overrun the city without a fight, you are quite mistaken.

J
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> >Tell ya what fella: Go to www.arrl.org and click on Bulletins. Scroll
down
> >to "Special Interest
> >Topics" and click on Enforcement. Then look at the bulletins around the
1st
> >or second week of
> >Sepetember where Mr. H. warned the Cheetah Transportation about
unlicensed
> >operation. The
> >driver got fired over it. This warning is just one in a list that goes
back

> >thru 2000. They have not
> >had the resources to go after this in a huge way, but lots of efforts are
> >being made to change that.
> >I don't have to "scare" anyone. The theft of frequencies, the rampant
> >operation of bootleg radios
> >on unauthorized frequencies WILL come to an end. All I am going to do is
sit
> >back and snicker
> >everytime I read about one.
> >
> >.............and I haven't talked to Mr Hollingsworth for over 2 months.
> >
> >J
>
>
>
>

3103. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 16:50:03 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <rxzu9.2283$wZ2.1292@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141879

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021026014205.17597.00000028@mb-fd.aol.com...
> you call totally outlawing cb's from truckers a workable idea??? your the
only
> one who needs work :)

Actually, it IS a good idea. Getting them up on GMRS, or MURS would be a
fine idea.
Just think; no racket and noise. Trucks could talk to each other with FM
clarity. It would
require a bit of courtesy, but would get rid of all that "skip". Awwwwwww,
we know what's
the objection to that. Tsk, tsk, they couldn't work DX or the "freeband", or
intrude on 10 Meters.

J

>
> >You're just nervous Tim because its a workable idea.
> >
>
>
>
>

3104. check nieuwe cb site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 16:55:35 UTC
From: snaaps <snaaps45@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92B3C18A3465Fsnaaps45hotmailcom@213.229.82.199>
Source record: 141880

hallo er is een nieuwe cb site in nederland!!!
http://cb.site.web1000.com

3105. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 17:05:04 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <wLzu9.2318$wZ2.1306@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141885

Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:7Tyu9.114991$zE6.390725@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> <snip>
>
>
> So because there is a problem out there in radio land we need more laws?
> More rules, more regulations? I'm sorry but that is stupid. This would
also
> take away a drivers privilage to use a CB while driving, hardly seems fair
> to the 90% of legal station ops (I don't know how many legal vs illegal
ops
> there are, I just don't hear many in my neck of the woods who are
obviously
> illegal.) Not only do you want new laws you want Federal laws. When did
> Americans lose their way? When did they forget how Government works?
Aren't
> we bloated enough?
>
>
> Dave

Who said ANYTHING about taking away a driver's privilege to use a radio? I
believe the
illegal intrusion onto licensed spectrum is the topic here. Drivers have
the legal privilege of using
26.965-27.405. If they would do so, then you wouldn't be reading this
thread. But, regardless of
what those claim about the "lack" of such intrusions (and we know that view
is due to their vested
interest in keeping the status quo), truck drivers are roaming all over the
upper HF ham bands, particularly 10 Meters. This has GOT to stop. Truck
stops and shops are selling "bootleg" 10
Meter radios, such as Galaxy and Connex, that are not even approved for sale
in the USA--hop-
ing to use their alleged status as "10 meter" radios to get around the bans
on their importation.
IF those truckers would use their Galaxys and Connexes on the regular 40, I
don't think anyone
would notice. Not even FCC would fuss as long as they didn't draw attention
to themselves in some way. But NOOOOOOOOO! They have to roam all over the
spectrum interfering with
Amateurs, and THAT is what is the matter. By encroaching onto frequencies
allocated for others,
they have drawn attention to themselves, and THAT is what will cause other

laws, regulations, and
stricter enforcement to fall upon CBers. The wheel that squeaks loudest is
the one that gets oiled,
and this wheel IS going to get attention.

America works when its citizens respect the FEWER laws already in existance.
But when a segment of the population decides that they are going to ignore
the rule of law to the detriment of
another group of citizens who ARE abiding by the law, then that is when more
laws get passed.
The truckers are bringing this upon themselves and will, unfortunately,
likely draw attention to, say,
freebanders who are NOT encroaching onto the ham bands. The simple truth
is, the people who
are adversely being affected by this illegal activity are NOT going to stand
for it, and something
WILL be done to counter it. The question still is, what.

J
>
>

3106. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 17:11:08 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@HQ14313BARF.net>
Message-ID: <apeiabanh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 141886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Tell ya what there Oxygoof. Here's what you do.
Take your fat butt over to the nearest interstate truck
stop. Go into the area where truck drivers set around
and talk or eat. You get up on a chair and say, "Hiya,
I'm Oxydol, and I'm gonna see that you truckers don't
use the wrong radios and freqs., and this here vigilante
arm band that Riley gave me gives me the right to get
you fired from your jobs, the jobs you guys and gals have
to feed your families. " After you do that, report back
here with what happened. We would particularly like to
know if the truckers, errrr, gave you an "attitude
adjustment." Now go run along asshole, and PLEASE,
get a life!!!!!!!!!!!
Oh, and Riley did say you are basically a little pissant
whiner whose always crying about insignificant bullshit.

Lloyd

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:iIyu9.2165$wZ2.409@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Lloyd <Lloyd@CBBARFdept.net> wrote in message
> news:apd5s0lno0@pita.alt.net...
> > You are so full of crapola Oxendine that your eyes are
> > brown! Your lies do not scare anyone, least of all
> > truckers! But please do continue your ego mania
> > spew! The rest of us get quite a charge out of your
> > radio vigilante tantrums. Oh, and Riley says your
> > are one of the biggest whiners that continually calls him
> > about trivial nonsense. That figures!
> >
> > Lloyd
>
> Tell ya what fella: Go to www.arrl.org and click on Bulletins. Scroll
down
> to "Special Interest
> Topics" and click on Enforcement. Then look at the bulletins around the
1st
> or second week of
> Sepetember where Mr. H. warned the Cheetah Transportation about unlicensed
> operation. The
> driver got fired over it. This warning is just one in a list that goes
back
> thru 2000. They have not

> had the resources to go after this in a huge way, but lots of efforts are
> being made to change that.
> I don't have to "scare" anyone. The theft of frequencies, the rampant
> operation of bootleg radios
> on unauthorized frequencies WILL come to an end. All I am going to do is
sit
> back and snicker
> everytime I read about one.
>
> ....and I haven't talked to Mr Hollingsworth for over 2 months.
>
> J
> >
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:SZfu9.13744$Be4.6796@news.bellsouth.net...
> > >
> > > Boss HOG <BossHog@MotherTruckersHwy29.org> wrote in message
> > > news:apbgj0$l9r$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > A. Tape recordings, observations of truckers and other such
> > > > vigilante nonsense by hams have absolutely no legal basis in law
> > > > and would be tossed out of a court of law in a heartbeat, IF they
> > > > ever got that far.
> > >
> > >
> > > Mr. Riley Hollingsworth of FCC WILL and DOES send warnings based on
> > recorded
> > > information gleaned by unofficial observers. Most of the time, the
> > companies
> > > for whom the
> > > drivers work take such warnings very seriously. They then normally
> > > discipline the driver(s)
> > > involved, even going so far as to physically inspect the truck and,
> > finding
> > > illegal equipment as
> > > described in the warning letters, order it removed, OR even FIRING
the
> > > employee(s)
> > > involved! If you are not aware of it, this is what happened in the
case
> > of
> > > the Cheetah Transpor-
> > > tation driver who was monitored near Rock Hill, SC. Quite simply,
the
> > > Safety Director inspected
> > > the truck and found the radio as described via phone conversation with
> Mr.
> > > Hollingsworth. Such
> > > radios such as certain Galaxy and Connex models are illegal to even
> import

> > > into the country.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >
> > > > B. You may perceve ten meter use by trucker as a problem of
> > > > national concern, but have you heard there's a worldwide
> > > > terrorist war going on? Get a reality check! And the FCC
> > > > does NOT regard YOUR ten meter problem as on of their
> > > > priorities. In fact, YOUR ten meter problem is not even on
> > > > their radar screen. What scarse enforcement resources the
> > > > commission has are being used for high-priority, as they see it,
> > > > national problems, none of which include YOUR ten meter
> > > > problems with trucks. Again, get a reality check!
> > >
> > > The reason that illegal radio operation, whether it is interference to
> > > Amateur or other radio
> > > services, will get attention is because, truth is, if illegal
operators
> > can
> > > get away with operating
> > > on the Amateur bands, then there is no reason for them not to operate
on
> > > other, even MORE,
> > > important radio services----such as the international HF aviation
band.
> > Mr
> > > Riley Hollingsworth,
> > > Special Legal Counsel for Amateur Enforcement IS concerned with
illegal
> > > operators because that
> > > is his JOB; it is what he was appointed for. What you DON'T know is
> that
> > a
> > > ham was just recently
> > > appointed to Billy Tauzin's powerful sub-Committee on Communications,
> > likely
> > > in response to a
> > > barrage of complaints from individuals, the ARRL, and hams about the
> > > enroachment of CB operators onto the ham bands. IF you don't believe
> that
> > > Amateur Radio DOES occupy a much
> > > "higher" rung in importance as opposed to CB, consider this; when
> > PL106-521
> > > was passed, giving
> > > local LEOS the ability to pass legislation and abate interference from
> > > illegal and over-powered CB
> > > sets, Amateur Radio and all other licensed services were EXEMPTED from
> > this.
> > > At this very mo-

> > > ment, there is a new Federal Bill passing thru Congress to exempt
> Amateur
> > > Radio antennas from
> > > CC & R covenants. Why? So that Amateur stations can provide a wide,
> > > effective and dispersed
> > > back-up communications system in time of emergency. Because of the
> > > dedicated hams, their ARRL-sponsored emergency training courses, and
> their
> > > rapid response to disasters for nearly 100
> > > years, this Bill is likely to become law as more and more
> Congresspersons
> > > are signing on as sponsors. CB radio will get NO such exemptions.
> > >
> > > With the appointment of Greg Walden of Oregon to the Communications
> > > sub-Committee, he arrives with the knowledge of the problem of outlaw
> > radio
> > > operators that think they can just steal
> > > frequencies without fulfilling the requirements set forth in Title 47
of
> > the
> > > US Code. Because Amateur Radio IS considered to be a valuable
> > > communications resource, it is quite likely that Mr
> > > Walden can be "persuaded" to introduce additional legislation to
protect
> > > that resource from inter-
> > > ference. The studies, the suggestions, the flurry of letters have
been
> in
> > > progress for some time to
> > > find ways to deal with this. The war on terrorism has NOTHING to do
> with
> > > interference to licensed services who have every right to expect that
> > their
> > > spectrum assets are available to them
> > > free of intrusion. One of the ideas that has been put forth is the
> > > RESTORATION of enough funds
> > > for FCC to go after the truck stops, CB shops, and other outlets who
> are
> > > actively promoting the
> > > sale and use of so-called "10 Meter" radios that are already enjoined
> from
> > > import into the USA.
> > > Another idea is to allow state DOT agencies to routinely inspect
trucks
> > for
> > > said radios using a list
> > > supplied by the Customs Service or FCC--among a few others. You are
> > correct
> > > in that FCC cur-
> > > rently does not have enough funds to aggressively go after illegals,

BUT
> > > that can change.
> > >
> > > The FCC is not engaged in fighting terrorism except in monitoring for
> > > possible radio traffic that
> > > could indicate terrorist activity. Their job is to regulate and
enforce
> > the
> > > Communications Act of
> > > 1934 as amended. That includes protecting licensed users from
> intrusions
> > > from "tan fer thar, driver"
> > > on frequencies those truckers have no business being on. It will take
> > time,
> > > but those bands, includ-
> > > ing 10 and 12 Meters, WILL be defended. AND those "vigilante" hams
WILL
> > > continue to silently
> > > record, document and report the activity of truckers or anyone else
who
> > > encroaches onto the inter-
> > > national ham bands.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >
> > > > C. Try a new experience. Turn off all your ham radios and spend
> > > > some time with your wife, kids, grandkids, family, etc. And
> > > > stop bitching about no code techs. I heard you bitching about them
> > > > with your buddies on two meters last night. Some of those no
> > > > code techs make six times the money you make!
> > >
> > > You are full of CRAP! I haven't been on 2 Meters in over a week, and
> you
> > > have NEVER heard
> > > me say a word about a no-code tech! A no-code tech is a HAM. Period!
> It
> > > makes no difference
> > > (to me) that I happen to have more privileges than a no-code tech.
> > >
> > >
> > > What anyone makes is of no concern to me! I am retired on gross of
> > $30,000
> > > + + at age 54! I
> > > am living the life of Reilly (not Riley). I LOVE those warm days down
> at
> > > the lake fishin'!
> > >
> > >

> > > J
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >
> > > > BOSS hog
> > > > Long time trucker & Flea Market hog
> > > > Channel 58, 27975 khz lower side
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:wFZt9.3113$Fj6.253943@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > I think truckers are authorized to use 10-meters, just like
> doctors,
> > > > > > school
> > > > > > > teachers, farmers, office workers, and any other sort of ham
uses
> > the
> > > > > > band.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > ONLY IF THEY HAVE THE REQUIRED LICENSE! That is the issue here;
the
> > use
> > > > > of
> > > > > > 10 Meters by unlicensed truckers that just buy one of those
"export"
> > > > radios
> > > > > > (illegal to import and sell)
> > > > > > and start talking. I hear them all the way up beyond 29 MHZ.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Now if a driver obtains the required license, he/she is more than
> > > welcome
> > > > > to
> > > > > > enjoy Amateur Radio
> > > > > > and all its rewards in accordance with the rules of the Service.
> ALL
> > > > > > licensed persons are welcomed and encouraged to make use of the
ham
> > > bands.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > One of the things that gets a LOT of negative attention is the use
> of
> > AM
> > > > > > below 28.300 MHZ where
> > > > > > voice modes are not allowed in the USA/Region 2. If a driver
hears

> > > Morse
> > > > > Code (CW) on that
> > > > > frequency, that is why. All drivers can do Amateur Radio AND
their
> > > > driving
> > > > > friends a huge favor by passing the word that 10 Meter operations
> DOES
> > > > > require a license and that the Feds are back in
> > enforcement--especially
> > > > > where it concerns out-of band-operation by CBers. MANY hams are
> > > > > highly ticked off about the unlawful intrusions and are actively
> > > > monitoring
> > > > > the bands for it--even ob-
> > > > > serving trucks on the highway for such goings-on. Based on their
> tape
> > > > > recordings and tag numbers,
> > > > > FCC WILL issue a warning to the driver's employer! It IS a
serious
> > > > matter,
> > > > > folks, and you should
> > > > > heed the warning.
> > > > >
> > > > > Jerry
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > You got something against truckers?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Blackie
> > > > > > Keep the shiny side up!
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>

3107. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 17:12:14 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <cSzu9.2332$wZ2.79@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141887

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021026014711.17597.00000030@mb-fd.aol.com...
> sorta like the pocket protector wearing guy with an ht clipped to his belt
and
> a callsign on his hat for a ham???

Don't know anything about that. I rarely use an HT and I don't own a pocket
protector.
But I'd betcha that, opposed to the guy in the rusty '75 "Pony-ack" going
FOOOMP, FOOMP,
FOOOMP, the HT guy would win in the image department. Besides, those guys
don't wear
those call-sign hats except at hamfests--at least, I don't run into 'em.

J

>
> >You may flame me, but that IS the image much of America
> >has of
> >CB radio. Operating outside the law doesn't help the image of
stoop-idity;
> >that is, stooping to
> >breaking the law just to talk on a radio.
>
>
>
>

3108. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 17:13:22 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <gTzu9.2335$wZ2.584@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141889

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:NIyu9.6219$6F4.570481@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> A real peace maker you are.

....just as 'peaceful' as I would be to find Gypsies camped in my yard.

J
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:RJju9.115$wZ2.102@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> > news:20021022234744.11080.00003738@mb-me.aol.com...
> > > i wasnt questioning your legalities i was just wondering about your
> > sanity. it
> > > seems like you get as much joy from it as a channel 19 dead keyer.
sure
> > your in
> > > the right radio service? seems to me i'd rather be somewhere enjoying
my
> > hobby
> > > instead of jamming truckers who are likely too close to each other to
be
> > > bothered anyways. but whats all this talk of 1500 watts? i thought
that
> > wasnt
> > > ever neccesary? with all the bands and freqs and modes you choose to
be
> > right
> > > where the trouble is and no where else. thats strange. are you the
> > official
> > > defender of ham radio or are you just kinda pretending to be?
> >
> >
> > The answer is: "The only thing needed for evil to triumph is for good
men
> > to do nothing".
> >
> > The damned truckers are W R O N G for being up there. And I will do my
> best
> > to see the
> > B******* busted for it! And if they don't like it, then they can KMA!!
> >
> > J
> >
> >

> >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >The answer is that I can call CQ to my heart's content right on top
of
> an
> > > >illegal station complete with callsign. If no one answers, I can't
> help
> > > >that. If someone does, then I go right back with
> > > >R R R de K4XXX, TNX FER CALL, UR RST 579 (ETC). Illegal stations
have
> > > >absolutely
> > > >NO protection from interference from legal and licensed stations. I
> can
> > > >even run a 1500 watt
> > > >test below 28.100 right on top of him if I want to. Sir, I don't
give
> a
> > > >damn about those truckers;
> > > >they aren't supposed to be there to start with. Period! And if I
> > "happen"
> > > >to f*** up his tan fer-ing
> > > >on 28 MHZ, then the better! FCC has already addressed this and, once
> > again,
> > > >whether it suits you
> > > >or not, UNLICENSED INTRUDERS HAVE NO PROTECTION AGAINST A N Y
> > INTERFERENCE
> > > >CAUSED BY LICENSED STATIONS. You can verify that by emailing
> > > >rholling@fcc.gov . And, yes, if I want to do it just for the hell of
> it,
> > I
> > > >can, and there ain't a damned
> > > >thing that can be done about it as long as I ID and use the
frequency,
> > > >including testing, in accordance with the existing regulations. If
> some
> > > >stupid idiot happens to be there illegally, and I
> > > >mess up his squeak-beep crap, T O U G H!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

>

3109. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 17:22:58 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <m0Au9.2354$wZ2.174@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Lloyd <Lloyd@HQ14313BARF.net> wrote in message
news:apeiabanh0@pita.alt.net...
> Tell ya what there Oxygoof. Here's what you do.
> Take your fat butt over to the nearest interstate truck
> stop. Go into the area where truck drivers set around
> and talk or eat. You get up on a chair and say, "Hiya,
> I'm Oxydol, and I'm gonna see that you truckers don't
> use the wrong radios and freqs., and this here vigilante
> arm band that Riley gave me gives me the right to get
> you fired from your jobs, the jobs you guys and gals have
> to feed your families. " After you do that, report back
> here with what happened. We would particularly like to
> know if the truckers, errrr, gave you an "attitude
> adjustment." Now go run along asshole, and PLEASE,
> get a life!!!!!!!!!!!!
> Oh, and Riley did say you are basically a little pissant
> whiner whose always crying about insignificant bullshit.
>
> Lloyd

Yeah, 'Tan fer thar, mercy me thar, ah know whutcha mean thar, gr'et day,
uh, thar, shore thang
thar, yuh gotta an ole copy thar on this h'yar reddio thar, from this h'yar
trailer park thar in this h'yar
'75 "Pony-ack" thar, mercy thar, keep that thar sunny side up an 'tween th'
ditches thar an' we gone--SQUEAK!!" (thar)

(and you wouldn't know Riley H. if he jack-slapped you)

J
>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:iIyu9.2165$wZ2.409@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > Lloyd <Lloyd@CBBARFdept.net> wrote in message
> > news:apd5s0lno0@pita.alt.net...
> > > You are so full of crapola Oxendine that your eyes are
> > > brown! Your lies do not scare anyone, least of all
> > > truckers! But please do continue your ego mania
> > > spew! The rest of us get quite a charge out of your
> > > radio vigilante tantrums. Oh, and Riley says your
> > > are one of the biggest whiners that continually calls him
> > > about trivial nonsense. That figures!

> > >
> > > Lloyd
> >
> > Tell ya what fella: Go to www.arrl.org and click on Bulletins. Scroll
> down
> > to "Special Interest
> > Topics" and click on Enforcement. Then look at the bulletins around the
> 1st
> > or second week of
> > Sepetember where Mr. H. warned the Cheetah Transportation about
unlicensed
> > operation. The
> > driver got fired over it. This warning is just one in a list that goes
> back
> > thru 2000. They have not
> > had the resources to go after this in a huge way, but lots of efforts
are
> > being made to change that.
> > I don't have to "scare" anyone. The theft of frequencies, the rampant
> > operation of bootleg radios
> > on unauthorized frequencies WILL come to an end. All I am going to do is
> sit
> > back and snicker
> > everytime I read about one.
> >
> > ....and I haven't talked to Mr Hollingsworth for over 2 months.
> >
> > J
> > >
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:SZfu9.13744$Be4.6796@news.bellsouth.net...
> > > >
> > > > Boss HOG <BossHog@MotherTruckersHwy29.org> wrote in message
> > > > news:apbgj0$l9r$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > A. Tape recordings, observations of truckers and other such
> > > > > vigilante nonsense by hams have absolutely no legal basis in law
> > > > > and would be tossed out of a court of law in a heartbeat, IF they
> > > > > ever got that far.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Mr. Riley Hollingsworth of FCC WILL and DOES send warnings based on
> > > recorded
> > > > information gleaned by unofficial observers. Most of the time, the
> > > companies
> > > > for whom the
> > > > drivers work take such warnings very seriously. They then normally
> > > > discipline the driver(s)
> > > > involved, even going so far as to physically inspect the truck and,
> > > finding

> > > > illegal equipment as
> > > > described in the warning letters, order it removed, OR even FIRING
> the
> > > > employee(s)
> > > > involved! If you are not aware of it, this is what happened in the
> case
> > > of
> > > > the Cheetah Transpor-
> > > > tation driver who was monitored near Rock Hill, SC. Quite simply,
> the
> > > > Safety Director inspected
> > > > the truck and found the radio as described via phone conversation
with
> > Mr.
> > > > Hollingsworth. Such
> > > > radios such as certain Galaxy and Connex models are illegal to even
> > import
> > > > into the country.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > B. You may perceve ten meter use by trucker as a problem of
> > > > > national concern, but have you heard there's a worldwide
> > > > > terrorist war going on? Get a reality check! And the FCC
> > > > > does NOT regard YOUR ten meter problem as on of their
> > > > > priorities. In fact, YOUR ten meter problem is not even on
> > > > > their radar screen. What scarse enforcement resources the
> > > > > commission has are being used for high-priority, as they see it,
> > > > > national problems, none of which include YOUR ten meter
> > > > > problems with trucks. Again, get a reality check!
> > > >
> > > > The reason that illegal radio operation, whether it is interference
to
> > > > Amateur or other radio
> > > > services, will get attention is because, truth is, if illegal
> operators
> > > can
> > > > get away with operating
> > > > on the Amateur bands, then there is no reason for them not to
operate
> on
> > > > other, even MORE,
> > > > important radio services----such as the international HF aviation
> band.
> > > Mr
> > > > Riley Hollingsworth,
> > > > Special Legal Counsel for Amateur Enforcement IS concerned with
> illegal
> > > > operators because that

> > > > is his JOB; it is what he was appointed for. What you DON'T know is
> > that
> > > a
> > > > ham was just recently
> > > > appointed to Billy Tauzin's powerful sub-Committee on
Communications,
> > > likely
> > > > in response to a
> > > > barrage of complaints from individuals, the ARRL, and hams about the
> > > > enroachment of CB operators onto the ham bands. IF you don't
believe
> > that
> > > > Amateur Radio DOES occupy a much
> > > > "higher" rung in importance as opposed to CB, consider this; when
> > > PL106-521
> > > > was passed, giving
> > > > local LEOS the ability to pass legislation and abate interference
from
> > > > illegal and over-powered CB
> > > > sets, Amateur Radio and all other licensed services were EXEMPTED
from
> > > this.
> > > > At this very mo-
> > > > ment, there is a new Federal Bill passing thru Congress to exempt
> > Amateur
> > > > Radio antennas from
> > > > CC & R covenants. Why? So that Amateur stations can provide a
wide,
> > > > effective and dispersed
> > > > back-up communications system in time of emergency. Because of the
> > > > dedicated hams, their ARRL-sponsored emergency training courses, and
> > their
> > > > rapid response to disasters for nearly 100
> > > > years, this Bill is likely to become law as more and more
> > Congresspersons
> > > > are signing on as sponsors. CB radio will get NO such exemptions.
> > > >
> > > > With the appointment of Greg Walden of Oregon to the Communications
> > > > sub-Committee, he arrives with the knowledge of the problem of
outlaw
> > > radio
> > > > operators that think they can just steal
> > > > frequencies without fulfilling the requirements set forth in Title
47
> of
> > > the
> > > > US Code. Because Amateur Radio IS considered to be a valuable
> > > > communications resource, it is quite likely that Mr
> > > > Walden can be "persuaded" to introduce additional legislation to
> protect

> > > > that resource from inter-
> > > > ference. The studies, the suggestions, the flurry of letters have
> been
> > in
> > > > progress for some time to
> > > > find ways to deal with this. The war on terrorism has NOTHING to do
> > with
> > > > interference to licensed services who have every right to expect
that
> > > their
> > > > spectrum assets are available to them
> > > > free of intrusion. One of the ideas that has been put forth is the
> > > > RESTORATION of enough funds
> > > > for FCC to go after the truck stops, CB shops, and other outlets
who
> > are
> > > > actively promoting the
> > > > sale and use of so-called "10 Meter" radios that are already
enjoined
> > from
> > > > import into the USA.
> > > > Another idea is to allow state DOT agencies to routinely inspect
> trucks
> > > for
> > > > said radios using a list
> > > > supplied by the Customs Service or FCC--among a few others. You are
> > > correct
> > > > in that FCC cur-
> > > > rently does not have enough funds to aggressively go after illegals,
> BUT
> > > > that can change.
> > > >
> > > > The FCC is not engaged in fighting terrorism except in monitoring
for
> > > > possible radio traffic that
> > > > could indicate terrorist activity. Their job is to regulate and
> enforce
> > > the
> > > > Communications Act of
> > > > 1934 as amended. That includes protecting licensed users from
> > intrusions
> > > > from "tan fer thar, driver"
> > > > on frequencies those truckers have no business being on. It will
take
> > > time,
> > > > but those bands, includ-
> > > > ing 10 and 12 Meters, WILL be defended. AND those "vigilante" hams
> WILL
> > > > continue to silently
> > > > record, document and report the activity of truckers or anyone else

> who
> > > > encroaches onto the inter-
> > > > national ham bands.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > C. Try a new experience. Turn off all your ham radios and spend
> > > > > some time with your wife, kids, grandkids, family, etc. And
> > > > > stop bitching about no code techs. I heard you bitching about
them
> > > > > with your buddies on two meters last night. Some of those no
> > > > > code techs make six times the money you make!
> > > >
> > > > You are full of CRAP! I haven't been on 2 Meters in over a week,
and
> > you
> > > > have NEVER heard
> > > > me say a word about a no-code tech! A no-code tech is a HAM.
Period!
> > It
> > > > makes no difference
> > > > (to me) that I happen to have more privileges than a no-code tech.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > What anyone makes is of no concern to me! I am retired on gross of
> > > $30,000
> > > > + + at age 54! I
> > > > am living the life of Reilly (not Riley). I LOVE those warm days
down
> > at
> > > > the lake fishin'!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > J
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > BOSS hog
> > > > > Long time trucker & Flea Market hog
> > > > > Channel 58, 27975 khz lower side
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:H%Zt9.11222$Be4.8486@news.bellsouth.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Black_Silver <Blackie@earthlink.net> wrote in message

> > > > > > news:wFZt9.3113$Fj6.253943@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > > > > I think truckers are authorized to use 10-meters, just like
> > doctors,
> > > > > > > school
> > > > > > > > teachers, farmers, office workers, and any other sort of ham
> uses
> > > > the
> > > > > > > band.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > ONLY IF THEY HAVE THE REQUIRED LICENSE! That is the issue here;
> the
> > > > use
> > > > > > of
> > > > > > > 10 Meters by unlicensed truckers that just buy one of those
> "export"
> > > > > radios
> > > > > > > (illegal to import and sell)
> > > > > > > and start talking. I hear them all the way up beyond 29 MHZ.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Now if a driver obtains the required license, he/she is more
than
> > > > welcome
> > > > > > to
> > > > > > > enjoy Amateur Radio
> > > > > > > and all its rewards in accordance with the rules of the Service.
> > ALL
> > > > > > licensed persons are welcomed and encouraged to make use of the
> ham
> > > > bands.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > One of the things that gets a LOT of negative attention is the
use
> > of
> > > > AM
> > > > > > > below 28.300 MHZ where
> > > > > > > voice modes are not allowed in the USA/Region 2. If a driver
> hears
> > > > Morse
> > > > > > > Code (CW) on that
> > > > > > > frequency, that is why. All drivers can do Amateur Radio AND
> their
> > > > > driving
> > > > > > > friends a huge favor by passing the word that 10 Meter
operations
> > DOES
> > > > > > > require a license and that the Feds are back in
> > > enforcement--especially
> > > > > > > where it concerns out-of band-operation by CBers. MANY hams are
> > > > > > > highly ticked off about the unlawful intrusions and are actively
> > > > > monitoring

> > > > > > the bands for it--even ob-
> > > > > > serving trucks on the highway for such goings-on. Based on
their
> > tape
> > > > > > recordings and tag numbers,
> > > > > > FCC WILL issue a warning to the driver's employer! It IS a
> serious
> > > > > matter,
> > > > > > folks, and you should
> > > > > > heed the warning.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Jerry
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > You got something against truckers?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Blackie
> > > > > > > Keep the shiny side up!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3110. Re: Realistic trc431

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 17:24:10 UTC
From: sir.oblivion@public.com
Message-ID: <3dbacfc7$1_7@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 141890

"Carlmackayp" <carlmackayp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021022223439.25009.00003947@mb-fm.aol.com...
> power mods needed
>
> can anyone help me out

All I have on a TRC-431

RF Power output adjust L910
Modulation adjust VR7
RF Power Meter adjust VR5
S Meter adjust VR1
AM Squelch adjust VR3

3111. Re: We won't get the real facts from Skippy, that's for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 17:29:09 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210260929.2d6cae06@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141892

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message news:<6e58e321.0210251242.29ca4e8c@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> > And neither you nor Frankie-girl would understand the replies if you
> > did, would you Aanal Aaron? What stopped you? Can't be intelligence!
>

Awww, did I hit a nerve, Aanal Aaron? You never answered any of my questions, including: "Who's Steve"? You're a one-trick pony that forgot its' trick.

When ya gonna post that "proof" you offered to post "Gladly"? Haven't seen it yet.

3112. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 17:31:22 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210260931.5b6131ae@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141893

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210241250.39f08252@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210240508.1546532c@posting.google.com>...
> (snip)
>
> Still can't hear any truckers on 10 meters, Steve?
>
> -Aaron-

You still haven't answered my question (as usual) Aanal Aaron: Who's Steve?

3113. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 17:35:21 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210260935.618f7a1a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141894

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210252125.37afca56@posting.google.com>...
> "Lloyd" Lloyd@CBBARFdept.net wrote in message
> <apd5s0lno0@pita.alt.net>
> > You are so full of crapola Oxendine that your eyes are
> >brown! Your lies do not scare anyone, least of all
> >truckers! But please do continue your ego mania
> >spew! The rest of us get quite a charge out of your
> >radio vigilante tantrums. Oh, and Riley says your
> >are one of the biggest whiners that continually calls him
> >about trivial nonsense. That figures!
> >
> >Lloyd
>
>
> Same guy, different name. All from pita.alt.net. This guy could give
> Steve Rogovich a run for his money.
>
> -Aaron-

You mean he could give YOU a run for your money, don't you Aanal
Aaron? How many addresses have you used and lost recently? Oh yeah:
Who's Steve? A friend of yours?

>
> Har Har <HarHar@HarHarRadio.net> wrote in message
> news:afr3rq$ve2$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> news:akl8ndebi0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Meat-->Plow" <Meat@hell-flame-wars.orq> wrote in message
> news:ak078g$4in$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Vic Z" <HamCB@HamCBBargainbarn.org> wrote in message
> news:ap0qt4ddv0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Oscar" <LasVegasKid@thehappygamblersanon.com> wrote in message
news:akl9qr$h8v$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Horace T Einsworth" <hte@radiow345xFM.org> wrote in message
> news:akm151$bh2$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Knight Ryder" <knightryder@yahooeyx.com> wrote in message
> news:agt979$h17$0@pita.alt.net...

>
> Big Horn 312 <BigHorn312@CherryHillFreeband.org> wrote in message
> news:ags4cctau0@pita.alt.net...

All of which proves what? That somehow I'm Steve? Hahahahahaha!!!

3114. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 17:37:24 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210260937.17343fae@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141895

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210251238.37d7c2d4@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210250458.2cf0c2d4@posting.google.com>...
> > avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210241250.39f08252@posting.google.com>...
> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210240508.1546532c@posting.google.com>...
> > > (snip)
> > >
> > > Still can't hear any truckers on 10 meters, Steve?
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> >
> > Who's this "Steve" you keep mentioning?
>
> You, Steve :)

You haven't posted any proof yet, Aanal Aaron, except conclusive proof
that I cannot be Steve. Try again. Who's Steve?

3115. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 17:43:34 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021026134334.20749.00000384@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 141896

i'd rather drive a 75 pontiac than be a two meter nerd and yes jer even you are considered a two meter nerd. after all its the publics image of ham radio. i bet you have one of those callsign hats too lol

>
>Don't know anything about that. I rarely use an HT and I don't own a pocket
>protector.
>But I'd betcha that, opposed to the guy in the rusty '75 "Pony-ack" going
>FOOOMP, FOOMP,
>FOOOMP, the HT guy would win in the image department. Besides, those guys
>don't wear
>those call-sign hats except at hamfests--at least, I don't run into 'em.
>
>J
>
>
>>
>> >You may flame me, but that IS the image much of America
>> >has of
>> >CB radio. Operating outside the law doesn't help the image of
>stoop-idity;
>> >that is, stooping to
>> >breaking the law just to talk on a radio.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3116. Help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 18:44:33 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021026144433.05879.00000634@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 141947

I have a Realistic trc 431 and played with the am power coil # L907,L905 and i broke the two coils, now my cb won.t transmit but will recieve
Does anyone one have the coils laying around for me ,and are they hard to change?
Any help welcome

3117. Re: Help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 18:53:47 UTC
From: brian <none@no.com>
Message-ID: <%wBu9.133806$w63.1983184@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 141949

Radio shack sells a 30 piece inductor set that just might have what you need
for $2.69, Cat.#: 273-1601

"Carlmackayp" <carlmackayp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021026144433.05879.00000634@mb-ms.aol.com...
> I have a Realistic trc 431 and played with the am power coil # L907,L905
and i
> broke the two coils, now my cb won.t transmit but will recieve
> Does anyone one have the coils laying around for me ,and are they hard to
> change?
> Any help welcome
>
>

3118. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 18:53:56 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210261053.3b7e21c1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141948

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021026021253.17597.00000033@mb-fd.aol.com>...
> chuck for the last couple years straight you've quoted the WHOLESALE price on
> these amps here when somebody has one for sell. why do you do this? do you
> think the general public gets them wholesell? how many did you sell to your amp
> customers for the wholesell price?
>
> >Well, Still the black faced 225's go for $77.00 wholesale and the
> >250's go for $86.00 and what do you mean by heavy finals? The 225's
> >only ran 1446's and those finals don't do jack. and the 250's run
> >2-2sc2879's and those are 80 watt finals... unless you volt them and
> >then they become 120 watt finals, till they blow up, which is what
> >they usually do.
> >
> >Either case, you're looking at a amp that's quite honestly worth MAYBE
> >$85.00 bucks, if you're able to get that...
> >
> >73
> >
> >-Chuck
> >
> >
> >..
> >A Real Tube
> >http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
> >A Real Amp
> >http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

old chuck at chuck's two way......use to sell amps to all the cbers
out there....now he is a general class ham and says we shouldn't have
amps.....my, my........matter of fact chuckie left this newsgroup a
while back and said he would not return....i knew then that he was a
liar.........and that is that......

3119. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 19:01:34 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <iEBu9.12737$oS4.604214557@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141950

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210251536.6ec5258b@posting.google.com...
> timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021024174522.22930.00000007@mb-bg.aol.com>...
> > he didnt loose them. just saving them for the come back so he can laugh
at
> > those who thought he lost them lol i got an email from
> > aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com yesterday
>
> It's "lose" them, Georgie-girl. And he lost them, all right; he cannot
> post to the UseNet using them again. Yahoo! may not dump him (do they
> ever dump anyone?), but Google is blocking him as fast as he gets new
> addresses.
>
> What happened to voobner@yahoo.com and avoobner@yahoo.com? Why isn't
> he still using them? Why is he changing email addresses every other
> day?

That is correct. Yahoo or Hotmail won't
do anything unless he send unsolicited e mail. But Google closes them all
the time because of his posting violations.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

3120. Re: get a life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 19:14:44 UTC
From: brian <none@no.com>
Message-ID: <bVzu9.34250$cG.414398@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 141881

"Noname Same" <kenwood440@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25736-3DBA59DA-393@storefull-2334.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> WHO CARES WHO IS ON 10.METERS THIS IS CB NEWSGROUPS.
> 11.METER IS GREAT HOBBY LETS KEEP IT THAT WAY.73S HAPPY DXING
>
I agree but you know even if no unliscenced operaters ever went up to 10 meters, there would be something else to bitch about, like freebanding, non-linear amps, overmodulation, etc. There will always be hams that piss on this newsgroup, don't worry about it though, in the long run it has a negative effect on thier own hobby.

3121. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 19:21:46 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021026152146.24004.00000764@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 141951

shut up with the 10 meters intruders!!! i'll intrude this whole NS!!!

Thatz-me-JP

3122. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 19:51:53 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <chslru4s4ij6qmcf1tjumen11rlbgkajqr@4ax.com>
Source record: 141952

On 26 Oct 2002 06:12:53 GMT, timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) was
blabbling:

...chuck for the last couple years straight you've quoted the
WHOLESALE price on
...these amps here when somebody has one for sell. why do you do this?
do you
...think the general public gets them wholesell? how many did you sell
to your amp
...customers for the wholesell price?
...
...>

I don't answer questions from wanna-be Nigger drug dealers.

A Real Tube
http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
A Real Amp
http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html

3123. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 19:53:30 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <fjslrukej4emu01p5oebpebfltc4751v16@4ax.com>
Source record: 141953

On 26 Oct 2002 15:53:55 GMT, tra1n@aol.commmmmm (TRA1N) was blabbling:

...Trouble is Chuckie can't find a wholesaler who would touch him with
a 10 foot
...pole anymore now that he is a confirmed rat and Douchner
sympathizer.

Bullshit. I could order one tommmrow... and I'm not a voobner lover.
In fact, I think he's an idiot, as well as dougie.

i'm not on anyone's side.

your ALL idiots in my opinion.

A Real Tube
http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
A Real Amp
http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html

3124. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 20:48:54 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210261248.25a2d9d0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141954

Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. wrote:
>"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:c5ecbb69.0210251536.6ec5258b@posting.google.com...
>> timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
>news:<20021024174522.22930.00000007@mb-bg.aol.com>...
>> > he didnt loose them. just saving them for the come back so he can
laugh
>at
>> > those who thought he lost them lol i got an email from
>> > aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com yesterday
>>
>> It's "lose" them, Georgie-girl. And he lost them, all right; he
cannot
>> post to the UseNet using them again. Yahoo! may not dump him (do
they
>> ever dump anyone?), but Google is blocking him as fast as he gets
new
>> addresses.
>>
>> What happened to voobner@yahoo.com and avoobner@yahoo.com? Why
isn't
>> he still using them? Why is he changing email addresses every other
>> day?
>
> That is correct. Yahoo or Hotmail won't
>do anything unless he send unsolicited e mail. But
>Google closes them all
>the time because of his posting violations.
>
> Landshark

Huh? What posting violations?

>--
>"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

Then how come you're so concerned about "posting violations"? That
sounds rather "anal" to me....

-A

3125. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 20:54:39 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210261254.298f4508@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141955

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>Date: Sat, Oct 26, 2002 10:31 AM
>Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210260931.5b6131ae@posting.google.com>
>
>avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210241250.39f08252@posting.google.com>...
>> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210240508.1546532c@posting.google.com>...
>> (snip)
>>
>> Still can't hear any truckers on 10 meters, Steve?
>>
>> -Aaron-
>
>You still haven't answered my question (as usual) Aanal Aaron:
>Who's Steve?
>

It's you, Steve.

-A

--

From: Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: Radio Bob go away, nothing is forgiven
Newsgroups: alt.radio.pirateView: Complete Thread (6 articles) |
Original FormatDate: 2002-02-07 08:08:12 PST

Nothing boring about the FRN. It's been a very useful site since you
were forced to leave for causing trouble and slandering people. And
just sos you know, theres only 1 administrator on the FRN. The owner.
He has a couple of people acting as moderators to keep things in line.
I've lurked in the UseNet groups for years, bobbie-girl.

From: Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: More Fresh Stinky Meat-This Troll SHOULD Die
Newsgroups: alt.troll-bustersView this article only
Date: 2002-02-20 18:16:05 PST

rbcn1@hotmail.com (Radio Bob) wrote in message
news:<3d34cfcd.0202181325.659e43a7@posting.google.com>...
> Please go feed on Richard Cranium, richcranus@yahoo.com (well at least
> he's got the dickhead part right) This gormless nobrainer has

> visciously attacked me at alt.radio.pirate and has furthered the
> attack by going far out of his way to implicate real and totally
> innocent persons near my hometown area. He has established a false
> email account under the name of: Sabrina_Frederic@hotmail.com where he
> also launches spew from. He's further made a false claim against me
> and had my primary email account cancelled. I'd go after him myself
> but am new at usenet ect...and not sure what to do other than to not
> respond to tolls. Pleased to find this group where possibly some good
> sam's may reside who might be inclined to assist. If anyone needs to
> contact me by email please post message here and I'll get back to you.
> Thanks

Excuse me, "Bobbie-girl", but if the claim against you was false, why
was your account cancelled? And what makes you think I send it?

You've been on the usenet for years, bobbie-girl, and anybody can do a
search to prove that. Just search for Radio Bob and/or rbcn1.

Still jamming the ham repeaters in Cornelia, GA?

TROLL!!

---

http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&threadm=SHFQ8.6628%24v51.349481114%40newssvr21.news.prodigy.com&rnum=1&prev=/groups%3Fq%3DRe:%2BXM%2Band%2BSirius%2Bin%2Bdeep%2Bfinancial%2Bproblems!%26hl%3Den%26lr%3D%26ie%3DUTF-8%26selm%3DSHFQ8.6628%2524v51.349481114%2540newssvr21.news.prodigy.com%26rnum%3D1

Message 73 in threadFrom: Radio Roger (radiorogerthat@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: XM and Sirius in deep financial problems!
Newsgroups: alt.radio.digitalView this article onlyDate: 2002-06-26
21:16:45 PST

Hi.

Take a moment to examine the following posts via Google (check out the
"NNTP-Posting-Host").

"Russell Todd":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=217b8ae4.0201220629.6412dff4%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain

(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=217b8ae4.0206260047.37f72794%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.127 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net]

"Jeri Ireland":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=39ca9149.0204150515.6f9deee3%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=2e055d29.0202201600.2e124762%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.29 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])

"Thomas Krapper":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=4adf2202.0204170331.4d9b6086%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=4adf2202.0204290150.384b811d%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.76 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])

"RadioBob" (Woody/Woodrum/Woodbury):
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=fe0dabe1.0206211202.708dd9fc%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=fe0dabe1.0204090049.5a15e26b%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.5 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])

"Sabrina Frederic":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=23e8cbcf.0201230359.14cb7e08%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=u9rrd2fskmo9d1%40corp.supernews.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.208.124.158 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net]
---
rbcn1@hotmail.com (Radio Bob) wrote in message
news:<3d34cfcd.0202210938.7f5c6fb@posting.google.com>...
> Hi Everyone, Can't we all just get along? Apparently not. Pat Murphy
> (Steven J. Rogovich) just can't leave well enough alone.
> Be warned!!! don't a one of you dare to open your mouth and complain
> about problems at or the operation of John Cruzan's Free Radio Network
> at frn.net You will be visciously attacked and a great attempt will
> be made to run you off the face of the earth......By resident attack
> dog, internet troll and coward extrodinare...Pat Murfy. You can make
> up all fake names and create all the false email addresses you want
> Patricia but you don't hide your manner of speech or the garbage you
> spew. You only know how to throw rocks from behind shadows like the
> pathetic scared little boy that you are. Godless coward.
> Well I'm going to give you your stupid little victory and hope that
> you will be proud of yourself. John Cruzan himself suggested that I
> come here to post my messages but even you dare to defy your glorious
> leader. Well, unlike you, I have a life and don't need to have you
> crawling around chasing me around. I have lots of other things to busy
> myself with so I'll leave the Usenet all to you and your many
> alternate personalities. Richard Cranium suits you quite well and that
> other identity that you stole from a real person will soon enough be
> taken away from you by the proper authorities. Geesh, no one ever

> thought that the title of Pirate Radio's Number 1 Asshole could be
> taken away from jta but low and behold you have mangaged to do it.
> Congratulations Steve! You da man! Have ya a great life buddy! see ya
> later

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

3126. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 20:56:38 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210261256.44b69279@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141956

ichcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>Date: Sat, Oct 26, 2002 10:37 AM
>Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210260937.17343fae@posting.google.com>
>
>avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210251238.37d7c2d4@posting.google.com>...
>> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210250458.2cf0c2d4@posting.google.com>...
>> > avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210241250.39f08252@posting.google.com>...
>> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210240508.1546532c@posting.google.com>...
>> > > (snip)
>> > >
>> > > Still can't hear any truckers on 10 meters, Steve?
>> > >
>> > > -Aaron-
>> >
>> > Who's this "Steve" you keep mentioning?
>>
>> You, Steve :)
>
>You haven't posted any proof yet, Aanal Aaron, except conclusive
proof
>that I cannot be Steve. Try again. Who's Steve?
>

It's you, Steve.

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED

to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

3127. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 20:59:31 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210261259.2639469a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141957

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210252125.37afca56@posting.google.com>...
>> "Lloyd" Lloyd@CBBARFdept.net wrote in message
>> <apd5s0lno0@pita.alt.net>
>> > You are so full of crapola Oxendine that your eyes are
>> >brown! Your lies do not scare anyone, least of all
>> >truckers! But please do continue your ego mania
>> >spew! The rest of us get quite a charge out of your
>> >radio vigilante tantrums. Oh, and Riley says your
>> >are one of the biggest whiners that continually calls him
>> >about trivial nonsense. That figures!
>> >
>> >Lloyd
>>
>>
>> Same guy, different name. All from pita.alt.net. This guy could
give
>> Steve Rogovich a run for his money.
>>
>> -Aaron-
>
>You mean he could give YOU a run for your money, don't you Aanal
>Aaron? How many addresses have you used and lost recently? Oh yeah:
>Who's Steve? A friend of yours?

You, Steve Rogovich. Everyone knows your name.

>
>>
>> Har Har <HarHar@HarHarRadio.net> wrote in message
>> news:afr3rq$ve2$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
>> news:akl8ndebi0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "Meat-->Plow" <Meat@hell-flame-wars.orq> wrote in message
>> news:ak078g$4in$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "Vic Z" <HamCB@HamCBBargainbarn.org> wrote in message
>> news:ap0qt4ddv0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "Oscar" <LasVegasKid@thehappygamblersanon.com> wrote in message
news:akl9qr$h8v$0@pita.alt.net...
>>

>> "Horace T Einsworth" <hte@radiow345xFM.org> wrote in message
>> news:akm151$bh2$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "Knight Ryder" <knightryder@yahooeyx.com> wrote in message
>> news:agt979$h17$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> Big Horn 312 <BigHorn312@CherryHillFreeband.org> wrote in message
>> news:ags4cctau0@pita.alt.net...
>
>All of which proves what? That somehow I'm Steve? Hahahahahaha!!!

Nope. Dunno who that guy is. But I know who you are:

--
From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

3128. Re: We won't get the real facts from Skippy, that's for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 21:04:00 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210261304.279b770d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141958

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210251242.29ca4e8c@posting.google.com>...
>> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>> > And neither you nor Frankie-girl would understand the replies if
you
>> > did, would you Aanal Aaron? What stopped you? Can't be
intelligence!
>>
>
>Awww, did I hit a nerve, Aanal Aaron? You never answered any of my
>questions, including: "Who's Steve"?

I'll answer it now then. You're Steve.

>You're a one-trick pony that
>forgot its' trick.
>
>When ya gonna post that "proof" you offered to post "Gladly"?

Gladly. Here ya go.

-A

--

From: Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: Radio Bob go away, nothing is forgiven
Newsgroups: alt.radio.pirateView: Complete Thread (6 articles) |
Original FormatDate: 2002-02-07 08:08:12 PST

Nothing boring about the FRN. It's been a very useful site since you
were forced to leave for causing trouble and slandering people. And
just sos you know, theres only 1 administrator on the FRN. The owner.
He has a couple of people acting as moderators to keep things in line.
I've lurked in the UseNet groups for years, bobbie-girl.

From: Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: More Fresh Stinky Meat-This Troll SHOULD Die
Newsgroups: alt.troll-bustersView this article only
Date: 2002-02-20 18:16:05 PST

rbcn1@hotmail.com (Radio Bob) wrote in message
news:<3d34cfcd.0202181325.659e43a7@posting.google.com>...
> Please go feed on Richard Cranium, richcranus@yahoo.com (well at least

> he's got the dickhead part right) This gormless nobrainer has
> visciously attacked me at alt.radio.pirate and has furthered the
> attack by going far out of his way to implicate real and totally
> innocent persons near my hometown area. He has established a false
> email account under the name of: Sabrina_Frederic@hotmail.com where he
> also launches spew from. He's further made a false claim against me
> and had my primary email account cancelled. I'd go after him myself
> but am new at usenet ect...and not sure what to do other than to not
> respond to tolls. Pleased to find this group where possibly some good
> sam's may reside who might be inclined to assist. If anyone needs to
> contact me by email please post message here and I'll get back to you.
> Thanks

Excuse me, "Bobbie-girl", but if the claim against you was false, why
was your account cancelled? And what makes you think I send it?

You've been on the usenet for years, bobbie-girl, and anybody can do a
search to prove that. Just search for Radio Bob and/or rbcn1.

Still jamming the ham repeaters in Cornelia, GA?

TROLL!!

---

http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&threadm=SHFQ8.6628%24v51.349481114%40newssvr21.news.prodigy.com&rnum=1&prev=/groups%3Fq%3DRe:%2BXM%2Band%2BSirius%2Bin%2Bdeep%2Bfinancial%2Bproblems!%26hl%3Den%26lr%3D%26ie%3DUTF-8%26selm%3DSHFQ8.6628%2524v51.349481114%2540newssvr21.news.prodigy.com%26rnum%3D1

Message 73 in threadFrom: Radio Roger (radiorogerthat@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: XM and Sirius in deep financial problems!
Newsgroups: alt.radio.digitalView this article onlyDate: 2002-06-26
21:16:45 PST

Hi.

Take a moment to examine the following posts via Google (check out the
"NNTP-Posting-Host").

"Russell Todd":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=217b8ae4.0201220629.6412dff4%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain

(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=217b8ae4.0206260047.37f72794%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.127 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net]

"Jeri Ireland":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=39ca9149.0204150515.6f9deee3%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=2e055d29.0202201600.2e124762%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.29 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])

"Thomas Krapper":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=4adf2202.0204170331.4d9b6086%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=4adf2202.0204290150.384b811d%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.76 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])

"RadioBob" (Woody/Woodrum/Woodbury):
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=fe0dabe1.0206211202.708dd9fc%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=fe0dabe1.0204090049.5a15e26b%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.200.145.5 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net])

"Sabrina Frederic":
http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=23e8cbcf.0201230359.14cb7e08%40posting.google.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.54.15.30 [coxfiber.net])

http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=u9rrd2fskmo9d1%40corp.supernews.com&oe=UTF8&output=gplain
(NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.208.124.158 [tnt2.norfolk.va.da.uu.net]
---
rbcn1@hotmail.com (Radio Bob) wrote in message
news:<3d34cfcd.0202210938.7f5c6fb@posting.google.com>...
> Hi Everyone, Can't we all just get along? Apparently not. Pat Murphy
> (Steven J. Rogovich) just can't leave well enough alone.
> Be warned!!! don't a one of you dare to open your mouth and complain
> about problems at or the operation of John Cruzan's Free Radio Network
> at frn.net You will be visciously attacked and a great attempt will
> be made to run you off the face of the earth......By resident attack
> dog, internet troll and coward extrodinare...Pat Murfy. You can make
> up all fake names and create all the false email addresses you want
> Patricia but you don't hide your manner of speech or the garbage you
> spew. You only know how to throw rocks from behind shadows like the
> pathetic scared little boy that you are. Godless coward.
> Well I'm going to give you your stupid little victory and hope that
> you will be proud of yourself. John Cruzan himself suggested that I
> come here to post my messages but even you dare to defy your glorious
> leader. Well, unlike you, I have a life and don't need to have you
> crawling around chasing me around. I have lots of other things to busy
> myself with so I'll leave the Usenet all to you and your many
> alternate personalities. Richard Cranium suits you quite well and that
> other identity that you stole from a real person will soon enough be
> taken away from you by the proper authorities. Geesh, no one ever

> thought that the title of Pirate Radio's Number 1 Asshole could be
> taken away from jta but low and behold you have mangaged to do it.
> Congratulations Steve! You da man! Have ya a great life buddy! see ya
> later

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this "troll" not w-w!
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

3129. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 21:13:13 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210261313.16c8ae6a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141959

"A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
news:<Ha3u9.11548$TX.3836199@twister.nyroc.rr.com>...
> These amps are cleaverly disguised as 'export only'. Yet I saw a few of
> their BIG amps at Dayton being sold by 'private' parties, with no questions
> asked. If I were you, I'd look into this matter IMMEDIATELY! I mean....can
> you imagine a HAM breaking the rules and running 2500+ watts PEP? GOOD...I
> can't either. It's DEPLORABLE! So.... get on the horn, and turn in these
> OUTLAWS!
> Here's the link: www.qrotec.com
> After all...can you blame us lowly CB'ers for running BIG linears when in
> fact, our ham 'mentors' are doing the same?

If you have mentors that encourage you to operate illegally they're
not very good mentors.

-A

3130. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 21:24:52 UTC
From: techno-wizard <technowiz@techno-wiz.com>
Message-ID: <apfb7i$5gc$1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU>
Source record: 141976

OZARK333 wrote in message <20021020212019.08693.00003165@mb-cj.aol.com>...
>Screw you Aaron(eous)...who cares about
>your BS stories of 10 meter intruders...shut the hell up and go away...same
>thing your Moma told you!
>
>"Got RF?"

Now there's a real intelligent response.

3131. Jesus had a CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 21:35:24 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@nausea.com>
Message-ID: <0VDu9.741$9o.306890854@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 141960

This just in....

I've received a story from a friend in India who claims
he has photos of stone walls depicting men using devices that
look very simular to two-way radios. The stone tablets date
back 2000 years.

Could the early egyptians been equiped with CB's?

Did they have amplifiers? Moonrakers? Echo mics?

Would not it be cool if they found 2000 year old CB radios?

And they still worked?????

3132. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 21:53:42 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <6_Du9.3306$wZ2.2385@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141961

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021026134334.20749.00000384@mb-cq.aol.com...
> i'd rather drive a 75 pontiac than be a two meter nerd and yes jer even
you are
> considered a two meter nerd. after all its the publics image of ham radio.
i
> bet you have one of those callsign hats too lol

Sorry, don't own one. I rarely use 2 meters, either.

J
>
>
>
> >
> >Don't know anything about that. I rarely use an HT and I don't own a
pocket
> >protector.
> >But I'd betcha that, opposed to the guy in the rusty '75 "Pony-ack" going
> >FOOOMP, FOOMP,
> >FOOOMP, the HT guy would win in the image department. Besides, those
guys
> >don't wear
> >those call-sign hats except at hamfests--at least, I don't run into 'em.
> >
> >J
> >
> >
> >>
> >> >You may flame me, but that IS the image much of America
> >> >has of
> >> >CB radio. Operating outside the law doesn't help the image of
> >stoop-idity;
> >> >that is, stooping to
> >> >breaking the law just to talk on a radio.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

3133. Re: Hero to criminal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 22:12:39 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@nausea.com>
Message-ID: <WrEu9.742$2u.307274880@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 141963

"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message >
>
> Fake story.
>

No, a " flake " story.....

3134. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 22:26:13 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10020-3DBB1685-164@storefull-2191.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 141962

Chuck,Go look up the specs on that 80W 2sc2879 then come back and tell
us who the "idiot" really is.................

3135. Muddy Water Slapper

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 23:22:36 UTC
From: WW Ball & Chain <shaftny1@cox.net>
Message-ID: <0tFu9.35293$vq2.1091001@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 141964

Looking for a Muddy Water Slapper

3136. Looking for a 2 tube / 2-500z Box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 23:31:56 UTC
From: WW Ball & Chain <shaftny1@cox.net>
Message-ID: <MBFu9.35304$vq2.1084666@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 141965

Looking for a 2 tube / 2-500z Box

3137. Re: Looking for a 2 tube / 3-500z Box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 23:37:06 UTC
From: WW Ball & Chain <shaftny1@cox.net>
Message-ID: <CGFu9.35307$vq2.1093133@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 141966

Re: Looking for a 2 tube / 3-500z Box

3138. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-26 23:59:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBB2C6E.D6BE5C97@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141977

Alan Strawinski wrote:
>
> I've posted some mp3's of guys on 10 meters that don't belong there. Some
> of them are kind of amusing. I asked a friend of mine to record them for
> me, since I'm not a ham and don't have any HF gear yet.
>
> Proof? Hardly. I believe him, though.
>
> Here ya go:
> http://alan.strawinski.net/misc/tenmeter.html
>
> Try not to flame me too hard, eh guys? Anyhow, enjoy.

You musta made all that up Alan, twistie, dickieboy, timmie, tools
and others say truckers using 10 meters illegally isn't a problem.
;>)

3139. Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 00:05:18 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B3ADD4CFA25rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 141968

I've posted some mp3's of guys on 10 meters that don't belong there. Some of them are kind of amusing. I asked a friend of mine to record them for me, since I'm not a ham and don't have any HF gear yet.

Proof? Hardly. I believe him, though.

Here ya go:
http://alan.strawinski.net/misc/tenmeter.html

Try not to flame me too hard, eh guys? Anyhow, enjoy.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

3140. Re: Welcome home, Lou (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 00:05:21 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DBB2E1E.E4E0A154@optonline.net>
Source record: 141967

hey twistie the dr's may have bought him but they will still lose 95...howe may be a liability for us amazin's but we coulda used sweet lou.....

Twistedhed wrote:

> Piniella has come home.

3141. Re: Jesus had a CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 00:20:31 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B3B068DB1AErrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 141969

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote in
news:20021026173742.524$R1@newsreader.com:

> "General Johnson Jameson" <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@nausea.com>
> wrote:
>>> This just in....
>>
>> I've received a story from a friend in India who claims
>> he has photos of stone walls depicting men using devices that
>> look very simular to two-way radios. The stone tablets date
>> back 2000 years.
>>
>> Could the early egyptians been equiped with CB's?
>>
>> Did they have amplifiers? Moonrakers? Echo mics?
>>
>> Would not it be cool if they found 2000 year old CB radios?
>>
>> And they still worked?????
>>
> Oh boy..Dave or Debby will have fun with this.
>

LOL, I was thinking the same thing!

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

3142. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 00:27:17 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210261627.62f6cb61@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

"I C P" <nospam@nospam.fr> wrote in message news:<FClt9.7408$46.3188@fe01>...
> Aaron Voobner is a well know troll in the cb radio newsgroups.
> He is kinda like their version of "Elmer".
> Not quite Bullis.... but bad enough.

hmmm.....you know about voobnutts in misc.transport.trucking
.????.....interesting.....isn't it strange that dwight
stewart(W5NET) used to post in this newsgroup as well.....my o
my....isn't that a coincidence..?????
so voobnutts starts a thread where he has been before.....perhaps it
would probably be a good conclusion that most if not all of the people
on this newsgroup have never been to the
newsgroup.....misc.transport.trucking.....but voobnutts and
stewart have.....astonishing parallel for a hard core ham radio
techician class who has a lot of time on his hands evidentally for
arguing about this and arguing about that.....7,300 posts on
rec.radio.cb since his inception....for aaron h voobnutts.....dwight
stewart has over 5,000 posts to rec.radio.amateur.policy alone since
he first appeared on the scene....800 posts to the macitosh
newsgroups.....and of course , let us not forget....over a 100
posts to misc.transport.trucking.....

conclusion.....Aaron H. Voobner is: Dwight Stewart
(W5NET).....

3143. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 00:31:24 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <oWidnQryd4tFriagXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 141971

"Alan Strawinski" <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message
news:Xns92B3ADD4CFA25rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44...
> I've posted some mp3's of guys on 10 meters that don't belong there. Some
> of them are kind of amusing. I asked a friend of mine to record them for
> me, since I'm not a ham and don't have any HF gear yet.
>
> Proof? Hardly. I believe him, though.
>
> Here ya go:
> http://alan.strawinski.net/misc/tenmeter.html
>
> Try not to flame me too hard, eh guys? Anyhow, enjoy.

Alan I believe they are real I hear the CW stations in there plain as day.
So your not a ham and have no axe to grind what will the naysers say to
these mp3's which clearly show the usage by trucker types.

3144. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 00:35:20 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <QuOdne77SZdZqSagXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 141972

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021026114821.21163.00000542@mb-fx.aol.com...
> i've yet to see you admitting to your name being george busch(the name
tossed
> around for you). are you now saying it is?

Hey then why does Mopar and Landshark, Randy, yourself ec etc call me
George then

3145. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 00:41:50 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210261641.15a8c74f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141973
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

"General Johnson Jameson" <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@nausea.com> wrote in message news:<1Uxu9.732$jq7.303191087@news.inreach.com>...
> "Dave VanHorn" < wrote in message
>
> > If they aren't silver-teflon, they aren't worth any price.
> > By the time you get them hot enough to properly solder in an RG-8 size
> > braid, they're melting the center insulator. Those shiny plated ones are
> > also tough to get the solder to adhere to, but the silver teflon ones just
> > flow.
>
> I soldered my first PL-259 way back in 1967 and have been burning
> my fingers ever since. When the satellite TV craze hit in the 80's,
> I learned about a new connector to hate, Soldering N connectors to
> make pigtails. I found the best way to get solder to flow on any connector
> was to swab a little solder paste on them. Includes the coax braid as well.
>
> Can you imagine a world where there isn't the smoke of solder slowly
> floating upward, into nostrils, lungs and eyes? I certainly can't!
>
> The General's laboratory is constantly adrift with floating clouds of solder
> and paste flux smoke. A beautiful sight to behold!

i fail to understand why you are cross posting..such behavior can get
you tossed....this is a cb radio newsgroup and not a ham
newgroup....unfortunately there are a few bad apple type hams hanging
around here for whatever reason, and they cannot seem to figure out
just exactly where they belong.......maybe that explains your cross
posting ideas.............

3146. Re: saucer boy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 00:44:54 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210261644.326bfeb0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141974

xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:<e68335108db088ecd0f1a733cbb808fd@xganon.com>...
> NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
> No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
> ----------
>
> http://web.archive.org/web/20021008174238re_/http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/twistworld.jpg
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
>

man who cares.....geez get a life you idiot..........

3147. Re: a nice one for you sparky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 00:45:50 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210261645.776ba595@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141975

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021025112732.27772.00000264@mb-df.aol.com>...
> i can do that already
>
> >tim...why don't you buy it with some of that pimp money....then you
> >could drop the maul on sd, doncha think????

you can do what already???

3148. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 02:13:20 UTC
From: Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you>
Message-ID: <4ZHu9.2003$PY2.1292@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> looked out from deep inside his ass
& spewed more crap.....

>> I C S <nospam@nospam.fr> wrote in message
> > Jerry Oxendine is a troll..... just like Aaron Voobner.
> > rec.radio.cb's equal to Richard Bullis.
> > What a piece of crap!

> I didn't START this thread, bud.

Hmmmm..... maybe you didn't start the thread, but your stereotyping of
truck drivers & the way _YOU_ perceive a truck driver's mentality & the way
drivers talk on the radio....tells me that the troll thing was dead on
target !!

--
_____l Tom
__/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
/________l_____________________
O OO\ O O\

3149. Re: Jesus had a CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 02:34:56 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urmk9jeuodisa3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141979

This is the best reason **not** to run linears. This guy is obviously
suffering from RF overexposure! ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"General Johnson Jameson" <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@nausea.com> wrote in
message news:0VDu9.741$9o.306890854@news.inreach.com...
> This just in....
>
> I've received a story from a friend in India who claims
> he has photos of stone walls depicting men using devices that
> look very simular to two-way radios. The stone tablets date
> back 2000 years.
>
> Could the early egyptians been equiped with CB's?
>
> Did they have amplifiers? Moonrakers? Echo mics?
>
> Would not it be cool if they found 2000 year old CB radios?
>
> And they still worked?????
>
>

3150. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 02:59:29 UTC
From: jg45c@excite.com
Message-ID: <8de2b998.0210261859.126ff222@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

> > >
> > I may be naive to some law or regulation, as I'm not a ham, but all
> > amature radios that transmit below 30MHz do not require type
> > acceptance by the American FCC. Since the Galaxy radios are marketed
> > as 10 meter radios, not CBs which must be type accepted by nature of
> > the service, how can they possibly be illegal to sell in America?
>
> Because the FCC labs have determined that certain of those "10 Meter" radios
> do not
> met their requirements for sale in the US. There is a list of *most*
> Galaxy and Connex
> radios that are illegal because 1) "real" 10 meter radios do not have
> frequency readouts AND
> channel indices, 2) 10 Meter radios are normally "single-band" radios and do
> not require "band"
> switches, 3) They do not meet technical standards for spectral purity. AND
> FCC is smart enough
> to recognize the REAL market for these "fake" 10 Meter radios (wink wink)
> whose sole purpose is
> to circumvent the regulations, allow for higher than allowed output, and
> provide coverage from 14-30 MHZ; hence the "band" switch.
>
> Jerry
>
That sounds sensable. Thanks for clarification.

3151. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 03:09:20 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBB58E0.9C81AA83@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

Skateboard'n wrote:

> Hmmmm..... maybe you didn't start the thread, but your stereotyping of
> truck drivers & the way _YOU_ perceive a truck driver's mentality & the way
> drivers talk on the radio....tells me that the troll thing was dead on
> target !!

Suggest you go to this link and you can listen to the way truckers
using 10 meters illegally talk/

http://alan.strawinski.net/misc/tenmeter.html

3152. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 03:15:38 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBB5A5A.976BECD8@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141997

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>
> They'll say it was recorded on Channel 19, or the freeband (CW nonetheless).
> They'll say it is not
> a problem. They'll say the truckers are not hurting anyone. They'll say
> the hams ought to "share".

And at which point do we stop sharing? How many truckers and other
illegal ops to we allow on 10 meters? Do we stop when they have
turned 10 meters into the garbage band that 11 meter is?

> They'll say that "everything you get comes by truck" and "you ought to be
> careful about" rainin' on
> the truckers' parade. Among other things.

Yea, we've heard that old worn out song a million times, still
doesn't justify their illegal use of 10 meters.

J

3153. Re: shut up jj

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 03:18:10 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBB5AF2.AD6AC49A@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141999

xgagnon wrote:
>
> NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
> No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.

And we hear from the cowardly anonymous agagnon poster again. Just
what have I lied about 10 meters?

3154. shut up jj

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 03:19:14 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <790538477954ce546f1084f5ba79d140@xganon.com>
Source record: 141980

```
NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
-------------------------------------------------------

...nobody asked you....lie about 10 meter is what you about....!!!!

---
This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
---
```

3155. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 03:41:05 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <KOKcnUTk2PLP_SagXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:nEju9.4702$6F4.443989@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Musta been rough growing up with a name like
> "leland".....................................

Nope. I was named after a medical doctor. With a rather rare name I
don't have to look up every time somebody calls out John, Dick, Harry,
Bob, Tim, etc...

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3156. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 03:44:56 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <bCadneqZoP2k_CagXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 141983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

"Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
news:iqacnbVUXKJYnyegXTWc3A@comcast.com...
>
> "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:nEju9.4702$6F4.443989@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Musta been rough growing up with a name like
> > "leland".....................................
>
> Musta been tough growing up an asshole who is scared to use his own
name.
> LOL

Look up my callsign on QRZ, you'll find the same name there. Talk
about some "asshole who is scared to use his own name" were your
parents drunk or high when they named you -> Shaggy Dogg?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"I care about truth not for truth's sake
but for my own."

Samuel Butler

3157. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 03:51:32 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <eBedne5xIb9Y_yagXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 141984

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:WH6dnaiIfNciXiSgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
> > Did you try looking at the Yaesu ATAS-200 screwdriver antenna?
>
> I know of the 100, and 120, but not a 200.

It's a new model introduced at the Dayton Hamvention last May. It's
the replacement for the ATAS-100. The new model fixes some of the
short comings of the older model.

>
> > Its
> > designed to tune automaticaly with several Yaesu rigs including
the
> > FT-847. That would give you access to just about every band while
> > mobile. Just press the "Tuner" button on the rig and the internal
> > micro does the rest for you! Can't get any easier.
>
> It's not a bad idea. The radio tells the antenna "up" or "down" in
short
> bursts, and samples the SWR at low power till it finds the right
spot.
> Question is, can I get my hands on one tomorrow, and get it
installed, while
> I proof out a set of prototype boards, and route another set, before
I take
> off on sunday am?

Not likely unless you contact one of the mail order Ham equipment
vendors, and have it shipped out overnight. I would consider it for a
long term solution however. It makes a nice match for the FT-100,
FT-100D, and the FT-847 for an automatically tuning screwdriver
antenna.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running

try to keep up "

3158. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 04:05:14 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <DJCdncsLa6tm-CagXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 141985

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:c1ju9.65$wZ2.33@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:hH-dne3AyetwJySgXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:vxgu9.13819$Be4.1821@news.bellsouth.net...
> > >
> > > Nope! It is going to be addressed thru Congress--namely Greg
Walden,
> > now of
> > > the sub-Com-mittee on Communications. He will be "encouraged"
to
> > look at
> > > ways to facilitate the FCC's much-
> > > needed efforts to rid the airwaves of bandit operators that
think
> > they are
> > > "entitled" to just take what
> > > they want. I like Leland's idea; I might just pass that on to
Mr.
> > Walden.
> > > Leland, why don't you look up Mr. Walden's address and send this
> > idea to him
> > > as well?
> >
> > I'm thinking of doing just that since Timmy is peeing his pants
over
> > it, and Twisted is trying his best propaganda to discount it. That
> > means it must have a good chance of really working!
>
>
>
>
> That is what some ops fail to understand; Amateur Radio has some
pretty
> good allies in Congress
> right now in the aftermath of 9/11.

It is really funny how outlaw CBers like Tim forget so quickly how a
bill recently got passed, and signed in to law, allowing local Police
to enforce Part 95 rules on the behalf of the FCC. If he thinks that
Hams can't swing something like I proposed, with the DOT, he better

think again, and worry. The proof it can be done is right in his face.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
depends on our willingness to take a
chance on tomorrow by risking what
we have today."

3159. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 04:11:43 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <0LqcnTcFFegd-iagXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 141986

"The Searchers" <The_Searchers@searching-for-clues.com> wrote in
message news:s8dkrugtjgbkiua1thd6ff925q0gmbm5gj@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 24 Oct 2002 22:23:12 -0400, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >I had an idea of another way to attack the problem Jerry. Instead
of
> >going after the so-called export-radio, or the truck drivers
directly,
> >let the Department of Transportation do the work. Since many of the
> >10m intruders seem to be truck drivers just have the Department of
> >Transportation mandate that commercial trucks can ONLY have company
> >provided GMRS UHF 2-way radios.
>
> You are refering to Private Moble Radio Service (PMRS)
> business band radios, GMRS is something totally different.

Which would be more appropriate than GMRS. Thanks for the input.

>FCC Rules: Part 90--Private Land Mobile Radio Services
>Subpart C--Industrial/Business Radio Pool

>http://makeashorterlink.com/?L12931F32

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3160. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 04:14:16 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021027001416.17739.00000558@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 141987

>From: Alan Strawinski >rrcb@strawinski.net
>Proof? Hardly. I believe him, though.
Yes, Alan, the proof requirement cannot be met via a sound recording. Now if he had recorded it with a digital camera in movie mode with sound that would be proof. Otherwise as they say in court, inadmissible hearsay.....and the gavel slams!!!!!! or is that the maul......

"Got RF?"

3161. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 04:22:25 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <EGJu9.3815$wZ2.685@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote in message
news:4ZHu9.2003$PY2.1292@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> looked out from deep inside his
ass
> & spewed more crap.....
>
> >> I C S <nospam@nospam.fr> wrote in message
> > > Jerry Oxendine is a troll..... just like Aaron Voobner.
> > > rec.radio.cb's equal to Richard Bullis.
> > > What a piece of crap!
>
> > I didn't START this thread, bud.
>
> Hmmmm..... maybe you didn't start the thread, but your stereotyping of
> truck drivers & the way _YOU_ perceive a truck driver's mentality & the
way
> drivers talk on the radio....tells me that the troll thing was dead on
> target !!

I didn't make up the way drivers talk on a CB. All you have to do is
listen.

J

--
> _____l Tom
> __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
> /________l_____________________
> O OO\ O O\
>
>
>

3162. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 04:28:26 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <uGudnUxst__29iagXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210251454.5fcf8957@posting.google.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:<GbycnYmGzcAfcSigXTWcoA@comcast.com>...
> > "Vic Z" <HamCB@HamCBBargainbarn.org> wrote in message
> > news:ap0qt4ddv0@pita.alt.net...
> > > BULL! He was there again this year,
> >
> > I was there and the amps etc. weren't. I even mentioned it to the
> > others Hams in my group who also noticed the same thing. And if
you
> > were there what did Yeasu have swiming in the fish tank?
> >
>
> You mean the VX7?

You mean the TWO VX7's right? It was interesting to see them light up
when you key up on the frequency they were set on, while under water.

> You and your friends must have very selective sight!

They disappeared becasue RH was paying a visit to the Hamvention the
year before, and the amp kit vendor got told they better not show up
again, the kits, by the field agents. All one had to do was stop by
their table and ask them about their visit from the FCC. Several
people wanted a kit and wanted to know why they weren't there any
more. There may have been other amps elsewhere, but they were NOT in
the tent area anymore. Even some of the CB shops with tables had their
"questionable" wears gone that year too. Seems like the word got out,
and nobody wanted to get busted.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3163. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 04:29:34 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <oNJu9.3829$wZ2.3748@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141990

WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:oWidnQryd4tFriagXTWcog@comcast.com...
>
>
> "Alan Strawinski" <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns92B3ADD4CFA25rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44...
> > I've posted some mp3's of guys on 10 meters that don't belong there.
Some
> > of them are kind of amusing. I asked a friend of mine to record them for
> > me, since I'm not a ham and don't have any HF gear yet.
> >
> > Proof? Hardly. I believe him, though.
> >
> > Here ya go:
> > http://alan.strawinski.net/misc/tenmeter.html
> >
> > Try not to flame me too hard, eh guys? Anyhow, enjoy.
>
> Alan I believe they are real I hear the CW stations in there plain as day.
> So your not a ham and have no axe to grind what will the naysers say to
> these mp3's which clearly show the usage by trucker types.
>

They'll say it was recorded on Channel 19, or the freeband (CW nonetheless).
They'll say it is not
a problem. They'll say the truckers are not hurting anyone. They'll say
the hams ought to "share".
They'll say that "everything you get comes by truck" and "you ought to be
careful about" rainin' on
the truckers' parade. Among other things.

J
>

3164. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 04:34:03 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <u1Ku9.756$yv1.311555130@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 141991

"chessecutter" > wrote in message

> i fail to understand why you are cross posting..such behavior can get
> you tossed....this is a cb radio newsgroup and not a ham
> newgroup....unfortunately there are a few bad apple type hams hanging
> around here for whatever reason, and they cannot seem to figure out
> just exactly where they belong.......maybe that explains your cross
> posting ideas.............

Gee whiz cheesecutter, you're right...I am cross-posting. Guess I'm
just not paying attention when I reply. Please don't toss me, I love salads
but just not in them...knowhutImean?

Now, just what is a " bad apple ham? " Is that a apple ham that has
gone bad? I love ham, eat it every chance I get. Every try a honey-baked
ham? They are delicious but expensive. I wish there was a honey-baked
ham store in Red Bluff. That's where I live, Red Bluff, a small town with
no honey-baked ham store but we just got a Starbucks so things are
starting to look up.

Well, I gotta go now, still got 3 more linears that need my " special "
attention...heh heh heh.... I'll be putting all 3 of them on Ebay as long
as Ebay doesn't bounce me ...

Toodles....

GJJ

3165. FS: Hallicrafters CB-3A vintage CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 04:42:03 UTC
From: galasphere@telus.net
Message-ID: <3DBB6EA3.24F2D39@telus.net>
Source record: 141992

For Sale,

Hallicrafters model CB-3A vintage tube CB transceiver.

Good working condition with microphone.

$50 US including shipping.

Please reply to galasphere@telus.net if interested.

Colin

3166. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 04:43:01 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <_ZJu9.3863$wZ2.1665@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141993

Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:DJCdncsLa6tm-CagXTWcpQ@comcast.com...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:c1ju9.65$wZ2.33@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> > news:hH-dne3AyetwJySgXTWc3Q@comcast.com...
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:vxgu9.13819$Be4.1821@news.bellsouth.net...
> > > > >
> > > > Nope! It is going to be addressed thru Congress--namely Greg
> Walden,
> > > now of
> > > > > the sub-Com-mittee on Communications. He will be "encouraged"
> to
> > > look at
> > > > > ways to facilitate the FCC's much-
> > > > > needed efforts to rid the airwaves of bandit operators that
> think
> > > they are
> > > > > "entitled" to just take what
> > > > > they want. I like Leland's idea; I might just pass that on to
> Mr.
> > > Walden.
> > > > > Leland, why don't you look up Mr. Walden's address and send this
> > > idea to him
> > > > > as well?
> > > >
> > > I'm thinking of doing just that since Timmy is peeing his pants
> over
> > > it, and Twisted is trying his best propaganda to discount it. That
> > > means it must have a good chance of really working!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > That is what some ops fail to understand; Amateur Radio has some
> pretty
> > good allies in Congress
> > right now in the aftermath of 9/11.
>
> It is really funny how outlaw CBers like Tim forget so quickly how a

> bill recently got passed, and signed in to law, allowing local Police
> to enforce Part 95 rules on the behalf of the FCC. If he thinks that
> Hams can't swing something like I proposed, with the DOT, he better
> think again, and worry. The proof it can be done is right in his face.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL Member
> NCI Member
> --
> "Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
> depends on our willingness to take a
> chance on tomorrow by risking what
> we have today."

I also seem to recall that many folks, even regulars here, said that "It's
un-Consti-too-shunal" and
"They cain't do that", but it DID pass. It's a law that I think has been
basically a "feel-good" law
that was designed to appease the complainers and keep Congress from spending
any more money.
But all that was BEFORE 9/11. Amateur Radio has some pretty good press in
Congress right now, despite the naysayers, and this is the time to strike
with information about the 10 Meter problem (I already have been doing so)
and implement some of the suggestions that have and will
come in. There are lots of good ideas that can be put to use to get the
truckers out of 10 Meters.
Even a good publicity campaign on the part of ARRL thru and to truckers'
associations and large
trucking companies could make a dent. If the safety directors of the big
companies were to be
made aware of the problem, then they could make it a company policy that
only FCC approved
equipment would be allowed in their fleet; any illegal equipment would be
cause for discipline. Some of the truck stops have already been warned or
fined, and some are about to get it again.
I hope the agents make the fines stiff enough to make the sale of such crap
unattractive to the
companies. Even volunteers could write letters to trucking companies and
make them aware of the
problem. We haven't even begun to scratch the surface.

Jerry
>
>
>

3167. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 04:43:26 UTC
From: jenglish <jenglish@cwnet.com>
Message-ID: <urmrnr92civs80@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

The poor guy just want to talk 5 miles out. The problem is there are TOO MANY experts trying to show their shallow limited knowledge of RF. What's with YAGI, DIPOLE and all of this BS?
1) His mother is 400 feet elevation up from him. This alone should be enough for LOS at 5 miles. 5Watts HT is not a problem here.
2) If external antenna is a must, a couple of quarter wave spikes built out of a coat hanger will do the job.
Yes I am a HAM. And with us HAMs we experiment, that is why we think outside of the box. HAMs earned their license, GUMMERS buy theirs. GUMMERs are basically appliance users, they tend to complicate things when not need be. Ever heard of using a sledge hammer to squat a fly?

73 de kc6vlg

"Douglas Houck" <dhouck@attglobal.net> wrote in message news:3DB77371.7090804@attglobal.net...
> You may have a problem with simple handheld radios, with no outdoor
> antennas. Remember that these radios are line-of-sight. If you can see
> your mom's place you can probably make the connection with little
> problem. If there is much in the way (lots of trees, hillside,
> buildings, etc.) you very well may need to add an antenna and/or a
> repeater system.
>
> A 1/4 wavelength antenna form GMRS is just under 6 inches long, so it's
> nothing like a CB antenna.
>
> With GMRS you have a fair number of options in that you can use Base
> units with up to 50 watts and outdoor antennas. You can also use either
> a simplex (~$100) or a duplex (~$2,000) repeater system, so depending on
> how much money and effort you want to invest you can make a GMRS system
> work for you.
>
> If you can borrow a set of FRS radios, you can do a quick check on the
> need for additional equipment as these have near the range (~2/3) of
> handheld GMRS radios. If those don't work, you will need to try a full
> blown GMRS radio with detachable antenna (~$150-$200) and an external
> antenna (~$50). You can try the external antenna inside your or your
> mom's location but it will probably require an elevated (roof) outside
> location. What you are trying to do is get the two antennas to see each
> other.
>
> If that doesn't work you will need to go the repeater route. While a
> simplex repeater is significantly less expensive, it has less power and
> is mainly used for the occasional, short discussion. If you want to

> hold a normal discussion with your mom the duplex repeater system is
> best. The repeater system basically adds a third, in-between antenna
> which can "see" the other two.
>
> You can purchase both new and used for such a GMRS system.
>
> You will only need one license ($75) for your system, not the two as
> another had noted.
>
> If you are still interested in pursuing this, give us some more
> information and we'll see what we can do.
>
> Good luck
>

3168. Re: cobra 2000 on/off switch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 04:55:30 UTC
From: Adrian <adshar@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <BlKu9.20353$Sr6.624254@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 141995

Its ok I found the problem :)

"Adrian" <adshar@iinet.net.au> wrote in message
news:7Ayu9.20154$Sr6.620318@ozemail.com.au...
> Had a prob with cobra 2000 today wouldn't turn off on push button
> switch. Push button Switch is ok,Checked it out some and found it
> seems to switch on ground side probably controlling a transistor
> switch.I run the ac/dc selector centre positive through switch and
> soldered previous together off switch. Works fine but now counter
> doesn't revert to clock mode on off position. Tried discon on
> different positive wires to freq counter to no avail, however its
> probably a ground connection that works clock display?.I haven't
> identified the switching transistor yet as schematic is a bit hard
> to follow in that area.Anyone else seen this? Any advice appreciated.
> Well as I said switch was ok.unsoldered black wire on one side of
> switch and radio still lit up?On other side of switch is freq cntr
> and cb ground returns i.e. white and orange wires..I think the real
> switch is a transistor activated by this.still don't know which
> one.My schematic is hard to read.
> regards Adrian
>
>
>
>

3169. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 05:20:03 UTC
From: Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <3q3cqsv4os.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>
Source record: 141998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

"jenglish" <jenglish@cwnet.com> writes:

> Yes I am a HAM. And with us HAMs we experiment, that is why we think outside
> of the box. HAMs earned their license, GUMMERS buy theirs. GUMMERs are
> basically appliance users, they tend to complicate things when not need be.
> Ever heard of using a sledge hammer to squat a fly?

Golly, Jean, thanks for your pearls of wisdom. I'm sure we all appreciate
your sharing your years of experience with us. Uh, well, months of
experience. Months and months.

Just be careful where you squat those flies, though, hon, whatever you use
to do it -- you _really_ think outside of the box. :->

--
Philip Stripling | email to the replyto address is presumed
Legal Assistance on the Web | spam and read later. email to philip@
http://www.PhilipStripling.com/ | civex.com is read daily.

3170. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 11:02:06 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <OIPu9.130425$La5.426435@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 142000

I hate to say, but like so many other things in this country, the gene may be out of the bottle! Might be difficult or impossible to ever get him back in.

"Alan Strawinski" <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message news:Xns92B3ADD4CFA25rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44...
> I've posted some mp3's of guys on 10 meters that don't belong there. Some
> of them are kind of amusing. I asked a friend of mine to record them for
> me, since I'm not a ham and don't have any HF gear yet.
>
> Proof? Hardly. I believe him, though.
>
> Here ya go:
> http://alan.strawinski.net/misc/tenmeter.html
>
> Try not to flame me too hard, eh guys? Anyhow, enjoy.
>
> --
> Alan Strawinski
> http://alan.strawinski.net
> rrcb@strawinski.net

3171. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 11:23:20 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027062320.24407.00000862@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 142001

what about wanna be hispanics that wish they were latin count drug dealers???

>
>I don't answer questions from wanna-be Nigger drug dealers.

3172. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 11:24:14 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027062414.24407.00000863@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 142002

mole, why the change? last i checked you were dougs puppet :) did you stop liking the feel of his hand up your ass controlling your every move?

>
>
>Bullshit. I could order one tommmrow... and I'm not a voobner lover.
>In fact, I think he's an idiot, as well as dougie.
>
>i'm not on anyone's side.
>
>your ALL idiots in my opinion.

3173. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 11:37:04 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027063704.24407.00000864@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 142003

and i dont own a 75 pontiac but it never stopped you from making the stereo type.

>Sorry, don't own one. I rarely use 2 meters, either.
>
>J

3174. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 11:37:56 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027063756.24407.00000865@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 142004

>Hey then why does Mopar and Landshark, Randy, yourself ec etc call me
>George then

i guess because we have nothing else to call you because you wont admitt to what your name is. what is your name?

3175. Re: a nice one for you sparky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 11:58:15 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027065815.24407.00000866@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 142005

rub your face in the mud like the duck you are

>
>you can do what already???

3176. Radios and Scanners FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 12:34:16 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <c3Ru9.3948$Zx.711668@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 142006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Have for sale a Yaesu Ft-50R in the box with all docs.I am asking $225.00for
it shipped to your door.
Have for sale a Yaesu Ft-50R in the box with all docs.I am asking $225.00for
it shipped to your door.
Have for sale a nice Pro-2042 scanner.I am asking $175.00 shipped to your
door.
I have several of these Standard C-508 hts for sale.I am asking
$125.00each.These are used and I do have boxes and papers with them.
Have for sale a nice Motorola SP-21 VHF hand held.I don't know much about it
but have had it sitting on my shelf for some time.I don't use it and would
like to sell it for $80.00 shipped to your door.
Have a nice condition Pro 2046 for sale.I will sell for $125.00plus a
littlefor shipping.
On any of this stuff I can be interested in trades for a dual or tri band
mobile radio that receives over 472.mhz.
Email me on any of this equipment.My email is : n1rsp@qsl.net

3177. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 12:47:47 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <vfGdncfHQ8byfSagXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142007

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021027063756.24407.00000865@mb-mw.aol.com...

> i guess because we have nothing else to call you because you wont admitt
to
> what your name is. what is your name?

Well how did you arrive at the name George? I have been called aaron, dwight
, frank, george what is next.

3178. Amp mfrs are a bunch of criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 12:57:38 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210270457.3497c637@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142008

"Legit" manufacturers who make HF linears are technically a bunch of criminals when they make amps which can be modded for 10m... all it takes is one op to buy the amp, get the mod & pass it around. Makes me just want to **CRY** for our poor old laws whose feelings are being hurt.

Guy Richards

3179. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 13:22:11 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210270522.1e5b9d54@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142009

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210261313.16c8ae6a@posting.google.com>...
> "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
news:<Ha3u9.11548$TX.3836199@twister.nyroc.rr.com>...
> > These amps are cleaverly disguised as 'export only'. Yet I saw a few of
> > their BIG amps at Dayton being sold by 'private' parties, with no questions
> > asked. If I were you, I'd look into this matter IMMEDIATELY! I mean....can
> > you imagine a HAM breaking the rules and running 2500+ watts PEP? GOOD...I
> > can't either. It's DEPLORABLE! So.... get on the horn, and turn in these
> > OUTLAWS!
> > Here's the link: www.qrotec.com
> > After all...can you blame us lowly CB'ers for running BIG linears when in
> > fact, our ham 'mentors' are doing the same?
>
> If you have mentors that encourage you to operate illegally they're
> not very good mentors.
>
> -A

Those mentors must be named Aanal Aaron or Georgie-girl! Aanal Aaron
did encourage one guy to get his new amp modified for CB, after all
(it's in the archive). And Georgie-girl does everything he can to
encourage CBers not to become amateurs.

3180. Re: We won't get the real facts from Skippy, that's for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 13:24:51 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210270524.33b7e398@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142010

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210261304.279b770d@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210251242.29ca4e8c@posting.google.com>...
> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >> > And neither you nor Frankie-girl would understand the replies if
> you
> >> > did, would you Aanal Aaron? What stopped you? Can't be
> intelligence!
> >>
> >
> >Awww, did I hit a nerve, Aanal Aaron? You never answered any of my
> >questions, including: "Who's Steve"?
>
> I'll answer it now then. You're Steve.

Bzzzzt! Wrong again. Where's your proof?

> >You're a one-trick pony that
> >forgot its' trick.
> >
> >When ya gonna post that "proof" you offered to post "Gladly"?
>
> Gladly. Here ya go.
>
> -A

You keep posting proof that I cannot be "Steve", Aanal Aaron; where's
your proof? You're the one who offered to post it and you still fail
to produce it.

So, who is Steve? Where's your proof?

3181. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 13:30:12 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210270530.5186cbdc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142011

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210261256.44b69279@posting.google.com>...
> ichcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >Date: Sat, Oct 26, 2002 10:37 AM
> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210260937.17343fae@posting.google.com>
> >
> >avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210251238.37d7c2d4@posting.google.com>...
> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210250458.2cf0c2d4@posting.google.com>...
> >> > avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210241250.39f08252@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0210240508.1546532c@posting.google.com>...
> >> > > (snip)
> >> > >
> >> > > Still can't hear any truckers on 10 meters, Steve?
> >> > >
> >> > > -Aaron-
> >> >
> >> > Who's this "Steve" you keep mentioning?
> >>
> >> You, Steve :)
> >
> >You haven't posted any proof yet, Aanal Aaron, except conclusive
> proof
> >that I cannot be Steve. Try again. Who's Steve?
> >
>
> It's you, Steve.

Bzzzzt! Still talking out your backside, Aanal Aaron. Where's that
PROOF you offered to "Gladly" post? Still haven't seen it.

3182. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 13:32:02 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210270532.4d0f2a7a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142012

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210261259.2639469a@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210252125.37afca56@posting.google.com>...
> >> "Lloyd" Lloyd@CBBARFdept.net wrote in message
> >> <apd5s0lno0@pita.alt.net>
> >> > You are so full of crapola Oxendine that your eyes are
> >> >brown! Your lies do not scare anyone, least of all
> >> >truckers! But please do continue your ego mania
> >> >spew! The rest of us get quite a charge out of your
> >> >radio vigilante tantrums. Oh, and Riley says your
> >> >are one of the biggest whiners that continually calls him
> >> >about trivial nonsense. That figures!
> >> >
> >> >Lloyd
> >>
> >>
> >> Same guy, different name. All from pita.alt.net. This guy could
> give
> >> Steve Rogovich a run for his money.
> >>
> >> -Aaron-
> >
> >You mean he could give YOU a run for your money, don't you Aanal
> >Aaron? How many addresses have you used and lost recently? Oh yeah:
> >Who's Steve? A friend of yours?
>
> You, Steve Rogovich. Everyone knows your name.
>
> >
> >>
> >> Har Har <HarHar@HarHarRadio.net> wrote in message
> >> news:afr3rq$ve2$0@pita.alt.net...
> >>
> >> Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> >> news:akl8ndebi0@pita.alt.net...
> >>
> >> "Meat-->Plow" <Meat@hell-flame-wars.orq> wrote in message
> >> news:ak078g$4in$0@pita.alt.net...
> >>
> >> "Vic Z" <HamCB@HamCBBargainbarn.org> wrote in message
> >> news:ap0qt4ddv0@pita.alt.net...
> >>

> >> "Oscar" <LasVegasKid@thehappygamblersanon.com> wrote in message
news:akl9qr$h8v$0@pita.alt.net...
> >>
> >> "Horace T Einsworth" <hte@radiow345xFM.org> wrote in message
> >> news:akm151$bh2$0@pita.alt.net...
> >>
> >> "Knight Ryder" <knightryder@yahooeyx.com> wrote in message
> >> news:agt979$h17$0@pita.alt.net...
> >>
> >> Big Horn 312 <BigHorn312@CherryHillFreeband.org> wrote in message
> >> news:ags4cctau0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> >All of which proves what? That somehow I'm Steve? Hahahahahaha!!!
>
>
> Nope. Dunno who that guy is. But I know who you are:

No, you don't, Aanal Aaron. My name is Richard. How do you get "Steve"
from that? Where's that proof? Months later you still don't have any!
You are a bad joke, Aanal Aaron!

3183. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 13:39:09 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210270539.7fac6a72@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142013

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210251522.23aa00d@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3DB68EDF.648C@worldlynx.net>...
> >> Richard Cranium wrote:
> >> >
> >> > >
> >> > > http://lists.contesting.com/_amps/2002-April/029832.html
> >> > > From: Steve Katz stevek@jmr.com
> >> > > Date: Thu, 18 Apr 2002 08:56:35 -0700
> >> > > Message: [Amps] CB amps on e-Bay
> >> > >
> >> > > Not harming us? Indeed they are. Here's how:
> >> > >
> >> > > -CBers causing RFI/TVI in residential neighborhoods. Ham applies
> for
> >> > > permit to erect a tower and is denied because the neighbors, who have
> >> > > experienced this RFI/TVI for years, all show up at the zoning board
> >> > > hearing and protest "enough is enough" based on their bad experiences.
> >> > > Ham gets denied his tower. (This isn't a dream, this happens daily
> >> > > throughout the U.S. -- many documented cases.)
> >> >
> >> > So do cellular telephone sites (just listen to many 2 meter repeaters
> >> > which are QRMed by them!).
> >>
> >> Cell phones run in the high 800 mhz range, or higher for PCS phones. For
> >> something like that to interfere with a 2 meter repeater would take a
> >> very strange case of intermod. Cell phone sites normally do not run very
> >> high power because coverage is restricted to the area within the "cell".
> >> It would not be conducive to proper spectrum management to allow the
> >> coverage areas of the cells to overlap by too much.
> >> For the record (as a repeater owner myself), I have never come across
> >> any cases of interference to any 2 meter repeater caused by a cell site.
> >> That doesn't mean it's not possible, but it certainly isn't as rampant
> >> as you imply.
> >>
> >>
> >> > So do some over-powered amateurs. Why do
> >> > you try Aanal Aaron's lame trick of blaming EVERYTHING on CBers?
> >>
> >> You really need to take off the rose colored glasses and stop huffing
> >> twisty gas, and face up to reality. Yes, there are illegally powered
> >> hams, yes, hams can cause interference, and yes, ham towers are

> >> generally not considerd aesthetically appealing by the general public.
> >> But it was CBers, or more accurately, illegally powered CBers, that made
> >> TVI a household word.
> >
> >Wrong again. It is CHEAP CONSUMER ELECTRONICS that made TVI a
> >household word. Not amateurs, not CBers, not anything else. Improperly
> >filtered and cheaply constructed consumer electronics did the job.
> >
> > In the late 70's the amount of CBers outnumbered
> >> hams by over 8 to 1, and that was based on licenses. There were many
> >> more CBers who never obtained a license. Judging from the attitudes
> >> expressed here on this newsgroup, it is easy to see that most of you
> >> guys care little, if at all, about following FCC rules.
> >
> >Why is that? You make a quantum leap in your so-called "logic" there.
> >Can you see the problem?
> >
> > So why is it so
> >> hard for you to accept the fact that because CBers are more likely to
> >> break the rules, illegally modify their rigs, and run high power, they
> >> are also more likely to cause interference?
> >
> >Nope. The fact that you are blinded by your license makes it
> >impossible for you to accept that many more hams use illegal power and
> >are more likely to cause interference.
> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> > > -CBers become dissatisfied with their measly 40 channel allocations
> >> > > and
> >> > > begin spreading out, or "freebanding" as they call it, on to 10m and
> >> > > 12m
> >> > > amateur bands, rendering those bands virtually useless in some areas.
> >> > > I
> >> > > hear it every single day, hundreds of examples per day, this becomes
> >> > > thousands if the band's really open.
> >> >
> >> > Or they "become dissatisfied with their measley 40 channel
> >> > allocations" and get a ham license. As far as "rendering (10 and 12
> >> > meters) virtually useless", another poster (licensed amateur Jim
> >> > Hampton) has pointed out that those bands are virtually UNUSED, so who
> >> > is it hurting?
> >>
> >> That depends on where you look. I've heard some fine sounding AM rigs
> >on
> >> 29.000. There is FM repeater activity in the 29.6 area. And of course,
> >> there is a ton of SSB activity when the band is open. There is also a
> >> fair amount of CW traffice, in the area that is being encroached upon.
> >
> >Really? I haven't heard any of that "fair amount of CW traffic" yet.

> >And only ONCE heard any alleged intruders.
> >
> >> >
> >> > If you're hearing it every day, why aren't you actively using the
> >> > band(s) to prevent it instead of whining in a UseNet newsgroup which
> >> > is read by only a very small handful of CBers to start with? Talk
> >> > about wasting your time and effort!
> >>
> >> I would tend to agree that this newsgroup isn't the best place to effect
> >> a change. But what seems to keep perpetuating this topic is the
> >> persistant denial by certain members of this group, that this problem
> >> does not exist.
> >
> >Not denial, just a lack of evidence.
> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> > > There probably isn't an amateur active on 10m and 12m anywhere in
> the
> >> > > world who isn't aware of this bad and growing problem. If all the
> >> > > CBers ran their legal power limit, the problem would still exist,
> but
> >> > > it wouldn't be quite as loud. Since so many are running amplifiers,
> >> > > it's worse.
> >
> >What, exactly, is "so many"? 5%? 10%? Does anyone really know? Certain
> >people (yourself) claim that "all CBers are criminals" based on -
> >what? How can you possibly claim that? Do you actually KNOW "all
> >CBers"? If not, you're just inciting the rabid anti-CBers like Aanal
> >Aaron and Georgie-girl, who should have something better to do than
> >whine about so-called "illegal" CBers. To be blunt, if they're
> >"CBers", they're not illegal; if they're "illegal", they're not CBers.
> >Comprene?
> >
> >> > The first part of your first sentence says it all: "There probably
> >> > isn't an amateur active on 10m and 12m in the world." So why the fuss?
> >> > Use 'em or lose 'em.
> >>
> >> That's not your call to make. That would be analogous to you parking
> >> your car, and me saying, "hey, you're not using it, so I'll take it. Use
> >> it or lose it".
> >
> >You've been listening to Aanal Aaron and Frank ("yeti") too much, I
> >think.
> >
> >>
> >> Dave
> >> "Sandbagger"
> >
> >It's not really YOUR call to make, either, is it? [I assume (from your

> >"handle") that you're not a ham.] It's also not Aanal Aaron's call to
> >make (since at best he has a no-code Tech license and at worst he has
> >no license; either way he cannot legally use 10 meters) and not
> >Georgie-girl's call to make (since he could actually be there
> >preventing intrusions). So what's your problem?
> >
>
> Steve, FYI, Dave is a ham. You been sniffing "Twisty-Gas"?
>
> -A

Who is "Steve"? As usual, you ignore the important points and show
your ignorance and inability to respond to them. And why are YOU (of
all people) answering for Dave? You think he can't answer for himself?

3184. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 13:44:40 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210270544.605d48c5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142014

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210251633.2e32a89d@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >Date: Fri, Oct 25, 2002 4:36 PM
> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0210251536.6ec5258b@posting.google.com>
> >
> >timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021024174522.22930.00000007@mb-bg.aol.com>...
> >> he didnt loose them. just saving them for the come back so he can
> laugh
> at
> >> those who thought he lost them lol i got an email from
> >> aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com yesterday
> >
> >It's "lose" them, Georgie-girl. And he lost them, all right; he
> cannot
> >post to the UseNet using them again. Yahoo! may not dump him (do they
> >ever dump anyone?), but Google is blocking him as fast as he gets new
> >addresses.
> >
> >What happened to voobner@yahoo.com and avoobner@yahoo.com? Why isn't
> >he still using them? Why is he changing email addresses every other
> >day?
> >
> Poor Steve. Desperate to hide the truth. Rec.radio.cb is like "Cheers"
> for you now, it's "where everybody knows your name". ;)
>
> -A

What's your point, Aanal Aaron? Everybody does know my name: It's
Richard. You are so far out on your "Steve" limb you don't know you're
falling on your face.

And you still haven't answered my questions: Who's "Steve"? Where's
your proof? Why are you so desperate to hide the truth?

3185. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 14:15:31 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7ySu9.218849$Fw2.6338676@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142015

"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:vfGdncfHQ8byfSagXTWcpA@comcast.com...
>
> "Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021027063756.24407.00000865@mb-mw.aol.com...
>
> > i guess because we have nothing else to call you because you wont admitt
> to
> > what your name is. what is your name?
>
> Well how did you arrive at the name George? I have been called aaron,
dwight
> , frank, george what is next.
>
>

Uh, the word Moron immediately comes to mind.

3186. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 14:16:16 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027091616.25123.00000630@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 142016

>Well how did you arrive at the name George?

i dont know. whats your name?

3187. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 14:54:36 UTC
From: Jerry Hooten <jhooten@ev1.net>
Message-ID: <3DBBFE2C.10008@ev1.net>
Source record: 142017

chessecutter wrote:
> "General Johnson Jameson" <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@nausea.com> wrote in message
news:<1Uxu9.732$jq7.303191087@news.inreach.com>...
>
>>"Dave VanHorn" < wrote in message
>>
>>
>>>If they aren't silver-teflon, they aren't worth any price.
>>>By the time you get them hot enough to properly solder in an RG-8 size
>>>braid, they're melting the center insulator. Those shiny plated ones are
>>>also tough to get the solder to adhere to, but the silver teflon ones just
>>>flow.
>>
>>I soldered my first PL-259 way back in 1967 and have been burning
>>my fingers ever since. When the satellite TV craze hit in the 80's,
>>I learned about a new connector to hate, Soldering N connectors to
>>make pigtails. I found the best way to get solder to flow on any connector
>>was to swab a little solder paste on them. Includes the coax braid as well.
>>
>>Can you imagine a world where there isn't the smoke of solder slowly
>>floating upward, into nostrils, lungs and eyes? I certainly can't!
>>
>>The General's laboratory is constantly adrift with floating clouds of solder
>>and paste flux smoke. A beautiful sight to behold!
>
>
>
> i fail to understand why you are cross posting..such behavior can get
> you tossed....this is a cb radio newsgroup and not a ham
> newgroup....unfortunately there are a few bad apple type hams hanging
> around here for whatever reason, and they cannot seem to figure out
> just exactly where they belong.......maybe that explains your cross
> posting ideas.............

Yo, self appointed net cop, you are asking the wrong person. He, like
you, pushed the reply button and replied to the message without deleting
all the other groups. If you have a problem with the cross posting go
back to the CBer who posted the original message.

Next time do the rest of us a favor and just reply in your own group and
don't waste the bandwidth of the other groups with your whining.

3188. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 15:13:42 UTC
From: Smoker 117 <smoker117@freeband28210.net>
Message-ID: <apgvq9$164$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 142018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Oh they were there this year all right, but you had to
know where to look. The information was being put out
on channel 40 and channel 83 (28210khz). Come on down
and standby on channel 83 (28210khz) lower side, and I
can tell you a dozen places near you where to buy stuff.
hahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahah

Smoker 117

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:uGudnUxst__29iagXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0210251454.5fcf8957@posting.google.com...
> > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:<GbycnYmGzcAfcSigXTWcoA@comcast.com>...
> > > "Vic Z" <HamCB@HamCBBargainbarn.org> wrote in message
> > > news:ap0qt4ddv0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > BULL! He was there again this year,
> > >
> > > I was there and the amps etc. weren't. I even mentioned it to the
> > > others Hams in my group who also noticed the same thing. And if
> you
> > > were there what did Yeasu have swiming in the fish tank?
> > >
> >
> > You mean the VX7?
>
> You mean the TWO VX7's right? It was interesting to see them light up
> when you key up on the frequency they were set on, while under water.
>
> > You and your friends must have very selective sight!
>
> They disappeared becasue RH was paying a visit to the Hamvention the
> year before, and the amp kit vendor got told they better not show up
> again, the kits, by the field agents. All one had to do was stop by
> their table and ask them about their visit from the FCC. Several
> people wanted a kit and wanted to know why they weren't there any
> more. There may have been other amps elsewhere, but they were NOT in
> the tent area anymore. Even some of the CB shops with tables had their
> "questionable" wears gone that year too. Seems like the word got out,
> and nobody wanted to get busted.
>
>

> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>
>

3189. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 15:17:40 UTC
From: Debunker <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DBC0394.AE927F8C@believeit.com>
Source record: 142019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

(Crossposted, but not by me)

Smoker 117 wrote:
>
> Oh they were there this year all right, but you had to
> know where to look. The information was being put out
> on channel 40 and channel 83 (28210khz). Come on down
> and standby on channel 83 (28210khz) lower side, and I
> can tell you a dozen places near you where to buy stuff.
> hahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahah

Channel 83 is 27.835khz.

3190. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 15:20:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027102023.24187.00000690@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 142020

since it was a troll message there was no need to be accurate lol

>
>Channel 83 is 27.835khz.
>

3191. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 15:24:18 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <CyTu9.8780$6F4.755843@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

uh huh.....
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:KOKcnUTk2PLP_SagXTWcpw@comcast.com...
>
> "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:nEju9.4702$6F4.443989@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Musta been rough growing up with a name like
> > "leland".....
>
> Nope. I was named after a medical doctor. With a rather rare name I
> don't have to look up every time somebody calls out John, Dick, Harry,
> Bob, Tim, etc...
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>
>

3192. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 16:01:02 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <25Uu9.852$Il1.74556565@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142022

"Chuck" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:chslru4s4ij6qmcf1tjumen11rlbgkajqr@4ax.com...
> On 26 Oct 2002 06:12:53 GMT, timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) was
> blabbling:
>
> ...chuck for the last couple years straight you've quoted the
> WHOLESALE price on
> ...these amps here when somebody has one for sell. why do you do this?
> do you
> ...think the general public gets them wholesell? how many did you sell
> to your amp
> ...customers for the wholesell price?
> ...
> ...>
>
> I don't answer questions from wanna-be Nigger drug dealers.
>
>
> A Real Tube
> http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
> A Real Amp
> http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html

You're all class Chuck.....

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

3193. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 16:01:02 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <25Uu9.851$Il1.74556565@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142023

"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:vfGdncfHQ8byfSagXTWcpA@comcast.com...
>
> "Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021027063756.24407.00000865@mb-mw.aol.com...
>
> > i guess because we have nothing else to call you because you wont admitt
> to
> > what your name is. what is your name?
>
> Well how did you arrive at the name George? I have been called aaron,
dwight
> , frank, george what is next.
>
>

Dick McCollum Pegged you, but I think
Troll is a more fitting name for you.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

3194. FS. Galaxy DX77HML Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 16:47:48 UTC
From: ihornsby@direcway.com
Message-ID: <8ab11b99.0210270847.147c2ef5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142024

I have forsale a Galaxy DX77HML Radio Works Great! 12 watts swings to about 30-35 on high . Contact with any questions. ihornsby@direcway.com $150.00 + Shipping.

3195. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 17:10:26 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBC1E02.9F08F1FF@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142029

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> and i dont own a 75 pontiac but it never stopped you from making the stereo
> type.

Is that an AM or FM stereo?

3196. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 18:28:38 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021027132838.15778.00000313@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 142025

>Subject: Re: FS: linear
>From: "Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.
>Date: 10/27/02 11:01 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <25Uu9.852$Il1.74556565@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
>
>
>"Chuck" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
>news:chslru4s4ij6qmcf1tjumen11rlbgkajqr@4ax.com...
>> On 26 Oct 2002 06:12:53 GMT, timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) was
>> blabbling:
>>
>> ...chuck for the last couple years straight you've quoted the
>> WHOLESALE price on
>> ...these amps here when somebody has one for sell. why do you do this?
>> do you
>> ...think the general public gets them wholesell? how many did you sell
>> to your amp
>> ...customers for the wholesell price?
>> ...
>> ...>
>>
>> I don't answer questions from wanna-be Nigger drug dealers.
>>
>>
>> A Real Tube
>> http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
>> A Real Amp
>> http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html
>
> You're all class Chuck.....................
>
> Landshark
>
>
I guess even LOW class is still class.................
Train

3197. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 18:33:52 UTC
From: "G. M. Alf" <gmalf.ears@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <braoru8ipgttq7fvk4rs7ig400fqlredu6@4ax.com>
Source record: 142026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

On 24 Oct 2002 17:27:34 -0700, horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) wrote:
...
>5-8 wave mobile antenna are quite inexpensive(under $50), and offer
>excellent gain. At UHF(GMRS) frequencies they are about 1.5 feet
>tall, and are about 4 ft tall at VHF(MURS) frequencies....

Montel Telecommunications has a VHF 5/8 wave and a 5dB UHF mobile
antenna for $15.25:

https://matuta.safe-order.net/montel-telecom/l130.html

Mike

3198. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 18:56:13 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B46F40A335rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142027

"radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in
news:OIPu9.130425$La5.426435@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net:

> I hate to say, but like so many other things in this country, the gene
> may be out of the bottle! Might be difficult or impossible to ever get
> him back in.
>
Ya, you're probably right. It would be difficult to get existing radios
out of the hands of the guys that have them.

It's kind of an eye opener for me. I didn't know there were so many guys
on 10. My buddy is able to record them at will at pretty much any time
during the day. This was kind of a small sampling. I thought about
posting more, but it seems like it might be overkill.

Personally, I don't know why anybody would want to leave the regular 40.
I never have a problem finding a clean channel to talk to somebody on
(noise from skip notwithstanding). It's even better when I use sideband.
Does anybody else's mileage vary? Why do people feel the need to leave
the regular 40? I've never found it necessary.

Something else...I've been checking prices on some of the export rigs.
WOW. I don't get it. They're really not that hot, IMHO. I mean, (and I'm
not condoning this, don't misunderstand me) if you're gonna run illegally
do it with some style. When you get busted, at least you can tell the FCC
field agent "Ya, but this sure is a sweet rig, eh?". Heh. I can't believe
what some of these guys spend for radios of questionable quality. I
dunno. I guess it an availability thing. An export radio sitting on the
shelf next to a CB at a truck stop that costs a hundred bucks more and
looks just like a CB is sure to snag a sucker, I suppose.

Oh well. I'll get off of my soapbox. I hope everybody is getting a kick
out of the mp3's. Say, do you dialup guys dig the quick downloads? If so,
you're welcome :) I encoded those mp3's with LAME. LAME kicks ass. Check
it out at http://www.mp3dev.org/mp3

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

3199. Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 19:03:22 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DBC387A.3040602@cac.net>
Source record: 142028

In case anyone's interested.

http://www.csotbs.org/kr8lr/TruckPics/
--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

3200. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 19:15:29 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027141529.27811.00000506@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 142030

first you say : >I never have a problem finding a clean channel to talk to somebody on
>(noise from skip notwithstanding)

then you ask : >Why do people feel the need to leave
>the regular 40? I've never found it necessary.

well if you were driving a big rig day in and day out ahving to listen to the skip noise you would want a nice clear place tot lak with fellow drivers as well

3201. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 19:18:41 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027141841.27811.00000507@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 142032

if one of the big three made a easy to use radio that did worth a damn on AM
then maybe they would use them. the only ones that do are big as hell and still
dont sound as good as a cheap cb on AM

>Something else...I've been checking prices on some of the export rigs.
>WOW. I don't get it. They're really not that hot, IMHO. I mean, (and I'm
>not condoning this, don't misunderstand me) if you're gonna run illegally
>do it with some style. When you get busted, at least you can tell the FCC
>field agent "Ya, but this sure is a sweet rig, eh?

3202. Re: Electronics Boring - Try New Legal Hallucinogen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 19:19:01 UTC
From: kcsmiley@fastmail.fm
Message-ID: <f2e1588b.0210271119.4243f424@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142031

To the joy of many, but to the sadness of many more, this sacred plant, which has done no harm to anyone, which hasn't caused a single injury or death (unlike Ephedra) has been drafted onto a bill October 10, 2002, asking to make it a Schedule I substance. This action was the result of a vender advertising irresponsibly in a Rockland County newspaper for 2 years straight. This does NOT mean that the scheduling of Salvia is imminent. Mr. Baca has HUNDREDS of bills that he has written that are still waiting to be heard. Either way, even if our store has to close, our website will always remain here for reference, information, and as a testament to this extraordinary plant.

Goto www.iamshaman.com to buy enhanced Salvia Divinorum leaf.

3203. Re: hey jerry o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 19:25:51 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DBC3DBF.5010409@cac.net>
Source record: 142033

Hrm.. It's the 27th and I still haven't gotten an email...

There's alot more to do than just removing "NO SPAM" from the email address
I post here with, Jerry.. You might try looking me up on QRZ and using that
address.

-SSB

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> Might be. Bellsouth changed their posting and email requirements saying
> they ahd sent out an email
> about it some time ago/ I never got it. All of a sudden POOF! Ah cain't
> git out no mo! Having other more pressing things to look into at the time,
> I 'tended to them first. So I very easily could've
> forgotten about your inquiry as well. I try to answer every one, but one
> slips thru. I'll send you a
> direct email about the screwdriver antennas today.
>
> Jerry
>
>
>
> SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
> news:3DB61432.3030405@cac.net...
>
>>Is that why you didn't have anything to say about my revelation that I
>>
> pull
>
>>rail containers for a living, Jerry?
>>
>>Oh, and howcome I never got an email back from you about those screwdriver
>>antennas I was asking about? I know, it was months ago, but still.
>>
>>-SSB
>>
>>73 de KR8LR, Chris
>>
>>Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>>
>>
>>>My email and posting abilities went south for a time. I will ask him
>>>tomorrow! To tell you the truth,
>>>I forgot it.

>>>
>>>Jerry
>>>Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
>>>news:20021022195515.29153.00000381@mb-bg.aol.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>what did riley say about the long time Echo Charlie pirates on 6.670???
>>>>
>>>>
>>>you
>>>
>>>
>>>>said you were going to tell him, as if he didnt already know, so now i'm
>>>>wondering what he said.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>--
>>73 de KR8LR, Chris
>>
>>
>
>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

3204. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 19:27:53 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <VR6dnbGfPvGkoyGgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142034

"SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3DBC387A.3040602@cac.net...
> In case anyone's interested.
>
> http://www.csotbs.org/kr8lr/TruckPics/

With a setup like that what bands can the AH-4 tuner cover?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3205. Re: We won't get the real facts from Skippy, that's for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 19:31:13 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210271131.668c36b6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142035

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210261304.279b770d@posting.google.com>...
>> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>> >avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210251242.29ca4e8c@posting.google.com>...
>> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>> >> > And neither you nor Frankie-girl would understand the replies
if
>> you
>> >> > did, would you Aanal Aaron? What stopped you? Can't be
>> intelligence!
>> >>
>> >
>> >Awww, did I hit a nerve, Aanal Aaron? You never answered any of my
>> >questions, including: "Who's Steve"?
>>
>> I'll answer it now then. You're Steve.
>
>Bzzzzt! Wrong again. Where's your proof?
>
>> >You're a one-trick pony that
>> >forgot its' trick.
>> >
>> >When ya gonna post that "proof" you offered to post "Gladly"?
>>
>> Gladly. Here ya go.
>>
>> -A
>
>You keep posting proof that I cannot be "Steve", Aanal Aaron; where's
>your proof? You're the one who offered to post it and you still fail
>to produce it.
>
>So, who is Steve? Where's your proof?

How do the lyrics to "Cheers" go?

-A

--

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll" not w-w!

Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

3206. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 19:32:49 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <sbGcnSLrGuD8oiGgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142036

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021027141529.27811.00000506@mb-df.aol.com...
> first you say : >I never have a problem finding a clean channel to
talk to
> somebody on
> >(noise from skip notwithstanding)
>
> then you ask : >Why do people feel the need to leave
> >the regular 40? I've never found it necessary.
>
> well if you were driving a big rig day in and day out ahving to
listen to the
> skip noise you would want a nice clear place tot lak with fellow
drivers as
> well

And as I pointed out Tim its time for the DOT to regulate what kind of
rig goes in to those trucks. PMRS or GMRS radios don't have the
problem, skip noise.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

>
>
>
>

3207. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 19:35:13 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <5qqdnRIB3NltoiGgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 142037

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021027141841.27811.00000507@mb-df.aol.com...
> if one of the big three made a easy to use radio that did worth a
damn on AM
> then maybe they would use them. the only ones that do are big as
hell and still
> dont sound as good as a cheap cb on AM

Dump the AM CB's and use the FM GMRS or PMRS rigs. The skip noise
isn't going to sound any better no matter what AM rig is used on 11m.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3208. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 19:38:34 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DBC40BA.1060806@cac.net>
Source record: 142038

From a trucker, a CBer, and a HAM, You're dead on the money, here, JJ.

-SSB

JJ wrote:

> And at which point do we stop sharing? How many truckers and other
> illegal ops to we allow on 10 meters? Do we stop when they have
> turned 10 meters into the garbage band that 11 meter is?
>
>
>>They'll say that "everything you get comes by truck" and "you ought to be
>>careful about" rainin' on
>>the truckers' parade. Among other things.
>>
>
> Yea, we've heard that old worn out song a million times, still
> doesn't justify their illegal use of 10 meters.
>
> J
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

3209. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 19:39:54 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <XxednWzmXtOX3CGgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142040

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021026014205.17597.00000028@mb-fd.aol.com...
> you call totally outlawing cb's from truckers a workable idea???
your the only
> one who needs work :)

The DOT regulates everything else having to do with over the road
trucking. Banning 11m or 10 / 11m export radios is easy.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3210. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 19:40:26 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DBC412A.1000103@cac.net>
Source record: 142039

80M-6M, Leland. And it does it quite well.

-SSB

Leland C. Scott wrote:

> "SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
> news:3DBC387A.3040602@cac.net...
>
>>In case anyone's interested.
>>
>>http://www.csotbs.org/kr8lr/TruckPics/
>>
>
> With a setup like that what bands can the AH-4 tuner cover?
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

3211. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 19:45:16 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <6u2cnX7ODZ3o3yGgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142041

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:_ZJu9.3863$wZ2.1665@news.bellsouth.net...
> I also seem to recall that many folks, even regulars here, said that
"It's
> un-Consti-too-shunal" and
> "They cain't do that", but it DID pass

And that is just the point. Tim and the rest of the pirate nay sayers
on the group think that a law banning the use of anything other than
approved radios in the over the road trucking industry is kidding
themselves. If the Hams got one law passed we can get another.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3212. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 19:51:02 UTC
From: qweuryqiweyrioqweyrquioweyriuoqeywruioqwerueyqwr <48375237858723465892346 5@458273465873465879234587384658734 65.com`>
Message-ID: <spgorukrr81ldemcaqluho45o1lq196g7r@4ax.com>
Source record: 142042

On 27 Oct 2002 18:28:38 GMT, tra1n@aol.commmmmm (TRA1N) was blabbling:

..
...I guess even LOW class is still class.................
...Train

Hey, I got my General class License, WTF is your excuse?

No-code geek wanna be.

and BTW. Are YOU still selling amps and Radio on BigRadios.BIZ ??

3213. A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 19:52:15 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urogvk1e8egpe8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Just a thought. The argument is that it isn't being used. I suggest the hams buy diesel cars/truck and simply visit the truckstops and siphon off 20 gallons of fuel at a time. Heck, the driver isn't using the fuel! Here are the arguments.
1) driver - I paid for the fuel
1) ham - I paid for my license in time and money. I paid for the legal equipment to enjoy my hobby.
2) driver - I will need to use that fuel.
2) ham - I may have wanted that frequency and will in the future.
3) driver - you're stealing!
3) ham - and you are doing what?

This, of course, can go on and on ad nausem. So, guys, do what they do - go help yourselves, they don't care!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021024171314.538$Is@newsreader.com...
> avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
> > news:<c5ecbb69.0210240508.1546532c@posting.google.com>... (snip)
> >
> >> Still can't hear any truckers on 10 meters, Steve?
> >
> > -Aaron-
> >
> Have any truckers respond?
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

3214. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 19:54:52 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027145452.20655.00000743@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 142044

first you have to make people other than hams care about the problem. thats the hard part. then you have to match the influence of the teamsters

>The DOT regulates everything else having to do with over the road
>trucking. Banning 11m or 10 / 11m export radios is easy.
>

3215. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 19:58:38 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B479D542AB5rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142046

SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in news:3DBC387A.3040602@cac.net:

> In case anyone's interested.
>
> http://www.csotbs.org/kr8lr/TruckPics/

That is so damn cool. I can't believe all of that stays put while your
going down the road. Nice job!

I've gotta rig up my Neon, now :)

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

3216. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:01:10 UTC
From: Stan <svs6920@home.com>
Message-ID: <aCXu9.122210$md1.26109@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 142045

Very nice, I'm sure you enjoy while on the road. Look forward to seeing
your new truck as well.
Stan.............in the upper Mojave Desert. KYA 4011

"SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3DBC387A.3040602@cac.net...
> In case anyone's interested.
>
> http://www.csotbs.org/kr8lr/TruckPics/
> --
> 73 de KR8LR, Chris
>

3217. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:03:40 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBC469C.5370CB3B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141920

SideBand wrote:
>
> From a trucker, a CBer, and a HAM, You're dead on the money, here, JJ.
>
> -SSB
>

Saw the pictures of you and the truck. Looks like you really put
some work into the antennas. Good looking truck.

73

3218. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:07:54 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DBC479A.4060003@cac.net>
Source record: 141897

Mopar:

I was actually thinking about putting a 27' string of them in place of the wire between the 102" SS whips, so when I key up, they light up. The problem being finding 100W bulbs that'll fit on the xmas lights.

If I find 'em, I'll let you know.

-SSB

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote:
>
>>>In case anyone's interested.
>>>
>>http://www.csotbs.org/kr8lr/TruckPics/
>>
>>
> Looks slick Sideband. Do you string x-mas lights on it soon? <g>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

3219. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:07:56 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uroht1cc16k709@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141899

Nice. I'm just waiting to see the new truck, complete with a 60 foot
fold-down tower! ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3DBC387A.3040602@cac.net...
> In case anyone's interested.
>
> http://www.csotbs.org/kr8lr/TruckPics/
> --
> 73 de KR8LR, Chris
>

3220. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:08:14 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027150814.27762.00000716@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 141898

you forgot to quote the part where he said it was nothing more than a "feel good law" to make you guys be quiet.

>
>And that is just the point. Tim and the rest of the pirate nay sayers
>on the group think that a law banning the use of anything other than
>approved radios in the over the road trucking industry is kidding
>themselves. If the Hams got one law passed we can get another.
>
>
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
>Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
>"I am a
> bomb
> technician
>if you see me
>running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3221. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:09:03 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027150903.27762.00000717@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 141901

mad because his bussiness hasnt failed like yours did?

>and BTW. Are YOU still selling amps and Radio on BigRadios.BIZ ??

3222. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:09:13 UTC
From: qweuryqiweyrioqweyrquioweyriuoqeywruioqwerueyqwr
<48375237858723465892346 5@458273465873465879234587384658734 65.com`>
Message-ID: <lthoru8fdv7bkkrdpok1bp73dachoef4eh@4ax.com>
Source record: 141900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

On Sun, 27 Oct 2002 14:52:15 -0500, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> was blabbling:

...Just a thought. The argument is that it isn't being used. I
suggest the
...hams buy diesel cars/truck and simply visit the truckstops and
siphon off 20
...gallons of fuel at a time. Heck, the driver isn't using the fuel!
Here are
...the arguments.
...1) driver - I paid for the fuel
...1) ham - I paid for my license in time and money. I paid for the
legal
...equipment to enjoy my hobby.
...2) driver - I will need to use that fuel.
...2) ham - I may have wanted that frequency and will in the future.
...3) driver - you're stealing!
...3) ham - and you are doing what?
...
...This, of course, can go on and on ad nausem. So, guys, do what
they do - go
...help yourselves, they don't care!
...
...73 from Rochester, NY
...Jim

Of course, where I'm from, doing this sort of thing, would get your
ass shot and killed.

not very smart.

73

3223. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:09:19 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBC47EF.65553176@mailcity.net>
Source record: 141922

Alan Strawinski wrote:
>
> timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in
> news:20021027141529.27811.00000506@mb-df.aol.com:
>
> > first you say : >I never have a problem finding a clean channel to
> > talk to somebody on
> >>(noise from skip notwithstanding)
> >
> > then you ask : >Why do people feel the need to leave
> >>the regular 40? I've never found it necessary.
> >
> > well if you were driving a big rig day in and day out ahving to listen
> > to the skip noise you would want a nice clear place tot lak with
> > fellow drivers as well
> >
> Good points. I got to wondering about finding a clear place to chat with
> other drivers on, so here's what I did just now (10/27 12:25pm):
>
> I went out to my car to take a little snapshot of how the band is doing
> (at least from my perspective around here). First, a little note about my
> equipment:
>
> ************************
> Galaxy DX959, bone stock.
> Wilson 1000 mag mounted smack-dab in the middle of the roof on a Neon.
> Location: Delhi, CA about a mile from SR-99.
> ************************
>
> I took note of how much noise there was on each channel (AM), and how
> many s-units showed on my Galaxy. I have excluded those channels where I
> could clearly hear people conversing.
>
> 1 s-unit : 18,31,34,39
> 2 s-units: 2,3,4,12,24,25,29,32,33,35,36,37,38,40
> 3 s-units: 1,7,9,13,14,16,20,21,22,23
> 4 s-units: 8,10
> 6 s-units: 5
> 8 s-units: 11,15,19 (Interesting)
>
> Channels where I could make out somebody having a conversation include
> 6,17,26,27,28, and 30
>
> Now on to USB. The following channels were clear enough to talk on (less

> than 3 s-units worth of noise), and many of them were totally dead:
> 13,16,18,24,29,31,32,34,35,36,37,39
>
> And for LSB:
> 1,2,3,8,12,25,29,31,32,35,36,37,39,40
>
> LSB was VERY quiet on most of the channels mentioned.
>
> The point I was trying to make is, is that even with alot of skip noise,
> it's really not that difficult to find a clear channel to converse on -
> particuarly if you have a sideband rig. I don't see a need to leave the
> regular 40. Is there an area of the country where there isn't a clear
> channel on AM, USB, or LSB? It can't be impossible to find a clear
> channel to talk on. It might take a minute to hunt for one, but I'm sure
> drivers could find one.
>
> I ran this by my dad (who is a trucker) and he doesn't see what the big
> deal is either. He's always able to find a clear channel in the regular
> 40.
>
> Is my little test scientific? Conclusive? Naw. Hardly. Those of you with
> nicer base installations will hear alot more than I did. I do think it
> shows that it's not that big of a deal to find a clear channel to talk on
> without venturing into 10 meters (or anywhere else, for that matter),
> though.
>
> --
> Alan Strawinski

And two truckers a few yards apart on the Interstate can squelch
out all the skip noise and still hear each other just fine. Even
running 4 watts just a few yards apart it would be hard for any
skip signals to interfere with their conversation. So as usual,
timmyboy's reasoning is flawed at best.

3224. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:12:45 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <qRWdnWos_4kl1SGgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 141902

"SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3DBC387A.3040602@cac.net...
> In case anyone's interested.
>
> http://www.csotbs.org/kr8lr/TruckPics/
> --
> 73 de KR8LR, Chris

Nice Job Chris, you are serious about your radio. Very creative setup your home away from home. Few ideas for you to try if you havent' already. APRS, will lets us keep an eye on ya (lol) also packet get on Internet via gateway and that truck will never be out of touch with the world post to the group etc, knowing you from your setup you already have that ytaken care of though. Anyhow your setup looks good.

-G-

3225. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:15:37 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DBC4969.9090405@cac.net>
Source record: 141903

I was thinking about a 40' crankup attached to the frame behind the cab,
for those nights in the truckstops, etc, but I haven't decided yet, for sure.

-SSB

Jim Hampton wrote:

> Nice. I'm just waiting to see the new truck, complete with a 60 foot
> fold-down tower! ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
> news:3DBC387A.3040602@cac.net...
>
>>In case anyone's interested.
>>
>>http://www.csotbs.org/kr8lr/TruckPics/
>>--
>>73 de KR8LR, Chris
>>
>>
>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

3226. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:16:43 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DBC49AB.2040005@cac.net>
Source record: 141904

If you read the captions under the photos, APRS is on the way, when I
decide on a GPS unit, and get a laptop for the truck..

as for packet, it's too slow for internet.

-SSB

WorldWide Jewel wrote:

> "SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
> news:3DBC387A.3040602@cac.net...
>
>>In case anyone's interested.
>>
>>http://www.csotbs.org/kr8lr/TruckPics/
>>--
>>73 de KR8LR, Chris
>>
>
>
> Nice Job Chris, you are serious about your radio. Very creative setup your
> home away from home. Few ideas for you to try if you havent' already. APRS,
> will lets us keep an eye on ya (lol) also packet get on Internet via gateway
> and that truck will never be out of touch with the world post to the group
> etc, knowing you from your setup you already have that ytaken care of
> though. Anyhow your setup looks good.
>
> -G-
>
>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

3227. Re: Amp mfrs are a bunch of criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:21:48 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <bb2dnbCkBYNK1yGgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 141905

"That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3aed93cc.0210270457.3497c637@posting.google.com...
> "Legit" manufacturers who make HF linears are technically a bunch of
> criminals when they make amps which can be modded for 10m... all it
> takes is one op to buy the amp, get the mod & pass it around.

The mod is really no different for any of the tube type amps you change the
location on the tap on the coil, It doesn't really need passing around.

Makes me
> just want to **CRY** for our poor old laws whose feelings are being
> hurt.
>
> Guy Richards

3228. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:24:18 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <oNqdnW0aX_Xw1iGgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 141907

"SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3DBC40BA.1060806@cac.net...
> From a trucker, a CBer, and a HAM, You're dead on the money, here, JJ.

Chris, as a trucker, cber, and ham do you here illegal op's on 10 meters
that may be truckers? I am asking you since you probably drive thru a few
states and you have no axe to grind your all 3. Just want an honest opinion.

3229. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:27:21 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <aQOdnQMtu9--0SGgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 141906

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message news

> And Georgie-girl does everything he can to
> encourage CBers not to become amateurs.

I don't want most Cbers becoming hams because most lack respect for rules on that band so why should they suddenly gain respect for them because they have a ham license? And what do you do? What's your claim to fame, oh nevermind I'll just ask aaron.

3230. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:35:01 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <LuOcnRlfDrlt0CGgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 141908

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210270532.4d0f2a7a@posting.google.com...

> No, you don't, Aanal Aaron. My name is Richard. How do you get "Steve"
> from that? Where's that proof? Months later you still don't have any!
> You are a bad joke, Aanal Aaron!

No your name is Dick

3231. Great CB speaker!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:39:59 UTC
From: JR31882@aol.com
Message-ID: <aphiv4$ol6$2@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 141910

http://www.soundssweet.com

Perfect communications speakers for CB! Increase intelligibility with less hiss. Check out:

http://www.soundssweet.com

3232. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:40:02 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DBC4F22.7030208@cac.net>
Source record: 141909

Yes, I do hear them.. And I kindly remind them at the truckstop, when they stop, that they're trespassing where they're not licensed. They, of course, ignore me, chuckle, and go on doing it.

So when they're caught, they'll wish they'd listened.

-SSB

WorldWide Jewel wrote:

> "SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
> news:3DBC40BA.1060806@cac.net...
>
>>From a trucker, a CBer, and a HAM, You're dead on the money, here, JJ.
>>
>
>
> Chris, as a trucker, cber, and ham do you here illegal op's on 10 meters
> that may be truckers? I am asking you since you probably drive thru a few
> states and you have no axe to grind your all 3. Just want an honest opinion.
>
>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

3233. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:42:58 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <i56dnYb-w5NQ0iGgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 141911

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> What's your point, Aanal Aaron? Everybody does know my name: It's
> Richard. Why are you so desperate to hide the truth?

How does everyone know your name is Richard? because you said so? I guess we will have to prove that your name isn't Richard using your line of thinking. You have no credibility, and who is everybody that you claim? Whats your call sign OM?

3234. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:45:44 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210271245.4ec816cf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141912

"WorldWide Jewel" blackdiamond@isonthemail.com wrote:
>"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message news
>
>> And Georgie-girl does everything he can to
>> encourage CBers not to become amateurs.
>
>I don't want most Cbers becoming hams because most lack respect for
rules
>on
>that band so why should they suddenly gain respect for them because
they
>have a ham license? And what do you do? What's your claim to fame, oh
>nevermind I'll just ask aaron.
>

Yeah, seeing his troll career info posted here hit him pretty hard. I may repost it once a week or so for the newcomers, otherwise Steve goes onto my "ignore" list along with the other "say anything to get a reaction" type-trolls.

-A

3235. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:49:00 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <BC6dnV9qNd2qzCGgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 141913

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:25Uu9.851$Il1.74556565@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...

> Dick McCollum Pegged you, but I think
> Troll is a more fitting name for you.
>
> Landshark

Sorry, as usual you are wrong, your first mistake is when you said "I think"
Dick called me aaron you are indeed a fool Landshark. Pantywearing sissy is
a more fitting name for you.

3236. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:53:54 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210271253.5a7b9659@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141914

"radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:<OIPu9.130425$La5.426435@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>...
> I hate to say, but like so many other things in this country, the gene may
> be out of the bottle! Might be difficult or impossible to ever get him back
> in.

I think Leland's idea has some merit. Moving these guys to VHF might
take some time, but in the end they'll have a better communications
capability and a quieter set of channels to work in. If they keep
operating illegally, the frequencies they are using on 10 meters will
eventually fill up with hetrodynes and become noisy and unusable, much
like channel 19 is, and they will have to move on anyway.

-A

> "Alan Strawinski" <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns92B3ADD4CFA25rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44...
> > I've posted some mp3's of guys on 10 meters that don't belong there. Some
> > of them are kind of amusing. I asked a friend of mine to record them for
> > me, since I'm not a ham and don't have any HF gear yet.
> >
> > Proof? Hardly. I believe him, though.
> >
> > Here ya go:
> > http://alan.strawinski.net/misc/tenmeter.html
> >
> > Try not to flame me too hard, eh guys? Anyhow, enjoy.
> >
> > --
> > Alan Strawinski
> > http://alan.strawinski.net
> > rrcb@strawinski.net

3237. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 20:56:17 UTC
From: qweuryqiweyrioqweyrquioweyriuoqeywruioqwerueyqwr
<48375237858723465892346 5@458273465873465879234587384658734 65.com`>
Message-ID: <nlkoru8lvp8g42nnbnhnt3ga93fhc5dj6j@4ax.com>
Source record: 141915

On 27 Oct 2002 20:09:03 GMT, timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) was
blabbling:

...mad because his bussiness hasnt failed like yours did?

Like I said, Wigger boy... Go chase your nigger whores and have a
nice day...

...
...>and BTW. Are YOU still selling amps and Radio on BigRadios.BIZ ??
...
...
...

3238. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 21:09:38 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B485DF47662rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 141916

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in
news:20021027141529.27811.00000506@mb-df.aol.com:

> first you say : >I never have a problem finding a clean channel to
> talk to somebody on
>>(noise from skip notwithstanding)
>
> then you ask : >Why do people feel the need to leave
>>the regular 40? I've never found it necessary.
>
> well if you were driving a big rig day in and day out ahving to listen
> to the skip noise you would want a nice clear place tot lak with
> fellow drivers as well
>
Good points. I got to wondering about finding a clear place to chat with other drivers on, so here's what I did just now (10/27 12:25pm):

I went out to my car to take a little snapshot of how the band is doing (at least from my perspective around here). First, a little note about my equipment:

****
Galaxy DX959, bone stock.
Wilson 1000 mag mounted smack-dab in the middle of the roof on a Neon.
Location: Delhi, CA about a mile from SR-99.
****

I took note of how much noise there was on each channel (AM), and how many s-units showed on my Galaxy. I have excluded those channels where I could clearly hear people conversing.

1 s-unit : 18,31,34,39
2 s-units: 2,3,4,12,24,25,29,32,33,35,36,37,38,40
3 s-units: 1,7,9,13,14,16,20,21,22,23
4 s-units: 8,10
6 s-units: 5
8 s-units: 11,15,19 (Interesting)

Channels where I could make out somebody having a conversation include 6,17,26,27,28, and 30

Now on to USB. The following channels were clear enough to talk on (less than 3 s-units worth of noise), and many of them were totally dead: 13,16,18,24,29,31,32,34,35,36,37,39

And for LSB:
1,2,3,8,12,25,29,31,32,35,36,37,39,40

LSB was VERY quiet on most of the channels mentioned.

The point I was trying to make is, is that even with alot of skip noise, it's really not that difficult to find a clear channel to converse on - particuarly if you have a sideband rig. I don't see a need to leave the regular 40. Is there an area of the country where there isn't a clear channel on AM, USB, or LSB? It can't be impossible to find a clear channel to talk on. It might take a minute to hunt for one, but I'm sure drivers could find one.

I ran this by my dad (who is a trucker) and he doesn't see what the big deal is either. He's always able to find a clear channel in the regular 40.

Is my little test scientific? Conclusive? Naw. Hardly. Those of you with nicer base installations will hear alot more than I did. I do think it shows that it's not that big of a deal to find a clear channel to talk on without venturing into 10 meters (or anywhere else, for that matter), though.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

3239. Old Panasonic CB Peakable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 21:20:05 UTC
From: David <alsoSPAMbikerdave@bluefrognet.net>
Message-ID: <aphla701399@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 141919

Hi folks, I bought an old Panasonic CB RJ-3250 on Ebay and I was wondering if it could be peaked and tuned. It looks to be from the late 70's, has 2 seperate meters on it...40 channel rig.I was told by a certain CB Shop in Erie, PA that I'm unhappy with that only Cobra and Uniden radio's of late can be peaked and tuned, I have a hard time believing this though. He said it was a myth that older radio's could be turned up nicely because they're were less CBers out back then and therefore you could talk farther. I don't understand what ythis has to do with peaking and tuning using meters...what does the amount of CBers have to do with this? Does this sound fishy to anyone else other that me?

Thanks,
David

3240. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 21:23:39 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uromb0pp0opva1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141917

Nice to have a vehicle big enough for that ;) Honestly, I'm not surprised.
If you ever do it, post a link so we can see it. Of course, a big trailer
would give you the option for an end-fed antenna for the lower bands too ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3DBC4969.9090405@cac.net...
> I was thinking about a 40' crankup attached to the frame behind the cab,
> for those nights in the truckstops, etc, but I haven't decided yet, for
sure.
>
> -SSB
>
> Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> > Nice. I'm just waiting to see the new truck, complete with a 60 foot
> > fold-down tower! ;)
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> >
> > "SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DBC387A.3040602@cac.net...
> >
> >>In case anyone's interested.
> >>
> >>http://www.csotbs.org/kr8lr/TruckPics/
> >>--
> >>73 de KR8LR, Chris
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>
> --
> 73 de KR8LR, Chris
>

3241. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 21:29:22 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uromlocff9mi99@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

Two points.

1) go check out the link. No, not all truck drivers are like this, but listen to the conversation. There is a problem.

2) unlike a lot of folks, Jerry isn't afraid to use his real name or e-mail address.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Skateboard'n" <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote in message news:4ZHu9.2003$PY2.1292@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> looked out from deep inside his ass
> & spewed more crap.....
>
> >> I C S <nospam@nospam.fr> wrote in message
> > > Jerry Oxendine is a troll..... just like Aaron Voobner.
> > > rec.radio.cb's equal to Richard Bullis.
> > > What a piece of crap!
>
> > I didn't START this thread, bud.
>
> Hmmmm..... maybe you didn't start the thread, but your stereotyping of
> truck drivers & the way _YOU_ perceive a truck driver's mentality & the way
> drivers talk on the radio....tells me that the troll thing was dead on
> target !!
>
> --
> _____l Tom
> __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
> /________l_____________________
> O OO\ O O\
>
>
>

3242. Pinout needed: Astatic D-104 and Robyn DG-1300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 22:07:30 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1996cb6d.0210271407.2b13d5f8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141921

I was wondering if anyone happened to have information on wiring the
D-104 microphone for a Robyn DG-1300 CB.

3243. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 22:27:57 UTC
From: Deos <lineoffiretoday@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apj7e5$8eh$4@nic.grnet.gr>
Source record: 142092
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

```
do i smell spam?

--
http://deosiereas.virtualave.net
"Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronharoldvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:194387a3.0210171838.1dfed95c@posting.google.com...
> Hey Lou, since your charts that allow "for modification to 10M or
> other freqs." are obviously intended for use by licensed hams, you
> might get more business if you posted this in ham newsgroups. Doncha
> think?
>
> -A
>
> Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
news:<kepupughq0glhbpkil8lhah6af2fg4kudk@4ax.com>...
> > We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
> > (PDF) on our site. These 32 charts cover about 95% of all American
> > mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
> > which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
> > channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.
> >
> > Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm
> >
> > We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
> > accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
> > just can't find anywhere else!
> >
> > www.cbcintl.com
> >
> > You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
> > from the home page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for
> > VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.
> >
> > * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
> > In case you didn't already know...
> >
> > Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books,
> > plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and
> > modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters,
> > bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to
> > increase your power, range, and privacy.
> >
> > For product questions or additional details
```

> > on what we offer, please email us directly at:
> >
> > info@cbcintl.com
> >
> >
> > 73,
> > Lou Franklin--President
> > K6NH / "SuperSparks"
> > **********
> > CBC International
> > P.O. Box 30655
> > Tucson AZ 85751 USA
> >
> > TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
> > 888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
> > Local: (520) 298-7980
> >
> > www.cbcintl.com
> >
> > Email: info@cbcintl.com

3244. Re: Pinout needed: Astatic D-104 and Robyn DG-1300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-27 22:52:50 UTC
From: Debunker <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DBC6E42.FCD3C514@believeit.com>
Source record: 141923

"vhfradiobuff@yahoo.comspamsux" wrote:
>
> I was wondering if anyone happened to have information on wiring the
> D-104 microphone for a Robyn DG-1300 CB.

Hey JJ, you might be able to find something here.

http://www.astatic.com/cb/hookup/robyn.htm

http://www.astatic.com/cb/hookup/hookup.htm

3245. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 00:05:29 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <db%u9.138056$La5.467717@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 141924

This gentleman's idea of using FRS, MURS, or the like is a very good idea.
Some of what they like on HF, may be lacking there, but it is a good idea.

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:5qqdnRIB3NltoiGgXTWc3g@comcast.com...
>
> "Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021027141841.27811.00000507@mb-df.aol.com...
> > if one of the big three made a easy to use radio that did worth a
> damn on AM
> > then maybe they would use them. the only ones that do are big as
> hell and still
> > dont sound as good as a cheap cb on AM
>
> Dump the AM CB's and use the FM GMRS or PMRS rigs. The skip noise
> isn't going to sound any better no matter what AM rig is used on 11m.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>

3246. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 00:08:46 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urp00l9p0sbvfa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Of course. Now at least you understand how the hams feel. No difference.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"qweuryqiweyrioqweyrquioweyriuoqeywruioqwerueyqwr"
<48375237858723465892346 5@458273465873465879234587384658734 65.com`> wrote in
message news:1thoru8fdv7bkkrdpok1bp73dachoef4eh@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Oct 2002 14:52:15 -0500, "Jim Hampton"
> <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> was blabbling:
>
> ...Just a thought. The argument is that it isn't being used. I
> suggest the
> ...hams buy diesel cars/truck and simply visit the truckstops and
> siphon off 20
> ...gallons of fuel at a time. Heck, the driver isn't using the fuel!
> Here are
> ...the arguments.
> ...1) driver - I paid for the fuel
> ...1) ham - I paid for my license in time and money. I paid for the
> legal
> ...equipment to enjoy my hobby.
> ...2) driver - I will need to use that fuel.
> ...2) ham - I may have wanted that frequency and will in the future.
> ...3) driver - you're stealing!
> ...3) ham - and you are doing what?
> ...
> ...This, of course, can go on and on ad nausem. So, guys, do what
> they do - go
> ...help yourselves, they don't care!
> ...
> ...73 from Rochester, NY
> ...Jim
>
>
> Of course, where I'm from, doing this sort of thing, would get your
> ass shot and killed.
>
> not very smart.
>
> 73
>
>

3247. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 00:14:36 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027191436.01247.00001047@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 141925

chucks mad because his mom is the only woman in his life still.

>Like I said, Wigger boy... Go chase your nigger whores and have a
>nice day...
>

3248. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 00:17:41 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027191741.01247.00001048@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 141926

thats more than your willing to do. you wont even say what your name is.

>
>How does everyone know your name is Richard? because you said so?

3249. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 00:23:05 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027192305.01247.00001050@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 141928

>8 s-units: 11,15,19 (Interesting)

since 19 is the trucker channel and the one to listen too if your trying to hear road reports and such you can surely understand how anoying it can get having to hear around s9 of noise on a daily basis. these drivers meet up on 19 and likely tell each other to go "two up" as dick used to say and end up on 28.085 on accident just because its so silent.

>LSB was VERY quiet on most of the channels mentioned.

its likely your radio is a little low on frequency if your LSB is alot quiter than the USB mode

3250. Re: Old Panasonic CB Peakable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 00:25:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027192518.01247.00001052@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 141929

what he really should have said was you will get no aprecciable gain from tuning and peaking it wehn its working fine. what he should have did was find info on the radio and realign it which it surely needs after all this time. the shop sounds like it sucks. he's liable a newbie tech that only has info on cobras and unidens since there mostly both the same radios inside anyways. leave that shop alone.

>
>
>
>Hi folks, I bought an old Panasonic CB RJ-3250 on Ebay and I was
>wondering if it could be peaked and tuned. It looks to be from the late
>70's, has 2 seperate meters on it...40 channel rig.I was told by a
>certain CB Shop in Erie, PA that I'm unhappy with that only Cobra and
>Uniden radio's of late can be peaked and tuned, I have a hard time
>believing this though. He said it was a myth that older radio's could be
>turned up nicely because they're were less CBers out back then and
>therefore you could talk farther. I don't understand what ythis has to
>do with peaking and tuning using meters...what does the amount of CBers
>have to do with this? Does this sound fishy to anyone else other that me?
>
>Thanks,
>David
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3251. Re: Pinout needed: Astatic D-104 and Robyn DG-1300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 00:26:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027192623.01247.00001053@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 141930

why did you just call andy jj?

>Hey JJ, you might be able to find something here.
>
>http://www.astatic.com/cb/hookup/robyn.htm
>
>http://www.astatic.com/cb/hookup/hookup.htm
>
>
>
>
>
>

3252. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 00:27:40 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027192740.01247.00001054@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 141931

jim your a good man but stealing gas which cost money and cant be reused or returned isnt quite the same

>
>Of course. Now at least you understand how the hams feel. No difference.
>
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>

3253. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 00:45:37 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B4AA7D661AFrrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 141932

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote in
news:20021027162312.922$po@newsreader.com:

> You should only use upper or lower SSB on channels 36 thru 40.
>
Now that's interesting, I've never heard that. Why LSB or USB only on
channels 36 - 40? What's wrong with regular 'ol AM?

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

3254. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 01:00:08 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210271700.36603782@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141933

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<25Uu9.852$Il1.74556565@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Chuck" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:chslru4s4ij6qmcf1tjumen11rlbgkajqr@4ax.com...
> > On 26 Oct 2002 06:12:53 GMT, timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) was
> > blabbling:
> >
> > ...chuck for the last couple years straight you've quoted the
> > WHOLESALE price on
> > ...these amps here when somebody has one for sell. why do you do this?
> > do you
> > ...think the general public gets them wholesell? how many did you sell
> > to your amp
> > ...customers for the wholesell price?
> > ...
> > ...>
> >
> > I don't answer questions from wanna-be Nigger drug dealers.
> >
> >
> > A Real Tube
> > http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
> > A Real Amp
> > http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html
>
> You're all class Chuck.....
>
> Landshark

yeh shark he is all class and he still lives with his mommie too.....

3255. Re: FS: linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 01:01:34 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210271701.35c82eb4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141934

tra1n@aol.commmmmm (TRA1N) wrote in message
news:<20021027132838.15778.00000313@mb-ce.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: FS: linear
> >From: "Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.
> >Date: 10/27/02 11:01 AM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <25Uu9.852$Il1.74556565@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
> >
> >
> >"Chuck" <k8cpa@arrl.net> wrote in message
> >news:chslru4s4ij6qmcf1tjumen11rlbgkajqr@4ax.com...
> >> On 26 Oct 2002 06:12:53 GMT, timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) was
> >> blabbling:
> >>
> >> ...chuck for the last couple years straight you've quoted the
> >> WHOLESALE price on
> >> ...these amps here when somebody has one for sell. why do you do this?
> >> do you
> >> ...think the general public gets them wholesell? how many did you sell
> >> to your amp
> >> ...customers for the wholesell price?
> >> ...
> >> ...>
> >>
> >> I don't answer questions from wanna-be Nigger drug dealers.
> >>
> >>
> >> A Real Tube
> >> http://www.cpii.com/eimac/catalog/28.htm
> >> A Real Amp
> >> http://www.qrotec.com/HF25m3photos.html
> >
> > You're all class Chuck.....
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> >
> I guess even LOW class is still class.....
> Train

hey train....i wonder if chuckie is going to reopen chuck's two way to
make some extra money.....that allowance he gets from his mommie
only goes so far for a guy in his thirties.....

3256. Re: a nice one for you sparky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 01:03:28 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210271703.ac6f6ff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141935

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021027065815.24407.00000866@mb-mw.aol.com>...
> rub your face in the mud like the duck you are
>
> >
> >you can do what already???

is that rio grande mud or gaveston mud.......pimp????

3257. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 01:05:10 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027200510.01210.00000955@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 141936

its the closest thing to a gentlemans agreement you'll find on cb.

>Now that's interesting, I've never heard that. Why LSB or USB only on
>channels 36 - 40? What's wrong with regular 'ol AM?

3258. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 01:05:23 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urp3at5msnm5fa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 141939

A gentlemen's agreement. Seems some folks want rules to apply between channel 36 and 40 and ignore the rules everywhere else. The reality is that SSB is much more conservative of bandwidth. If there were no am stations, you would approach doubling the number of channels available (true, some LSB stations would be occuping much of the USB portion and vice-versa, but it would alleviate a lot of crowding). 40 channels is a lot for what was intended as a short range communications system (perhaps 10 miles or so). Only in very dense urban areas would one have a problem.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Alan Strawinski" <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message
news:Xns92B4AA7D661AFrrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote in
> news:20021027162312.922$po@newsreader.com:
>
> > You should only use upper or lower SSB on channels 36 thru 40.
> >
> Now that's interesting, I've never heard that. Why LSB or USB only on
> channels 36 - 40? What's wrong with regular 'ol AM?
>
>
> --
> Alan Strawinski
> http://alan.strawinski.net
> rrcb@strawinski.net

3259. Re: Muddy Water Slapper

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 01:07:24 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210271707.2cff1986@posting.google.com>
Source record: 141937

"WW Ball & Chain" <shaftny1@cox.net> wrote in message
news:<0tFu9.35293$vq2.1091001@news1.east.cox.net>...
> Looking for a Muddy Water Slapper

a muddy waters slapper.....just what our resident pimp needs for
that overmodulated pile of junk radio station located in beautiful
downtown la marque texas.....home to the next queen of funk of some
shit like that.....and of course the love of his life or
whatever.....man i think that boy has been drinking one too many
whiskeys and had one too many puffs on that wacky tobacky.....

3260. Re: a nice one for you sparky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 01:09:15 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027200916.01210.00000956@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 141938

its exit #10 I-45 south mud good buddy

>is that rio grande mud or gaveston mud.......pimp????
>
>

3261. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 01:25:06 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ab0v9.6367$wZ2.4271@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 141941

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021027145452.20655.00000743@mb-cq.aol.com...
> first you have to make people other than hams care about the problem.
thats the
> hard part. then you have to match the influence of the teamsters

The teamsters didn't help much when they banned radar detectors. No one is
proposing that
truckers be denied the use of a radio; just that they be prevented from
using illegal equipment on
frequencies for which they have no license.

Jerry
>
>
>
> >The DOT regulates everything else having to do with over the road
> >trucking. Banning 11m or 10 / 11m export radios is easy.
> >
>
>
>
>

3262. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 01:25:08 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <api3lk$4s0$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 141940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Id be willing to bet the bootleggers had some serious trouble operating above 28.3 this weekend...it was wall to wall with dx and stateside contesters. Heard a couple feeble attempts at a AM qso by a couple of truckers around 28.320 or so. They didn't last long.

This is what we need guys and gals...Utilize ten meters and dump on these fools.

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:urp00l9p0sbvfa@corp.supernews.com...
> Of course. Now at least you understand how the hams feel. No difference.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "qweuryqiweyrioqweyrquioweyriuoqeywruioqwerueyqwr"
> <48375237858723465892346 5@458273465873465879234587384658734 65.com`> wrote in
> message news:lthoru8fdv7bkkrdpok1bp73dachoef4eh@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 27 Oct 2002 14:52:15 -0500, "Jim Hampton"
> > <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> was blabbling:
> >
> > ...Just a thought. The argument is that it isn't being used. I
> > suggest the
> > ...hams buy diesel cars/truck and simply visit the truckstops and
> > siphon off 20
> > ...gallons of fuel at a time. Heck, the driver isn't using the fuel!
> > Here are
> > ...the arguments.
> > ...1) driver - I paid for the fuel
> > ...1) ham - I paid for my license in time and money. I paid for the
> > legal
> > ...equipment to enjoy my hobby.
> > ...2) driver - I will need to use that fuel.
> > ...2) ham - I may have wanted that frequency and will in the future.
> > ...3) driver - you're stealing!
> > ...3) ham - and you are doing what?
> > ...
> > ...This, of course, can go on and on ad nausem. So, guys, do what
> > they do - go

> > ...help yourselves, they don't care!
> > ...
> > ...73 from Rochester, NY
> > ...Jim
> >
> >
> > Of course, where I'm from, doing this sort of thing, would get your
> > ass shot and killed.
> >
> > not very smart.
> >
> > 73
> >
> >
>
>

3263. Re: Great CB speaker!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 01:31:10 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <1s0v9.783$PS5.326617195@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 141942

"James R" <JR31882@aol.com> wrote in message
news:aphiv4$ol6$2@bob.news.rcn.net...
> http://www.soundssweet.com
>
> Perfect communications speakers for CB! Increase intelligibility with
less
> hiss. Check out:
>
> http://www.soundssweet.com

hhhhahahahahahahahahhahahahahahaha!!!!!!!!!!!!

Only a total friggin idiot would pay $99 for a cheepo bass bookshelf
speaker....

Oh, I forgot...this is red.radio.cb.....nevermind....

3264. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 01:46:54 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <NG0v9.785$BK5.326080644@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 141943

"SideBand" wrote in message

> In case anyone's interested.
>
> http://www.csotbs.org/kr8lr/TruckPics/
> --
> 73 de KR8LR, Chris

Nice setup Chris, but why are you posting pix of your mobile
station setup in a Citizen Band Radio newsgroup?

Don't tell me you're also a freebander too, putting your hard-earned
extra class ticket at risk for cancellation when Riley hears you?

I seen it happen, hams who use their real call on the ham bands
then switch over to the " freeband " and believe it or not, STILL
use their ham callsign..... stupid, stuipid, stupid......

I say duh.....they say huh? Riley, he say " You're BUSTED! "

3265. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 01:50:52 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027205052.17621.00000041@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 141944

because MADD and others had a little more pull and the public support on that issue. i dont think theres a huge outcry by mothers everywhere for cb's to be banned.

>The teamsters didn't help much when they banned radar detectors. No one is
>proposing that
>truckers be denied the use of a radio; just that they be prevented from
>using illegal equipment on
>frequencies for which they have no license.
>
>Jerry

3266. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 02:19:35 UTC
From: Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you>
Message-ID: <X81v9.482$tc5.127877364@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 141945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> Suggest you go to this link and you can listen to the way truckers
> using 10 meters illegally talk/

<SIGH> & your point is?

Soooooo... you rocket scientist's have come to the brilliant conclusion
that because this person or the handful of people who have been taped used
those freq's spoke like they did.......means that all drivers do?

That'd be like me saying that since one of you big strapper radio fella's
was stupid enough that while putting up your antenna you got fried..... all
of you fella's are just down right stupid.... right?

Jerry The Troll made no reference what-so-ever to _ANY_ recorded message or
anything else that makes you people sprout wood, when he posted his
bullshit. He was trying to create some kind of come back when someone summed
him up as a crybaby.

--
_____l Tom
__/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
/________l_____________________
O OO\ O O\

3267. Re: Great CB speaker!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 02:23:29 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021027212329.22548.00000697@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 141946

>From: "General Johnson Jameson"
>10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmo>onbase.com
>Only a total friggin idiot would pay $99 for >a cheepo bass bookshelf
speaker..
>Oh, I forgot...this is >red.radio.cb.....nevermind....
You're the "friggin idiot"! Hell i didn't even bother looking at the link till
your stupid ass brought it up. Now shutup ya Jackass!

"Got RF?"

3268. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 02:26:19 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021027212619.22548.00000698@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 142047

>From: "Jerry Oxendine" >joxendi@bellsouth.net
> just that they be prevented from
>using illegal equipment on
>frequencies for which they have no >license. Jerry
Jerry shut the hell up and go away! Take your stupid fuckin "Truckers on 10 meters"
story and drive off the nearest cliff! I'm sick of your bullshit rambling on about a dead fuckin subject.....SHUT THE HELL UP ALREADY!

"Got RF?"

3269. Re: Muddy Water Slapper

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 02:28:06 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021027212806.22548.00000699@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 142048

>From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com >(chessecutter)
>a muddy waters slapper.....just what >our resident pimp needs
What's the matter Cheesewhiz, dont like the competition?

"Got RF?"

3270. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 02:28:43 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027212843.17621.00000042@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 142049

the only two calls i here regularly on 555 is kc8ldo and n8wwm

>Nice setup Chris, but why are you posting pix of your mobile
>station setup in a Citizen Band Radio newsgroup?
>
>Don't tell me you're also a freebander too, putting your hard-earned
>extra class ticket at risk for cancellation when Riley hears you?
>
>I seen it happen, hams who use their real call on the ham bands
>then switch over to the " freeband " and believe it or not, STILL
>use their ham callsign..... stupid, stuipid, stupid......
>
>I say duh.....they say huh? Riley, he say " You're BUSTED! "
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3271. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 02:29:39 UTC
From: Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you>
Message-ID: <ni1v9.485$_d5.127967355@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> I didn't make up the way drivers talk on a CB. All you have to do is
> listen.

Hey ditz, you just did it again..... not all drivers talk like that.

Do you have any kind of life.....& what's this sexual infatuation with
Cheetah that you have?

--
_____l Tom
__/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
/________l_____________________
O OO\ O O\

3272. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 02:32:26 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021027213226.22548.00000702@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 142051

>From: "General Johnson Jameson"
>10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmo>onbase.com
>Nice setup Chris, but why are you posting >pix of your mobile station setup in
a >Citizen Band Radio newsgroup?
Because he's proud, you asshole. Now go back to that shit hole you call a life
and get the fuck outta here....and take Riley with you...maybe you could be
good for something..give him some head!

"Got RF?"

3273. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 02:47:24 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027214724.17621.00000043@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 142052

hey leave the man alone. its his one and only victory lol

>
>Do you have any kind of life.....& what's this sexual infatuation with
>Cheetah that you have?

3274. Schematics and tech info needed for old tube CB's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 02:54:46 UTC
From: Ed - VA3ES <va3es@rac.ca>
Message-ID: <Il1v9.766$qD2.208078@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 142056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Hi All;

I'm in the process of restoration and 10M-conversion of three tube-type AM CB radios:
The first is a Pierce-Simpson "Guardian-23,", and the second unit is a "Cardon Spitfire", (which is identical to the Robyn T-123, and very similar to the Kris 23). The last is an oddball - a Canadian made "Chisholm GRS-1566"

I'm missing schematics and other technical info for these radios. There is suitable info in Sams CB series CB-35 (Robyn T-123) and in CB-8 for the Guardian 23. Would anyone have either of these Sams books, or any schematics/service info for these rigs? I'll gladly pay for copies of the technical info.

Thanks, and vy 73,

Ed, VA3ES
va3es@hotmail.com

3275. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 02:55:02 UTC
From: Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you>
Message-ID: <aG1v9.491$XG5.129835255@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uromlocff9mi99@corp.supernews.com...
> Two points.
>
> 1) go check out the link. No, not all truck drivers are like this, but
> listen to the conversation. There is a problem.

Finally......

> 2) unlike a lot of folks, Jerry isn't afraid to use his real name or
e-mail
> address.

And this is relevant .... how?

--
_____l Tom
__/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
/________l_____________________
O OO\ O O\

3276. Re: Great CB speaker!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 02:57:17 UTC
From: frag@uttp.com
Message-ID: <api955$q6e$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 142054

Speakers are expensive. It doesn't look cheap to me......

In article <1s0v9.783$PS5.326617195@news.inreach.com>, "General Johnson
Jameson" <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com> wrote:
>
>"James R" <JR31882@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:aphiv4$ol6$2@bob.news.rcn.net...
>> http://www.soundssweet.com
>>
>> Perfect communications speakers for CB! Increase intelligibility with
>less
>> hiss. Check out:
>>
>> http://www.soundssweet.com
>
>
>hhhhahahahahahahahahhahahahahahaha!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>Only a total friggin idiot would pay $99 for a cheepo bass bookshelf
>speaker....
>
>Oh, I forgot...this is red.radio.cb.....nevermind....
>
>
>
>
>
>

3277. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 02:58:14 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Cy1v9.6403$wZ2.4740@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142055

SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3DBC4F22.7030208@cac.net...
> Yes, I do hear them.. And I kindly remind them at the truckstop, when they
> stop, that they're trespassing where they're not licensed. They, of
course,
> ignore me, chuckle, and go on doing it.
>
> So when they're caught, they'll wish they'd listened.
>
> -SSB

And THAT is why it'll be sooooooooooo funny when the feds start hittin' 'em
where it hurts!
>
> WorldWide Jewel wrote:
>
> > "SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DBC40BA.1060806@cac.net...
> >
> >>From a trucker, a CBer, and a HAM, You're dead on the money, here, JJ.
> >>
> >
> >
> > Chris, as a trucker, cber, and ham do you here illegal op's on 10 meters
> > that may be truckers? I am asking you since you probably drive thru a
few
> > states and you have no axe to grind your all 3. Just want an honest
opinion.
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> --
> 73 de KR8LR, Chris
>

3278. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 03:06:28 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urpadqnrobvof6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

No, of course not all hams nor truckers are whatever. In fact, the post I made earlier concerning siphoning fuel from truckers was harsh (and drew exactly the response I expected) - the problem is the easy availability of the radios. Kinda like the gun laws. Obviously, we have the right to bear arms, but when you get a fruitcake like the sniper, it will tend to bring out a lot of harsh words. The fact is that 10 meters is an international amateur band and more than a few hams don't care for intruders. Funny, no one wants to build export radios for 88 - 108 MHz FM. Gee, nice and clear up there too. I wonder why they don't ....

73 from Rochester, NY

Jim

"Skateboard'n" <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote in message news:X81v9.482$tc5.127877364@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> > Suggest you go to this link and you can listen to the way truckers
> > using 10 meters illegally talk/
>
> <SIGH> & your point is?
>
> Soooooo... you rocket scientist's have come to the brilliant conclusion
> that because this person or the handful of people who have been taped used
> those freq's spoke like they did.......means that all drivers do?
>
> That'd be like me saying that since one of you big strapper radio fella's
> was stupid enough that while putting up your antenna you got fried.....
all
> of you fella's are just down right stupid.... right?
>
> Jerry The Troll made no reference what-so-ever to _ANY_ recorded message
or
> anything else that makes you people sprout wood, when he posted his
> bullshit. He was trying to create some kind of come back when someone
summed
> him up as a crybaby.
>
> --
> _____l Tom
> __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
> /________l_____________________
> O OO\ O O\
>

>
>

3279. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 03:07:53 UTC
From: techno-wizard <technowiz@techno-wiz.com>
Message-ID: <api9or$1np$1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU>
Source record: 142068

Very well said!

Aaron H. Voobner wrote in message
<75ad2ce2.0210211659.7d2a20f4@posting.google.com>...
>Several reasons:
>
>-You may not have noticed, but there's an ongoing discussion regarding
>whether or not 10 meter intruders exist, and if they are a problem or
>not. I don't see any advantages to sweeping this topic under the rug
>simply because some people may respond to it by flaming. It's a
>legitimate rrcb topic, and there's no valid reason to avoid it.
>
>-Legit CB'ers need to be aware that certain of their bretheren are
>drawing negative attention to all CB'ers. Maybe someone knows someone
>who operates illegally on 10 meters and thinks no one cares about it.
>The info they read here in the NG could change their mind.
>
>-The 10 meter intruder problem has the potential to bring down the
>entire "outlaw CB" house of cards, e.g. freebanding, amps, etc.
>Therefore it is in the best interests of the entire CB population,
>legal and illegal, to discourage 10 meter operation.
>
>-Some CB'ers are not even aware that 10 meters is a ham band and
>unlicensed operation there is illegal. Seeing the discussion about the
>10 meter situation and the opinions expressed in it helps educate them
>and perhaps persuade them not to operate on 10 meters.
>
>I have told you my reasons to post the information I posted. Maybe you
>can tell me your reasons why you think such info should be kept off
>this NG.
>
>-Aaron-

3280. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 03:10:44 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urpalpp6ijs5b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142058

Absolutely correct; yet I find it interesting that some folks want a gentlemen's agreement for a few channels and then ignore rules for everything else. Am I missing something?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021027202033.262$3P@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> >>A gentlemen's agreement. Seems some folks want rules to apply between
> > channel 36 and 40 and ignore the rules everywhere else.
> >
> It's not a rule,Jim.
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em..
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

3281. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 03:16:45 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DBCAC1D.1000600@cac.net>
Source record: 142059

Thanks, JJ..

I spent alot of time, alot of thought, and a little money on the antennas. They prove a point I've been trying to state for quite some time, that not many have listened to.

It doesn't take an amp.

It doesn't take power.

It doesn't take overmodulation.

It takes an efficient antenna.

-SSB

JJ wrote:

>
> SideBand wrote:
>
>> From a trucker, a CBer, and a HAM, You're dead on the money, here, JJ.
>>
>>-SSB
>>
>>
>
> Saw the pictures of you and the truck. Looks like you really put
> some work into the antennas. Good looking truck.
>
> 73
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

3282. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 03:19:23 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DBCACBB.8040509@cac.net>
Source record: 142060

No, GJ...

I posted them for two reasons.

1. If you'd paid attention, there's a CB antenna on there, too.
2. I was trying to make a point that you'd obviously missed. It doesn't take big power to get out, and it doesn't take an overmodulated splattermachine. It takes a good antenna setup.

hope that fills in the holes.

-SSB

General Johnson Jameson wrote:

> "SideBand" wrote in message
>
> > In case anyone's interested.
>
>>http://www.csotbs.org/kr8lr/TruckPics/
>>--
>>73 de KR8LR, Chris
>>
>
> Nice setup Chris, but why are you posting pix of your mobile
> station setup in a Citizen Band Radio newsgroup?
>
> Don't tell me you're also a freebander too, putting your hard-earned
> extra class ticket at risk for cancellation when Riley hears you?
>
> I seen it happen, hams who use their real call on the ham bands
> then switch over to the " freeband " and believe it or not, STILL
> use their ham callsign..... stupid, stuipid, stupid......
>
> I say duh.....they say huh? Riley, he say " You're BUSTED! "
>
>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

3283. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 03:21:05 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1U1v9.6410$wZ2.3987@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142062

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021027150814.27762.00000716@mb-dd.aol.com...
> you forgot to quote the part where he said it was nothing more than a
"feel
> good law" to make you guys be quiet.

Nope. It was a "feel good" law to get their constituents off their backs;
IOW, so the Congression-
als could say that they DID something about it. It was a classic passing of
the buck. The only way
for them to've really addressed the problem was to find funding to permit
FCC to do the job. Still,
that very law, in and of itself a failure to correctly address the problem,
could be used as an example and an object lesson that more and different
things need to be done. In one sense, the
situations, while involving CB operators, are different. PL106-521
addressed high powered, gen-
erally localized base stations within cities and counties that were
interfering with home entertainment
equipment, etc. Here, we are dealing with a group of truck drivers who are
operating out-of-band,
and those who flaunt the law by selling contraband equipment. That, IMHO,
takes a two-pronged
approach; hitting the dealers with VERY stiff fines, and going after
truckers, even if temporarily, at
weigh stations by FCC agents or state DOT officers. First, however, there
should be a publicity
campaign to alert trucking companies to the problem. IF told about the
situation, most Safety Dir-
ectors and line managers would probably take steps to rid their fleets of
illegal equipment, or discipline drivers that insist on operating "export"
radios. Even volunteers in the ham community could take on the task of
alerting companies to the risk they face. After all, most supervisors are
pretty good about issuing "memos" and directives.

Jerry
> >
> >And that is just the point. Tim and the rest of the pirate nay sayers
> >on the group think that a law banning the use of anything other than
> >approved radios in the over the road trucking industry is kidding
> >themselves. If the Hams got one law passed we can get another.
> >
> >
> >--
> >Leland C. Scott

> >KC8LDO
> >
> >Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
> >
> >"I am a
> > bomb
> > technician
> >if you see me
> >running
> > try to keep up "
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

3284. Re: Great CB speaker!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 03:21:49 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <N32v9.787$M16.327332965@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 142061

"OZARK333" <> wrote in message

> You're the "friggin idiot"! Hell i didn't even bother looking at the link
till
> your stupid ass brought it up. Now shutup ya Jackass!
>

HOW DARE YOU SIR!!!! HOW DARE YOU INSULT A GENERAL!!!

DO YOU NOT REALIZE WHO I AM?

NOW DROP AND GIVE ME 50 BEFORE I REALLY GET MAD!!!!

3285. Re: Great CB speaker!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 03:27:38 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <d92v9.788$G26.327392350@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 142063

"PD" wrote in message

> Speakers are expensive. It doesn't look cheap to me......

Never been to WalMart eh son?

I'll sell you a set, (that's 2 speakers) for $19.95 that look better,
sound better and are heavier which we all know is a sure fire way
of knowing they just gotta be good speakers.....

" this is your brain, this is your brain on CB....Any questions? "

3286. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 03:28:42 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <da2v9.789$O46.327529590@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 142069

"Uncle Charlie" < wrote in message
> the only two calls i here regularly on 555 is kc8ldo and n8wwm

I rest my case.......

3287. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 03:30:03 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <vb2v9.790$S46.327533655@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 142064

"OZARK333" wrote in message

> Because he's proud, you asshole. Now go back to that shit hole you call a
life
> and get the fuck outta here....and take Riley with you...maybe you could
be
> good for something..give him some head!
>
>
> "Got RF?"

Got stupid? Or is it ? RF = Really Stupid

3288. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 03:42:26 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210271942.61117f95@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142065

"Stan" <svs6920@home.com> wrote in message news:<aCXu9.122210$md1.26109@sccrnsc03>...
> Very nice, I'm sure you enjoy while on the road. Look forward to seeing
> your new truck as well.
> Stan.............in the upper Mojave Desert. KYA 4011
>
>
> "SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
> news:3DBC387A.3040602@cac.net...
> > In case anyone's interested.
> >
> > http://www.csotbs.org/kr8lr/TruckPics/
> > --
> > 73 de KR8LR, Chris
> >

let's see..........geez ...how many sidebands do we have around here
anyways???? but i tell ya what sideband...........you had better
clear that setup with jerry or voobnutts.............they don't cotton
to truckers for some reason........and gee whiz...........a ham
too....ain't that a kick in the pants..????

3289. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 03:46:36 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <%q2v9.791$d46.327491644@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 142066

"SideBand" wrote in message

> I was trying to make a point that you'd obviously missed. It doesn't
> take big power to get out, and it doesn't take an overmodulated
> splattermachine. It takes a good antenna setup.
>

Was not attacking you sir, I was only concerned that your desire to
be accepted by those who enjoy breaking the laws by using illegal
amplifiers and transmitting on non-cb frequencies to be profoundly
anti-amateur in spirit and in the best interest of the hobby.

But maybe your real reason is to attract new members into the ranks
of ham radio. I'm sure your equipment is 100 percent legal and in full
compliance of FCC rules and regulations. Being so, you should be
congratulated for doing something rather then sitting by and complaining
as so many of our brethren would rather do. You are in a position to show
Ham Radio in it's finest glory with your fascinating rolling ham station.

3290. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 03:53:48 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <AGOdnQ-BwLpaKSGgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142067

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021027191741.01247.00001048@mb-mk.aol.com...
> thats more than your willing to do. you wont even say what your name is.

I thought you knew my name?

3291. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 03:54:45 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urpd89ltuvod9e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142071

Good grief! Will the new truck have a jacuzzi (or however you spell it)
built in too? If it's big enough, maybe you could operate on the marine
frequencies ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3DBC49AB.2040005@cac.net...
> If you read the captions under the photos, APRS is on the way, when I
> decide on a GPS unit, and get a laptop for the truck..
>
> as for packet, it's too slow for internet.
>
> -SSB
>
> WorldWide Jewel wrote:
>
> > "SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DBC387A.3040602@cac.net...
> >
> >>In case anyone's interested.
> >>
> >>http://www.csotbs.org/kr8lr/TruckPics/
> >>--
> >>73 de KR8LR, Chris
> >>
> >
> >
> > Nice Job Chris, you are serious about your radio. Very creative setup
your
> > home away from home. Few ideas for you to try if you havent' already.
APRS,
> > will lets us keep an eye on ya (lol) also packet get on Internet via
gateway
> > and that truck will never be out of touch with the world post to the
group
> > etc, knowing you from your setup you already have that ytaken care of
> > though. Anyhow your setup looks good.
> >
> > -G-
> >
> >
> >
>

>
> --
> 73 de KR8LR, Chris
>

3292. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 03:55:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027225523.27811.00000619@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 142070

better check ramsey electronics jim btw its not clear here by the big city :)
but the real reason is the fcc protects its business interest. if you guys paid
100 a year for your license ten meters would alreayd be trucker free lol

>Funny, no
>one wants to build export radios for 88 - 108 MHz FM. Gee, nice and clear
>up there too. I wonder why they don't ....

3293. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 03:58:37 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021027225837.27811.00000621@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 142072

indeed you are. the ones wanting the gentlemans agreement are the legal cb'ers that are stuck on the regular 40. for them to have no place at all for ssb would be no fun and since they dont go to the freeband they would like at least a couple channels to themself. nobody has to follow it and alot dont yet the ssb'ers dont make a 100 or so post a day about the topic lol

>Absolutely correct; yet I find it interesting that some folks want a
>gentlemen's agreement for a few channels and then ignore rules for
>everything else. Am I missing something?
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>

3294. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 04:14:10 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBCB992.192F50EC@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142086

OZARK333 wrote:
>
> >From: "General Johnson Jameson"
> >10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmo>onbase.com
> >Nice setup Chris, but why are you posting >pix of your mobile station setup in
> a >Citizen Band Radio newsgroup?
> Because he's proud, you asshole. Now go back to that shit hole you call a life
> and get the fuck outta here....and take Riley with you...maybe you could be
> good for something..give him some head!
>
> "Got RF?"

You must be roger wiseman's twin brother.

3295. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 04:14:50 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urpee19t1t5u81@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Relevant? My point was 1) there is a problem. Yes, some truckers and some radio shops have been popped.

2) the fact that some have been busted tells some of us there is a problem. Jerry just gets vocal about it, but doesn't spam with some of the sexual innuendo that the anonymous posters do. At least give him credit for saying what he thinks without hiding his identity.

I note one gentleman didn't care much for my idea of siphoning fuel from trucks. Can't say that I blame him, but you can't blame Jerry either for being vocal about siphoning frequencies.

To say that all truckers are doing this is just as stupid as saying all CBers run illegal frequencies/radios. Not true. The point is that once you hit 28.000, there are going to be some folks that get upset. As I suggested earlier, try running a couple of hundred watts between 88 and 108 MHz on FM. A lot of nice and clear spots up there, plus the antennas are smaller (plus you can get a gain antenna). Somehow I think you'd get some other folks upset if you did. Unfortunately, when CB was created back in the 50s, UHF radios were **very** expensive. 30 MHz was about as high as one could build a relatively inexpensive radio with any kind of performance and 27 MHz became the place to go - along with F layer propogation at times during the sunspot cycle. Beyond that, sporadic E occurs quite a bit as well. None of the flames would be occuring if CB was up on high band VHF or UHF. MURS can offer good communications essentially without interference and likely offer more local range than a stock cb can; unfortunately, if all of the cb community tries to move there, there won't be enough channels. That said, 10 meters is NOT an extension of the cb band.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Skateboard'n" <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote in message news:aG1v9.491$XG5.129835255@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:uromlocff9mi99@corp.supernews.com...
> > Two points.
> >
> > 1) go check out the link. No, not all truck drivers are like this, but
> > listen to the conversation. There is a problem.
>
> Finally......
>
> > 2) unlike a lot of folks, Jerry isn't afraid to use his real name or
> e-mail

> > address.
>
> And this is relevant ..... how?
>
> --
> _____l Tom
> __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
> /________l_____________________
> O OO\ O O\
>
>
>
>

3296. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 04:39:18 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@BARFchiefOFcommunications.net>
Message-ID: <apif0q$gl5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 142074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Leave Oxendine alone. Riley has been farting him off,
and it has the boy aggravated for lack of attention.

Lloyd
BARF 14313
Computer Specialist
A+ C+++ Network Certified
BARF Chief of Communcations

"Skateboard'n" <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote in message
news:ni1v9.485$_d5.127967355@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > I didn't make up the way drivers talk on a CB. All you have to do is
> > listen.
>
> Hey ditz, you just did it again..... not all drivers talk like that.
>
> Do you have any kind of life.....& what's this sexual infatuation with
> Cheetah that you have?
>
> --
> _____l Tom
> __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
> /________l_____________________
> O OO\ O O\
>
>
>

3297. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 04:48:34 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urpgd73gtdcp44@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142075

Close, however, Tim. The hams have time and money invested in their ticket. The frequency can be reused - but when? Perhaps the best suggestion is choose a frequency that you can have a gain antenna plus very little interference. May I suggest 88 to 108 MHz fm? As far as cost, look at the blood pressure medication that a few of the hams are taking over this thing.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message news:20021027192740.01247.00001054@mb-mk.aol.com...
> jim your a good man but stealing gas which cost money and cant be reused or
> returned isnt quite the same
>
>
>
> >
> >Of course. Now at least you understand how the hams feel. No difference.
> >
> >
> >73 from Rochester, NY
> >Jim
> >
>
>
>
>

3298. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 04:50:10 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urpgg6r9memt5d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142076

What was wrong with the picture. The guy is a trucker, is a cber (thence the post) and happens to be a ham. I'm curious how well that antenna works (on cb or amateur).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"General Johnson Jameson" <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com> wrote in message news:vb2v9.790$S46.327533655@news.inreach.com...
>
> "OZARK333" wrote in message
>
> > Because he's proud, you asshole. Now go back to that shit hole you call a
> life
> > and get the fuck outta here....and take Riley with you...maybe you could
> be
> > good for something..give him some head!
> >
> >
> > "Got RF?"
>
>
> Got stupid? Or is it ? RF = Really Stupid
>
>

3299. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 04:54:02 UTC
From: Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you>
Message-ID: <Kp3v9.520$Pg7.136335496@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:urpee19t1t5u81@corp.supernews.com...
> Relevant? My point was 1) there is a problem. Yes, some truckers and
some
> radio shops have been popped.

My response of being relevant was to your second statement, I had no beef
with your first statement, you addressed the reason of my post....... not
ALL drivers talk alike.

My statement still stands..... his intentions may be good..... but he's
still an ass !

> 2) the fact that some have been busted tells some of us there is a
problem.
> Jerry just gets vocal about it, but doesn't spam with some of the sexual
> innuendo that the anonymous posters do. At least give him credit for
saying
> what he thinks without hiding his identity.

How does posting one's full name generate credibility?
><snip>

--
_____l Tom
__/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
/________l_____________________
O OO\ O O\

3300. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 04:58:22 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DBCC3EE.9080003@cac.net>
Source record: 142078

The CB antenna works well, with the Grant XL

The HF antenna works great.. .I get great reports on the nets, and the fact that I'm heard, running only 100 watts, as well as those other mobile stations running a kilowatt or more, on whatever antennas they're using.

I'm not saying I won't try a screwdriver antenna in the future, but this works well for now.

-SSB

Jim Hampton wrote:

> What was wrong with the picture. The guy is a trucker, is a cber (thence
> the post) and happens to be a ham. I'm curious how well that antenna works
> (on cb or amateur).
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> "General Johnson Jameson" <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
> wrote in message news:vb2v9.790$S46.327533655@news.inreach.com...
>
>>"OZARK333" wrote in message
>>
>>
>>>Because he's proud, you asshole. Now go back to that shit hole you call
>>>
> a
>
>>life
>>
>>>and get the fuck outta here....and take Riley with you...maybe you could
>>>
>>be
>>
>>>good for something..give him some head!
>>>
>>>
>>>"Got RF?"
>>>
>>
>>Got stupid? Or is it ? RF = Really Stupid
>>
>>
>>

>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

3301. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 05:19:01 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DBCC8C5.8030404@cac.net>
Source record: 142079

Comments within.

General Johnson Jameson wrote:

> "SideBand" wrote in message
>
> > I was trying to make a point that you'd obviously missed. It doesn't
>
>>take big power to get out, and it doesn't take an overmodulated
>>splattermachine. It takes a good antenna setup.
>>
>>
>
> Was not attacking you sir, I was only concerned that your desire to
> be accepted by those who enjoy breaking the laws by using illegal
> amplifiers and transmitting on non-cb frequencies to be profoundly
> anti-amateur in spirit and in the best interest of the hobby.

Don't pretend to know what I'm thinking. Also, don't pretend to know what you're thinking, because apparently you're not thinking the way I'm thinking. My posting had nothing to do with acceptance here. It DID have something to do with me showing what an efficient antenna setup for HF, CB, and other bands, could look like. I'm proud of the time and energy I've put into my setup, and I wanted to show off a bit.

> But maybe your real reason is to attract new members into the ranks
> of ham radio. I'm sure your equipment is 100 percent legal and in full
> compliance of FCC rules and regulations. Being so, you should be
> congratulated for doing something rather then sitting by and complaining
> as so many of our brethren would rather do. You are in a position to show
> Ham Radio in it's finest glory with your fascinating rolling ham station.

I don't think I've ever had my ass kissed quite this way. Next time, keep your tongue to yourself. ::shudder::

In the future, please do me the favor and keep your assumptions about me to yourself. Better yet, make no assumptions about me. You don't know me well enough to even get the faintest idea of what I may be thinking at any given time.

As for demonstrating the hobby, I gave away three of Gordon West's Technician study books last week to drivers who were interested in HAM radio after talking to me on the CB about my goofy antenna setup. What have you done to further the hobby recently?
--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

3302. Re: Jesus had a CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 05:33:47 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <%_3v9.68558$ER5.5088810@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 142080

They were most likely Hams because Ham Radio has been around a lot longer
then Children's Band.

"General Johnson Jameson" <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@nausea.com> wrote in
message news:0VDu9.741$9o.306890854@news.inreach.com...
> This just in....
>
> I've received a story from a friend in India who claims
> he has photos of stone walls depicting men using devices that
> look very simular to two-way radios. The stone tablets date
> back 2000 years.
>
> Could the early egyptians been equiped with CB's?
>
> Did they have amplifiers? Moonrakers? Echo mics?
>
> Would not it be cool if they found 2000 year old CB radios?
>
> And they still worked?????
>
>

3303. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 05:36:26 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <u14v9.229239$121.6488845@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142081

"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:AGOdnQ-BwLpaKSGgXTWcrg@comcast.com...
>
> "Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021027191741.01247.00001048@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > thats more than your willing to do. you wont even say what your name is.
>
>
> I thought you knew my name?
>
>
>
Correct me if I'm wrong here, but isn't it "Putz-boy"?

3304. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 05:37:59 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <X24v9.229243$121.6489038@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142082

"techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
news:api9or$1np$1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU...
> Very well said!
>
>

Oh boy! A top posting newby pats aaron on the back! LOL

> Aaron H. Voobner wrote in message
> <75ad2ce2.0210211659.7d2a20f4@posting.google.com>...
> >Several reasons:
> >
> >-You may not have noticed, but there's an ongoing discussion regarding
> >whether or not 10 meter intruders exist, and if they are a problem or
> >not. I don't see any advantages to sweeping this topic under the rug
> >simply because some people may respond to it by flaming. It's a
> >legitimate rrcb topic, and there's no valid reason to avoid it.
> >
> >-Legit CB'ers need to be aware that certain of their bretheren are
> >drawing negative attention to all CB'ers. Maybe someone knows someone
> >who operates illegally on 10 meters and thinks no one cares about it.
> >The info they read here in the NG could change their mind.
> >
> >-The 10 meter intruder problem has the potential to bring down the
> >entire "outlaw CB" house of cards, e.g. freebanding, amps, etc.
> >Therefore it is in the best interests of the entire CB population,
> >legal and illegal, to discourage 10 meter operation.
> >
> >-Some CB'ers are not even aware that 10 meters is a ham band and
> >unlicensed operation there is illegal. Seeing the discussion about the
> >10 meter situation and the opinions expressed in it helps educate them
> >and perhaps persuade them not to operate on 10 meters.
> >
> >I have told you my reasons to post the information I posted. Maybe you
> >can tell me your reasons why you think such info should be kept off
> >this NG.
> >
> >-Aaron-
>
>

3305. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 05:59:01 UTC
From: Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you>
Message-ID: <Fm4v9.527$YI.140369969@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> Suggest you go to this link and you can listen to the way truckers
> using 10 meters illegally talk/

Perhaps _YOU_ need to listen to the recordings on that site !!

Okay... after listening to the "incriminating evidence", here's my findings.

#1 -- Some guy bragging about "if you can't back it up"... more then likely, he's referring to someone out there that thinks that their radio is stronger then him.

Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!

#2-- Some guy talking about vacation... overtime... & sick leave. This eliminates almost all of the trucking industry except maybe the teamsters & a few others. The majority of the trucking industry is paid by the mile or load. _NO_ where do they say they drive a truck !

Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!

#3 -- Two guys & a lady talking about the price of cigarettes & ex's, sounded more like some people on bases chatting.

Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!

#4 -- One guy say's something about another guy hiding paper towels & toilet paper "back here"... "that's where all of it goes 'cause it never makes it up there to Ft. Smith".

Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!

#5 -- No sure what's being said here.. something about something where someone's at & anther fellow says something "That corn had some good thickness to it".

Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!

#6 -- This one I can't make out much except the "panty" part.

Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!

#7 -- This is a fellow asking someone where they're at in Montana.

Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!

****

My thought's are that someone's full of crap, there is not even a slight mention or even an inkling of truckers being involved, just more stereotyping. Every conversation on that site could have come from a base with a radio that's been modified by almost any CB Hacknetion.

--
_____l Tom
__/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
/________l_____________________
O OO\ O O\

3306. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 06:05:49 UTC
From: Douglas Houck <dhouck@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3DBCD3BD.1070003@attglobal.net>
Source record: 142084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

I agree with your basic point. The original poster was looking to see if he could set up a simple communication system using GMRS radios so he could talk with his mother. A classic use of GMRS.

Since he cross posted to the CB newsgroup it appeared that having a large antenna setup as required by CB was not going to work. Unfortunately, he never got back to us, I'm not sure how helpful the reply posts were. We can easily get caught up in pitching a particular brand, and/or a radio system and overlook the original question.

Hopefully he was able to make it work.

3307. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 06:06:10 UTC
From: Douglas Houck <dhouck@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3DBCD3D2.5060509@attglobal.net>
Source record: 142085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

I agree with your basic point. The original poster was looking to see if he could set up a simple communication system using GMRS radios so he could talk with his mother. A classic use of GMRS.

Since he cross posted to the CB newsgroup it appeared that having a large antenna setup as required by CB was not going to work. Unfortunately, he never got back to us, I'm not sure how helpful the reply posts were. We can easily get caught up in pitching a particular brand, and/or a radio system and overlook the original question.

Hopefully he was able to make it work.

3308. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 06:18:35 UTC
From: Debunker <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DBCD6BB.573505FF@believeit.com>
Source record: 142087

Jerry and Aaron have "crying to a brick wall" syndrome.

OZARK333 wrote:
>
> >From: "Jerry Oxendine" >joxendi@bellsouth.net
> > just that they be prevented from
> >using illegal equipment on
> >frequencies for which they have no >license. Jerry
> Jerry shut the hell up and go away! Take your stupid fuckin "Truckers on 10
> meters"
> story and drive off the nearest cliff! I'm sick of your bullshit rambling on
> about a dead fuckin subject.....SHUT THE HELL UP ALREADY!
>
> "Got RF?"

3309. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 06:25:08 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210272225.4de483a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

From amateur radio newsline....

RESCUE RADIO: HAM RADIO HELPS NAB THE DC SNIPER SUSPECTS

A ham radio operators may have played a role in the apprehension of two men
wanted in connection with the sniper shootings in the Washington DC area. ABC
News interviewed the trucker who called the police to report he had spotted the
two suspects. When asked how he heard about the description of the vehicle,
his response was that he heard it while listening to Bozo on WLW radio

Now, Chip Margelli, K7JA tell amateur Radio Newsline that Bozo in real life is
Dale Sommers; callsign WB6OM. Sommers hosts a very popular late night trucker's show on the 700 Kilohertz clear channel station. His show is mainly
music and information of interest to long-haul truck drivers. The description
of the wanted men was just that. As a result the pair are in custody thanks to
a trucker who was paying attention to what WB6OM had to say as Truckin Bozo over WLW in Cincinnati. (K7JA)

The trucker who made the 911 call was given his due, but now it seems everyone needs to get in on the act, LOL. Let's hear it for the State Police and SWAT too while we're at it. It's a bit silly, but as long as the snipers were caught, it's all good.

But wait....there is a little tidbit of useful info in this report. Dale Sommers (a ham) has a clear channel AM radio show LISTENED TO BY LONG HAUL TRUCKERS. It appears to be a perfect opportunity to get the word out to drivers about illegal 10 meter operation. All it would take is a note to Dale (I bet he's listed on QRZ) explaining the issue, the assumption that many truckers don't know 10 meters is not cool to operate on, and how he can help. It's worth a shot.

-Aaron-

3310. Open message to Cheesecutter, Tim Nebo and Train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 07:04:56 UTC
From: qweuryqiweyrioqweyrquioweyriuoqeywruioqwerueyqwr
<48375237858723465892346 5@458273465873465879234587384658734 65.com`>
Message-ID: <taoprusivi5fart0lf5va528fu473qa26t@4ax.com>
Source record: 142089

```
....................../ï¿½ï¿½/)
..................,/ï¿½../
.................../..../
.............ï¿½ï¿½/'...'/ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
.........'/.../..../......./ï¿½ï¿½\
.......('(...ï¿½...ï¿½.... ï¿½~/'...')
........\.................'...../
.........''...\.......... _.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
...........\..............(
.............\.............\...
FUCK OFF!
```

3311. Re: Expensive CB speaker!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 09:56:51 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <urq322lpnnhf0f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142090

http://www.soundssteep.com

Build your own for less.... DON'T Check out:

http://www.soundssteep.com

PH

"James R" <JR31882@aol.com> wrote in message
news:aphiv4$ol6$2@bob.news.rcn.net...
> http://www.soundssweet.com
>
> Perfect communications speakers for CB! Increase intelligibility with
less
> hiss. Check out:
>
> http://www.soundssweet.com

3312. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 09:58:04 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3dbd0a1b.70361218@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 142091

On Mon, 28 Oct 2002 00:19:01 -0500, SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
wrote:

>Comments within.
>
>General Johnson Jameson wrote:
>
>> "SideBand" wrote in message
>>
>> > I was trying to make a point that you'd obviously missed. It doesn't
>>
>>>take big power to get out, and it doesn't take an overmodulated
>>>splattermachine. It takes a good antenna setup.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Was not attacking you sir, I was only concerned that your desire to
>> be accepted by those who enjoy breaking the laws by using illegal
>> amplifiers and transmitting on non-cb frequencies to be profoundly
>> anti-amateur in spirit and in the best interest of the hobby.
>
>
>Don't pretend to know what I'm thinking. Also, don't pretend to know what
>you're thinking, because apparently you're not thinking the way I'm
>thinking. My posting had nothing to do with acceptance here. It DID have
>something to do with me showing what an efficient antenna setup for HF, CB,
>and other bands, could look like. I'm proud of the time and energy I've put
>into my setup, and I wanted to show off a bit.
>
>
>> But maybe your real reason is to attract new members into the ranks
>> of ham radio. I'm sure your equipment is 100 percent legal and in full
>> compliance of FCC rules and regulations. Being so, you should be
>> congratulated for doing something rather then sitting by and complaining
>> as so many of our brethren would rather do. You are in a position to show
>> Ham Radio in it's finest glory with your fascinating rolling ham station.
>
>
>I don't think I've ever had my ass kissed quite this way. Next time, keep
>your tongue to yourself. ::shudder::
>
>In the future, please do me the favor and keep your assumptions about me to
>yourself. Better yet, make no assumptions about me. You don't know me well
>enough to even get the faintest idea of what I may be thinking at any given

>time.
>
>As for demonstrating the hobby, I gave away three of Gordon West's
>Technician study books last week to drivers who were interested in HAM
>radio after talking to me on the CB about my goofy antenna setup. What have
>you done to further the hobby recently?
>--
>73 de KR8LR, Chris
>

Hahahahaha LOL!

3313. Re: Jesus had a CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 13:01:17 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <apjdqo$te4$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 142096

Could be the tablets date back 2000 years, most rocks do have a "history". But it could've been a "recent" scratching of Osama Bin Laden! To some, he may "appear" as Jesus Christ. Bin Laden has been seen using some sort of 2 way device, be it CB or Ham.

Jesus? I think someone's been hitting the hard stuff again.

Lou/Tech@DP.NET

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:%_3v9.68558$ER5.5088810@news2.telusplanet.net...
> They were most likely Hams because Ham Radio has been around a lot longer
> then Children's Band.
>
> "General Johnson Jameson" <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@nausea.com> wrote
in
> message news:0VDu9.741$9o.306890854@news.inreach.com...
> > This just in....
> >
> > I've received a story from a friend in India who claims
> > he has photos of stone walls depicting men using devices that
> > look very simular to two-way radios. The stone tablets date
> > back 2000 years.
> >
> > Could the early egyptians been equiped with CB's?
> >
> > Did they have amplifiers? Moonrakers? Echo mics?
> >
> > Would not it be cool if they found 2000 year old CB radios?
> >
> > And they still worked?????
> >
> >
>
>

3314. Re: Open message to Cheesecutter, Tim Nebo and Train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 13:01:39 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210280501.59a9919e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142093

qweuryqiweyrioqweyrquioweyriuoqeywruioqwerueyqwr
<48375237858723465892346 5@458273465873465879234587384658734 65.com`> wrote in message
news:<taoprusivi5fart0lf5va528fu473qa26t@4ax.com>...
> ...../ï¿½ï¿½/)
> ...../ï¿½../
> ...../....../
> ...../ï¿½ï¿½/'...'/ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
> ...../'/.../..../...../ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½\
> .....('(...ï¿½...ï¿½.... ï¿½~/'...')
> .....\...../
> .....''...\..... _.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
> .....\.....(
> .....\.....\...
> FUCK OFF!

oh geez.....look at our comcast creep expressing himself and look
at that language.....my, my.....hey comcast creep....that
number is for your vocabulary and your
iq.....dickhead.....and you can shove it too
pal.....

3315. Re: Muddy Water Slapper

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 13:03:34 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210280503.2483b007@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142094

ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote in message
news:<20021027212806.22548.00000699@mb-ca.aol.com>...
> >From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com >(chessecutter)
> >a muddy waters slapper.....just what >our resident pimp needs
> What's the matter Cheesewhiz, dont like the competition?
>
>
> "Got RF?"

uh....no i don't have a problem with it.....do you have a problem
with it.....slick?????

3316. Re: a nice one for you sparky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 13:08:39 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210280508.38b48e11@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142095

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021027200916.01210.00000956@mb-mk.aol.com>...
> its exit #10 I-45 south mud good buddy
>
> >is that rio grande mud or gaveston mud.......pimp????
> >
> >

ah.....that wonderful exit number 10 off the gulf freeway......what a
wonderful place to live.............and you were speaking to me about
dead women on the 1 45 corridor????......pimp.........so now you tell
me somebody has to come down south to give your rinky dink ebay bought
junky ole radio station a stand off severe radio asswhipping..... and
from a mobile no less......???? is that correct pimp???? so
somebody has to come down there and show you how the cow eats the
cabbage ....boy.................?????

3317. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 13:22:23 UTC
From: techno-wizard <technowiz@techno-wiz.com>
Message-ID: <apje4t$fg0$1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU>
Source record: 142098

And the kill file grows........

Randy wrote in message ...
>
>"techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
>news:api9or$1np$1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU...
>> Very well said!
>>
>>
>
>Oh boy! A top posting newby pats aaron on the back! LOL
>

3318. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 13:30:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13010-3DBD3C07-811@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142097

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
Just a thought. The argument is that it isn't being used. <snip>
==========
I haven't seen anyone defending intruding on hammie bands on
rec.radio.cb., let alone claim a defense such as you suggest. Am I
missing posts, Jim, or are you speculating?

3319. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 13:43:10 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DBD3EEE.6441@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 142104

Twistedhed wrote:
>
>
> I'm always civil until unnecessarily provoked.

And that is a matter of perception. Whether by fault of your reading, or the fault of your newsreader, mixing up pieces of serveral different people's words, you have lashed out or have made incorrect assumptions, based on nothing more than a simple statement. That may be, to you, a "provokation", but from the perspective of the other party, it wasa straight up comment.

>
> >
> I have no problem with people who take a different view from me, when it
> involves subjective tastes and opinions. If I like Country & Western
> music, and you like Def Leppard, there is no right or wrong, just a
> difference of opinion.
> But when you are talking about factual information, or defacto
> standards, if you take a position that is contrary to those normally
> accepted standards, it's up to the opposing party to make the case why
> the standard is wrong. In your case, you need to explain why running
> illegally should not be considered criminal per the laws set forth by
> the FCC.
> <
>
> No, I don't. I need explain nothing to anyone.
> That is where you guys start getting out of hand and losing your temper.

I don't understand how you can defend a position, such as illegal freebanding, without feeling the need to explain it. Why should you be allowed to disregard the rules? And why should the rest of the law abiding people, be forced to just accept it.

> The fact that you, yourself feel it, is not sufficient evidence. And
> once you do that, make the case to the lawmakers, and hopefully this
> will all become a moot point.
> <
>
> Lawmakers have nothing to do with my behavior. Like I said before, you
> have no clue whether I break the law.

You operate on freeband, by your own admission (Your words can come back to haunt you too). That is breaking the law. I don't think it could be any plainer. So are you are lawbreaker or a liar? But many on here have compared illegal freebanders with the early revolutionaries, who

"bravely" defied the law, in order that we could create a better nation. So if you want to be compared to "revolutionaries" rather than petty lawbreakers, then you need to have an ultimate goal. The logical goal would be the elimination or changing of the radio laws, that restrict you legally, from doing what you do now. That's what I meant when I said "make your case to the lawmakers".

> Like I've said before, many times, I don't mollycoddle people. I don't
> sugar coat my information. If what I say doesn't sit well with you,
> prove it wrong, or deal with it. Posting a contrary OPINION, does not
> automatically invalidate my information or my position.
> <
>
> Never said it did, but getting personal, lying, and making personal and
> OT comments about folks immediately invalidates your positon.

You do realize that by calling someone a liar, or even implying such, that can be considered inflammatory? Especially when you have no evidence to prove it.

>
> Since I have pointed out your
> misbehavior you have indeed reviewed your archive collection and the
> fact that you were repulsed enough by what you saw made you admit your
> character flaws .
>
> >
> Wait a minute. Now you're starting to go off the path. I have reviewed
> some of my past posts. Mostly to see when I really started posting here.
> I see nothing especially inflamatory in most of what I've written.
> <
>
> Which is why they need pointed out every so often.....you fail to
> see them.

Because they aren't there.

> In fact I try to make a point to refrain from emotional inflection as it
> clouds objectivity.
>
>
> Since when? Last weekend?

Since the beginning. Other than the last few "exchanges" that you and I have had, you will find that I treat people respectfully. I may not agree, and I will state the reasons why I disagree, and apply the principles of logic. But I don't engage in namecalling. That's something kids do.

> I am usually a straight up and to the point guy. I don't make personal
> insults, unless they were thrown at me first.
> <

>
> You are lying again, Dave.

There you go, making inflammatory comments. And you wonder why people attack you.

> You have attacked me with personal comments many times.

Not until it became obvious that you either couldn't understand the concept I was elaborating on, or you were deliberately spinning my words to fit your own interpretations.

> Go back to our very first disagreement in this forum,
> Dave...you came off like a dick

A subjective opinion. Whether I was truly being a "dick" or just that you didn't like what I was saying, is a matter of interpretation. Like to old saying; "if you don't like the messsage, don't shoot the messenger".

> for no reason other than me stating an
> opinion you didn't like, so don't spread that BS here.

I don't know. I've had other people tell me that they agree with me, and that you're just someone "looking to start a fight". And others telling me that I'm wasting my time arguing with you. Most of whom do not belong to your imagined "Twisty hate pose'".

One thing is probably true, though, I have damaged my reputation somewhat by allowing you to draw me into these no-win situations.

> Compared to some of the more "colorful" people here, I am mild.
> <
>
> They are all mild. And impotent.
> And it pisses them off. You hear the DX today? HAhahhahahahha....there
> were some serious pissed off hammies trying to get through....they just
> didnt have the azz for it.....hahahahahahahahha!

How do you know, out of a load of freebanders, who the "hams" are? You can't expect me to believe that they actually used their ham calls on the freeband?

> What "character flaws" have I admitted to, out of curiousity? I don't
> recall doing any such thing.
> <
>
> You think being a smug, disenfranchised, troubled youth are GOOD traits?

That was 20 years ago. Growning up and maturing from that stage gives me a perspective by which to gauge many other people. The old "been there, done that". I think that overcoming my earlier "flaws" has allowed me the opportunity to reflect on my own life, and gives me insight into what people think and why they think that way.

>
>
> .... that is a good thing, a first step. Your words are there for
> all to enjoy, whenever they wish.
>
> >
> And many of them have been quoted in other people's tag lines.
> <
>
> Sure, it's part of you guys impotent smear tactics.

Really? What part of my quotes that have been used by people such as
Landshark and Aaron, contains any "smear"?

> I think my advice and insight have been on the money far more than it
> has been off. Once again, you are free to disagree with my assessment of
> society, and how it has regressed in recent years, but unless you can
> counter that view with something equally compelling, it does not make me
> wrong.
> <
>
> You are right, it doesn't make you wrong, it does however, make you a
> socialist.

I really don't think you know what a socialist is. Otherwise you
wouldn't be making such a ridiculous accusation. Socialism is all about
government control. Following sensible rules and being considerate of
other people's rights, is hardly "socialism".

>
> You now do the
> side-step and and expect us to disregard your own words and believe the
> spin you are telling us now that you have grown up since then.
>
> >
> What words am I trying to get people to disregard? And yess, I have
> changed my viewpoint in the last 10 or 15 years. Most people do when
> they get older. It's called maturity.
> <
>
> dave, you have started with me so many times in here, true to form, just
> like Lelnad, Frank and the other trouble posse members that pledged to
> cause trouble in rec.radio.cb.

Who has "pledged to cause trouble". I know that is not my intent. If you
don't like your lawlessness being brought up, maybe you should do
something about it. You need to realize that the rest of the civilized
society does not view your radio crusade the same way you do. People who
have respect for the law, are not the ones causing the trouble.

>
>
> I give

> everyone the benefit of doubt at first...you are no longer worthy of
> such delights. You must unprove yourself..a reputation is much harder to
> repair than destroy, but you can't say you weren't warned.
>
> >
> And that perception is up to the individual. You are free to make a
> mental black mark against my reputation. But that does not mean that
> others will share your view. You too have a somewhat dubious reputation.
> It's all about your perspective.
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> <
>
> You drew first blood.

I would disagree with that. You were the one that started with speculative comments, accusing me of lying, and commenting on my inability to follow your changing of the topics, and accusing me of deliberately steering the topic when I attempted to return to the core topic. That whole thread on respect on the radio, that you kept trying to change into a discussion of USENET ettiquette. It's all there in google.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3320. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 13:51:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DBD40C9.4576@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 142107

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> even entertaining the idea makes you alot worse than any freebander running a
> big amp i've ever heard of and that includes the truckers on ten meters.
> truckers are fcking up your "hobby" while you contemplate casuing havoc to
> society by jamming cellphones.

So now you want to be the thought police? In your mind, my imaginative
musing over the idea of jamming idiot cell-phone drivers, is worse than
the ACTUAL crime of truckers running high power on 10 meters?

I won't even bother to comment of the absurdity of that one......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3321. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 13:56:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DBD41F5.71BC@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 142105

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Dave Hall N3CVJ wrote:
> >Um,
> >Why are you slammin' Guy?
>
> You know damn good and well, why.

Uh.... No I don't. We were having a perfectly good exchange about the fun we used to have with cordless phones. I read Guy's last post, and there was nothing in it that could be construed as an attack toward you.

So maybe if you could elaborate, we could find out the cause of this misunderstanding?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3322. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 13:57:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13011-3DBD4263-333@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142100

Maybe
because hammie radio is more reliable as a cohesive service by virtue of regulation?
Go fight with yourself....no one ever stated differently. Jerry feels the need to repost the merits of hammie radio, as if someone were putting it down and he is defending it's use, but both of you are swinging at ghosts.
==========
JerryO wrote:
>Nope. Amateur Radio WAS being erroneously put down as evidenced in the re-quoted paragraph
from "tools" below. Also Amateur Radio is more reliable as a cohesive service, not because of regulation, but because of the discipline and dedication of the operators themselves. My response was to that which seems to be the "theme" of truckers who think that their "importance" justifies their use of any radio band they choose. Somehow their hauling of goods is supposed to make illegal activity fine and dandy. NOT!
Jerry<<

Check your posts,,,,you have interchanged the word "truckers" for "cb types" or "cbers" at your convenience to falsely and deliberately make it appear one and the same.....it is NOT!
If a freebander can't be defined as a cber, then neither can these drivers or intruders you claim. Can't have it both ways, Jerry, the hypocrisy kills your argument.

3323. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 14:14:52 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021028091452.01237.00000911@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 142099

how could i know your name if you refuse to tell me what it is?

>I thought you knew my name?

3324. Re: Old Panasonic CB Peakable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 14:14:59 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DBD4663.66@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 142106

David wrote:
>
> Hi folks, I bought an old Panasonic CB RJ-3250 on Ebay and I was
> wondering if it could be peaked and tuned. It looks to be from the late
> 70's, has 2 seperate meters on it...40 channel rig.I was told by a
> certain CB Shop in Erie, PA that I'm unhappy with that only Cobra and
> Uniden radio's of late can be peaked and tuned, I have a hard time
> believing this though. He said it was a myth that older radio's could be
> turned up nicely because they're were less CBers out back then and
> therefore you could talk farther. I don't understand what ythis has to
> do with peaking and tuning using meters...what does the amount of CBers
> have to do with this? Does this sound fishy to anyone else other that me?
>

Let me guess, this "shop" also sells Cobra and Uniden radios right?

The real truth is that all CB radios can be aligned. They all have
variable inductors and potentiometers to set the proper values for
optimal operation.

Now, here's where there are some discrepancies:
Some radios can be "peaked" higher than others. Some can go to 5 watts,
some to 6, some to 7. SSB radios can usually do a bit more on AM. But
the downside is that as you increase carrier power, you lose modulation
percentage.

The bottom line is that even a jump from 4 to 8 watts of carrier power
will only give you about 1/2 of an "S" unit increase in signal, and
could cause your modulation (where your talkpower actually is) to be
less.

Once upon a time, before 40 channel radios, the FCC rated power at the
input to the final, at 5 watts. This translated (assuming a 60 - 70%
efficiency) to about 3 to 3.5 watts output. Because aligning to input
specs was difficult (You had to insert a current meter into the final
collector circuit, and calculate the power from the current and voltage
readings), the FCC revised it's specs to rate power at the output.
That's when the ratings became 4 watts output. This was actually a
slight increase in output power over what was derived from the old
standard.

It had nothing to do with "the amount of CBers" or anything else like
that. Tis guy at your shop is simply handing you a buch of guff, so that
you'll hopefully, dump that radio and buy one of his.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3325. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 14:17:59 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021028091759.01237.00000912@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 142101

hahahahahaha this was a good one to start the morning off jim :)

> As far as cost, look at the
>blood pressure medication that a few of the hams are taking over this thing.
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim

3326. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 14:22:08 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021028092208.01237.00000914@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 142102

aaron i agree with you here. it would be a much better outlet than crying on a cb group all day and is worth a shot.

>But wait....there is a little tidbit of useful info in this report.
>Dale Sommers (a ham) has a clear channel AM radio show LISTENED TO BY
>LONG HAUL TRUCKERS. It appears to be a perfect opportunity to get the
>word out to drivers about illegal 10 meter operation. All it would
>take is a note to Dale (I bet he's listed on QRZ) explaining the
>issue, the assumption that many truckers don't know 10 meters is not
>cool to operate on, and how he can help. It's worth a shot.
>
>-Aaron-
>
>
>
>
>
>

3327. Re: Open message to Cheesecutter, Tim Nebo and Train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 14:24:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021028092400.01237.00000916@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 142103

i bet thats your mothers favorite finger

>
>
>...................../ï¿½ï¿½/)
>.................../ï¿½../
>.................../..../
>.............../ï¿½ï¿½/'...'/ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
>........./'/.../..../......./ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½\
>.......('(...ï¿½...ï¿½.... ï¿½~/'...')
>........\................'...../
>.........''...\.......... _.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
>...........\.............(
>.............\.............\...
>FUCK OFF!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3328. Re: Open message to Cheesecutter, Tim Nebo and Train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 14:24:14 UTC
From: Debunker <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DBD488E.101F45FE@believeit.com>
Source record: 142114

chessecutter wrote:
>
> qweuryqiweyrioqweyrquioweyriuoqeywruioqwerueyqwr
<48375237858723465892346 5@458273465873465879234587384658734 65.com`> wrote in message
news:<taoprusivi5fart0lf5va528fu473qa26t@4ax.com>...
> > ...../ï¿½ï¿½/)
> > ...../ï¿½../
> > ...../..../
> > ...../ï¿½ï¿½/'...'/ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
> > ...../'/.../..../...../ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½\
> > .....('(...ï¿½...ï¿½.... ï¿½~/'...')
> > .....\...../
> > .....''...\..... _.ï¿½ï¿½
> > .....\.....(
> > .....\.....\...
> > FUCK OFF!
>
> oh geez.....look at our comcast creep expressing himself and look
> at that language.....my, my.....hey comcast creep....that
> number is for your vocabulary and your
> iq.....dickhead.....and you can shove it too
> pal.....

Yup! He opened his mouth and some more shit came out.

3329. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 14:26:48 UTC
From: Debunker <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DBD4928.BEDED7DE@believeit.com>
Source record: 142108

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> aaron i agree with you here. it would be a much better outlet than crying on a
> cb group all day and is worth a shot.

That's a bit more then 5 watts. More like 50,000.

Don't tell Aaron that 5 watts won't do it. LOL!

> >But wait....there is a little tidbit of useful info in this report.
> >Dale Sommers (a ham) has a clear channel AM radio show LISTENED TO BY
> >LONG HAUL TRUCKERS. It appears to be a perfect opportunity to get the
> >word out to drivers about illegal 10 meter operation. All it would
> >take is a note to Dale (I bet he's listed on QRZ) explaining the
> >issue, the assumption that many truckers don't know 10 meters is not
> >cool to operate on, and how he can help. It's worth a shot.
> >
> >-Aaron-
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

3330. Re: a nice one for you sparky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 14:29:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021028092901.01237.00000920@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 142109

i probably wont even hear you until you hit exit 20 lol

>
>
>ah.....that wonderful exit number 10 off the gulf freeway......what a
>wonderful place to live.............and you were speaking to me about
>dead women on the 1 45 corridor????......pimp.........so now you tell
>me somebody has to come down south to give your rinky dink ebay bought
>junky ole radio station a stand off severe radio asswhipping..... and
>from a mobile no less......???? is that correct pimp???? so
>somebody has to come down there and show you how the cow eats the
>cabbage ....boy.................?????
>
>
>
>
>
>

3331. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 14:57:08 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021028095708.12087.00001225@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 142110

indeed dave, it shows you have the ability to do much worse things than those you accuse and for all we know you've already done it but refuse to admitt it. after all you have the motive and the means.

>So now you want to be the thought police? In your mind, my imaginative
>musing over the idea of jamming idiot cell-phone drivers, is worse than
>the ACTUAL crime of truckers running high power on 10 meters?
>
>I won't even bother to comment of the absurdity of that one......
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

3332. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 15:14:21 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBD544D.F7479DC1@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Juvenile Skateboard'n wrote:

>
> Okay... after listening to the "incriminating evidence", here's my findings.
>
> #1 -- Some guy bragging about "if you can't back it up"... more then likely,
> he's referring to someone out there that thinks that their radio is stronger
> then him.
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #2-- Some guy talking about vacation... overtime... & sick leave. This
> eliminates almost all of the trucking industry except maybe the teamsters &
> a few others. The majority of the trucking industry is paid by the mile or
> load. _NO_ where do they say they drive a truck !
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #3 -- Two guys & a lady talking about the price of cigarettes & ex's,
> sounded more like some people on bases chatting.
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #4 -- One guy say's something about another guy hiding paper towels & toilet
> paper "back here"... "that's where all of it goes 'cause it never makes it
> up there to Ft. Smith".
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #5 -- No sure what's being said here.. something about something where
> someone's at & anther fellow says something "That corn had some good
> thickness to it".
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #6 -- This one I can't make out much except the "panty" part.
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #7 -- This is a fellow asking someone where they're at in Montana.
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>

I guess you think every truck driver starts his transmission off with "Hey, truck driver here." I have monitored these illegal transmission for a number of years now and it is obvious to the most casual of observer that a lot of them are truck drivers. All you have to have is a little common sense to figure it out. Something you apparently lack.

3333. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 15:16:30 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBD54CE.7FFB671B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:
>
> From amateur radio newsline....
>
> RESCUE RADIO: HAM RADIO HELPS NAB THE DC SNIPER SUSPECTS
>
> A ham radio operators may have played a role in the apprehension of
> two men
> wanted in connection with the sniper shootings in the Washington DC
> area. ABC
> News interviewed the trucker who called the police to report he had
> spotted the
> two suspects. When asked how he heard about the description of the
> vehicle,
> his response was that he heard it while listening to Bozo on WLW radio
>
> Now, Chip Margelli, K7JA tell amateur Radio Newsline that Bozo in real
> life is
> Dale Sommers; callsign WB6OM. Sommers hosts a very popular late night
> trucker's show on the 700 Kilohertz clear channel station. His show is
> mainly
> music and information of interest to long-haul truck drivers. The
> description
> of the wanted men was just that. As a result the pair are in custody
> thanks to
> a trucker who was paying attention to what WB6OM had to say as Truckin
> Bozo over WLW in Cincinnati. (K7JA)
>
> ----------------------
>
> The trucker who made the 911 call was given his due, but now it seems
> everyone needs to get in on the act, LOL. Let's hear it for the State
> Police and SWAT too while we're at it. It's a bit silly, but as long
> as the snipers were caught, it's all good.

Yea, radio newsline is stretching it a quite a bit here.

3334. Pricings of a Harrior CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 15:20:35 UTC
From: RichardH <Richard@wasitgood4u.co.uk>
Message-ID: <apjkg5esc1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 142111

Hi all,

I am not into the CB Radio stuff myself but my uncle gave me one a few years ago. I dont use it much because I dont have time.

I am thinking of selling it for some cash (what can I say I am a student, hehe).

What kinda price should I sell it for either in the paper or ebay?

It is a Harrior CB Radio, comes with main box, power supply unit, leads, proper big mic thing, a wee box with a needle in it showing something and the stand for an ariel (missing the long ariel bit).

Many thanks for any info you guys can give me :).

Mail me Richard@wasitgood4u.co.uk

3335. Re: Pricings of a Harrior CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 15:22:14 UTC
From: RichardH <Richard@wasitgood4u.co.uk>
Message-ID: <apjkj8$o3s$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 142112

I forgot to say its a 40 channel one... and it works completely (minus the ariel stand thing)...

"RichardH" <Richard@wasitgood4u.co.uk> wrote in message news:apjkg5esc1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Hi all,
>
> I am not into the CB Radio stuff myself but my uncle gave me one a few years
> ago. I dont use it much because I dont have time.
>
> I am thinking of selling it for some cash (what can I say I am a student,
> hehe).
>
> What kinda price should I sell it for either in the paper or ebay?
>
> It is a Harrior CB Radio, comes with main box, power supply unit, leads,
> proper big mic thing, a wee box with a needle in it showing something and
> the stand for an ariel (missing the long ariel bit).
>
> Many thanks for any info you guys can give me :).
>
> Mail me Richard@wasitgood4u.co.uk
>
>

3336. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 15:34:54 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <yOcv9.9979$Fj6.923589@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142113

Oh boy, you sure hurt him with that.
"techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
news:apje4t$fg0$1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU...
> And the kill file grows........
>
>
> Randy wrote in message ...
> >
> >"techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
> >news:api9or$1np$1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU...
> >> Very well said!
> >>
> >>
> >
> >Oh boy! A top posting newby pats aaron on the back! LOL
> >
>
>
>
>

3337. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 16:08:23 UTC
From: techno-wizard <technowiz@techno-wiz.com>
Message-ID: <apjniniid1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU>
Source record: 142117

...and grows...

tools wrote in message ...
>Oh boy, you sure hurt him with that.
>"techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
>news:apje4t$fg0$1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU...
>> And the kill file grows........
>>
>>
>> Randy wrote in message ...
>> >
>> >"techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
>> >news:api9or$1np$1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU...
>> >> Very well said!
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >Oh boy! A top posting newby pats aaron on the back! LOL
>> >
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

3338. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 16:47:05 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <dSdv9.225$TT3.30791791@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142115

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:u14v9.229239$121.6488845@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
> news:AGOdnQ-BwLpaKSGgXTWcrg@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> > news:20021027191741.01247.00001048@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > > thats more than your willing to do. you wont even say what your name
is.
> >
> >
> > I thought you knew my name?
> >
> >
> >
> Correct me if I'm wrong here, but isn't it "Putz-boy"?
>
>

Pretty close Randy, put Troll in
front of it.

Landshark

--
Try this, it made George famous:
http://www.fishdot.org/furby/index.html

3339. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 16:47:05 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <dSdv9.226$TT3.30791791@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142116

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021027162312.922$po@newsreader.com...
> Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote:
> (snip)
> >> Now on to USB. The following channels were clear enough to talk on
(less
> > than 3 s-units worth of noise), and many of them were totally dead:
> > 13,16,18,24,29,31,32,34,35,36,37,39
> >
> > And for LSB:
> > 1,2,3,8,12,25,29,31,32,35,36,37,39,40
> >
> > LSB was VERY quiet on most of the channels mentioned.
> >
> You should only use upper or lower SSB on channels 36 thru 40.
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em..
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

You forgot the original.............. channel 16.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

3340. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 17:13:36 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DBD7040.54C4@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 142120

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: 10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@nausea.com
> (General Johnson Jameson)
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> You mentioned rich hammies,,,I have to ask...did you meet them on the
> bowl?
>
> >Sorry, but I'm not up on the term, " on the bowl >Could you possibly
> elucidate for us?
> ==
>

> This is the first time I have seen you.....

Ok, hold that thought for a moment.

> ....and since everyone here knows
> what the "the bowl" means.....I feel the need to ask, who is "us"?
> Have you always run around referred to yourself in the plural sense or
> only in drag and heels?

I thought you claimed that you never made disparaging comments to people
unless they did the same to you first. So why are you making
unflattering comments to someone, who you yourself claimed "This is the
first time I have seen you"?

Gee, If I were another person, I might be quick to brand you a "liar"
for that comment, but I'll refrain......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3341. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 17:18:55 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3kev9.227290$Fw2.6582863@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142119

"techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
news:apjniniid1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU...
> ...and grows...
>
> tools wrote in message ...
> >Oh boy, you sure hurt him with that.
> >"techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
> >news:apje4t$fg0$1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU...
> >> And the kill file grows........
> >>
> >>
> >> Randy wrote in message ...
> >> >
> >> >"techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:api9or$1np$1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU...
> >> >> Very well said!
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >Oh boy! A top posting newby pats aaron on the back! LOL
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

Poor soul may have to add an extra room for his kill file! LOL

3342. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 17:29:36 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DBD7400.7B3A@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 142121

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> indeed dave, it shows you have the ability to do much worse things than those
> you accuse and for all we know you've already done it but refuse to admitt it.
> after all you have the motive and the means.

Ok, if we follow that line of "logic", then it could be said that we all have the means to murder someone, and there are times in most people's lives where they may have contemplated doing it to someone, then are these thoughts actionable as criminal? I think you've been watching too many Tom Cruise movies.

Believe me, had I actually made the cell phone jammer, I'd probably be innundated with people wanting me to build one for them (I've already been approached). The sad truth is that there are many people (And the number is growing) who are becoming very disillusioned with self important "cell phone geeks", especially those behind the wheel.

I will admit to making a CB jammer a long time ago. A PLL sweep of about 1 Mhz, is usually good enough. Power output less than 100 mW, to conserve battery power. Very effective in plugging the ears of especially annoying CB sociopaths with delusions of granduer. It's especially funny when they realize that no matter how much power they run, it does them no good when they can't hear anything.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3343. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 17:55:52 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021028125552.12126.00001128@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 142123

>Ok, if we follow that line of "logic", then it could be said that we all
>have the means to murder someone, and there are times in most people's
>lives where they may have contemplated doing it to someone, then are
>these thoughts actionable as criminal?

dave, i've never sat around planning and wanting to kill somebody. i never said your thoughts were criminal just that your much more capable of causing harm then the ten meter intruders you loathe so much and you take that about as serious as the truckers on ten meter take there intrusions

>Believe me, had I actually made the cell phone jammer, I'd probably be
>innundated with people wanting me to build one for them (I've already
>been approached)

dont worry, thats what dave from davemade found to be true too but it makes him no hero.

>The sad truth is that there are many people (And the
>number is growing) who are becoming very disillusioned with self
>important "cell phone geeks", especially those behind the wheel.

just like there are many truckers tired of the base stations throwing dead keys on them, the loud skip noise on channel 19, and the ht toating geeks who keep trying to get them fired for talking on a two way in a spot there not licensed for.

your quick to criticize others while contemplating doing even worse things yourself. amazing how blind you are.

3344. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 17:58:31 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021028125831.12126.00001131@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 142124

by in drag in heels he was reffering to the fact he's an obvious troll using this screen name just for that reason and hiding who he really is. undoubtably he is not new to this newsgroup. hell dave he might even be you lol

>> ....and since everyone here knows
>> what the "the bowl" means.....I feel the need to ask, who is "us"?
>> Have you always run around referred to yourself in the plural sense or
>> only in drag and heels?
>
>I thought you claimed that you never made disparaging comments to people
>unless they did the same to you first. So why are you making
>unflattering comments to someone, who you yourself claimed "This is the
>first time I have seen you"?
>
>Gee, If I were another person, I might be quick to brand you a "liar"
>for that comment, but I'll refrain......
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>

3345. New Fire pages, recordings and photos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 18:02:37 UTC
From: DJ Micky P <djmickyp@d2.net.au>
Message-ID: <3dbd7bb9@news.comindico.com.au>
Source record: 142125
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.amateur.wicen,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Just uploaded a scanner chronicle about the recent Redhead fires in Newcastle,NSW Australia with pictures and recordings from the ground.

http://newcastlescan.tripod.com

Check out other soundbytes on the Tuscanblue Soundbyte page and photos of recent incidents on the photo album site webshots linked thru the photo album page.

And please sign our guest book and suggest anything else you would like to see on the site.
Over 52 pages of info now on the site!!!

Cheers
Mike and Garry

3346. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 18:09:47 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B56760B8919rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142127

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@BARFchiefOFcommunications.net> wrote in news:apif0q$gl5$0
@pita.alt.net:

> Leave Oxendine alone. Riley has been farting him off,
> and it has the boy aggravated for lack of attention.
>
> Lloyd
> BARF 14313
> Computer Specialist
> A+ C+++ Network Certified
> BARF Chief of Communcations
>
What's a C+++?

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

3347. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 18:14:35 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <f8fv9.10786$6F4.975473@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142126

Ohhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh! I'm so hurt! How will I live?
Ohhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh.....
"techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
news:apjniniid1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU...
> ...and grows...
>
> tools wrote in message ...
> >Oh boy, you sure hurt him with that.
> >"techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
> >news:apje4t$fg0$1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU...
> >> And the kill file grows........
> >>
> >>
> >> Randy wrote in message ...
> >> >
> >> >"techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:api9or$1np$1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU...
> >> >> Very well said!
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >Oh boy! A top posting newby pats aaron on the back! LOL
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > >
>
>
>

3348. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 18:20:08 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sdfv9.10798$6F4.976145@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DBD544D.F7479DC1@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Juvenile Skateboard'n wrote:
>
> >
> > Okay... after listening to the "incriminating evidence", here's my
findings.
> >
> > #1 -- Some guy bragging about "if you can't back it up"... more then
likely,
> > he's referring to someone out there that thinks that their radio is
stronger
> > then him.
> >
> > Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
> >
> > #2-- Some guy talking about vacation... overtime... & sick leave. This
> > eliminates almost all of the trucking industry except maybe the
teamsters &
> > a few others. The majority of the trucking industry is paid by the mile
or
> > load. _NO_ where do they say they drive a truck !
> >
> > Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
> >
> > #3 -- Two guys & a lady talking about the price of cigarettes & ex's,
> > sounded more like some people on bases chatting.
> >
> > Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
> >
> > #4 -- One guy say's something about another guy hiding paper towels &
toilet
> > paper "back here"... "that's where all of it goes 'cause it never makes
it
> > up there to Ft. Smith".
> >
> > Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
> >
> > #5 -- No sure what's being said here.. something about something where
> > someone's at & anther fellow says something "That corn had some good
> > thickness to it".

> >
> > Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
> >
> > #6 -- This one I can't make out much except the "panty" part.
> >
> > Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
> >
> > #7 -- This is a fellow asking someone where they're at in Montana.
> >
> > Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
> >
>
> I guess you think every truck driver starts his transmission off
> with "Hey, truck driver here." I have monitored these illegal
> transmission for a number of years now and it is obvious to the
> most casual of observer that..... JJ lives a very
unsatisfactory life. He should get out more. Some sunlight will help.life.
.....a lot of them are truck drivers. All
> you have to have is a little common sense to figure it out.
> Something you apparently lack.
>

3349. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 18:29:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12123-3DBD821C-138@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142130

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021027145452.20655.00000743@mb-cq.aol.com...
first you have to make people other than hams care about the problem.
thats the hard part. then you have to match the influence of the
teamsters
<

Jerryo wrote:
The teamsters didn't help much when they banned radar detectors. No one
is proposing that
truckers be denied the use of a radio; just that they be prevented from
using illegal equipment on
frequencies for which they have no license.
Jerry
<<

Who banned radar detectors besides Virginia? A state or few (read:
minority) does not a ban make.

3350. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 18:33:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12121-3DBD830A-852@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142129

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
No, of course not all hams nor truckers are whatever. In fact, the post I made earlier concerning siphoning fuel from truckers was harsh (and drew exactly the response I expected) - the problem is the easy availability of the radios. Kinda like the gun laws. Obviously, we have the right to bear arms, but when you get a fruitcake like the sniper, it will tend to bring out a lot of harsh words. The fact is that 10 meters is an international amateur band and more than a few hams don't care for intruders. Funny, no one wants to build export radios for 88 - 108 MHz FM. Gee, nice and clear up there too. I wonder why they don't ....
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
==========
A mod or two will do ya'!
There are a good many pirate stations alive and well in the US and they ain't all LPFM Ramsey kits.

3351. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 18:40:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12122-3DBD84AE-315@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142135

First, however, there should be a publicity
campaign to alert trucking companies to the problem. IF told about the
situation, most Safety Dir-
ectors and line managers would probably take steps to rid their fleets
of illegal equipment, or discipline drivers that insist on operating
"export" radios. Even volunteers in the ham community could take on the
task of alerting companies to the risk they face. After all, most
supervisors are pretty good about issuing "memos" and directives.
Jerry
==========
Propaganda disguised as campaigns designed for publicity is a very
effective way to get your non-issue across. Good luck with it, as was
shown with the way the general public view hammie radio (they don't know
the difference between it and cb!!!) is the same way the public will
react to your disinformation campaign....with total indifference.
There exist no "hordes", no "masses", no "crowds" and certainly no
"throngs" of people being interfered with by cbers. The only ones who
give a damn are the angry few that lurk in here and need someone to
blame for their own cantankery, and the VERY few who actually get
interfered with...and since y'all have claimed that it's so simple and
easy to cw right over top of them..........there should be no problem
(according to your words).

3352. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 18:43:08 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBD853C.F2EF5B40@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142144

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Maybe
> because hammie radio is more reliable as a cohesive service by virtue of
> regulation?
> Go fight with yourself....no one ever stated differently. Jerry feels
> the need to repost the merits of hammie radio, as if someone were
> putting it down and he is defending it's use, but both of you are
> swinging at ghosts.
> ==
> JerryO wrote:
> >Nope. Amateur Radio WAS being erroneously put down as evidenced in the
> re-quoted paragraph
> from "tools" below. Also Amateur Radio is more reliable as a cohesive
> service, not because of regulation, but because of the discipline and
> dedication of the operators themselves. My response was to that which
> seems to be the "theme" of truckers who think that their "importance"
> justifies their use of any radio band they choose. Somehow their hauling
> of goods is supposed to make illegal activity fine and dandy. NOT!
> Jerry<<
>
> Check your posts,,,,you have interchanged the word "truckers" for "cb
> types" or "cbers" at your convenience to falsely and deliberately make
> it appear one and the same.....it is NOT!
> If a freebander can't be defined as a cber, then neither can these
> drivers or intruders you claim. Can't have it both ways, Jerry, the
> hypocrisy kills your argument.

Most of these truckers, when operating on 10 meters, still think
they are on the Cee-Bee.

3353. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 18:45:20 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBD85C0.59882DB3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142145

Alan Strawinski wrote:
>
> "Lloyd" <Lloyd@BARFchiefOFcommunications.net> wrote in news:apif0q$gl5$0
> @pita.alt.net:
>
> > Leave Oxendine alone. Riley has been farting him off,
> > and it has the boy aggravated for lack of attention.
> >
> > Lloyd
> > BARF 14313
> > Computer Specialist
> > A+ C+++ Network Certified
> > BARF Chief of Communcations
> >
> What's a C+++?

A programing language

3354. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 18:51:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12122-3DBD874F-316@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142137

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
Relevant? My point was 1) there is a problem. Yes, some truckers and some radio shops have been popped.
2) the fact that some have been busted tells some of us there is a problem. Jerry just gets vocal about it, but doesn't spam with some of the sexual innuendo that the anonymous posters do. At least give him credit for saying what he thinks without hiding his identity.
I note one gentleman didn't care much for my idea of siphoning fuel from trucks. Can't say that I blame him, but you can't blame Jerry either for being vocal about siphoning frequencies.
To say that all truckers are doing this is just as stupid as saying all CBers run illegal frequencies/radios. Not true. The point is that once you hit 28.000, there are going to be some folks that get upset. As I suggested earlier, try running a couple of hundred watts between 88 and 108 MHz on FM. A lot of nice and clear spots up there, plus the antennas are smaller (plus you can get a gain antenna). Somehow I think you'd get some other folks upset if you did. Unfortunately, when CB was created back in the 50s, UHF radios were **very** expensive. 30 MHz was about as high as one could build a relatively inexpensive radio with any kind of performance and 27 MHz became the place to go - along with F layer propogation at times during the sunspot cycle. Beyond that, sporadic E occurs quite a bit as well. None of the flames would be occuring if CB was up on high band VHF or UHF. MURS can offer good communications essentially without interference and likely offer more local range than a stock cb can; unfortunately, if all of the cb community tries to move there, there won't be enough channels. That said, 10 meters is NOT an extension of the cb band.
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
==========
"Siphoning" freqs....? Ahm-a-gonna use dat one fo sho! "Twisted twisted and more twisted, siphoning these freeband freqs around the 'pile!"....yea......yea...catchy sound.
To compare intruders on the commercial band as opposed to semi-public (hammie bands) is too far removed. Using that example, "they" (commercial bands) have everything hammies don't as resources. The public (listens daily to commercial radio), endless supplies of money to throw to lobbyists, and blue-chip advertisers. And consider this isn't even cracking the ice, yet.

3355. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 18:55:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12121-3DBD882A-857@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142133

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
Close, however, Tim. The hams have time and money invested in their ticket. The frequency can be reused - but when? Perhaps the best suggestion is choose a frequency that you can have a gain antenna plus very little interference. May I suggest 88 to 108 MHz fm? As far as cost, look at the blood pressure medication that a few of the hams are taking over this thing.
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
==========
Jim, I have always maintained those who intrude on hamme freqs should get their just reward. But if it is as some claim, hammies have no problem working over these intruders. If this is true, the "problem "origination point needs closer examination.

3356. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 18:59:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12121-3DBD890D-860@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142132

From: rchapman8@houston.rr.com (Randy)
"techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
news:api9or$1np$1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU...
Very well said!
==========
>Oh boy! A top posting newby pats aaron on >the back! LOL

Hahhahaha...only he ain't a newbie.....some "new" post's headers are
duplicates of "Packrat"s (my my) and another matches that of our
favorite "Quest" poster.

3357. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 19:06:23 UTC
From: Checkerboard Strangler <jhaas84@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <PUfv9.111662$Hj7.58179@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 142131

> He can do it himself with a CB..When you get close enough that he pins
your
> S meter,disconnect your coax(antenna) and you can drive right to his
house,
> using your S meter.
>
> BTW,don't transmit while your coax is off.
>
> Just be polite,if you go to his door...

Naaaah, screw politness, just remember to stick the pin in his coax so that
it
goes THROUGH the center conductor.

Even more fun:
Tie the coax to your rear bumper with some aircraft cable and take off,
and watch his entire rig come flying out the window, mic and all.

Did that once, and I still laugh about it twenty years later.....

JeffH
(Spontaneous Spot, aka Spotty the Dawwg)

3358. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 19:06:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12122-3DBD8ACA-318@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142134

I thought you claimed that you never made disparaging comments to people unless they did the same to you first. So why are you making unflattering comments to someone, who you yourself claimed "This is the first time I have seen you"?
Gee, If I were another person, I might be quick to brand you a "liar" for that comment, but I'll refrain......
Dave
"Sandbagger"
==========
This is the first time I have seen you think correctly.
A) I don't make them first....
B) He is not a newbie, merely another shill.
And you damn sure didn;t brand me anything, the reason you "refrained" is because you aren't fooling anyone with your games. A leopard never changes his spots.......despite your comments to the contrary..

3359. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 19:09:22 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12122-3DBD8B62-319@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142136

From: timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie)
by in drag in heels he was reffering to the fact he's an obvious troll
using this screen name just for that reason and hiding who he really is.
undoubtably he is not new to this newsgroup. hell dave he might even be
you lol
==========
See what I meant about your spots, Dave? You jumped too soon...it was
too obvious.

3360. Re: Pricings of a Harrior CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 19:33:45 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <_igv9.825$RI1.340733015@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 142138

Because it's a rare piece with strong visual appeal, I'd
appraise it somewhere between 200 to 900 dollars (US)

However, you may wish to contact a professional appraiser
who could justify it's actual value. I only dabble in foreign military
hardware and have little to no knowledge regarding the object
that you have in your possession.

General Johnson Jamerson, Ret.
USAF Alien Spacecraft Recovery Team

--
" This is your brain, and this is your brain on CB....Any questions? "

"RichardH" <Richard@wasitgood4u.co.uk> wrote in message
news:apjkg5esc1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Hi all,
>
> I am not into the CB Radio stuff myself but my uncle gave me one a few
years
> ago. I dont use it much because I dont have time.
>
> I am thinking of selling it for some cash (what can I say I am a student,
> hehe).
>
> What kinda price should I sell it for either in the paper or ebay?
>
> It is a Harrior CB Radio, comes with main box, power supply unit, leads,
> proper big mic thing, a wee box with a needle in it showing something and
> the stand for an ariel (missing the long ariel bit).
>
> Many thanks for any info you guys can give me :).
>
> Mail me Richard@wasitgood4u.co.uk
>
>

3361. Re: Open message to Cheesecutter, Tim Nebo and Train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 19:39:08 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <1ogv9.828$Pk1.340665468@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 142139

Bravo, bravo, clap clap clap clap clap clap.....

Well done old chap
--
-

General Johnson Jameson, Ret.
USAF Alien Spacecraft Recovery Team

" This is your brain, and this is your brain on CB....Any questions? "

"qweuryqiweyrioqweyrquioweyriuoqeywruioqwerueyqwr"
<48375237858723465892346 5@458273465873465879234587384658734 65.com`> wrote in
message news:taoprusivi5fart0lf5va528fu473qa26t@4ax.com...
> ...../��/)
> ...../�../
> ...../..../
> ...../��/'...'/���`��
> ...../'/.../..../...../��\
> .....('(...�...�.... �~/'...')
> .....\...'...../
> ....."...\..... _.��
> .....\.....(
> .....\.....\...
> FUCK OFF!
>
>

3362. har har har

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 19:39:55 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <Mogv9.829$Rm1.340798580@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 142141

ho ho ho

--
-

General Johnson Jameson, Ret.
USAF Alien Spacecraft Recovery Team

" This is your brain, and this is your brain on CB....Any questions? "

3363. Ho ho ho

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 19:40:41 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <vpgv9.830$in1.340828293@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 142140

har har har

3364. snork snork

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 19:42:29 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <brgv9.831$gn1.340826127@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 142142

yuk yuk yuk

--
-

General Johnson Jameson, Ret.
USAF Alien Spacecraft Recovery Team

" This is your brain, and this is your brain on CB....Any questions? "

3365. blah blah blah blah

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 19:47:41 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <1wgv9.834$En1.340850778@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 142143

up your nose with a rubber hose

--
-

General Johnson Jameson, Ret.
USAF Alien Spacecraft Recovery Team

" This is your brain, and this is your brain on CB....Any questions? "

3366. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 20:56:35 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <YR-dnWhh9vnjOSCgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142146

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021028091452.01237.00000911@mb-mk.aol.com...
> how could i know your name if you refuse to tell me what it is?

Oh do I now need to go back and look up where you tell me what my name is ?
LOL

3367. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 21:15:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22580-3DBDA8E5-932@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142150

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
I'm always civil until unnecessarily provoked.
=
>And that is a matter of perception. <

Well, then it's a dman good thing I don't take into consideration any one elses opinions, huh?

>Whether by fault of your reading, or the fault of your newsreader, mixing up pieces of serveral different people's words, you have lashed out or have made incorrect assumptions, based on nothing more than a simple statement. <<

That is simply a matter of your "perception".

>That may be, to you, a "provokation", <<

Stop using that kiddie dictionary.
My "provoke" is correct, your "provokation (sic)" is not, neither is your "serveral", since you have now stooped to playing and taking issues with typos that aren't even there....but that's ok, I'm used to you fabricating bullshit.

>but from the perspective of the other party, it wasa straight up comment.<<

Too vague. Post the entire post you refer to in it's entirety in context with the thread I was responding to....try to do so unedited.

>I have no problem with people who take a different view from me, when it involves subjective tastes and opinions. If I like Country & Western music, and you like Def Leppard, there is no right or wrong, just a difference of opinion.
<<

Bullshit. You have called names, hurled insults and called otherwise law-abiding folks "criminals" for espousing a view which you take issue with.
HARDLY a difference of opinion.

>But when you are talking about factual information, or defacto standards, if you take a position that is contrary to those normally accepted standards, <<

Facts and "normally accepted standards" are two separate entities with two separate meanings. they are NOT interchangeable..

>it's up to the opposing party to make the case why the standard is wrong. In your case, you need to explain why running illegally should not be considered criminal per the laws set forth by the FCC.
< <

No, I don't. I need explain nothing to anyone. That is where you guys start getting out of hand and losing your temper.

>I don't understand how you can defend a position, such as illegal freebanding, without feeling the need to explain it. <<

There is your problem....I needn't defend my actions, either...you claiming I defend it is more bullshit...I defend or explain nothing...there is no need...you have no authority, nor do the same pack of blubbering babies that cajole with you.

>Why should you be allowed to disregard the rules?
<<

Hahahhahah....why should "Aaron" be able to disregard TOS? Or Lelnad?

>And why should the rest of the law abiding people, be forced to just accept it.<<

See above.

> The fact that you, yourself feel it, <<

When did your mere guessing of how I feel become fact?

>is not sufficient evidence. And once you do that, make the case to the lawmakers, and hopefully this will all become a moot point.
< <

Lawmakers have nothing to do with my behavior. Like I said before, you have no clue whether I break the law.

>You operate on freeband, by your own admission (Your words can come back to haunt you too).<<

How is that "haunting me"? You can't have it both ways, Hall....either I speak the truth or not, but choosing which passages of mine to disregard and which ones to accept as truth is sorth of the same thing you are railing against me for...,,,

> That is breaking the law. I don't think it could be any plainer. So are you are lawbreaker or a liar?<<<

You have already made up your mind.
What I say shouldn't matter.

> But many on here have compared illegal freebanders with the early revolutionaries, who "bravely" defied the law, in order that we could create a better nation. So if you want to be compared to "revolutionaries" rather than petty lawbreakers, then you need to have an ultimate goal. <<

I don't care what I am viewed as.

>The logical goal would be the elimination or changing of the radio laws, that restrict you legally, from doing what you do now.<<

Seer.

> That's what I meant when I said "make your case to the lawmakers". <<

I don't have a case,, but you seem hellbent on giving me one.

>Like I've said before, many times, I don't mollycoddle people. I don't sugar coat my information. If what I say doesn't sit well with you, prove it wrong, or deal with it. Posting a contrary OPINION, does not automatically invalidate my information or my position.
<

Never said it did, but getting personal, lying, and making personal and OT comments about folks immediately invalidates your positon.

>You do realize that by calling someone a liar, or even implying such, that can be considered inflammatory? Especially when you have no evidence to prove it. <<

You proved it yourself.

Since I have pointed out your
misbehavior you have indeed reviewed your archive collection and the fact that you were repulsed enough by what you saw made you admit your character flaws .

>Wait a minute. Now you're starting to go off the path. I have reviewed some of my past posts. Mostly to see when I really started posting here. I see nothing especially inflamatory in most of what I've written.
<

Which is why they need pointed out every so often.....you fail to see them.

>Because they aren't there.<

(snicker) Okaaay! The group will believe what you now tell us and not what we see.

>In fact I try to make a point to refrain from emotional inflection as it clouds objectivity.
<

Since when? Last weekend?

>Since the beginning.<

Oh, the bEGINNING of the weekend, well, that makes a difference....

> Other than the last few "exchanges" that you and I have had, you will find that I treat people respectfully. I may not agree, and I will state the reasons why I disagree, and apply the principles of logic. But I

don't engage in namecalling. That's something kids do.
I am usually a straight up and to the point guy. I don't make personal insults, unless they were thrown at me first.
<

You are lying again, Dave.

>There you go, making inflammatory comments. And you wonder why people attack you.<<

I have never wondered that.....but you have certainly claimed plenty and attributed much to me that isn;t there .....

You have attacked me with personal comments many times.

>Not until it became obvious that you either couldn't understand the concept I was elaborating on, or you were deliberately spinning my words to fit your own interpretations.<<

Hhahahhah..you don't even remember..that's been shown.

Go back to our very first disagreement in this forum, Dave...you came off like a dick

>A subjective opinion. Whether I was truly being a "dick" or just that you didn't like what I was saying, is a matter of interpretation. <<

Insultive posts becaiuse of anothers views, ccnstitute being a dick.
Care to take a poll?

>Like to old saying; "if you don't like the messsage, don't shoot the messenger".
<<

But that is exactly what Lelnad KC8LDO suggested..

for no reason other than me stating an
opinion you didn't like, so don't spread that BS here.

>I don't know. I've had other people tell me that they agree with me, and that you're just someone "looking to start a fight".<<

What? Behind the scenes? In email?
From your named friends of Lenad, Dogie, and Frank? It figures you hold their opinions in contrast to what they are....mere interpretations.
You have just accurately described your pound pup buddies.

> And others telling me that I'm wasting my time arguing with you. Most of whom do not belong to your imagined "Twisty hate pose'". <<

Right......which is why they are so vocal. Sorry Dave, "ghost" support don't cut it. And if you didn't feel so alienated and defeated, you wouldn't look to others to validate yourself.

>OhOne thing is probably true, though, I have damaged my reputation somewhat by allowing you to draw me into these no-win situations. <<

Drawing you? LMAO! LOL! HAhahahhahahah!When you post a lie, you damage yourself, not I.

>Compared to some of the more "colorful" people here, I am mild.
<

They are all mild. And impotent.
And it pisses them off. You hear the DX today? HAhahhahahahha....there were some serious pissed off hammies trying to get through....they just didnt have the azz for it.....hahahahahahahahha!

>How do you know, out of a load of freebanders, who the "hams" are? You can't expect me to believe that they actually used their ham calls on the freeband? ><<

What you believe means squat.

>What "character flaws" have I admitted to, out of curiousity? I don't recall doing any such thing.
<

You think being a smug, disenfranchised, troubled youth are GOOD traits?

>That was 20 years ago. Growning up and maturing from that stage gives me a perspective by which to gauge many other people.<<

Hahahahhaha. Those interchangeable words again....."judge" does not mean "gauge".

> The old "been there, done that". I think that overcoming my earlier "flaws" has allowed me the opportunity to reflect on my own life, and gives me insight into what people think and why they think that way.
<<

..... that is a good thing, a first step.

Your words are there for all to enjoy, whenever they wish.

>And many of them have been quoted in other people's tag lines.
<

Yea, it's part of the joke you're not in on.

Sure, it's part of you guys impotent smear tactics.

>Really? What part of my quotes that have been used by people such as Landshark and Aaron, contains any "smear"? <<

Huh?

>I think my advice and insight have been on the money far more than it has been off. <<

Delusions of grandeur. You don't see yourself as others do...that also has been shown.
Kinda what makes you a funny guy....

>Once again, you are free to disagree with my assessment of society, and how it has regressed in recent years, but unless you can counter that view with something equally compelling, it does not make me wrong.
<

You are right, it doesn't make you wrong, it does however, make you a socialist.

>I really don't think you know what a socialist is.<<

What you think, or guess, matters to none but yourself.

> Otherwise you wouldn't be making such a ridiculous accusation. Socialism is all about government control. Following sensible rules<<

You are not a judge, therefore not qualified to say what is and isn't "sensible".

> and being considerate of other people's rights, is hardly "socialism".<<

Who said it was?

You now do the
side-step and and expect us to disregard your own words and believe the spin you are telling us now that you have grown up since then.

>What words am I trying to get people to disregard?<<

Your insults and name calling. First you claim it didn't take place, then after dining on more crow you checked your archived posts...then came the shuffle of you DEFENDING what you first claimed never happened. See above,,,you defended it....if you never said it, how can you defend it? Troll on.....

.

> And yess, I have changed my viewpoint in the last 10 or 15 years. Most people do when they get older. It's called maturity.
<

dave, you have started with me so many times in here, true to form, just like Lelnad, Frank and the other trouble posse members that pledged to cause trouble in rec.radio.cb.

>Who has "pledged to cause trouble". <

You miss the post by Arron that said the group would have to contend with the antics of yourself, lelnad and Frank and Dogie if "Aaron" were suddently to stop posting?
Concerning where it came from, I am certain it wasn't misinterpreted by anyone, even though you now desperately try to avoid the subject.

>I know that is not my intent. If you don't like your lawlessness being brought up, maybe you should do something about it. <<

I'm not the one having temper problems, losing ISP;'s and generally whining and demanding for reasons, excuses, justification, etc.....you are.
Again, the "problem" is at the point of origination......within yourself.

>You need to realize that the rest of the civilized society does not view your radio crusade the same way you do. <<

The "crusade" belongs to those disenfranchised hammies, shown by your problem you feel others are responsible for.

>People who have respect for the law, are not the ones causing the trouble. <<

HAhahhahahahahahahha...I am sure they changed ISP's because they wanted to...several times.

I give everyone the benefit of doubt at first...you are no longer worthy of such delights. You must unprove yourself..a reputation is much harder to repair than destroy, but you can't say you weren't warned.

>And that perception is up to the individual. <

You only wish it were.

>You are free to make a mental black mark against my reputation. But that does not mean that others will share your view. You too have a somewhat dubious reputation. It's all about your perspective.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
<

You drew first blood.

>I would disagree with that. You were the one that started with speculative comments, accusing me of lying, and commenting on my inability to follow your changing of the topics, and accusing me of deliberately steering the topic when I attempted to return to the core topic. That whole thread on respect on the radio, that you kept trying to change into a discussion of USENET ettiquette. It's all there in google.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
<<

It sure is, and if you would like to see just how and why I labeled you a "dick", I would be glad to post it, since you claim it "never happened". Better go back a bit further in google.

3368. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 21:20:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19810-3DBDAA28-857@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142151

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
Absolutely correct; yet I find it interesting that some folks want a
gentlemen's agreement for a few channels and then ignore rules for
everything else. Am I missing something?
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
==========
Yea. What folks?

3369. Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing Charts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 21:28:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19810-3DBDABE9-859@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142149

From: lineoffiretoday@yahoo.com (Deos)
do i smell spam?
--
No. It was requested as an informative tool. He was asked to post this
at least once or twice per month so as to be assistance to all the
hammies that enjoy our company. After all, we have more coming here each
day...this should be posted more than what it already is, in my humble
word.

3370. Re: blah blah blah blah

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 21:28:20 UTC
From: Krunchy Frog <crispytoad@wartz.com>
Message-ID: <urrav2jqj9fq99@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142147

"General Johnson Jameson" <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
wrote in message news:1wgv9.834$En1.340850778@news.inreach.com...
> up your nose with a rubber hose

...and your mother was a hamster, and your father smelt
of elderberrys, now go away silly person, or I shall taunt
you a second time!...LOL

>
>
> --
> -
>
> General Johnson Jameson, Ret.
> USAF Alien Spacecraft Recovery Team
>
>
> " This is your brain, and this is your brain on CB....Any questions? "
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3371. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 21:33:32 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021028163332.21441.00000048@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 142148

in all seriousness whats the big deal about just saying your name? is your name george busch or is it not george busch? thats a yes or no question. how will you sidestep it this time?

>Oh do I now need to go back and look up where you tell me what my name is ?
>LOL
>

3372. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 21:42:19 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <x9iv9.579$lt1.41@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142152

chessecutter <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0210271942.61117f95@posting.google.com...
> "Stan" <svs6920@home.com> wrote in message
news:<aCXu9.122210$md1.26109@sccrnsc03>...
> > Very nice, I'm sure you enjoy while on the road. Look forward to seeing
> > your new truck as well.
> > Stan.............in the upper Mojave Desert. KYA 4011
> >
> >
> > "SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DBC387A.3040602@cac.net...
> > > In case anyone's interested.
> > >
> > > http://www.csotbs.org/kr8lr/TruckPics/
> > > --
> > > 73 de KR8LR, Chris
> > >
>
>
> let's see.........geez ...how many sidebands do we have around here
> anyways???? but i tell ya what sideband...........you had better
> clear that setup with jerry or voobnutts.............they don't cotton
> to truckers for some reason........and gee whiz...........a ham
> too....ain't that a kick in the pants..????

You will find NO beef on my part with hard-working (and legal) truckers. My
ONLY annoyance is with those who steal frequencies and operate on the ham
bands without the required license. I
cannot and will not respect that. And any driver who is a ham is most
welcome and gets my respect
for his efforts to obtain the license--and there are quite a few that have
obtained the license. Those
you don't find operating on the lower end of 10 Meters; they know better
than to run AM on a CW'portion of the band.

Jerry

3373. Re: get on with it Yeti.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 21:44:30 UTC
From: nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3DBDAFBE.F5AD6273@yahoo.com>
Source record: 142153

Back after a nice weekend just relaxing... now onward through the
frankie maze of cannon fodder...

Well Buck'O...

I typed out a much longer reply to each of your issues, then tried to post it
only to have my original news group program refuse to take it for size
issues. So I'm back using a different program, but would just like to respond
with specific statements. If you care to believe them
or not, it's on you after this post. As time allows, I'm working 10 questions
up for you to "have at."

First off: In a totally different thread, I re-posted someone's original Post
- Email text to me which stated the 6146 tube was possibly used in TV
horizontal oscillator
section. The initial posts, lead me to believe some of the early Heathkit
project TV sets used the 6146. That's pretty much the whole deal... Since the
6146 would probably
work in the application, I had no reason to ask for more detailed specifics.
Nothing wrong with that is there...?

If your going to take me to task over said re-post, there's not much I would
care to do about it. Researching TV sets using 6146 tubes is not a burning
issue for anyone but you. I don't much care past the general mention of the
possibility that the 6146 tube would probably function in the application. It
would not be out of line to assume it might have been done based on someone
else's mention of it.

Second: You dance and sing the HF & VHF Low Band amplifier song more than you
should. As you have already pointed out, I do have current FCC Licenses
around 31Mhz allowing significant power levels which would allow me to use an
FCC type accepted amplifier in line with a legal transmitter to obtain my
authorized power limit. I have the mentioned type accepted amplifiers in
service now. One can purchase legal type accepted amplifiers easily enough
from Ebay, I do it all the time and there is no legality issues to worry
about.

You asked me for a Company currently making amplifiers for services within
your original specs, I mentioned the Alpha Crosslink 99 as an example. The
issue of any company currently making amplifiers within your magic window
(posted rules) of frequencies is another thread, aside from the subject of
manufactures making type accepted amplifiers is a sidebar or separate thread
topic. If you care to go over the rules and regulations into detail, do it
in another thread and we can hack up the issues and legalities there-of. I
don't care to pour over the details of 2.185, but you could at least have the
courtesy to post a working url (web location) where readers could review the

actual text. It would do much to support any points you might care to make.

Third: IB is a valid FCC License hard copy designation for the Industrial Business Radio Service. Not much I can or care to do about the FCC web page(s) only using the IG label, but IB is clearly typed on original hard copies I have. I'm not about to post hard copies of licenses on the net, but since your a radio person "working in the business," maybe you'd care to review some of the licenses for equipment for which you might have access to. ...after all, "you work for one of them... "

Where your going with your triode, tetrode and pentode mode questions is not yet clear. If you can try to get past your dumb question line up to some point you want
to ask, then I and others might answer it. I see some serious theory holes in your past posts and replies, they will be brought to your attention when I get my 10 questions
ready to post. I consider a lot of your previous questions very broad, leading or open ended... I believe that if your actual tube rf amplifier theory were better, you would have been more specific or detailed with your questions. Then I and or others would be able to give you a more complete answer; rather than asking you questions to narrow down exactly what you want to have us reply about.

Onward...
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu has a killer amateur radio page...

3374. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 22:03:11 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <6tiv9.647$It1.395@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142154

OZARK333 <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021027212619.22548.00000698@mb-ca.aol.com...
> >From: "Jerry Oxendine" >joxendi@bellsouth.net
> > just that they be prevented from
> >using illegal equipment on
> >frequencies for which they have no >license. Jerry
> Jerry shut the hell up and go away! Take your stupid fuckin "Truckers on
10
> meters"
> story and drive off the nearest cliff! I'm sick of your bullshit rambling
on
> about a dead fuckin subject.....SHUT THE HELL UP ALREADY!

I didn't START this thread!! Yell at the one who started it. Gehen Sie due
Teufel, Schweinhund!

J
>
>
>
> "Got RF?"

3375. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 22:04:18 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <8uiv9.2$cz2.1@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142155

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12123-3DBD821C-138@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021027145452.20655.00000743@mb-cq.aol.com...
first you have to make people other than hams care about the problem.
thats the hard part. then you have to match the influence of the
teamsters
<

Jerryo wrote:
The teamsters didn't help much when they banned radar detectors. No one
is proposing that
truckers be denied the use of a radio; just that they be prevented from
using illegal equipment on
frequencies for which they have no license.
Jerry
<<

TW,

Commercial trucks cannot use radar detectors in the USA anymore.

Jerry

Who banned radar detectors besides Virginia? A state or few (read:
minority) does not a ban make.

3376. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 22:07:16 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Uwiv9.20$cz2.0@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142156

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12122-3DBD84AE-315@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> First, however, there should be a publicity
> campaign to alert trucking companies to the problem. IF told about the
> situation, most Safety Dir-
> ectors and line managers would probably take steps to rid their fleets
> of illegal equipment, or discipline drivers that insist on operating
> "export" radios. Even volunteers in the ham community could take on the
> task of alerting companies to the risk they face. After all, most
> supervisors are pretty good about issuing "memos" and directives.
> Jerry
> ==========
> Propaganda disguised as campaigns designed for publicity is a very
> effective way to get your non-issue across. Good luck with it, as was
> shown with the way the general public view hammie radio (they don't know
> the difference between it and cb!!!) is the same way the public will
> react to your disinformation campaign....with total indifference.
> There exist no "hordes", no "masses", no "crowds" and certainly no
> "throngs" of people being interfered with by cbers. The only ones who
> give a damn are the angry few that lurk in here and need someone to
> blame for their own cantankery, and the VERY few who actually get
> interfered with...and since y'all have claimed that it's so simple and
> easy to cw right over top of them.....there should be no problem
> (according to your words).

I believe I referred to letters going to trucking COMPANIES, not the general
public in this
instance.

J
>

3377. Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 22:33:07 UTC
From: techno-wizard <technowiz@techno-wiz.com>
Message-ID: <apke65mil1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU>
Source record: 142158

Let's assume for a moment that the majority of the illegal cb activity into the amateur bands is a result of ignorance on the part of the radio operator. They are ignorant of the rules and regs because there is no mechanism in place that requires them to learn the rules and regs.

Many states have programs in place that require people to take basic safety/regulation courses to make sure that they have a basic understanding of the activities they are engaging in. For example, hunters and boaters have to take basic safety/regulation courses before they are allowed to engaged in their respective activities. The purpose for this is not only to ensure the safety of the general public, but also the safety of the individual engaged in the activity.

Why not require cb users to complete a basic RF safety/regulation course before allowing them to operate their radios? It seems to me that everyone would benefit to some extent; the user obtains a greater knowledge and understanding of their hobby, interference into the ham bands is reduced, and potential health and safety problems are reduced.

I know there will always be some hams and cber's who will, for whatever reason, deliberately violate the law and there is not much we can do about them. However, that doesn't mean that proactive steps can't be taken.

3378. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 22:37:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22580-3DBDBC3D-935@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142157

I believe I referred to letters going to trucking COMPANIES, not the
general public in this
instance.
J
==========
My humblest of apologies a thousand times over.
Now that is a very good idea...it is direct and takes aim at what some
hammies view as the major problem concerning intruders on their bands

3379. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 23:07:02 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBDC316.942CC0BD@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142181

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> >>Most of these truckers, when operating on 10 meters, still think
> > > they are on the Cee-Bee.
> > >
> So you're saying they don't even know they're breaking the law.

Some of them probably don't, but you know the saying, "ignorance
of the law is no excuse".

3380. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 23:09:21 UTC
From: GMpartsguy <kb1cih@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <Bsjv9.153425$La5.500571@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 142159

Here in CT, you have to take about a 7 or 8 hour course to get yer permit to carry a concealed handgun.
You also have to take a 10 hour course to get your boating/personal watercraft licence. (makes sense, doesnt it !)
How long you reckon a chatterbox ticket schooling should be ??

3381. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 23:10:00 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ctjv9.10955$VJ5.658973@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 142160

lol...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:Bsjv9.153425$La5.500571@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> Here in CT, you have to take about a 7 or 8 hour course to get yer permit
to
> carry a concealed handgun.
> You also have to take a 10 hour course to get your boating/personal
> watercraft licence. (makes sense, doesnt it !)
> How long you reckon a chatterbox ticket schooling should be ??
>
>

3382. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 23:10:33 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <l82cnY6Fws15XiCgXTWcqg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142161

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021028163332.21441.00000048@mb-mc.aol.com...
> in all seriousness whats the big deal about just saying your name? is your
name
> george busch or is it not george busch? thats a yes or no question. how
will
> you sidestep it this time?

Yes

3383. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 23:15:58 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <wCydnUE0YvK3WCCgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142162

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021028181159.959$yC@newsreader.com...

> Really,you know Tim's,and my whole name,and where we live. I haven't had
> anyone scope me yet..<g>
>
> Are you George Busch,or not?

From: Tim (timnebo@aol.comLOL)
Subject: Re: Where oh where is dwight

View this article only
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-01-06 08:29:24 PST

each one of these guys have been blamed because each one of these guys has
had
some part in disrupting this news group thru troll post either now or in the
past. some were just a bit more creative than others. you gave us your name
george. you told on yourself and now your mad because we know who you are?
very
funny. its not so fun anymore when you cant be anonymous is it? wheres your
back bone george? your threatning this group with legal action scares who?
not
me.

3384. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 23:17:09 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <WfucnZKdQo_tWCCgXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142163

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021028163332.21441.00000048@mb-mc.aol.com...
> in all seriousness whats the big deal about just saying your name? is your
name
> george busch or is it not george busch? thats a yes or no question. how
will
> you sidestep it this time?

From: Tim (timnebo@aol.comLOL)
Subject: Re: Where oh where is dwight

Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-01-06 08:29:24 PST

each one of these guys have been blamed because each one of these guys has
had
some part in disrupting this news group thru troll post either now or in the
past. some were just a bit more creative than others. you gave us your name
george. you told on yourself and now your mad because we know who you are?
very
funny. its not so fun anymore when you cant be anonymous is it? wheres your
back bone george? your threatning this group with legal action scares who?
not
me.I thought I gave you my name already?

3385. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 23:20:17 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBDC631.C6CDE646@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142182

Richard Cranium wrote:

> Just like Aanal Aaron! He never could post any proof that I'm somehow
> "Steve", even though he said he would "Gladly" post it. Guess we now
> know he doesn't have any proof!

Good grief, get over it dickieboy!! You must really be steve or
you wouldn't be so insistent in trying to convince everyone you're
not.

3386. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 23:30:18 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210281530.1f49d1b6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142164

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210271245.4ec816cf@posting.google.com>...
> "WorldWide Jewel" blackdiamond@isonthemail.com wrote:
> >"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message news
> >
> >> And Georgie-girl does everything he can to
> >> encourage CBers not to become amateurs.
> >
> >I don't want most Cbers becoming hams because most lack respect for
> rules
> >on
> >that band so why should they suddenly gain respect for them because
> they
> >have a ham license? And what do you do? What's your claim to fame, oh
> >nevermind I'll just ask aaron.
> >
>
> Yeah, seeing his troll career info posted here hit him pretty hard. I
> may repost it once a week or so for the newcomers, otherwise Steve
> goes onto my "ignore" list along with the other "say anything to get a
> reaction" type-trolls.
>
> -A

You certainly have a terrific opinion of yourself, Aanal Aaron! You haven't posted anything about ME yet. I'm still not "Steve", no matter how many times you post the same old thing. Where's your PROOF, little boy?

YOU are the one who says "anything to get a reaction", Aanal Aaron. You've been proven wrong about everything you've ever posted here!

Take another number and try again, loser!

3387. Re: Open message to Cheesecutter, Tim Nebo and Train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 23:30:38 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210281530.57ea3723@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142165

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021028092400.01237.00000916@mb-mk.aol.com>...
> i bet thats your mothers favorite finger
>
> >
> >
> >...../ï¿½ï¿½/)
> >...../ï¿½../
> >...../..../
> >...../ï¿½ï¿½/'...'/ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½
> >...../'/.../..../...../ï¿½ï¿½\
> >.....('(...ï¿½...ï¿½.... ï¿½~/'...')
> >.....\...../'...../
> >.....''...\..... _.ï¿½ï¿½
> >.....\.....(
> >.....\.....\...
> >FUCK OFF!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

yeh.... chuckie is just mad because i told the world that he still
lives with his mommie.....

3388. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 23:34:11 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210281534.1dbfa4b4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142166

"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:<aQOdnQMtu9--0SGgXTWcrg@comcast.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message news
>
> > And Georgie-girl does everything he can to
> > encourage CBers not to become amateurs.
>
> I don't want most Cbers becoming hams because most lack respect for rules on
> that band so why should they suddenly gain respect for them because they
> have a ham license? And what do you do? What's your claim to fame, oh
> nevermind I'll just ask aaron.

Assumption, Georgie-girl. Aanal Aaron has no clue about my "claim to
fame". He has no clue, period. Neither do you, Georgie-girl Busch
WA3MOJ in Allentown, PA. "Elmer"?, nahhh he's a "remle". He wouldn't
know how to turn on a ham radio if you told him; he's too busy
trolling a CB newsgroup.

Just like Aanal Aaron! He never could post any proof that I'm somehow
"Steve", even though he said he would "Gladly" post it. Guess we now
know he doesn't have any proof!

3389. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 23:34:58 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <bPjv9.383$cz2.37@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142169

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22580-3DBDBC3D-935@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I believe I referred to letters going to trucking COMPANIES, not the
> general public in this
> instance.
> J
> ==
> My humblest of apologies a thousand times over.
> Now that is a very good idea...it is direct and takes aim at what some
> hammies view as the major problem concerning intruders on their bands
\
]
If I mispoke myself, I am very sorry. But that was the intended message,
not to deluge the public
who, most likely as you correctly observed, are not concerned with the
issue. I think the drivers and the companies should be given a chance to
correct it internally since many of them probably
don't know they are illegal. Therefore, I would like to see companies
notified and give them a
chance to rectify the problem internally. Then, after a suitable period, it
should be followed up with
enforcement action. I also like the idea of getting Dale Summers to address
the issue on his radio program.

Jerry
>

3390. Re: We won't get the real facts from Skippy, that's for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 23:37:31 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210281537.1a0987b8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142167

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210271131.668c36b6@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210261304.279b770d@posting.google.com>...
> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >> >avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210251242.29ca4e8c@posting.google.com>...
> >> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >> >> > And neither you nor Frankie-girl would understand the replies
> if
> you
> >> >> > did, would you Aanal Aaron? What stopped you? Can't be
> intelligence!
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >Awww, did I hit a nerve, Aanal Aaron? You never answered any of my
> >> >questions, including: "Who's Steve"?
> >>
> >> I'll answer it now then. You're Steve.
> >
> >Bzzzzt! Wrong again. Where's your proof?
> >
> >> >You're a one-trick pony that
> >> >forgot its' trick.
> >> >
> >> >When ya gonna post that "proof" you offered to post "Gladly"?
> >>
> >> Gladly. Here ya go.
> >>
> >> -A
> >
> >You keep posting proof that I cannot be "Steve", Aanal Aaron; where's
> >your proof? You're the one who offered to post it and you still fail
> >to produce it.
> >
> >So, who is Steve? Where's your proof?
>
> How do the lyrics to "Cheers" go?
>
> -A

Since I'm not a drunk (like you) I wouldn't know. But I DO know that
you have failed repeatedly to post any PROOF that I am somehow

"Steve", and you said you would "Gladly" post it. Where is it, Aanal Aaron? Who is "Steve" and how am "I" him? Everybody knows I'm Richard, yet(i) somehow you still have this wierd idea that I'm "Steve". You lose again, Aanal Aaron. As usual.

3391. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 23:37:50 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <zzWdneFU--nUVyCgXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142168

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> I didn't ask Tim.

Hmm well he has the answer so you had better ask him.

From: Tim (timnebo@aol.comLOL)
Subject: Re: Where oh where is dwight

you gave us your name george. you told on yourself and now your mad because
we know who you are? very
funny. its not so fun anymore when you cant be anonymous is it? wheres your
back bone george? your threatning this group with legal action scares who?
not
me.

3392. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 23:44:17 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210281544.32b5e2e9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142170

Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message
news:<Xns92B4AA7D661AFrrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote in
> news:20021027162312.922$po@newsreader.com:
>
> > You should only use upper or lower SSB on channels 36 thru 40.
> >
> Now that's interesting, I've never heard that. Why LSB or USB only on
> channels 36 - 40? What's wrong with regular 'ol AM?

The old "gentlemens' agreement" said LSB on 16 and 30 thru 40. Most
foreign operators use USB. AM is not really welcome on sideband
channels for obvious reasons.

3393. Yeti question and answer time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 23:46:30 UTC
From: nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3DBDCC56.1FFF993D@yahoo.com>
Source record: 142173

We'd like to get a few answers from you Yeti, they don't really count as "my ten questions for you," but they should be answered so we know your position on previous posts that are unclear. I've cut and pasted the actual text from some of your previous statements and reply follow up posts below. Take some time and read through them, nothing is made up here... but each of my questions should be answered, else we think your actual rf theory is weak.

In your Wed, 23 Oct 2002 01:30:58 post, you wrote
:Just take a look at the schematic for the 1200-X. The screen and
:suppressor are tied to the plate, just like I said. All three grids
:are NOT grounded as YOU said.

"Tied to the plate" eh..? So this is what you think..? ...or you can also make mistakes... typing or otherwise..? Let me compare this to my 6146 in TV re-post. If a person reading said were to use a 6146 as a sweep tube... they might have a measure of actual operational success. OK..? If the same person tied any grid to the plate in an RF amplifier circuit, a sweep or 6146 tube would "GO POOF..!" Which is the larger issue here..? You may correct your statement, but you still posted it as shown and we can now see you easily make mistakes, serious mistakes...

:LOL! I would sure like to see an amp where all three grids are tied to
:ground. Got an example of that somewhere, (You realize, of course, that

:this will be another of those lingering questions until
:you come up with proof!)

Please define DC and Signal grounds in an rf amplifier... Is it not possible that a grid would have an AC "signal ground" and a different dc potential..? Isn't it rather common..?

: It's possible to run Class AB2 using ANY tetrode or pentode. However,
: with a sweep tube, it's almost essential to run AB1 to avoid the
: severe grid current distortion. Swan even makes a point of this in
: their manuals.

I'm almost falling out of my chair laughing over your above statement... Can you give us any clue as to the mode of most grounded grid sweep tube rf amplifiers and why..? Their advantages besides being a low cost device for a linear rf amplifier..?

: Maybe not "high fidelity", but you most certainly have to keep the
: distortion to a minimum. Harmonics can sneak right through a pi tank
: just like it wasn't there, despite the fact that they are low-pass
: filters. The reason is because they are resonant, and that means they

: are also resonant on the harmonics. Linearity is the key to reducing
: harmonic distortion when you are talking about linear amps. Jeez
: Skippy, that's AC-101 stuff, I can't believe you didn't know that!

Have you ever measure the output of a sweep tube amplifier using a pi tank circuit..? Would you say a pi circuit would never allow FCC legal operational harmonic levels in a well
designed grounded grid amplifier..?

: Now don't duck the question like you do all the other questions: How
: is triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode more like "low-mu" and
: not like a triode? (Another lingering question -- I guess I am going
: to have to make a list if you keep spewing these ridiculous claims!)

Actually a pretty good question, but rather leading as you post it... the answer is another question... what is the role of the triode/pentode G2, G3 grids in a grounded grid amplifier circuit..? Although not active with potentials, the G2 & G3 grids are present and affect the circuit operation. You seem to lack understand of or ignore their role in a grounded grid amplifier. In short, do they do nothing for the circuit..?

Tue, 22 Oct 2002 03:46:39
: I didn't say "all three grids grounded 'triode operation'". Triode
: operation is when the screen (and suppressor, if there is one) is tied

: to the plate. If you ground all three grids as you suggest, all you
: will do is melt your tube (if you don't nuke your power supply first).

: What I DID say was that the tubes were used as triodes instead of
: tetrodes or pentodes because of the linearity gained from operating
: them as triodes. And using triodes in a grounded grid configuration is

: not suprising when the circuit requires linearity.

Whoops.. there's that grid tied to the plate statement, again made in a different separate post. Maybe that's what you actually wanted to say..? Assume for one moment, there is adequate linearity in a typical or example amateur grounded grid rf amplifier... what if any other significant advantages do we get from the circuit?

: Thu, 24 Oct 2002
: The power supply: Series connection of diodes isn't a very good idea
: without a parallel voltage divider (=especially= in a voltage
: multiplier circuit), and resistors should also be used to balance the
: current in any parallel semiconductor load. I see the lack of them as
: a real possibility for failure.

Your "verbose analysis" of the KLV brand amplifier circuit... (I cut some non related text off) What if anything is wrong with your just above statement..? In specific, the inclusion of resistors into the circuit..?

: Now for the amplifier: Notice the use of the triode configuration of
: the sweep tubes, and that they are used as grounded grid amplifiers.
If
: there was no bias supply (just a grid leak resistor and cap) the tube
: would be working as a Class C by the very nature of grounded grid
: operation. This is because grid current flows for half the cycle,
: effectively pulling the grid negative all by itself. So if you want
: linear Class AB or B then you need a grid supply with very good
: regulation. A simple half-wave rectifier and RC filter isn't very good

: regulation. But here's something even more interesting: only the grid
: is biased, not the screen/suppressor that should be part of the
: effective grid.

What are the roles/function of the screen and suppressor grids in the
circuit..?

: The result is that this amp could indeed be running
: Class C; if I'm wrong and it really does run Class AB2 then it will be

doing so with considerable distortion because of the poor regulation

How would you set up C, B, AB1, AB2, A operation for this amplifier...?
which is the best for this circuit..?

: of the grid supply. Grid-current distortion is going to be prominent
: in AB2 unless the grid supply can be adjusted so grid current is
: flowing for more than half the cycle -- which, of course, isn't a good

: condition at all because the plates are going to turn a nice shade of
: vermillion. In order to avoid this the plate voltage must be reduced
: in order to reduce the plate current, which isn't likely after seeing
: that they use six plate caps.

How would you make the mentioned AB2 grid supply adjustment/change ..?
What is the best linear mode for this circuit in a classic Amateur
layout..?
... and why...?

: After that, I see that these amps have only a pi output filter. This
: does little for harmonics, and should be followed by a non-resonant
: low-pass filter. To not include the LP-filter in the design when it's
: required for proper operation is a decision based solely on profits,
: and not on good engineering concepts and practices.

Why is a LP filter "required for proper operation" when the many "off
the shelf" amateur amplifiers use only pi or pi-L tank circuits..? Are
they
all poorly engineered..? Is legal operation not possible with only a
pi or pi-L tank circuit..?

: So I can say, without any doubt or hesitation in my mind, that this is

: a noisy amp, and somewhat prone to failure by a couple design flaws.

And those design flaws are..? and the noise level is _____
..? as measured or determined by _____ ..?

: It seems that if they put as much effort into designing a proper grid
: biasing circuit and power supply that they put into designing the
: preamp, this might be a really good unit. It would be an even better
: amp using -real- triodes instead of sweep tubes kludged as triodes.

What would be a proper grid biasing circuit and power supply..? What
would be a comparable "real triode" currently made and sold for less
than $20 US new..?

: The advantages? The amp -does- have three different power indicators,
more buttons and knobs than a
: typical amp, and a cheap price tag. So it's perfectly suited for it's
intended market.First off you need to
: realize that the intended purpose is as a CB amplifier, as if that
wasn't obvious already.

Where is the intended market mentioned..? Are there no other
countries that allow 10 meter amplifiers to be made or sold... Is this
amplifier made in the US..?

: With that in mind, the pre-amplifier is effectively useless, doing
nothing other than making
: the noise floor louder.

Companies like ARR, Sinclair, Telewave and Angle Linear all make various
fet amplifiers... If the noise figure is less than say 1.5 dB, but the
signal gain is greater
than say 13 dB, doesn't that sound like a pretty good preamp..? Even
if it were a lower gain Dual Gate fet..? would you say the advantage is
overkill..?

(Preamps are very useful with radios that have weak receive, such as
older, antique radios and homebrew receivers;
for modern radios that can pick up a mosquito farting at forty paces
they are nothing but overkill.)

So most all of the Western States Weak Signal Groups and similar Eastern
US Weak signal groups with modern radios and fet pre amps are wasting
their time..?

Wed, 23 Oct 2002
: Strangely enough, I'm not suprised. Considering that when the screen
: is tied to the grid the amplification factor is 4.4 (very low), and
: connecting it to ground is only going to make that number lower. So

"Screen tied to the grid" ..?

: that amp can't have much gain, which is a terrible waste of those
: tubes which are capable of so much more. And the low gain also limits
: it's dissipation, so that's why the grids don't melt. But I'll bet
: it's really smooth through every one of those six big decibels!

Is there not at least one or two un-mentioned significant advantages to
the circuit..?
Are you not missing a point of why the circuit is so popular..? You
mean I can't get more than 6 db gain from
sweep tube rf amplifier..?

: If you have so much experience picking up legal amps for services
: between 25 and 34 MHz, why can't you even provide one reference --
: website, manufacturer & model, or even an FCC reg -- that supports
: your claim?

TPL-Brand, Model:PA1-1FE Three of them just closed on Ebay, item
numbers 1776789478, 1776789530, 1776789590 .

Don't know or care if they are in current production, but they are legal
examples
I can and do currently use.

Who knows, if you "get enough of these right," I might count them as
your
ten magic questions.

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

3394. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 23:47:21 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210281547.a4ed98c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142171

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:<5qqdnRIB3NltoiGgXTWc3g@comcast.com>...
> "Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021027141841.27811.00000507@mb-df.aol.com...
> > if one of the big three made a easy to use radio that did worth a
> damn on AM
> > then maybe they would use them. the only ones that do are big as
> hell and still
> > dont sound as good as a cheap cb on AM
>
> Dump the AM CB's and use the FM GMRS or PMRS rigs. The skip noise
> isn't going to sound any better no matter what AM rig is used on 11m.

If you (and Georgie-girl and Aanal Aaron and Jerry Ox) don't like what you hear on 11 meters, why do you still listen to it? Listen to the amateur bands, or GMRS, or MURS, or something you enjoy. Are you truly masochists, or what?

3395. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-28 23:51:42 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210281551.37a711d6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142172

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210271253.5a7b9659@posting.google.com>...
> "radiofun" <radiofun@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:<OIPu9.130425$La5.426435@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>...
> > I hate to say, but like so many other things in this country, the gene may
> > be out of the bottle! Might be difficult or impossible to ever get him back
> > in.
>
> I think Leland's idea has some merit. Moving these guys to VHF might
> take some time, but in the end they'll have a better communications
> capability and a quieter set of channels to work in. If they keep
> operating illegally, the frequencies they are using on 10 meters will
> eventually fill up with hetrodynes and become noisy and unusable, much
> like channel 19 is, and they will have to move on anyway.
>
> -A

Aassumption, Aanal Aaron, but what can we expect from you? Those who
use the "freeband" are quite content there and will not move to VHF
(or, more properly, UHF). And since you cannot legally use 10 meters
anyhow and have no credibility whatsoever it's certainly not your
call, is it?

3396. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 00:09:24 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <keadnQdxNYsyTCCgXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142174

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> And since you cannot legally use 10 meters
> anyhow and have no credibility whatsoever it's certainly not your
> call, is it?

Speaking of calls, what is your call? Oh thats right you don't have one and
aren't credible either.

3397. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 00:18:36 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <P_6cnX9PWrhFTiCgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 142175

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> Assumption, Georgie-girl. Aanal Aaron has no clue about my "claim to
> fame". He has no clue, period. Neither do you, Georgie-girl Busch
> WA3MOJ in Allentown, PA.

Assumptions on you part as well, you have no clue abou Aaron or myself and that's what drives you up a wall. Calling me Georgie girl has no effect on me at all my name isn't george.

"Elmer"?, nahhh he's a "remle". He wouldn't
> know how to turn on a ham radio if you told him; he's too busy
> trolling a CB newsgroup.

A quick search of your name reveals the same thing you trolling a CB newsgroup then hypocritically
accusing others of doing the same. LOL while you cry about him remaining anonymous you also do the same thing.

> Just like Aanal Aaron! He never could post any proof that I'm somehow
> "Steve",

It is up to you to prove Aaron is wrong, remember he made the claim prove he is wrong you can't and won't will you. If you expect certain things from the postes of this NG you must be held by those same rules right otherwise your a troll. So I say to you like you say to me prove he is wrong.

>even though he said he would "Gladly" post it. Guess we now
> know he doesn't have any proof!

He doesn't have to supply proof you have to prove him wrong stupid, this goes along with your exact theory as noted by the sig file.

--
"If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct."

Richard Cranium

3398. Re: We won't get the real facts from Skippy, that's for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 00:20:54 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <Iy-dnekREvT8SSCgXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142176

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> Since I'm not a drunk (like you) I wouldn't know. But I DO know that
> you have failed repeatedly to post any PROOF that I am somehow
> "Steve", and you said you would "Gladly" post it. Where is it, Aanal
> Aaron? Who is "Steve" and how am "I" him? Everybody knows I'm Richard,
> yet(i) somehow you still have this wierd idea that I'm "Steve". You
> lose again, Aanal Aaron. As usual.

The burden of proving him wrong rests squarly in your end Steve, this comes
from your own words and actions.

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove thier correct.

Richard Cranium

3399. OT: Fisher X-101-B Xformer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 00:26:34 UTC
From: Debunker <dontyou@believeit.com>
Message-ID: <3DBDD5BA.8EE853E6@believeit.com>
Source record: 142177

Anybody know where to get a NOS or can rewind the one I got?

Maybe an X-101-B that's trashed but has a good transformer.

3400. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 00:30:20 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <8FmdnXCajOIKSyCgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 142178

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> just like there are many truckers tired of the base stations throwing dead
keys
> on them, the loud skip noise on channel 19, and the ht toating geeks who
keep
> trying to get them fired for talking on a two way in a spot there not
licensed
> for.

Hey Tim, I thought most of these guys talk to truck directly in front and back of them how does the dead key from a base all of a sudden now selectivly in fact bother them. Guys with 1.5 kw ssb werent going to effect it before.

3401. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 00:43:06 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210281643.49f28cf2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142179

"Checkerboard Strangler" <jhaas84@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<PUfv9.111662$Hj7.58179@rwcrnsc53>...
> > He can do it himself with a CB..When you get close enough that he pins
> your
> > S meter,disconnect your coax(antenna) and you can drive right to his
> house,
> > using your S meter.
> >
> > BTW,don't transmit while your coax is off.
> >
> > Just be polite,if you go to his door...
>
> Naaaah, screw politness, just remember to stick the pin
> in his coax so that it goes THROUGH the center conductor.

Right, don't approach the guy as one adult to another. Instead, try
juvenile vandalism and risk getting shot for trespassing. Gee, great
advice....

> Even more fun:
> Tie the coax to your rear bumper with some aircraft cable and take off,
> and watch his entire rig come flying out the window, mic and all.

When it hit solid window sash the coax would separate from the
connector. But thanks for adding yet another potentially harmful "CB
Myth" to our collection.

-Aaron-

3402. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 00:47:47 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <TUkv9.113869$wU3.5373464@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 142180

Up here the Restricted Radio Operators, Land class takes about 2 hrs and 1 hr for the test. The Air and Marine courses are a bit longer.

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021028181420.334$d1@newsreader.com...
> "GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com> wrote:
> >> Here in CT, you have to take about a 7 or 8 hour course to get yer
> >> permit
> > > to carry a concealed handgun.
> > > You also have to take a 10 hour course to get your boating/personal
> > > watercraft licence. (makes sense, doesnt it !)
> > > How long you reckon a chatterbox ticket schooling should be ??
> > >
> Good point.
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em..
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

3403. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 00:55:33 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBDDC85.BAE1F089@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142187

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Richard Cranium wrote:
> >
> > > Just like Aanal Aaron! He never could post any proof that I'm somehow
> > > "Steve", even though he said he would "Gladly" post it. Guess we now
> > > know he doesn't have any proof!
> >
> >> Good grief, get over it dickieboy!! You must really be steve or
> > you wouldn't be so insistent in trying to convince everyone you're
> > not.
> >
> Who gives a shit anyway?!?
>
My sentiments exactly, who give a crap that dickieboy is really
steve.

3404. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 01:29:06 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBDE462.32ECF2C6@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142191

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> >
> You're a pretty fart smeller.

Gee, I didn't know you even cared. Must be your farts I'm
smelling.

3405. Re: OT: Fisher X-101-B Xformer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 01:32:16 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <a5ucnWtqKL2BeCCgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142183

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021028193754.047$35@newsreader.com...
> Debunker <dontyou@believeit.com> wrote:
> >> Anybody know where to get a NOS or can rewind the one I got?
> >
> > Maybe an X-101-B that's trashed but has a good transformer.
> >
> Another Comcast..

No it is just scott69

3406. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 02:41:18 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urrtaijhuqafa6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142185

Yes. It used to be that you needed a license to use a marine radio. It still may be if the boat is larger than a certain size, but the FCC has essentially given up on all regulation except that which affects big business (i.e. commercial broadcast radio/television, cell phones, cable - various stuff like that). There once was a restricted radiotelephone license which was necessary to use a radio in a taxicab. Maybe that was the 3rd class radiotelephone license, I'm not sure. There was a broadcast endorsement for the 3rd class radiotelephone license which enabled you to run the controls of a non-directional AM station of 5,000 watts or less. You needed a 2nd class radiotelephone license to service cb sets (I'm sure many folks have some real stories to tell of some wannabe techs that ruined radios for a living). Finally, to run the controls of any radio station over 5,000 watts or a directional AM radio station or television station, you needed the 1st class radiotelephone license. That was a rather nasty test. I remember well one the first questions on the darned thing. What is the frequency of the video carrier of channel 6 television in the United States? This meant you not only had to know where the video and audio carriers were within the assigned bandwidth, you had to know the splits between the channels. Now, they leave that up to private groups whose main interest is to make sure you keep up your membership to be considered 'qualified'. i.e. $$$ The only requirement today (I think - this may have changed) is that the chief engineer of the broadcast station must have a general radiotelephone operators license (formerly the 2nd class radiotelephone license). Considering how they have watered down the requirements, it is very unlikely they are going to worry about John Q. Public running a 5 watt cb set. They don't have the number of people to actually do much enforcement (they watch out for the guys with the buck$ - i.e. commercial interests). I honestly think you have hit the nail on the head. Unfortunately (and I get caught up in this too), the amateurs and cbers get into a lot of arguments over this. The number of people who actually know and actually enjoy breaking the rules are probably quite small on both sides, yet you still hear real garbage on 75 meters (and 20 too) as well as the happy freebanders and 10 meter intruders. I thank you for your post. You make a valid point, but it makes too much sense to ever be adapted. They dropped all those rules years ago (anyone remember when they dropped the licensing for 27 MHz cb?).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message news:apke65mil1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU...
> Let's assume for a moment that the majority of the illegal cb activity into
> the amateur bands is a result of ignorance on the part of the radio

> operator. They are ignorant of the rules and regs because there is no
> mechanism in place that requires them to learn the rules and regs.
>
> Many states have programs in place that require people to take basic
> safety/regulation courses to make sure that they have a basic
understanding
> of the activities they are engaging in. For example, hunters and boaters
> have to take basic safety/regulation courses before they are allowed to
> engaged in their respective activities. The purpose for this is not only
to
> ensure the safety of the general public, but also the safety of the
> individual engaged in the activity.
>
> Why not require cb users to complete a basic RF safety/regulation course
> before allowing them to operate their radios? It seems to me that everyone
> would benefit to some extent; the user obtains a greater knowledge and
> understanding of their hobby, interference into the ham bands is reduced,
> and potential health and safety problems are reduced.
>
> I know there will always be some hams and cber's who will, for whatever
> reason, deliberately violate the law and there is not much we can do about
> them. However, that doesn't mean that proactive steps can't be taken.
>
>

3407. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 02:42:17 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBDF589.A3046E8A@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142203

The Searchers wrote:

>
> Was the 11meter band originally allocated to the Amateur Radio Service?

Yes

3408. Re: get on with it Yeti.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 02:45:47 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dbdf401.6567766@news.cet.com>
Source record: 142188

In <3DBDAFBE.F5AD6273@yahoo.com>, nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com
wrote:

>Back after a nice weekend just relaxing... now onward through the
>frankie maze of cannon fodder...
>
>Well Buck'O...
>
>I typed out a much longer reply to each of your issues, then tried to post it
>only to have my original news group program refuse to take it for size
>issues. So I'm back using a different program, but would just like to respond
>with specific statements. If you care to believe them
>or not, it's on you after this post. As time allows, I'm working 10 questions
>up for you to "have at."

I await your queries with great anticipation.

>First off: In a totally different thread, I re-posted someone's original Post
>- Email text to me which stated the 6146 tube was possibly used in TV
>horizontal oscillator
>section. The initial posts, lead me to believe some of the early Heathkit
>project TV sets used the 6146. That's pretty much the whole deal... Since the
>6146 would probably
>work in the application, I had no reason to ask for more detailed specifics.
>Nothing wrong with that is there...?
>
>If your going to take me to task over said re-post, there's not much I would
>care to do about it. Researching TV sets using 6146 tubes is not a burning
>issue for anyone but you. I don't much care past the general mention of the
>possibility that the 6146 tube would probably function in the application. It
>would not be out of line to assume it might have been done based on someone
>else's mention of it.

The problem is your definition of 'sweep tube'. Because the sweep tube
is typically a power pentode, you seem to classify all power pentodes
as 'sweep tubes', which is far from the truth:

The TRUTH is that sweep tubes were designed for one purpose only: they
are optimized for use as horizontal deflection amplifiers at about 16
kHz, which is a far cry from 27 MEGA-Hertz. This is demonstrated by
examining the interelectrode capacitances for both types of tubes: The
input and output capacitances for a 6LF6 are twice that for a 6146,
and the all-important grid-to-plate capacitance (the main factor that
determines the maximum usable frequency) is more than TWELVE TIMES
larger! Not only is the sweep tube non-linear at RF frequencies, it is
also very prone to self-oscillation. They require a significant amount

of neutralization just to get them to work on RF, linear or not! (As for grounded-grid operation, see below.)

The TRUTH is that some tubes are designed for general purpose use, while others are designed for very specific applications. RF power tubes were designed for RF power applications, and sweep tubes were designed for horizontal deflection amplifiers. True, some power pentodes will work in applications for which they were not intended, but cannot be used AS IF that was the specific application for which they were designed. Sweep tubes and RF power tubes are, by and large, NOT interchageable as if they were designed for the same purpose!

Now if you are wondering why I am hung up on this insignificant bit of information, it's because it's not insignificant at all. The difference is the difference between a clean signal and a signal loaded with harmonics, between an efficient and an inefficient amplifier, and between good and mediocre engineering practices.

>Second: You dance and sing the HF & VHF Low Band amplifier song more than you
>should. As you have already pointed out, I do have current FCC Licenses
>around 31Mhz allowing significant power levels which would allow me to use an
>FCC type accepted amplifier in line with a legal transmitter to obtain my
>authorized power limit. I have the mentioned type accepted amplifiers in
>service now. One can purchase legal type accepted amplifiers easily enough
>from Ebay, I do it all the time and there is no legality issues to worry
>about.

You have not mentioned one amplifier that's type-accepted/certificated for use between 24 and 35 MHz on the services for which you have a license, or for any other service on those freqs.

>You asked me for a Company currently making amplifiers for services within
>your original specs, I mentioned the Alpha Crosslink 99 as an example. The
>issue of any company currently making amplifiers within your magic window
>(posted rules) of frequencies is another thread, aside from the subject of
>manufactures making type accepted amplifiers is a sidebar or separate thread
>topic. If you care to go over the rules and regulations into detail, do it
>in another thread and we can hack up the issues and legalities there-of. I
>don't care to pour over the details of 2.185, but you could at least have the
>courtesy to post a working url (web location) where readers could review the
>actual text. It would do much to support any points you might care to make.

It's simple logic, Skippy. Your license limits you to the use of equipment that's type-accepted/certificated for that service. There is no amplifier being manufactured that meets that criteria. Therefore, use of an amplifier on those frequencies, even WITH a license, is illegal because you would be using equipment that isn't type-accepted or certificated.

You can try and twist the rules all you want in order to justify your position Skippy, but the fact remains that amps on those freqs is illegal. Period.

>Third: IB is a valid FCC License hard copy designation for the Industrial
>Business Radio Service. Not much I can or care to do about the FCC web page(s)
>only using the IG label, but IB is clearly typed on original hard copies I
>have. I'm not about to post hard copies of licenses on the net, but since
>your a radio person "working in the business," maybe you'd care to
>review some of the licenses for equipment for which you might have access
>to. ...after all, "you work for one of them... "

There is no IB service listed by the FCC, and there are no licenses
listed for the IB service. If you doubt that, go check the database
yourself. Here's the link:

http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

>Where your going with your triode, tetrode and pentode mode questions is not
>yet clear. If you can try to get past your dumb question line up to some
>point you want
>to ask, then I and others might answer it. I see some serious theory holes in
>your past posts and replies, they will be brought to your attention when I get
>my 10 questions
>ready to post. I consider a lot of your previous questions very broad,
>leading or open ended... I believe that if your actual tube rf amplifier
>theory were better, you would have been more specific or detailed with your
>questions. Then I and or others would be able to give you a more complete
>answer; rather than asking you questions to narrow down exactly what you want
>to have us reply about.

It's very simple: The term "mu" is used to describe the amplification
factor of a triode, and can be "high-", "medium-" or "low-mu", which
are relative comparisons for all triodes. A pentode (or tetrode, beam
power tube, sweep tube, etc.) can be connected and used as a triode,
in which case it is rated by it's amplification factor, or "mu", just
like a triode. The problem here is that you seem to think that a
triode-connected pentode is somehow NOT working as a triode, and that
the term "low-mu" describes something other than the amplification
factor of a triode. You have yet to explain why they do NOT work as
triodes, OR how a triode-connected pentode DOES work. But let me take
a guess:

Grounded-grid operation eliminates the need for neutralization, and
triode operation will linearize the operation of the tube. But this is
at the sacrifice of efficiency. The grid of a grounded-grid amplifier
conducts for a considerable portion of the cycle. RF power tubes
designed specifically for grounded-grid operation have hefty grids
that can dissipate that power, but sweep tubes don't. Therefore, the
sweep tubes that are used as grounded-grid amplifiers must keep the
grid current to a minimum. There are only two ways to do this. The
first is to run the tube Class C (which, of course, is not linear).
The second is by reducing the power gain of the tube EVEN LOWER than
the typical gain of a grounded-grid amplifier, resulting in a very
inefficient amplifier. Is THAT what you mean by "low-mu"?

>Onward...

3409. Re: Yeti question and answer time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 02:51:16 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <EImv9.235800$121.6633545@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142184

<nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3DBDCC56.1FFF993D@yahoo.com...
> We'd like to get a few answers from you Yeti, they don't really count
> as "my ten questions for you," but they should be answered so we know
> your position on previous posts that are unclear. I've cut and pasted
> the actual text from some of your previous statements and reply follow
> up posts below. Take some time and read through them, nothing is made
> up here... but each of my questions should be answered, else we think
> your actual rf theory is weak.
>
>
> In your Wed, 23 Oct 2002 01:30:58 post, you wrote
> :Just take a look at the schematic for the 1200-X. The screen and
> :suppressor are tied to the plate, just like I said. All three grids
> :are NOT grounded as YOU said.
>
> "Tied to the plate" eh..? So this is what you think..? ...or you can
> also make mistakes... typing or otherwise..? Let me compare this to
> my 6146 in TV re-post. If a person reading said were to use a 6146 as a
> sweep tube... they might have a measure of actual operational success.
> OK..? If the same person tied any grid to the plate in an RF amplifier
> circuit, a sweep or 6146 tube would "GO POOF..!" Which is the larger
> issue here..? You may correct your statement, but you still posted it
> as shown and we can now see you easily make mistakes, serious
> mistakes...
>
> :LOL! I would sure like to see an amp where all three grids are tied to
> :ground. Got an example of that somewhere, (You realize, of course, that
>
> :this will be another of those lingering questions until
> :you come up with proof!)
>
> Please define DC and Signal grounds in an rf amplifier... Is it not
> possible that a grid would have an AC "signal ground" and a different dc
> potential..? Isn't it rather common..?
>
> : It's possible to run Class AB2 using ANY tetrode or pentode. However,
> : with a sweep tube, it's almost essential to run AB1 to avoid the
> : severe grid current distortion. Swan even makes a point of this in
> : their manuals.
>
> I'm almost falling out of my chair laughing over your above
> statement... Can you give us any clue as to the mode of most
> grounded grid sweep tube rf amplifiers and why..? Their advantages

> besides being a low cost device for a linear rf amplifier..?
>
> : Maybe not "high fidelity", but you most certainly have to keep the
> : distortion to a minimum. Harmonics can sneak right through a pi tank
> : just like it wasn't there, despite the fact that they are low-pass
> : filters. The reason is because they are resonant, and that means they
> : are also resonant on the harmonics. Linearity is the key to reducing
> : harmonic distortion when you are talking about linear amps. Jeez
> : Skippy, that's AC-101 stuff, I can't believe you didn't know that!
>
> Have you ever measure the output of a sweep tube amplifier using a pi
> tank circuit..? Would you say a pi circuit would never allow FCC legal
> operational harmonic levels in a well
> designed grounded grid amplifier..?
>
> : Now don't duck the question like you do all the other questions: How
> : is triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode more like "low-mu" and
> : not like a triode? (Another lingering question -- I guess I am going
> : to have to make a list if you keep spewing these ridiculous claims!)
>
> Actually a pretty good question, but rather leading as you post it...
> the answer is another question... what is the role of the triode/pentode
> G2, G3 grids in a grounded grid amplifier circuit..? Although not
> active with potentials, the G2 & G3 grids are present and affect the
> circuit operation. You seem to lack understand of or ignore their role
> in a grounded grid amplifier. In short, do they do nothing for the
> circuit..?
>
> Tue, 22 Oct 2002 03:46:39
> : I didn't say "all three grids grounded 'triode operation'". Triode
> : operation is when the screen (and suppressor, if there is one) is tied
>
> : to the plate. If you ground all three grids as you suggest, all you
> : will do is melt your tube (if you don't nuke your power supply first).
>
> : What I DID say was that the tubes were used as triodes instead of
> : tetrodes or pentodes because of the linearity gained from operating
> : them as triodes. And using triodes in a grounded grid configuration is
>
> : not suprising when the circuit requires linearity.
>
> Whoops.. there's that grid tied to the plate statement, again made in a
> different separate post. Maybe that's what you actually wanted to
> say..? Assume for one moment, there is adequate linearity in a typical
> or example amateur grounded grid rf amplifier... what if any other
> significant advantages do we get from the circuit?
>
> : Thu, 24 Oct 2002
> : The power supply: Series connection of diodes isn't a very good idea
> : without a parallel voltage divider (=especially= in a voltage

> : multiplier circuit), and resistors should also be used to balance the
> : current in any parallel semiconductor load. I see the lack of them as
> : a real possibility for failure.
>
> Your "verbose analysis" of the KLV brand amplifier circuit... (I cut
> some non related text off) What if anything is wrong with your just
> above statement..? In specific, the inclusion of resistors into the
> circuit..?
>
> : Now for the amplifier: Notice the use of the triode configuration of
> : the sweep tubes, and that they are used as grounded grid amplifiers.
> If
> : there was no bias supply (just a grid leak resistor and cap) the tube
> : would be working as a Class C by the very nature of grounded grid
> : operation. This is because grid current flows for half the cycle,
> : effectively pulling the grid negative all by itself. So if you want
> : linear Class AB or B then you need a grid supply with very good
> : regulation. A simple half-wave rectifier and RC filter isn't very good
>
> : regulation. But here's something even more interesting: only the grid
> : is biased, not the screen/suppressor that should be part of the
> : effective grid.
>
> What are the roles/function of the screen and suppressor grids in the
> circuit..?
>
> : The result is that this amp could indeed be running
> : Class C; if I'm wrong and it really does run Class AB2 then it will be
>
> doing so with considerable distortion because of the poor regulation
>
> How would you set up C, B, AB1, AB2, A operation for this amplifier...?
> which is the best for this circuit..?
>
> : of the grid supply. Grid-current distortion is going to be prominent
> : in AB2 unless the grid supply can be adjusted so grid current is
> : flowing for more than half the cycle -- which, of course, isn't a good
>
> : condition at all because the plates are going to turn a nice shade of
> : vermillion. In order to avoid this the plate voltage must be reduced
> : in order to reduce the plate current, which isn't likely after seeing
> : that they use six plate caps.
>
> How would you make the mentioned AB2 grid supply adjustment/change ..?
> What is the best linear mode for this circuit in a classic Amateur
> layout..?
> ... and why...?
>
> : After that, I see that these amps have only a pi output filter. This
> : does little for harmonics, and should be followed by a non-resonant

> : low-pass filter. To not include the LP-filter in the design when it's
> : required for proper operation is a decision based solely on profits,
> : and not on good engineering concepts and practices.
>
> Why is a LP filter "required for proper operation" when the many "off
> the shelf" amateur amplifiers use only pi or pi-L tank circuits..? Are
> they
> all poorly engineered..? Is legal operation not possible with only a
> pi or pi-L tank circuit..?
>
> : So I can say, without any doubt or hesitation in my mind, that this is
>
> : a noisy amp, and somewhat prone to failure by a couple design flaws.
>
> And those design flaws are..? and the noise level is _____________
> ..? as measured or determined by _____________ ..?
>
> : It seems that if they put as much effort into designing a proper grid
> : biasing circuit and power supply that they put into designing the
> : preamp, this might be a really good unit. It would be an even better
> : amp using -real- triodes instead of sweep tubes kludged as triodes.
>
> What would be a proper grid biasing circuit and power supply..? What
> would be a comparable "real triode" currently made and sold for less
> than $20 US new..?
>
> : The advantages? The amp -does- have three different power indicators,
> more buttons and knobs than a
> : typical amp, and a cheap price tag. So it's perfectly suited for it's
> intended market.First off you need to
> : realize that the intended purpose is as a CB amplifier, as if that
> wasn't obvious already.
>
> Where is the intended market mentioned..? Are there no other
> countries that allow 10 meter amplifiers to be made or sold... Is this
> amplifier made in the US..?
>
> : With that in mind, the pre-amplifier is effectively useless, doing
> nothing other than making
> : the noise floor louder.
>
> Companies like ARR, Sinclair, Telewave and Angle Linear all make various
> fet amplifiers... If the noise figure is less than say 1.5 dB, but the
> signal gain is greater
> than say 13 dB, doesn't that sound like a pretty good preamp..? Even
> if it were a lower gain Dual Gate fet..? would you say the advantage is
> overkill..?
>
> (Preamps are very useful with radios that have weak receive, such as
> older, antique radios and homebrew receivers;

> for modern radios that can pick up a mosquito farting at forty paces
> they are nothing but overkill.)
>
> So most all of the Western States Weak Signal Groups and similar Eastern
> US Weak signal groups with modern radios and fet pre amps are wasting
> their time..?
>
>
> Wed, 23 Oct 2002
> : Strangely enough, I'm not suprised. Considering that when the screen
> : is tied to the grid the amplification factor is 4.4 (very low), and
> : connecting it to ground is only going to make that number lower. So
>
> "Screen tied to the grid" ..?
>
> : that amp can't have much gain, which is a terrible waste of those
> : tubes which are capable of so much more. And the low gain also limits
> : it's dissipation, so that's why the grids don't melt. But I'll bet
> : it's really smooth through every one of those six big decibels!
>
> Is there not at least one or two un-mentioned significant advantages to
> the circuit..?
> Are you not missing a point of why the circuit is so popular..? You
> mean I can't get more than 6 db gain from
> sweep tube rf amplifier..?
>
> : If you have so much experience picking up legal amps for services
> : between 25 and 34 MHz, why can't you even provide one reference --
> : website, manufacturer & model, or even an FCC reg -- that supports
> : your claim?
>
> TPL-Brand, Model:PA1-1FE Three of them just closed on Ebay, item
> numbers 1776789478, 1776789530, 1776789590 .
>
> Don't know or care if they are in current production, but they are legal
> examples
> I can and do currently use.
>
> Who knows, if you "get enough of these right," I might count them as
> your
> ten magic questions.
>
> skipp
> http://sonic.ucdavis.edu
>

Ouch! So Frank, what's your rebuttal?

3410. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 02:56:28 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <wNmv9.235832$121.6634877@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142186

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12121-3DBD890D-860@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: rchapman8@houston.rr.com (Randy)
> "techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
> news:api9or$1np$1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU...
> Very well said!
> ==========
> >Oh boy! A top posting newby pats aaron on >the back! LOL
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Hahhahaha...only he ain't a newbie........some "new" post's headers are
> duplicates of "Packrat"s (my my) and another matches that of our
> favorite "Quest" poster.
>

May have missed that, Twist. Please forgive me! <g>

3411. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 02:57:45 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urru9ef7gjere3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142190

No, Twist, I've seen it stated that 10 meters is **largely** unused. No, no one stated it isn't used, just seldom used with a lot of wide open space. I really don't feel like searching the archives ... I have seen it stated (roughly quoted that it is hardly used). In fact, it may have been on UJs site. There are a couple of junivile delinquents loose there of late. I don't know what post got me started - usually I let the other guys take the blood pressure medication. Myself, I'll just have a 6 pack and leave this whole mess alone for a while. I saw one good post and believe that is closer to the truth than most care to admit. A lot of places sell radios. A lot of folks don't know what all those 'uppers' and 'lowers' are, other than extra channels. Oh well, on to the 6 pack. ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13010-3DBD3C07-811@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
Just a thought. The argument is that it isn't being used. <snip>
==========
I haven't seen anyone defending intruding on hammie bands on rec.radio.cb., let alone claim a defense such as you suggest. Am I missing posts, Jim, or are you speculating?

3412. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 03:10:58 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urrv272mlbk240@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

With that, I agree. To suggest that all truck drivers talk like that is ludicrous. I'll have to recheck his post. Posting one's name may not generate credibility, but using an anonymiser certainly drives the credibility to **zero** in a hurry. Jerry doesn't fit that. Back to the truck driver business; I've met a number of them where I work. Every one that I met was both friendly and most articulate. One guy I met saw me looking over the truck (from a distance). I don't know diddly about trucks, but that thing was absolutely beautiful. He told me he just bought it 3 months earlier. I forget what he said it cost, but it seems to me it was somewhat over $200,000. More than most homes. Sure, I noticed the antennas. Never asked. It is a lot easier to be an idiot over the internet than when you meet an individual and find out he is a decent guy working hard at making a living. On that last point, I would hope all of us would agree.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Skateboard'n" <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote in message news:Kp3v9.520$Pg7.136335496@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:urpee19t1t5u81@corp.supernews.com...
> > Relevant? My point was 1) there is a problem. Yes, some truckers and
> some
> > radio shops have been popped.
>
> My response of being relevant was to your second statement, I had no beef
> with your first statement, you addressed the reason of my post..... not
> _ALL_ drivers talk alike.
>
> My statement still stands..... his intentions may be good..... but he's
> still an ass !
>
> > 2) the fact that some have been busted tells some of us there is a
> problem.
> > Jerry just gets vocal about it, but doesn't spam with some of the sexual
> > innuendo that the anonymous posters do. At least give him credit for
> saying
> > what he thinks without hiding his identity.
>
> How does posting one's full name generate credibility?
> ><snip>
>
> --

> _____l Tom
> __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
> /________l_____________________
> O OO\ O O\
>
>
>

3413. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 03:29:23 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urs04oaaauv328@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Whilst I did state it is wrong to paint all truck drivers with a wide brush, I would post this question to you. If one were to run LARGE amounts of power (and this does NOT necessarily imply truckers) or intrude on 10 meters (where a lot of hams get unhappy), would it make sense to do it day after day from a base station? Why or why not? Ever listen to a mobile legal cb mobile? Ever notice how (assuming it isn't skip) often gets stronger for a bit and then weaker. Ever heard skip? Can you usually tell the difference between a skip station and a local station (yes, they might be strong, but fading in and out will occur on a skip station). The fact is that a moving target is much harder to hit. Take the shootouts. Why not just run a gazillion watts from your own driveway? My guess is that most base stations running illegal power will be fairly modest (on the order of 50 to 200 watts). One runs into interference problems with neighbors otherwise. I'm guessing here and would invite some of the skip shooters to put the square dope out on this - but my guess is the real high power is likely run mobile because, as I stated, the moving target is harder to hit. One kid (local in Rochester, NY) was interfering with the local 10 meter repeater. Dumb idea. Too obvious that he was local. All you need is a couple of hams with beams and you know where he is within a few blocks. Now, this doesn't prove truckers - but it almost certainly will be a mobile station to get away with it over any period of time. Of course, some truckers have been caught. Therefore, some folks have drawn conclusions.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Skateboard'n" <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote in message news:Fm4v9.527$Yl.140369969@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> > Suggest you go to this link and you can listen to the way truckers
> > using 10 meters illegally talk/
>
> Perhaps _YOU_ need to listen to the recordings on that site !!
>
> Okay... after listening to the "incriminating evidence", here's my findings.
>
> #1 -- Some guy bragging about "if you can't back it up"... more then likely,
> he's referring to someone out there that thinks that their radio is stronger
> then him.
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!

>
> #2-- Some guy talking about vacation... overtime... & sick leave. This
> eliminates almost all of the trucking industry except maybe the teamsters
&
> a few others. The majority of the trucking industry is paid by the mile or
> load. _NO_ where do they say they drive a truck !
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #3 -- Two guys & a lady talking about the price of cigarettes & ex's,
> sounded more like some people on bases chatting.
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #4 -- One guy say's something about another guy hiding paper towels &
toilet
> paper "back here"... "that's where all of it goes 'cause it never makes it
> up there to Ft. Smith".
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #5 -- No sure what's being said here.. something about something where
> someone's at & anther fellow says something "That corn had some good
> thickness to it".
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #6 -- This one I can't make out much except the "panty" part.
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> #7 -- This is a fellow asking someone where they're at in Montana.
>
> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>
> **********
>
>
> My thought's are that someone's full of crap, there is not even a slight
> mention or even an inkling of truckers being involved, just more
> stereotyping. Every conversation on that site could have come from a base
> with a radio that's been modified by almost any CB Hacknetion.
>
>
> --
> _____l Tom
> __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
> /________l_____________________
> O OO\ O O\
>

>
>
>

3414. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 03:34:16 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021028223416.01187.00001219@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 142192

who do you suggest should do this?

>Therefore, I would like to see companies
>notified and give them a
>chance to rectify the problem internally

3415. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 03:37:21 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <qmnv9.730$cz2.489@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142194

Richard Cranium <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210281547.a4ed98c@posting.google.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:<5qqdnRIB3NltoiGgXTWc3g@comcast.com>...
> > "Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> > news:20021027141841.27811.00000507@mb-df.aol.com...
> > > if one of the big three made a easy to use radio that did worth a
> > damn on AM
> > > then maybe they would use them. the only ones that do are big as
> > hell and still
> > > dont sound as good as a cheap cb on AM
> >
> > Dump the AM CB's and use the FM GMRS or PMRS rigs. The skip noise
> > isn't going to sound any better no matter what AM rig is used on 11m.
>
> If you (and Georgie-girl and Aanal Aaron and Jerry Ox) don't like what
> you hear on 11 meters, why do you still listen to it? Listen to the
> amateur bands, or GMRS, or MURS, or something you enjoy. Are you truly
> masochists, or what?

I am NOT listening to 11 Meters. I am monitoring 10 Meters--you know, that
band where some of us obtained privileges by fulfilling the requirements
instead of just yapping where we damn please.

J

3416. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 03:37:48 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021028223748.01187.00001220@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 142193

thank you Mr Busch

>
>Yes

3417. Re: Open message to Cheesecutter, Tim Nebo and Train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 03:40:03 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021028224003.01187.00001221@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 142195

nah i think she probably likes riding it, why else would she let chuck live in the basement for so long?

>timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote:
>>> i bet thats your mothers favorite finger
>>
>I bet his mom is tired of it too.

3418. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 03:47:41 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021028224741.01187.00001222@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 142197

that was your example of the drivers discussing things they were both seeing at the same time. calling for road info from locals, smokey reports, and shit of that nature has to be done to drivers or bases who could be anywhere

>Hey Tim, I thought most of these guys talk to truck directly in front and
>back of them how does the dead key from a base all of a sudden now
>selectivly in fact bother them. Guys with 1.5 kw ssb werent going to effect
>it before.

3419. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 03:49:30 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Pxnv9.765$cz2.33@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142198

Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021028223416.01187.00001219@mb-mk.aol.com...
> who do you suggest should do this?

1) FCC

2) or Amateur volunteers using form letters and a list of trucking
companies. All these volunteers would do is just mail out the form letters
to the companies. That, of course, wouldn't get all the
mom & pop, single truck outfits, but it would make a dent.

The next move would be that which is going on now; the letters to the
Communications Sub-Com-
mittee and Greg Walden to come up with enforcement strategy.

I know that many folks scoff and don't take us seriously. But there are
many more than me, I assure you, that are absolutely serious in getting
something done about this.

As to going to VHF/UHF, it WOULD be very good for the drivers since the
noise and skip would
be greatly reduced. I think they would actually LIKE it.

Jerry

>
> >Therefore, I would like to see companies
> >notified and give them a
> >chance to rectify the problem internally
>
>
>
>

3420. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 03:49:31 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBE054B.7CA3ADDF@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142206

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> > If you (and Georgie-girl and Aanal Aaron and Jerry Ox) don't like what
> > you hear on 11 meters, why do you still listen to it? Listen to the
> > amateur bands, or GMRS, or MURS, or something you enjoy. Are you truly
> > masochists, or what?
>
> I am NOT listening to 11 Meters. I am monitoring 10 Meters--you know, that
> band where some of us obtained privileges by fulfilling the requirements
> instead of just yapping where we damn please.
>
> J

Dickieboy can't find the 10 meter band so he thinks no one else
can either.

3421. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 03:52:52 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBE0614.A85925B4@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142208

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > The Searchers wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Was the 11meter band originally allocated to the Amateur Radio Service?
> >
> >> Yes
> >
> That's why they're pissed.

I have never run across an ham that was upset over the loss of 11
meters. The majority of hams today were not even hams or around
when 11 meters was a ham band. The loss of 11 meters had virtually
no effect on ham radio, just too bad they had to turn it over to a
bunch of fools.

3422. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 03:54:19 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021028225419.01187.00001223@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 142199

jim the larger signals you here on channel 6 are from bases although most common big bases are sb220's there are some running big ceramic tubes as well but the HUGE power is almost always mobile. my favorite guy to listen to is Black Ambasador from california with his 8 element yagi, dual 3cx3000's, and his collins rig. man he's a fine sounding signal. so is 22 steel in the great lakes with that single 3cx15,000. toll free was the man that can tell you about alot of big bases out there in southern cali. there and in NY are where the really big bases seem to be.

>
>
>
>Whilst I did state it is wrong to paint all truck drivers with a wide brush,
>I would post this question to you. If one were to run LARGE amounts of
>power (and this does NOT necessarily imply truckers) or intrude on 10 meters
>(where a lot of hams get unhappy), would it make sense to do it day after
>day from a base station? Why or why not? Ever listen to a mobile legal cb
>mobile? Ever notice how (assuming it isn't skip) often gets stronger for a
>bit and then weaker. Ever heard skip? Can you usually tell the difference
>between a skip station and a local station (yes, they might be strong, but
>fading in and out will occur on a skip station). The fact is that a moving
>target is much harder to hit. Take the shootouts. Why not just run a
>gazillion watts from your own driveway? My guess is that most base stations
>running illegal power will be fairly modest (on the order of 50 to 200
>watts). One runs into interference problems with neighbors otherwise. I'm
>guessing here and would invite some of the skip shooters to put the square
>dope out on this - but my guess is the real high power is likely run mobile
>because, as I stated, the moving target is harder to hit. One kid (local in
>Rochester, NY) was interfering with the local 10 meter repeater. Dumb idea.
>Too obvious that he was local. All you need is a couple of hams with beams
>and you know where he is within a few blocks. Now, this doesn't prove
>truckers - but it almost certainly will be a mobile station to get away with
>it over any period of time. Of course, some truckers have been caught.
>Therefore, some folks have drawn conclusions.
>
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>
>
>
>"Skateboard'n" <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote in message
>news:Fm4v9.527$Yl.140369969@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message

>> > Suggest you go to this link and you can listen to the way truckers
>> > using 10 meters illegally talk/
>>
>> Perhaps _YOU_ need to listen to the recordings on that site !!
>>
>> Okay... after listening to the "incriminating evidence", here's my
>findings.
>>
>> #1 -- Some guy bragging about "if you can't back it up"... more then
>likely,
>> he's referring to someone out there that thinks that their radio is
>stronger
>> then him.
>>
>> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>>
>> #2-- Some guy talking about vacation... overtime... & sick leave. This
>> eliminates almost all of the trucking industry except maybe the teamsters
>&
>> a few others. The majority of the trucking industry is paid by the mile or
>> load. _NO_ where do they say they drive a truck !
>>
>> Absolutely nothing was said in reference to trucks or truck drivers !!
>>
>> #3 -- Two guys & a lady talking about the price of cigarettes & ex's,
>> sounded more like some people on bases chatting.
>>

3423. Re: Open message to Cheesecutter, Tim Nebo and Train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 04:03:08 UTC
From: qweuryqiweyrioqweyrquioweyriuoqeywruioqwerueyqwr
<48375237858723465892346 5@458273465873465879234587384658734 65.com`>
Message-ID: <g22sru4udgsph8in7upllvk77e1moptshh@4ax.com>
Source record: 142200

On 29 Oct 2002 03:40:03 GMT, timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) was
blabbling:

...nah i think she probably likes riding it, why else would she let
chuck live in
...the basement for so long?

you talk alot of shit behind a keyboard nigger, maybe I ought to come
to dallas and stomp your ass.

3424. Re: snork snork

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 04:25:52 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <Q5ov9.860$I63.348110917@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 142201

<Moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> You sound like a regular jackass.

Thanks for the compliment. I thrive on attention.

toodles,
--
-

General Johnson Jameson, Ret.
USAF Alien Spacecraft Recovery Team

" This is your brain, and this is your brain on CB....Any questions? "

3425. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 04:41:03 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210282041.511c6a30@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142202

"GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<Bsjv9.153425$La5.500571@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>...
> Here in CT, you have to take about a 7 or 8 hour course to get yer permit to
> carry a concealed handgun.
> You also have to take a 10 hour course to get your boating/personal
> watercraft licence. (makes sense, doesnt it !)
> How long you reckon a chatterbox ticket schooling should be ??

what are you talking about here???? schooling.....oh good
grief...most of these miscreants can't even spell correctly........and
that is after 12 years of schooling........so perhaps you have a
better idea in mind????

3426. Re: a nice one for you sparky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 04:45:17 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210282045.594d4639@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142204

timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie) wrote in message
news:<20021028092901.01237.00000920@mb-mk.aol.com>...
> i probably wont even hear you until you hit exit 20 lol
>
> >
> >
> >ah.....that wonderful exit number 10 off the gulf freeway......what a
> >wonderful place to live.............and you were speaking to me about
> >dead women on the 1 45 corridor????......pimp.........so now you tell
> >me somebody has to come down south to give your rinky dink ebay bought
> >junky ole radio station a stand off severe radio asswhipping..... and
> >from a mobile no less......???? is that correct pimp???? so
> >somebody has to come down there and show you how the cow eats the
> >cabbage ....boy.................?????
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

nobody but nobody, but nobody.........drops the maul like the
wrecking ball..... good buddy.......and as far as that goes anyone
with a big radio, can drop the everlovin bionic thump on your station
from the ritz cabaret.........good buddy.......

3427. Cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 05:10:58 UTC
From: Jeff Rogerson <jeffrogerson@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <TOov9.8863$ag4.111826@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 142205

Hey all,
Just wondering what the best omni directional base antenna would be....
How would the following rate?
- solarcon I Max-2000
- S 2020 / spyder / sp-1600 5/8 wave
- maco v58 5/8

Thanks in advance :)

3428. Re: wanted super scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 05:52:40 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <Impv9.930$lk6.75439@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142207

Hey Dave! How are three phased 1/2 wave verticals "worse" that a single
1/2 ave vertical.

Dino

>As an omni, it's worse than a 1/2 wave GP.

3429. Where can I buy a cheap used tower in Houston Tx area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 06:48:21 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0210282248.513a936d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142209

I am looking to buy a used 40ft to 60ft antenna in Houston Tx area. Are their any stores that sell any antenna towers or rohn telescoping masts in Houston. I have also though about checking out junkyard or scrap dealers in Houston does know of any that sell towers. I need any stores or people selling a used antenna for $200 or less.

3430. Re: Yeti question and answer time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 07:51:03 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dbdf67d.7203139@news.cet.com>
Source record: 142210

In <3DBDCC56.1FFF993D@yahoo.com>, nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com
wrote:

>We'd like to get a few answers from you Yeti, they don't really count
>as "my ten questions for you," but they should be answered so we know
>your position on previous posts that are unclear. I've cut and pasted
>the actual text from some of your previous statements and reply follow
>up posts below. Take some time and read through them, nothing is made
>up here... but each of my questions should be answered, else we think
>your actual rf theory is weak.
>
>
>In your Wed, 23 Oct 2002 01:30:58 post, you wrote
>:Just take a look at the schematic for the 1200-X. The screen and
>:suppressor are tied to the plate, just like I said. All three grids
>:are NOT grounded as YOU said.
>
>"Tied to the plate" eh..? So this is what you think..? ...or you can
>also make mistakes... typing or otherwise..? Let me compare this to
>my 6146 in TV re-post. If a person reading said were to use a 6146 as a
>sweep tube... they might have a measure of actual operational success.
>OK..? If the same person tied any grid to the plate in an RF amplifier
>circuit, a sweep or 6146 tube would "GO POOF..!" Which is the larger
>issue here..? You may correct your statement, but you still posted it
>as shown and we can now see you easily make mistakes, serious
>mistakes...

I was wrong, and unlike you I admitted it without whining, griping, or
making excuses. And like I said, regardless of whether the screen is
tied to the plate or the grid, the tube is still operating as a
triode. You disagreed with that statement, yet you have yet to explain
why the tube is NOT operating as a triode.

>:LOL! I would sure like to see an amp where all three grids are tied to
>:ground. Got an example of that somewhere, (You realize, of course, that
>
>:this will be another of those lingering questions until
>:you come up with proof!)
>
>Please define DC and Signal grounds in an rf amplifier...

As pertains to the grounded-grid sweep tube, as exampled by the
KLV-1000 and the Swan 1200-X: In the KLV, note that while the screen
and suppressor are DC grounded, the control grid is bypassed and
effectively AC grounded. IOW, all three grids are tied together and

effectively function as the single grid of a triode, despite the control grid having a DC potential. In the Swan, the screen and suppressor are tied together and function as the grid of the triode (because they are AC grounded with the 0.01 uF caps), while the control grid is tied to, and made part of, the cathode. This is a much better design than the KLV because the screen is now acting as the grid, and is capable of dissipating more power developed by the effective grid current.

Regardless, both examples are functionally equivalent to a triode, and both require the the DC voltage of the effective grid be reduced because of the dissipation limitations of the tube grids.

> Is it not
>possible that a grid would have an AC "signal ground" and a different dc
>potential..? Isn't it rather common..?

Yes it is. Does a DC bias mean that it's no longer a triode? No.

>: It's possible to run Class AB2 using ANY tetrode or pentode. However,
>: with a sweep tube, it's almost essential to run AB1 to avoid the
>: severe grid current distortion. Swan even makes a point of this in
>: their manuals.
>
>I'm almost falling out of my chair laughing over your above
>statement... Can you give us any clue as to the mode of most
>grounded grid sweep tube rf amplifiers and why..? Their advantages
>besides being a low cost device for a linear rf amplifier..?

The paragraph you quote was not about grounded-grid amplifiers, it was about grounded-cathode amps. For some reason you seem to be confused about the differences. I will clarify (for any other readers as well, so don't think this is a lesson directed only at you):

A "grounded-cathode", or "common-cathode", amplifier is where the cathode is grounded (duh). The ground is a signal ground, although it can also be a DC ground. The grid is fed with an input signal and the output is taken off the plate by the load. When the grid voltage is negative with respect to the cathode, no grid current flows, and power gain is theoretically infinite (input voltage being a scalar value without power). But when the grid is made positive with respect to the cathode, current will flow from the cathode and out the grid. The problem with grid current is that the input signal is not be able to maintain it's shape with current pumping out from the grid. The signal on the grid is therefore distorted, and so will be the output. Grid current distortion can be reduced in two ways; either prevent the grid from going positive, or provide a very low impedance input signal to the grid.

Because common-cathode amplifiers can amplify without drawing grid current, they can use tubes that were not designed for high grid currents (sweep tubes included). However, the signal peaks must be

limited or they might drive the grid positive and cause grid current. Most amps of this type (i.g, Swan radios) have a circuit called an ALC, or Automatic Limiting Control, which reduces the input to the amp if grid current begins to flow. The circuit can do a good job of reducing the distortion, however it does not prevent it completely.

A common-cathode amplifier may be designed to intentionally draw grid current if the input signal source has a low enough impedance as to prevent the signal from being distorted. A poor method of doing this is by using a "swamping resistor" on the grid. A better method is with a tuned grid circuit with a very low resonant impedance. But you still need a tube that has has a smooth transition into grid current conduction, which the sweep tubes do not have. And that's why Swan made it a point in their manuals not to rely on the ALC circuit to prevent grid current distortion.

The "grounded-grid" amplifier has the grid grounded (AC ground, although it can also be DC grounded), and the signal is fed to the cathode. If the DC potential of the grid is the same as the input signal, then the grid will be positive for half of the input cycle, and grid current will flow for half the cycle. This is fine for linear amplification because the shape of at least half of the sine is not distorted. But that's a lot of grid current, and if the tube isn't designed to dissipate the power caused by that grid current (as is the case with sweep tubes) then the grid current must be reduced. Proper practice would be to reduce the gain of the tube, but the gain of a grounded-grid tube is already very low. The common practice, however, is to make the DC potential of the grid more negative with respect to the cathode. Unfortunately, this distorts the output signal because the grid current flows for less than half of the cycle, and therefore causes grid-current distortion. Grounded-grid amplifiers are most efficient in Class C operation, which is where they are most often used.

>: Maybe not "high fidelity", but you most certainly have to keep the
>: distortion to a minimum. Harmonics can sneak right through a pi tank
>: just like it wasn't there, despite the fact that they are low-pass
>: filters. The reason is because they are resonant, and that means they
>: are also resonant on the harmonics. Linearity is the key to reducing
>: harmonic distortion when you are talking about linear amps. Jeez
>: Skippy, that's AC-101 stuff, I can't believe you didn't know that!
>
>Have you ever measure the output of a sweep tube amplifier using a pi
>tank circuit..? Would you say a pi circuit would never allow FCC legal
>operational harmonic levels in a well
>designed grounded grid amplifier..?

You keep saying things like "well designed" and "classic", but you never seem to describe what makes them "well designed" or "classic". Despite that, yes, I have seen a spectrograph from a pi filter, and yes, they can and do pass harmonics. And I'm sure that's one of the

reasons why the amateur bands are situated on their own harmonics. But any FCC authorized equipment (other than for amateur use) requires more than a simple pi tank to pass FCC emmission specifications. Just about any piece of commercial radio equipment you see will have a non-resonant low-pass filter before the output jack. I haven't seen one yet that didn't, CB radios included (even the antiques!).

>: Now don't duck the question like you do all the other questions: How
>: is triode configuration of a tetrode/pentode more like "low-mu" and
>: not like a triode? (Another lingering question -- I guess I am going
>: to have to make a list if you keep spewing these ridiculous claims!)
>
>Actually a pretty good question, but rather leading as you post it...
>the answer is another question... what is the role of the triode/pentode
>G2, G3 grids in a grounded grid amplifier circuit..? Although not
>active with potentials, the G2 & G3 grids are present and affect the
>circuit operation. You seem to lack understand of or ignore their role
>in a grounded grid amplifier. In short, do they do nothing for the
>circuit..?

They operate as the grid of a triode. As in the KLV, the screen and suppressor (G2 and G3, respectively) are tied to ground, while the control grid (G1) is AC grounded with a DC potential. All three are grounded, despite G1 having a DC potential. Notice that on the Swan 1200-X that it is the screen and supressor that function as the grid of a triode, and that they, too, have a DC potential. The DC bias of the grid determines the class of amplifier (A, AB, B and C). It does NOT determine whether a pentode is operating as a pentode or a triode -- that is determined by the number of effective signal elements (how back to basics do I have to get here?). A triode has three elements: the cathode, the plate and the grid. One is signal input, one is signal output, and one is signal ground. It's that simple. When a pentode is configured as a triode, it groups it's elements together to make the three elements of a triode. So far we have seen xxxxx examples:

Audio - Plate = P + G3 + G2, Grid = G1, Cathode = K
Swan 1200X - Plate = P, Grid = G3 + G2, Cathode = G1 + K
KLV-1000 - Plate = P, Grid = G3 + G2 + G1, Cathode = K

How much you wanna bet that you can make a pentode to work as a triode these ways?

Plate = P + G3, Grid = G2, Cathode = G1 + K
Plate = P + G3, Grid = G2 + G1, Cathode = K
Plate = P, Grid = G3, Cathode = G2 + G1 + K

Even better, how much you wanna bet that you can even do it THIS way?

Plate = G2, Grid = G1, Cathode = K

If you want an example of that last one, just check out the datasheet for any pentagrid converter tube!

Now, care to describe your "well designed, classic, low-mu
sweep-tube-configured-as-a-triode-but-not-a-triode" amplifier?

>Tue, 22 Oct 2002 03:46:39
>: I didn't say "all three grids grounded 'triode operation'". Triode
>: operation is when the screen (and suppressor, if there is one) is tied
>
>: to the plate. If you ground all three grids as you suggest, all you
>: will do is melt your tube (if you don't nuke your power supply first).
>
>: What I DID say was that the tubes were used as triodes instead of
>: tetrodes or pentodes because of the linearity gained from operating
>: them as triodes. And using triodes in a grounded grid configuration is
>
>: not suprising when the circuit requires linearity.
>
>Whoops.. there's that grid tied to the plate statement, again made in a
>different separate post. Maybe that's what you actually wanted to
>say..? Assume for one moment, there is adequate linearity in a typical
>or example amateur grounded grid rf amplifier... what if any other
>significant advantages do we get from the circuit?

From a linear grounded-grid amp? No other advantage. In fact, it has
several drawbacks:

1) The amplifier has very low gain, requiring multiple stages to get
from QRP to a kilowatt.
2) Because of the number of stages, it requires more filament power
for the additional tubes.
3) The low impedance required to drive the cathode requires tuned
inputs with very large capacitors (high C/L ratio).
4) Because of the low input impedance of each stage, there must be
impedance transformation between each stage (again, high C/L ratio).
5) Because the input to each stage requires drive power, the impedance
matching circuits must be large enough to handle that power.
6) If the grid isn't DC grounded it must be DC regulated with a very
low impedance supply, capable of draining the grid current without
adding signal to the grid.

By comparison, an equivalent common-cathode amplifier requires only
one stage of amplification, no drive power, no tuned input (although
it's recommended), no grid regulation, no interstage impedance
matching circuits, and considerably fewer tubes. It does, however,
require neutralization, which can be as simple as a brass or aluminum
rod located next to the tube, or a small trim-cap. An ALC circuit
would also be a good idea, especially if the tube is a sweep tube.

>: Thu, 24 Oct 2002
>: The power supply: Series connection of diodes isn't a very good idea
>: without a parallel voltage divider (=especially= in a voltage
>: multiplier circuit), and resistors should also be used to balance the
>: current in any parallel semiconductor load. I see the lack of them as
>: a real possibility for failure.

>
>Your "verbose analysis" of the KLV brand amplifier circuit... (I cut
>some non related text off) What if anything is wrong with your just
>above statement..? In specific, the inclusion of resistors into the
>circuit..?

Instead of "parallel voltage divider" I should have said "voltage
equalization network". Same difference.

>: Now for the amplifier: Notice the use of the triode configuration of
>: the sweep tubes, and that they are used as grounded grid amplifiers.
>If
>: there was no bias supply (just a grid leak resistor and cap) the tube
>: would be working as a Class C by the very nature of grounded grid
>: operation. This is because grid current flows for half the cycle,
>: effectively pulling the grid negative all by itself. So if you want
>: linear Class AB or B then you need a grid supply with very good
>: regulation. A simple half-wave rectifier and RC filter isn't very good
>
>: regulation. But here's something even more interesting: only the grid
>: is biased, not the screen/suppressor that should be part of the
>: effective grid.
>
>What are the roles/function of the screen and suppressor grids in the
>circuit..?

Part of the effective grid of the triode. Nothing more.

>: The result is that this amp could indeed be running
>: Class C; if I'm wrong and it really does run Class AB2 then it will be
>
>doing so with considerable distortion because of the poor regulation
>
>How would you set up C, B, AB1, AB2, A operation for this amplifier...?
>which is the best for this circuit..?

That all depends on your DC grid-to-cathode bias and your input signal
level. You can set it up for Class A if you want, just keep the grid
negative by one-half the cutoff voltage, and the input signal peak
voltage less than that.

Now, which is the "best" for a grounded-grid amp? Assuming you mean
linear RF amplification, I would have to say Class B -- set the grid
voltage just above cutoff using a very good regulator. But you still
need a limiter or ALC circuit on the driver stage to prevent grid
current. If you can't limit the driver then you better have one
helluva tank on the input.

>: of the grid supply. Grid-current distortion is going to be prominent
>: in AB2 unless the grid supply can be adjusted so grid current is
>: flowing for more than half the cycle -- which, of course, isn't a good
>

>: condition at all because the plates are going to turn a nice shade of
>: vermillion. In order to avoid this the plate voltage must be reduced
>: in order to reduce the plate current, which isn't likely after seeing
>: that they use six plate caps.
>
>How would you make the mentioned AB2 grid supply adjustment/change ..?

Lower (make more negative) the DC grid potential, or raise (make more positive) the DC cathode potential.

>What is the best linear mode for this circuit in a classic Amateur
>layout..?
>... and why...?

You STILL haven't defined "classic". Regardless, the most common class of operation for a grounded-grid amp is AB. Now that can be AB1 or AB2 depending on the drive level. It's up to the amateur to determine how much drive to apply, in accordance with "good engineering practices". That means the amateur would be well advised to use a non-resonant low-pass filter on the output if he plans to drive it into AB2. However, the best linear mode would be B(1) or AB1, and the amateur could easily build a limiter into the driver; or, if nothing else, a peak-reading wattmeter on the input to the amp. The key here is to limit the drive to prevent grid-current distortion. IOW, grounded-grid amps are NOT the solution for distortion when you want more power.

>: After that, I see that these amps have only a pi output filter. This
>: does little for harmonics, and should be followed by a non-resonant
>: low-pass filter. To not include the LP-filter in the design when it's
>: required for proper operation is a decision based solely on profits,
>: and not on good engineering concepts and practices.
>
>Why is a LP filter "required for proper operation" when the many "off
>the shelf" amateur amplifiers use only pi or pi-L tank circuits..? Are
>they
>all poorly engineered..? Is legal operation not possible with only a
>pi or pi-L tank circuit..?

As I said before, these amps are not intended for legal operation. If you can't see that then you need to talk with the FCC about it, not me. Even if they were built for amateur use, it's still up to the amateur to use it wisely (using "good engineering practices"). But since that's not the case, the question is irrelavent. Regardless, this is from KLV's own website:

"ATTENTION! This item is in compliance with CE regulations as long as it is used with a properly installed 27/586 RF Filter between the radio, unit and antenna."

Do I need to point out that the said filter is a non-resonant low-pass filter? Probably not....

>: So I can say, without any doubt or hesitation in my mind, that this is
>
>: a noisy amp, and somewhat prone to failure by a couple design flaws.
>
>And those design flaws are..?

Poor power supply design, weak grid regulation, and intended for the
illegal amplifier market.

> and the noise level is _____________

Not published by the manufacturer.

>..? as measured or determined by _____________ ..?

N/A

>: It seems that if they put as much effort into designing a proper grid
>: biasing circuit and power supply that they put into designing the
>: preamp, this might be a really good unit. It would be an even better
>: amp using -real- triodes instead of sweep tubes kludged as triodes.
>
>What would be a proper grid biasing circuit and power supply..?

An LM337 would be a good start for a grid supply. The power supply
could be improved simply by adding voltage equalization networks and
load equalization resistors. Even better, use a single diode (or pair
of diodes) that meet the demands of the circuit. Same with the plate
cap.

> What
>would be a comparable "real triode" currently made and sold for less
>than $20 US new..?

I think the price is closer to $30 each. But a better question would
be how much for all the tubes you need for this amp (five) compared to
the cost of a single RF pentode that can deliver the same power gain,
better linearity, smoother grid-current crossover characteristics, and
a smaller, more efficient amplifier with a much lower parts count?
Probably more. Thanks for proving my point. But if you want an RF
triode at a comparable cost, start with the 812A.

>: The advantages? The amp -does- have three different power indicators,
>more buttons and knobs than a
>: typical amp, and a cheap price tag. So it's perfectly suited for it's
>intended market.First off you need to
>: realize that the intended purpose is as a CB amplifier, as if that
>wasn't obvious already.
>
>Where is the intended market mentioned..? Are there no other
>countries that allow 10 meter amplifiers to be made or sold... Is this
>amplifier made in the US..?

We aren't talking about other countries. We are talking about the US.
Do you really think that this amp was not built with the intention to

be used with CB radios?

>: With that in mind, the pre-amplifier is effectively useless, doing
>nothing other than making
>: the noise floor louder.
>
>Companies like ARR, Sinclair, Telewave and Angle Linear all make various
>fet amplifiers... If the noise figure is less than say 1.5 dB, but the
>signal gain is greater
>than say 13 dB, doesn't that sound like a pretty good preamp..? Even
>if it were a lower gain Dual Gate fet..? would you say the advantage is
>overkill..?

If your receiver is already sensitive enough to receive the noise
floor, a preamp is not going to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. In
fact, the preamp is going to add to the noise by the stated 1.5 dB,
but it will increase the noise floor by 13 dB!

>(Preamps are very useful with radios that have weak receive, such as
>older, antique radios and homebrew receivers;
>for modern radios that can pick up a mosquito farting at forty paces
>they are nothing but overkill.)
>
>So most all of the Western States Weak Signal Groups and similar Eastern
>US Weak signal groups with modern radios and fet pre amps are wasting
>their time..?

I'm tired of repeating myself: If their radios are sensitive enough to
receive the noise floor, then yes, they are wasting their time with a
preamp. OTOH, if they are trying to pick out CW from BELOW the noise
floor then the preamp is a very good idea.

>Wed, 23 Oct 2002
>: Strangely enough, I'm not suprised. Considering that when the screen
>: is tied to the grid the amplification factor is 4.4 (very low), and
>: connecting it to ground is only going to make that number lower. So
>
>"Screen tied to the grid" ..?
>
>: that amp can't have much gain, which is a terrible waste of those
>: tubes which are capable of so much more. And the low gain also limits
>: it's dissipation, so that's why the grids don't melt. But I'll bet
>: it's really smooth through every one of those six big decibels!
>
>Is there not at least one or two un-mentioned significant advantages to
>the circuit..?
>Are you not missing a point of why the circuit is so popular..? You
>mean I can't get more than 6 db gain from
>sweep tube rf amplifier..?

Why do you sound so suprised? What do you think "low-mu" means?

>: If you have so much experience picking up legal amps for services
>: between 25 and 34 MHz, why can't you even provide one reference --
>: website, manufacturer & model, or even an FCC reg -- that supports
>: your claim?
>
>TPL-Brand, Model:PA1-1FE Three of them just closed on Ebay, item
>numbers 1776789478,

1776789487:
"The amp is tuned for frequencies between 35 and 50 MHZ."

> 1776789530,

"The amp is tuned for frequencies between 35 and 50 MHZ."

> 1776789590 .

"The amp is tuned for frequencies between 35 and 50 MHZ."

Not only that, those are FM amps. Try again, Skippy.

Oh, and BTW, just because something is sold on ebay doesn't mean it's
legal.

>Don't know or care if they are in current production, but they are legal
>examples
>I can and do currently use.

I hope not on freqs below 35 MHz.

>Who knows, if you "get enough of these right," I might count them as
>your
>ten magic questions.

Now that I have answered YOUR questions maybe you can start answering
MY questions instead of avoiding them with YOUR questions.

3431. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 11:16:01 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0210290316.7327143d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

"Jack Thorpe" <jthorpe1234@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:<odku9.100$F42.18979@dca1-nnrp1.news.algx.net>...
> "stewart" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:5f4fe4c2.0210251208.7c672e4e@posting.google.com...
> > Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com> wrote in message
> news:<3q8z0ntdgt.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>...
> > >
> > > Are you saying my wife and I, as licensed GMRS users, are 'limited' to
> > > talking to each other and to other licensed GMRS users?
> >
> > Absolutely.
>
> This is not correct. GMRS users may talk to FRS users on the Interstitals.
> There is no rule that says you cannot do that.

Of course there isn't. But, where did THIS come from, anyway? I
thought we we carrying on a real conversation related to the original
poster's question... You see, you will have effectively limited your
GMRS UHF radio to 500mW TPO(you can't work what you can't hear) when
talking to those FRS radios, which adds up to little more than zip
(which is what you start with on FRS).

I wouldn't even consider this a viable alternative(or "advantage") for
the original poster... at least that individual had the sense to
understand that FRS WASN'T an option for their application (hence the
question about GMRS, and the segue to MURS)...

- Stewart
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

3432. Re: Newbie with GMRS questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 11:41:34 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0210290341.a91322a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

davem@cs.ubc.ca (Dave Martindale) wrote in message
news:<apcgptosq1@nntp.itservices.ubc.ca>...
> horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) writes:
>
> >You are forgetting freespace path loss(which is really just a function
> >of antenna aperture). You may have equal gain, but are taking it in
> >the shorts on freespace path loss at UHF vs. VHF.
>
> I was comparing a UHF Yagi with a VHF dipole, for a situation where you
> don't have room for a VHF Yagi (i.e. apartment balcony). A UHF Yagi can
> have *much* more gain than a dipole, making up for the path loss
> difference.

For this individual, this could be the best route to go... especially
for the lowland site. The original poster would have to get back to
us to provide more details on their needs, however. Yagis(beams) are
a little bit harder to set up (and hide from landlords/CCRs/etc.);
and, of course, you limit the direction of your coverage area versus
an omni... but - it might be the right answer in this case, especially
if the radio could be placed near the beam(within 30ft or so), in
order to keep UHF transmission line loss to a reasonable number
(without having to resort to higher-cost/low-loss cable).

>
> >When you combine all the factors, in general, VHF is preferable to UHF
> >for the average outdoor LOS low-cost, low-power communication link.
>
> Yes, if you have room to choose the same antenna type at both
> frequencies.

Yep... and also if you need to mount your antenna far away from the
radio. It is these two factors combined (free space path loss, and
transmission line loss) that can put UHF at a disadvantage...
Power(when used equally at both stations) can make up for the
disadvantage. For example, this is why the FCC allows coordinated UHF
base stations to use a lot higher ERP than coordinated VHF base
stations, in order to achieve the same radius of coverage. But - it
sounds like you probably already know all this stuff... so, this
should just be taken as info for the original poster.

> Dave

- Stewart
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

3433. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 12:09:17 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <NTuv9.12627$TH6.2455@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 142213

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:qmnv9.730$cz2.489@news.bellsouth.net...
> I am NOT listening to 11 Meters. I am monitoring 10 Meters--you know,
that
> band where some of us obtained privileges by fulfilling the requirements
> instead of just yapping where we damn please.
>
> J

But you ARE posting in an 11-meter newsgroup, Jerry. I think it's safe to say that we all agree that there is a problem re. unlicensed 10-meter intrusions, the majority of which likely committed (knowingly or unknowingly) by truckers on ch. 19 "2-up." Perhaps a trucking newsgroup might be more appropriate?

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

3434. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 12:23:10 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DBE7DAE.5A77@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 142216

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> I thought you claimed that you never made disparaging comments to people
> unless they did the same to you first. So why are you making
> unflattering comments to someone, who you yourself claimed "This is the
> first time I have seen you"?
> Gee, If I were another person, I might be quick to brand you a "liar"
> for that comment, but I'll refrain......
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> ==
> This is the first time I have seen you think correctly.
> A) I don't make them first....
> B) He is not a newbie, merely another shill.

And you know this how?

This is what starts things. You make an assumption, based on nothing but a feeling. The net result is that you've made ad-hominem comments, that you yourself have claimed that you never do first. But you attempt to justify this transgression by claiming that the other poster was "just another troll", and thereby insinuating that he somehow asked for it. Hell, the first time you ever responded to me, you called me "herr multi-nic", assuming (incorrectly) that I was "another shill" form of Aaron or Frank, or someboby. You really should learn to not jump the gun, unless you are sure.

> And you damn sure didn;t brand me anything, the reason you "refrained"
> is because you aren't fooling anyone with your games. A leopard never
> changes his spots.......despite your comments to the contrary..

I'm mearly pointing out your hypocricy.......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3435. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 13:20:45 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DBE8B2D.909@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 142215

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> I'm always civil until unnecessarily provoked.
> =
> >And that is a matter of perception. <
>
> Well, then it's a damn good thing I don't take into consideration any
> one elses opinions, huh?

That's a bit selfish. Which is one of your problems. You don't care
about other people.

> >I have no problem with people who take a different view from me, when
> it involves subjective tastes and opinions. If I like Country & Western
> music, and you like Def Leppard, there is no right or wrong, just a
> difference of opinion.
> <<
>
> Bullshit. You have called names, hurled insults and called otherwise
> law-abiding folks "criminals" for espousing a view which you take issue
> with.
> HARDLY a difference of opinion.

You're right. that's not an opinion. If you break the law, you are a
criminal, plain and simple. The fact that you consider radio law to be
petty and insignificant, does not change the facts. The fact that many
other people break other petty laws, does not justify your position
either.

>
> >But when you are talking about factual information, or defacto
> standards, if you take a position that is contrary to those normally
> accepted standards, <<
>
> Facts and "normally accepted standards" are two separate entities with
> two separate meanings. they are NOT interchangeable..

Never said they were. I was citing two different examples.

> >it's up to the opposing party to make the case why the standard is
> wrong. In your case, you need to explain why running illegally should
> not be considered criminal per the laws set forth by the FCC.
> < <
>

> No, I don't. I need explain nothing to anyone. That is where you guys
> start getting out of hand and losing your temper.

No, I suppose even you realize the pointlessness of trying to defend
something that's undefendable. But if you're comfortable with your
rejection of radio laws, then you should learn to be comfortable with
what you really are, a criminal.

> >Why should you be allowed to disregard the rules?
> <<
>
> Hahahhahah....why should "Aaron" be able to disregard TOS? Or Lelnad?

I'm neither Aaron nor Leland, and you didn't answer *MY* question.

>
> Lawmakers have nothing to do with my behavior. Like I said before, you
> have no clue whether I break the law.
>
> >You operate on freeband, by your own admission (Your words can come
> back to haunt you too).<<
>
> How is that "haunting me"? You can't have it both ways, Hall....either I
> speak the truth or not, but choosing which passages of mine to disregard
> and which ones to accept as truth is sorth of the same thing you are
> railing against me for...,,,

This is an example of how you vacillate. First you say that you proudly
DX on the freeband (where you feel no need to explain or defend your
actions), then you claim that you don't break the law. How can both of
those statements be true?

> > That is breaking the law. I don't think it could be any plainer. So
> are you are lawbreaker or a liar?<<<
>
> You have already made up your mind.
> What I say shouldn't matter.

In other words, even you can't argue with that logic.

>
> > But many on here have compared illegal freebanders with the early
> revolutionaries, who "bravely" defied the law, in order that we could
> create a better nation. So if you want to be compared to
> "revolutionaries" rather than petty lawbreakers, then you need to have
> an ultimate goal. <<
>
> I don't care what I am viewed as.

I believe that you care more than you let on.

>
> >The logical goal would be the elimination or changing of the radio

> laws, that restrict you legally, from doing what you do now.<<
>
> Seer.

I'm no prophet, but honorable people, who attempt to effect change have
specific goals in mind.

> >Like I've said before, many times, I don't mollycoddle people. I don't
> sugar coat my information. If what I say doesn't sit well with you,
> prove it wrong, or deal with it. Posting a contrary OPINION, does not
> automatically invalidate my information or my position.
> <
>
> Never said it did, but getting personal, lying, and making personal and
> OT comments about folks immediately invalidates your positon.

Not if those comments are true.

> Since I have pointed out your
> misbehavior you have indeed reviewed your archive collection and the
> fact that you were repulsed enough by what you saw made you admit your
> character flaws .
>
> >Wait a minute. Now you're starting to go off the path. I have reviewed
> some of my past posts. Mostly to see when I really started posting here.
> I see nothing especially inflamatory in most of what I've written.
> <
>
> Which is why they need pointed out every so often.....you fail to
> see them.
>
> >Because they aren't there.<
>
> (snicker) Okaaay! The group will believe what you now tell us and not
> what we see.

You, and maybe one or two other people with low moral caliber are the
only ones who have a "problem" with what I say.

> > Other than the last few "exchanges" that you and I have had, you will
> find that I treat people respectfully. I may not agree, and I will state
> the reasons why I disagree, and apply the principles of logic. But I
> don't engage in namecalling. That's something kids do.
> I am usually a straight up and to the point guy. I don't make personal
> insults, unless they were thrown at me first.
> <
>
> You are lying again, Dave.

No, YOU are.

> Go back to our very first disagreement in this forum, Dave...you came
> off like a dick

>
> >A subjective opinion. Whether I was truly being a "dick" or just that
> you didn't like what I was saying, is a matter of interpretation. <<
>
> Insultive posts becaiuse of anothers views, ccnstitute being a dick.
> Care to take a poll?

Sure. You do the work, because frankly, I'm not that interested.

>
> >Like to old saying; "if you don't like the messsage, don't shoot the
> messenger".
> <<
>
> But that is exactly what Lelnad KC8LDO suggested..

Once again, I'm not Leland, so your point is irrelevant.

>
> for no reason other than me stating an
> opinion you didn't like, so don't spread that BS here.
>
> >I don't know. I've had other people tell me that they agree with me,
> and that you're just someone "looking to start a fight".<<
>
> What? Behind the scenes? In email?

Some E-mail, some right here on the newsgroup.

> From your named friends of Lenad, Dogie, and Frank? It figures you hold
> their opinions in contrast to what they are....mere interpretations.
> You have just accurately described your pound pup buddies.

The fact that you attempt to malign them, in no way diminishes their
opinions. They are worth the same as yours or anyone elses.

>
> > And others telling me that I'm wasting my time arguing with you. Most
> of whom do not belong to your imagined "Twisty hate pose'". <<
>
> Right......which is why they are so vocal. Sorry Dave, "ghost" support
> don't cut it. And if you didn't feel so alienated and defeated, you
> wouldn't look to others to validate yourself.

That's funny coming from the guy who's always speaking for the unspoken
"majority" of other radio op's and newsgroup posters, despite no one
encouraging word supporting you or your position.

Mr. Pot, meet Mr. Kettle.

>
> >OhOne thing is probably true, though, I have damaged my reputation
> somewhat by allowing you to draw me into these no-win situations. <<
>

> Drawing you? LMAO! LOL! HAhahahhahahah!When you post a lie, you damage
> yourself, not I.

You are the only one that thinks what I say is a lie.

> You hear the DX today? HAhahhahahahha....there
> were some serious pissed off hammies trying to get through....they just
> didnt have the azz for it.............hahahahahahahahha!
>
> >How do you know, out of a load of freebanders, who the "hams" are? You
> can't expect me to believe that they actually used their ham calls on
> the freeband? ><<
>
> What you believe means squat.

And you still didn't answer the question. How do you know they were
hams?

> You think being a smug, disenfranchised, troubled youth are GOOD traits?
>
> >That was 20 years ago. Growning up and maturing from that stage gives
> me a perspective by which to gauge many other people.<<
>
> Hahahahhaha. Those interchangeable words
> again....................."judge" does not mean "gauge".

I know that. That's why I used the term gauge. That is a more accurate
term for what I do. So don't put words on my keyboard.

>
> > The old "been there, done that". I think that overcoming my earlier
> "flaws" has allowed me the opportunity to reflect on my own life, and
> gives me insight into what people think and why they think that way.
> <<
>
> .... that is a good thing, a first step.
>
> Your words are there for all to enjoy, whenever they wish.
>
> >And many of them have been quoted in other people's tag lines.
> <
>
> Yea, it's part of the joke you're not in on.

Whatever you say......

>
> >I think my advice and insight have been on the money far more than it
> has been off. <<
>
> Delusions of grandeur. You don't see yourself as others do...that also
> has been shown.
> Kinda what makes you a funny guy....

To you. Get it yet?

> > >Once again, you are free to disagree with my assessment of society, and
> > how it has regressed in recent years, but unless you can counter that
> > view with something equally compelling, it does not make me wrong.
> > <
> >
> > You are right, it doesn't make you wrong, it does however, make you a
> > socialist.
> >
> > >I really don't think you know what a socialist is.<<
> >
> > What you think, or guess, matters to none but yourself.
> >
> > > Otherwise you wouldn't be making such a ridiculous accusation.
> > Socialism is all about government control. Following sensible rules<<
> >
> > You are not a judge, therefore not qualified to say what is and isn't
> > "sensible".

Neither are you, and thereby lies the rub. The best you can hope to
achieve is a stalemate.

> >
> > > and being considerate of other people's rights, is hardly
> > "socialism".<<
> >
> > Who said it was?

You did. You accuse me of being a socialist, based on nothing but my
reverence for the law, and the respect for other people's rights.

> >
> > Your insults and name calling. First you claim it didn't take place,
> > then after dining on more crow you checked your archived posts...then
> > came the shuffle of you DEFENDING what you first claimed never happened.
> > See above,,,you defended it....if you never said it, how can you defend
> > it? Troll on.........

You lost me. How can I defend something I never said? And if I said
something that YOU think is an insult, well that's just your opinion.
Me thinks you're way too sensitive for any normal man.

> > > And yess, I have changed my viewpoint in the last 10 or 15 years. Most
> > people do when they get older. It's called maturity.
> > <
> >
> > dave, you have started with me so many times in here, true to form, just
> > like Lelnad, Frank and the other trouble posse members that pledged to
> > cause trouble in rec.radio.cb.
> >
> > >Who has "pledged to cause trouble". <

>
> You miss the post by Arron that said the group would have to contend
> with the antics of yourself, lelnad and Frank and Dogie if "Aaron" were
> suddently to stop posting?
> Concerning where it came from, I am certain it wasn't misinterpreted by
> anyone, even though you now desperately try to avoid the subject.

I can no more control what Aaron posts then I can control what you post.
And as usual, you misinterpreted what Aaron wrote, and twisted the
meaning. From what I remember him posting, he basically stated that if
he were to leave the newsgroup, that there would be other people, who
are equally disillusioned with blatent lawbreakers, who would pick up
the torch and carry on the campaign of education. That's hardly equates
to "pledging to cause trouble".

Your first class is called "The criminal in me - How to accept and deal
with the realities of my activities".

You still haven't passed this one.

>
> >I know that is not my intent. If you don't like your lawlessness being
> brought up, maybe you should do something about it. <<
>
> I'm not the one having temper problems, losing ISP;'s and generally
> whining and demanding for reasons, excuses, justification, etc.....you
> are.

I haven't lost any ISP's. I haven't lost my temper.

> >People who have respect for the law, are not the ones causing the
> trouble. <<
>
> HAhahhahahahahahahha...I am sure they changed ISP's because they wanted
> to...several times.

Well, considering that AOL gives out 1000 free hours on a CD, I'm sure
that more than one of these guys is taking advantage of AOL's "free"
hours. When one expires, they install another, and create a new account.

> You drew first blood.
>
> >I would disagree with that. You were the one that started with
> speculative comments, accusing me of lying, and commenting on my
> inability to follow your changing of the topics, and accusing me of
> deliberately steering the topic when I attempted to return to the core
> topic. That whole thread on respect on the radio, that you kept trying
> to change into a discussion of USENET ettiquette. It's all there in
> google.

>
> It sure is, and if you would like to see just how and why I labeled you
> a "dick", I would be glad to post it, since you claim it "never

> happened". Better go back a bit further in google.

Sure you go ahead. That way we can see how poorly your judgement of what constitutes an insult is. Besides, I didn't think this was girl scout camp. If you can't take bluntness, I suggest you retreat to the safety of mommy's skirt.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3436. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 13:44:55 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@ComputerExpertAnalyst.org>
Message-ID: <apm3bndnc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 142214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Vigilante hams have the W2KP syndrome. Bet nobody
here knows the symptoms, except for Dan NTI.

Lloyd
Computer Analyst Expert
A+ F+ C+++ Certifications
Network Certified

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021024171314.538$Is@newsreader.com...
> avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
> > news:<c5ecbb69.0210240508.1546532c@posting.google.com>... (snip)
> >
> >> Still can't hear any truckers on 10 meters, Steve?
> >
> > -Aaron-
> >
> Have any truckers respond?
>
> --
> God Bless America - http://members.aol.com/flyitproudly/flag.html
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> go #40 Usenet Newsgroup Service

3437. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 13:52:43 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <Lowv9.54190$Sk6.5155692@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 142217

I have never known a Ham that was pissed at losing 11 meters but I do know a bunch that are pissed at the intrusion on 10 meters, Always being blamed for interfering with peoples TV when most of the times it is dirty CB amps on 11 that are the problem and ever tightening antenna restrictions again coming from god awfully antenna configurations like the Wilson Super Laser 500 and the Moon-raker 4 and 6 both are completely ban in my city but that ban also took out most High-Gain 3 element try-band ham beams and all satellite dishes all thanks to the CBers.
I do know that 11 meters is being considered for a satellite down link band in the US and other countries unless CB can prove its usefulness and so far they have not been able to do that. It will take about 10 to 20 years to clear it up but the companies that are buying for it want it now. It won't go back to the hams but to big business because that is ware the $$$$ are.

"The Searchers" <The_Searchers@searching-for-clues.com> wrote in message news:p66sruc4106kohdmfempr2fc3d5qmkd5no@4ax.com...
> On 29 Oct 2002 04:51:41 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> >JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> >> The Searchers wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> > Was the 11 meter band originally allocated to the Amateur Radio Service?
> >>
> >>> Yes
> >>
> >That's why they're pissed.
>
> Give 11 meters back to the hams, and let them deal with it.
> Ham license required for 11 meters.

3438. Re: Open message to Cheesecutter, Tim Nebo and Train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 14:19:49 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021029091949.23263.00000015@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 142218

nope, maybe you should come to La Marque Texas 77568 and see if you can. oh wait, you may not be able to afford the plane ticket because i heard the airlines are going to start charging fat people twice the amount because they take up two seats. you better hurry!

>
>you talk alot of shit behind a keyboard nigger, maybe I ought to come
>to dallas and stomp your ass.

3439. Re: Open message to Cheesecutter, Tim Nebo and Train

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 14:20:26 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021029092026.23263.00000016@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 142219

>Medina is closer.

good ole mopar :)

3440. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 14:32:45 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <h_wv9.13585$TH6.3635@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 142220

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:Lowv9.54190$Sk6.5155692@news1.telusplanet.net...

> ...and ever tightening antenna restrictions again coming
> from god awfully antenna configurations like the Wilson Super Laser 500
and
> the Moon-raker 4 and 6 both are completely ban in my city

That's pretty interesting. Do you mean that your local gov't has
specifically banned the erection of the two aforementioned antennas? BTW,
AFAIK, both of those antennas follow the normal conventions (structural &
design) for a crossed Yagi array. What's so "God-awful" about them?

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

3441. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 14:43:25 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210290643.651e5bb5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142221

"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:<keadnQdxNYsyTCCgXTWcqQ@comcast.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > And since you cannot legally use 10 meters
> > anyhow and have no credibility whatsoever it's certainly not your
> > call, is it?
>
> Speaking of calls, what is your call? Oh thats right you don't have one and
> aren't credible either.

You must have the shortest memory in captivity, Georgie-girl. But I'm a nice guy, so I'll say it once more for the retard: "I'll post my callsign as soon as Aanal Aaron posts his and not before." Clear this time?

Are you actually claiming to have credibility Georgie-girl? Not in this newsgroup you don't. All you can do is troll and stir things up; you never show how intelligent you might actually be by posting good information, you just make fun of others who post based on their experiences. Not to mention all the phoney addresses you have to use! Oh yeah; some "Elmer" you are. NOT!

BTW, I note that your buddy Aanal Aaron has tucked his pathetic tail between his scrawny legs and run away; Aan Aadmission that he has no proof to post here or Aanywhere else, Aalthough he offered to "Gladly" post it more than a month Aago. So much for his credibility (Aas if he ever had Aany). Once Aagain he does his famous patented "chicken side-step". Har de har! Aand guess what? I'm still not "Steve"; never was and never will be.

3442. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 14:44:27 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com357
Message-ID: <20021029094427.16882.00000006@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 142222

dave, perhaps you can explain to twistedhed just how you were able to become comfortable with the fact that your a criminal and he can use your techniques

>You're right. that's not an opinion. If you break the law, you are a
>criminal, plain and simple. The fact that you consider radio law to be
>petty and insignificant, does not change the facts. The fact that many
>other people break other petty laws, does not justify your position
>either.

3443. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 14:53:38 UTC
From: BillS <BillS@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3DBEA0F1.4C203AF4@austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142225

techno-wizard wrote:

> Let's assume for a moment that the majority of the illegal cb activity into
> the amateur bands is a result of ignorance on the part of the radio
> operator. They are ignorant of the rules and regs because there is no
> mechanism in place that requires them to learn the rules and regs.

<SNIP>
Who is going to pay for this, and where will the money come from? For Hunter or Boater education, there is a safety factor gained which can potentially prevent loss of life. The cost for the programs is weighed against the potential lives to be saved, and hence justified. CB radio is not particulary dangerous or life threatening.
BillS

3444. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 14:56:11 UTC
From: Deos <lineoffiretoday@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apoi61$3fp$2@nic.grnet.gr>
Source record: 142347
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

why not just put some tnt in the amplifier or c4,

--
http://deosiereas.virtualave.net
"General Johnson Jameson" <area52@zecretbase.com> wrote in message
news:R%Dt9.597$oP5.263834668@news.inreach.com...
> A group of hams who are fed up with the FCC's efforts to stop the spread
of
> illegal amplifier use are reported to be buying linear amplifiers and
> installing trojan horse mods that can burn out the receive section of
> transceivers operating out of band.
>
> The modifications detect certain transmitted frequencies and trigger a
> device installed on the amp that places a series of high voltage pulse
type
> signals across the antenna line effectively burning out the front end of
the
> receiver.
>
> Only technicians familiar with solid state circuits can detect the devices
> which are cleverly disguised as actual amplifier components.
>
> Be very careful when purchasing used amplifiers or purchasing amplifiers
> online from unknown sellers. The information that I have received
indicates
> the normal user will re-sell the amplifier which once again will perform
> it's mission un-detected during normal use. The user will think the
problem
> is his transceiver, not the amplifier. Use extreme caution.
>
>

3445. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 15:05:06 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210290705.431bcdf4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142223

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DBDC631.C6CDE646@mailcity.net>...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> > Just like Aanal Aaron! He never could post any proof that I'm somehow
> > "Steve", even though he said he would "Gladly" post it. Guess we now
> > know he doesn't have any proof!
>
> Good grief, get over it dickieboy!! You must really be steve or
> you wouldn't be so insistent in trying to convince everyone you're
> not.

Aanal Aaron was the one who offered to "Gladly" post his proof and he still hasn't done so. I just remind him that I'm waiting (somewhat impatiently) for him to deliver on his promise, and all we've gotten is more than a month of him spamming the newsgroup with trash. Don't YOU think it's time for him to put up or shut up? If he could do it, I could stop reminding him; so why don't you get on his case?

BTW, if I happened to be "Steve", I'd have had him shut down long ago for TOS violations. As it is, I think it's funny to watch him squirm and do his famous patented "chicken side-step" over and over, losing what little credibility he might once have had. Gee, that's almost exactly the same explanation Frank Gilliland gave a while back for continuing to troll here ("because it's fun!"); maybe we do have something in common after all.

3446. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 15:09:20 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBEA4A0.99049893@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142230

tools wrote:
>
> What a dumb-ass saying.

Next time you get a speeding ticket try telling the judge, "but your honor, I did not know it was against the law to speed", and see what he says.

3447. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 15:13:06 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210290713.74a538f8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142224

"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:<P_6cnX9PWrhFTiCgXTWc3g@comcast.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > Assumption, Georgie-girl. Aanal Aaron has no clue about my "claim to
> > fame". He has no clue, period. Neither do you, Georgie-girl Busch
> > WA3MOJ in Allentown, PA.
>
> Assumptions on you part as well, you have no clue abou Aaron or myself and
> that's what drives you up a wall. Calling me Georgie girl has no effect on
> me at all my name isn't george.

Sure it is; the spelling is Georgie-girl's, the attitude is
Georgie-girl's.

> "Elmer"?, nahhh he's a "remle". He wouldn't
> > know how to turn on a ham radio if you told him; he's too busy
> > trolling a CB newsgroup.
>
> A quick search of your name reveals the same thing you trolling a CB
> newsgroup then hypocritically
> accusing others of doing the same. LOL while you cry about him remaining
> anonymous you also do the same thing.

I am neither anonymous (my email works) nor a troll; I correct Aanal
Aaron's BS. He selected me to do so the same way the "groupies"
selected him to repeatedly tell them that everything was "illegal".
See how it works, Georgie-girl?

>
> > Just like Aanal Aaron! He never could post any proof that I'm somehow
> > "Steve",
>
> It is up to you to prove Aaron is wrong, remember he made the claim prove he
> is wrong you can't and won't will you. If you expect certain things from the
> postes of this NG you must be held by those same rules right otherwise your
> a troll. So I say to you like you say to me prove he is wrong.

Nope; Aanal Aaron offered to "Gladly" post proof. He has not and
cannot.

> >even though he said he would "Gladly" post it. Guess we now
> > know he doesn't have any proof!
>
> He doesn't have to supply proof you have to prove him wrong stupid, this
> goes along with your exact theory as noted by the sig file.

You still can't spell it, Georgie-girl, the word is a contraction: "you're", not "your". And my statement says precisely the opposite of what you claim: If Aanal Aaron expects people to believe him it's up to him to provide proof of his claims. Remember, he's been proven wrong about everything so far; why would any intelligent person believe him on faith?

"I don't have to prove anything; I just say it and that makes it true." Aanal Aaron H. Voobner

3448. FS: SSB/AM 23ch CB's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 16:05:44 UTC
From: slo100bw1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021029110544.21431.00001969@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 142226

Cobra Cam 89 in good condition. Works great. Has some scratches. $25 + shipping.

Cobra 139 ssb/am 23 channel base. Works great. Minor cosmetic wear. $40 + shipping.

Courier Centurian 23 channel ssb/am base w/hand stock mic and manual Minor cosmetic wear. Works ok. One of the ssb may be gone. Asking $50 + shipping or b/o.

Johnson Viking 352D ssb/am mobile. Looks good. Some minor wear. Channel selector bulb is out. Otherwise works great. $50 + shipping or b/o.

Sbe console II ssb/am base with extra channels. Works great. Looks very good. Asking $55 + shipping.

Teaberry Stalker 2 ssb/am base in good working order. Has some minor wear around speaker grill. $25 + shipping.

Please do not reply to the newsgroup, since i rarely frequent here. Email direct please.....

3449. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 16:16:24 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021029111624.25208.00001030@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 142227

richard, he hasnt posted any proof because he has none. how long will you keep this up? i'm just wondering because if all your post will continue to be this i'm gonna ad you to the killfile till you get on with things and get over voobner being a dumb ass dickhead. nothing personal but damn your post are as bad as his now days lol

>
>Aanal Aaron was the one who offered to "Gladly" post his proof and he
>still hasn't done so. I just remind him that I'm waiting (somewhat
>impatiently) for him to deliver on his promise, and all we've gotten
>is more than a month of him spamming the newsgroup with trash. Don't
>YOU think it's time for him to put up or shut up? If he could do it, I
>could stop reminding him; so why don't you get on his case?
>
>BTW, if I happened to be "Steve", I'd have had him shut down long ago
>for TOS violations. As it is, I think it's funny to watch him squirm
>and do his famous patented "chicken side-step" over and over, losing
>what little credibility he might once have had. Gee, that's almost
>exactly the same explanation Frank Gilliland gave a while back for
>continuing to troll here ("because it's fun!"); maybe we do have
>something in common after all.
>

3450. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 16:19:31 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nyyv9.1439$Ik6.145586@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142228

What a dumb-ass saying.
"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DBDC316.942CC0BD@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > >>Most of these truckers, when operating on 10 meters, still think
> > > they are on the Cee-Bee.
> > >
> > So you're saying they don't even know they're breaking the law.
>
> Some of them probably don't, but you know the saying, "ignorance
> of the law is no excuse".
>

3451. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 16:53:58 UTC
From: "Keith C. Borgstrom" <keith.c.borgstrom@dartmouth.edu>
Message-ID: <apmeikbnt1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU>
Source record: 142235

"BillS" <BillS@austin.rr.com> wrote in message
news:3DBEA0F1.4C203AF4@austin.rr.com...
>
>
> techno-wizard wrote:
>
> > Let's assume for a moment that the majority of the illegal cb activity
into
> > the amateur bands is a result of ignorance on the part of the radio
> > operator. They are ignorant of the rules and regs because there is no
> > mechanism in place that requires them to learn the rules and regs.
>
> <SNIP>
> Who is going to pay for this, and where will the money come from? For
Hunter
> or Boater education, there is a safety factor gained which can potentially
> prevent loss of life. The cost for the programs is weighed against the
> potential lives to be saved, and hence justified. CB radio is not
particulary
> dangerous or life threatening.
> BillS
>

I agree that there is not a direct safety factor relative to CB radio, although you could make a case for there being an indirect safety impact. For example, safety issues that arise out of CB radios interfering with public service/safety communications. And how about safety issues relating to excessive RF exposures during "keydown" competitions. Clearly there are safety issues that are relative to the proper operation of CB radios.

As far as where does the money come from, maybe it doesn't have to be all that expensive. My original post made reference to a safety/rules course. Instead of making people take a course, why doesn't the CB industry come up with an informational handout that covers the basic safety/regulation issues relative to CB radio operation. Distribution of this handout could be done by the various CB radio vendors before a customer is allowed to purchase a radio. In other words, make the sale conditional on the customer receiving the handout, reading the handout, and signing a statement that they have read and understand the safety/regulation issues. Then they get a certificate from the vendor as proof that they have the basic knowledge needed to properly operate their radio.

CB radio operators as a whole will benefit from a program like this. All new operators will be given some basic education in their hobby - something that right now there is no incentive for them to do. The program could be run

like a boater safety program . I don't need a license to operate my boat but I do need to show proof (in the form of a certificate)that I have a basic understanding of the safety rules and regs. Without it, I cannot legally operate my boat. The program could, and should, be run by the CB industry itself. It would be in the industry's best interest to have a program in place like this. Otherwise, the CB industry will have no defense when the FCC finally loses patience with them and decides to step in and take control for themselves.

Just something to think about.

3452. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 17:06:18 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DBEC00A.CE3@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 142229

Uncle Charlie wrote:
>
> dave, perhaps you can explain to twistedhed just how you were able to become
> comfortable with the fact that your a criminal and he can use your techniques

How am I a criminal? Please elaborate. I'm not the one running on
unauthorized frequencies, or running with more power then I'm authorized
to run.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3453. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 17:38:10 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6Izv9.1551$Ik6.156349@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142231

But I do know the law aganst speeding. Dumb-ass saying because if a person did not know, how can you hold them to a standard that is assuming that everyone knows the law about radio? Do you think that you should be punished if you break some law about say, walking on the grass, where no sign tells you not to. Lets say you, have been walking on that grass for the last 10 years, and no person has told you not to. So do you think that it is good and right if you were hauled to court, fined, forced to do comunity service, and now have a record, but you did not know to keep off the grass?
"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DBEA4A0.99049893@mailcity.net...
>
>
> tools wrote:
> >
> > What a dumb-ass saying.
>
> Next time you get a speeding ticket try telling the judge, "but
> your honor, I did not know it was against the law to speed", and
> see what he says.
>

3454. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 17:39:14 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021029123914.27813.00001177@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 142232

ok sorry dave, please explain to twistedhed how you were able to make yourself feel good when you *used* to be a criminal. after all your cb jammers, freebanding, and everything else you say you *used* to do made you a criminal and it obviously didnt bother you at all and still doesnt since you freely admitt it now.

>How am I a criminal? Please elaborate. I'm not the one running on
>unauthorized frequencies, or running with more power then I'm authorized
>to run.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

3455. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 17:39:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12122-3DBEC7D9-369@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142233

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
I'm always civil until unnecessarily provoked.
=
And that is a matter of perception. <

Well, then it's a damn good thing I don't take into consideration any one elses opinions, huh?

>That's a bit selfish. <<

That's a matter of perception.

>Which is one of your problems. <<

Not mine, Jeeves, it's yours.

>You don't care about other people.<<

There ya' go again lying and deliberately misattributing things.....another of those small matters you have problems comprehending.....hahahahhah.....because I don't care what people think, you clownishly misinterpret that to mean I don't care about humanity. Keep sharing more of that brilliant radiating, fatuous furthering of artificial pomposity that soothes your battered ego.

>I have no problem with people who take a different view from me, when it involves subjective tastes and opinions. If I like Country & Western music, and you like Def Leppard, there is no right or wrong, just a difference of opinion.
<<

Bullshit. You have called names, hurled insults and called otherwise law-abiding folks "criminals" for espousing a view which you take issue with.
HARDLY a difference of opinion.

>You're right. that's not an opinion. If you break the law, you are a criminal, plain and simple.<<

If it was plain and simple, there would be no need for court.
B3esides, I wasn't referring to the "criminal" naem-calling. Go ahead and attempt a smokescreen of a defense of your name -calling,..go ahead and assume"criminal" was the name-calling I was referring to...LOL! I see you have now abandoned your shovel in favor of a back-hoe...hahhahahahhahahah!

> The fact that you consider radio law to be petty and insignificant, <<

Ahhhhh, but you would have nothing to cry to papa about, grasshoppah, if you didnn't falsely make such claims. I respect all radio law except for a few minor laws I selectively disregard, such as DX and freebanding on 555 and a few others.
To read your deliberate mis-leading, non-factual whining statement above, one may be so inclined to think you actually knew something, unlike those of us that are familiar with you and know better.

>does not change the facts.<

What you believe has nothing at all to do with facts or the way things really are.

> The fact that many other people break other petty laws, does not justify your position either. <<

You are really frustrated with yourself to go so far as to provide a "justification" for my actions when you failed to elicit one from me.

>But when you are talking about factual information, or defacto standards, if you take a position that is contrary to those normally accepted standards, <<

Facts and "normally accepted standards" are two separate entities with two separate meanings. they are NOT interchangeable..

>Never said they were. I was citing two different examples. <<

No you didn't.....you coined them togetheras the same.....let us take another look, shall we?
YOU said, and I quote :

>>But when you are talkingabout factual information, or defacto standards, if you take a position that is contrary to those normally accepted standards, <<

Contrary to WHAT accepted standards?
Ohhhhhhhhhh..the "factual information standards" or "defacto standards" (whatever warped meaning you attached to those)
Now tell us, Dave, if these standards you speak of were not derived from "facts" they can't really be standards now, can they? LOL!

>it's up to the opposing party to make the case why the standard is wrong.<<

I love usenet...it magnifies and preserves malicious ignorance such as yours for happy guys such as me to use at will.
When a newspaper gets sued, it is up to the paper to prove their info is accurate, not the opposite as you ignorantly wish and wrongly suggest.
The burden of proof has always been on the one making the claims or providing the news.
You are no exception.

> In your case, you need to explain why running illegally should not be considered criminal per the laws set forth by the FCC.
< <

No, I don't. I need explain nothing to anyone. That is where you guys start getting out of hand and losing your temper.

>No, I suppose <

You "supposing" anything is a laughing stock. It ranks right there with your ability to "think" and "guess", which your posts are full of. You have no facts which manifests your frustration level by making such poor haphazard guesses, suppositions, and faulty thinking."

>even you realize the pointlessness of trying to defend something that's undefendable. <<

Only I haven't defended anything, This is your second failed attempt in this thread
at providing yourself answers and decidedly claiming they come from me. Really, Hall, get a grip...maybe a job.

>But if you're comfortable with your rejection of radio laws, then you should learn to be comfortable with what you really are, a criminal. <<

I have always been comfortable with myself. You have a problem, evidenced by answering your own questions and misattributing them to another (snicker). That show a real attempt at deceit, but I'm not pointing out anything new, Dave, you've always acted the fool*.
* Disclaimer: totally subjective

>Why should you be allowed to disregard the rules? <<

Hahahhahah....why should "Aaron" be able to disregard TOS? Or Lelnad?

>I'm neither Aaron nor Leland, and you didn't answer *MY* question. <<

Because you don;t dictate what I do.....deal with it, get past yourself, and move on. Or sit here and whine some more because twistie won't tell you why he freebands..the importance I have in some of you guy's non-lives is simply astounding, nothing short of amazing, while simutaneously being profoundly pathetic..

Lawmakers have nothing to do with my behavior. Like I said before, you have no clue whether I break the law.

>You operate on freeband, by your own admission (Your words can come back to haunt you too).<<

How is that "haunting me"? You can't have it both ways, Hall....either I speak the truth or not, but choosing which passages of mine to disregard and which ones to accept as truth is sorth of the same thing you are railing against me for...,,,

>This is an example of how you vacillate.<

No, it was how YOU did.

> First you say that you proudly DX <<

Once again you are called upon to produce such claims. Show the post where I used that word.

>on the freeband (where you feel no need to explain or defend your actions), then you claim that you don't break the law. How can both of those statements be true?<<

I didn't claim I didn't break the law, Dave. I claimed you have no possible way of realizing it either way. This must be confusing for you....you're coming apart at the seams with all kinds of wild claims and misattributions (lies).
Makes you a repeated liar, now does it not?.

>That is breaking the law. I don't think it could be any plainer. So are you are lawbreaker or a liar?<<<

You have already made up your mind.
What I say shouldn't matter.

>In other words, even you can't argue with that logic.<<

I can do anything I wish, free from impotent malcontents that grab on to my life's tail such as your angry misinformed self. If we were to see any logic put forth from you, it may merit discussion, but certainly not to argue.

>But many on here have compared illegal freebanders with the early revolutionaries, who "bravely" defied the law, in order that we could create a better nation. So if you want to be compared to "revolutionaries" rather than petty lawbreakers, then you need to have an ultimate goal. <<

I don't care what I am viewed as.

>I believe that you care more than you let on. <<

About an internet name that was never used anywhere until you and your posse boys named by Arron made me famous? HAhahhahahahahah! If that was the case, don't you think I may have actually CHANGED it after all this time. Don't get me wrong, you boys have made my new handle very well-known on the radio...I guess I owe you gals a sort of "thanks". I get way more contacts than I ever did with a number. I get people almost daily asking me "are you the SAME twistedhed.....?
You really ought to stop with the guesses and beliefs and innuendos and the thinking until you know exactly how to do it.

>The logical goal would be the elimination or changing of the radio laws, that restrict you legally, from doing what you do now.<<

Seer.

>I'm no prophet,<

A considerable understatement, considering you have REPEATEDLY claimed you know what people feel and think, why they act the way they do, and how they operate their radio equipment based on their choice of radio services.....did you forget that jewel? I told you go back further in google...oh yea,,,,,I found some choice-azz insults and namecalling by you in posts you claimed don't exist. Told you that you had to go back further. Nevertheless, you said you haven't been a dick since you were a kid. Your posts show the opposite.

> but honorable people, <

You know nothing of honor. those who do, walk the walk. Another poster pointed out your blindness just the other day.......you really see yourself totally different than others view you...indicative of immaturity, but trust me, one day the revelation will come that you are not viewed in the manner you believe.
Same principle as recording yourself.....to you, it sounds like it isn;t you on the tape, but to another, it's dead-on accurate.

>who attempt to effect change have specific goals in mind.
Like I've said before, many times, I don't mollycoddle people. I don't sugar coat my information. If what I say doesn't sit well with you, prove it wrong, or deal with it.
<<

Oh, I have no problem whatsoever with you. Matter of fact, it is merely the lies and disinformation concerning radio that I
point out. Your personal bullshit is just that, personal, as in "inside yourself". Try as you may, you can't make your problems belong to another and you certainly have failed at blaming others for your deficiencies.

> Posting a contrary OPINION, does not automatically invalidate my information or my position.
<

Never said it did, but getting personal, lying, and making personal and OT comments about folks immediately invalidates your positon

>Not if those comments are true. <<

Oh yes, even if they are true, little one. How does one's p[ersonal life tie into radio? How does one's color or sexuality tie into radio? How does one's political views (which you have drunkenly slobbered over many times) have anything to do with cb?
That back-hoe is doing a fantastic job for you, Hall.

-
Since I have pointed out your
misbehavior you have indeed reviewed your archive collection and the fact that you were repulsed enough by what you saw made you admit your character flaws .

>Wait a minute. Now you're starting to go off the path. I have reviewed some of my past posts. Mostly to see when I really started posting here. I see nothing especially inflamatory in most of what I've written.
<

Which is why they need pointed out every so often.....you fail to see them.

>Because they aren't there.<

(snicker) Okaaay! The group will believe what you now tell us and not what we see.

>You, and maybe one or two other people with low moral caliber are the only ones who have a "problem" with what I say. <<

Stop looking to other people for validation. It places your weak character traits and non-confidence on display.

>Other than the last few "exchanges" that you and I have had, you will find that I treat people respectfully. I may not agree, and I will state the reasons why I disagree, and apply the principles of logic. But I don't engage in namecalling. That's something kids do. I am usually a straight up and to the point guy. I don't make personal insults, unless they were thrown at me first.
<

You are lying again, Dave.

>No, YOU are. <<

Well, I certainly needn't say much concerning your repeated failed attempts at words in my mouth....this thread is chock full of your collapses.

Go back to our very first disagreement in this forum, Dave...you came off like a dick

>A subjective opinion. Whether I was truly being a "dick" or just that you didn't like what I was saying, is a matter of interpretation. <<

Insultive posts becaiuse of anothers views, ccnstitute being a dick. Care to take a poll?

>Sure. You do the work, because frankly, I'm not that interested.<<

Sure. It doesn't take a rocket scientist to know you dislike and are not interested in work. It's probably why you are a weekend part-time father that never married.
A real man supports his family.
Back to business, if anyone feels getting personal and calling names and getting insultive is justified because of one's views, speak now or forever hold your peace. Now you keep count, Dave.

>Like to old saying; "if you don't like the messsage, don't shoot the messenger".

<<

But that is exactly what Lelnad KC8LDO suggested..

>Once again, I'm not Leland, so your point is irrelevant. <<

You used that same analogy with me, Dave, several times...going as far as posing a question to me, ranting about "others breaking the law".and providing yourself an answer and happily convincing yourself it came from me (talk about problems) when in fact, it never did.
I'm not :"others" just like you are not Lelnad, so your point is irrelevant.
Glad to see you opening the "Hypocrite Hall" and recognizing your efforts are pointless and irrelevant.

for no reason other than me stating an
opinion you didn't like, so don't spread that BS here.

>I don't know. I've had other people tell me that they agree with me, and that you're just someone "looking to start a fight".<<

What? Behind the scenes? In email?

>Some E-mail, some right here on the newsgroup.<<<

And your non-point is? Hell, I get email too, Dave, from plenty of hammies. I get email from hammies who won't talk to me here, but in email it's a different story. And ya' know what? It's all good........they know they can trust me. Your repeated attempts at character assassination are exclusive to you, Frank Gilliland, Dogie Adair N8WWM, Lelnad C. Scott KC8LDO, and a few other angry rabid hammies, such as Charles Johnson.....wow! All hammies in a cb room looking for guff! Hahhahahahhaahha! Y'all need to get a life.

From your named friends of Lenad, Dogie, and Frank? It figures you hold their opinions in contrast to what they are....mere interpretations. You have just accurately described your pound pup buddies.

>The fact that you attempt to malign them, in no way diminishes their opinions. They are worth the same as yours or anyone elses.<<

Only by you Dave.
Your defense of them is my folly.

>And others telling me that I'm wasting my time arguing with you. Most of whom do not belong to your imagined "Twisty hate pose'". <<

Right......which is why they are so vocal. Sorry Dave, "ghost" support don't cut it. And if you didn't feel so alienated and defeated, you wouldn't look to others to validate yourself.

>That's funny coming from the guy who's always speaking for the unspoken "majority" of other radio op's and newsgroup posters,<<

Oh, but Dave, I'm dead on with the pulse of cbers. Once again, you are so far removed from reality that your blindness disables any cognitive abilty on your behalf to see perspectives other than what you hold. It's a disability at your age, Dave, really.

> despite no one encouraging word supporting you or your position. <<

you da' one looking to others and mentioning support, Dave, not I. Be very careful playing the loner game....I'm cool as a cucumber on that limb all by myself, but you come across as a lone rat in a drain ditch that hasn't been fed.

>Mr. Pot, meet Mr. Kettle.
OhOne thing is probably true, though, I have damaged my reputation somewhat by allowing you to draw me into these no-win situations. <<

Drawing you? LMAO! LOL! HAhahahhahahah!When you post a lie, you damage yourself, not I.

>You are the only one that thinks what I say is a lie.<<

Missing posts again? You were taken to task by two cbers in the past day other than myself. This is a cb forum and everyone knows your agenda, Hall...it's too late to attempt and introduce a persona to your wilted and stained name and call.

You hear the DX today? HAhahhahahahha....there
were some serious pissed off hammies trying to get through....they just didnt have the azz for it.....hahahahahahahahha!

>How do you know, out of a load of freebanders, who the "hams" are? You can't expect me to believe that they actually used their ham calls on the freeband? ><<

What you believe means squat.

>And you still didn't answer the question.<<

And you continue to get extremely frustrated by my lack of respect by not providing you with what you feel you are owed.....same principle as the respect issue...you got no game, Dave.

>How do you know they were hams?<

Your seer malady is catching?

You think being a smug, disenfranchised, troubled youth are GOOD traits?

>That was 20 years ago. Growning up and maturing from that stage gives me a perspective by which to gauge many other people.<<

Hahahahhaha. Those interchangeable words
again....."judge" does not mean "gauge".

>I know that. That's why I used the term gauge.<

I know what you "said", but this a
prime example of you saying one thing and doing another.....you have
indeed opened the Hypocrite Hall.
You have indeed judged many of us criminals. You are not a court of law
nor have any legal authority (which pains you greatly) like the court to
adjudicate one a criminal, yet you do just that on a regular basis. Even
if a suspect CONFESSES to the crime, he or she is presumed innocent
until JUDGED guilty by a court of law, judge or jury. You are none of
the above. You are i-m-p-o-t-e-n-t, and a liar, as this clearly shows.

>That is a more accurate term for what I do. So don't put words on my
keyboard. <<

You have repeatedly failed with that attempt throughout this thread and
others. I couldn't do it if I tried,,,it's not in my nature.

>The old "been there, done that". I think that overcoming my earlier
"flaws" has allowed me the opportunity to reflect on my own life, and
gives me insight into what people think and why they think that way.
<<
.

..... that is a good thing, a first step.
Your words are there for all to enjoy, whenever they wish.

>And many of them have been quoted in other people's tag lines. <

Yea, it's part of the joke you're not in on.

>Whatever you say..... <

See? And the majority here thought you couldn't learn anything.

>I think my advice and insight have been on the money far more than it
has been off. <<

Stop thinking. It is detrimental when you do it aloud.
Delusions of grandeur. You don't see yourself as others do...that also
has been shown.
Kinda what makes you a funny guy....

>To you. Get it yet?<

Yea,....you're the entertainment....set up like a bowling pin by your
own kind..they hung you out to dry only you don't have a clue yet.

>Once again, you are free to disagree with my assessment of society, and
how it has regressed in recent years, but unless you can counter that
view with something equally compelling, it does not make me wrong.<<

You are right, it doesn't make you wrong, it does however, make you a
socialist.

>I really don't think you know what a socialist is.<<

What you think, or guess, matters to none but yourself.

>Otherwise you wouldn't be making such a ridiculous accusation.<<

It wasn't an accusation, it was an observation based on your posts claiming cb is a reflection of society (remember THAT doozy?) and the notion that your morals and interpretations are what others should conform to.

>Socialism is all about government control. Following sensible rules<<

You are not a judge, therefore not qualified to say what is and isn't "sensible".

>Neither are you, and thereby lies the rub. <

No rub...I never made that claim....it is you that has judged then denied doing so.

>The best you can hope to achieve is a stalemate.<<

I hope to achieve nothing with you, Dave. I know better than to begin reading aloud from Tolstoy to the monkeys in their cages.

>and being considerate of other people's rights, is hardly "socialism".<<

Who said it was?

>You did.<

Once again, I force you to fail by calling on you to produce the passage where I claimed being considerate of other's rights is socialism. Better get busy...you have a ton of claims to back up this time.

> You accuse me of being a socialist, based on nothing but my reverence for the law, <<<<

No, I accuse you of being a socialist because you feel everyone should conform to YOUR handicapped interpretations and flawed reviews of morality and law. Hell Dave, you still feel it's a federal crime to talk skip..how much can one really expect you to know anything you speak of? Your posts are full of false attributions, lies, and plain ignorance, but I really don't hold it against you. As I held before, you were never taught any better. Instead of a loving parent holding you and teaching you, you were being assaulted your grandfather.

>What did I claim didn't take place?<

Your insults and name calling. First you claim it didn't take place, then after dining on more crow you checked your archived posts...then came the shuffle of you DEFENDING what you first claimed never happened. See above,,,you defended it....if you never said it, how can you defend it? Troll on.....

>You lost me. How can I defend something I never said? <<

That's what I want to know and am anxiously awaiting your reply. You claimed you didn't get personal and insultive and offtopic....I showed

you did. You then attempted to spin it with the pathetic and sad defense of "Well, if it's true.....".
There you have it.

>And if I said something that YOU think is an insult, well that's just your opinion.<<

Yup, normal members of society view malicious
name-calling as insultive.

> Me thinks you're way too sensitive for any normal man.<<

At least you are staying true to your failed guessing, thinking, and supposings.

>And yess, I have changed my viewpoint in the last 10 or 15 years. <<

And also in the last
6 months, as I have showed the post where you call us criminals and I have showed where you claimed freebanding doesn't bother you. You have contradicted yourself in so many posts...what is hysterical is you feel I am the only one that sees it, but you have been called on it twice in the past 24 hours and it wasn;t by me. You are a bandwagon hopper, a treetop hypocrite and fairweather liar who happily has the ostrich syndrome.

>Most
people do when they get older. It's called maturity.
<

dave, you have started with me so many times in here, true to form, just like Lelnad, Frank and the other trouble posse members that pledged to cause trouble in rec.radio.cb.

>Who has "pledged to cause trouble". <

You miss the post by Arron that said the group would have to contend with the antics of yourself, lelnad and Frank and Dogie if "Aaron" were suddently to stop posting?
Concerning where it came from, I am certain it wasn't misinterpreted by anyone, even though you now desperately try to avoid the subject.

>I can no more control what Aaron posts then I can control what you post. <<

Doesn't matter. You're on record defending him.
Several times. That says it all, not what you want and wish us to hear.

>And as usual, you misinterpreted what Aaron wrote, and twisted the meaning. From what I remember him posting, he basically stated that if he were to leave the newsgroup, that there would be other people, who are equally disillusioned with blatent lawbreakers, who would pick up the torch and carry on the campaign of education. That's hardly equates to "pledging to cause trouble". <<

Ahh,,I knew you would like to open this discussion. Tell me,,,,,,,,,since you are so well at misinterpreting,,,,,,,,please share your misinterpretation of why he lost several ISP.s
Whoops...ou already did...you equate a violation of terms of service with education. Technically, the terms of service are set forth by the ISP who must comply with mandates governed by the FCC, a federal agency. Infracting such rules is considered a federal crime by yourself, correct?

>Your first class is called "The criminal in me - How to accept and deal with the realities of my activities".<<<

The criminal in you is of no consequence to me, but thanks for the offer. Now I have one for you......."How to not obsess with twisty and his radio behavior and not dwell on what others think of me..101".

>You still haven't passed this one. <

The only person suffering from reality is you, evidenced by your calling DXers federal criminals and your pathetic attempts at answering for others when they don;t provide what you seek. ....just like all babies who don't get what they want, you throw a tantrum that consists of insults, stomp your feet, and whine..

>I know that is not my intent. If you don't like your lawlessness being brought up, maybe you should do something about it. <<

I'm not the one having temper problems, losing ISP;'s and generally whining and demanding for reasons, excuses, justification, etc.....you are.

>I haven't lost any ISP's. I haven't lost my temper. <<

Oh, you call people names out of the self-control you have, no doubt.

>People who have respect for the law, are not the ones causing the trouble. <<

HAhahhahahahahahahha...I am sure they changed ISP's because they wanted to...several times.

>Well, considering that AOL gives out 1000 free hours on a CD, I'm sure that more than one of these guys is taking advantage of AOL's "free" hours.<<

Hahahhahahhahah....do you have anyone in your ghost email that believes that one? Dig, jr., dig.

>When one expires, they install another, and create a new account.<<

Aaron was posting through google via yahoo. Lelnad was posting through Bignet (his 3rd pulled account, possibly fourth).

You drew first blood.

>I would disagree with that. You were the one that started with speculative comments, accusing me of lying, and commenting on my inability to follow your changing of the topics, and accusing me of deliberately steering the topic when I attempted to return to the core topic. That whole thread on respect on the radio, that you kept trying to change into a discussion of USENET ettiquette. It's all there in google. <<

>I suggest you retreat to the safety of mommy's skirt.
Dave
"Sandbagger"<<

Thanks, but when I was referring to a back-hoe, it didn't mean hiding behind your mother's dress.

3456. Re: How it works, the hard cold facts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 17:45:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12122-3DBEC957-370@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142241

>You really should learn to not jump the gun, >unless you are sure.

I am sure. You're just crying again cause I won't tell you how.

And you damn sure didn;t brand me anything, the reason you "refrained" is because you aren't fooling anyone with your games. A leopard never changes his spots.......despite your comments to the contrary..

>I'm mearly pointing out your hypocricy.......
Dave
"Sandbagger"<

Since pointing out my typos makes you feel superior, pointing out your non-typos will make you feel inferior. It's not "mearly" it's m-e-r-e-l-y.
It's NOT "hypocricy" I bring, but h-y-p-o-c-r-i-s-y you sling. I bring diplomacy and truth.

3457. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 17:46:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021029124657.27813.00001178@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 142234

walking on the grass and truckers talking on ten meters without a license fall in the same category of danger to the public lol

>But I do know the law aganst speeding. Dumb-ass saying because if a person
>did not know, how can you hold them to a standard that is assuming that
>everyone knows the law about radio? Do you think that you should be punished
>if you break some law about say, walking on the grass, where no sign tells
>you not to. Lets say you, have been walking on that grass for the last 10
>years, and no person has told you not to. So do you think that it is good
>and right if you were hauled to court, fined, forced to do comunity service,
>and now have a record, but you did not know to keep off the grass?

3458. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 17:51:17 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B6643FF8E5Drrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142236

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in news:3DBD85C0.59882DB3
@mailcity.net:

>
>
> Alan Strawinski wrote:
>>
>> "Lloyd" <Lloyd@BARFchiefOFcommunications.net> wrote in news:apif0q$gl5
$0
>> @pita.alt.net:
>>
>> > Leave Oxendine alone. Riley has been farting him off,
>> > and it has the boy aggravated for lack of attention.
>> >
>> > Lloyd
>> > BARF 14313
>> > Computer Specialist
>> > A+ C+++ Network Certified
>> > BARF Chief of Communcations
>> >
>> What's a C+++?
>
> A programing language
>

Well, C and C++ are programming languages (I'm fluent in both), but I've
never heard of C+++. It sounds like some sort of certification...

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

3459. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 17:52:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12122-3DBECAF1-372@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142240

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
No, Twist, I've seen it stated that 10 meters is **largely** unused. No, no one stated it isn't used, just seldom used with a lot of wide open space. I really don't feel like searching the archives ...
==========
No need, Jim. I too, have seen it stated that 10 isn't used, but I haven't seen it used as a defense to intruding there.
==========

>I have seen it stated (roughly quoted that it is hardly used). In fact, it may have been on UJs site. There are a couple of junivile delinquents loose there of late. I don't know what post got me started - usually I let the other guys take the blood pressure medication. Myself, I'll just have a 6 pack and leave this whole mess alone for a while. I saw one good post and believe that is closer to the truth than most care to admit. A lot of places sell radios. A lot of folks don't know what all those 'uppers' and 'lowers' are, other than extra channels. Oh well, on to the 6 pack. ;)
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim<<<
<<<<<<
==========
You're probably right...plenty don't know what those buttons and switches are for. Those that do are not usually your type venturing into 10 meters,,,that I think we all can agree on.

3460. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 17:56:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12121-3DBECBBC-998@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142239

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021028223416.01187.00001219@mb-mk.aol.com...
who do you suggest should do this?

>1) FCC
>2) or Amateur volunteers using form letters >and a list of trucking
companies. All these >volunteers

Number one will be read and number two will be disposed of, possibly
pinned to the office bulletin board as a dispatcher's laugh.

3461. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 17:57:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12122-3DBECC12-373@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142237

From: timnebo@aol.com357 (Uncle Charlie)
jim the larger signals you here on channel 6 are from bases although most common big bases are sb220's there are some running big ceramic tubes as well but the HUGE power is almost always mobile. my favorite guy to listen to is Black Ambasador from california with his 8 element yagi, dual 3cx3000's, and his collins rig. man he's a fine sounding signal. so is 22 steel in the great lakes with that single 3cx15,000. toll free was the man that can tell you about alot of big bases out there in southern cali. there and in NY are where the really big bases seem to be.
==========
I talked to 22 today. I talked to a buncha folks today...skip was hoppin'!

3462. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 18:01:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12122-3DBECD00-374@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142238

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:

I have never run across an ham that was upset over the loss of 11 meters. The majority of hams today were not even hams or around when 11 meters was a ham band. The loss of 11 meters had virtually no effect on ham radio, just too bad they had to turn it over to a bunch of fools.
==========
"Turn it over?" Hahahaha...JJ, you are a nocode to the core. An upperclassmen would know which came first of this chicken and egg you speak of!! JJ's a nocode JJ's a nocode JJs a nocode JJs a nocode JJs a nocode JJS a nocode JJs a nocode <g>

3463. Re: blah blah blah blah

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 18:55:58 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <zRAv9.882$gU5.359526535@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 142242

"Krunchy Frog" <crispytoad@wartz.com> wrote in message

> ...and your mother was a hamster, and your father smelt
> of elderberrys, now go away silly person, or I shall taunt
> you a second time!...LOL
>

Silly?

Sir, how dare you refer to my as " silly "

" Silly is as silly's done, cooked with love until it's done "

Sincerely,

--
-

General Johnson Jameson, Ret.
USAF Alien Spacecraft Recovery Team

" This is your brain, and this is your brain on CB....Any questions? "

3464. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 19:01:33 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DBEDB0D.A6356621@cwis.net>
Source record: 142243

Bill,
I see little difference between the safety factors
of CB radio and those of ham radio. They both envolve
electronics, good operating procedures, and just plain
common sense. Who pays for it? The participant, the
guy who wants to play radio. Makes sense doesn't it?
Do I think it will ever happen? Sure, right after they
lower the taxes...
'Doc

3465. Re: Cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 19:04:49 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DBEDBD1.9C71C456@cwis.net>
Source record: 142244

Jeff,
If I'm not mistaken, they are all 5/8 wave
antennas. Except for mechanical differences
and cost, there ~should~ be very little difference
between them.
'Doc

3466. Gentlemen's Agreements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 19:25:55 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B6744AE648Drrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142245

I got to thinking about the Gentlemans Agreement WRT channels 36-40 being SSB only. That got me to thinking about other agreements.

In most of the country, drivers use 19 to converse with each other. Here in a good part of the central valley, they run 17. It's my understanding that down near LA they run 14. How did all of this come to pass? Some of you guys have been on CB a LONG time, so maybe you can fill me in. How in the world was it decided that 19 would be the trucker's channel of choice nationwide? How in the world did such a notion catch on? Even more perplexing: How did 17 become what 19 is in the rest of the country? This has always been a huge question in my mind.

I've always guessed that some large organization (perhaps the teamsters?) would have gotten the word out. Or maybe some widely read publication at the time, I don't know.

In Modesto, CA there is always some nut case jamming 17, making communication on 17 near impossible. I've always wondered why drivers didn't just switch over to 19 in circumstances like this. For it to be effective, everybody would have to know that switching over to 19 (or whatever) is standard protocol. If only a couple of guys do it, it doesn't really work. That's why I wonder: How were these agreements reached so many years ago?

Thoughts?

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

3467. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 19:31:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBEE1FF.6F43B7A6@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142252

Twistieboy blathered again:
>
> From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
>
> I have never run across an ham that was upset over the loss of 11
> meters. The majority of hams today were not even hams or around when 11
> meters was a ham band. The loss of 11 meters had virtually no effect on
> ham radio, just too bad they had to turn it over to a bunch of fools.
> ==========
> "Turn it over?" Hahahaha...JJ, you are a nocode to the core. An
> upperclassmen would know which came first of this chicken and egg you
> speak of!! JJ's a nocode JJ's a nocode JJs a nocode JJs a nocode JJs a
> nocode JJS a nocode JJs a nocode <g>

Maybe you could clarify just what you mean. The FCC took 11 meters
in hopes of creating business band for those who could not
justify the cost of obtaining a fixed frequency assigned by the
FCC and the cost of high dollar two-way radios. Those frequencies
were not being fully utilized by the hams as they mostly used 10
meters. Unfortunately some cbers, due to their lack of regard for
any rules (do you fit that category?) and regard for other users
eventually turned it into what it is today, a garbage band such
that even those who wish to use it legally have a hard time doing
so.
I have never, in 40+ years of ham radio heard another ham complain
about the loss of 11 meters.
As far as this no code thing you seem to be so hung up on (and
which you cannot achieve), keep saying it over and over if that is
what makes your day, but I was licensed long before no code was
ever thought of.
And even with you disdain for no code licensees, at least they do
hold a license, something you cannot achieve and they don't have
to operate illegally which you do and seem to be so proud.

Now...
twistie operates illegally!! twistie operates illegally!! twistie
operates illegally!! twistie can't even get the no code ticket!!
twistien can't even get the no code ticket!!! twistie can't even
get the no code ticket!! LOL
Sounds just as childish as you doesn't it.

3468. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 19:37:39 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBEE383.80F16B05@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142254

tools wrote:
>
> But I do know the law aganst speeding. Dumb-ass saying because if a person
> did not know, how can you hold them to a standard that is assuming that
> everyone knows the law about radio?

There are probably laws that you don't know about, but break one
of them and you are still accountable. The judge will just laugh
when you when you say you were not aware of such law. Same for the
truckers, if caught the excuse that they did not know they were
operating on licensed frequencies will not hold water. Ignorance
of the law is no excuse. You are still accountable even if you
think it is a dumb assed saying.

3469. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 19:43:28 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBEE4E0.AF61EDD6@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142255

Alan Strawinski wrote:

>
> Well, C and C++ are programming languages (I'm fluent in both), but I've
> never heard of C+++. It sounds like some sort of certification...
>

You're correct, C++ is what I was thinking of. Took an
introductory C class one time but never got into C++.

3470. Johnson Messenger 124-M

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 19:58:28 UTC
From: Mike Mills <dmichaelm@hotmaill.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1828890c8bfe4ac0989811@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 142246

Does anyone know what year / years they were made?.
TIA
--
Mike

3471. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 20:01:14 UTC
From: w8ems@juno.com
Message-ID: <59af69d5.0210291201.4aa27e33@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142247

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DBE0614.A85925B4@mailcity.net>...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

The loss of 11 meters had virtually
> no effect on ham radio, just too bad they had to turn it over to a
> bunch of fools.

Not true. 11 meters was home to a lot of early RTTY and FAX modes as
well as phone and CW. There were many hams that were uspet by the
loss of 11 meters.
In fact there are still some old timers that will not have anything to
do with CB today simply because of the loss of the frequencies to
amateur radio.

73 de Jim
W8EMS/9

3472. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 20:52:00 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DBEF4F0.E341CF78@cwis.net>
Source record: 142248

WG wrote:

>" I do know that 11 meters is being considered for a satellite down link band
> in the US and other countries unless CB can prove its usefulness and so far
> they have not been able to do that. "

What company in their right mind would want the 11 meter band
for a commercial satelite down link? It simply isn't suited for
that. I think someone has been pulling on one of your legs...
'Doc

3473. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 20:52:47 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DBEF51E.4A46E658@cwis.net>
Source record: 142249

Thanks, but NO thanks!
'Doc

3474. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 20:57:37 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DBEF641.C7849A8C@cwis.net>
Source record: 142250

Jim,
You might be right about there still being some
old timers around from when the 'turn over' happened.
But they are very few, and very far between. With
all the other changes that have happened since then,
being upset about 'giving' 11 meters to CB is just
a bit silly...
'Doc

3475. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 21:03:30 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210291303.1d2ca148@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142251

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DBDDC85.BAE1F089@mailcity.net>...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > Richard Cranium wrote:
> > >
> > > > Just like Aanal Aaron! He never could post any proof that I'm somehow
> > > > "Steve", even though he said he would "Gladly" post it. Guess we now
> > > > know he doesn't have any proof!
>
> > >> Good grief, get over it dickieboy!! You must really be steve or
> > > you wouldn't be so insistent in trying to convince everyone you're
> > > not.
> > >
> > Who gives a shit anyway?!?
> >
> My sentiments exactly, who gives a crap that dickieboy is really
> steve.

Apparently *he* does....a lot!

-Aaron-

3476. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 21:05:12 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBEF808.65780AA4@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142268

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> In <6Izv9.1551$Ik6.156349@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "tools"
> <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >But I do know the law aganst speeding. Dumb-ass saying because if a person
> >did not know, how can you hold them to a standard that is assuming that
> >everyone knows the law about radio? Do you think that you should be punished
> >if you break some law about say, walking on the grass, where no sign tells
> >you not to. Lets say you, have been walking on that grass for the last 10
> >years, and no person has told you not to. So do you think that it is good
> >and right if you were hauled to court, fined, forced to do comunity service,
> >and now have a record, but you did not know to keep off the grass?
>
> To start with, a copy of the CB rules is required to be included with
> every new CB radio, and are available from the FCC just by sending
> them a letter. Also, there are about a jillion websites with the CB
> rules posted, and a jillion more explaining that it's illegal to
> modify your CB radio for extra frequencies. And you don't even need
> the US mail service or even the internet to find out, just ask someone
> on the radio. Even just LISTENING to the radio is enough, because the
> conversation comes up at least once each day. But if THAT isn't
> enough, the FCC usually sends a warning letter before issuing an NAL,
> giving the offender the benefit of any doubt that what he is doing is
> illegal.
>
> Now quit being a "dumb-ass" and stop with the lame excuses.

Some people won't pay attention until they are hit between the
eyes with a 2X4.

3477. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 21:11:41 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBEF98D.939232B1@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142270

technowizard wrote:

>
> I agree with you that a legally operated CB is not the issue - the problem
> is with the illegally operated CBs. The point I was trying to make was that
> perhaps a good portion of the "illegal" operators are not aware that they
> are "illegal", simply because they don't have they knowledge to be able to
> determine what is "legal" and what is not. They might not be aware that a
> modified rig is illegal - some of their friends have a higher power unit or
> one that operates outside of the band, so they want it too. Certainly, a
> minimal amount of effort on the part of the CB industry to educate its users
> will go a long way to rectifying the problem.

It is up to the operator to know the proper use of his equipment,
if uncertain, then he should ask. Ignorance is no excuse. The cb
industry does no want to educate it's users, to do so would mean
they might not sell as many illegal radios and amps.

3478. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 21:33:20 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210291333.7ed19e97@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142253

"techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
news:<apke65mil1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU>...
> Let's assume for a moment that the majority of the illegal cb activity into
> the amateur bands is a result of ignorance on the part of the radio
> operator. They are ignorant of the rules and regs because there is no
> mechanism in place that requires them to learn the rules and regs.

There's no need for a CB radio that covers the 10 meter ham band. I
think everyone agrees on that. The other issue is where truckers get
their info about CB. Probably two places; from other truckers, and
from the CB shops and "techs". I'd really like to find out what info
truckers are given about 10 meter operation at the "point of
purchase". Are they told "don't go above channel X on band X"? Are
they told the FCC is a big joke and nobody gets caught? Or are they
given any info at all?

(snip)
> Why not require cb users to complete a basic RF safety/regulation course
(snip)
CB (operated legally) does not require any knowledge of RF, regs, and
safety. The legal version of CB is simple and foolproof, i.e., push
the button and talk on channels 1-40. The CB gear itself (low power,
limited frequency) is designed to stay within the CB band and not be
tampered with. It's the illegal/tampered gear that requires knowledge
of where the ham bands are and where not to stray into.

-A

3479. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 21:53:57 UTC
From: technowizard <technowiz@techno-wiz.com>
Message-ID: <apn054kfj1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU>
Source record: 142266

"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6e58e321.0210291333.7ed19e97@posting.google.com...
> "techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
news:<apke65mil1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU>...
>
> There's no need for a CB radio that covers the 10 meter ham band. I
> think everyone agrees on that.

That's not what I'm advocating.

>The other issue is where truckers get
> their info about CB. Probably two places; from other truckers, and
> from the CB shops and "techs". I'd really like to find out what info
> truckers are given about 10 meter operation at the "point of
> purchase". Are they told "don't go above channel X on band X"? Are
> they told the FCC is a big joke and nobody gets caught? Or are they
> given any info at all?

My guess is they aren't given any information at all mainly because there is
no incentive nor requirement to give out the information.

> CB (operated legally) does not require any knowledge of RF, regs, and
> safety. The legal version of CB is simple and foolproof, i.e., push
> the button and talk on channels 1-40. The CB gear itself (low power,
> limited frequency) is designed to stay within the CB band and not be
> tampered with. It's the illegal/tampered gear that requires knowledge
> of where the ham bands are and where not to stray into.
>
> -A

I agree with you that a legally operated CB is not the issue - the problem
is with the illegally operated CBs. The point I was trying to make was that
perhaps a good portion of the "illegal" operators are not aware that they
are "illegal", simply because they don't have they knowledge to be able to
determine what is "legal" and what is not. They might not be aware that a
modified rig is illegal - some of their friends have a higher power unit or
one that operates outside of the band, so they want it too. Certainly, a
minimal amount of effort on the part of the CB industry to educate its users
will go a long way to rectifying the problem.

3480. Re: Old Panasonic CB Peakable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 21:57:33 UTC
From: David <alsoSPAMbikerdave@bluefrognet.net>
Message-ID: <apn08m02ukv@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 142257

Dave Hall wrote:
>> Let me guess, this "shop" also sells Cobra and Uniden radios right?

Actually no, the shop is inside a truckstop and they sell the radios.
He's a seperate business inside the truckstop.

I do know all of what your talking about as far as peaking & tuning the
radio. But I was always under the impression, as most people are, that
older radios were more "peakable".

Most of the throw-away radios made today can't be peaked to any
noticable difference as I understand it...maybe I'm wrong on that. I
always thought all of your older radios could be improved noticeably though.

Am I wrong about this?

This radio I bought is real nice. It has two meters on it, the second
being a modulation meter. It has that silly Delta-tune which I've never
noticed doing a single thing. It has a two position attenuatuer, which
is ,I guess, the next best thing to a dial. Unfortunatelt the ANL and NB
are on one position on the switch, I prefer a two position switch or
better yet, a seperate switch for each. I don't care for how much signal
you lose when using the ANL, certain situations it's a charm, but many
times it's just to much and the NB would take care of the noise by itself.

David

3481. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 22:10:45 UTC
From: GMpartsguy <kb1cih@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <FHDv9.158802$qM2.49118@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 142256

What idea ? You have to have a permit to get a permit in CT. That was 100% pure sarcasm, m'boy. Good for a few hyucks and not much else. I'm not here to alienate or insult folks.

3482. Wanted CHEROKEE CBS-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 22:20:25 UTC
From: carlmackayp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021029172025.13585.00000154@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 142258

Looking to buy a good used Cherokee cbs -1000 at a reasonable price

leave message please

3483. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 22:25:50 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dbf087e.610161@news.cet.com>
Source record: 142259

In <6Izv9.1551$Ik6.156349@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "tools"
<medtools@earthlink.net> wrote:

>But I do know the law aganst speeding. Dumb-ass saying because if a person
>did not know, how can you hold them to a standard that is assuming that
>everyone knows the law about radio? Do you think that you should be punished
>if you break some law about say, walking on the grass, where no sign tells
>you not to. Lets say you, have been walking on that grass for the last 10
>years, and no person has told you not to. So do you think that it is good
>and right if you were hauled to court, fined, forced to do comunity service,
>and now have a record, but you did not know to keep off the grass?

To start with, a copy of the CB rules is required to be included with
every new CB radio, and are available from the FCC just by sending
them a letter. Also, there are about a jillion websites with the CB
rules posted, and a jillion more explaining that it's illegal to
modify your CB radio for extra frequencies. And you don't even need
the US mail service or even the internet to find out, just ask someone
on the radio. Even just LISTENING to the radio is enough, because the
conversation comes up at least once each day. But if THAT isn't
enough, the FCC usually sends a warning letter before issuing an NAL,
giving the offender the benefit of any doubt that what he is doing is
illegal.

Now quit being a "dumb-ass" and stop with the lame excuses.

3484. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 22:30:05 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <p_mdneDkv_Z6liKgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142260

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:NTuv9.12627$TH6.2455@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...

> But you ARE posting in an 11-meter newsgroup, Jerry.

Yeah so what it is a CB NG not called a 11 meter NG.

>I think it's safe to
> say that we all agree that there is a problem re. unlicensed 10-meter
> intrusions, the majority of which likely committed (knowingly or
> unknowingly) by truckers on ch. 19 "2-up." Perhaps a trucking >newsgroup
might be more appropriate?

Why many truckers read this Ng it is not only about Truckers and there CB radios on 10 meters it is about anyone who is a Cber and uses un authorized freqs besides this is a CB NG, a trucking NG he may find truckers that don't use CB's many truckers don't use CB's. I think this group is more than appropriate. the truckers who read it can tell a friend.

3485. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 22:35:00 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <NyydnY1zm-GQkCKgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142261

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> You must have the shortest memory in captivity, Georgie-girl. But I'm
> a nice guy, so I'll say it once more for the retard: "I'll post my
> callsign as soon as Aanal Aaron posts his and not before." Clear this
> time?

I sure am clear you are not credible you need aaron to valisate your
credibility. I got it 100$ this time.

> Are you actually claiming to have credibility Georgie-girl. Not in
> this newsgroup you don't.

Aw shucks

>All you can do is troll and stir things up;

your my role model!

> you never show how intelligent you might actually be by posting good
> information, you just make fun of others who post based on their
> experiences.

I sure have where you been?

> Not to mention all the phoney addresses you have to use!

Sorry I chose to use them I don't have to do anything.

> Oh yeah; some "Elmer" you are!

Sorry I am not trying to elmer anyone in this NG, they are full of denial,
lawlessness etc.

> BTW, I note that your buddy Aanal Aaron has tucked his pathetic tail
> between his scrawny legs and run away;

He did?

>Aan Aadmission that he has no
> proof to post here or Aanywhere else,

Au contraire, his proof is as good as your proof, prove he is wrong Ill bet
you can't .

3486. FA: PRIDE RECEIVE PREAMP, CB / 10 METERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 22:44:42 UTC
From: Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF1001.7F368D0F@optonline.net>
Source record: 142262

Real nice pictures also.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1393162078

3487. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 22:50:18 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <EtqdnYMCdKM5jSKgXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142263

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021028224741.01187.00001222@mb-mk.aol.com...
> that was your example of the drivers discussing things they were both
seeing at
> the same time. calling for road info from locals, smokey reports, and shit
of
> that nature has to be done to drivers or bases who could be anywhere

Sorry Tim, I wasn't the one who said they were looking at other females, if
your having trouble keeping up with who said what please keep quiet.

3488. For Richard Cranium

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 22:52:01 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <DeOcnWwWHeyWjCKgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 142264

Cheers Theme

Sometimes you want to go
Where everybody knows your name
and they're always glad you came
You want to be where you can see
Our troubles are all the same
You want to be where everybody knows your name

--
If you expect to believe people,
it's up you to prove their correct.

Richard Cranium

3489. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 22:52:17 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apn3el$36ct1$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142265

"Alan Strawinski" <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message
news:Xns92B485DF47662rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44...

> Is my little test scientific? Conclusive? Naw. Hardly. Those of you with
> nicer base installations will hear alot more than I did. I do think it
> shows that it's not that big of a deal to find a clear channel to talk on
> without venturing into 10 meters (or anywhere else, for that matter),
> though.

Come to my town and you can use any of the 40 channels to chat on (except 9
of course) without worrying about interference or interfering with anyone.
It's a virtual CB dead zone.

Tom

3490. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 23:04:21 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <Ehidne3X5cFtjiKgXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142267

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:apn3el$36ct1$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...

> Come to my town and you can use any of the 40 channels to chat on (except
9
> of course) without worrying about interference or interfering with anyone.
> It's a virtual CB dead zone.
>
> Tom

Tom that is Bullcrap, Richard Cranium from this forum "said there are 30-40
million Cbers in this country. That would mean 10% of the population uses
and owns a Cb.

3491. Re: Cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 23:09:14 UTC
From: Dino <noemail@here.com>
Message-ID: <uyEv9.1985$V15.226513@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142269

In theroy yes. But in the real world we know different. I can tell you as an owner of MULTIPLE Avanti Sigma 5/8/Sigma IV/Super Scanner/etc (still in the garage), there is a difference in the antennas.

The magic is in the feed point! The fact is, the Interceptor 10K gets more of the signal to the 5/8 element than any other antenna I've seen.

As I'm sure you know, a 5/8 antenna needs a matching section. As I'm sure you know, most matching sections (coils) use energy.

As I'm sure you know, a BETTER matching section will get MORE signal to the antenna.

The I10K gets more of the signal to the antenna! Worth every penny!

http://www.a1antennas.com

Dino

>Jeff,
> If I'm not mistaken, they are all 5/8 wave
>antennas. Except for mechanical differences
>and cost, there ~should~ be very little difference
>between them.
> 'Doc

3492. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 23:19:22 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <VwEv9.7796$pD6.3867@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142271

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12121-3DBECBBC-998@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021028223416.01187.00001219@mb-mk.aol.com...
who do you suggest should do this?

>1) FCC
>2) or Amateur volunteers using form letters >and a list of trucking
companies. All these >volunteers

Number one will be read and number two will be disposed of, possibly
pinned to the office bulletin board as a dispatcher's laugh.

There would be no difference in the appearance of either one. The letters
would be form letters on
FCC letterheads just like they send warnings on. All the volunteers would
do is send them out from
a list (compiled by ARRL??). The recipients wouldn't be able to tell any
difference.

Jerry

3493. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 23:25:35 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF18EF.199E731D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142293

Twistedhed wrote:

> Just because you offer your own version of banality concerning my status
> does not make it true, but keep saying it over and over if that is what
> makes your day.

In reference to your claim that I am a no code, I quote your own
words, "Just because you offer your own version of banality
concerning my status does not make it true, but keep saying it
over and over if that is what makes your day."

> Tut-tut...I have no disdain for nocodes...let's stick to the facts,
> shall we?
> I have disdain for CERTAIN nocodes, and have said nothing negative
> concerning nocode status except as construed by yourself and Dave
> "Hypocrite" Hall.

No disdain for the nocodes you say...let's stick to the facts.
Here is your posting of:
Subject: Re: Personal Call with the man
Date: Fri, 16 Aug 2002 14:00:55 -0400 (EDT
From: twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)

> Society has been intentionally
> dumbing down and walking and chewing gum is regarded as some type
> accomplishment, such as some getting the nocode entry tcket as such.
> Neither is the case, so the only solution is to ban cell phones while
> driving.

Words like "dumbing down", "walking and chewing gum is regarded as
some type of accomplishment such as some getting the nocode entry
tcket (sic) as such". Sure sounds like you have negative feelings
for the nocode status to me.

When are you going to learn to post without so many blank lines in
you post?

3494. Re: Cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 23:34:42 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF1B12.62C10968@cwis.net>
Source record: 142272

Dino,
You're right, I do know. You'll notice that I qualified my statement too, about the mechanical differences. The different methods of matching an antenna are identical electrically, they differ mechanically in how they produce the reactances needed for impedance matching. There's also a mechanical difference in their construction, some use better construction techniques than others. I do have to agree with you about Jay's antenna seeming to be better constructed than the others, at least from what I've seen of them.
'Doc

3495. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 23:41:32 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22581-3DBF1CAC-406@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142282

JJ droned on with:
Maybe you could clarify just what you mean. The FCC took 11 meters in hopes of creating business band for those who could not justify the cost of obtaining a fixed frequency assigned by the FCC and the cost of high dollar two-way radios. Those frequencies were not being fully utilized by the hams as they mostly used 10 meters. <<

So far so good.

>Unfortunately some cbers, <<

You missed the time-line entry of cb.

>due to their lack of regard for any rules (do you fit that category?)<<

Not at all. I obey all radio rules with the exception of DX and a little freebanding with less power than most hammies use on 10 meter.

>and regard for other users eventually turned it into what it is today, a garbage band such that even those who wish to use it legally have a hard time doing so. <<

Propagation, nothing more.
You know very well all the power in the world ain't gonna skip when mother nature says no.

>I have never, in 40+ years of ham radio heard another ham complain about the loss of 11 meters.
As far as this no code thing you seem to be so hung up on (and which you cannot achieve),<<

Again, I assure you..the nocode ticket would be useless to me. There is way more interesting things happening on other freqs than where a nocode may venture.

>keep saying it over and over if that is what makes your day, but I was licensed long before no code was ever thought of.<<

Just because you offer your own version of banality concerning my status does not make it true, but keep saying it over and over if that is what makes your day.

>And even with you disdain for no code licensees, <<<

Tut-tut...I have no disdain for nocodes...let's stick to the facts, shall we?
I have disdain for CERTAIN nocodes, and have said nothing negative concerning nocode status except as construed by yourself and Dave

"Hypocrite" Hall. What I HAVE maintained concerning nocodes, is that it takes no real effort to attain one, and that it is no achievement for one of normal intellect. It does not make one "better" than a cber in any way shape or form and only arrogant and pious individuals feel it somehow elevates them above those who choose a radio hobby other than hammie.

>at least they do hold a license, <<

LOL! Maybe the seer title should go to myself.....again, only pious and arrogant individuals believe that makes a difference in a persons individual caliber..

.
>something you cannot achieve <<

Keep saying it over and over if that is what makes your day....

>and they don't have to operate illegally which you do and seem to be so proud.<<

(funny, Hall said the same thing.....wow...challenged minds do think alike.!) Call it what you may. The fact that you girls have tried in vain and failed every time at hacking me, sending me viruses, forging me, threatening me personally and even threatening the group if I didn't go away, not to mention devoting so much time to little old me,.....yea it makes me proud that you have failed in every effort and the troublemakers have lost ISP's at a mind-numbing rate. Hint: It wasn't JUST Frank and Lelnad,,but it WAS angry, personal problematic hammies who have since chilled and yea, I guess you can say I am as proud as their failures as JerryO is of claiming a hand in trucker busts.

>Now...
twistie operates illegally!! twistie operates illegally!! twistie operates illegally!! twistie can't even get the no code ticket!! twistien can't even get the no code ticket!!! twistie can't even get the no code ticket!! LOL
Sounds just as childish as you doesn't it.<<

Yea, but it was *meant* to.....hence the <g>, widely accepted as the emoticon for "grin".
I can laugh about it.....something some of you guys apparently forgot how to do.

3496. Re: We won't get the real facts from Skippy, that's for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 23:43:58 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210291543.2565e0b9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142273

"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:<Iy-dnekREvT8SSCgXTWcqQ@comcast.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > Since I'm not a drunk (like you) I wouldn't know. But I DO know that
> > you have failed repeatedly to post any PROOF that I am somehow
> > "Steve", and you said you would "Gladly" post it. Where is it, Aanal
> > Aaron? Who is "Steve" and how am "I" him? Everybody knows I'm Richard,
> > yet(i) somehow you still have this wierd idea that I'm "Steve". You
> > lose again, Aanal Aaron. As usual.
>
>
>
> The burden of proving him wrong rests squarly in your end Steve, this comes
> from your own words and actions.

Wrong again, Georgie-girl, but we expect that from Aanal Aaron
wannabees like you. He made the statement, it's up to him to prove it.
He offered to "Gladly" post his proof, but hasn't done so yet, after
more than a month of posturing and spamming the newsgroup with garbage
and doing his famous patented "chicken side-step".

BTW, it's "squarely", dimbulb. And YOU have said that you know I'm NOT
"Steve", so how are you helping your dumb buddy Aanal Aaron the liar
and coward, who has been proven wrong about everything he's ever
posted?

"I don't have to prove anything; I just say it and that makes it
true." Aanal Aaron H. Voobner (and lackies, including Georgie-girl
Busch WA3MOJ).

3497. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 23:44:06 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22580-3DBF1D46-1121@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142283

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
It is up to the operator to know the proper use of his equipment, if
uncertain, then he should ask. Ignorance is no excuse. The cb industry
does no want to educate it's users, to do so would mean they might not
sell as many illegal radios and amps.
==========
Who is at the helm of this "industry"?

3498. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 23:47:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22580-3DBF1E09-1122@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142284

There would be no difference in the appearance of either one. The
letters would be form letters on
FCC letterheads just like they send warnings on. All the volunteers
would do is send them out from
a list (compiled by ARRL??). The recipients wouldn't be able to tell any
difference.
Jerry
==========
If that were the case, and they contained Hollingsworth's signature or
some official seal of the FCC (An image of a CB tuned to
channel,,er,,freq.27.555? Just kidding!) they would get read.

3499. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 23:47:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210291547.2cd1e20d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142274

voobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<75ad2ce2.0210191902.686bafbf@posting.google.com>...
> "Dan" nezor@hotmail.com wrote
> >
> >Making a note to plan a trip to RS tomorrow.
>
> Dan, read the links to the two RFI pages I gave you, it will help you
> understand where and how to use the ferrite split beads. Also be aware
> that one RS bead might not do the trick. Some nasty RFI problems may
> require using two or three in a row on the affected cables. That's why
> many folks buy 'em in quantity from Digi-Key (much cheaper).
>
> -Aaron-

Don't pay any attention to this troll, Aanal Aaron as he's known here.
He has no knowledge or experience, and has lost more than a few yahoo
email accounts because of his trolling.

3500. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 23:49:59 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210291549.11b02e80@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142275

"technowizard" technowiz@techno-wiz.com wrote
>"Aaron H. Voobner" <avoobner2002@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:6e58e321.0210291333.7ed19e97@posting.google.com...
>> "techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
>news:<apke65mil1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU>...
>>
>> There's no need for a CB radio that covers the 10 meter ham band. I
>> think everyone agrees on that.
>
>That's not what I'm advocating.

OK. Didn't mean to imply you were.

>
>>The other issue is where truckers get
>> their info about CB. Probably two places; from other truckers, and
>> from the CB shops and "techs". I'd really like to find out what
info
>> truckers are given about 10 meter operation at the "point of
>> purchase". Are they told "don't go above channel X on band X"? Are
>> they told the FCC is a big joke and nobody gets caught? Or are they
>> given any info at all?
>
>My guess is they aren't given any information at all
>mainly because there is no incentive nor requirement to give
>out the information.

True. It's likely that false or misleading info is given out, if any.

>
>> CB (operated legally) does not require any knowledge of RF, regs,
and
>> safety. The legal version of CB is simple and foolproof, i.e., push
>> the button and talk on channels 1-40. The CB gear itself (low
power,
>> limited frequency) is designed to stay within the CB band and not
be
>> tampered with. It's the illegal/tampered gear that requires
knowledge
>> of where the ham bands are and where not to stray into.
>>
>> -A
>
>I agree with you that a legally operated CB is not the issue - the
problem

>is with the illegally operated CBs. The point I was trying to make was that
>perhaps a good portion of the "illegal" operators are not aware that they
>are "illegal", simply because they don't have they knowledge to be able
>to determine what is "legal" and what is not. They might not be aware that
>a modified rig is illegal - some of their friends have a higher power unit
>or one that operates outside of the band, so they want it too. Certainly, a
>minimal amount of effort on the part of the CB industry to educate its users
>will go a long way to rectifying the problem.

I think I get what you're saying. But the problem is this: the "CB industry" has been providing its customers with radios that operate on ham frequencies. If they gave purchasers an 'educational course' what they'd really be doing is giving people info on "what not to do with these illegal radios" --- radios which they shouldn't even have in the first place. What I'm saying (and this is why I mentioned it up front) is that there is no need for CB radios that cover the 10 and 12 meter ham band to be on the market.

-Aaron-

3501. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 23:50:17 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210291550.4a1d8164@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142276

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210281643.49f28cf2@posting.google.com>...
> "Checkerboard Strangler" <jhaas84@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<PUfv9.111662$Hj7.58179@rwcrnsc53>...
> > > He can do it himself with a CB..When you get close enough that he pins
> your
> > > S meter,disconnect your coax(antenna) and you can drive right to his
> house,
> > > using your S meter.
> > >
> > > BTW,don't transmit while your coax is off.
> > >
> > > Just be polite,if you go to his door...
> >
> > Naaaah, screw politness, just remember to stick the pin
> > in his coax so that it goes THROUGH the center conductor.
>
> Right, don't approach the guy as one adult to another. Instead, try
> juvenile vandalism and risk getting shot for trespassing. Gee, great
> advice....
>
> > Even more fun:
> > Tie the coax to your rear bumper with some aircraft cable and take off,
> > and watch his entire rig come flying out the window, mic and all.
>
> When it hit solid window sash the coax would separate from the
> connector. But thanks for adding yet another potentially harmful "CB
> Myth" to our collection.
>
> -Aaron-

"Our collection"? Who's "our"? Your collection starts with the Myth
that "all 10 meter radios are illegal", and continues to this day. The
original post was a troll to make CBers look bad, and you fell for it
hook, line, and sinker dimbulb. BTW, where's your proof? I'm still
waiting for it.

3502. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-29 23:55:54 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210291555.152d184c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142277

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DBE0614.A85925B4@mailcity.net>...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > The Searchers wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Was the 11meter band originally allocated to the Amateur Radio Service?
>
> > > >> Yes
> > >
> > That's why they're pissed.
>
> I have never run across an ham that was upset over the loss of 11
> meters. The majority of hams today were not even hams or around
> when 11 meters was a ham band. The loss of 11 meters had virtually
> no effect on ham radio, just too bad they had to turn it over to a
> bunch of fools.

You must be new then, JJ. And it was a bunch of fools that lost the
band in the first place. BTW, did you ever use 220?

3503. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 00:07:09 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021029190709.27794.00001359@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 142278

twist, you bad enough to be talking on the bowl these days?

>I talked to 22 today. I talked to a buncha folks today...skip was
>hoppin'!
>
>

3504. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 00:07:55 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <vpFv9.17534$TH6.7332@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 142279

"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:p_mdneDkv_Z6liKgXTWcpg@comcast.com...
>
>
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> news:NTuv9.12627$TH6.2455@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
>
> > But you ARE posting in an 11-meter newsgroup, Jerry.
>
> Yeah so what <why?> it is a CB NG not called a(n?) 11 meter NG.(?)

CB falls within the 11-meter band. Many CBers (Myself included.) refer to it as "11-meters." No biggie. Feel free to substitute CB for 11-meters in my statement below, if it so pleases you. The "hobbyists" mostly use the term "11-meters." Hope that answered you query.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

> >I think it's safe to
> > say that we all agree that there is a problem re. unlicensed 10-meter
> > intrusions, the majority of which likely committed (knowingly or
> > unknowingly) by truckers on ch. 19 "2-up." Perhaps a trucking >newsgroup
> might be more appropriate?
>
> Why many truckers read this Ng it is not only about Truckers and there CB
> radios on 10 meters it is about anyone who is a Cber and uses un
authorized
> freqs besides this is a CB NG, a trucking NG he may find truckers that
don't
> use CB's many truckers don't use CB's. I think this group is more than
> appropriate. the truckers who read it can tell a friend.
>
>
>
>
>
>

3505. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 00:09:47 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021029190947.27794.00001360@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 142280

i dont even know who you was last week or who'll you'll be next week. how can i keep up when you change names more often than you do your panties?

>
>Sorry Tim, I wasn't the one who said they were looking at other females, if
>your having trouble keeping up with who said what please keep quiet.
>

3506. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 00:10:58 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021029191058.27794.00001362@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 142281

jerry, make sure you get your official fcc decoder ring too. then you'll be all set to play wanna be field officer

>
>There would be no difference in the appearance of either one. The letters
>would be form letters on
>FCC letterheads just like they send warnings on. All the volunteers would
>do is send them out from
>a list (compiled by ARRL??). The recipients wouldn't be able to tell any
>difference.
>
>
>Jerry
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3507. Re: Old Panasonic CB Peakable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 00:16:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021029191657.27794.00001363@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 142285

>Most of the throw-away radios made today can't be peaked to any
>noticable difference as I understand it...maybe I'm wrong on that. I
>always thought all of your older radios could be improved noticeably though.
>
>Am I wrong about this?
>

sorry your wrong on this one if you are reffering to output power. none of the cb's will show much of a noticeable difference in power out. they can all be "tweaked" if you would but not all tweaking is in a good nature. most shops clip the modulation limiter and do mods to the radio which lower the deadkey and make it swing higher which basically shows a higher reading on the watt meter but makes your audio more fuzzy. if your goal is to have the best sounding radio as opposed to the most powerfull one then aligning it back to factory specs is best. and if you need more power then buy an amp. dont try to tweak and peak your radio to death. you cant squeeze blood froma turnip

3508. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 00:19:19 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021029191919.27794.00001364@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 142286

i guess you live in a propagation dead zone as well???

>Come to my town and you can use any of the 40 channels to chat on (except 9
>of course) without worrying about interference or interfering with anyone.
>It's a virtual CB dead zone.
>
>Tom
>

3509. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 00:20:56 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <IBFv9.17638$TH6.3749@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 142287

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021029191058.27794.00001362@mb-mf.aol.com...
> jerry, make sure you get your official fcc decoder ring too. then you'll
be all
> set to play wanna be field officer

I don't think Jerry's playing "wannabe" anything. He just fed up with the illegal intrusions into the 10-meter ham band...and rightly so. I'm not sure this is the correct forum to target, but that's another story.

Speaking of "wannabe field agent," here's a little something we can ALL chuckle over. I found it advertised on the ARRL website.

http://westid.com/amateur.htm

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

> >
> >There would be no difference in the appearance of either one. The
letters
> >would be form letters on
> >FCC letterheads just like they send warnings on. All the volunteers
would
> >do is send them out from
> >a list (compiled by ARRL??). The recipients wouldn't be able to tell any
> >difference.
> >
> >
> >Jerry
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

3510. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 00:30:23 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <zKFv9.228352$8o3.6656209@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142289

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12122-3DBEC7D9-369@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
I'm always civil until unnecessarily provoked.
=
And that is a matter of perception. <

Well, then it's a damn good thing I don't take into consideration any
one elses opinions, huh?

Dang Twist! You keep this up and you're gonna have to buy me a new scroll
mouse! <g>

3511. Mic wireing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 00:30:34 UTC
From: J <iggy@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <DEFv9.1497$cz2.1463@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142288

Anyone can tell me the color code, I'm wanting to wire a cobra stock mic to a midland 77-285

Thanks in advance

iggy@bellsouth.net

3512. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 00:43:04 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF2B18.12C0E0E7@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142312

Mophead@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> >
> Why do you even post here? You claim to have never used a CB, but somehow
> you can't resist this newsgroup. You've even posted with another screename.
>
> You're pathetic.

So show me a Usenet rule that says I have to use a cb to post to
this or any other group. I can post to any group I please and
nothing you can do about it.
I don't use AOL so I don't have screen names.

You're stupid.

3513. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 00:44:22 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF2B66.22E72207@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142313

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> >>It is up to the operator to know the proper use of his equipment,
> > > if uncertain, then he should ask. Ignorance is no excuse. The cb
> > > industry does no want to educate it's users, to do so would mean
> > > they might not sell as many illegal radios and amps.
> > >
> And as someone who's 'never used a CB',you're here to educate us CBer's?

I admit, it is pretty hard to educate some, like you.

3514. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 00:46:38 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF2BEE.194C00AF@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142314

WorldWide Jewel wrote:

>
> > I don't killfile many,but you're real close.
>
> If you killfiled Jerry you wouldn't have anything to complain about thats
> why you don't do it. LOL

Oh he would still have me, my postings seem to really get his
shorts in a wad.

3515. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 00:49:33 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF2C9D.50CA3165@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142315

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

.
> >
> So will the cop,they hear it all day about more important things than a
> radio.
>
> If you think your scare tactics are a new approach..guess again,it's been
> done to death in here.

Scare tactics? Paranoia setting in mopie? Maybe you better get
back on those meds.

3516. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 00:57:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <zYFv9.7875$pD6.3580@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142290

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021029192653.481$UX@newsreader.com...
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote:
> >> Speaking of "wannabe field agent," here's a little something we can ALL
> > chuckle over. I found it advertised on the ARRL website.
> >
> > http://westid.com/amateur.htm

Well, I am sorry, but I wouldn't be caught wearing that thing! I am not a
wannabe anything except
a retired railroad man enjoys my free time. I AM, however, fed up with the
people that steal frequencies, and operate on bands they have no business
on. What I WILL do is write letters, net-work with the right people,
monitor the bands, make suggestions to the powers that be, and report
any trucker I can prove is operating on 10 Meters.

Jerry
> >
> Does it come with a polished bullet,for your shirt pocket?
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em..
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

3517. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 01:00:50 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF2F42.EE24D563@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142317

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> >
> Newsgroups are usually frequented by people who enjoy what ever topic is in
> the header. Not the case for you.

I enjoy what is in the headers here, so guess that makes it
perfectly OK.

> >
> >> I don't use AOL so I don't have screen names.
> >
> Call them whatever you usually use.
> >
> >> You're stupid.
> >
> Nice.

I thought so.

Are you a ham? You post in the ham newsgroups.

3518. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 01:10:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <712-3DBF3168-43@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142291

From: timnebo@aol.com10-4 (Mister T)
twist, you bad enough to be talking on the bowl these days?

>I talked to 22 today. I talked to a buncha folks today...skip was hoppin'!<<

I dunno if anyone heard me calling the mistake by the lake. But 22 is a regular somewhere else that often takes on characteristics of the bowl (see email)...especially if it's a 22 steel....can there be more than one using that handle or just someone grooving on him?

3519. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 01:17:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21619-3DBF3338-1067@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142294

Speaking of "wannabe field agent," here's a little something we can ALL chuckle over. I found it advertised on the ARRL website.
http://westid.com/amateur.htm
--
73 de Bert
WA2SI
==========
The more vocal and "wannabe field agent-hammies" around these parts more than likely don't bother with the ARRL (not radical enough). You ought to tell the dude selling these you want half the dough from al orders from today through Friday, 'cause you just made him some cool fast cash by showing it here. 59 bucks for that.....all I can say is dayyyyuummmmmmmmmm!

3520. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 01:21:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21621-3DBF342D-454@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142295

Well, I am sorry, but I wouldn't be caught wearing that thing! I am not a wannabe anything except
a retired railroad man enjoys my free time. I AM, however, fed up with the people that steal frequencies, and operate on bands they have no business on. What I WILL do is write letters, net-work with the right people, monitor the bands, make suggestions to the powers that be, and report any trucker I can prove is operating on 10 Meters.
Jerry
==========
The crux of it again.......most of that I can agree on. But how does, say, a freebander (26 to 27.995, for the sake of argument) have anything more to do with you than the party pirate at say,,,oh,,,,,86.9 FM?

3521. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 01:24:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21619-3DBF34DC-1069@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142296

From: rchapman8@houston.rr.com (Randy)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12122-3DBEC7D9-369@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall) Twistedhed wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
I'm always civil until unnecessarily provoked.
=
>And that is a matter of perception. <

Well, then it's a damn good thing I don't take into consideration any
one elses opinions, huh?

>Dang Twist! You keep this up and you're< >gonna have to buy me a new
scroll mouse!<

I hear ya'! My own scroll "key" (no mouse on this toy) is kinda
worn.....ha!

3522. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 01:37:26 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF37D6.239F6743@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> >
> I enjoy radio,of all sorts. I never try to start trouble,you do enough of
> that for the both of us.

You failed to answer the question. Are you a ham? If not, then why
do you post in a ham newsgroup?

3523. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 01:37:44 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <nwSdnWl4gZh8qiKgXTWc2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142292

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> Writing a book? Leave that chapter out.

What do you care? Mind your own business.

3524. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 01:44:30 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF397E.B172577D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> >
> I enjoy radio,of all sorts. I never try to start trouble,you do enough of
> that for the both of us.

You don't ever try to start trouble?

****

From: Dowap (dowap@petitmorte.net)
Subject: Re: Comcast AUP
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
Date: 2002-04-30 14:50:35 PST

In article <20020430083755.077$KZ@newsreader.com>,
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote:

> Hello,I'm trying to find Comcasts policy for one of their accounts who
> changes screen names and addys to avoid killfilter software.
>
> This person openly admits to doing this,and so far my emails to Comcast
> have gone un-answered.
>
> Thanks in advance.

Here you go. By the way, although annoying what the person may be
doing
it is not against Comcast's AUP. Just ignore the person! I swear
there
should be an international law that requires people to take a test
before getting internet access!!

First Question:

If someone is avoiding your killfile you should
A. Stalk them
B. Cry to their provider
C. Ignore them
D. Call the police

And the correct answer is "C".

3525. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 01:46:17 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <UKCcnTSRiZx_pCKgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142297

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> Nock your silly ass out!

He is !

Just don't keep telling people who couldn't care
> less about your crusade. Try telling it to the truck groups.

Who are you to tell him where he can or can't post, this is the appropriate forum truckers and Cbers hang here that is who you will find intruding on 10 meters. Try telling it to someone who cares.

> I don't killfile many,but you're real close.

If you killfiled Jerry you wouldn't have anything to complain about thats why you don't do it. LOL

3526. Re: We won't get the real facts from Skippy, that's for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 01:48:18 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <TP6dnaujH6HGpyKgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142298

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> Wrong again, Georgie-girl, but we expect that from Aanal Aaron
> wannabees like you. He made the statement, it's up to him to prove it.

Ok will you then please prove your statement that there are 30-40 millions
Cbers in the Usa for starters.

3527. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 01:49:50 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <Ux-cnd-SL_QqpyKgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 142299

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message

I dunno if anyone heard me calling the mistake by the lake. But 22 is a regular somewhere else that often takes on characteristics of the bowl (see email)...especially if it's a 22 steel....can there be more than one using that handle or just someone grooving on him?

26.865Mhz

3528. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 01:52:00 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <dJidnbF-FrSmpiKgXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 142300

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021029190947.27794.00001360@mb-mf.aol.com...
> i dont even know who you was last week or who'll you'll be next week. how
can i
> keep up when you change names more often than you do your panties?

Oh thats funny coming from a guy who now changes his name as often as he
bathes once a week.

3529. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 01:52:43 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <LXGv9.18592$TH6.7261@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 142301

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:zYFv9.7875$pD6.3580@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021029192653.481$UX@newsreader.com...
> > "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote:
> > >> Speaking of "wannabe field agent," here's a little something we can
ALL
> > > chuckle over. I found it advertised on the ARRL website.
> > >
> > > http://westid.com/amateur.htm
>
>
> Well, I am sorry, but I wouldn't be caught wearing that thing!

Me neither, but it IS a gas of a novelty item.

> I am not a
> wannabe anything except
> a retired railroad man enjoys my free time.

I think we all know that, Jerry. (My 5-yr. old daughter LOVES trains. I
haven't quite figured out the preoccupation yet. We take rides to nowhere in
particular just so she can get on the train.)

> I AM, however, fed up with the
> people that steal frequencies, and operate on bands they have no business
> on. What I WILL do is write letters, net-work with the right people,
> monitor the bands, make suggestions to the powers that be, and report
> any trucker I can prove is operating on 10 Meters.
>
> Jerry

You know, fellas...Jerry makes a good pont here. Now's the time to write
your reps re. WHATEVER irks you. They're all vying for their
jobs...LITERALLY! Whenever I get one of those "vote for me" pamphlets in the
mail, I write back re. my views on the 155.3 mi. limit restriction on the
CB. It doesn't matter if I'm going to vote for 'em or not. Someone's going
to get the job...and perhaps...just maybe...one of 'em will shoot a memo to
the FCC inquiring about it. Hey, you never know.

> > Does it come with a polished bullet,for your shirt pocket?

No, a silver one for Halloween.

--
73 de Bert

WA2SI

> > --
> > Don't crush em..restore em..
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40
>
>
>

3530. Re: Johnson Messenger 124-M

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 02:00:35 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <73Hv9.3305$I_5.1421@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 142302

In the 68 to 74 range.

"Mike Mills" <dmichaelm@hotmaill.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1828890c8bfe4ac0989811@news.verizon.net...
> Does anyone know what year / years they were made?.
> TIA
> --
> Mike

3531. Re: Cb antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 02:03:45 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <56Hv9.7617$wG.25426@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 142303

The Signal Engineering 'Golden Rod 180' is another "omni" to look at. I recently tested one, with very impressive results.

"Jeff Rogerson" <jeffrogerson@cogeco.ca> wrote in message news:TOov9.8863$ag4.111826@read1.cgocable.net...
> Hey all,
> Just wondering what the best omni directional base antenna would be....
> How would the following rate?
> - solarcon I Max-2000
> - S 2020 / spyder / sp-1600 5/8 wave
> - maco v58 5/8
>
> Thanks in advance :)
>
>

3532. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 02:05:26 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <272cnZeY8aj9oyKgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 142304

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021029205155.087$a4@newsreader.com...
> "WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote:
> > "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> > news:NTuv9.12627$TH6.2455@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> >
> > > But you ARE posting in an 11-meter newsgroup, Jerry.
> >
> >> Yeah so what it is a CB NG not called a 11 meter NG.
> >
> Wanna spilt hairs?

No just your wig.

> Like you,JJ,Jerry,Leland etc represent the ham community. If the whole ham
> thing was like you 4,no one would bother.

Is that your opinion?

> You're all anti CB,so take your fishing rod to another group. The people
> who read this one are hip to you all.

No we are anti -asshole Cbers, like I said Moped you hold no special powers
to make anyone go anywhere. We are also hip to you as well tough talkin
blowhard. LOL

3533. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 02:17:23 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF4133.2D795526@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> Looks to me like I'm asking for advice on how to deal with trouble,and in
> the proper newsgroup too.
>
> Your point?
>

The point is instead of just ignoring any posts from someone that
annoys you, like the reply to you message said to do, you choose
to attempt to whine to someone's ISP that some big bad boy is
changing his id to avoid your killfile. Just like my posts, if
they cause you to get your shorts in a wad, just ignore them.

Here is the quiz again, see if you can get the correct answer.

First Question:

If someone is avoiding your killfile you should
A. Stalk them
B. Cry to their provider
C. Ignore them
D. Call the police

And the correct answer is "C".

Why do you post to a ham group? Are you a ham?

3534. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 02:19:27 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF41AF.9B7EBA61@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > > > >
> > > > I enjoy radio,of all sorts. I never try to start trouble,you do enough
> > > > of that for the both of us.
> >
> >> You failed to answer the question. Are you a ham? If not, then why
> > > do you post in a ham newsgroup?
> >
> > I own an old S-line Collins, just like you, but you don't have the
> > 30L-1..Tough. <g>
> >
> > btw..do you see me telling hams how to act?

So you're not a ham, but you post to a ham newsgroup. According to
your replies to me on the cb group, I should not post there as I
do not operate cb. So why are you here?

3535. Re: Johnson Messenger 124-M

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 02:31:25 UTC
From: Mike Mills <dmichaelm@hotmaill.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1828e52d7ae2f2f6989816@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 142305

In article <73Hv9.3305$I_5.1421@rwcrnsc53>, radiofun@mchsi.com says...
> In the 68 to 74 range.
>
>
>
> "Mike Mills" <dmichaelm@hotmaill.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1828890c8bfe4ac0989811@news.verizon.net...
> > Does anyone know what year / years they were made?.
> > TIA
> > --
> > Mike
>
>
>
Thanks. Are they decent radios?.
--
Mike

3536. FA: LAFAYETTE CB TESTER ***NICE***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 02:32:00 UTC
From: Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF4546.F0B5D4FB@optonline.net>
Source record: 142306

Just click on the link below.
nice pictures too.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1393517345

3537. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 02:37:40 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210291837.2abbfcfe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142307

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
>Date: Tue, Oct 29, 2002 6:16 PM
>Message-id: <20021029211639.446$nf@newsreader.com>
>
>avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
>> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
>> news:<3DBDDC85.BAE1F089@mailcity.net>...
>> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>> > >
>> > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
>> > > > Richard Cranium wrote:
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Just like Aanal Aaron! He never could post any proof that
I'm
>> > > > > somehow "Steve", even though he said he would "Gladly" post
it.
>> > > > > Guess we now know he doesn't have any proof!
>> > >
>> > > >> Good grief, get over it dickieboy!! You must really be steve
or
>> > > > you wouldn't be so insistent in trying to convince everyone
you're
>> > > > not.
>> > > > >
>> > > > Who gives a shit anyway?!?
>> > > >
>> > > My sentiments exactly, who gives a crap that dickieboy is really
>> > steve.
>> >
>>> Apparently *he* does....a lot!
>>
>> -Aaron-
>>
>Even if he is Steve,I couldn't care less (think about it). Why all
the
>repeat posts about it,Aaron?
>
>You told me to just ignore all sorts of homo shit that was being
posted
>about me,yet you post the same shit over and over about this Steve
guy.
>
>What's up with that?
```

Here's an amazing and useful fact. You're free to respond or not respond to what's in this NG. You can click on a post and read it, or not click on it or ignore it. You can comment on it, or you can not comment on it. It's called freedom of choice. We all have it.

-Aaron-

3538. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 02:42:42 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <54ucnWvcNeKG2iKgXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142308

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021029210857.803$y9@newsreader.com...
> "WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote:
> >> No we are anti -asshole Cbers, like I said Moped you hold no special
> > > powers to make anyone go anywhere. We are also hip to you as well tough
> > > talkin blowhard. LOL
> > >
> Hurl names and insults,when you don't have a point.

Thanks for the tip Ill take you advice.

3539. Question for Richard Cranium

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 02:46:16 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <U9edndmcWfVv2iKgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 142309

From: Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: Ham Misbehavior
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 05:31:22 PST
yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d6d8784.1106354@news.cet.com>...
> In <c5ecbb69.0208280412.7a09a924@posting.google.com>,
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

Oh sure; I also listen to 14.313 and 3950 at times. Have you bothered?
I've been monitoring the low end of 10 meters lately and haven't heard
anything that sounds like an out-of-band CBer or trucker yet. In fact,
I've heard no hams there, either; they must think the band is closed,
which is strange because I'm hearing activity on CB.

And your original comment IS an assumption, "the most heavily and
blatantly abused part of the spectrum -- the Citizen's Band". Prove
it.

How about the most USED part? The fact is that there are 30 to 40
million CBers crammed into less than ONE megahertz, compared to less
than ONE million hams spread out all over the spectrum. I'm willing to
wager that the proportion of "illegal" operations is roughly the same
in both groups; the NUMBERS will of course be higher on CB, simply
because there are so many more people trying to use the band, but
proportionatly it'd be about the same. The hams have nothing to smile
about, do they
****

OK Richard you claimed a fact and have yet to prove it what are you waiting
for? I was told in a secret email that if you ever prove this perhaps aaron
will post proof of who you are. Now is the time to prove your *fact* to be
correct, we rreally want to beleive you

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

3540. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 02:59:34 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210291859.46d4ca19@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142310

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote
>"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote:
>> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:20021029210857.803$y9@newsreader.com...
>> > "WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote:
>> > >> No we are anti -asshole Cbers, like I said Moped you hold no
special
>> > > powers to make anyone go anywhere. We are also hip to you as
well
>> > > tough talkin blowhard. LOL
>> > >
>> > Hurl names and insults,when you don't have a point.
>>
>>> Thanks for the tip Ill take you advice.
>>
>Take me advice and step away from the keyboard.

LOL! Sorry, but I can't help but picture this funny scene:

WORLDWIDEJEWEL is on his lawn, typing away on a laptop. Laughing, he
presses ENTER.

WORLDWIDEJEWEL: "Take THAT you tough talkin blowhard"

Suddenly, a POLICE CAR screeches to a halt. MOPARHOLIC jumps out,
brandishing a weed wacker.

MOPARHOLIC (into PA): "ALL RIGHT SIR, STEP AWAY FROM THE KEYBOARD!"

-A

3541. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 02:59:49 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <mgCdnYjRieWC1iKgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142311

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021029215321.139$aQ@newsreader.com...
> timnebo@aol.com10-4 (Mister T) wrote:
> >> richard, he hasnt posted any proof because he has none. how long will
> >> you
> > keep this up? i'm just wondering because if all your post will continue
> > to be this i'm gonna ad you to the killfile till you get on with things
> > and get over voobner being a dumb ass dickhead. nothing personal but
damn
> > your post are as bad as his now days lol
> >
> Agreed,it's getting real old.

What is getting old his constant wanting proof and yet when he makes claims
and is asked for proof provides none. Or his consistent trolling of the Ng

From: Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: Ham Misbehavior
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 05:31:22 PST
yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d6d8784.1106354@news.cet.com>...
> In <c5ecbb69.0208280412.7a09a924@posting.google.com>,
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

Oh sure; I also listen to 14.313 and 3950 at times. Have you bothered?
I've been monitoring the low end of 10 meters lately and haven't heard
anything that sounds like an out-of-band CBer or trucker yet. In fact,
I've heard no hams there, either; they must think the band is closed,
which is strange because I'm hearing activity on CB.

And your original comment IS an assumption, "the most heavily and
blatantly abused part of the spectrum -- the Citizen's Band". Prove
it.

How about the most USED part? The fact is that there are 30 to 40
million CBers crammed into less than ONE megahertz, compared to less
than ONE million hams spread out all over the spectrum. I'm willing to
wager that the proportion of "illegal" operations is roughly the same
in both groups; the NUMBERS will of course be higher on CB, simply
because there are so many more people trying to use the band, but
proportionatly it'd be about the same. The hams have nothing to smile
about, do they

3542. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 03:12:46 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <O6lv9.2260$Ju4.147473452@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142316

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021029211639.446$nf@newsreader.com...
> Even if he is Steve,I couldn't care less(think about it). Why all the
> repeat posts about it,Aaron?
>
> You told me to just ignore all sorts of homo shit that was being posted
> about me,yet you post the same shit over and over about this Steve guy.
>
> What's up with that?
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em..
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

Trolling value, what else.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

3543. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 03:36:20 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DBF53B4.63BDCBE3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> >
> Newsflash,JJ..I'm in rec.radio.cb

Newsflash Mopehead, you are also cross posting to
rec.radio.amateur.policy which, in case you missed it, is a ham
newsgroup. I ask again, if you're not a ham, why do you post to a
ham newsgroup?

3544. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 03:36:43 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <F5mdne7MH6pZziKgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142318

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021029220401.400

> LOL..yea,with that big ass badge smacking me in the chin while I run up on
> him!
>
> Funny visual.

You look like Leno?

3545. Re: Mic wireing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 03:37:16 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021029223716.28937.00000195@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 142319

try these
http://hsc.usf.edu/~mfontain/mic.html
http://user.tc3net.com/shifty/micwire.html

"Got RF?"

3546. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 03:39:14 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <SbOcnRx-s5zGySKgXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142320

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> Oh don't flatter yourself JJ. I'd pick on the weak,first(you). And leave
my
> shorts out of this!

Steve why do you have to pick on people?

3547. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 03:40:39 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <4a6dndLV8bYtySKgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142321

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021029215616.081$6Z@newsreader.com...
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > tools wrote:
> > >
> > > What a dumb-ass saying.
> >
> >> Next time you get a speeding ticket try telling the judge, "but
> > your honor, I did not know it was against the law to speed", and
> > see what he says.
> >
> Just be careful to not spill your drool cup,or shit yourself,cuz you must
> be a moron to try that with a judge.

Call names and act like a punk. You fit right in.

3548. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 03:59:45 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DBF5931.A42A308@mailcity.com>
Source record: 142334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > > >
> > > Newsflash,JJ..I'm in rec.radio.cb
> >
> >> Newsflash Mopehead, you are also cross posting to
> > rec.radio.amateur.policy which, in case you missed it, is a ham
> > newsgroup. I ask again, if you're not a ham, why do you post to a
> > ham newsgroup?
> >
> What a dumbass.

Yea you are if you don't even know what newsgroups you are posting
to. LOL!
```

3549. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 05:20:59 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urur25jqfkm4c8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Newsflash - check your newsgroups. You're both posting to both rec.radio.cb
and radio.amateur.policy. I'll admit Windows is nasty, but check the
headings. As far as Mopar, 30L-1? What's wrong with a Henry 2K? Had a lot
of fun with that one on Guam.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021029234928.028$Hn@newsreader.com...
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > I enjoy radio,of all sorts. I never try to start trouble,you do
> > > > > > enough of that for the both of us.
> > > > >
> > > > >> You failed to answer the question. Are you a ham? If not, then why
> > > > > do you post in a ham newsgroup?
> > > > >
> > > > I own an old S-line Collins, just like you, but you don't have the
> > > > 30L-1..Tough. <g>
> > > >
> > > > btw..do you see me telling hams how to act?
> > >
> >> So you're not a ham, but you post to a ham newsgroup. According to
> > > your replies to me on the cb group, I should not post there as I
> > > do not operate cb. So why are you here?
> > >
> > Newsflash,JJ..I'm in rec.radio.cb
> >
> > --
> > Don't crush em..restore em..
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

3550. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 05:27:17 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ururdtkrepj252@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mopar, I hate to break it to you - you are in both rec.radio.cb and
radio.amateur policy. Check the headings. Golly, I hope you don't have JJ
eating blood pressure pills.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021029235101.520$pu@newsreader.com...
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > > Looks to me like I'm asking for advice on how to deal with trouble,and
> > > in the proper newsgroup too.
> > >
> > > Your point?
> > >
> >
> >> The point is instead of just ignoring any posts from someone that
> > annoys you, like the reply to you message said to do, you choose
> > to attempt to whine to someone's ISP that some big bad boy is
> > changing his id to avoid your killfile. Just like my posts, if
> > they cause you to get your shorts in a wad, just ignore them.
> >
> > Here is the quiz again, see if you can get the correct answer.
> >
> > First Question:
> >
> > If someone is avoiding your killfile you should
> > A. Stalk them
> > B. Cry to their provider
> > C. Ignore them
> > D. Call the police
> >
> > And the correct answer is "C".
> >
> > Why do you post to a ham group? Are you a ham?
> >
> Newsflash,JJ. I'm in rec.radio.cb
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em..
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go♯40

3551. Re: Gentlemen's Agreements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 05:30:30 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ururjvftfm1o93@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142329

Why do they drive on the left hand side of the road in England (and where else ...)? And why do USA drivers drive on the right hand side of the road? Why are the ASE threads and metric threads? Why do the flames continue in the newsgroups? Ohhhhhh ... so much fun!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Alan Strawinski" <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message news:Xns92B6744AE648Drrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44...
> I got to thinking about the Gentlemans Agreement WRT channels 36-40 being
> SSB only. That got me to thinking about other agreements.
>
> In most of the country, drivers use 19 to converse with each other. Here
> in a good part of the central valley, they run 17. It's my understanding
> that down near LA they run 14. How did all of this come to pass? Some of
> you guys have been on CB a LONG time, so maybe you can fill me in. How in
> the world was it decided that 19 would be the trucker's channel of choice
> nationwide? How in the world did such a notion catch on? Even more
> perplexing: How did 17 become what 19 is in the rest of the country? This
> has always been a huge question in my mind.
>
> I've always guessed that some large organization (perhaps the teamsters?)
> would have gotten the word out. Or maybe some widely read publication at
> the time, I don't know.
>
> In Modesto, CA there is always some nut case jamming 17, making
> communication on 17 near impossible. I've always wondered why drivers
> didn't just switch over to 19 in circumstances like this. For it to be
> effective, everybody would have to know that switching over to 19 (or
> whatever) is standard protocol. If only a couple of guys do it, it
> doesn't really work. That's why I wonder: How were these agreements
> reached so many years ago?
>
> Thoughts?
>
> --
> Alan Strawinski
> http://alan.strawinski.net
> rrcb@strawinski.net

3552. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 05:32:16 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ururn9a4ab2vc6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142328

Oh, Mopar. C'mon. Very few remember that. That was in the 50s. The problem is intruders in the 10 meter band.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021028235141.515$FB@newsreader.com...
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > The Searchers wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Was the 11meter band originally allocated to the Amateur Radio Service?
> >
> >> Yes
> >
> That's why they're pissed.
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em..
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

3553. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 05:35:42 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ururtn3bt4ql19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142330

Twist, I'd like to defend you; unfortunately, I'm just a newbie licensed in the very early 60s. I'm sure my expired commercial telegraph and 1st radiotelephone tickets don't count either. Just sit back and let 'em eat some more blood pressure pills.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:22581-3DBF1CAC-406@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> *JJ* droned on with:
> Maybe you could clarify just what you mean. The FCC took 11 meters in
> hopes of creating business band for those who could not justify the cost
> of obtaining a fixed frequency assigned by the FCC and the cost of high
> dollar two-way radios. Those frequencies were not being fully utilized
> by the hams as they mostly used 10 meters. <<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> So far so good.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >Unfortunately some cbers, <<
>
>
>
>
>
>

> You missed the time-line entry of cb.
>
> >due to their lack of regard for any rules (do you fit that category?)<<
>
> Not at all. I obey all radio rules with the exception of DX and a little
> freebanding with less power than most hammies use on 10 meter.
>
> >and regard for other users eventually turned it into what it is today,
> a garbage band such that even those who wish to use it legally have a

> hard time doing so. <<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Propagation, nothing more.
> You know very well all the power in the world ain't gonna skip when
> mother nature says no.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >I have never, in 40+ years of ham radio heard another ham complain
> about the loss of 11 meters.
> As far as this no code thing you seem to be so hung up on (and which you
> cannot achieve),<<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Again, I assure you..the nocode ticket would be useless to me. There is
> way more interesting things happening on other freqs than where a nocode
> may venture.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
> >keep saying it over and over if that is what makes your day, but I was
> licensed long before no code was ever thought of.<<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Just because you offer your own version of banality concerning my status
> does not make it true, but keep saying it over and over if that is what
> makes your day.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >And even with you disdain for no code licensees, <<<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Tut-tut...I have no disdain for nocodes...let's stick to the facts,
> shall we?
> I have disdain for CERTAIN nocodes, and have said nothing negative
> concerning nocode status except as construed by yourself and Dave
> "Hypocrite" Hall. What I HAVE maintained concerning nocodes, is that it
> takes no real effort to attain one, and that it is no achievement for
> one of normal intellect. It does not make one "better" than a cber in
> any way shape or form and only arrogant and pious individuals feel it
> somehow elevates them above those who choose a radio hobby other than
> hammie.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >at least they do hold a license, <<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> LOL! Maybe the seer title should go to myself.......again, only pious
> and arrogant individuals believe that makes a difference in a persons
> individual caliber..
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> .
> >something you cannot achieve <<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Keep saying it over and over if that is what makes your day....
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >and they don't have to operate illegally which you do and seem to be so
> proud.<<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> (funny, Hall said the same thing.....wow...challenged minds do think
> alike.!) Call it what you may. The fact that you girls have tried in
> vain and failed every time at hacking me, sending me viruses, forging
> me, threatening me personally and even threatening the group if I didn't
> go away, not to mention devoting so much time to little old
> me,.....yea it makes me proud that you have failed in every effort and
> the troublemakers have lost ISP's at a mind-numbing rate. Hint: It
> wasn't JUST Frank and Lelnad,,but it WAS angry, personal problematic
> hammies who have since chilled and yea, I guess you can say I am as
> proud as their failures as JerryO is of claiming a hand in trucker
> busts.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >Now...
> twistie operates illegally!! twistie operates illegally!! twistie

> operates illegally!! twistie can't even get the no code ticket!!
> twistien can't even get the no code ticket!!! twistie can't even get the
> no code ticket!! LOL
> Sounds just as childish as you doesn't it.<<
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yea, but it was *meant* to.....hence the <g>, widely accepted as the
> emoticon for "grin".
> I can laugh about it.....something some of you guys apparently forgot
> how to do.
>

3554. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 05:39:15 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urus4eipmecq73@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142332

Doc, I might have tried twisting some nerves in an earlier post, but you have hit it on the head. Of the few that remember when 11 meters was an amateur band, likely more that 50% don't care. It was a garbage band (industrial, scientific, and whatever they called it). Good grief, I doubt many are still around that actually used it. I could be wrong, but we'll stand by for the flames (putting on my asbestos long johns).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"'Doc" <w5lz@cwis.net> wrote in message news:3DBEF641.C7849A8C@cwis.net...
>
>
> Jim,
> You might be right about there still being some
> old timers around from when the 'turn over' happened.
> But they are very few, and very far between. With
> all the other changes that have happened since then,
> being upset about 'giving' 11 meters to CB is just
> a bit silly...
> 'Doc

3555. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 05:40:36 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <urus6tt2knkm96@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142331

Mopar, there are a few (at least one that I am well acquainted with) that started with amateur and then had a ball with CB - even though they kept (or regained) their amateur license.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021029212401.343$GT@newsreader.com...
> w8ems@juno.com (Jim Wardrip) wrote:
> > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> > news:<3DBE0614.A85925B4@mailcity.net>...
> > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > The loss of 11 meters had virtually
> > > no effect on ham radio, just too bad they had to turn it over to a
> > > bunch of fools.
> >
> >> Not true. 11 meters was home to a lot of early RTTY and FAX modes as
> > well as phone and CW. There were many hams that were uspet by the
> > loss of 11 meters.
> > In fact there are still some old timers that will not have anything to
> > do with CB today simply because of the loss of the frequencies to
> > amateur radio.
> >
> > 73 de Jim
> > W8EMS/9
> >
> ...And let's not forget how many amateurs' start with cb.
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em..
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go♯40

3556. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 07:10:03 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <fBLv9.4497$zR3.171711@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 142335

We don't fall under the US rules for antennas. Each community has the right to pass its own laws regarding antennas and what the community regard as an eye sore. The laws were put in to place during the CB boom of the late 70s banning all antennas over 12 feet off the ground. This nailed all CB and Ham base antennas of the time as that they were viewed as eye sores and blocked the views. Unfortunately this law even ban satellite dishes including the small dishes that are now so popular. That part of the law was just overturned a few months ago and with the collapse of CB the rest of the law may fall soon and the Hams may once again be free to put up base antennas but not until CB and its BIGGER IS BETTER attitude is gone.

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:h_wv9.13585$TH6.3635@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
> news:Lowv9.54190$Sk6.5155692@news1.telusplanet.net...
>
> > ...and ever tightening antenna restrictions again coming
> > from god awfully antenna configurations like the Wilson Super Laser 500
> and
> > the Moon-raker 4 and 6 both are completely ban in my city
>
> That's pretty interesting. Do you mean that your local gov't has
> specifically banned the erection of the two aforementioned antennas? BTW,
> AFAIK, both of those antennas follow the normal conventions (structural &
> design) for a crossed Yagi array. What's so "God-awful" about them?
>
> --
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>
>

3557. Needed: Bearcat 10.8 IF Scanner Crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 07:24:56 UTC
From: "Buford T. Justice" <BTJustice@gmx.net.no.spam>
Message-ID: <bPLv9.40$CR7c.12910654@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 142336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

I need the following listening frequencies for my Bearcat III scanner...

155.415
153.980
157.450
155.340
155.055
39.500
162.400
159.210
453.250

If you have more than one of the above, please let me know as I might also restore another Bearcat III and a Bearcat IV. I would like to trade or buy cheaply. I have the following 10.8 IF Bearcat crystals (listening frequencies) for trade...

33.940
33.940
39.780
39.820
45.220
46.380
154.250
154.860
155.010
155.085
155.100
155.250
155.250
155.595
159.090
159.890
162.475
453.500

Thanks,
BTJustice

3558. Re: Old Panasonic CB Peakable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 08:00:58 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B72A1B5BArrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142337

David <alsoSPAMbikerdave@bluefrognet.net> wrote in
news:apn08m02ukv@enews1.newsguy.com:

> Actually no, the shop is inside a truckstop and they sell the radios.
> He's a seperate business inside the truckstop.
>
Let the buyer beware.

> I do know all of what your talking about as far as peaking & tuning
> the radio. But I was always under the impression, as most people are,
> that older radios were more "peakable".
>
Probably not by virtue of their being older, it would most certainly be
model specific.

> Most of the throw-away radios made today can't be peaked to any
> noticable difference as I understand it...maybe I'm wrong on that. I
> always thought all of your older radios could be improved noticeably
> though.
>
> Am I wrong about this?
>
Yup. Even you could squeeze 20 watts carrier power out of it, AND
modulate it 100% (both highly unlikely) the difference would be
negligible at the receiving end. You MIGHT be able to squeeze 8 watts
carrier out of many older radios (even then I wonder), but why would you
even bother? It's illegal and practically pointless, and does nothing for
your receive either.

> This radio I bought is real nice. It has two meters on it, the second
> being a modulation meter.
>
If it's a nice radio, then leave it alone. Don't let that guy tinker with
it.

Here's something you might think about, and I'm serious about this: How
would you determine if someone was qualified to "tweak and peak" your
radio? What precautions would you take to ensure that the person
modifying your radio illegally knew what he was doing before he took the
cover off?

Everybody wants to sqeeze as much out of their equipment as they can,
myself included. Just be careful, and educate yourself as much as you can
before you let somebody sweet talk you into having your radio illegally
modified. By the way - lots of people do wonders on just 4 watts and a
really nice antenna.

Hey, I've been tempted to crank up the power on my radios lots of times. It's just so easy. But one day, I stopped pondering such an excersise. I said to myself "Alan, you have a wattage addiction. You need help". It was ruining my marriage, and destroying relationships with my family. My wife would attempt to reach out to me saying, "Honey, don't the other drivers respect your rig anymore?" I could only cry in shame, uttering "Nobody likes a 'lil 'ol mud duck like me, anymore". I new that I needed to do something. If not for me, but my family.

It was almost over for me, but then....

...I went down to Guitar Center and bought a friggin' huge tube amp. No longer do I wake up at night in a mud duck induced cold sweat. I jumped up on my rooftop and screamed "I'VE GOT WATTAGE! AHHH HA! HA! HA! HA!".

It didn't end there. "I can play War Pigs at concert levels!!! Do you hear me world? FEAR MY SG!!", I screamed. I've never felt so alive.

My big strapper jones has subsided. Fender tube amplification saved my life and my marriage. Now, when I feel the urge to turn up the radio I reach for the SG. It was a simple two step program: Buy amp, plug guitar in. It worked for me, and it can work for you.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

3559. Re: Gentlemen's Agreements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 08:03:10 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B7898B7CArrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142338

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in
news:ururjvftfm1o93@corp.supernews.com:

> Why do they drive on the left hand side of the road in England (and
> where else ...)? And why do USA drivers drive on the right hand side
> of the road? Why are the ASE threads and metric threads? Why do the
> flames continue in the newsgroups? Ohhhhhh ... so much fun!
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>

OK, OK. Point taken :)

I was just curious...

...say, didn't that sort of thing kill a cat somewhere? Hmm.

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

3560. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 10:25:55 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021030052555.02710.00000165@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 142339

name sure, email no. i'm always timnebo@aol.com if your having trouble following along you should shut up. aint that what you said?

>Oh thats funny coming from a guy who now changes his name as often as he
>bathes once a week.

3561. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 10:28:08 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021030052808.02710.00000166@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 142340

if your meter was full scale and it seemed like a 20,000 watt signal it was probably the man himself. the real 22 steel around the great lakes has no daily competition. only time you'll see him get it if one or two boys around chicago fire up the long noses which doesnt happen often.

>I dunno if anyone heard me calling the mistake by the lake. But 22 is a
>regular somewhere else that often takes on characteristics of the bowl
>(see email)...especially if it's a 22 steel....can there be more than
>one using that handle or just someone grooving on him?

3562. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 10:28:53 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021030052853.02710.00000167@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 142341

now thats an old school freq for real. people stopped running 865 two cycles ago lol

>
>26.865Mhz
>

3563. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 10:35:45 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021030053545.02710.00000168@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 142342

the asshole ham said:

>No we are anti -asshole Cbers,

hahaha

3564. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 10:38:44 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021030053844.02710.00000169@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 142343

aaron i prefer this one:

doug: come on ya sissy boy. i'll fight ya anytime anyplace

mopar: ok doug lets box at the ymca so it will be fair

doug: no ya sissy boy i said anytime any place. that means only at my house and when i'm holding the phone so i can call 911

>LOL! Sorry, but I can't help but picture this funny scene:
>
>
>WORLDWIDEJEWEL is on his lawn, typing away on a laptop. Laughing, he
>presses ENTER.
>
>WORLDWIDEJEWEL: "Take THAT you tough talkin blowhard"
>
>Suddenly, a POLICE CAR screeches to a halt. MOPARHOLIC jumps out,
>brandishing a weed wacker.
>
>MOPARHOLIC (into PA): "ALL RIGHT SIR, STEP AWAY FROM THE KEYBOARD!"
>
>
>
>-A
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3565. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 10:39:42 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021030053942.02710.00000170@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 142344

no wonder you always wanna fight :))

>Nope,I'm Irish.
>
>--
>Don't crush em..restore em..
>------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go♯40
>

3566. Re: Old Panasonic CB Peakable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 11:21:46 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021030062146.07828.00001257@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 142345

going from 4 watts 100% mod to 20 watts 100% you would notice a difference however 20 watts dead key with 100% is not possible with a cb. the best thing for him to do would be buy a palomar 225 and be done with it. its good for about a 6db increase over a barefoot radio wich is about 2 s units on most cb's and is a very nice product that runs cleanly and doesnt take more than about 20 amps to power it all the way.

>Yup. Even you could squeeze 20 watts carrier power out of it, AND
>modulate it 100% (both highly unlikely) the difference would be
>negligible at the receiving end. You MIGHT be able to squeeze 8 watts
>carrier out of many older radios (even then I wonder), but why would you
>even bother? It's illegal and practically pointless, and does nothing for
>your receive either.

3567. Re: Johnson Messenger 124-M

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 11:46:54 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <OEPv9.174981$%d2.61144@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 142346

Yeah.......pretty good. Its an early generation sold state rig. The 124M resembles the 223, but it much different. I have a friend with one. Nice, semi-rare Johnson.
--
Web Site: www.polkcounty.org/radiofun
ICQ: 11908449
Yahoo Mess: Hitradio93Q
AOL Instant Mess: Hitradio93Q

"Mike Mills" <dmichaelm@hotmaill.com> wrote in message news:MPG.1828e52d7ae2f2f6989816@news.verizon.net...
> In article <73Hv9.3305$I_5.1421@rwcrnsc53>, radiofun@mchsi.com says...
> > In the 68 to 74 range.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Mike Mills" <dmichaelm@hotmaill.com> wrote in message
> > news:MPG.1828890c8bfe4ac0989811@news.verizon.net...
> > > Does anyone know what year / years they were made?.
> > > TIA
> > > --
> > > Mike
> >
> >
> >
> Thanks. Are they decent radios?.
> --
> Mike

3568. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 12:25:49 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DBFCFCD.1A45@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 142353

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> > > Uncle Charlie wrote:
> > > >
> > > > indeed dave, it shows you have the ability to do much worse things
> > > > than those you accuse and for all we know you've already done it but
> > > > refuse to admitt it. after all you have the motive and the means.
> > >
> > > Ok, if we follow that line of "logic", then it could be said that we
> > > all have the means to murder someone, and there are times in most
> > > people's lives where they may have contemplated doing it to someone,
> > > then are these thoughts actionable as criminal? I think you've been
> > > watching too many Tom Cruise movies.
> > >
> > > Believe me, had I actually made the cell phone jammer, I'd probably be
> > > innundated with people wanting me to build one for them (I've already
> > > been approached). The sad truth is that there are many people (And the
> > > number is growing) who are becoming very disillusioned with self
> > > important "cell phone geeks", especially those behind the wheel.
> > >
> > >> I will admit to making a CB jammer a long time ago. A PLL sweep of
> > >> about
> > > 1 Mhz, is usually good enough. Power output less than 100 mW, to
> > > conserve battery power. Very effective in plugging the ears of
> > > especially annoying CB sociopaths with delusions of granduer. It's
> > > especially funny when they realize that no matter how much power they
> > > run, it does them no good when they can't hear anything.....
> > >
> > > Dave
> > > "Sandbagger"
> > >
> >> So you wouldn't have any problem with someone jamming a 2m repeater
> > either then,right?
> >
> Take the no reply as a yes.

Where did this come from? My server must be dropping posts again.

For the record, there is a difference between jamming a repeater, which serves the needs of many users, and plugging the ears of an overly obnoxious CBer, who's inability to operate properly, is a detriment to the rest of the community. In the former case, the jamming is creating the interference. In the latter case, the jamming solves it.

Call it vigilante justice if you will, but since the FCC saw fit to abandon the CB band, many of us had to resort to tricks like this to keep the animals at bay.

At least planting a low power jammer, did not require property trespass, or destruction of property (like many who brag about tearing down antennas). Furthermore, running at a power level of less than 100 mW, makes the device fall under part 15 rules, like a kiddie walkie talkie.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3569. Re: Mic wireing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 12:26:10 UTC
From: J <iggy@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ZZPv9.10697$pD6.8412@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142348

Thanks appreciate the links.

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021029223716.28937.00000195@mb-cf.aol.com...
> try these
> http://hsc.usf.edu/~mfontain/mic.html
> http://user.tc3net.com/shifty/micwire.html
>
> "Got RF?"

3570. Re: Old Panasonic CB Peakable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 12:41:14 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DBFD36A.45DF@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 142354

David wrote:
>
> Dave Hall wrote:
> >> Let me guess, this "shop" also sells Cobra and Uniden radios right?
>
> Actually no, the shop is inside a truckstop and they sell the radios.
> He's a seperate business inside the truckstop.

And you don't think there is any synergy going on between the two? I'm sure they both pat each other on the back. I'm sure his comments maligning your radio, was done with the intent of swaying you to buy a new one, which was also available (how convienient) next door.

>
> I do know all of what your talking about as far as peaking & tuning the
> radio. But I was always under the impression, as most people are, that
> older radios were more "peakable".
>
> Most of the throw-away radios made today can't be peaked to any
> noticable difference as I understand it...maybe I'm wrong on that. I
> always thought all of your older radios could be improved noticeably > though.
>
> Am I wrong about this?

Yes and no. There is some difference between certain models and circuit designs. Some radios have a little more headroom, that you can peak up to. But no radio can be peaked such that a major difference in signal would be observed by a casual listener. You really have to get to around 15 watts carrier (Which will require 60 watts peak at 100% modulation), before you start seeing any real noticable gains.

Ironically, when someone finally realizes that a simple peak job isn't making the difference they had hoped, they then want to get an amplifier. At that point, it's best to turn the power of the radio down to around 2.5 watts, as modern CB transistor amplifiers overdrive very easily, and the modulation becomes distorted.

> This radio I bought is real nice. It has two meters on it, the second
> being a modulation meter. It has that silly Delta-tune which I've never
> noticed doing a single thing. It has a two position attenuatuer, which
> is ,I guess, the next best thing to a dial. Unfortunatelt the ANL and NB
> are on one position on the switch, I prefer a two position switch or
> better yet, a seperate switch for each. I don't care for how much signal
> you lose when using the ANL, certain situations it's a charm, but many
> times it's just to much and the NB would take care of the noise by itself.

Sounds like a good radio. You might want to get to checked out (By a different shop) to make sure that it's still on-frequency, and that the receive and transmit circuits are running at optimal tune. The components in electronic devices drift as they age, and that could cause your radio to drift from its original tune.

The other thing to keep in mind is that older 40 channel radios are also easier to modify for extra (illegal) frequencies, if that's your bag. I don't remember the exact frequency range of your radio, as I haven't seen on is quite a while. I'm sure there is a chart somewhere on a mod website that will tell you though.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3571. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 13:00:26 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210300500.2e4d5fb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142349

"GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<FHDv9.158802$qM2.49118@sccrnsc02>...
> What idea ? You have to have a permit to get a permit in CT. That was 100%
> pure sarcasm, m'boy. Good for a few hyucks and not much else. I'm not here
> to alienate or insult folks.
oh geez........really..???? a yankee boy with a sense of
humor............where is my heart medicine........Irene????

3572. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE CB TESTER ***NICE***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 13:02:19 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210300502.63b9d7b9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142350

Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:<3DBF4546.F0B5D4FB@optonline.net>...
> Just click on the link below.
> nice pictures too.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1393517345

worthless as tits on pimp's momma..........

3573. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 13:04:39 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DBFD8E7.36A0@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 142352

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> Twist, I'd like to defend you; unfortunately, I'm just a newbie licensed in
> the very early 60s. I'm sure my expired commercial telegraph and 1st
> radiotelephone tickets don't count either. Just sit back and let 'em eat
> some more blood pressure pills.

I take it then, that you agree with twisty's assertion that passing a
license exam and earning the privilege of ham radio, is not an
accomplishment to be proud of, regardless of class?

Or are you defending illegal radio practices?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3574. Re: Where can I buy a cheap used tower in Houston Tx area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 13:09:12 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210300509.46b00541@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142351

Recordking@Hotmail.com (DJboutit) wrote in message
news:<e8d89b3f.0210282248.513a936d@posting.google.com>...
> I am looking to buy a used 40ft to 60ft antenna in Houston Tx area.
> Are their any stores that sell any antenna towers or rohn telescoping
> masts in Houston. I have also though about checking out junkyard or
> scrap dealers in Houston does know of any that sell towers. I need
> any stores or people selling a used antenna for $200 or less.

you can probably buy one soon over at tim nebo's crib......as soon as
that 4 jug sb220 he borrowed suffers a radio meltdown courtesy of a
very large radio car in the area..........rogo d???

3575. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 13:23:07 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%2Rv9.3186$lk6.317782@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142355

You wish that you could be a fed, but you are not. Try to remember that.
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:VwEv9.7796$pD6.3867@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:12121-3DBECBBC-998@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
> Uncle Charlie <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021028223416.01187.00001219@mb-mk.aol.com...
> who do you suggest should do this?
>
>
>
>
> >1) FCC
> >2) or Amateur volunteers using form letters >and a list of trucking
> companies. All these >volunteers
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Number one will be read and number two will be disposed of, possibly
> pinned to the office bulletin board as a dispatcher's laugh.
>
>
> There would be no difference in the appearance of either one. The letters
> would be form letters on
> FCC letterheads just like they send warnings on. All the volunteers would
> do is send them out from
> a list (compiled by ARRL??). The recipients wouldn't be able to tell any
> difference.
>
>
> Jerry
>
>
>

>
>

3576. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 13:25:45 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <t5Rv9.3194$Ik6.318154@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142356

Perhaps jerry would be happier with a different hobby.
"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:IBFv9.17638$TH6.3749@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> "Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
> news:20021029191058.27794.00001362@mb-mf.aol.com...
> > jerry, make sure you get your official fcc decoder ring too. then you'll
> be all
> > set to play wanna be field officer
>
> I don't think Jerry's playing "wannabe" anything. He just fed up with the
> illegal intrusions into the 10-meter ham band...and rightly so. I'm not
sure
> this is the correct forum to target, but that's another story.
>
> Speaking of "wannabe field agent," here's a little something we can ALL
> chuckle over. I found it advertised on the ARRL website.
>
> http://westid.com/amateur.htm
>
> --
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>
> > >
> > >There would be no difference in the appearance of either one. The
> letters
> > >would be form letters on
> > >FCC letterheads just like they send warnings on. All the volunteers
> would
> > >do is send them out from
> > >a list (compiled by ARRL??). The recipients wouldn't be able to tell
any
> > >difference.
> > >
> > >
> > >Jerry
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

3577. Re: Where can I buy a cheap used tower in Houston Tx area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 13:26:29 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021030082629.23665.00000288@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 142357

hope you got more than than that funky ass 8 pill i heard your working with

>
>you can probably buy one soon over at tim nebo's crib......as soon as
>that 4 jug sb220 he borrowed suffers a radio meltdown courtesy of a
>very large radio car in the area.....rogo d???

3578. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 13:30:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021030083018.23665.00000289@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 142358

he was saying its a hobby and only a hobby and not worth having a heart attack over but if he did have a heart attack he might not be able to call for help because dave hall will be there with a cell phone jammer blocking it

>I take it then, that you agree with twisty's assertion that passing a
>license exam and earning the privilege of ham radio, is not an
>accomplishment to be proud of, regardless of class?
>
>Or are you defending illegal radio practices?
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3579. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 13:32:51 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7cRv9.3204$lk6.319087@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142359

Yeppers, "report any trucker" jerry, you need to find a different hobby. You say you have nothing aganst truckers, you lie. If you would look around, you will find that trucklers are a very minor part of the radio worlds problems. But YOU use the trucker line way too often for someone concerned about radio. You are a worn out choo choo guy. You have had a hard on for truckers for far longer than they have had 10 meter radios. Transparent dude.
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:zYFv9.7875$pD6.3580@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021029192653.481$UX@newsreader.com...
> > "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote:
> > >> Speaking of "wannabe field agent," here's a little something we can
ALL
> > > chuckle over. I found it advertised on the ARRL website.
> > >
> > > http://westid.com/amateur.htm
>
>
> Well, I am sorry, but I wouldn't be caught wearing that thing! I am not a
> wannabe anything except
> a retired railroad man enjoys my free time. I AM, however, fed up with
the
> people that steal frequencies, and operate on bands they have no business
> on. What I WILL do is write letters, net-work with the right people,
> monitor the bands, make suggestions to the powers that be, and report
> any trucker I can prove is operating on 10 Meters.
>
> Jerry
> > >
> > Does it come with a polished bullet,for your shirt pocket?
> >
> > --
> > Don't crush em..restore em..
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40
>
>
>
>

3580. WTB Astatic EchoMax 2000 Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 13:38:21 UTC
From: ihornsby@direcway.com
Message-ID: <8ab11b99.0210300538.3c66a116@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142360

I want to buy a Astatic Echomax 2000 Mic. Must be reasonible priced.

3581. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 13:46:21 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <uoednRUPLPk7fyKgXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021029231544.375$Dw@newsreader.com...
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > > >
> > > I enjoy radio,of all sorts. I never try to start trouble,you do enough
> > > of that for the both of us.
> >
> >> You don't ever try to start trouble?
> >
> > **********
> >
> > From: Dowap (dowap@petitmorte.net)
> > Subject: Re: Comcast AUP
> > Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
> > Date: 2002-04-30 14:50:35 PST
> >
> > In article <20020430083755.077$KZ@newsreader.com>,
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Hello,I'm trying to find Comcasts policy for one of their accounts who
> > > changes screen names and addys to avoid killfilter software.
> > >
> > > This person openly admits to doing this,and so far my emails to
Comcast
> > > have gone un-answered.
> > >
> > > Thanks in advance.
> >
> Looks to me like I'm asking for advice on how to deal with trouble,and in
> the proper newsgroup too.
>
> Your point?

Your point looks like you got schooled asshole LOL trying to find a way to
silence me LOL and Comcast doesn't answer your emails LOL The funny part is
you are trouble Moped, that's probably one reason why you x-no archive your
posts. Thanks JJ for providing the laugh he has been taking notes from
Landshark on how to cry to ISP's and the best part is there is not a damn
thing they can do about it they have been trying to silence me for close to
2 years. I used to think Moped was some kinda tough guy now I see he is just
a pansie who has no balls.

3582. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 13:47:53 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <t9icnUI7_oycfiKgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021030004040.408$uI@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> >> Mopar, I hate to break it to you - you are in both rec.radio.cb and
> > radio.amateur policy. Check the headings. Golly, I hope you don't have
> > JJ eating blood pressure pills.
> >
> Huh? <g>

Your cross posting you stupid phuqq, what a real asshole.

3583. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 13:49:45 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <zfScnVlvftXsfiKgXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142363

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:%2Rv9.3186$Ik6.317782@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> You wish that you could be a fed, but you are not. Try to remember that.

waaaa waaa

3584. Re: For Richard Cranium

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 14:00:45 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210300600.5893cd6d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142364

"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:<DeOcnWwWHeyWjCKgXTWc3A@comcast.com>...
> Cheers Theme
>
> Sometimes you want to go
> Where everybody knows your name
> and they're always glad you came
> You want to be where you can see
> Our troubles are all the same
> You want to be where everybody knows your name

They sure do, Georgie-girl: I'm Richard and they all know it. No matter how many times Aanal Aaron spammed the newsgroup he never proved I was "Steve" as he said he would "Gladly" do.

3585. Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 14:02:25 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <h6acnVmMh9_seyKgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142365

Ya know what I find funny, people get mad at Jerry or who ever else dis approves of illegal operation they get mad at him for telling Riley. But isn't it these same Jokers from this NG who all try to rat people out to ISP's, gogle etc. But it is ok for them to do that, but you sure better not say anything to Riley LOL. The best part is Moped and landhark are the 2 main crybabys to isp's and they are 2 of the biggest crybabys regarding Jerry catching 10 meter intruders and telling Riley.

So my question is really why is it ok for them to cry to isp's with no luck of course, then they get mad at jerry, aaron, JJ etc when they hear Riley is gonna be notified. Moped do me a favor and write to Aol nebo keeps changing his nics and he is doing it to bypass my killfiles, oh Randy is buying accounts and screen names report him to Road runner, better yet let me get a hankie out for you so you can wipe the tears from your eyes I hate to see a grown man cry. LOL

LOL I thought that tough guy image you try to portray was a front. You nothing but a panty wearing sissy.

Possible replys by Moped:

"oh yeah asshole come to Medina"
"thats it lets put the gloves on"
" ill kick your ass with my Collins"
" ill rat you out to your ISP"

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

3586. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 14:04:19 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <CKycncOHhed5eyKgXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142366

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021030052555.02710.00000165@mb-dd.aol.com...
> name sure, email no. i'm always timnebo@aol.com if your having trouble
> following along you should shut up. aint that what you said?

Tim, Ill say it real slow for you If you can't keep up go smoke some dope
or something..I never said your email address was different numb nutz I
said you changes names weekly like you bathe, and so far I am correct. And
better get randy to learn you some english ain't isn't a word.

>
> >Oh thats funny coming from a guy who now changes his name as often as he
> >bathes once a week.
>
>
>
>

3587. Re: For Richard Cranium

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 14:07:51 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <Xricnd_9pf8leiKgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142367

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> They sure do, Georgie-girl: I'm Richard and they all know it. No
> matter how many times Aanal Aaron spammed the newsgroup he never
> proved I was "Steve" as he said he would "Gladly" do.

Your name is Richard? how do they all know it? just because you say it
doesn't make it so. You need to prove aaron wrong thats what you have said
all along instead you continue the troll.

3588. Here Moped recieve some sound advise.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 14:09:52 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <786dnVIMO4CtdSKgXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 142368

From: Dowap (dowap@petitmorte.net)
Subject: Re: Comcast AUP
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet
Date: 2002-04-30 14:50:35 PST

In article <20020430083755.077$KZ@newsreader.com>,
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote:

> Hello,I'm trying to find Comcasts policy for one of their accounts who
changes screen names and >addys to avoid killfilter software. This person
openly admits to doing this,and so far my emails to >Comcast have gone
un-answered.

> Thanks in advance.

Here you go. By the way, although annoying what the person may be doing
it is not against Comcast's AUP. Just ignore the person! I swear
there should be an international law that requires people to take a test
before getting internet access!!

3589. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 14:13:06 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <6q-dnWQPcuFudSKgXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142369

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> >>Call names and act like a punk. You fit right in.
> >
> Try to come up with your own material.

If the shoe fit's

3590. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 14:23:31 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6100-3DBFEB63-27@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142374

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
timnebo@aol.com10-4 (Mister T) wrote:
twist, you bad enough to be talking on the bowl these days?

I talked to 22 today. I talked to a buncha folks today...skip was
hoppin'!
--
>I poked holes in grass all day..till it started< >snowing.<
--
Already, huh? Maybe *that's* why Ohio and KY started booming in here
yesterday afternoon....gotta love them winter dx conditions.

3591. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 14:27:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6100-3DBFEC49-28@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142373

From: blackdiamond@isonthemail.com (WorldWide Jewel)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
I dunno if anyone heard me calling the mistake by the lake. But 22 is a
regular somewhere else that often takes on characteristics of the bowl
(see email)...especially if it's a 22 steel....can there be more than
one using that handle or just someone grooving on him?
=====
26.865Mhz
==========

It's not the freq I speak of...but that freq does mimic the bowl
sometimes.

3592. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 14:30:45 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021030093045.23279.00000453@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 142371

what newsreader to you use that allows you to killfile by knicknames? all of them i've used blocks email addresses, you know the thing YOU change to get by killfiles.

>Moped do me a favor and write to Aol nebo keeps
>changing his nics and he is doing it to bypass my killfiles,

3593. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 14:31:33 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <93Sv9.3621$V15.363725@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142370

Wa wa as much as you need. You are still not a big cop. Good thing too.
"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:zfScnVlvftXsfiKgXTWcrg@comcast.com...
>
>
> "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:%2Rv9.3186$Ik6.317782@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > You wish that you could be a fed, but you are not. Try to remember that.
>
> waaaa waaa
>
>
>

3594. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 14:40:27 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DBFEF5B.44CCCCEE@mailcity.com>
Source record: 142394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > Newsflash,JJ..I'm in rec.radio.cb
> > > > >
> > > >> Newsflash Mopehead, you are also cross posting to
> > > > rec.radio.amateur.policy which, in case you missed it, is a ham
> > > > newsgroup. I ask again, if you're not a ham, why do you post to a
> > > > ham newsgroup?
> > > > >
> > > What a dumbass.
> > >
> >> Yea you are if you don't even know what newsgroups you are posting
> > to. LOL!
> >
> Hey mental midget..I added radio.amateur.policy to the thread,so your ham
> buddys could see what a fool you are..

Yea right, you didn't even know you were posting there until
someone told you so. All you did is prove to the ham group what an
idiot you are. LOL!!!
```

3595. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 14:43:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10244-3DBFF01F-38@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142377

From: timnebo@aol.com10-4 (Mister T)
if your meter was full scale and it seemed like a 20,000 watt signal it was probably the man himself. the real 22 steel around the great lakes has no daily competition. only time you'll see him get it if one or two boys around chicago fire up the long noses which doesnt happen often.
==========

Shoot, I get those signals daily....biggest signal I had yesterday came from 328 in Pa on Lake Erie.....next time I'll make a point to ask 22 specifically.....seemed like a whole buncha guys from Chi town were trying yesterday, yelling about Fla stations with no ears, but only one managed to get up and really get it done.....I am currently kicking around an idea a local hammie has for placing a semi-small fiberglass yagi on the boat (rotated by hand of course).....he claims he did it for years....hmmmmmmm.....wonder how much more gain I can get...maybe a longer tether to the anchor for a deeper ground <g.>

3596. Re: Johnson Messenger 124-M

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 14:45:36 UTC
From: Mike Mills <dmichaelm@hotmaill.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1829913fb2a0a988989818@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 142372

In article <OEPv9.174981$%d2.61144@sccrnsc01>, radiofun@mchsi.com says...
> Yeah.......pretty good. Its an early generation sold state rig. The 124M
> resembles the 223, but it much different. I have a friend with one. Nice,
> semi-rare Johnson.
> --

That's good to hear. Thanks again.
--
Mike

3597. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 14:48:43 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <fjSv9.29211$TH6.15307@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 142375

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:urus6tt2knkm96@corp.supernews.com...
> Mopar, there are a few (at least one that I am well acquainted with) that
> started with amateur and then had a ball with CB - even though they kept
(or
> regained) their amateur license.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

BLASPHEMY!!! Pure blasphemy, Jim. You know darn well that with all that AR has to offer, NOBODY could ever possibly also enjoy...<gulp> 11-meters?! Oops, I meant CB. I don't want to offend to "term conscience." <ggg>

All kidding aside, it's nice to see someone else acknowledge the fact that the two <gulp> "hobbies" aren't necessarily mutually exclusive.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

3598. for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 14:52:45 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1035989654.159895@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 142376

From: "crossfire" <noone@home.com>
Subject: Radio stuff for sale
Date: Wednesday, October 30, 2002 8:50 AM

This is from a estate and I am taking reasonable offers or even trades . So
if You see something here that You like , Make an offer.

Heathkit vfo model # hg-b10 with manual . Works and clean
Heathkit transmitter model # dx60b with manual clean and works
Drake r-4b receiver works fine but needs vfo calibrated
Ameritron model # rcs-8v remote antenna switch works
Swann Astro 150 with matching power supply and speaker . Power supply works
but radio doesn't . it comes on but no transmit or receive . This problem
was caused by keying another radio too close to it
Kenwood ts 120 hf rig works great on 20,40,80 does not work on other bands
Kenwood tw-4000 dual band mobile works
Kenwood 2mtr mobile with te-64 tone control works
2 brand new mc320 mic elements for the d-104 mic
Mfj model 931 artificial ground , works
Dosy tc-4001 test center . works

If interested email Me @ dogyham@hotmail.com
What doesn't go here will go on ebay.
73"
Topcat in Houston

3599. Re: Interesting happenings above 30 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 14:54:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10246-3DBFF2B7-4@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142380

Where did this come from? My server must be dropping posts again.
For the record, there is a difference between jamming a repeater, which serves the needs of many users, and plugging the ears of an overly obnoxious CBer, who's inability to operate properly, is a detriment to the rest of the community. In the former case, the jamming is creating the interference. In the latter case, the jamming solves it.
Call it vigilante justice if you will, but since the FCC saw fit to abandon the CB band, many of us had to resort to tricks like this to keep the animals at bay.
At least planting a low power jammer, did not require property trespass, or destruction of property (like many who brag about tearing down antennas). Furthermore, running at a power level of less than 100 mW, makes the device fall under part 15 rules, like a kiddie walkie talkie.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
==========
Nice work in eliciting the truth from the "hypocrite" Hall. First time he admitted to being a federal criminal after attempting the martyr role.....
His intellect is also waning badly. There is NO difference as far as the FCC is concerned..jamming is jamming and it makes you a federal criminal (according to your own words) anti-social, and your mind is full of criminal intent and contempt. The hypocrisy shines in this statement as you choose what rules are ok to disregard for yourself while accusing others doing the same thing of being a federal criminal. Your words always do the best job of putting on display your contemptuous self.

3600. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 14:58:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10246-3DBFF3A9-5@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142378

From: blackdiamond@isonthemail.com (WorldWide Jewel)
Ya know what I find funny, people get mad at Jerry or who ever else dis
approves of illegal operation they get mad at him for telling Riley. But
isn't it these same Jokers from this NG who all try to rat people out to
ISP's, gogle etc. But it is ok for them to do that, but you sure better
not say anything to Riley LOL. The best part is Moped and landhark are
the 2 main crybabys to isp's and they are 2 of the biggest crybabys
regarding Jerry catching 10 meter intruders and telling Riley.
==========
Not true.
The angry hammies here started this bullshit and made it personal. They
have no self-control evidenced by the those shining personalities we are
all familiar with who have SUDDENLY decided to change
ISP.s...hahahhahaahahah... the latest loss coming from the poor bastard
hammie in the black gold....hey,,,it's not the cbers that can't live in
harmony, here...it's ratdogs like the ones who can't behave themseves
and keep losing ISP's........

3601. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 15:07:32 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10245-3DBFF5B4-13@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142379

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
Just because you offer your own version of banality concerning my status does not make it true, but keep saying it over and over if that is what makes your day.

>In reference to your claim that I am a no code, I quote your own words, "Just because you offer your own version of banality concerning my status does not make it true, but keep saying it over and over if that is what makes your day."<<

Actually, it is you that is redundant in your posts to me......hung up on my status or nonstatus... As usual, a tick has developed that allows you to dish it out but not take it......in plainer words, what you give to others upsets you greatly when you are on the recieving end.....

Tut-tut...I have no disdain for nocodes...let's stick to the facts, shall we?
I have disdain for CERTAIN nocodes, and have said nothing negative concerning nocode status except as construed by yourself and Dave "Hypocrite" Hall.

>No disdain for the nocodes you say...let's stick to the facts. Here is your posting of:
Subject: Re: Personal Call with the man
Date: Fri, 16 Aug 2002 14:00:55 -0400 (EDT
From: twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
Society has been intentionally
dumbing down and walking and chewing gum is regarded as some type accomplishment, such as some getting the nocode entry tcket as such. Neither is the case, so the only solution is to ban cell phones while driving. <<

Boy, you snipped the hell out of that...try it again, in proper context (that means in it's entirety with the proper post it was a respponse to). Taking part of a post and placing it in another context will almost always change the original meaning....but you knew that all along.....
All you did was reiterate what I have always maintained...the nocode ticket is no real accompilishment for those of normal intellect. Since you view it differently, conclusions drawn concerning yourself are apt to be correct.

>Words like "dumbing down", "walking and chewing gum is regarded as some type of accomplishment such as some getting the nocode entry tcket (sic) as such". Sure sounds like you have negative feelings for the nocode status to me.

<

Sure, I'm on record as saying the nocode ticket carries no weight and is no accomplishment...it isn't. If that bothers you, so be it. It doesn't make it an accomplishment merely because you get pissed over it.

>When are you going to learn to post without so >many blank lines in you post?

When you upgrade.

3602. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 15:10:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10244-3DBFF67A-41@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142386

JJ wroted:
First Question:
If someone is avoiding your killfile you should
A. Stalk them
B. Cry to their provider
C. Ignore them
D. Call the police
And the correct answer is "C".
==========
You ought to preach this to Lelnad and Frank and Dogie. They like to harass and threaten. Thanks for showing them the light in their darkness.

3603. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 15:22:17 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DBFF929.2982@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 142381

Mister T wrote:
>
> he was saying its a hobby and only a hobby and not worth having a heart attack
> over but if he did have a heart attack he might not be able to call for help
> because dave hall will be there with a cell phone jammer blocking it

Since I never built a device like that, your scenario is highly unlikely. However, it is more likely that his call could become interfered with by some power hungry CBer attepting to be heard "on the bowl".....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3604. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 15:23:58 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3DBFF98E.6A3F@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 142382

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> Mopar, there are a few (at least one that I am well acquainted with) that
> started with amateur and then had a ball with CB - even though they kept (or
> regained) their amateur license.
>

And then there are some of us who still try to have fun on both,
personal opinion, social issues, and assumptions aside.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3605. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 15:28:41 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10247-3DBFFAA9-1@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142388

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
When are you going to learn to post without so many blank lines in you
post?
Webtv sucks for Usenet.
--

Sorry Twist,but it's the truth. You'd think m$n could give webtv a
useable newsreader client.
I think they want you to stay in their firewalled little world that is
known as alt.discuss . Deja's free newsreader is 10 times better. Gates
doesn't want his customers talking to us regular folk. <g>

Hey, I know several who bitch about it, but in all fairness, I have seen
the posts from other servers and newsreaders and the problem can often
(NOT ALWAYS) be rectified with configuration settings.... Google takes
a full day for the posts to show up when accessing from webtv...way too
long. I have checked out several other services (for that pc some day,
maybe) and haven't seen ANY that is set-up similar to MSN's format of
usenet...this format is by far the easiest I have come across yet. If
you know of one that displays in a similar manner and delivers AND
dispays new posts to usenet immediately , I'll try to check it
out.....have you messed with webtv since MSN took it over? The few
things I can't do, I have no interest in...videos, etc......there are
now printers, cameras, etc. for webtv.............I'm not into buying
non-essentials and for the time being, it serves my needs.

3606. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 15:31:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10244-3DBFFB49-45@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142385

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
Twist, I'd like to defend you; unfortunately, I'm just a newbie licensed in the very early 60s. I'm sure my expired commercial telegraph and 1st radiotelephone tickets don't count either. Just sit back and let 'em eat some more blood pressure pills.
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
==========

I understand.

3607. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 15:37:59 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <VvecnQoRdd9FYSKgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> I've alwasys thought of you as a punk.

At least I don't cry to ISP's LOL sure sign of a man who can't handle his
own business. and it is spelled always.

--
Go Ahead Make My Day

Clint Eastwood

3608. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 15:38:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10244-3DBFFCF0-46@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142387

I take it then, that you agree with twisty's assertion that passing a license exam and earning the privilege of ham radio, is not an accomplishment to be proud of, regardless of class?
Or are you defending illegal radio practices?
Dave
"Sandbagger"
N3CVJ
Practicing Socialist
Liar Extraordinaire
==========
Once again, "twisty" calls on you to produce anything that even remotely backs up your newest lie that I had said anything about "regardless of class"....but you can't Dave,,,the entire group has been watching you lie your azz off all week long.....got that sinking feeling again, Hall, but it doesn't merit your lies.....

3609. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 15:39:04 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <jiadnUokyv-FYCKgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142384

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:93Sv9.3621$V15.363725@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Wa wa as much as you need. You are still not a big cop. Good thing too.

Don't wanna be a cop your right good thing too I would get you first, the
charges acting like a asshole.

3610. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 15:47:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10244-3DBFFF07-47@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142391

From: timnebo@aol.com10-4 (Mister T)
aaron i prefer this one:
doug: come on ya sissy boy. i'll fight ya anytime anyplace
mopar: ok doug lets box at the ymca so it will be fair
doug: no ya sissy boy i said anytime any place. that means only at my
house and
when i'm holding the phone so i can call 911
==========
The image of Foghorn Leghorn's little buddy frantically trying to dial
911 while wearing an oversized set of boxing gloves is amusing!!!

3611. Re: Aaron..ILLEGAL ham amps!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 15:50:10 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10244-3DBFFFB2-48@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142392

Here's an amazing and useful fact. You're free to respond or not respond
to what's in this NG. You can click on a post and read it, or not click
on it or ignore it. You can comment on it, or you can not comment on it.
It's called freedom of choice. We all have it.
-Aaron-
==========
Agreed. You have exhibited remarkable restraint while wisely exercising
that freedom.

3612. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 16:03:41 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <xpTv9.866$Y93.88881462@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142389

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:10246-3DBFF3A9-5@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: blackdiamond@isonthemail.com (WorldWide Jewel)
Ya know what I find funny, people get mad at Jerry or who ever else dis
approves of illegal operation they get mad at him for telling Riley. But
isn't it these same Jokers from this NG who all try to rat people out to
ISP's, gogle etc. But it is ok for them to do that, but you sure better
not say anything to Riley LOL. The best part is Moped and landhark are
the 2 main crybabys to isp's and they are 2 of the biggest crybabys
regarding Jerry catching 10 meter intruders and telling Riley.
==========

Now this is obviously a troll post, Jerry and I exchange
e-mail's on a regular basis, so troll away George. Please
find any post I've made concerning Jerry telling R.
Hollingsworth and getting upset over it. I couldn't care less
what he does concerning 10 meter band, that's his gig, not
mine.
So who's crying now? not me George, you are. Apparently
you might have gotten a warning, LMAO!!! If you stuck
with one screen nic, people would have you kill filled then you
could go on with normal postings. But you change your names
to by pass filters, troll the newsgroup and do nothing but
throw out insult, harass, accuse people, post racist comments
and do what you do best TROLL!! So quite you're crying!!!

Not true.
The angry hammies here started this bullshit and made it personal.

No Twist it's not Hams, they are trolls, license or not,
TROLLS!

They
have no self-control evidenced by the those shining personalities we are
all familiar with who have SUDDENLY decided to change
ISP.s...hahahhahaahahah... the latest loss coming from the poor bastard
hammie in the black gold....hey,,,it's not the cbers that can't live in
harmony, here...it's ratdogs like the ones who can't behave themseves
and keep losing ISP's........

Well Twist these trolls do nothing but look through the archives and then
post it in an attempt to avert attention
away from themselves. Like a cornered politician, they
throw out accusations at the others, that way their crimes won't look as
bad.

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

3613. Re: Gentlemen's Agreements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 16:04:28 UTC
From: citizensband <citizensband@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <ArTv9.4709$yG1.359795@newsfep2-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 142390

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:ururjvftfm1o93@corp.supernews.com...
> Why do they drive on the left hand side of the road in England (and where
> else ...)? And why do USA drivers drive on the right hand side of the
road?
> Why are the ASE threads and metric threads? Why do the flames continue in
> the newsgroups? Ohhhhhh ... so much fun!
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
I'm not quite sure why we drive on the left hand side here in the UK. A bit
silly really as all the other European countries drive on the right.
Personally Jim, I think all the other countries should be made to drive on
the left ;-)

As for the channels over here, 14 was the calling channel and 19 the
truckers channel. It's shows how stupid some of the Cb'ers are over here, as
they now insist on using 19 as the calling channel, truckers channel and
modulating channel. Thirty nine empty channels and they're all screaming at
each other on the 19! Even the music players and dead keyers are moving
elsewhere :-)

No wonder Cb is virtually dead over here.

Regards
tox

3614. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 16:35:57 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NTTv9.3745$V15.381080@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142393

Anytime you feel up to it.
"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:jiadnUokyv-FYCKgXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:93Sv9.3621$V15.363725@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Wa wa as much as you need. You are still not a big cop. Good thing too.
>
> Don't wanna be a cop your right good thing too I would get you first, the
> charges acting like a asshole.
>
>
>

3615. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 17:08:44 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <wmUv9.2388$F9.160886031@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:3DBFEF5B.44CCCCEE@mailcity.com...

All insults aside JJ, check your clock, your postings
are timed wrong.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

3616. Hey Mopar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 17:14:45 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <9sUv9.2389$L8.160826503@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142396

You know this guy?

http://www.elbudster.com/report.asp

I'm going down Thursday afternoon,
That's one hell of a big Tuna!

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

3617. Re: WTB Astatic EchoMax 2000 Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 17:20:19 UTC
From: webbslingblade@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021030122019.23386.00001590@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 142397

i have one i will part with the price $70 you can email me at webbslingblade@aol.com

3618. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 19:52:46 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DC0388E.ECF3E85@mailcity.com>
Source record: 142411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Landshark wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> news:3DBFEF5B.44CCCCEE@mailcity.com...
>
> All insults aside JJ, check your clock, your postings
> are timed wrong.

My clock shows 2:51 pm, October 30, 2002, about 2 minutes slower
than the wall clock.

3619. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 19:58:39 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <TGWv9.576$KT6.454@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142398

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21621-3DBF342D-454@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Well, I am sorry, but I wouldn't be caught wearing that thing! I am not
> a wannabe anything except
> a retired railroad man enjoys my free time. I AM, however, fed up with
> the people that steal frequencies, and operate on bands they have no
> business on. What I WILL do is write letters, net-work with the right
> people, monitor the bands, make suggestions to the powers that be, and
> report any trucker I can prove is operating on 10 Meters.
> Jerry
> ==========
> The crux of it again.......most of that I can agree on. But how does,
> say, a freebander (26 to 27.995, for the sake of argument) have anything
> more to do with you than the party pirate at say,,,oh,,,,,86.9 FM?

Not sure I follow. I'm not really interested in the "freebanders" so long
as they don't
alight on one or 2 of those 26 MHZ frequencies. Right now, I am just after
the people
that are on the ham bands. (BTW, I am awaiting a reply from Greg Walden
now).

Jerry
>

3620. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 20:03:35 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <uLWv9.578$KT6.93@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142399

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:7cRv9.3204$Ik6.319087@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Yeppers, "report any trucker" jerry, you need to find a different hobby.
You
> say you have nothing aganst truckers, you lie. If you would look around,
you
> will find that trucklers are a very minor part of the radio worlds
problems.
> But YOU use the trucker line way too often for someone concerned about
> radio. You are a worn out choo choo guy. You have had a hard on for
truckers
> for far longer than they have had 10 meter radios. Transparent dude.

NOPE. IF there weren't truckers operating on 10 Meters, I wouldn't be
trying to get something
done about it. If the intruders were unlicensed zookeepers, railroad
engineers aboard their trains,
accountants--whatever group--I would be after them, too. It has nothing to
do with the fact that
they happen to be truckers; it is just that the ones who are doing it are
identifiable as truck drivers.
And I ain't worn out yet. I am 54 y/o. I got out via an early retirement
option. Put it this way: if
you could continue to work and make X amount or go home and make the SAME
amount (after
taxes) that you took home working, what would YOU do?

Jerry
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:zYFv9.7875$pD6.3580@news.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021029192653.481$UX@newsreader.com...
> > > "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote:
> > > >> Speaking of "wannabe field agent," here's a little something we can
> ALL
> > > > chuckle over. I found it advertised on the ARRL website.
> > > >
> > > > http://westid.com/amateur.htm
> > >
> > >
> > > Well, I am sorry, but I wouldn't be caught wearing that thing! I am not
a
> > wannabe anything except
> > a retired railroad man enjoys my free time. I AM, however, fed up with

> the
> > people that steal frequencies, and operate on bands they have no
business
> > on. What I WILL do is write letters, net-work with the right people,
> > monitor the bands, make suggestions to the powers that be, and report
> > any trucker I can prove is operating on 10 Meters.
> >
> > Jerry
> > > >
> > > Does it come with a polished bullet,for your shirt pocket?
> > >
> > > --
> > > Don't crush em..restore em..
> > > ---------- http://NewsReader.Com/ ----------
> > > Usenet Newsgroup Service go♯40
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3621. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 20:07:07 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <POWv9.582$KT6.447@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142400

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021029215616.081$6Z@newsreader.com...
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > tools wrote:
> > >
> > > What a dumb-ass saying.
> >
> >> Next time you get a speeding ticket try telling the judge, "but
> > your honor, I did not know it was against the law to speed", and
> > see what he says.
> >
> Just be careful to not spill your drool cup,or shit yourself,cuz you must
> be a moron to try that with a judge.

It doesn't work in front of an Administrative Law judge, either.

(But, Yer Honor, I didn't know I couldn't operate my reddio on 10 Meters!)
<<g>>

J
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em..
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

3622. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 20:14:35 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210301214.532c0b09@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142401

timnebo@aol.com10-4 (Mister T) wrote in message
news:<20021030053844.02710.00000169@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> aaron i prefer this one:
>
> doug: come on ya sissy boy. i'll fight ya anytime anyplace
>
> mopar: ok doug lets box at the ymca so it will be fair
>
> doug: no ya sissy boy i said anytime any place. that means only
> at my house and when i'm holding the phone so i can call 911

OK, but let's add some other characters to it.......

landshark: Wait a minute! Doug used the term 'sissy boy' three times
now. If he keeps repeating the same thing, I'm gonna cry to his ISP.

twistedhed: no code! upperclassmen! socialist! trouble posse!

landshark: damn straight, twist.

tim nebo: is is 4:20 yet?

> >LOL! Sorry, but I can't help but picture this funny scene:
> >
> >
> >WORLDWIDEJEWEL is on his lawn, typing away on a laptop. Laughing, he
> >presses ENTER.
> >
> >WORLDWIDEJEWEL: "Take THAT you tough talkin blowhard"
> >
> >Suddenly, a POLICE CAR screeches to a halt. MOPARHOLIC jumps out,
> >brandishing a weed wacker.
> >
> >MOPARHOLIC (into PA): "ALL RIGHT SIR, STEP AWAY FROM THE KEYBOARD!"
> >
> >
> >
> >-A

3623. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 20:20:41 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DC03F19.FE0A8DDC@mailcity.com>
Source record: 142413

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> >
> Who do you think added the cross post,you dumbass?

Sure wasn't me, I never add cross posts to my postings, I just
reply to the original, if they are cross posts, they are already
there. Let's go back and see.

On 30 Oct 2002 03:11:50 GMT you posted:

Subject:
Re: Has anyone considered this?
Date:
30 Oct 2002 03:11:50 GMT
From:
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
Organization:
NewsReader.Com Subscriber
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.cb
References:
1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , 5 , 6 , 7

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> Mophead@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> > >
> > Why do you even post here? You claim to have never used a CB, but
> > somehow you can't resist this newsgroup. You've even posted with
> > another screename.
> >
> > You're pathetic.
>
>> So show me a Usenet rule that says I have to use a cb to post to
> this or any other group. I can post to any group I please and
> nothing you can do about it.
>
Newsgroups are usually frequented by people who enjoy what ever
topic is in
the header. Not the case for you.
>
>> I don't use AOL so I don't have screen names.
>
Call them whatever you usually use.
>

>> You're stupid.
>
Nice.

--
Don't crush em..restore em..
------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40
****
**Notice you posted only to rec.radio.cb
**Then in my reply to your posting. Notice I only posted to
rec.radio.cb

Subject:
Re: Has anyone considered this?
Date:
Tue, 29 Oct 2002 20:00:50 -0500
From:
JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.cb
References:
1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , 5 , 6 , 7 , 8

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> >
> Newsgroups are usually frequented by people who enjoy what ever topic is in
> the header. Not the case for you.

I enjoy what is in the headers here, so guess that makes it
perfectly OK.

> >
> >> I don't use AOL so I don't have screen names.
> >
> Call them whatever you usually use.
> >
> >> You're stupid.
> >
> Nice.

I thought so.

Are you a ham? You post in the ham newsgroups.

****
**Then you reply and notice carefully the Newsgroups, see
**rec.radio.amateur.policy there? Now just who added that, dumb
ass?

Subject:
Re: Has anyone considered this?

Date:
30 Oct 2002 03:51:23 GMT
From:
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
Organization:
NewsReader.Com Subscriber
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy
References:
1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , 5 , 6 , 7 , 8 , 9

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> > >
> > Newsgroups are usually frequented by people who enjoy whatever topic
> > is in the header. Not the case for you.
>
>> I enjoy what is in the headers here, so guess that makes it
> perfectly OK.
>
> > >
> > >> I don't use AOL so I don't have screen names.
> > >
> > Call them whatever you usually use.
> > >
> > >> You're stupid.
> > >
> > Nice.
>
> I thought so.
>
>> Are you a ham? You post in the ham newsgroups.
>
I enjoy radio,of all sorts. I never try to start trouble,you do
enough of
that for the both of us.

--
Don't crush em..restore em..
---------- http://NewsReader.Com/ ----------
Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40
****
**Then you state, and again notice the newsgroups being posted to.

Subject:
Re: Has anyone considered this?
Date:
30 Oct 2002 04:49:28 GMT
From:
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com

Organization:
NewsReader.Com Subscriber
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy
References:
1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , 5 , 6 , 7 , 8 , 9 , 10 , 11 , 12 , 13

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > I enjoy radio,of all sorts. I never try to start trouble,you do
> > > > > enough of that for the both of us.
> > >
> > >> You failed to answer the question. Are you a ham? If not, then why
> > > > do you post in a ham newsgroup?
> > >
> > > I own an old S-line Collins, just like you, but you don't have the
> > > 30L-1..Tough. <g>
> > >
> > > btw..do you see me telling hams how to act?
> >
>> So you're not a ham, but you post to a ham newsgroup. According to
> your replies to me on the cb group, I should not post there as I
> do not operate cb. So why are you here?
>
Newsflash,JJ..I'm in rec.radio.cb

--
Don't crush em..restore em..
------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40
****
**You don't even realize it when you are posting to more than one
group. **How pathetic.

3624. Re: Hey Mopar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 20:24:31 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <3eXv9.1130$Lm3.89656558@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142402

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021030131746.746$80@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
> >> You know this guy?
> >
> > http://www.elbudster.com/report.asp
> >
> > I'm going down Thursday afternoon,
> > That's one hell of a big Tuna!
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> Sounds like fun,Shark. Enjoy.

Damn right it is. This is just the fishing setup, this doesn't
include golf or scuba or any night life adventures.

BAJA FISHING ADVENTURES
S.O.T. 1021028-40

R.E.: TRIP TO CABO SAN LUCAS

TRAVEL DATES: NOV. 01, 2002 TO: NOV. 07, 2002

AIRLINE FLIGHTS: AIRLINE:
ALASKA AIRLINES

SFO TO LOS CABOS FLT: 234 DEPARTS: 9:32 AM
ARRIVES: 1:33 PM

LOS CABOS TO SFO FLT: 235 DEPARTS: 2:13 PM
ARRIVES: 4:37 PM

CURRENT AIRFARE IS $347.26 PER PERSON (INCLUDED
IN QUOTE)

NOTE: ONLY AIRFARE MAY BE PURCHASED THROUGH A CREDIT CARD USING OUR
AUTHORIZATION FORM.

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

***** ITINERARY & QUOTE *****

RATE FOR PARTY OF: "2"

INCLUDES: ROUND TRIP COACH AIRFARE FROM SFO AND ROUND TRIP TRANSFERS TO
HOTEL.

(1) DBL EXECUTIVE SUITE FOR (6) NIGHTS AT HOTEL : MARINA FIESTA

THE HOTEL IS LOCATED ON THE MARINA AND IS A SHORT WALK TO THE ACTION OF CABO SAN LUCAS. EACH EXECUTIVE SUITE IS AIR-CONDITIONED WITH 2 DOUBLE BEDS, KITCHENETTE, LIVING AREA AND TERRACE. THERE IS A HEATED SWIMMING POOL AND A GAME ROOM WITH POOL AND PING PONG.

(1) 51' CRUISER "OPTIONS" FOR (2) DAYS T.B.D. (shared with the other members of the Beck party) FISHING WITH CORTEZ YACHT CHARTERS, ALSO (1) SUPER PANGA FOR (2) DAYS T.B.D. FISHING WITH SOLMAR FLEET.

INCLUDES: LICENSES, USE OF FISHING EQUIPMENT, ALL TAXES, (extended fishing day, fish filleting, lunches, snacks, beer, soft drinks, & 10 pieces of live bait - when available ; "Options" only).

NOT INCLUDED: BAIT, BOARDING FEES, FOOD, BEVERAGES AND CUSTOMARY TIP FOR CREW.

*NAMES MUST MATCH PROOF OF CITIZENSHIP I.E. PASSPORT or BIRTH CERTIFICATE. MOST AIRFARES ARE NON-REFUNDABLE WITH A $100.00 FEE FOR ANY CHANGES. BE SURE WE HAVE CORRECT NAME SPELLING PRIOR TO TICKETING.

PLEASE READ TERMS AND CONDITIONS

PER PERSON PRICE: $1,506.60

PER PERSON DEPOSIT OF $(airfare + hotel deposit) DUE WITHIN 3 DAYS TO SECURE AIRFARE & MAKE HOTEL DEPOSIT.

PER PERSON BALANCE OF $1,243.50 (based on current airfare & 1 night hoteel stay) WOULD BE DUE SEPTEMBER 1, 2002.

3625. Re: Question for Richard Cranium

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 20:36:30 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <us0gmml447un50@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142403

Sorry to butt in for a second, but I honestly believe you hit the nail on the head. Any group - cb, ham, even police - will have their problem children. Proportionally, they are likely to be very similar between the hams and the cbers. I'll be looking forward to other replies to your post. ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message news:U9edndmcWfVv2iKgXTWc3g@comcast.com...
> From: Richard Cranium (richcranus@yahoo.com)
> Subject: Re: Ham Misbehavior
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Date: 2002-08-29 05:31:22 PST
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
> news:<3d6d8784.1106354@news.cet.com>...
> > In <c5ecbb69.0208280412.7a09a924@posting.google.com>,
> > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>
> Oh sure; I also listen to 14.313 and 3950 at times. Have you bothered?
> I've been monitoring the low end of 10 meters lately and haven't heard
> anything that sounds like an out-of-band CBer or trucker yet. In fact,
> I've heard no hams there, either; they must think the band is closed,
> which is strange because I'm hearing activity on CB.
>
> And your original comment IS an assumption, "the most heavily and
> blatantly abused part of the spectrum -- the Citizen's Band". Prove
> it.
>
> How about the most USED part? The fact is that there are 30 to 40
> million CBers crammed into less than ONE megahertz, compared to less
> than ONE million hams spread out all over the spectrum. I'm willing to
> wager that the proportion of "illegal" operations is roughly the same
> in both groups; the NUMBERS will of course be higher on CB, simply
> because there are so many more people trying to use the band, but
> proportionatly it'd be about the same. The hams have nothing to smile
> about, do they
> **********
>
> OK Richard you claimed a fact and have yet to prove it what are you waiting
> for? I was told in a secret email that if you ever prove this perhaps aaron
> will post proof of who you are. Now is the time to prove your *fact* to be

> correct, we rreally want to beleive you
>
>
> --
> If you expect people to believe you,
> it's up you to prove your correct.
>
> Richard Cranium
>
>

3626. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 20:41:23 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210301241.1b1ceff6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142404

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<urru9ef7gjere3@corp.supernews.com>...
> No, Twist, I've seen it stated that 10 meters is **largely** unused. No, no
> one stated it isn't used, just seldom used with a lot of wide open space. I
> really don't feel like searching the archives ... I have seen it stated
> (roughly quoted that it is hardly used). In fact, it may have been on UJs
> site. There are a couple of junivile delinquents loose there of late. I
> don't know what post got me started - usually I let the other guys take the
> blood pressure medication. Myself, I'll just have a 6 pack and leave this
> whole mess alone for a while. I saw one good post and believe that is
> closer to the truth than most care to admit. A lot of places sell radios.
> A lot of folks don't know what all those 'uppers' and 'lowers' are, other
> than extra channels.

I think they know more than they're letting on, Jim. When someone buys
a radio at a truckstop that comes in a box that has a sticker on it
that claims it's a "10 meter amateur radio", the buyer knows that what
they are doing is outside of legal CB. My guess is that they have
heard from the "techs" and from other drivers that 10 meters is empty
and no one cares if they talk there or not (or some story similar to
that). Since fellow CBers/truckers don't or won't take responsibility
to correct this misperception, it really is up to hams like Jerry to
get the word out to the trucking world, even if it means getting their
attention via some well-deserved busts.

-Aaron-

3627. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 20:44:53 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <us0h6d52mbtu1e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142406

Yep, and even toss a few **light** barbs back and forth on both ends of the arguments that break out here. Enjoy. 100 years from now, it won't make any difference. ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message news:3DBFF98E.6A3F@worldlynx.net...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> >
> > Mopar, there are a few (at least one that I am well acquainted with) that
> > started with amateur and then had a ball with CB - even though they kept (or
> > regained) their amateur license.
> >
>
> And then there are some of us who still try to have fun on both,
> personal opinion, social issues, and assumptions aside.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

3628. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 20:47:58 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <us0hc6e4nt736c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Come to think of it, Mopar, we had a KWM-2 and a 30L-1 in the second position of that shack on Guam. It was a 75S-3 and (dang, getting old ... can't remember) whatever with the Henry that was in position #1. Position #3 was a Heathkit transmitter and two receivers for diversity reception (between two antennas - one at 60 feet, the other at 102 feet) which we used for RTTY. I had a ball with RTTY.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021030004620.769$Qi@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> >> Newsflash - check your newsgroups. You're both posting to both
> > > rec.radio.cb and radio.amateur.policy. I'll admit Windows is nasty, but
> > > check the headings. As far as Mopar, 30L-1? What's wrong with a Henry
> > > 2K? Had a lot of fun with that one on Guam.
> > >
> > I know where my posts are going,and I think JJ just got schooled.
> >
> > As far as the Henry,wish I could say I have one but I don't. The 30L-1 has
> > been rock solid for me though..I take it easy on the tubes and keep it in
> a
> > fairly clean enviroment.
> >
> > --
> > Don't crush em..restore em..
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

3629. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 20:51:29 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <us0hip787r4vcd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142408

Mopar, at my age I'm lucky to keep track of **anything**. As a matter of fact, I can't seem to find my glasses .... oh, forget it, I'm wearing 'em.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021030003817.871$Ob@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> >> Oh, Mopar. C'mon. Very few remember that. That was in the 50s. The
> > > problem is intruders in the 10 meter band.
> > >
> You're right. But it's not just about 10 meter intruders,makes it hard to
> keep track of. ;)
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em..
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

3630. Re: Mic wireing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 20:52:06 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <uEXv9.919$sE3.383004730@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 142407

"J" <iggy@bellsouth.net> wrote in message

> Anyone can tell me the color code, I'm wanting to wire a cobra stock mic to
> a midland 77-285

Big Brown Rabbits Often Yield Great Big Vocal Groans When Gingerly Slapped.

3631. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 21:43:49 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <appjqh$2u7$2@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 142409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The transmitter you are thinking about to complete the S-line was the
32S-1/2/3. I think most of the military/MARS stations used KWM2A
transceivers. The one with the crystal switch adjacent to the band switch.
I am quite familiar with them all. Still a good station for ssb.

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:us0hc6e4nt736c@corp.supernews.com...
> Come to think of it, Mopar, we had a KWM-2 and a 30L-1 in the second
> position of that shack on Guam. It was a 75S-3 and (dang, getting old ...
> can't remember) whatever with the Henry that was in position #1. Position
> #3 was a Heathkit transmitter and two receivers for diversity reception
> (between two antennas - one at 60 feet, the other at 102 feet) which we
used
> for RTTY. I had a ball with RTTY.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021030004620.769$Qi@newsreader.com...
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > >> Newsflash - check your newsgroups. You're both posting to both
> > > rec.radio.cb and radio.amateur.policy. I'll admit Windows is nasty,
but
> > > check the headings. As far as Mopar, 30L-1? What's wrong with a
Henry
> > > 2K? Had a lot of fun with that one on Guam.
> > >
> > I know where my posts are going,and I think JJ just got schooled.
> >
> > As far as the Henry,wish I could say I have one but I don't. The 30L-1
has
> > been rock solid for me though..I take it easy on the tubes and keep it
in
> a
> > fairly clean enviroment.
> >
> > --
> > Don't crush em..restore em..
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40
>

>

3632. WANTED: Bearcat III / IV Scanner Crystals (USED)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 21:44:59 UTC
From: "Buford T. Justice" <BTJustice@gmx.net.no.spam>
Message-ID: <tpYv9.76$CR7c.26607692@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 142412
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have been DESPERATELY looking for 10.8 IF crystals for my Bearcat III scanner. I need the following listening frequencies...

155.415
153.980
157.450
155.340
155.055
39.500
162.400
159.210
453.250

I suppose 10.7 IF crystals would work in it if I used...

155.315
153.880
157.350
155.240
154.955
39.600
162.300
159.110
453.150

I would either like to trade 1 for 1 with crystals I have now that I cannot use in my area or buy cheaply.

Sincerely,
BTJustice

3633. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 21:54:32 UTC
From: GMpartsguy <kb1cih@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <syYv9.3866$bt.2430@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 142410

Strike 2 cheese...One more and you can hit the showers and wash that
incorrect smell of'n ya.. Just living in CT makes me a yankee ??
What if ive lived in Cali, Tennessee, and Florida as well ??
Ill wait while you print out another label for me.......

3634. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 22:28:24 UTC
From: Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you>
Message-ID: <c2Zv9.669$3d5.129095694@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"JJ" <radiobluff0@mailcity.net> with his/she/it's head completely embedded
up his/her/it's ass in search for a clue whimpered.....
><snipped the response for lack of anything prevalent to my question>

<<<< YAWN >>>>

Nice reply.... Squirrel Boy, but you still haven't answered my question....
so I'll try again.

Now I hope this one doesn't ricochet of your overly sloped forehead like the
last one...

Where in _ANY- of those sound bit's is there _ANY_ reference to anything
truck related as to justify saying that truck drivers were involved?

--
_____l Tom
__/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
/________l_____________________
O OO\ O O\

3635. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 22:41:03 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210301441.32080708@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142415

"Skateboard'n" trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you wrote
>"JJ" <radiobluff0@mailcity.net> with his/she/it's head completely
embedded
>up his/her/it's ass in search for a clue whimpered.......
>><snipped the response for lack of anything prevalent to my question>
>
><<<< YAWN >>>>
>
>Nice reply.... Squirrel Boy, but you still haven't answered my
question....
>so I'll try again.
>
>Now I hope this one doesn't ricochet of your overly sloped forehead
like
>the
>last one...
>
>
>Where in _ANY- of those sound bit's is there _ANY_ reference to
anything
>truck related as to justify saying that truck drivers were involved?
>

Absolutely right, there is nothing truck-related in those sound bytes.
Just for grins, listened to conversations on channel 19 for a while.
Can you believe it, no one said anything truck-related there, either!
Hmmm. I guess that means truckers don't use radios :)

-A

3636. Re: A solution provided by the 10 meter intrusions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 22:47:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7717-3DC0619A-11@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142424

>
I think they know more than they're letting on, Jim. When someone buys a radio at a truckstop that comes in a box that has a sticker on it that claims it's a "10 meter amateur radio", the buyer knows that what they are doing is outside of legal CB.
<

But it doesn't make the buyer an intruder on 10 meter, especially when he bought the radio because of one he saw, played with, or even heard on cb or the freeband.....

>
My guess is that they have heard from the "techs" and from other drivers that 10 meters is empty and no one cares if they talk there or not (or some story similar to that). Since fellow CBers/truckers don't or won't take responsibility to correct this misperception,
<

Hahahhaha...it's not up to us to police anyone, despite what you think the reality should be.

>
it really is up to hams like Jerry to get the word out to the trucking world,
<

No it isn't.

>
even if it means getting their attention via some well-deserved busts.
-Aaron-
<

They should pop hammie intruders all the live long day....

3637. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 22:54:22 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <xBudnVedZeOC_l2gXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142418

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021030093045.23279.00000453@mb-cr.aol.com...
> what newsreader to you use that allows you to killfile by
knicknames?

I use Outlook Express. You can create just about any message rule you want. Sort by E-mail address, words in the subject line, the from "who" name displayed, etc. Stick them in to separate folders, including the DELETE folder. You never even see the junk show up, and the spam gets deleted when you quit OE automatically.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3638. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 22:56:07 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <KSOdnZr6F68V_l2gXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142419

"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:h6acnVmMh9_seyKgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> Ya know what I find funny, people get mad at Jerry or who ever else
dis
> approves of illegal operation they get mad at him for telling Riley.
But
> isn't it these same Jokers from this NG who all try to rat people
out to
> ISP's, gogle etc. But it is ok for them to do that, but you sure
better not
> say anything to Riley LOL. The best part is Moped and landhark are
the 2
> main crybabys to isp's and they are 2 of the biggest crybabys
regarding
> Jerry catching 10 meter intruders and telling Riley.

You forgot Tim Nebo (a.k.a. "Uncle Charlie") and Twisted.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3639. general grant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 23:07:06 UTC
From: Wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <us0od85ji89j50@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142416

would someone tell us how to put in talk back in this unit
thank you
carl / dee
[[[

3640. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 23:07:37 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <nzGdneoQ6Jmk-12gXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142420

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:xBudnVedZeOC_l2gXTWcpg@comcast.com...
>
> "Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
> news:20021030093045.23279.00000453@mb-cr.aol.com...
> > what newsreader to you use that allows you to killfile by
> knicknames?
>
> I use Outlook Express. You can create just about any message rule you
> want. Sort by E-mail address, words in the subject line, the from
> "who" name displayed, etc. Stick them in to separate folders,
> including the DELETE folder. You never even see the junk show up, and
> the spam gets deleted when you quit OE automatically.

Thanks leland he knows what Newreader we use he was just being dumb

3641. Hey Chuckie Latin Kings WERE in NJ ! LOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 23:08:57 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20021030180857.04398.00001350@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 142422

Suspected N.J. Gang Members Arrested

.c The Associated Press

NEWARK, N.J. (AP) - Forty-one people identified as members of the Latin Kings street gang were arrested Wednesday on charges that included attempted murder and drug distribution, authorities said.

The gang operates in suburban New Jersey and recruited members at high schools in New York, Pennsylvania and Illinois, said Peter C. Harvey, a state attorney general.

Authorities said they confiscated 19 stolen vehicles, 26 pounds of cocaine, handguns and three assault rifles. They described the gang as well-organized and extremely violent.

The Latin Kings ``represent the new organized crime in the state of New Jersey, U.S. Attorney Chris Christie said.

3642. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 23:09:04 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <58ednTL2M7IM-12gXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142421

"Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
news:20021023132212.22193.00003944@mb-co.aol.com...
> what are you doing to educate them? the arrl would be better served
putting
> public service announcements in trucker rags than you guys here on
usenet
> crying in a cb group that most truckers will never read

The real question is why are you NOT educating them? Hams on this news group have been very open about how the are trying to solve the problem. Either by educating the violators, sending off letters to our congress person, the ARRL and RH at the FCC. The only thing you seem to be doing is a good imitation of an ostrich, complete with your head in the sand and denying there is any problem at all. For all any of the Hams on this news group know one of those voices heard on 10m AM could be yours!

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3643. w.t.b. "intenna"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 23:09:38 UTC
From: Wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <us0oi03mm4ofc4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142417

looking to buy an "intenna".... thin wire antenna ,pasted to inside of car window, with small tuning unit attached to coax, circa: 1964-66
thank you
carl / dee
]]]

3644. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 23:13:58 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17758-3DC067B6-11@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142434

The crux of it again.......most of that I can agree on. But how does, say, a freebander (26 to 27.995, for the sake of argument) have anything more to do with you than the party pirate at say,,,oh,,,,,86.9 FM?

>
Not sure I follow. I'm not really interested in the "freebanders" so long as they don't
alight on one or 2 of those 26 MHZ frequencies. Right now, I am just after the people
that are on the ham bands. (BTW, I am awaiting a reply from Greg Walden now).
Jerry
<

You answered my question....I have no beef with you on this matter.

3645. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 23:14:48 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <dUidnYoTO5LE9V2gXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Smoker 117" <smoker117@freeband28210.net> wrote in message
news:apgvq9$164$0@pita.alt.net...
> Oh they were there this year all right, but you had to
> know where to look. The information was being put out
> on channel 40 and channel 83 (28210khz). Come on down
> and standby on channel 83 (28210khz) lower side,

And the pirate CBers claim NOBODY is on 10m illegally. Hum.... the last I checked anything between 28000 KHz and 29700 KHz was the Ham 10m band. Sounds to me like the FCC can get a good two-for-one deal. Bust the bozos buying the amps, AND bust them for being on the Ham bands too. Not bad.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3646. Re: We won't get the real facts from Skippy, that's for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 23:15:16 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210301515.2caed87@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142423

"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:<TP6dnaujH6HGpyKgXTWc3Q@comcast.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> > Wrong again, Georgie-girl, but we expect that from Aanal Aaron
> > wannabees like you. He made the statement, it's up to him to prove it.
>
> Ok will you then please prove your statement that there are 30-40 millions
> Cbers in the Usa for starters.

Seems like a reasonable estimate to me. Can you prove otherwise?

And I'm still waiting for your butt-buddy Aanal Aaron to prove that
I'm somehow "Steve", especially in light of your statement that you
know I'm not "Steve".

"I don't have to prove anything; I just say it and that makes it
true." Aanal Aaron H. Voobner (and lackies, including Georgie-girl
Busch WA3MOJ in Allentown, PA and others).

3647. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 23:16:08 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <YKZv9.1175$so5.97389742@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:3DC0388E.ECF3E85@mailcity.com...
>
>
> Landshark wrote:
> >
> > "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> > news:3DBFEF5B.44CCCCEE@mailcity.com...
> >
> > > All insults aside JJ, check your clock, your postings
> > > are timed wrong.
>
> My clock shows 2:51 pm, October 30, 2002, about 2 minutes slower
> than the wall clock.

Either your bios clock is off or
you're ISP servers are messed up:

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 Oct 2002 15:54:40 -0600
Message-ID: <3DC0388E.ECF3E85@mailcity.com>

Note your posting time is different

Date: Wed, 30 Oct 2002 14:52:46 -0500
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)

When you were arguing with Mopar, your
responses would show a time of an hour before
Mopars original posts.

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

3648. Re: Gentlemen's Agreements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 23:20:10 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210301520.3de7314@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142427

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<ururjvftfm1o93@corp.supernews.com>...
> Why do they drive on the left hand side of the road in England (and where
> else ...)?

Try New Zealand and Australia, for starters.

And why do USA drivers drive on the right hand side of the road?
> Why are the ASE threads and metric threads? Why do the flames continue in
> the newsgroups? Ohhhhhh ... so much fun!
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Alan Strawinski" <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns92B6744AE648Drrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44...
> > I got to thinking about the Gentlemans Agreement WRT channels 36-40 being
> > SSB only. That got me to thinking about other agreements.
> >
> > In most of the country, drivers use 19 to converse with each other. Here
> > in a good part of the central valley, they run 17. It's my understanding
> > that down near LA they run 14. How did all of this come to pass? Some of
> > you guys have been on CB a LONG time, so maybe you can fill me in. How in
> > the world was it decided that 19 would be the trucker's channel of choice
> > nationwide? How in the world did such a notion catch on? Even more
> > perplexing: How did 17 become what 19 is in the rest of the country? This
> > has always been a huge question in my mind.
> >
> > I've always guessed that some large organization (perhaps the teamsters?)
> > would have gotten the word out. Or maybe some widely read publication at
> > the time, I don't know.
> >
> > In Modesto, CA there is always some nut case jamming 17, making
> > communication on 17 near impossible. I've always wondered why drivers
> > didn't just switch over to 19 in circumstances like this. For it to be
> > effective, everybody would have to know that switching over to 19 (or
> > whatever) is standard protocol. If only a couple of guys do it, it
> > doesn't really work. That's why I wonder: How were these agreements
> > reached so many years ago?

Channel 17 is used up and down the West Coast of the USA, not just
around Modesto. Cross-country truckers mainly use channel 19. I'm sure
there are local/regional variations elsewhere, too.

> > Thoughts?
> >
> > --
> > Alan Strawinski
> > http://alan.strawinski.net
> > rrcb@strawinski.net

The original "gentleman's agreement" (long since ignored by most CBers) was LSB on 16 and 30 thru 40, the rest were for AM users. I have no idea where it came from or who promoted it, but that's what I heard when I got into CB many years ago.

3649. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 23:24:24 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021030182424.21927.00000422@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 142428

shut up you dumb ass. you know full well your replying to a troll.

>
>
>And the pirate CBers claim NOBODY is on 10m illegally. Hum.... the
>last I checked anything between 28000 KHz and 29700 KHz was the Ham
>10m band. Sounds to me like the FCC can get a good two-for-one deal.
>Bust the bozos buying the amps, AND bust them for being on the Ham
>bands too. Not bad.
>
>
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
>Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
>"I am a
> bomb
> technician
>if you see me
>running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3650. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 23:30:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17758-3DC06B78-12@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142433

George Busch wrote:
Ya know what I find funny, people get mad at Jerry or who ever else dis approves of illegal operation they get mad at him for telling Riley. But isn't it these same Jokers from this NG who all try to rat people out to ISP's, gogle etc. But it is ok for them to do that, but you sure better not say anything to Riley LOL. The best part is Moped and landhark are the 2 main crybabys to isp's and they are 2 of the biggest crybabys regarding Jerry catching 10 meter intruders and telling Riley.

>You forgot Tim Nebo (a.k.a. "Uncle Charlie")< >and Twisted. <
>-- <
>Leland C. Scott<
>KC8LDO<
==========
If you obeyed the rules you wouldn't have to worry about pulled ISP's.

3651. Re: Amp mfrs are a bunch of criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 23:30:34 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210301530.61debdf4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142429

"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:<bb2dnbCkBYNK1yGgXTWc3w@comcast.com>...
> "That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:3aed93cc.0210270457.3497c637@posting.google.com...
> > "Legit" manufacturers who make HF linears are technically a bunch of
> > criminals when they make amps which can be modded for 10m... all it
> > takes is one op to buy the amp, get the mod & pass it around.
>
> The mod is really no different for any of the tube type amps you change the
> location on the tap on the coil, It doesn't really need passing around.

But Georgie-girl; that makes it an "illegal" CB amp, doesn't it? Which
side are you on? Of course, even Aanal Aaron H. Voobner encouraged a
guy to get his new amplifier modified for CB, so I guess we already
know that, don't we?Youse guys are on the side that causes flame-wars.

BTW, how many Cbers do you think actually read this newsgroup? Is it
worth your time (or Aanal Aaron's) to troll here? The question needs
only be asked.

> Makes me
> > just want to **CRY** for our poor old laws whose feelings are being
> > hurt.
> >
> > Guy Richards

3652. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 23:38:49 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210301538.54448ad1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142430

"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:<NyydnY1zm-GQkCKgXTWcrg@comcast.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > You must have the shortest memory in captivity, Georgie-girl. But I'm
> > a nice guy, so I'll say it once more for the retard: "I'll post my
> > callsign as soon as Aanal Aaron posts his and not before." Clear this
> > time?
>
>
> I sure am clear you are not credible you need aaron to valisate your
> credibility. I got it 100$ this time.
>

When he posts his (which he ain't got). I'll post mine (which I do
got). You got a problem with that Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ?

>
> > Are you actually claiming to have credibility Georgie-girl. Not in
> > this newsgroup you don't.
>
> Aw shucks
>

No problem. Nobody pays any attention to you anyway.

>
> >All you can do is troll and stir things up;
>
> your my role model!
>

"You're", dimbulb. Didn't you ever finish high school?

>
> > you never show how intelligent you might actually be by posting good
> > information, you just make fun of others who post based on their
> > experiences.
>
> I sure have where you been?
>

Like heck you have. You've never posted anything but trite one-liners.

> > Not to mention all the phoney addresses you have to use!
>
> Sorry I chose to use them I don't have to do anything.

>

The use your real addy just once.

>
> > Oh yeah; some "Elmer" you are!
>
> Sorry I am not trying to elmer anyone in this NG, they are full of denial,
> lawlessness etc.
>

But you could make a difference, couldn't you? Are you admitting
defeat without even trying?

> > BTW, I note that your buddy Aanal Aaron has tucked his pathetic tail
> > between his scrawny legs and run away;
>
> He did?
>

Yup, he sure did. For the SECOND time he has claimed that he's
ignoring my posts. He didn't ignore them for long the first time. Any
bets how long he'll keep his word this time?

>
> >Aan Aadmission that he has no
> > proof to post here or Aanywhere else,
>
> Au contraire, his proof is as good as your proof, prove he is wrong Ill bet
> you can't .

He hasn't proven anything. He's been proven WRONG every time he's said
anything, and never answered a single legitimate question that was put
to him. That's why he chose to run away and hide like a beaten puppy.
He never did prove that I was somehow "Steve", like he said he would
"Gladly" do. You might tell your buddy to put up or shut up. Gee,
maybe he chose to shut up? Ya think?

3653. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 23:42:43 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210301542.887efb1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142431

"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:<vfGdncfHQ8byfSagXTWcpA@comcast.com>...
> "Uncle Charlie" <timnebo@aol.com357> wrote in message
> news:20021027063756.24407.00000865@mb-mw.aol.com...
>
> > i guess because we have nothing else to call you because you wont admitt
> to
> > what your name is. what is your name?
>
> Well how did you arrive at the name George? I have been called aaron, dwight
> , frank, george what is next.

Simple. You admitted it in another thread, dimbulb. You keep hiding
behind phoney names because you're nothing but a troll. Just like
Aanal Aaron H. Voobnutz, your hero.

3654. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 23:45:37 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210301545.5b626141@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142432

"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:<BC6dnV9qNd2qzCGgXTWcoQ@comcast.com>...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:25Uu9.851$Il1.74556565@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> > Dick McCollum Pegged you, but I think
> > Troll is a more fitting name for you.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Sorry, as usual you are wrong, your first mistake is when you said "I think"
> Dick called me aaron you are indeed a fool Landshark. Pantywearing sissy is
> a more fitting name for you.

That cinches it: You're Georgie-girl Busch, WA3MOJ, who can't ever
post anything positive. Nothing but lame third-grade one-liners and
sexual innuendos. Not to mention that you admitted it in another
thread, loser. I don't have to think about it; you're a "troll".

3655. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 23:46:32 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210301546.244ec450@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

"Brian Smith" <orion@accesswave.ca> wrote in message
news:<wZtu9.160814$C8.397169@nnrp1.uunet.ca>...
> "Shaggy Dogg" <doggone@dog.comPton> wrote in message
> news:iqacnbVUXKJYnyegXTWc3A@comcast.com...
> >
> > Musta been tough growing up an asshole who is scared to use his own name.
> > LOL
>
> Exactly why did your parents name you Shaggy Dogg?
>
> Brian

They were ashamed of their offspring, obviously. Shoulda smothered him
when they had a chance!

3656. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 23:52:49 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <NaecncuPxdZh7F2gXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142437

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> ==
> If you obeyed the rules you wouldn't have to worry about pulled ISP's.

Oh so your saying some of you guys like playing Newsgroup Cop twisty? These same people are telling Jerry to get a life he is playing radio cop as well as aaron etc, yet these same people rat out people to isp's some with no success Ill also mention. come one twisty even you can see the hypocrisy of this. It is ok to break FCC laws but don't even think about breaking isp aup/tos. too damn funny

3657. Re: Amp mfrs are a bunch of criminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-30 23:57:47 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <NaecncqPxdZh7F2gXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142436

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> But Georgie-girl; that makes it an "illegal" CB amp, doesn't it?

not really they can still use it where the tap is now it is not like it
won't work there

>Which side are you on?

not your's thats for sure

> BTW, how many Cbers do you think actually read this newsgroup?

30-40 million?

>Is it worth your time (or Aanal Aaron's) to troll here?

Why do you troll here?

3658. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 00:04:17 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <ltudncJM-pcc7l2gXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142438

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> When he posts his (which he ain't got). I'll post mine (which I do
> got). You got a problem with that Georgie-girl Busch WA3MOJ?

ain't? dimbulb. Didn't you ever finish high school?

>
> >
> > > Are you actually claiming to have credibility Georgie-girl. Not in
> > > this newsgroup you don't.
> >
> > Aw shucks
> >
>
> No problem. Nobody pays any attention to you anyway.

you sure do among others another fallacy on your part.

>
> >
> > >All you can do is troll and stir things up;
> >
> > your my role model!
> >
>
> "You're", dimbulb. Didn't you ever finish high school?
>
> >
> > > you never show how intelligent you might actually be by posting good
> > > information, you just make fun of others who post based on their
> > > experiences.
> >
> > I sure have where you been?
> >
>
> Like heck you have. You've never posted anything but trite one-liners.

And what is your claim to fame in this NG you troll my posts and aarons,
what does that make you>TROLL!

>
> > > Not to mention all the phoney addresses you have to use!
> >
> > Sorry I chose to use them I don't have to do anything.

> The use your real addy just once.

How do you know if any of the ones I used was real or not have you emailed them all?

> > > > Oh yeah; some "Elmer" you are!
> > > > Sorry I am not trying to elmer anyone in this NG, they are full of denial,
> > > > lawlessness etc.

> But you could make a difference, couldn't you? Are you admitting
> defeat without even trying?

your a ham right? lol what have you done lately?

> He hasn't proven anything. He's been proven WRONG every time he's said
> anything, and never answered a single legitimate question that was put
> to him.

I have yet to see you prove anything you have said not once ...regarding aaron or the 30-40 million cbers to name a few.

3659. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 00:05:18 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <WuCdnR1VwLUj7l2gXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142439

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> Simple. You admitted it in another thread, dimbulb. You keep hiding
> behind phoney names because you're nothing but a troll. Just like
> Aanal Aaron H. Voobnutz, your hero.

Well Mopar, Tim nebo and myself say your wrong prove it.

3660. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 00:06:34 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <3didnWwXstOX6V2gXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 142440

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> I don't have to think about it; you're a "troll".

yes, you have first hand knowledge how a troll operates, I remember a thread where you even said you were a professional troll want me to dig it up for you?

3661. Re: We won't get the real facts from Skippy, that's for sure.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 00:09:44 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <D-ycnSyP4LFW6V2gXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142441

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> > Ok will you then please prove your statement that there are 30-40
millions
> > Cbers in the Usa for starters.
>
> Seems like a reasonable estimate to me. Can you prove otherwise?

Well you said it was a fact, now you change it to an reasonable estimate.
and that estimate isn't anywhere near reasonable. So is it a fact or a bs
figure er a estimate?

3662. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 00:14:04 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DC075CC.86189732@mailcity.com>
Source record: 142446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> >
> What does it say when you post as Sir Circumference?

Ask him.

3663. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 00:16:53 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DC07675.8D11B4F6@mailcity.com>
Source record: 142447

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > > > >
> > > Who do you think added the cross post,you dumbass?
> >
> >> Sure wasn't me, I never add cross posts to my postings,
> >
> I'll type it -REAL- slow for you..I added the other group..Get it now?

I will t y p e t h i s r e a l s l o w why did you claim
you were only posting to rec.radio.cb? Why do you post in the ham
group, you're not a ham. Get it now?

3664. Re: Hey Chuckie Latin Kings WERE in NJ ! LOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 00:32:22 UTC
From: qweuryqiweyrioqweyrquioweyriuoqeywruioqwerueyqwr
<48375237858723465892346 5@4582734658734658792345873846587346 5.com`>
Message-ID: <88u0suk9pq8qt69s2rpvnvfd1onjfh8eu6@4ax.com>
Source record: 142442

Notice it says "suspected". everyone is inncoent until proven Guilty.

Also... for every one that's arrested, there's 100 more they missed.

and those guys are REALLY big in Chi-town... they own the police out there... they've got ties to organized crime...(aka the Mafia)

Glad to see the'te getting those guys...

The Latin Counts here in Detroit.... (who branched off from the kings...) have all but gotten out of the gang banging business. the gnags found out they'd live longer and get along better if they helped ether other sell drugs.

either way, they still do thier thing.

-Me

On 30 Oct 2002 23:08:57 GMT, tra1n@aol.commmmmm (TRA1N) was blabbling:

...Suspected N.J. Gang Members Arrested
...
....c The Associated Press
...
...NEWARK, N.J. (AP) - Forty-one people identified as members of the Latin Kings
...street gang were arrested Wednesday on charges that included attempted murder
...and drug distribution, authorities said.
...
...The gang operates in suburban New Jersey and recruited members at high schools
...in New York, Pennsylvania and Illinois, said Peter C. Harvey, a state attorney
...general.
...
...Authorities said they confiscated 19 stolen vehicles, 26 pounds of cocaine,
...handguns and three assault rifles. They described the gang as well-organized
...and extremely violent.
...
...The Latin Kings ``represent the new organized crime in the state of New Jersey,
...U.S. Attorney Chris Christie said.

3665. Re: 10 meter intruders - Another solution to the problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 01:05:47 UTC
From: WorldWide Jewel <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com>
Message-ID: <FXidncxHVIN2HF2gXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 142443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> They were ashamed of their offspring, obviously. Shoulda smothered him
> when they had a chance!

Did your mother have anything that lived?

3666. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 01:36:32 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC08920.D54860D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > > > >
> > > What does it say when you post as Sir Circumference?
> >
> >> Ask him.
> >
> It's you. Try harder next time.

Well, if you think so, prove it.
```

3667. Re: Hey Chuckie Latin Kings WERE in NJ ! LOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 01:37:52 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021030203752.22205.00000474@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 142444

hey chuck. heres one for you latin fags wether its red and white or black and gold lol

http://members.aol.com/timnebo/crown.jpg

3668. Re: Interference with home equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 01:41:18 UTC
From: Dave <local_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2T%v9.170393$md1.36429@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 142445

Give it up Richard. Please.

Dave

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210291550.4a1d8164@posting.google.com...
> avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210281643.49f28cf2@posting.google.com>...
> > "Checkerboard Strangler" <jhaas84@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<PUfv9.111662$Hj7.58179@rwcrnsc53>...
> > > > He can do it himself with a CB..When you get close enough that he
pins
> > your
> > > > S meter,disconnect your coax(antenna) and you can drive right to his
> > house,
> > > > using your S meter.
> > > >
> > > > BTW,don't transmit while your coax is off.
> > > >
> > > > Just be polite,if you go to his door...
> > >
> > > Naaaah, screw politness, just remember to stick the pin
> > > in his coax so that it goes THROUGH the center conductor.
> >
> > Right, don't approach the guy as one adult to another. Instead, try
> > juvenile vandalism and risk getting shot for trespassing. Gee, great
> > advice....
> >
> > > Even more fun:
> > > Tie the coax to your rear bumper with some aircraft cable and take
off,
> > > and watch his entire rig come flying out the window, mic and all.
> >
> > When it hit solid window sash the coax would separate from the
> > connector. But thanks for adding yet another potentially harmful "CB
> > Myth" to our collection.
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> "Our collection"? Who's "our"? Your collection starts with the Myth
> that "all 10 meter radios are illegal", and continues to this day. The
> original post was a troll to make CBers look bad, and you fell for it
> hook, line, and sinker dimbulb. BTW, where's your proof? I'm still
> waiting for it.

3669. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 02:20:42 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210301820.1b5c9ee5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142448

"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:<h6acnVmMh9_seyKgXTWcqw@comcast.com>...
> Ya know what I find funny, people get mad at Jerry or who ever else dis
> approves of illegal operation they get mad at him for telling Riley. But
> isn't it these same Jokers from this NG who all try to rat people out to
> ISP's, gogle etc. But it is ok for them to do that, but you sure better not
> say anything to Riley LOL. The best part is Moped and landhark are the 2
> main crybabys to isp's and they are 2 of the biggest crybabys regarding
> Jerry catching 10 meter intruders and telling Riley.
>
> So my question is really why is it ok for them to cry to isp's with no luck
> of course, then they get mad at jerry, aaron, JJ etc when they hear Riley
> is gonna be notified. Moped do me a favor and write to Aol nebo keeps
> changing his nics and he is doing it to bypass my killfiles, oh Randy is
> buying accounts and screen names report him to Road runner, better yet let
> me get a hankie out for you so you can wipe the tears from your eyes I hate
> to see a grown man cry. LOL
>
> LOL I thought that tough guy image you try to portray was a front. You
> nothing but a panty wearing sissy.
>
> Possible replys by Moped:
>
> "oh yeah asshole come to Medina"
> "thats it lets put the gloves on"
> " ill kick your ass with my Collins"
> " ill rat you out to your ISP"

another post from comcast.....residence of some real loosers.....

3670. Re: Where can I buy a cheap used tower in Houston Tx area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 02:23:25 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210301823.21e5c374@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142449

timnebo@aol.com10-4 (Mister T) wrote in message
news:<20021030082629.23665.00000288@mb-cr.aol.com>...
> hope you got more than than that funky ass 8 pill i heard your working with
>
> >
> >you can probably buy one soon over at tim nebo's crib......as soon as
> >that 4 jug sb220 he borrowed suffers a radio meltdown courtesy of a
> >very large radio car in the area..........rogo d???

oh you have heard my 'funky eight pill' as you call it..........????
well good........that is the driver you idiot.....now then ..you can
get on the bus son, but you have to sit in the back with the rest of
the mudducks....or are you ten eight and great
yet..........pally.................

3671. Re: New Fire pages, recordings and photos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 02:26:00 UTC
From: NOSPAMTHANKS.fu_kew@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3ddd949c.15930220@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 142451
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.amateur.wicen,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Why does every link via

Is that one of them you get money things?

3672. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 02:27:03 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0210301827.7d526b27@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142450

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<x9iv9.579$It1.41@news.bellsouth.net>...
> chessecutter <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> news:fef386d5.0210271942.61117f95@posting.google.com...
> > "Stan" <svs6920@home.com> wrote in message
> news:<aCXu9.122210$md1.26109@sccrnsc03>...
> > > Very nice, I'm sure you enjoy while on the road. Look forward to seeing
> > > your new truck as well.
> > > Stan.............in the upper Mojave Desert. KYA 4011
> > >
> > >
> > > "SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3DBC387A.3040602@cac.net...
> > > > In case anyone's interested.
> > > >
> > > > http://www.csotbs.org/kr8lr/TruckPics/
> > > > --
> > > > 73 de KR8LR, Chris
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > let's see.........geez ...how many sidebands do we have around here
> > anyways???? but i tell ya what sideband...........you had better
> > clear that setup with jerry or voobnutts.............they don't cotton
> > to truckers for some reason........and gee whiz...........a ham
> > too....ain't that a kick in the pants..????
>
> You will find NO beef on my part with hard-working (and legal) truckers. My
> ONLY annoyance is with those who steal frequencies and operate on the ham
> bands without the required license. I
> cannot and will not respect that. And any driver who is a ham is most
> welcome and gets my respect
> for his efforts to obtain the license--and there are quite a few that have
> obtained the license. Those
> you don't find operating on the lower end of 10 Meters; they know better
> than to run AM on a CW'portion of the band.
>
> Jerry

that's right jerry...you had better watch it harrassing those
truckers........who do you think brought the last shipment of toilet
paper to your local store........????

3673. Re: general grant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 02:44:11 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%N0w9.37339$Mb3.1638030@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 142452

run a 100ohm resistor from pa jack (+) to speaker jack (+) value may be
slighlty less,
--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

Those who want to be successful, will find a way,
those that don't, will find an excuse!

3674. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE CB TESTER ***NICE***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 02:51:33 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DC09AB5.D65D210F@qnet.com>
Source record: 142453

Hello Mark:

Neat-O

That will sell all right, I might even bid on it, thats in premium shape
and a real collectors item.

Jay in the Mojave

Mark Russo wrote:
>
> Just click on the link below.
> nice pictures too.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1393517345

3675. WANTED Magnum 257, TRC 452, HTX-10 10 Meter Mobile w/extended coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 03:39:59 UTC
From: Tony <as8383@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <jC1w9.234134$8o3.6895465@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 142455

Looking for one of these 10 meter rigs used and resonably priced (yea that means nothing about $100 and prefereably less!)

Let me know what you have and the cond. and price

3676. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 03:55:06 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <OF1w9.3480$KT6.3444@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote in message
news:c2Zv9.669$3d5.129095694@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> "JJ" <radiobluff0@mailcity.net> with his/she/it's head completely embedded
> up his/her/it's ass in search for a clue whimpered.......
> ><snipped the response for lack of anything prevalent to my question>
>
> <<<< YAWN >>>>
>
> Nice reply.... Squirrel Boy, but you still haven't answered my
question....
> so I'll try again.
>
> Now I hope this one doesn't ricochet of your overly sloped forehead like
the
> last one...
>
>
> Where in _ANY- of those sound bit's is there _ANY_ reference to anything
> truck related as to justify saying that truck drivers were involved?

Anyone can sit and listen to the conversations any day and quickly figure
out that they are drivers.
"Whut's yer twenny thar, DRIVAH"? "AH tan fer thar, DRIVAH. AH just topped
the hill at MP
21 with 34,000 pounds thar, DRIVAH" And I can go up the road a-ways to a
Pilot Travel Center,
visit the CB radio case and find all the Galaxy and Connex 'reddios' I want,
and it doesn't take
rocket science to figure out who these illegal rigs are aimed at. Truck
stops? Truck drivers? Ten
Meter radios in the case? Now WHO would be most likely to visit a truck
stop?
It makes no difference. Since Mr. Walden (WB7COE) was appointed to the
House Sub-com-
mittee on Communications (chaired by Billy Tauzin), letters have been
a-flying. Mr Tauzin has been
made aware of the illegals, complaints are being heard, and something WILL
be done. It is a shame that a few selfish ones ignore the laws in place to
protect ALL users of radio and, in the pro-
cess, will likely bring down hardship on legal CBers as well. If you don't
believe that ham radio has
a lot of support in Congress right now, type in "Greg Walden" in your search
engine and see how

many sponsors various bills favorable to ham radio have garnered. It is not inconceivable that that
favorable image Amateur Radio has will go a long way to garner additional support for laws pro-
tecting Amateur Radio frequencies from incursion by illegal operators as well as commercial interests.

Jerry

>
> --
> _____l Tom
> __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
> /________l_____________________
> O OO\ O O\
>
>

3677. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 04:16:31 UTC
From: Tanker <CB@Freebanders27875.net>
Message-ID: <apqaq1$9op$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 142457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Get a grip on reality Oxendine but ever more pressing
GET A LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!
You are a pathetic whiney little weasel. Do you report
all the kids on your block who run six watt CB walkie-talkies
too?
YYYAAAAAAAAAAWWWn You are boring too!

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:OF1w9.3480$KT6.3444@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote in message
> news:c2Zv9.669$3d5.129095694@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > "JJ" <radiobluff0@mailcity.net> with his/she/it's head completely
embedded
> > up his/her/it's ass in search for a clue whimpered.......
> > ><snipped the response for lack of anything prevalent to my question>
> >
> > <<<< YAWN >>>>
> >
> > Nice reply.... Squirrel Boy, but you still haven't answered my
> question....
> > so I'll try again.
> >
> > Now I hope this one doesn't ricochet of your overly sloped forehead like
> the
> > last one...
> >
> >
> > Where in _ANY- of those sound bit's is there _ANY_ reference to anything
> > truck related as to justify saying that truck drivers were involved?
>
>
> Anyone can sit and listen to the conversations any day and quickly figure
> out that they are drivers.
> "Whut's yer twenny thar, DRIVAH"? "AH tan fer thar, DRIVAH. AH just
topped
> the hill at MP
> 21 with 34,000 pounds thar, DRIVAH" And I can go up the road a-ways to a
> Pilot Travel Center,
> visit the CB radio case and find all the Galaxy and Connex 'reddios' I
want,
> and it doesn't take
> rocket science to figure out who these illegal rigs are aimed at. Truck

> stops? Truck drivers? Ten
> Meter radios in the case? Now WHO would be most likely to visit a truck
> stop?
> It makes no difference. Since Mr. Walden (WB7COE) was appointed to the
> House Sub-com-
> mittee on Communications (chaired by Billy Tauzin), letters have been
> a-flying. Mr Tauzin has been
> made aware of the illegals, complaints are being heard, and something WILL
> be done. It is a shame that a few selfish ones ignore the laws in place
to
> protect ALL users of radio and, in the pro-
> cess, will likely bring down hardship on legal CBers as well. If you
don't
> believe that ham radio has
> a lot of support in Congress right now, type in "Greg Walden" in your
search
> engine and see how
> many sponsors various bills favorable to ham radio have garnered. It is
not
> inconceivable that that
> favorable image Amateur Radio has will go a long way to garner additional
> support for laws pro-
> tecting Amateur Radio frequencies from incursion by illegal operators as
> well as commercial interests.
>
> Jerry
>
>
> >
> > --
> > _____l Tom
> > __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
> > /________l_____________________
> > O OO\ O O\
> >
> >
>
>
>

3678. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 04:56:31 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210302056.76338d24@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142458

"techno-wizard" <technowiz@techno-wiz.com> wrote in message
news:<apke65mil1@merrimack.Dartmouth.EDU>...
> Let's assume for a moment that the majority of the illegal cb activity into
> the amateur bands is a result of ignorance on the part of the radio
> operator. They are ignorant of the rules and regs because there is no
> mechanism in place that requires them to learn the rules and regs.

It probably happens a lot more than you or I would suppose. Say some
1st-timer buys a brand new CB, gets it out of the box, and only reads
the part of the manual which describes how to hook it up... and he
reads NOTHING about the safety guidelines (e.g., stay away from power
lines, etc.) or the Ten Commandments---oops, I mean Part 95.
>
> Why not require cb users to complete a basic RF safety/regulation course
> before allowing them to operate their radios?

A number of reasons:
1. Require any such course, and CB would essentially cease to be
license-free. People would then be more likely to lose interest in
CBs.

2. There are always going to be feebs who get into the bathtub and
then play with the radio. Pretty stupid to be sure, but there's just
about zero chance of eliminating the chances of that happening.

3. What would happen if our course-trained CB operator sold or gave a
CB to someone who hadn't taken the course? This is already a big
problem with ham equipment & guns.

4. It would hardly stop those who were deadset on "getting inside" and
then tearing up the turf once they did (a la the hams who trashed
3.985 & 14.3125 a couple years ago). Sure, I could have upgraded to
General and joined the fray, provided I had some bizarre desire to do
so---but my point is, the only reasonably effective way to keep the
chuckleheads from getting on the air at all is to psychologically
profile them when they apply for licenses. I forget what country did
this with guns (musta been New Zealand), but the way it works there
is, if you're crazy, no gun for you. It should work for radio
licensing as well.

> I know there will always be some hams and cber's who will, for whatever
> reason, deliberately violate the law and there is not much we can do about
> them. However, that doesn't mean that proactive steps can't be taken.

As far as safety is concerned, I'm all for it. One CBer, ham, or SWL
who becomes the next Ted Bundy because he tried to put up an antenna

too close to power lines, is one too many.

To get up on a soapbox, I think stuff like throwing dead carriers, threatening harm, & hogging freqs during life-or-death disasters, THAT stuff should be illegal... however (and Cole Porter & Steve Allen would kick my butt for saying so) I cannot, TO SAVE MY BLOODY SOUL, find a SINGLE REASON why we cannot say words like "fuck" or "shit" on the airwaves (though it admittedly WOULD diminish the insult value of these words), use linears, or transmit music... but whadd'ya expect, I'm psychotic anyways. >;-P

Guy Richards

3679. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 05:07:06 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210302107.15575f46@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142459

> > That's why they're pissed.
>
BZZZZZ!!!!! Wrong-o! We're not very pissed about it at all... frankly, we don't care *what* happens to certain freq ranges, because their propagation characteristics are inherently crummy. 11m, 49mhz, and 300mhz are 3 such ranges which come to mind... they are never going to be useful for much besides short-range communication, regardless of how nice & state-of-the-art the radios were.

> I have never run across an ham that was upset over the loss of 11
> meters.

I certainly never got upset over it... hell, even when we lost 220-222mhz, I think it served us right... the loss of 5.6ghz, now THAT was a shame.

Guy

3680. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 05:17:16 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210302117.25edb0db@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142460

> You know very well all the power in the world ain't gonna skip when
> mother nature says no.
>
...Exactly the same point I tried to make elsewhere in this thread,
about why 11m, 49mhz, & 300mhz are never going to be in demand as ham
bands, no matter how nice the radios for those bands. The 1st 2 sound
like sandpaper & the Army can keep the 3rd...

Guy Richards

3681. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 05:22:31 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210302122.700a614c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142461

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3DBFD8E7.36A0@worldlynx.net>...
> I take it then, that you agree with twisty's assertion that passing a
> license exam and earning the privilege of ham radio, is not an
> accomplishment to be proud of, regardless of class?
>
> Or are you defending illegal radio practices?

Sadly, it looks like what Twisty is defending is---an antisocial's
right to "sheepdip" himself through law school and get a lawyer
license. But, how do we screen 'em??? :-(

Guy

3682. Naughty dog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 05:25:49 UTC
From: naughtydogamps@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021031002549.28873.00002545@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 142462

Check it out
http://mywebpages.comcast.net/naughtydog/

3683. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 05:30:14 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210302130.503be7c6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DBF41AF.9B7EBA61@mailcity.net>...
> So you're not a ham, but you post to a ham newsgroup. According to
> your replies to me on the cb group, I should not post there as I
> do not operate cb. So why are you here?

Some of us were (*ahem*) SWLs or scanner listeners for years before we
got into CB or made the decision to become hams. Maybe a lot of the
"out of the closet" type posters to the group are SWLs as well.

Guy

3684. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 05:38:37 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0210302138.2baf3935@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

This is very true... tho I don't know how "BAD" I am because I don't drink, smoke, use dope, gamble, go to bars, screw other guy's wives, carry weapons, or ride a Harley---let alone rob or kill people. But the law-boys in the group seem to think I'm Jesse James, to hear them talk.

Guy

3685. Re: Naughty dog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 06:16:19 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B7E2905B591rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142465

naughtydogamps@aol.com (Naughtydogamps) wrote in
news:20021031002549.28873.00002545@mb-mv.aol.com:

> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/naughtydog/

<RANT>
Um, one of them shows a peak wattage of 42,000 watts. Mmm kay.

2100 amps? Hmmm. Mobile? Hmmm again.

If you're going to hock a product that runs $13,000, you might consider
putting up a site that looks more professional. If someone has that kind
of money to spend, what makes you think that they will spend it with you?
You won't even cough up $8.95 to register a domain. Your email address in
the mailto: link is nonameamps@aol.com. That's reassuring.

Take the money you would othewise spend on building your illegal amp
inventory, and hire a damn web developer. You've got all of this tuff guy
stuff on your web site, but you chose a light purple (9900FF, to be
exact) bckground. What's up with that?
</RANT>

Sheesh.

Good luck with all of that

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

3686. Re: Naughty dog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 06:36:17 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dc0ce8d.1199452@news.cet.com>
Source record: 142466

In <Xns92B7E2905B591rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>, Alan Strawinski
<rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote:

>naughtydogamps@aol.com (Naughtydogamps) wrote in
>news:20021031002549.28873.00002545@mb-mv.aol.com:
>
>> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/naughtydog/
>
><RANT>
>Um, one of them shows a peak wattage of 42,000 watts. Mmm kay.
>
>2100 amps? Hmmm. Mobile? Hmmm again.
>
>If you're going to hock a product that runs $13,000, you might consider
>putting up a site that looks more professional. If someone has that kind
>of money to spend, what makes you think that they will spend it with you?
>You won't even cough up $8.95 to register a domain. Your email address in
>the mailto: link is nonameamps@aol.com. That's reassuring.
>
>Take the money you would othewise spend on building your illegal amp
>inventory, and hire a damn web developer. You've got all of this tuff guy
>stuff on your web site, but you chose a light purple (9900FF, to be
>exact) bckground. What's up with that?
></RANT>
>
>Sheesh.
>
>Good luck with all of that
>
>--
>Alan Strawinski
>http://alan.strawinski.net
>rrcb@strawinski.net

You don't know the half of it, Alan. Nonameamps runs (or at least used
to run) from the property of a decorated war veteran -- a Brigadier
General no less! And just a few miles from downtown Washington D.C.!

4000 "bird watts" and 5000 watts peak, now there's something to
consider...

3687. Re: Naughty dog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 06:52:59 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dc0d244.2150588@news.cet.com>
Source record: 142467

In <3dc0ce8d.1199452@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

>In <Xns92B7E2905B591rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>, Alan Strawinski
><rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote:
>
>>naughtydogamps@aol.com (Naughtydogamps) wrote in
>>news:20021031002549.28873.00002545@mb-mv.aol.com:
>>
>>> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/naughtydog/
>>
>><RANT>
>>Um, one of them shows a peak wattage of 42,000 watts. Mmm kay.
>>
>>2100 amps? Hmmm. Mobile? Hmmm again.
>>
>>If you're going to hock a product that runs $13,000, you might consider
>>putting up a site that looks more professional. If someone has that kind
>>of money to spend, what makes you think that they will spend it with you?
>>You won't even cough up $8.95 to register a domain. Your email address in
>>the mailto: link is nonameamps@aol.com. That's reassuring.
>>
>>Take the money you would othewise spend on building your illegal amp
>>inventory, and hire a damn web developer. You've got all of this tuff guy
>>stuff on your web site, but you chose a light purple (9900FF, to be
>>exact) bckground. What's up with that?
>></RANT>
>>
>>Sheesh.
>>
>>Good luck with all of that
>>
>>--
>>Alan Strawinski
>>http://alan.strawinski.net
>>rrcb@strawinski.net
>
>You don't know the half of it, Alan. Nonameamps runs (or at least used
>to run) from the property of a decorated war veteran -- a Brigadier
>General no less! And just a few miles from downtown Washington D.C.!

Whoops, my mistake: Unless the business moved, the property is owned
by William H. Abendroth III, son of the late Major General William H.
Abendroth, United States Army. It's one thing to blatantly violate the
law, but it's another to abuse the freedoms fought for by your own

direct descendants, and on the back porch of the capitol no less!

3688. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 07:29:21 UTC
From: Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net>
Message-ID: <Xns92B7EEF266B99rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 142468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in
news:3aed93cc.0210302138.2baf3935@posting.google.com:

> --
> "BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
>
> This is very true... tho I don't know how "BAD" I am because I don't
> drink, smoke, use dope, gamble, go to bars, screw other guy's wives,
> carry weapons, or ride a Harley---let alone rob or kill people. But
> the law-boys in the group seem to think I'm Jesse James, to hear them
> talk.
>
> Guy
>

Hey, what's wrong with riding a Harley? :)

--
Alan Strawinski
http://alan.strawinski.net
rrcb@strawinski.net

3689. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 07:30:25 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <apqn4l$pq2$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 142469

"Alan Strawinski" <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message
news:Xns92B17678C9709rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44...
> k5dh@raytheon.com (K5DH) wrote in
> news:_8St9.1561$Bd4.11850@dfw-service2.ext.raytheon.com:
> >
> > Remember when the CBers were buying VSWR SPRAY back in the 1970s? :)
> >
> LOL. People actually did that?

They may have done over that side of the "pond".
But UK CBers were not that stupid, they knew it was a "wind-up"... they knew
they needed the "swr cream", available in small round pots.

Regards,

Peter.

3690. Re: Pictures of utter silliness (My HF setup in the truck)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 07:50:22 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DC0E0BE.1090801@cac.net>
Source record: 142470

Mopar:

Occasionally, but not often.

This weekend, I'm flying out to Phoenix to pick up the new truck. I'll be off the air until I get it set up, and even then, I'm not sure what all I'm going to be adding/subtracting from the new setup.

-SSB

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote:
>
>>>Mopar:
>>>
>>I was actually thinking about putting a 27' string of them in place of
>>the wire between the 102" SS whips, so when I key up, they light up. The
>>problem being finding 100W bulbs that'll fit on the xmas lights.
>>
>>If I find 'em, I'll let you know.
>>
>>-SSB
>>
>>
> Do you ever get near Medina Ohio,rt71/rt18?
>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

3691. Re: New Fire pages, recordings and photos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 11:09:24 UTC
From: DJ Micky P <djmickyp@d2.net.au>
Message-ID: <3dc10f5c$1@news.comindico.com.au>
Source record: 142471
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.amateur.wicen,aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

No biggus,

Garry and I do everything for nothing but satisfaction, but we use all free and sponsored services. so we can provide a large amount of information which you can get for free.

Though sponsors are for reference.
www.dot.com.au who are currently arranging a Dial Up Connection for us in but they are also my (mike) preferred ISP because as a customer they have a plan which is brilliant and is a good service.
$34.95 P/M unlimited Download.420hours,10hr sessions* Regional $29.95 Metro and includes
50meg of web space.
www.tuscanblue.com They have quite freely arranged some webspace for storing of files
and we thank them for that service without them we would be unable to provide all the soundbytes.
www.newcastleprosound.com.au who have offered discounts to readers of Newcastle Scan and allowed some product reviews of new equipment. We have had dealings with peter for many years and in Newcastle there is no where else we can recommend for equipment.
Lawrence Security. Suppliers of quality security products and weapons
mlaws@kooee.com.au has supplied some embroided clothing to promote Newcastle Scan Locally and at emergency scenes.

free services
www.tripod.com
www.bravenet.com
www.webshots.com
www.yahoo.com
www.microsoft.com (media encoder software)

Currently there are 54 pages,we photographed more than 500 pictures, have more than 73 Soundbyte recordings published available on the web (66.8Megs worth) spent countless hours working on the site plus replying to email. SMSs, programming scanners via Messenger and ICQ and driving around to scenes and doing research.so if anyone would like to contribute all we are asking for is NOT CASH but sign our guest book out of 9800+ visitors only a few kind people bothered, How many? 112 out 9800, but we do get plenty of regular email asking questions etc. so im sorry if the pop ups annoy you but I think that's the cost to you for keeping it free for us.

Oh and heaps more to come. Suggest to us what you would like to see on the site and well see if it can be done.

To be put in the next few hours a police call sign allocation list, which is a state-wide list.
it will be added to the police page

Oh all this has been done in 10 months not a bad effort for a hobby

cheers
Mike
and Garry

PS If we did get paid for this life would be excellent.

"Biggus the Great" wrote in message
news:3ddd949c.15930220@news.optusnet.com.au...
> Why does every link via
>
>
SRC="http://ln.doubleclick.net/adi/tr.ln/memberembedded;h=misc;sz=468x60;ord
=127328339818668?"
> width=468 height=60 frameborder=0 border=0 marginheight=0
> marginwidth=0 vspace=0 scrolling=no>
>
>
> Is that one of them you get money things?

3692. Re: WARNING!! Your CB transceiver is not safe using certian amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 12:51:52 UTC
From: nospam@thisone.com
Message-ID: <3dc1272c.5027100@news.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 142472

On Thu, 31 Oct 2002 07:30:25 -0000, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:

>They may have done over that side of the "pond".
>But UK CBers were not that stupid, they knew it was a "wind-up"... they knew
>they needed the "swr cream", available in small round pots.

Not down here, we had swerr grease.

Paul

3693. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 13:33:08 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021031083308.21882.00000533@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 142473

if your lucky tauzin and walden will make another feel good law for you but since there hams there likely to note that the MUF will be dropping very shortly and its not even worth there effort. jerry i asked you before but you failed to answer so lets try it again. in two years when there is no dx on 11 or 10 meters will you still be chasing truckers down the highway who are using ten meters???

>
>Anyone can sit and listen to the conversations any day and quickly figure
>out that they are drivers.
>"Whut's yer twenny thar, DRIVAH"? "AH tan fer thar, DRIVAH. AH just topped
>the hill at MP
>21 with 34,000 pounds thar, DRIVAH" And I can go up the road a-ways to a
>Pilot Travel Center,
>visit the CB radio case and find all the Galaxy and Connex 'reddios' I want,
>and it doesn't take
>rocket science to figure out who these illegal rigs are aimed at. Truck
>stops? Truck drivers? Ten
>Meter radios in the case? Now WHO would be most likely to visit a truck
>stop?
>It makes no difference. Since Mr. Walden (WB7COE) was appointed to the
>House Sub-com-
>mittee on Communications (chaired by Billy Tauzin), letters have been
>a-flying. Mr Tauzin has been
>made aware of the illegals, complaints are being heard, and something WILL
>be done. It is a shame that a few selfish ones ignore the laws in place to
>protect ALL users of radio and, in the pro-
>cess, will likely bring down hardship on legal CBers as well. If you don't
>believe that ham radio has
>a lot of support in Congress right now, type in "Greg Walden" in your search
>engine and see how
>many sponsors various bills favorable to ham radio have garnered. It is not
>inconceivable that that
>favorable image Amateur Radio has will go a long way to garner additional
>support for laws pro-
>tecting Amateur Radio frequencies from incursion by illegal operators as
>well as commercial interests.
>
>Jerry
>
>
>>
>> --
>> _____l Tom

>> __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
>> /________l_____________________
>> O OO\ O O\
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3694. Re: Naughty dog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 13:37:29 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021031083729.21882.00000534@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 142474

8 leeces under the hood can do it no problem

>Um, one of them shows a peak wattage of 42,000 watts. Mmm kay.
>
>2100 amps? Hmmm. Mobile? Hmmm again.

3695. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 13:40:38 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210310540.625ee833@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142475

"WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:<NaecncuPxdZh7F2gXTWcqw@comcast.com>...
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > ==
> > If you obeyed the rules you wouldn't have to worry about pulled ISP's.
>
> Oh so your saying some of you guys like playing Newsgroup Cop twisty? These
> same people are telling Jerry to get a life he is playing radio cop as well
> as aaron etc, yet these same people rat out people to isp's some with no
> success Ill also mention. come one twisty even you can see the hypocrisy of
> this. It is ok to break FCC laws but don't even think about breaking isp
> aup/tos. too damn funny

Hey there Georgie-girl, still trying to stir things up? Ya know, I
have no problem whatsoever with Jerry Ox; he seems intelligent, he has
credibility, and he doesn't stoop to slinging bovine excrement,
flaming, and changing nics every other post, like you do. He has a
point and he states it. I can respect that.

You, your buddy Aanal Aaron, and a few others are just the opposite;
lame, anonymous cowards and trolls. You're the ones so concerned about
the Rules and Regulations, yet you continuously violate the Net and
Group TOS. Some example YOU set! Rules is rules; if you expect others
to opey them then you should, too. When someone (like Twist or Tim)
makes a valid point all you can do is make a snide one-liner retort
and redirect the question, ignoring the original post.

Indeed, your hypocrites infest an otherwise decent newsgroup and your
invective festers openly.

BTW, Georgie-girl: How many CBers do you think even know about the
UseNet and read this newsgroup? I think intelligent people would have
to say, Very Few. Now: What do you think you are accomplishing by
trolling here?

We're all on the edges of our respective chairs awaiting your reply.
Try to spell it correctly and make it more than a trite one-liner for
a change.

3696. Re: Yeti question and answer time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 13:56:09 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dc12e3b.5281478@news.cet.com>
Source record: 142478

In <3dbdf67d.7203139@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

<snip>
>Now that I have answered YOUR questions maybe you can start answering
>MY questions instead of avoiding them with YOUR questions.
>

Well?

It's obvious, even to the keyclowns, that you have run out of arguments; so how about answering some of the questions you have been stalling to answer? Seriously, I really think that you don't know shit about amps, even "classic" grounded-grid amplifiers, and you are just trying to BS your way out of this challenge. With all your vague comments and weak redirections, it doesn't look like you know shit! So come on, Skippy, tell us what a "classic" design entails, or how a "properly designed" grounded-grid amplifier works? Maybe you can even explain how a triode-configured pentode DOESN'T work like a triode, even though it's clearly designed as a triode. Or maybe you can explain why Swan added grid-current distortion precautions to the manuals (and ALC circuits to the radios) for their sweep-tube radios (that is, explain why they needed to do that if sweep tubes are so adept at RF amplification?). And you have yet to find a TV that uses a 6146 as a sweep tube, or a currently produced RF amplifier that's legal for use between 24 and 35 MHz.

So come on, Skippy, you aren't just full of bullshit, are you? Or maybe you are ready to admit that you really don't know shit about the amps that are so deserving of your approbation. Well, I don't think THAT's going to happen: more likely you are going to duck these questions with excuses such as, "your questions are stupid", or "you don't know shit", yet without providing any foundation or fact to support such conclusions. So go right ahead and ignore the fact that I have answered all YOUR questions yet you refuse to answer MINE. After all, the only people that care are the ones that will go through the archives and see who can defend their statements with technical facts. Of course, that won't be YOU, Skippy, when all you offer is subjective, undefined and unsupported terms such as "classic" and "properly designed". Yes, go ahead and ignore your own terminology, as well as my last post on this topic, as it will only serve to discredit your obvious bias in favor of poor RF engineering!

BTW, you STILL haven't answered the question, "Do you have a financial interest in the popularity of sweep-tube amplifiers"? So will that be a 'yes', a 'no', or a 'no comment'? I'm betting the latter.

3697. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 13:56:28 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <gEaw9.1376$fg2.115797034@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021030193802.866$yG@newsreader.com...
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
> > Landshark wrote:
> > >
> > > "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3DBFEF5B.44CCCCEE@mailcity.com...
> > >
> > > All insults aside JJ, check your clock, your postings
> > > are timed wrong.
> >
> >> My clock shows 2:51 pm, October 30, 2002, about 2 minutes slower
> > than the wall clock.
> >
> What does it say when you post as Sir Circumference?
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em..
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

The same, but he hasn't used it for a while.
But this one's pretty funny:

Greetings,

Thank you for contacting Google.
The Google Groups account, voobner2002@yahoo.com you reported for Usenet
abuse has been suspended. We appreciate your aid in keeping the
newsgroups as abuse free as possible.

Sincerely,

The Google Groups Team

****

LMAO!!!!

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

3698. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 13:56:29 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <hEaw9.1377$fg2.115797034@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
----- Original Message -----
From: "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2002 5:36 PM
Subject: Re: Has anyone considered this?

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 Oct 2002 21:39:11 -0600
Message-ID: <3DC08920.D54860D@mailcity.net>
Date: Wed, 30 Oct 2002 20:36:32 -0500
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0

Again, JJ it's an hour off, you responded to Mopar's
post an hour before he made it, I thought you want
to know.

Landshark

"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young
```

3699. Re: Naughty dog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 14:09:07 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3dc1372a.7568225@news.cet.com>
Source record: 142482

One thing is for sure: the alternators can produce the power. Now where that power ends up ISN'T going to be determined solely by the amplifier. IOW, if you actually witnessed corona from the bumpers, we will not miss your presence while you are undergoing cataract surgery. And it's nice to know that you won't be able to reproduce! Maybe you should volunteer as the biological guinea-pig for those RF power demonstrations... wouldn't bother me one bit!

In <20021031083729.21882.00000534@mb-cv.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com10-4 (Mister T) wrote:

>8 leeces under the hood can do it no problem
>
>>Um, one of them shows a peak wattage of 42,000 watts. Mmm kay.
>>
>>2100 amps? Hmmm. Mobile? Hmmm again.
>
>
>
>

3700. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 14:09:50 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <OQaw9.1413$Di2.115927183@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142479

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0210310540.625ee833@posting.google.com...
> "WorldWide Jewel" <blackdiamond@isonthemail.com> wrote in message
news:<NaecncuPxdZh7F2gXTWcqw@comcast.com>...
> > "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > > ==
> > > If you obeyed the rules you wouldn't have to worry about pulled ISP's.
> >
> > Oh so your saying some of you guys like playing Newsgroup Cop twisty?
These
> > same people are telling Jerry to get a life he is playing radio cop as
well
> > as aaron etc, yet these same people rat out people to isp's some with no
> > success Ill also mention. come one twisty even you can see the hypocrisy
of
> > this. It is ok to break FCC laws but don't even think about breaking
isp
> > aup/tos. too damn funny
>
> Hey there Georgie-girl, still trying to stir things up? Ya know, I
> have no problem whatsoever with Jerry Ox; he seems intelligent, he has
> credibility, and he doesn't stoop to slinging bovine excrement,
> flaming, and changing nics every other post, like you do. He has a
> point and he states it. I can respect that.
>
> You, your buddy Aanal Aaron, and a few others are just the opposite;
> lame, anonymous cowards and trolls. You're the ones so concerned about
> the Rules and Regulations, yet you continuously violate the Net and
> Group TOS. Some example YOU set! Rules is rules; if you expect others
> to opey them then you should, too. When someone (like Twist or Tim)
> makes a valid point all you can do is make a snide one-liner retort
> and redirect the question, ignoring the original post.
>
> Indeed, your hypocrites infest an otherwise decent newsgroup and your
> invective festers openly.
>
> BTW, Georgie-girl: How many CBers do you think even know about the
> UseNet and read this newsgroup? I think intelligent people would have
> to say, Very Few. Now: What do you think you are accomplishing by
> trolling here?
>
> We're all on the edges of our respective chairs awaiting your reply.
> Try to spell it correctly and make it more than a trite one-liner for
> a change.

LOL! Here's a couple for you that supposedly never happens:

Greetings,

Thank you for contacting Google.
The Google Groups account you reported for Usenet abuse has been
suspended. We appreciate your aid in keeping the newsgroups as abuse free
as possible.

Sincerely,

The Google Groups Team

****

Greetings,

Thank you for contacting Google.
The Google Groups account, voobner2002@yahoo.com you reported for Usenet
abuse has been suspended. We appreciate your aid in keeping the
newsgroups as abuse free as possible.

Sincerely,

The Google Groups Team

****

3701. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 14:10:51 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC139EB.D10F7755@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142490

Here is the header from the last post from Mopehead to me.

Subject: Re: Has anyone considered this?
Date: 31 Oct 2002 02:39:32 GMT
From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com

His posting time is 02:39 GMT which would be 8:39 pm my time.
Notice his date is Oct 31, a day ahead. This was posted yesterday,
today is Oct. 31. Looks like he doesn't even know what day it is.

Here is the header from my response.

Subject: Re: Has anyone considered this?
Date: Wed, 30 Oct 2002 20:36:32 -0500
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>

My posting time is 20:36, that would be 8:36 my time. I don't see
where that is one hour off. It is currently 9:10 am my time. Did
someone fail to account for going off daylight savings time?
Anyway, everyone is a step ahead of mophead.

Landshark wrote:
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2002 5:36 PM
> Subject: Re: Has anyone considered this?
>
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 Oct 2002 21:39:11 -0600
> Message-ID: <3DC08920.D54860D@mailcity.net>
> Date: Wed, 30 Oct 2002 20:36:32 -0500
> From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
> X-Accept-Language: en
> MIME-Version: 1.0
>
> Again, JJ it's an hour off, you responded to Mopar's
> post an hour before he made it, I thought you want
> to know.
>
> Landshark
>
> "SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
> SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
> WALK ON, WALK ON.

> Neil Young

3702. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 14:36:29 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC13FED.35671593@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142496

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> >> Here is the header from the last post from Mopehead to me.
> > >
> Hey radiobutt,did you make this post at 9:10 am today? Your newsreader is
> borked,fool.

What is 'borked"? Idiot!

3703. Sparkster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 14:47:39 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021031094739.01276.00001709@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 142480

with all the sweep tube shit you been alking about lately i thought you'd like to read this. i bet skips done a few of these mods in his day.

http://www.svetlana.com/docs/TechBulletins/technoteNO.32.html

3704. Prices on radio gear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 14:53:57 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1036076139.491077@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 142481

From: "crossfire" <noone@home.com>
Subject: Prices on radio stuff for sale
Date: Thursday, October 31, 2002 8:45 AM

Hello to All
I posted this stuff Yesterday in hopes of getting some reasonable offers ,
And some were. then some were a pretty good indication that some People have
way too much time on their hand's.
So I have done some research and decided to put what I consider reasonable
prices on this gear. I would also entertain a swap for some of this gear for
a hf rig . So here goes.

Heathkit HG-B10 VFO with
manual ----------$50.00
Heathkit DX60B transmitter with
manual ---------- $ 60.00
Drake R-4B receiver (vfo needs
calibrating)----------$140.00
These 3 pieces were a complete station at one time.
Swan astro 150 10-80 hf rig with pwr sply (radio does not
work) ----------$75.00
Kenwood TW-4000 dual band
mobile ----------$135.00
Kenwood TM-201 2meter mobile with te-64 tone
control---------- $65.00
Ameritron RCS-8V ant switch with
controller ----------$100.00
Dosy 4001 , 4000watt watt
meter ----------$60.00
Yaesu ft-1500m 2 meter mobile (been out box 1
week) ----------$115.00
2- New mc-320 d-10 4 mic elements in boxes with filter
material----------$20.00 each
Kenwood TS-120 works great on 20,40,80, but does not work other
bands --------$150.00.
Radio Shack uhf mini ht in box used 1
time ----------$60.00.

That's all folk's
73" and Thank You for looking

dogyham@hotmail.com

3705. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 15:39:03 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <r8cw9.5387$Ik6.507980@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 142483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

OH YEA! the land of the free and the brave. More laws , oh yess THAT will
fix everything. More ENFORCEMENT, oh yea THAT will surely fix everything.
Some people want to live in a free world, and, some do not. Jerry, you are
on the NOT side of things.
Jerry, do you know the phrase, ONE TRACK MIND?
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:OF1w9.3480$KT6.3444@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote in message
> news:c2Zv9.669$3d5.129095694@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > "JJ" <radiobluff0@mailcity.net> with his/she/it's head completely
embedded
> > up his/her/it's ass in search for a clue whimpered.......
> > ><snipped the response for lack of anything prevalent to my question>
> >
> > <<<< YAWN >>>>
> >
> > Nice reply.... Squirrel Boy, but you still haven't answered my
> question....
> > so I'll try again.
> >
> > Now I hope this one doesn't ricochet of your overly sloped forehead like
> the
> > last one...
> >
> >
> > Where in _ANY- of those sound bit's is there _ANY_ reference to anything
> > truck related as to justify saying that truck drivers were involved?
>
>
> Anyone can sit and listen to the conversations any day and quickly figure
> out that they are drivers.
> "Whut's yer twenny thar, DRIVAH"? "AH tan fer thar, DRIVAH. AH just
topped
> the hill at MP
> 21 with 34,000 pounds thar, DRIVAH" And I can go up the road a-ways to a
> Pilot Travel Center,
> visit the CB radio case and find all the Galaxy and Connex 'reddios' I
want,
> and it doesn't take
> rocket science to figure out who these illegal rigs are aimed at. Truck
> stops? Truck drivers? Ten
> Meter radios in the case? Now WHO would be most likely to visit a truck

> stop?
> It makes no difference. Since Mr. Walden (WB7COE) was appointed to the
> House Sub-com-
> mittee on Communications (chaired by Billy Tauzin), letters have been
> a-flying. Mr Tauzin has been
> made aware of the illegals, complaints are being heard, and something WILL
> be done. It is a shame that a few selfish ones ignore the laws in place
to
> protect ALL users of radio and, in the pro-
> cess, will likely bring down hardship on legal CBers as well. If you
don't
> believe that ham radio has
> a lot of support in Congress right now, type in "Greg Walden" in your
search
> engine and see how
> many sponsors various bills favorable to ham radio have garnered. It is
not
> inconceivable that that
> favorable image Amateur Radio has will go a long way to garner additional
> support for laws pro-
> tecting Amateur Radio frequencies from incursion by illegal operators as
> well as commercial interests.
>
> Jerry
>
>
> >
> > --
> > _____l Tom
> > __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
> > /________l_____________________
> > O OO\ O O\
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

3706. Re: Naughty dog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 15:39:30 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <r9cw9.945$kR6.396193916@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 142484

"Naughtydogamps" <naughtydogamps@aol.com> wrote in message

> Check it out
> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/naughtydog/

Riley checked it out, got a hard-on and ordered the 128 inch choke chain
for his " company car "

--
-

General Johnson Jameson, Ret.
USAF Alien Spacecraft Recovery Team

" This is your brain, and this is your brain on CB....Any questions? "

" The FCC has announced it will no longer control or enforce 11 meters "

-FCC News, Washington D.C. October 31, 2002

3707. Why have you chosen CB as your hobby?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 15:56:25 UTC
From: General Johnson Jameson <10milesunderthesurfaceofMars@secretmoonbase.com>
Message-ID: <ipcw9.946$oX6.396591154@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 142485

I asked this question of 100 people in front of the " Food4Less " supermarket.

Most thought CB was dead.

A few asked if I was gay.

One dropped some change into my gadget bag.

The police refused my question and told me to leave.

One man told me Smokey and the Bandit was the best movie ever.

The majority just looked at me and continued on

3708. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 15:56:50 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <6pcw9.3057$IT6.186558661@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021031104416.448$i7@newsreader.com...
> >
> >> The same, but he hasn't used it for a while.
> >
> He uses it in the policy group from time to time,typical of a
troll..change
> names.
>
> >> But this one's pretty funny:
> >
> > Greetings,
> >
> > Thank you for contacting Google.
> > The Google Groups account, voobner2002@yahoo.com you reported for Usenet
> > abuse has been suspended. We appreciate your aid in keeping the
> > newsgroups as abuse free as possible.
> >
> > Sincerely,
> >
> > The Google Groups Team
> >
> > **********
> >
> > LMAO!!!!
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> LOL ole voobner2002 didn't last long..wonder what he'll >change it to now?

I know...........LMAO! I'm sure by the time I get back
from Mexico he'll have at least a half dozen in reserve.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

3709. Hey Dweebo your tattoo shows yer a nuttsakk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 16:45:12 UTC
From: anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b12afcb0.0210310845.6db53d9a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142487

And that ugly ass so called rap star gal...man...what a barf bag.

Your tattoos show that you are gay. LKK has long been know to stand for the "LixKokkKlub".

timnebo@aol.com10-4 (Mister T) wrote in message news:<20021030203752.22205.00000474@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> hey chuck. heres one for you latin fags wether its red and white or black and
> gold lol
>
> http://members.aol.com/timnebo/crown.jpg

3710. Re: Naughty dog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 16:47:22 UTC
From: SideBand <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3DC15E9A.8090109@cac.net>
Source record: 142488

I guess the big question is this: How do you get 42,000 watts output from only 28,980 watts input? (hint: It can't be done.)

-SSB

Mister T wrote:

> 8 leeces under the hood can do it no problem
>
>
>>Um, one of them shows a peak wattage of 42,000 watts. Mmm kay.
>>
>>2100 amps? Hmmm. Mobile? Hmmm again.
>>
>
>
>
>

--
73 de KR8LR, Chris

3711. AntiKeyclowns: Instructions follow in this post!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 16:49:09 UTC
From: anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b12afcb0.0210310849.2e1c4e9c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142489

```
Execute plan Florida Marlin

Execute plan Barry Bonds
```

3712. Re: Ban on Sale of Certain RF Power Amplifiers and Our Liability?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 16:51:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23875-3DC15F9C-12@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142493

From: no-spam@junk-mail.net (Leland C. Scott)
"Smoker 117" <smoker117@freeband28210.net> wrote in message
news:apgvq9$164$0@pita.alt.net...
Oh they were there this year all right, but you had to know where to
look. The information was being put out on channel 40 and channel 83
(28210khz). Come on down and standby on channel 83 (28210khz) lower
side,
--
>And the pirate CBers claim NOBODY is on >10m illegally. Hum.

"Hum?" (shrug) Pirate cbers don't care about 10 meter....that's part of
what ails you,,,,,,that and you can't go there.

>... the
>last I checked anything between 28000 KHz >and 29700 KHz was the Ham
10m band.

So?

>Sounds to me like the FCC can get a good >two-for-one deal.

Why? You and someone else gonna try and get heard again on "our" freqs?

>Bust the bozos buying the amps, AND bust >them for being on the Ham
>bands too. Not bad.
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>--

Let's assume the entire group shares your intellect and assume "smoker"
is not a shill for the moment, so as to allow you a temporary release of
endorphins.................so what if the info WAS being put out on
28.210....it was probably a hammie.

3713. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 16:59:41 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23874-3DC1617D-25@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142491

From: blackdiamond@isonthemail.com (WorldWide Jewel)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
If you obeyed the rules you wouldn't have to worry about pulled ISP's.

>Oh so your saying some of you guys like >playing Newsgroup Cop twisty?

Not at all.
It is return fire that gets these clowns burnt. I am even on record a long time ago, as saying complaining to ISP's was wrong. Well, I was dead wrong about that. When certain cretins reduce themselves to going out of their way outside of this group to harass a poster that they attach a strong personal feeling or emotion to, it illustrates the severity of personal problems they carry. Reporting attempted hacks, viruses,, and unwanted email or spam is not only legal and a right, but the correct course of action to take, according to all ISP's. As you have been witness, it is extremely effective.

>These same people are telling Jerry to get a >life he is playing radio >cop

Not I.

>as well as aaron

"Aaron" is no longer. Your mention of him is the only grasp of life he has in this group. He showed how to invalidate oneself, perhaps better than any other.

>etc, yet these same people rat out people to
>isp's some with no success

I have had excellent success. I probably even have the last 6 or 7 emails from the
abuse domain to attest to such. If you wish, provide a valid email, not a free throwaway and I may share some of my joy.

>Ill also mention. come one twisty even you can >see the hypocrisy of >this.
>It is ok to break FCC laws but don't even think >about breaking isp aup/tos. too damn funny

Never claimed it was ok to break any law, George. Speaking only for myself, I never contacted a single ISP until I felt the clowns crossed the line between joking and malice.
Forging and spoofing can be a laugh, but when it is done with an intent of malice, it should be stopped. It is a cowardly personal attack done by one who has been soundly trounced and repudiated, one who can not

manage
self-control and has a low self-esteem.
When it became clear an intent to damage, malign, and espouse falsehoods was an agenda of the louses who could not intelligently debate a subject, the hypocrisy you speak of was born. For all the trash talking they did about amps and dx and freebanding, they were not only willing to break rules they saw fit concerning their ISP's, but several real laws pertaining to electronic libel. We have all been witness to it. The flattery, though, is endless and I do appreciate the notoriety on the air..after all, isn't that what most dxers want.....their number/handle called and remebered? <g>

3714. Re: 10 meter intruders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 17:08:11 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23873-3DC1637B-60@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142492

KC8LDO asked Timbo:
>The real question is why are you NOT >educating them?

We are nobody's mother.
We are not LEOs.
It doesn't concern our world.

>Hams on this news group have been very >open about how the are trying
>to solve the problem.

It doesn't concern cb.

>Either by educating the violators,

Leaves you out. All you can do is fail at electronic harassment. ISP protocol violations have been your tools.

>sending off letters to our congress person, the >ARRL and RH at the
FCC. The only thing you >seem to be doing is a good imitation of an
>ostrich, complete with your head in the sand
>and denying there is any problem at all.

There is no problem with our freqs...only miserable malcontents like yourself claiming one exists.
Your problems do not affect us one way or another.

>For all any of the Hams on this news group >know one of those voices
>heard on 10m AM could be yours!

Or yours.

>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO

3715. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 17:10:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23872-3DC163FF-148@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142501

Greetings,
Thank you for contacting Google.
The Google Groups account, voobner2002@yahoo.com you reported for Usenet
abuse has been suspended. We appreciate your aid in keeping the
newsgroups as abuse free as possible.
Sincerely,
The Google Groups Team
****
        LMAO!!!!
  Landshark
==========

>dayyyyummmmmmmmm!

3716. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 17:12:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23874-3DC16486-27@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142498

>Again, JJ it's an hour off, you responded to >Mopar's post an hour
before he made it, I >thought you want to know.
>   Landshark

Shark, I gotta hand it to you....you got an eye for this sorta
thing...you nailed that one sumbitch last time too in the same
manner.....imagine all that!

3717. Re: Naughty dog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 17:15:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23872-3DC16526-150@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142500

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
>One thing is for sure: the alternators can >produce the power. Now where that power >ends up ISN'T going to be determined solely >by the amplifier. IOW, if you actually >witnessed corona from the bumpers, we will >not miss your presence while you are >undergoing cataract surgery. And it's nice to >know that you won't be able to reproduce!

Don't worry about that. From what I see, Tim has too much class to bang any of the women in your family.

3718. Re: AntiKeyclowns: Instructions follow in this post!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 17:21:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23872-3DC166A6-152@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142499

From: anotherantiaddy@yahoo.com >(Keyclown Busters)
>Execute plan Florida Marlin
>Execute plan Barry Bonds

Hahahhahah! I knew I was getting out today, but didn't know how well
'till just now. Thanks, and don't let Jack Frost f you up too bad...it's
too early in the winter to be suffering that way.

3719. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 17:26:32 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <cJdw9.2044$Ol3.119916903@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142494

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DC139EB.D10F7755@mailcity.net...
. Did
> someone fail to account for going off daylight savings time?
> Anyway, everyone is a step ahead of mophead.
>

Actually No, I didn't, but that was going
to be my next question. My post was made at
7:56am and your response to me was made at
6:10am, so something's wrong. Oh well, that's
cool, you have a good one.....................

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

3720. Re: Naughty dog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 17:28:35 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <7Ldw9.2054$Um3.119988507@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 142495

"SideBand" <krNO8SPAMlr@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3DC15E9A.8090109@cac.net...
> I guess the big question is this: How do you get 42,000 watts output from
> only 28,980 watts input? (hint: It can't be done.)
>
> -SSB

The next best question is why? Why in the hell
would someone want to run so much power?

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

3721. Hey Doug!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 17:44:00 UTC
From: qweuryqiweyrioqweyrquioweyriuoqeywruioqwerueyqwr
<48375237858723465892346 5@458273465873465879234587384658734 65.com`>
Message-ID: <htq2suokorbr8rh4dd3jas99n5qftea3nj@4ax.com>
Source record: 142497

Drop me an e-mail, your Juno address bounced!

That is all...

:-)

3722. Re: Naughty dog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 17:56:12 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210310956.2b6d7a80@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142502

In <Xns92B7E2905B591rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>, Alan Strawinski
<rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote:
> >
> >>naughtydogamps@aol.com (Naughtydogamps) wrote in
> >>news:20021031002549.28873.00002545@mb-mv.aol.com:
> >>
> >>> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/naughtydog/
> >>
> >><RANT>
> >>Um, one of them shows a peak wattage of 42,000 watts. Mmm kay.
> >>
> >>2100 amps? Hmmm. Mobile? Hmmm again.
> >>
> >>If you're going to hock a product that runs $13,000, you might consider
> >>putting up a site that looks more professional. If someone has that kind
> >>of money to spend, what makes you think that they will spend it with you?
> >>You won't even cough up $8.95 to register a domain. Your email address in
> >>the mailto: link is nonameamps@aol.com. That's reassuring.
> >>
> >>Take the money you would othewise spend on building your illegal amp
> >>inventory, and hire a damn web developer. You've got all of this tuff guy
> >>stuff on your web site, but you chose a light purple (9900FF, to be
> >>exact) bckground. What's up with that?
> >></RANT>

Not only that, the dog cartoon looks like it was drawn by a 6th
grader. Jeez, get a better artist!

-A

3723. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 18:13:34 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210311013.51e78aca@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Alan Strawinski <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote in message
news:<Xns92B7EEF266B99rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>...
> witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in
> news:3aed93cc.0210302138.2baf3935@posting.google.com:
>
> > --
> > "BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
> >
> > This is very true... tho I don't know how "BAD" I am because I don't
> > drink, smoke, use dope, gamble, go to bars, screw other guy's wives,
> > carry weapons, or ride a Harley---let alone rob or kill people. But
> > the law-boys in the group seem to think I'm Jesse James, to hear them
> > talk.
> >
> > Guy
> >
>
> Hey, what's wrong with riding a Harley? :)

Landshark's sig IS very confusing. He says being a bad citizen is fun
but apparently this only applies to breaking FCC rules, and only
extends to people he agrees with. Some kind of wierd double standard I
guess.

-A

3724. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 18:24:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC1756A.95608D48@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142511

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > >> Here is the header from the last post from Mopehead to me.
> > > > >
> > > > Hey radiobutt,did you make this post at 9:10 am today? Your newsreader
> > > > is borked,fool.
> > >
> > >> What is 'borked"? Idiot!
> > >
> > LMAO! Did you make this post at 9:36 am,dipshit?
> >
> > Found out a few things about you George(JJ).
> >
> > You live in Missouri,and are another no-code CB hater.
> >
> > You've been spanked for jamming too.
> >
> > You're a real prize.

And you're real stupid if you think my name is george and I live
in Missouri. I have never lived in Missouri. Just how did I get
spanked for jamming when I have never jammed anyone on the air? So
how did you come by all this bit of misinformation dipwad?

3725. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 18:27:41 UTC
From: avoobner2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <6e58e321.0210311027.296f7702@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Landshark wrote in message
news:20021031104416.448$i7@newsreader.com...
> >
> >> The same, but he hasn't used it for a while.
> >
> He uses it in the policy group from time to time,
> > typical of a
troll..change
> names.
>
> >> But this one's pretty funny:
> >
> > Greetings,
> >
> > Thank you for contacting Google.

LOL, write to Google all you want, it won't change my support of legal
CB and criticism of illegal amps, freebanding, and 10 meter
bootlegging.

-Aaron-

3726. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 18:44:02 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <iHew9.7331$KT6.1796@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:r8cw9.5387$Ik6.507980@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> OH YEA! the land of the free and the brave. More laws , oh yess THAT will
> fix everything. More ENFORCEMENT, oh yea THAT will surely fix everything.
> Some people want to live in a free world, and, some do not. Jerry, you are
> on the NOT side of things.
> Jerry, do you know the phrase, ONE TRACK MIND?

I just happen to be the one posting, but I am not the only one urging
Congress to do something.
Whatever gets done about the 10 Meter issue won't be my fault or the faults
of any of the other
people who are complaining about this. It will be the faults of the sellers
and users of equipment that is already illegal to import or sell in this
country. Since those persons cannot abide by the restric-tions already in
place WRT this stuff, then they have only themselves to blame for any
enforcement
actions.

You speak pseudo-nobly about the concept of freedom. Freedom for whom? You
promote a kind of "freedom" where someone can yell "FIRE" in a crowded
theatre and cause a stampede of
frightened people. You want a kind of freedom on radio that, in its purest
form would render radio
mostly useless. You want CB ops to be able to run roughshod over every
other service who have
just as much right to reasonably use a radio as CBers. You would want them
to be able to run rid-
iculous amounts of power (some already do that) and blank out televisions
with impunity. While,
IMHO, the Local Enforcement law is ineffective, it was a direct result of
complaints of constituents
to their Representatives. Demonstrating that this has not worked, and
showing that the exemption given to truck drivers (WRT inspections at weigh
stations), it is now entirely possible that, yes, laws, funding, personnel
could be restored to FCC to abate the incursions onto the ham bands, or,
at least, PL106-521 could/will be amended to confront the problem.

The illegals have no one but themselves to blame.

J

> > >
> > >
> >

> >
> >
> >
>
>

3727. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 18:54:17 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <VQew9.7334$KT6.98@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

I C S <nospam@nospam.fr> wrote in message news:1rku9.9577$46.9254@fe01...
> Jerry Oxendine is a troll..... just like Aaron Voobner.
> rec.radio.cb's equal to Richard Bullis.
> What a piece of crap!

I must be really getting under your skin! Sometimes the truth HURTS! WE
don't care what y'all
do on CB--just keep those beeping and squeaking radios off 10 Meters, and we
"trolls" will go away.

J

>
>
>

3728. Re: Yeti question and answer time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 19:04:55 UTC
From: nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3DC17ED7.E2B8E4ED@yahoo.com>
Source record: 142508

Frankie Boy "yeti@cet.com" wrote: goes off the deep end...

> In <3dbdf67d.7203139@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
> (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >Now that I have answered YOUR questions maybe you can start answering
> >MY questions instead of avoiding them with YOUR questions.
> Well?
>
> It's obvious, even to the keyclowns, that you have run out of
> arguments; so how about answering some of the questions you have been
> stalling to answer? Seriously, I really think that you don't know shit
> about amps, even "classic" grounded-grid amplifiers, and you are just
> trying to BS your way out of this challenge. With all your vague
> comments and weak redirections, it doesn't look like you know shit! So
> come on, Skippy, tell us what a "classic" design entails, or how a
>

Frank..! chill pill and wake up. I didn't write what your getting so
excited
about, but I' here now. So relax and check your reverse screen current.

> "properly designed" grounded-grid amplifier works? Maybe you can even
> explain how a triode-configured pentode DOESN'T work like a triode,
>

Sure, consider the properly designed, AB2 grounded grid "Cathode Driven
amplifier. The interposed screen grid acts as an electrostatic shield
between the grid and plate, with the consequence that the grid to plate
capacitance is reduced.... combined with Grid Shielding between the
cathode and the plate which make your much touted neutralization
unnecessary.

Triode connected tetrodes (grounded grid) show a degree of improvement
in distortion figures as opposed to grid driven service.

What might be called more passive gain operation of the screen and
supressor grids in an AB2 amplifier, does not deny their actual operating

shielding function and reduced Cgp in the grounded grid circuit.

> even though it's clearly designed as a triode. Or maybe you can
> explain why Swan added grid-current distortion precautions to the
> manuals (and ALC circuits to the radios) for their sweep-tube radios

Pretty sly... but not sly enough. You jump around a lot and omit very
significant details...

First off, the topic was classic grounded grid rf amplifiers, in specific

AB2 operation. Now you want to jump over to a Swan Radio... tis not
the same Grounded grid animal Frankie... Oh, but you failed to mention

that.

Homey ain't playing your smoke screen game.

In short, all mfgrs used what they thought the most practical part and
circuit
trade offs... which probably included many short cuts... You claim to be
a big time
broadcaster type... ever seen a station take shortcuts..?

The Swan Radios are not grounded grid circuits... as we have been taking
here. But you want to interchange sidebar off topic circuits.... Nope,
"wouldn't be prudent at this conjecture.."

ALC is bad news for most all radios... dated technology that really
didn't
/ doesn't work well for the most part. Another topic thread please...

> (that is, explain why they needed to do that if sweep tubes are so
> adept at RF amplification?). And you have yet to find a TV that uses a
> 6146 as a sweep tube, or a currently produced RF amplifier that's
> legal for use between 24 and 35 MHz.

Because they work pretty well in the intended application... what part
of denial do you live in..? I probably won't find a TV set with a 6146
on
board... but you boat doesn't float unless you go back to that repost
over and over.... like I should reprint your multiple "grid tied to the
plate"
posts over and over. Hey, what text I reposted would probably work....
what you directly posted would fail every time... big time tube failures
cost money.

So I made a few inquiries... turns out I can't find very many current
FCC certified Amp mfgrs and no current certified amplifier builders
for 24-35 Mhz applications. Not a cost effective place to be these
days... HF & VHF Amplifier production in general is down...

But pre ban amplifiers are still legal and available on the
used market. There is no significant circuit difference from the
mentioned
35-54Mhz TPL amplifiers I provided Ebay Auction examples of; and
their pre ban cousins. Nothing wrong with a legal used pre ban
amplifier.

> So come on, Skippy, you aren't just full of bullshit, are you? Or
> maybe you are ready to admit that you really don't know shit about the

> amps that are so deserving of your approbation. Well, I don't think
> THAT's going to happen: more likely you are going to duck these
> questions with excuses such as, "your questions are stupid", or "you
> don't know shit", yet without providing any foundation or fact to
> support such conclusions. So go right ahead and ignore the fact that I
> have answered all YOUR questions yet you refuse to answer MINE. After
> all, the only people that care are the ones that will go through the
> archives and see who can defend their statements with technical facts.
> Of course, that won't be YOU, Skippy, when all you offer is
> subjective, undefined and unsupported terms such as "classic" and
> "properly designed". Yes, go ahead and ignore your own terminology, as
> well as my last post on this topic, as it will only serve to discredit
> your obvious bias in favor of poor RF engineering!

Wow Frankie' you sure hit the low bar language toilet... a clear sign
that you are on shaky ground... One might conclude that all the
mfgrs who made sweep tube amplifiers, the many handbook projects
and similar practical easy to construct rf AB2 g-g circuits contradict
you. Of course, you are right, they are all wrong...

>
> BTW, you STILL haven't answered the question, "Do you have a financial
> interest in the popularity of sweep-tube amplifiers"? So will that be
> a 'yes', a 'no', or a 'no comment'? I'm betting the latter.

Your news reading program a bit whacked Frankie..? I answered
quite some time ago. Now explain to us why a yes or no answer would
make any difference...

skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

3729. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 19:05:08 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <5%ew9.7338$KT6.183@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 142507

Mister T <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021031083308.21882.00000533@mb-cv.aol.com...
> if your lucky tauzin and walden will make another feel good law for you
but
> since there hams there likely to note that the MUF will be dropping very
> shortly and its not even worth there effort. jerry i asked you before but
you
> failed to answer so lets try it again. in two years when there is no dx on
11
> or 10 meters will you still be chasing truckers down the highway who are
using
> ten meters???

YUP! Makes it even easier since what one WILL hear will be local. Easier
to nail.
That "feel good" law might be 1) an amendment to PL106-521 to allow for
state DOT
officers inspect trucks for illegal radios, 2) increased funding to FCC to
hire additional
agents to do the same and/or visit truck stops and CB shops to seize such
radios, 3) alert
major trucking companies that they will be held responsible for any illegal
equipment found
in their fleets which allows their own managers to issue directives against
them and inspect
the trucks for non-certified radios. ......among other things. Just for
grins, you ought to visit
Greg Walden's website and view the number of pro-ham radio bills AND the
numbers of spon-
sors such bills have attracted. Don't make the mistake of thinking that
this issue will be passed
over with an ineffective, "feel-good" law.

Jerry

>
> >
> >Anyone can sit and listen to the conversations any day and quickly figure
> >out that they are drivers.
> >"Whut's yer twenny thar, DRIVAH"? "AH tan fer thar, DRIVAH. AH just
topped
> >the hill at MP
> >21 with 34,000 pounds thar, DRIVAH" And I can go up the road a-ways to a
> >Pilot Travel Center,
> >visit the CB radio case and find all the Galaxy and Connex 'reddios' I

want,
> >and it doesn't take
> >rocket science to figure out who these illegal rigs are aimed at. Truck
> >stops? Truck drivers? Ten
> >Meter radios in the case? Now WHO would be most likely to visit a truck
> >stop?
> >It makes no difference. Since Mr. Walden (WB7COE) was appointed to
the
> >House Sub-com-
> >mittee on Communications (chaired by Billy Tauzin), letters have been
> >a-flying. Mr Tauzin has been
> >made aware of the illegals, complaints are being heard, and something
WILL
> >be done. It is a shame that a few selfish ones ignore the laws in place
to
> >protect ALL users of radio and, in the pro-
> >cess, will likely bring down hardship on legal CBers as well. If you
don't
> >believe that ham radio has
> >a lot of support in Congress right now, type in "Greg Walden" in your
search
> >engine and see how
> >many sponsors various bills favorable to ham radio have garnered. It is
not
> >inconceivable that that
> >favorable image Amateur Radio has will go a long way to garner
additional
> >support for laws pro-
> >tecting Amateur Radio frequencies from incursion by illegal operators as
> >well as commercial interests.
> >
> >Jerry
> >
> >
> >>
> >> --
> >> _____l Tom
> >> __/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
> >> /________l_____________________
> >> O OO\ O O\
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
>
>
>
>

3730. Re: Yeti question and answer time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 19:08:28 UTC
From: nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3DC17FAC.2A76358F@yahoo.com>
Source record: 142509

I'm still waiting for you to reply to my posted questions Frankie...
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

3731. Re: AntiKeyclowns: Instructions follow in this post!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 20:16:51 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021031151651.03674.00000078@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 142510

oOoOo NO! its big daddy again

Thatz-me-JP

3732. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 20:27:01 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC19215.619FBBC6@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142520

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > > >> Here is the header from the last post from Mopehead to me.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hey radiobutt,did you make this post at 9:10 am today? Your
> > > > > > newsreader is borked,fool.
> > > > >
> > > > >> What is 'borked"? Idiot!
> > > > >
> > > > LMAO! Did you make this post at 9:36 am,dipshit?
> > > >
> > > Found out a few things about you George(JJ).
> > >
> > > You live in Missouri,and are another no-code CB hater.
> > >
> > > You've been spanked for jamming too.
> > >
> > > You're a real prize.
> >
> >> And you're real stupid if you think my name is george and I live
> > > in Missouri. I have never lived in Missouri. Just how did I get
> > > spanked for jamming when I have never jammed anyone on the air? So
> > > how did you come by all this bit of misinformation dipwad?
> > >
> > I don't expect you to admit to it,never lived in Texas either right?
> >
> > Wife's name Pauline?
> >

Born and raised near Abilene Texas, moved to the DFW area in l963
where my Wife *Virginia* and I raised our children. Just moved out
of Texas for the first time in our lives earlier this year. You
are so far off base it is really humorous.

> BTW,your posting times are still fucked up..Is that still my fault too? lol

It is 3:26 pm local time(15:26) here. Do you have clocks where you
live?
```

3733. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 20:44:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC1961E.9E94C58F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142521

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> >
> Do you have a friggin calander? Or do you still think I'm posting a day in
> advance? lol

I am still waiting for you to prove I am George Whomever and live
in Mo. LOL!!

3734. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 20:44:52 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <X5SdnW7I7MfYC1ygXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 142512

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message

>Oh so your saying some of you guys like playing Newsgroup Cop twisty?

>Not at all. It is return fire that gets these clowns burnt. I am even on
>record a long time ago, as saying complaining to ISP's was wrong. >Well, I
was dead wrong about that. When certain cretins reduce themselves to going
out of their way outside of this group to harass a poster that they attach a
strong personal feeling or emotion to, it illustrates the severity of
personal problems they carry. Reporting attempted hacks, viruses,, and
unwanted email or spam is not only legal and a right, but the correct course
of action to take, according to all ISP's. As you have been witness, it is
extremely effective.

Well twisty I have never sent anyone a virus, I have never ever sent anyone
from this group email, and I certainly haven't tried to hack anyone,

I also think your missing the point. Twisty many from this group who have
said they are illegal operator yell and scream at Jerry and others who are
against illegal cb operation telling them to get a life doesn't he have
anything better to do then play FCC cop well Twisty, I say the same thing
don't these guys have anything better to do with there life? They complain
that *we* are complaining about them being Illegal yet they turn around and
do the same thing and try to play NetCop. funny thing is twisty you have
tried, Landwhale has tried, Moped has tried to terminate my ISP, and what
keeps happening ? Nothing they laugh at the complaints, they are frivolous
complaints as i said twisty I have never sent anyone e mail or had any
contact with anyone other than thru this NG. So what is there excuse?

>These same people are telling Jerry to get a >life he is playing radio
>cop

Not I.

>as well as aaron

"Aaron" is no longer. Your mention of him is the only grasp of life he
has in this group. He showed how to invalidate oneself, perhaps better
than any other.

>etc, yet these same people rat out people to
>isp's some with no success

I have had excellent success. I probably even have the last 6 or 7
emails from the
abuse domain to attest to such. If you wish, provide a valid email, not
a free throwaway and I may share some of my joy.

>Ill also mention. come one twisty even you can >see the hypocrisy of >this.
>It is ok to break FCC laws but don't even think >about breaking isp aup/tos. too damn funny

Never claimed it was ok to break any law, George. Speaking only for myself, I never contacted a single ISP until I felt the clowns crossed the line between joking and malice.
Forging and spoofing can be a laugh, but when it is done with an intent of malice, it should be stopped. It is a cowardly personal attack done by one who has been soundly trounced and repudiated, one who can not manage
self-control and has a low self-esteem.
When it became clear an intent to damage, malign, and espouse falsehoods was an agenda of the louses who could not intelligently debate a subject, the hypocrisy you speak of was born. For all the trash talking they did about amps and dx and freebanding, they were not only willing to break rules they saw fit concerning their ISP's, but several real laws pertaining to electronic libel. We have all been witness to it. The flattery, though, is endless and I do appreciate the notoriety on the air..after all, isn't that what most dxers want.....their number/handle called and remebered? <g>

3735. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 20:59:21 UTC
From: BH <radiobillx@xgbronline.com>
Message-ID: <3DC199A9.5B978171@xgbronline.com>
Source record: 142525

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > Do you have a friggin calander? Or do you still think I'm posting a day
> > > > in advance? lol
> > >
> > >> I am still waiting for you to prove I am George Whomever and live
> > > in Mo. LOL!!
> > >
> > What's your call?

HAHA, nice try, what's yours? Don't have one, well post your real
name and address.

3736. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 21:10:06 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC19C2E.220CC2A8@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142526

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> BH <radiobillx@xgbronline.com> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Do you have a friggin calander? Or do you still think I'm posting a
> > > > > > day in advance? lol
> > > > >
> > > > >> I am still waiting for you to prove I am George Whomever and live
> > > > > in Mo. LOL!!
> > > > >
> > > > What's your call?
> > >
> >> HAHA, nice try, what's yours? Don't have one, well post your real
> > name and address.
> >
> I won't give you my address,but my real name and the town I live in have
> been posted here many times.

Then why should I give you my call?

3737. Re: Naughty dog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 21:13:07 UTC
From: stevejfranklin <stevejfranklin@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3DC1B8AC.8EC7594B@attbi.com>
Source record: 142513

All BS
the base amp says, 4- 2sC2879 peaks on a bird 1,300 Watts
B S, that is 325 Watts out a piece, Good, Luck.

General Johnson Jameson wrote:

> "Naughtydogamps" <naughtydogamps@aol.com> wrote in message
>
> > Check it out
> > http://mywebpages.comcast.net/naughtydog/
>
> Riley checked it out, got a hard-on and ordered the 128 inch choke chain
> for his " company car "
>
> --
> -
>
> General Johnson Jameson, Ret.
> USAF Alien Spacecraft Recovery Team
>
> " This is your brain, and this is your brain on CB....Any questions? "
>
> " The FCC has announced it will no longer control or enforce 11 meters "
>
> -FCC News, Washington D.C. October 31, 2002

3738. Re: radio mods needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 21:15:22 UTC
From: Ginger Eakle <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <us37gdtkt8of5c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 142514

Curious does anyone know if it's possible to Tweak the Power and add
channels to TRC-230 handheld cb?
"Carlmackayp" <carlmackayp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021014000754.03582.00001176@mb-ca.aol.com...
> I have a realistic trc440 base station and i would like to add side band
to it
> also i would like to boost my output
> can anyone help me out on this

3739. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 21:20:14 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <iLidndgXMvESA1ygXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 142515

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DC1756A.95608D48@mailcity.net...

> And you're real stupid if you think my name is george and I live
> in Missouri. I have never lived in Missouri. Just how did I get
> spanked for jamming when I have never jammed anyone on the air? So
> how did you come by all this bit of misinformation dipwad?

I told them the same thing when they started calling me george. LOL I gues
they call people george when they don't know thier name

3740. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 21:30:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24121-3DC1A0DA-30@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142517

From: richardcranus@hotmail.com (Richard Cranus)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
>Oh so your saying some of you guys like >playing Newsgroup Cop twisty?

Not at all. It is return fire that gets these clowns burnt. I am even on record a long time ago, as saying complaining to ISP's was wrong. Well, I
was dead wrong about that. When certain cretins reduce themselves to going out of their way outside of this group to harass a poster that they attach a strong personal feeling or emotion to, it illustrates the severity of personal problems they carry. Reporting attempted hacks, viruses,, and unwanted email or spam is not only legal and a right, but the correct course of action to take, according to all ISP's. As you have been witness, it is extremely effective.

>Well twisty I have never sent anyone a virus, I >have never ever sent anyone from this group >email, and I certainly haven't tried to hack >anyone,

And I certainly never claimed some of the things attributed to JerryO you said were made.

>I also think your missing the point. Twisty >many from this group who have said they are >illegal operator yell and scream at Jerry and >others who are against illegal cb operation >telling them to get a life doesn't he have >anything better to do then play FCC cop well >Twisty, I say the same thing don't these guys >have anything better to do with there life?

Well, the same answer you gave me is just as valid coming from me on this point...I didn't say those things.

>They complain that *we* are complaining >about them being Illegal yet they turn around >and do the same thing and try to play NetCop.

No. As stated, it is all return fire.
If the hypocrites crying the most about "netcops" would obey rules themselves, there would be no need of them to worry about "netcops".

>funny thing is twisty you have tried,

Wrong. Once again, as is with reality in the media, the burden of proof is on the claimant, (the newspaper, broadcaster, reporter, writer, etc.) which in this case, happens to be you. If you lost an ISP for screwing around, it is possible your claim is true.....if you did not lose an ISP...again, show me the money, 'cause it doesn't exist......one thing that remains constant among your crowd......many bum claims that you

guys throw out there hoping one will somehow stick.

>Landwhale has tried, Moped has tried to >terminate my ISP, and what keeps happening >Nothing they laugh at the complaints, they are >frivolous complaints as i said twisty I have >never sent anyone e mail or had any contact >with anyone other than thru this NG. So what >is there excuse?

Asking me makes as much sense as asking Lelnad why he claimed he had me tossed from webtv. I knew if I remained quiet long enough he would babble on and make such statements for us to reflect upon and enjoy.......you can see "arron" practicing this patented "twist" move as we speak, only not from voobner@yahoo,,,that ones really gone...oh, and the ones sharkie had yanked for harassment. It's real simple..debate intelligently or not at all...or at least hold your temper. Ever mindful of the irony of the question that continues to haunt several angry hammies, it must be posed again....why is the art of communication lost on some of those who are supposedly licensed for it?

3741. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 21:31:45 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24121-3DC1A141-31@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142516

Jerry, can you post a link to his site? Or just send it to me if you don't want to post it, but it is pertinent, eh?

3742. FA: LAFAYETTE CB TESTER ***NICE***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 22:07:12 UTC
From: Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DC1AA3A.FA2F4CE4@optonline.net>
Source record: 142518

> Just click on the link below.
> nice pictures too.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1393517345

3743. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE CB TESTER ***NICE***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 22:16:43 UTC
From: Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3DC1AC73.919CA52F@optonline.net>
Source record: 142519

HI JAY, THANKS for the reply!!
It is a collectors item, although
It does have other uses.
There was another rather rude comment from some "cheesy" guy
who "just don't get it".
I think he is looking for a $5000 IFR (if he knows what an IFR is?)
for $30 bucks.
Oh well, can't please everybody.

Take care!!
Mark

Jay in the Mojave wrote:

> Hello Mark:
>
> Neat-O
>
> That will sell all right, I might even bid on it, thats in premium shape
> and a real collectors item.
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
> Mark Russo wrote:
> >
> > Just click on the link below.
> > nice pictures too.
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1393517345

3744. Re: Naughty dog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 22:43:26 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021031174326.26471.00000397@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 142522

you do realize that these amps are not running 12 volts and that you can see about twice the output of a normal 2sc2879 at 18 volts? but yeah 1300 is a little high.

>
>All BS
>the base amp says, 4- 2sC2879 peaks on a bird 1,300 Watts
>B S, that is 325 Watts out a piece, Good, Luck.

3745. Re: Hey Doug!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 22:45:37 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021031174537.26471.00000399@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 142524

oh yeah and doug see the email where chuckie was talking about you the other day lol

>
>
>Drop me an e-mail, your Juno address bounced!
>
>That is all...
>
>:-)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3746. Re: Hey Doug!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 22:45:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021031174557.26471.00000400@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 142523

i wonder why? hahaha

>Drop me an e-mail, your Juno address bounced!
>

3747. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 23:11:23 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <apsda4$4i35q$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 142527

"Mister T" <timnebo@aol.com10-4> wrote in message
news:20021029191919.27794.00001364@mb-mf.aol.com...
> i guess you live in a propagation dead zone as well???

Nope, propagation is fine. It's just that very few people use CB's here for some reason. You can see a lot of CB antennas on cars & trucks, and even a few CB base antennas at people's houses, but if you scan the CB band there is very little activity except for the truckers on 19.

Tom

3748. Re: Hypocrites Galore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 23:12:39 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bzWdnXrek8N1JVygXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 142528

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message

No. As stated, it is all return fire.
If the hypocrites crying the most about "netcops" would obey rules
themselves, there would be no need of them to worry about "netcops".

Can't the same then be said for the Hams and other Cbers who are against
illegal CBing and breaking FCC rules. If they kept their noses clean perhap
you wouldn't have to hear aaron preach , or jerry tell how many times he
called riley this week. 10-4?

>funny thing is twisty you have tried,

Wrong. Once again, as is with reality in the media, the burden of proof
is on the claimant, (the newspaper, broadcaster, reporter, writer, etc.)
which in this case, happens to be you.

Twisty Oh it is true, remember the one when you emailed @home and said
something about a copyright on your Sporadic Waves article?

If you lost an ISP for screwing
around, it is possible your claim is true.....if you did not lose an
ISP...again, show me the money, 'cause it doesn't exist......

No twisty didn't lose any Isp's went from @home to comcast, when @home went
belly up.
No I didn't say I lost an Isp, I said you were also one who has sent letters
to peoples ISP's, hence when you sent one to @home. Does that jog the ole
mammory

one thing
that remains constant among your crowd......many bum claims that you
guys throw out there hoping one will somehow stick.

Twisty, I am part of no crowd, I run alone my fly fishing friend, so please
don't throw around accusations which you cannot prove, now what i told you
in this thread is absolutly true you know it and i know it. I have never
turned anyone in to the FCC, or anyone else for that matter. I may not like
what the keyclowns are doing to the Band and the intruding on 10 meters and
make it know in this NG. But, I can assure you letter will be sent to local
leo's, and the FCC re: certain individuals and how they are bleeding over,
running hi power, bothering the neighbors etc. Ya know what It might not do
a damn thing but ya know what what if it does. The way i figure it one good
shake deserves another. whadda ya think! hell it might get my rocks off,
just like when these bozos complain to Isp's lol. Ok Ill be back i gotta
type some letters.

-G-

>Landwhale has tried, Moped has tried to >terminate my ISP, and what keeps happening >Nothing they laugh at the complaints, they are >frivolous complaints as i said twisty I have >never sent anyone e mail or had any contact >with anyone other than thru this NG. So what >is there excuse?

Asking me makes as much sense as asking Lelnad why he claimed he had me tossed from webtv. I knew if I remained quiet long enough he would babble on and make such statements for us to reflect upon and enjoy.......you can see "arron" practicing this patented "twist" move as we speak, only not from voobner@yahoo,,,that ones really gone...oh, and the ones sharkie had yanked for harassment. It's real simple..debate intelligently or not at all...or at least hold your temper. Ever mindful of the irony of the question that continues to haunt several angry hammies, it must be posed again....why is the art of communication lost on some of those who are supposedly licensed for it?

3749. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 23:15:17 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <x-SdnXVjt7YbJFygXTWcrg@comcast.com>
Source record: 142529

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

> What's your call?

When Richard Cranium posts his perhaps JJ will think about it ...
LOL

3750. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 23:16:17 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC1B9C1.BEAFA7AF@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142543

OZARK333 wrote:
>
> I have actually taken the time to listen between 28.000 and
> 28.400...nothin...zip...dead air!
> This thread is bulsshit about one incident blown WAY out of proportion.....
> The jerk who started the thread can go to hell and enjoy his
> "Intruders".....what bullshit!

Then you must have a receiver that doesn't work on those
frequencies. I had the TS-520 on all day on 28.085 and heard
truckers there all day.

3751. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 23:18:16 UTC
From: Richard Cranus <richardcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <H0qdnepsiJ6lJ1ygXTWcoA@comcast.com>
Source record: 142530

http://www.house.gov/walden/

--
If you expect people to believe you,
it's up you to prove your correct.

Richard Cranium

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24121-3DC1A141-31@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Jerry, can you post a link to his site? Or just send it to me if you
> don't want to post it, but it is pertinent, eh?
>

3752. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 23:19:46 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC1BA92.F0A07F4F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 142544

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > BH <radiobillx@xgbronline.com> wrote:
> > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Do you have a friggin calander? Or do you still think I'm
> > > > > > > posting a day in advance? lol
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >> I am still waiting for you to prove I am George Whomever and
> > > > > > >> live
> > > > > > > in Mo. LOL!!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > What's your call?
> > > > > >
> > > > >> HAHA, nice try, what's yours? Don't have one, well post your real
> > > > > name and address.
> > > > >
> > > > I won't give you my address,but my real name and the town I live in
> > > > have been posted here many times.

Then you shouldn't mind posting your name and town again.
```

3753. Happy Halloween

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 23:22:31 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com10-4
Message-ID: <20021031182231.01065.00001888@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 142531

what are you guys going to be this year? i decided to rent a fat suit and go as doug N8WWM. hope you guys have a blast.

3754. Re: Illegal 10 meter sound bytes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 23:25:31 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021031182531.06189.00000465@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 142532

I have actually taken the time to listen between 28.000 and
28.400...nothin...zip...dead air!
This thread is bulsshit about one incident blown WAY out of proportion.....
The jerk who started the thread can go to hell and enjoy his
"Intruders".....what bullshit!

"Got RF?"

3755. Re: Happy Halloween

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 23:51:06 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4558-3DC1C1EA-233@storefull-2195.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 142538

I'm goin as a big zit.Fill my mouth with mayo and let em have it when they open the door.Have fun T.....Mark

3756. Re: Naughty dog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-10-31 23:57:36 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0210311557.25dbcb3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 142533

avoobner2002@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<6e58e321.0210310956.2b6d7a80@posting.google.com>...
> In <Xns92B7E2905B591rrcbstrawinskinet@216.168.3.44>, Alan Strawinski
> <rrcb@strawinski.net> wrote:
> > >
> > >>naughtydogamps@aol.com (Naughtydogamps) wrote in
> > >>news:20021031002549.28873.00002545@mb-mv.aol.com:
> > >>
> > >>> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/naughtydog/
> > >>
> > >><RANT>
> > >>Um, one of them shows a peak wattage of 42,000 watts. Mmm kay.
> > >>
> > >>2100 amps? Hmmm. Mobile? Hmmm again.
> > >>
> > >>If you're going to hock a product that runs $13,000, you might consider
> > >>putting up a site that looks more professional. If someone has that kind
> > >>of money to spend, what makes you think that they will spend it with you?
> > >>You won't even cough up $8.95 to register a domain. Your email address in
> > >>the mailto: link is nonameamps@aol.com. That's reassuring.
> > >>
> > >>Take the money you would othewise spend on building your illegal amp
> > >>inventory, and hire a damn web developer. You've got all of this tuff guy
> > >>stuff on your web site, but you chose a light purple (9900FF, to be
> > >>exact) bckground. What's up with that?
> > >></RANT>
>
> Not only that, the dog cartoon looks like it was drawn by a 6th
> grader. Jeez, get a better artist!
>
> -A

Whine, whine, whine. Don't you have anything better to do? Of course,
you do: Post the proof you offered to "Gladly" post more than a month
ago, dimbulb. You haven't posted it yet. Wonder why; maybe because
there is no such proof? You are such a loser!

How many more yahoo accounts will it take before you understand?